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## COBBETT'S

 COMPLETECOLLECTION 185:)127. Procecdings on the Ilabeas Conves, Drought by Sir Thomas 1)amel, Sir Jolm Corbet, Sir Walter Eari, Sir Jolm Meveningham, and Sit Elamend Hampden, at the King's.pach, in Vest-
 Procedings in Parliment, relating to the Libekiy of the






 orament tive conalice, we metron the thane of
 stares ; tuid the Comptantier of the hurs's Ifousehold is ruad binth le ter mo the king's buise, under the privy seal, th s.tcral persom returned tor the liavi-money; some were assorsed 200 , sone 15 , and otiers tot, ass ( 'omnissupper, were appuinted finth prate mst actoins houv to be lave themeneles, min thus affiar, and diners lord of the counch were appoiateel to repair imto thrir cosumtics io advaice the Loinn *. Collectors wele allo appointed $t$ pay anto the exclequer the sums received, and to

* "Sir Randolf Cress sheiving no zenl for the advangene ut of the loan was then refnoved from his plase of Lard Cluef-fustice, and sar Nicholrs IIyde"succi eded on his robut: a persan who, for his pants and abilitieg, wats thanght worthy of that preferment; yey neret theless cune to the same wilh a prijudice, coming in the place of one so well-belovert ind so saddenly removed." 1 llushworth, 420.-Croke (chatles) p. 52. "Mem. upon lixiday the 10th of Nov, sir Randuli' Crew, Cher Justice of the King'3 Beneb, was dixcharged of that place, by writ undir the gran seal, for sume cause of displeasure concened aguinst him; but for what, was not geechlly lnown."
retorn the names of sucis as refase.l, or discoscred a dioposimon to ficlay the payment of the suyns inposed. This aseesment of the general-Loan tha not pass curreutiy with the people, for dises persons refused to sulacribe or lead at the rate proposed; the non-sub-. scribers of high rank in all counties were bound ower by rroozniz ueres to tender their appcarance at bec Comaci-tioard, and jerforned the sante accordangly, and divers of them committed to prison: which caused great murmuring. Bat aumbyst thase many genternen who wero imunismed' throughout Elughand, for refusing In lent upon the Commission of Loans, unly live on them brousht their Habeas Corpas, viz. sir Thomas Darnel, sir John Corbet, sir Walter Farl, gir Joba Hevenioghtam, and sir Edmund Hampdea.


## ARGMMENTS <br> UPON THE habeas corpus.

Sir Thomas Darnel his case, Mich. 3 Caroli. - Banco Regis.

Sir Thomas Darnel; baronet, being imprisoned in the Fleet, by'virtue of a warrant signed by the king's Attorney Gencral, upon the 3rI. of November, byserieant Bramstoi, bis as-" signed council, moved the justices of the King's Beuch to graut hum a Writ of Habens Corpus runcausa; directed to the Warden of the Fleet, to shew that court the cause of his imprisons meit, that theceupon they might detcraine whether his restraint twere legal or illegal; and it was granted hiv the coopit returuable Qhoriday following the 8th of Norember.

On Thursday, sir Thomas Darnel expected that his Writ slouhl be returned, but it was delayed; fuld it was mooed that the return should he on s.tunday, the 10th of November, whirh made ar Thmas the mone remiss in suing rut ay Ahas upon his IIabeax.Corpu :

Oa Sturlay the Whit was'not returned, and thereupon the king's Atterney Geueral gave ander oor an alifis upon the llateas Corpus for .er. Thounababaracl, veturnabic upon Thuslay ${ }^{5}$ minning 'die jstion Nov. ; - by vitue of wheo Writ, the wirden W' the Flect thanza sir 'I hedaas JFritel to the King's Bench, an. westanth as fonloweth:
Eucentiv iotiasi Brevivancel in quutian sthetula - . arncsul' h ac liroi."

The relturn was thin:

- Peollaric sa lalemble guatumes grienaa*

*regi certifico qued dic's Thenas Maruel br-




' Wh... fe hretofore the bady of ir Thomas - Darni labhbeen camated to gmir costaly,

 - mutarel ly the sp, cial conumend of has mat - justy, de:.


## 'Wit hice est causa ditentionat pradice' Thnine Darach.

Scei. Bramston, May it plesse ynur lordslup, 1 dul mit es;ert ibs crabe at ins tome, nwider dial I her, of it untal I came now mitu the Itall; and tiecture 1 skall now Lumbly shew you what my elient lith nifforneed nee since may conning lather. I nudestared by hime that he expectad not has cramang to tiin, place to day; the Writ by whels Lee was brought hither was not moved for lyy lum, but was procured without his privity; und sceing his case is so, and that he perceives tha cause of his coming, which betore he knew nut, his motion ro ymir lordslap is, that you would be pleased to he him have the copy of the seturn, and give him time to syeak unto it, and that thls Writ being nat sent out by his frocurtment, may not be filed.

Attorney General Heath. My lords, it is true that this gentleman, sir 'I bomas Dernel, being imprisoned in the Ficet, did heretofore move your lordships for a Habens Corpus, ke. and it sas granted bim: and his majesty being made acquainted therewith, was rery willing 'that he ang all his people might have equal Justice; und when they desire that which seems to accotd with the rules of the law, they should have it. But it fell out so, that on the day when the Wit should havive been returned, the Warden of the Fieet did not return it, as it was his dury to bave done; he dill forlear to do it upon a commandment, because it was conccives, there beilg five at that time to appear, the Court wothld have been straitened for want of tinse: but Iompgiued that these
gentlemen who did desire the Writ before, should bave aguin been earnest to renew them, which it seems they did not. This Habras Corpus was sent out by ypecial conmand, because these gentlenicn gave out in specches. and it pariculas this gentleman, That they dut wonder wh.y they should be hindered from Trial, and what shunld be the reason their W'rits were on rethened: nay, kis majesty did tcll me, that they reponted. that the hing thd deny $1 \mathrm{l}, \mathrm{m}$ the courec of justues, and therefore tue conartupled we to senew the Wrot, which I

 uasil nex, hat thad 1 wus commutterly.y Mr. Attonney'? Warrat waly, ant W, wroumal did
 "cre pleared to gramt me; but nows I medershad thet an" restant is by allother means, and dicrefore 1 tioll erase leave to bate omg time to rpeat to, it. Aud as fir the worts
 1 lowhly pray they hony be -iw devaroten nome
 somr pate censurex, as bring acco cd ai a fact

 : mathour hbswr: : and now yout hay preceris. the uprichet and sulcere procecolags that lave ben ath this busincss. Kou dut me sooner petition tu have counsel assigned you, hut vau had it pronted to you, for muleed we caninct desy it; mid 1 kidew not but that any romesel might hate moved for 500, without lenise been a-wherd for you, and yet hane hat ina hiame; for it is the himg's pleatare his liw. shond rat.e $\}^{\text {b }}$ ace and be execotch, and therefore do we sit here. When you maide a motum fira ILaiosasCorpub, hat win likenver graited; whellier the conmentment be loy the hing of others, this Court is a place where the hing dothat in person, and we lave power to examine it ; and if it appears that any man hath injury or wrong by lus imprisument, we have power to deliser and discliarge him; if otherwise, he is to be remanded by us to, risom again. Now it serns you are not ready to speak to this return; yon desire a further day, we ought to grant it.
Sir T. Darnel. My lords, Thumbly desire it. L. C. J. I know no cause why it should be denied.

Serj. Bramston. Myं lords, wé shall desire the Writ may not be fied, and that we may have a copr of the rgturn.

All. Ger. You cansot deny the filing of the Writ, if you fesire to have a copy of the return.
L. C. J. Nthough you be remanded at this time to prison, heenuse you are not ready to speak to the return, we can adjourn you to n hew day.upon the Writ, and so you may preד pare yourself ; but if gou will not bave this filen, there must go out a new Habeas Corppus, and thereupou mitst be onother return.
Scrj. Bramston. My lord, we desire some time, thot we mas be advised whether we may proccel or nut.
W. $]$ STATE TRLALS, 3 Chạzles I. 1627.-brought by Sir T. Darnel, and others.
L.C. J. ${ }^{\text {'W }}$ Will yout submit yourself to the king?

Sir T. Darnel. My lorid, I desire gome tume to alvise of my proccedings: I have moved many men, and offered to retain them of my counsel; but they refuse me, and 1 call get none to be of connsel with mo without your assistance.
L. C.J. You shall have what counsel assygied you will have or desire; for nu stience will be taineu agranst any man that shail judase you in your proceediags in law.

Att. Cien. I will pass iny worl, tliey that do advise you, shall brite no oinne tahen against them for it; and I shatl gat consen: to any way that fou shall desire, e.cher sthat it may be filed, or ihat it may mot Le flad; fur if you devre justuce, you shall thave it, and the king will not deny $u$; but if it shall be conceisel, as it is rummared, that there was a denial ot jusuce oo the kug's part, you must kioov thin has majesty is cery remier of that. Aind fon the gentoman, nuw he is loroupht 1:a ha, 1 comecive, but yet I lease it in your ing 'up's. juignent, that the writ must le- filed, ond you dust mber deliver him, or remane inu, or else it nell be an escapt int tiec varden i) the Fliset.

Sr T: Darncl. I wouh not have it thought that F -hound apeak my thing ag uinst my piace, and tor thione nurds 1 do deny them fur upon my comotuace they neres catae into my thanght: perhaps you shall find that they have Lera spoach by some odec, but not by any if us.
J.. C. J. Sir, you have made a tair answer, and 1 doubt not but Mr, - Atturicy sill make lie like rolation of 't ; you more for the not tiling of the Whit; if you relure ta bave it aloll, whereby it sloond not be of iccord, you must bave no cogy of it ; hut if you will have it filed you shall inve a copy of it, and firther: time to speah to it; chuse whether of them vou will.

Serj. Bramston. We gesire to hare the returnaread once more.

## And it was read as befure.

Serj. Bramston. Soas the writ may not be. Giled, we uill desire no copy of the return.
L. C.J. Then the gentleman must return

* back again into the contody of the Warden of the Fleet; and therefore 1 apk you, whether you desire to come hither again upoin thrs. Writ, or will you buve a new one?

Sir T. Darxef. I desire your logdship that I may have time to consiler of it.
L. C.J. Then in God's naury take your own time to think of it.

## - Mich. 3 Car. Regis, Nov. 28, 1697.

- Sir John Corbet, bart. sir Walter Farl, sir Johy Heveningham, sfr Edmund Harapden, knights, were brought to the har.
Serj. Bramston. May it pleasc your lordsbip no hear the retyrn read, or shall I open it? L. C.J. Let it be read.

Mr. Keeling reads the return, bcing the same as that of sar T. Daruel.
-Serj. Brantion. May it please your lordship, thall hymbly move upon this return in the behalf of sir John Hevenngham, with whom I an of counsel ; it is lis petition, that he misy be bailed from his iuprionment : it was but in vain for me to move that to a court of law, sitich by law cannot be grantedi : and there-, fors in that regerd, that upsta this rewara it will be questioneil, whether as this returin is mader, the e; mletnan may be bailed or nol? I shall humbly ofer up to your lordship the case, anif -.me reasons out of juiae nuleratandine uising on: oi the retwin itself, to zalisfy your"lordshap that thete prizoucrs may, and, as their case is, oughe to te hated ly your londslip.

The eveption that I take to this return; is as. andl to the matter nad cubstance of the r tam, as to the matancrand lagal form the e ofi: the execpion that lake to tir- matior, is in severnl respects.
Thait the return is too general, there is no sulicient cause shewn in stactal or in general of the cownmitment of this gentlenana; mad as it in insutirivent for the cumer, so hilso he the thoie of tise first imprivmitest : for howsoever Lere riozh appear a tine vi oan the second warrant fiom tie lords of the cumaril ho detain hum stil is piow, yet by the resura no titue can nppear when he wus first impisoned, llongh it be necessary it shaula he sbewn; and if has. time sppear now, the re is ro cerse your lordship that remand han; and couse pucatly he is to he delistred.

Tunching the matter of the return, which is the caase of his imprismmeur, it is expressed to le ' $p$ er sperale maudatam domini reyus:' this is woo gewers and uncertiin, for that it is nu? mamfind what hiod of conmand this wras.
T'purling the legal form of the return, it is not, as it ought tis lie, finlly and positively the return of the locepr limself only, hut it comes with a signifistzif, or prout, that le was commiticel ' per spectule maudatum domini reqis,' as appeareth by warrant fyum the lords of the council, not of the king lianseff; and that is not grod in legal form.

For ehe matter and sulp-tance of the return, it is not good, becgatise there ought to be a cause of that imprisonment.
This Writ is the seans, and the only means that the subject hath in this and sucli-lihe cate to obtain his libesty ; there are other Writs by which men are delirered from restraint, as that De Hounine Replegiando, but estends not to this cause, for it is partirniarly cycepted in.3.
 Cautione Admittenda, but they, lie in other cases : but the Writ of Halseas Corpus is the only means the subject hath to obtain his liberty, and the cud of. thas Writ is to return the cause of the imprisonment, that it may be examined in this cuyrt, whether the parties ought to be diccharged of not: bat that camnot be done upon this repurns for the cause of the imprisonment of this $y^{\text {entleman at first is so fof }}$
from appearing pauticularly by it, that where is no cause at all expresed in it.-Tinis Writ requires that the cause of the ifmprisqnosent should be returned, and if the caune be not specially certifed by it, yet should it at the least be shewn in general, that it may appear to the judges of tiec court; and it must be expressed so tal, as that it may appear to he nueve of those cansen fior which by law of the kinzdum the sulject-ought nox to be unprisoned; and at ought bi be capectiul that it,was by pre-entngent or iulictacel, ated not upen perthun or singen ton made to the king and lords, which is ggants, as. statute made int the $25 \mathrm{~F} .5 \mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{c} .4$. 42 E. $3, \mathrm{c}$.: $\mathrm{B}_{4}$

By twe s'arote 25 E. S, e. 4. it is orlained and estalableyl, "That bs mau from hereretorth shal! Be whet liy f ctition or surgeston masic
 conirs ot han; ;' and accorduggly was etactest, 43 I. 3, f. 3. 1!e tule of wl ' Nunt shall be : at to: erowr male to the brog i.,tera :ereniment.

 that if tway apee"to it mon of thene cauper mentiobs it th the seatte, ar che the subjectily



 therefias it in pina, that there is no canse for your lomblap to remaid hon; bat the re is cane yon shoult dieloce ham, sunce the writ is to bromg the boty aul tike canse of the mprisonment betore your lorithap.

But it may be oljected, that this writ of Habens Corpus doth not ilemand the cause of the first commitment, but of the detasaing only; and so the $v$ rit in sativied by the retuin; tor though it shew no cause of the first commitment, but of detainung ools, yet it declareth a cause why the gentioman is detaned an prison : this is no thiswer, nor can give any satiofaction; for the reason why the cause is to be

- returned, is for the subject's liberty, that it in shall appeara good and sullicient cause to your lordship, then to lee remanded; it your lordship thank and find it inwifficient, he is'to be enlarged.

This is the end of this *it, and this cannot appear to your lordship, unkess the tianc of the first conmitment be expressed in the rcturn. I know thrt in ot me cases the time is.not material, as when the cause of the commitment is (and that so espe ctally) returned, as that the dime is noy matcrial, it is enough to shew the .cause withont the tinee, as afoer a conviction or trial had by law ; but when it is in this manner, that the tume is the matter itself: for intend what cause you will of the commitment, yea though tor the bighest cause of treason, there is no doubt but that upon the róturn theeoof the time of it must appear; for it being before trial and conviction -hlad by haw, it is but an nccusation, and he that is ouly accused ought by law to be lect to bail, to.

Byt I besecch your lordslup to observe the consequence of this cause. It the law he, that upon this geturn this genteman shonld be remanded, 1 will not dispute whether or no, a man may be imprisoned liefoie he be convictrd according to the low; bat if thes return shall be good, then his taprisonment slall not continue on for a time, lath fir eler; and the subjec's of thas kurgham may he restfouned of then hibeties perpetually, and by law there can be uo rene dy for the sulyent : infflierefure this retarn caunot stand with the lans of the realin, or that of Mogna Chasta; nor w:ti the tatute of $28 \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{c}, 3$. fur if a man be not laviable upou the return, licy camut have the benctit of chese two laws, wime an the minatance of the seijece.- If your loridnip shall thmib thas
 pestal anpuswent oi the subiges; for in all those cause which may cone erib the hme's - whbjecta, and are applicatie to all tmes man cases, we foce not to reflect upon the preeent tine , and posernasen, where jastere and metey flowedi, bet we ar in hook nibut may betide is in the tiner t) game, hertatis.

It must be afreed on all sid s, that the time of the first comasitasm dorf not appear in thas recuin; bet by a latter warront from the lords of the emunci, thate sa thoe mideed expressed for the contauug of him in pism, and that appeats; hur if this shall be a good canes foremand thes watemen topron, they may te thoye thoo sonco jears langer, and seven jears uiter tien, bay, all the days ef their haer. Anil if they sue but a wht of Habens' Corpus, it is but making a new warrant, and they shall lie remauded, and thall never hare the adsantage of the laves whethate the best utieritance of esery sulject.-And an E. 6, fol, \$6, the lans are calleyt the great whentance of every suljert, and the whentance of mberitances, without whed mhentmer we lave no inheritance. Thexe ate the excy thons I desire to ubier up to your loriohip tonching the Retorn, for the meafinchney of the cnuse returned, and the defect of the time of the first columitmeat, wheh should hase licen expressed.
$d$ will not labour indojections till they be made against me, in regard the Statute of Westamster primo is so fieçuent in every min's, mouth, that at the conminon law thuse men that were committed in foy- cases were not replec isable; siz. those that were taken for the death of a man, or the commandment of the hing, or his justices for the fivest. I shall speak sometlinge to it, though I intend not to spenc much time about it, for theucheth not this chse we have in question.

For that seconcerhing a case of the common law, when men are taken by the kug's writs, and not by word of mouth, aud it shall be st expoumled, as Mr. Sutthford, fol. 73, yet it is mothing to this case, for if yon will take the true meaming of that statute, it extends not at all to this wrot of IInbeas Corpas; for the words gre plain they shall be replevisable by the ' conproon writ,' that is, by the writ 'De he-
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' mine replegiando," directed to the sheriff to deliver them, if they were balable: but this case is alove the sheriff, and he is not to be judge in it, whether the causes of the commitment be sufficient or not, as it appears in Fitz. Iteibert, ' De bomine replegiando,' and many other places, and not of the very words of the statute lhas is clear, for there be mapy other cquses mentiones, ns the death of a man, the commandment of the justice, \&cc. in which the statute: sath, haen are not replevisable. But will a man conecive that the nicaning is, that they shall nut he bailell at all, Lut live in perpetual imprisomment? I think I thall not need to spend time, in that it is so plain; let the but make one justapes.

- A man is tuken de morte hominis; he is not balable by writ, suith thas Statute ; that is, by the common wr.t: there was a common writ fur this case, ani tlint was called de odio ct aria, as appearetb, Bescton, Corom. 34. This is the , wit intendell by the statute, which is a comemon wit, and hot a special wit; but, my* lord, as this writ de odio et uria was befose thor Matute, on it was afterwards taken away by the statute of 98 F. 3, c. 9. But hefore that statate, this writ tid fie in the special case, as is thewn in Cokecs 9 dh leport, the poultiver', Case; mal the end of thas writ was, that the subject wught ant be ton long deasined in paison, sis till the jutices of Kyre dis larged diem. So that the haw intendad not that a man slould suffer perpetual iomprasonment, for *hey were very careful t hat men should not be kepi too lung
- in prison, which ss abo a liberty of the sulject; and, my lord, that this court hath bailed apou a suspicion of High-Treasun, I will offer it to your lordship, when I shall shew you precedents an these cares of a commitinent hy the prove: council, or ly the bing lugiself: limi hefore I offer these pregrients unto your lordship, of which there be many, I shall by your lordship's favour speak a butle to the next caception, and that is to the mater of the return which I find to be 'per speciale maullatum domini regin,' $\Lambda_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{*}$ what is that? It appears by this writ, there may be sundry commands by the king; we find a special command often in our botks, as in the statute of Marl. cap. 8. they who were imprisoned Rasalss', shall not he delivered without the specinl comanand of our lord the kiug. And so in Iracton, de Actionions, the last chapter, wherfe it appears that the king's enmmandment for imprisomments is by special writ; so by writ againmon are to be deltsered, for in the case of Rediss' or Post Recliss', ffit sall be removed by a Ccrtiorari, thist io by a speciat writ to dcliver partics. So that by :his appears,
*that by the king's commandinelf to imprison, and to deliver in those cases, is undentood this ewrir, and so it may be in this ${ }^{\text {e case which we }}$ haye heard.

And this return here is a specind Mandatunn; it may be understood to he under some of the king's sents, 42 Ass. and oughe to be delivered; and will you makea difterence between the king's command under lus seal, and, hiy com-
mand thy word of mouth? What difference , there is, I leave it to your lordship's judgment : but ifthere be any, it is the niore mberat that it should be expresied what manner of command it was, wlach duth not here appear ; and therefore it may be the hang's command by writ, of his conmrnd under lins seal, or his comenand by word of mouth alone.

And if there is ely of these commandio of are ligher nature thau we ofiher, dothlets, is 15 that by writ, or under seal, for they art of ricord, and in these the pertm may he bailad, and why not in this?. A, to the legal form, adnaitting there avers sobstance, ip athe return, yct there want- lezal fierm; for the writ of Halicas Conpus is the commandegent of the hing to the heifer of the prisons, and thereupn they are to natke return beth of the body, and of the catese of the connmement, and that cause is $t s$ uppgar of thent who are the manediate officers. And if he soulh it ly segeification from whother, that netern is deastive an lan, and thectere this retuon camat be good, tor it muse te. from the wher fanself; and it the cause at inned hy linx be gyat, it binds the prame is.
The wartant of the lech wag but a direction for han; he ming lrwe matio lats retu-n to have lieren expresly les the Lhege cemmana. ment, there a as wanait fin 0,1 whll mot heed to put you cans of it ; torn in wot ut uth that be neterne that he wat conterial tiot tha commitment wa, by tle Lug', casolesuof, but he must of hamodf retuän tha hact os it wand he. And non, my land, I shall meal by yar lerdshap precedents of deress hadd, yutai coamat ments by the specmal commatud of the hir torn,

 nhern 1 subuit to your thod-hio', that gare cone wifl not stad uponi precedenio, Ita upon tis? fundanentai haws and statutes on tha realm; atul though the prevelent, low' the one say or the cother; they are to be leroeghit bark noto the laws by which the hagetem is gowrwd. In the 1st of llen. 8, Kut, I'sl. D, י․e Harrison was committed te: the Aluysindsea by the conmand of the hing; and Lering semovid liy If. Corpus into the cont, the caure retorned "as, that he was' commettid ' pot matuditum 'dommi regos' and 'te wav basid.
In the to Eh? Thenta, Wenden wav committed to the gate louar- ly the commandment of the qugen, and the lorkh ot the council: and being remorett by an II. Coapus, up hi the general retiun he was boisy - In ${ }^{8}$ Jic, one Gesar was commuited by the king, commang? utent, and this befing retarued upon this H. Co!$\mathrm{F}: \mathrm{B}$, ufon the exammatum of this, case it, doth appear that it uig user-ruled, thiat the return should be aunctided, or clac the prisuner should be deliverey.
The precedents concerning the commitunent by the l.ords of tue ${ }^{\circ}$ Gouncil, are in effect the sque with these where, the combutrent is, by the reason why the caise of the commitmegt should not be stanf, holds in both cascs, and
that is the necessity of suit; and therefore Mr. Stamford makes the command of the king, and that of the lords of the privy-council, to bee both as one; and to this purpose, if thay speak, he speaks; and if he speaks, they speak.
The precedents that we can shew. you, how the subjeet hath heen delivered upon conmitment by the lords of the council, as in the times -af Hen. 8, and in the times of queen Filiz, and qucen Mary, are infinite; as in the 9th Eliz. Themas Lawrence was commitjed to the Tower by the lurds of the council, and bailed upou an H: Corpus.

In the, 43 Fliz., Culvin's case. In the $3 d$ Eliz, Verion's case. There were committed for High 'Treasun, and yet bailed; for in all these Eines there mint be a conviction in due time, or a deliverance by law.

There be divels other precedents that might be shewn to your loridhhp. In 12 Jac., Miles Reaurds. In 12 Jac., kive 155 , 1hd. Beckwith's case. In 4 Jac, , ir 'ihomis Monson was combmitted fir treasoa to the Tinscr of London, und nfterwards was brought huther, and bailed; and sance our caşe stands upon ibis retorn, and yet there A, no sulticient cause in haw expresed in the return of thedetaining thisgentleman; aid since these precedents do warr.ant our proceduthen: my lamile suit to tha court is, itat the genteman, ar Jeha Ite ennugham, who lath pethtouct bos magicoty, that he may have the ticseth of the latw, abal his majesty hath sigmlich it: it is lis pilesane that justice accordand to the law shouli Le admaishered at all tmacs in gencral to all has subjects! and parricululy to these gentlienen, wheh is tieir birth reht: my hamble sut to your lordshij? is that the gentlemen racy have the benefit of that haw, and be ifetivered from their imprisonment.

Mr. Noye's Argument of Counsel wilh 'sir Walter E.rrl at that time.
May it please your lordstip, I am of counsel with sir Walter tarl, cne of the prosonet, at the har: the return of this writ is as those that have been before, they are much of ote tenour, und as you have heard the tengur of that, so this gentleman coming lither by an II. Corpus, I will by your durdship's lavour read the writ:

- Carolus, Dei gratis, \&c. Johanni Filoe ' mitit' Guardian' prison' nostra de, le Fleet
' ssalut', I'racipinus tibi quod corpus Walteri
- Earl tnilit' in prison' nostra sub custodia tan Aletent' ut sicit' unácum causa detcutionis sux Equocunque nomine pradico' Walter' censeat
' in cadem Haheas Corpus, ad subjiciendum et
- recipiendum ea gox curia nostra de eo adtunc
'et ibidem ordin' conting' in face parto et hase
' nullatenus omit' periculo incumbend' et hu-
'beas ibi hoc breve. Test' Hyde,'apad Weat-
' minster, quario die Now, anno 8.' ' Execu-
'tio istius Brevis patet in quadam schedula
'huic brévi nnnexat.' .


## Respons. Johan' Liloe Guardiun' Prlson' de le Fleel.

'Ego Johannes Liloe Mil' Guardian' Prison' 'domini Regis de le Eleet, serenissimo domino ' regi, spud Westroinster 8. Post receptionem © hujus brevis quod in hac schedula est men'tionat', Certifico quod Walter Earl miles, in ' eudem brevimin nominat' dotenks est in pri'soma de le Fleet sul custoria mea pradici' 'per speginle mandatum dominis regis mihi sig' nificatum per Warrantuan duorum et aliorum 'de Privato Concilio perhonorabihssimi dicti 'domini regis, cajos quidem tenor sequitur in ' basc serba.'

Whereas sir Walter Earl, knight, was heretofure committed to your costody, these are to will aud require your still to detain hm, letung you know, tive hoth his lirst commitment, and this direction for $\dot{4} \cdot$ - contuuance of him ia prison, were and ure hy hit: asajesty's special rommasdinent. From Whitehull, 7 Norembris, '627 Thomas Conentry, C.S., Hem y Mancleswer, Thomas Sutiolk, Bralgenati r, Kelly, R. Dunelm','Thomas Edounde, John Cook, Jarlborough, Pumbroke, Salisbury, Totne~, (ifandison, Gutiel' Bath and Welle, It.hnert Nabton, Richard Weston, Hum, Juy Aliges.
To the Guardian of the Fleet on hes Diputy.
'1.t hase cst eausa detrmionis pradice' Wal-
 ' Auamen cospes cjusdeat Waleri coram do-
 'recoptionda bevis fradiel' parat' habeo "prout isted liste in se eviget at requiret."
Revpoa' Johun' Liloe Milil' Guardian' Prison' de le Filect.
My lord, the îrst Hubicas Corpos bears diate the Ith of Nov., thear there is anl Mas mateas bears Teste after tha', and the tenour thereof is a command to the sardon of the Fitel, 'çnod MA.to as Con pris Waiter Lail, coramatus ad suljicieadum et secppentium er qque cusa 'nostra de so, ks. ordin' cotetior' And the Warden of the Ileet, he cerution as your lordslyia has hcard. May it pleare your lordship, I desire as before was desired for the other geaIfemen, that sir Walter Eari may be also bailed, If there be no other c.use of his infprisomment: fot if there were acnuse certified, and that cause were neq suflicient to detain hinn still m prison, your lordship would bail him; and if a man should be in a worse case, wher there is no cansecertified at all, hay would be very hard.

- The writ is, thar he should lring the prisoncr corma nobis, bctore the hing, the end of that is ' ad subjicienduma et recipiendunn;' new I conceire, that though there be a signification of the Ling's pleusure to have this gentlenaan inpri-, soned, yet when the kigg grants this writ to bring the pisoner hither, ' ad subjiciendun "ct 'recipiendup1',' his pleasure likewise is, to have the prisoner let go, if by law he be nat chargeable; or otherwise to detain him still ia prison, if the pase so require it.
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J. will phat your hordblip in mind of a case, und it was Pasch. 9 Edw. S\% M. 3. I will cite by the Plactia, because my book is not paged as other boohs are; it is in the case of a Cessurit. In that case there were two thines consiterafle: the one that there gis a :ignification of the hing's pleasure past, and that detenmmed with h'm: the other, that though there was a signilemtrou af the ling's pleasure betine, yet thiere conics afteg that a writ; tand that wan another signification of the king's pleasure, that the prisoucr blall be brought hather ai sobjiziendum, to stubuit hime-If to punshuient, if he have deserved $t$; or ad recipieadur, to recever has enlargement, and be delivered, if there be ne ranse of his impnisonment.

Art if upon an Ilabeas Corpis, $n$ cause of commanent be certied, that cause is to be tried here before your lordship. But if mu, cause be shewn, then the proceedines mut be ' ut cyria nostia ad mar' contigert,' the court must do that whoh seturts with Lav and juriece, tind thet is to delaer lim.

My lood, I shall be beld to move one word more tauchang thas Recurn: I concene that every offecer to a court of justice mu-t make his riturn of hus own act, or of the art of another, and not what be is sertfied of by another. But 1:1 this case the warilen of the Pleet doth not certily himself, of hinse'f, that this geurlomun wis conmanted to hum t.y the king, bat that he was certified by the lords of the comncil, that it was the king's pieasure that he should detem him. But in pur case the wadden of the -Fleet must centify the immedinte tanse, and ant the cause of the couse, "'s he doth ly this return; " Detentus est sut custodia mea per "speciate mandatum Domini Kegin nibi sigai-- Ficatun per Warrantuon duorum de lrivato "Concilio;' that is not the we m law, hut he -sught to return the primaryecsuse, and not the subiequent cause: as in E2 Edw. 3. return, 1tex vicecom' 87 , in a writ De Ilomine Keplegiaudn, agnimst au abbot, the sherifl returns, that he hath sent to the brailiff of the abbot, nu.t le answered him, that the party was the ablot's villam, and so he cannot deliver him; that it is held an insulicient return, and a wey Alats was grauted. But if the sheriff had returned, that the abbut did certify him so, it had been good; hit he must not return what is sertified him by another.

In onc of ihe prectedents, that hath been noted, as *that of Parker, 22 H. 8 , thêre the guadan of alye prison certifies, tyat Parker , detentus est sub custolia mea per mandrtum - Dommi Hegis mibi nunciatum per Robertuḿ ' Pecke;' now our case is by the nunciation of many, buts,in law ' Majos et minys non variant ' in specrem,' the certification of one and of many is of the same effect, althsugh in morat understanting there may be a differfuce.

Trin. 2 F. 3, hot. 46. in this court in 21 E. $\mathbf{s}$, in the printed Book there is a piece of it: the albot pf Bury brings a prohibition out of this court, the bishop of Norwich pleaded in bar of that, ' Quod a: hi tesuficatum guod con-
'tinetur in Archivii,' that he is excommunicated; there were two exceptions taken to this "case in this preecdent, and they ale both'm one case :'the first was, that no cause appeareth, why he was excoumunicated; there may bc causes why he shond be excommunicated, and than le shuuld be barred, and there may be cau-es why the cocommmation should not bar hun : for it may be the caconnumeationwas for bringing the action, which was the bing's arit; and therffore beause thyre Nns no cause of the exemmanicatoan returaed, it "as ruled that it was not goord. The other reason as that upun the Roil, whech is milu tcsliftict:un.
Xiot el ecy man, wien le will mahe a certiGate ts the court, $\delta$ I'roprium fictum shum
 the coust of the inmecthate art done, and not that stel thines sere told him, or that such thangs are signtical wanolum; bat tiot wes nut done in thus cose, sad dia reforg it was heldi
 ceive the wimu is mathicient m the form. There is another cianoc, uny lord, for whech I concesie shis retart is not $\%$ ad.
Bat first I will be twid of satian gour lurdship, touching the statute of aimmi (hut. Itg. - Nullus bier homo capiatur vel impinanctur. ' ke. nee super cum nuttmins mot per bigale "juhcium parium suobun, vel per legem terra." THat in thas statuto these work in cnrccremb are omutted out of the promed bonks: for it should he 'nee tim in cotreren mitimus.' For likese words 'jue leqcen terme;' what 'Lex 'terra' should be, I will not take upon me to exprund, otherwise than 1 find them to beexprombed by acts of fayliament; and this is, that they are understoonl to be the process of the law, sometimes by writ, sureetimes by attijchnent of the persen: but whether, 'speciale mandatam Donimt Regis' be mtended loy that or no, I leare it to your lordship's expostion upon two Petitions of the commons, and Answer of the king, atsi L.. 3, No. 9, and Nu. 20.

In the first of them the contmons complain thatihe Great Charter, the Charter of the Forest, and oxher statutes yere broken, and they desire that for the good of himself and of his people, they might be kapt nad put in exccution, and that they inight not be infinged by mahing an arrest by special command, or otherwise: and the gnswer was, that the ascent of the lorls establshed and ordained, that the said. charter and other statutes should be put in execunton according to the pettion, 'nud that is without any disturbance by arrest, by specith. command or otherwise ; for it was grauted, ns it was petitioned.

In the same yenr, for they were very careful of this matter and it was necessary it should be soafigr it was then an usual thing to take men by writs ' quilusdam, de causis,' aud ruany of these words caused mithy acts of parliament; and it may be sonye of these witit may be shewn: and I sayjib the same jear they conf-
plained that men were inprisoned by special command, and without indictment of other legal course of tay, und they desired that thing may not be doue uphon meן ly special command against the Great Charter.

The hug makes miswer, that he is well plened therewith: that was the firit ayswer; and for the future he hath added fat ther, if auy manbe pier etl, let hum complan, and right shail Ve done unto thm. Wis, my lord, is an explanatoos of tike Cieat Charier, as also the statute of 37 Ed. 3, c. 18 . is a commentary upon it, that nfen would not be"comnuittel upon suggestion made to the kng, without due proofis of law again them, und so it is cufcted twice in one yew.

We fiad more printed, books, as in Hen. 6, Mras de ti.ulに, Fita, 132. whech is a strong catse, under fivour, mitan activn of trespass for cutteng dowa ticer. The defendant saith, That the place where the drees are cut, is parcel of tine manor of B. whereof the hing is seised in fee, and that the king dud command lime to cut theia : and the opimim of the court was, that this was no good plen, sithout shewing the specidy of the commend; and they sadd, if the hore command tee to arrest a man, and 1 arrest him, be alaill have an action of false inprisonneat uganst me, althuggh it were done in the bug's prevence.

In 1 Joli. cap. 7, fol, 46, it is in print, 'and there we leave it.

Hower, (llacf Justire'saith, that sir John M.athlatu told king Edw.'t, that he could not a.6,-t a man upen suopiciou of felony in treasin!, wany ot hes subjeces might; because if he should wrong a man by such arrest, the -partice cuuld have no remesly against him, if any man shall stand upon tt. Here is a significatum of the hinz', pleasure, not to have the cuase of the coumutinent examined; he hath here another siznlication of his pleasure by wrat, wherely the party is brought lather 'ad 'subjuciendum et recppiendeni,' that he hath made your loril-hp judge of that, whech should be oljecterl ang uns this sentlenan, and either to punish him, or to deliser hmm; and if there be no cause shewn, $t$ is to be intended that the party is to lie delneret, and that it is the kuy's plearure at should be so: nod the wat is a suticient warrant tor the doing of it, there being now cause shem of the suprisonment. And now, my lord, 1 will speak a woid to the writ of de hominc 'ep/frgiando, and wo other writ, for that was the common writs and the four conses exprescid in that statute, to wit, the death of, mat., :lie commatul of the king, . or his jusige, or fiorest, werg excepted in that writ hefure that statste made, as appears Bracton 183, so that the wit was at the com-mon-law beiore that statute.

And it gplears by our B whs, that if a man be brought hather by an Habens Corpus, thogel he were imprisund de moric hominis, us in the 21 Edw. 4, 7. Winckfiel! was haled heere, this court bafied him, fur he wis brought bither ad subjiciendum et recigiendum,' asd not to
lie in prison God knows hpw long;'and if the statute should be expounded othervise, there were no bailug men outlawed, or brenkers of prison, fnrahey are not within this statute, and yet this court doth it at pleasure.
But-plainly by the starute itelf, it áppenrs, that it meant oflly the conmon writ; for the preamble recites, that the sheriffs and others had taken and kept in prison persons detected of felony, and lrt out th plevin such as were not reprisable, to grieve the oug party, and to the gain of the other; and forasmuch as before this time it was not determined what prisoners werc reprisable, and what not, but only in certain cases were expressed, thenelore it is ordained, \&c.
Now this is no more than for direction to the keejers of the prisons, for it leaves the matter to the diserction of the judges, whether bailable or not ; for then the statutc hath declured who are repleviable, wis are not, as men outlawed, thuse who have abjured the realin, breakers of prison, burners of houses, makery of false money, counterfeting of the king's seal, and bhe like; it is then oudained, that if the sheriff, br any other, let any go at large by surety, that is not Ieprisibles whether he be shegifi, constable, or any other that hath the heeping of prisons, and thete of be attainted, he Nhall lose has ollice and fee for ever; so that it exteuds to the common gaolers and herpers of prisous, to darect them in what cases they shall let men to bail, anid in what cases not: and that they maill not be judges whom to let to replevin, and whom to keep in privon; bot it extends not to the julnge, for if the makers of the statute bad neant them in it, thry should bave put a pain ur penalty upon them also.
So tien I conetude, ander your lordshap's fitvour, that as thas case is, there should have been a cause of the commitinent expressed, for these gentlcusen are bronghtd.ibler hy writ ad subjeciendun, if they be cilarisd; mad at recipiendum, if tley be not chargal; and therefore in regard there is no chare e against them, whercupun they should he detuned in, prison any longer, we desire that they may be bailed or dizcharged by your loudship.

## Mr. Seldex's Argument at the King's-bench bar the same day.

My Lords; I am of counsel with sir Edm. Haunpden; his case is the same with the other two gentlemen : I cannot hope to say much, aficr that that hath been said; yct if it slinli please yout lordship, I shall remembet you of so pauchi as is beffillen my loL. Sir Edmund Hampden is brought hither by a writ of Habeas Corpus, and the keeper of the Gatehouse hath returned upon the writ, that sir Edm. Hampden is detaned in prison 'per speciale man' datua Xomini regis, milhi significatum pes 'warrantun duonum privati concilii dictrito'unini regis.' Aud thes be recites the wart rant of the lords of the council, which is, that they do will and require him to detain this gentleriga still in prison, Icting him know that
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his .first imprisonment, \&e. May it please your lordship, 1 shall humbly moie you that this gentleman may also be bailed; for under favour, my lord, thicre is no cause in the return, why he should be any farther imprisoned and restramed of his liberty.

My lord, I shail suy something to the form of the writ; and of the return; but very little to them both, because there is a very litile left for me to say.
My lord, to the form, I say it expresseth nothing of thè first caption, und therelore it is insufficient ; I will add one renson, as hath been said: the Habeas Corpus hath only these wordg, 'quod habeas corpus ejus una cum ' causa detentionis, et non captionis.' But, my lord, becuuse in all imprisonments, there is a cause of caption and detention, the caption is to be answered as well as the detentiou. I have seen many writs of this nature, and on then the caption is returned, that they might see the'time of the caption, and thereby know whether the party stiould be delivered or no, and that in regard of the length of bis imprisomment.
The next exception I take to the form is, that there is muaid uncertainty in it, so that no man can tell when the writ came to tlie keoper of the prisin, whether before the return or anter ; fur it appears not when the kiug's command was lor the commitment, or the signification of the councal came to him. It is true, that it appears that she warrant was gated the 7th of NovemLer; but when it can, e to the -kgeper of the prison, that appeors iot at all : and therefore, as lis want of mentioning the same time of the caption, su for not exprissing the same time when this warrant came, 1 think othe retuin is fathey in form, and wond.

And for sppareat contradiction also, the return is insufficient: lor in that pait of the return which is botore the warrant, it is snit, 'Quod detentus est per speciale mandatum do' rumi repis.' The warman of the lords of the council, the very syllables of that warrant are, that the lords of the council do will and require him still to det:in him, which is contrary to the first part of the return.-Bes:des, my lorid, the lords themselves say, in anosher place and passage of the warrant, that the king rommanded them id commit him, and so it is their commituent; so that upon the whole matter, there appears to be a clear contradiction in the return; and there being a contradiction on the return, it is vaid.
Now, my lord, I will speak a word'lor twe to the matter of the return; and thut is touching the imprisonment, 'per speciule mandatum 'domini rgeis,' by the lords of the council, without any cause exprested a and admitring of any, or either, or hoth of these to be the retitra; I think that by the constaut ard settied lawiof this kingdom, without which we have nothing, no man can be justly imprisoned by either of them, without a cause of the commitment expressed in the return. My lord, in both the last ărguments the atatutes have been'
mentioned and fully expressed : yet I will add a little to that which hath been suid.
The statute of Magna Chartn, cap. 29, that statute if it were fully executed as it ought to be, every man would enjoy his liberty better than he doth. The law saith expressly, 'No ' freemsn shall be ireprisoned without due pro' cets of the law ;' out of the very body of this act of parliament, besides the explanation of a other statutes, it appears, Nullus liber homo capiatur velimprisonatur nisi per legem seris.' My lord, I know these words 'legem terre? do leave the question where it was, if the interpretation of the statute were not. But $\ddagger$ think under your lordship's favour, there it must l, intended by due course of law, to be eitlecr by presentment or by indktment.
My lords, if the meaning of these words, 'per legem terrre', were but, ts we use to say, according to the laws, which leares the matter very uncertain; and 'per specinle mandatom,' Kcc. We within the meaning of theye words, 'according to the law ;' then this att had done nothug. The net is, 'No freeman shall be im'prisoned but by the law of the land.' If you will understand these words, ' perlegem'telra,' in the first sense, this statute shall exicnd to villains as will as to freemen; for if I imprison another man's villuin, the villain may bave an action of false imprisonment. But the lords and the king, for then they hoth had villains, might imprison thun ; and the villain conld have no remedy. But these words in the statute, 'per legen terre,' were to the ficcman, which cught not to be inprisoned, but hy due process of law: and unless the interpretation shall be this, the freeman thall have no privilege alove the villain.
So that I conceive, my lords, these words, 'per ligeun terre,' must be hure so interpreted, :19 3142 Eliz. The bill is worth observing. It $r$ citeth that divers persons without any writ or potsentment were cast into prison, \&cc. that it mulit be onacted, thast it should not be so dunc lierenfter. The answer there is, that as thus is an article of the Great Charter, this should be granted. So that it seems the statute is not taken to be an explanation of that of Misgua Charta, but the very words of the statute of Mngnn Charta.

I will conclude withu littleobservation upon these word, ' nee saper eum mittimus;' which words of themselves signty not so much, a man cannot find any fit sense for them. But, my lord, in the 7 th king Jolin, there wns a Great Charter, by which this statute in the 9 ttr H .3 , wherrby we are now regntrited, ths framed; and there the worde, are, 'nec eum in'tzarcerem'. ' mittimus.' We will not comasir him to prison ; that is, the king himself will not ; and to justify this, there is a story of that time in Matthew Paris, and in that Book this Charter of king Jolun is set down at large, which book is very authentic, and there it is eotered : and in the 9th of Hen. 3, he'saith, that theg statate was renewed in the sapne words with the Charter of king Jolin. And, my lord, he might'
know it better than others; for he was the king's Chronologer in thone times: and theretore, my lord, since there he so many reasous, and so many précedents, and so many statutes, which declare, that no frceman whatsoever ought to be imprisoned but necording to the lans of the land; and that the Iiberty of the Sukject is the highest inheritance that he hath; my hum-- hle request is, that accordingten the ancient laws and privileges of this realm, thas gentleanan, iny client, may be bailed.
The Anucment of Mr. Calizhor, at the King's-Bench bar, 22 Nov. Nich. 3 Car. regis.,
Str Juha' Corbet bcing brought to the King's-Bench bar, with s.r Edimund Hampdev, sir Whlter Earl, nad sir John Ifeveninghan, who were also brought thitier by several writs of Mabens Corpus, with the same return; I being assigned by the court of King's-Bench, upon a petition delivered, to be of counsel with sir John Corbet, did move that sir John Corbet might be discharged of, his jomprisomment, and put in tail ; for I did conceive that the return of this MI. Corpes was insullicient, both in the matter of the return, and in the mamer of the return, and so there ought not to be a longer detaining of sir Johu Corbet in prisnn. For as to the manner of the return, it is not laid down precisely, that sir John Corbet is detained in prison by the specinl copmonandment of the king, signified by the warrant of the lords of the council; the which is not a direct affirmation that he is detained by the special conmand of the kiog, but that the lords of the council, by their warrant, have signified unto hin that he was committed and stall detained by the special command of the king.

And howsoever the lords of the council hatd signitied that he was detained by the commquament of the king, yet it may be be was not detained by the commandment of the king; for their signification of the same by warrint may be untrue, and the warrant of the lords of the council that is returned in hace verba, importeth that the ketper of the Gatehouse rather took upon him to return, that it was signified unto him by the wairant of the, lords of the ceuncil, that sir John Curbet was cpmmitten and detained by the speciul commandment of the king; becuuse if the krepet lad taken upon him to affirm it upon his return, then needed he not to have returned the warrąnt of the lords of the council: and the wartant itself sheweth that he had only his information from ethe lörds of A he cruüdtal. For their warrant is to let the K'eeper huow, that, both the first commitment, andothis dircetion for the contineing of him in prison, were and are by his maje-ty's special cominandment; and' I do not s.e., as this return is made, that an accord upon the case can lie upou the kecper of the Gatchousp, if sir John Corbet was nyt committed tor detained by the special commandment of the king, $s 0$ long as the warrapt of tie lords of the touncil be returned as its was made, because
he doth return the same as the significavit of the lords by theid warrant. Kegister 65, the writ of Excommunicnt' Capiend' goeth, 'Rex ' ricecom' Lincoln' S. significavit nob' ienern${ }^{4}$ bilis pater Henricus Lincolniensis episcor-is ${ }^{6}$ per literas suas putentes quod 12. suusparo'chial' propter suan manifestan contumac' 'authoritate ipsius episc' ordin' excom' est, hec 'se vult per censuran ecclesiasticam justiciar' ' *̌c. tibi pracipinus quod pradict' R. per coir'pas suum secundum consuetyd' Anglia jus'tic' \&rc'.' And yet no man will say that there is an information of the hing, that $R$. is excommunicnted, but only that the bishop of Lincoln had sipuified unto him that R. was excommunicated. And is Vizz. Nat, Br. 66S, and legivter 65, it appears that the filrn of the writ of Excommunication dehlerand' is, 'Rex vice' $\mathrm{com}^{\prime}$ Loudon salut'. ('um Thom' Jay allu' tar' London' qui auper ad denuntiat. venera'bll' patris archiep' illorum pro contumaciis 'suis ratione contractus m civitate nostrii Ebo'rum labit' ut dicebat. tanquam excom' et'claves ecclendas contemnent' per corp' summ 'secandum consuctud' Anglise per te ju-tic* 'precepimus, donee dec. esset sntisfact' eid' * archiepiscup, aul satisfaciendura Deo et snncts 'ectlesie, sufficientem expusuit cautionem, "per quod cidem archiepucopus offic. archi' diac. London, mutua vicissitudia' obtentu 'scripsit ut ipsuat aboolvat ab excom' senten' ' memorata sicut idem archiepsscopus per lite'ras suns patentes nob,' siguificavit, tibi prarci${ }^{6}$ pimus quod pred' Thom. cum tihi constare 'poteru 'phum ab excon' pradict' per praedic;', 'ollicial' absolvi a prison' qua detinetur si ea 'occasione et nou alia detincut' in eadem sine 'dilatione deliberari fac'. And yet it cannot be said, that although the king recited in his writ that the archbishop had signified unto him that he had written unto the otficial of the suchideacon, that the king saki, that the archbishop had witten; for he doth not affirm so much precisely, but only referreth himself unto the certificate of the archbishop.

Plowden 122. Buckley and River's case, it is put, That if a man will bring an action of dept upon an obligution, and declare that it appears by the olligation that the defendant stood bound to the plaintiff in 20l. the which he hath not paid, this declarations is not good; insonnuch as it as not alledgrd by matter in fact, that he was hourtd unto hinf in $20 l$, but the deed is alledged $4 . y$ recital ouly, ${ }^{2121, E d .4,}$ 48.

Plowd f̂'Com. 126 \&c 143. 'Browning and Heeston's Case.
The Abbot of Waliham being appointed collector of a Disme eranted unto the king, in discharge of himself, in the Exchequer, pleadeth, 'Quo inter recordut' Ter. Pasc. anno 15. domini kegis Edward 1 inter alia continetaf ' quod R. \&.' fad granted un o the predecestors of the sard Abbus, that he nor any of his successors should lee any collectors of pay dismes to tie erunted afterwards, nud it was adjudged that fhis plea was ill.
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For the saying ${ }^{\text {I }}$ It waş contained among the Records,' it is no precise nffirmation that the king had granted to his predegessors, tha vhey should be discharged of the collecting any dismes, but it is only an allegation by way of recital, and not by precise affirmation, the plea may not be good.

2 \& 3 Mar. Dyer 117. \& 118, the plaintif's reply in bar of all pleadeth, that Jolin Abbot of W . was seized of his lands in right of his church, and so@igzed by the assent of the tenant by indentures 14 II. 4, ' testat' quod prox'dict' Abbat' et convent' demiserunt et tradi'deruat' unto the plaintiff; and ruled, that this form of pleading was ill, insomuch as it was not alledged by precise affirmation, ' quod "demiserunt, sed indentura testatur, qued de' miserunt ;' which is not sufficient, iusounch as it is only an allcgation by way of recital, that the indenture deth wituess, and the same indenjure may witness so much, and yet not be a demise.
And if in pleading there must lie direct affirmation of the matter allelged, then ad fortiori in a return, which must be more precise than in plending; and so by all the cases I have formerly tbuched, it appeareth, that, this return is no express 'uffirmation of the heeper of the Gatehouse, that sir John Corbet is detained in prison by the special commandment of the king, but only an affirmation of the lords of the council, who.had signified unto him that his detainment in prisong eas by special command of the king.

- The return, which ought to be certain, and punctual, and affirmative, and not by the way of information out of another mai's mouth, may not be good, as appeareth by the several - books of our law.

23 Ed. 3, Rex vic' 181. upon a Honnine repleglando, agaunst the $A$ bhot of $C$. the sheriff returneth that he had sent to the bailif of the abbot, that ansivered him, that he was the villein of the abbot, by which he might not make deliverance, and a S.icut alias was awarded, for his return was insufficient; insomuch that he had returned the answer of the bailiff of the abbot, where he ought to have returned whe answer of the abbot himself out of his own mouth.

Trin. 22 E. $\cdot \stackrel{\circ}{2}$, Rot. 46. parent' vill' et Burg. Evesque de Norwich, repl' 68. Nat. Br. Case 34. Fitz. Nat. Br. 65. \& 34 E. 3, Fxcym' 29. the case appeareth to be such in a Mespass; the defendant pleadeth the plaintix is excommanicate, and sheweth.forth the leter of the bishop of Lincoln, witmessing that for divers contunacies, \&c. and because he bad certified no ercompounic' done by hinself, but by another, the letter of excommunication was annulled, for the bishop ought to have certifiegh his owni and not the act of another.
fillarii 21 H. 8, Rot. 37, it ap peareth by the return of an H. Corpus, that John Parker was committed to prison for security of the peace, and for suspicion of felony, as a per mandiatom - domini regis nunciatum per Robertum Peck,
'de Clifford's-Inn ;' and upon his return, John Parker was bailed: for the return 'Commiss. - fait . per speciale mandatứn domini' regis, ' nunciatum. per Robertum Peck,' was not good, insomuch that it was not a direct return that he was committed 'per mandatum domini 'regis?
And for the frot point, I conclude, that this return is insufi ent in form, insomuch, that itdoth not make a precise anfl direct retyurn, tyat he was committed and detained by the special command of the king, but ouly as it was signjfied by the warrant of the lords of the councll, which will not serse the turn. And yon the bnok of $9 \mathrm{H} * 6,44$, the return of the cause of a man's imprisonment ought to be precise and direct upon the H. Cufpus, insomuch as thereby to be able to jadge of the cause, whether it be sufficient or not: for there may not any doubt be taken to the return, be it true or false, but the court is to accept the saine as true; and if it be false, the party must tuke his remedy by action upon the case.

And as concerning the matter of the return, it will rest upon these parts: 1 . Whether the return, that he is detained in prison by special commandment of our lord the king, be good or not, without shewing the nature of the commaudment, or the cause whereupon the comnitssent is grounded in the return? 2. Whether the time of the first commitment by the, commandment of the kàg, not appearing to ${ }^{\circ}$ the court, is sufficiept to detain him in prison ? 3. Whether the imprisonment of the suljects without caase shewed, but only ly the commandment of the king, be warrantable by the 'avs and statutes of this realm?
As to the first part, If find by the books of ' our law, that commandments of the king are of seferal natures, by some of which the imprisonmeat of a man's body is utterly uolawful : and by others of them, although the imprisonment may be jawful, yet the continuance of bim without bail or mainprise, will be utterly unlawfal. -There is a verbal command of the king, which is by word of mouth of the king's only; and such commandment by the king, by the books of our law, will not be sutficient either to imptison a man, or to contume him in prison, 16. 6. 'Monstrans de faict si,' upen an action of trespass broukht for cutting of trees, the defendant plendeth that the place where he cpt them is parcel of the manor of D . whereof the king is seized in fee, and the king commanded him to conthe trees: asd the opinion of the court there is, that the plea in bar was ill, because he did not stiew hny Qecial commandraent of the hing; and there ittis agreed. by the whole court, that if the king commandeth one to arrest another, and the party commanded did arrest the other, an action of trespass or false inprisonment is maintainable aguinst the party that arrested him, although it were done in the presence of the ling, 59 H. 6, 17. where one justifieth the seizuire of the gogds of a perion that is outlawed by the commandmeat, of the king, such ayerty being no oficer, may'
not in an action brought against him love any aid of the king; for such a commandment given to one that is not an oflicer, will tot any watys avail hun, that is to justify tumself by the retuin of that commandment.

37 H. 6, 10. If the king give me a thing, and I take the same by his commandment lsy wod of mouth, it is not justufied by law; nothing may pass without matter of r rd.
$10 \mathrm{H} .7,7$. and 17,18 , it is mgreed, that, justites may cominand one to arrest another that is in the ir view or, presence, but not vote that is Sht of their view or presence. (1 Croke. Hulliduy V. Uxenbridge.)

And 'रeble 10 II. 7, 13, said, that where one is arrevted by a parol command in their view or pfesence, it is fitting that a recurd may be made of it, insomuch, that without such a record there can hardly be a justification in another term.
?. There is a commarsiment of the king by his commission, wheh, according to Calvin's case in Uuhe's 7th Report, it is called by him, ' breve naandatum nou remediabile;' and by virtue of such a commandment, the king may neither seize the goods of his subject, nor im-prison-his body, as it is resolved in 42 Ass." pl, 5 , where it is agreed by all the justices, that a cotumission to take a man's goods, or imprison bis body, without indictment or suit of the party, or other due process, is against the lawi,

- 3. There is a commapimant of the kinge which is grounded upon a suggestion made to the hisg or to his council; and if a man be commitied to prison by such a suggestion, by commandment of the king, it is unlawful, and not warranted by the law of the realin.
* The 25 of E. 3, cap. 4, De Provisionibus, where it is contained in the Great Charter of the franchises of Eogland, that none shall the mprisoned or arrested of his freehoid or of, his trauchises, nor of his free customs, but by the law of the land.-It is awarded, consented and rstablished, that from henceforth none sball be tuken by petition or suggestion made to onr sovareigu lord the king, or to his council, until it be byindictment or presentment of his good and lawful neighbours, where such deeds are done in due manner, or by process made by writ original at the common Inw; qor that none shall be arrested of his franchicies, nor of his freelold, undess he be duly brought iti, and answer, and forejudged of the same ly way of law : and if any thing be done against the same, it shall bt redressed and bolden for nonght.

37 E. 3, c. 10 , althgugh it be contained in alhe Great CYrap.evptrat no man be taken or ina.jrisoned oh put out of his freehold, without due process of the law; nevertheless, divers persons make fulse suggestions to the king himself, as well for malice as otherwise, 'whereby the king is often grieved, and divers of the realm put in great dainages, contrary to the forff of thessame statute.

Wherefore it is ordwined, that all they that make suth suggestions, be sent with their suggeations to the Chancellag or Treasurer, and
they and crery of them find suretief to pursue their sugg stions, and eudure the same pain as the other ghould have had in case that his suggestion be found untrue: and that then proce $\$$ of the law be mude aquinst them, withopt bethg taken or mprismed. aguiust the form of the same charter, and other statutes.-So that it appeirs by these several statutes, that such commanducuts of the king ns"are grounded upon suggestion, either made to hamself or to his compril, for the imprisonifeet of a man, are against the law.

Fourtbly, I find that there is a commandment of the king which is mude under his hand, with his siguet; for in 4 and 5 of Plilip and Mary, Dyer 162, where the statute of 1 Ric. 2, c. 11, restraineth the Warilen of the Fleet for lettigg moy man at large that is in upon judgosent at the suit of any man, except it be by writ or other comataiaincit ot the king; it was doubted, whether the queea by fetter under her lignd and privy-signet doth give coninandment to the Warden of the Flect to suffer a man that is there in cxecution to go about his business, or the affairs of the qqeen; whether this be a warrantable command or not within the statute: and the law liath alwiys been conceived ujon that book, that such a commandment is not warrantable by law. And if'such a command will not serve the timn, to give unto a man his liberty, which the law favoureth, and had the countenance of an act ot atarliament for the doing of it; then Jocurceive it should be a more strougg case, the fhing should not have powe by his commandment to imprison a mun without due. process of the law, nad restrain lim of his liberty, when there lad been so many ucts of purliament made for the liherty of the suljects.

Fifthly, I io find that there is the command-' munt of the king, whech is by his writ under the great seal, or the seal of the court out of which it insueth, Regist. f: 69, and 50. In the writ ' De cautime admittenda,' I find the words, 'mandatum regis' expounded to be 'breve ${ }^{4}$ regis,' for the writ.goeth : : Rex yic' salutem. 'Cum nuper ad requisitionem S. de Isloncano' nici Lincolne venerabilis patris II. Lincoln. 6 Episcopi ipso in remotis ageute vicari gene' ral. per literas suas patentes nobis significan${ }^{6}$ tes Nicho. 13. dict. Jincoln. dioc. propter 6 mauifestam contumaciam anthoritate ipeius 6 episcopi ordinar. excommunicat, esse nec si - velle, \&cc. vobis praìeperimus quod præfat. ' \&c. Snjisfictum ex purte ipsius N. qui virtute ' mantlati postri predict. per yes capt, et in ${ }_{i}$ prfson. fostra de Ne ygate detent. existit, \&xc. nos nolentes quod priefat. N. per breve nus' trum prazdict. via priscladntur, Scc. prosequi ${ }^{6}$ possit in fogna juris maxim. \&c. isteger esse ' debeat, vobis pracipiuns quod scire, \&c. quod * sit, \&ce quare pradict. N. a prisona predicto - delihejapant debeat. Rex justiciar suis de - Banco salus. Cum nos nuper ad significa-
' tionén \&. de Isle, \&c. usque ibi excommuni-
' cat. extitisse, nec se velle, \&sc. esset satisfac; tum ex parte ipsius N. virtute piandati nostri ( pragd. cpp, et in prisona nostra de Newgate
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'tunc deteati, \&c.' et nulentes eo pratextu ${ }^{4}$ prefato N. per breve nostrům prad. vist pres' cludat. quo minus appellat. sure negotium, Kc . -processerat. et appellant, atatut. \&cc. per brove -ingeryan praceperimus prafat. vic. quod scile - fucerent, Asc. vobis signific. at consult. et cir' cumspect. in placitis per breve preshict coram
' vobis pendentibus procedere valeatis sccun'dum legem et consuetudinem regni nostri.'
Staf. 72, 5 E. 3, C. 8. 1 F. 3, e. 9, saith, that every Capius in in personal action is a commandment of the king, for it is ' Pracipimus ' tibi quod capias,' \&c and yet the defendant, as there it is said, is replevisable by the coumon law. 7 R. 20, a. Calvin's Case, saith, That there are two kind of writs, viz. ' brevia mauda' goris et remedialia, et lirevia mandatoria et ' nou remedialia.' Brevia mandatoria et remedialia, are writs of right, forned on, kce. debts, trespasses, and shortly all writs real and personal, $\chi$ hereby the party wronged is to recover somewhat, and to be remedied for that wrong which is done unto him.

Sixthly, I do find by our books of law ${ }_{4}$ and by the Register, that this special 'mundatum - domini regis,' is expounded to be this writ, and that the law gaketh no notice of any otper ' spe' ciale mandtum,' than by this writ. The which being so, when the return is made, that he is imprisoned and detained in prison by the special commandatent of the king, how can the couit adjudge upon this regturn, that sir John Corbet ought to be kept in prisom, and not to be bailed; when the nature of the special com--madment is not set forth in the return, whereby it inay appear unto the court that he is not builable? In Bracton, c. 12, 112, you shall see a writ reciting, ' Itracipinus tibi quod " non implacites nec implacitari permittas ta${ }^{4}$ lem de libero teucmento suo tali villa, sint ' speciali pracepto nostro ve? capitalis justiciar' ' nostri.'

And the reason of it there is given, 'Quia - nemo de libero tenemento siue brevi sire l -- bello conventionali nisi gratis voluerit respon-- dehis' So as the exception of special commandment by the very book, appeareth to be ' breve sive libcllus eonventionalis.' Regist. 271, the Writ of Manucaption goeth in this manner: 'Rey vic. salut cun nuper ussigna' verimus dilectos et fideles nostros A. B. et C. ' D. ad inquisitiones de forstallariis, et trans-- gressionibus contra formam statuti Judum - apud Wifton, editi in com. tuo facie 1 . et ad - illos quos inda culpabiles jnvenirere capiend. ' et in prisona nostra salvo custod. fagiend /doy

- nec aliud inde pracipissemus quyd C. p. et
- E. pro hujusmodi forstallamentis et tramsgresssionibus snde coram prafint. A.B. et C. D.
indict. fuerint, capt. et in prisona de L. detent.
; exist. à qua deliberari non possunt, 保 man-
? dato nostro speciali, nos volentio-pdem C.
- Di.et $E$. gratians in hac parte fac/re speginlem,
- tibi prectpimus quad si pradick, C. Dl et E.
' occnsione predict. et non alia in prisona pree-
- diet detineaptur, et pro transgressionibgs illiy
' secundum legem et consuetudiuem rega $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ nos-
' tri Anglia replegiabiles existunt, \&̌c. tunc ins${ }^{\text {t }}$ pos' C. J. et E. a prisons predict. si ea pecaisione. et nón alia detineantur' in eadem, inte' rim deliberari facias per manucapt. supradict. - et habeas tibi tunc curan prafat, jnsticiar. ' nomina menucapt. illorum et hoc breve.'

And the exposition of this 'speciale manda' tum dumini regis,' mentioned in the Writ, is expounded to io 'breve domini regis,' and thereupon is this writ directed untor tiee sherjff for the delivery of them,-And so much for the first brauch of the first part $\$ 1$ conclude, thot the specinl command of the king, without shewing the nature of this commandment of hie king, is too generaly und thercfore insufficient; for he ought to have retynied the natupe of the commandurent of the ing, wherely the court might have adjudged upon it, whether it were such a commandment that the imprisonment of sir John Corbet be lawful or not; and whether it were such a commanidment of the king, that although the imprisonment were lan ful ut first, yet he might be batled by law.

And as for the general return of 'speciale ' maudatum domini regis,' without shewing the cause of the innprisonment either special or generil, I buld, that for that cuuse also the return is insufficient.

First, in regard of the IIabeas Corpus, which is the commangiment of the king only, made the 15 th of November.
"According to the tegte of the Writ, commanding the keeper of the Gate-house to linve the body of sir Joln! Corbet, ' unu cum causa de-- tentiolis, et ad subjiciendum et recipiendum ${ }^{4}$ ea que curia nostra de eo ad tunc ibid. ordi' nar. contingat';' so as the commandment of the writ being to shew the cause of his detaining in prison, the keeper of the Gate-house doth not give a full answer anto the writ, unless the cause of the detainment in prison be returned; and the court doth not know how to give their jurgment upon him, either fort his imprisonmeat, or fordis discharge, according to the purport of the writ, when there is not a cause returned. And forasmuch as upon an Excommengement certified, it hath been adjudged oftentimes that certificates were insufficient, where the cause of the commitment hath not been certified; that the court might adjudge whether the ecclesiastical judges, who pronounced the excommonication, had power over tye original cause, ncearding to the book of 14 en. 4, 14, 8. Rep. 68. Trollap's case, and 20 dw. 3, Excoummegement 9.
So upon an Habeas Corpus if this"cpurt, where a man hath been corminifed by the, Chancellor of England, by the Counch of Eng-., land, Marches of Wales, Wardett of the Stannaries, High-Cumınission, Admiralty, Dutchy, Court of Hequest, Commission of Sewers or Bankrupts; it hath several times been adjudged that the retura was insulficient, where the particular cause of iunprisonment hath not been shewn, to the intent that it mighty appear, that tliose that committed him had Juriediction, over the cause, othewise he ought to be dio:
charged by the law : and I spare to recite parsicular causes in every kind of these, because thete areso many precedeurs of tiem in several ages of every king of this realmo and it is an intiallible maxim of the liww, That ns the court of the fing' -Bench, and Jurges, eught not to dons an Hiseas Corpus unto any prisoner that shall demand the sane, by whomsoever be be comuitted; so ought the cause of his imprison цeent top bs shenni upon the return, so that the contrmay aljurige of the eau-e, whether the cause of the imprisonment be lawful or not. And because I will not trouble the court with so mapy preceden:s, but such as shall suit with the caure insquestion, I will otly produce and vouch such precedente, where the party was cominitted eitlier by the commandment of the king, or otherwise by the commandment of the priny-council, which Stamford. fol. 79, termeth the mouth of the bing; such acts ns are done by the privy-council, being as acts done by the king himself.;-And in ull these causes you shall find that there is a cause returned as well as ' a speciale mandatum domini regis, "kc.' or 6 mandatum privaticoncilidomini regis,' whereby thie court may adjudge of the cause, and bail them if they shall see cause.
In the Bih Hen. 7, upon return of an Habeas Corpus awarded for the body of one Roger Sherry, it appeoreth that he was commited by the minyor of Windspr for suspicion of felony, and ' ad scetam ipres re gia pro quiluasdam felo${ }^{4}$ niis et trausgressiomben ac per inandatnm 'domini regis;' 21 H .7 , upon the return of an Hlabens Corpus sent for the body of Nugh Pain, it appeared that be was conmitted to prison, ' per mandatum duminorum privati ' consili domini regis pro suspicione feloniz.'

1 Hen. 8, Hot. 9, upon the return of an ILabeas Corpus sent for the body of one Tho. Harrison aud others, it appears that they were committed to the earl of Shrewsbury, being unarshal of thohoushold, 'per mandatum do' mini regis, et pro suspicione fefonise, et pro 'homicidio facto super mare.'-3 et 4 Philip. et Marias, upoṇ a return of an Habeas Corpus, sent for the body of one Peter Man, it appeareth that he was committed 'pro suspicione © felonim, ac per mandatum domini regis et ' regina.'-4 et 5 Philip et Marix, upon the return of an Habeas Corpus sent for the body of one Thomas Newport, it appeared that he was committed to the Tower, 'pro suspicioke. ' contrafuct' rogoetz per privatums conciliuh, ' domiti regis et regina.' returic of an H -beag C - puis for the body of one - Luurence Fotn, 1tappeared that he was com, mitted, "(per mandatum prisuti concilii domine ${ }^{\text {' regina pro diversis causis ipsam reginam tan- }}$ 'gent' ac etlam pro suspicionr proditionis.'

So as by all these precedents it appeareth where the return is either, ' per ipandatum do' mini regia,' or 'per mandatum domifofum 'privati concilii domini regis,' there is ulso a cause oger and besides the mandatum retprned. As to that which may be objected, that 'per mandatum domini mys,' or 'privati con-
' cillii domini regis,' is re'good retarn of his imprisonment, I answer/

1. Thaf there is a cause: for it is not te be presumed that the king or council would cinnmit one to prison without some offience: / mud therefore this mandutum being occasiońed by the offence or finult, must be the cause, and not the command of the king or council, which is occusioned by the cause.
2. It appears that the purisdiction of the privy-council is a limited jurssdiction, for they have no power in all causel, their power being restrained in ceriain causes by several acts of parlianent, as it appeareth by the Stat. of 20 E. 3, c. 11.25 E. 3, c. 1. Stat. 4. (vide 4 Instit. p. 3s.) the private petition in parliament permitted in the 1R. 2, where the communs patition that the privy-council might not make any ordinance ngaiust the cotmmon law, customs or statutes of the realm; the 4. H. 4. cap. 3. 13 H. 4, 7. 31 II. 6. And their jurisdictign being a limited jurisdiction, the cause and grounds of their commitment ought to appear, whereby It may appear if the lords of the council did cominit, hinn for such a cause as was within their jurisdiction: for if they did command me to be committed to prison fof a dause whereof they had not jurisdiction, the dourt ought to discharge me of this imprisonne't. And howsoever the king is ' Vicarius Dei in terra,' yet Bracton, cap. 8 , fol. 107, suith. 'quod nilii alind potest rex in terris cum sit minister Dei et vicaripspluam solum quod de jure potent, nec obsfat, quod dicitur quod principi placet, legis habet vigorem, ruia sequitur in finh legis cum lege regia qua de ejos imperio lata est; id est, non quiequid de voluntate regis temere presumptum est, ced animo condendi jura, sed quad consilio magistratuum suorum rege author, prestant, et habita super boc deliberatione et tract, rect. fuer. definit. potestat. itaque sua juris est, et $\mathrm{n} \delta \mathrm{n}$ injurim.' The which being so, then slso it ought to appear upon what cause the king committeth one to prison; whereby the judges which are indifferent between the king and his suljects, may judge whether his commitunent be against the daess and statutes of this'realm, or not.
3. It is to be observed, that the king's command by his writ of Habeas Corpus, is since the oommundment of the king for his commitment; and this being the latter cammandment, ought to be obeyed: wherefore that commanding a riturn of the body 'cam cauna detentionis,' thbe must be a return of some other raute than ' per mandetum domini regis,' the sanié commendment being before the return of the writ.
Pasch. 9 E. S, pl. 30, fol. 56, opon a wri. of Cessavit brought in the county of Northum'berland. (the Defendants plead, that by reasor of the charaty being destroyed by wars with the Scots, /king 1. 2 gave command ibat no writ of Celsavit skould be brought during the war: with Scotland, and that the ling had sent his writ to surcense the plen, and he averreth that the wars with Scotland did continue.

Hearle that givetb be rule saith, That we have command tiy the king that now is, to hold this plea, wherefore we will not surcease fur any writ of the king that is dead. And so upon all fhese rensous and precedents formerly alledgedyi conclude, that the return that sir Joha Corbet was committed and detained in prisou, ' per speciale mandatum domini regis,' n ithout shewing the nature of the commandment, by whieh the court may judge whether the commandment le of qu中 a wature as he ought to be detained in prison, find that without shewing the cause upon which the commandment of the king is grounded, is not good. As to the second part, which is, Whether the time of the commitment by the return of the writ, not appearing unto the court, the court ought to detan him in prison, or no ? I conceive that he ought not to be continued in prison, admitting that the first commitment by thic command of the hing were lawful; yet when he hath continued in prison by such teasonalile time, a6 may be thought fit for that offence for which he is committed, he ought to be brought to answer, and not to continue still in prison without bcing brougit to answer.-For it appears by the books of our Jans, that libprtyois a thing so favoured by the law, that the aw will not suffer the \&ontihuance of a man in prison for any longer time than of necessly $x$ must; and therefore the law will Deithen suffer the party, sherifls or judjes to continua a inan in prison by their pouer and their plesure, but doth-speed the delivery of a man out f prison, with ys reasou$j^{2}$ ble expedition as may

And upon this reason t is resolved, in $1 \&$ 2 El. Dyer 175. \&. 8 I.d. 4, 13, That howsoever the law alloweth that here may be a term between the teste of an onginal writ and the return of the same, where there is only a stimmons, and no imprisonacitu of the lody; yet
, it will not allow that here shail io 3 terni letween thic terte of a witt of Capias, and the return of the same, where the body of a man is to be imprisened : insonnuch that it will give no wres, that the party stall hase any power to continue the budy of a man imprisoned any longer time than ncedsomust, 39 F. 3, 7. 10 H . 7, 11.6 E. 4, 69. 11 E. 4, 9.48 E. 3, 1. 17 E. 3, 1. 太 2 Hen. 7.

Keilawиy's Reports do all agree, that if a Capias shall he awarded against a man for the apprehemung'ot his borly, and the sherift will return the e'apias that is awarded agnipt the party, a ' won .gst inventus' or that languidus est in prisona, yet glielaw will : llow jhe party spninst whom it is awarded, fyy feny id. Ing it his corporal penance and duress of i Mprisgument, to, pppear gratis, and for go pnswer.For the law will not allow the shecrif by his false return to keep one in prison lonser than uleds must, 38 Ass. pl. ${ }^{2} 2$. Brodte PDorisonment 100. saith, That it was deterpined yo parliament that a man is not to be detainpd in prison, after, he hath made tender of his fine for his imprisoungent; therefore I desire your. lordohip that sir John Corbet may, nc\} be
longer kgpt in durance, but be discharged according to the law.
'L. C. Justice. Mr. Attorney, ygu have beard many learued Atguments; if you be provided to answer presently, we will hear you; but if you will have a longer day, for that yon are not provided to argue, you may, we will give it you.

Doderidge. If you will, you may see the precedents; it may le you have not seen some of thenn, and we inust see them too.

Att. Gen. (Heath.) May it please your lordz ship, the gentlemen that be of counsel with the knichits at thg bar, they have said, muck, and spoken very long for their clients, and to good purpose and pertinenty. It is a cluseqhat carrieth with it a great deal of weight, both towards the king and his subjects also, and I am not so hasty to put nyself upon the main point of this rause, when it is almost tune for your lordship to rise.
My lord, the gentemen have ses èrally spoken, and given and insisted upon sercral reasons, and they have cited many precedints. I could s:y something of thein at this prescont, and that some of them have been mistaken ; and thercfore I beeeech your lordship, that I may have time to answer, that I may not nrong the cause of the king's part, or slight the cause on the subject's part.

But that which I desirelto say now is, that these gentlemen havg, all of them gone in one form, to divide the cause into two parts : The first, the Form of the return. The second, the Matter of the retura. For the form, methinks we may put an end to that now, if your lordship please, that we may have no return to that another day, but 1 may apply myself unto the matter of the return.
$T_{Q}$ the Form of the return they have taken disers exceptions, but they especially insisted upon two main heads: First, That the Return is not good, breau-e it is not an absolute return. I contess the gruund is well laid, and the major is go.d, that if this return be not positively the return ni' the Wardein of the Fleet hinself, but the relatu,n of another, it is no good return, therefore, I need spend no time in that, the ground beyng well laid. But under your Iordship's favour, the minor proposition I deny, we differ only"in that; for I say that this reyom is certain. and that tt is not the words of a $y$ man else, but the express words of the yarden linselc, woul that this is ded ex abunlanti to give satisfacting to the purt, that he fad order to make the retani? iciefore $I$ desire your lordshif to cast your cyes apon the'. substance of the return, and di-tanguish it into parts. The words are, ' Deteutus est in pri' sona sub custodia'mea per speciale mandatum ' domuni regis, milhi significatum per Waran'tuwe odoorund privatí coucilii dicti domini. ' regis, \&ce.' If he had quarned the-e words, and said, 'Detent' cst prout mihi significat' per 'Warrantuin duorura, privati concilii per spe-. ' ciale mandatum dgmini regis,' then it migbt ,
he taken to be the words of the lords of the council: but the first words being positive,

- Detentus est per speciule mandatum domim 'regis,' chat is suflicient, and the rest is surplusage, nud he doth not say, ' prote mihi supni'ficat', but ' milhi significat' only;' which is absointe, and the resolution thereof ${ }_{6}$ resteth more in your lorddlip's expounding $0^{*}$. the words, than in putting any case upon them.

The second exception is tahen to the Form of the return, for that there is not the cause of the imprisonment returned, thit of the detain$\dot{\text { ing a a }}$ ane. My lord, I say no more at present to that, but his: no man is hound to answer more tlian that which is the contents of the writ. But the writ it may be to binow specially the eause of the detaingig, or what the cause of the caption is only, and if the ofticer make answer to that which is required of hinn in the writ, it is sufficient. It may be, there be precedents both ways, I amy sure there are for detentions, und there is no cause why the ufficer shall shew thc time of his commitiment : but if the prisoner shall desire ut, your lordhip may grant him a writ, to shew the cause buth of his captym and detention also.

Thirdly, They say that this return is unsertain, and that it is the Warrant of the lords of the council, and not of the king by which he. is comnitied. Yor that, my lords, I say, that if it had all been lefit onit, ant be that'only - said, 'Detentus fuif per spuciale mandaum 'domini Ienis,' 'it had beet sufficient: but when lie doth unore, it is supermous, and not neriosary, for it appesed befire by whom die $n$ committed tind when be returns the warraut of the lords of the conncil, it is nut their wonds that coamit hius : but they being the repre entative body of the king, they do express what the king's commend is, hat hey siguly unthing of their own; and therefnre I desire yonr lorilship to deliver your opinion in that point u? the return, whether it le positise or no.

This cause, as it greatly conceras the Subjects, so it muela conccrns the king tom. I am sorry there shauld be any occasion to brueg these thugs in qucstinu; thot since it is now here, I hope I suall gire satisfaction to your lordship, and to the parties ton, and I desire that I may iare t.ll Monday tor it.
L. C. Justice. I thing it is nut hest fir us to declare our opinions 1 piece-meals, hut upon all the case together, and as you are a stranger to the retarn, $s$, are we; and there many precudeny-and acts of marlitancat nd printed, whicl
Doderids? NTuis Thic areategt cunse that ever I kn's in this court ; vur judement, that "we give he:ween party and"party between the king and the meanest suby'ct, ought to be maturely advised for $\infty$ ore the entrice of our judyments, ' Quod matura deliberatione ' habita,' lt was judged, \&ec. And we must see the precedents and acto of prslianemt tfiai we hear mentioned.

Justicc Joues. Mr. Attorney, if it be so that the law of Magna Charta and other sta-
tutes be now in force, fad the gefitiemen be not delivered by this chart, how shall they be delivered? Apply yourself to shew us any other wuy to dehter them.
Doderidge. Yea, or else they shall havg ${ }^{\prime}$ perpetual imprisonment.
Per Curlum." Monday was appointed for the Attorney's argument, and in the interim the Counsel for the gentlemen avere by order appointed to attend the Judges with all the precedents and unprinted sjatutes which they mentioned, and that they srould let the Attorney see shem also.-Aud the gentemen being asked if they desired to come again, answered they did, and a Rule was entered for it.

## Monday, 26 November, 1627. Tertio Michaelis, 38 Caroli Regis, in Banco Hegis. -

Sir Joln Corbet, sir Walter Earl, sir John Hereningham, or Edmund IIampden, knights, were brought to the har.

Altorney-General (Heath). May iy please your lordslup, thiese gentlemen, sir John Corbet, sir Waiter Earl, sir John Heveningham, and sir Edmund Hampden, upon their mothon to this Court to have their Habeas Corpua, and that themselics, and the causy of their dctaining thean in their several prisens, might be brought befure your lordship, haie it grantell to them-My lord, at the tirst moftion of it, the knowledse thereof coning, and thit they had such a ilesire, his maje sty wis' very willing to grant unto thon, *as to al ${ }^{\text {wh }}$ his subjects, this comanon pre of justice: 'rad thongh it be a rave whia concerns higself in a lugh degree, yet lie liath been so sfacious and so just, as not to refuse to leave he examination and dotermination thercof t ${ }^{\prime}$ the laws of this kingdom. - Ny loid, it is very true that this is n very. grent cause, and hath raised a great expectatim, and for the manuer of it, bore than was necrsang; but, iny lord, $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{c}}$ anm afiaid these gentemen whon it concerns, hare rather advised their connsel, than their counscl them: hut I shall take the case ns now 1 find u, and as the gentlemen's counsel, on the other side, hare led me the way to it.-Tire exceptions that hase been tiken by the couusel on the thether side, to the return made hy the warden of the Fleet, and the rest of the guardiaus of sea eral prisons, have been twoci, Yor renewing of your Inriship's memory, we will read one of the Returns, thry are all alike.

Thehthe Return was read for sir John Heveninghath by Mr. Keeling.
A. Lorney-General. Nay it please your lordship, 4 gain. P this return the cnunsel of the gentocmeth have taken some exceptions and have divided cheir'objections into :wvo main pónts, the one the Forn, the other the Matter. Ti the Fofla, they hase objected four severik things; \% What the return is not positive, but referr d to the signification made by another, as the loid of the council. 2. That the keepers of the prisons have not returied the causef of the commitment, but the canse of the
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cauke, whifch is not-god. 3. That the return is'imperfect, for that ityewt only the cause of th detrining in prison, and not the cause of first commetment.-And lastly, That the urn is contradictory in itself, for that in the first perrt thereuf there is a cergification that the detaining of these gentlemen in prison, is 'per ' speciale mandatutn domini regis;' and when the Warrante of the lords of the council is shewed, it appears that the comnutment is by the command of the king, signified by the lords of the councit : ald by your lardshipy fivour, 1 will give a several answer to every of these several objections. And for the first, that the return is not positive and affirmative, but depeads upon and hath relation to some other, and therefore itvis not good; I do agrce that the ground is true, that if the retarn be not positive, it is nut good : we differ only in the minor, that the return is not positive and affirmative; for I ngree that these Book-cases, that hye been put, are good lav: as 27 Ass. pl. 65, that if the sheriff return that he had sent to the bailiff of the huadred, and le gives him that answer, that is no good return-; for the sheriff ought to make the return ha of his own act, w whont naming of the bailiff of the hundred in is return: for if he returt, 'Quod "mandavi 1 llivo itineranti qui habet retorn' ' omnium bre fium et exccutionem corund' per ' cartars dompi regis qui mihi dedit nallum ${ }^{\text {' }}$ rosponsum; ' is is not good, if he were not bailiff of a franchese or signiory, for so is 21 H. 7, fol. 4.

There hath heen ched to maintaid these objections, 20 F.d. 3. T T recond I have perused, and there 1 find that th Bislop said, that it is found in archivis, in the record, \&ec. that he was excommonicated; But it was forud to be in archaisis, dee. and that is no positive return that it is so. I will oppngen what hath been suid by the Counsel on the other side; it must be granted that if the return here be not positive, it is imperfect, and in 5 H. 7, 23, it is said, that an imperfect returu is no return at all, it is all ons; but if the return was so, that was not tuach material, for then it were but temporary, and it might be amended: but, my lord, they hare mistaken the minor pruposition; fur they have taken it as granted that there is an imperfiet retyern fiom the lords of the council. My lord, I shall intreat you to east your cyes upon she return, and you shall find the first words positive and affirmative: the words are, 'Quod detentus est sub custadj mea per 4 speciale mintdatum doryini regis the other words, 'mibi significatum,' follow aiter) buz nire not part of the atirmation und/e beg/re it. But if they will have it as they seem to anderFirind it, then yhey must turn the wo fis thus: "Quod tertifictum,' or 'significatol est mihi - ${ }^{6}$ per dqminus privati concila quod de fantus est "per speciale mandavam domit firkis' and then indeed it had not been th ir owneproper return, but the signification of anothsr, the lords of the council: the turaing of the sentence will resolve this pount; the thin itself
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must speak for itself." I conceive by your lordship's havour, that it is plajn and clear, here is A positive return, that the detaining is by the commundment of the king: and the rest of the return is ratfier for sarisfaction to myself and the Court, than otherwise any part of the return -

T/ e second Ohjection hath dependance upon this, as that he hath returned the cause of the cause, and not the cause itself, wherein, under your lordship's favour, they nre utterly misteken; for the return is affirmative, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{Fg}$ a Jo"hannes Liloe testifico, \&k?" I know that annong the logicians there are two causes, there is causa cuusqns, and cansa causatu: the causa causans here in this case is not the forrant from the lords of the counci/ for that is crased cousata; but the primary and original cause, which is causa causons, is 'speciale mandatum domi'ni regis ;' the other is but the council's signification or testuficatiun or warrant for hịin that made the return.

To the third Objection, that "the return is imperfect, because it shews only the cause of the d-taining in pison, and not the cause of the first columitinent: My lorde; for that I slaall not insist much upos it, for that I did say the last day, which I must say again, it is sufficient for an offiecr of the law to unswer, that peant of the Writ which is is command.-Will your lordship please to hęar the Writ read, and then to see, whether the yardens of the prisons. have not made answg to,so much as was in command?

## Then the Writ was read by Mr. Keeling.

Attorney-General. . My lord, the Writ itself clears the objection; for it is to have the party meutioned in it, and the tause of hisdetention, roturned into this court ; and therefore the answer to that is sufficient. Only, my lord, the waiden of the Flect, and the rest of the keepers of the prisons, had dealt prudently in their proccedings if they had only ssid, that they were detamed 'per speciale naandatum domini 'regrs,' and it had been good, and they might lave onitted the rest: but bycuure, if they should make a false return, they were liable to the actions of the party, they did discreetly to bave the certificationtof the lords of the council, in suspicion that if thiv return was not : rue, they were liable to thefactions of these gentle-men.-In 9 H. $6,40,44$, it is said, That whatpever the cause be that is returned, it must oe accepped by the court h they must not doubt of The truthag the Retunoy and the of ficer that shall returati, is liable to an action if the return it false; and therdfolf the guar-o dians of the prisens did wisely, bechuse they.. knew this was a case of great ekpectarion, to shew from whom thby had their warrant, and so to see whether thie cause be true or nof.

The last Qpjection to the Return is, that it is owatradictory in itself; nas that the first puts of it is, that they are detained in prison 'pos "speciale mandatum domin regis;' byt is'ent relation of it, it allews that they are detaifed,
by the command of the lords of the cyuncil; for the words of their Warrant are, to'.equire you still to detain him, \&ce.-But, my lord, if they willthe pleaised to see the whole Warrant togetier, they shall find that the lords of the council speak not their own words or combnand in that warrant, but they say that gou ale to take notice of it, as the woids und comp, and of the king; for, my lord, the lords of the ceuncil are the servants, to the king, they signify his majesty's pleasure to your lordship, and they say it is his majesty's pleasure you should Lnow that the first commitinent, and this present detaining lim in prison, are by his majesty's special commandment.-And this, my lord, is af that I will say for the sufficiency of the Form of the return, $\hat{0}$ o prove that it is buticient,

Touching the matter of the return, the main point thereof, it is bur a single question, and I hope, my lord, of no great difficulty; nad that is, whether they be replevisable, or not replevisable? It pppears that the commitment is not in a legal and ordinary way, but that it is ' per speciale mandatum domini regis;' which implies, not only the fact done, but so extraordifarily done, that it is noturious to be, his majesty's immediate act and will it should be so; whether in this case they should be bailable out nut in this court, which I acknowiedge to be the highest court of judicature for such a case as is in question.

The counsel on the opher side desire, that they may be bailed, and bive concluded that they may not be remanded; their gronnts of argument, though they were many that did speak, I have in my Collection divided into five points:

The first was, Reasons that they must be so, nrising fiom the inconveniences that would foll to the sabjects, if it should not be so in the main points of their liberty. The second was. they shewed divers authorities out of their law-hooks, which they endeavoured to apply. The third was, The Petition of thie Commons answered by several kings in parliament. The fourth was, Acts of Parlianeut in print. The last was, Precedents of divers times, which they alledged to prove, that men committed by the king's commandnient, and by the commandureat of the lords of the privy-council, (which I conceive to be all one, for the body of the privy-council represtent- the king himself) that upon such comigitroent in such cause; men had been baijed.

In the course of my argnpares 7 will follow their nuthod Hirst, to fower their Reasons, cand then tyisf pours which they have cited 1.fich 1 effireive to be pertinen to this ques"tion, and then the Petition and Answer made in parliament, and then their Acts of Parliament, next their Precedents ; and lastly, I will give your lordship anme reasons jof my own, which I hope shall sufficiently satisfy yourdoidship and nil others, but the parties thernselves, for 1 except them,
My lofd, the great end mighty Reason that
they insisted upon, was the inconvenlences hat might come to theasuljects in their Ifberties, if this return should be good; and this reassyn they inferred out of records and books of We conmon law, which gives the liberty of fola subjects; I do acknowledge that the \$peerty of the Sobject is 'just, and that it is the inheritance of the subject, bat yet it is their iuheritance secundum legein terre.

My lords, they put many Cases likewise to euforce it, 1 und 2 Eliz. Dyei, 50.175 , that the continuance of a Capias shab be from term to terin, without a term betwint, because otherwise the party defendant may be kept too long in prison; and 38 Ass, pl. 22, Broke tit. Imprisonement 100 , that iumprisonment is but to detain the party till he have made fine to the king, and therefore the king cannot justly detain him in prison after the fine tendered; and 16 H .6 , monstrans de faict 182 , if the king command me to arrest a man, and thereupon I do arrest him, he may have an action si filse imprisonment, or of trespass against ine, though 'it be done in the king's presence : and 1 II. 7. 4, the discourse of Russcy, where lie saith, that sir Jolin Markham delivered unto king Edward the 4th, that he should not arrest $v$ ion treason or fplonyany of his subjects, becasse he could not wrong his subjects ly such ar iest, for they could not have remedy against hifi.

These, my lord, are the Caus, that they insisted upan for this purposc. So the iwo first, I shall give but one-answer; Which is, That the restraint iurtiase two casrs, and most of the other casel, before cited/sappears to be in the ordiuary course of judfifature fit for Westrin-ster-hall, and not for jue king's council-table. A writ of Capias wa the first original of it, and therefure not to te apphed to the cause of ours.

And for the other two cases, the law presumeth that the active part of, them is not so proper for the mnjesty of a king, whoever doth these things by his suburdinate officers; but that the subject shonuld not be committed by the king, was never heard of, for the king may commit any man at his plensure; bot that is net our case: but whether when the king hath conmitted one, he must fender a cause of that commitment, that it may appear whether the party be bailable or not, or else fle party must be delivered.

The book 9 E: 3, fol. 16, pl. 30, cited of a Cessavit, the king having by proclamation commanded, ,hat in the county of Northumberland no Cessavk sbould he brought, Jic. during the vary the ienant pleaded this command, and it was dznied (imm, and he, notwithstanding that, was ctmmapded to plead ; , blut the reason thereof $\mathbf{Y}$ as, "secanse the commandmeat they "cof ${ }^{\prime}$ was giver oy E. 2, who being cast, the comflandmeft was determined.

The Bot天it Edw. 3s 4 fol. 16, is indepd, where pec com fnandment was given by the same king, Ind thri whas likewise denied him ; for the lipg caniot commanid your lordslip, or any ofber ;pourt of justice, to proceed otherwise

dSTATE TadALS, 3 Caname I. 1627,-broupht by Sir T. Danel, and other. [3s 1 according to the laws of this kingdom; it is part of your Jordship's oath, to judge fording to the law of the kingrion. But, my Nord, there is a great difference between those Idgal cominands, and that absoluta potestes that a notereign lath, by which a, king commands; but when I calt it absoluta potcstas, I dō not mean that it is such a power as that a king may do whit he phenseth, for he hath roles to govern himself by, as well as your lordships, who are subordinate juigfs under him. The djfiference is, the king is the head of the same fountain of justice, which your lurdship adininisters to all his unbjects; all justice is derived from him, and what he doth, he doth nut as a private person, but as the head of the common wealth, as justiciarius regni, yea, the very essence of justice under God upon earth is in him; and shall not we generally, not as sutjects only, but, as lawyers, who govern themselves by the rules of tho law, suhuit to his commends, but make inquif:s whether they be lawful, nud say that the king doth not this or that in course of juss tice?

If your lordship sitting here shall proceed according to justice, who calleth your actions in question, Fxerpt there are some errors in the proceeding uyd then you are subject to $n$ ' writ of error.- fut who shail call in question the actions or th justice of the king, who is not to give any neconot for them? ns in this our case, that he commit a subject, and shews no cause for it.

The king command often shews no cause, for it is sonnetimes enerally, ${ }^{\text {Y }}$ Yer specinle 'madatum domini egis,' sornetimes 'Pro - certis causis ipsum di uinum regen moventi' bus:' but if the king do this, shall it not be prood? It is :lll une when the commitment is 'Per speciale matdatum domini regis,' and when it is ' Pro certis causis ipsum dominom ' regem moventidus;' sud it is the same if the commitunent be 'Certis de causis ipsum domi' num regen tangentibus.'

And, noy lord, unless the Return to you doth opecy the secrets of the commitment, your lordship cannot judge whether the party ought by law to be remanded, or delivered: and therefore if the king allow and give warrant to those that make the return, that they shall express the cause of the*conumitunent, as many times he doth, either for suspicion of felony, or making money, or the like; ave shall shew your lordship that in these causes this court in its jorisdiction wereproper to try these crimifial causes, and yonr lortship doth proceed op them al. sthough the comumtmeft be 'per spanifymant ' datun domini regis,' which hal not Foecref in it in these coleses, for with $t$ (e w/rant he seedeth 多our lop dship the cause ivel committing, sumbwlen these warrants are made and brought into this court, your losd shit may procaed: but if there be no causejexperesed, this court hath nlways used to rer (mud them; for it huth been used, and it is to be invended a matter of state, and that it is not ripe nor timely for ifto appear.

My lord, the main fundemental grounds of argum nt upon this case begins with Magna Chart f, from thence have grown statutes for expla ation thereof, several l'etitioni of parliame It, and Precedents for expedition; I shall give naweps to them all.

Ffr Magna Cbarta, iu the 29th chapter, hath thes words; ' No freeman shall be taken or - infprisoned, or disseised, of his freehold liber${ }^{6}$ ties, nor free customs, nor be outlawed, or © exiled, nor any, other way destroyed, nor we - will not pass upon him nor condema him, but. - by lawful judgment of his peers, ur by the ' law of the realms-My lord, this statute hatb been many simes confinned; the lord Coke numbered up the number to be about twenty; and we are to conclude on this, it is the folndation of our Lilerties.

No freeman can be imprisoned but by 'legale judicium parium suorum, aut per legem 'terres.' But will they have it understood that no man should be committed, but first he shall be indicted or presented? I think that no learned man will offer that; for certainly there is no justice of peace in a county, nor constable witlin a town, but be doth otherwise, and might commit before an Indictunent ean he dawn or a presentment can be made: what then is meant by these words, 'Per legem "terrre?' If any man shall say, this doth not warrant that the king may for reasons moving him enmmit a nagn, and not be ansucrable for' it, neither to the paity, aor (under your lordship's favour) untơ ány court of justice, but to the IIigh Court of Heaven; I do deny it, and will prove it by our Statutes.

My lord, it was urged by the counsel on the other side, that our printed Magna Charta, which saith ' nec super eum mittimus,' is mistaken; and that in divers Manuscripts it is expressly sct down to be, ' nec eum in carceren 'mittimus.' I cannot judge of the Manoscripts that I have not seen; but, my lord, I have one higre by me, which was written many years ago, and the words in print are word for word as that which is here written.
Then they say, that Matthew Paris sets it down so in his History: My lord, we do not govern ourselves hy Chronicle, but to answer that of Matthew Pabis, he reports a thing done in king John's time, hyt it was then but thought on, and it was enscted in the time of IIenry 9 ; Inil there be many things said to be done in Matthew Paris which were not, and many things onsted by him whicit yere dong. This Charter was but finclection in ye time of king John, and then it right be. Sof etm in car' cerem mirtibus;' but it was hot'.ppacted tiff the time of H mry ${ }^{3}$, and then that was omitted;' and the Char er granted us now we have it.But if they fo see no more thinn I in this Clause, I blow not why we should contend nbout these fwords, seeing the first part of thin: Șrailte suith, ' Nemo imprisonetur,' why thod may not I say ns wetl, ' nee epni in carceitin ' mittinnus ${ }^{\text {P }}$ I see no jifference in the wordis, and therefore, my ford, I shall not insint any;
longer upon the literal exposition of the words of Magou Charta, but 1 will resort to the rest of it, which is exprest in the subsequeut statute and in sousmou practice.

The Counsel on the other side Gaid, that the statute of 28 F. 3, c. 3. expresseth and giveth lite to this Charter; I shall desire to lewit that Stature read.

Kréuns, Clerk. Item. * Whereas it is con'tamel in the Great Charter,' \&c. (Vide all these Snatutes in Lattletou's Argument in Parliament"prasteu.)

- Attorney (icncral. My lonl, the rrading of th:s statute svill give anvier to it ; for ut is apparens hy the words thereot, noneshall he taken by Petiman, Ne. and that the court be extembled to thesfirst arrest, bit they are to be understood that none shall be condemmed, but he shall be boongit to atswer, and be tified. Aud if it be expmonded otherwise, it will he conthary to that practice which was then in use.- But at is utterly forbidden by this Stature, that any man shuuid lie condemited upon *uggistions of pentions made to the king or conncal, withaut due trial by law,-The nest Statute they cited was 2.3 E. 6. cap. 4. My lori, 1 devie that that may be reaul.

Kecling, Clerk. Itcm, 'That no man, of 'shat estate or condition soever lie be, shall * be put out of land or tenement, nor taken, ${ }^{6}$ nor imprisoned, nor disinherited, nor pit to

- dearh, without being brought to answer by "due pracess of law.",
, Allorney Genervl. My Joral, this Statute is intended to lie a final prosecution: for if a math shall be imprismed without due proceso, and never lic biought to ansmer, that is uigjust, and foilididen by this statute; but when it man is taken in canses that ale unknown to uc, (whon walh below sthurs) we are not proy to the circomsiances whech mady cause the trial to hee delitged; and peradicuture it is not time ${ }^{\circ}$ to bring the materer to trial, because it is itht jet cone to intunty, und therefore this is uot within the mieaniog of the statute.-Anotlier Statute that they huention is in the same gear. and it is page 9 . ch. 9. I deare it may be real.

Kepling, Clerk. Item, 'Because the Peo'ple of the reahm, \&c.' YVide Litilcton's Argument posicu.)

Attorney Gencral. My lord, it is very clear that this statnte had no nhatin $r$ of thought of this cause in question; but whereas sherifits rid procure commisocis to be awarded to theinselves to: thei, rivate gain, sentre frejudice of the sulgict, thespatate samaemncth those comenissions, but it nakert nothing tq this question *hich we tuave now in hand. He next Statute which they cited, was 37 Fld. 3, cap. 18. I berieech it may be read.

Krchule, Clerk. 'Item, Thoush it he con'toined in the Grent Charter,' sfc. (Vide as aforesaid.)

Attorney General. My lord, this Statute seeins to he a comunsitury and light to the other Stathtes, the scope whereof is against pri-
vate suggestions made tof the king oh his cturcil, and not in a 4egay way, and thereforehit condenns them; aud this is more fully etspressed in the Statute of 38 Edw .3 , cap. 9. which they hkewise mentiuned: By which watute direction is given what security, those persons which mahe such suggestions are to give, that thry should prosecute their suggesions, and what punishnent they sharl undergo, if their suggestious be found talse.

Keehng, Clerk. 'Item, fls to the article 'made fot the last parliament,' \&sc. (Vide as before suid.)

Attorney General. My lord, this and the last Statute seem to conduce both to one purpose, that they that in their accubtuons went not in a legal way to bring theoparty to his answer, it was directed loy this statute, that they sionuld go at legal way.-The last act of parliament in print, the couns.l on the other sule probluced, was the א, state of 1 IR. 2, cup. 12. which 1 desare may be read.

Kierling, (lerk. 'Ite'm, Wherea: dhers 'f people at the suit of prortis were cemmitted 'the tleer,' dec. ( 1 ide as before.)

Athori'y Gicniral. My loud, it appeareth that the scope of this Statutes is panaist tias Wamkestul' the Flewh, har some miscarrisges in then; hat here is one thing inthlis Statute whech I slaall deare your lordshis to ohserve; and that is, for alone misolemganors the shall forfeit his office, esecpt it le kg wit from the hing, or his copimandinent ; 备o that it was 10 new ductraferan thave yous, that the hing
 minting. The scope of tho Statute had two hands: 1. That the wariles sbould forfeit his oilice; and, 2. That ys should recompense tha p-rrty.

In the dth and 5th of 1'hiL et Mar. Dyer 162. it was resulied, That i1 the Warden shall slelicer a man out of prioon wy hout command. he forfitcth lis oilice, nud danage unto the party; bot if he have Ilie command of the bit.g. that shall cxetse the forlenture of his offiee: but he mast bring the party baher, and heve the ge gentemen are now, fir that commandment of the hugg is no exception for hinn toistor wharve.- If he recents at is rit from this court, to shew the court fous whence be recrives his warrant, it may excuscuthe finfeiture of his office, lut notwithatanding he is suljeet to the action of the prarty.

But I desite yuur lurdship to observe that part of thes Statute, which the other party would not make ut of, whirh i that elie king may Whan and y writ or otherwise; these whe all the pented Uktutes cited by the counsel on the other siffe. 罗ut because 1 no ald not auisiuterprct thesmstatimes, I thought iqcqual vo deseffé your lordsifip that they might me.srad. $+13 \mathrm{e}-$ sides theo Mrintal Statutes, they mentioned Pe. . titions by ghtommons, and the Answers of then offeeveralkings in parliament. The fint is, Hot. pl. 6 Kd. S, numero 1 ct 20 : besindes these tyo, thetre is nne other of $98 \mathrm{Ed} \cdot \mathbf{3}, \mathrm{n} .18$.
"My hrd, of these three Petituons, and their .

Angrers, the two firpavere mentioned by the co nsel on the othed sind ; and that in 28 Ed . 3, 28 , I have produced, all of them even to one py pose. The commons then petitioned the king, that all the Statutes made in exposition of Ihanga Charta, and of the Furest, may be kept and observed: the king inukes Answer, that it shall be doue. And in one of the Answers it is said, If any man be grieved be may complain. But what is all this to the point in question ? Could there be any other Answer to give hfe to these refurests? The king hê is petitioned that some are injurel; he answers, That if they complain, they slath be relieved.

And now, uny lurd, we are where we were, to find out the true neaming of Miagna Charta, for there is the foundation of our case; all this thent hath been said concerneth other things, and is nothung to the thing in question. There is not a worl either of the commitment of the king, or commandment of the coumcil, in all the sitawtes and Kecorls,

And ipw, my lord, 1 am at an end of those Statutes, fud come to that that wis allodged and mentinaed to be in 3 II, 6, 16. and.if I could have found it, I would have brought it, but I could fot find it; therifire of they lase it, I desire tat they will sliew it, bute I thaik they lave it if $c$, add the refore 1 will 1 t that go.

My lori, 1 come to that whech 1 insisted upon, the ques on as it was at first, not whether the bug or gee loids of the council can commit a nam, ni) shew uto calse wherefore they do commit hims, fint whether the ordmany courts of justice have power to bull hium or no; - for that I will ingist upon the Statute of Weston. 2. whech I desire your deddlaip may be read, end then I will apply. (ife Wetin. primo.). Aly lord, this Statute, if I I i i-vanderstand it not, is a fill expresston to the purpose of Magna Chartu; the scope whereot, is to direct us in what cases men upprisoned were to be batled. It was copecially for drection to the shentfis and others; but to say courth of justice are excluded frou this statute, I conceive it cannot be. It recites, That whereas heictolore at was ant resolved in what cases men were replevisuble, and in what cases not, but only io these Sour cases; for the death of a man, or by the commandment of the king, or of his justicer, or of the foresp.-My lord, I say that this statute expresseth not the law was made by this statute, thatoin these cases men were not replevisable; but it expresseth thut the lyyv was clear in these cases; in these four cayo it was clearly resolveiddefore.
I pray you, my lord, ohserve the tipe offthe making of this Statute; that of Mgha Cuhasta was inate in the tine of Henry s, and fuis of Wesseninster in tle time of Edw, is or that it was midejin.thy tian of the same.- nd, my bord, if they had understood tpe stikute of Magna Charta in another sense, >wiuld they not have expressed it so in this sphatute? Was it not fitter for them than fot uth they being nearer the first making of Magna Chartay than we are ? But certainly the Statute of Apgua

Charta yas expounded at the time, as I have shewed jiefore; if not, without all doubt at the thne of ranking of Westm. primo.

The parhament wquid not have been-so careful to protide for things of lesser moment and offit this of so great consequence, it there had $b$ eg akly question of it. In all times and nges, Magna Charta hath been coofirmed, but theydhew oot any one law that doth except against this pusitive lavy of, Westminster the first, or any acts of parliament ; nay more, is any printed Books, that in this case merr should be replevisable.

My lord, if you know noffing printed or unprinted, if any will desire to alter a course that always hath been beid, fou will seck for Precedents, for the consturf use and course is the best exposition of the law; it is not enough for me tu say, This it is, unless I make it good. - First then, 1 say, they on the other side canuot cite oue Book, Slatute, or uther thing, to prove, that they that have been committed ${ }^{\text {a }}$ 'per speciale mandatum domini regis,' are 'Lailable. But, iny hord, I find some to the contrary, that they are not balalde, and I will cte some of then, and read of otbers; for I would not in a case of that expectation, that it should be thought that aay thing should be mixinterpretes.
In the 33 Hen. 6, f. 23, Robert Poyning's Case; he was committed 'pro dirersis causis ' 1 sumin iominum regen tangent';' this alters not the case, tor it'was as good as nu canse, for It whs the Wiarrant-'dumini regis,' and there is ue qyestion upun this: Lut, my lord, I know this ts not the point in que,ton.

The nett thang I shad shew uito your lordship, 15 Pusch. 21 Ediv. 1, Kot. cla. 2, tud this, my lord, was near the time of making of the stutute of Westm. 1, nud this precedent is to this purpoos: The sherntif of Leicestershure nad Warwichshire (for thea there was but one sheriff to buth those shires) did receive commandment by letters frum the king, That whereas the earl of Warwick had comuanded divers persons to the custody of the said sheriff, the king sent a letter to the said sherill, cominanding, that to those who were committed tn his custody by the earl of Warsick, he should shew no grace to them; that is, they should not be bailet.-The sheriff, notuithstanding this command, fels some of thefe prisoners to byil; Thereupon he was complefned of in parlaunent, that the had done ar. finst the king's cotimandment, and he was ofdemnedfor it.
This was in pariaaigent; I woik ope this should be done in parlament, and that if was not gaid there, that this fommument, beite done by the . king's commanfiment, was not good3', no, he .. was coudernue in purlament, fot it was pne. that did break phe ştatute of Westrn: prim.

My lord, th ouse that I make of this Record is this: It refites, that the earl of Warwick comtahted divers, it might be that he did compr. unit them by direction 8rom the king; but the record mentioneth not so much, but is shewn. that the king by letters columanded the stierifis,
that he should shew those persons of grace, and yet he did; he was examined ugon this, and by parliament committel. -
. Theseext matter I will offer to your I rdship's judgment for the true exposition of the law in this case, is the Book we call Tbe, Regeter, an authority respected, it is the foundatinit of all eur writs at the coinmon law ; I bring not the book. In this book there is one writ saitithus, - Rex, \&cç. Quod repiar' fac' A. nisi fuerit per 4speciste mandatum domini regis.'
Justice Doderidge. In what writ is that, $\because D e$ bomine rephegiando?

Attorn. Gen. 'Yes, in the writ ' De homine 4 replegiando;' and shere is another writ directed to the constable of Dover, in the very same werds; by which it alpears that they that are imprisoned by the king's command, ' non sunt ' replegiabiles.' Mr. Fitzherbert, a grave juilge, and is in authority with us, perusing these writs, expressed it in these words plainly: "There are some cases wherein a man cannot have this writ, althouga he be taken and detained in pri-, son; as if he be taken by the denth of a man, or if he be taken by the commandment of the king's justices ;" aud mentions not Cliief Justice: which I believe is to be intended pot of the chief of the court of judicature, but of the chief justice of Eugland, for there wus such a oue in those days. Thus, my lord, you see the opinion of Mr. Fitzherbert in this case.
The next thing, that I will shew your lordship, is the opinion of Mr. Stamford, in his Pleas of the Crown, fol. 72, where he sets down the St.tute of Westminster primu, and then he adds, That by this it appears, that in four cress at the comuon law a mar is not replevisable; in those that were taken for the death of a man, or by the commandment of the king, or of his justices, or of the forest : and there he siath, That the commandinent of the kiog is to be intended, etther the commanducent if his mouth gr of his council, which is incorporated to him, and speak with the mouth of the king.-My lord, l shall destre no better commentaries upon a law, than these reverend grave Judues, who have put Buoks of Law in print, and such Books as none, I believe, will say their jodgments are weak.
The next thing I shall offer unto your lordship, is this, That I cannot shew with so'gheat authority as I have done the rest, because I bave not the thing itself by me; hot I will fut it to your lord-hip's memory, I presume yad may well remenger it; it is the resplotion of a 1 the Judges, al ch was giver in the S4 th of queenk Elizabeth, it fll out upfín an unhappy occasion, *Wich wys thus: the Judges the complain that' "Sberifis und other officers douid hot execule the process of the law as they ourdir, for that the parties on whim such process sh lil he erxecuted wene sent away by some of the pueen's Counoil, that they could not be fount: the Jurges hereupon.petitioned the Lend Chancelior, that be would be a snitor ta ifer majesty that nothing be done horeafter. And cherespon the Judges vere desired to shen is what easea men that
were comaitied were rapt builağe, whothe upon the cominithenyof the queen or any other. -The Jodges make answer, That if a man gkaH be comnitted by the queen, by her command, or by the privy council, he is not bailable: if your lordhhip ask me whint authority I bave for this, I cau only say, I buve it out of the Book of the lord Anderson, written with his own band.

My lord, I pray you give me beave to observe the time when this was done; it was in a time, and we may truly call it as good time, in the time of good queen Elizabeth, and yet we see there was then cause of complunt : and therefore I would not have men think that we are now grown so bad (us the opinion is we are), for we see that then in those times there was cause of complaint, and it may be more than is now.-This, my lord, was the resolution of all the Judges and Barons of the Excliequer, and not by some great one.
Now I will apply myself to that, which has been enforced by the counsel on the ofier side, which was the reasun that the subjec. hath interest in this case.
My lord, I do acknowledge it, but I must say that the soveregn hath grent anterest in it too. And sure I ano, that the firp stone of sovereignty was no sooner hidf, bott this power was given to the soveregn: if yoh ask me whether it be unlamited; my lond, Wray it is not the question now in hand: but the constmon law, which hath long flourished yider the government of ourkingind his Ar genitors hings of this realm, hath ever hay hat reverend respect of their sowereign, as tly it hnth concluded the. king can do no wrong. and as it is in the lord Berkley's Case in Piduden's Com. 316, b. it is part of the king's prefogative that he can do no wrong.-In the 4 th of Edw. 4, fol. 25, the king canuot be a disseisor; and so it is also in the lord Berkley's Case in 32 Hen. 8, Dyer, fol. 8.
The king cannot usurp upys a patron, for the common kaw hath that reverend respect to him, as that it camnot conceive he will do any in-jury.-But the king commits a subject, and expresseth no cause of the commituent: What then? Sball it be thought that there is no canse why he should be comapitted? Nay, my lord, the course of all times hath been, to say there is no cause expressed, and therefore the inatter is not ripe, and thereupon the epurts of judicature have ever rested satisfied therewith, they would not searct into it.
My lords, there be 'Arcana Dei, et Arcana ' Inperit:' and they that searçh two far into theni, ank make themselves busier with them that they places do fequire, they will make thpaskelvet \&ec. I will say no more; bot I shall ke abjo to shew that tere shall as much prejochog tive to the kingdem, if ${ }^{+}$God Nirect not the feart of the king, whicture inse hand of God,' as the rivers of waters; I sny, therf may asenurithuzard cofie to the cummonn ealth in mady other things, with which the king is truated, motiph bis"particular there can accrue to the aobject?
If $s$ treason be committed, ins it was not
45) STATE TRIALS, 3 Chaliss I. 1627.-brougy by Sir T. Damat, asd ocker. [45
long go, nott far reigoted from our memories; sin e there was a treqson; andthe acturs thereof fie $f_{\text {, }}$ some to the court of Rome, wome to Brubsels, when it was to be put in ekecution; tibe treason being discovered, one is apprelieaded upon zuspicion of it, and is put into the Tower, and there be lieth, and thinketi the time very long; and I cannot blame him.
It may be he is innocent, and thereupon he brings a Habeas Corpas, and by virtue of that writ he is broughe hither; and will your lordship think it fit or convenient to bail him, when the accusation against him must come from beyond the sea? I think you will rather so respect the proceedings of the state, as that you will believe these things are done with a catse, than inquire further of them.-Peradventure some great misdemeanor may be committed, and some of the parties make nway, so as Proclamation cannot overtake them, and some are taken, is it fit that they thie are in prison should be tried before the princi al be taken?

I will g e you an instance, that lately was put into my mind; there be some prisquers in the Tower If this present, which were put in thither when they were very young: if they should bring in Habeas Corpus, they were ithprisoned for s ate-matters, will your lordship deliver them? No, in that the state doth not think it fte to sifd them back into their own countries, you wil esteem so revgrendly of the state for commitu.g chuldren, Nogt you will leclieve that there is geat reason of state so to do, or else they would yot do it : many inconveniences may follow, if should be otherwise. It may be, divers men dd suffer wrongfully in prison, but therefore shal all prisoners be dejivered? That were a grelt mischief.

No doubt but the king's power is absolutely over his coins; if then le shall command his coin shall be turngl to brass or leather, I confess it were inconvenient; but if the king would do it, the answer that I can make is, that he would not undo the kingdom : but can your lordship hinder it, ns being an inconvenience, if he would do it? The Cinque Ports are free for trafick for all his spbjects; but the king in lis cabinet understands there is danger of weir to come upon this kingdom, thereupon he - shuts the ports, that no man can go out; shall the merchant say this is injustice in the king? And as in this, 50 in many other particulars this may appear, but I will not go too high: and therefore we are too wise, nay wey are too foolish, in undéraking to oxamine ffatters of state, to which we are not born. Noy ymy lord, 1 come to our book-cases, by which/t appicas what our king maydo, and nothing an be said Jjaingt it, But he fill not do it; tha ying may pardon'vilazsiepis and felons, and if hin should do it, may not the subjects say, I§ the klog do chis, the bad will overtome the good? But shall any say, The king cannot do thin ? No, we may only say, He will not do tha.

The king lany exempt men from toe office of Sheriff, is not this inconvenieat? And noyy it
not be fid, he may exempt ten in a shire; and then the bardeu of the country shall rest upen the meaper sort of people? can any masa any more to this, than that whe will not do if ratirn heritanges aré to be decided upon trial, the king infy exempt private men from being of a Jury; but if he exempt all men, who ahall try our cquses? for it is to be presumed, that be will not do it.

But to our case: By the statute of Magney Charta, no man shall be put out of hifrees hold, \&ce. But if the king will do it, must mot the party that is so put out po the king by" petition ? But you will asy It in a pelition of right; and it way be the/ gentlensen's is an ; admit it be, yet when sug a petition comes to the king, mast it not be answered with theose words, Soit droit fait al parte 9 And mien the king will give ibat warrant for it, then they must have it done, and not before.

And this may answep n perpetual imprisonment, and God forbid that this ahould be sos and now, ny lord, I will trouble your ne longef but I will go to precedents. Precedents $I$ know prevail much, and rule in mapy cases: and if the precedents they cite were sot mitimterpsoted, I should thank they had seid a great deal.

But, my lord, I will answer their precedents with precedents; nay, I will shew your lordabip that the precedents which they have cited are no precedents for tpein.-And, my lond, it is a dangerous thing for men in matters of meigh to avouch precedents with confidence, when they raake nothing for theen : for, my lord, precedent, are now become almost proclamationg, for they already run up and down the town; and yet they know but part of them, and not all, and I think if they knew all, wen would be mole modest.

But, my lord, I will now come to these Prew cedents, where I may say they have not dealt freely with me, for they have shewed me many precedents more than they mentioned bere, and it may be they have done the like unto your lordship. They alledged but 8 preosdents before your lordship, but they bave brought 16 unto me : for these eight mentioned hore, I will take thema, in order us they were cited/and answer.

Tpe first precedeht they cited was in.H. 8, Ror 9, of one Harison: we have the Record herf to shevy your lordship, that he was comsmited for suspicion of Felony, which was explessed indike Ngarrant; and then my. lond, fhis is clear, if the liog, or the '\}ords of, the council, will express any thing, within your lordship's jurisd"ction, there in pood groqund for your proceedings; but when thery is nothing expressed, whe per you will judge what the cause of the 9 artant is, I will leave to your lordship's judgry ent ; but it appenrs this wail the canse, prod that he was detivered.
The next precedent was 99 H. 2, Roc. sfor and it was Parker's caser and it is true that. his commitment appeared to be 'per epecemits. ' mandatim domini regis,' but it was ate pro-
posed to be 'pro pace et suspicione alonis ;" add the signification of the coramand was given by Mr. Peck of Clifford's-Inn : but 2 ere the wariwat shews the cause of the compitment was for the peace and suspicion of felony, and therefore be was bailed.

The next was in 40 Eliz. WendoN's case; but, my lord, that commitment was out of the Star-Chamber by un ordinary course; then they cited 8.Jac. "Thomas Cessar's case; be indeedran committed by 'speciale mandatum domini regis,' and brought his Habens Curpus, 'but the Roll 亿ant; 'remititur :' and is that a warrant for them t, say that he was delivered?

Theif sirtho. Vet on's case was cited; and, my lord, when we locked into the Records, we fotind that he was committed for suspicion of treason ; and be was tried for it and discharged.
The next preçedent was sir Tho. Monson's case; I wonder that they did cite that, for he was committed by the tords of the council indeed; but the ground of it was suppicion of the death of sir Tho. Overbury, and lie was disw charged again by the lorils of the council. Certaialy jf they had known this, they would noe have named this as a precedent.

Tbe next was Reynor'a case; he, mytord, was one of the Gun-Powder-Treason, and yet there was a warraut to discharge bin too. And therefure what these precedents are, I, slanl subinit to your lordship: I muist confess, when they are cited together; they make a great noise; but when they are examined severally, they prove nothing.

My lord, there is one more precedent that was cited here before your lordship, and I hope that one shall be as none. It was mestioned to be Laurence Brown's Case, 30 Elix. I know not what it is, but it is like to be of the same value as the rest; ' Pro certis causis cos mofen' tibus, Kkc.'

And thus, my lord, I have gone throngh those precedenta that were nlledged bere before your Irrdslip; and now I will come to those precedents that were broight to met, and not mentioned here.

The first was John Browning's caee, in 21 II. B. My lord, these precedents come not to me brfore Satarday last, about candle-bightins; and yesterday was no tiine fitting to scardy out precedents, and how sould I then scarchlf for this?
The next was Willian Roger's case, of the same time. But the canse is expressed to $\}$ a for suspucion eetelony, which is? esure with a the jur:sdick "n of this goritt.
Newport's case was the hike, in 4 et 5 Phil. et Mar, and so was Thomas faurence's case; 9 Eliz. and Edw. Harcourf's cate, 5 Eliz. which was for suspicion of felony. Beckwith and not Bartwith, as was cited, for they have mistaken both names and matters, was committed ' per speciaic mandatum domni regis:' and the Record saith be was hailed. Bot it was by reaton of a lettor"from the lords of the pouncil. .
The cause of Peter Man's colmanitment in the

4 and 5 of Philip and Pfory, appeq̣s to be for suspicion of felony and ro bery.

For Reynor's case, it is the same with Betkwith, and were both for one thing.

In the 8 Hen, $\boldsymbol{7}$ one Roger Cherry was committed 'per mandatum domini regis,' $n$ nd it was for a criminal case; and he was after wards indicted and aequitred and delivered. And there is another precedent thereof, that saith, he whs afterwards arraigned, condemned, tend hanged; we have the kecurd ef it.

Antl now, my lord, I will shew some precedents un the other side, where men have been committed by the commandment of the king, and by the conmandment of the council, and have been delivered ngain by their directions: and of this kind there be two in the Tower, that as they were committed by warrant, so by wdrrants agau for their bailing they were delivered ; the offences were against the forest, and for murder.

In 4 F. 5, M. 4, Edmund de Nopport in Fases was indicted for an offence minmitted by him in the Forest. And M. 7. John Fox was-likewise indicted for an offence lsy him done in the Forest: und there be two prarrants to bail them.
M. 2b. John Colbh was the li.e, and there was a letter from the king, " Q ood ponatur in ' ballium usque ad proximan apisam.' These were offences within Westmivgter primo, and there be sevegnl warrants to thil them.
The clers of thit court hafo many records, by which it appeareth, that llany have been committed by the commarfa of the king and of the, qucen, and of the couqcil, and brought their Ha beas Corpus; and tho success was, that many of thein were committ fo to the same prisons, and divers were commited to the marshal of this osurt: the reason was, for that many of thema were to appear here, their causes being triable here; and it would have lieep a great trouble to send them back so far to prisonas into the countries, and therefore they were delivered to the tuarshal of the hong's houshold: again, many had their trials in this court, and some suffered, and sons were delivered by special command, as they were committed by special cominand. -The number of these of this nature are infinite that have been in our times; we bave found some forty precedents of pen committed. out of the Chancery, and by the high-comamission, for contempts, and some ly the barons of the exchequer, and some in London, that have besan brought lither by Habees Corpus.
Of this I shall ebserve, that in the 11 Jac. there wes a private eonstitution in London, mone befveen the white bakers, that they might live poe by another, tnd the ane oot to iurade elydither's liberies; (and for conjempt ryainst / his ortinance, some vereacostímitted to prison; ns Thomas Heaning, and Littlepage: they had a Habeas Colpus; and the cause wai sbewn to be by renson of the said constitarion, and thereiuptn the prisoners were sent back to Lnofon, trfabide the order of the anhaor: Por, my tord, this court hath been ewer caroful int
to examine the Decres of, the Chancery, or Cpurt of Requests, but have only looked whether the cause returned be within the juriadiction of this court; nor have they called in question the by-laws and constitutions of London, but they send them back to the cout of justice that committeth them.-And hath this court been so caretul of these inferiour coorts to this which is the chief? nitd when the king, who is the hiad of justice, shall commit a man, shall not they be as carefth to do the like justice to him? But when the king saith to then, The commitment was by my warrant and commandment, will you question this, and whether this commitment be grod or no I I hope you will not,
Aud now, nay jord, touching some Precedents which have been taken out of their own shewing, I shall make it sppear, that as they have been committed by the king or council, so they had warrunts also to discharge them: and they, my lord, are two uncient records; the first is 7 II. 7, Kit. 6. the other, Rot. 73. The first was Thumns Jrown, he was committed to the Marshalsc , 'per mandatum domini regis, et ' aliig certit de causis:' and afterwaris the records say, $($ Dominus rex quoad chase relax'avit mauda (unr'snum,' nad he was beiled and the rest lay bt itt

My lord, I will conclude; I could be infinite in thecase in Frecrdents, but enough is enough, your lordshi, knoweth the weight of precedents; it is not enough tin shew this wasdone, but alson to shew the reasoln why it was tone. I will trouble your lordshap yo longer, but if any man -shall doubt whether that or any part thereof be truly recited which hath been said touching the lecords or Statutes, I can say no more, but that the Statutes havis been read, and the $\bullet$ Records are ready sorted out to be seen by your lordship.

I shall conclude (what $I^{+}$slyll say) in this case, to auswer the fear raiker than the just ground of them that say, this may be a cause of great danger, with the words of Bracton, who spake not to flatter the present age; lib. 1. cap 9 , in the end, speaking of n writ for wrung done by the kiag ts the Subject touching land, he hath these woris: 'Si judiciom ' a rege testatur (cum breve non currat onntra - ipsum) locus erat supplicationi quod factum - suarn corrigat et emendet, quor quidern si ' non fecerit, satis sufficit ei ad pœenam quod - duminum expectet ultorem, nemo quidem de - factis suiis presumat disputare, multo fortius ' contra facturh suoum venira.' - My lof́d, I English it not, for I apply oit not, any man may make use of it as he pleaseth; any so I mpclude both for the point of exc ption, and master of the retokn, which I refepte wair lordship's judgment|"whether all in the Tourn but these words, 'per speciale mandatum domini ' reqis,' be not superfluous. And for the matter, whether these gentlemen be bailable or not bailaple, I have shewed your tordship, that by the practice of all uges they are not tfailabl, bot have been remanded back.

And therefore I pray your lordidip, that vol. $\mathrm{HF}_{4}$
these pentlomen may be reinitted, and left to mo the fight yay for their delivery, whichis by a/ petitiof to the king. Whethet it be a Petitron of rigbis or of grace, $I^{*}$ know not; it mutst be, I an stue, to the bing, from whom I do personnally undentand that these genilenen did never get present any petition to him that came to his blowledge.
L. C. Justice. Mr. Attogney, thus much we must say to you, you hare taken a great dgal of paius, you having had so short a tinieto consider of this case; it is a gase of very great. weight und expectation, ay wt do not intend that you shall expect io/s for our resolution, For that these"gentiemer are in prison, and desure no doubt to know dhere they must tyast ; I hope we shall resolve according to the reason of former times, and according to our consciences: but this I must tell you, as I did those that argued, you mist bring in your Precedeuts; for though 'we have seen some of them, yet some of then we linve not seen, therefure we desire that your servants or yourselves do attend, and bring unto us after diuner those precedents you have mentioned on the king'y part, for we intend to meet this afternoon, and you shall have our Opinions to-morrow : and I must tell you on the other, side, that this cause being of such weight, counsel slowald be wary how they speak any thing to inveigle the court.-Touchong such precedents as you arged in some of them, we know there is something urged n hich mak es not for you, so you have omitied some material things to be sliewn; I speak' it to this purpose, not to prejulice fhe cause, or to deliver my opinion, which becomes me not, but to slicw, that cnunsellory should be careful: and tiois I dare' sny, there is matter in some of the precedents theinselies that leads to auother case, if they were entirely citediThe Term grows away, you shall not le long in expectation, we will meet this nfternoon, and gire you pur ! pinions to-morrow morning.

BIr. Noye. We desire that Mr. Attorney may bring the precedents of 3\& Fliz, with him.

Mr. Attorncy. I will shew you any thing; bot, my lord, I shall be bold to clain the privilege of my_place, as the king's counsel; when the ling's Attorney has spoken, there ought to be no arguments stier that; but if you ask to sedany thag, you sha!f have it.
1..' C. Justice. $1 r^{\prime \prime}$ is that we ain at, that trath nud right inay appear, and not to satisfy the one or the other part; but it is not desired to make use of it by yay of rep'so but'for 'satisfaction only.
Serj. Bramston. My lord, for the precedents, I cited, I did thialk they should hive beonbrought and reful in the court, that, your londahip might see hem.
L. C. Justife. You shall need no npology. the. Records ald Precedents shall be brought ta the doist, and rend openily, for the court will not wrong you, and you shall sce tlie difícrence ber. tween them, and your relation of thom; tho you must not wrong os with your writted re-, rities.
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# 51] state trials, 3 Charlet I. 1627 

On Thursday the 28th of November, M1[chaelis, 3 Caroh Regic, Chuef-Justice Hyda - Wnatice Doderidge, Justice Jones, and Vusace Whumek on the bench': Sir Jolvi Corbet, an Walter Earl, sir John Heveungham, and sit Edmund Ilaunpden at the bar.
L. C. Justice. I ann sume you here expect the resolution of the whole Count, as acconangy)乡çstenday we told you you should hase Tuis is a curone scry great nephht, and great expectntion, and it had jheen tit we should have used inore solemn ingine ent- of it th.in now fin the shortngss of the timg ne can do ; for you have bein $b$ ing us prison, -ind it is is gnu should know whicicento you si, muld trust: 1 au sure you evpect justace thom lence, and God forbed ne should sit here bot to do justice to all men necording to our beet shill rad hoowledges fon it is out fothos and duties so to do, and I am sure thare is moilang elstespected ot is. W me sworn to mantam all Prorog, tires of the king that is one branch of our oath; nad we are lihense sycua to admanter ju-the equally to all peopig.

We cannot, I tell yon, deliser in solemu Arguments, mind give the Judgments of eicrf one of us touching this case, as the neight thereol requireth; hut we have met together, and we have duly and seriously consutencd of $n$, and of all that which hans beea spuhen of on eithice stide, and we are grown to a lesolution, and my brothers have enjoned nie todediver to you the resolution of the whole conit; and the etore, though it be delivered by my mouth, it is the icsolution of us thll: Thope 1 shall not mistabe any thing of ther intention in my deliseny; but if 1 do, they sit heic by me, mid 1 shall not take it ill if they right me. Therefore I mut tell you, theio hath heen many pomsts learneuly argued at the har, which we shall not touch, or give our resolution upon, lat bend ourselies to the point $m$ judgment hatie.

Thicse three Statutes, a- for taaniple, she Statute of Magna Charta, 25 E. 3, and 36 E. 3 , and the statute of Wesminter prime, and divers other statutes that have been alledged, and particularly disputed of, we ull acknonledge and iesolse, that they are good hin and that they be in force: but the miterpretatio of them at this timc belonghs not to us, fir uc re diven to another paint ; sand though the meluing of thein belongs to the one way or the ullier, yet our jadeuient must be the same; for that which \& now to be judged by 'the is this, Whether one that is committed by the king', suthiority, and no catse declared of his comjuitment, nccording as here it is upon this return, whether ne ought to delivgr hum by hail, or tos remind hun back agmin Whereun you mu-t know thas which your copnod will tell yoin, we can take notice ouly of this return; and when the case appeara to come tous no atherwise than by the return, we are not bound to examune the truth of the seturn, lut the sufficiency of it, for there is a great difierence between the sufficieacy and the trath.

We cunnot judge upon dumours nor reports, but upan that whecbre io betore us on recond; and therefure the Return is cammonble ly ds, whether it be suftherent, or not.

The Exceptions which have been taken to thas leturn weip two: the one for the Fonn, the other for the Substance.
Fur the lorm, whether it be formally returued or in, for it is not returned, ns it is sagid, 1 onturely a a ci absolutely, that they were committed dyy the hug, but as it afpears by a warsaut from the lords of the council, and then there rems to be a contuadiction in the re-thin--1 or first it sauth, the $y$ were committed by the hing's command, and afterunrds it alledgeth it to be by a warrant of the loids of the council, and so it is repugnant.

Nuw we concenve that this is a positive and no ubsolutu Return, and so the reason as, that Le list setuans that they me detaned by the yeboal comurual of the bimg, and if he had cassd these it had heen positive; $1 /$ w there tollons, that thus uas sugnfied to thy im by the luido of the counci. This is returnefi, to ascertalu the court that he returned the fanse tuly, and to shew us that we should no doubt the seqty of this retmin; and not to shew to us t at he hath ro howledge of thy cause but by the bigmitication of the lords of the council: acconduse to that Cine of the Jishop of Kornich, touchoug the Exconmmy ication, he must testufy lin owiphogiledge, and not ' contuetor in archund so a sleedif must not retura 'quod mandais ball', ky. a and he gnee this unsues, unkh, ot le a boulfif of a liberty that bath. it turn of writs.
And no here if the Warden of the Fleet had setuined, that the lyyds of the council had sigmfied unto hou that his prisoner was detaned by the hug's commandment, that had been sufficient: but whien he returns postuvely at the finst, that it is done by the hug's direction, he shews afterwards that whech should make it appear that he deals not ftisely; which might have been omitted, but beang mentioned that that is the scope of $i t$, and not ollkrwise, the retuin is goud and posiuve.
aNow then whe other Objections, becnuse he sptahs nothing of the cappion why they were talen, you hnow it is the uspal return of all offhcers to answer the ponit in question; there is not one word in the. Writ that demands the cauce why they were taken, but why they are detazued: so that the point in the writ is sufficiently answered; for thongh stometumes it is necessary that the cause of the caption should be firtionf, yet sometumes it w superluods: but in our fase the canse of the detention is mifficioutly mnswered, whichis thendemand os the nrit and therefore we resolve that the form of thirfeturn is good. The next thing is the main point ith law, whether the substance or matker of the return bo good or no, wherein the substance isathis, he doth certify that they are fetained in prison by the specinl command pf tir king'; ond whether this be good in law or no , wat is the question.

33] STATE TRLALS, 3 Chances I. 1627.-brought by Sir T. Darnel, and others. [54

To this purpose, if you remgember this point, I say you did not cite anyoBnok or Case in print, but many precedents, which, I coufess, are so strong as any Book-cnses, fnr Book-cases, I confess, are taken and selected out of the Records and Ilesolutions of Judges, andechut is it which is in our books, though they be not so obvious fir every eye, but, are found out by pains and diligent search, and being produced, are of the same and equal authority with our Book-cates; but this must be when Records are drought faithfully and entirely, so that the court may judge of them.

Now the Precedents, you urged them to be so many, and so fully to the point, that we may thereby see that it is good to lear what can be said on both sides, and for to hear all, and view the Records themselves; and therefore we required you to bring the Records to us, and you did so, and you Lrouglit us more than. you mentioned herc; and we have perused them ah that thereby we might see whether the courd be faithfully deale withal or no; for ' though copnsellom may urge a book for their own advua age, yet it is the duty of the court to see and \$stinguish of their allegations as the truth may uppeff.
This I wid yod yesterday, when I told you your Precedeuts warranted not so much as you urged-dinem for: for if you remember, you urged some precedents to be, that where mea were committed by the king, or by the lords of the council, and no cause expressed thy they were committed, they were deliscred.-This is in - effect our case, if the precedents 'ntfirm that when a man is commitued by the king's commaud, und no just cause is shewn, that upon such a general return the party shall ipso facto "be delivered; for if the return be not aineuded, then he shall be discharged.-Yor although men come with prepared minds, yet the pieparation of every man's heart ought to sulanit io the truth, and by the precedents, you shall see if it be so as you have alleged; hat this I dare attirm, that no one of the Records that you bhve cited, doth inforce what you have concluded out of them, no not one; and therefore as you have cited 9 tccords and Precedenss, Precedents shall judge this care.
I will shew yyu how they diftier from the Records: you have concladed, when the king hath commityed one, spd expresseth not the cause, the court hath delivered the party; but you shall see the coutrary concluded in every case that yout have put: where the cause of the counmiturent hath been expressed, there the party hath been delivered by the ofirt, if the case so required; but where there hath beèn tho cause expressed, they have fer been remanded; or if they hase been delivtred, they bave been delivered by the king's direction, or by the lords of the couscil: if this fall now in proof, you see you have gathered fair conclasions out of the thecords; and thiut you may see that this is so, I have brought the He fords with une of your own propounding, and will go through them from point to point, apat then judge yourselves of the case.

It is not material whether 1 call for them in what order as you produced them or $\mathrm{no}_{3}$ and therefore I will take then os they arge finst-p last in the king's reigh. 'They are in पumber many, in the time of II. 7, If. 8, Mary, Eliz. and king James's time.

1 wilfshew you sir Thomus Monson's Case in 14 Jac , which was in all our menories.

1 will begin with Hill. 8 H. 7, R. Cherry's Case; you vouched it to this purpose, Tyint Cherry being committed by the mayor Windsor, was brought. lither by a Habeas Corpus, and the mayor returns thay 10 as conmitted ' per mandatum domini gis,' מ"d thy, thereupon he was tclivered; fot you shalf find by the Record, that he as committed by, the mayor at the suit of the king fur felony, for which he was afterwards indicted, brought to trial, and then discharged. (Vide this liecord in Mr. Selden's Argunent in the parliament, 3 \& 4 Car, Hegis, andtso all the rent postea.)

The next was 10 I1. 7, Trswicl's Case; and you say he was brought lither by the Wardea of the Fleet, who, ns you said, rejurned that he was comnitted 'per mandatum dquini reyis,' and you said be was discliarged, but he fons bailet upon the Lord's Letter, and brought inther to record his return, for he was'bound to appear bere, and then he was dischanged ; but that was the cayce of his bringing lither. (Vide the Records as aforesaid.)
The next was IJugh Puin's Chse, in 21 H. 7 , and that you urged ibus: ' You s.ay that he was brought luther by a II. Corpus by the Warden of the fleet, who returned that he was committed by the king'a conuci, and he was bailed: now, we find that be was committed by them for suspacion of felony; and that cause was deciared, aud be was bailed: so that you see there was a cause expressed. (Vide the Records aforesaid.)

The next is 2 H. 8, Thomas Beckley, and Hobert Harrison's Casp; these you said were bronght in bther by Geurye, ead of Shrewshury, and Thomas earl of Surry; -and the retorn was, that they were committed by the comniand of H. 7 , and that they were bailed; but you shall find that they were committed for yepicion of felony; end that Harrison wa committed by II. 7, but it was for Homicify upon the sen, gund so the cause is express--3 and afterwards he was bailed. The next wás in 22 H. 8, John Parker's Case : you urged it to this porpose, That he was brought hither by a H. Corpus by the sheriffs of Jeooden, and they you said returned, that he was comraitted - per speciale mandarum domini regis nuricia' tum, '\&c. by Robert Peck, \&cc. The cause. why you urged this was two-fold; 1. That he was committed by the king's onmmand, ind yet he was byiled: 2 dly , That be was committed 'per nundatum donini regis nuncint',' per sueh a one: but you shall find by the Rej. cord that be was committed for the security of . the peace, and for suspicion of felony, sinf that' was the cause for, which he was bsiled, for, he is bnilable by law. wheu anch a cause' ap: pears. (Vide the Recogd as afuresuid);

Go on to the next, and that is Peter Man's Case, in the 3 and 4 Phil. and Mary; you *aged that to this purpose, you say, that he wastringht by the Keeper of the,Gute-louse, and you say, that he returned, that be was committed by the command of the king and queen's council, and thereupon he was 'bailed; but you shall find that he was committed for suspicion of felony and robbery, and thereupun he was buided.

The mext is in the 4 and 5 Phil. et Mar. Ed,ward Newport's Case ; you said that the Constable of the fomar brought hiun hither, and returned that we was committed by the council of the king aud queen jnad that le was bailed: but you see by the Relords, that he was committed for suspicion of coining, which is builable only in this court, nad therefore it was removed hither. Yet this I must tell you, that it is true, in one Record it appears nut but as you have cited it; but you may sec how it is supplied by apother record, und the cause, and he was delivererl by a proclamation. (Vide both Records in Mr. Selden's Argument).

Doderidge." He could not be delivered by preeiamation, uuless it was forn cruminal cause.
L. C. J. (liyde). Observe another thing in the Book, he is brought hother by the special command of the councal: so that although it appears not in the record, yet, if the king or lords inean to have him tried for his life, be is broacht lither. Then you sited diobert Constable's case, 9 Elii. and you said he was brought hither by the Leentenant of the Tower, who returned that he was committed by the lords of the council, tund thereupon be was bailed; but you shall find that he came hither to plend his pardong, and be was pardoned. (Vide the Record as aforesaid).

Thomas Lawrence's C'ase in 9 Fhu. is the same with Constable's, for it appears that he was brought hither to plead his pardon, aud hee was pardoned, and that was the cause he was brought hither.

The next-was in the 21 Eliz. Joln Browning's Case; it is true he was committed by the iords of the council, and he was brought by a H. Corpus to the chamber of sir Christ. Wray, chief justice, and he wastliere balled.

The next was 33 Eliz. W'm. Rogers; ad he, you said, was hroustht hither by the Keeper of the Gate-house, whi retúrned, that he pas committed to bin by the lords of the conucil, yet there was a cause expressed, and that was for suspiciop of coining of money.

The next wht in 39 Eliz. Laurence Broome; you say that he was brought hither by the d keeper of $t$ 'e Gate-house, who returned, that he was committed for divers cadses, moving the bords of the council, and thereupon be was delivered; but the Record is, that the return also was for suspicion of treason $r_{2}$ and ulthoggh the suapicion of treasot appears ant in oncelkecord, yet there is nnother lor it. Hers yom sre the canse of his connintan nt, and that he was peiled, but it was by the King's comtuand, tuque Oct.: Michaelis. (Vide the Recurd).-

I blame not yqu that are of counsel with these gentlemen for urging this Hecord, for this cause is not expressed in your Record, but that he was committed by the command of the council only; but he was committed for suspicion of felony with sir Thomas Smilh. (Vide the Record).

The next is in 40 Eliz. Fdward Harcourt's case, and Thomas Wenden's 'case; I bripg them together, because they are buth in one year. In the 40 of Eliz. Harcolart, you say, was committed to the Gate-house by the lords of the council; and the return was, that he was conimitted by them, 'Certis de causis ipsos ' moventibus ignotis,' and he was bailed.IIere is another in the same tipe committed to the same prison by the lords in the Star-chamber, it was Thomas Wenden's case; and he, you say, was committed by them, 'certis de 'causis,' (as the uther was) and that he was bailed; but you shall lind in the margin of the Roll, 'Traditur in ball' ex nssensu copcilii do'mine regina;' and that was the rphation of the queen's Attorney, so that you spe how the precedem fits you.

The next are two more commitr ents to the Gate-huese, Beckwith and Kefner; they, you sid, were comnitted to the Gate-house, brought their H. Corpus, and the Keeper of the Gate-house returned, that they wesoroimmitted by virtue of a warrant from the alp. of Canterbury, 1enry earl of Norihampton, lord warden of the Cinque Ports, and others of the privy-council; requiriug the said Keeper to receive, the said Bechwifh and Reyner into his charge, until they should have further order from them in that behalf; and you say they nere bailed. (Vide the Recond in Mr. Selden aforesaid).-Nuw you shall see the direction to ${ }^{\circ}$ hail him; he was bailed by the direction from the louls of the cooncil, ms appears by their letter. (Vide as aliresad). ${ }^{*}$

Now we come to Cxssur's Case, in 8 Jacobi; gou urged that to this purpose: you say he was committed to the Marshalsea, who upon a II. Corpus returnet, that be was conmitteti 'per 'speciale mandatum domni regis,' and you say, because the returiu was so genral, the rule of the court was, that it siould be amended, or else he should be discharged. I will open to you what the reason of that rule was, for that m,tice' was iahen, that the Keeper of the prison had used a false return, and had usurped the name of the king; I' know not how, hut tine commigment was aot by the king's commond; und that war the cause that he had 1 day givent him to anend hu, return, but his bofy was rquamded toे prison, hs vou shall see by the Recgid. (Vide the Record, $k$ ce.).

The lant precedent that you osed, was that of sir Who. Munson; and that was to notoric ons, and to lafe, that 1 nari el that was offered at all, it made me jenlous of will the rest, that was go notoriour ; nad now I have omitted none (you brought me. (Vide the tlecord.)By this Recond you may see that'he was cimmitted'by divers loris of the council; and it
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was for the suppicion of the death of sir Tho. Overbury; and it is notoriously known, that he was brought hither to plead his pardon.

I will not tell you that yoo read all these precedents, for you read none, but urged them here before us; but we required you to bring them to us, and they were brought to us, Mr. Corbet brought them all bat one, and that Mr. Naye brought, it was in the 22 H. 8, Parker's Case; and one Mr. Hulborn, a man whose face I never saw before, nor is he now in mine eye, did yesterday bring us one precedent to this purpose; and it was sir John Brocket's Case in 1 Jac. he was committed to the Gatehouse, and upon a Habeas Corpus, the Keeper returned that 'Coromiss' fuit per warrantum ' dominorum de privat' concilio, cujus tenor ' sequitur in hec verba,' viz. 'To the Keeper ' of the Gatehouse,' \&ec. (Vide Mr. Solden's precedents; but see upon what ground he was bailed, it was a special command of the lords of Wie council. Vide the Record).

These re all the Records and Precedents that you a jinistered unto us in your Argument, and that were delivered unto us, for I have dealt faitht lly with you; and now you have seen them in the cases, I would have eny than judge of the conclasion which you made the last day, that when a man is committed, and thê case not known, but it is certified to be by the king's special commandment, and the Habens Corpus is procured by fourselves and speared by the king, that we can discharge or bail them.
Then the Precedents are all against you every one of them, and what shall guide gur judgments, since there is nothing alledged in this case but precedents?. Tligt if no cause of the
commitment be expressed, it is to be presumed to be fir matter of state, which we caunot take notice of; you see we find none, wo not one, that hath been delivered by hail in the like cases, but by the hand of the king or his directiont. -

If we should cease here, you see you have shewif nothing to satisfy us, und we know that you that be of their counsel, will satisfy your clients therein. But you shall see that awe have taken a little pains in this case, and we will shew you some Precedents on the other side; and I beliere there be 500 of this nature, that may be cited to tpis purpose. I shall go retrogade\} and go backwards in citing the years of the precedents that I shall mention.

I will beghy with 7 II. 8 , Edwand Page, he was brought hither by the Steward of the Marshalsea, who returned that he wils committed ' per mandatum domini regis,' and he was - remitted; to that he was not delivered upon this goneral-return, bat he was rertanded.

The next-was 12 H .7 , there you simill see $h$ prgcedent where one was cormmitted, his name was Thomes Yew, he was committed for fclony, and nisu ' per mandatum domini regip' and the king's Attorney came bither and 'yleased the King's sommand, and thereupon he was mailed,
-brought by Sir T. Darnel, und-others. [HS
Mr. Noye. It is all one-with Parker's case. - L. C. J. No; for here were two causes of the commitment, Hobart was then the king'f Attomey, and he signified in open court that he was discharged by the king't command, and - Posteg traditur in ball' pro suspicione felonise.'

The next was Humplarey Broch, 9 H. 7, Rot. 14. you shall find it moch to that purpose as the otber wis before ; he was imprisoned far an outinury, and by the commandrfent of the king also, asad after that the releafer of the king's counmandment was cerfied to the chiefjustioe, he was thereupon nischrged. (Vide the Record).
The next A 7 H. 7, thomas Brown, John Rawlings, Robert Shermani and others, were committed 'per mandatum domini regis,' and for felony, outlawry, and other causes, as appears by the Records, and afier the king releaseth his commandment, nnd that the outlawry should be reversed, and for the felony he was bailed. (Vide the Hecord).-

So that you may see the offences mentioned in the Warraut for the commitruent were triable here, and when the king reieases his compmandment they were bailed for the rest, but they'that were committed by the commandment of the king were released by the king.

In 7 H. 7 , the cases of Wm. Bartholomew, Henry Carre, William Chase, and others, is to the same effect, by ull which you may see, that when the king releaseth his commandment, they were bailed fine the rest, und as thisy were committed by the king's commandment, so they were released by the king's command.

Now here I shall trouble you with no more Precedents, and you see yourowa what conclasion they produce. And as to those strong precedents alledged on the other side, we are not wiser than they that went before us ; and thercommon custom of the lave is, the Conimon Law of the land, and thrt hath been the continual commen coston of the law, to which we are to subnit, for we come not to change the law, but to sub.nit to it.

We have looked upon that precedent that was mentioned by Mr. Attorney; the resoIation of all the Judges of England, in 94 Ellz. Wg mive considered of the time, and 1 think tr re were not before, nor have been since, $u$ pre upright Judges thian they were, Wray was ope, and Anderson' anotier: in Easter terni this was cerified under the hands of all the Judges of tingland, and Berons of the Exchequer, in a duplicate, whereof the one was delivfred to the Lord-Chencellor, and the other to the Lord-Treasurer, to be delivered to the queen. We have ${ }^{-}$compared our ctpies, rope taking them the one froin the orker, but hiting ing chem; we haje long had thend by us tofes ther, and they all agree word for word; fite that which Mr. Attorney said, he had out of Jutipe Anderson's Book, and it is to this pur pose, to omit other $\ddagger j$ jogs, that if a man committed by the commandment of the $) \mathrm{daf}_{\mathrm{s}}$ bé is not to be delivered by a Habrar'Corpap in this court, for we know not the cabse of tha
commitment. (Vide the latter end ofthe first part of Mr . Selden's argument, as proresaid).
Buit the question now is, Whether we may denverthis genteman of not? You see what hath been the practice in all the kings times heretofore, and your own Records ; and this resolution of all the Judges tencbech $\ell s$ s, and what can we do but walk in the steps of ou forefathers? If you ask me which way you should לe deli ered, we shall tell you, we mus not commen you.
Mr. Attoruey, hath told you that the king bath done it, 0 it e trust himin in great matters, and he ig bof hd by ww, and he bids us pro. ceed by law, as we ey swora tordo, and so is the king; and we makdwo doubt but the king, if you seek to hin, he knowing the cause why you are imprisoned, he will have mercy ; but we leave that. If in justice we ought to deliver you, we would do it; but upon these grounds, and these Records, and the Precedents and Resolutions, ye cannot deliver you, but you must be remanded. Now if I hare mistaken any thing, I desire to be righted by my brethren, I have endeavoured to give the Resolativizf of us all.*

## PROCEEDINGS IN PARLIAMENT

## RELATING TO THE

## LIBERTY OF TIE SUBJECT.

The Gentemen continued in custody till the 29th of January following, when his mayesty in ciuncil ordered all of them to be relensed; and writs being issued about this tine for electing menters of parliament, to meet Maich the 17th, 1627-8, those gentlemen who suffered for the Loan, were elected in suany places. On the 17th of March the house met, nud sir John Fing̨h was chosen Speaker. On the 20th, the house

* Mr. Whitlock in his Memorials of the Env lish Affairs, p. 8, (edit. 1732), says, "Five of ihe imprismed geniteuncu, by Habeas Corpus were brought to the King's-bench; and (by their counsel assigned) took exceptions to the Meturn, "For thit it had not the cause of thei Commitnent, but of their detainer in priso., ' per speciaie mandatum regis,' w/mh is no purticular ciuse; and the law being mast tender of the subject liberty" Noye, Seldet, Bramson, Calthorpe, and others, who were ${ }_{f}$ counse I for the prisoners, prayed they might be releasel and discharged.-Heati, the King's Attorncy, at, another day argued ir irmainte nance nf the Return. Hyde, CLhief.Justice, declared the onpinion of the court, "That the Re:zurn wite positive and abselute, by the king' :apmeial commanai; and the signification of it ' by the lirde of the council is only to inform the court : and that the Habeas Corpus is not ' too returo the crase of the imprisonuent, bot ' of the delention in prisun; that the materof 'this Retuun is sufficient, and the court is not ' to exanuine the truth of the Return, bat must 'take it 'ass it is. Sutha Prisoners were re'manded."'
settled their Compmittees; and the 22d whs spent in opening the-Grievances, as Billeting of Solders, Loans by Benevolence and PrivySeal, and the imprisoning certain Gcntlemen who refused to lend upon that Account, who afterwards bringing their Habeas Corpus, were notwithstanding remanded to prison; nor did the house incline to supply his majesty till these Grievances were redressed. To which purpose,


## Sir Brancis Seymour spoke thas :

This is the great council of the kingdom, and here (if not here alone) his majesty may see ns in a true glass the state of the kingdom; we are called hither by his majesty's writs to give him faithful counsel, such as may stand with his honour ; but this we must do without flattery: we are sent hither by the commons to discharge that trust reposed ia us, by delivering up their just Grievances, and this we must do without feur: let us not therefore be like Cambrses's judges, who being demanded of their king whether it were not lawful for him to do what in itself was unilawful? They, urather to please the king, than to discharge their own consciences, unswered, That the Persian kings might dowhat they listed. This base flattery tends to mischief, being fitter for reproof than imitation; and as flattery, so fear taheth away the judgrnent: let us not then be possessed with fear or flattery, of corruptions the basent. For my own part, l, bhall shun both these, and speak my conscience with as much duty to his majesty as any man, but not neglecting the public, in which his majesty and the commonwealth have an interest : but how can we shew our affections, whilst ne retain our fears? or how can we think of giving of subsidies, till we know, whether we have any thing to give or no ? For if his majesty be persuaded by any to take from his subjects what he will, and nhere it pleaseth him; 1 wbuld gladly know what we have to give ! It is true, it is ill with those subjects that shall give laws to their princes, and as ill with those princes which shall ise force with those laws; that this hath been done, appeureth by the billeting of Soldiers, a diugg no way advantageouss to his majesty's serice, but a burden to the commonwealth; this Iso appeareth by the last Lepy of Money against an Act of Parliament.' Agnin, Mr. Speaker, what greater proof can there be of this, than the imprisonment of divers Gentlemen for the Loam, who if they had doue the contrary for fear, their fuult had been as great as theirs that were the pepjectors in $k$; and to couotenancethese proceedings, hath it not beet préeched (or rather prated) in our pulpits, that all we have is che king's Jure Drvinopsay these -time-servers; they fursalke their own function, and turbignorant statesmen ; we nee bow willing they will be to chauge a good consciepce for a biahopric ; and Mr. Speaker, we see how eany it js for a prince, how just and goor soever, to be \&oused, in regard he must see with other men's eyes, and hear with othes mea's ears. Let us not Aatter his majeaty, it is too apparent
to all the world, the king and people suffer more now than ever; hys mejesty in his affairs abroad, and hispeople in their estates at home: but will you know the reason of all this? Let us look back to the actions of former princes, and we shall find that those pyinces have been in greatest want and extremity that exacted most of their subjects, and most unfortunate in the choice of their ministers, and to have failed most in their undertakings; bappy is that plince that hath those that are fathfil of bis council. That which his majesty wanted in the management of his affairs concernag France and Spain, I am clear, was his want of fuithful council to advise: the reason is plain, a prince is strongest by faithful and wise councif; I would I could truly say, such have been employed abroad. I will confess, and still shall from my heart, he is no good subject, nor well affected to his majesty and the state, that will not willingly and fieely loy down his life, when tho end may be the service of his majesty, and the pood of the common-weal. But on the contrify, when against a parliament-law, the Subje t shall have taken froms him his goods uguiltt his will, and his Liberty against the laws of the land; shall it be apcounged want of duty in us to stand upon our privicges, hereditary to us, and confirmed by so many ufis of parliament?-In doing this we shall but ufend the steps of our forefathers, who ever Peferred the public interest before thoir own yht, nuy/ before there own lives ; nor can it doe any wrong to his majesty to stand upon them, so as therehy we'may be the better enabed to do his majesty service. But it will be a wrong to us and our posterity, and our consciences, if we willingly forego that which be-- longs unto us by the lav of God, and of the laud, and this we shall do well to present to his majesty; we have no catse to doubt of his majeaty's gracioue acceptation.

## Sir Thomas Wentuorth.

This debate carries a double nspect towards the sorereign and the subject; though both be innocent, both are injured and both to he cured. Surely, in the grentest humility I speak it, these illegal ways are punishment and marks of indignation, the rasing of Loons strengthened by commission, with unheard-of instructions and oaths; the pilleting of soldiers by the lieutenants, and depury-lieutenunts, have been as if they could have persuaded christian princes, yea worlds, that the right of empirgs had been to tuke away by strong hands, and they have chdenvoured, as far us possible finsthem, to do it. This hath not been done by the king (unfter the pleasimg shade of whase croyn I hope we shall ever gather the fruits of justice), but by projector, who have extended the prorozative of ye king beyond the just symmetry, which maketh the sweet harmong of the whole: tley have brought the crown intogrenter wapt than ever, by anticipating the revenues; and can the shepherd, be thus aimitten, uud thg sheẹp mot acuttered? They have utiodaced P Privy-

Council, ravishing at' once the spheres of all ancient guvernment, imprisonigg us tithout cilher bail $\rho$ t bond; they have taken from us, what? What shall I say indeed, wheyoluife they left us? All means of supplying the king, and ingratiating ourselves with him, taking up the root of all property, which if it be not seasonably set again into the ground by his majesty's own hunds, we shall have, mastead of beauty, baldness. To the making of those whale, I shall apply myself, and propeand a remedy to all these diseases. By one and the same thing have king and people been hurs, and by the same must they be cil ed; to vindicate, what, new things ? No, our anokent vital liberties, by re-inforcing the ancient laws made by our aucestors, by setting forth suth a tharucter of them, as no licentious spirit shall dare to enter upon them. And shall we think this is a way to break a parliament? No, our desires are modest and just, I speak truly, both for the interest of the king said people; if we enjay not these, it will be impnosible for un to, reliere him.- Therefore let us never fear they shall not be accepted by his gootness; wherefore 1 bhall shortly descend to my motiens, consisting of four parts ; two of which have relation to our persons, two to the propnety of goods. For our Persons : first, the freedom of them from imprisonment: secondly, from ernployment abroad, contrary to the encient custons. For our gqods, that no Levies be made, ${ }^{*}$ but ly parliament; secondly, no billetting of Suldiers. It is miost necessary that these be resolved, that the sabject may be secured in both.

## Sir Benjamin Rudyard.

This is the crisis of parlinments; we shall hnow by this if parliaments live or die, the hilig will be vulued by the succees of ua, the coupsels of this hnuse will have operations in all, it is fit we be wise; his mujesty begins to us with affection, proclaiming, that he will rely upon his people's love. Preservation is natural, we are not nuw on the bene ease, but on the csse; be sure England is oars, and then prume it. Is it no small matter that we have proroked two most patent kings? We have united therpand have betrayed ourselves more than ov enemies could. Men and brethren, what shall we do? Is thereano balas in Gilead? If ufe hing draw orte way, and the parlisment ahother, we must all sink. I respect no particular, I tum not so wise to contemn what is deterumined by the major part; gue day tells another, and one parliament instracts apother. 1 desire this house to avoid all contestifions, the heauts of kingy are great, it is cqmely than. hings bave the better of theicsubjects. Give the king leave to come off; I believe his mejesty expects but the occasion, It is lawful, and our duty to advise his majesty, but tho. Y way in to take a right course to attain the right: end; which I think pay be thas: by truefirit the king, and to breed $\leq$ trust in him : by givind hidh a large supply gecording to his wants, by prostrating our grierances bumbly at his foek:
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from thence they will have the beat way to his beart, that is done in duty to his inajesty. And to say all at oace, let us all habour to get the mouns bour side, and this may, be no bard maiten coasidering the near subsistence between the king and people.

## Sir Eduard Coke.

- Dum tempus habenus, bonum operernur.' I am absolutely for giving a Sapply to his majesty, 'yet with some cuution, To tell you offireign dangers and ubred evils, 1 will not do it; the State is inclining to a conmumption, yet fotizacuable; I fear not foreign enemies, Goofsend मr peace at home: for this disease I Will proptund remedies, I will seek nothing out of mhe own head, but from my heart, and out of aets of parliament. I am not áble to fly at all Grievunces, but only at Loans. Let us not flatter ourselves; who will give Subsidies, if the king may impose what he will? and if, after parliament, the king may inhance what he plenseth? I know the hang will not do it, I hnow he is a religious king, free from pergonal sices; but he deals with other men's hands, and sees with other men's eyen: Will any give a Subsidy that will be taxed after parliament at pleasure? Thee'king cannot tax any by way of Loans: I dilfer from them, who woold have this of Luans go amongst Grievances, but I would have it go alone.-I will begin with a noble. Record, it chedrs me to 'think of it, $25 \mathrm{E} . \mathrm{s}$; tt is worthy to be written in letters of gold; Lians ugainut the will of the Subject, are against Reuson, and the F, anchuses of the Land, and the y desire restatuiun': what a nord is that Franchise? The lord may tax his villein bigh or low, hat it is aganst the franchises of the land, for freemen to be taxed but by their consent in parlament. Franchise is a French word, and in Latin it is Libertas. In Magna Charta it is provided that, 'Nullus - liber homo capiatur rel imprisonetur aut dis-- seisietur de fibero tenemento, suo, \&c. nisi ${ }^{4}$ per legale jadicium parium suorum vel per ' legen terra ;' which Charter hath been confirmed by good king, above thinty tirocs.

When these gentlemen had spoken, sir John Cook, Secretary of State,; rook up the mater for the king, and conctuded for redress of Grizyances, so that Supplies dake the precedency; and said:

## Mr. Secretary Cook.

I had rathér you would hear any, than me, I will pot answer what bath beensalready apoken; my intent is not to stir, but to quiet; pot to provoke, but to appease: my desire is, dint every one resort to hy own heart to reVolte the kingased hrs state, and to take away the tocundat from as; overy ooe speaks from the ahumdance of his beart: I do conclude out ol entry parto conclasion, to give to the king, to rodrems grievances; all the differenice is sbiat the tmanuter. We all are iohabitants in one poose, the Common-wealth, let every one m genewhat antend his housp, somewhat is anils: at if all the house be on fire, will we then
think of mending what is amiss? will you not rather queach the fire ? the danger all apprehend. The way that is propounded, I seek not to decline. Illegal courses have been taken, it must be confessed, the redress must be by laws and punishment; but withal, ould the law of neceasity; necessity hath no law, you muat abilitate the state to do, what you do. by petition require. It is wished we begin with Grievances; I deny not that we prepare them, but shall wef ofer them first? Will not this seem a condition with his majesty ? Do we not deal with a wise king, jealous of his honour? All subsidies cannot advantnge his mujesty so much, as that his subjects do agree to supply him; this will amaze the enemy more than ten Subsidies: beqin therefore with the king, and not with ourselves.

## Sir Robert Phillips.

This day's debate makes me call to mind the custom of the Romanas who had a solemn Feast ouce a year for their slaves, at which aume they had hiberty, without exception, to speak what they would, whereby to ease uhyr afficted minds; which being finished, they neverally returged to their former servitade. This may, with some resemblance and distinction, well set forth our precent state; where now, after the revolution of some time, and grievous sufferinge of wasny violent oppressions, we havt, as those slaves had, $n$ day of liberty of speecli; but shrll not, I tust, be berem slaves, for w9 are free, we aie not bondmen, but subjecto: these, after their feasts, were slaves again; but it is our hope to return freemen. I arm glad to see this morning's work, to see such a sense of the Grievances under which we groon, I see a concurrence of grief from all parts, to see the Subject wronged, and a fit way to see the Sulject righted: I expected to see a division, but I see an honourable conjunction, and I take it a good omen, It was wished by one, that there weie a forgetfulness of all; let him not prosper that wisheth it not. No, there is no such ways to perfect remedy, ns to forget injuries; but not so to forget, as not to recover them. It was usual in Rome to bury all injanes on purpose to recover then. It was said by a gentleman, that ever speaks freely, "We ' must so govern ourselves, as if frots parliament ' must be the crisis of all parliamepts, and this ' is the last.' I hope well, and there will be no cause for the king, our head, to except againat us,or we ggaiust him. • The dangers abroad are presented to as; he is no Englishman that is not apprehensive of thera.

SVe have provoked two potent kings (the one too near, whodere too strongly joined together ; the dangers are not chimerical, but real, I ace knowledge it, but it must he done ia proportion. of our dangers at howes I more fear the giolation of public Rights at horue, than a foreiga enemy, Mast it he our duties and direction to defend foreiga -dangers, and establith security aganur them, and shall we not dook at that which shall make us able and willing therean-
to ）We Shall nat omit to confide and trust his majenty，otherwise our counsels will be with foars，and that becones not Engllshumen．The unaccustomed violences，I bave nuthing but a good meaning，trench into all we havic 10 the four Paruculars alieady mentioned，where－ m we suffic，one more may bs addcd，lost God torbenr to hicar me in the day of my tiouble， our heligion is made vendible by（ onnmissions shas＇now a toleration is granied（hutle lcos）， and men for peguprany amuul tates das，ensed withul，whereby Papists，without tear＇ot law， practisc idolatiy，aid soff at parhuneats，at laws，and all It is nell hnown，the people of thas state aie under no other sabjection，than whit they did voluntarily consent unto，by the origmal contriat betwetn king and people， mid as theie are many picrogatives and pins－ legis confaried on the hing，so there are left to the Yubject many necessany Liberties and Pir－ vileges，is appears by the cominon lans and acts of $p$ urledment，notwthatanding what these two Sycophants，Sibthoup and Manwaunge lanie uraued in the pulpit to the contrary Was there ese yet hang of 「ngland that directl） voll it diti，Sub，ects I iheity and Property，but thi11 netuons ngre ever complaned of in par－ liment，in I no－0 net complaned of than re－ wiesed＂ $11 L^{*}$ ，there weat out a Comans－
 9fecueding pathiment piayed redies，suid，till 3，we nevar heud of the sud Commisions （uili－Inoticr way waseby I onn，i worm Thit comered the lis the puhninent daf ic－ Chesh it，mad that moncy u o p pud igun Ihe －uczt hetle engine was Bem solence，ul ot the fore of that was，look intu the atstute of $R$ ， whel danued that paticul in wis，ad allotha，
－Indincet $\operatorname{sinc}$ the Rinht of the ${ }^{*}$ Subject is thus bui－ wathed by the lis of the hisdom，und pances upon cmpl unt hive rediescit theta，I tui condent wo shifl have the line ciase of joy fiom his majesty

I will he：mishe ？hetle digicesion the unaty，Goneiscislane，I sin for，were ple ssed to command me to sel the remotal foom them of the griatest buithell thit cret people suffered It was exccllently said，Connutb－ sionsty Lieutenants do deprice us of ill hiber－ ty；if ever the bie was seen of the heruten uncy that now $14, \mathrm{r}$ will nevet be believed more they kill thy people they must $p$ ty so much upon wairgnt from a De puty I ieute in wit，on be bound ts the good bebastour，and seat up to the Lords of the Council of it is the stiangest engine to rend the libeaty of the wiphect that eien was theie is now a Deccanvin in every county，and amougt that Decemvirr，there is some Clauthus Appios that seek their own re－ veages We compiain of Loans ald Imposs－ ynus，bat when Deputi－I teutenanis maty send Wagrants to supusison oure persodis at pleabure， If we pay not what they sent for，it conçeras us to presertre uha conntry in freedom，and to con－ suter of thistind of peuple．There is nol Ne－ comuty brougbe in fot whe argument；silf how KOL．III．
that Neccssity is an＇armed man，and that No－ gessity is an enil Counsellor，I would wo hed f nevor buova that counsel；，we are slmogy grown like the Tulg，who send thofr Juniz nies，who pface the halbert at the door，and there he is master of the house．We have Sol－ dieso billetted，and Wanants to collect money， which if they do not，the soldiers mast comp and rife．The Ilomans sending ove anto Spanp， tound no gicater complaunt，than the dscons tent thit did arise，from soldiers placid Dountert them．I would jou nould legh hato Tortencue， where he puts the prince uy antad，what inwery he saw，where soldiens we⿻ put pon the peo－ ple but，sath he，no rtan is focced＇to takp Soldien but luns，and duey are to the paid by them 1 deare we resort to his matjesty for rediest，and to reduce all into bounds．

The other way of Grievance ns a Jodgronet in a legal course ot proceeding；we have，bad three Julbments of lute tumes，all exceedutg one another in prejudice of the sobject ：the first $n$ zs，that which was judged itf all tormality ${ }^{2}$ the Postinati，Scots，case，＂whach people $\frac{1}{2}$ honour，tor we find many of them love us more than we do ourselves I do not complen ofitt， but galy meation it

She other Judgment was for Irapositions，$\dagger$ which was gaven in the Exchequer，and thus house twice afterwards dawned that Judgment $t$ how reynss off ejes 山le upon that I greve to see
There is a Judzment，if I may so call it，a fatal Judguient ig anst the Liberty of the Sub－ lect，Mich 3 Cir in sit John Hevenigghaur＇s Case，argued at the bar，and pionounced bat by onc alone．I can live alihough another without tutle be put to lite with pue，nay，I can hive， although 1 pay 1 xctses and Impostioas for move thin 1 do but to havemy Liberts，whach is the coul of inv life，taiken from mee by powerf und＂to be pent up $m$ a gaol without remedy by liw，and thes to be so adjudged to perish an ziol， O improsident ancestors！O unwase fare－ futhers＇to be so cunous in providng for tho quict possession of our lands and hiberties of pultarnent，and to arglect our peronens and hodies，and to let them die in prison，and fimes durante bene placto，remediless．If diveng law／Ghat do we tall of our Liberties？Wha do we trouble ourselvge with the dasputa of Lapy，Francluses，Propriety of Goods＇fit it chere sulmine totalus of all museries；I will not saps is was erroneous，but I hppe we shatll syenk am minds，when that Jodgment comes hare to ta 4 defated．What may a man call fort if ${ }^{\circ} \boldsymbol{p}$ ， Lsberty Having passed un some confuapon is the fashuon of my delivery I conclude ；＂e Fain connder two partactlars hit，Mgesets，＂and \％im People His Mejesty calla to ar，apd，ornvec of asnstance to revive nyay hat hopour，wad tha
 we hope，with that slurectiop，traf we wail－${ }^{2}$ turis to them with that glive bralnek，isitipert


[^0]whech they can bardly bresthe Our sung have hrought on as those miseries, let us all bring sidy portion to mathe up the wall we come Th hoyal hearts; lis undesty sholl find, that it 13 we that are his fatthful counscilors; let all sycophants be fir iemoved fioin his majesty, ence we cannot help his majesty withofit opening oun Grievances, let us dischage our duties therenn. yet while we seeh Libetty, we will not fotget subjection.: All things a state can be capabre of etcher blestings or punshments, depend on thus preeting it any thinh the ling many be supplyer, and the commonwe ith preseived withofer redres ot Gmeancts, he is decerved. 'The hings if Eniland wete neter mioge glorious than when they trusted thrir 4utijects; let us make all haste to do the $\mathbf{T H}$. nd for which we cause, lat the house consila to prepare our Grievances fit in hito majetris new not to make a lan to pue us new hicr ties, but declaratory, whisespective pen ilits, to that those, which viol tie them, it the; would be vile, they should feat mbamy with $m \cdot n$, and then we shall thank of such a Sopy'ly as thesta prince recgivid, and with oun money wr thill give him our hearts, and gne hum an now pcople rased from the dead: then I hope this parhisment will be entated, 'The Posinment of 'Wonder,' and Gor's Jurleme nts usce ud, and these beans of poodness sball ene us hite, and twe shall go home to our ona connthes, ind lense ous posterities as fisc as ous ancisturs left us.

Bet thas diy, as also the tno next $\mathrm{d}_{1} y$ 's Debate, pioduced ny Resolutions
'Monday, 2s March Sccretuy Cook rehexed the motion of Supplies tut his myyctis, yet so, that Guevances be labcwise taben intu considcration Then lie mide a moifm, "That the same Cominittee inay he ir Piog isttions of general heads of Supply, and afterwards po to other businestes of the day tor Grievonces." Others priferred the consider: thon of Grrevances, as a particular noot thit iuvaded t'ie main Liberty of the Subject It is the lan (sadd they), that glonous fund mental Rught, whereby we bise power to gre, we dealre but that his majery niny see us hage th at right therem, which, next to God, we all depire, and then we doubt not, but we shall gire his majesty all supply we, can The tume owas, when it was usual to desne favours for sowng of discords, as Gondomar did for Raletit's heed.- But the debates of this day ceane to no Revolution.

The day following, heing the 25th, Mr.Secre. tary Cqok tendered the house certain Propos:Hious from thelmag, tonehing Sopply; and told them, That his majesty, finding tume precious, wapects that the shebld begin speedaly, lect. they spend that tume in deliberation, wheh whold be apent til action - thar'he estegnis the Grieverces of the houge his ows, and stands wot oni pricederits in point of honour Theiefore, ts athesfy thit unajesty, let the same fomapttet take his majeity's Propotitiuns noto con-
sideration, and let both concur, whet ther to att on one in the forengon, or the other in the afteruion, it is all one to his majesty.- Hereupon the honse tained themselves inio a Cotrmittee, and commanded Edward Litileton, esq. vuto the chan, gnd ordered the Committee to take into consideiation the Liberty of the Subject, in hus Person, on I in lus Gonds, and also to take zato consideration his mafjesty's Supply. In this De bate the Grievnices were ieduced to sid heada, ns to our Peisons. o
1 Attendance at the Council-boud 2. Impisomment. 3. Confiucment 4 Designition for toteign Lmployment 5 Martial Lan 6 Induc Piocetdings in matter of Judicature
The first matren debated, whe the Subyect's I bierty in his Pirson. the particulir msidance wis is the cise of sor John Herenugham, and ti use other getritimen who nere imprisoined about I oall mor ty , wid thercupon had bowaght then Itibe is ( uppus, had therr Cose argued, and wire monthelesiemanded to pison, and a Judgmeat, as it was then oald, " 4 chitcicd.

## Theñ ${ }^{\circ}$ Sin Ednard Cile pohe nff tollows

 of the law ot thes hin ilom, nd a supichie prut, In the pres : tist is hisme tendered nud at
 ir by the lavs of J uhbaud But some waitsy members of thas lour I ise ymiken of tore en atates, wheh I rowitic to be a forch,n opec - 1 , and not able in weshen the sade I stall maum tın

Ihat Mi. A'torncy $\rightarrow$ Itobert Ifeath) may hwe souethn; $w$ thetid unto, I will eptah, stuout tahing fucthes dis, 10 the body of the cause, yet hetprisg s nucthug in storefo another tane I hive not wis budinicumheit, yct I will cadiatour to icute my anthoritice tuuly I shall beim with an old euthorty, fn 'Linorem ad sua piacipad referif, e-t ichel'laic'

I he ground of thas erior w 15 the Statute of West 1, cap 15, which suth, 'Thit thoue are ' not ieplevialit, who are coamitud tor the 'death of a mar, or bly the cominandment $\varepsilon$ of the bing, or hus justires, or for the forest', (for sn it was cited) and Stamford 78, expounded hercof, the 'comidaudment of the' ' $\operatorname{ling}^{\prime}$ to be the conumandinent of the ling's mouth, os of his coment but it \&s clear that by preceptum is understond the commandment of the Justiges of the King's bench, and Common-Pleas- and thas 15 'conternporanca expositio, 'qua ent fortissima nâ lege.'

- To this parpose vide Westm. 1. cap. 9, the Bool of i In 2. item, cap 20, de malefactoribys in parc, the Book of $8 \mathrm{H} 4,5,1$ tem, $25,26,29$, c quyder startut, whereby it may uppear that the cominnudinent here spoken of to be the commandment of the king, is huscommindimept by the Judgra, 'Pieceptum Do'mpl Itegrs uf Cana, uon un Camera.' مo
 maldo in the rext king't seigh, find expretaly in

Dyer, ful. 162. 6. 50. et fol. 192. $\oint 24$. Shall 1 further prove it hy mattel of Record' 'Fac 'hoc et vives' it is 18 E. 3, Rot 38 coram Rege, Jobn Bilston's Cave. who heing committed and detanad in $\mu^{1 \text { som }}$ by the commandment of the hing, was discharged by Habeas Corpus, 'co quod bicie domili regis non fuit 'sufticuens caus i.'
All the acisof parliament in tatle of Accusaltionare dutet to the point, and aluothe 10 II 6 , Biooke and Lisleton, 2, 8, monstian: de fait 182, per Cur. The hing cannot command a man to be arrested in his piesence the hing can arrest no man, because there is no renucdy agnmst hum, 1 II 7,4, hikewise prachet stat, c 18, the kung's pleasure is not buiding without the assent of the iealm
${ }^{6}$ I never sead any opmion aganst what $I$ have said, but that of Stainford, mastaken (9s you see) in the ground: yet I say not that a in in may not he comintted without piecise shewing the cause in particular, for it is sufficient if the cause in gener il be shewed, as for Treason, \&cc 1 E. 2, stat de fran gend prason. nullus habeat judictum, fec there the cause of impuisonment must be hiljwn, elve the statute will be of little force, the worde thereof do plaunly demonstrate the intent of the statute to be accordingly I will conclude with the highest authonty, that is, 25 chap of the Acts of the Aposties, thelast verse, herest Paurl sath, 'It is aganst renson to send Ja man to pison without shewing a equse' Thus, Mr Attorney, according to the rules of physic, 1 have given you a preparative, which doth precede s purge I have tnach more in store, ${ }^{*}$

## Mr. Cienswell

- I stand up to spe sh sonfen hat concerasug the point of the subjects grevances by imprisonment of therr peisons without any declaration of the chuse, contraty unto, and in deioghtion of, the fundamental laws and liberties of this
* " I rise not to make an argument in this point the greatest that ever was in this place, oi eisewhere. Tlus hbertv, which all men, ns well lawyers as others, beheve, as I bope, hath been volated, though not wrthout complailt ${ }^{*}$ bat except in this late coune, I am conohdent, was never adjuiged before. The IInbeas Corpus wis brought, the cause was returned by conmmand of the'hing, intinated by the lords of the councl; Argoment was made; seven acts of parhament were mentioned, and all were passel over, and only corumended; and upon that a Deciaration ot Jurigmeht was gives, and so adjudged; 'That upon any commitment by the ' Kring of the counich, no enlargeqneat can be,' I ever obyerred, in any great cauise, solemn arguments used to be made. Wo see hay majestz and has copincil aie both interested in thas. I do flesire that some of the hug's counsel may spenk yhat they can to satuff us of thys great power." MSS. Pympui dpua virum bon ratup Thomens Ealea baronettura. See SeldeifiLife ta his Wrotic, vol, 1, p, 12.
kuggdoc. I thunk I am one of the Puisnes of por profesnon, which are of the membert of this house; ${ }^{\circ}$ but howsoever spre I ate in that respect of my own imabilutes, I amathe Pume of all the whole house; therefore, acoording ts the osual course of students in our profession, I (as thefPusne) speak first in tyme, Because I can speal least in inatter.

In pursuance of which course I shall rather put the cise than argue it and thergfure I shat| humbly dexre first of all, of this hinnaginbla house in general, that the goodness of the cause
 my augument, and next of all, of my masters heie of the same profession in protiallar, that they by their learned judgraents will supply thie great defects I shall discover by declating of my unleauned opamon.
Betore I speak of the question, gut me leave, as an entiance thereunto, to speak first of the occosion You shall know, Juitice is the hifo and the he irt's blood of the compononwealtit; and if the commonwealth bleed in the mastervein, all the balm in Gilead as but in viun to predsence thas out body of pohcy from ruin and destrnction Justice is both coluifna et catbina 'repoblices,' she is both the column and the pillar, the crown and the gloy of the commonwealth Thas is made good ui Scripture by the judgment of Solomon, the wisest hing that ever regued, on earth. Tor 1 , ahe is the pillar: for he auth, that by Justice the throne thall be eftablisherl - 2, she is the crown ; for he zaidp, thit by Justice a nation is exalted-Our lawn, whichonre the rules of this Justice, they art the ne plus ultra to both the hing and the sabject; and as they aie the Hercules' pallar, so are Chey the pullat to every Heacules, to every prinee, which be must not pass Giveme leare to resemble her to Nebuch idnezzar's tree. for tife 1s so great, that she doth shade not only the palace of the hing, and the house of the pobly); but doth also shelter the cottige of the foorest beggar
Vिherefore, if ether now the blasty of indignation, or the unresistable violator of lavis itit. cessuty, hath so brused any of the brenches off this titc, that either our persons, or goodes of posyessions have not the same chelter as blfort: yeflet us not theretare negiect the root of this great tree, but rather wuth -all our possible adeavoar aud unfogned duty, both apply zth and fertule mould unto it, and also warer i\$ Fina woth our own te irf, that so these praped branches may be recaiered, end, the whole.inde agun prosper and flourish. Fopinis I learned from an ancient Father of the Chan that thoanh 'preces regam sapt aryate', ' armas subditorum are but.oply ' precofyer if ' chryma.'
I hnow well thmt' cor rege magrưpabila, y' jind that huges, althoneh they ure butt nem bo fols: God yet arethey Gods hefore gian. And uby ${ }^{2}$ fore co my gucious and dex ad woynarion, (x)

 may, let my soul nof tive that day, thyt I/ Mat
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dare to lutt up my arm to touch that forbidden truit ${ }_{n}$ those ${ }^{\text {Plowers ot his princely crown ind }}$ Hiardem.
But yet in our I den, in this geeden of the commonwedlh, as there sre the plonus of the
-aun, which are so glonouss that they age to be hrudled oaly by royil oungerty, so ne there also sune dasks anid aholesonge herbs, whict, every common h vid that hies and labours m thus paded may fich and g uther up, and the conitort und $\mu$ po e un thisin Amoongt di which this oruuluy dice, this bona hbertas is one, and the cluef fore
Thum anuc) in ill homblci e.s I presume to speah toit the occ sin In ind ndiv disceend to the questuon wheien $I$ bold, ( $n$ i h all dutidul subrissson to better Judgments) that these acts of power in muprsonuag and contaning of ha intjesty's subjects in such mannel, without nny declarration of the esture, ouc nganst the fundamental haws nad libertics of this hamgora

And for thuse reasous thus brefly drawn, 1 conclude,

1. 1be first, trons the greit faroun which the lav doth $⺊$ ne unto, and the gicat care whinch it hamis ever tahen ot the liberty and godety of th. linglom. I slou'd not n el to the the ques tou in preces, noi hindle in mpis dardedls, hut as one enurc, bec use I hold tho other dit ferance betueen Inpuiconment and (onfuement than only thus, that one h hth $~$ less aud atrater, the other a greater and linget pison. And this word Conninement not being to be found in any one c ise of our law, if thereforc it is become tie language of state, it st two dithcult for me to dehne.
To proceed therefore im manntenance of my first reason, I find outr law doth oo much fav oun the Subject's Liberty of hus pirson, that the body of a man w is nut hible to be sriested or imprisoned for any other cause at the commonjaw, bot for force, nid things done agunst the peace. Fol the connimon law (being the pieeerver of the land) so abhoiretf fince, that those that commit it she arcounts hel capital enemies, and thereforic did subject their bodites to umprisonment But by the stature of Marlebndge, Cap. 24 , whuch was m inde 35 H. 3 , who was the eighth hing from the Conquest, bequise bailffif would not render accounts to thur lodes, it was euacted, that therr bod es shoold be attached. And afterward ty the statute 23 Ed $\mathrm{S}, 17$. who was the eleveath himg after the Conqueat, because men mafe no couscience to pay their detith it wns elfacted, that their bodes shoold ilikewise be attached. But before those startites no min's body was subject to be talen ar mupruoned otherwiseethan as aforessud Whereby uif whtent, how much the commonInrin invoured the Liberty of the Subject, ond protected hus body from imprisonment

1 mpliniorce thie reason farther by a rale in lave, sind some casea in law upon that orie Tho role in thes, That ' Corporals injurna non re'ciputastimationem e fatroro,' So as if the question be liot for a wrong dono to the penson, the Leve wall not compel hum to sastain th, sad
afterwards nccepte remedy; for the lair holds no dun ige a suthicient rewumpeuce for a wiong which is corporal
Thi casce in law to piove thus, ghall be these. If one menace me miny goods, or thit ie will bura the endeneo ol my land whicli be hath am lis rustody, unless I make unto ham a bond there 1 cannot avord the bond, by pleaddugg of thas menacu. Bata hie wetran ; tyy plason, or thicatens mic unth buttery, or with buinng my h huse, which is a is itcetion for my peison, ot with buinug an mastaoment of mquunnission, which is an cridace of my eofianchrement; upon the sc mevades or ducs, I thall avoid the bond by plea
so if a tie pipssci dives my benst orer another $m$ in's ground, and I pusue to rescue at, theere $I \mathrm{am}$ a tienpasses to hum on whurc kround I un Dut it x mand ass uilt my pocison, and I fir my sfity fly ove: met, allotive man's -t sund, th ac I aun no treep assee to ham, lor, ' Qund quis a tuitione sui colporiu fecent, ' juic id ficcise existunatun'
N yy, whinh is move, the Common-lav did fwour uic 1iberty not only of 1 femen, but tren it the persous of bondmen, nd cullume, who hive no propnet) either is lands on buods, isficemen hue, and ticref te by the liw, we lord could not in um hiss vienn, nus, if the lod comimanded smother to bett his vilkip, uel he did ts, the villeun shruld h we his nction of battery agunst han fon at -If the loid minfe $a$ levee lor yein to las sillem, if he dud ple lid with hiss oill in, it he tendsed his villem is bee 'h mupion'in bum un a writ ol $n$ ' $t$, any ol ${ }^{\text {a }}$ thore kto, and mens othe, wheh 1 onut, wcie in liw entianchinseras nts, and made thise oullems free men Nay, in a suit blought agunst one, if be by attoney will plead that he is if villen, the law is so cucfal ot ticedon, thitit disullows this ple fliy attorany, but he must do it propt ta persona, bic nase t t ©inds his potetity and blond to the ullum's ilso And thus much in the genenal for my finst restson.
2 My nest reasoil 1 s diawn by an ugument àmaør ad munus, I h une t thus. It tiehing hase no sbevolute power oves our lands or geods, then a fout Loin and oret out persons, to mpprisnn them without declaring the cause, for vur persons are much moic worth thnn either lands, or goods, which 18 profed by what I hue saud alroudy, and, Chinst hamelf makes at clear, where be saith, 'An non est corpus supra vestunentum " Is uot the body of mone worth thas the caiment's Where the Canonists suly, thit pestimentum comprehendeth all outward thung, which nre not in the same degree with that whech ss cuiporal. And our law maketh It iso plain, for if a villetn purchmeth fraphs. land, this manies at villem-lend according to tha natuic of has persion; but it holds not 2 con verwo, trani-hind shall, not free the peyon. Now that the hang hath no absolute power eithep over our lands or goode, I will only at thas (me but put a case or twoi, for wuhhout proof of the premises, my conclusion would not follow.

First for Eand: The king eannor by his let-tera-patent make the son tf an alien heir to bis father, nor to any other, for he cannot disinherit the right heir, saith the book, nor do tho prejudice to the lord of bis escheat. The king by lits prerogative shall pay to toll for things bought in fairs and markets; but n custom for paying toll to 0 over the soil and freeholds of nhiother shall biad the king, for this toncheth the inheritance of the suhject; and therefore the king shall not have so moch as a wely over his lands nithout paying; nnd if not a way, then ecrasialy not the land itself.
Next for goods; If a man hath a jewel in gage for ten pound, \&cc. and is attainted for trenson, the king shall not have this jewel, if he pays not the ten pound. So if cattle be distreined, and the owner of them ufterwards be attainted, yet the king shall not have them until he have sativfied that for which they were distreined, And if in these cases, where the owners of the goods are such capital offenders, the king cannot have them; much less shall he have them when the ownor is innocent, and no offender.

Nay, I may well say that almost every lenf and puge of all the volumes of our CommonLaw prove this right of propriety, this 'distinction of merm and turm, us well betweep king ond subject, as one sabject nud another: and therefore my conclusion follows, That if the prerognave extend not neither to lands nor to goork, then d fortiori not to the person, which is more worth than either lauds or goods, as I said. And yet I agree, that hy the very law of nature, service of the person of the subject is dine to his sovereign ; but this must be in such things which are not against the law of nature: but to have the body mppisoned without any couse declared, and so to become in bondage, I an sare is contrary unto, and against the law of nature, and therefore not to be inforced by the sovereign upori his subjects.
3. My next reasun is drawn ab inutili et incommodo. For the Statate de frangentibus prisonam, made 1 Y. 2. is, 'quod nollus qui pri* sonanf fregerit, sabeat judicium vite rel ment-- biorum pro fructione prisona tantum, nisi - causa pro qua captus̀ inuprisonetur tale joci'ciam requirat.' Whence this conclusion is clearly gathered, That if a man be committed to prison without declaring what cause, and then if eithes malefactor do break the prison, or the gaoler sulfer lim to escape, albeit the prisoner so escaping had cominatted crimen late mofestatis, yet neithen the gaoler nor any other that procured his escape, by the law tuk fer' any corporal punishwent for setting, him at large; which, if adnuited, might prove in consequence a mattor of great dangerts the commonweglth.
4. My next reason is drawn ab regis honore, frompthat great honour ahe law doth attribute unto sovereign majesty; and therefore the rule of law is, that ' solum rex whoe non 'potet far'core, quod non potest injuste agtre.' And therefore if a suabject bath the donetion, andthe king the presentation to a charch, whert-
upto the king presents without the tubject'v nomination, hore the quare impedit lies egainst the incumbent, and tha king is in lav no diatarber.

And Hussey, Chief-Justice, in 1 H. 7, for. 4. sath, Tine sir John Marklyam told King Edv. 4. he could not arreat a man either for treason or felony, as a subject might, because that if the king did wrong, the party coold nof have his' uctrion agnioat bim.

What is the relison that 'an aption of false' imprisonment lies against the Slerrif, if he dotb not return the king's writ, by Which he hath taken the body of the subject, but his, betanse the writ doth DFeviter enarrare cautain captionis, (which if it doth not, it shall abate, and is woidin law) and being returned, the party when he appenss may know what to maswer, and the court upou what to judge? And if the king' wrt under his great segl cannot inprison the subject, unless it contains the cause, shall then the king's wartant otherwise do $x$ mithont containing the cause; that his jodges upon return thereof may likewne jodge of the sanie, either to remain, or judge the party imprisoned?

I should argue this point more closely apon the statate of Magna Charta 29. ' Quod nullus ' liber homo imprisonetur;' the Statute of Wert. 1. cap. 15. for letting persouy to bail; and the Jurgmems lately given in the King't-Bench: but the latter of these Statutes having been by that honourable gentleman sur Edward Cote (to whom the prolessors of the law both in this and all socceeding akes, are, andrwill be muctt bound) ulready expounded unte us, and that, also fortified by those many cotemporary expo-' sutions and judgments by him learnedly citted; and there being many learned lawyort bere, whase time I will not waste, who were preserit; and some of them perhaps of counsel in the late: cause adjadged in the King's-Bench, where you' (to whose person I now apenk) do wéll krifow I: wras absent, being then of eounsel in a'canteft another court; and my practice being in twas country, far remote from the Trestare of Ahtio. quity, and Records conducing to the cleatitit of this point ; therefore the narrowness of min; understanding commends unto mie bober 学hos-
 and also commands me, no farther to trousted yoar patience.

But I will conclude with that Which 1 fith ${ }^{1}$ reported by kir John Datis, witb was the kint Serjeant, and so, by tbe dpey of his place whtad no doubt maintain to hian intuatoat tratro tives of the king his rogal minstegn' and jod
 upon the case of Tamftry Customes p. SO, Theif) the kings of Englasd elways hirin atid a uadter chy-royal, and not aimonarehs-stifocing! yh ith under the fint (saith be,) "a the mabeter in freemen, and have' propriety ini.therr yow in

 slaver, and have propritet id withaso therefore (baith he)' when 'a' roghl goghanc

of hin antiop's apcient inhabitants ints his prothetipn, they and their heirs after them shafl *aldy thair lands and lipertiea according to the Iaw. And there he voucheth this Precedent and Júdgment following, given before William the Conqueror himself, viz. "That qpe Sperborn a Saxon, at the uaie of the Conquest being owner of a caste and lando in Norfolk, the conqueror gave the same to one Warren a Normand and Sherborn dying, the heir claining the same ${ }^{2}$ s. descent uccording to the law, it Fes before the wonqueror himself adjadged for the beir, and that the gift thereof by the Conquetor was y/id."
If then it wcre thus in the Cofqueror's time, yad by hus own sentence and judgment, and hath so continued in all the successions of our kings ever sunce, what duutt nced we have, but that hus most escellent majesty, upon our bumble Petithon prostrated at his feet, (which, as was well sand, is the best passage to his beort) will vouchsab unto us our ancient hbirties and birch-rikhts, with a thorough reformation of thise and other jugt grievances? And so I humbly crave pardon of this honourable house, that I have made a short lesson long.

Upon this and other arguments made in this Case of the Habeas Corpus, the house refetred the whole business to a Committee, to examine all the proceedings : concerning which, Mr . Gelden afterwards made report to the house, that Mr. Waterhoupe, a Clerk in the CrownOffice, being examined before the Committee, did confess, what by direction from sir Robert Heatb, the king's Attoruey-General, "he did write the draught of a. Judgment in the Case before-mentioned, which was delivered to Mr . Attorney. And Mr. Keeling being examined before the Committee did confess, that after Mich. term last, the Attorney-General wished bim to make a special entry of the Habeas Corpus: to which he answered, he knew no special entry in those cases, but only a Rcupilfifur : but said to Mr. Attorney, that if he pleaped to draw one, apd the couri after assentof to is, be would then gnter it The Attorney did accordingly make a draught, and the copy sherpof Mr. Kgeling produced to the commutjee. And forther said, that he carcied this draught to the Jodges, bat they would not assent to a special entry: nevertheless, the At-torney-General diven times seat to him, and sald him there was no remedy, but he mustenter it. Yet a week bfirra the partiomept met,
 waino, which accordingly he gape pato him, yhd never heard of it mpre.

[^1]aep how this Jadgment lies against us, and What the Judges do say conceraing the same."

March 27. The house proceeded in farther Detbate of the Liberty of the Subject. When

Mr. Hackaoell resumed the debate of the matter concerning the Habeas Corpus.

## Mr. Hackavell.

The late Judgment, said he, which lies in bar, is only an award, and no Judgment; ind in the L. C. Justice's argunient, there was no word apolen, That the king might commit or detain without cause. For the king to commit a map, is indignum rege: mercy and honour flow immediately from the king, judgment and justice are his too, but they fow from his ministers) the sword is carried before him, hut the sceptre is in his hands. These are true emblems of a good king." The law adinits not the king's power of detuining in prison at pleasure. In ancient times prisons were bot 'pro ' custodia, cnrceres non ad ponam, sed nd 'custodiam.' Adnit the king may commit a man, yet to detain him as long as the pleaseth is dangerouv, and then a man shall be punished before his offence: Imprisonment is a maceration of the body, and horror to the mand; it is vita pegor marle.

Then the house commanded that Case in the Lord Chief Justice Anderson's Book;' all of his own hand-writing to be openly read. The words of the Report were these:
" Divers persons fueront committes a several tempsta several prisons, sor pleasure sans. bon cause parte de queux estiant amesnes en Ranck le loy. Et parte an se Commane Banck fueront accordant a la ley de la terre mise a large et discharge de le umprisonments pur que aucuns grants fueront ostendas et procure un commańrment a les Judges que ils ne fera issent apres. Ceo mens meens les Judges ne surcease mes pe- advise enter eux ils fesoient certain Articles le tenour de queux ensue, et deliver eux al seigneurs Chauncelor et Treasurer et pux subscribe niec towe lour maines, les Articles sont come ensunnt."

- "We her inajesty's jastaces of both benches, and barons of the Exchequer, desire your lordships, that by sone good means some order. may be taken, that her highnesses subjects may ${ }^{\circ}$ not be committed or detained in prison by commandment of any nobleman or counsellor against the laws of the realm; either else belp us to have access to her inajesty, to the end to become tuitors to ber, for the same; for diyers baye beenfimprisoned for suing ordinsry actions Cod suits at the Common Law, until they have been- topstypioed to leave the samo againz. their wills, and put the same to order, olleeft judgment, apd execution hare been had thetein, to their grege lonses and griefs ; for the gid'for vhick pepops, her majesty's writs have sindry fimply hep dirscted to zundry persions, havigig thp godetpey of zuch perions unlewfully ijepir poacts, ypon mbich Writs, no good or jainfol cengo of impritpquegt hath beta returied or
sertified. Whereapoo, accondting to the lams, they hate been diachlugged of thelr inprisonment; some of which persons so delivered, have been again committed to prison in efcret places, and not to any commoh or ordinary prison, or lawful officer or sheriff, or other lawfilly authorized, to have or keep a gaol; to that upon complant made for therr delivery, the queen's coarts cannet tell to whon to direct her majesty's writs; aud by thas means justice cannot be done. And woreover, disers offiters and serjeants of London have been upa'íy times comrtatted to prison for lawful cxecuting of her majesty's writs, sued forth of her majesty's courts at Westninster; and thërehy her majesty's subjects and officers are no terrified, that they dure not suc or execate hèr majesty's laws, ber writs and commandments: divers others have been sent for by pursivants, aud brought to Iondon from their dwellinys, and he unluwful imprisomment have been couschained, not only to withdraw their lawful suit, but hate also been compelled to puy the puisisants, so bringing such persons, great sums of money. All which upon complant the juriges are hound by office and oalh to telieve and kelp, by and nccording to ber majesty'b laws. And when it pleaseth your loriblups to will divers of us to set domn in what cuses a prisones, sent to custody by ber mujesty or her council, wre to be detaned in prison, und not to be deliverel by ber majesty's Court or Judges; we thank, that if any person be coumitted by her majesty's command, froms ber person, or Ly oider irom the C'ousel-board; and if any one ot two of leer councal commit one lor Iligl-Treas in, such persons so in the cases betore committed, may not be delivered by any of ber coutts, without due trial by the law, and judgnent of acquittal had : netertheless the Judges may awaty the queen's writ to bring the bodies of sy/4 prisoners before thein; and if upon returif theicof, the causes of their conumument be cortified to the judges ns it ought to be: then thd judges in the cases beforesought not to deliver ham; but to remand hin in the place from whence be came, which cannot be coesteniently done, unless. notice of the cause in general, or elice in special, be given to the keeper pr gaoler that shall hate the cussody of such prosoner.". All the Judyre and Berons did subscribe their tames to these Artillè, Tir. Pusche 'S4 Eliz, and dehivinred one to the Lord-Chancellor, and another to the Iprd Treasurer: after which jome there did follow moro quirtne se than before, in the chuye before-mentiqned. ${ }^{2}$.

Atter the readiag of this Repoit,

1. Sir Edmard Coke said, That of my own ksiowddgetlis Book was written wilip nay, lord Ahcopronen's own haud, it is no flying report of - Joung stodeat. I' was soliciter then, and Epentorer Burleigh wag has much against equppitsuent as any of this kingdum; if was the White Staves, that made thiastir. Lef urdraw Wowrande a conclasion s the queation is, Whether a Treeman ala be imprisoned by the ling'

Fithout nefting fown the cintet I Ieare it in

 'strubar.' It is à \#aximi, the couninion has admeasured the king's pritrogative, thing no case it can prejodice the inheritande of tib sutfectos, hid the law givean the prerogativa for that which is tuiken, it would barie set sorid time to it, else mark what would follow. I shall bave ah estate of inheritance for, life, for years in my lapd, or prebpriety jo on ${ }^{0}$ y and I shall be a tenant it will of ny liodty; I shall bave propriety in my own houd, not liberty in my person, 'Perrpiche yded hpo 'sant probsindo.' The ling hats diadifyted his jodicial power to courts of juatiog andy feministers of justice ; it ia too low for Ei y Hed monarch as the king is, to committ that prison ; and it is against law, that men alloity be comnitted, sad no cause shewed. I Wbald not spead this, fut that I bope my graciois bing will hear of it: yet it is notl, Edwind Cole, that spenks it, but the liecords thit speahs it; we bave a hational apprópriato Diew to dub nution, 'Jisisis ab orbe Eritannia,' Alr. Selden.
I was sent hither, and trusted with the lives and liberties of them that sept me. Since I came, I took here an oath to defend the kiank prerogatives ind nghts. I profess, thongh once I wns of councl, and then I spoke fos ndy fee, for the gentlemen in their Habens Corpes; yet now I speak accarding to my knomiede. and conscience.
The "questian is, Whether any whict 'ag' fieeman, that is comnitted to prison, aod te cause not shewn in the Warrant, be noghe to be builed or delivered ? I thunk, confidestry, it belonga to every subject that is Dot a vidoia that lie ought to be bailed, or delivered,

I shall speak in this course 1. I will chew the Reasons. \&. Acts of Parlispenent. ${ }^{3}$, Pith cedeats. 4. Answer Objections.
I. Reasons drawn frou three heads;

1. From Remedies provided by the odpuinh law against imprisonment. For that precitgat thing of Liberty there are divens readedies ly which it appears, if no known enise be of ctav ther datainment, be is to he delivered, I wibs not mention the action of false inipprisonineity but the writ de odio et affia which is not bitita awgy, fur that it I ip Mapas Chartm, Triot Writ was sent to know; if the pary ingibactid wele connmitted for art camse of whice wid


 vered, if he be nefdetaiped for a cithe "Which te is not replevisable. wnay the 日, subjis andam et recipiendem! if of

 3 appeops by


Third Objection is agninst the Stafute of Westminster 1. c. 15.* But the king's tommand is the conumand of the king. by his justices ; and also the nord, 'Replevisabile,' never signifies bailable; bailable, is in a Coutt of Record, by the king's justices: but replevisable, is by the sheriff. The statute is to the sherilf, and it shews the particular causes, and concludes that the sherift shall lose hiy bailuigk. 'I he sheriff coald never replevy one for moriler, or makeis of the torest : but in the Ktag's-hench fur murder, or matters of the forest, they ruay, 3. assis. 19,21 1.. 4, 25. 22 II. 6, 43. Newtun, If any unu te taken by our command, or by the coinmand of the king, if the sheriff take the party, he must cone to us, wè will grant a Supersedeas.
Fourthly, They object ugainst the precedents cited; they ree all of this kind, they nere impgisoned ' 'per mandatum donini reggs,' or 'concilii,' without canse, or the cause is expressed. When the cause is expressed, and is wibhin the cuguizance of the couit, there they bailed then ; but when it is for fclouy or treason, it may be done beyond the seas, and then tie court has no cognizance of them. When ng cause is set, yct bailment is alledged; then they miswer ineers were 4 bailed, but the cailse appears by I'oper-1hooks; but 1 never saw these Books to he Records, and Judgen of Recorl made their Juigment in Records, and the comse ouly :y,pears by Ifecord.
For the Revolixion cited 31 Elif, all precrrents were read, acts of pul hament inded were pasod oger, and yet that was not read. As we have that libcriy beec, so I dare say, nu ${ }^{\circ}$ prince in Christembion doth usotune this power to impra ou any whhut any cauce. I find no teetro or vasligitan pit any such power."
Sthurday, Marth 29, 10:3. Mr. Solititor spate as fulloweth:
My care whantI spake lat was to give satis-4

* " Die Vencitij Mar. 28. 1 rise to make a motion. Yester hay a learned Arguns at was made by Mr. Solif tuor, and 21 Edin. 1, was cited by him, whilh mukes clearly tior the Sulject, also for that sulus pipuli. I am sure that ' libertas populi est salds propuln.' And fistus himself that sentel'aul to Ae"tp. was a lanjer of the enpire; mal to rend a pmaner nitione signifying the crimes land suanst hun, seened uureusonable'te Fesus in do. ally the law of the empire unne were to be comgnted above thirty days, and the gnoler is under a penalty to certify the cause of due pusmor's comumument: and if the gaoler be slack, he is to le fined. When thry speak bere of the Judgment given in"the 'King's Bench, they say the Precedents were mis-recited Let a Sub-comnittee search info tl ose Judgonts sad I'recedents. I heard here $n$ quousyuc, and there is nothing buton remittitur. "The currse of the officert is to enter quousyur, \&ce and that is bill hey be delivered in lawennd is all the Jutlenent that can be." Ex MSS. Pymaii. See Selden's Life in his Works.
fiction thag the judges did pot err in their late Resolutions; but if they did, it was cum patribus: the Jadges knew notiong of the cause of the gentlemen's imprisonunent ; if they had known the cause of their unprisomment in private, they would have appeabed to bis majesty for his grace. For to reiterate all the authoritics I will not, I have something to say in the point, to put isto the cenle, which might have been then said, had it not bren for the aolosppy difference that inght have been betwern this two courts in Westminster-hall, the Kug's bench and the Chancery ('ourt.

In 13 Jac divers were conumitted fior disobedience to the Deciec of the Court of Chancery, as nannely Rosswell and others, and it wna resolved, That tie Judget could not deliver sulch, and at the sane tinie some weic committed by warrant from the kug and the lords of the councal, and this cmine in question, Nech. 13 Jac. and so contunucd divers Te.ras. There was then recourse had to thoue argoments, and 1 have a ieport heie of that tine what the judges did then, part whereof I wall read.

It was resolved by Coke, ${ }^{*}$ Crook, ISodericke and Houghton, that the ieturn was good, and that the cause nued not to be discloved, behg ' per mandaturu concili,' as 'arcana regme' (and the report further suith, That is 34 Eliz. it was resolved accordingly), and by Coke it war said, That if the privy-councal commat one, he is not bulable by uny equrt of jutice, and Stanfiont's opiason is 60 , fol. 72 . bee what apmien the Judge had of the iesolution in 34 Elir. and of Stausford.

To this br Edtarad Coke replied: This report mowes not me at oll; that report is not et ?1 years old, but under age, beiug in 13 mac. lit tuth, when I tead ftamford, I was of his opmon at the finst, Lat jince, loohing into those Recurds hefore-mentig ied, I was of another tmund.t He Brangs in an ill tume 13 Jac, wheu there war clashumb by ween the Coutt of Kug's-hencl, and Chancers, as also there were then many of the trainois that were of the Powder'Treason, committed 'per mandatum ' concilu.'
Upon Mouday, April 1, he Debnte being re-assumed, ar Hobert Pluyps moved, That coussidenng thediouse was now ready for the

[^2]questions they fight hear the resalntion read df all the Jupfes in 34 Eliz. abous this raster. Theu
Sir Fdward Coke stood up and said, The glass of Trme runs out, and something castinpon us hath jetarded as; when I spake againstithis Ioans und this matter, I expected blous, and somewhat wis spohen, thonghnot to the matter. Concerning that (that hatt, been objected), I did when I was a Judge, I will say soment frit. Indeed, a motion was made, bat argument or debate, or resolution upor ndvice; I wilt never palliate with thas house, there is no Judgl that hath sun upright heart to Clod, add a clear licait to the world, but he bath some warrent for every thing that he doth. I confess whert I read Stnnuford then, and had it in my handa, I was of that opinion at the Counci-Table; but when I perceired that some menbers of thus house were taken away, esen in the face of this house, and sent to prison, and when I was not far off from, that place wyself, T wopt to my bouk, and nould not be quitet till I bad satisfied myself. Stamfurd at the first was my guide, but my guide had deceived me, therefore I swerved from it: I have now better guides, Acts of Parliament and other precedents, these are now my guides. 1 desire to be free from the imputation that luath been laid upon me.
As forthe Copy of the intevded Judgaeot, I fear, had it noe been for this parliatnent, it had been entered ere,this'time; a parliamens bringa. Jadges and all other mon into good atrder: if arify clerk had drawn this draught, he would have done it by. a precedent, and there can be no precedent foued that warrants it, and therefore I believe that sorme other did it. -This draught of the Judgment, should it be entered, will sting us to deatb, tquis nolla 'causa fuit ostenta, ideo ne fuit baileabile;" apd that it appeafs wh be so by the Recurds. I persuade myxeli Nr. Attotuey drew it; 1 bad a copy of iny lond Auderson's Report of the Judges Resulvtuon, 34 Fliz. long ago; bat 1 duist not vouch it (and it was so y that copy) for that is was Apocrypha, and ons not taswer his grarity that made it. and yet it was cited in the King's Bencl, "That all the Judges of England ruled it so.'

Then the Ilousce of Cotumoos came to the ${ }^{\text {. }}$ follo fing lespolutions :

> Irvelred upon the quagtion, nem. can.

- I. That no Freeman muhs to br Wepriped (or kept in primon, or otherwis reetrained by 'the command of the king or priyy-counchi, of - any other, suleve sope oause of the conumitb ' ment, detaineqr or restraint be expressed, fay ' which by law he poggt to be eqtinnitesed, doo $\theta$ tained or restrained.
${ }^{\text {in }}$ IH. That tha Wrew af Habeas Cofrpus many 'motoby devied, but pught to be grupsed te' 'every remo that is, cortantived or detaniued it: 'ptipon, or otberwien restrined, shough is be . - by fle cothmand of the, king the pary-oomiliw ' cil, or any other, be praying the same.
b3]

- III. That if a Freemen By comfuitted or - detained in prison, or otherwisecestrained ly cthe connaand of the hing, the privy-conncil, * or any otier, no canse of such commitunent, sdetainer, or restraint being expressed, for
* ' which by law he ought to be counmuted, de-
' mined, or restrained, and the same Le returu-
${ }^{-}$©. ed upon an Habeas Corpus, granter for the
' said party; thep he ought to be deliverel or
' baileck'
And then $\begin{aligned} & \text { ding into consideration the Pro- }\end{aligned}$ perty of the Subject in his Goods, they came to this Resolution, to which there was not a negative; vis.
norst That it is the antient and indubitable Right
${ }^{*}$ of every Frecuum, that be hath a full and ab-
${ }^{4}$ solute property in his goods and estate ; that
4 no Tux, I nillage, Luan, Benevolence, or othen
- like charge ought to be commanded, or levied
${ }^{4}$ by the king, or any of his ministers, without
'common consent by act of parliament.'
- 
- The Commpns having shewed their care of the Subjects in the Liberty of their Persons, and P̣ropriety in their Goods, did now prepare to transmit their Resolutions to the Lords for their concurrence ; and several members were appointed to manage a Conference nith the Lords concerning the sane; and Monday, April 7, the Conference was held, and opened by sir Dudley Diggs:
A Comierence desired by the Lords, and had by a Committee of both Houses, soncerning the Rights and Privileges of the Sussects.


## Sir Dailley Digess.

$\because$ My Lords ; I shall, I hope, auspicinualy, begin this Confecence this day, with an observation out of an Holy Story, in the days of food king Josiah, 2 Cliron. 34, when the land was : purged of Idohatry, aud the great men went about to repair the House of God; while money was sought for, there was found a Book of the Law which hail been neglected, and after/wards being resented to the good king, pro:cured the blessing, wlich your lordahip may. -read in the Scriptures. : 2 Kings 22. . -

My good lords, I arn sontideut your lordships will -as cheerially join with the commons, ip acknowledgment of God's great blessing in our good king Josiah, ds the knights, citizens, and burgessea of the pouse of commons, by me their .om marthiegt skexant, do thankfulty remenaber your miost religious and truly honour4ble invitation of theur to the late Pecition, for eleansing this laud from Dopishi Abominations; -raich I may truly call a necessary and a happy Tepairigg of the House of God. And, to go on writh the:parallel, whilst we the commons, out of our giood affections, were seetfing for mgney, we foubd; I cannot say a Book of the Lasw, bat many; ind those fundnmental points therenf neglected and broken, which hath occasioned our desire of this Copference: wherein I am first commanded to shew to your lordships in
generul, that the Laws of England pre grounded oh reason, inore nocient than books, consistivg much in unwiitten customs, yet so full of justice and true equity, that your most honourable predecessors and ancestors many times propagneduthem with a nolumus mutari; and so ancient, that fiom the Saxon days, notwithstanding the iujuries and ruins of time, they have continued in most pafis the same, us may appear in old remaining moutunents of the lans of Ethelbert, the first fhristian king of Kent, Ina the kiag of the West Saxons, Offa of the Mercians, nuid of Alfred the great nouarch, who united the Sixon lieplarcly, whose laws are yet to be seen, pullished, as some think, by paliament, ts lie says to that end, 'Ut qui 'sub uno rege, sub una lege régerentur.' And though the Book of Litclifield, speuking of the troublesome umes of the Danes, says that then 'Jus'sopitum crat in regno, leges et consuetu' dines sopite sunt,' and 'prava voluntas, vis, ' et violentia magia regnabant quaun judicia vel ' justitia;' yet, by the blessing of God, a good Lug, Edwand, commonly calied St. Edward, d 1 awaken those laws, and as the old words are, ' Sxcilatis reparavit, reparatus decuravit, de' Corates rontirmavit.' Which 'confirmavit,' shews, that good hing Edward did not give those lnws, which willian the Conqueror, nand all his successors, since that tine, have sworn unto.
And here, my lords, by many cases frequent it our modera laws, strongly coucurring with those of the ancieut Sason kings, I might, if time were not precious, demonstrate that our . Laws and Custums were the same.
I will only intreat your lordships leave to tell you, that as we have now, even in those Suxon times they had thej Cuurts-Barou nid Cuurth Leet, and Sheriff-Gourte, by which, as Tacitus says of the Gempans their ancestors, "Jura 'reddebant per palos et viens ;' and, I do be- ' lieve, as we hare fow, they had their parliaments, where new 1 ws were made 'cum cona sensu prelatorunf magnatum et totius com'munitatis;' or, ds another writes, 'cum con- ' 'silio praelatorum/ nobiliom, et sapientiun lai'corom.' I will jadd zothing out of Glanvile that wrote in the time of II. 2, or Bracton that wrote in the days of H.3, only give me leave, to cite that of Fortescue, the learned Chancel-* lor to H. 6, who writing of this kinglom, snys, ' Regoum illud in omnibus patofion, et regum ' temporibus, eisdem quibu. nunc regitur legi' bus et consuetudinibus, reşebatur.' But, my good lords, as the poett said of Fame, I may say of our Common-Law;
' Ingrediturgue solo eapat inter nubila condit.' Wherefore the cloudy part being mine, I will make haste to open way for your lordships to hear more vértain Arguments, and such as go on more sure grounds?
Bg pleased then to know, that it is on undoubted and fundamental point of this so nncient Common-Law of Englang, that the Subjẹcs hafh a true property in liy Goods und

Possessione, which doth preserse, as sacred, that menm tt tuum, that is the nuse of mudus tuy, and mothici of cour ige, and wathout whelh, thers can be no justice, of which neum al tram is the proper ubject But the undunbid buthught of fice Subjects, b th lately not a hitk been in adtal ind prej idicc I by piess ies, the mote greyons, bre ate they hisc bect puisucd by mpporonmint, csute iry to the fi inchises of this lund, and when, arcondin; to the laws und st dutes of this re dim, ichiess hath becen sou, ht tor ma legal way, by demaudims, $H$ theas C upus tioun the. Judenes, and idis chal_e on tuld ucoud ng to the law of the land, sice, hith fuled, thit now ent iceth the coun non, is thepersent puh ment issembled, tocxamich by icts of puhaz int, precedents and $1414 m$, the uath of the Engh h Subjects Libctity, wuch I shall Ic we to If arned gentlemett, whit wetshti $\Lambda_{1}$, uments, 1 hope, will It tve no place in yous is dolipa tactrontes, for the criors and anfirmites of your buablest sersant, thet doth th ubfully achnowlalge tie great tavout of your honourable and patarat atte itiou

## Mi Itiflefov's Aret vivit

Mide by the conumand of the lluuse of Commons out of lets of ''uhiment, ind authorities of 1 vw, expo m lur s the spnie, at the thrst (onfteme with thi Loids, conccining the Liberty ut the Puson of ciecy Irumann ${ }^{*}$
My loids, Upon the occuions delivered by the genticmun that last spise, soun lordships bue he ud the Commons hivet itied into their sthous c sundernts in the matter of Pernonal I ibeitv, mid alus long debote the reof on dives diys, is well by solemi i guments, is single prop nitions of doubts uni unswers, to the crid Ho ctuple might tem in so uny nuan s breast uinusticd, thicy hwe upor a fult cearch, and cle ir understindang of sit thangs pertivent to the quention, unuminfusly declared 1 hat no 1 reem mought to be cyinmitted or detansed in puson by the conum und the hing or Prry( ouncl, or wy other, un less some caase of the cornmitment, detanes or restrant be expresocd, for which by liw He ought to be coyp, muttecil, det mancl, oi ie,train fd And they hove ocnt me, with other of thens members, to repiesent unto yout loidships she true giounds of such then Regolution, gand hase chaiged me particululy, (casipg the tensons of las and precedents fof othery to give your lordships satisfactint, thit this '/acriy 16 gotablishod and confumed 'y the whole shate, thi bing, the lords sputual and tempotal, and commons, by seva il acts of pallament, theauthonty whereof us so great, athit it can receint no ansner, save

[^3]by interpretat. in or repeal by future statutea. And those the I shall mand your lordohyps of, we so drect in poins, that they cas beuphe other expostion at all, and sdre 1 am they $\begin{aligned} & \text { ane }\end{aligned}$ still in torce,

Ihe gist of them is the Grand ( harter of the Laberties of 1 nglasd, first in snial in the 17 th of hing John, and renewed in the 9 Hen 3, andsince confruncd in parlnumeft ab ve 80 times. The words ate thiese, ch 29 'Nuluashbut homo capistur, icl inpris inetur nat disseispo ${ }^{4}$ tui de libero tenemiato suo- el libertatibuts, icl lificin consuetudimbus suas, aut ntlagerd 'tui, aut exuletor, aut aliquo modo deptruatory ' nec soper ctim ibunus, nec super cum mutis? ${ }^{4}$ mus, nisi per legale judiciun parium suounse "val per legem teria"

These words, 'unilus liber homo,' \&ce. are exprest cnougb, yet it is remarhable that Makthew Parss, in authat of special credr, doth obstrie, fol 432, that the Lherter of $9 \mathbf{E I} .3$, wre the very smine as that of 17 I din, 'in nulla 'riosomulis' are his words, and that of kugg. John he setteth down verbatim, fol $\mathbf{9 4 2}$, and there the wards are directly "Nec cum in 'corceiom mittcmus ' and such is corruption as is now in pingt, maghe easily happen betwixt 9 I 3 , und 28 E $1_{2}$ when this Charter wes first excmplihed, bat certamly there is suffieent left in that nhach is extant to decide this questions For the wonds are, "That no Freeiman shall be tahes or smpnsonod, but by the 'lawful judgment of his peers;' whach is by a jury of peess, ordinary juroia, ot others, whe are their peets, or by the law of the land: which words, 'Law of the land,' truest of necessity be underglood in this nation to be by due process of the Isw, and not the lew of the land genarally, or otherwase it moulid coinprehend bof 4 men ( w hoin we call Vit Jung) who are exgladed by the word "Laber;" for the general hiw of the land doth allow thetr lords to imprison thim at their pleasare withetp cause, wheichn they ouly differ from the freprmen ia respect of their persons, who canpot fee iuprisoned without a cause, And that this it the true unde.standing of the ' Words, 'Per legem terrae', will more plamiy appear by dvvers ooher statutes that I shall nee, whob do expond the lav agcordingly. And rhough the words of this Grapd Charter be spoken in the thyd person, yet they are not to be underiteqd of suits betwivt party and party, at least not of theag elone, but even of the ling's suyts agasast his suifects, as will anmear by therepasion'rof getung of that Charter, whicir was by reagon of the differences betwixt those lings and 'ther people, and therefies properly to be epplied. unto thear poner over them, and not to ordiaury questions betwixt subject and oublenety

Secondly, The word 'per legale jodicrupa 'pgrian sugiom,' mamedituly precediag thed other of 'per legen terra,' are meant of Indery at the hing's suit, and pot at the pronecution of a salipect. And therefort, if a peer of the rend be hiragned, at the sylt of the hog, "upof $\mathrm{an}_{a}$ Indictucnt of unuder, he shall be twed by his
peers, that is nobles, but if he appealed of inurden by a subject, thas tual noul be by and ordebay Juty of-18 feebolders, es appesieth ${ }_{20} 10$ E. 4, 6,93 H 8, Binole Titie Tuals 142. 8 tan . Cor \& 3 , ca 1, fol 158, and is 10 E b, 6 , it is satd, buch is the meaning of Magna Chait t, lor the same reasas. thesetore as ' per - judicimut , घuinn suorum' extends to the buig's sul, so shall these wonds 'per legem teria'

And in है E 0 , rot pail in 7 , there is a Petition that a dive under the pivy-real went to the guardians of the great senls, to cuuse lands to be serzed into the luig's hand, by fusce of which theye nent a writ oot of the Cbancery to the Fschentor, to seive, against the form of the Onad Ghurter, that the Lug non hus minsters shall qut no mun ofhis fie ehold without reavonable judguient, und the party wis restoied to has land infach shencil the statute did extend to the batg
Theie was no inv sasm upon this personal liberty, ull ikestime ot Edw 9, which was soon resented by the sulject, fot in 5 E 3, c o, it is ordaised in these words 'it is encted thit - mo mall trom hencelorth shall be ntrached by - any accusation, nor fore-judged of life or limb,
${ }^{4}$ not his laurls, tencmerts, poods, nor cl attels,
'senzed into the hing's baids, against the form ' of the Gieat Charter, and the law of the l und ' 25 E S, c 4, 16 is more full, and doth evprund the nords of the Grand Chatier, and 1 ' thus

- Wheiensit is contaused in the Grithd Chutht ' of the fruncluses of England, that no fiecmen
- absil he maprisoned, nol pat ont of his ircelold,
' noi fiee custom, anless it be by the law' of the - land, it is awarded, assented, and established,
"that from hence none shyll be taken by pe-
- thboll or saggestion, thade ibpur lord the hmg, * or to his council, unless it by by ladictincyt, - ar presentrnent of his guor dad lanful people ' of the same neighbour hood, which such deeds 'shall be done in due manncr', or by process ' made by writ orginal at the common law, nor 'that none be outed of his franchises, nor of his
4 Ireebold, uniess be be duly brought in to an-
*aves, wad fore-judzed of the same by the coarse
"of the law, abd if any thing be done aganst
- the srme, it slaall be iedreased and huldea for 'nought?

Ont of this Statute I observe, that what mo Magaa Charta, andt the preangble of the Statute is termed ' by the lave of rhe land,' 19 m the boty ot the Act expounded to toe by process ande by the wit original at tre comenod hum, ylich is a planesamespretapulaf the words ' 2 ow of 'the Ignd' in the Graud Charter. And I note that. Rhis law was roade upon the eompartment of disers to the luwer, no nam yot knoweth fur magk
wise Insfe. 5, it is more drect, this Lberty boing pallowed with fresh suit hy the subject, where thep wdrdis are not mony, butivery folf and venuficment; 'I hat no man, of whatstate of cont gition sosver he be, shall be put out of his ' Jands or tenements, noí taken, normptrsoaed, ( Bor djsishented, not put to deeth, whhout be
' be brouglit in to answer by due procese of law.'

IIere your loidshuph see the usual worde, ' the ' lam of the land,' are rendcred by due piocess of the las.

36 E S, Rot Pail, n 9 Amongst the petuhans of the communs, one of them being ti inslated into English out of French, is thus, hirst, that the Great Chaster, and the Chuter of the Forest, and other Statutes made in his tume, and the time of lus pioge Ditions, for the proht of binn, and bu counonolity, be well and fumly hept, and putsit die exceution, without putting distuibance, or inahmg aircst contialy to them by special command, or in other manuei

Ihe answer to the Puation, wheb inales it an act of parli ment, is, ' (On lond the hiuh, by the * susent of the prel tes, duh s, egarls, baioum, zad * the commonalty, hath ord uncd and a habl ofr ' ed, that the s bud Chaters and s atuter Le hielin, , 'du i put in e ernion, alcording to the sud '1'ctition' It is ob sivible, that thit bt stutes weie to be pat in execus on ucording to the sad Petition, w/uhr, that no west should be made contraiy io the 'r tuttes, by special command This conclades the yuestion, and is of as re it fonce is if it wcie puited, ion the Pirhaipent Roll is the truc was ut of on ut, ind minh sue umitted out of the Boohs, that ate extant in the looll

36 E 3 , Rot. P'al in 23, explancth it further, fon thete the Pcats in is, 'Whicis as it is 'contumed in the (xi mid Chuter midother - Statutes, that no vivn be tahen of imprisoncid - by specitl command without Indatiacint, or ' other due process to be madc by the Jan, udd ' oftentimes it hath bepin, tud iet is, inny ne ${ }^{\text {t }}$ h ndered, taken and miprisoncd withient It-- dictment, or other proces made liv the liw 'upon them, as well of thangs slone out of the 'I orest of the king, ass for othee thangs, that if ' nould thetefore p $k$, se our sud loid to com' mand those to led clivered, sheh ire so tahe 11 - by special commsind agamat the form of the "Charter and statatis na afoitsand.

The Answen is, " be kug is plensed, that it * siny man find hmolf quieved, thet he wone 'and make has confplant, uud inght sk ill bee 'done unto ham ' 37 I., 3, e 18 , igrecth in sulstance with the /n $\mathrm{te}^{\circ}$-utb, " Ihough t be ' contaned in the Great chuter, that no in in ' be takea nor imp usaned, nor put out of lus 'fieebold w thout piberess of the faw, nererthe' less divers people miles false surgetioto to the 'kung himself, is well for mplice as otherwise, ' whereat the hing is often rieved, and dners 'of the ream put rif dumigef agaiust the form 'of the sump Charter; wherekre it is oldained, 'that all they which make such suggertiont, shali ' Be sent with the same suggertuons, to the ©Chancelloer, areasurer, and bis grend counc il,' and that they there find surety to pursue then * guggestions, and meur the same pain that the 'other should ${ }^{2}$ bave had ${ }^{2}$ f the were attant $d$, in 'cave that the saggestion be found evil ; and 'thet, thett procuss of law be made nfaingt 'shem without berng taken or impristred, nigaingt 4 Mof form of the said Charter, nad other sta'tutes.'. Here the law of the land in the Grand

Charter is explained to be without process of law.

42 E. 3, c. 13. At the request of the Commons by therr Petition put foith in this parlia$\mathrm{m} \in \mathrm{nt}$, to eschew mischief and damage done to divers of his commons by false accusers, which oftentunes have in ide ther accusations, more for revenge and, singular bencfit, than for the piont of the king, or of uis people; of wheh acLused petsons some havt been taken and cnused to come befure the hing's council by wht, and otherwise upon grievous pans agamst the law; it is ussented aud accorded for the good governance of the coninons, Thut no man be put to answer withour presentment before juatices or matter of record, or by due process and writ ouggnal, necording to the cold lave of the Jand : nnd it ung thang form hence be done to the contrary, it shall be void in the law, and bolden for elfor

But thus is hetter in the Parlinament Roll, whete the Petuon sud Anver, which makes the $\mathbf{A c t}$, are set duwn at large, 12 E. 3, Hot. Parl. n. 12.

## The Petation.

' Ttem. Because that many of your cotn${ }^{4}$ mons are huit gnd de-thoyed by false accu© err, who make then accusations more for ' therr icienge and paitucular gaia, than for the 'pront ot the bing, or of hav pe.ople : and those - that are accused by thers, woune aro taken, ' anil uthicry are male to' come before the - hiag's counchl by wht, or other command' muint of the hing, upon greious pains, con-- thay to the law. That it nould please our 'I nit the houg, and his good council, for the ' ju-t governuent of has people, to ordand, thax ; It heiealier any accuser propose any matter ' for the ptofirt of the hing, in the same matter - be sent $t$ the justices of the one bench or of

- 'the other, or the, Assizes, o be enqurred and ' decicimined acconding tof the law ; and of it ' concein the accueer or p. fry, that be take his f sut at the C'onmon Lawf and that no man - be put to nuswer without , resentisent before - the justices on motter of iccord, and by dee 'procest and orignant writ, according to the ' atusent law of the land. Aud if any thing ' heaceforward be done to $t$ le contrary, that it
- ' be vosd in law, and held for error.'

Here by due procest fad ongual wnt, according to the Angent lave of the land, is mears the sane thmu, asy per legem terra,', in Magos Clineta; and पje ghuse was, they were put to unswer by the coy/mandmelat of the hug.

## The King's Answer is thas :

- Brcause that this Article is an Article of * the Grand Charter, the kmg wileth that this ' be donep as the Petition doth demand.' By this pppenreth that 'per legem terrw,' im Mucf Charta, is means by due process of the Jaw.

Thy your lonhhips have heard Atts (x) Par lime ft in the point. But the Statute of Weys muntor, IT.c.v15, is arged to disprove this opf non, there it is expressly suid, Thate Eman is
not replefiable tolo is committed by the command of theing ; therefore.the command of the kung, withoot any cause sbewed, jusufficient to compit aman to prison. And becauge the strength of tha Angument may appear, and the $\Lambda$ nswer pe better understood, I wll read the words of the Statute, which are thus:

- Aod forasmuch nt shenits and others, which - have taken and lept in prison pertons detect' ed for felony, and oftentimes have fou ous.by ' seplevin such as wore not replenible, and - have hept in prison soch as were replerishle, - because they would gain of the one party, ' and greve the other; and forasmuch as bo' fore this umet it wab not certeinily devernised ' what persons were repleviable, and what mer'; " but only those that were taken for the death of ' a man, or by the conamandment of the king, ' or of bis josuces, or for the forest; it is pro-- vided, and by tbe king coinmanded, that sach. 'prisoners as were before ontlawed, and they *which have abjored the realinspprovers, and ' such as be raken with the manner, and ibose ' which have broken the king's prison, theves ${ }^{4}$ openly defamed and known, and such as be ${ }^{\text {f }}$ appealed by approvers; so long as the ap: ' provers are living, and if they be not of good - name, and such as be taken for barning of - houses felomossly done, or false zioney, or 'for counterfeiting the king's seal, or persons ' excominunicate taken at the request of the * bishop, or for matifest offences, or for treation ' touchng the king hisnself, shall be in no wise ' repleviable by the coumon writ, or whinott 'mpt.'.

But such ws be indicted of lartany by int queste taken before hieriff or bulifis by their oflice, or of light gypicion, or of petty larceny, they nmounteth not ibove the value of twelvspence, if they wofe not gulty of some other harceny sforetinged or guntry of receipt of folons, or of eanimnndment, or of force, or of aid of felons done, or guiley of some otber trespess, for which one ought not to lone either life or nember: and a matr appentod by at xy. prover, after the cesth of the approver; if he be no common thref or defamed, stanl from bencefortr be let out by tofficient revety, whecof the seriff will be mmswerable, and thet with ont giving mught of their goods. And if de whetif, or any owher, het any go nt large, by suqty, that are not rфplevaile, if he be stretia or conostible, or any yber beiliff, or anch as hatire foe, which hath legeping of prisoers, and
 fee for ever. And if the undetablerifit, couer stabler or bailiff, or such as hath fee for leyting of prisons, do it conatiary to the with of his fords or any other beiliff being not of fee, they whail have three gearviaprieoment, unt unckresthe at the tiage pleomire; and if any wart witio hold prisonera repleviable, after that thoy havat offered safficieat surety, he shalf pty a grienotint catrcement to the kiag; and if he cute woy remped for the deliverances of sach, he shall yay doubbe to the prisonen, hand abo shait pistis grievdas amercement to the ling.

## 91] STATE TRIALS, Chanies I. 1/22s.-Proceediugt in Parliament relating to

The Answer.
It must be achuowledged, thata man taken by the cemmandment of the hing is not replesiable, for so are the express words of this Statute: but this maketh nothing against the Declaration of the House of Commons efor they say not, the sheriff may replesy such a one by suretiss, seificel manucuplores, but that he is bailable by the hyng's court of justice :- for the beterer apprebending wherenf, it is to be known, that therevis a difiercnce between repleviable, which is olindyb-by the sheriff upon pledges or sureties given, and hailable, which is by a court of record, whete the prisoner is deliscred to his ball, mid they are his eaverts, nud may un--ison biel, aurf shall sutier for lum bndy for body, ns appeureth 33 \& 56 E/ww. 3, Litals Mamprize it \& 13, where the difference betwist Boll und Nampinze is exple, sly taken.e And if the words of the Stotate themselics be observed, it will appeaf plainly, that it extends to the She, of and other menfior ollicers, and doth not bund the hands of the judges.

The Preamble, which is the key that openetI the entrange into the meaning of the maken of the Lnw, 15, 'Forasmuch ns Sherifit and © otbés hase taken aud kept in prison persons ' detected of telony.' Out of these words I olt. serve, that it mommnteth Sheruff, and then if the Juiges should lie incluiler, they must be compreheaded under that gencral word; 'othin r which doth not extend to those of nu hugher rank, but to mferiors, for ghe best by all courses is first to be named. And thenefore if a man bing a Writ of Castoms and Servicte, and nume Rents and other things, the general shail not include Homage, whith is a personad service, nud of an higher nuture, but it shall extead to ordmary annual service, $31 \mathrm{E}_{1} 1$, droit 67. So the Statute of 13 Fliz. c. 10 , which beginneth with Coleges, Deans and Chapters, Parsons, Vicars, autu concludes with these words, ${ }^{4}$ and others having ppintual Pro' motions,' shall not comprobend Bishops that are of an higher degree, as appeareth in the archbishop of Canterbury's Case, reported by air Edw. Coké, lib. 2, fol. 46, B.

And thos unuch is explained in the very Statute towards the end, when it dobh enumerate those who were meant by the word, 'others,' namely, Under-Sheriffs, Constables, Bailhfi, Rec.

Agnin, the words ard 'Sheriffs and othgrs 'which have taken ang 'kept in prison.' 2 rou every monknoweth ugges do neither arresh, nor gecp men in prison; that is the office of Sheids and other inferior ministers. Therefore this Statute meant auch only, and not Yudges.
The ypords are further, Tpet they let out by replevin surh as are not repleviahle, that is the proper language for a Sheriff; yay, more,express afterward in the kody of the Statune, that ouch as are there menunuedl, shall in nowise be repleviable by the common Writ, which is ' De homine repleguando,' and is directed to the 8heriff, nor nilhout writ, which is by the

Sheriff er officio. . But that which receives no answer is thus, that the command of the Jurtices, who derive their authority fiom the crown, is there equal as to thas purpoce with the comunand of the hing. And therefore by all reasunable apnstruction, it must needs relate to officers that are subordinate to loth, as sheriffs, undei-shentts, bailifls, coni-talies, and the like. Aud to were a harsh cexpostuu to say, that the Justices might not daschar_e their oun command, and jet that reason would conclude as much; and that this was meant of the sheriff and other ministers of justice, uppears hy the Recital, 27 Edv. 1, c. 3, and thecwise by bleta, a Manuscript, sa callent, beanabe the anthar lay in the lleet when he tadade the Book: for he, 1. 2, c. 52, in his Chapter of Turns, and the Views of the IIunditil Couits in the Country, settech $4 \cdots n$ the Allules of the Charges that are there to be mquired of; amongst which, one of them is '17e replegnabilibus m'juste detentis (t ureplequablabas damasis;' whech cannot be meant of not laling by the Jostices; for what have the mfenin courts in thec comintry to do with the acts of the Justucs?
And to make that more plain, he setterth difun in, that Chapter, that concerneth Mhenfis only, the very statute of 11 ,thumster 1 , which he translates verbatum out of the Yrench moto the Latan; sare that he rendens tathon hy the command of the Justices, thins, 'per judirime - Justiciariorum;' and ha l'ieface tos the Statuie plandy sheweth, that be understout it of Replevin by Slieuls; for he saith, '(Qui delent - per plegios dmatt, que non dee luat hac Sia' untun;' and 'per plegn's' is betme the shenil.
Bat fir direct Authontv, It sis the oponom of Newton, chef justice, 22 Hen. 6, 46, where his wuils are theq: 'It c mant be mender! - that the Sbeiff, ith sutter hum to go at large 'by Maingrize; fer where one wataben by the 'writ of the hing, if the consmudment of tic 'king, he is inreplevible; but ill surh case has "Griends may come of the Justices from hmu if 'he be arrested, nul purchase a supesedeas.' This Juilge conclifies, that the bherifecannot deliver lum that if taken by the command of the king, for that he is trreplesiable, which is the sery word of fie statute: but, suth he, his friends may come the Jostices, and puchase a Supersedeas. Safue declares ihe sery question, that the Slerinthad no poyer, but the Justices had power tof delives hati that is committed by the king's conmfond, and both the antient and modernpractice gquafeet as much: for he that is taken fog the druh of a man, or for the Forest, is not repleviable by the ulensff, yet they are ordinarily bniled by the Justices, and were byo the king's writs dirseted to the sheriffs in the times of IJdw .1 und 2 , $1:$ appears in the close Roils, whech could not be sume a they were noe builable. And it is eren yhday? experience, that the Justices of the I ing'sbencfodo beal fog murder, and tor offenco ilone in the Forest, which they could nat do, yt the word 'irrepleynable,' in Wextuninstare if weia meant of,the Juatices, as well us of the Spreriff.

For Authorities that have been offered to prove the contiary, they are' in number three. The first is 21 Edw. 3, Mot. 2, which also is in the Book of Pleas in Pauliament at the Tower, npon an action there brought, fol. 44. It is not man act of parliament, buţ a resolution m parloment upon an action there brought, which was usual in those tumes : and the case is, that Steplien Raberp the sheiff of the counties of Lefcester and Warwick, way questioned, for that he had letant large by surety, aynongst others, one IVilham, the son of Walter le Pesons, agaust the will and command of the king, wherens the hing had commanded him by letters under the privy-seal, that he should do no favour to any man that was coumitted by the cail of Warvick, as that man was; whereudten the sheriff answerc d, that he dul it at the request of some of the hing's household upon their letters; and because the sheriff did acknowledge the reccipt of the hing's letters, thereupon he was committed to prison according to the form of the statute.
To thas I answer, the sheriff was justly pumshed, for that he is expressly bound lyy the statute of We-womister 1, which was ogrefd from the begming; but this is no proqu, that the Judjey had ma poner to bail this man.
The nett Authonty is 33 Ilen, 6, in the Court of Connmnn Pleus, fisl. 28, b. 29, where Robert Poynugb, caq. was brought to the bar upon a Capias, and was returned, that he was consminted ' per duos de concflon,' (I beheve it is mopprinted for 'dinos de concilio,' i. e. 'dominos 'de conculio,' whels is strongest agaipst what I mantan) 'pro diversis causis regein tangentib.' And lie made an attorney there in an action, whence it is inferred, that the seturn wi , good, pard the party could not badelivered.
Too thas the answer is plapp: 1. No opinion is delivered in that book, age wny or other, upon the return, ryither is zacre any tertimony whether he were delnereb, or builed, or not. 2. It appears expressly, that he was brought thither to be chauged in actuon of debr, at anothes man's suit, no de-re of his own to be dehvered, or balel; and upen if he were remanded, it is no way mater fl to the question in hand. But that which is anost relied upon, is the Opinum of Stumf, in ti, Book of 1'leas of the Crown, lih. 2, c. 18 f. 72, 75, in his Chapter of Munaprize, where be recteth the Statute of Wiftur. 1, o 15, and then sauth thus: ' Bypthis Siftute it appears, that in four ' cases at Lie Coirfuon Law a man was not re' pleviable; to-wf, those that were'taken for - the dcath of aygan, by the conmand of the ' king, or his justices, or for the forest;' thes far he is.fogst right. Then he goeth on, and saith, 'Ig to the command of the king, that is ' unde fold by the command of his own meuth, - or Mas cpuncal, wheh is incoqporated unto - hirf anmapake with liss mouth, or otheruise
' eveif. Writ or Capias to take a may, which is
' the (ling's,command, would be as much;', and
'as thi uncenmmand of the Justices, that is
' nesuit their absolute commandment, for if it

4 e by cheir ordi.ary commandment, he is re( pleviable by he sheriff, if it be not in some ' of the cases prohilited by the atatute.'
The answer that I gave unto this is, that Stamford had suid nothing whether a mao masy be committed without cause by the king's command, of whether the fudges ought not to bail him in such case, only that such a one is not repleviable; which is ugreed, for that belougs to the Sheriff. And becaused no mian shoold think he meant any such thing, be cothckndes the whole sentence touching thaviormmand of the King and the Justices, that one committed by the ordinary command of the justice, is re, pleviable ly tpe theriff; or at least itmppeers not that be meant that a man committed by . the king, or by the privy-council withodt cause, should not be bailsble by the justices, and he liath given no opinion in this cose; what he would have said, if he had been asked the queotunn, cannot be known; neilher doth it appear, that, by any thing that be hoth said, he meant any such thing as nould be infertet out of him. And now, my loids, 1 have performed the commands of the Commons, and as I-conceive shail clear the declaration of personal Liberty, on ancient and undoubted truth, fortified.with seven acts of purliament, and not opposed by any statote or anthority of law whatsuever.See Lattletou's Precedents after Mr. Selden's.

## 'Mr. Selden's Argumint.

My lords; Your lordshipe have heard from the gentleman that list spake, a grent part of the grounds upon which the House of Commons, upou mature deliberalinn, procceded to that clear Resolution pouching the Right of the liberty of their Pscons. The many acts of parliments, whic//arc the written laws of the land, and are explessly in the point, hive been read and opened, Cand such objections as have beetl by some mede to them, nad some objections also mare out of snother act of parlizment, have leen cleared and answcred. It may seem now perhaps, my loids, that little remains netdful to be further added, ior the infircement and msintenance of so fundmmental and established a Hight and Jaberty belonging to every freeman of tie kiogdom. But in the examination of questions of Law of Right, besides the laws ur acts of parliaurent, that ought chiefly to direct and regulate every mana's juckment, whatsoever fath beel put in practice to "he coutrary, there ar" commonly used also formén_Judgmente, or Frecedents, and indeed bave been so used somrelluser, that the weight of reason, of law, and of acts of parlicyout, hath been laid by, and resolutions bave bieen maile, and that in this very point, only npon the interpretation and apprehension of prece-
 or proofs of illuntration or confirmation, where ' thiey, agree wich the express law: but they can never be proof enough to overthrow any one law, murh less seven sedveral acts of parliaments: as the number of them is for the point. Thi House of Commons therífore taking into conm
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sideration, that in this quetrion, beping of oo high a sature, that never averexceeded it in any court of justice whatioever, will the several mays of Jugt eiamination of the truth should be used, have also most enrefully informed themselves of all former Jurgments and Precedents concerning this great point eidier way, and bave beeu no less careful of the due preservation of his majesty's just Prerogative than of their own Rigiths. The Precedents bere are of wwo kinds, either merely matter of Record, or else the furguer resolutions of the Judges, fter solemn depate io the point.
This point that concerns Precedents, the house oficuantoons have commanded me to pregent to your lordships, which I slall as briefly nas'I mny, so I do it faithfully and perspicuously. To that end, my lords, before I come to the particulars of any of those Precedents, I shall first remember to your lordships, that' which will seem as a general key for the opening and true apprehension of all them of record, without which key, no man, unless he be versed in the entries and course of the king's-bench, can possibly understand them.

In all chases, my lords, wbere any Right or Liberty beloags to the subjects by any positive kaw written or unwritten, if there were not also - retnedy by larr, for the enjoying or regaining this Right or Liberty, when it is violated or taken from him, the positive llaw wẹre most vxin, and to no purpose; and it were to no porpose for any man to have any right in any land or other iaheritance; if there were not a known remedy, that is, an action or writ, by which, in snue court of ordinary justice, he might recover it. And in this case of Right or Liberty of Person, if, there were not a remedy in the law for reyaining it, when it is restrained, it were of no purpose to sp gak of laws, that ordain it should not be restr) lined. Therefore in this case also, I shall fhet shew you ${ }^{*}$ the remedy that every freeman is to use for the regaining of his liberty, when he is against law isprisoned, that so upon the legal course and form to be held in using that reinedy, the precedents or judgments upon it, for all judgrnents of record rise out of this remedy, may be easily understood. There are in law divers remedies for inlarging of a freeman imprisoned, as the writs of odio et atia, and of homine replegiondo, besides the commos or most known write of Habeas Corpas, or 'Coypus curi causs,' a) it is also called.
The first two writs are to be directed to the - sheriff of tbe county, find lie in some particular easeofith which it would be untimely for me to Aruble your lordships, becanse they concern tist that which is commited to my charge. Elethat Writ of Habeas Cogpus or Corpus cim - 7 fr, 15 the higheot remedy in lew, for any zanr that is imprisoned, and the only remedy sot himet that is imprisoned by the specind cobo mandiefithe king, or the lords of the PrivyCoometi; without thewing cause of the comamtanat! weither in there is the law any such Hing, mor wee there evtl mention of angy sech
thing in the laws of this land, as a petition of right to be used it such cases for liberty of the perwon, nor is there any Jegal course for enlargeneat to be taken in such cases; howsoever the contrary huth upon no ground or colour of law beea pretended. Now, my iords, if any man be sotimprisoned by any such command, or otherwise, in any prison whatsoever through England, and desire by himself, or any other in his behaif, this writ of Habeas Corpus for the purpose in the courty of king's-beuch, the writ is to be granted to him, and ought not to be denied hinn, no otherwise than another ordinary original writ in the elanncery, or other common process of law, may be denied; which amongst other things the house resolved nlob, upon mature deliberation, and I was contuanded to let your lordships know, so muči. This writ is directed to the keeper of the prison, in whose custody the prisoner remams, commanding him that after a certain day, he bring in the body of the prisoner, ' nd sub' jiciend. et recipiend. juxta quod curia con' sideraverit, \&c. una cum causa cuptionis et ' Vetentiguis;' and offentimes ' una cum ctusa ' etentionis' only, 'captionis' being omitted.
the keoper of the prison thereupon returns by what warrant he detains, the prisoner, and with his return filed to his writ, briugs the prisoner to the bar at the time appoimied; whien the return is thus marie, the court jorgeih of the suticicncy or insufticiency of it, imly out of the body of it, without laving respect unto any other thing whatwever : that is, they suppose the return to be true whatsuever it be: if it be false, the prisoner may have bis netion on the case against the gaoler that brought him. Now, my lords, when the prisoner comes thus to the bar, if he desire on be bailed, and that the cours upon the view of fie return think him in law to be bailable, tof $n$ he is always first taken from the keeper of the prisgn that brings him, and comanitted to fie inatshal of the King'sBench, and afterwas ds bailed, and the entry perpetually is, : ommittitur Mariscallo et 'postea traditur in (Bull';' for the courg never beils any man, ont he fint becomes their own prisoner, and be ' $n$ custudia Mariscall' of that court. But if up an the returs of the Habeas Corpos, it appear to the court, that the prisoner ought not to be baited, sor discharged * from the prison wheqe he is brought, then he is remanded or sent fack agui, there to continue, uptil by course of lay he may be delivered; and the entry in the cage is, 'Remit'titur quobusque secuadum egem deliberatus ' fuerit,' on' ' Remituitut, quou que,' \$cc. which is all one, and the highent awar.l or judgment that ever was or can be given upoz of Habes, Corpas. Bul if the Judges doube thy whether in law they ought to take himp fly prison. Whence le came, or give a day o the sberifito amend his writ, ns often thof do then they ryand him only duriug the $t$ :are of their doubl, or uutil Ybe sheriff hath apend d his returs, and the entry upon that is © Pan fuitor only, or . 'Remittitur prisona pried.' Without
any more., And so 'remiftitur' generally is of tar less moment in the award upon the Ifabeis C'orpua, than ' remittitur quousque, $k$. C . however the vulgar opminons ramed out of the late Judgunt he to the conttay. All thene thugg, are of moot hnown and coistant use in the C'out of King's-Bench, a, it cannot be doubted but your londshus svill rasily know from the geave and lean ind my lord the judges.

Inese twos coursc, the one of the entiy of * Comanteter Manscall. ot portea traditur in 'Ballium,' and the other 'remittitur quousque '太c. if iemintitur' generally, or 'remitatur 'prosoris praed.' togither with the numure of the Haleas Limpus, thus stuted; is will be easter for me to open, and your londtanips to ubseste, what-mesters shall oceur to the purpose in the Piecedems of Itecord, to wheh I sball some now it the particular. But before I come to Ilde I'recolents, I an to let you hnow d.e Ifrolutur of the luse of commens toachin: the enlargemeot of a min conumited by tin counurnd of the king, or the py-counci, or any other, withont cause shewt of weh comantucit. it ts thus; That if a freeman be commatted or detamed in prison, or otherw se revtramed by the command of the hang, the P(II)-council, or any other, and no cause of auc ir t ommitwent, detruner, or restrant be evpre - edl, lior whin ha law lie onght to be commattad, detamed or restramed; and the sanie he tetained upon an Habegs Corpus granted for the paity, then he ouglit to be deliverul and baled.

This Riculution, as it is grounded upon the wits of parhament aire.ady shewn, and the it hasin of the law of the I mid, which is committed to the charge of another, and anon also if le opened to jon, is stengthened also by many l'rucideoth of Hecord.

Bint the l'recedents of Ke werd that conecrn - tha prait are of tyo hind-, for the house of commous hath mformed it aelf of such as concirn it either way. The fist, auth as thew expressly, that persons comnaitud by the com-- Hurud of the hing, or of the privy-councll, withont other cause shewed, hate been enlarged upon houl when they praged t; whence it ap; pears rlearly, that by the law, they are ballable, and so by IInbeas Corpus to lie set at li"bivit. for though they ougbis not to have been commetted without a cousy thesed of the commutment, yet it ftrue thas the reverend judges of this land tivl pand such respect to worh commitments, by dye c mmand of the kipg, or of the lords of the "r uncil, ( n S alsu) to he comnutment sofnetise of Ahferior persons) that upon the falogk' Corpus, they rarely used absolutely to ilich charge the pernons iustantly, but Ohly to pige them upon bail; which sufficiently wey ar and preserves the Liberty of the Smbjecy, at fording to the lans the your lorriships Have flready head, wor an asty of the cimes of then any difference made betygen such crinaityents by the lords' of the council that mif secorpornted with him. The second kind of precedeats of Hecord are, such as have

Hen pretended to prove the law to be contrary, and that perobns so committed ought not.to be eet at liberty upon bail, and afe iu the nature of objections out of record.

I shall deliver them summarily to your lordshups with all faith, and also true copies of them; out of which it shall appear clearly to your lordships, that of those of the first kind, there are nu less than twelre, mosf full and duectly in the point, to prove that persops to committed are to lie delivered ypon bail: and ainongst those of the other kiff, there is not so much as one, not one, that proves at all any thing to the contrary. I shall first, my lords, go through them of the first kind, and so observe then to your lordshaps, that such scrupits as have been made upon them by some- that have excepted against them, shall be clearel uho aocording as 1 shall open them severally.

The first of the first kind is of E. 3d's time, it is m Parche 18 E. 3, liot, es. The care was thus: bug F.. 3, lind committed by Writ, and that under lis great seal (as most of the hing'a commands in thuse times were $\rightarrow$ one John de Biddeston, a clergyman, to the prison cf the Tower, without any cause shewed of the consmitment. The licutenant of the Tower is cominanded to bring lum to the Kıg'b-bench, where he is committed to the marshal ; but the cout asks of the Lieutenant, if there were any cauee to keep this Buldeston in pison, hesides that commitinent of the ling; he answered no: whercupon the Holl saye, "quin videtur ${ }^{6}$ cor. bre. pred. sufficient. non erse causam - praed. Johan. de Bildeston in prisona dom. - regos hic detauend. Idem Johannes adinittitur 'per manucaptionem/Willielni de Wakefield,' and some others, where the Judgment of the pount is fully declayed in the very pount.
The second, in tre fist hind of precedents of lecord, is in the tone of II. 8, nue John Parher's Case, why'thas committed to the sheriff of London, ' fro sccuritate pacis,' at the snit of one Brinton, Iac pro suspicione felonis' committed ty him in Gloucestershire, ' ne per mant'datum dom. ra.' he is committed to the marohal of the hing's-bench, 'et posted asto ' codem termino traditur in Ball': Here weie other caases of the cobnmitment, but plainly one was by the compand of the hing, signified to the sheauls of I undun, of which they twok notice; but some have interpreted this, as if the 'commitineut had been for suspicion of felony by the command of the king, in which case it is agreed of all hands, that the-prisoner is baulable: but no man can thank so of this precedent, that ohserves the context, and misizstands the grammar of it, wherein most plainly ' ac per mandatom dom. regis' hath no reference to nny other cause whatsouver, but-is \% single cause envmerated in the retura by itself, as ibec record clearly sheweth; it is in 22 II. 8, Ret. 37.

The third is of the same king's time, it is 35 H. 8. Rot. 3y. John Binck'I Case; he was committed by the lyrda of the council 'pro'suspi' cione feloniz ac pro alis causis allos inoventi-
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- bus, qui commititur Mariscallo et mmedigte ${ }^{4}$ ex giatia cuise speciale tundefur in Hall ${ }^{\text {a }}$ They copmitted lum fot suspicion of filony, and other causcs them there untompang, whereIn there might be matter ot state, or whatsoeset else can be supposed, and plainly the ${ }_{g}$ cuuse of then commitnuent is nut expressed, yet the cout buled hum without having regard to thest onhnown cuscs, that moved the luids of the council. But it has indeed some difference from thes of thuse other two that precede, and from the whet mise also that follun, toi it is eqreed, that if ic uise be caprosed in the return, insoniuch thit the coust can know why he is conumited, thit then be wiy be buled, bugt not it the y hoow not th c caubl Now it i minit comm i id tot actu ecy ressid, ${ }^{6}$ et pio, 'ali's e unds domnos de concilio moveritibus,' ecit anl, the atut c in no mome I uow in sach y cise whit the catise is than any other

The trurth of these $s$ in thi tume of quien Mus, it is Pisch 2 \& 1 , P ic Mal Kiti m Oiett m's (iot Pulhud Gotion was ututur ed upen in IIibe is Conpus, dinceted to the
 dituned 'pei mi mitum pitionh hum doun " nofum honor bilis concila donat wom raks *et re,ina, qui c minitutui VIII et ammedate "tiaditur in Bill. In answa to tlio prece dent, ot by in iv of objuction to the farer of it, it hath been, ud, that thi- Oicerton at this uinc stood indicte I of Jish licasun It is tive in was 59 mileted, I at thit appeus in an other Roll, that hith no referince t, the Keturn, is the Retura h thas ieficienct to that Roll, yot they thit object this in unst the force of this precident, si) that bridise he n is in I cted of treason, theriture thoughise "s is comusted 1 v the comimand of the lorils of the cuunsal, without cause thenc l, vet he yfas baulalh fof the tuenson, and upon that w \& here buka th n which objectuon nothing is unare conti us, it ithe to liw or common reasuif It imust cuintiany to law, for thit clearly evciy feturn is to be adjudged by the couit out of the body of itselt, and not by ing othei collatenal or fureign $t$ cord whassietcr. Theicture the matter of the indictment here, cannot in law be cause of bailing of the pisoners and wo it is querse to all common rensin, th it if the objcction be admitted, it must of neccoativ follow, thit whoso ever shall be commutted by the hing, ot puiscounril, without cuse she syed, and be not udicted of treasun, or some other oflence, it iy not be enlarged, by ieason of suppuation of mattei of state. But dat whosoever is so commigrd, and with il st inds sas bricted, though If another record, ma) be enli ged, whatsoevi the matter of state be for whith he was comgitted The absurdity of which assertion needs not a word for furiher confutation, as if any of the gentlemen in the last jodgment, ought to hive been the sooner delis ered, if he baif becu niso indin ted of ire ison, if so, Tration and Felons hase the hughest privilege in personal liheity, and that ahuse all other sul juets of the hingdom

The hith of thas hind is of queen frars's tume also, it is Pasch '4. \& 5 P \& Mai lit 45. the Case of Edward Newport IIt was hiought anto the kung's-Bench by Habs as (olpus out ot the luwer of London, ' Cuin caukn, vir quod ${ }^{4}$ commasur futc per mand itum Concilu Dominas - Requne, qui commintutur Man et momediate 'traditus nu Ballium' 1o this the like nnswes has been mid, as to that othen cie of Overton's nert before eited, they sicy that in thothes roll of mothei term of the sume yeas, it appeass he wat in questaon tor mispuition ot cuinng, tud it is tuic he was so, but the ite totn, and has conmintment mentionulim it, have no releicnace to my wheh offence, nor hith the buhnent of bum ielition to uv thing, but ts the itsolate comantment by the Puny-counal So that the unswes to the like objection in the is fist Unc 1 m's ( ase, stu, hes this aloo

Ites sisth a inse is of quecn Tlirabetion time, Nuls 911 Lu 535 the (se of Itho. 1 mence, this Liuience carne in biv Ilabe as ( orpas, ryined by the shenillo of 1 ondon, t" le ditanfen in paraus pet mand at Concilis

 bifth been menti I zume this dios, it hath bernsilt, that thoman yis pudenci, und




 ir lation ot all, and $t$ tif ms moth thonk, tha' ;

 utua, in matte of bitue, th one thit is not putdone l, or sut of ha manct ic v wants no $p$ ariton?
the $x$ senth of the $x$ is mithe sume ve th, and
 Rot cit ( oust ilis, ( ire He n is bugught liv Ilibe is ( spas out of the lunsi and in this rcturn it appe uats he $w$ is comennted th ir 'pet muditum privitu Conciln Domine la 'gime, qui commatutur Mnt et poote isto 'tudem tr' te vilem in bail' 1 be libe ol jer tion hath ben wabe to this, is thic lifluce os Lamenci, but the selt zume maner che ulys,tishe, tor them hosth

Be eichith is ot the sune quic $n$ ', tume, 1 Prech roll ito 72, Jatm Ksownm_s (awIhus Bionnung cute by II Corpus at of the lowa, whather be Ind licelifanmitid), and "as riturnud to hive bef fapsetted,' 'pa. - pravt. Concll Doman If gund yu committ-
 'in ball 1 La this it muth be co a wid thit it was done at the (Justicu Winy ch miner, nud not in the court odid thas the A/w rity of the precedent lith betin lemen ned on hay ar It it had been done at tis chamber, it fir id have paved at dest thas rauch, that yiry lais. (Wiy, HaC 1 of the King'r-Be fili, Eing a grayt, learned, and upright pudgerknonging the Itwe to be so, fid bail this misouguig, fid enlaige hun, and cien so tat the presadept were 1 of valuc enough, but it is pl shethat thatigh the
15. Corpus'wete retarnable,' as indeed it appears in the Record itself, at his chamber in Serjeants-Inn, jet he only committed ham to the king'--Beach presently, and referied the convedetation of enlargueg hum to the couit, who utherward did at: for the Record sas-- Et postea isto coden temmino traditur in bulli.' which cannot le of an enlargement at the $\mathbf{C}$. Juatice's chamber.

The nuith of thas first hind 1 , IHIll. 10 I. Rot. 62, Edwadllarecourt's Case; hc was impisoned in the Gatehouse, and that 'per do-- immos de privato concilo dominar regine poo ' certas c.lusio cos moventibun it eliznots.' and upon his II. Corpus wis returned to be thecefore only detumal, ' 'Rui comminttur MLur, et 'posteai isto codem termmo truahtu in ball.' To this never auy colour of duswer hath been yet offered.
The renth is Castechn', Case in the Vacation nfter Hill. Term, is Fi. Rot. Hobert Catesbie was rommuted to the licet 'per warimum - daergor, pirnobalime wror, de privato un' cilo dotulue reginar;' he was brouglit belore pustue lemer, one of the then justices of the King'-Bench, by 1I. Corpus at Winchesthrhoure, Southwaik; ' It comauss, fuit Marr, 'per prafat. Ddiwdrduan I'rnere, et statum tha-- ditur an ball.'

The eleventh is Rech. Rechwith', Case, which was m IhI. 12th of hug James, Rot. 1.33. He
 tommetted to the Gate hoose by dive. lords ot the proy-conacil; ' Qui commattur Marr. $1 t$ 'pontesisto code en terimino tuaditur in ball,"

Io thas hath been sanl by some, that Bechwith w,s haiked upon a letier, wniten by the I oribs of the Council to that puppose to the Juilges; but it appears not that their was ever any letter written to them the that purpose. - whil though it had been, would hase prosed mothug agount the harthonty of the lecourd; for it was never heard of, that juiges were to be directed in point of law by letters fion the lords of the council, although it cannot ber douhted, hut thit hiv such ,letters sometumes thes have been movel to bail men, that nould or did not oth then eiliargement without such le ters, as in oume exomples I shall shew your

- loadhips anoing the Piecedents of the Second hind.

The twelfth and lust of the ee is that of sir Tho. Monspu's' ${ }^{\circ}$ en ; it is Mich. 11 Jas Rut. 147. He wor corconted to the Timer 'per
 'chio don/or rugh locian tenents wrectum;' and he wf rofitined by the limetenant to br. therefire "Marr' © y per traditur hoc io Hail.' To this it hath $/ \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{f}$ nnswered, that ciery lody hnow by enymo, faime, that this gentlemain wis comur ited fur suspicion pt the death of wr Tho. Overblury, जal that he was theretore balable: a most strang elaterpectation, as if the body of the Iftratn and the Warrant of the privy-councal shoplef be'turderstood, und adjudyed out of fame only. Was there not no nuch-a fame,
why the gentlemen, that were remanded in the last judgmenr,' were commuted, and raight not the self-same reason have served to enlarge then, thear offence, of any were, being 1 think mush lesb than that for which thas geutleman was susplcted?

And thus I hive faithfully opened the number of twelve Precedents, most express in the tery point in question, and cleared the objucuons that have been made against theur. And of such Precedents of Record aque of the first hind, which prove plainly the practice of former age,, and judgment of the court of kig's bench, in the very point, on the behalf of thessulject, my lords, hitherto.

I come next to those of the second chind, or such as are pretended, that persons so committied are not to be enlarged by the Jodgen 'upon the Ilabe.s Colpus bought, but to remana in prison stall af the command of the King or the Piny-Councill. Thete are of two natules; the fint of these are, wlere some assent of the hing or the Pury-Councll appeass upon the eulargument of a prisomer so committed; as if, that brecausef suc h assent appears, the culargement could not have lieen without.such aveni.- Hie vecond of thas kind, nec those which have been urged ny express tebtumones of the Judges d (nymg bail ; and an such cases, I shall open these alvo to your loidships: which bemg done, th will most clearly appear, that there is nothang at all in any of these, that makes nny thang at all agamst the Resolution ot the house of commons, touchung thas point; nay it is so far fiom thar makng any thang ngaunst it, that some of thein add good werglit alon to the pisof of tiat liepolution.

I or those of the fint nature of this second hins of Precedents, they begon in the tune of II. 7. Tho. Bruggé, and divels others, neie unprowned in the King'-Bench ' ad mandatum 'doman regh, they neter sought remedy by Halneus Corpas, or otherw sse, for aught appean: But the Roll sys, that ' dommus rex ' relatast mandatum,' and so they were bailed. But can aty man thank, that thas is an argument euther in lyw or cormuon reason, that therefore thry could not have been bailed without sucif asent? It a common in cases of common persons, that one beng in prison for surcly of the peatuor the like, at the suit of another, is bailed ulon the release of the party planuff; can it follow, that therefore he could not hase been baled without such release? Nothug is more plan than the contracy. It were the sane thing to say, that it it appear, that if a plangt be non-cuit, therctore unise be had heen ndg-suite, he could not have beens burred in the suit The case last cited is Mich. 7 II. 7, 10t, 6.

The vely lihe is in the same year, Ifill. 7 II. 7, Rot 15. The case of Will, Butholomet, Will! ( base, and disers others, and the selfsame answer, that is girep to the other, clears thes.

So in the same yea, Tathli. 7 II. 7, Rot. 18. John Beomond's cuse, is the bawe in substance

with those othet two, aud the self-safie ansmer also satisfic, that clears them.

The nuxt c pe 1, Mich 22 H 7, Rot 8 Tho law's cise, he wis commulued 'ad sec 'picis,' tor the secuity of the peace, at the sur ot one lietmin, und bis sides, ' adj mandn"tum dom regh' thed fust, "1ifeman te-- Ievint rec precis, and tien in Jumes Hulbud, the then bings Atto acy Ganial, 're " livevt hand atum d min regis; and lat upon he is Lailed I he reliave ot the him, Attornuy no more proves thit he could not have bein culuged without such sele ist or issent, than thit he could not hwe I cen bulad without iel ise of -uiciv of the pere by liteman - اlin feiv linc is in Inill 9117 Rot 14 The a s of llum hy Bicclie, whel prosesno " nt tue than the sest of this hind alicady cuted

Then for this punt ilso, Bioome s case of quion Elinibeth's trme, is 1 im in II Ryt 198 Lianguce B osme wis comontted is th Gate hisesc "pea mand itum dome cmuln


 court, wh ch mded is ins espis - piectint, thit might pethap, wall liwe bet if it to the nuabe of the fust twche wache? '1" 1) sic in the pitaice of e lin. b prioncis in thiscie, 1y $\boldsymbol{I}^{\prime}$, ne it ot the coum op a in II Corpus Baris is tue, that in ric seids of thit ic u, " ce the bills we coterd 1 i

 'dyuarp pivatic wella, bint plumly thasint any hide ot a gur icut, thit theich ore in liw h

 bn', 11 Vc $1011 \mathrm{kt} \boldsymbol{\pi}$, Windens $(x$ Tho Weaden wise manted to the fati her e lov the qu ca wa th lond of the cmal 'pa
 Corpus 1 ito tic king, lisench, fand b il dly

 'per courtu-am dim pris th romin, und it in tiue that the quetns sit inev dal thll the court, that the loids of the aneil ded uss at to it 1 linss it theicio c, thit it con id not bue hecn wuthout, a h mpin?
Nest is Hill 4311 Rot on, whenders gent net, of speinil inn hity weie mupismal bv the chann uid it the puing-councal, tia queti" los in ge a my plesed to Tninge
 thy $\mathrm{Kim}_{h}$, Bench, that the , woghld take such :
 should thank ho wif thicy diciso, an letal irged them up on writs it If Gorpus lollons it therefore, $t^{\prime}$ at thas might not hise betin done by I in, it the paties themselics,h of dinited it,

So in fini 1 Ju liot 0 ar lin Brocket beltio commulued to the 6 etth rase is returued to otind caramitted 'per mandsuan 'piriatu comrolu,' aud be n enlarged ' vhitute "weuraiti a concmo piad cto.' But the samic
answer that sanusies for the rest before cited, series for this dioo

The laut of these, in Reyner's casc, in Mich. 12 Jat Kot 119 He was coummtted to the Gatalionse by the lords of the council, and be ing bronght mtor he Kiag's Bench hy It ibeas Coipus, is culuged upon bul, but thas they sy wes upon I Icttei writien fious one of thio loids of the councal to the judite It is tuif, that such a letel was writun, but the diswer to the forner precudents of tris natume, are sulfeient to cleat this also

And in all these obrisc, 1 That it appens not, that the puty erca desired to be enluged by the couit, in wis dased it 2 I etters withe from the bing or coum $1 /$ cannot alte the I ive in any c isc so that lutheito notinug hyta been br muthe on the continy par, that h th any incoliculvo of thsonin it
Wc come now, niy lids, to those Piecede its of the o her nitule cited th uilist the $I_{1}$ buty of the subject that is, such is I ive bcin uned to mailihe the persous so committed maty not be cilughd by the cout they ale min numl or eignt, but thes is nut one of them tha c paocs suy such thin, , vout londshaps will planiv sec upon ap emt ; them lic fist foun of them It c.ath ut the same wr ro
 pusons ditter, 1 sbill tluclo i icest the mill me after mutl as, a I then cle I thein it ecthe:

1be fist is Richual I wadive se Hill (II 7 If $x$ is 1 k withe, we im



 Vin At

 Vindson, per mul thation mit, il 4) at thind ajem a ft (ongus if the chay

the thad is Hill a lico i, It it 1t, thunt
 shil $\mathrm{c}:$ of the hounh ld , 'pramadum ilo ; mat i iegs,' and so ictamed upoul lis Il ith is (orpos, ind the entiy i hil cirse, "Quit com-- nuttitur 1 la Ar

The tourth is lieise la witiorise, l' sh
 hherits, of 1 mdm , 'per didytum domum '1eges' and returned s, up in hi Gíabers Corpus, 'Oul ronnmytur VI' 'sed,
these Vour hue huca uk prigripilly, as expicss Piccedents, to piove of, it is istinct so 'committed cunot be calugle mid! pethaps It the hirst sight, to men that houlif pe and olve , rie not the coule and rntrics of ? court of King's-Bench, the r may be appi fid jed $\varphi$ prove as morh but mi tuth they ry the prove the contiuv, at k ust there is jo colfut in theer ot vuy buch matter as they have becn used for lo ablich purpose 1 beseech your lordships to cill to your memoreoperthar whach 1 first observed to jou touching the cluse of
that court. Where a pisconer is bought ith by II Cotpus, he is (if he be not to be it manded) hist commitud to the marshil of the coust, and then basled as hus case isquints
 Now these men berog thus cominted by the expiciss command of the 1 igg , aic hrot, you -6 , taken fiom the posons whithe they weie tuat commatted, whiciciu you may obotale, thy loids, that if a eencial suspicion of mattit ul it te weie of foride an such a cast, it might be as needful in point of st the, to have the pisomet icinain in the puron, whese the hung by such in absolutc command conmuted hem, as to hinc hum at all committed When the) haic tiheis thein from the pusons whicie belose they ncic, they comme them to the mushal of then own wuit, which is but the tist step to buing them Now it appeasb not madeed that they weie builed, for then 'I whitur in bilt hud followed, but nothug it all apptass thit thry were demid $1 t$, perinips they nerea shetit, peilaps ther could bot find such as wete suiss cieut is ball thein And in truth weikensoevci any man is but umoved hom any prison in I u, baid (thoughit be in debe or utsp iss oniv) imto thit (vurt, the entiy is but in the self same - labler in in these luai chos

Ind in truth a those I incculinge did prove, thit the al the prisones named in then wat no bai' thle 0 hat becn thought ty the rourn


 (1) th is theic upon "I) ondma) ict $n$ of dith, ot ut in of tucopass, suld ic buled, in eviry in thit is booughe thather, and not rimureded, mideliery min it it is rieved but Iby idele on thespars, and was retuned intos that co: 1 , whenenici nemmetted t ithe metishal


 puc it in - ine that ded mot, or would a it, sean tis ander und the course of that comrt

1 be At 1 of tate $n$ tetare is Idnud Prge's ( me, it is it fllen! llas mught hat born whic honed with tla'tomer toul, hid not it r maraiv of the ce houls mide it cuv foun then 1 'w of l'are wan commanted to the Muanise 1 of the luysels ut, and tate 'pet ' m miltitum domingergis and returucd to be therctime dyaty wo wid the entry is 'Quitom-
 - Mair' is Yoas uy in the ranigin al pere lioll, this heth 1 thisf it prowe, thu de pulsc? 3 manded $7 / 31$ Lipnisones, it they had done ro. the iemak hised, an, any soch iwatil wifh in given when ty dadjudye laun not builable But in youth ye yurd 'commitstu'' se we, that the 16 was nept a 4 I murndingo ot hum, vien doth thit court eret wununt any man to the Maidhisea of the humaloos- and beades, the woid 'Sharr' for Murcscillo in the margan, shewb planily that lid war Aurmitted to the manhal of the Kug's-bunch, uud uot remanded to the Mat-
shalsea of the hoeshold; for such entry of that wurd in the margin, is perpetually in cuses of that nature, when they comant in maif to thenr own prison, and so give him the hist step to balluitut, whach he may bave it he ask 15 , and can fundlian And doubtiets these words of - Hosp thi prad ' were added by the eiror of the c leet, for waut of distinction in his understand$\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{j}}$, froin the ' Mair' of the Xing's-bench, to on mad hat of the houshold
The anth of these is I homas, Casan's Case; it is ${ }^{3} J x$ rot 941 his Cxsai inas commatted to the Mu-halsea of the houshold, 'per man'dituan dommiregis,' and returued to be theretore det uned, and indiced a 'remittitar' is in the soll, bat not a 'rem.tutur quousque,' but onls that hinl of 'remitt tui' which is only used while the couit adiser Andin truth this is u'口 tham proring my thang aganst the resolutisin of the house of commons, that it apPal ti : the upimion of the reveiend Judjes int th t tuncw is, thit the retuin was insuffieient, und this it it weie not amended, the prisoner thill bedxhused. Fur tu the-Booh of Raley in the cotut oi Mich 7 erm (when Cabar's ( ise udisu quavion), they expicssly oidered, thit it the stew ud's menhil did not amend the ir intirn, the prisones should be absulutely thech nt-1 1' the words of the role ate, 'nisa schea dlan et matceal bosputu domam regis 'surficintir aturnosenat biese de Habeas

 '1sh nue' And this is also the furce of that pre elent, butset there hath be en ans intciprethion upon this rule It hath beeu said that I' (J)'gri gave this ruk, bpe use the tuth was, unt tie ictuan wat tibe, and thit it wis weh hil anil, that the prisulit $n$ io $n$ commited by th unmediate cmum mod of the hane, but by the t manted of ti lond thmitalun, and themetis it wis sud they mode thes rule; but tha hind of utitijictition is the fast that ever ur - -upposer, thit judge- ha ald tike notice or $t^{\prime}$ e thth or fislacod of ti c ceturn, other" 4 thin the hidy of the return could mform if un And the rule itwelt epcals plannly of the stithe lace) onls, and not of the truth or falsloooll ol it

It c crenth of these, a the Case of James
 that nue bitwets, and weie commulued to the Mal-hileti of the houshiff, 'per mandatum ' don un repis,' and oo re uracd upon, HI Conpus and it in true, that the soll sho kes that they wers rompuled, but the semandurg was onty upan athu ment ind indsed tue gratres and upuight jud es of that tuuc nere so cateful, lect upon the ently of the remanding, any such must the nujbt be, as $m$ ght perhaps mislead postents in so gicut a point, that they would expil-Wy have this noid 'rmanediate added to thi ' 'iemitritur,' that so all men that should meet with the coll miglit eee, that it was done for the present only, and not upot any debate of the question And besides, that there is no 'quousque' to it, which is usu.lly added, when
the highest awud upon dchate or resolution of thus hind is gien $n$ by them
The of hith of these is the Case of Saltonstall, ut $\sim$ Ihll 12 Isc 41 Sanuel sitonstall was connmuted to the Elect, 'per mindr' tum dounan itess,' and bendes, br toe (ount of ( $h$ uncery, ton disubeging an oidei nt thit © surt, wid in retuned upon his II ibc is Corpus, to be theyefor det uned And it is truc thit : ' rumbentur' is entered in the soll, but it is maly
 'que sccundoṇ̃ lejpem deliber itu, turnt' and in truth it appe i1s on tha licond, that the count gave the Watiden of the lhet tlice suresil days ut sevent tumest, waeud tis iteme, end if the natenin 'iceminturu pironas pred' ' Cei tomily it the curt bid thou_he thit the retum bad been brod, they sould not hre gren so manis sextral diys to hatic mended it, lor wo that 'm nod ittuan donym regis had betil onth ount in the cise, why necd to to hase bern .uncnited?
 rot 71 the $1-$ of the suid vir samuil a ition still lin to returied bv tie Whden of the
 - 'ecmitutur is in the roll, whicl prosee nothone
 no I y liw be mintal, mi I vide in both cise la to da mamited is, tor ispbevimz an oider mo the Chumesy
there are ill that hare "ken putionded to the cont in in this gic it poriut, wid up ni the new of the m thu npcied to nour loriblap, th asplen that these is not in unt witioth. one it ull, thit perons commuite i ly the coum ind it the hang of the lord- of tio comand wathinut a tux shened mizht " I tc enluged, I mt mis d the $\mathrm{m} x$, of tham apicoly prow 1 ather tix ont ul
 Procedst in Ret ri, that concern the p not of of as sud be ore I come the thetict hand of Preet kits, wuth 2414 whemn Resoln
 cominnuded hio I $v$ tike hou $e$ of commons) reperent unto gou lodalips, mewhit che they hac thal_he wir condciabie, with whibitits nut, whil thry were m a most (aretul 12 pury of whit) pigier concerned them in the -re it question
It 1, niv lords, a Pauchit of in Tatiy of , Jud_ment in thit gritit © se litely odjud coit in the gount of hing's I in h b when divers kenteench mprisoned 'pir yeccile mand itum
inniegis,' wore is the ach rad ud ordet
the court, atten cole min debpe, sent bick to prison, bec ture it in sevprewly sud, they rould not in fustuce delnee then, shuush they priseal to be beiled the C山e is lan uin, wid well hnown to your lordhhps, therertine I need not further to ancation it do yet maded thinc ic no Jodgment entured upon the Roll, but there is room enaugh for anv Lind ol Judgment $\omega$ br enticred. But, my lorde, there is ; torm ot a Judguent, a most unusual one ; surh a once as
neier nas in anypach case before (for indeed thete was never betore any rasc so adjudged), and thus diawn on by a chief cluh of thit court (by direction of Mr Attonar) Gener i), as the house was informed by the cluh, in which the 1 e sorf $f$ the Judguent, and rena uding of those genticmen, is crpiessed in woch sort, is it it thould te dectued "bion Record for even, thathel ans whe, that no ma in could atr be inlurged thom mprisonnent that stood cournuttedhy surl) in ibsolute © Mnim nd
Hie ch wh, ht is only in on Jolun Hevenughuas (irc, beng one of the ecuitlinen thint nss remanderd, aind it $n$ is mull tor t form for all the tet lic nords of it aie iffer the usual cuty nt a Curia ad asare vult, tor a tume, that - TINs eetai pradict nec nen divasis antignis - recond $n$ cuna hir rem ment consmules
 'mde pras hilt , $\because$, quoul nulla spendis

 'Ett in puson? prid' per specile mundatum


 'cuadutn legrom delibertitus lacent' And at thit court, that is the hughest ber oidniry pustue exnnot idhecr ham secuudum legen, "1 it liw is theif, I brsecth you, miv lorls, that in be suoght for in any pothes meteror comut to deliset himi' Now, my torit, bec tuse this di " 1 , hit, it it whe intercid in th Holl, (at
 " 1 -re it idecl untuin, contrany to the m miny utiol purlianient alic ady uted, contrin) to ill precudents of termee tumes, and to all ressou of hwe, the utter vibvers sin of the highint hibrits and reght belombim to cicry treed man ot thus hamgiom, wid ton that espe cinlly
 teco loohted imta by the odut in libr case- by which is eoids then podgments werc dircted. wher is in tanth, thers is not any one recond It a lext int that with ing colona (not yo much mded as wih any col nui) $w$ wriants the judgmeat theicfore the housc of e mmmnes thounht forlso, that I should, with the iest that hath been sadd, shew this draught iso to yout lomdhip,
I come now to the ne' er huid of Preccion ne*. that 1 , solkma lic cobistit of houdge, whuch leing not of Recond, wmut Whyir authentic ropies but of this hmal the t, in tone in thas t ise, itimets the Ra solution 'Al', the Judges in the tume of queen klizated prinu is in the Jith at her uego, when ditina bong hed been committed by absolute d ui fand, und didinered bytie fustuen of ie ofe pench or the other, whereapon it nas ilesm os hat the Jodges nould declic in what cas ${ }^{4}$, nove conimuttel by such eqnin and ul 10 h $n$ inlirged, whirli hith bect vurrous)/rited, and sariotisly uppiclended I he Irouse of ( ommons, thet fore, desnimg with ill circ to latorm atheiselice as tully of the truth aft ins ipsssibly they mibht, got mito thar hauds from nak mber
-at their house, a Book of selected cases, onllerted by a reverend and leaniued Cluet-Jusuct of the Cominon-Pleas, that was one of them thint gave the Resolution, which is entered at lage in that Bool 1 mean the I. C. Justice Anderson, it is whitteil in the Book with hs own hand, as the rest of the book 1s, nad housoever it hath been cited, and wois cited in that great Judgment 3 nou the IIsleas Corpus in the King's-Bench, as 11 it had heen, that upou such coummineuts thy Judgeo might not buil the pisonern, yet it is moot plani, that in the litesofution uselt mo soch thmg is contamed, bet sather expressed the cootmay I hall betta repisent it to your lordsinpo loy se uhing it, than by opemang it.

Then it was tead here (Ser ants, p,76)
If thas Resolution dothiceolse any th ne, it doth indeed upon the enquiry iesolvi fully the sontrary to that which hith been precinild, and cough for tha mamenance of the ansunt and taud uncatal pomet of hivery of the pition, to ber reg uned ty il theds $($ onpus whan ally $n$ unguisonal And I the rither thunghat it be now to ic id it to your lordlaps, fhat it imolt be it luse I eard, becaine in the gitat Jurdment in the Kmg's 1 li neth, thongh it was wid
 linctity, as abo at the lk wheh, wet the a h cisty thme (her of retord that wis und, weie it luge rad openly, thas was sot icad o the at hal on benth tor midecif it it hail, cray
 to hase in en mdect conts in is the Juigment

Ms loids, hasing thav Lone though the thage anmmitted to me tyy the hase of conimons, midhame thes meatond dot sout hidslap, and opened the $m$ uns Patidents of Rtroids, and thit Ditwith of the I"gment in this lise e Me, a plow thas Nealution, 1 bill niw (ns I havl leave and digestion exen int, l. at you lordahips thould lin !ition mum trouble ind espence of time in tinditg on petting copies at lange of those thang, wheh I hasc (isd) ofles al, to gous lordhips nuthentic ropres of them adl, and bo leave tham, and whitsoever che I have sald, to gour lutdships funther consideration

## The true Copy of the l'recedents of Record

 Inter Recond Doin Reliv (amois in Thesura) flacept Sciccarn suypubcustodia Dum Ibes iustr. et 'anight. Inden remanen wo Plicita fis is Sommo Kege apod W cotuona t de of alyathe suno lieer Filuardis. poot can fotid Anglate is mites aljafic conlinetur ${ }^{5}$ seng por.Hot $93 y$ dolue de tumino Pisch.
Domin $1 . x$ mandant dilecto et fide $h$ suo Robento "pulton coustaluala" Turis sure
 verba $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ ? cia, et dn. inus thikerge difecto et hideh tuo
 don vel equs jomum twont salitem. Mindrtning quad Joblumiem Bublection capellan' quem vic nopu. Lonitou ad mandatum nostrum npud
pred. Turym vobis hberavit ab endem recipratus et in prisond nostra 'Iurris Londen pied. silvo custudn' fac' quousque aliod super boyc duxey. mus demandand. teste meipso apud luirm nustiam London' so the Maitu anno regni nostn Anghe 1o. regut vero nostri Iraucia 30. Et mado scht in Crast. Ascen. Dons, amo regua nunc 8 colain domation 1 ge apud Westrumis. vent Jobannes de Wynwich loçum teneus pied. rount doular et addasit corain justr aut, huc in cur. pied Johannem de Bildeston quem ille n prelat wacount sutute biesiv pred, recepil. Ac. It deit quod ipee a dommo rege babuie mindat ducend et hibesand corpus ipsius Jobumis de Bildeston prelat justicias, hir, \&ec. It questom est de pual Johi. nue de Wynwark ${ }^{1}$ gaim aham ictentioms piclat Johamis de Buldentou hibeat rausum Qum dict quod'non
 "uic paed, uffec en non ere piedict Johannis
 filem fohnose dmand tur pri mane, Williclen. ode Wabefield resturis crele di Whimehim, Jo-


 stol ad, quicum mallise perment hal cad, eum

 qu * outibes hanct lim crain donano megr
uf "stm Hod, fc, muus pred' $1, t$


 benal لh cothet fidel suis Willirlmo 6 ent, th hicis suio josth cai" ad platit temam nols stenend. - L_nat silten cum nuper mandathmus do

 uete, quoul Joliannem de Bilde ton $\mathbf{c}$ ye llanum capt it iktent mprom! tais pred. per pre-

 ciption et ditentomem pred tangent. salvo at
 Dom. ubicurque tuic 'uisst mu, in Augha prisous. Muccill anti coram nolns liberand. in tade ma quensyue pu quad in intormatorem er acmus ply oris matom ife custod, et tuta inde intminatione pied ulter is prel supea bic fien fecorimus quord toresimermmefar iend ucunduns legens, it consueturimetm ugnt nostri Anglas, nos in e asu quod dec tus matoriu iton non sen. coram nobis ad inturin sid nos plemus supes preman volcntes fadim Johanatm ea de causa justicin' deteri in har parie sobus maidymus frod at pred uffornatus un quaden hanct. Tem. prov fatur iflent nom semt super hoc pice. mon asturnant t Sil ads cut e jucdem informator is munme erpectat. erdem Joh thm super hoc .fieri the. jushe complement prout tore videritis taciend, ucuidum legem at consuctudiacm 1 gan! nyser Ahglax, teste meppso apad Westunnt 12 Mnn snum regu nostri Angli) 18. Revil wan notri Franc' guinto Quo garden.
 dictum iedin super pretins in uripaie val erga

## 111] STATE TRIALS, 3 Charars I. 1028.-Proceediagz an Parlianent relatug to [118

apsom Johannem prosequi soluent, quod seni it Et super hoc remt pred W do Wahecheld, Nicholas de TVandwouth, Jo Biynwyn, Jo de Lougham, Jo Ac Voitoh, et Rograus de Biomile v ompes de com Midd' et man' prid Toh mon m de Bildestout habend eum cotam domino rege de die in diens usq ie ad prefat' quanden sanct Time nbicueque, de Adquéa thotianno to coran dommo rege apud Vestmunt ienit pred Johannee de Biddeston per muius pied it ite rata fácta cst proclam in forman qi"t supe nue: \&c et nullus sente ad dictum regmmarm ind' ase per quod concens ent quod pred loh mane, de Bildeston eit inde sune dic shas semper u thone dom, icgis si qui, Ac
De Ier' Sanct Hill u' mno ' $\because$ II $\delta$, et per cont Rot ejuadem Rotul 31
Johnones I' whet per Ruardum (hoppm, it IV It innsey vic' London sutute bicsi, dom regis de intitat, pro pace sersus ipsum Joh macm Palker ad sect Johanhis Bauton evinde dawit
 Johannes Puther copt fuit in civitute picl pis secu' picis pult et pro stapuione felin - ift apsum sud (roweallim rom Glose at perpett it per nomen Johnams Puta d Tlonbmy in com Glocest serect, this dict 11 to (hul.
 reas nuncite' per Robritum B'cch en de ( lt tonds Inn, qui t mimatitur Narr' de ct po 1 i iot ' eodem tcrm uo thaditu in Bulloutn lhmme Athins de Chornbuns gred wesci, of Wille Nole de exdem will ict dom usque silu l'reche in unummen vtaser ulat mque, ike Itquad adem Johmnis I' uhei cith i coudem diem put
 ad prox geaen (rul I) hititin miom Glorest pi x thend it al a ind at irey

 pro corpore, As A lqumdumpill Still
 ad comprend on "1en whe c epect , tim pler' Finn ad quem diem atorth linn poter line 2t II 3, ex (it quaden $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ rlin Ad quem dimbuc it y utu n' quo! id Ifust tent upud London dic 1 ur proc' poot fest
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Ifion is de (luse de becharith cuptus et deunt in fusouide Noithunpton protransgiestonic theat hilet liter is Rogero de (hit lond jitis in' forent cuth a licat yuod pon tur per i If Dit apuid suict Martan Myen Joslos 20 dic Octobr

## Membinno 7

Stujhimiade fialleycipt it detent in pit-

 Vabll, jus ictil ultri if er juod pontan per bollhum.

$$
\mathrm{N}_{4} \text { id, } 6
$$

Tho ypadenta capt if icunt in pusons de () pri morte "I lis in Winne unde
 pontur pu bitr

Will chans de $\mathrm{He}_{\mathrm{in}} \mathrm{inc}$, M athens (inst, Rozer ds Pedill, II II utstuh Robutur Whit,
 de Bnodhlonde, I unctaus de Iflathe'd, Ror chiti-
 pricont de $C$ int 1 ro monte $G$ ilindi de Cottulica
 Kan quod 1 on wher peibul Dat 2, Matu

Chus uno 2Id 1 Membr 12
Rex Rogero di (hitord, furtusis. toicst rith i Trent in indinmus wher c,uod a TRobsitus Ia uili, cipt ot detent in pusoninoturde Avic. bury piotrimbits foret nosta mencats is 12 probos et les,ike, lonumes de bill well qui minucepiant cuin hibere cornin juatyru nostr ad pliciti forcst enm in putes, Kc arl st ind mde iectet tune apud Robertion sil se-
 duodecim, interim th ulitut in bill seit pied est it habe tis nommat alloum 12 homaum Lt hoc bie XC Dit 27 Ichrua
(lu- mano 2 Id 1 Nom 14
I nwynusde Boycot, Galtnuluade Wicherim, et Ilugo de Stone, detent in prisoms acgis de Ayle bury pro tians en remotioms haluat bie direct Rogero de (hfford Jisheitir forcst quod st secuadum assis foiestre ( runt ieplee usqui adicnt justiciar. regis ad placit ${ }_{\xi}$ forest cum in partes illas venerimt Dat npud Coddríton, 28 die Doceabr

Numeto 15
Guibert Conray fo Keddington, et Hugo Ie Tngloa de Keddugron cupt, et detcut. in pur
sona sanct Ldmupdi pro morte Edmundi Bunt* ing unde rectati sunt habueint literas resis vic' Suff quod pon untur pet ball

## Cluus, anuo 3 Ed 1 Num 11

Galfridus de II urton captus et detintus in pusona regis Liten' pro morte Adel' Cleiht, unde rectatus ext habet hitei is regis vic' Fb ,
 15 Junn

## Numero 20,

Robeitus Belharbe captus et detentus in pnsous de Newgite pro mote Thome Pollud, unde iectatus ist hibet hitcias rigis vic Nidd quod ponatur pa ball Dit 23 Íchua

## (luus num 4Id 1 Membr 5

Mandatum est Rade de bandwivo quad si II de Patt ire, A Jo himur fus, W dierin Home, Walterus Coiwen, Hen Pith, it W (ide jan! (ypt et ditont mpusint regis de 4 Buonell protuangg for mide rect th sunt, menenennt stbi 12 proboset $k$, itis homines de ball 4 u , vir quilibet c rrum 12 qu cos manucap' hisere "rim justeray iegis ul plicita torcst cum m
 I sos Willuch Tohut am, Wilterum, White
 dum as-iss tuer "pler ' 'mandintui in bill' mt pred ev, at bibe ant ibi nomma illotwin 12 homanan to hoelie te: lige yulbill locim 160is 29 tie Angusts

C luv annò 1 Td 1 Wtuh 10
Hem cas tilhe R a it kebin de Cotters It she cypt et detem minionimotal Vol thampton [1) m ite simons do Chucttell: inde ypeilite at, bilet lite is it is us Vosta umpton quod puit tui pui b allium

$$
\text { Chus inno } 5 \mathrm{Td} 1 \text {, Minily } 1
$$

 thest ulte Tient quad © W'teru de le thecnc capus at detatus m jusamide $\$ it tish im pro transer for mesusit siln 1' $p$,
 Ace alatimd mae iectit conndum iss - $\mathrm{t} \mathrm{n}^{\prime}$. reas tunc $11 \mathrm{klcm} W$ ilui pucd 12 thiditue ma hallhani statifite or , Dit 10 Var

## Mombano 2

Thomas de Lpucll ct lulian a uxо cula c spt. at detent in puson de WInbotebinm pio moite teph in southetsunde icel it sunt, his bent litetis ve Nofit quot ponyfue pubiham Dit apud Rethelm 28 ri Septembi Cluis ukio 6 Id 1 tind $?$
 some regis de Norwic plomonic luhine qua ndim uxor' suld, unde icctatus est of hithet hits 113, ve' Nórf quad ponatur per ball' Icste Rage apud Westmanst 18 Novemb

## Membiano 4

Mandatum est vic' Nutun, ham quod at tho. de Fudart cicit de thather fórcat quod hecasse diect batur in forect de bhet aood, intereint sibi vex piolns at legales hommis de billiva sud qui reum muncup' habeic colatn rep e ad
$T$ sandatum ren inde cum to loqui voluent，tunc pred． Tho pied sex hommb tuadat in balhum juxta manucapt，supnidict．Dat．15．die Dc－ cumbr

Membrat o $4_{1}$ ，
Tho Burell captug isfretent in prioona regis 1 kon prodkeotralthed Gefired unik restit cot hiber aiteris dom reabs vic＇Desun quad poitur per ball＇．

## （laus anno 1 Td 2 Membe 1

Joh sunes Bisno de Rollawith cipt et de teint in pisond regi，Uion pro monte Johur－ nis tic sutton，unde rectat ist hathe liten is H，2ras vir Oxon quod ponatus per 1 ill urque prim athe st en oecabionc，de I に licae ap id Bristol， 28 Junn

## Muribrimen 2

W Spore（zicll eapt it detent in prisons regis（）xon promith Jah mas Spore ande in－ duturs est，et hatet lites，＂gis ae＇Devon

 2a dia Vall

## vurufor 10


 nude indut $t$ et hithe hier is quad ponatuin p i billman uague＂I prin＇isols Icate licpe apud Wertmonst Is Icliuy

## （1心－atwo 2 1d 2，Mcmbr 1

Willidmus bude de（ ubheme ciat et dc－



 oftrinc，de late Rlat pud（whe go Jい！

 －iain Pontci unde rectat estet hibet hitias ac－ fic）＇c＇Imicolne quad pontur par bill ungue －ul pranain issi，4 eloecasione，Acp leste Megh ıpud theene 3 dat lunu．

## Membifano 7

Joh mues ik Gutheid a 1 pt＇it detent in pri－ son itess 1 Lm ＇，pro inote Mathrit Sumpson de 1 bon＇und wetitus est habet hittaviegh iu＇ 1 lon＇guod $p$ witur｜ft ball＇us gue ad prim tsxis Dat，quiut atugele 30 die Aprills
（luus．g Fd \＆Meginh 13.
Adunde d＇appre captus et detent st g onle regs 1 bn ＇pro mote lienici le trmes＇，tle F istarh unde iectitus est hibet literan ichis ；ic＇ 1 bor＇quod pon te＇per hall＇isque ad pur－ in un assis leate Rege apud $W$ cstmust 7 die Febi

## Numery $1 t$

Margareta unor Willelim Calbot capts et di tent in eaqle agisNoiwici pio morte Agritus fhae Wilhetmi Callot，et Matilde soionis ejus－ Jum Agnetr，unde rectata cst babet literas regis
vic＇Noiff，gued ponatur pet bull＇．Tistc rege apud Shteñ 22 Jan．

## Numero 18.

Johannes Frere captus it detent in gaole richis Oxun pro moite Ader de hacicigh unde witat equ hibct literas reets ma＇Divon quod poatut per ball：．Tente apud Wentminst． 8 Der ．bt．

## Claus suno 4． $\mathrm{Cd} 9, \mathrm{Mcmbr} .7$.

Roberta，sherers opipt it detent．in gable HLh de Coleett pro moite Roberti le Mugne， nude rect it ot haloet hitetas regis vic＇fues youd ponatui pat ball＇usqie ad prum＇assis． Dat $2!$ dic $\mathrm{M}_{2}$＂I

## Vumeio 3

W．filhes Rol 6 th le lishure de Slimhorne
 Rolectich Monus de Nont in，unde scit itt－cot babct hites oregis in I bor quad ponatm per bill usput ad I＇man inis Dit is Apul．
（ hiur man 11 d $n$ ，Nutncяo 2？
Thomis llas ie st motord capt el actict in piomis resis 1 inci＇nc to mínuc Mic haelis tilis


 Wectinonart a die s＇pt mime
Su Touardocos awibl yr the／hgment，a－to
 thas Imprudictica．
I ous liondhaps hase heard erion uts of par－ Inumut pi point，and thaty－onc Pruedents som－ minh colles ted，and with gic at undastanding dilacred，which 1 have peaustd，and under－ stand them all thoruaghly，und that there was not one of them agamst the Resoluton of the housc of ronumions Inclise of the Prrcedeuts dit an tomans tevminantibus，a whole Juij of l＇recedents，aud ill in pont，and to may under－ st indint，they adrunt of no an－wct：but I arn peroaded ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ puy ense lace，that a nuinber of them was nerci slicwid it the hing－bench，be－
－Ihe Iord President，who reporterl the Conferenct to the house，heguu thus＇WheCon－ tereper upon Monday Last with the lowet house， wa fibout the Iibecty of the subject，to us thinstorth，they anplayed four Speatheis the hist das ar Dudley Diles，a man of a voluble and eloquent speech，his $p$ int $x$ is the intioduc－ unn，the second w is Mr littleton，a graveata lasucd liweer，whose part $w$ is to represent the Resolution of the houre，and then grouads wheicupon they wozt，the third was M1 Sel－ den，$\pm$ gient anuquary，nud a picguant man，his part is is to shav the lan；ind the piccedents hat point，the fouth $y$ is the lord Coke，that Lat－ mous repithe of the law，whose part was to she y the ruason of all that the othus had band， and all thit whels was said was but an aflirme auce＇ol＇the common law＂From a MS be－ lougng to the late Pitte le Nese，esq，and whitifint that tune，wherem the Conference is related．
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cause I know out of whose quik tyo of them came, and that they were not known before. I aro much transported with joy, because of the hopes to ${ }^{4}$ prageed with good success in this weighty business, your lordslips being so full of justice, and the very theme and sabject doth promise success, which was, 'Corpus culn causa,' the freedom of an Englistman, not to bo imprisoned without cause shewn; which is my part to shew, and the reasou and the cause why it should 'ee so. And Idoubt not but ne shall go ou liappily; and, iny lords, it would be unseasonable to be prolix and copious, because, 'quod 'intempestum injucundun.' I nould speak here a little to some points wheh are nut so clear unt obvious, for otherwise 'perspicua vera ' non stut prolanda,' aud to gild gold were idle nd'superfuuus; therefore shall briefly clear to your lord-hips sone doubts nasde of the Statute of Westuinster, which sats, Sheritts and otherst may not replesy men in prison for four causes;

1. For death of a man.
2. Coumaminent of the king.
3. Alsolute comurand from the Justices.
4. For maters of the forest.

I was onee a Judee the King's-bench, and did woudyr how the Jerges of theee macs the inter,ret the Statuke. Ce Statu:c ouly shews what Sheififs can only dy Ly way of replevn: the Sheriffs C furt is a 9 . Fin base cuut, and not of record, there 1 (esfin (Af is not the Judge, but the Jururs, tifins, Jcha a Noke, and Joha n Stiles, W'llamintore, and Jolm Doe, and such worthies as these. Atarii, the Statute saith there, he caunot be replented if he be tahen for the death of a man; and no markel, whoeter thought it; for the Serppture sath, "Sajguis ' uullo modo expimri potest nisi sanguine.' But if he cannot be there replevied, at the King'sbeuch he may, it is there done every day. *Mr. Sheritif, you should replevy a man in such a casc, ergo, not hail him, my lords the Juiges:' (non sequitur): What not Judges bail? What ant the King's-bench, the highest Cgurt of Record of ordinary $y$ urisdiction? For the King s-benck is higher than the Clancery. And this he pro.ed by heraldry; ' Addtio probat minortate m ,' that addition proves the yuunger brgther. Now the Teste of the King's bench is 'cyuan fom,' 'rege,' without any addition, but that of the Chancery, 'coram dom, rege in cancell ria,' with that addition of a cudet, a younger bifther. I an very surry I an so much straitened for want of time, fir 1 am muih delighted with these things. What, may not the Judges meddle with ony thing in the Forest? If that were sog I would never dwell in a forest, to be wholly under the jurindiction of the wardens and regarders. These glusses und interpretations are very strange to me, and others who have been Judges. My lords, all those Arguments offered unto your lordships in this last conference, are of a double nature. 1. Acty of Parlimment. 2. Judichal Precedents. For the first, I hold it a proper argument fier your loidships, because you, puy fords temporal, and you, my lords spiritual, gale your assent unto those
acts of parliament; and therefore $f$ these caity not persuade you; nothing can. For the seconex; which are judicial precedents, it is "Argumen'tum ab authoritate,' and ' Argumentum ab ' authoritate valet affirmativè:' that is,gI conceive, though it be no good argument to sny negatively, the Jucfgs have given no opinion in the point, ergo, thacici not law; yet affirmatively it concludes well: the'telges have cleafly delivered their opinions in the point, ergo,jit is grood law ; which I fortify with a strong axiom, ' Neminem oportet sapientorun esse legibus,' as long as these laws stand unrepealed. Now, these tivo arguments being so well pressed to your lordships by my collcagues, I think your lurdships may wonder what my part may be; it is short, hut sweet : it is the reason of ill those laws and precedents, and reason must needs be welcome to all men; for all men are not capable of the ander-tanding of the law, but every man is capable of reason. And those reasons I offer to your loruships, in alifmance of the ancient laws and precelents made for the liberty of the snlject, against imprisonment without causo-opsessed, und shall show them m orier and method't confirm the same.

1. Arcipsa.
2. $\lambda$ тіногі ad mujus
3. From the lemedties provided.
4. Froin the extent and universality of the кnulic.
5.- From the mfiniteness of the time.
5. a fine.

The tist general reaton is, à re ipsa, even from thos watue of mprisombent, 'ex tiscerrbus canse; ; for I witl speak nothing bat od idem, ine it dose or other imprisomenent: and this argument is threcfold, because an imprisoned man upon will and pleasure is,

1. A Bondman.
2. Worse than a Bondman.
3. Not Jmuch as a man; for ' mortuuz' 'home uou est homo,' a prisoner is a dead/ man.
4. No man can be imprisoned upon will land pleasure of any, but he that is a Bondpuan and . Villein, for that inmprisonment and bondage are 'propra quarto modo' to villems.". Now ' propria quarto modo,' and the species, are convertble; whosoever is a Bundinat, may be imprioned upon will and pleasure, and whonoever may be inprisuned upon will and pleasure is a Bondman.
5. If a Freewne of England ndght be imprimonk at the will and plessure of the king or hus comvinandment, then were they in worse caspithan Bondmen or Villeins; for the lord of A sillein camot command another to imprison has villein yithout cause, as of disobedience, or refusing to serye, as it is ugreed in the Yenrbooks. And bere he suid, that no man should reprelrend any thing that he said out of the Buoks or Records: lee said, he would prove a frepanau.inuprisonuble upon command or pleasure, without cause expressed, ta be absolutely
[^4]
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ap wanse case than a villem; and it he dhd not thate this plun, he di sured then lordslups not is belicie han in suy thas eloc - and then
 the anew punt, slis ord pist. 'A l'inm had

 ' $\rightarrow$ we $m$ it is is + th he acford to le bahla
 *if ic. . Id. e, uhe lieop 25.5 in Fain * minitrimment, it it is of at ablot, who com ${ }^{6}$ mindad une tor t the and dc'anm ins wilh m,
 - he iciose I, hang tharentorilqued, tor dise
 ${ }^{4}$ out e tiax shewn, ase in worse cale thin wh-- Icm, that masthue ic mat suews in mis why 't's ne matironed'
6.1 A licemin impisoned withorit cotice, *iscir foom beitig. Bundetion, shat la is - mot un ul. is 2 notn, lete st moded adiad



 'In mit the lihe' 1 ad thit sleath , and mi-
 gament ab, offects, we tux they both problace the the wachat, bets, be quot la lions int is it ithin the the iteied to be hilleal,
 fir ul de all 1,6 , de so it in ib be






 whit by gre 16 , tas ind in la tis he leant at






Hut the hin. lumati cinnot itsine I 'tue

 © ${ }^{\prime}$ gin in rinuit atiod out land benis , ablocit bo all the fatite of 1 ughatad It - motrd ; R g, fol. 11
 number andoderisntr of Remedes, whin the lan give algotint mulisomictut, w

> Brcic diolonmme teplemuvilo.:
> DC adto et etian
> D) Hrbeas Corpus
> An Appeal of Iuphionurnt Brece de menuciptione

Tuo of these are nutuquited, but the Wirt 'Ur pifio et atas' is reviged, tor that was geren
 tharefore thoush it were ifiealed by the sta-

 viled, that all Statutes made ugamst Magua
vol. III.

Charta ae-fud Now the law nould neser bave guin wo many Kenuedies, if the Iiecmen of England mught inue been mpisoned at hea wili and plcasure.

Ithe touth bencral Reason 15 from the Exteat anal L'murisalily of the pietended Power to mprisou tor it atould extend not only to the commons of this icatim, and then porterities, but to the mobles of the land, and, ther pioiz 2 mes, to the birfiop and clerzy of the efalm, thal their succowars And lie eave a catuse why the comonots same th then londslups, - Coln'mune praculum conunutie icquait aunshmm " Nis.tiek heth to all persons, of whit
 uti olltity, whove allearlance is mulasaly, Ac. Whbsut cucption, and thesetore an tmplumment of vilh all evtent, without reason, 1\% ag it \& 16 anm.

The fifth escisil Regson is diawn fiom the Indetmetet sof limes, the pretonded power bem. limited to no tane, it in usbe pripetuil duru: fife; and th a is sery hud to cast an whld min into pison, "' 'y, hi cluce pison, and tue ture edloutd it his, coning forth, is a liaid cise, a my man wouid thanh thit had been so wed Amel heit h. tît it in vilitarmable
 en tie, it datamed. 'I bunt for has body thus mele finitl) $\mathbf{i m}$, wohect, tor abpison without aptixed time, in Atant nt aill.

11e -小th mill lisi Aigument is it fine, and
 lin_uititiesleso, and the aigument he made thictiold.

Th. hanesto This bemg less lanourable.

1tuto. '1 lus mpisonme it by will and pleasare, loing veiy danpei is for the long and hingiota.
1 Ah honesto. It would be no honnur to a
 Brics il e emi nitho would be both dedecus



Ab tifilh. It wailat be agamst the profit nt I - hing and him_d m, for the clet ution of I Is-lieton tememberid, Mapna ( hust, , ', t 3, it 3 , wherion t ie hing was

 yie -i $n$, ud a it lion_ht in agam, alter seren
 the if ins i adjud, ed in pablomuent to be the the commin it prot of the hing itad peosple; ( mal Ic ywitel the Ruil) the puttended power It nge anost he-prolit of the hing, can be no juri in h-puciogatise.-IIe was pleased to call t' i bundang reason, and to saly, that the vit es in in could nut suswer it; indecd the gicit min 11 hept this Roll from being printed, but it it $w$ is equitalent in toice to the piented Rolls.
3. I Repason d tuto. It' is rlangerous to the king for two lesplets, 1 of lons; 2. of des*h
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noym, the carkatav, of men , tht, it it betonamtel wh ut the apicion of tue c.tu t, thur_h heresape, t betin tath at wie

 pir - it ton silppition of ticalven on allity, then the e ' If, thounal it Ic manc retil, is if soll ot kum [1/k Aut, shth in $1 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{k}$,


 fill if if ax infont like i wion of the liv, it has ' ichatr the it lie "m, of the

 'Lillat': Ilc hims, command the quotad ann that fon us cure, the commons in pu-
 desue ha soula be conomatted the lords and Fil the Juilere, wherent thore got at nothere. Princot mid lutescin; wis inv, dehereda fit op, moton, a a he omphe net to be comamated nithontan coperill cation He for it on data) the name atat ( $)$ himisy of $\mathrm{t}^{\prime}$ ( wat m ghestion,

 sutke te cot"

1 isils, it fichord i plice in the (mapd, Acin 2, 1 b the whes fixits contion it




 thet in th the f10 it , tof , if it it : niot site in h hit , ill I a idet to4n, to som-



 photomim elther of $\mid 1$, me in , die, 11 . alis) him th browhde, it he Clit itw in
 nall supt oit or muph ne ay thath, ber ithe l,

 nadastiv, ad carterouas whateral bub
 1. A ic $\not$ мa
2. A minois ud mapus -
3. 1 rimedas

6
4 lionu the latent and 1 miversality
5 lime the Intimatucts of the 'ane.
0 I jout

## - I w ot $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { llonout. } \\ \text { Proft. } \\ \text { cermitv. } \\ \text { Inde }\end{array}\right.$

Thise wit his Heasnas.
Here he as th anotivi loute tuon, That at a Kemedy h 1 becu _iven min the 1 abs, they would not hise madlled thefowith by mo seeans; but it * thot ic we ho laing hue oirtained in the hus'sherit i, without los'sug back upua any thins, thit huth been dape on onuth if, they deam "anif frosision in the foltuie only. And buc her woh occaston to ald
fom Book ca cs and Authorities, all in the ponst, swing, that it the learned counsel on the athis ade could produce but one agamst the filu the, as pit and putment, oh' how thi v couid bug dind coll it' 16 Htan o. tht. Honstranci © falk 82, by the wl le ( oult, the hing in his picsanch, amnot commanian am
 mint lieth agamst han that alt steth It yut the hiin- in las 20yal pirsence, the a none others ( an do ff 'Nonser teur ad atha' I Hea 7, 4 Husevie, ioits the Opman of Marhbam, L Jestic to 1d 1 , that he could not mpanom by nond of mouth, and the is inm, be callise the puts hath no Remedy, the the liw leasis
 He added, thit larhb un was a s whay mider, thaugh he kill into alheratio, it lint liy the
 ' pisanac nalia rezum u u*ist,' t, mprion thy havn, out ithe 1 mies blesed and it"anned to furke and chann, in Plowlin, ', 1 , the Coum in I in hoth 3 , idrue of al
 tay min in his trivuture, and tic atean in mhenturce a man bide, is the lif ive of ho Plam, for all other ind unes is to it lor thes ls quoted the maton Racto, 'M in lis


 11 1 mt
A.n. It prop madal mil mexicd ton O), [15' , dint, mpom of 'the comds, 11











 " lancl, and at man han wom; or W , If came to be timilal

 in pulament?

Ihe bubyet I them thitricesid fon Remady minhat buch ly lliseas Gonpus, and
 ckith if pulamitht

And litic aded has lhocrum- Aud then be waste a Nee pitulatron on all thit had bectic ofil , th uato then londshy , that genen Jly thin lord lyis ha is bees adonerd by the most fati fur
 nos be daunted by fiat, nom moled by tifethon, it waid; or hope qif pefienent, wid theictone, jour londshins tur he s tels helies. the n panticuialy thea londslups hal ṭate cuasal hmik of l'iouls.

1 Aets of Pailamunt, Ludical Preeidents, good Kenbus. Inat, You hac hal many in
sient act5 of parlament in the point, be sule, Magna Chuta, that ls , sesen dcto of juit ment, whith mived ue thirty sisn, $\mathrm{NI}_{1, \mathrm{n}} \mathrm{a}$ Charta boug conhrmed tunty tumes, fos 41 often have die hings of Lagland _isen thein soyd dwent theicto

2 Judicul Yiccedengent give and uve fmi
 Suce deputed the woild, ind thes were inins in number Precedents beringtosise, and al i Juil_es toun of ithench, mide our $t$ mes the fis - nel thit is loity cighit Juliges

I Iou hisc, is he tamind them 1/welet 1
 wado the conclusion he dec' ucth to then lo d slu is, that the $y$ of the Houss of (mmons, have
 mide.agit it in tutcestuon win in ot is, wullus contra lieente, i nee rnigg this git it libeity of the sulyect, o ul aise vudie ated uliccovericd the boi if th is Jund mentai I ibeity, I she of thea loi falums and thanaclecs, it in ahadows, whac's mat mes of the day ate long, s not unes, thots, tad sous tume long ag un, and the it int ne must not b gitd I by th viows mal they have ti msinited to it in Jendalu $\rightarrow$ not cupia
 -1e aisitita bur the Feconds it lifu, in at minaste enentabis Asid st to concuided thit tite I dWlips we molved in itc sinu ol $\mathrm{n}_{-} t$, ind therictore ' ' the y de ned $x$ (onlerences to the pod shen fid ling might mihe the the Duilation 1) Y'ildite Communc peticalfin squan

 and thcur, ind th theit pintents, in cons sum of then at Lut, modoulted, and tondane of al - Ibertic

 ine if tumettor of buhifmen is the
 t bannows, of tie line Conkerance with the 1 I d
Aitit ine finat mherener, with wis the sucel by the I stils uidhud lex 7 ( ommetec os) Inth Itou cam Ihe I' whtal (himis, tom ham the Ry がms, J int, lits or I'uliturent, wal Piecedentso netrame the dility of tie is


 tobunous, that he tuight to, lx tone'dicy ment up to the $\mathbf{t}$ onteicices iter sme pi wille made, wheteme in theluncd the mancomg th, Hc isons of 1 in , and Acts of Pahiment, came only to the Puecedents $u$ ed $u$ the Ar ${ }^{n-}$ ment before delivered, und so andeanomid is weiken the whash of them, thit hat tica
 that sume othas whe dacetly contany io the liw, compribuinded in the resolutions of the house of cmanoms, touthing the baling of pursonets, icturned upon the Whitot ILabers Corpins to be committad by the apect,il çmmand
of the kung, or the council, withont uny rame sirned, for which by law the y ought t, he ( minuted And the conre abuclow wiben fit ple ssed athe (ommittec of beth houacs to
 biv Olfect ons to evar puuctula Puc 1 nt, add that the (eonikemen apponated, anil trusted neicin hy the houre of commons by ulicitiepher shauld - ithts the lords towelage the ObHectous mak by him, 4 unat, it upon a ery putheidu, as the orke if the Piceredeno sha uld
 Picatents that whe und by th Howe of (omanons at the Conicerens if slat, by the m, in prose thit prisoners ictuned to st und is; ( mmatic l, weie delacred upou binl th the ( wit ol Kine', Buche

Ithe find wis th tor bilkston (ise, fit the 12.1 du 3,18 ot is

Io thas he ulipectul, if $x$, thes in the st tuin of ham moto the Count, it whe not Mi Cu, that thas Bilde ton wis 1 sumatted by the lis's command, und see mill, that in the lifond It did ippee at tho thit he thad be in committed
 mid a log omsequene wobulible by the lin.
 commettad in "1 she cise it natprinted by
 hosub) that the misont is 1 nhible, though tommin ad by coth to and of the hio. And he sud thit the part if the Kecond, by which it d) ge ued he had beeticomuutied ton su-picion of 1iciom, $w$ is at obresed is the loids $m$ thic Aisument thitone used, und hic showed al ,1, the londe, th it these weric thace veras d 1 mils of Hecorik, Iy whuth the full truth of (1et) is uid, or 'umy upan an If ibe as (orpam is hnewn Inst, the Itan cmbrtince Roll, whrient is ud: 'irro, woudls, the Jile It the shit and the Retmin, wel thadly, the
 incotcod ind thit only the lemombanceIf ill of thas 'ime is is to be lound nad that if "Fe other in, of at wric ixt att, be doubted nof lout th 1 it would sppen it-o, that upon ho 1 'ritin inelt the cau a of the communiment hi) Inen iy ewal. And so be conclurled, thedt this provet ant iot the Kesllution of the h) bii) whie 2 pu dues wis commitud by the him_, Yiecid con in wisl withoul cuse shened.

I thex Olyections the reply was, Iust, thit at wis plain thit Bildestun was committed b the hing's expucse commund for bo the us notds of the 11 it aic to the Const able of thic lonci, " quod enm tenern et curtodur $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{a}}$ -- urs'Ac, th un wuth nothang ran move tully express a commitmeat by the hisc; command. (it nill, limeser it be true, that in the I uter pit of the Itecoud it doth tppen, that Bil dos! on had been commintted fol susp cion of Irison, yet it the umes of the pioceuling, expit xed in the Record, welc obscired, it nould be plan thit the olpectign wos of uo furce: for this one ground, both in this case and in all the icot, is intaliblo, and nevcr to be doubted

## 

of in the law, That Justuces ot eveitiout adjudge of the force and sticnath of a 1 tame nut of the bode of itsell only, and ds thecient it $\ddagger$ pears. Nou $7 n$ Linter tum in the 14143 , he was cetmund and brought betore them, is committed only liy the Whe, wheic no cauc is expresel, wid the Ficutcinit in the Coirstaile or the forct, that bromblit ham noto the coutr, 'why thathe had no other wat ot to det anl lanit , uns bitie picdictum,' wheicill thete war no nsention of anv culls, ind the
 "ilactun,' in that prectil umm and, was not suthicat, une to dituo ham mimion, and theicupos he is by puigurat of th inute in Intea Fermidet 1 M manpise. But that pait of the Roond whe on it ype us, that be bud andeell be an commathed tn inophion of lica son, is nt lisity lerin tillowing, when the
 to the Judges thit this whatd do chuce las M иири e, liecture no mun pomen d hun


 the hing's youid cong ad only, w thent
 jatmint of the come lei S' Wimp, (which to this perive bit bis, gine win Bul,
 followin- I poor michation the conit hnen, tint he hal becance momedito stivereion

 ment of the comettin wetl slum the on I mot, tior could II sibly hitm 7 III chac ifs whet the hime had atoun fted to in And it was sud, in belidt of the h xem commoms, that the had mot raded in then igganent expers-ly wed thas liter part or the Record of
 nity Jume following, could tues contorn the
 Tum ow we betone vet tue othot indung that the, load m $-t$ fautht in, at the time of thy, Arzument, ieisecied an to the londs, as moded they had, a peitcot cupv at latge of the wl fh Record of thits ches, as biev lited doing alst of nil other piecerdent- whatsuevic ctell by the h, msomuch is in thath there nas sot ont kro dent of liccord on either sudt, the cops wh/ie of they had not delincied in lithenst, aor dad Mr. Aitoracy mention anv one beoide, thasthat wete so delincit in in them And as touchang those thuce hand of le coids, lie Remeniba ance Roll, the Return ond lile at the Wits, medsernets, it in is anvwerd by the Gen temen employe id bv the house ot t oinmous, that ut was the e, tl t the boruct anat time Retun of this rase of Bildeston was not to be I nud, but that did not lasen the weight of the precedent, because alua)s in the anard of 1 doz ment drawn np in the Remembiance-Roll, the cauce (whatsoever it in) when nny is the well,
 pears clearly liy the constant cutries of the Kang'b-Heuch court , oo that if any cause bad
appeared unto thecomt, at mitr halt ippeard pl unly in thit pits of the Roll wheth belongs to Eavtel lam, whatm the jodgment was given but the retuin of the commituent by the hum' command without catur showed, and the Juil_manef tha count, thit the pri-

 thon mate by $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ Attancy, ith clast पax mantamed to he i che th proot, um ins miny othets, forat $\lim _{n}$ that Revolation of the house of cominous

It the second of thice tuclie, which is Puhti's (ase, in the 22 If a Rot .07, has objechois were tro, 1 , that it is tuut, that he w is sitaracd to be committed : pti mind itum
 minel wricuthed to thic shemik of I metion lig onk ff 'rit Pech pantemsta, wind thit in 15, thd that the - minatal fatie no offorne
 fort he wisbolet 2 hat At ape is at in


 of the (is) of bis equatment, sifitime of telony, pucedes the chanm ond of the hius, thecione it mu i be motemed that the couit
 In at le momen t'sm folom, and thesine tolething lon leatwerd, that esin th.
 notit ned by them, sterlin the mit, it11 I ne of it birute of hivelit the the liat





 Ihit the digenini of the cell tym of the hims:
 1, If atas the then'to m then whin, IT, roloter of the comanend is what they wache sured of und hownset it ctme to them, it
 ad suthout ieficute ty Pus ?, As disus Fatconts past the gicet $x$ at by wit of pavy
 'mgillo,' so dives 'per ipalinute rem,' ue so snlistated, and oflutimes in the Kell of themet tince, to the wonds ' per'spoun re, in,' ue ulded 'mmelanti $A$ B' lis that the hone 'wonen uid gencrally, and the hang', command relited or ceitificd by vurfo a im tu, is to thas purpose of like antune 3 , In the late -ut ft tose of Habe as Coipus, whire the return of the comminent wis ' pet opeciale in indn' tum domifit regs mils significatum per do-' ' menosde prinato concilio ' the couit of King'yBench did agice, thit it was the saine, and of The toice ds if ' milh stgaficztum, do , had not tollosved, and that those woids were voir $\mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{i}}$ condung whereuito, heic also 'peg manditann 'dons regs nanclatum pes Robert Pech,' had been wholly omitted and woid likewse. And in truth,in that late raxc, this (ase of 1'ahe.
was cutedroith at the bat and ocuch, and at the bench it wais interpietal by the Judges no otherwise, than if it had been only 'pen man'datum domini reas' in place of at but the ohjection there,was made of anothe boul, is was dichered in the fint Ausmincht, mide unt of P'tecdents in belade for the hunse of combmans Thetcif. . Fthe urond objection, trife hang the couste of c numut tion of the e 1 , in the Rcturn, it was suil, that howqever in some acts of $\mu$ atianent midelenobere in thic solemn cupressions used a the law, thangs of gicitis nature pieceled, and the lesk follow, yot in this cise, the contiary uas most plan, tor in the Retum it appears, that there whe thuec causes tor dit unug the pusoncis, buety of the pe"te, thap it um ot le loul, it the hang', command and suety of the peace is fiest mentuoud, whin is plamly less thin flens And thicrelore it is pinin, it any forice of at $\mathrm{c}^{11}$ ment be then from the enumet i 1 mon , that this contian to thit whish Mi Attorney mened is to be coucluak thit is, that is telony is i gre tei cuilst thin ouncty of the peire, so the matter wherecpon the hemb'b command is's grounded, in th penter than klosy But m thath thas burl of upument $h$ ids mother wav here, and whit-otset the cune weic why the Ho committc I mm , it was mponoible lon the woit to h wos it and it doo mahe lo of very high moment in mathe of at ite, and vet of Itis kenature than ielong $i x$ in bich shexis, thit

 commons

Lo the thand of the se, wheh in Banch') a ine in the 8 . II. 8, llot 33 , the ulycetion was, thit thelf wasat rause espicened' 'pios suspicionie "Cclom,' and thong' 'pmo alus cusis illor - monentilom wese adact in tha istin, pet be-




 mentu not tom ot be maded of lew nituc, fon
 was bulible in the iff itci, wheh wis $\mathrm{sm}_{2}$. pution ftelins Heatunto it w.sis lied, that the usutucnt ub cuamenation in the er cases $n$ of no momint, at is ut at betore hancal and that althou_h it wes of ans moment, yet ahie cunsa, thumh le se the in teluns, mplit ba of velygicatconsceprence min itter of alite. Anh 1) pritended uthally upon ei neizl itmins of conmund, without cande anewed. hall it it most plun, thit the count could not poosibly hous the is ssons, why the prisonct bere we inmmited, and yet thev buld nim wahunt Jooheng tuntiet atter any uuhnown thang undat that tutle of in ittel at st tte, which gur, ht in well hive been in this c ise ev in any other whatsoever

Fo the topith of these, whech is Oveiton', Case, in Paxh 2 et 3 Phil ct Mar. Ror 58 And to the hifth, which is N twport's (ave, Pasch. Phl. et Mu, 4 et 5 Rot. 45, ondy these
ohyectonsFiele sad over agun by Mr. Atormicy, whach are mentooned in the Argument in de out of the Preoudents in beladf of the house of commons at the hist coldercince and in the sune argument are fally and cleaily sitstic difas thes wcie in like manner now again

Io the with of thr ee, which was Lawrence'b ( $5<6,91 \mathrm{~h}$ l lot 3 , and the seventh, which ${ }_{13}$ ( on'table'e. Pixhi 9 Lhis Hot of, the same obj ctions nete hibewise sadd ovet agaut by Mr. Btolimy, that ase mentioned, and are claaly unt fully atiswered m the atsument mide, it the ctinerence out of piectidents in beliali of the hounce of commons, the torce of the aljection heing only, thit it appeared in the maigu of the Holl, thit the woid Pnidon wis written hut it is plaus thit the woid thcic hith no refertace dt ill to the res sun why they were
 whe thes wer. comnityed, in iggad the chuse (win) the, wit commited is utteily urhnown, and "wnet shened

In the wist th of the ce Prectents, which was Btow whan ( $\mathrm{Mt}, \mathrm{Pach} 201 \mathrm{hy}$ Rot. 72 it War qud bs Hi Attomer, that he $n$ is butled b) aletta fiom the Luds of the Conncll, directed to the Jalges of 'd Comit, bo' betigg asheal for thit lattir. on any cestiun ony of it, he could produce nopa - ill but +wid, he theughe the testmomy of it was bunis, miong many other twings of the Conncil-table, uthe luining of the Benqueting House
lo the orb, hewig If irconuit, (ase, l'asch. $101 \mathrm{hr}, \mathrm{R}$ t 62 , tha blf sume objection was mule by hm, but no wallant was shewed to munt un has objee tion

Io the ioth, whith is (atesin'i ( ise, in pactout lifl , Ihe he 5 md , Ihit it wathy dinection of 2 paty $x$ al thom the quecte and to thit $\mathrm{pH}_{1}$ ose he he wed the pris $y+11$ of 19

 whe t the hing , the Iuds sosented But it

 in for nome other, is molech mperas in at: undphien I c and, tit it a is lihely that Catesb) zic hud a par seal in thi leelh il, bec use the $f$ othes hid wo whin wa all the foric ot his bjection

If the 1 thi of 'these, whish is the' with's Cave in Hill $1^{\prime}$ Iu liot 1 '., he cold, that the lad- of the comacal xat a lettel to the court of Kung', Bench to hul 1 mm And mdeed he produced a lettu, which rou I nut hy any metias be tound what tit aliuncint, were morde at the fiet contercince and tho leiter, and a copy of an obscme ucpoit madi Iy a poung atudent (whyh was brought to another puipuose, is in heresiten shened, were the ooly th ligg wititen of wy hind thit Mr. Attor-
 br the house of comungns at the thrst couferchec Io th is it was rephicd, That the leiter a is of no momelh, being onls a duect ioh wo the (huel-Justice, and nio mittit of Recond, not anly way concerning the reat of the Judges:
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 and beside, enther the puswer whats bail able 'and that howevetont hud been objected ngunst bv the lant, or not bailable, it balable by the lien, then he wis to be buled without ans suclivettes, if not buldele hy the low, then apianly the luiges sould not hive buled lum upon the lentet, wrhout bre ution their oath, "his lis," thuthery ve to do jastice at' cording to the lun, wishout hasiog reepect to

- uny compmond ithonsocier So that the lettet in thas e ise, or the like in any othei cise, is for pomt of 1 in to no purpose, nor hith iny wought of ith liy way of objection gagist what the Ikcord and the Juidement of thic e surt thew us

Io the 19th mid lint of theser, whinh is sin Lhem is Monsmas ( ine in the is Jic lot 147 , the's ume ulyection only was sud ovee bs bion, wha a 4 nicanonad and cle trly mana ofintle s-uni it and that one sound with is inf dhble, ' Th ther pudement upon isetaine * Is to be tuide, aly oyf of whit appasis in the "body it the itt in a stit wor a pim moste ! mien in the * 74 , is $t$ nas 7 so mi most ot the d icst And is id that alone whath is most
 gecimes that hive hesin made to ans of thes: pircalent- whit tions , leth underteod, ue many,ample to "urke of the Judgnont of the Court of Kin $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{m}}$ B ath, rouchon_theste it point, in the vevet 1 yos, ud retsus of the se erel painecs ondet itheh they 1 dil

Ather Lis Object ons to the twelve, and the repher, and $s$ tistutums gion to these ulpuenons, he came next to thone wherem the dssent of the king and prity rouncil appeus to hive bern upon the cal ugenacnt but fic inade not to us of these iny otice hind of objections whatsuact, thut such is we mentionel and cleatly arswend, is they went now agam, in the Aigument in if at the first Conluence And iot wo mach as concerns letters of esent ol dirction, the same wis hare sali t,otn by way of reply to lum, is is be font sud tou hu!: the leticr in Bechwith a Lise
Atter thise were dispatched,' : cume th urge the eight Preceiknte, whilh reanc, if mahe for the other sude gainst the Iecolufor of the house of commons which eithit cie used, and cop te sot themalro wat oitçn ut the lords at the finst (r uference

Ot these eight, the fust fous were u ${ }^{\prime}$ by him, as being of one hind the difleicile of them only being such, thit, swe only uif the numes of pusons ind of 1 tisons, they uc 1 ut the selt snine
To the forct of these four he objected tha, that Rushand I verird, foo the purpose, in the first of them, which is 5 II 7, Rot 19 Rogei. Cheriy in the cecond of them, whech is a $\mathrm{II}_{7} 7$ Rot 12 , (hust Burton, in the shud of them, which is 9 II 7, Ret 11, and Geor ot I meanh in the fourth of them, which is 10 II 7 , Rot 13, were it tum ied into the Kug's-Bingh hpon several arts of Habe ?s Corpns, to havi licen
 whence they came ' per , findatum domm ' regis', and that upon tl it licturn they were committed to the minrshal ot the King's-Bench,
those precedents, thit this hind of commitment was by the course of that court ola iy, done befone the bulang of the phooner, $y$ re, thit it did not ippe at that thay were buled

Ihe Reply to then objection was, I hat hy constrant twary owngs Busth, whonoter ctine in uf ou Hubl is : ${ }^{\circ}$ upon my wit in thit s suit, f mant be bpled until he be first conmuticed to the mirhat of thit enurt, ind dit thence at wis that ill those fout wete e manate d to the min hal, is ippe in by the 'stiy ' (Qut commutur Vaicse illo, 'Ar whath is thic usual entiy in surh a cise, ad that the cluhs of thit couit uhnowled ${ }^{c}$ this e surse aud entiy wo be megt const int, So that ill the mit unce, thit can be made out of there four, is, that fom phismer, bemg lirought hom fou 4 veial prisons by Wabe is Colpus nate the Kings Pench, and it turned t) 4 and conumited 'per ma 'itum di munn if ms,' whe sifut tion heing remonled by the lin, thit an
 the sevcril pusion whisem the $y$ lad been ietuncd, by such if netill romusind (whith c ruld not hive been it the y bill not been addjudecd in twiy one of the cims to have been bailible by tle count), wnd thit thas commentment of tham to the mar hal of the king'sIrech, $w$, the finst step tow wds the balumg of theins is in all ohier cass But that it appeais ift, that eisiei thevesir deminded to be builed, on that they were whe to find cuthcrent bail, and it the, did not the one of conld not do the et'sei, it max low moded that they were not buld kut thas rommitment to the lima Bench be iog the forst step to the buling of th is is by the const ut course it is, hew, most ! lumb that thev neme hulable fy the liw, whelis is tle onlv thung it question So thit lypou_h these four pricedents waes. inhed oucn, thin, thit iniv siem to mathe/ Y- Tilis Riv lution of the hon - of commay, whith wis d, i both ber are they have fing san ull colous is thics tor the other ade, to ins . man that is not ac quanted with the niture and If inous it the Eiturs, and couses of the rount
 of timin had lect undim the lite gre the ise in the himes bearh is precidents thit no do aganat the likenty clumed by the sulpact, yct, in truth, all isur of them do filly prove then Ilesolution thit ie, they plumly ghew that the coul of Kug's beach in evciy one of them esolicd, that the quisone rs sot o muitid were bailable, otheiwst they had bein remanded, andl not comnanted to the murshal of the King'rbench And this nit the Answet to the Objection made by $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ Atturncy upoh those four precedents, beag all of the tuic of hug Ilenry fle eventh
to the firth of these eight, Itamg I duand Pagg's Case, in 7 II 4, Rot is $\quad \mathrm{Ms}_{1}$ Attorney objected thus "He sud, that I diy id Page w in commatted to the $M$ rribidsea of the Houshold, ' per mandatum domim rezis ibude in sharo cus-


- Se Hospitn domman regs:- By whith it appeutth, as he sud, that the court remanded tum bach to the prison of the Marshalser of the Houshold and he sadd, that wheiens it hid bus objected at the hatst conturinct, thit thete was some mirtahing in the entiy, be con
 it wis that the cye? 'id entcied ' $c$ mimitatur' tof ' 1 emut tur, and thit it stould hae been - (Qui remittat Muesc illo Hoopiti dopum re 'gis' ton wheneser tiey remsinded the pris sonct, ' iemuttur' and not 'comnututu' sl ould be enterad And thit mistaking beting so iec thed und understood, he conconted it was , direct piercient tognst the Readution of tha hours of cotmon:

10 this it iw is moneced by the Gentrmen of the house of commons, thit theic "is no doubt, mide l, but that a met the wa- it the entiy by the closh, but thet the mostilin. win quite of mothes nitme the add toon of those woids, 'hoqputa dom K_に, "ds the ractal$\mathrm{ma}_{n}$, wand the entil shoutd here been. 'qua - 'omnmithtui II ucsedlo, dc' obs, that is, Ic was cmmered to the Whilitic Kin?
 dent hould he I te purt the same with the linst fin, Iut tion namer of the clah this onured it, hno vicy not lows to dotmgembla tueen the Mur, hil of the Slourhult wed the Mashit of the lite _B Bench, wo the t it - of

 tiongot the precele 2t, id ot the man (ibin, of it, if $x_{15}$ obscer by the ( antemen of the Houre or ( ommons, thit the te is in the matill of the Roll am inl libli, thanctet thit justilia $\Rightarrow$ mich loa by the cuurse if t'at atmit, nitumbitet a 1 is mer is comantted to the Muthal of the hin_'s Benth and not 1 cmud-
 slait by Vare tuand tup ond il bas acias yita thece, but wh to the meaning wivaray of i) Centiy 15 , that the pisone is conmutted to the prosin of the -unc rou $t$ Nosi in this
 Whe he mest ele uly shens that the to the ot this cre " w, thit this lage wis or min tled to the Mashat of the hina- Beach, and nit zemandAd, which it it bid been, wetilio could the entiy has bect 'rommentu,' not should the
 in it

And thas ihey haic unewred vit Attomes's objutuons touctiong then puccedent fad com clucled that now, hesides the fisst tou ot the cglit, they hid another, and st hus to prose that a prisones committed 'per mind atum do--mmor re, judoment of the count Honevic, at plesu not in these parturulais that they wors bulat, which perhaps they west not, enthes bucturc they prajed it not, or because they could pot tud suthcient bul

1he sisth ot thase Precedents, boung the Tse of 1 homis (esar, in the 8 J in Regis Rot
09 Mh. Attonney objected to it thus That

Casar beikg' committed ' per mandatum domim regis' to the Marshalsea of the Housbold, was aeturned upon Hibeas Curpus to be so committed, and therclote detaryed in prison, and thit the entuy 1s, 'Qut committitur $\mathrm{p}^{11}$ 'sone Moaicscal predict.' by which it appears elculy, that he was remanded to the same 12 soll fioun whence he cane

Io this the Gentlemen of the IIouse of Commons bue this answa lhey sulf, that the noul intiy of a 'icmotatin,' whicn it is to she whit the court by wat of judguicit, on all ad $u_{2}$ rua a resolation, of delote, iemandy the proonet, 1 s , 'remitutul qion-queg secun'duan Kgen ifchber stus facist' but when they advise, ot gave $n$ Is to the heaper of the puson to amend los cutuin, on the hihc. thea the entss is only 'icmututur sunetally, or

 exput bet in that count, that the catiy of a



 (0.1 14 in, that th is is so sometiser a cutter-
 Ior in the lint ul the ee lat piccelpas, whach in te least lls ( $M$, they obecend thit ' 1 'm them proone Itadicu' $n$ otten us I, and
 the fime that the thuit , ile lewe to the War-
 then-! !umb, thit theng smemes 'ifil t
 Ac m, ine athe a ne jet - meturesattooth
 not mein ") othei, $11110 \rightarrow$ mutl is it
 pro al dion br a link of u: 41 mt , with was ote le out of the Kik broh ot tit cout of
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 and Ne sud thit the wif1 bing of a ctise in Encring in is to shew the hingor the councils innmend and to this pupose, he read the d bole woids of thit Resolution of the Judacs 1h a le chectud atso, that in the Rexint of one floswelps ( n-e in the Kugg'-bicuch, in is Tat he found thint the opmon of the Judges ot that woung (sur Tidw uit Coke bemg then (hef Fudec and onc of theye) was, that i prisuner baivs conmmited ' nef manditain dom reps,' of "prants i incilu, whout ciunc slewed, and so utmand, could not be bolid is use it mught be mnttu of st te, on 'Alcani impern,' for whed he stool comnutied. And to thas
niso Le added, an opiniou hefound in a Journal in the House of Commons of 13 Jac , wherein sir Edward Coke speaking to a Bill preferred for the explanation of Magna Charta touching imprisonment, said in the same house, That one so committed could not be inlarged by the law, because is might h. matter of state for which he was gemnutited. Aud arnongst these objections of the other nature also, he spake of the confidence that was shewed in behglf of th. Housc of Comnions: and he said, it was not contidence on either part could add any thang to the determination of the question : bot if it could, that he had as nuch reason of confidence for the other side against the Resolution of the House of Commons, grounding himself upon the furce of his objections, which, as he conceived, had so weakened the argowents of the House of Conmmons.

To this a reply was made; and first it was said to the lords on the behalf of the House of C'ommons, That notwithatanding any thing yet ohjected, they were upon clear reason still confident of the truth of their first Resolution, grounded upon so just examination, and deliberation taicon by them. And it was nbserved to the Jords also, that their confidence berein was of another nature, and of greater weight, than any confidence that rould be expressed by Mr. Attorney, or whomsoever else being of lus majesty's comasel learned.

To which purpose the lords were deatred to take into their memories the diference jetween the prescut qualities of the Gentemen that spaake in behulf of the IIouse of Comnons, and of the King's learned Conusel in their speaking there, howsoever accidentally they were both men of the same profession: for the King's Gounsel spake ns counsel perpetually retauned by fiee, and if they made glosses or what adraintageous interpretation noeveg for their awn part, they did but what belunged to their place and quality, as Mr. Attorney had done. But the Gentlemen that spake in behalf of titas, IIodse of Commons, came there, bound on the ' nne sde by the trust reposed if them by their country that sent them, and on the other side by an oath tuhen hy every of them before he sit in the house, to maintain and defend the Rights and l'rerogatives of the Crown: so that even in the point of confidence alone, those of them that speak as retnined coutsel by perpetual fee, ando those that by their place being sdimitted to speak, are bound to utter nctieng but truth, both.by such a tgust and such an oath, were no way to be wy compared $\delta \mathrm{r}$ counterpoised, as if the one were of no mope weight than the other.

- And then the Objections beforementioned were also answered.
For that of the Resolocion of all the Judges of Eugland in 34 Eliz. it was blewed, Unat plainly it agreed with the Resolution of the House of Commons : for althingh indeef ${ }^{*}$ it might have been expressed with more peropicuity, get the words of it, as they are, suficiendly shew the meaning of it to be no othermise. vol. mf .

To that puirpose, besides the words of tle whole frame of thas Resolution of the Juderes, if it is in the copy transcribed out of the $\mathbf{E}$. C., Justice Anderson's Book, written in his own hand, which book pas here offered to be shewed in the behfff of the trouse of conimous; it was observed, that the words of the first part of it shew planily, that all the Judges of England then restilved, that the prisoiners spuken of in the first part of their Resolution wele only prisoners committed with cause shewed; for they only say they might not be delivered by any court without due trial by law, and judgment of neqojual had; which shews plainly they meant that by trial and acquittal they might be delivered. But it is clear that no trial or acquittal can be had, where ther is not some caure laid to their charge, for which they ought to stand connmitted. Therefore in that part of the llesolution wech prisoners are only mennt ns are committed with cause shewed, which also the Jadges in that Resolution expressly thought necessary, as appeurs in the second part of their lesolution, wherein they have these words ; 'If upon the return of their ' IIaheas Corpns, the cause of their comanit' ment loc certified to the Jud.es, as it ought to 'be, Kec.' By which words they shew plainly, that ciery return of a commitment is insu伸cient that hath not a couse slewed of $i t$. And to that which Mr. Attorncy said, as if the cause were sufficiently expressed in generality, if the king's command or the-cunucil' were expressed in it, as if that were meant in the resolation for a sufficitit geueral cause; it was answered, That it was never heard of in law, that the power or person that enmpitted the prisoner 12s understood for the 'caush captionis' or caw-a detentionis,' but only the reasonn why that power or person committed the prisoner. As albo in common speech, if any man ask why or for what cause a man stands committed, the uswer is not, that such a one comenitted him, but his offruge or some other cause is undersin adiontire question, and is to be shewed in the huswer. But to say that such a one committod the prisoner, is an answer ouly to the quesion, with committed him? and not why, or for what cause be stands so conmitued?
Then for that of the copy of the Report, in 13 Jutc. shewed forth by Mr. Attorney, it was answ Fred by the Gentlemen of the Honse of Comunons, That the report itself which had been before seen, and perused among many other thing, at a conmittee made by the house, was of shuht or no authority, for that it was taken by one, who was at that time a young stodeat and as a reporter in the King's Bench, nud thera was not any other report to be found that agrecd with it. ${ }^{\text {. Sc condly, Although the }}$ reports of young stadents, when they take the words of Judges as they fall from their mouths at theobench, and in the same person aud form as they have spohen, may, be of good credit ; yet in this case thare was not one worl op re--, ported: but in tuath deredbcing three cases it a time in the King's-Bewth, one Roswell'scase,
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Allen's, and Saltunstall's case, cvien y of which had soincthing of like nature in it, the student baving heen present in the court, inade up the frame of unc-report or case out of, all thrre in his own words, und so put it intghis Book: so that there is not a word in the report,"but it is framed acrerding to the student's fincy, us it is written; aud mothing is expressed in it, is it came fiom the ntouth of the $J^{\text {adges }}$, otherwise than his fancy directed him.
Thirdly, There are in the report plain falshoods of natter of fact, which are to be attributed eitber to the Judges or to the reporter. It is most hely by all reason, that they proceeded from the Reportci's fault; howsocver, these matters of falsloood shew sufficiently that the eredit of the rest is of hight value. It is said in the report, that llarecsurt being committed by the council, was Laled, in 40 Elro. upon a piliy seal or a leter, whereas in truth there is no such thimg. And it is said there, that kind of acters auc filed in the crown-ollice, whereas in truth there was not any unch hind of letters filed there in any case whatsoewer. That Renolution of the Judges in 3.2 Eli,. is mis-cited there, nod made in 30 Fliz. Aud it is said there, that br that Resolution, a prisoner returned to be cummitted by the command of the king, might not at all be delivered by the colurt ; whereas no such thing is comprehended in that Resolution.

But that which is of most moment is, that howsoever the truth of the report were, yet the opinion of the Judges being sulden, and withont any debate had of the case, if of light monent: for, in dutticult points especially, the most grave and learned nuen lo ing may on the sudden let fall (and that without any disparagement to them) such opinions as they may well, and ought to change upon further inquiry, examination, and full debate had before them, nad mature deliberation taken by them. Now plainly in that of 13 Jac . there is uot so much as a pretence of any debate ot the bar or beach. All that is repurted to havectaon, is reported as spoken of the sudden. And fan any man take such a sudden opinion to be of value agoinst solemn debates and $\cdot m a t u r e d$ deliberations since had of the point? And indeed this great point, and all circumstances bejonging to it, have within thishalf year, been so fully examined and searched into, that ib may well be affirmed, that the most learned man whatsoever that hath now considered of it, hath wuthin that time, or might have, learned more reasun of sutisfaction in it, than ever before he met with. Therefore the sodden opiniou of phe Jurges to the contrary is of no value here, which also is to be said of that opigion obviously delivered in the commons house in 18 Jqe. as Mr, Attorney objected out of the Joutnal of the house. Wit besides, neither mas the truth of that report of that opinion in the Journal a yy way acknowldged ; for it was said in behalf of the house of gammons, that their Journals were formpiteg of Oriers and Reson. futicns of the house of such authority, as that
they were as their records. But for any particular man's opinion, noted in any of them, it was so far from being of any authority with them, that in truth no particular opinion is ut all to be entered in them, and that their clerk oticnds, whenevqe he doth to the contrary. And, to conclude, nc such opipion whatsoever can be sufficient to weation the clear law comprehended in these resolutions of the house off commons, grounded upon so many acts of phrfiament, so much reason of the common-law, and so many precedents of record, and the rcsolution of all the judges of England; and ngainst which not one law, written or unwritten, not one precedent, aot one reason hath been brought, that makes any thing to the contrary.
And thus ended the next day of the Conference dosired by the loris, and had by a Comwittee of bith houses.
Serjeant Ashleris Angonent, seconding Mr. Attorney, in the behalf of his Majesty.
I hope it will he neither offensise nor tedious to your lordships, if I said somewhat to second Mr. Attornes : which I the rasher desire, because yesterday it was tahea by the Gentiemen, and arguid on the bchalf of the Commons, that the cause was as good as gained by them, and yielded by as, in that we acknowledged the statute of Magna (Lart.a, and the other subsequent Statutes, to be yet in force: for un that they enforced this general conclusion; -That therefore no man could be committed, ' or imprisoued, but by due proceso, prcsent'ment or indiictment.' Which wo sny is a Non scquitur upon surh our arhnoow ledgnacent; for then it would follow by necessary consequence, that no imprisonment could be justified but by process of law, which we utler!'y deny. For in the cause of the Constable cited by Mr. Attorner, it is must clear, that by the ancient lat of the land a consable might er nfficio, wilhout any warrant, alrest, and restrain 2llfin to prevent an alliray, or to suppress it. And so is the authority 38 ilcn . $\varepsilon$, Brooh's $\$ \mathrm{l}$. stract. So may lie, afier the affray, appreliend and commit to prison the person that hat ${ }_{h}$ wounded a man that is in peril of death, and that without warrant or process; as it is in S8 E. 3 , fol. 6.

Also any man that is no Onficer may appre-' hend a felon without writ, or warcant, or pursue hin as a wolf, and as a common anemy to the Coromonwealth, as the Book is' 14 Hen. 3, col. 16.- So might ony one, arrest a nightwalker, beeause it is for the common profit, at the reason is given 4 IIen. 7 , fol. 7.
In like manner the Judges in these several Courts may commit a man, either for contemp ${ }^{+}$ or misdemeanor, without either process or warrant, other than 'Take him Sheriff', or 'Take him Marshal, or Warden of the Fleet.' And the advenaries will $150 t$ deny, but if the kugg will wlledge capse, he may commit a man 'per ' mandatum' ns the Judges do, without process or warrant.--And various are the cases that may be instanced, wherein there may be a
lawful cimmitment withoue process. Wherefore I do positively and w:th contidence atirim, that if the imprisoument be lanful, whether it be by process, or without process, it is nut prohibited by the lnw.
Which being granted, therpthe question will nptly be madey Whethes the King or Council muy commit troprison 'per legen teria,' were only that a part of the municipal law of thas realm, which we call the Common-Law? For there are also divers jurisdictions in this kingdom, which are also rechoned the law of the land.

As in Cawdry's Case in Coke's 5th report, fol. 1. the first ecclesiastical law is held the lan of the Inndsto punish blasplemies, schisms, hereses, simony, iilecst, and whe hke, for a good reason there readered, vic. That otherwise the hing should not have power to do justice to his sulycets in nill casey, nor to punish all crimes withn his binglon.
The Adiniral's juindietion is also ler terre, or things done upon the sea: but if they exceed their jurivlecuon, a prolubition is awarded upon the ftatute of null.'s hber homo; by which appeais that the statute is in furce, is we hase nehnowledgel.
The Martial faw likewise, tiough not to be exercised in tunes of peare, when recourse may be had to the hing's counts, yet in tumes of invasion, or other times of hostility, when an army-royal is in the field, and ofiences are committed, which requre speedy refomation, and cannot expect the solemmity of legal Trials; then wach imprionment, exceution, or other justice done by the law-martial is, warrantable, for it is then the law of the laud, and is jus acntium; which cver serves for a supply in the hefeet of the common law, when ordmary pros.eceling cannot be had.

And so it is aho in the caise of the Laty of the Merclinnt, which is mentioned '13 Edwv. 4, fol, 9, 10, whre a Merchant-stranges, yas "ronged $m$ his guods, whicb he had committed to a carrier to convey to Southnmpton, and the carrier imbezzled some of the goods: for remedy whereof the Merclant sued before the council in the Star-Chamber for redress. It is there said thus: Merchant-strangers have by the hing safe-gonduct for coming into this realm; therefore they shall not be compelled tn attend the ordiuary trial of the conmmon lav, but, fif expedition, shall sue before the king's council, or in Chancery, 'de die in Aiem ' et de horâ in thoram;' whore the cafe shâll be determined by the law of merclants.
In the like manner it is in the Law of State; when the necessity of state requires it, they do *und may proceed to natural equitf; as in those uther cases where the law of the land provides not, there the proceeding may be by the law of natural equity : and infinite are the occurrences of state, unto which the common law extends not. And if these proceedings of stite should not also be iccounted the law of the land, then we do fall into the same inconveniency men-* tioned in Cawdry's case, that the kigg should
not he able to do justice in all cases within bis own dominions.
If then the king nor'his council máy not commit, it must needs follow, that either the king must haye no council of state, or having such a council, they moust have no power to minke orders, or acts of state; or if they may, they must be without means to compel quedience to those acts : and so we shalt allow them junigdiction, but not compel obedience to those acis: but not correction, which will be then as fruitless as the commund. 'Prustra potentia quer nua'quam redigitur in statutuma.' Wherens the very net of, Westminster the first, shews plainly that the king may commit, and that his conimitment is Invful, or else that act would never have declared a man to be irrepleviable when he is'committed by the command of the sing, if the law-makers had conceived that his commituent had beca unlawful. And Divine Truth mforms us, that the kinge have their power frum God, the Tsolmist calling them 'the children of the Most Iligh;' which is in a more special manner underatood than of other men : for ull the sous of Adam ure by election the sons of God, und all the sons of Abraham by recreation; or regeneration, tha chaldren of the Most lligh, in respect of the power which is committed unto them; who hath also furgished them with uruaments and arma fit for the exercising of that power, and hath given them sceptree, swords, and crowns; sceptres to institute, nud'swords to execute laws ; and crgwns ns ensigus of that power and dignity, with which they are invcsted. Slinll we then conceive that our' king hath so far transmitted the power of his ssord to inf(rior magistrates, that he hath not rescrved so inuch suparme power as to commit an offender to prison? 10 tlen, 6, fol. 7 , it appeais thith a steward of a court leet moy commit a man to prison, and shall not the hing, front whon all inferior power is deducerl, have power to cullmit? W $\mathbf{y}$-. 3 h him the Yountuin of Justice, yet wifar'these streams and rivalets, which fow from that fountain, come fresh' and fall, we nordd so far exhanst that fountuin, as to leave it dry. But they that yyill admit him so much power, do require the expression of the cause ; I demand whather they will have a general caust alledged, or d special? If general, as they have instances for treason, felony, or for contempt, (for to leare fencing, and wispenk plainly as they intend it) viz. If loan of moviey should be required and refused, and thereupoli a coisomitroent ensue, and the cause bignified to hefor contempt, this being unequal inconveniente froin'sielding, the remedy is sought; in the next purliamept would beg required the expression of the particular cause of the commitment. Thea how unfit would it be for king or council in rases to express the 'particular cease, it is ensily to be'. adjưgda, when there is no state, or policy of governinent, whether if be monarchical, or of any other frame, Wuich haves not some setretex state, not communitoble'to volgar underataidaning.
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I will inatance l.ut oue; if a hing'employ an ambassalur to a furegin country or tate, with instruetiont, for his nesecration, and he parsae not his mstructions, wherely didwomenr and damage may ensact th the kingdoon, $i$, not this committ mele? And yet the partucular to his mstraction, and the manner of his mascarrving, is not fit to be declared to his keeper, or by bim to be ceitutied to the Julg's, where it is to be opened and debated in the presence of a great audnence.

I therefore conclude, for offenres against the State, in case of state Goverumont, the king and his gouncil have lanfol power to punish by imprisoument, without sheuing pàrticular cause, where it way tend to the dix-losing of State Government. It is 1 rll known to many that know me, how murh I have labnured in this law of the subjects liberty very many years before 1 was in the king's service, and had no cause then to speak, lut to speak ex animo ; yet did I then maintaia and publish the same opinion which now I hwe derlared coneerning the kime's sopreme power in matters of state, nad therefore cannut justly lec censured to speak at thas present only to merit of my master. But if I may freely speak my mus.understanding, I conceive it to be a question too hugh to bedkten ninad by any legal direction; for it must needs be an hard case of contenti in, when the congueror must sit down with irreparahle losses, is is this casc. If the subject prevail, lie gains liberty, but loseth the benefit of that State Governmont, by which a monarchy may soon become an anarchy; or it the State prevalle, it gaus absolute sovi reignty, but loseth sobjects : not their subjertion, forg obediencer ne unthe yield, though nothing lie left us bat prayers and tears, but yer loveth the best part of them, whiel is their affertions, whereby sovereignty is established, and the crown firmly fiat on his poyal heud. Betwern two such extrencs there is no way to moderate, but to find a medium for the nccommodution of the difference z. shich is not for me to prescribe, but only to move you. $\bar{\square} \cdot \mathrm{d}$ ships, to whom I submut.

Afree Mr. Serjeant's speech ended, my Gord President said thus to the Gentienien of the House of Comnnous; "That though at this free conference, likerty was given by the Iords to the ting's counse 1 to apeale what they thought fit for his majesty, yet Mr. Serjicant Ahliey had no authơrity, or direction from them io speak in that manner he had dune.' And he was committed into custody, and afterwards, being sorry for nny hasty expression be might Bave used, was discharged.
The Onicctions of the King's Counsg'riwith the Answens made thereunto, at the two $\therefore$ Confercaces toaching the same inatter.
It was agreed by the Aitorney-Generad, wir Robert Henth, that the seren Statutes ugged by the Commons werẹ in force, and that Mnena Chirtag did extend most pryetrly to the hing. Ber be said, 1. Thut meng of them are in peperal words, and theruive conclude nothing.
but are to be expounded by the pręcedents; and others that le more particular, are applied to the suggestions of subjects, and not to the king's conmanal sumply of itself. Hereunto it was answered, That the Statutes were as direst as could be; which appeureth by the reading of thenn ; and that though sone of them speak of suggestions of the subjects, yot others do not : and they that du, ard as effectual, for that they are in equal reavon, a conmimeat hy the command of the king, bcing of as great force, when it moveth by a suggestion from as sulject, as when the king taketh notice of it himsolf; the rather for that kings seldom intermedule with matters of this nature, but by infurmation from some of their people.
2. Mr. Aiturney objected, that 'per legen 'terre' in Magna Charta, (which is the foundatau of this question) c.maot be understood for process of the taw and original writs; for that in all crimuad oroceedargs no original writ is used at all; hat wery constable etther for felony ar breach of the peace, or to prevent the breach of the peace, may commit without process or original writ, and it were hard the king should not have the power of a constable. And the statuic cited by the commens, makes process of the lave and writ origmal, to be all one.

The answer of the Comnons to this objec. tion was, that they do not intend origmal wits ouly by lan of the land, but all other legni procles, which comprehends the whole proceedings of law sum cause, other than trail by jury, Judicium pariun, untos whech it is.egposedl. Thus anech is imported cx vi terimpout of the word process; and by the tue acceptution thereof in the statutes that hase been used by the commons to maintain the declars. tion, and most especially the statutes of 2 J Ed, S , cap. 4, where "t appeareth that a man ought to be brought in to answertly the course of thr law, laving former mentiou of proress made by öriginal writ.
And in 28 Ed. 3, rap. 3, ' by the cunrse of the haw' is rendered 'by dne process of the law.' And 36 Fd .3 , Rot. Parl. n. 20, the pctition of the commons saith, 'that no man ought to be amprisoned by special command without indictment or other due process to be made by the law,' 37 Ed. 3, cnp. 18, calleth the same thing 'process' of the law ;' and $12 \mathrm{Ed}$.3 , cap. 3, stileth it 'by due process and weit orignal; ; whese the conjunctive most be tiketn for a disjunctive, which çange is od linury in an exposition of Btatutes and detus, to avoid incouseniences, to make it stand with the rest; and with reason, as it may be collected, ' by the law of the land' is Mugnal Charta, 'by the couise of the law' in 25 Ed .3 , 'by the due process uflaw' in $98 \mathrm{Ed}$.3 , 'other due procrss to he made by the law' in 35 Ed. S , 'process of the law' in 37 Ed. 3 and 'hy due protess and wit original' in 42 Ed. 3; are meens one and the same thing; the later of these sintates referrhig always to the firmer, and that all of them import nny sive und regular proceedings of law upon a cause
other that the Trial by Jury. And this ap peareth 10 Rep. 71, in the case of the Marshalsea, and 11 Rep. 99, James Bayg's case, where it is understood of giving jurisdictuon by charter or prescription, which ib the ground a proccediag by course of laws And in Selden's Notes on Fortescue, fol. 20, where it expounded for lyw-wager, which is tikewise a tual at law by tife oath of the parties differug from that by jury. And it doth truly gomprehend these and all other regular proceedinga in law upon cause, which gives authority to the constable to arrest upon cause. And if this be not the true exposition of these words 'per legem terre,' the hing's counsel were dearred to declare their meaning, which they never offered to do; find yet certainly these words were not put into the statute without some intention of consequence.

And thereupon Mr. Serjeant Ashley officred an interpretation of them thens: namely, That there were divers laws of this realin, as the Common Law, the Law of the Chancery, the Ecclesinstical Law, the Law of the Admuralty or Marine Law, the Law of the Merchants, the Martial Law, und the Law of State; and that these nords ' per legem teriax,' do extend $u$ all these laws.
To this it was answered, That we read of no Law of State, and that none of these lass car be meant therc, save the Common Law, which is the principal and general jiw, and is always understood by way of evcellency, when mention jamade of the law of the land generally: and hough each of the other lans, which are red into this kingdon by custom or nct'Un-parliament, may justly be called a law of the land, yet none of them can bate the pe-emnence to be stiled the law of the land. Aud no Statute, Law-Booh, or other Authority, printed or unprinted, coutd be shewn, to - prove that the law of the land, beng generally mentwonel, was ever intended of any pther than the Common Law; and yet cren lyy tiese other lans, a man may not be committed with-- out a cause expressed.

But it standeth with the rule of other legnl expositions, that 'per degem terrix,' must be meant the Common Lav, which is the general and unvessal law by which men bold their inhentunces; and lherefore if a man speak of escuage geucrailly, it is understood, as Jatteton obsencth, war $i$. 99, of the incertain escuage, wheh is a knight's sersice tenure, for the sefence of the realu, hy the bgdy of the tenant in tume of war, and not of certian escuage, which giveth only a contribution in money, and no personal service.

- Aud if a Statute speak of the King's Courts of Record, it is neant only of the four at Westnonter by wsy of excellency, Cohe's 6 Rep. 20, Grckory's case. So the Canuaists by the Excommaucaturn simply spolen, do intend the greater Excommunication. © And the Eitnperor in bis Institutiona saith, That the Civil Law being spohen generally, is meant of the Civil Law of Rome, though the law of every
city is a civil Aw ; as when a man narmes The Poet, the Grecians understand Homer, the Latinists, Virgl.

2. Admit,' per legens terre' extend to all the laws of the land, yet a man must not be committd by any of them, but by the due proceedings that are executed by thuse laws, and upon a cause declared.
Again, it was urged, That the king was not bound to expless a cause of imprivonment, because there may be in it matter of state, not fit to be revealed for a time, lest the confederates thereupon make means to escape the hands of justice. And therefore the Statutes cynnot be mitended to restrain all commitments, unless a cause be expressed ; for that it would be very inconvenuent aud dangerous to the stateg to publish the cause at the very first.
Hereunto it was rephied by the Commons, That all danger and inconvenence may be nvoided by declaring a'general caube, ns for treasin, suspiciou of treason, mispristion of trcason, or felony, without specifyng the particular; which can give no greater lyght io a confederate, than will be conjectured by the very apprebeusion upon the mupnsonmeat, if nothing at all were expressegd.

It was further ulledged, That there was n Lind of contradiction in the pusition of the Commons, when they suy, a patty commitred without it canse shewed, ought to be delivered or buled; bailmg beng a hand of impusonnevt; delivery a total' frceing.
To this it hath been answered, that it hath always lien the discreuun of the Judges, to give so much respect to, a commitment hy the command of the King or the privy-council, (which ale ever intended to be done in jast and neighity cuuses) that they will not pirescitly vet then free, but bull theu to answer, what hall pe objected ngainst them on bis mujesty's behalf; but if any other inferwor oflicer do commit a man without shewng cause, they do instantly deliyer, him, as havng no couse to expect thein feisure. So the delivery is apphed to an imprisonment by the comingend of sume meay minister of jostice; balling, when it is done by the command of the hing or his council. -

It was argoed by Mr. Attorney, that bailing was a grace and favour of a court of justice, and that they may refuse to do it.

This was agreed to be tuue in divers cases; as where the cause appears to be for Felony, or other crimes expressed, for that there is another way to discharge them in some convenient time by their trial; and yet in these casees, Itre equstant practice hath been anciently aud. modern to hail men: but where no cause of the imprisonment is returned, but the command If the king; there is no way to deliver such person by trish or othervise, lat that of the Habees Corpus. And if they should be then remanded, they hught be peep petually imprisoned, without any rekedy at all ; and consequently man'that had conynited' no oflience, might be in a worse case the za great offender; for
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the latter should have an ordinary trial to discharge hum, the other should never be delivered. -
It was furcher said, that though the statute of Wertminster 1. c. 15, be a statute which by way of prosision did extend only to the sheriff, yet the recital of that statute touching the four cases, wherem a mun was not repleviable at the conimnu laty, namely, those that were committed for the death of a man, by the command of the kug, or of his justices, or for the forest; did declaie that the justices could not bail such a one, and that repleviable and builable were synommous br all one: and that Stantord, a judge of great authority, doth' expound it uccordiucly (Stam. pl. Cur, 72.) and that neither the atatute nor he say 'replev iable by the sheriff,' but geverally ' without restraint;' and that if the chief jusuce commits a man, he is not to be inlarged by any other court, as appeareth in the Repiter.

To this $u$ was answered, 1. That the recital $6 f$ the body of the itatute, relatelh to the sherifíf only, as appeareth by the spy words. 2. That repleviable is to the shensit, for that the word impoits no more; but a man committel by the Chef Justuce, is badable by the court of hing'sBetich. 3. Thit Stnmford meaneth all of the sheruff, or at least he hath not sutliciently expressed that he intended the justices. I. It war denied that repleviable and bailable were the same, for they dilfer in respect of the place where they are used, bail-beng in the King's Court of Kecord, repleviahle before the sheriff; and they are of several natures, replefiable being a letting at large upon suretiep, bailing being wheu one 'tradfitur in ballium,' the bail are his gaolers, and may imprison lum, and shall suffer body for body; which is not true of replevying by sureties: and baul differeth from manprize in this, that mainprize is an undertahing in a sum certain, builing is to nnswer the condemnation in civil causes, and in criminal, body for body.
The reasons and authorities in the tirst-Conference were then renesed, and no exceptions taken to any, save that in 29 Hen. 6 , if doth not appear that the command ofthe king was by his mouth, which must be intefded, or by his council, which is all one, as is observed by Stemford; for the two words are, that a man is not repleviable by the shentf, who is comituitted by the writ or the commandunent of the king.
91 Ed. 1, Rot. 2, dors. was cited by the King's Counsel ; but it was answered, that it concerned the sheriff of Leicestershire only, and not the power of the jadges. 33 Hen , 6 . the king's Atturney confessed, was noth. ing to the purpose; and yet that book hath, vien usually cited by those that maintan the contrary to the declaration of the commons. And therefore such sudden opiniors's have been given thereupon, ara not to ge regaided, the foundation tailing. .
And where it was said thr the French of 36 Ed. 3, Rot. Parl. ri, 9 , whoth can receive no anawer, did not warrant pfhat wus inferred thence;
but that these words, 'sans disturbance met: tre, ou arrest faire, et le contre per special ' mandement ou en autre maniere,' must be understood, that the Statutes should be put in execution, without putting disturbance, or making arrest to the contrary by special command or in other manner; the commons did utterly deny the interpretation given by the king's counsel : and to justify their own did appeal to all men that understood Fiench. And upon the seven statutes did conclude, that their declaration remamed an undoubted truth, not controlled by any thing suid to the contrary.

## [The Profecdinos against the Eahl ol Supfolk, Aphil 14, 1628.*

Mr. Kerton acquainted the house, that the earl of Sufolk lad said to some gentlemen, 'That Nr Selden had razed a Record, and ' deserved to be hanged, for going nbout to set 'division betwist the hing and has sulyjects.' And being demanded to whom the words were spoken, he was unvilling to nume uny, till upon the question it was resolved he should nominate hun. He then named brr Juhu Strangways; who was maillug to speak what he hat heard from the earl: but being commatuded by the house, and resolved upon the question, he cunfessed,
That upon Saturday lavt, he leing in the Committec-Chamber of the lurde, the parl of Suffolk called to lmin, and sadd, ' Nir John wwill 'you not hang Selden?' To whom he suid, For what? The cant reppled, ' By God be howlinazed 'a Record, and deserves tis be hang

This the House of Commens took past injury done to the whole hoose, Miresuiten being employed by then in the Conference nith the lords in the great cnuse concerning the Liberty of the Persons of the Subjects.
The House piesently sent sir Robert Pbillyis. with a Méssage to the LBrds to this effect; he expressed the great care the Commons had upon all occasions to maintain all mutual respect and correspondency betwist both houses: then he informed them of a great injury done by-the earl of Suffolk to the whole house, and to Mr. Selden, a parficular member thereof,
*This was Theophilus earl of Suffolk, son to James earl of Suffolk Lord-Irensurer, temp. Jac. 1.
'+ Mr. Selden. "I am culled ${ }^{2} \mathrm{P}$ to justify mofself. I see the words charge me to have razed Records. (t hope no mon believes I ever did it. I cannot guess what this lord means. I did deliver in whole copies of divers Records dxamined by myself, and divers other gentlemen of this bouse. These I delivered in to tha lords house; and the Clerk of the Crown brought in the Records of the office before the lords : I desire that there mny be a Message from this house to the lords, to make at the bai there a Charge against the lord that spole thus; and I hope we shall havej justice." Ex M8S. Pymmii in Selden's Lifo, in his Works, rol. , p. 16.
who by their command had been employed in the late Conference with their lordships : that the house was very sensible thereof, and according to former precedents, made them truly ncquainted with it, and demanded justice against the earl of Suffolk. He read thz worths, saying they were apokep to air John Strangways, a member of their house.
After a short stay, the lordo called for the messienger, to whom the Lord-Keeper gqve this answer; he signified the great deaire and care of their lordships to maintain and increase the correspondencies botwixt both houses, and as a testimony thereof they had partly taken into consideration the charge : that the carl of Suffolk, being a man of great place and honour, bad voluntarily protested upon his honour and soul, that there passed no such words as those from him to sir Jolin Strangways: and the Lord-Keeper wished that their lordships speedy procecdings in this husiness might testify their love and good-will to the commons house.
The next day being the 15th of April, sir John Strangways made a Protestation openly in the house, wherem he avowed that (notwithstanding the Eurl's deuial) he did speak those words positively unto him, and would naintuin it any way fitting a member of that house, or a gentieman of honour.
They ordered that this Protestation should be entered into the Journal-book, and that a committce should take into conssderation what was fit for the house to proceed to, for the jusfification of sir John Strangways, and what fitturg to lee done in this case, and to exail witnesses of the proof of the words.

Upoin the 17th day sir John Elliot reported what the committee had done; That they bed sont for and examined sir Christ. Nevil; related, that upon Saturday being in the $\mathbf{E}$, Comumittee-Chamher, the carl'of Suffolk said thus to himi: Mr. Mitorney hath cleared the busines, and hath made the cause plain on the Ling's side; and further said, Mr. Selden hath razed a Rerord, and hath deserved to be hanged, and the loser house should do well to join with the higher in a petition to the king to hang him; and added as a reason, for Mr. Selden went about, and took a course to divide the Jing from his people, or words to that effect. And being askef, whether he conceived that those words of chviding the king from his people, had relation so the wi ole and general action of Mr. Selden Before the lords, or to the particuiar of razing a recard? He corseived they were referred to the general action.

They had examined one Mr. Littleton, who confcssed he heurd the carl of Suffolk speak id - gentlecaan, whom he knew not, vords to this effect, vir. That he would not be in Mr. Selden's coat for 10,000 , and that Mr. Selden deserved to he hanged.

The second part of this report concerned the particulnr of sir Johas Strangy ays, whéréin though the cortmittee found no witness to prove the words spoken to sir John Strangways, yet there were many circumstances which_persuad-
ed them of the truth thereof. 1. That the same words in the same syllables were tpoken to sir Christ. Nevil, and that the eitrl as be called to him sir John Strangways, so he called to him sir Christ. Nevil. 2. That the earl of Suffolk calded sir Jolin Strangways to him, and spake to him, was proved by sir George Fane, and sir Alex. St. John, at which time the earl seemed full of that which he' delivered. 8 . That sir John Strangways instantly after his discourse with the earl of Suffolk went to thd earl of Hertford and delivered him the passagea betwixt them, being the same related in the hoùse. 4. From the unwillingness of sir John Strangways, though called upon by the hoose, to testify against the earl, till it was resotved by question he should do it : from a probability, that had not thrse words been spoken to himself, it is like he would have prodaced sir Christ. Nevil, from whoun he ulsg lieard the same. 5 . From the worth of the gentloman, and his ingenuons Protestation in the houso, That he Was ready to justify the truth of what he sain in any course the house should think meet, op was fit for a gentleman of honour.

Hercupon the bouse resolved upon the question :

1. "That the earl of Suffolk, notwithstinding his deniul, had laid a most unjust and scandalous imputation upon Mr. Selden, a member of the house, being employed in the service of the house, and therein upan the whole house of commons. 2. That this bouse, upon due examination, is fully satisfied that sir John Strangwiys (notwithstanding the earl of Suffolk's denial) hath affirmed nothing but what is most true and certain. 3. That these paticulars and addtitions be again presented to the lords, and the earl of Suffolk be nenly charped at the bar, and the lords desired to proceed in justice ngainst the earl, and to inflicl suclf pdnithment upon him as an offence of so high a nature, being agninst the house of commons, doth deserye"s

Sir Ioln Elliot was sent with a Message to the lords; who after a while retarued this Answer, That they had taken the Message into consideration, and would further take it into due consultation, and in convenient time would retarn an answer by messengers of their own. But what with done in this affair does not mppear.]

Mr. Noye, on the 16th of Aprit, officred an Answer to the inconveniencies prisented by Mr. Attorney, which were four in number.

First, where is was objected, that it was inconvegient to express the cuase, for fear of drt vulging she 'Arcana imperii,' for hereby alt may be disopvered, abd abondance of trwiteors never brough to jastice. To this thee learned man answered, That the Judges by the intention of the live are the kiag's conssly -a the secrets may pfely be committed to some of them, wht, mighe adrive whethes will bail him: and here is ho danger to kiads. or sabjects; for their nath will not permit
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them to reveal the secrets of the kiog, nor yet to detain the subject long, it by law he be to be bailed

Secoudly, For that objection of the children of Odonell, he laid this for a ground, that the king ran do no wroug; but in cases of extreme necessity, we must yild snmetmes for the preservation of the state, 'ahin unius damnum 'utilitate publita rependitur :' he said there was ne trust in the chuldren of traitors, no wrong done if they did 'tabefacere,' or 'mar'cescere in carcerc.' It is the same case of necessity, as when to avoid the burning of a town, ve are turced to pull down an honest man's hou-e, or to compel a man to dwell by the seabide for defence or fortitude. Yet the king can do no wrong, for ' potentia jaris est ' non injuria :' ipo the net of the hing, though to the wrone of anuther, is by the law waile no wrong; Hs if he commanded a person to be kept in prison, yet fie i, reaponnthle for his wrong : he quoted a book 42 6. Ass. Poit.

Thirdly, The instance made of Westiminst.? first, he said there nas a great difference between those three, Mampise, Ball, and Repletin. The Statute says, $u$ man cannot be replevied: crgo, , not builed non sequitur. Mainprise is under pain; bail is body for body: but no pain is ever in court to be declared, unless the purty appears. Replevin is neither by surcty nor bual; and leeplevia is never in court.
Fourthly, Where it in snid that bail is ex gra$t i o$, he answers, That if the prisoner comes to Habeas Corpus, then $1 t$ is not er gratid, yet the court may advise, hat mark the words' ad 's subjiciendum et recipiendum prout curia con'sideraverit.' Now it is impossible the Judges should do so, if no rause be expressed ; fpr if they know no cause, he may bring the first, second, third, and fourth Habeas Corpus, and so infinite till be find himself a perpetual prisuncr: so that no cause expressed, is worse for a man than the greatest cause of villaiay that can beimagined. Aad thus far proceeded that learned gentieman.

Mr. Glanvile snid, That by fivour of the house of commons he had liberty to speak, if opportunity were offered. IIe applied his answer to one particular of Mr. Attorney, who nssigned to the king foor great trusts: 1. WVar, 9. Coins, 5. Denrzens, 4. Pardons; it is assented to, that the ling is trusted with all these four legal prerogatives, but the argument folloyveth not, the kiug is trusted with many prerogatives : ergn, in this ' non sequitur non 'est sufficiens enumer,tio partium.' Heoder he coold answer these pariculars the two rules, whereof the first should wise of the finit and the second; and the otjer, the third and fourth.

and coin. If he miscarry in the wari, it is not alway, 'plectuntur archivi,' but be smarts equally with the people; if he abase this coin, he loseth'more than any of, his people; eigo, he may safily be tosted with the flowers of the crown, wat andbcoin.

The second rule he began was thib, When the king is trusted to confer lgrace, it is one thing; but when he is trusted to infer an injury, it is another matter. The former power cannot, by miscounselling, be brought to prejudice another, the latter may; if the king pardoneth a guilty inan, be punisheth not a good subject; if he denzeu never so muny strangers, it is but damnum sine injuria : we allow hima liberty to confer grace, but not without cause to infer punishment; and indéed be cannotdo injury ; lor if be command to do a man wrong, the command is void, ' et actor fit author,' and the actor becons the wrong doer. Therefore the hing may safcly be trusted with war, coin, denirens, and pardons, bu not with a power to imprison withone expression of cause, or linatation of tune; as the poet tells us, becnuse ' hibertas potius auro.'

After these debates, the bouso of peers called upon the Judges to noswer the Charge of the house of commons, for their Judgnent on the Habeas Corpus, bronght in Mich. tern by the Gentlewen mprisoned for refusing to subveribe to the Loan,
The Answer of the Juilges for matter of fact upon the IIabeas Corpus, 21 A pril.
The ChieffJustice saith, They are, qued to obey our command, but they desin be advised by us, whether they being sworn upon penalty of forfeiting body, lands, and goods, 7. Clue king's hands, to give mu account to hin, without warrant do this.
The Duke seid, II had acquainted the king with the business, nud for aught he knew he is well content therewith; but for better assurance, he hath sent his brother of Anglesey to know his pleasure.
Devonshirc. If a complaint be made by a ${ }^{*}$ -aean man against the greatest officer in this plare, he is to give an account of his dongs to this house.
Bishop of Lincoln. This motion proceeded from him, and so took it for Slear, that there was an appeal from tl:e Chancery to a higher cfurt than the King'senoch, and that count halt ever given an account of their doings.

The lord Say. .He wondered there should be any question made of this business, because in his opinion, this being the highest court, did paimit of no appeal.

The President. The Jodges did not do this by way of appeal, but as the inost common way for them, this being a matter concerving the king's prerofative.
Lord Say. If they will not declare themselest, we mugt take into consideration the point of our privilege,

The Duke. This was not done by the Judges, as fearing to answer, bot respect to the king-

And now his brother was gorne with answer from the hims, that they mught proceed

Order was talen that this passageshould not be entered sato the Journal Booh

## And so Judge Wuirio. h apahe

My lord, we are by your appout weent here ready to clear fing asperson of th house of commons in thar late presentmend upan the King s bench, that the sulject was mpunded in thus judginent there latily given if such a thing were, my 1 rods, yout lordships, not they, hive the power to question and judge the same But, my lords, I siy therc wis no Judqment given, wherehy ether the puerogntive mught be eularged, or the Hight of the subject tueuched upon it is true, my loids, in Michaelmas terma latt, four gentlemen petitioned for an Habets Corpus, which they obtasned, and counsel was asolened unto them, the return was 'per specill mundatum dommi iegis, Whach libewise was marle unto us uider the hands of 18 puivy counsellors Now, my loids/ If we had delivered then piesently upon this, it must have becn, hec ueve the hing did not show the cause, wherim we should hove judged the king lad done wiong, and thes is beyond our hnowled ze, for be natht hue committed them for othes intters than we could hese magnad. But they ninght ary, thus they might have been lept in prison all then days, I sosset no, bot we did re int them, that wef might better adise of the matter and they the next day mught Inve Ayd a new writ, if the $y$ had plensed But the 4 we ought not to have dened boil I ana. aeflertud upun the hing, that he had unjustly umpit oucd them. And it appesis in Dyel, \& I lis that divers gentlemen beug commityod, and requinng llabeas Corpus, some were bailed, others rematted whercby it appears, muith is left to the discrention of the judges
For thit which troubled so much, ' temat${ }^{6}$ titur quousque,' this, my lords, was only (as I sud befort) to take tune what to do; and wheicas they will have a difference betwint ' remittitur' and 'remittutur quousque,' my lords, I contess I canofind none, but these ale uew inventions to trouble old Records Anti betem, my lords, we have dealt with hnowledge and unatrotandug, for had we given a Judgment, the party must thertupoo have rested Bery judginent must come tg an issue in inftter ot tact, or demur in polt of law, here is nether, therefpre no judginent.

As to ende troung to hate a Jutiginent enteied, it is true, Mit Attorney piessed the 5 ime for his master's service, but we being - sworn to do right betwixt the hing and his subo jects, convinanded the cleih to make no entry, but Rccoiding to the old form, and the rule was given by the ChuefJustice alone I have spent my tume in ths court, and I speah confdently, I dad never see nod know by any record, that upon such a ittain as thas, a man was bouled, the king not firt consulted with in such a cate ras ths.

VoL. 1II.

The Comanons House do not know what letters and commands we recesved, for these remmin in our court, and were not, newed by them. for the iest of the matters presented by the house Bf commons, they were not in ayintion befpre us, whether the bing may consmit, and how long he may detam a man connatitted. Theielore having answered so much no conlcerneth us, I desuc pour loed-lups good consstructions of what hath been sand. - .

Judge Joner said, IIe was bere to delyer before us, what Judgnent was given before them concerming the Habeas Corpus. he asswered, No Jedgment was given, and the matter of fict was such as my brother , delsiered. unto you lesterday. These four gentlemen nere comm tted to the Tleet, Gate-house, and marnat of the houshold. Four returns pere mande apon the wints, and eiery one of them had a counsellor apponted, wlo had coptes of the 18 turos A rulc was granted, 4 e r couhcil heaid, and esciption talien to the relurn, because it did not shew culuse of their eaption
These were of no force, in the opision of the Judges The next exception was, because no cause of their comantment was shewed, Which the judges held to be all she in ponut of law. Then, my lorda, they alledged many Precedents and Statutes of theinselses, which the hing'a attoiney ansicered, That persons committed by the hang, pr conncil, nere ncser balled, but his pleasure was birst hnown.
We agreed at the Chamber of the ChiefJustice, that all the Statutes alledged are in a force, but whether we should hat them ol no, wis the question, therefure we remitted them quonsque. After nhich Mr Attorney required a Judgment might be entered 1 commanded the clerh, be should not suffer any such thang to be done, because ne would be better advisedd
But some mill say, out act is otberwae- I snswer, No; for ne dave done no more than we do upon in ordnaary wnt, when we purpose to be better advised, and that was onl) an interlocutory order. But, my lorits, put the cave a Habeas Lorpus should be granted for one that is ocomminted by the houge of commond, would they (think you) tahe it well he should be bailed at 1 is first coming to the onart ? I thipl they would not, and I thank the kifitg would have done so in this case Nom, my londs, there as a petition of right, and a peintion of grace to be bailed, is a matter bo sfice; therefore if a man be brought upor ap Hibeas Corpus, und not bailed, he cannot smgn the agrt hath done lum any wiong. I bave now servel seven yeers a Judge an thas court, and my contuence beareth me wnuess, that I have not wronid the same ; 1 bave boes thought sorpetmes go forward lor the Liberty of the Subject. 1 min myself Labir Himma, my anceztors gave thor voice, for Magna Chartis I enjey that hou e still, whuch thry did, I do not now mean to draw Godje wr thi apon "my pasrerity, and thervforel will nethar adrisore
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the king's prerogative, nor lessen the Likerty of may oot commit. Therefore justly we think, the Subject, to the danger of either hing or we delivered the interpretation thereof to that people. This is my professiou beforc God and. your lordstaps.

Judge Dodderidge said, It is not more bt for' a Judge to decline to give an accoupt of his doings, than for a Christian of his faith. God knoweth, 1 have endeavoun ed always to keep a yood conscience; for a troubled one, who can bear? The lingdom hulds of none but God, and Judguents do not pess piiately in chambers, but publicly in cyunt, where cevery one may hear, which causeth judlyment to be given with maturity. Yoor luriships have leard the particolirs delivered by my breturen, how that counsel being assigned to thoce four Gentlemen, in the latter end of Alichaelinas Tenn their cause reccived bearing; and upon consideration of the Statutes and Records, we fuand some of then to be aecoridigh to the good old law of Magna. Cliarta: but we hought, that they did not come so close to this cose, as that bail shonld be thereupon presently granted. My lords, the IInbens Corpus consists of three parts, she Writ; the Return upon the Writ or schedule, and the Entry or Rule reciting the LIabeas Conpus; and the Return, together with the opinion of the couft, either a ' renaittitur,' or 'traditur in ballium.' In this case a remittitur was granted, which we did, that wo might take better advisement upon the cass. , gnd upon the remittitur (my lords) they might bave had a new writ the next day; and 1 wish they had, because it may be they hid seen more, and we bad been eased of a grieat labour. And, my Iords, when the Attorncy, upon the renittitur, pressed an entry, we aill straitly charged the clerk, that he should make no other eutry than such as our predecessors had usually made in like cases : fir the difference (my lords) betlixt 'remittitar'and ' reanititur quosque,' I could never yet find any. I have how sut in' this court fifteen years, and I-should know something; surily, if I had gone in a mill to long, some dust would cleave to my cloaths. I an, old, and have one foot in the grave, therefore I will look to the better part, as near as I can. Fut ' omnia habere in memoria, et in pullo 'errare, divioum potius ¢t fuam hupganain?'
L. C. I. sir Nicholus Hyde, said, He should not speak with confidence, unless he might atand right in the opinion of the house; and. protested what he spake the day before, was not said by him with any purpose to trench. upon the privileges of this hanse, but onk of thet respect which by his place be thought bed opwed to the king. He said, concerning the Spint he was to spenk of, that he would now, Throuble the fords with things farmerly reysated, whereia be concurred with bis breti ${ }^{\circ}$ He said, if it were true the king might pht commit, they had done wrong in not part) delivering; for, my lords(saith lie), these Stay/ses and grod laws being all in force, we meapf not to trench mpop any of them; mast of t/em being com: ementarkes upon Magna. Charta; but I know not any statute that goefh so far, that the king
purpose: fur, my lords, ler terre is not to he found in this statute, they gave me no example, neither was there any couse sliewed in the return. A pfecedent (my lords) that hath run in a storm, dfth hot much direct us in point of law, and rquords are the best tesfmonics. Those precedent they brought beingacad, ne shewed then wherein they were mistaken; if we hive erred, ' Crrai um patribus,' nad they can shew no precedent, bot that our predecessors have done as wo have doue, sometimes baling, sonetimes reanitting, sometimes discharging. let we do never bail any committed by the hing, or his council, till his pleasure be first known. Thus did the L.. C. M. Cohe in Rayver's care. They say, this wonld have been doue if the ling had not writen; but aly then wus dwe letter read and published, and kept; uad why wus the town-cterk sent carefully to enquire (because tha letter so directed) whether these men offered for bail were Subsidy men ? The letter sheweth also, that Beckwith was committed for suspicion of being acquaimed with the Gunpowder-Treason; but no proof being produced, the king lelt him to be bailed. The Earl of Warwick's * Speech, 21 April 1023.

My lorids, I will observe something ont of the lav wherein this Liberty of the Suhject's person is foumled, and some things ont of precedents which bave becn alledged. For the law of Magna Charta, and the rest conegrning these points, they are acknowledged by all to be of force; and that they were to secore the subjects from wrongful imprisomuen, as well, or rather more coacerning the king, than thr sabject. Why then, besides the Grand Charter, and those six other acts of parliament, in the very point, we know that Mugua Charta hath been at least thirty tines confirmed; so that upon the matter we have six or seven-and-thirty acts of parliament to confirm this liberty, although it was made matter of derision the other day in this house.

One is that of 36 F., 2, n. 9. and another in the saine ycar, n. no. not printed, but yet as good as those that aro; and that of 42 E. 3. cap. 3. so express in the point, especially the Petition of the Conmons, that year; which wap read by Mr. Littleton with this king's answei so foll, and free from all exception, to which' I refer your lordships, that I know not how apy thing in the world can be more plain. And therefore, if in parliament ye should make any donbt of that which is so fully confirmed in parliament and in a case so clear go about . by now glosses to alter the old and good law, we shall not only forsake the steps of our ancestors, who is cases of simall importance would onswer, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ nolumos mutrre leges Angliaw ;' but we stall yield up and betray our right in the

[^5]- greatest, inferitance the subjects of England hase, and that is the laws of Enyland And tuly I wonder how any man can admut of such a gloss upon the plam text, as should oventhow the force of the law for whereas the liw uf Magna Charta 19, that no freeman al ill be umprisoned lat by Lawfuldudignent ol his peers, or the juw of the lund, thecfore that the hing luth power to commat wal out cause, is a sense not oinly expressly cont 1y to uthei acts of parhament, and those espenally lormerly ciced, but against common sense. Ior Mr Attorney confesseth this law coucerns the king, why then, where the haw suth the hing shall not cormmit, but bv the law of the land, the meanung must be, as Mr Attorney would have it, that the hing must not commit bat nt his owo ple mure. And shall ne think that out ances'ors were so fiolsb, to hizard then poisons and estates, and laboun so much to get as law, and to have it thirt, times contumed, that the hing might not cominit liss subjects bat at his oun pleasure? And it he did comumt any of has sabjects without a cause shewn, then he must hic duins, plezsuie, than which nothing can be im inned in ne ndiculous, and contiany to true 16 is m

For thic liecriduts, I nbserse, that there bath bocil mun sl ©nn, by wlach it appens to me eudently, that such ds have betil corunuited by the hang's council, they have bcen dileteted upon Habe is Corpus, an I that cont mitly It is thue, that some precedents wae bioubte on the hing > part, that when some of these poisons disacd to be dchivered by Habers Conpus, the hing, or his council, ss.unfied his mis,esty fle suin that they should be dalivered, on thi ling, toruer hith come minto the court, aud ctlated the hag's conimand but thin xums to make for the subject, for it behald $u \mathrm{~h}$ has m y yesty 9 powec to dehser them, who I y 1 is speciu compand weie imprisoneel, may mot we wdl think, that las saajesty nould rat thes it that tmne hive stayed therr deliv crance by Jiw, than furthered it with has leturis, and no ule the prosones rathen beholden to him tor his grace and merry, that to the judges fou justice, had not his rqyenesy known that at that ume they ought to hase been dehvered by law' I thunk no man would tuague a wise bing would have suffeied his grace and prerogutuve, it any such presogative were, to be so coutinuilly questioned, aind has memesty and his enuncl so fat fiom commanding the jf dyes not to proceed to deliser the prisoner by them committed, huthout cause' shewn, has that on the othei side, whicbas all the force of these precedents, the ling and the coupcil signihech to the Jadges, that they should proceed to tep liver the purtues Certainly if the krag hal challeuged any such preaganve, that a person) coumatited, without any cause shpwn, ought not to be delivened by thę Jodges without hus consent, it would have appeared, by one prpeetent or other amongst al ibat hafe been produced, that his majesty would have made some clanm to such e prerogative. But it rppears to the
contrary, that, in many of these canes, the king oi his coancif did neivr interpose, und whero they did, at was aliwaye in affimmation and encouragement io that esurt to proaced And besides, the wruug of letters froup hae mejesi) to the gydges to do justice to hus majesty'b soli jects, my with as good reason be intirpretted, that wrhout those letters they might not do jusuce - aloo the king sigafied his willingness, thut sach 'and thich persons, thich' were committed by hris, phaveld be delivered? wherefore they could not be delivered without it, whech is a atiange reason. So that finding the lamat in foll, so ruany, and so plata an the ponnt, and findog, that whenever may were compitted, without cause shewn, and brought thef Habens Corpus, they wera delincred, and no aomamand ever given to the contrary, or clam made ma the Ling's part to any such prerogauive I may safely concluide, as the house of commons have done; and it any one precedent on tao of late can be shewu, that the jadget have not delivered the pisoners so conmuitud I think it is their fault, and to be mquined of But contrity, te seems to me to be an undorited Laberty of the subject, that it he be conimartted without cavse, or without cause shewn, yet he may have sorne speedy courso io bing himself to inal, enther to justily W is own mnocency, or to recene pumshanent aceundiog to bis faaler for God forlad, that an innoca ot in in, by the laws of Yngi nd, should be pit in woice case than the most grievous inilefacturs are, which must needs be, il this shpold be, thit if a cousa be show d, he may have his trial, but if none, he must he and pine an prion duing plensurt $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ sergeant Aviley, thic othes day, told your loidshyss of the cunlicm oi a hing, wut ho bis lease made wiong use of it. For a hing bears ine one hand the glole, sud in the ofbes the golden ecepter, the types of sorerergnty arnd mercy, but tbe swoid in juatice is cier canild beture han by a immister of justice, which shows, wobjcets may hate their remedies fir mjustice doae, and appealo to binghici powirs: tor the laws ont 「ugland are an fntour ible to their princes, as they can du no,muastice
therefore I will conclude, ns all disputes I hold do, 'Thesuacess ventas et provalebit, so I make ho drubt, we hving under oo pood a prace as we do, ohen thas is lepresen ed unar haw, be will ansoter us, + Migna est Chatria of ' p tavalebrt.'

Prom this tume to the 25th of the same month, the Honse of Commone in a Grand Committee spent inost of therr tume ala debete about Martual Law, and part thereof in grinf he lords a meeung at tho couferences, colrcerrus g their resolves, in order to a Peution of Hught, yanemitted by the commoas to their lordahips.

- Frtay, 25th of April, 1698

Thit Eords ind a Conference with the Commons, whe le the Iyd Arr hbishop of Cesw
 lows.
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Gentlemen of the IIouse of Commons; The nervice of the king and safety of the kingdon do call on us, ny lurds, to give all conrenient expeditions to dispatch some of these great and neighty businesscs before us. Fors the bette: effecting whércof, my londs have thought fit to let you kuow, that they do in geuefal agret with you, and doubt nuf, but you will agret with us, to the best of your power, to muintan: and support the Kundumental lews of the kinsdom, and the fundamental Liberties of the Subject: for the partuculars which may hereafter fall in debare, they have given me in clange to let you know, 'Ibat what hath been phosented by you unto therr lordslips, they have laidnothing of it by, they are not out of

- love with any thing that you have tendered unto them; they have voted nothung, nether are they in love with any thing proceeding from themselves: for that which we shall say and propose unto you, is ont of an inteudment to invite you to a mutual and free conference. that you with confidence may come to us, and we with confidence may speak with you; so that we may come to a conclusion of those things, which we both unanimously desire. We heve, resolved of nothing, designed or determined nothing, but desire to take you with us, prnying help from yod ns you have done from us.-My lords have thepght of some Propositions, which they havo ordered to be read here, and then left with you in writiog, that if it seem good to you, we may uniformly concur for the substance; and if yous differ, that you would be plensed to put out, add, alter, or diminish, as you shall think fit, that so we may come the better to the end that ve do both so desirously embrace.
Then the five Proposirioss following were read by the Clerk of the Upper IIotse.

1. "That hus mojesty would be plensod graciously to declase, That the good old layw called Magna Chatta, and the six statutes conceived to be declarations and explanations of that law, do still stand in farce to all intents and purposes.
2. "That his majesty would be pleased graciously to declare, That qccerding to Magos Charta, and the statutes afore-named, as also according to the most antient cuatoms and laws of this land, every free subject of this realm hatha fundamental Propriety in his Goods, and a fundamental Liberty of his Person.
3. "That tris majesty would be gracionsly .pleased to declare, That it is his royal pleasure to ratify and confirm unto all and every, bis loyal and faithfal sulbjects, all their ancient several, just Liberties, Privileges, and Pighs, nit sample and beneficiul manner, to all Stents and purposes, as their ancestors did whoy the asme, under the government of the Seat of his most noble progeaitors.
4. "That his majesty would by pleasel apaciously to declare, for the good content of his loyal mubjects, and for the secufing them from fiture,fear, That in all cases wilbin the cogni-
sance of the coinmon law concerting the. C .berties of the Subject, his majesty nould proceed according to the common-law of thas land, and aecording to the laws established in tho kingdom, and in no other manner or wise.
5. "As touching has majesty's royal Prerogative, intrfisicr: to has sovereignity, and antrusted him / withal from God, 'fid communem, 'totius pop fli sadatem, et non ad destructionem;' his majesty wuald resolve not to use or divert the same to the prejudice of any of his loyal people, in the propnety of therr gobds, or liberty of their persons; and in case, for the sccurnty of his majesty's royal person, the comunon safety of lis people, or tha peaceable goverument of this kingdom, his majesty shall find just cause for deason of state to imprison or restrain may man's person, his majesty would graciously peclare, I hat within a cont enient timee he shall, and will express the cauce of the commitment or restraint, cither general or specrs): und upon a cause so exgressed will leave ham immclately to be triod according to the oummon justice of the kingdom."

## After the reading of the Propostions, the Archbishop sade:

This is but a model to be added unto, altered, or diminished, us my your icasons and wisdons ye shall think fit, after ye have communicated the same to the rest of the members of the house.
To this Speech, Sir Dudery Dicas, it heing nt a Free Conference in behalf of the Commons, made this Reply;
My lords; it hath pleased Almighty God many ways to bless the binghts, cutizens and burgesses, now assembled in parhament, with great comfort, and strong hopes, that this will prove as happy a perliament as ever was in England. And in there consultations for the service of his majesty, and the satety of thas k'ngdom, our special comfort and strong hopes have risen from the contwued good recpect; wbich your lordships so nolily have heen pleased to sheiv unto them; particularly at this present, in your so hanourable profession to agree with them in general, in desiuing to maintain and support the fundamental laws and liberties of England.
The commons have commanded ree in like sort, fo essure your lordships they binve been, are, and will be, as ready to propugn the just Prerogative̊of his mxjesty, of whith in all their Arguments, Searches of Recorls, and Resolutions, they have been most careful, according to, hat which formerly was, and now agan is, otested by them.
Another noble argument of your honourable disposition toyards them is expressed in this, That you are plensed to expect no present onwer from them, who are (as your lordships in your great iniedorns, they doubt not, have considered) a great body, that must advise upon all new Propositions, and resolve upon them be-
forothey cans give answer, according to the ancient order of their boose. But it is manifest in genernl, God be thanked for it, there is a great concurrence of affection to the same end in both houses, and such good harmony, that I entreat your lordships leave to borrow a comparison trom nature, or naturad plliosophy: As two lutes welfsirung and tuned blpught together, if one be played on, litule striws and sticks will stir upon the other, though id lie still; so though we have no power to reply, ylt these things said and propounded cannot but work in our hearts, and we will faithfully report these passages to our house, from whence in due time (we hope) your lordships shall receive a contentful answer.
Mr. Selden's Speech, about the five Propositions sent from the Lords to the Ilouse of Commons, April 26, 1628.
Our debate is now, how we like of the Propositions. Ours were resolutions of law, and no man can make question of them. And as we are constant, so thope they of other places that have weighed thiem, are of the same mind with us. But now their lurdetips laying them by, propound what they would have to be law. As they may speak to nhat comes from us, 30 may we to what comes from them, and they did invite us thereto. I think there is not ove of the five fit to be desired and asked. The first three are not fit, for there is no use of them in these great questions. The fourth wo have already, and the fifth is not fit to be had at all. The first is, to declare that Magns Charta and the six statutes, conceived to be declarations and explanations of that law, do still staud in force to all intents and purposes. Consider what it is we ask: Who doubts whether they stand in force or no? Indeed some have published that Magna Charta is but a clarter and vollaw. But it is an act of parliament; and let men speak what they will, that was the fashion of statutes till printing came in. The statutes were sent down in the king's name to ibe proclaimed, and he prefixed his name, and this was till about Hen. 6. Also the body of Magna Charta is, that it is consented to by all the earls, \&c. and for the assent there was a fifteenth granted, and clearly that tannot be without an act of parlinment: and so constant it is, that all else in it is to this day put in eyecution. In former parliamenty, by thirty at.lenst, it was comfirmed, but/fit was not of necessity, and yet they are surer than this declarAtion you will now ald. For the second, that his majesty will declare that every subject hath a Propriety in his goorls, and liberty of his person; they that drew this might mean somewhat more than I anderatand: I know not what we grin. Who doubts of our propriety! I never heard it denied, but in the pulpit, which is of no weight. For the thirds that his majesty, will confirm all just liberties; none can tell what this will produce, It is not fit we trouble his majeaty with it. The fourth
the icognizance of the Common Saw concerning the Liberties of his subjectis, his majesty would proceed according to the Common. Low. I conceive his niajesty never proceedel but according to law. It may be there were oommitmante; yef. the courts of justice were open for the partid to' seek justice. And if any thing be done aguinst the lav, there; it is the fault of them that sit there. So we shall take it. But yet his majesty hath done nothing aggainst the law. For the firth, it is not fit to be had, and ctérefore not fit to be asked. If wo ask it parliamentarily, we shall have a law to that sensef and so we shall destroy our fundatnental liberd ties, which we bave already resolved. Now a 'convenient time' must be set down. In former times there was wo need of such innovations; for such law of state, in a 'convenient time' every man was to bo delivered by lew. If they were so wise then to told it neediess, why is it now recessary? And fot 'convenient time ;' what is convenient time ? Who shall judge of it but the Judges). And so they now shall have the pawer of the terds, and of the council. Also now we tesire in some cases the prerogative, \&cc. I mould fain see if any person may not he committed at- pleasure by this clanse, and no anan is exempted. At this litule.gap every mpan's liberty may in time go out.

The Cponmons were ngt satisfied with thete Propositions, which were conceived to chonk the Petition of Right, then ynder consideration, but demurred upon them

## Mondayp 28 April. The Loud Keeper spake to both Houses of Parliament by the King's, Command, who was then present. ${ }^{*}$

My Lords, and ye the knights, citizeni, and burgesses of the House of Commons, ye aannot but remember the great and important affairs; concerning the safety both of State and Religion, declared first from his mnjesty's ownmouth, to ba the causes of the assembling of this parlimment; the sease whereof, is it doth daily increase with his majesty, so it ought to do, and his majesty drubts not but it doth so with you, since the danger increaseth every day, both by etifruiga of time, and preparations of the eneny. .
Yet his majesty doth well weigh, that this expence of time hath been occasioned by the Debate which hath arisen in both houses toinching the Liberty of the Subject ; in which, as his majesty takes in good part the parpose and intent of the houses, so clearly and frequently. pro-: fessed; that they would not diminish or blemish hic royal and just prerogntite, so he prosumes, that ye will al/ contess it i point of extraondinary grace an $\begin{gathered}\text { binstice in him, to suffer it to rest, } \\ \text { so }\end{gathered}$. loug in disp tre without interruption. But now bis majesty ed dsidering the length of time which it hath alread y taken, and fearing foothing so much as any future loss of that, whereof every: hour and minute $\%$ so presious: and forevecing that the ordinary way of debete, though adene:
furs of both houses, necessarily take more time than the affars of Cluristéndom can permit; his majesty, out of has great princely care, Hash abosght of th.s expedient to shorten the bosiness, by declaring the clearness of his own heart and intention: and therefore path comamagnded me to let your (now, 'That he holdecth ${ }^{4}$ the Statute of Magna Charta, and the other - six Statutes insisted upon for the Suhject's ${ }^{4}$ Liberty, to be all in force, and assures you, 4 that the will maintain sll bis Subjects in the ${ }^{4}$ juast Freedom of their Persons, and Safety of -their Estates: and that be will govern nccord$f$ ing to the laws and statutes of this realm; $t_{4}$ and that ye shall find as mach Security in his ${ }^{4}$ majesty's royal word and promise, mos in the
f strength of nny law ye can make; so that
4 bereatter ye shall never have cause to com' plain.' The conclusino is, That his majesty prayeth God, who hath hitherto blessed this singdom, and put intp his heart to come to you this day; to make the success thereof bappy, both to king and people: and therefore he de. sires, that no doubt or distrust may possess any

- man, but that ye will all proceed speedily and ung inimoualy to the business.
- Che Colimons being returned from the lords house, Mrf. Secretary Cook persuaded thens to comply with the king.

His majesty, suid hp, puts us in mind of the great important afffink of the state, and of his senve thereof, that bf efflusior of time incresseth in him, apd he doubts not but that it doth incrense in sas. Yé see his majesty's moderation in the interpretation of all our actions; he saith, that he hopes we have the same sense he, hath, he is pleased to considec of the occasion of expence of time that grewfrom the Debates in both houses. We see how indulgent he is, that howerer the affains of Clristendom are great, yet be omits not this, nay, he takes in good part our Proceedings and our Declarations, that we will mot impench the Prerogative: also his majesty presomes, thet ve will coofess, that he hath esed extraordiairy grace, in that he hath endered dispote mo lang, he ecknowledgeth it juwtice to stand se we have done.

Farther oot of a prinenly eart of the poblic, he is careful no more time be lost; sand becmese he sees some extreordioary courne to be sakes to satisfy Dos, he obferves, that is the Sorm of the debate, wach leagth is requirted as -che ratase of the basisers will not endure. It in to be prisumed, that hil goversineat sill be aceonding to the law : wectuot bat temember what his father said, 'Ha is mo kiag, bat a ty'rmat, that goveras not by lan ?' but this kiney dom is to be goveraed by the Common inw, and his majesty asaures on so much phe inter. pretatien is luts to the Judges, and/whingreal Councis, sady all is to be regolates by the common lowi. It meen not Mages (saria gnty, for that Inygat Charts was part/of tho cormoson hans and the ascientolow of yin kingdomn; all oer difirence is in the applieation of this law, and how this law, whth difforence, is derived
into every court. I conceive thers ara-ino reies, the one of brass, that is rigid, and will not bend, and that is the law of the King'sBench, this law will not bend; and when it Kghts on sabjects fitung, if it do not beud, it is unjust; and there cumes in the Law of Chancery and equxf: this is applicution of haw in private man'y causes, wheu ipconses to meam et tumef And thas the genctal goverument of caves, $y$ th relation to the commou stute of the kiog dow, is from the Counci- board, and there they are to vary from the law of the kungdoan; suppose it be in tume of dearth, piopriety of goods nay in that une be forced, and be brooght to the marhet: we saw the expcrience of it in coals in London, and the Council-Buard caused them to be brouglit foush and sold. In $a$ time of Pestilence meu may be restrinined: if a schism be line to grow in a Chuch, the State will eaquire after the favouiers of it: if there lie fear of an Invason, and it be entcouraged by inpe of a party awoog us, it is in the power of the goverament to restruin men to their bouses.
In the composure of these things, there in great differencer what ditferences have been between the courts of Chancely and Kung';Bench? It is hard to put tuue dulurence between the ling', Piecogitive and nur Lbethis. His majesty saw expence of tmo would be prejudicial; it pleased fiod to move lis majesty by a divine hand to shew us a way to clear all our diffirulties, let us attend to all the parts of it; there be five degrees, and there is more assurance than we could lusic by any law whatsuerer. His majesty declares, that Magna Charta nad the other Statutcs are in force: this is not the first time that the Laberty of the Subject was infringed, or nas in delante and confrmed; all times thought it safe, that when they caswe to a negative of power, $1 t$ was hard to keep government, and liberty logether: but his mnjesty stopped not there; but according to the sense of these laws, that he will govern this subjects in their just Libertic, he assures us our libertier are just, they are nut of giace, bat of right ; nay, he assures us, he will govern us acconding to the laws of the realm, and that we shall find as much security in his majesty's promise, as in any law we can make, and whatsoever law we shall make, it mast come to his majerty's allowance; and if his majesty find cquse in his government, he may pont put life to in we daily see all laws are bruken, aad all kioy will be broke fur the pallic good, and the king may' pardon'sull offenderts', his majjesty did sce, that the besk wrf to settlo all at unry, is to exprem his own heart: the king's heart is the beat guider of his own promise, his promise is bound with his own heurt. What priace can express more care and wisdom?
Latly, he anith, That hereaftec ye shall never bave the hike cause to complaia: may we not thiak the Brench is mide uy? Is not his majerty engaged it his rogal mord? 'The conclusion in fall of weight: and be prays God, that as God hati, Fitaved this kiogdom, and put it inta
his heart to rolne amongt us, so to make this day successful. 'The wrath of a king is like the ' roaring of a lion,' and all laws with his wrath are to no effeet ; but 'the king', furvour is like ' the dew upon the grass,' there all will prosper; and God made the instrumerts tof unite all hearts. His majesty having thus discharged himedf, he prayslus to proceed to the businest that so inuch coAcerns him. As lin majesty hath now shewed himself the brst of kngys, let us acknowledye his majesty's goodness, and retorn to that Union which we all desire.

But this motion was not received with general acceptation; and
Sir Benjamin Rudyard replied to it ;
We are now upon a great Buiness, and the muluer of handling it may be as great as the lusiness itself. I need not tell you, that Liberty is a precious thing, for every man may set his own price upon it, and he that doth aot value it desenves to be valued accordingly. For my own part, 1 an clear without scruple, thrt what we have resolved, is according to the l.wv ; and if any judge oh fagland were of a contraty opinion, I um sure we shoold have beard of hum ere now. Without all question, the very point, scupe, and dritt of Magna Charta was, to reduce the regal to a Iegal poner, in matter of Inprisonumint, or else it had not been worthy so much contending for.

But there lanve been Precedents brooght to prove the practice and interpretation of the law. 1 confe's I bave heard many Precedents of ntility and respect, but none at all of truth, or of law : certainly there is tho count of justice in Eneland, that will discharge a prisoner comnaited by the king, rege inconsulto, without aequainting the hing; yet this good manners was never made, or mentioned as a legal part of the delisery.

It is objecterd, That the king ought to have a trist left and deposited in him: God forbid but he sh:uld : and I say, that it is impossible to take it from him, for it lies not in the wit of man to dexise such a law as should be able to comprehend all partictlars, all accidents, but that extranrlinary cases must happen, which, sven they come, if they be disposed of for the common good, there will be no law against them, yet must the law be general, for otherwise admissons and exceptions will fret, p/d eat out the law to nothing. God himself has constituted a goneral law of mapare, to gnvern the ordinary coprse of thinqus, he hath made no lave for miracles; yet there is this observation of them, that they are rather ' preter naturam;' *han ' contra naturam,' and always. "propter bo' nos fines:' for king's Pserogatives, are rather besides the law, than againet it; and when they are directed to right ends for the public good, they are not only concufring laws, but pyen laws in singularity and excelleney.
But to coine nearer, Mr. Spenker, let us consider where we are now, what stegs we have gone and gained; the king's learael Counsel
have acknowledged all the laws to be still in force: the Jadges have not allowed any judgment against these lawz: the Lords also have confessed, thet the laws are in full itrength; they have further retained our Resolutions entire, and without prejudice.

All this hitherts is for ous advantage; but above all, his majesty has this day, himself being publicly present, declared by thro mouth of the Lord-Keeper, before both the houses," That Magna Charta, and the other six Statates, are still in force; that he will maintain his subjects in the Liberties of their Persons, and Properh, ties of their Gogds: that he will goverg them according to the Laws of the kingdons: this is a solemn and binding satisfaction, expressing his gracious readiness to comply with his people in all their reasonable and just desires. The ling is a good man, and it is no dimination to a king to be called so; foy whosoever is a good. inan, shall be greater than a king that is not fo. The king certainly is very tender of his present honour, aud of his fame hereafter; he will think it hard to have a worse mark set upon his government than upon any of hio $2 n=$ cestors, by extraordiuary restraiots! hin innjesty hath niready intimnted anto us by a message, That he doth willingly give way to have the abnse of power refonjed. By whioh I do verily helieve, that he opth very well understand what a iniserable power it is, which hath produced so much weakness to bimself, and to the kiugdom; and it'is our inappiness, that he is so ready to redress it.

For mí own part, I shall be very glad to see that good old decrepid haw of Mingaa Charta, which liant been kept so long, and lain bed-rid as it were; I shall be glad to see it walk nbroud agais with uew vigour and lostre, attended and followed with the other six Statates : questionless iowill be a great heartening to all the people. I doalt not, but upon a debating Conference with the Lords, we shall happily fall upon a fuir nndfit accomunodation, concerning the Liberty of our l'ersons and Propriety of our Goods. I hope we mnay have a Bill, to agree in the point, ugaiast imprisonment for Loans or Privy-Seals, "-As far intrinsical power and reason of state, they are matters in the clouds, where I desire we may leave them, and nof meddle with them ht all: lest by the way of admixance, we may lose somewhat of that which is our own alrendy. Yet this by the wry I will say of Reoson of State, That, in the latitude by which it is used, it hath eaten oat almost, not only the laws, but all the taligion of Cbristendom.

Now, Mr. Speaker, I will only remember you of one parcept, and that of the wisest man ; 'Benotover wise, be not over just ?' and he gires his reiton, 'for why wiltithgn be desolate Pl-Sir, in' justice and wisdotin may be stretedned to depolation, let us thereby leam, that moderation the virwe of virtues, and the wisdon of wisdoms. Let it pe our master-pieed so to carry our business, that we may keep phen liaments on foot: for at long as they are fro-
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quent, there will he no miegulaf power, whinch though it cannot be broken at once, yet in short trae it woll fade und mouldet away there con be no tợ, 1 or final losv ot Labẹties, but by loss of Parhunents as long as they last, whipt ne cannot net at one ume, we liay have at an tha $\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{I}$ et nt man thank, that what I have said in the limpuase of a plisate end, my sim is ug in the grod success of the whole, for, I think God, my und stinds above any fottune thit is to be git by hase and unnorthy meuns no min is $b$ uivi to be neh on gient, no, nor to foe wisc, but everymin is bound to be honest, out of uhhich he ut I have spohen
The B,hop of F seter's (Di Jou ph Hall) Lct(t) stat to the IIoust of Commons, 28 April, 1028
Gentlemen, Toi God's salke be wise in jour well ment $\iota$ eal why do you aigue anay piecoous tume that cin hevei be revohed, oi repaired? Woe is me' while we daspute, our finends perish, and we must tollow them Where are we, if we breih, and (I tremble to thimh) we cannot but bicah, il we huld so stiff Out Ialifues and Piopucuesate sufherently declared to be sure nud legal, our Remedies are cleu and irrefi ugable, wiat do we le ir, ciery sub
 justice, and who due hencefmeth thead besides it? Certuanly nhalst fuliunents lace; we need nut mis doubt the violations of onin I rcedons and Rughts, mary fye be but where the law found us, we shall sufherently enjy ouschen and ouis, it is no se son to seuch for mo $O$ let us not, whilst we over rigidly plead for in lughes str un of a lety, put ouiselves mito a ic ctsonty or suin and firter despur of iefiest lit us not, in the sugpuition of evils, thit may be, cast ous selies into a present conitusion If you love yourselves and your country, remut s ine thing of your own terms, and since the subst uice is yielded by your noble patriots, stand not to, nigoiously upon points of racumbtince lev not to tiust a good hiog, who, eitter the strict laws made, must be trusted with the evec tron Thinh that youi country, nay Chustea Jom, lies on the mercy of your present resolations Relent, or farewel velfare Trom him whose faithful heart bleeds in a vowed sacrufice for his hing and country,

Exeifr
Upon this Debate it was ordered, Puat a Committee of Lawyers do didw a Bull, contoning the substance of Magna Clarta, nud the ether Starutes, that do concern the Laberty of the Subject; which busmess took up two whole days.
Mr. Selden's Splici at the Committee about the Bull for Magna Charta, an the Liberthes of the Subject April 28, 628.
I would have the violatiou tenferly mentioned. Let us set down the Stflute of Magua Charta. 13 Hen. bat is adjuflged m the Parlament Roll, that the Statute of 1 nliege is an Act of Parhament ${ }^{\circ} \cdot$ It is not eaterid in the, Statute Roll, and it was 94 Ed 119 Ed. 2,
rot claus meme 15 'Les contens prinnt loa 'divers fuer' prise et imprison per accusement "de persons malevolent lou ne fuer' andict acc" ' al ley del terre, ils puont que ils gue sont ' prise sans mdictment velgnont en Chanccry. ${ }^{\text {t }}$ et que фoot, per ra fat Et roy valt que nui 'serra py fr' But this is not $2 n$ the Parliament Roll 5 (1) 3, c 9 it Ed J, c 1, " that there 'sbali bf no uid uor charge Bot hy Parliament' 25 Dd 6, c 4 ' Noue shall be attached by pe'tinuil walhout piesentment, or un orgmal wat ' $25 \mathrm{Ed}$. 3, no 16 ' Itcm, priont les comens ' que les loans sount rele ise, it null suri com-
${ }^{*}$ pell de fare urere encortic les fonnclines did
'terie Le roy 'e ple st' $28 \mathrm{Ld} .3, \mathrm{c} 3$ ' Nul
'seria ouste de terie on unements sams du 'proces del les' 30 E 3, no $\ddagger$ ) 'Que le gr mid
'Charter serra duly obsecti, et nuill urri un-
'pixan sur specid ronimind', o Cd , wo
20 - Quenulstia ine rismpla speril com-

'ginse contic is nuthe, wi it dit rembe all
'Chancipredt it suritait' if Id , no 10.

* Ibs li destre que le zo mid Chutet et capecidi 'ment les afulc, imein tat wint wisute'
 Chute, que 'ul प्1t ampison, As ils quir font turl, Xc' x I Id ?, i) 10 'Les comeris Diont que he gisul (bitcict ha sutics statut soicht exectit if ific breves setiont
 - juetgne nt s st lay, il scrra voi 1'

Thased iy the ist of $\mathrm{N}_{1}$,, $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ Sceetwig(ob delivered a Ir sire fom bi mycsty, wis to Snow whe thes the honse w "t test on his Roy I Word, or no, declucd to them br the Tind Keepa, which if they d), ho issuics them it shatl be 10) ully pierlurmed
Upon this thpie was itsence for a cord space than Vr Secietuy Cooh proccuded Itis silunce unstes me to a fuither pricrh, and tut ther to udiress myself, now we st e ne must grou towards an isvol lor my patt, how conhdert I hwe becin of the good issh of this puhinneut, I have ceitificd in this plice, ind else where, and I am still conhdent thercon, I huonr his majesty is tesolved 'to do as much as crer hing did for his subjects dill this debate hath grown out of a sense of oun sulierim ${ }^{2}$, and a doure to make up agan those bicacleses that hate been míde.
(Sinecthis pathament begun, hick thore been any dispense wade of thut which hith formenly becn done? When means were doned his inqjcsty, being a young all g, and newiy come t) his crown, which be fouvd engered in a nadr, whit could we expect in such necessitie? II is majesty called this parhunent to mike up it. breach bis midesty assures us we thall not hate the hike cause to compliun be dospres, the laws thall he eatablished, whit can ne deqre moie, all 19 , that we provide for posteirity, and thas we do prevent the lihe suffering log the furure Weie not the saine me uns piovided by them bafore us? Con we do moie? We are Come to the Laberty of the Suljects,
and the Pretogative of the King, I hope we shall not add any thug to ourselies, to depress hun I will not divine, I think we shall tmid diliculty with the hang or with the lords I shitl not deliver my opimou do a counsc llur to lus majesty, whach I will not jusyly and sty here, or at the (ouncil-bo ud 'Wif we in th is necessity stricelto hring oursclves inlo a bettea condition and geeater hileity than of in ithe is had, ind the "trwn into iwnise th 中 ever") dare nut advisc lis myents $t$, admit of thit If this thet we now desirc be no monotath, it is all coutuned in those acts and statutes, in I whatsocver else ne would add more, is a dinnu tion to the $h n_{e^{\prime}}$ b power, and in additisa to vois onn Whe de an with a wise and prudent prince, that herh isword in his hand for our good, and this good is supported by power Do net thuah, that br casco of law and delsite werm mite thit not ts be lis, whinh on pericice ne esery das bud necernt) - (ove ne lewe fech to rell gou, that 11 non by a $x$ pracese, thit livtic plice 1 bold undet has mye t, it I w 1d celeaiget c doty of meplat an I tk wath I I is tahen to his my jeats, I mats mint und etther capt, the elum t) the - wlet, mat the fulcer, no to my e un sollon in ' 1 lind, hat to the bug hansit, get do nut thank $t$, wet out nu und of it in, on tohe fis pisel ctamitti it ine $t$, be tis
 then, sul thion t for at I by thepponct bhall
 a pout c cure, it it in iv eppe it the buitherin wil tall upon me lown if in th liw of in fit
 me) plue And I besecth on a mader wha thee thax thathere betamid simeflue hise pot commited fitth, and not my toutht wind of at, n ing complent mule by the uffe t
Sn Re beit Phellope hoie op in spahe thu Th it if the norids of hins stribe smperev rons in the he uts of mbjectis, then to these 5 oids apon this ocer in stathe in mpicsoion in the be ut of u- ill te spoth im a plon lususe, we ue now come to the end of our jounns, wil the well diy $\rightarrow 00_{n}$ of in Answer $t$ th is Dles-age, will gue hipp ness or masey to this kination Iat us set the smonwe ilth of t ngind belonc the eyes of his majestr, that we mis juntily oui sclves, thit wollise deme med out thes duts fully to his mycety

Mi Hagluatlit Lincoln's Inn, $1 \mathrm{M}_{\text {iy }}$ IGft, spahe thus.
Sir, I chose 1 ther to discover my acakine s by spething, than to betrity my coicciance bs silence my opmion te, thit we la ill do well tot illy to omit our Resolution out of this Bill, nad iely only upon i conhrination of the lans

The Objecuons mide gunse this opmion, are two The first 1s, that we shall thetely recerde hom oni own R(s)lution
The econd, that $\operatorname{ly}$ a bait contumetion of the old lans, without misher mig of oui Resod*ooy, by way of expluitinn, we shall be but in the

For the finst, that though we deane only a:
contirmation without adding of our Res , uti 3 , we do not theit by iecede fiom oun Hic olu ion,
 of the sense of thost laws, wheh ne now lesict to be cuiffimed, so thit no question con be in ide byany of us that h we thus declucd ourseliec, but thit our Ris lution is vitualiy Zontained in the 11 we if that be so, how can out acceptince of a confumation of these lans be idepastare fiom out Resoletion ?-Nav, rathes we thanh tae contruy is true, he that doubts, that by catirmation of these laws our Ilesalation is in theielis conhumed, doubts whethe , we have justly deduccd oun Resolutions out of th ise line, Aail so calls our Re tolutuons into que non-liar wguncht alone is, ir, my oppmo 1, i full maser to thit herst (Ojection, that in destrus a bire conatimation of those liws, wr deput trom our Resolutions

The senod Of jection 1s, thit if we have nothing bot it mimitain wi arc in no bettet t ise than we wal Iun thas Iute wiltions of the Inv lias 1 deny, and so conbdently athem ti ut aithou_huc hac no morc than $n$ condirm tuta of th meliws whith uc recited an the bill thit is in wh bet ire as, we shall dengint hence in in lettire ise thin we come, and that in divers ic-pucts

1 some of tie lanarerited in thas Bull, and de ucd to he cont rmad, ate not pint tid laws, and ue haown to fav protessint the law, and moth lats th otheis, end, ytt they aro liwn of is rit it consequica for the I iberty of the Suljuct, if not of wititr, that any that urg pintel is numily $\overline{5}$ lidu 3 , no 1 t But $[$ onus sunt the will if the lendes
 u $\mathrm{Jm} \mathrm{m}^{7}$ of Id S , no o, to abich Impn onments b yecill commandment with sut due phess ut fuibiliden larse two tre not phated-I hat evcellint liw De Iallageo non onet en 'o 1t priut, h th in a publu court been by a gie $t$ c c unsell or sad to bi bat thuter. und is liw -1 he ot ituti 1 Ricl 3, agaust Bentiolence -as by tonic usumons in pient an sbsolute law it we com uet all these good lin- laxudes ti use wh ether, which ne er-
 Irecdou pt our i inons, to be confumed and put mone liw, to ile eas) wit of ill men, is not uur case in better H in wla we cime hither?

3 Will not the ocris on of the making of this law of confirinution, $\boldsymbol{t}$ in torwasly hnonn, be trinsmatte i is all pooturity? Catuoly it will nevet he tor zotten, that the occasion thicreof wis the impino ment of thoce northy Genthemen fn not levidng, and the Resolution in the hincs Bench in denying to ball thin, and is not the occasuon of the maling of a liw a good rulc is expoond it? It so, thin by gring i contrmat of opon this occabion, we have betteled our i ise sely much
$\mathbf{s}^{6}$ Have no the Turges in the King'bench, in open parbanv nt, apton out complint, dasclamed to hue tiven apy Judzment ou the point? Whay general!. Lefore by the parta-

## 179] s[ATE TRIALS, , Charlss I 1023.-Proceedings in Parliament, elatang to [150

 ment uss otheru"se concti +1 , then now they And so the day follo ving they had fantherNay, it, 'as but maw nd me no Juikninent, will such a notor ont, ut uronn whimp hint wac- ten' Nay, wil not the netny of at ta wach reinan upan ree ad) is not oun (adse then mufh better 1 bata wi en we L mie lather ?

1 Will not the lie clutu an ot this house, and
 sonuentonthout i cure perprescil twhith no doulte thy the coutse ne hile tilen will be tadisferied to postulty) be a preit mt us to stay any jodsc luceatice bo n dectiring any fulgmint to the conliary, espectally it there be lihelyhood ot a p uhturnt? 'Io nut oun case in this stry maich uacuddel?

Lastly, Hlve wC nut wicied Piopontuons fion the loik, wherem, at u in \%t other thang, they dulired, that they ic not out of love wnh our pioceeding? Is not this a pacte strensthenum; to it' but in $s$ lone debate annonget them the it th, ther cunve taber ruy just exception to $n$, and d sth wot this shood much aut wid oui cre?
Hom It these, trons, If nelade that the second Objectime, thic by a countrmation we ore in no biettel cise th no wl en we $c$ mic thether, is aiso I in ik oljection
Non for lic crons to move ns to proucd in this coulse of uceptug a contum tuan 1 We
 a coulam ation of the mal liws, hom which we my rest nort dsuund he rill net depat, "l we tendel hum, withinl, pur ' 1 ' py sutu it to be enveted, we hill cuse to diabt that .nt shall
 no less nssuid of the lords pomans, with us, to in then propoutt inp rent tu ns they hive delivered theniscire, to that puipose this is then a secue " if of Litung somenh it ot pi thadwint?, e to ur, is wc have are it hopt, and in a nalumes nsturn mee on this ude, so on the othici stie " 1 C have gitat doubt, ind ic $u$ ?, the th by offerner our Resolation to be enuted, we dhall loce all - lor hast inc hate hatl dre eld expemance of the lord, that they ale not suy forn wifl to $p$ win with us an a dect uration of of Pioposition to he lik, if they stumble it declasatiun, much moic will they, mi yelumis to male a law in the same point. And bate ne not mun th more cause to doubt that his in t jcht) will ant vuld unto it, eccelig it tuacheth hin so ne in' Is at not the a atace of his plessue that h th wrought this with the lort,?
If ne Luruld dot out if il wath our Propon thon, and it floold be iciceted by the londe, on by the himg, 15 mot our Iisaliotiou mach weah. ened by it, and ne we not then in tar nors case than before we minde st' Oui Resolution for the rejec ing of our Pioposition, will tend to a Justicication of all tlat hath incn dime ogainst us in this gieat point of our libecty Jot us then, lihe wise nen, cunform onr deyne to oundopes, and gurde out hopes by probabr hites ; other deanes pad othey lopes ane but vain. , This se my poor opiuon in this weighty bumines.
 beit an thi chur sones sad that the Sulbject his sulic ed moore un the viol tion of ancinnt Libenter yuthun these few yeac, than in 300 jens belife, amil thactorie care ou_ht to be then in fat tume to come. I
San 1 fard $\mathrm{C}_{1} / \mathrm{e}$ sud, 1 gat thit ' Royal Word, hd referuce to some Messige formeily sent, lis maje-ty's nord w is, that they may secure themsilss any way, by bill or othernice, ha promsed to bue way tint And to the end that thin mimght not touch hus majesty , honour, it wa proposed, thut the bill come Lot hom the house, but fum the havg We will und gt ant lor us tod out suceessors, and that we and our sucte tsons will do thus and thuy; and it is the himes honon, he c cunot speithbul wenl.
Ohbrisdewn, la house t, consider, when
1/w'and litup. mase wismut, wo at not in the t ic of both ho w? (1ul/ hmegs line ben cusiul to fatum than $p$ umises,



 isy, liv at lung on his nond we hive laws choond, it is the curction an them that is our lift, wed it is the hanz thit, oves liac und executhou
 - ymg, liat netec housc of p orli mernt tuaskd mene in the goodness of then hing, for their mn parize stcount, than the prischt, but WC all ambutous that his mijesty s goodness n iv i w un to posterty, and we te accountu$b^{\prime} c$ to a public tust and thetefore seeng ther hath becin a publice violation of the lans: log $h$, mumstets, nothing will sotisly him but a pallt tmends, "and our desurs to vadicate ithe salyets Right by bin, are no more than uc ladd d inn in tormas l lws, with some nodest povision for instruction, peiformance and cuecution.
Which so well acreed with the sense of the hous, that they made st the subject of a Mesolse to be delivered by the Speaket to lus majerts.

Imult those delibuations, another MesSnge wat dchisered May 2, from Kis pian sty, by M hecietur (noh, "I lhat hopsocser we proceed in this busiluss we have in hand, wheh h huskingesty will not doube, but to be nccondang to out constanmprotesmion, and so as he in ny have cause w give us thenhs, yet his resolution ts, thet both his royal care, and hearty and ievider afiction ton ards all his los-* urg subjectes, thall appear to the whole lingdom, and all the word, that he will govern us according to the lans and customs of this really; that he will maint un us in the Iibertiee of odi Persons, nnd Propnęties of our Good, on as we may eujoy as much happmess ds our fore lathers in their bet tumes, and
that he will rectify what hatb been, or mat be found amiss anongst us, so that hued'ier there may be no just cause to complun Wherin as his infjesty will rank hameelf amongst the bent ot out hugs, and shew he hath no mit )thoin to iavale of impeach our liwal hibeitics, or right, so he will have us matill ousce'sc with the best tsubjects, not by carcioclang upon that soveragnty aud preingithec, which God lath put into his hands for our nout, bat by containing ourselves within the I ounds an I laws of our tore-fathers, withoui isth $\mathrm{umm}_{\mathrm{m}}$ them, or cnlargis them by nen (a,) in inoms, inter pretations, ep pisitions, ot add int in iny sort, which, he tullet's us, he will int hive wiv unto - That the weight of the athurs of the hingdom, and E hristendom, do prewhim unore and mone, and that the tuge no now ei swn to thit point of meturity, th it it emnot eiduic long debate or delav, in is thes sewon of pirlament mist continue is longer this I utsliy come serin ught at futhest in whech tunc lus majesty, for his $p$ int, will be ready is pcttorin whit he promised, and if the honse be not reuly to do that is he for theniche a, it shill be thell own fault --And upon assurance of out good disp itch mid caruapon lance, his in jesty dect aeth, that has ray if a thintion is to hive asother vosm of pultum at at Michaelmas ncat, for the perfccing ol such thages as e annot now le done"

1 his Messpge $w$ is debited the nent dyy, being Sund ty, M iy s , whiciêpon
Sil Johin Llliot spake to this eflect The king, suth he, will iand humself with the best of hings, and the cefore he would have un rank ourselves with the best sulycets, we will not merodech upon that sovciengnty thit Gorl hath put into his bundy, this milics me feal his mijesty is mis infonited in whit ne go ahout, let us mithe sone enl irpoment, and put it betore hin, that we wfll not mike any thang new As for the tune of thes scstion, it is but short, and look how m uny messages nc hue, so many inturuptions, and mis ispoits, and mus-icpre ventations to his myesty produre those akes sages
Sur Miles Flectanod contmucd the det, te, and sud, Ihat tha bismess is of greet unpore tance, we aic to act ommodate this the bre ich of this pallainent will be the greatent misery that evcr betell us the eyes af Christeudom are upon ons p urlinment, the st te of ill par Protentant, fixenis are ready to be swlll wad up by the emperon sforces, and out own bingdoun is in a miserable stivt;" for the defence of our relizion that is invaded by the Ronian (atholics, by the coleut of a commiseson, which 18 intolerable; the defence of our resim by shippings is decayed, the hing's revienue is sold and gone, where shall the telitf be obtanned but in puliament? Now we aie yi the was, lit us proceed by way ot bill, in pursu ince of the king's message, to establish the fundaytental lans in propnety if our goods, and liberty of our persons It was derlared to us, 'that - cnustes by Loan and Imprionsegnt were not
' lawful;' let us touch them in our Bvl, and that all pictudents and judguents *eeminn '. the conthal), be void, and that all conmmimont, ap uist tie liv' be icmeduct, and that we be protected against the fear of commitments

## Mr Mason's 乌peceh

1 inf of opmon with the gindeman that spitc it, that, in out proceedyng in the mattir $h$ iv in dibatc, ic thould have ase of the inte of the st titut, cillod o rcumy ecte figatss; 'orit concern - the 11 it) of our persons, watout which we do nut cuiny our lives
Ibe Question is Whether in this Bill for the crpl mation of M mi Chuts, and the rect of the statutc:, we ohall provide thit the cture of tie con miment mu the expressed dpon the ciminiment, or ug ou retuin of the llabe is (oupus?
Betore I ype ih to tle equestion thelf, I th il I ropor sonie obrisatyus, in iny cenccit, b (c*) i) moducu 2 to the debate if the mitter. 1 Thit we ou, he to tike carcand is pooIde th pistaty, as our piciecens, h hile tone for iss, ind that this pionkent care innot te capomided to be wy distiust of the poiformin a of the 11 gesy begr coous declarain m, this att prowidis in perpetuity, to which lis highnex's prous be, "ile sit werc by act ot puliament, $c$ unnt cate i)
2 That we h wins Jong debited and soIcunly resolicil oum mbits and pinileges by nutue of these st itates, wid if non we thil reduce thise declarations and those resolutions into all, $\alpha t \mathrm{t}$, we nust evi h hercafter expect to beconfined with in the bound or that nct, beang nade at our sout, m i to be the himus of the
 of cepl mation, which 4 all rucase no futhas explination th in treelf cort ines
3 Thit by thas act ne must pmosile 1 remeily aguast the persoas which detain us in puron, for as to the cammada, theie can be no catimety
(oncerning the Question it-clt
It hith been - lemuly nad cle uly resolved by the house, thit the commintint of a Freeman, whout expicismg the ciure at the tume of the commitimet $t$, is agranst the lan it by this sct of explanation wa shall provide only thint the causc onght to be copressed upon the seturn of the H (Vipus, then out of the words of thic statute, it will necersanily be inferred, that beforc the return of the 11. Cospus the cause necd not to be expicssed, because the statute hith appointed the tume of the expiession of the cause, and it will be constaned, that if the makers of the stotutes had untended that the c use should have been sooner shewn, they would brse joovided for it by the act, and then the act, whith'se terin an act of explanstion, will be an act nt thr abuidging of Magna Chatia, and the sest of the statutes or at this actill not mbe the commitmost nithout erpresang the cause to , the liwful, yEt it wh cleasly amount to a toleintion of the çommitment, without expressing the cause, untal the
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H. Corpus, or to a general or perpeti il doPensaun, begmang with and contumn ni is long as thc law $14 \|^{6}$ lidmmy underst in ling the wordian thas bue mided lis thit no frucm in can be cmynatided without cuwe, con no "ive aduant gsc ur, of ctith thilis ol jectuon, tol will the ,etu nol the It Coppus lie thit corymuns is Jad_entile curx, of it lent huth a licture by this in tull that tane ti conceal the a wee, nind the b woler in $n t$ subject to ans setion for the devunnis of the prisomer npons such connmand Iot it the pusporer dem mided the cruy of his my bomment of the - wile, it will It : safe win wee tom him to sev thit he doturs : pusonec by 4 mom, weil thit it inclones not

 Corpur lud it the par oner be isuton to hane the ctu c han th c dist connunted huo, at will If a sular nent mewn tor them to syy, they will wprea the sure at the notmon of the H Coppu In this c ree licie will be a wiong, boc tur the coumnotument is wthrut c ube os husseil, med one thit suletis thit won we the paty mpanomit, anil yet no vach wi ng dind but min is cuse, it nut fuxtith hansetil by thelim
In milang of lans, we mas conndet th, meoniconerges what han raste, wid pr wh th the peciention of them, the cirest do
 moniviociecewluh I thill expore to som

 hat in in act al palh nainit, we mant Jut vile for the piesertana of ill inconienacies in tatact nimes
1 If a man Ic midinget to be ampronel in
 pey winc -m ill um of menc), whd homs inat bs thas at hiu cin hine no mulu_ tment tull the retuinat thi If (im) us in the tirm, in I hat
 lubtachen by If (orpus, will moun' to nome than thr sum, he will p,1 with nueues to pievent his umpur nument, on to ucticin lumpeli thence, becaule hic emnuot ans uve num it it th him winin', untul the return of ghe 11 Corpur, and die liw rcolice A min will piv thme rather thin be mpisoned, fin the faidencit whel is given when ome is thend, is didocupattur od the evcrution ton deberatytas ad stutivuctendum, the liw prosummg any man will prit with his moncy to sun his heety And if the prisoner procaie an II Corpus, at ad be brousht into the hing's bench by viltue of it, set the curoc need not in be thien exprested, the p orision of thas law lem, thit in no ciase be then expressed, hc, , ill he hailed, and no cause liecug shemn upon ticictunn of ibe H ( mapua, yet it thy be be truiled, that it the ume of his commitinent thecte wcre troug picsungtunn ot some great offince, but 'upoil examt nition they are clened or it may ite shad, that the offence was of thit noture, thit the time of his imprisonmeit before the ritura was a st thient puuls-hnents. And ne uaj be fic-
quently impiringd in thas mamict, and never onder, tuad the cinse, and have often such pumsh nent, wd hine no me mat t) justy ourselies, and for all tha e proce cdank, this law will be the jatufic tua n , or culuur
2 Ifly the ict there beatolerition of mmpromancut withont shewme cause, uuthl the return of the lif (mpus, yat A is p sobible to acompatiy thit implisounent with such cicminst ungs of rlowe testrant, and othec hudslups, which 1 forbear to ctures, as miy mate un mprisonument tor that shit tume, ingieat a pamishment, as a petpetual my imsonment in an oddmny minnct
T lite puty ma be unpisoned a long tume betore la shatl ,omat to Ie delineiod by this liw, the place of lur mul ins aminent may be in the turthest part of thins hmadom, the joderey alway-m the the return of the II ' oppus inseerible th the distance of the piron fions Wertminster, it rolet min melict the ieturn of the thast proce > and then the party must par une an $A$ has, and the gaica in y be then an smee other cunplownu nt for the hang, wid cruxe the not stamme, the body upon that prouct ss, and thin wis mo be the muphionmente for a yeal Aud m the end no canse Ifili retumid, the puty mis be dischuged, I iut ta the nee in that he thill hase ampisonI'int, he bill new, hn iw the c ture, be thall bewe no ctimed it it mon be the to que tiver ins tor mustict, what hist not ajusticaction, of exen e by thelsa
4 The putt) my be mpinsoned duang hus live wirl yethere thill be mue cure cras dienn
 commutted to the lurthest paut of the himsion Westurud, he whe ums an II Corpus, I etore the gallet ricuics toc H (opyus, of betooce he rctuins it, the pissonet by nui mit is itmoted fiom that puismt anothre, it mas be the furthect Vorthis part of the realm I he
 will he sutiun int to hice lumselt, wid min the wannci the plironat wiy be trimblated from one prison to unotin , ud han whaik lite shall
 to onothe, and he shill nescl haow the ciust, aor be able to complat of tat, who cannot dritend thecir actions b) this bill
5 If the prsoner ine liought mite the court by 11 (oulus, and no cause exprosed, und tif er upon he be anlugat, he mayti putiv committed agun, and then his onlmument shall only m ihc way tor line con matment and this may (thitnut fun ugg bin hite, wid he vinll never hnow the cause, ond this not rimidied, but rather 1 amitted by this itt
Anal there are also some thungs remarh.ably considerable fin this matter, the evpence of the varty in prison, his fee to the cuole, bis costs in oltanung and prosecuting an in ibe is Corpas, and has ch this in remaviug humseli, attended nith sych ni liave the tharje of his conduct: und that the prisoner must susbun all thas without sntaffaction, or hnowing the canse
The only, teison given by those of the other
opmon 15, That it is requisife, the king and anuical slould hue pown to commad the dutunat ot a man in pison for some tanic, without expressute the cluse, because it is sap10xd, thit the mamest it on of the case $n t$ hat in "p percut the dncorens of ith son the to won is answered hy the renedy pro$1)$ ad, be ther at it be binf fopored, thit it hatl t ( foon'(d)ds tha, bill thit upen oun rommitin int, we miy i stemily I ic recourse to the ( b nociy for an H (omus, xtumable on thit c urt, with io ala is open, wind that upon th iccupt therot, the wist mist is ie tunsed, and the ciuc thacupom exmoned If then this remedy le really the ciu in coni hutment, 14 must putily ippe, which conti i diet, tic lommerte sen of state

And 1 m my onn opmon, we onglt not ouly to the cut, thit the subject thould be detwiduut ot pusen, but to pir enit lus umpison minat, the statule of $\mathrm{M} 0_{n}$ int Chirta, ind ihe
 be mprisoned, buily the I in of the lad And ithough the l 'im ${ }_{r}$, or council, is it hath been objected, by force miv cominit us withont cuse, nutwithe mdng dny liws ne call wathe, ict 1 am suic without such an ut of paitir ment, huth commument con hise no leral colvui, and I woild be lath ne should make a Itw to endunges vuistive, I ut whith rearoin I concene, thit theic bemg so intiy ways to ct ide this act, we shall be in a wotst a se hy it, thau nithout it, as it pronidis no apmedy to frevent our rapinonincint without capicoms the cuas to be liwhinl, and aclumisters cucues ton conturane as in pison, as I hise betore declased And thus tor providing tot one parthe of a out ol re rson of stite, wheh powhbly mby fill out in an ase cot two, we shall cping a ledh, whel may vink ul oor liberties, and open : -ap, thoond wheh Mugnt (hati, nad - the rest of the briteges, miv sitit vat and vamish I thereforc concluck, thit in mety poon underst inding (wlachis sule mit to bettes juds:ments), 1 hud rather depend upon oun tommes rocolutions, and the kug's girious declua nivis, thin to pass in act in such mannes as huth buen proposed

In concluarn, the Comunons dgrect to an $\mathrm{Xn}_{\mathrm{n} u \mathrm{ci}}$ to all the preceding Messagis, ind prescinted it to the himg by the nouth of than Spe thet.
The Sreaticr's (sil Jobun Finch) Gpisceit to the
$\mathrm{K} \mathrm{n}_{\text {s }}$, in answe to yrverabMessaga, it the 1 magneting House, Ney 5
Mos' Gracious und Diead Sovereign, I our loyal and obedient subjcets, the commons uow ăssembled in parhament, by sever il Mtsages from your majesty, and espicioly by thit your declaration delinered by the Lurd-Keepet before both houses, have, to then excieding gre st joy and comfort, received many ample expits sions of your, primeely care und teader nfictions towards them, with a gricious protmse and assurance, that youn majesty will govern
according to the laws of this realm, and so munt un all your sutjects, in the just hecdom of theu persone, and suety of thon estates, that all their ights and hbcitice, may be by them A joyed, witheds nutch freedoin and secuity in ther uneg ats m any age heiet fiove ly their nose tois, under the best ol yout pti genutom : tot this so gicat a fivous, enlugged by a comloat ible intimation of h wrin incty s conidence in the proced ngs of thas houc, theyodo, by me, the ir Spether, mahe ifull reluin of most
 If owhidgment of $y$ ut in ce tal poodurss hirun titended untu them - lind wheres in onc of those mess yees de liverid tom yo,ir maFsty, thucie wis in expmesalo: of your desire to hnow, whe thes thes house would rest upon your tuval notd und promine, resm! 2 them, thet if they would, it thomid is is und Rally pettomed, is the y enom presu har hamble ti whitio th rcombing and stengthcmeng of your famber melleyle onv, ro in all humblenew they ware, 1 monets, that
 in poum gact and gondacos witt out whith, they well know, ne thme tic) (IW ir inic or deste, will lis of sitety of , las to thicm therstore are ill humble suitory io pom mipsty, thit yout $r$ val heart will getionly werpt and behere the tuth of theirs, wheth they finmbly present in full of truth and comfidence in soun ios $1 /$ noir ind promise, in cret l antre of commons uponed in inv of thau bet himss-I rue It is, the y cunot but 1 cmanbel the pnblice
 ind future tunes, and theis itesics all, That yout mupesty's grodnest im_ht m himi ind menany, be the blessing uid. iv of posterity Ihey siv also, Tlat of late, were hati ben puble wol tuon of tle Liw-, and the Sabjucts I ibertiks, by sume of your maje thy m mastors, and thence conctise, that noliss than a a ublic icmedy will ruse the defected hearts of your loving sulyece to a cheen ul supply of your mijecty, oi malc them rectre untent in the proccedims of tha house - kiom these consldesations, they most humbly beg sour majesy's le we to lav hold of that grictous oftel of poars, whath g we them rsurance, thit if they thooght fit $t$, secur thanollies in their 1ughts and Iiberties, ly wasy of Bill or utherwise, so it might be piovided wath due icopect to God's honost, and the public po il, jou would be priciously plessed to sine wiy whto it Far from their intentions it in, iny wiy to encroach ipon $y \mathrm{~cm}$ sorcicignty or pietogatise, nor hise thes the laat thought of it etching on enlarging the laws in uny sort, or by any new interpretetious or addations, the bounds ot therr dessers extend no further, thin to some necessary expluiation of that, which is uuly compucheuded withyn the just sense and meaning of thgit laws, with soinc moderate provision tor executum and I croom mince, as in umes past, upon hike occ ituon, hath been used. The wny how to accomplish these, thar humble deares, is now in serious consideration with them,
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wheren they humbly assure your myc-ty, they will nether loce time, nor seek any thung of your majeent, but that they hope min ty be fit for duuful und loy il subject, to ash, und for a gracious and just kugg to gr utt -
lis Myestys Answir wis delliverud by the Lord hacper, Thouns Lord Coventry
Mr spetha, and oou Genticmen of the Housc of (pminons, h-mycst) his comminded me to tell you, that be cxpected an ausater by your actuons, and not del iy oy discourse ie achnowiedye ' is trust and confi dence in your proceedings, but his $m$ listy sees not tow sou re jute him by your conturcence of hus woid and actions, in whot need explun7toons, it ye donlted not the pcit manacc of the tue neennug? (nc cxplin thons will bazand an meroochneat upon his priogitive And th mav well be sul, What ned a new liw $t$ ) contum an old, it you repore conthd nee in the declarition lus myspty mate by me to bo h houses? And jourstlics whoonlerige thit ) u. greatrast trust and confidence nust be we lins milstys grice mid gond icss, without whinh nothug that you con frume will be ol stety ot wiftble to you Jet, to shw cuatly the wnecuity of his mile ty sintentions, lice is con tcme that : Bill de dinwn fin t conhumaton
 ssidd upon tur the suibectis Jibetier, if yc st ill ct use thit to be the best was), but so, is ut miv le without additions, purrhuาvo, or expl nations H tas, if you ple ise, son may be secuned rum your midicso ferre, ind this pulament mar have a thappy withe i, tor end, whicerss by the conthry, if you seh to the you himg hy new, in tuded mopossible lond, jou must le wec unt wise w God ind vour countriy fon the ill succs ss of this mection his ma foty humeg pien hes sond woid, thu sou on ill hate no ( suse to compl un beres ites kes th w whin h h ith been enou, h to reconclege gie tt priaces, und theictore ought much more to pievall betwecn a hums mid his subjects - Lastly, I am communded to tell pnu, that hs mp)stys plisute is, Thit without turther 14plice or messiges, or othel umecessur del hy, voa do whit you mean to do sted dy, rimembeung the last Nosadge, which lis in ijesty sent you $\{$ by secretary Cooh, in po nt of ume his moljesty always mitending to paform lis promise to bis pcople
Notwithst inding the intimatinn of hive imnjenty's good pleasuie fna Dill, Mr 4 cretury (ooh, Tues thy, May 6 , as mu pressel the ha use to iely upon the hing's woid, sayng, Ihat he Indid rathen follow others, than begni to cuter moto this busness losy of tume hath been the gre ticat complunt, the mattcr fallen now moto consideration, is, whint wy to take, whether to tely on has majecty's worth, or on a Bull? It we will consider the advantage wo hive in taking his muycty's word, it will be of the largest extent, and we bhall cliusc thit that huila nost assurance, an act of pailiament is by the consent of the hing and parliament, but this assurance by whid it, that he will govain
us by the laus, the hang prompses thint, and aloo that they shall be so exccuted, that we shall erjoy as much freedom as evci. 1hus contany many laws, and a graint of all good luas; nay, tt contarss a confirmation of those vay laws, , ssurance, which bind, furthet than the law cun first, it bind his aftection, which is the gri test bond letwcen ching ud subject, and thit binds lins judgment also, niy, his honour, und thet not at home, but aboond, the royal woid of a hang is the ground of all treaty; nusy, it buds his conse ence. Ihis contumation between both houses is in nature of ivow, for my put, I thanh at is sue greatsst udvantage to ruly un hus madcoty y nord - Lle torther adddd, this debate whas fittel to be donc bef re the h suse, wid not bfout the cousimitec, and that utw is a new course to go to a commitut of the wholt house

Si Joh i Fllot replicd, Thit the proceeding in a committec is mote hanourble an 1 adr int gerns to the har and the hou-c, for that wiy hads most to truth, and it is is ruise open way, and wheic eveiy miu in ty odd lus reason, and mitc uswer upon the be liang of other man i isons ud ubtiments

IIs being the genuid atise, the house was turncd into a (ominitte, to tihe into considethisn what was delinesed to the hing by the -pe iher and what was delisered to them by the I ond Kuper, will all other Mess ges, and the Commetite wis ot to be bounded by my onder the hey wth brought up, and noue were to go out without leasc tust ashed
In the debute of this businese at thic commilth, some waie forlctung the bill rest but til I dn ud Cohe's re soons prevuled to the con"u) Was it ever hnown, sald he, th it frucill words weic isuthcient satist iction to p itichlir ghatuces? Was ever a verl il declution of the hing verbunt $c_{0} c_{0}$ " When, Gu vuic s be, the purl unit is to iedress them Thd eic- pirlament sely on Mes$c_{d, c}$ ? They put up Petitions of the 1 Gricimes, and the hing ever unsured them the hil a inswer is acry gitious, but whint is the Is if the realno, that is the question? I put $B$ ) difludence in his milcoty, the hing must ppezh by a Kerord, and in puticuln-, ind not in onen il did jou evea know the hiths s messn. e come into a Bill of Sulstidics? All succecding kings wall saj, le $n$ ist fiust me as yen dd my predectsors, und trúst my Messages, but incssages of lovi never cume into a pirlianuant Let us put up i Petiliov I Riciat not that I djstrust the hing, but thit I caunot tale his trust, but in i parli minentiry way

Oa Thardday, 8th May, the Pettion of Right wes finished, and the clause of Murtial Idw was ndded ynto it, and it was delivered to the lorda at a Conference in the Paintud ( hamber fortherr concorrence; which Conferince wad managed by aft Edward Coke, who thus axpressed hiostif I piay your lordships to excuse us, for we bave becn tull one n'cloch about
the great business, and, blessed be God, we have disp itched it in some nie isure, and befure this ume ne were not able to attend your loidshipe, but I bope that this will piose a gicat blessing to as Uy lords, I am comminded from the Iluuse of Commons to er piess thet sugula care and dflection ticy hive of concuinence with yom lordshap, in these uigng afl ussand procepdings of this pirIn ment, both tor the pood of the common nealtu, and pumerpally for his m yesty's And this I thay siy in thesp artucular, if we had hun dieds of tonguer, we were not wle to cxpreas this diare whath we linse of thit concurreuce with you lordshys lout I will he ne " without any fuithei expression My londs, it is evancat what accessity thene so, both mo repert of yoursclies, and your postentices, to hivi snod sill ccos in this busmes. We bue "puanted your lordslups with the lie isons nid 1rguments, and afta we have had tome Conficine, is hise recend homs your luidshape lise Pioposuons, wid it behoves me to gite jo in loid shir,s rame ruisons, why you hive not be iud hum us befinc nim, fot mo the inc in tone, is wr were $\mathbf{U}$ il ulung of this woghty husincs, we hat liceived dincts Mondica fiom out gicit roicicion the hang, and they consisted of nive paits

1 Hhat majeets woull maunt un all his Subjects ill their fust heedom, both of that peisolis and cotites
$\&$ That he will novein according to lus Lows ant statutes

3 List we shauld find much confidence in his Rowil If I I pryyobscise thet.

1 Thit we shill emov all nur lights mol Libeltic:, with as much frectom and hibetty us cict wy subjet ts hase done in formet tunce
;) Thent wh the we whill thinh it ht, exther by an iy of Bilor otheivisc, it po on in this -cire it hosmese, has minsty nouil be ple ised to gis wy to it,
 our allectious, that we hase tahen them into cousidecition Mybid, when we had these Acshigu, ( 1 de il pimmy, for so 1 mm comman led hy the House pt Commons) ne dad consides, what w iy we might go for oun moie sec ure waly, nas, yours, we didd think it the siAst wey to to ile 1 parh unent ury course, for ne have 1 monuin in the house of commons, and written lon the a ills of our house, She old ways are the sefect and sarest ways and it last wo fell upon that which we did fumh, if that your lordshtps did conseht with us, it is the most ancicint way of all, and that is, my lorda, zua fausta, both to his majesty, to your lordships, and to ousthes For, my loids, this is the grevtest bond, that any subject can hase in parliament, zerbum regis, this is an high point of honoun, but this shall be done by the lords and commons, and assented to by the lugg in pailiament, this is the greatect, oblogghtion of all, nud this is for the kring, honour and our safety. Therefure, my lords, we hase drawn a form of a l'etition, dearmg your loidslaps to
concur with us thisem, for we come with an unanmous consent of all the house of comnoons, and thire 19 gieat, seation your 保dshipa should do so, hi yout lurdships aie involvea in the same condition, cuminune periculam. So I hive done mith the bret put and now 1 shall be bold t, read th th which we have so dgreed oin, and I shall dase your lordships Icalle that 1 may iead it.
Herc the Petition of Rught was rend; but $w$ toibear to insert it, as jet, because there were propositions for ilfetation, and it is not perict, tall the roy d asent le given to it.

Itom the 8th to the 12 th of May, all -pubic buseness $n$ is I wid iside On Mond iy the 12th, tle I orid hid af onterence with the Commons, wha re the Lord hecper made thos speech

Gentlemen of the Ilouse of (ommons; My Loids, hiving a noost affenonte donne to mant un that prod concrinctice, thut in thas pulanent ind othe s h we leta of litg between beth lwusca, disucd tho (onfereice, to acqu unt you, how, ond it what in innee, they h wo procecied in the Petbion of Jle ht thit e cme foum thas huse, mid th hy yris hiow, that ay soon as thes hod "t coted n, licy, with alleare and expedition they possiblv conld, addressed themsties to consider thriest, ind after pood time spent in debite in the whole house, they made a cunnatee to consuk 1 , whe ther setaining the subytinec of the $\mathrm{P}^{\prime} \mathrm{cu} \mathrm{m}$, there ming not be some words alteited, or put in to mahc it more swett, to procure it a piosal le $x$ is to hus mycsty we hnow this must be crow ned by the hing, and good must come to thl the hongdom by tha course now tahen The commitec hith met, and buth propounded some amill m thers to be altered in come fav nords, to milhe it pisithe, and nit in substince And the lords having thus reported fi $m$ then conunttee, and he udft read in then holl $c$, rool ed of nothung till thicy have your convent, vet they think it fitter to hive it propounded to you, to consider,
 and how the pr pourdud ittertoons miy stand with jour hising - Conce ming the commutinent by thie hing and the comntul, without expreasing tie cause, is was resolical by the lorids to debate It the mornins, and do soon as thes should have deb ted it, they puipoed to hou your concurronce with them belore theyiewhed it, but at the estant when they thought to have debated it, they recened a I etter fiom his majosty, which, they concuise, will give a sntisfaction to both bouses in the main pount. My lords desring to hetp that good concurrence begun, desred to coun numicate that Letter unto yon, that you might take the same mito your consideratrons, ns thay inean to do thernselves This Latter is to be read unto you
Fo ourcight truitv and nell-beloved, the Loids Sptritial and Temporal of the higher house of parhancut.
${ }^{4}$ C F We being desurges of nothing thore
'than the advnocement of the peace and prot-
' perty of our people, hase given leave to fief

- debate upon the highest points of our pierogar 'tive royal, which in the tume of our pitdeces
-sors, hangs and querens of this ievin, werterer
- restraned as mitcis that they fould not have
- discured, and in othet thang wod have heen
- *illjug to ta to de cend to ibe rhanes of out
'gooil sulgects, is inight fullv sutisty ill mode-
' rate numbs, "unl hat hom all just fast and
'Jealpinies, which those mess rges, which we
- have hithicitu ront mio the Commons house,
'will well demonstrite unto the norld Yct
'we had it sull masited upon, that an no cace
- whatsouter, hould it never so neuly concen
' matters of stite or governfient, we, or oum
- pury comucil, hatc no power to cominitany
'rouil without the cause shewed, whereas it
- oluenh ipj Cl , thit should the cause be shewer,
- the setsict itselt would thereby be destronid
- and defe ted, und the cause alleriged enust be
f such, is miy be detemmed ly our judges of
© our count of Westmonster, 1 ma a legnal and or-
- din uy nity of just ee, where is the tatues mat,
- be such, wherent the judges have ao $x$ ipacity
' of judicatere, nou suks ol law to slacit and
- guide their judgment in civ , of that tran-
socendent matuic, which happening so otten,
* the wiy mitemituing the comstant inle of go-
's enment, for so many akes, withm thos hin,
'dom pictiet, would soon dissolve the viry
- Joundation aud hame of out monuchy
- Wherefore, is to our commons we has made
"fur proponitions, which raghit a qually p-eserve
'the just liberty of the subject, so, my londs,
' we lave thought goul i) let you buw that
'whodat the ove thron of sorcieigaty, we 4 w-
${ }^{6}$ not sulfer this powis to be noppe iched, not-
' withatandu es, to cle 4 omit conscience ind just
' intenuour, this we publish, Ihit it is not in
' our herrt, not will we esel extend oun roy il
' power, lent unto us trom God, beyoud the jusi
'rule ot modetition, in ans thag whi h shall
- be contrary to ou hiws and custoris, whe rem
- the sifety of oui people shill be vui only amm
- And we do henclay dulio oun soy d plessue
, and resolntion to bt , whell, (rod wilm, we
' haall ever const utly contunue and mutam,
'That nenter wf, not out puyy council, shall
- ot will, at any tume hercaftet, cornnit or comis
(mand to pison, on otherwise restrun the per-
'son of any for not lendrigg monty to us, not
- fot any cause, which in out consectice doth
' not conce ra the public good and odetety of us
'and our people; we will not be driwn to pre-
' tend any cuse, wherein our judgment and
- conscience as not satished with; which base

I thoughts, we bope, no math can magme will

- Fall into our ruyal bicast, and that in all cases
' of this nature, which shall herealter happen,
' we shall, upon the humble petition of the party,
' or address of our Jadges unto ns, readily and
${ }^{4}$ really express the thue cause pf ther comment-
- ment ot restrant, so soon is with cons Cmency
- and satety the vame is ht to be disclosed and
- expressed. And that in ult causes crimmal of
- oudfinary jurwdiction, our judges shail pioceed
'to the deliseiance or bailment of the prisoncr,
' accouding to the hnown and ordinary rulen of 'the laws of this land, and accorlang to the Sta' tutes ot Magna Cbarta, and those other six Std' tates insistad upon, which we do tahe Lnou ledge ' stand in full force, and winch we intend not to ' abrog ite and weahen, ag anst the true intention ' thereot. Thes we have thought ift to ugmify unto ${ }^{\text {t }}$ ' you, the r the r to shorten anflong J ebate upon - thas gieat quesuon, the seas in of the year bef mig so tar advabed, and our great occasiong ' ot state not lenilug us many moic days for longer contina ance of this seeston of parlitment.' Gascu undet our bignet at our Pidce at Westminster, 12 MaH , the 4 th yeir of out ergn.
The sume diy the King' ${ }^{\text {Leftei wis com- }}$ municated to the house of commons, thry lud Sasalc, and sil Thomas Wentworth sid, It as IIftet of Gace, bot the people will ouly like of that which is done in a parinamendiy w y y besides, he debate of it would ppend nuch tume, nether nuss it duccted to the house at cominons, and the Petition of light would cle if ill mastahes For, sid hic, some git it out, as it the hoist went dbout to punch the hom ? pretoguse But the fathes delote of iis matter took up seren it days.
May 17 The 1 ionds propounded, at a Concreilic, an Addition to be made to the Petirou of Right, whic') w is delisered by the Lordhecpel, to this purpoec
") ou the ham Thts, chivens, and bugesess of ht houaz of cummons, my lords have comareded me to piesent unto you the singila us and aflection thay hise to piexise thit oucopundency and urier, which the two anuscs (hoth in the and formes parli.aments, to the happiness of thas kingiom) hase lieretolgie (iguend
"They commond me also to let you hnow, thit they hase no less, are and affiction to bing thit gicit bosiacss, the Laberty of the SubIt to an happy insue. And wheicas nt the I ist Couference nt both houscs, there woic some this proponimded, thit came from then loidshipr, out ol a desale the Petituon might have the casier pass uge with his maje aty, not nute ndanc to altor in any mavoner the subistance of the Pctition, but it $x$ is then thought it, that there was a oother pat of the Pcetion, of as grect mpont mice and wisht my loids, suce the sume of that Confrence, h inc ens ployed themsches wholly to reduce the Pelition to such a fine and orde $r$, that may give both to you and then hope of acceptance.
"And after many deliberntions, and much aduice tahen, my loris have iesolved to represent to jou something which they have thought upon, yet not ns a thing conclusise to them or yout; nnd necording to their desucs (baing meationed it in the beginning) have held it fit to conclade of nothung, till that gou be made adquainted with ut, ind that thicie may be a matuic advisetnent between you nod then, so that theie may be the happrei conclusum in all this buquess.
" J lis being the determin ition of the lords, thit nothing, that is now offered unto sou, should he concluvis, vet they thonght it con chanat to prescat it unto jou
" I his alteration (aud not It 1 ition, bot nd dition) which they shall piopound unt, yout, to be adised auth confcired upon, wh ah in no bierch of the same, the) than' it met, is it thal a nd with joun lihing, to be put in the f melusum of tue P'ctiona whech 1 shill toov ucid unto you
- We present thas om humble Pattion to ' your mapenty, with the cue not ouly ot pre-- stiving out own Iibeties, but in it dic it${ }^{6} \mathrm{~g}$ ird to le ive intire that Gorcicizn Por ci, - wherewith shar migesty is trusted lot the

" I lis is the thang the foris do prescat mis to you, the sul fut of this ( outacace, conctinng the uldung of then in the conclusion of the Pon' 11 , and is they hoow, thit thes , no amill Hang, and that you canot piesintly fite atr mwat to 11 , theictore they disire you, thet vou dis with soanc ape ed consuder of it, add theil emidships wall be re wly thes altetnoon"

It is Iddation produted $x$ rital speeches
In diford let is liouh, sud he, into the Proil, and xec shat they ue, what is ""ove rin l'onci ${ }^{7}$ : Butan suth, Thit it is lice fine my cond tion, b) thas we thill shehowIedse riegul, whall is a fegilvowal lat un gic that to the hame, that the low gies hom, lud no in ne

Un $l^{\prime}$ ymm I mot noble to vead to the, fucstom, I hnow not whint it is All our Petithen is tur the laws of Lacy lad, and thes power
 buwci of the law 1 buow how to add sovezabn to bis pasom, but uot to lus posat And we cunot lase to han a pneicign prouct, - when nc utise weeg possered of it

Al Ilackioull We cannot admut of those winds with stety, they ate applicable to all the puts of our Pititon 16 is in the natue of a Samg, and loy to we shall muply, as if we hud rucivached ou his prerogative, all the lins we oitc , IrC wibout ibwing and yet now aiter the volution of them ne must add a saing 1 hac seen divesp Petations, and where the sub--ject clamed a teghr, thut I neser saiv a savme of this niture
sir Edulad Coke. This is magnum in parts, tins is propounded to be a conclusion of oon Petition It is , matter of geit weylit; and, to spedk pl unly, it will owithow all ou Petitions, it tienches to all paists of it It lies at I ouns, and at the Oath, und it Impnisonment, and Bulleung of Soldiers, this tuyis all about again looh into all the Perinus of foumer tume, they never pentioned, whienn theie was a saump of the hing's sovertignty ol hnow that pleiogitile is past of hie l iw, but 'sovelẹign power' is no parliamentary wofd Ig inf opl noon, it wealkens Mugus Charta, and all our statutes; tot they are absolute, without any saving ot sovereign power And shall we now add it, we shall weahen the foundation of law,
ind tiaen the building must needs fill; let us the heal whit we vyld untu, Mguad Elaita in such a fellon, that he will hase no sovereng. $I$ nonden thas sovereigo $n$ is not in $M$ yan Chait, oot in the contumations of it if we gi int thus, by mpl cation we hive a yoveieign powes abovi all thesc lis.- power, in law, is til en for a power with tonce The shent shall tile the poste of the cuuisv, whint $\ddagger$ means liele, God only haows It is itpragans to our fol tio i, that is a P'e ntum of Right, goounded "tacts of purlimem. Sur prulecessors could nuac cidure a val a jure suo, no moie than the hemes of did conld ciduie tor the chuich, sulvo howne Dit it Licliste We must nut ulant ot it, ud to quality 4 , is impoposble. I it us hold our prould $;$ es aceunding to the liw; th t powe, theth is thaic thas, is not fit for the haie and people to hate it dioputed fation I hal ithet, tom my pue, has the priciontise atted, und 1 mo ati to he under it than wh have It diny ated
hil lhomas llintaonth It we do admit of this eddition, we shill leare the Subject nores thin we tound bin, and we shall lise hetle thanhe tor sint lahour, whun we conse homa I Ct as le we ill powe to his majesty to pumbh milelat tons, but out hans re not acyualuted with sor ciegg penca we deare do anco thang, noi do we oftra to hench on hir majesty'b pre1 gative, $1+$ muth not recede trom this Petiton, entiat an pat orf whole

Mis Noye 10 add a Savmg, is mot safe; doubtfid woid, may beget ill construction ; and the words are not only doubtfil words, but woids unhnown to us, und never used in any att on peution be fore
M1 velden Let us not go too hinstily to the quetion It there be say objectuons, let any propound than, nud lit othein unsuet them as the ${ }^{\prime}$ thank good. I will not tout $h$ the reasons chiedy given. The sum of this addition is, thit oun nuht is not to be subject to I oans or Impinoun int wathout cause, or Mytial law, but by sorucga poner If it hath no teference to our Petation, what doth it here? I am suic ull othes-will say it hath referience, and so nust we.. Huw tu at doth exceed all examples of former umits, no $m \mathrm{ta}$ can show me the lihe. I have muic thut scarch that fully satisties me, and I hud not another beades 28 Ld 1 W havé a geit many pe ittons and bills of parinament in all ager, ill all which we are sure no anch thung is added That cluase of the \$8 I.dw 1, it was not in the petition, but in the hug' ananct

In Mnцni (haita there werc no such claveses; the artules themselves ane to be seen in a libray at Limbeth, in a book of that time, upon which the law was made Ihere was none in thẹ Statutcs in hong John's tome, for these I hasc sqen, there is no snving la the articles of conjirnualio charturum, is a sai ing, 'les uncients a.ds, that is, tot • file maryci, it pur faur gits ' cluvilier,' and in rauspm And in thie aitscles of hing John, in the ouginal ( hatici, whech Can shew, thicie thosc thise aldo were named.
Vol. $\mathrm{HI}_{\mathrm{s}}$
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therein, and they were all known. In the 25 E. 3, there, is a petition ngaunct loans, there is no saving, and so in otires. Is for that addhtion in the 28 E. 1, do tut observe the petithons after Magna ( hatha; in 5 E 3, they put up a petpition: ' whileds iu Magua (hatta it is contamed tlat none be unpisoned bat by due process of han ;'thove nords ree not in Magnz Clauta, unfd yet tlecre is no san ing. And to m the 28 E .5 , and 30,37 , and 14 of 1.. 3, all which pass by petition, and yet there ono saving in thems. And theic we m them othes woris that ase not in Magua (haita, and yet no saving. For that that \$h Speuker sad to the hime, it was un heart, and ever shall be; but wf then spole of the hing', prerogatise by itself, and we are bound to saly on: bat speahing of vur rights, shall we say we are not to be impursoned, saviug but by the hing', soreiegn power? Say my lauds? without any tule, be besed in the hing's hand, and 1 hrug a l'etition of Rught, and I go to the hine, and say, I do by na menus seeh your majerty's ngebt and tutle; and atter that I brug a pettion or monstrance de droit, setting torth my own ught and tutle, and with all set down n s.ams, that I leave intire his innjesty's right, it would he improper. It was olyecterl, that in the 28 of E. 1, in the end of Articuls super (hast 19, wheh was ac centirmition of $\mathrm{Mignt}_{\text {is ( }}$ (hatta, and Chata de 1 ore -1.4 , in the end theie is a chaure, 'savant le dont it sugufory,' the wodh aic extant, in that Roll thit is not exlint, bat the origual roll is not extaut.

In the 25 E. 1 , theie nas a confirmatinu of the Charter: in the 27 1:. 1, the puilament who called, and nuif stir these was abnut the Charter, and uenewng the Aitules, bit thin little was danc. In 28 E 1, the commonit by Petition or Bill, did oltann the libertues and arucleo at the end of the pailiunent; they weie extracted out of the Roll, and proclamed abroad. The addition was udiled in the Pioclumation: In the bill theic nas no sumant, but ofterwards it was put min; and to plove this, it is true, there is no Pailament-roll of thint year, yet we have histories of that tume In the hiangy at Oxford, there is a journal of a pariament of that very jear, which inentions so much; also in the pablic libruy at Cambridge there is a manuscript that beloneped to on abbey, it was of the sume year 88 Ed. 1, and it mentuons the pariament and the petaions, and ' grticulos quos petierunt sic confirmaserat rex ' bif in fine adderet, salvo jure corona regis;' and they came by proclamation in London. When the people heard thus clause added in the end, they fell into execration for that addition, and the great earls that went anay satisfied from the parhament, hearing of thrs, went to the king, and aftervurds it wascleared pt the mert parlmanent. Now there 3 no Parbamentroll of this at that time, only in one roll in the end of Ediw. 5, there fs a foll, "that sesites not the Pirliament bill; but the statute that was the effiect of the roll that was proclumed.
The Lords afterwards, at a Confereace, ten-
dered Reasons to foitut therr Aidition; which were briefly reported by the Loid-Kteper:
"That the londs weie all agreed to delem! and maminin the just lihertues of the sulject 1 , and of the cronin; and that the wond, 'leave,' was de bated amonget them, and thereby they masit to eive no new, but "Hat was before: lion the words 'sorerign pooer,' as le 18 at bmg, he is a uncieign, and must have power; and the saut, the wonds ancie easict thin the 'Pisiogatine.' A, tor the woril, 'that,' wheh is a iclithe, and rcferred to 'that power,' that is for the satcty of the people; and the, suid he, can never gume any man. Bang thus published, it is not sovere ign power iu geueral ; bat now in contutation of our feason:, Magna Chaitas was not nith a saving; but, savil he, jou puisuc int the woids in Magna (hartd, and thetetore s needs an adduam. As to the 29 of I du. 3, he swit, there was a sanng; 日mil an ill topositon cannot be made of thry, mud both houses have agreed it in substaike , slready; the commons did it in a speech deliveseal by the Speaher, and that we say ne have not a thought to mecoadi on the hing', roveregenty; aud why may you not add it in your Petition ?"
Upron the report,
Mi. Mason yake lis oprazon in mimatr following
 we mention the lais sut-tutur - 1, whin" appeand, Thit no ta, lana, on the 'the, ought to be levicd by the biog, hut ly comme dancit in parlement. that ao the cinun oughta to be mputooned but by the law of the land; that no hecenall ought to be compriled to suftel soldiets in his house In the Pectition we hat? exprequed the breath of the, clans, nud desurne may not suffe the like; all which wt pray as our Rights mad Saberur.

The lords have propoud an Addition to tha, Petition, in these words: ' We huinbly pre'sent this Petition to goar myesty, uit only ' with a care ot our own Liberties, but with in 'due regard to leave cotire that Nozerergn ' Powir aherewth your majesty is intuusted ' for the protection, salety, and happiness ol ' your people.'-And whether we shall consent. unto this Addution, is the subject of this day'g discourse: and bectause my Inrd-Keeper, at the last conterence, declared thelt lordships had tahen the mords of the Petition apait, I shall do an too. The word, 'lyave,' in a petitoon, is of the same pature as 'saving' in $n$ grant, or act of parliament; when a man grants but part of a thing, he saves the rest: when he petions to te restored but to part, he leaveth the rest: then in the end of our Petition, the word, 'leace,' will imply, that something is to be left of that, or at least with $e$ reference to what we destre.
The werd '1ntire,' in very considerable; $n$ conqueror is bound by no law, but hath power dare leges, his will is a law; and although Williem, the Conqueror, at first, to make his way to the crown of England the more easy,
and the possesion of it more sure, claimed it by titie: but afterwards when there were no pouverful pretenders to the crown, the title of conquest (to intwduce that absolute power of at conqueror) was claimed, and that staute of Magna Charta, and other statutes mentioned in our Petition?do principolly linit that power. $I$ hope it is as laurful for tne to cite a Jesuit, as
it is ior Dr. Nanwaring to it is tor Dr. Manwaring to talsify him; Suares, in Lis first buok, de Legibun, cap, 17. delivered bis opinion in these words, ؛ Anoplitado et re'strictio porestatis regum cirea ea que per se - mula vel injusta non sunt, pendet ex arbitrio
$\{$ hominum et ex ambigua, conventione vel ' pacto inter regen et regnum.' And he farther expresseth his bpinion, Tlost the king of Spain wiss $>0$ albsolute a monarch, that he night lawfolly impose tribute without conseat of his people, until alout 200 years since, when it was concluiled between linin and his people, that withuut consent of has people by proxies, he should not mupose any tribate. And Suares'; opinion is, 'Tlat by thit ugreement, the kings of Spau are bound $t=1$ inpose no tribute without consent.
And this aqrecment that nuhor calls a restruinug of that woveregn power ; the Satutes then mentuonell in vur I'clition, restruaning that ubsolute power of a Couqueror; if we recite thowe statitese, und say, we leave the sovereign poner intirc, we do take ayway that restraint wheli is the vitue and strength of those statutes, amil set at liberty the claim of the sovereegn power of a conquemr, which is to be fanted sud restuained by no laws : this may be the danger of the woril, 'intije:'
The next word delivered by the lords as whervable is the particle, 'that;' becanse it was said, that all soverrign prower is not mennoned to be left, but unly (ghat) with which the humg is trusied dor our protection, safety, sud happines: but I conceive this to he un exception of all soverecign power; for all sovereign pouer in is bing, is for the protection, suffity, aud happiness of lis peuple. If all soverergn power be excepted, you may casily jadye the cunsequence, all loans and taves, leeing mposed by colour of that soverergn' ${ }^{1}$ Power.

The hext woll is, 'Trusted;' which is very andiguouy wiesther it be me.nt, trosted hy (iod only his a Conqueror, or by the peoppre also, as King, which are to govern nilso arconding to laws, ex pacto. In tyis point $I$ will not presume to adventure further; only I like it not, by reason of the doubffil exposition it almits. I have likevise conadered the proposi.tion isself, und therein I have fallen upon the dilemma, that this ddditior stall be construed either to refer unto the Petition, or not; if if duch not refer unto the Petiriun, it is uerely uscless and unnecessary, and upbefiting tue judgpent of this grave and great assembly to vudi? wa Petition of this weiqht. If it hath reference unto it, then it destroys not anly the virtue and. atreenght of.our Petiiiou of Right, bix pur rights themselves; tor the Addition being referred to
each part of the Petition, will necessarily receive this conatruction : that. none pught to be compelled to make any gift, loan, or such like charge, without common conseat, or act of partimeneur, unless it be ty the sovereign power, with which the king is trusted for the ptoteotion, safety, and happiness of his people.
That none ought to be compelled to sojoura or tiillet soidicrs, unless by the samé spvereign power; and so of the rest of the Highte comtained in the Petition : and thea the most faviurable construction will be, that the kivg hath an ordinary prerogative, and by that hd canuot impose taxet, or imprison ; that is, he cunnot iupose trxes at his, will to employ them as he plenseth: but that he hath an extraordisary and trausceadaut sovereign power for the protection and happiness of his people, and for such purpose he may impose taxes, or billet soldiers us he pleasettf; and we may assure ourselvcs, that horeafter all loang, taxes, and billetting of soldiers, will be suid to be for the protection, safety, and happiness of the people. Certainly hereafter it will be conceired, that mn house of parliament would not liave made an unncessary Addition to this Petition of Right; nud therefore it will he resolved, that the $\Lambda$ Addi: tion hath relation to the Petition, which will huve such operation as I have formerly declared ;' and I the rither fear it, because the late lonn ond billetting huve becu deelared to have been by sovereiza power for the sood of vorselves; and if it be doubtfal whether this. proposition bath reference to the Peetition or not, I know not who sbonll judge whether loans or imprivonmients hereafer be by that sovereiga power or not?
A parliament, which is mude a hody of serenal writs, and may be dissolved by one conmission, camot be certain to decide this guestuon. We canaut resolve that the Judges shanil determine the words of the King's Letter read in this house, expressing the cause of commitment may be such, that the judges have not capacity of judicature, no rules of law to direct and juide their judgments in casee of that truascenciant nature; the judges then, aud the judgurnte, are easily conjectured. It hash beeu coufessed by the kivg's counsel, that the statute of Magna Charta binds the king, and his sovereign power cannot be divided froin humbelf. If then the stanute of Mnqua Charta binds the king, it tinds his sovereign power. If to the Petition these words be anded, the exposition must be, that the stutote of Máaga Charta buinds the king's sovereign powers saving the king's sovereign power, istanll endeavout to give some enswer to the Reasons givea by the lords.
The firat is, That it is the intention of both housps, to umintain the just Liberty of do Suthjech, and not io diminitich the jost power of the king $t$ and therefore the expression of cima inteation in this Petitiod, cannot prejudiot wox To which I answer, -..
First, our intention, was, and is, ar we then professed, aud no manan caq̣astign any particquar.
in, which we bave done to the contrary; neither have ye uny way transgressed in that kind in this Petition: nud if we make this Addition to the P'et tion, it would give sone'intimation, that we have given a cause or colour of offence therem; which we deny : nnd which if any men conceive so, let him assign the particular, that we ma: gint: answer thercunto.

By our Pectioninave only deare our particular Rights and Liberties to be contirned to. us; and therefore it is not proper for us in it to mention sotercign power in gencral, beng altogether impertinent to the matter of the P'ct-tion.- 1 k кre is a great difference between the words of,the Additionn, and the words propused therejn, viz. between just power, which may be conceived to be lituted by laws, and sasereign power, which is supposed to be thansceutant and boundless.
The second Reason delivered by their londships, was, That the king is s wereign: that as he is sovervign, be hath power. num that that sovereign power is to lie leli: for my pur, I nould leave it so, as not to mention it; but if it should be expressed to be left in this Pctition, us it is proposed, it must ndmit something to be left in the knig of what we pray, or at lenst adnit sone suvereneon power in his inajesty, in these privileges which we clam to be our risht, which would fiutrate oir Pitition, nad destroy owir rghir, as I have tomenly shewel.
The third lieason given for this Addation, was, That in the statute of Diticuls sourer Chartus, there is a saying of the llight and Seigniory of the crown.
Ju which I give thee Answers: That Nagna Charta was confiruen above thirty times, and a goneral saviug was in none of theso acty on contarmation, hut in this only; and I see to cause we should follow one ill, and not thisty good precedents; and the rather, because that saving produced it effects, that are well bnoan. -That saving was by act of pallaumen; the conclusion of whach aet is, That in all thene cases the king did will, and all thoose that wrese at the naking of that nrdinance did intend, that the Rlight and Seigniory of the crow no should be saved: by which it appears that the sarone was not in the l'etition of ife- commons, but added by the king; for whe leethion, the king's will is not expressenl.

In that act the king did grant, and part with, to. his people, divers rights bslonging to his prerogative, as ia the first chapter he granted, That the people might chuse three men, whici might liave power to hear and detercaine comphaipts, made against those that offended in any point of Magoa Charta, though they were the king's officers, and to fine and ruisom theng. And io. the 8, 12, und 19 Chaptors of that Statuterebereking parted with other prergeatiyes, and therefure there might be some reason of the adding of that soveleign podior, by the king's counsel: but in this Prations, we desire nuthing of the king's prerogative, but pray the enjoying of,mur proper and, undoubted rights and privi-
leger; thercfure there is no cause to add any. words, which may imply a saving of that whels concerns nut the matter in the Pctition.
The fourth Reasou given lyy their lordships, was, That hy the mouth of our Speaker, we hare this parlizunent declared, 'lat it was far from our mentention to incroach upon his majeaty's prerogative, tand that therefore at conld not prejudice us, to nention the same resolution $m$ na addition to this Petilion.
To which I auswer, That that declaration was a general Auswry to a Message from his majesty to us, by which his majesty expressed that be woold not have hos prerocative straiteued by any uew explanation of Magna Charia, or the rest of the shatntes: and therefore thas expressiun of our Speaker's was then proper, to make it hase reference to thas Petition, there bemg nothaty therein contained, but particular Rights of the Say. et, and nothug at all cmincerning has majesty's picrogatie--iecondly, That Answer was ha give lus majicsty natusluctoón of all our proceudus, ia general, and no man can assign any partocular, in whech we have brokeat; and thii Detinem justifies itself, that mu it we have nat ollented manast the protertation: and I hnow mo season, but that this declaration sluyld be adided to all our haws ne shall ogree on thas parharnent, as wall ay to this Peutin.
Hhe lant reason given, was, That we lave varied moar I'etituof from the words of Magua Chata; and dececlore it was very mecrosary that a alagg sivrid be addell to this Pettion.

1 answer, that in the statute $5 \mathrm{E} .3,25 \mathrm{E} .3$, 93 K. 3, and other stavetes, with which Munua Cirata is conlamesl: the words of the statut: of explauatipa difter from the wouds of M:ggay Chara itself; the words of sone of the stattutes of explanation, being, 'That no man 'ought to be apprelended, unle-as ly indurs' ment, or the process of law ;' and the other tatutes differng fiom the worls of Magna Charra, in unany other particulary, and yet thare is uo saumg in 山lose statutes, much'less shouid there be any in a Petation of Pight. The $s=$ are the: Auswers I have conceived to the Reavons of their lordships, and the exposition, I appreliend, munt be made of the propored words, loving added to oar Petition. "And therefore, I conclude, that, in my opinco, My may mot (t) ${ }^{2}$ sent to Lhis Additiou, which $l^{5}$ subuit to better juigments.

The Ceminons, afterwarily appointed Mr. Glanvile and sir Ifenry Martin to manage nuother Contierence to be fiad with the Lords, conlcerning the said matter, and to clear the sinne of the Comupons in that point; The one argueil, the legal, the ouher the rational part.
Mr. Glanvilli's Speech in a fall Comnittce of both livu-es of Parliament, May 23, in die Painted Chambler at Westminster.
My lords, I have in clorge, from the Cammons House of purliament (whereof I nm n member) to express this day before your lurdshipy some part of their clear sense, toncling
one point that hath oecurred in the great debate, which hath so long depended in both houses.-I shall not need many words to indace or state the question, which I am to handle in this frec conference. The subject matter of our meeting is well known to your lordships, I will therefore orfy look so far back upon it, and so fur recollect vummarily the proccedings it hath had, as may be requisite to present elearly to your lordships considerations, the nature and consequence of the particular wherein I must insist.
Your lurdships may be pleased to rememher, now that the Conumons in this parlament have franed a Potition to be prosented to his majesty, a Petition of Right righly compessud, relating nothing but truth, desiring nothing but justice; a petition jurly occasioned, a petition necessary and fit for these times, a petition fommeded upan solid and substantial gromends, the laws and statutes of this realm, sure rochs to build upan; " petituon bounded withiu doe limits, and directed upon right ende, to sindicato some lawful oul ju-c literties of the tree sulyects of this hingion from the projurice of Indations part, and to secure them from future inmovatione.

And hecause my following discourse must reslect chie tly, if wot wholly, upon the matter of thas Peution, I shath bere crate leave shortly to upen to your load-hps, the diatinct parts whereof it dinithconsist, and those nore four.

The titst concerny Ievio- of Monirs, by way of Janus or otherviee, for his majeety's Supply; rleclarimg, that mo man ought. and praying that no mand hereater be compelled to mahe or yield nuy gift, luant, benevolener, tak, or such like clunge, without common consent by act of parhationt.
4. The swond is coneerninc that Lherty of - Persuni, whech rathobilly lecimags to the free suljects of this realm, expressing it to heaguinst the tenure of the laws and statutes of the land, that any freeman should be imprisoned without cause shewed; and then reciting how this liberty, amonger others, huth latcly heen infringed, it concludeth with a just and neecssary Ideste, for the better clearing and allowance of ithur pinglege fine the future.
3. Ths thind deelareth the unlawfulness of hiletting on placing Noldiers or Mariners of sojoura in iree subjects bouses nyainst their wills, and prayeth itneedy against that griepance.
4. The fourth and fart timeth nt redrese wonching Countuissions, in proceed to the trial and condemuation of officoders, and rawsing sthem to be executed and put to death by the law Martial, in times and places, when and where, if by the laws and statutes of the land they land deversed death, by the sahe laws and statutes ulso they might, and by none othicr ought to he, adjudged and exesuted. -
This Petition, the careful house of conmons, not willang to omit any thing pertnining to their duties, or which might advance their upoderate and just ends, did heretotore offer up anto your
lordships' consideration, accompanied with in houmble desire, That in your nobleneys and justice, you would be pleased to join with them in presenting $t$ to his majesty, that so coming from the ${ }^{\text {whbole }}$ body of the realm, the peers nud people, to him that is the head of Soth, otr gracious sovereign, who mustecrown the work, or else all our labour is infain; it might, by your lordships concurrence und Aisitance, find the more easy passage, and obtain the better answer.
Your lordships, as your manner is in cases of so great impurtance, were pleased to debate and weigh it Mell, and thereapon you propounded to ns some few Apendmento-(as you termed them) ly way of alteration, alledging, that they were only in matters of form, and not of sulstance; and that they were intended to no othicr end, but to sweetra the Pétition, nad make it the more passable with his majesty.

In this the llouse of Conumons cannot but olmerve that fair and gond respect a hich your londships have used in your proceedings with them, hy your conclading or voting nothing in your hoace, antil you had inuparted it unto thein; whereby our meetingy about this busiuchs have been justly stiled free conferences, tither parly repairinz hitl:er disengnged to hear and weigh the otber's reasons, and both houses coming with a full intention, upon due consirleration of all that cary be said on the other sule, to join at last int esolving and actirg that which ahall be fonnd most just und necessary for the lomone and safery of his majesty and the whole kingdom.
And touching those propounded Alterations, which were not many, yotir lordshipy cannot bot remember, that the house of coumons have vielded to nn mccommolation, or changeof their Petition in two particulars; whereby they hope your lordships have observed, as nell as you may, they bave not been nffected unto words and phrases, nor viermuch a bounting in their own sense; hut rather willing to comply with your lordships in fill indiffercnt thingy.
For the rest of your proposed Amendments, if ne do not misconceive your lordships, as we are confident we do not, your incdships, of yonrselves, have heen pleased to relinquistt then with a new overture, for one ooly clause to he added in the end or foot of the Petition; wherely the work of this day is reduced to one simple head, whether that clause shall he received or not?-This yielding of the commoys in part unto your lordships, of other points by you somewhint insisted npon, giveth us great assurance, that our ends are one ; and putteth us in hope, that, in tonclusion, we shall concur, nud proceed unonimpusly to seek the same ends, by the sine means.

The ©lause propounded by your lordships to be arded to the Petition is this:

- We hmubly present this Perition' tq your ' majesty, not only witt a care for preservadtán ' of Liberties, but with a due regard to leive 'igtire that Sovereign Poyer, wherevith yqut.
" majesty is intrusted for the protectivn, safety: ' aod happiness of your people.'
A. Claule specious in shew, and smooth in words, but in effect and consequenee unost dangerous, as I hope to make must evident: however, eniting from your lordslips, the house of cominölis wok it into their considerations, as became them, and apprehendiug upon the first debate, elift it tyreatened ruin to the whole Pecition, they did heretofore deliver some Teasons to your loriships, for which thicy then desired to be spared from admitting it.
Tin these Reasons, your lordships offered some Answers at the last meeting; which having beep faithfully, reported to our house, and there debated as was requisite for a busitess of such weight and importance, I must say truly to your lordships, yet with due revercnee to your opinions, the Commons ase not satis-' fied with your nrguments; and theafore thay have cominanded me to recollect your horifships reasou's for thiz clause, and i.s a fair reply \& to let gou see the causcs why they diffir froin you in opinion.

But before I come to handle the particulars wherein we dissent from your lorishipy, I will in the first place titke botice yet a lietle further, of that general wherein we all concur; which is, that we desire yot, neither do your lordships, to augment or dilate the Liberties nnd Privaleges of the Subjects beyond the junt and due bousde, nor to emerpachi npon the linjis of his majesty's I'rerogative Itoyal. And asy in this, your lordships net the last miceting expressed clearfy your ourn senses, so were your lordships not mistaken in collecting the concunvotrense and meauing of the house of commons; they often bave protestesl, they do, and ever must protest, That these have been, and shall he the bounds of their desires, to demand numl seek nothing but that abich many be fit for distiful and loyal suljects to ask, and for a grncious und just king to grant : for ws they cloju by laws some tiberties for themselves, so du they acknowledge ut prerogative, a high ond just prerogative belonging to the king, which they motend not to diminial. Aud now, my lords, being assured, not by strained infarences, or obscare collections, lat by the express and clear declarations of both houses, that our ends are the same; it were a misurable unlappiness, if we should fail in finding out the miean's in accomplish our desines.
My lords, the heads of thase particular Reasona which oyuu insisted upon the last day, were obly these:

1. You told us, that the word 'leave' was of ench a nature, that it could gire no new thing to his mujesty.
2. That no jast expeption could be taken to the words 'Sovereig P Power;' for that as his majesty is a kiog, so he is a sovereign $\stackrel{\text { and as }}{ }$ he is a sovereigu, wo be lath power.
3. That the wovertigu power meationed in this cllues is not ateolute, or indefinite, but limited and regulated by the particle 'that;' ned the word 'subucquent's which restrains it
to be applied ooly for protection, safety, and happiness of the people, whereby ye inferred, there could be no dauger in the allowance of sucb power.
4. That this clase contained no more in substance, but the like expressions of our meatungs in this P'ctitivn, whith we had farincrly signified unto his majesty by the mouth of Mir. Speaker, that we no wny intended to encruach upon his majesty's sovereiga puwer or prerogative.
5. That in our Petition we have used other words, and of larger extent, touching our Li berties, than are contained in the statutes whereon it is grounded: In respect of which eulargement, it was fit to have some express, or implied saving, or narrative decluratory for the king's sovereign power, of which narratue you allerge this chuse to be.
Lastly, Whereas the commons, as a main argument against the clanse, had much insisted tyman this, that it was unprecedented, and unparhancutary in a peticion from the Subject, to insert a zavug for the crown; your lordships brought for mastance to the contrary, the two statutes of the $25 \mathrm{Ed}, 1$, commonly called confirmatio chartarum, and 28 E. . 1, known ly this uane of Arturuli super Charlas; in both which Statuter there are savings for the king.
Ifsuing thus reduced to your Ioribships memorico, the cilects of your own theasons; 1 will now, with taur luriships fivour, come to the points if ior reply, wheren I most humbly besecech your lordhbips to weigh the reasons which I shall present, not as the sense of myself, the weahest metulier of our house, but us the genuine and the senve of the nhond bruse of connmont, conceived in a business there debated wiph the greacst gravity and solemnity, with the greatest.concurrence of opinions, and unanimity, that ever was in any bosiness maturely agitited in that hosuse. Is shall not, peradventure, follow the methor of your loudslaps recollected Reasons in my answerng to thea, nor lathour to urge many reasons. It is the dreire of the conmons, liat the weight of their arguments should recompense, if reeed be, the suallness of their nunhber. Aud, in, conclusion, when you have hedrd me, filirough 1 hope your lordships shall begenaded to coltett clearly, out of the hame of what I shall deliver, that in some part ur othes of my discourse there is a full and satisfactory answer piven to every particular reason or objection of your lordships.

The lieasons that are now appointed to be presented $\varphi_{p}$ your lordships, are of two kinds, legal and rational, of which those of the former sort are allotted to my cluarge; and the first of them is thus:
The clause now ypder question, if it be added ta the Petition, then either it must refer or rolate unto it, or else sot ; if it have no such reference, is it not dear that it is needless sud apperfinons? And if it haye such reference, is it not clear, that then it must needs
have un operation upon the whole Petition, and upun nill the parts of it? We cannot think that your lordships woold offer us a vain thing; and therefore taking it for granted, that if it be added, it would refer to the Petition; let ine beseech your Igrdships to observe with me, and with the hoose of commons, what alteration and qualific:tion of the same it will metroduce.

The Petition of itself, simply, and without this clause, declareth absolately the rightt and privileges of the subject, in divers points; and among the rest touching the levies of monies, by way of loans or otherwise, for his majesty's sopply, That such loans and other charges of the like nature, by the laws'and statutes of this land, ought not to be made or lhid without cominon consent by act of parizument - But admit this clause to be annexed with reference (to the Petiton), and it mast necessarily conclude and have this exposition, That Loans and the like charges (true it is, ordmarily) are against the laws und statutes of the realm, ' inless they be warranted by sovereign power,' and that they cannot be commanded or taised withont assent of parhument, 'unless it be hy 'sonereign power:' What were this but to admit a sovereign power in the king above the Inws and statutes of the kingdom?

Another part of this Petition is, That the fres sabjects of this realm ought not to be imprisured withunt camse shewed: But by this clause a sovereign power will be admitted, and reft entire to his majesty, sufficient to control the force of law, and to bring in this new and dangerous interpretation, That the free subjects of this realin ought not by law to be imparoned without cause shewed, "unless it be 'by sovereign power.'
In a word, this clause, if it shoold be admitted, would take *way the effect of every part of the Petifion, and become destructive to the whole: for thence will be the exposition toucling the billetting of Soldiers and Mariners in freemen's houses against their wills; and thence $n$ ill be the exposition touching the times and places for execution of the Law Marfiul, contrary to the laws and statifes of the realim.
The scype of ithis Pectition, as I have befure observed, is not to thend our case, but to rev. stnee uss to the same sinte we were in before; whereas, if this clatse be receised, instead of nuending the condition of the poor' Sabjects, whose liberties of lete dave been miserably viokuted ly smme ministers, we shall leave them worse thrm we found them; instead of caring their wounds, we shall make theth deeper. We have set bouthds to our desires in this greant husitess, whereof oate is yidt to dininish the prerogative of the king, by mounting it too bigh; and if we bound surselves on the cuther side with this linit, not to abridge the lawful privileges of the subject, by descenting beneath that whict is freet, no man, we hope, can blame us.
My lotds, as there in meation madop in the
additional Clinuse of Sovereign Power, so is there likewise of a trust reposed in him majesty, touching the use of sovereign power.
The wom ' Trust' is of greast latitude and lange extent, and therefore ought toebe svell and warily applied and restrained, eapmintily in the case of king : there is a tryse inseparably reposed in we persous of the kings of Bugland, but that trus is regulated by quir. Fopexatbple, when statutes are made to prohijgit things: not mala in se, but ouly mala puie prohibita, ander certain forfeitures, and penalties, to accrue to the kjing, and to the informers that shall sue for the breach of thew; the conumons must and ever will ncknotviedge a regal and sovereign prerogative in the king, touching such statutes, that it is in lis majesty's absolute and undoubted power, to gramt dispensations to parm ticular persons, wifh the canusea of non obstante, to do ns they might tave done before those statutes, wherem his majesty, confertiog grace and favour upon some, doth not do wrong to others. Bat there is a difference between those statutes, and the laws and statates whereupun the Petition is grourded: by those statutes the subject has no interest in the penalies, which are all the fruit such statutes can produce, antil by suit or information commenced the become entitled to the particular forfeitures; whereas the laws and statutes mentioned in our Petition afe of another natare; thére shall your tordships find us rely upon thie good old statute, called Magna Charta, whirh declareth and confirmeth the ancient common laws of the liberties of Enghad: There shall yoor lorestrips also find us to insist upon divers other most material statutes, made in the time of king Edw. 3, and Edis 4, and other famous kings, for explanntion nnd ratification of the lawful rights and privileges belonging to the sabjects of this realm : laws not inflicting penatties upan offenders, in malis prohibitis, bul laws declarto tive or positive, conferring or confirming, "ipso facto, an inherent right and interest of liberty and freedom in the subjects of this realm, as their birthrights and inhacritunce descendable in their heirs and posterity; Stanutes incorpor rate into the body of the common taw, over which (with reverenee bo it spoken) there is at trust reposed in the king's 'Soyereign l'ower,' or ' Ererogative Royal,' to enable trim to dispense with them, or to take from this vubjects that hirthright or inheritance which they have in their liberties, by virtue of the common haw and of these statates.

But if this Clause be added to.0mi Pestition, we slall then make a dangerous overture to confound this good destination touching what statutes the king is trusted to controul by dispensations, and what nol; and shall give an intimation to posterity, as if it were the opinion both $8 f$ tire lords and vommons assembled in. this parliement, what there is a trust reposed in the king, to lay aside by lis! scoercign power: In some emergent caseb, Ho well the Comupowi,
 subjects liberty, on confer hatereat apon theit:
pereons, as those other penal statutes of such natuite nsal have menwoned before; which, ns we can by no means admit, so we believe nssuredly, that it is far from the desire of vur most gracious sovercign, to affect so vast a trust, whissh leeng transmitted to a successor of a different temikNer might enable binjto alter the whole hoppe and fabric of the cor mionwealth, and ta resolve tsat government fliereby this kingdon hath flourisled for bo malny years and ages, under his majesty's most royal ancesturs and predecessors.
Our next Reason is, that we bold it contrary to all course of parliament, and absolutely repugnant to the cery nature of a Petition of Kight, consisting of particulars, as ours doth, to clog it with a generul Saving or Declaration, to the weakening of the right demanded; and we are bold to renew with some confidence our allegation, that thert can be no precedent shened of any such clause in any such petitions in times past.

I shall insist the longer upon this particular, and labour the more carefully to clear it, because your lordships were pleased the last day to urge against us dhe stitutes of 25 snd 28 of Edw. 1, as arguments to prove the contra and seeneed not to be satiffied with that whac! in this point we bad affirned. True it is, thas in those statutes there are such sub mgi, ns you lordships have observell; but I shall offer you a clear answer to then, and to all other savings of like nature that can be found in any statutes whatsoever.

First in the general, and then I shall apply particular answers to the particulars of those two Statutes; wherchy it will be most evideut, that those examples can no nays suit with the matter now in hanch. To this end it will be ne cessary, that we consider duly what that question is, which indeed concerneth a petition, nud not an act of parliameut. This being nell observed, by shewing unto your lordships the difference between a petition for the law, and the law. ordgined upon such a petition, and opening truly and perspicuously the course that was holden in framing of statutes befure 2 Ilen: 5 , different from that which ever since theu hith been used, and is still in use amongot us, and by noting the times wherein these statutes were made, which was about one huudred fears before 2 IIen. 5 , besides the differcaces between these savings and this chause; I doabt not but I shall give ample satisfaction to your lordslips, that the commons, as well in this as in inf their other reasons, have heen most carcfui to rely upon nothing but that which is most true and pertinent.
Before the second year of king IIenry 5, the course was thus: when the commons were suitdrs for a law, ciher the Speaker of their house by word of mouth from them, thenords house joining with flem, or, by some Bill in writity, which was usually culled their Petition, moved the king, to ardain laws for the redressof such mischiefs or jncouveniences, as were fpund griesous unta the people.

To these petitiops the king wade answer as he plensed, sometincs to part, sometimes to the whole, sonuetimes by denial, sometimes by assent, sometumes absolutely, and sometimes by qualification. Upon these motions and petitions, and the king's answers to theu, was the law drawn up zand ingrossed it the statute-roll to bind the kingdoun; but dis inconvenience was found in this coursc, that oftentimes the statutes thus framed, were ogainst the sense and meaning of the conmons, at whose desires they were ordained; and therefure in the 2 IIen. 5, finding that it tended to the violation of their liberty and freedom, whose right it was, and ever had been, that no law should be mado without their asseac; they therf exhibited a petition to the king, decluring their right in this partic, thar: praying, that from theuceforth no lav might be made or ingrossed is statutes, by additions or diminations to their montions or peLitoons, that should change their sense, or intent, without thicir assent; which was accordingly establi-hed ty act of parliament. Rever since then, the right bath been, as the use was lecfore, that the king taketh the whole, or leaveth the whole of all Bills or Petitions, ex bibited for the obtainiug of laws.
Fion this course, and from the time when first it became couslant and setuled, we conclade strongly, that it is no good argument, hecause ye find Savings in acts of parlaments before the secoud of Ilen. 5 , that those Surings were before in the petituons that begat thoso statutes: for if the petitions for the two Loans so much insisted upon, which petitions, for any thing we know, are not now extant, were never $s o$ absolute, yet might the king, according to the usage of chose times, insert the Savings jo his answers; which passing from thence into the Statute-Roll, do only gire some little colour, but are not proof at all that the petitions also were with Savings.
Thus much for the general; to come now to the particuler statute of 25 F.isw. 1, which was a confirmation of Magua Charta, with some provision for the better execution of it, as Conunon Law, which words are worth the noting. It is truc, that statutc hatir also as clause to this effect, That the king, or ) pis heirs ${ }_{x}$ from theuceforth shoold take ho Aill',' Taxes, or Prisage of his subjects, buto by fonmon nssent of all the realm, saving the antient Aids and Prisage due and accustouned:

This sowing, if it were granted, (which is not, nor cannot be proved) that it was as well in the Petition as in the Aet; yet can it no way imply, that it is either fit or safe, that the clause 1ow in quertion should be added to our petis tion: for the nature and office of a Saving, or exception, is to exempt particulars uut of a general, and to ratify the rule in things not exempted, but, in uo sort to weaken or destroy be general rule itself.
The body of that law was agtinst all Aids, and Taxes, and Prisege in general, and was a confinmatton of the common law, formerly declared by Magna Charta; the Saring was only
of Aids and Pissage in pauticular, so well described and roatrained by the woids, 'ancient 4 and accustomed,'-luat there could be no doubs what could be the clear ineaning and extent of that exception; for the ling's inght to those nocient Aids, intended by that statute to be sised to him, was well hnown in thuse days, and is not yet forgotten.

These Aids were three; from the hing's tenasts by hughts service, duc by the coann in Idw, or general cuvtom of the realm: Aid to ransom the hing's royal peisou, if unhappily be should be taken prisoner in the wars: Ad to mahe the king's eldest son a kuight, and Aid to marry the bing's eldeat daughter once, but no moie: sind that those were the only Aid, intended to lee saved to the croyn by thit statute, appeareth in some rlearness by the (harts $r$ of hing John, dated at launning-ifeal the $15 t^{2}$ of June, in the fifth year of his reign, whereia thicy are enumerated with an evelavion of all other Aids whatsoes et. Of this ('harter I have hese one of the originals, whercon 1 bereeh your loidships to cast your eyey, und gue me leave to ruad the iery words nhlach conerrn this point. These wonds, uny lords, ace thas: - Nullum scut gram iel auvilium ponatur in © regno nostio, nit per communc conshitum ${ }^{6} \mathrm{rl}_{\text {,il }}$ nostir, $1 \mathrm{sis1}$ an corpus nostrum ledrimen'dunl, it promoge mitum bimun nostram nulitem - Cac. ndum, et ad filam unstram prinoge mitain "scmel maritandam, et ad hoe non fiat pris ral 'torob le auvihum.'

Touclang Pitaige, the other thang excepted by this Stitute, it is also of a paiticulan ifght to the crown so ne II hown, thit it needeth n , dere ription, the hing being in posatasion of it hy cies day's trape. It is to tahe one tun of winc beiote the mast, and suisther behand the uhat, of every ship birgiog in above tiventy tuns of winc, and here dischargiog them by way of meichandise.

But oun Potition consisteth altogether in part.culats, to which if any general Sasing, or words anounting to one, should be annexed, it c.anuot wark to colfirn things not exrepted, which are none, but to confound things includid, which ate all the parts of the Petation ; and it yimust needs beget this dangerous exposition, that the Kights and Liberties of the sulject, declared and deosinded by this Petition, ase not therrs absolutely, but sub modo; not to contunue alway, hut only to triec place, when the bug is pleased not to exercise that 'surerengn 'power,' wherewnth, this clause adnyited, he is trusted for the protection, safety, and happiness of his people. And thus that birthight and inherrtance, which we hase in our liberties, shall by our own assents be turned into a mese Ienancy at will and sufferance.

Touchugg the Statute of 28 Ediw. 1. Articuli super Chuitas, the scope of that Sturute, among other thogg, being to provide fir the butuer observing and mantanting of Mugaz Charta, hath in it ngvertheless two Whrhigs for the king; the one paticular, as I take it, to proserve the antiept prisage, duc and alocustomed,

VOL. III.
as of wines and other goods; the other general, seigniory of the crown in ull things.

To these $t w q$ Saving, besides the former answers, which many be for the alost part appheal to this stafute as well as to the former, 1 'add these fuigher aurswers: the first of thesc tiso Savimgs, is of the same pisage of wines, Whinch 13 excepted in the 25 Edw . 1, bat inpope more clearness; br that here the wont, wipes, ho, pxa pressly nap aed to the wordeprisege, yhich I take for so much to be in exposition of the lurmer Juw asd, albeut these wortis, nud of other goods, be added, yet do I take it ţ be but in particalar Saving, or exorption, which bcing qualifiedowith the wordo, antiont, due, and accustomed, is not iery dangerous, nor caais be understnod of pisige or levies upon goods of ull sorts at the hing's will and pleasure ; but only of the old and certan custopls upon wood. woultels, and leather, which were dac to-the crown, long lefore the inaiking of this statate.
Por the lutter of the two sarings in this nct, twlich in of the more unusual natate, nad subject to the more exception; it is indeed yonerul, nind if we may believe the concurient relaugus of the Histories of thaso times, is well those that are now prihted, as those that reunain ouly in manuscripts, it gavê distriate from the begmoing, and wrought no gand rifect, lut produced smeldstst mpeis and troubles in the state, as ne walh raay be buried in perpetdal obliviop; and that the like saing in these and future times may neter byeet the hise disturbance: fir from bence anise a jedoosy, that Mogna Chrets, phehen declared the ancient ight of the subje ct, and ww is an ubsolute law in itself, being now coafinued by a lifter act, with this addithon of a genemal swing; lon the Ling's rightion ah thang, by the saving was reahene fi, end thint made doubtut, which was clear hefore. But not to depart frbun our mnin ground, whitb 'is, that esavigys in old atts of parlinment, before the 2 H .5 , aic uo proor that there were 'the like savings tu the petations for thpse act; ; hé me obserse unto your lordships, and so teinve this point, that albet this ptition, whereon'this act of $28 \mathrm{Ed.1}$, was grounded, 'be perished; yet hath it pleased God, that the very fratue had contert of the act tuelf, as it in drawn up, and entered upou the Statute-roll, and priatef in our book, doth tuanife sty impont, that that sarigg cave in by the hag's answer, and was not ${ }^{2}$ the original petition of the lords amitedipmons; for it comith in at the end of the after the words (le roy le ocut) whieh oonmonly are the words of the royal assenteroth act of parknnient. Aud though they be mixid and followed with other woids, as though the king's counsel, and the rest who were prenens at the maling of this,ordinance, did intend the some saving; yet is not that conclasive, so 1 ong as by the form, of those times, the king's nnswer workiag upon the manterinis of the petition might be concewcd by sume to make the lavif. effectual, thougt varying irum the frame of the
> petition.
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comunamided me to use, for which they still delire fo be spared from adding this clause to their Petition, is this: This offensive law of 28 E. 1, which confirmed Magnu Charta, with a eaving, reated not long in pence, fol it gave not that satisfaction to the lords or peoplo, as was sequktre they should have in a care so nearly concerning them : and therefore fibout 35 or 34 of the same xing's reign, a latterfuct of parliament, was made, whereby it was gnacted, that all men should have their luws, oid liberties, and free customs, as largely and nuolly as they had used to have at any time when they had chem lest; and if any statutes had been made, or any castoms brought in to the contrary, that all such statures and customs shouid be void.

This was the first law which I call now to mind, that restored Magna Charta to the original purity wherein it was first muolded, albeit it hath since been confinued above twenty times more by several hets of parliament, in the reigns of diyers most just nad gracious kings, who were most apprehensive of their rights, and jealous of their honours, and alwaya without savings; so is if betwcen 22 and 34 Edw. 1, Magna Charta stood blemished with many savings of the king's rights or seigniory, which might be conceived to be sbove the law; that stain and blemish wns long since taken away, and cleared by those many absolute deciarations and confirmations of that excellent law which followed in nfter ages, and so it standeth at this day purged and exempted now from any such saving whatsoever.
I beseech your lordships therefore to observe the circumstance of time, wherein we offer this Petition to be presented to your lordships, and If us unto his majesty: Do we offer it when Magna Charta stands clogged with savings? No, my lords, but at this day, when Iatter and better confirmations have vindicated and set free that law from all exceptions; and shali we now annex another nnd worse saviug to it, by an unnetessary clause in that Petition, which we cxpect should have the fruits and effects of a law ? Shall we ourselves relinquish or adnlterate that, which cost our ancestors such care and trouble to purchase and refine? No, my lords, but as wio should hold ourselves unhappy, if ave should Hot amend the wretched estate of the poor subject, sol let us hold ita wickedness to impair $j$ t,

Wherean it was further urged by your lindshipe, That to ingert this clause into our Petition, would be no more than to do that again at 'Your lordjhip's motion and request, which wa 3)d formerly done by the mouth of ourSpeaker; todd that there is no cause why we should reede from that which so solemnly we bare pro-fessed:-To this I answer and confess, it was chen in oar hearts, and it is now, and shall be Wer, not to encroach on his majesty's sovereign lower. But I beseech your lordshipg to obGerve the different occasion and refeatnon of that protestation, and of this cleuse.

That was a general hnswer to a gencral Mesage, which we receivpd from his majesty; ;arn? to not to encroach ppon his Prerogative; to
which, like Jutiful and loving subjects, we anowered at full, according to the integrity of our own learts; nor ans there any danger in making such an answer to such a niessage, nor could we nnswer more truly or more properly : but did that Answer extend to acknowledge 'a sovereign power' in the king, above the laws and statutes inentioned in our Petifion, or contronl the Liberties of the Subjects, therein declared and demanded? No, my lords, it hath no reference to any such particulurs; and the same words which in some cases may be fit to be used, and were unnannerly to be omitted, cannot in other cases be spoken, but with impertinency at the least, if not with dauger. 1 have formerly opened my reasons, proving the danger of this clause, and sm commanded to illustrate the impertinency of adding it to the Petition, by a farmiliar case, which was put in our house by learnal gentleman, and of my own robe: the case is thic, two manors or lordships lie adjoining together, aud prohance intermised, so as there is some ditficulty to discem the true bounds of either; as it may be touching the confines where,the Liberty of the Subject, and the Prerogative of the Crown do border ench upon the other; to the one of the manors the king hath clear right, and is in actual pussession of it, but the other is the sulbject's. The king being mis-informed, that the subject hath intruded upon his majesty's manor, asketh his subject, whetlier he doth enter upon his majesty's manor, or pretendeth any title to it, or any part of it. The Subject being now justly occasioned, maketh answer truly to the king, that he hath not intruded, nor will intrude upon lis mojesty's manor, nor doth make any clain or title to it, or any part of it. This answer is proper aud fair; nay, it were unmannerly and ill doue, of the subject not to unswer upon this occasion, Afterwards the king, upon colour of some double or single matter of record, seizeth into his bighness's hands, upon a pretended title, the subject's manor: the suhject then exhbbiteth his Petition of Right to his majesty, to retain restitution of his own manor, and thercin layeth down title to his own manor only: Were it uot improper and absurd ithethis case for him to tell the king, that he did not intend to make any) claim or title to his majesty's manor, yhich is not questioned? Doubtless it were. Tois case, rightly applied, will fit our parpose yell, and notably explain thenature of our Petution.

Why should we speak of leavug cntire the king's 'Sorereign Power,' wherẹon we encronch not, while we only seek to recover our own Liberties and Privileges, which have been seized upon by some of the king's ministers? If our Petition didetrench actually upon his majesty's prerogative, would our saying, that we intended it not, make the thing otherwise than the truth?

My lords, there neegleth no Protestation or Decluralion: 0 , the contrary of that which we have not done; and to put in stach a Clause, cannot argue less than a fear in us, as if we had invaded it? which we hold racred, and are as-
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sured, that we have not toutched either in oor words or in our intentions. And touching your lordships observation upon the word (leave), if it be not a proper word to give any new thing
I to the king, sure 'we are, it is a word dangerous
Sin nuother sense; for it may amount, without all question, to acknowledge an old right of so'vereign power'. in his majesty, nbove those laws and statutes whereon only our liberties are founded; a doctrine which we most humbly crave your lordships leave freely to protest against. Aud for your lordship's proffering, that some saving should be requisite for preservation of his majesty's ' sovereign power' in respect our Petition runneth in larger words than our laws and stautes whercq? we ground it; what is this buta clear coulession by your lordships,that this clause was intended by you to be that saving? For other saving than this we find not tendered by you : and if it be such a saving, how can it stand with your lordsbips other arguments, that it should be of no other effect than our former expression ta his majesty by the mouth of our Speaker? Bat I will not iosist upon collections of this kind; I will only shew you the reasone of the comunons, why this I'etition needeth no such saving, albeit the words of these statutes

- be exceeded in the declaratory part of our $\mathrm{Pe}-$ tition : those things that are within the equity and true meaning of a statute, are as good laws as those which are contained in the express letter, and therefore the statutes of the 42 Ed . $3,36 \mathrm{H} .3$, Rot. Par. n. 12, and other the statutes made in this time of Ling Edw. 3, for the explanation of Magna Charta, which hath been so often vouched in this parliament, though they differ in words from Magua Charta, had no saving annexed to any of them, because they thacted more than was contained in effiect in that good law, under the words, 'per legale ' 'jadicium parium suorum, aut'per legem terra;', which by these latty laws iwe expounded to import, that noue should be put to answer without preseatment, or matter of record, or by due process, or writ original: and if otherwise, it should be void, and holden for error.

It bath not been yet shewn unto us from your

rdorhes, that we have in any of our expressions or applications strained or misapplied any of the aws or gtatutes whereon we do ingist; and we re very confident and well assoned, that no such instaking can be assigned in ang point of ouf petition now uáder question: If therefore it do not exceed the true sense and construction of Magna Charta in the Sfbsequent laws of explaasion, whereon it is grounded, what reason is there to add a sseving to this petition more than to those laws; since we desire
to transmit the fruits of these out labours to posterity, not ouly for the justification of ourselves, in right of our present and their future liberties, but also for a brave cpression and perpetual testimony of ahat grach and jystice, which we asqure ourselves we ghall receive in his majesty's speedy and clear ansfer? This is the thing we seek for, and this is lee thing we boped for, and this is the thing only will settle
such śa unity and confidence betwixt his hap jesty and as, atd raise buch a chearfulpess 鱼 the hearts af all hiq loving subjents, as will make us grodeed unanimously, and-with all expeditigh to solpply him for bis gieat occaicions in such measure, and in such wey, mo may make him lafe at boime, and feared onnold. .
Sir Aen y Murtin. My lord the work of this day, "herein the house of coingions hatt employed pe. gentleman thity spoke hat, podd mylelf, was to reply to the Anower, whitch it hath pleased the Lord-Keeper to make to those. Reasons, which we bad offered to your lordships consideration, in justification of our refilsal, not to ndmit into our Petition the Addition commended lby your lordehips. Which Reesous of ours; since they have not given'such * satisfictinn as we desired, and well hoped, as by the Lord-Keeper's Answer appeared; 前 wes thrught fit, for our better order atd method in' replying: "oo divide the Iped-Keeper's Aniswer into two parts, a legat and a rational: The reply to the legal your lordships have heard, myeel comes iatrusted to reply to the rational, which also conwisted of two branchec, the first deduced from the whole cantext of the additionol clause, the second enforfed oat of some part.
In the first were these Relisons, Thit tho same deserved our aeceptance. 1. As satiofactory to the king; 2, To your lordahips ; s. Agreeable to what ourselves had ofteri proteated, and professel' expressly by the mouth of our Speaker.
1 mult confess there motives ware weighty aud of great force; and therefore, to aroid mind understanding und misconceit, which othetry might be taken against the house of commok. upon the refusal of the propounded Additioit, it is necessary to state the question rightly, aid to set down the true difference between your lordsbips'and us. Now, indeed, thero is no. diferenee or question between your lordships and us, concerning this additional clanse in the, nature end quality of a propositioni. For mo considered, we say it is most true, and to $\mathrm{B}_{6}$ received and embraced by us, 'in toto at quifin - libet parte et qualibet syllaba; ' jea, and were that the question, we should add to the Ad/itpo, and instend of due regard, tay we firia had, have, and ever will have, a special add sin golar regard, where to leave entire Sopugig
 had Grst cropt, and then left it; but ofy remp was to acknowledge and confese is amenter and to maintain it constantiy, even wita haaand of our gonds and lives, if peed he.
To which purpose your lordships may' pleased to remember that atrict Oath everty tuember of the house hath taken this very session, in these words : 'I (A. B.) do quterly 'testify and Jeclare in my conscience, That 'tie kmg's highness is the supreme sovereig. 2y 'governor of this realm io all causes, \&ce, oty to my utmost power will gesist and defend all 'juriscictions, privilegess prebeminencer jnd" - authorities, granted os belonging to the kiagt
"Fhinutior united or aunexed to the impcrial "efowe of this rcalm, \&ec.'

Se that your lordships need tot to borrow friun opir gandestations any exhortations to us, to entertufin a writing in assistauceof the bing's qovenejg power, since we stand objged by the mont sation, bond of a sulemn ons $p$, to assist und- defend tin same, if cause or beccusion so required.' 'So that the only quest fon between your loruships and us, is, whethep thas clause should be added to our petition, fond reocived into it as part thereof? Whel to du, your lortiships reasons have oot perznaded us, bectuse so to admit it, were to overtkrow she fabric and substance of our Pention of Right, and to anni!gatate the right pretcuded by as, and the Petition itself in effect : For these words being , added to our Petation, viz. "We humbly present
${ }^{4}$ thas Petition, \&cc. with due regard to leave ' entire your sovereign power, \&cc.' do include mainfestly an exception to our Ietition; and an exceptioh being of the natare of the thing whereunto it is an exception, 'exceptio est de ' regula,' must of necebsity destroy the rale or petition, so far as to the case excepted; "Er-- septio firmat regulam in casibus non excepts, 'in chsibus exceptls destruit regulara.' Then this coustructiun followeth apon our Petition thus enlarged, that after we have petitioned, that no freemen should be entnpelled loy imprisoninent to lend or contribute money to his majesty without his nssent. in parliament, nor receite, gganst his will, Soldiers into his house, or undergo a commission of Martual ,Law for life or member in yine of peace; we should add, Except his majesty be plecoed to requise orr moneys, and imprison us for not lending, and send soldiers into our houses, and execute us by martial law, in time of peace, by riatue of his sovercign puwer: which construction, as it followeth necessarily upon thas enlargerbeut, so it concludeth against our right in thic premisses, and utterly frustraterh all our Petition; neither may it seem strange, if this clause addrtional (which of itself is in quality of a proposition we confess) being added to our Potation (which also $u$ true) should overthrow the Ye. 3 , frame and fabric of it, seeing thy logictanys take knowledge of such a failucy, called $1 / y$ 'them, 'Fallicia it bene diviss ad masla edi'juncta. Horace the puet gireth an masice to this purpose, in a painter, who when he had byainted the head of a mon according to art, apgold then join to it the neck of a horse, and the tharr the one and the other; wheieas eacn Wh itaelf might have been a piece of right good workmanship.
The second branch of $m y$ Lord-Keperer's Ational part was enfurced out of the list words - of this Addition, by which his londship sajd, Sthat they did not leave intirc oll sovcreign power, bat that wherevith ha maje-ty ig trusted, for the protection, asfety, and happiness of the people; ns if his lordohip whold mfer, that soverelgn power wherewith, \&cc, in this phace- to he terninum diminuritern, a terin of dimiuntion ar qualification, and in"that convidaration might
inducens to accept it. But under his lordship's correction, we cannot so interpret it : for firtiwe are assured, that there is no sovereign power wherewith his. majesty is trusted, cither by God, or man, but only that which is for the puotection, safety, and happiness of his people; had therefure, that limxition can make no impression upon us: but we concerve it rather in this place to have the force termini adaugentis, to be uterm of important advantuge agniinst our Petition, a term of restriction, and that wheresoever his majesty's sovercign poner should be exetcised upon us in nill or any the paticulary mentioned in the Petation, we bhould, wathout further enquity, submit thereonto, as assuming and taking ib proconectso, it cunduced to our bafety and lappiness, Xec. Since therefore, (as the I'ctution is now conceived) it carrieth the furm and face of a proture, which iedresenteth to the hife the pressurea and grierances of the people, with the easy remeihes ; and thereture we bope that his nidjeaty, casting upon at a eracious eye, will coms. possionate his poor loyal subjects, und afforil a comfortable emsw $r$.

I do humbly prise your lordships uot to m. if or blemish the grace and fare of thas pu'ser with this unuccessary uddition; zud rinus (t, sary I prose it to be, acco'ding is this. rul,.
 "ratur.' And - "eresen puticr, it raves whit. it hath place, and'orght is in tuseti, is alisas. necessanly understomit, 'al 'hough not is prosed, yet supi lied liv ruatomablemtendment or ly the ofmrion of all lestused men

And therefore th wether $n$ nit $\mathrm{cim}_{\mathrm{m}}$ be by expressir inclualed, indecially in this l'ctimon, where the Adldivon thercof nould make wa bu confinson of the whole sense and culatituce.

The King's Nozereign Pusucs abl Prerig Hina is always alile to save nself; and af it werenot, we must, without this Adilitisu, pate it to our atmost power, if ne will sace our owh, nad save uncelis. The true state of the cause thu-itaudion hetaeen your lordslops and us, the $t$ oure at $c$ mumons doth not a litle natarved ufs m whit acrunds your lordshaps are so earnet to uge upon thein ths Addition to be insscited into sher I'ctition; they nothang, doubr,' brt the saine pioceeded out ofta solicyfude nind fear, which your lorithipe has I I stiother xise the smple pond atsilutc pruage of fhis Petition might be constutucd hereafler in prejudice of Ins inajedy's soneregn power: and this your lurdships solicitude and fear proceedeth from your love, as the pret stith. "Res est soHiciti 'plena tunoris ainor.' But Ehambly pray your lordships to evamine with th, the grounds of this your solicitude and feap; which giounds mast needs be lnid either apets the words of the Petition, or jbe intention of the peritioners.

Upon the fvords there is no possibility to lay thenm for tiletein is ro mention made of tl. Sovereign P (wer; and were the words doubtful, as thus, W/ pray the rike things be not dono horeafter, onder pretext of your majesty's sovereign "power; yet in respuct of the proten-
tations preceding; concomitant and subsequent to the I'etition, such doubtful words ought reasonably to be interpreted only of such sovereign power as was applicable to the cases whereio it was exercised; and of such sovereign power as thould be justly practised. But there are no sach doubtful woods, and therefore it followeth, that your lurdshipss fear and solicitude must be frounded upon the intention of the petitioners. Now your lordships will know, that the house of comnous is not ignorant, that in a session of parliament, though it continue so many weeks, as this hath done days, yet there is nothing prius et posterius, but all things are held ant taken as done at one time. If so, what a srange collection was this, that at the shime time the house of commons should oblige themsclvis, by a fearful abjuration, to resist and sleliend all privileges and prerogatives belonging tio the king, and at the same time by a Pctition (cantiously conteyed) endeavonr or intend to dhant and deprive the king of some preromiscs belongmg to his crown ? If therefore auc b fear und solicitude can mest her yo grounded vipur the words of the Petition, segrer intention II :ae petutioners, 1 humbly pray your lardships in h. , them aside. As we do betieso that the two fot at:on of this Addition from your lordships 1. ' mut molv cvonsable, but commendable, ns ! .1.n thitg from your lose; so now having n. and our restoms, yontr lordzhips would rest P. Ubiest, that bur retissal to "aduit them into i. r 以ention, proce leth from the conscience of the integrity tial uprishtur-s of ur own heasts, Shat we it all thrs $\mathrm{L}^{\prime}$ ed mom have no such end to shert: or dhanti-h the king's just prerogitave. Ant so much in reply to that satoud part. whereby my lard-kreper laboure it persuade the enturtamment of this Acldition.

This hengg dones, it pleused the Ilouse of - Coinnoms to mstruct and furnioh me w.th certhin liensoms, which I shall use to your lordyhips, to procure your absulute conjunction with us in presenting this Petition; which albeit I cansot set lorth nacoording to their worth, and the instructions given me by the bouse, yet, I hope, their own weight yill so press down into wor lordships consciences aud jurgments, that jithout farther scruple, you will chearfully vouchsafto accianpany ihs Petition with your right noble gresepce.

A personis. The first argument wherewith $\mathbf{Y}$. was commanded to move your lordships, was drawn from the consideration of the, gersons, which are petitiohers, the House of Commons; a house, whose temper, mildness, and moderation in this parlinament hath been such, as we should be unthankfol and injurious to Almighty God, if we thould not acknowledge his good hand upon us, upon our tongues, upon our hearts, procured, no doubt, by ouf late soleran and public humiliation and prayers

This moderation will the better appear) 'if, in the first place, it may be relne pbered, in what passion and distemper many n embers of this house arrived thither, what bosons, what pockets full of somplaints and lamentable
grievahcestib phort part bronght tbither, und those every da renewef by lettept and peikets from all partif find equarters yourkow the old proverb, "Ybi dolor ila digites, nbit hior ifi 'oculus :'sit ps bard taktep our firigeps-from often handling the parts-ill affected; byly yet. our modera on overcame our passignytur discretion over thine our affection.

This mode ation also will the betper appear, if in the secc od place it be'nis forgotten, hent. dur ancestors and predecessors carried thema: selves in parliaments, when upon lighter prod vocations, less wauld not serve their turns, buts new severt consiniosions io'bear and détpruine: offences against their liberties, public ecclisiantical curses; or excommunications ngeinst, the authors or actors of auch violations, aocuses tions, condemnations, executions, banishments, . But what bave we said all this parliament? We ouly look forward, not backwaid: we desire amendment herenfter, no man's puinishment for auglat done heretafore; nothing written by us in blood, nay, not one word spoken agaiost any man's person is dispteasure. The conclusion of our Petition is, that we may be better intreated in time to come : and doth not this moderute Petition deserve yodr lordships chearful conjunction, ' ex congruo et condigno? If a worm being trodden upon could speak, a worm would say, Tread upon me no more, I pray you: Higber we rise not, lower we cannot. descend; and thas nuch we think in modesty may well be spoken in our own commendation. therice tq move your londships to souchsafe us. your noble company in this Petition without. stircharging it with this Addition.
$A$ lempore. Our next argument is drawn. tempore, from the unsensonableness of the times. The wiseman suith, " There is a time for all 'things under the sun; Tempus swum.' And if, in the Wiscman's judgment, a word spoken. in its due time be precious as gold and pilyer, . then an unseasonable time detracts as much. from the thing or word done or spoken : we. hold (under your farours) that the time is not seasonable now for this Addition, It is true, that of itself, sovereign power is a thing alo. Jeys so sacred, that to hondle it otherwise than: te) derly, is a kind of sacrilege, and to speak of it querwise than reverently, is a kind of blacpheny. But every vulgar capacity is not vop, affecked; the most part of men, ney ahnoit: itif men, jadge, and esteem all things, not ecmand: ing to their own intrinsic sirtue and gobtity, but recording to their inimediate effects migux operations, which the same things bisve spofer them. Hence it is, that Religion itself veceivieth more or less credit or approbitign, as the teagchers or professoss are worse or betterif yea, if God binself send a very wet harvest or sced-tipe, men, are apt enough to censure divine joyer. The sovereign power bith not onw, for the present, the ancient ataiablo aspi; pect, in respect of some late sad influenceds" but by'God's grace it will soop recqver. "

To internix with this Petition any metre cion of Sovereign Powet, raphes sio atantilugt.

Whe ei loiny men say, soveraiga power hath beverived, and the maok moderate wish it Wd (iay hea so used;' we bold it not seasoasWh, undey your lordships correctipo.
27 loce Oor pext argument is druyn \& loco: we think the place where your lordships would - have thind dddtion inserted, viz. in the Petitiou, no conventem or seasonable place. Yous lordchípe will eesaily pelieve, that this petition will run tbrough manay hands, every fon will be desirous to see and to read whir their kinghts
and burgesses have done in parliameat upoa
cheir counplaints, what they bave brought home for theig five Subsidies: If, inoperasing of this Petition, they fall upon the mention of sovereign power, they presently fall to erguing, and realooing, and descanting, what sovereign power is, what is the latitude, wheuse the or:ginal, and where the bounde? with many such curigus and captions questions; by which conrse, sovereign power is litle advanced or advantaged: for 1 have ever been of opinions that it is then beat with sovereiga power, when it is had in tacit veoeration, not when it is profaned by public hearings or examinations.

Our lavt Argument is drawo from our duty sad loyalty to his ragjesty, in coosideration Whereof, we are fearful at this time to take this Addition iato our Petition, lest we should do his majesty herein some disservice: ,with your lordabips, we make the great council of the king and kingdom; and toough your lordshipa, laring the happioess to be near his majesty, know other things better, yet certainly the acate and condition of the several parts for which we serve, their diapositions and inclinatioat, their apprebensionc, their fears and jeilousies, are best known wato us. And bere I pray your lordstips to give me leave to use the igtire called Reticentia, that is, to insinuate and intimate more than I mean in speaky Our chief and principal ead in this perfinment, is, to make up ail rents and breaches hetween the king and hia sabjects, to driw them, and knit them tofther, from that diskazce, whoreof the worid'upoad takes tog rauch nofice ta - work a'peneet union and reconciliation. How unproperly and unapt at this tivie this Add? ciod. will be in respeci of this end, we ceny $u t$ but foresee, and therefore shua it; and dof teuolve, that it is neikher agreeable to the percions of such counsellors, of whom weal, nor innowerable to that love. and duty. which we rowe to his manjety, to hasard as end of such ulapeatable consequence, upan the ednait-
 Stc ts we have shewed; the opisioco at thfs 3yer can by no masns herre the hing's prero titive, tre expression may prodoce munifoll Fogmineniemces. And theretore, since the ad mintuges of your lordsbij's Addition into our Pacitiog is iocoberent alad incompatible with the biply of the same; simee there is ho tiecespary ues of it for the saving of the hing's Prezogative; siece the moderation of our Pectition deaserveth yaut londshipe chearfal conjonction


Tor the time, and iaconvenient in reqpect of the place where your lordships would have it inCerted; and lastly, may prove a dissorvice to his majesty; I conclude with a most affectiouate prayer to your lordahips, to joun with , the hoose of commons, in presenting this Pek:tion unto bis sacred majesty, as it is, wilhout this Addition.
Monday, 26 th of May, the Lord Keeper, made
this Speech at a Conference.
Geatlenen; $\mathbf{Y e}$ that aro knights, citizens and bargesses of the house of cornmons, I have many times this parlinmeat, by command from moy lords, deelared the great zeal and affection which my lords have to maingain and nourish tbe good concurrence and correspondency which hath bitherto continued between both houses, that there might be a happy issue in this great business, fur the counupan good of the king and kingdom. Now that which I have to sey this day from my lords, is, to let you know, this fair proceeding is not a profession of wards only, but really and indeed concerning the Pexition, which hath been long in agitation, as the weigbt of the cause required. Since the lest conference, my lords have taken it into their serious and instant consideration, and at length are fallen upon a resolution, which I em to aoquaint you with.
The lords have unanimousily agread with you in ommibus, and lisve voted, that they will join with you in your Petition, with the ouly alteration of the word 'means' to be put instead of the word ' pretext;' and for the word ' un'lawful' to be put out, and in place thercof to add ' not warrantable by the laws and sta'tutes of the realus.' Which two alterations yourselves consented uato. So that concerning this bosiness there remains uothing now, but that having the Petition in your hatuls, yee vill, if ye have not alrefldy, vote it as they have done, and so prepare it for his majesty; and my Londs will take order, that the king be cooved for a speedy eccess to present the same to his majesty.

And, after some papse, he said, There rests one theag which my hords have corsumaded aif to add, That in regard this Petition touchet upon certain charges raised by the ly As lieutenents, and other persons, many tisess for good we, for the merrice and safety of fue hingdom; thet ye take it into your care and consideratios, sad to provide a law for assessing of such charges, wo the bocasion of the time shall require.
The Lords and Commonr being thus happily accorded, the Petition, with the aforesaid Amendments, was read in the house two sereral times together: thea it was voted apon the question, and that it should be ingrossod, and read the thfod time, gnd the house to sit in the aftlmoon 11 jt wus ingrossed, and read, and ordered to be presented to the ling; to which there way hot a negative vote.

[^6]mons had a Conference abont the manner of delivery of the Petition; and sir Ed. Coke re ported, that their londships were agreed, That no addrtion or preface be used to the king, bet that the Petition-be preferred to his majesty by cormmand of the lords and commons; and tuis majesty be desired, that to content his people, he would be pleased to give his gracious Answer in full parbament.
Monday, 2d June, the King came to the Parliament, and spake thus in bref to both Houses:
Gentlemen; I am come hither to perform my duty; I think no man can think it long, sunce 1 have not taken so many day, in answering the Petition, as you spent weeks in framug it, and I am come duther to shew you, that as well in formal thngs as m essentinl, I desure to give you as much content as in me les.

After this, the Lord Keeper spake as fotloweth:

My lords, and you the hnights, citizens, and burgesses of the bouse of commons, his majesty hath commanded me to say unto you, that he takes it in good part, that in consideration of setting your own Liberties, you have generally prolessed in both housec, that you have no intention to lessen or diminish his majesty's prerogative; whereio as you bave cleared your own mteutions, so now his majesty comes to clear his, and to subscribe a'Girm league with his people, which is ever likely to be most constant and perpetaal, when the conditions are equal, und known to be so: these cannot be in in more happy estate, than wheo your Liberues shall be an ornsment and a strength to his mnjesty's Prerogative, and lus Prerogative a defence of your Libertics; in this his majesty doults not, but both he and you shall thike a mutual comfort hereatter; and, for bis part, he 19 resolved to give an example, in the using of his power for the preservation of your Lbertues, that hereafter ye shall have no ceuse to complain. This is the sum of that which I am to say to you froin his majesty: and that which farther remains, iq, that you here read stry own Petition, and his majesty's gracious Answer.

## hig Pefition or Rigat.

The Petirion exhibited to his majesty by ther lords spiritual and temporal, and commons in this present parliament assembled, concerning divers Rughts and Eibertues of the Subjects.
To the King's most excellent majesty.
I. "Humbly shew anto our sovureign lord the king, the lords spiritual and temeporal, and commons in parliament assembled, that whereas it is declared and enacted by in sazute made in the tume of the reign of king Edw , rd $1_{k}$ coramonly called, 'statutum de trallagi nca con' cedendo ${ }^{\circ}$ ' that no tallage or aid stall be laid

[^7]or levied, by the king or his heirg, in this realim, without the good will and assent of the archbishops, biftopes, earls.barons, truights, burgesses, and other the freemen of the commonelty of this Fatma: end by sattonity of parliament holsien in the 25th ysar of the reign of king Edwed $3^{*}$, it is declared and enneted, That from thenceforth no person skuir'be compelled to makie any Loans to the lugg agaiost his will, becfuse such Loans aere aganyi reason, and the franclise of the land; and by other flaws of this realon it is provided, thas none should be charged by any cbarge or imposition, called a Berievolence, nor by such-like charge; by whith the statates before-mentioned, and other the good daws and statutes of thes realm, your subjects bave icherited this freedom, that they should not be compelled to contribute to any tax, tallinge, aid, or other like charge, not set by common cousent in parliament.
II. "Yet nevertheless, of late, dijert Comasissions, firected to suodry commiasioners in screral counties, with instructions, have issued; by means whereof your people have been in' divers places assembled, and required to lend certain suml of money unto your majesty, and many of them, upon their refusal so to do, have had an oath administered unto them, not warrantable by the laws or statutes of this realm, and have been constrained to become bound to make appearance, and give attendance before your pryy-counch, and in otber places; and others of them have beeu therefore imprisoned, coufined, and sundry other wnys molested and disquieted. And divers, other charges have have been laid and levied upon your peopla in several counties, by lords lieatenants, deputy lieatepants, commisoioners for musters, juastices of pesce, and others, by command and direction from your majesty, or your privy-council, ageinst tha laws and free castoms of this realm.
III. "And whereas also by the statute callellt, 'The Great Charter of the Liberties of 'England,' it is declated and enacted, That no Freeman may be taken ot imptipned or be disseised of his freehold or liberties, or his free customs, or be outhowed or exiled, or in any mi/noer dessoyed, bat by the lawful judgutent of is peers, or by the faw of the land.

Iर. "Aud in the 28th year of the reign of king fidwand 36 , it was declared and enscted by autriority of parliament, That no man, of what estate or condition he be, should he pat oat of his land or tenements, nor taken, nor imprisoned, nor disherited, nor put to death, withoat being brought to answer by due process of law.
v. V. "Nerertheless, agaiast the tenor of the said statutes $\|$, and other the good laws and statutes of your realion, to that end prorided,

[^8]divegs of 'jour subjects have of late been imgrifontd; withott any cause slewed; and when for their deliveranoe they were brought before Toar jadtices, by your majesty's Writs of HaSeat Corposs there to undergo spd receive as the court hoold order, and their feepers cotnmanded to certify the causes of t eir detafiner; no cadiongas cettified, but that they were detained by ypor majesty's special command, signified by the lards of your privy-council, and yet were returned back to several prisons, without bejug charged with any thing to which they might make nnawer according to the law.
VI. "And whereas of late, great companies of Soldjers and Muriners have been dispersed into divers countics of the realm, and the inbabitants, against their wills, have been compelfed to receive them into their hoascs, and there to suffer them to sojourn agarnst the laws and customs of this realo, and to the greith grievance and yexation of the people,
VII. " And whereas, also, by authority of parliament, in the 25th year of the reign of king Edw. S, it is declared nud enacted, That no man shall be fore-judged of life or limb against the form of the Great Charter and other the laws nad statutes of this realm: and by the seid Great Charter, ufd other the laws and statutes of this your realm, no man ought to be adjudg. ed to death, but by the laws established in this your realin, either by the customs of the sane realin, or by acts of parhament: and, whereas no offender of what kind soever is exempted from the proceedings to be used, and punisbments to be inflicted by the laws and statutes of this your realmi: nevertheless, of late, divers cormmisoions, under your mujesty's great seal, have issued forthy by which, certain persons bave been assigned and appointed commisnioners with power and authority to procced, witbin the land, according to the justice of martial haw, against such soldiers and mstiners, or other dissolute persons joining with them, as should comioit any murder, robbery, folony, mutiny, or other outrage or misdeneanor whatsoever; aud by such summary course ançordera as is agreeable to martial law, and is used in armies in time of war, to proceed to the trial and condemnation of such offenders, and theia to canse to be executed and put to death, ficeording to the martinl law.

VIIL. "By pretext whereof some of yous ma. jesty's subjects have been, by some of the said comimissiouers, put to death; when and where, if by the laws and statutes of the land they had deperved death, by the same laws and statutes
tyalso they might and by no other ought to have -'beên adjudged and execated.
$\because$ IX. "And, also, sundry grievous offendern bx colouf thereof, claiming an exemption, have etcaped the panishment due to them by the laws and statutes of this yoor realm, by reason that divers of your officers and ministers of justice have unjuitly refused, or forborm to proceed against such offenders,' according to the same laws and atatytes, upon pretence that the said offendens were punishable only by unartial

Law, and by authority of such commissions, as afpresaid; which commissions, and all other of like nature, are wholly and directly contrary to the said laws and statutes of this your realm.
X. "They do therefore humbly pray your most excellent majesty,* ${ }^{\text {1 }}$. That no man hcreafter be counpelled to make or yield any gift, loand, benevoleace, tax, or such lihe charge, wathout common consent by act of parliument; 2 . ind that none be called to inale answer, or take kuch oath, or to give nttendince, or be confined, or otherwise molested or disquieted concerning the sawe, or for refusal thereof: 3. and that no freem:in in any such manner as is before mentioned, be mprisoned or detained.
XI. "All which they most humlily pray of your inost excellegt majesty, is their rights and liberties, accoaing to the laws and sthtutes of this realm : and that your majesty would also vouchsafe in declare, that the awards, doims, and proceedings, wo the projudice of your people, in any of the premsses, blall not be diawn bereafter into consequence or example: and thit your majesty wonld be alo gracioasly pleased, lor the further couffort and safely of your people, to declare your rosal will and pleasure, that in the things aloressid, all your officers and ministers shall serve you, necording to the laws and statutes of this realm, hs they tender the honour of your majesty, and the prosperity of this kingdom." [Sec Statutes at large, 3, 4 Car. 1.]

## 7he King's Answir.

Which Petition beng read the 2d of June, the hing's Answer was thus delivered by the lord keeper:
"The king willeth that right be done nccorrding to the laws and custons of the realm; and that the statures be put in due execution, that bis subjects may have no canse to complain of any wrong or oppressions, contrary to then just Rights and Libertics : toulbe preservation whireof, the holds himself in conscience as well obliged, as of his prcrogative."

On Tuesday, June S, the hing's Answer was read in the Ifouse of Comnions, and seemed nut full enough, in regard of so much expence of time and labour, as had been employed_in contriving the Petition.
June 3. A Message was drough /fiom the King by the Speaber,
"That his majesty having, upon the Petition exhibited by both liouses, given an huswer fall of jistige and grace, for which we and our posterity have just cause to blesh his m.jjesty, it is oow time to grow tom conclusion of the session ; sad therefore his majesty thinks fit to let you know that as he doth resolve to abide by that Answer, without forther change or alterütion, so he will royally and really perform uuto you what by hath thereby promised: and farther, that $\%$ resolves to end this session upon Wadnegday the 11 thof this month. And therefore wishe that the house well seriously at-
tend those busnessey thich pay best bring the seswion to a happy , aclusion, without entestuming niw matiers, and so hasband the tume, that his in yesty may with the more comiort It ine us speedily together again at which time, it theie be any further gitevances not wont uned, or expressed in the Petution, they may be mote matuielv considered thall the tin e will now perian
Another Messige was brou lit fiom his Ma Je ty by the Speaker, I huisdiy 5th of June
"His majesty wished tham to iememp et the Message he list sent them, by whach lic set : diy ton the end of thas scesson, and he com in mided thic -pe then to let them houn, that he will cert unly hold that diy prefixed wuthout an tuition ind obec use thing cunnt be, if the hase contut min more bu mess of length, he ic fures thim, thit they onter nut suto, of pio reed with unven luancts, whe h may send but to tume, of whith may liy my yandal or ispersion upon the $t$ it govecmment ot mumististheseot
his Rolit Plalye, upon the occ isson, caficose I lamiselt tha- I perecive, that toisads Wid, a il thuds in in, theie is latie $h$ pis, that oin humble und cuctul cadeziou 4 ing our bill $m$ memy ind ongit it Iom sul a m) onit mhtumitis, and it esti my pis smo wat 1 ionght ups, thet now this mes , -t atur me up cypcilly, whas I returmber whior hit moderation we hase proceded, 1 C im ot but wonder to +1 tith masci ble strichlt "is ut now in whit bue we nt dine t, hese mented? I ume tmes hast atell wo 1 ad
 till of w ank midne ha cured whit we cill wh wh to the iction of ill tut mivers and dualt $n^{2}$ " What did nc un ut, but $t$ hinc rese l his muse ty, urd to line done that whit h w ould huc in ule hangrest ud clou

- uils? It thas hi ifgult, then'uc ne all crum
 I mpost, ue thas pierote I, which weie not to lime lul my kpetsin on the goserminent, suifer it unlad to on nileri end, but io gace has mily , ty the unf ammon of ha, und out din
 evessity of duty to the hing, un countis, and to I po letity, litw we bemg stopped, and stopped
 now le nift, by 3 conned I beal thus with thit gicl, is the siddent int sage of the greto ect liss in the woild But lat us still be wise, be humble, let us make ifin dalgrition to the bing
hir John 1 lhot Out sins are so exceeding ' ercat, that unkss we spcedrly nitnin to God, - Cood will itwove himelf toither fiom us. - je biow with what uffection and integity wt hive procecied hitheito, to uve guned lus majesty sheart, ind out of a nuegrait) of our duty, were hrou, lit to that c sursh wo werg in I doubt, i nustc prectentztion ty his majesty hath diamn this marh of ha fisple tome upon us I obarve in the Message, flonnsst $^{2}$ other, ind priticular, it is conceried, hat we were
about to lay sopee asperaons on the government, give me leave to piotest, that so slear were out mitebtions, that we desne only fo viuacate thust diahonouis to nur hing enud country, dic It ja said aloo, as it we cast gone atperions gu (his majesty $s$ mansters, I am coufadint no ufashu, ho v dear soever, can-
Here the Spe iher started up frome the seat of the clmur, appichending sur John Ell ot intended to fall upon the Dula, and somig of the mastar it it te, ind sald, There is a conan ud lini upon me, that I must command you i it to parceed -Whercupon sir Joha Elliot , ite diwn
$S_{11}$ Iudlyy Diggy I am as much greesed is csu: If st we not proced? Letrus nit in silur, wr ue mis crable, we bnow not ghat to do

Heself on there $w$ us : whence in the house for a while, whach was bpoken by sir Nuthanid Lech, ill thex woids
Sir Nalhemed Juch We must now speak or for ever hold oun peace, for us to be silent whetit hug and himget no ete in this cilanaty, is not int the question ss, thelbes we ahali sec un oun clises by culanc, yen ot no? I hnow It is morc fa our own secuixy, but it is not for the secunty of those 'ot whom we serve; let us thanh on them some mstuments desire a thimge, we fuil his myests - aficty, and the , itchy of the himgdom, I do not suy we now see it, add hall we upn st still and do nothing, tod so be seitteral? Ict us go together to the loid, and vien our innger, thit we may then go to the hang together
('th(1) sud, th th the speech lately spohen by wil Jobn Filtot had prosu offence (as they (entad) いh $\rightarrow$ mpipt

1 I cieupon the II suse decl ued, 'That every ' member of the house is fice fiom nuy yndu' ufol hpeech, fiom the be gimning of the parlid 'inent to thet div,' and oidcred, 'I hat the 'houl e be surued nuto i Cominutec t) cons dider 'whit is fit to the done tor the safucy of the - hingdom, und that no min eo out upon pan ' ot gonng to the lonel' But before the Spenher lett tle chir, he desared lave to g , ;inth, and the hou eondered that he mai 80 ald $^{1 \text { th }}$, if he please And the house was here-
 ilititb in the char
Ms Wandesford 1 mm foll of grief ns others, let us recollect oun Ln_lish he utb, and not sit still, but do out dunies two ways are fropounded, to go to the linds, or to the ling; I thinl it is to we go to the hing, for this doth concen our Liberues, and let us not fear to mithe a Remonstrance of our Rights, we are bas connselloin There sie some men which call evil good, and gond cvíl, and bitter sweet, justice so now callerl popularits and faction

Sir $^{+}$f duad $d$ (ole We trave dealt with that , duty arfil moderation that never was the like, reluz sic stantithes, attei guch a viol ution of the Libewies of the Gubject, let us tahe ahis to heart $\operatorname{In}$ vo Ld S , wert they then in doubt

## 

in paidingeat to name men ghat misled the king ' Thesy accused John de Gaunt, the king's son, ind lord Latimer, and lord Nevil, for misadvising the king, and they went to the Tower for't ; noy when there is such u doyntul of the state, shall we bold our tongues? How shail we answer our duties to God and man ? 7 H. 4, Parl. Rotmin. 31, \& 32, 11 II. 4, n. 13, there the Council aro complamed of, and are removed from the king; dliey miewed up the king, and dissuaded him from the common good; and why are we now retired from that way we weie in ? Why may we not name those that are the cause of all our evils? In 4 II. 3, \& 27 E 3, \& $15 \mathrm{~K} . \mathrm{g}$, the parlioment modcrated the hing's prerogative; and nothing grows to ubuse, but this house hath power to treat of it. What shall'we do? Let us palliate, no longer; if we do, God will not prosper us. I think the duke of Buckinghan is the cnuse of all our miseries; and till the king be informed thereof, we shall never go out with houour, or sit with hounur here: that onan is the grievance of grievunces: let us set doinn ahe causes of oll our disastery, and all will reflect upon him. As for going to the lords, that is not via regia ; our liberties are now impenched, we are concerned; it is not pia regia, the lordstare not participunt with our libtries.

Mr. Selden adrised, That a Declaration be dmwn under four heads: 1 . To express the house's dutiful carringe towards his mijicsty. 9. To tender their Libeaties that are violated. 3 . To preseat what the purpose of the house was to hawe denit in. 4. That that great, persom, six. the Duke, fearing hituself to he questionel. diul interpose nul cause this distraction. All this time, (said he) we have cast a mantle on what was done last parliament; but now being driven ugaia to book on that man, let us proceed, wilh that which was thea well begun, and let the charge be renewed that was last parliument against him, to which he made an answer, but the particulars werc sufficient, that we might demand judgnent on that answes only.

In conclusion, the house agreed upan several Heuds for a Remonstrance. But ehe Speaker, whe after he haid leave to go lirth, went pri-g valcly to the King, brought this Message:
is That his majesty compands for the pegent they adjourn the house till to-morfjuw中oruing, und that all committees cease in the mean time."-And the house was accordugly adjourned. *

At the same time the king sent for the LordKeeper to attend hin presently; the bouse of

* lords was adjourned ad libiluan. The LordKeeper being retamed, and the house resumed, his lordship, siguified his majesty's desire, that the house and all Committees be adjourned till to-morrow moraing.

Friday, 6th of June, Mr. Speaker brought another Message from the King, and said :In my service to this house I' have had many undeserved favours fcom you, which I shall, sver with afl humbleness acknowledge, bat
none can be greqter than that testimony of your confidence yesterday shewed unto mc, whereby I bope I have done nothing, or made any represeutation to his majesty, but what is for the honour and service of this house; and I will have uny tongue cleave to my month, before I will spenk to the disadvantage of any nember theroof: I have now \& Messuge to deliver unto you;
"Whereas his majesty understanding, that you did conceive his last Message to restrain you in your just privileges, these are to declare hus inteations, That he bad no meaning of barring you from what hinth been your right, but only to avoid all scandals on his council and actions past, and that his ministers might not be, nor himself, under their usfoes, taxacd for their counsel unts his majesty, and that no such particulars should be taken in hand, as would ask a lunger thur of consideration than what be hath already precixed, and still resolves to huld; that so, for this time, Cluristendom might take notice of a sweet parting hetween him and lis people: which if it fall out, his majesty will not be lung from anotber meeting, when snch grievances, if there be any, at their lessure and couvenience may be ronsidered."

Mr. Speaker procceded:
I will observé somewhat out of this Message; ye may observe a great inclination in his majesty to meet in this house. I was bold yerWerday to take notice of that liberty ye guve me to go to his nimjesty: I know there are noue bere but did imagine whither I went, anul but that I kaew yon were desirous and content that I sl:oold leave you, I would not lave desired it: zive the leave to say, This Messaze bars you not of your right in matrer, may, not in manaer; but it ieacheth fo his counsels past, subl for giving him couusel in those things yghich be commanded.
The House of Lords likectise received this Mcs-
sage by the Lord-Keeper.
" My Lords, his magesty tnkes notice, to your great advantage, of the proceedings of this havse upon the hearing of his majesty's Mitssage yesteriny; he accpuits it a finir respect, that ye would neither :gree on any committee, or send any Message to his majesty, thungh ist were in your own learts, butyield yoursches to his majesty's Message, andydefer your own sesolutions till you meel agnin at the time nppointed by his majesty. Yet his insjesty takes it in extyeme gogd part to hear what was in your heart, and especinilly that 'you were so sensible of the inconvenfence that might ensua upon the breach of this parliament: which if it had happenged or shall hereafter happen, his majesty nasures himself, that be shall stand clear before God and nuen of the occasion.But his majeyty saith, Ye had just cnuse to be sensible of t a danger of considering how the state of Ch stendom how stands in respect of the multitu $e$ and streng ih of our enemies, and weakness ofl our part. All which his mejesty knows vefy exactly, and in respect thereof,
called this parliament; the particulars his majesty holds it beedless to recite, especially to your lordships, since they are apparent to all men: neither will it be needfal to reiterate them to his majesty, whose cares are most attentive upon them, and the best remedy that can be thought on therein, is, if his suljects du their parts. Therefore his majesty gives you hearty thanks, and bid me tell you, that nothugg lath been more acceptable to him all the tme of this parliament, than this dutiful and discreet carringe of your lordships, which he poofesscth hath been a chief motive to his majesty, to sirspend those intentions that were not far from a resolution."

Sir Robert Philips assumed the debate, upon the Message delivered by the Speaker, and said; I rise up with a disposition, bomewhat in more hope of comfort than yesterday ; yct, in regard of the uncertainty of connsels, I shull not change much. In the first place, I most lee bold without flattering, a thing not incident to me, to tell you Mr. Speaker, you have not only at all times discharged the daty of a good Speaker, but of a guod man; for which I render you many thanks.-Another respect touch. iug his majesty's Answer to our Pctition; first, if that answer fall out to be short, I free his majesty, and $l$ believe his resolution was to give that which we all expecter: but in that, as in others, we have sufcered, by reason of interposed persons between his najesty and us. But this day is by intervenient accidents diverted from that, but so, ns in tinae we go to lis majesty ; therefure let us remove those jealousies in his majesty of our proceedings, that by some men overgrown have been misrepresquted. We have procceded with temper, in confidence of his majesty's goadncss to us, and out fidelity to him ; and if any have construed, that what we have dpae hath been out of fear. let him know we came hither freemen, and will ever resolve to cudure the worst; and they are poor men that make such interpretations of parhainents. In this way and method we procceded, and if nuy thing fall out unhappily, it is not king Charies that advised hinyself, but - king Charles misadvised by others, and misled ly mikordered counsel; it beconies us to consider ulogt we tere doing, and now to advise what is in to le doue. We were taking cgnsideration of the state of the kingdom, nud to present to his majesty the danger he and we ure in, if since any man hagh been onamed in particular, (though I love to speak of ing betters with husaility) let ${ }^{\circ}$ lim thank hiroseff and his counsels, but those necessary jealousies give us occasion to name him; I assyre myself we shall proceed with temper, and give his majesty satisfaction, if we proceed is that way. His majesty's messuge is now explanozory in point of our libertien, that he inteads not to barws of our rights, and that he would poo haye thy anpersion cast'on the counsels pay; let us present to his majexty shortly and wiihfully, and declare our intentions, that we intend nut to
lay ary aspersians upon him, bat out of a ne-: cessity to prevent the imminent dangers we and surrounded with, and to preseut to him the affairs at home and abroad, and to desfre his majesty, that np interposition or mis-inGormation of men indef fit may prevail, but to expect the issue that slall be full of duty and loyalty.
The Cominons sent a Messngett tho Lords, that they would join in an huinble, sequest to the king, that a clear and safisfuctory Answer he given by his majesty in fall parliament to the. Petition of Right; whereunto the lords did agtco.
June 7, the Ring came to the Lords Ifouse, and the house of cominons weresent for. And The Lord-Keeper presented the huinble Petition of both houses, and said,
" May it please your most excellent majesty, Uhe lords spiritual and temporal, and commons in parliaucent asscmbled, naking into consideration that the good intelligence botwceq your majesty and your people doth mfich depend upon your ingjesty's Answer unto their P'eution of Right formerly presented; with uaanimous conseot do now become most humble suitors unto your mnjesty, that you would be graciously pleased to give a cleir and satisfuctory Answ er therennto in full parliament."

## Whercuuto the King replied,

"The Answer I have already given you was made with so good-daliheration, and approved by the judgments of so many wise men, that I could not have inugined but it should have given you full satisfaction; but to avoid all ambiguous interpretations, and to shew you that there is no doubleness in $\cdot \mathrm{my}$ meaving, I am willing to please you as well in words as in substance; read your Petition, and you shall have an Answer that I am sure will please you."
The Petition was read, and this Answer was returned:

Soit droit fait come il est devirć par le Petition. C. H .
" This I ams sure (seid his majesty) is full, yet no more than I granted you in uly first Answer ; for the meaning of that was to confirm all your Liberties, k nowing, according to your own proerstations, that you neither mean not can hurt nt Prerogative. And I assure you, my maxim ios, that the people's Liberties strengthen tho king's Prerogative, and the king's Prerogative is to defend the people's Liberties. You bee how ready I have shewed myself to salisify your demands, so that I have done my part; wherefore, if this parliument have not if bappy cuilclusion, the sin is yours, I an free fium it."

Whereupon the Commons returned to their own house with unspeaknble joy, and regolved so to proceed as to express their thankfuluess,
Tre King's Message to the lower Hiruse by sir Ilumfrey May, 1 gth of Juae 1628.
His unajesty is well pleqased that yotr Petition of Right, and his Answer, be not oaly ne-
corded in both houses of parliament, but also in all the courts of Westninster; und that his pleasure is it be put in print for his honour, and the content and the satisfaction of his people, and that you proceed checriftly to settle businesses for the good and refurmption of the commonwealth.

Jone 26 . The Speaker bcing sent for to the king at Whitehall, caine not into the house till about infine $o^{\circ}$ clock. And after prayers, the Re--monstrance concerning Tunuage and Poundage being improssed, was a reading in the house; and while it wns a readug, the hing sent for the Speaker and the whole houses and the king made a Speech as followeth:
".It may seem strange, that I came so suddenly to end this scssion ; before I give my nssent to the bills. I will tell you the cause, though I must arow, that I owe the account of my actionsto God aldhe. It is known to every one, that a while ago the house of commons gave me a. llemonstrance; bow acceptable, every man may judge ; and for the merit of it, I will not call that in question, for I am sure no wise man can justfy it.-Now, since I am traly informed that a second Remonstrance is prepariug for me to take awny the profit of my Tunnage and Poundage, one of the chief maintenances of my crown, by allenging I have given away my right theretn hy my Answer to your Petition:-This is so prejudicial unto me, that I nm forced to end this session some few hours before I meant, being not willing to receive any more Remonstrauces, to which I must give a harsh Answer. And since 1 see, that even the house of commons hegins already to make false constructions of what I granted in your Petition, lest it be worse interpreted in the country, I will nuw make a Declaration concerning the true intent thereof:-The profession of both houses in the time of hamuering this Petition, was no way to trench upon my Prerogative, saying, they had neither intention or power to hurt it. Therefore it must needs be conceived, that I have grantecl no new, but only confirmed the antient Liberties of my Subjects. Yet to shew the clearness of my in-: tentions, that I neither repent nar mean t/ recede from any thing I have proinised you I do here declare myself, That those things whfch have been done, whereby many have had some cause to suspect the Liberties of the Sulbjects to be trenclied apon, which indeel was the first and true ground of the Petition, shall not hereafter be drawn into example for your prejudice; and from time to time, in the word of a king, ye shall not have the like canse to counplain. But as for Tunnage and Poundage, it is a thing I cannot want, and was never intended by you to avk, nor meunt by me, I ain sure, to grant,-To conclude, I command you all that are here to take notice of what I haro sprkee at "fib time, to be the true intent and meaning of What I granted you in your Petition ; hut especially you, my lords the Judges, for to you only, under me, belongs the interpretation of
laws : for none of the houses of parlioment, either joint or separate, (what new doctrine soever tnay be raised) have any power either to make or declare a law without my consent."
Theh the Lord Keeper said, It is his najesty's pleasure that this session now end, num that the parliancat be prorogoed till the 2gth of October next.

In the following sessions, viz. Wednesilay, January 21st, it was ordered that Mr. Selden and others should see if the I'etition of Hught and his majesty's Answer thereunto were ulrolled in the Parlaunent Rolls, and the Courts at Westunaster, as his majesty sent them word the last session they should be; and also in whut manner they were entered; which was done accordingly, and Mr. Selden made report to the house, that his majesty's Spcech made the last day of the session in the upper house is also entered lry his maje:ty's command.- llercapon Mr. Pym moved, that the debate hereof should be deferred till Tnesday next, ty reason of the fewuess of the brose.

Sir John Elliot. This which iv now mentioned, concerns the honour of the house, find the hiberty of the kingiour; it is true, it doserves to he deferred till there be a lull house, but it is good to prepare thinge. I find it is a great point; I desire n select Committec may enter mio convinteration theretf, and also how other laberties of the kingrom be invaded. I find in tiecomuntry the Petition of Reght promed indeed, but with an Anwer that never gave any satisfaction: I desire a commutter may consider thereof, and present it to the house, and that the pruter be sent for to gire satsfaction to the house, by what warrant it was pinted. Which was ordered.
Mr. Selden. For this Petitun of Right, it is known how lately it hath been riolated since eur last meeting; the labertias for hife, perron and freelold, how they hige been invaded: marl have not some been comnuitted, contrary to that? Now we, knowing these invasoms, must take notice of it. For Libertics, for State, we kn ow of an order made in the Exchequer, that a sheriff was commanded not to execute a rea. plevin, und men's goods are taken and nust not; he restored. Whereas no man ought to lose life, or limb, but by law ; hath not ynic lately lest his ears (meaning Savago) that was censured in the Star-Chamber by an arbitrary sentence and judgment? Next, they will take away ouetarms, ard then our lives. Let all see we are sensible of these customs creeping upon us: let us make a just presentation hereof to his majesty.
Norton the King's Printer was brought to the bar, and asked by what warrant the Additions to the Petition were printed? He answered, that there was a warrpat (as he thought) from the kingehinfsolf. And being asked whether there were rot some copies printed without ndditions, he /nswered, there were some, but they were suppressed by warraut,

Sir John Elliot desired sone clearer satisfaction might be made, and that he might answer ditectly by what warrant.- Whereopon he was called in again: who said, he did not remember the particular, but sure he was there was a warrant.
Mr. Selden reported from the Committee soncererning the printing of the Petition of Right, that there were printed 1500 without any Addition at all, which were published in the time of the last purlianent: but suce the parlia-
ment, other copies have been printed, and these supprest and made waste paper; which the Printer did, as he suid, by commend from Mr. Attorney, which be received from his majesty. Andy the Printer further snid, That the Attorney ${ }^{\text {c }}$ yas with the Lord Privy-Seal at Whiteliall, and there delivered unto the Printer sundry papers, with divers hands jo them, and on the backside was endorsed thus, We will 'and command you, that these "apies be. 'printed.'

Which put an end to this Grand Affair.

## 128. Case of Waiter Long, esq. Sheriff of Wilts, and one of the

 Burgesses for Bath, for absenting himself from his Bailiwlek to attend his duty in Parliament: 4 Chardes I. A. d. 1629. [1 Rushworth, 684. 2 Cobb. Parl. Hist. 518.]IN Ihlary Term, 1629, the Case of Walier Lang, csq. one of the imprisoned Geutienien, came to hearing in the Star-Chanber, which was as folloneth:
An Information was exhibited into the StarChumber, hy sir Rohert IIeath, knight, his majesty's Altoruey-Ueneral, plaintiff, ugninst the said Walter Jomg, defendant, for a great and presumptuous Contemupt against his majesty, for breach of duty and trust of his office, and for manifest and wilful breach of his oath taken as Iligh Sherifl of the county of Wilts, and unt residing and duelling in his own person in the saill county, according to the said oath; but being cliosen one of the cutizens for the city of Bath, in the comnty of Somerset, to serve lor the said city in the last parliament, by calour thercof he remnined at Londun or Wentninster, diming the tume of that parliament by the space of iliree months nuat above, in neglect of his duty, andoin manifest contempt of the laws of this kingdom which cause now, by his majesty's said Attoruey-General, brooght to bearang upon the defendant's own ronfession.

And upon opening the Answer, and reading $\therefore$ the Exnumation of the said defendant, it appeared to this Court, 'That the said defend-- ant lone was by his now mnjesty made Iligh "Sherif ui the "county of Wilts in or sbout - November, in alie third year of his majestigs ' reign, nud received his patent of sherifisick - for the said county about ten days after; and ' that he took ay oath beforemene of the masters \% of the Chancery, for the due execution of the 'said office of Sheriff of the said county.' In which oath, as appeared by the same there

- read in coort, he did swear, That die would in his own person remain within his Bailiffivick during all the time of his Sherifivick, unless he had the king's license to the Cohtrary; and that at an election of citizens for the said city of Bath, the said defendant Iprot, was chosen one of the citizens to serve for the ssid city of Bath in the parliament then sumnitpned, to be holden and commence upon the 17th day of

March in the said 3d year of his majesty's reign; and being so chosen, and returned ly the Sheriff of the county of Somerset, notwithstanding his said onth taken to remain in his proper persou, within his bailifiwick, unless he were licensed by his majesty, he the said defendant did make his personal rippearance in the commons house of parliament, at the city of Westminster, in the connty of Middlesex, and divi, during the most part of the said parliament, continue in and about the city of London and Westaninsicr, and did attend in the parliament ns a citizen for the said city of Bath: during all which time he likewise wes and contivued High Sheriff for the suid county of Wilts, and had no particular license from his majesty to the contrary.' Upon consideration, whereof, as also of the particular causes and reasons of the defendant's demurrer and plea formerly exlibited unto the said Information, the benefit whereof was by order of the court restrved unto the defendunt to be debated and considered of at the hearing of this cause, and of divers other matters now urged for the defendant, both to have justified his the said defeadant's attendance in parlisinent, and his not residence in person in the county ithereof he was then Sheriff; and amongst other things, that it properly belonged to the hoage of parlinment to judge of the justuess or unjustness of the said election ; and upon grave and mature consideration thereof, had and taken by the court, their lordships did not only conceive the said demurrer and plea, and other the argumenta and reasons used by the defendant and his counsel to be of no weight or strength, hut also to be in opposition and derogation of the jurisdiction of the court; the reasons moved and urged for the defendant's excuse or juttification being clearly answered, and the charges of the.Information made good by - Ir. Attorney-General, and others of his majesty's counsel learned. And therefore the whole eourt were clear of opinion, and did so declare, 'That the said doféndant, who at tbat tinse, so High Sheviff, had the custody and
' charge of the county of Wilts committed unto 'him by hia majesty, and had taken bis oath - mecording to the law to abide in his proper ' persoo within his bailffiwick during all the time of his sheriffwick as aforessich, and whose

- trust and employment did require fis personal
' attendance in the said county, had not only
'committed q great offence in violating the
s said oath so by him taken, hut also a great
' misdewe nor in breuch of the trust committed
'unto hum' by his majesty, and in contempt of
' his majesty's pleasure signified unto him by
' and under his highness's great seal, when he
' granted unto hinn the said office of eherifiwick ' aforesant.'

Far avhich said several great offences in breuch of his said oath, neglect of the trust and daty of his olfice, und the great and high
contempt of his anajesty, their lordships did hold the same defendant worthy the seitence of the court; the rather, to the end that by this example the sheriffs of all other counties may be deterred from commfting the like offences hereafter, and may take notice, that their personal residence and attendance is required within their bailifiwicks ${ }^{\circ}$ doring the time of their sheriffisick. The e court therefore thought fit, ordered, adjudged, and decréed, That the said Defendant should stand and be committed to the Prison of the Tower, there to remain during his majesty's pleasure, and also to pay a fine of 2,000 marks to his majesty's use; and further, make his humble submission and acknowlergment of his offerice both in the court of Star-Chamber, and to lis majesty, before his thence eulargement.

## 129. Proceedings against Williem Stroud, esq. Walter Long, esq John Seldex, esq. and others, on an Habeas Corpus, in Banco Regis: 5 Charles I. A.d. 1699.*

ON February 23srd, the house of commons being upon the debate of the business of the Cuscumers, who had seized Goods belonging to Mr. Rolls, a nember of the louse, dissolied themselves into a grand committee, and at last resolved, "That Mr. Rolls, a member of the bouse, ought to have privilege of person und goods; but the command of the king is so great, that they leave it to the house."

Alter which, the king's Message, in justificatioa of the Farmers and Officers of the Customs, was taken into consideration; which occasioned warm debates, and the Speaker (Finch) being moved to put the question then proposed, refused to do it, and snid, 'That he was otherwise 'commanded by the king.'
Then said Mr. Selden, Dare not you, Mr. Epeaker, put the question when we command you ? If you will oot put it, we must sit still; thos we shall never he able to do any thing. They that come after you, may say, The) liave the king's comiuand not to do it. 'We sit here by the command of the king undes the Great Seal, nnd you are, by his mpjesty, sitting in this royal chair, before hoth houses, appointed for" our Speaker : and now you refuse to perform your office.

Hereupon the hnuse, in sone heat, adjourned till Wednesday the 25 th , when buth houses, by his maje-ty's command, were adjouraed unul Monday the 2nd of March.

March 2. The commons met, and urged the Speaker to put the question; who said, 'I have - a command trom the king to adjourn till Maich 'qhe 10th; and put no question.' And endeavouring to go out of tbe Chuir, was notwidstanding beld by some members (the bonse fonsseeing a diseolucion) ill $\approx$ Protestation was

* See Proceedidgs 'againat Lord Fordwich, 10 C. 5. 1680.
published in the house; 1. "Against Popery and Arminianism. 2. Against Tunnage and Puundage not granted by parlament. 3. If any merchant yield or pay Tunnage and Poundage not granted by parliament, he should he reputed a betrayer of the liberties of England."

Hereupon the king sent for the Serjeant of the house; but be was detained, the door being locked: Then he sent the Gentleman Usleer of the Lords house, with a Message; and he was refused admittance, till the said Votes were read. And then in much confusion the house was adjourned to the 10th of March. Nergrtheless his majesty, by proclamation, dated the 2nd of March, declares the parliament to be dissolved. (Though the proclanation was not ' pablished till the 10th) and the day following, (the 3rd) warrants were directed from the comncil to Demzil Hollis, esq.; sir Mues Hobart, sir John Elliot, sir Peter Inayman, Joho Selden, William Coriton; Walter Long, Wilhun Stroul, Benjamin Valentinc, esqrs.; commanding their personal appearance on the morruw. At which time, Mr. Hollis, sir John Elliot, Mr. Corion, Mr. Valentine, appearing, antl refusing to angyer ouf of parliament what was said and done in parliament, were comnitted close prisoners to the Tower; and Warrants were given (the pacliaunant beingstill in being) for the sealing up of the studies of Mr. Hollis, Mr. Selden, and sir John Elliot. "But Mr. Long and Mr. Stroud not then, nor for some time after, appearing, a. Hroclemation issued forth for the ap; prehonding of them.

The king parprasing to proceed against the members of the house of commons, who were comsuitted to prisoo by him in the Star Chansber, conused icertain Questions to be proposed to the Judges upon the 25th of April. Whereupon all the Judges met at Serjeants-Iun by command from his mnjesty, where Mr. Attur-

## 237] STATE TRIALS, ${ }^{3}$ Crintes I. 1629.-and othere, on an Haleas Corppus. [\$88

ney proposed certain Questions concerning the Offences of sonie of the parliament men committed to the Tower, nad other prisons: At which time, one question was proposed and resolved, viz. 'That the statute of 4 H .8 , inti' led, 'An Act concerning Richard Strode,' wns

- a particular act of parliament, nad extended ' only to Hichard Strode, and to those persons ' that had juined* with him to prefer a Bill to ' the house of commons concerning Tinners: - And although the act be private, and extend' eth to them alone, yet it was no more than all ' other parliament acu, by privilege of the ' house, ought to have, viz. Freedom of speech ' concerving those matters debated in parlia' ment by a parliamentary course.'

The rest of the Questionst Mr. Attorney was wished tos set down in writing ngainst anotherday.
Upon Monday following, all the Judges met agam, and then Mr. Attorney proposed these Questions:

1. Whethe, if any Subject hath received probahle information of any Ti enson or treacherous attempt or intention against the king or state, that Sislject ought not to make known to the king, or his majesty's commissioners, when thereunto he shall be required, what information he hath received, and the grounds thereof; to the end the king heing truly intormed, may prevent the diager? And If the said subject in such case shatl retuse to be examined, of to answer the guestions which shall be demanded of him fur further inquiry and discosery of the truth, whether it be wut a high contempt in him, pumshable in the Star Chamber, as an offence against the gencoul justice and government of the kingdom?

Sol. The resolution nad answer of all the Jivticey, That it is an clieace punishable as atoresaid, so that this do not cenacern himself, but another, mor draw him to deuger of treason or contempt by liss arever.
2. Whether tt be a guod answer or excuse, leing thus interrogated, nud reliusing to answer, to say, That be way a parliament nau when be received the informastion, and that he spake thereof in the parlament hoase: and therefore the partiansent being noww ended, he refased to dusuer to any snch questions but in the parliament house, and not in any other place?

Sul. To this the Judges, by ardvice privately to Mr. Attorneys gave this Answer, That thes excuse being in nature of a plea, and an error in judgrient, 'was not punihbable, until he were over-ruled in an prdetly maneer to utake another answer; and whether the party were bruaght in ore tenus, or hy information, for this plen he was not to the punished.

- 3. Whether a pariament man, acommitting an offence agnainst the king or coancil not in a parliament way, might, ofter the parlinneat ended, be punsticd or not?

Sol, All the Jurges, $\boldsymbol{y}$ ua voce, answered; he might, if he be nut punished for itimpariauncent ; for the parliantent shall not give privilege to any - contra morem parliamentarium,' to excred the Lovonds and lumits of his pluce and doty. And
all ngreed, That regularly he cannot be compelled out of parliament to answer things done in parliament in a parriamentary cqure ; but it is otherwise where thinge are done exorbitantly, for those are not the acts of a court.
4. Whether if one parliament man aloue shall resolve, or two or three shall covertly conspire to raise felse slanders and rumours ugainst the lords of the council and judgrs, not puth intent to question them in a legal course, owin a parlramentary way, but to blast them, and to bring them to hatred of the people, and the government in contempt, be punishable in the Star Chamber after the parliament is ended?
Sol. The Judges resolve, That the same was punishable out of parliament, as an offence exorbitaut committed in parliument, begond the othce, and besides the duty of a parliament man.
There was another question put by Mr. Attorucy, viz.

Whether if a man in parliament, by wny of digression, and not upon any oceysion arising concerning the same in parliament, shall say, The lords of the Conncil and the Judges had agreed to trumple upon the Liberty of the Subject, sul the l'risileges of Purliament, he were punishable or not?
The Judges desired to be spared to make any Answer thereanto, because it concerned themselves in paticular.*

- Nalson in his Collections, vol. 2. p. 374, snys, There were severn Questions proposed to the three Chief-Judges about matters in Parliment; to which they gave these answers; which being something dififerent from what is nlove, are here inserted: Quacre 1. Whether n Parliament-man, ofiending the king criminally or contemptoously in the parhament-house (and not then punished), may not be punished out of proliament? Ansarer. We conceive, that if a parliament-man, exceeding the privilcge of purlament, do criminally or contemptuously offead the king in the parliament-house (and not there punisbed) may be panished out of parliaunent.-2. Whether the king, as he bath the poser of calling and dissolving a Parliament, have not also an absolute power to cuuse it to be adjourned at his pleasure? Ans. We conceire, that the king hath the power of commopding of adjournments of parlimments, as well as of calling, proroguing and dissolving of parlikments : But for the numner thereof, or the more particular answer to this, and the next subsequent question, we refer ourselres to the precedents of both houses. -3 . Whether, if the king do command an adjourument to be made, he hath not also power to command all further proceedings in parlimment to cease at that time? 4. Whether it be not a high contempt in a member of the house, contrary to the king's express commfindment, contemptuoualy to oppewt ite ndjournment ? Ans. The king's express commandspent being signified for an adjournment, if ony after that shall contemptuously 'oppose it, further, or'otherwise than the privilege of the house will warmant; this we

The next day Mr. Attorney put the Judges another case.
Ir is demanded of a parliament-man, being calied ore tenus, before the court of Star-Chamber, being charged, that he did nut submit himself to exmmination for such things his did concern the kiing and the government of the state, and were aftirmed to be done by a third person, and not by humself; if he confesses bis hand to that refinal, and make his excuse, and plead becauce he had privilege of parhament;

Whether the Court will not over-rule this Plea as erroueous, and that he ought to make a further answer ?
Ans. 'It is the justest way for the king and the party not to procced ore tenus, because it being a point in lav, it is fit to hear counsel before it be over-ruled; and upou an ore tenus, by the rules of Star-Chamher, counsel ought not to be admitted; and that it would not be for the honoun of the king, nor the safety of the sulject, to procced in that manner. (But the king dropped the I'roceedings against then if the Star-Chamber.]

Pasch, 5 Car, upon an IJabeas Corpus of thin, court to bring the body of William Stroud, esp. winh the cauge of his imprisonnient, to the marshal of the King's-bench; it was returned in this manner:
conceive to le ugreat contempt.- 5 . Whether, if a few parhament-men do conspire together, to stir uf ill affections in the people against the king, and the government, and to lenve the parhaunent with such a loose, and by words or writings put it in execution, and this not punisbed in parianinent, it be an offeuce punisha. ble out of parliantent? dns. We conceive thit offence to be punishable out of parliament.6. Whet her, it some parliauent-men shals conspire together to puldish papers containing false and scandalous rumours ngainst the londs of the Prasy-Conncil, or any one or more of them, not to the end to question them in a legal or parliamentary way, but to bring them into lattred of the people, and the government into contempt, and to make discord between the lorth, annl comnons; is not this an offence prnishable out of parliaruent? Ans. We concerve this also to be an offence punishable out of par-lument.- 7 . If two or threde or more of the parliament shall conspire to defame the king's government, and to deter his subjects from oobeying or assisting the king; of what nature this ottence is? Ans. The nature and quality of this pflience will be greater or lesser, as the circumstances shall fall nut, upon the truth of the liat.-8. Can any privilege of the house warrant a tumultuous proceeding? Ans. We humbly conceive, that an earnest, though a disorderly and confused procceding in such a mulutade, piay be called tunultuocas, and get the privilege of the bouse may warrant it-W. in all humbleness are willing to satisfy your majesty's command, but until the particulars of the fict do appear ${ }_{\mathrm{s}}$ we can give un direct AD . iswers than before. And particularly as to the

- That Mr. Willian Stroud was committed 'under my custody by virtue of a certain War'rant under the hands of twelve the lords of ' the privy-council of the king. The tenour of ' which Warrant followeth ir these words :
- You are to take knowledge, that it is his 'majenty's pleasure and commundment, that - you take into your custody the body of Wil-- liam Stroud, esq.; and keep,hin close prisoner - till you shall receive other order, either from ' his majesty, or this board: for so doing, this - shall be your Warrant. Dited this 2d of ' April, 1629.' And the direction of the Warrant was, 'To the marshal of the King's' bench, or his deputy.'
Ile is also detained in prison by virtue of a Warrant under hismajesty's liand; the tenour of which Warrant folloneth in these words:
C. R.; 'Whereas you have in your custody s the body of William Stroud, exq. by Warrant ' of our lords of ou. prey-council, by our spe'cial conmand, you are 'u take nutice, that ' thas commitment was for notalle contempts by - ham committed against our self and our go' verument, and for stirring op sedition sgainst ' us; for which you are to detuin him in your 'custody, and to keep lim close prisoner, - until our pleasure be funther known concern' ing bis deliverancr. Givent at Greenwich,
second Quace, about the hate's poner of adjourning as well as calling and dissolving of Parhaments, theséfollowing parliamentary precedents were guen in. 4 Aprilis, 1 Jac. Scss. 1. Mr, Speaker pronounceth his inajesty's pleasure of atjourning the house till the 11th of April (and it was so done.)-18 Dec. 1606. The lords by their mensengers sugnified the kmy's pleasure, thast the rission should be adjourned ull the 10th of Ieb. following-Upoir this Mestage Mr. Speaker adjonrned the house accurding to his majerty's sard pleasore. -31 Nartii I607. The Speaker delivered the hing's pleasure, that the house should be adjourned till Monday the quth of Apul followng.-20 Mani 1607. Mr. Speaher sigmied the king's; pleasure about nine o'cloch to adjourn the house till the 27 th of the same month. -A And the 27th of May, he being challenged for :trjourning withont the privity of the house, he excuseth it, and saith, as the house had power fo adjurn themselves, so the king bail a superior power, and by his conmand he did it.30 Martii 1610. His majesty's pleasure to adjururn fyem Tuesplay till Munday sevennight.11 July. The king by commission adjouraeth the lords house. Messengers sent to the coonmons. They sead by messengers of their own to the lords that they use to adjourn thenselves. The commission is sent down, Mr. Speaker adjourneth the house till the 1st of August.-26 Febr. 4 Car, Mr. Speaker signifieth his mujesty's pleasure, that the hoose be presently uidjpunneq, till Monday next, and in the mean time all committees and other -pmecedings to cease. And thereupon Mr. Speaker in the name of the house arljourned the same accordingly:

241] STATE TRIALS, 5 Charles I. 1629,-and others, on an Habeas Corpus.

- the 7th of May, 1699, in the 5th year of our ' reign.'

The direction heing, 'To the marshal of our s bench for the time being, et hax sunt causie 'raptionis et detentionis pradicti Guhelmı 'Strond.'

And noon another Hubeas Corpus to the Morshal of the Aloushold, to have the bnely of Walter Long, esq, in court, it was returned according as the return of Mr. Stroul was.

Mr. Ask, of the Inner-Temple, of counsel for Mr. Stroud ; and Mr. Mason of Linceiln's-Inn, of counsel for Mr. Long; argued against the insufficiency of the Return,

Mr. Ask:. That the Return was irsufficient. The Return consists upon two Warrants, henrug several dates, which are the sauses of the taking and detaning of the prisoner. Fin the first wanrant, wheh is of the lords of the rounsil, that is insulticient: because no cause is shewn of the comintument, which is expresly ageninst the resolation of the parlaunent, and their Petition of Right, in the time of this king, whel wow is, to wheh he had likewse given has ansent; si his takme by virtue of the said werrait is wrougtal. And for the second warraut, it insufficient also, and that notwnhatandiug it be the king's own; for the kmg humselt camot impuson any man, as our hooks ure, to wit, 1611 . ©, F. Monstrance de faits, 1 II. 7, 4. Hussey rcports it in be the upuiou of Markham, m the time of Ediw. 4, and Fortescue in his book, 'De laudibus Jagum Auglize', c. 18. And the reason given, is, becaure no action of talse imprisomnent les against the king, if the imprisimment be wrougful; and the hing cansoot be a wrong doer. The statute of Magaa Chamea is, Tbat no treenam be imprisonef but by the law of the laud. And it appears by these books, that it is against the law of the land that the king should amprion any ope.

- 2. Adment that tias be only a signification and notitication given by the king limself, of the commitment of the prisoner; yet it secms that that cignification so of no force, 1. Because the words are general and uncertain-- fir no' table contempts,--There are in the law many contempts of several uptures; there are contempts against the Conimon Law, ngainst the Statate Law ; contempts in words, gestures, or nctions. And ite appears not to the court of what natore these conteupts were. - Notahl
-Every contempt which is made to the hing ist notable.-A Aguinst our gnetrmment'-Contempt which is committed jn the fourt of Record or Chanctry, is a contempt ngainst the governinent of the kiug, to wit, because they fisobey the king when he cummands them liy his writs, Coke 8, 60, a. Beecher's Casc. The last words of the lieturn are,- Fior sturring up - of sedition ngainst us'-which words likewise are iodefinite and general. I findsngt the word 'Sedition' in our Looks, but taken adjectively, ns seditious books, sedithous news, \&C. In the Statute of theolst and 2d Phil, and Mary, caf 3 , the words are, 'If any person shall be con4 victed, \&cc. for speakiug, \&8c. any fulse, scdi-
'tiuns, or slanderous news, saying of tales of 'the queen, \&cc. be shall lose his ears, or pay ' 1002 l. There the penalty imposed upon such scdition is but a tine. Coke 4. Lord Cromwel's Casc, p. 13, where sedition is detined to be senrsum itto, when a man takes a coirse of his own, and there it is sail?, that the word -' manntina, sedition against the queen's 'proccerimgs'-shall be expounded according to the coherence of all the voris, ay in thie intent of the parties. So that it is pant, that ticee is a scdition that is only fineable, and which is no cause of imprisonnent without lail: And what the sodition is that is here intended, cannot ${ }^{\text {be }}$ gatherel out of the words, they are so general.-A Againt us'-thoy words are reduadiant, $n$, every sedition is agaust, the kmg.
lipon the generality and incertainty of all She wordo m the Return, he put these Cases; 18 E. 3. A man nas indicted, 'quin firntus 'ent equum,' and doth 1 mt say, filonice, and thercfine ill. 90 Ass. 45. A main sus indicted that be was 'communis latro,' mud the indictment lu-ld weious, because tot general. So here the otiences are returnud gencrally. Bue there ought to be something individual, Coke 5, 57. Specot's case, 'quia schismatious inve'teratis,' is no good canse for the Lithop to reluse a clerh, for it is too general, and liere are schasus of divers hinds. 38 E. 3, 2. Because the clerk is criminosus, it is no good cause for the bishop to refuse him. 8 and 9 Ehz. Dy. 254. The bishop of $\mathbf{N}$, refinseth one, becanse he was a haunteg of taverns, Ace. for which, and divers other crines, be was uufit; held that the last words are too general und incertain. 40 E. 3, 6. In the tender of a marriages ond refinsml of the leir, he ought to alledge a certain cause of refusalf, wherupon isstu may be taken. Coke 3, 68. Trollop's case, to vay, That the planutif is excommmicated for divers contumacies, shall not dinable him, withont shewing some cause in upecial of the eacumuunication, upon which the court may judge whether it were just or no : so hete. Aud he concluded with a Conse that was resoliced, IIill. 33 Eliz. Peak and-Paul the defendants sail of the plaintiff, Thou art a inutidious and seditious may, and maintainest sediLion aganst the quacen; and the words adjudged not actionalic.

Mr. Muson (afterwards recorder of London) moved also, that the Return nas iusutticient. For the first Warraut, That he was commiued by command of the hing, signified by the privycouncil, I will nut argue that, because it was clained as an ancient right pertainug to the solject in the I'etition of Riglit, n hereto the king hinnself hath given his consent. For the second Warrant, the !?ecurn is, $\longrightarrow$ for stirr${ }^{\prime}$ ing up sedition against us and our govern'ment.' Sedition is not any determined offence within our law ; our law gives delinutions Tr nicscriptions of other oifearess, to wit, of treason, murder; felony, \&ke. but there is no crime in vur law called Spdition. It is thefined by a civiliau to Lo 'Seditio', or 'Secessiu, cuin
'pars reipublice conta patcua insurgit;' so that se lition is notharg lut diviom. Biacion and Glanvile hate the worsi seduo gemerally. Before thic statute of is V., 3, eap. 2, it was not cleas cumegh what theng was Treesme, what not; by which sate: - $1 t$ in derfaned a lon stall be colied henam, and that the Julkes shaill rout de ary thiry, to bee Treasm, tiat Ko not co I willan the said stature, but at bued conty by parliancet. And tiats. . Fathent of serfition, uor her ma-
 tor as'm, which nere nef rontenued with the stid
 19. tihen.avay all interernietio statules, whech des lare fhew remomo ; sid the sumb act de-
 nol treltann. Serition is the qualiny of an offence, aud is ottentmues tukru adionbinilly, or adjecuraly. To rane tumults or treppasies is
 case; $a$ man was matictenl, berause in the heglstreet he thek J. S. thete heny in hostile n.anner, and usurped onter limu nuyal pewer, which 15 suamifest sedthon; sund theic it was but an indictunent of treypask. Alicht, 20 F. 1, ron. 27 . One that was surseyor of the wood-notis fon the kagg, was indieted fior stenling of tumber, and detaning warce (iidiang caryeuters w. ger) by ouc that was hat a bong; and this is thene teramel ,eithom. and yet 12 was hut a.petty fo-
 was appealed by the wite al J. S. Lce.use ho felonimusiy bual sedhiously tun. lefed J, S. sud "selithousty' wis there pit in, because it n :s donepriuly. By whictichises it appeans, thathedition is not thicen as a mbstantire, of that tunay be applied to treacun, tiesphas, oruther offencts. By the natatery of 2 :I $4, \mathrm{c} .1 .5$, there is a pu mishment inficted fiot the rasmog of seditious dictrale, and yet ao pumshment couid bave becn inflicted for it unth the ssid statute; and yet it was seduous, as nell betore the said statute as atter. Aud this appears also by the statute of 1 st nnd 2 d of P 'hlitip and Mary, c. 3, which hath been cited. Tlee statute is Eliz. c. 2. rectetes, that divers seditious and cvil-diposed persons, \&c. obtaned halls of recoactiation fion the pope, which oflence was made treason by the said statute, (tior it was not liefore, and yet there was sedituon) and by the said stature, the airens aud abcttors are but in the case of Premunire. By the statute of 13 Eliz. c. 1 , for the avoiding of contentious and seditious titles to the crown, it is enacted by the suid statute, That he that sthall declare the successor of the king, shall furfent the moiety of his goode, (E.e. sio that the said ollence, a卜 though it be selitious, is not treason by the common law, nor is at made treason by the statute of 25 E. 3, nur by the statute of 13 Eliz. By the stitute of 93 IViri. c. 2, he that speaks sedituous or slanderous nens of the queen shall lose his ears, or phy twit und the second ofticuce is mave felony. The stutute of 35 Fliz. c. 1. is agninat seditious mectaries, which absent themselves from the
clurrh; thiny are, to be punished $10 l$. by the month. Out of all which statues it may be collected, that the word 'sedition' is tahen variously, aci ording to the subject in hawd. And Coke 4, 13. Lond Cromwel's cake, 'seditions' is refersed to ductrine There are oftences more hugh in their nature than smition, which nerc not treason, miless so detared by act af parhanent. I'wry rebellious act is sedition, yet if such acts be not within the statute of 2;; F. 3, they are unt treason, 17 R. 2, c. 8, itisurrection of wlleins and others is made treason; wh.ch provis, lhat befure this act it was not treasoh. And this act of 17 R. 2, is repealed by the stotute of 1 II. 3. Wy the strtute of '5 and 4 F. G, C. 5, th nssemile perpule In alter the laws, is, made ireasan, it they contimuc togel ber an hour after proc hamatonimade. This a+scimbly of people was sedition at the coumman han $;:$ ve the very ansembly, of they aficr disobere upon proclamation made, is not treason by the staid stat، 'e. Wy the statute of it Eliz. C. J. it is made ichony, maliciously and iela thously in hold from thie queen uny ratler, Et. Dibi because thas relates not to the stitute of 25 E .8 , it is not treasou. 2. It scems cicaly, that this cace is withan the Perition of Itylit, in which $\mathbf{I}_{\text {agna }}$ Charta, and the statutes of 2. and $2: 8$ E. 3 , are recited. The pricsance there wa, that discras tase been mpurobect withave any crube shewed, to which they moh make fuswer accortag in the law. And upom thes ietarn, notbm ${ }_{4}$ ajp. wirs to be obigected to when the might answer. It uppears not what that act, "Juch is called Sidition, was. Tlis- is the sery grief intented to, be remedied liy this statute; to this he cannot answer nceurding to lave. It appears not whithee thas were a scditious act, thespasm, or slaneler, or what it was at all. The worti-are, 'Sedum ngginst the hing;' this ficlps mot, for ever) ofliue es aganst the kng, agamst his crown and dgonts; that whoch divturbe the: entmonweuld is iggamet the bugg; sedious doctrine is serdition againat the bing, as is lieforestuid. In 26 II. G, rude prost/at, tol. 19, the Iords and cumusons desure the has, that WilIam de la lool may lię commutted for divers treasons, and sundiy other heinous crimes; and the petition held not good, because too general: whereupon they evhilit, particular Articles against lim.-And theretore upon the a hole matter, prayed, that Mr. Long night be discharged from his imprisomucut.

On anyther day, Berhley and Davenport, the king : Serjeants, argued for the king, that this-Return was sufleciont in law to detain them in prison.

Berliley began, and said, That the case is new, and of great weight and consequence; and yet, under favour, the prerogative of the king, und the liberty of the subject, are not manaly iouched therein; for the case is not sogeperal as it hath buen made, but particular upon this particular return. The Liberty of the Subject is a tender point, the right whereof is great, just, and inviulabla. The Prerogative
of the King is an high point; to which every subject ought in subnit. I mitend not to, inake any discouses of the one or the other, 1 wis. oniy rememjee what the kme lath determined upon them both, io his speech which the madie upon the Petition of Right; to wh, that the people', Liheru;s strengthen tia king's Prerogwill, and that the King's Prerogatise :~ to retient the proplu's Labertics. This may vitte the thests of the penple concerning there hiverty. The waty which 1 intend to treat 10 my : acgu-
 which have beell made, and to pue some Reattons, whrrehy this return shall Le sufficiont.
The Objectnous whech have been made nie roducible to four beado: 1. By what the prisourer bere blall be son to the commatted and detames. 3. Then this omunitment is ugainst the l'etition of light. 3. That the cause wheth is here returued is general and inceitam. A. Thet the olfences mentoned in the Return the but fineable; aud therefore, notwithentuhuy them, the party is bailath

For the linst, it hath bena objected, That the rommituant hare was hy the lords of the privycouncil, iud the sigorfication of this cause is hy the turg himself. But I say, that there i a firrther watter in the teturn ; for the lords on the counct do it by the command of the b.nes, and thry only pursuc this command. I will not singute whether the Lords of the Connal hate power to compit an offender of m, 1 is commsa in experience, 33 11. 6, 39.
 E'ctum of Rught it is admatted, that they mas cumbit. And the is not alled ligel there toi rgietause, but the grierance there was, becatue the patioular chanere of ' ommitment was nye showed. Soate books dare been ebjocted to prove, tifat the lmp, thomph im promen, camut tomitat any peren; J4 II. 6, F. alonsuance tie fants live. Ducthe nuthonity of that book vamblueth, if the cose he put at large, which was is tirspass for cutting of treers. The defendant sand, That the place where, dec. is parect of the manor of 1 . whereof the ling is belze: in ler, and the king conamauds us to cut. And the opiniunsof the count was, that this is no plea, without shening a specialty of the command of the king. Aud there the whole court says, That if the kug command me to arrest a man, avhereby 1 turest him, he shall have trespass or imprisomuent against nac; salthough it be done in the prescrice of the king. That the following words aresto be urderstood, that the prucipal case was of one command of the.king lyword, and then such cammand by word to nriest a mann 23 soal. Aud 1 Ir. $7,4$. was objected; Huseey says, thd Marhhum said to hing Eidw. 4, that he camnet arreat a man fir suspicton on treason or ielimy, bec.uso if he do wrong, the paty cannot hate his uction. To this 1 say, That the, buok there is to lie understood of a wrongriuf arrist, for tere't is ejonken of an Action of fise imprisenancent: :and a wrongful arrest cannot be motel by the hime.
2. If atande not with the dignony of phe haig
in arrest any man. Coke, 4. 73. The hing makes a leace tor senrs, rendering rent, "nin condusun of re-cnity for non-paygient; he shafl take rdiantage of the conditum without any demond; and the reason there ginen, is, that a decuruin and conveniency might lie off servel. St, it is not belitting for the king m person to arrett any man, hut the king may vominand anather is do 15. Bractop, Lub. \%. - De acquirendo rerum donsimo,' fol $8=1$. says, That the crown of the hing is to do fustice and juldment, and facte peren, without which the c ant iteelf caunot sulsist. Severial constructhas are to he ingale yino the-e several words; and the- lost words 'facrere pacen' infply, that the hine lath a coercive power. Britun f. L. anongst the Frreta. The king said, Becauso we arn mit onfficient in peason to do every hing, pe disule the charge into nuany parts. We are the poople's jusuce, and a justice implies oue that hath power to iló justice in every kind, to wht, by mprismament, or otherwige, $20 \mathrm{H}. \mathrm{7}$, ${ }^{4}$ Caho 11, 85 . it is s.ind, That the hing is thu Cbuefontice. And Jambert, in low Justice of Peace, fol. 3. says, That in ancient hirtones, the Chief Justice of England is called ' Caprtalis Justiciartus et Pienn Justicia,' after the kme in England. So that the king hath the same power of justice, as he clieffjustice had. This imprisonment bere, which is before conviction for any offence, is not used towand the sulject as imprisonment for uny fault, hat is rather an arrest or iestrant to avoid farlher inconveniences, 111!, 7, 3. 1 jantice of peace may arrest mea rimtounly asoembled, tor preravion of further mincthicf. And the Boole alsu says, That he may leave bis servants there to nrrect men, for safeguard of the peace. It is a çase well hoows, that if a bouse be set ous fire, evcry man may pull down the wext house, For grivection of a greater miscluef; to is senh, consorning the incendiaries of state, they ought to be restrained and supprest, lest others slopuld be stired up by then bs the same combustion, 22. Ass. 56 . ald 29 F. 4, 45. an tilse imprisomment the dufendaut justufirs, because the phaintill was mad and oul of his wits, and that he had done some harm, and that he had bonnd and heat him to avoid further harm, which might have happened by his madness; and the justrication was held ;ood. So is it in matter of gorermment ; th avond commotions; the king ought to use his coerene puwer against those that are entaged. The oljection was, that this course nas agaiast the Pettion of Right. But I atswer, That this case is out of the words of that Petition; the worls of the etition wele " Wheroas by the statute calld the (Breat C'barter, and ly ilie'statute of 28 E. 3, no freeman may he tahen wr mprizoned; -yet against the tenour of the sail statute, \&c. dives of yoar aijpecis lase or late been inprigwel, villinut any caure shewed; nad when for their deliverance, \&ce. they were brought efure : he rostiecs hy witts of Habean Corpus, there in unilergo and iereive us the court should orler, and thear keepers çomasuded to certify
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the ctause of their detainer, and no cause was certified, but that they were detained by your majesty's special comunand, siguiticd by the lords of yuur council ; and yet were.retumed back to several prisous, without being charged with any thingto which they might make answer necordung to law," Thicae last words are observable, ' without being charged with any thing 'to whe lh they might uake answer;' these words du not forier to the retarn of the IIabeas Corpus; ior the cause returned therein cannot be traversed, 9 II. 6, 34 . but the court took it as true. But the setting forth of the cause, and the answer to the same cause, is to be upon other proceedings, to wit, upon the hatictment for the offence, or otheryise. And there is a great difference between the return of a writ to which a man may answer, and the return of un Habeas Corpus, 10 E. 4, and 3 II. 7, 11. aue, that if the sheriff return Rescous, all cer: tainties of every ciccumbance ought to be shewed; because it is fittung, that a thing cortain be brvught into judgment. And upar shewing of the grievance, us ulove, the pettion is, that no treenan, iu any stelt manoer as before is mentroned be mpsienod or detaned; sach, and it harh relation to such imprisonnuent, wheh is mentioned in the pumives. Aud napuisonment mentioned in the premises of the perition, is, where no cause at all was mentioncd; they where any couse is shenel, is out of the petition, and that surls is the wod relatior, uppeurs by Coke 11. 62. where many cases anc pat to the same purpose, which sec.
The third Objectom was, That the Return wus general and uncertain. The counsel on the other side bad diseded the words of the return, but that is lis offer violence thereto; fin an exposition shall not be made by fractions, but upon the whole matter. For the first worls, -' notable contempts'-it hath been said, that the aditition of the word notakle is but to make a flourish: But I say, That yolable is uot the emphasis of the retarn, latt it only expresseth the nature of the offence; and yet ' notable' is a word observable ly itself ia the law, and impties, that the thing is knowy and noted. By 27 E. 1, sherifts shall be punished, that let nutorious offenders to bail; and by the statute of 4 II. 4, cap. 3. a notoriohs or common thief shall not make his purgation: and 26 E. 3, 71. in a trespass for false imprisonment, the defendant said, That the plaintiff came into the town of lluntington, and lecause he was seen in the company of IR. de Thorby, who was a notorious theef, he, as bailiff of Huntington, took him upon suspicion. I confess, that -'for contempts' - is general, yea, it is genus generalissimum, and within the Petition of Right; but the words are, - - ngainst our'self.' It hath been said, that this might be by irreverent words or gestares $\_$- And our - Governnent'-it hath been said, that this might be by contempt to the king's wht, of ioy Retruxit, as Beecher's case is. To this I answer, that those words which are spoken to one. purpose, ought not to be wrested to avother;
and this is against the common meauing of the words. Cole 4. Thou art a marderer, the defendant shall not afterwards explain it to be $n$ murderer of hares, for the liighest murder is intended. So liere, the lighest goverument is intended.
4. It hath been objected that-C for stir'ring up of sedition ugainst $\mathrm{d}_{3}$ '-may pprhaps be but an offence fingable: Lut those words joined with the former words, shew this to be an offence of the highost nature; sedition is a special contempt. And although sedition in itself may be but a general offence, yet luere it is,_-s sedition agaust us and our govern' ment'-which nakes it particular. It hath heen confessed by one, that argued on the other sude, that there is-a general ho a particular. Coke 1. p. 75. Holland's case, there is the most geueral, and there is a general in particular, as the state ecrlestantical. Thadly, There is more particular, as the colleges, deane, and chapters. This being in a case of reatmon upoun Habeas Curpus, no precise certainty is required. In on indietment, a certainty of all circtunstances is requisite; in pleading, a ceatanty is required; in counts, a more precose certhinty ; in Lars, a certainty to a common intsot 15 enough. Thera is not auch precise certainty requard here am in indelnent or comit, because the party onght to tubser unto then; nor so much certamy requeed in this as in a bar. And the rotura is niat incertam; for, as it is said an Llowden 202. and 193. a thang is meertail, where it may be tahen indifiesendy me way or the other. Bur. where the intendmetut the otie way exceeds the intendwent the other way, it is not uncesthan, as at is here. The woris are, - ${ }^{4}$ for notable 'contempts against us qud our Goverment, 'and for starring upoof sedition against us.'Here is a certainfy of inteadment one wily. There are many, writs which are more oncertan than this return here us, and yet good. The Writ concernug the tahing of an Apostate is general, 'quod spreto halitu ondmis;' and yet there are more s.rts of apostacies. In the writ conceruing the amoving of a leper, the words are general, and yet it appears by F. N. B. that there are two kinds of $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{S}}$ pers, one outward, and the uther mward; and for the latter, the writ conccrine anoviag a leper. So the writs concornug the burming of an bretic, and concerning the burning of an idjot, are general ; end yet there are sundry kinds of heretics and itieots also. But it hath been aljected, that Seclition is not a law-tenn, nor known in the law, of which the judges can'tahe no notice; hut the words to express offences of this.nature, are murder, treason, felony, \&c. and that no indictument of sedition generally was ever seen. To this 1 auswer, perbaps it is true, that no in-' dictunent was ever seen made, lic cause the form of an indiçnaent is precise; words of art are required therein, ns appears in I)yer 69, 261. LQke 4. p. 39. Vaun's case ; yet in 5 E. 6, Dyer 69. it is said, that furatus inplies felonice cepit, although the controry hadh been objected. In a return, words by periplarasis are sulicient.

The warrant of a justice of petce to apprehead J. S. becausc of prepense malice, interjecit J. $\dot{D}_{\text {, }}$ is good enough, although there wants the word murdruvit. In 5 l. 2. F. Trial 54. Belknap says, That a miscreant shall forfeit bis land. Out of which it may be gathered, that a man may be indicted for miscreancy. And it sceans likewise, that an indictront of sedition may be good, for in some cases it is treason. I agrec, Peake's case, which hath been objected, that fur these words ' seditious fellow' no action lies, and so is Coke 4. 19. beciuse those words do not import an act to be done, but only an inclanation to do it; but if a man say such words of another, which import that hie hath made sedition, they are actionable, as it was resolved in Phill ph's and Budhy's case, 24 Eliz. Coke 4. 19. 'Thou hast made a seditious Ser' mon, and moved the people to sedition this 'day,' uljudged actionable. So in the lord Cromwel's. Cohe 4. 12, 13. the action would have lain for thoce words, 'You like of those - that maintain sedition against the queen's pro'ccertmes,' if there had not beew another matter in the case. I agree, the case of ?1 K. 3. Sir John Garbyol's case, and 42 1.. 3, for in those caser, sedition wns ouly taben adjectively, and slews au inclination only to do a seditous act; and in such sense, sedition may be applied to other offences than treuson. In 31 J. 1. i. Gard. 157. (Garicin in Soc:gre made feofinent of hand which he layd in ward, this is forleiture, says the book, for the treason which he did to the ward; so there, one thing is called treason, which is only a breach of trust. In an appeal of Mayhem, it is trlonici, and yct © II. 7.1. it is not felony; but felouy is there only put to express the heinousuess of the offence: it is as it were, $n$ felony. The statute of $\frac{2}{}$ II. 4. IMar, 13 Elu, 35 Lliz. 17 K .2 .3 \& 4 K. 6. 1s Eliz, which liase beca objected, lave the 'word st ditton, but not applicable to this case. Bractont in his book de Corona, says, 'si quis,' \&ec, L'any liy rash attempt, plotting the king's death, should act, or canse any to act, to the sedition of the lord the hing, or of his army, it is treason. And Glauvile, in as many words, says, That to do any tlying in sedition of the kmgdom, or of the army, is hight-treason. And Brition, fol. 16. it is high-treason to disinherit the king of the rethlin, and sedition tendeth to the disinheritanca of the king; for, as it hath. been saill,' Sedrtion est quasi scorstin itio,' when the people aro severed from the king: or it in, 'Separims à ditione,' when the people are severed from the power of the king. And in this sense sedition is no ${ }^{\circ}$ stranger in our law; and fach sedition which severs the people from the king, is treason.

But it hath been objected, That by the statute of 25 E .9 , the parliament ought ouly to determine what is treason, what tor To this 1 answer, That upon the said statute, the prositive law had always made explication nadexpber. tion. Br . Treason 24, the words are ' Compass ' or imagine the death of the king;' and there it is tnkun, that he that maliciously deviseth how
the king may come to death, by words or otherwise, and does not act to explain it, as, in assaying harness, this is tretison. $15 \mathrm{EI} . \mathrm{Dy} .298$. Doctor Story's case, he being beyond sea, practised with a foreiga prince to invade the realm, and held treason, because invasion is to the peril of the prince, and so within the statute of 24 E. S, Mar. Dy. 144. The taking of the castle of Scarborough was treasou in Stafford, by 30 Ass. p. 19, which was presently ${ }^{2}$ \&ter the making of the statute of 25 E. $\mathbf{3}, ~ \Lambda$ man ought t. have been hanged and drawn, that brought letters of excommengencut from the pope, and published them in England; and it is to be noted, that at the same time there was no statute to make it treason, but upon construction of the said statute of 25 E. 3, though now it be made treason by the statute of 13 Eliz. if it let with intention to advance foreign power. 5 Felhaps the sedition mentioned in this return is ligh treason; and yet the king may make it un uffence fuable, for he may progecute the Affender in what course be plenseth; and if it be treason, then the prisoners are not bailable by the statute of Westminster. But, supposo that it is but a finable offence, yet lyy the suid statute, those who are imprisoned for open and notorivus naughtinest, shall not be bailed; the sune uaughtiness is there inteuded luigh and exorbitaut offeace.
2. It is fit to restrain the prisoners of their liherty, that the common-n ealth be not duannified. It is lawful to pull down a house to preret: the spreading muschef of fire; it is lawfol to restram a furious man. And by the 14 II. 7, a justice of peace may restrain a ront. Then the- restraint of dangerous men in the commonwealth is justifiable and necessary, 24 E. 3, 33. $p_{i}$ 2.5. sir Thomas Piget went armed in the palace, which was shewed to the king's councilt wherefore he was taben and disarmed before the chief-justice, and committed to the prison, sand be could not be baled till the king sent his pleasure; and yct it was shewed, that tha lord of 'T, threatewed him. Out of which case I observe two things: 1. That the jurge of this court did cause a man to be apprchended, upon complaint made to the council, that is, to the lords of the privy-council. 2. That although lie dad nothing, he is not mainpernable until the king sent his pleasure, because he was armed and furiously disposed. So here. Wherefore lpray, that the prisoners may be sent bach again.

Davenport argued to the same iutent and purpose, and therefure I will report his argu** ment briefly.

1. He said, That the return here is sufficient. The counsel on the other side have made fractions of this return, and divided it into several parts, whereas the genuine construction ought ty have teen made upon the entire return; for IIo violence ought to be offered to the text. $T$ E. 4, 20. In fulse imprisonment, the defegdant did justify, and alledged several reasons of his juitification; to wit, because a man was killed,
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and that this was in the county of S. and that the common voice and fame was that the plaintuff was ctipable. And this was held a goond plea, athonugh Bryan did there object, That the plea was double or treble; and the rearon was, liceavise twenty ctuses of stuspicion male Fit onm eature cause; and indivisitile
 $\mathbf{S}_{2}$ aU. In 1 aters tate. In an action of ucsIn ; jir defendtunt justifics for sereral causes, ond held ${ }^{8}$ good, " lev anse umon the maiter, all of them make but one caoce. Cioke 8. 1. 17, It is sadd, That it is an unj ne thing, unless the whole law lie loohosi int, 10 , iodze and answer, by proptounding any rase particular therenf; and it- it be uynast in the expmition of a law, it is uncrad an a strum to make fractions of it; in the cont. ut tion theresf esprecially, it Leing n retu'll tior infurnation, sual not for ac cusatoon.
2. Although the counsel ou the other side have tahen thi + rase to be wathin the Petotion of Right, yet thas is I'efifio prancipic, os take that for grianted whole is ilie quention in dobate. Ile said, Tliat he would wot offer violeace to the I'etition of Itight, to which the hing lati assented, and whirh shall really be peaformed. But the questiong here is, whether this return be within $t$ ? And the Julges arc keopers, not masters of this pledge: aud it scems, that dais return is out of the letter and mean!g of the said statitits.
3. He said, That this was the artual comnitbuent of the lords of the pricy-cromed, anil the habitual or virtual conariturent of the king. [Bur because upen these tro neitcis he pat po cabe, nor gute aby reason, lut what had been put or ghen in the arzument of the es.and If tiras Corpus, Stic!!. 3 Caroli, and afterwzeds in the house of comambis, (vile page 53, Xic. ante) whach was reported to the lurds in the pronted chamber, I have here omitted them.] And for the great respect whin h the law gives tis the comuands of the kung, he put these cases: 711.3 , fftachnient on taste agrinat the ten:ant in durser, and the sraste was assigned in the tuking of lish not of a purad, and the curs ing lhein wway. And the defendant pleaded, That her atrond bis'sand, by the cummand of the lord thee king, toe,k all the tigh out of the sutd pond to the use of the lurit the Ling, and held a good justification ; which ploves, that the commiani wh tha bug there to her husband, excused her of the sadd nasie. And yet it is c!ear, that a teuant in dower is liable to au action of waste, for wasul done in the time of her secund hishand: but contrary is it, where a woman is teuant for life, and tool. a husband, who made waste and *لied, mu netion lies acainst the wife fir that waste. Aul F. N. B. 17 A. If the tenant in pracipi at the grand cape makes default, the king may send a writ to the justices, rehearsing that be was in his sovvice, \&e, commanding them, that that defauls be, not gef judicial to him; and this compnand of the kitg excuseth his default, the the cause true or nos.
4. For the particahars of the retira, it is' for notable contcruptsaguinst the governuent;'
-but as to that, it hath been said, that the king hath suudry governinents, to wit, ecclesiastical, political, sc. and it is not sbewn ugainst $n$ hich of them. This is but a cavilling exceptoon; they meght as well have excepted to this return, because it is not shewn, that these contempts were niter the last geyceral pardon; that bad been a lietter exception. The last wurds of the retura are,-' ramanesedition against us;' -hut as to shus, it has been snid, that seditio is not a word hnown in the lav, and is always taken enher adverbially, or adjectively, nnd is not a sulatantwe. To this he sadd, that although it is not a substantive for the preservation, yet It is a substantive for the destruction of a king. donn. And he suid, that he found the word scdutio in the law, and the consequent of it likewise, which is seductoo populi, But it is not ever found to be taken in a goond acnse, it is ulways ranked and coupled with treason, rebelLiun, msurrectuon, on such like, as it appears by all those statutes which ive been remembered on the othes side. Therefore he prayed that the I'risoners mighe be seut back.

## Trin. 5 Cur. I. B. R.

The first day of the Terro, upon Ifabeas Corpus to sir Allen Apslex, the Licutennint of the Tuwer, to loring here the Lodly of Jolin Selden, eqq. wath the cruse of detention; be returned thur same cause no in Mr. Arouth case: and Ber. Latite ton (afierwarion of Edward, and Chise Jostice of the Contun D 'leae, and Kieper of the Giest Scal) of coumal with him tuined, This the Miturn was insulficient in substance; thelefure lie proyed that he might be bauled. It is true, that it is of great cousequence, both to the crown of the king, and to the Liberty of the Suhject. But, under favour, lor the difficulty of law contained in it, the cuse caunot bo called graud. In my argument, I will offer nothing to the court, hat that which 1 have seen' with these eyes, and that which in my understiudiug (which is mech sibjert to mistakes) can receive nus sufticient auswer.
I will divide my argument into four several Heads:

1. To point out those nutters which I think unnecessary, and not conducible to the matter in question.
2. I will consider the Waffant of the Privy (Conncil in this case.
3. The Werrant of the king himself.
4. The Objections whach have been made by he concrary side, the strength of them, and give answer to them.

For the first of these heads, 1 . I will glmit, that the king may commit a man. 2. That a man committed by the king is not replevisable by the sheriff, but he is bailable by this court, notwithstanding the statute of Westm, 1, c. 15. And that ke'shall not be bailable, is against the Petition of Right; I will not dispute it, for it is eatelished bythe Answer of the king to the said Petition. And the arguments nfhde to this purpose in the said parliament, and in the Paintrd Chamber before both the houses, arc recorded
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in parliament, to which every gne may resort. But I will lay as a ground of my following argunent, that as offences are of two natures, capital, or as trespasses; so they are punished in two manners, twe wit, capitally, or by fine, or imprisonmeut. For the offences of the first nature, as Treasons, and the like, imprisonupeut is imposed upon the offenter, only for custody; but for mistlemeanors of the second nature, imprisounent is imposed upon him for a punishment. Then this is my ground, That no Freeman that is imprisoned, ouly fur misdeueanors before conviction, may be detained in prison without bail, if it be offered, unless it be in some particolar cases, in which the contrary is ordaned by any particular statate.
2. For the Warrant of the Privy Council, which signifies the pleasure of the hing to consmit the prisoncr; perhap,s this was a good ground of the commitueni, but it is in ground for the detaining of the prosoner without bail; and this the king hurelf hath acknowledged, as the ancient right of the subject, in the Petition of Kight; wherefore it is not now to be disputed.
3. For the Warrant of tlee king, as it is certified by this Return, there is not any sufficiens mause contained within it, for the detaining of "he prisoner in puson; for the low beiug, as I have declared abore, that for a modemeanor beiore sonciction, no freeman way be iarpirenvel inefoche convetion, nithout lial or mainn: os, the sole queston now is, if this return conam whthan it my capiral offence; or if only a tre"phes or mademeanor, and then the party is baliable : and for the dioquisition hereof, I will consider the Return, 1. As it is divided in several parts : '2. I will consider all those parts of it together. 1. As it is severed in parts. The first part of it, 'for notalile contempts by - him commsted agninst ourcell and our govern'ment.' For ' contempts,' all contempts are ngaust the kmg, medhately, or imunediately, sud against his goverument.' 'Notable,' this is all one with soton un, and uamfest, as appears by the statute ot W estm. $1, \mathrm{c} .15$, and 2 i F., 3, 71 , whach hath been rememberel. And ' metable' is hat an cmplatical expression of the nature of the thine, and alters it not. 'Against us,' all riots, routs, batteries, and trespawes, are against us, and aganst our crown and diguity; contempt, againt our court of justice is a contempt aganst us. But if the Return were unale here, that he was committed for a contenpt made, in Chapacery, the party shall be hailed, as it was resolved in this Court in Michact Apsley's case, and in Ruswell's case, 13 Jacobi, fir the retara is too general. In it the nature of the offence ought to be axpressed, that the court inay jodge thereof. And 'contempts' here is individuun vagum: therefore for them, Lefore cgnviction, the party cunnot be imprisoned without bail or mainprize.
The second pirt of the Return is "and for 'stirring up of Sedition against us:' the other side said, That eeditio is ever taken in the worse
sense: that is true. But hence it follows not, that the party that commits it is not hulable. Every small offence is taken in the worst sense, as the stealing of an apple, and the the ; but such kind of offenders shal' not be committed without bnit To examine the nature of this offence, which is called ' sedition,' it ought to be understood, as this Return is, cither as trespass, or us Sligh Treason'; for it cannot be intended to be Petty Treason, sor Petie Tresson is so called in rispect of the offence when to uny particular sulject; but in respect of the king, it is but as a Felony, therciore the Indictnents for the same are feloniously and traitorously. " And here the nosds arr, 'sedition aganst ws' so, of wecessaty it ought tw be intended of an offence, that more inmediately concerns the same king. For the disertong of this nuater,
'1. I wilf consder in what sene nul signification this word seditio is use d.
2. How th shall be expounded beee liy rela. tion thereof to the hing.
3. What sense these wotls ' ngainst as,' shall have here.

1. For Sedition ; it is not found in the division of offences in our law, but as it is mingled and coupled with uther etfences. No inwetment of sedition eniy was ever seen, nor can be shewn; muts, riots, and unlawful assenL.i.es, re moth of the sarae nature with it, nad do "etl express the natue of sedition. The Enciah word is drawn hem the wond seditia in Latr:, and the derivativa of it in, as hath been obsecyed, se-ztu, or crorshus tita; and the seditious, as one says, talke a theremm and draw others. st is used an the F.aife, in poets, histories, and orators, for tuwe.it, for harlyburly, or uproar, ur confused nuise,- - Scelitio' que tecen, dubiaque sumerro,' in Liv. lit. a. cap. 44. Aud in Tacitus in is taken for mutany in an army, when the army is always repining at the captain. In the Italian language, which is the elder sun of Latin, selition and discord is all one. Numb. cap. 20, 3, the Jatin translation is, 'Versi in seditone;' the English is, ' chode,' or 'murmured.' Numb. 26, 0 , the I, atin i-, 'In selltione Corah;' the English is, ' In the Connuany of Corah.' Numb. 47, 3, the Iatin is, 'Nec fuit in seditione eorum;'; the English is, 'in the conupany or assembly of them.' Judg. 13. 1, the Latin trauslation is, 'Facte est ergo seditio in Ephrain ;' the English translation is, 'The men of Ephrain gathered themselves together.' In the Ner Testament, Acts 19, 10. Selitio in the Latin is translated ' uprosr' or 'mceting.' Acts 15, 2. 'Facta est ergo seditio,' Nec. and it is translated 'dissension' and 'disputa'tion.' Acts 24. 5, Tertullus the Orator accuseth Paul for moving sedition; and the subsequent words are, 'A ring leader of the sect of the, Phurisecs;' so that his sedition there As but a schism : and the words there are in a manner the rery same witb our's here ; therc it was, ' for moving:' here, '-fpr stirring of 'Sedition.' Serlitio, as an upproved author mays,
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imports discordiam, to-wit, when the members of one body fight against another. The lord of St. Albang, who was lately the Lord-Chancellor of Englaed, aid was ì lawyer and great statesman liewse, and well hnew the acceptation of this word 'sedition' in our law, har:h made an essny of sedution, and the title of the essay is, -Of Seditions and 'Tumults:' the whole essny deserves the reading. (See 3 Bacon's Works, 320.) Aud there is a Prayer in the Litany, ' frourbiddtion and IIeresy, \&cc.' So that here sedition is taken us a kind of rect.
This beng the natural sigmfication of the word, then the next labour shall be to sce, if any thing in our Law cross this exposition. And it seems clearly, that there is not, $2 \mathrm{H.4}$, c. 15.' And it is 'in the Parliament-roll, n. 48, aghinst Lollards, who at that tme were taken as heretics, and way, That such prenchers which excite and stir up to sedition, shall be conventefi Lefure the Ordmare, \&c. There, sedition is taken for dissension and division in doctrine, And this is not made Treason by the said stak tute, although the said statute he now repealed by the statute of 95 II. 8, c. 4, 1 and 2 Phil. \& Nar. c. 3, which is in Rastal, News 4, which is un act aquanst seditions words and news of the kin ; and $q$ queen, which is a great misdemeanor; and yet the punisharent appointed to be inflicted liy the said statute, is but the pillory, or a fine of 100 l . And the said statute by the statute of 1 Eliz. C. 16, was extended to her also, which statute now by her death is espired : which 1 pray may be observed, 13 Fliz. cap. 1, agzunst those who seditiously pablish who are the true heirs of the crown, that they shall be imprisoned for a year, \&cc. And 13 Eliz. c. 2, the sediuions brimging in of the pope's bulls is made treason, which mplies, that it was not so at the cominon law. 23 Eliz: c. 2. If any person shall devise, write, or priat any book, containing any false, selitious and slanderous matter, to the stirring up or noving of muy rebellion, \&cc. every such offence shall be judged felony. And in an Indictunent upon the said statute (which see Cohe's Entries, f. 352, 353.) there are the words-' rebellionem et seditionem movere;' and yet it is but felony, 35 Eliz. c. 1, made against sedtious sectaries. Aloo there are certam Books and Ruthorities in Jaw, which express the nature oi the word sedition, Coke's 4 Rcp. p. 13, the lord Cronuwell's Case. In an action for those words [' you like - of those that maintain seditions against the 'queen's procecdings'] the defendant pleaded, That he intended the maintenance of a seditious sermon; and this was adjudged a gnod plea and justification. From which it follows, that the seditious sermou mentoned in the dcclaration, and the maintaining of sedition agaust the queen, is all of one signification; for if they might have been tnhen in a different sense, the justhfication had not been good. 'Philips and Badby's case, which is in Coke's 4 Kep. p. 19, a, which was pljected ty serjeant Berkley, makes strongly for me ; for there an action opon the case wad brought by a porson for
those words, "Thou hast made a seditious ser' mon, and moved the people to sedition this ' day.' And although it were there adjudged, that the action lay, yet the reason of the Judgment is obscrvable, which was, because the words scandalize the plaintiff in his profession; which imply, that if they had not scandalizel him in his profession, no nétion would luere lain. And ordinary words, jf they scandalize a man in his profession, are actionable; as to say to a Judge, that he is a corrupt mau; or to a Merchant, that he is a bankrupt; nlthough if they were spohen to another mam, they would not bear an action. And although the Book say, that nu act followed there; yet if the matter objected had been treuson, the very will had leen puaishgble, nad, by consequence, $n$ great slander. But it is observed, that words which imply mu inclination ouly to sedition, are not actionable, as 'seditious knave;' but inclination to trenson is treason, therefore words which imply it are activnable. And also for divers words an action upon the case will lie, which induce not treason or felony; as for calling a woman whore, by which she loseth her marringe, and such like. Then sedition is no oflence in itself, lut the aggravation of an offence; and no indictunent, as I have said afore, was ever scen of this singly by itself. Trin. 21 E. 3, rot. 23. Sir Joln Garbut's case, which was put before by Mr. Mason; the indictment was in prejudice of his crown, and in manifest sedition; and yet the offence there was but a robbery. It is true, that upon his arraignment he stood mute, therefore the Roll is, that he was put to penance, that is, to strong and liard pain; and this prones, that it was not Treason; for if a man arragned of treason stand mute, yet the usual judgment of treason sjall be given on him. And it is truc also, that he cannot have his clergy, because insidiator onarum was in the indictment; which if it was,' outs the party of its clerigy, until the Statute of 4.I. 4, c. 2, ns is obseried in Coke's 11 Rep. p. 29. Alexander Poulter's Case. And upon the same Roll of 21. E. 3, there are four other indirtments of the same aature, where seditiosè is contained in them. Anno 1535. (vueen Elizabeth sent a letter, whinch I bare seen, by the hands of the noble amtiquary sir Robert Cotton, to the mayor of Dondon, for the suppressing of divers seditious Libels which were published against ber princely government; and yet in the conclusion of the Letter it apvears, that they were only against the earl of Leicester, and tllis was to be"publighed only by proclamation in Londen.

5 II. 4, m. 11. and 1s, the earl of Northumberland preferred a Petition to the king in parliament, in which be confesseth, that be had not kept his majesty's Jaws as a liege subject; and also eqnfesseth the gathering of power, and the giving of liberties: wherefore he petitioned Hig wogship of the king (for so, are the words) for his grace. The king, upon this Petition, demanded the opinion of the lords of parliament, and of the judges assistant, if any thing
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enntained within the said Pefition were treason, or no ; auवेit was resolved by them all, that nothing, as it is mentioned in the said Petition, was Trenson, but great Misdemeanors; and yet truly, though not fully there mentioned, it was a great rebelion and insurrection. But they adjuilged nccording to the sadd petition, us Tmu are now to judge upon the lieturn as it is made here. In Mich, 33 Eliz. Cawdry's Case, Coke's fifth Report, p. 1. Sedition and Schism were described; as Schism is a separation frun the unity of the Church, so Sedition is a separation froin the unity of the Commonwealth. And an Author says, That a seditious person differs from a schismatic, because the one opposeth the spirigual trath, the other the temporal: and as Schism of itseff is not Heresy, so Sedition without other adjuncts is not Treason. Bructon, f. 112, 113, 118. hath bren objected, that he makes sedition treason : I will grant to them, Ilengham alsn, who is to the same porpose: for m those Books it is called, 'Seditio 'regis et regui.' To thema I answer, 1 . That they are obscure. For what sennified 'seditio 'regis,' or 'tumaltus regis?' Shull it be the same thing in sense with 'seditio contra re'gem?' It scems that the said authors neither renember law nor language. 2, Although they reckon sedition amongst the crime lasa majestatis, yet that is not to be regarded; for they are olsolete Auhors, and are not esteemed as authors in our law, as it is in d'l. S56, and Cohe 8. 35 , bat they may be used for oruanent, and they are good marks to shew to us how the law was then tahen, but not to declare how the law is at this duy; they are no binding authority; aud if they be, yet we have them on our side likewise : for m his 14th book, Glanvile says, That a man accosed of such a crime shall he bailed, and thot the accuser shall give pledges. And Bractra says, That if no secuser appears they shall be sct at liberty. And Hengham reckons amongst the crmes lase majes/atis, the hreach of the peace, and so does Glanvile also. Fleta, who was a fillower of Bractom, and trauscribes much rorbatime out of him, call, Sedition, 'Seductioncm' of the lord the king. And 12 Edw. 1, the startate of Rutland, wheh prescribes laws for Wales, enacts, that the therif shall inquite in his tarn, 'te seductori-- bus domini regis ;' and it is not apparent, whether he intended those which seduce the', kıng or his people. And in latter times, Seditio is called Serdutio. In the time of Hen. 7, the earl of Northumberland, being a great and potent peer, and the king standing in awe of him, caused him, with 24 others of great quality, to enter into an obligation of $20,000 \mathrm{l}$. (which obligation is in the liands of sir Robert Cotton) unto him, That if the said earl knew Treason, Sedution, loss, \&cc. to be intended, to the king, that he should reveal it. 3. Ahs 'crimen - lesse mujestatis,' which is the phrase of the Civil Law, is more general than Treason; ithd the old authors which have been cited much, follow the Civil Law, which hath this expression; and sadition by the Civil Law is treason. vel. HI.

But it was resolved 11 R. 2. n. 1i, we are not governed by' the Civil Law,* And the 'Mirrour of Justices,' the principal copy Whlyreof is in Bennet-Coollege library in Comifridge, and there is also a.copy in limcoln's-Irn litary; nor Briton in his Book, who writ in the name of the king, have not the word Scditio in them And 1 aftion confidently, that there camnot be shewn any recoril, hook, or statnte, aiter the making the statute of $2 J^{\prime}$ Ed ${ }^{2} 3$, infthich Seditio is taken as a capital ofience. And yet the ' Mirrour of Justices' reckons up several kieds of Treason, which he divides into Tre: sons againt the gelestial or terrestrial majesty ; again?t the celestial majesty, as Sclusnn, Ifercsy, Miscreancy (and according'to this, tire Book of 5 R. 2, Trin 54 , is to be understond, which says, That a mi-creant shall forieit his lands, because it is a tind af treasoni). And also he shews divers Treasons againet the king, as, The deflowering of the king's eldest daughter, \&ke. but nota word of sedifion. But adiph, that Sedition imports a greater offence than tumult, yct there is no colour to say, that it is trenson ; for $25 \mathrm{Edw}, 3$, is a flat bar (that 1 may use the Inser-Temple plirase) to any thing tio be treason, which is not contained -in it, unless it be made treason ly any specisl net atterwards; and 25 EJiv. 3, does nut make it tieason. Stamford cites Glanville, and Dracton, and other ancient booke, to shew what was treason before the stif statue, and what not: and he sayk, that it was a great doubt what slind Te called treason; saving dat all agree, that any thing that tends to the denth of the king was treason. 3dly, Now examine the worde, -' ugainst us' - those wordo prahe not the clime more heinous, as the case is. I ngree, that it the words had been,-' Sedriou to take nway ' the life of the kang-it nould have been treasou; , yea, the very thatuht of treason iv Irenson, (though mome can judge thereuf till it bo produced in act) 19 I1. 6, 47. b. Wy Newton, 13 Jac . B. R. John Owen's cate, the writing of a letter, whereby he intended the death of the king, was treasoil; but it is not expressed, that the raising of dies sedition was with such intent, whereby this differs from all the cases which can be put, ein which there is such an metnt of the death of the king. Also this raising of scdition against us, shall not be intended treason; for if it had been so, the king would have so expressed lit by the word Treason: for, as m his gracious disposition, he will not extend a fault beyoud the magnuitude thereof, so he will gire to every offence the tiue and genume name. If the rev turn had beeu- 'againist our person'-it had been morc certain, that it conccrned the king immediately; this may be aganst any point of his government. And the proper and natural signification of the words, - ' ugainst us'-is as much as, against nur nuthority, our superintuldeney, nganst our peace, cruwn and diguity,

- Seg Fortescue, f. 115, the which was not cited; there, never sedition $n_{1}$ strife, or mutuur is heard.
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which are the usual words in exery indictment of felony. Every breach of the pence is ngainst ihe' $\AA$ jig. The usual Return upon every orduary , rit out of this count is, that the party be before Us; and contempt to this court is, cuntempt aganast $U s$; and 12 is in the uature of sedition to the king. Contempts to the comit of Star-Chanber are contempts sgainst Us; and upon them, comuissions of rebelliundosue : Ad if the parties are brought in upau su\$h comnission, yet thry are bailable until their conviction. The komg seiles himself, Us, in writs; and every diwherdience to any writ may be said, 'Sellition uygiinst Us.' Routs, riots, illemal assemblies, may well be said and eilled, 'SSedition against Us :' and for such offences, a man shall not be restrained of bis liberty upon an 'it may be.' Such a Return is necessury, hy which the court may be truly infirmed of the offience. For the writ of Habess Corpurs is, to submit and receive what the court shall ordail. Aud this return of this meture is not to tee coimpared to writs, which are general, $\{$ nund make a brief narration of the matier, and are pursied and explained by subsequent declaratious. And yet I urge not, that the return ought to be is certain as an indictuent; fior an fudictinent of Murler is not goon, of it lack the wond muridrasit. But the return upon nu Haleas Corpus, q. d. interf/cit I.S. upm prepeysed malice, is gyod ; firi the natire of the thing is expressed, although the formal word be monaung; but out of the Heturn, the sule: :ance of the offence ought alwags to nppear, which appears not here. But it hath beerrs suid by the other side, That let the cua-e in the retarn be as it will, yet it is not traversable, 9 II. 6, 54. and I conless it. But as Coke's it liep. p. 93 . Jumes Bugg's Case is, the return onght to have cetainly so much in it, that, if it be false, the purty griered may have his action upon the case. Aud tiee grievance complained of in the Pettition of Righti is, That upon such return no cause was ceriified, that is, no such cause upon which any indictuent might be drawn up; for we never understand, that the party sliall be tried upon the II. Corpus, lut that upon the matter contamed wilhin it ant Indictment shall be umade, and ha sball have his trial upon it. And sgt it is clear, and it hath been agreed of all humels, in the argument of the grand H. Corpus, Micl. 3 Car. in this court, that if the cause be certified upoia the returu of the H. Corpus, that the court may jurge of the legality of that causc. 2. Conwider the parts of this Return, as they are cuupled together, - ' for notable contempts by him 'committed ngainst our self and our govern' ment, and for stirring ap of sedition against ' Uss.'- Upon the entire Return, the king joins Sedition with notable Contempts; so that it is ess much ns if he had said, that Selition is one of the notable contempts mentioned irs the first, part of the Return, so that ho malks it but a conterppt. For the generality and jucertininty. of the Retarn, 1 refer myself to the cases put by Nr. Asl, and I will not weve any of them.

True it is, if the Return had been, that it was for treason, he had not been -Jailable but by the discretion of the court, and such return would have been good; but it is not so of Scdition. Gard. 157. treasoin is applied to a petty offence, to the breach of trust by a guardian iu Socage ; but it is not tyenson. And so Sedition is of far less nature thau Treason, ar-a is oftentunes taken of a tresphss ; it is not trenson of iisell, nor seditionè was never uised in an Indictuent of Trenson. It was not Treason before the 25 of Edw. 3 , nor can it be trenson; for 2 S Edw. 3, is a flat bar (us I have soid before) to all uther offences to be treason, which are not contuined within the said net, or Jeclared by any statute afterwurds. And there are offences which are more beinous in their mature than sedition is, which are no Treason, as Insurrcctions, \&ce. which see in the statute 11 II, 7 , c. 72 II. 5, c. 9.8 H. 6, c. 14. 5 R.2, c. 6. 17 R. 2, c. 8. And by 3 and 4 E. 6, c. 5 , the assembly oi twelve persons to attempt the alteration of any law, and the continuance together by the spuce of nu hour, being commauded to return, is mule treason; which act was continued by the Statute of 1 Mar. c. 12, aud 1. Firk c. $\mathbf{j 6}$. Lut now is expired by her death, and is not now in force, (allhoughi the contrary be conceived by some) which í pray may he well observed. By the Statute of 1.4 Elu. c. 1, releellious taking of the C'astles of the king is made Treayno, if they be not delisered. \&c. which shews clenrly, that such taking of Costles in its unture was not treason. Bot the sxid Statute is now cexpired; and also all statutes, creating new Treasous, are now repealed. But, for a conclusion of this part of my argument, I will cite a case, which 1 think express in the point, or more strung than the case m1 question : and it was M. 9, E. 3, roll 39, B. R. Peter Russel's Case ; he wns committed to prison by the Deputy-Justire of Noilh-Wales, because he was accused by one William Solyman of Sediton, ind other thangs torching the king; And hercupon a Conmissinn issued out of the Chancery, to euquire, if the said Peter Russel behaved hiinself well or seditiously ngainst the king ; and by the inquisition it was found, that he behaved limself well. And upon an IIabeas Corpus out of this court, his body was returned, but no cause. But the said inquisition was trought hither out of Chancel $f$, and for that no cause of his caption was retorned, he prayed delivery; but the court would not delirer him, till it ksew the cause of bis commituent: Therefore, taking no regard of the said inquisition, they now send a Writ to the nour justice of Wales, to certify the cause of his counnitment. And thereoppon he made this returu, That the aforessid Peter Russel was taken, because one William Solyman charged him, thag bee had committed divers Seditions agninst the lard the king; and for that cause the was dettined, and for no nther. And becnuse ibe Return meutions not what Sedition in special, be was bailed, but not diacharged. And I desire the builment of the prisoner only, end not his
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deliverance. I desire that the Case be well observed. In the said case, there was an actual Sedution against the king; here is only a stirring up of sedition. The words of the said Award are, 'videtur curia;' which are the soJemn words of a Judgment, given upon great deliberation. There it was-' for other things ${ }^{2}$ eqoncerning us'-This is all one as if he had said,-'s for other dhings against us'-Concerning the king, and, against the king, are all one, ns appeurs by 25 E. 3, c. 4, de Clero, Stamf, 124, Westm. 1, c. 15. Bracton, f. 119, 14 Eliz, c. 9. And the words of the Judgment in the said Case, were not ' dunittitur' ' ideo dimitten'dus,' which inply the right of the party to be bailed. The sajd case in some things was more particular than our case, and more strong; for there was an accuser to boot, which wants in our case. There, truc it is, that be was counutted by the Justice of Wales, aud here by the king himself; but this mukes no difference, as to this Court; tior, be the comminment by the krog himself, or by any other, if it be not upon just canse, the party nay be bailed in this court. And for the inquisitim, which as meutoned, it was no trial in the case; nor did the court give any regard thereto. To detain the prisoner by the command of the king sinely, is akainst the P'etition of Right; hat it being coupled with the cause, the cause is to be considered, and the truth of the cause is to be intended, aly well where it is mentioned to be by an inferiour Jadge, as where by the king himself, for it is traversable neither in the one nor other. And 22 II, 8 , roll 37, B. K. and 1 II. 8, roll 8. Harchon's Case, resolved, That a man committed by the command of the king is balable. And 33 Eliz. it owas resolved by all the Jertices of Eingland, which I have viewed in Chief-Justuce Auderson's Book, under h:s own hand, and it was produced in parlianevt, That all men comiutted by the privy-council are bailable, if the commitinent be not for High-Treason. In all cases of commitment, an accuser is understood. Suppose that the accusation mentioned in Rassel's Case of Sedition, had been an accusation of Treason, then the Judges ought not to have bailed him of right, and no man will say, bot that the said accusution was a good cause to eonmit him. IBut the discovery of the offence ought to be afterward in sal indictment.

Fourthly, I come to the Objections which have been intade on the contrary.

1. It was objegred, That this was a case of great consequence; I confess it, hut this consequence is not to the Fing; for if it be truly treason, then they inight have returued treason, eand then the party was not to be bailed of right, till there should he a failure of prosecation ; as was lately in Melvin's Case, who was bailed for lack of prosecution; the retura being for IIIgh-

## Ireason.

2. It was objected that there can be no'tous= viction, as this case in, therefore there ought to be coercive power to restrain the prisoner. This is atrange news to me, thist there, shall be
nny offence for which a man cannot be convicted. And if there can be no couviction, it hence follows, that there is no prange; and if there be no offence, there ough yy cfonseymunce to be no imprisonment.
3. The case of $14 \mathrm{H} .7,8$, hath been objected, that a Justice of Peace may commit rioters withcut bail. 1 confess it, for this is by force of a statute which ordkins it.
4. It hath been objected, That if af house be on fire, it is lawful to pull down the Aeighbour's house, for the prevention of further mischief; d the cases of 92 Ass. and 82 E. 4, that every man may justify the coercion of a mad-man answer, That these cases are true, as of necessity, and no other evasion: but here, bail iy profferred, which is, body for body. Fjre is swift, and cannot be punished, and no caution can be ubtained thereof. But ohscrve the true inference and consequence of this argument; If iny house be on fire, nfy neighbou's must Ia pallesl down; Mr. Selden is seditious, ergo Mr. Herbert, his neighbonr, must be inflprisoned
5. It hath been otijected out of Br. Treason, 24. 1 Mar. That the said Statute of 25 E. 3 , is taken largely, and that the detaining of a Castle or Fortress is Treasop. Tothis 1 answer, that the bare detaining of a Castle is not Treason, unless it be with intention of the death of the king; but the taking of a Castle is treason. And the case there meant by Bronh, is Constable's Case, Dy. 128. And I confess, 13 Eliz. Dy. 291, Dr. Story's Case ${ }^{4}$, that courpiriryen invade the kingtom, is 'Treason; for this caunot be withoat great danger of the death of the king; for, "uma tenenti, omnia dat qui justa ' negat.' And all throse Indictments were, that they intended the death of the king; Lut no such intention is expressed bere.
6. It hath been objected, That this case is out of the Petition of Right, because in this return' theie is a cause shewed. But the grierance wherenpon the l'etition of Kight was framed, was, where no cause was returned. It is true, that the grievance gees no further, but where no canse was returned ; fur that was the grievance at that time. But the words of the Petition .of Right are further, ' without being charged t with anyathing, to which they might make an'swer by the law,', which mplies, that such cause onght to be contained in the heturn, which being put into an Indictment, the party may have his Answer thereto.
7. It was objected, That the Return shall not be construed and expounded by fractions. I answer, Thit we need not make such an exposition; for the joint construction thereof makes more for us, thnin the several, as is shewed before.
8. Thrt a generaldetarn is sufficient, and it need not have ternus of art in it, as an indict-

- Sea Shower's Magistracy of England vindicated; which will be found at the end of the Trial of Lord Russel: A:'d. 1683. Seealso sir John Hawles's Reply torind Maysistracy of Ensland vindicated, P. 86.
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ment ought to have. For answer I cunfess it; but I ratirm, as above, that a return ought to be sa partigolyr, that the nature of the offence ought óo uppea) out of it : and it is not to be compared to geveral Writs, as ' Apostatì capiendo, Idiotî evaminando, Leproso amovendo,' and the like: for those writs are grod enough, because they contain the very matter. And although it hath been said, that there are two kmds of Sepers, cet I never heard but of one: and the 'Writ, 'de haretion comburendo,' is general, and good, because it is but a writ of execution upon a Judgment given by the spiritual power. But because they might not meddle with the blood of tany man, the erecution is ly the secular power.

9s It lath been objected out of 30 Ass. p. 19. that the king would lave one drawn and hanged for bringing intu, Bandand the Bu!s of the pope. But the Bonk answers itself, for he was not drawu and hanged.
10. The Statute of We-umin. 1, c. 15. was objected. 'But as oft as that Statute is ob-' jected, I will alvay, cry ont, 'The l'etition of 'Rlight, The 「etinan of llight!' as the krog of France cried out nothing but France, France! when all the severyl dominions of the king of Spain were objected to him.
11. A curnous distmetion hath been taken Dy serjeant Davenport, between stirring to Sedition, and strrmg ap Sclition ; for the first implies an inclination ouly to do $i t$, the second ine...cs an act done. But this is too nice, for if a man stir up Sedition, or to Sedition, if it be with intention of the death of the king, the one and the other is Trenson.
12. The opinion of Fortescue in 31 H. 6, 10. b. hath been oDjected, That for an offence thone to the court, a man may he committed before conviction. To this I answer, 1. That the Book does not say, that he shall be committed without bail, 2. The offence being done in face of the court, the very view of the court is a couviction in law.
13. There was objected the 21 of Edw. 3. 23. Sir Thosnas Yitchet's Cosse, who, for gning armed in the palace, was committed by this court withnut bail or mainprize; which seems to be the strongext and hardest casse that hath heen oljected. Bat the answer to it is clear, and undeniable; for the statute of 2 E. 3, c. 3 . is, Tbat if any one come armed before the Justices, tee shall forfeit his armour, and shall be montisoned daring the king's pleasure; so that hy the express purview of the statute, such a main is not bailable. Saray conclusion remains firm, notwithstanding any wi thoe oljections. I'bat the prisoner here, being eommitted before conviction of any offence, (it being not possible to understand this offence treason) is bamblele ; nul that he is bailable here, I will offer two other rensons: 1. The return is here for Sedition ; nad there is an information in the Star-chamber against the prisonet, for seditious practices agaust the liag and his government. I will uot aliem, that they are the same' olizuce, but there is some probability that they
are the self-samet; and if they be the same offence, then the sedition here intended is not Treason, and so the party is builable. 2. This prisoner was ready at this bar the last term, and here was a grand-jury at bar the last term, and here was the king's counsel present, who are most watchful for the king; and yet an indictment was not preferred to them against this prisoner. Which things-induce me to be of opinion, that the offence here mentioned in this Return is not Trenson, or so great as is pretended on the other side. I will remember one case which perhaps may be objected, (and yet I think they will not object it) and so conclade. 11 R. 2, Parlament Itoll 14. in the printed statute, c. 3. and 5 . where it appears, that divers questions were propounded by the king to Tresilian and Belknap, the two ChiefJustices, and to the other justices : one of which questione was, how they are to be punished, who resisted the king in exercising his myal power, \&c.? Am' the answer of the Juifges was, nen voce, that they are to he punished as traitors ; and $21 \mathrm{R} .2, \mathrm{c} .21$. this opinion was conlirmed. But afterwaris in 1 II.4, c. 3. and 4. and 1 II. 4, in the ParhamentRoll, $1 \mathrm{i} .66,67$, the Jniges were questimed, for their opinion, im parthament. They answered, That they wede threntenel and enfiorced to give this opinmon, and that they were in truth of the contrary opinion. Aud Belknap, said, That he aequamted and protested to the earl of Kent atorehand, that lus opinton was always to the contrary. But the parliament was not content with these excuses, but they were all adjudged Traitors; and Tresilian's ent is known to all, and Ielhnap was bauished; for lis wife, in 2 II. 4, lirought a writ, without naming her husband, because le was banisbed. And the said Statute of 21 R. 2, was repealed. Therefore upon othe whole matur I conclude, that the prisoner ought to be bailed.

On the same day, sir Miles Hobart and Benjamin Valentme:and Denail Hollis, esquires were at the bar, upon an Halcas Corpus directed to the several prisons; and their counsel Nas realy at the bar to have argued the case for theu also: but because the same Return was marle as nbove, they said, That all of thein would rely upon this Argament made by Mr. Littleton.

## Mr. Selden's Abgumint.*

Upon the writ of Habeas Corpus ad subji--iendum th recipizndum, dirented out of the King's-bench to the Lieutenant of the Tower he returns, that the prisoner was first committed

* The Editor of Mr. Selden's Works, in his. Prefice to the third Volume, says, "This is the Substance of an Argument made in his (Mr. Selden's) own case, and which was pronounced by Mr. Littleton." Bpt ns there is so great as difference betiveen them, we leave the Reader to judge of that ; and, as it concerns so grand a point as the Liberty of the Subject, have therefore given both.
to his custody by a warrant of the lords of the privy-council, dated 4 Martii 5 Caroli regis, and recites the warrant wherein the king's pleasure for the commitment is also signified. And farther, he returns, that the prisoner is detained by him, by virtue of another warrant, afterward directed to him, uoder the king's olva hand, dated the 7th of May following; wherein it is signiffed, that he was to take knowledge, that the commitment was 'for notable 'contempts committed against Our Self and - Our Government, and for stirring up of Sedi' tion aguiast Us,' with a command to detain him until his majesty's pleasure were farther known, Kcc. And so certifies the court, that these are the cquses of taking and detaining him, and brings in his body according to the writ. And, whether upon this Return, the prisoner ought to be delivered by the court, upon sufficient ball, or remanded to the Tower, is the question? That is, supposing the Return to be every way true (as in all cases it must be supposed, whin the question arises upon a retarn), whether there be sufficient cause expressed m it, for which the prisoner ought to be remanded? Or, that the cause of the commitnent lie such (as it is expressed in the return) that he ought to be bailed? If there were no mure in the case, but the lords, or the king's command ouly, without farther canse shewed of the commument; then it were clear, by the Declaration of both ohouses of parliament, and the Answer of his majesty to that Declaration, in the late Petition of Right, that the prisoners were to be remanded. And the oljections that some have made, out of the statite of Westminster, the first, c. 15. That persons committed by command of the king, are not replevisable, and out of Stainford, fol. 73. as if he interpreted 'bailable' (which in, deed he doth not, if he be observed) to be understood in that statuto hv 'replevisnlile,' and the like, are daectly against the very loody of the Petition of light, nad were so fully cleared in the debates, nut of which the Pctition of Right was framed, that to dispute them again, were but to question what the whole parliament had already resolsed on, as the certain and established law of the kingdom. Nor in it timely to dispufe here again the general power of commitment, by the lords or by the king himself. There is a commitment in the case, and there is a cause shewed of that commitment, and of the detainer in prison; and the quality of that cayse only is traly the sole question; to the stating of which, the nature and caurse of bails upon offences, either returned generally upon Habeas Corpus, or appearing more specially upon indictments, it shertly to be first opened. All offences, by the laws of the realm, being of two kinds: the first, punishable by loss of life or limb; the'second, by
'fige, or some pecuniary mulct, or datnage and imprisonment, or hy one of them; mid those of the first kind heing treason, murder, (elonies of lem nature, and some more; and of the second kind, bloodsheds, affrays, and other
trespasses: If any prisoner staad committed (though before conviction) for treason or murder; the Judges, for nught ayperven' the Books, have not often used to (et him ty) bail, unless it have appeared to them, that therc hath been either want of prosecution, or of evidence to proceed, or that the proceeding through disability of the appellant (in case of appeals), as when he is excommunicate, is delayed; or that the evidence is slight, or somé such like cause. So that in the bailing upon such fifences of the highest nature, a kind of discretion, rather than a constant law, hath been exercised, when it stands wholly iodifferent in the cye of the court, whether the prisoner be guilty or not.* And according to that, they often let to bail, detain in prison, or remand the prisoner. Also in Felonies of less nature; which being all, as those of the greatest nature, capital, and so the punishment of the same above imprisonment, the imprisonment of the offenders without bail, is only used ad salzam custodiam, pnd cannot be used ad penimi. But if a prisoner beforo cunviction, or somewhat that supplies a conviction, (so therefore also fit enough before convicuon) stand committed for trespasses only, as all officnces of the second hind are, and aro punishable only by fine and imprisonment, or by one of them (in which case imprisonnent is to be the Lighest part of his punishment, after cousiction) there, by the constant course (unless some special act of parliament be to the contrary in some particular case) upon offer or good bail to the court, lie is to be bailed; which agrees also with all justice and exactness of reason, that so both the court may, by his sureries and bail (to whose gare he is a-new committed) be assured to huve him ready at the day pieen him upon the bail, to answer all proceedng against him ; and he himself, laving sureties that so undertake for his appearance, may not he compelled, before contiction, to endure that continually, ad ewstodiam only, which is the highest part of what he is to suffer, after conviction, ad preuam. So that in cases of imprisonment for offences of the first kind, divers circumstances might be, for which suffisient bail offered might, according to the use, be refused by the court: But in cases of imprisonment for offences of the second kind, sufficient bail, offered before coaviction, ought of common right to be accepted; saving still, wheréa special act of parliament alters the law in some particular case: but there is no colour or pretpace of any such net concerning the case in question; so that we are to exai mine it (for the point of bailing) only at the common law.

The sate then of the question is but this: Whether that expresvion, 'for notable con'tempts against Our-self, and our government, ' and for stirring up of sedition against Us,' do
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denote any offence of the first kind; Which, it do not, or so do not, as that the court may by the uemeris of it be sufficiently informed tha it is fome wffince, at least, of the first kind the bail, in this case, ought to be accepted The offences in the return being two; first ' notable contempts,' and then 'stirring o sedition,' and both 'against the king.'

There can be no question made of it, but tha all Contempts, bf what kund soever, that are punishabit by the laws of the realin, are ' against the king and his government,' immediately or mediately. And although the latitude of them be such, as that some may vastly exceed others; yet they are all, ss Contempts, only trespasses, \&e. punishable only by fine or imprisonment, or by buth, but not until conviction of the parties (as neither are other like ofleaces), unless the contempt be in the face of some conrt, against which it is committed, which supplies a conviction. Now in this case, the coutequpts are only expressed in a gencrulity, und no convictiou appears of them. So that for that part of the return, there can be no colour why the bail aught not to be accepted. But all the doubt of the case depends upon the second offence; that is, ' the stirring ' up of Sedition against the king.' Which if it be an offence only of the same kind as Contempts are, or a mere trespass only to. the king; or, if by the words of the return, it appears not to the court to be an offeace of the first kind, Hant' is, either treason, or felony at least, (there being no conviction in the case) the prisoner ought to be bailed. For, unless the court be assured, out of the words of the Return, that the prisoner stands committed for some such cause, for which he might not, of right, demand his bail ; it is clear they ought to bail him. It rests therefore to examine the nature of the o Tence comprehended in those words 'stirring 'up sedition against us.' If it be any thing above what is trespass only, plainly it must be either treason or felony. For felory, no man pretends that by those words, any kind of felony is to be understood. The question then must be, whether the 'stirring up sedition ' against the king, be treason or no; that it high-treason, as all treason is that toucheth the king, as treason? Yor petit-treason, by the com-mon-law, is felony, in regard of the king, and treason only with respect to persons slain, againt the faith and obedience due from the offender; and therefore the indictments of it say 'felonicè et proditorie.'

- In the cousideration of the question thus stated, first, the use of the word Sedition, and the sense of it in our language, and in oor laws, that received it out of that language, is to be examined: and hen what those words ' against ' us,' import. Out of both which, it will be easily concluded, that the offence, as it is expressed in the return, although it be a great $\sqrt{ }$ one, yet it is ouly a trespass; and punishable by fine only or imprisonment, or both of them; For Sedition, and ithe general notion of it, we have not either in the division or explication
of offences that decur in our books an express definition, description or declaration of it, though it occurs sometimes as mingled nith some other offences, and the adjective of it oftener than the substantive: Nor hath there been yet found any indictment or proceeding upon the crime of Sedition, by that name singly. as an offence in law, clearly enough known by itself. 'Unlawful assemblies, routs, riots, com' motions,' are the nearest, if not the very things that by other mames do, for the most part, express what sedition is in our laws. Vid. 3 Hen. 7, fol. 1, et Brook, Riots 4 et 5. But our langoage, rather than our laws, hath received the word from Latin, and thence huth in preambles of statutes, and of indictments, sometimes inserted it;" so that missing an express exposition of the word in our law, we have reason to scek for it first in the language whence we revived it, and then in the use of it in our own.
Iu latin, that "hicu is mutiny, raising of tumult, assembling of any nrmed power, in conventicles, or the like, is sedition. Whence it is, that in the civil-law, seditio et tumultus are frequently joined; and comcitato, es seditionis, und actores seditionis, uccur in the text of that law *, for such as stir up Sedition. And thence alro seditio milituris is used for a mutiny of the Soldiers in the Army, in Tucitus unil others, and that for no more than the professing themselves against any command whatsoever given by the general. In this sense it is used also by a lawyer of Ephesus, in the holy text, where Demetrias the silversmith assembled the rest of his company ngainst St. Yaul, for preaching against Diana. ' For we are' (saith he, speaking to appease the assembly) even in jeopardy to be accused of this dxy's Sedition, forasmuch as there is no cause where' by we may give a reason of this coneourse of 'people,' Acts xix. 40 e In the same sense Tertullus, an orator and lawyer, pleading against St. Paul at Cessarea, before Felir the governor there, 'We have found this man a mover of 'Sedition amongst all the Jews throughout the ' world, and a chief maintainer of the sect of the Nazarenes,' Acts' ${ }^{2}$ xxiv. 5. And such like testimonies are very obvious. In the self-same sense the word was received ipto our language, as we may see in that act of parliament against the Lullards, under H. 4, 2 'I. 4, c. 15. The words there are, 'That they taught openly and 'privily divera new doctrines, contrary to the - faith affd deterthinations of the holy chureh; ' and of sach sect and wicked doetrine and 'opinions they make unlawful conveiticles and 'confederacies, they hold and exercise schools, they make and write books, they do wickedly instruct and inform people.' 'Et ad seditoonem seu insurrectionem excitant quantum pgssunt, Et magnas dissentiones et divisiones in populo faciunt.' Pot. Parl. \& H. 4, n. 48 :
- ff. ad I. Julian majeatatis, lib, 1. \& tit. ad eg. Jul. de vi publ, I. S, \&c. C. tit. de sediiosis, 1. 1. \& . 2 .
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' And, as much as they may; incite and stir - them to Seditiunand insurrection, and maketh 'great strife und division anong the people, 'sc.' And about the beginning of queen Mary, an act of parrliament was unade agniust Seditious Words and Kumours; in the preanble whereof, 'seditious and slanderous news' 'ismentioned, and 'seditious and slanderous - writingt, and persons metending and practising ' to thove and stir seditions' (so it is in Rastall and the Roll of parliament, not 'seditions,' as in the Statutes at large), 'discord, dissension, and rebellion within this realn,' 1 et 2 Phil. et Mur. 3. And to the same purpose, an act of explanation of the said act of queen Mary was made in 1 Eliz. c. 6, wherein mention alse is of ' false, seditious, and slandereus news, or tales,' against the queen. As also in her 1Sth year, c. 1 , a provision is made against 'contentious ' aud seditious spreading abroud of titles to the 'succession of the crown.' And in another Act of the same year, c. 2, also the bringing Bulls from Rome, ' to raise und stir sedition,' is mentoued in the preamble. Aud in the 23rd year, nnother act Q3 Eliz. c. 2, was made with this title, ' Agaiast seditious words and rumours ut-- tered ngaust the queen's most excellent ma' jesty.' And in indictments upon that statute of the 1st and qud of Philip and Mary, as it was contunued in the act of the 23rd Eliz.; the party indhcted fir slanderous words, in defamation of the queen, is said to have beqn ' machinans et ${ }^{6}$ intendens seditionem et rebellionem infra hoc ' regnum Anglix movere et suscitare,' and that - advisate, et cum mudutiosa intentione, contra ' dictain dominam reginam, et felonice ut felo ' dicte domine regine nunc, devisavit et scripsit ' quasdam falsas, seditinsas, et scandalosas ma'terias, \&c.' 34 Elis. Coke, lib. intrat. indictment. fol. 352, col. 3 and 353, where the title - ${ }^{25}$ misreferred to the act of 1 Eliz . cap. 2.

In the lord Cromwall's Case also, 20 Eliz, Coke 4. in act. de scandalis, Seditio is mentioned 'against the queen's proccedings;' and 'seditio domina regis, vel excrettus sui,' in Bracton, fol. 118, and 'seditio regni, vel exercites,' in Glanville, L. 14. c. 1, and 'seditio personz ' domini regis vel exercitus,' in Ilengham, c. 2.
Now, for the sense of the words ' sedition, and 'seditious,' it will be most plain, that in all these places, (except those old Books of Bracton, Glanville, imi Hengham, the merpretationt? of whom hath fittest place after the exxunination of the objections made to prove sedition to be treason) thry denoted in dar langitige, and in the use of our laws, that received them thence, such an offence as was not punishable (without some special provision by act of parliament) otherwise than by fine ant imprisonsaent at the utmost; and were reputed singly, but as words or names designing ; tumulis, un' lawful assemblies, routs, factions or'rebelliops,' pgainst any.part of the esrablished lews, or gublic commands. Therefore in that act of 2 Rien. 4, concerning the Lollards, the punishment of them that offended against the acts, and ' were ' such atirrers of sedition and insurrection,' was,
that they should be iuprisoned only by virtue of that act, until purgation, if they purged theroselves; and imprisoned and fineç itice conviction, and detained in prison till afjuraftiont and upon refusal to abjure, or upond relupse, to be burnt for tiferetics : but that act is repealed by the 25 Hen. 8, c. 14. So, by the act of the 1 st and 2nd of Philip and Mary, the first offence of 'speaking seditious and slanderous words,' or rumours of the king or quedn, was atter conviction, standing on the pillury, and lass of ears, (unless he redeemed them by the fine of 100l.) and three months imprisonment. And if any, from another's report, shall speak any sedutious and slanderous news of the king and queen, he should, after conviction, lose one ear, (or cedeem it by 100 marks) and have one month's imprisoninent : And that ' if any should maliciously ; devise, or write any hook or writing, contaiu'ing any false matter, clause or sentence, of slander, reproach, and itshonour of the king or queen, to alienate the minds of the subjects from their dutuful ahedience, or to ${ }^{\circ}$ the encouraging, stirring, or moving of any insurrection or rebellion nithin this realm; or, if auy procure any such thing to be done (the said offence being not punishable, by the statute of 25 of Edw. 3, of treason) he should lose his right hand. And that the second offence of then that were punishable by loss of ear or ears, should be imprisoned during life, and loss of all their goods aud chattels.' This act of queen Blary expird at her death, and ngreeatheto it was that provision of the act of 1 Eliz, c. 6, which extended the same to queen Eliz, during her life; but there is no such law at thus day in being. So, in that of the 13 of Fliz, c. 1, the first offence of ' contentious and sediuous spread-- ing, abroad of titles to the succession of the ' crown,' is punished by the imprixonnent of one whole year, and the loss of half the offiender's goods, nud the second offeace by the pains of a procmunire. The bringing in of Bolls also from loone, to alicnate the misds of the subjects from their dutiful obedience, and to raiso and stir sedition and rebellion, is unde high treason by that otier act of the same year. By which it appears, that 'stirring to sedition' alone is in that very act clearly supposed of far less nature. But that act is also expired. In that also of the 23 of Eliz, c. 2, the reporters of 'seditious news or rumours' against the queen, was inade loss of ears (as before;) or, that to be redeemed at $900 l$. besides imprisonment of six months : and the reporters from ansther's mouth, to be punished according to that of 1 and 2 of Philip and Mary; saving, that the imprisonment, by llis act, is three months, and the second offence is made felony, and writing of any seditious matter, to the purposes in that act of queen Mary, is made felony, upon which act the indiciments of felony before montioned, tre grounded; but that act also expired by the death of queen Eliz. And in that case of the Jord Cromwell, who bronght a scavdalum magnatum against the parfion of Northelenham in Norfulk, for saying, 'That you like not of

## 971] STATE TRIALS, 5 Cankes I. 1029.—Proceedings against Wm. Stroud, eqq. F879

' me, but you like of them that maintain seditions against the queen's proceedings.' Although, injhg report of the case, sedition generally be called an open and beinous crime, and descrioed to be as in the pature of some great factious assembly, or riot; yet the defendant justifies the words, by this, that the plaintiff and he had discoursc of one that preached against the Book o! Common Prayer, and that in their discourst the plaiatiff said to the defendant, ${ }^{4}$ I 'like not of thee:' To which he replied, 'It is ' no marvel, for you like of them that mantain ' sedition, (predict' seditiosam doctrinam inuu'endo) agamst the queen's procecdings;' and the justification allowed good. Whence it appeury clearly, that 6 maintaining sedition,' geverally may be, such preaching of seditious doctrine which is punishable only loy the statute of 1 Eliz. cap. 1, hy fine and umprisonment. Out of all which examples, it appears, that sedition', and acts seditiously donc, are of themselves singly vo capital crimes, or otherwise punsh able than oy fine or impisoument, or both unless by some special act of parlimment it be ordained otherwisc. Aud to confirm this ulso, we may observe divers otbcr statutes; where routs, riots, relellions, and insurrections (all which, of thenselves, if no traitomus attempt appear, by some overt act, ace punishable but by fine or imprisnument, anless sume act of parlament especially ordain a greater puaishment) have opecial punishments appouted for them; being a-ble common law but iii the nature of trespasses. Ay in the 17 ltich. 2, c. 8 . it appears, that in the 5th yeur of the same king (which is 5 Rich. 8, c. 6. Stat. 1.)' outrageous assemblies 'of the people against the hing's dignity, and ' his crown, and the laws of the land' (as every great riot is) were made Treasou; which act is long since repealed. Whence it is also'very observable to this purpose, that in two Acts of parliament, the one of the 2 Hen. 5, c. 0.'Stat. 1. and the other of the 8 Hen. 6, c. 14. the simple word 'riots' (which is most known in the law, to this day, for seditious assembles) is taken plainly as an expression sufficiently comprehending assemblies of people, in great number, in manner of insurrection, and also rebel: lions, as will appear plainly, by comparing the preambles with the hodies of the same acts. And in 11 Hen. 7, c. 7. for the punishment of ' uulawful raising and leading of people, riots, ' routs, and other unlawful assemblies,' a form of proceeding is appointed; wherein appears most pluinly and expressly, that the punishment was ouly by fine and imprisonment, and the act was to continue but till the next parliament, when it expired. Therefure also by the act of $3 \not \& 4$ Edw. 6, c. 5. entitled, ' An Act for the ' punishment of unlawful nossemblies, and rising ' of the king's subjects,' it was urdained, 'That ' if any persons, to the numbet of twalre, or ' above, being nssembled together, shall intend, ' with force of arms, unlawfully, and of their ' own puthority, to kill or imprison any of the ' King's privy-council, nr to alter or change any t laws establislicd by parliament, and shall not
' depart and retire to their own habitations, ' within one hour after command made by the ' sheriff, some justice of the peace, or other such ' officer, in that behalf, the offerice shoulit be 'hugh-treason. And if such'persons assembled, 'to the pulling down of ditches, or laying open ' inclosures, or to the commuing of some such ' more offences, retire not withm that spacti, 'that it shall be felony in deen. And if any 'should iacite such pernons to any such aet, by 'speaking, ringing a bell, souudng a trumper, ' Gring of beacons, or the hke; insonuch that ' they remained together after any such com' mand as aforesaid liy the epace of an hour, ' and commit any such uct, as aforesaid, it 'should be felony also. And the persous so ' assembled, snd semuiniog iogether, to the ' number of forty, by the space of two hours, ' are by the same made trators. And that if ' the nuinler be above two, nnd under twelve. ' Uhat with force of arms, unlawfully, and of ' their own authority, tesembled for the casting 'down of ditches, inclosures, and divers such ' other things, their staying together after such ' command by the space of an bour, should be 'punished by a year's imprisonment, and fine ' and ransou at the king's plensure.' And it is also in the same Act ordnined,' That if uny ' person shall procure, move, or stir any other 'person, or persons, to arise, or make nay 'traitorous or rebellious assembly, to the intent ' to do any of the things belore-mentioned, it should be felony. And further, that if any person were spoken to, moved, or stirred to ' make any connnotion, insurrection, or uulawful assembly for miy of the intents beforeuneutioned, and did not tell it withan twentyfour hours afterward, unless he have sufficient excuse, to some head officer where such speaking were had, should sulfer imprisonment, un' til he were discharged by three justices of the peace, whereof one to be of the guorum.' This Act was to endure till the end of the next parliament only, which was in 7 Edw. 6, aud then, cap. 11. it was continued till the end of the next which was in 1 Mar, sess. 2. wherein, c. 12. it is repealed, and another of the same nature made. Both which shev most evidently, that those volawful assemblies, insurrections, commotions, and the like, which are plainly Seditions, provided for by those acts, were beore byt trespasses, punisliable only by fue and mprisonment. That of the 1 Mar. sess. 2. c. 12. is intituled, ' An Act agaust' unlawful and rebellinus assemblies,' wheres the clause of the privy-connsellors (that was in the $3 \& 4$ Edw. 6,) is omitted; and the rebt of the offence touching the altering of laws, is expressed, as in that of Ed. 6, saring that the crime is made felony, whereas it was treeson by that of Ed. 6. The rest of that act of 1 Mar. is, for the most part, aqreeable with that of Ed. 6, saving, that none of the offences are yeason by this act, but felory at the most. And for the being' spoken ' to, or stirred to make nny commotion, and ' not discovering it,' bere, in this of queen Mary, the offender is to suffier imprisonthent
only for three inonths, unless he be discharged
by three justices of the peace, as in that of Ed. by three justices of the peace, as in that of Ed. 6. This of queen Mary, was kept on by continamace only, from one parliaunent to another, thuring her time ; und in 1 Pliz. c. 16. it was nate to contmue daring the life of queen Eliz. and at her deathexpired. To this purpose also tie Act of 14 Eliz. c. 1. is observable; where, unlaw ful practiees, secrct conspiracies and ' devices, to take or surprize any of the queca's ' fortified castles, and the malicious and relel-- lious intent of surprizing, or tuking them, be' ing expressed by overt-act, or word,' are made felony; ' and the not giving them up within 'six dnys afier command from her, is made 'trenson;' whigh act also expired with her life. Here the offences made Tretson and Felony by the Act, were both seditions of a high nature; and yet but trespasses betore the act made, nor are they other now the act is expired. For the surprizing or detaining of a castle, without lerying a war, or some other act of treason (as in Sherley's case in Dycr) was not treason, but by that nct. To these we may justly add that case of the earl of Northumberland in 5 Hea. 4, rot. parl: n. 11, 12. \&c. He ncknowledged by writing, in parliament, that he was guilty of not ' keeping the laws as ligeance asketh, 'and of gathering power, and giving of liveries' (which are the nords of the Parisment-Roll), anil upon special cousideration hard, hy the lords aud Judges in parliamept, of the nature of the offence thas set furth, they adjudged it was neither felony nor treason, but only trespass; und so are the express words of the roll. Yet the 'gathering of power, and giving liseries, and - lreaking of nallegiance,' are large expressions of that, which in itself was truly sedition, and that of a high mature. And thus, linth by the use of the word, and the punishment provided, in some cases in parliameat, fos remedy of the offence (without whigh special procision it is never formd capital) it appears clearly that scdition, or the stirring of sedition, alone, at the common law, (and no statute, now in force, hath ordained otherwise) is but trespass, and punishatle only by fine and imprisonment.
Now for the words ' ' against Us;' that is, agumst the king. There is no douitt at all, but that all offences are ngainst the king. Every slight trespass, By the law, is 'contra pacem 'domini regis;' and whatsoever is against his peace is against him ; as also divers indictments of mere trespasses conclade with ' in contemp' tum doonini regis,' and ' contra caronam et ! dignitatem suas:' As in an Iodictment for liearing of mass, is 'contra pacem, dignitatem 'et comemam domini regis.' All which import ' 'against the king.' And that act of 23 Eliz, is made 'against seditious worls against the 'queen's most excellent majesty ${ }_{i}$ ' which, even fter the net, remained not capital, being before but trespess. And in the preamble of thatt of 14 Eliz, it appears, the act wre maile afoinst uniawful prattice, secret conspiracies and des vices, stirred and moved against our sovereign - ledy the queen, in seeking unlawfully to take
'her castles, fortresses, and the like.' And.in Bracton, fol. 119. b. 6. 3. X 120. b. 6.6. thie concealing of treasure, which is purgisabile by fine and imprisooment, is expressly snid) to be 'gravis pressumptio contra regem et dignitaten', ' et coronam suatn;' as also the not kecping the assises of bread und alc, and the jike. Neither is there any doult of this, but that the words, 'against the kite,' may be applieable to any kand, and as well to the least as the greatest kind of offenees, and imply nothing that increases the offience above trespass.

It follows then, for the last part of the consideraunn, that (sprditiou being bat that which we otheru ise call unlan fol asseublies, tiot, mutiny, rebellion, or the like; and every offence punishoble, being against the king) the 'stirring up ' of sedition against the king,' which is or may be the 'stirring up of a rout, unlaivfal assem'bly, mutiny, rebellion,' or the like, against some ordinary, or extraotolnary command, process, writ, or execution of some established law, is no other offience, by the exprestion in the return; nor can thereby be understood to he other, without some special act of parliament have ultered the law, than trespass, and punishable ouly lyy fine and ippprisonment, and so, by consequence, no Treason. As for a special act of parliament, that maketh 'sedition ' against the king,' to he higher than ' trespass,' there is none such extant. Among all the acts of parliament that are in force, there is none gives any culour here, but that of 95 Edte8: whercin treason is declared: and in that act, only these words; ' if any one levy war against ' our lord the king, in hus realm, or be adhering ' to the enemies of our lord the king in his 'realm, giving to them aid or comfort in his ' realm, or elsewhere, and hereof be attajinted ' of Svert act, it is High-Treason.' The other words concerning other and higher treasons, in that het, have nothing that cau so much as of themselves suppose a 'sedition ogainst the ' king;' Lut it is true, that in these before recited there may be a ' sedition against the ' ling;' that is, the levying of war against the king may be ly sedition, or the adharring to the king's enenies, or the lerying of war against him, may pe, by a law expression, perhaps stiled ' sedition ngainst the king;' as in every greater crime, as in theft, trespass may be incladed, or understood. Now, unless on the otherside, in that which is sedition against the king, treason must necessarily be understood, these words of the $25 \mathrm{Ed} . \mathrm{3}$, make no more to prove that sedition is treason, than uny act against theft, can prove that trespass is folony. Therefore also, in that very act of 25 Ed .3 , the riding openly or secretly with arined men to kill or rob another.man, or to take hiv, and keep him until he make fine and ransom for his delivepance, though it be plainly 'sedition 'against the king,' it leing "gainst his peace, his laws, and hij crown and dignity, is but felony, if robbery be committed with it, and 'trespabs only if imprisoncqeos till fine aud rand And so is is dectered expressly in that
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act. And though there have been divers acts of parliament since that of the 25 Bd .3 , that have'mede tivers other facts treason, yet there is inon, of them thut remain unrepealed, or not expired, that make any such fact treason, as is of the nature of sedition aguinst the king; and except only the trensons made by those special nets of parliament, that remain in force (as those concerning bulls from Rome, jesuits, clipping of coin, hand some few more), there is nothing at ©his day treason, saving what is comprised in that act of 25 Ed .3 , to which sone tpecial laws * have, in the ages since Ed. $s$, now and then reduced ull treason, by abrogating all intervenient laws of reason. And by that net, it there be a doubt that happens liefore the judges, hy reason of any new case that comes lelore them, they ought not to judge it treason, until it be enacted by parlinment to be so. And it doth, in the same act, appear, that hefore that time, there was a greater latitude of tieason, than gt any time since. Now, tren in that time, there is in express judgnent of the very point in question; though not in the same terms with this case, yet in the self-sane sense, as if this case had then heen before the juders. It was the case ot, one Rlassel; he was imprisoned by the Justice of North Wales, in 9 E.d. 3, and retorned to be so, 'eo quod A. B. im' posuit ei fecisse debuisse diversas seditiones, - \&kc. dominum regem tangentes.' I'poin this return, the court adjadged, that the offences corftioned in the return, and as they could therehy be understood, were such for which he ought to be bailed; and they give their reason with the judgment, ' because it did not appear 4 what kiad of seditions against the king were 'mecant by it.' 'Foo quod mon sperificatur ' quales seditiones, \&cc. ideo dimittendus,' by mainprize or bail, which to this purpose are nil one. For if the sedition had been with traitorous intents, and so expressed, then it ball been treason, for which they would not have let him to mainprizo. But because sedition against the king might be of divers other natures, and mere trespassegs, therefore they said, "idca di' mittendus est;' expressing therein the right of the prisoner, that he might justly claim to be. bailed, and by law ought to be bailed, and not only that he was bailable. But three objections may, perhaps, tie made to this judgosent, to make it differ in substance fiom the case in question. The first, that 'A.B. imposhit ei 'fecisse debuisse diversas seditiones,' $\& \mathrm{c}$, which being as nn accusation in so general terms, was sot certain enough to make him answer to it, and thence might be canse of the judgment. The secoud, that it is not 'contra dominum 'rogem,' or ' against the king,' as the case here $\mathrm{i}_{5}$, but 'tangentes' or 'touching' the king. And the third, that here is the king's warrant witnessing the offence, and corboiand for imprisonment, and in that of 9 Er .3 , nnly the charge of a subject and the commitment of a
*Vide 11 lich. 2, c. S, pet. 3, 1 Hen, 4, c. 10, 1 Edw. 6, c. 18. 1-Mar. Parl, 1, c. 6.
subject. To all three, the reply is easy. For the first, it is plain, that the justice of North Wales shews the reason of the imprisonment to be, becnuse Russel was chorged by A. B. to have committed 'sedition touching the king,' as every one that is returned to stand comanitted for any offence, is supposed to stand so committed, because somebody charged him, or accused him, or can testify wgeinst him; and that is here more particulaily expressed, which in every return is supposed to be understood in the general words. As, suppose the return were, that soch a one stands committed for treason, or murder, upon the accosation, testimony, or examination of A. B. taken thus, or thus : would the court Bail him the sooner for that addition? Aid in returns, it was never expected that there should be such certainty as that the prisoner might plead and be tried. Which call uever be done from returns, but only ly appeals or judictinents, whercin the offrace is in special set fouth by tunc, place, and all circunstances. Or, if they had, in this case of Russel, expected or considered such a certainty, they ought not to late let him into mainprize, or bailed bim; but clealy thsmissed him. For, if mu njpunl, which is an accusation, were brought against a man, or an indictinent put in 'de diversig muridris,' or 'de murdrn,' generally; $n$, 'de proditiour,' generally; clearly, opon soch an appeal or mdictment, the court would not put theqparty neilier to answer, ther so muel as to the trouble of bail or mainproze, because such a charge that way, were merely void. For in appeals and indictinents, the parricular circumstance, and the special offence must al. ways be set furth, or else they are vond; but in returns, the general expression is sufficient for the court to judge, whether the offence be suab, as that the prisoner nuglt to lie bailed or no, as the common and most known practice is. Si that the first oljection isof no force. For the second, it is certaii, that the morils 'tangentes ' regem,' and ' contra tegem,' in matter of offénces, occurring in our laws, are taken as synonimnus. As in $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{I}$ Ed. 3, Stat. de Clero, c. 4. we have Treasons or Felonics, 'touchants au'ters persons que le ray mesme ou son royal ' majestie,' ' touching wthers than the king;' which is the same with, 'bcing against others 'than the king,' or 'besides the king;' that is. petut Treasons (which are hish Treasons and Pelonies) as it appenss in Stamford, 1. 2. c. 48: fol. 124. b. Iligh-Treason being fouching the 'king,' of 'agaisst the king,' or 'extending to ' the king;' which is the same in 25 F.d. 3, de proditionibus. Where the sense of the words appears, by a law made but few years after this very case offRussel. So in Westurin. 1. ch. 15, 'Treason que toucha lo roy merme,' is expressly for ' Treason against the king;' that is; Higb-Treasen. And Bracton, fol. 110, b. §. 2. colls the counterfeiting the Great Send, which's. High-Trason so this doy, 'Crimen lusemin. ${ }^{\text {' }}$ jestatis, quod tangit coronam regis,' or ${ }^{4}$ 'Tren: ' son against the king,' And, in this latter bigc', we see, in the skatute of 14 Eliz, c, 2, that

- Treason touching the person of the queen,' and 'Treason' concerning the person of the ' quecn' are both as the same, and both for - Treasons against the queen's persou.' So that ' tangentes regem,' and 'contra resem,' denote the self-sume thing in the law, und for that matter, Russel's case and this return are of the self-same nature. Now for the third objection conceruing the kifg's warraut and command in this present case, which is not in that of llussel's, but ouly the accusation, or charge, and command of a subject. For the command singly considered, it is clearly against the l'etition of Right: but if it be cousidered here (as it ought) joined with the cause of commitment, then the cause is only considerable by itself, as expressed by the warrunt, But there is no book-case, net of parlianent, or other testimony of law with us, that in this kind of consideration makes nay difference between the expression of an offence, in a retura of the king's warrant, and the expressiou of it in a return of a subject. For all returns of this kind, in judgment of law, are supposed true ; and the sole point examinable, for matter of bail, is the nature of the offence; unless the commitment were by one that might not conmit, or that some other circuastance, not concerning these matters, were in the case. And besides, in 22 Hen. 8, rot. 38. Parker's case, et 1 Hen. 8, rot.-the kiug's command for commitucut for murder, und otjer offences of high nature, hath been in the return, where the prisoner was bailed. Nor will there remain auy colour of testimony to maintuin this last objection.
And na against this case of Russel (which is 40 fully in the point) these objections may be mpade ; so agapenst the wain, the conclunion, it may be objected vut of those old apthors, Bracton, Glanville apd Ilenghpan; that Bracton, in express words, makes ' seditio domini 'regis' to be treason: 'si quis aliquid egerit' (saith he, fol. 118. b.) ' ad seditionem domini 'regis, vel exercitus sui, vel procurantibus aux-- illun et consilium prabuerit vel consensum,' it is 'criven lasse majestatis,' to be punisbed with death, and so supposes it Iigh-1reason. So Glanville, 'Si quis magchimatus fuerit, vel 'aliquid fecerit, in mortem regis, vel seditionema 'regni, vel extetcitus;' be saith it is likewise Treason. And dlengham briuging examples of the 'Placita de crimine lesse majestatis,' adds,' ut de nece vel seditiope personz do'mini regis, vel regni, vel exercitus' Where we see, 'seditio tegis,' or 'regui,' or 'exerci'tuss,' is supposed 'Tresson. But the aaswers to the authority of these uld nuthors is various. Hith, However they were all threg (if ay least that of Glanville be the work of sir Raudal Glgnville, CGief-Justice of England under H. 2.) learned and famous Judges is their ages, yet they lived so long since, and the rest of the jarticulars of which, thel write, ara us difforent (whether we obserye the pleas of the crown in them or the pleas between party and party) from the practice and entablighed laws of the
ensuing ages, that their authority is of slight or no moment, for direction in judguient of the law at this day, though it be very conadiderable in examination what the law was in their times: nad that aray it sometimes is used as an ormament in arguneut only, as it is seid in the Cownmentaries of them. The first of them died about 400 years since ; the second, nbout 350; and IIengham about 900 yean past. Secondly, the words of 'selitio regis,' ${ }^{2}$ ' 'regni,' are an olscure expression, and hardly sotintelligible as that we may know what they meant. For what can 'sedition of the king' inean, in Enflish or in Latiu, ps they express it? And if it be takea for 'sedition agamst the king' (at indeed the like words are interpreted in 8cotrish, out of the 'regiam mnjestatem,' by Mr. Skene) it must be so taken ngainst all gramaur, aud usual context of woids; for no more than 'ta' multus regis, rehellio regis, insurrectio regis,' is 'tamult agninst the \%ing,' or 'rebellion against the king?' or 'insurrection ogninst the 'king.' is 'seditio regis,', in force ot' langunge, 'sedition ageiust tho king:' Thirdly, Admit is be rightly taken for 'sedition against the king,' in thase old Autbors, yet the statute of 25 Ed. 3, 'de proditionibus,' so setules the law for treason, that whatsoever was treason before that act, and is not connprised within that act, is no treason at this day, unless some apecial act of párliament have ordained it. Fourchly, The cunstant course of testimonies, as they are before shewed, since the 25 th of Ed. 3, prove expressly, that only 'sedicion against the king' is taken, for a less offence, and puere treaposi. Fifthly, in paricular oftences, ne spe Bracton (whiose authority is the chief of the three, whether we regard the expressidn, or the quality of the writer) differs much from the comsuon law of the luter ages: aud so much, that he is directily, in some things of great moment, contrarf to the clear known law, hoth of the preseat apd of aucient times. As he allowz no. killing of a nun to be murder, but what is done so secretly, that it is not known who doth it. Bracton, 1. 3, de Corona, fol. 134. b. \& 135. And that if the olfiender be taken, or, if the .party burt live long enough to discover hipa that hurt juim, though. he die afterward, it is (sqith he) no murder. Which is directly contrary to the law, yet altered by uo special act of parliament. So, 'Si quis alterius virilia nhsciderit, at libidinis causs, vel commencii.cnt. traverit, sequitur' (saith he, p. 144. b. §. s.) prena aliquando capitalis, sliquando perpetuum exilipm, cum omnium bpnorum adgraptione;' whereas there is no such thing in the laws of Euglund. But indeed, by the cyill lav, qui hominuan libidinis, vel promercii cassa castraverit, penas legis Comelie de sicariis pa"uitur;' that is, is pupishmble capitally, ffi, ad leg. Cornel. de sicariis, 1. 3. 6. 4. \& 1. 4. 6. plt. Whence, doubiless, Bractoo, (who cites oftean, to other purpoges, the very vexts and wonds, and quotes the places of the Digesta, and the Code) had that puuishnent for such as gedded men. And thence also had, by all likelitood,
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that trucling sedition. For, by the Civil Law, all sedition, public raising of tunults, guthering armied men without public anthority, and whatsoever is but with us as a coumotion or riot, is trea-on (crimen lesse majestatis) apd capital. To, wheli purpose there be divers texts in that law ti. ad lic. Juliam mạiest. I. 1. ©e de penis, 1. 28. 5. 2. de appellatıonibus, I. 16. C. de seditious, 1. 1. \& 2. \& 8 . which doubtless lie both reat and often followed : and by 'concitatores 'sedituonit,' or 'stirrers up of sedition,' by that very mane were condemned ns capital traitors. But this was never, for aught uppears, lav in Kogland; hut the contrary apsears plain enough by what is already said. Sixthly, for answer to the objections out of Iracton sad Glativille, if their authority shall be taken sulficient to maintain serlituos to be tocason : then will it be us reasonable to prowe, that in such a case bail also should be taken. Fior Bracton saith expressly of that, and ofther treason-, that he joins with it, that the prisoner ought to be bailed, unless nal accuser be present. 'Si quis,' saith he, ${ }^{*}$ de hoe crimine defamatus fuerit, tunc viden-- dum erat utrum appurent accusstor, vel non; - si auten nullus appareat, nisi sola fama yua - tantum apud bonus et цraves oriatur, hic salno ${ }^{4}$ attachishitur per salion et securos plegios vel si 'plegios non habuerit, per carecris incfusionem, ${ }^{2}$ donee de crmmives sibi imposito ve ritas inquira' tur.' AndGlanville saith expressly, that although nnaccuser be present, yet he is to be bailed. 'Eti' Ifisi accusator fuerit' (saith he) 'accusatus ' dimittitur per plegios; aut si non fuerit, in ' carcerem dimittitur.' So that either the authority of these old nuthors is of no moment, for the reasons before shewed; or if it be valuable, and that arlvantage must be taken from them, it is ns reasonable that their other opinion, for the bail, be as well accepted and allowed of in this case. But there remains, perhaps, one ohjection, out of the opinions of Tresilian nnd Belknap, the two Chief-Justices; and of Holt, Fulthorp, and Burgi, jostices of the CommonPleas, and Lockton, one of the king's serjeants in 11 Rich. 2, (Vide 21 Rich. 2, c. 11, see the roll:) Who being, anung other things, demanded at Nottingham by the king, and charged to answer, upon their faith andolegiance to the king, how they ought to be punished that did interrupt the king, so that be might not exercise those things that pertaineth to his regality and prerngative: (in which words, perchaps, may be included all kind of sedition agninst any proceeding, process, or ordinary command of thie king) with one nssent they answered, That they ought to be punished as traitors. And if that were law, it were hard to find a sedition against the king, but thatit were treason. For all his proceedings, process, and ordinary commands, belong to his regatity and prerogative, and every sedition aguinst hift, is okind of interraption of the exercise, at lenstp of those proceedings, process, and ordinary commands.
It is trae, that in the 11 Hich. 9 , such on answer, nmong divers others of like nature, were given by those, judges, and that serjeant;
and they put thir seals also to them. - But it is as trde, that for thesc very answers they were accused by the commons in parliament, the self-same year, where they answered upon the accusation: First, That the answers were witten in the original to which their seals were put, othersive than their meaning was, in some part. Sccondly, 'That they had been threatened to make no other answer than what might agree with the kiug's liking. Thirdly, That their answers proceeded not of their free-will, but for fear of death; and that some of thein had revenled ns tuuch to the earl of Kear, desiring him to wituess us much hereafter if tinie served. Rot. Parl, 11 Rich. 2, n, 14, and vide Stat. 11 Jucb. 2. c. 3, 5, \&c. Noty̧ithtranding ull which, nt the instance of the commous, they were judged all by declaration in parhament made by the king nad commons, which was according to the set of 25 Edw. 3, and so by act of parlinment, to be traitors, and tw suffer as in case of tre.ston ; good part of which proceeding is remembisied in the statutes of that year, but mach more in the Rolls of that parliament. And although in the parliament of 21 Rich. 2, that pa liament, and in particular, this procceding against the judges, were wholly sunulled, and their ansners adjudyed good; as appears in the 1 minted statntes of that year, 21 Rich. 3 , c. 12 , yet in the 1st of Ilen. 4, it was derlared by parliament, I IIen. 4, c. 3, that this proceeding of parliazient of 21 lich. 2, being caused by a certain number only of the members of parliament, and that the ${ }^{4}$ Stntutes, 'judgments, ordinances, and establshments, were made, ordained, and given errontously 'rand deceitfully, in great disherison and final ' destruction, nad undoing of the liege people ' of the realm.' Where also it was firther declared and adjudged, in the same parliament, that all the parliament of 21 Rich. 2, und all ' circumstances and degendents thereupon to 'be of no force or value, but annulled.' And besides, that 'the parliament of the 11 Rich. ' 2,' wherein those Judges were condeinned as Traitnrs, for that answer, and all the rest of that kind, should 'he firmly holden and kept, after ' the purport and effect of the same, as a thing ' made for the great honour and common profit ' of the realm.' So that that enswer of the Jurges, in the 11 Rich. \&, so lighly condemned as false and erroneous, by ctwo pariaments, both which have to this day continued in firm strength, is of no weight to prove that 'sedition 'ragainst the king is 'Treaton.' Nor doth any thing else prove it, but the contrary is manifested by the argumentr before urged. And by consequence, it is only trespass againat the ling, and panisbable by fine and imprisonment, and therefore the prisoner returned to stand committed 'for stirring it op againat the kiog' ought to be bailed. .

## 8bmedays after, sir Rob. Heath, the King'n

 Attorney General, argued, That this Return was good, and that the parties ought not to be beiled: And that withia the Return there ap-
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pears good cause of their coinnitment, and of their detaining also. The case is great in expectation and consequence: and coucerns the Liberty of the Subject on the one part, whereof the argument is plausible; and on the other part, it concerns the safety and sovereignty of the king, which isg thing of great weight. The con sideration of both pertains to you the Judges, without slighting the one, or too much elevating the other. The Heturn, which now is before you, is entire ; but I will first consider it as divided in parts. First, the first Warrant, which is that of the Lords of the Priry Council, is general, that it was by the command of the lord the king : and this in former times was held a a very good retyrn, when due respect and revereuce was given to goverument; but, tempora mutantur. And this Return is no way weakened by any latter opinion; for notwithstanding that, the first commitment of n man may be Heneral: for if upon the return, the true cause thould be revealed to the gnoler, by this means, fauts should be published and dirulged before their punishment; and so the complices of the fact will escape, and it is not fit that the gaoler, which is but a ministerial oflicer, should be acquainted with the secrets of the cause. But when the cause is returued in court, more certanty is, requisite; for then (as it hath been objected) sotnething ought to be expressed to which the party may muswer, and upon which the court may ground their judgment. And to this purpose, the Petition of llight hath been much insisted upon; but the law is not altered by it, but remains as it was before. And this will appear upon the view of all the parts of the Yetition. 1. The occasion of the Petition, and the grievance, is shewed in these words : ‘Di'vers of your subjects hare been of late impri' soned, wilhout any cause shewed,' \&c. But in this return there is a cause shewed, to which - the partics may answeg. Then, 2. The prayer of the Petution is, That no Freeman, in any such manuer as before is mentioned, be imprisoned or detained; that is, such manner of imprisonment, the ground whereof doth not nppear. Then the Answer of the king to the Petition was in sundry words: 2 June 1628, in these words, "The king walleth, that right be done ac* cording to the laws and custnms of the realm, '\&c.' Which Inswer gave not satisfaction. And afterwards his Answer was in a parliamente ary phrase, 'Soit droit fait come est desire.' But afterwards, on the 26th of June, 1628, the king expressed his intention asd meaning in the said Answer. "It must be conceived, that I have granted no new, out only confinmed the ancient Liberties of my Subjects, \&cc." A Pe, tition in parliament is not a law, yet it is for the honour and dignity of the king; to observe and keep it faithfully; but it is the duty of the people not to stretch it beyond the words and inteption of the king. And no other construction can be made of the Petiouon, than to take'tt as a confirmntion of the antient liberties and rights of the subjects. So that now the case remains in the same quality and-dogree, as it was before
the Petition. Therefore we will now consider, how the law was taken before the Petition; and for the discussing thereoff we will examine the second part of the Return, and in it two things: 1. If the Retura, as it is now made, shall be intended for true. 2. Admit that it is true, if there be any offence contained within it, which is good to detain the prisoners. For the 1st it is clear, that the cause shall be intended true which is returned, though in thuth it be false; and so are 9 H. 6, 44, and F. Corpus oum cousa, and 2 Coke's Rep. 11. p. 93, Bagg's case. . It seems that there is such a crime contained in this return, which js a good cause for detaining the prisoners. It is true, that it was confidently urged in parliament, in 9 Car that general returns, that were cominitted by the command of the lord the king, are not good: and that those argumeats remain as monuments on record, in the upper house of parliameat; but I will not admit them for law. But Pwill remember what was the opinion of former times, 22 H. 6, 52 , by Newton; a mmn committed by the command of the king, is not replevisable. And the opinion cannot be intended of a replevin made hy the sheriff, becnase the principal case there is upon a return in this court. , S3 IIen. 6, 28 , Poyning's case, where the returu was, That he was committed by the lords of the council, and it was admitted good. It is true, that this opinion is grounded upon West. 1. c. 15. but I will not insist upon it. But the constant opinion bath always been, that a man comnitted by'the command of the king is not brilable. In 9 H . 6,44 , it is said, That if one be taken upon the king's suit, the court will not granta supersedens. The contrary opinion is grounded upon Magna Charta, which is a general law, and literally hath no sense to that purpose : and it is contrary to the usual practice an criminnl cuuses, in which the imprisooment is always lawful until the trial, although it be made by a justice of peace, or constable. And that a man committed by the comunand of the king or privy council, is not bailable, he cited 1 Jac. sir John Brocket's case; 8 Jac . Thomas Caesar's case; 12 Jac . James Demaistres's case; 43 Eliz. William Rinch's case; and in the case of M. 36 Eliz., and 4 and 5 Eliz R. Thumelby's case; and said, that there are innomerable precedents to this purpose. M. 21 and 22 Eliz, upon the return of an IIabeas Corpus, it appears, thut Michael Page was committer by the command of the lord the king, but was not delivered; and after wns arraigned in this court, and lost bis hand, And at the same time Stubbs was commaitted by the command of the lord the king, for seditiocs words aud rumours, and he lost his head also upon the same trial. M. 17 and 18 Eliz. uppon Habeay Corpus for John Loen, it was returned, That he was committed for divulging sundry seditious.writinges and he was remanded. And $T$ H. 7, roll 6. Kug's Case; and roll 13. Chase's Case, where the return was; That they wexs committed by the comminand of the dord the king, ind they were not dolisered; and thip wing alio the opinion ia this court, M. S Corn, add.
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after the said time the law is not altered; a so, I hope, neither are your opinions.
-But to consider the particular cause mentioned in the return, I will not rely upon the first part of the words, although they be of great weight, but only upon the last words, 'for ' stirring up of Sedition against Us.'-But it bath been objected, that Sedition is not a word kuown in the law : But I marvel that the siguification of the word is not understood, when it is joined with the words-' against Us;' this ought to be understood, Sedition against the king, in his politic capncity. Sedition hath sundry acceptations, according to the subject handled, as it appears Coke's 4 Rep. p. 13, lord Cromwell's Case, which hath been cited. If it be spoken of a man, that he is seditious, if it be of a Company in London, it shall be understood sedition in the company; if it be spoken of a Soldier, it shall be taken for miu tinous. Mr. Littleton, who argued this case, very well said, That Tacitus used this word, and it is true; and he says, That there are two manners of seditions, 'Seditio arraata et ' togata; and the last is more dangerous that the former. Bat couple it with the subsequent words here, 'against us', the interpretation and sense thereof is easy, and 'loquendum ut 'vulgus.' Mr. Littleton shews the acceptation of this word in divers places of Scripture, and I will not reject them, for they make for me; 20 Numb, 3, the Latin is,-' populi versi 'sunt in seditionem; - and it is Englished ' murmuring,' but clearly it was high-treason against the goveraor, and God himself. 96 Numb. 9, 'in seditione Corab,' it is manifest, that that was a great insurrection. 12 Jud. 1, 'facta est ergo seditio in Ephraim,' 'The ' Ephraimites rose against Jephtha ;' and he at the same tume was their judge and goternor, so it was the beight of insurrection. It is true, that in 15 Acts 2 , 'facta est seditio ;' and inf some translations it is, 'Orta est repugnantia ' non parva,' for it may be taken in several senses. 19 Acts 40, the town-clerk there knew not how to answer for 'this day's sedition,' or insurrection, and no doubt he was in great peril, for it was a great insurrection; and I wish thie greater ones were as circumspect as he was. 24 Acts 5 , 'Tertullus accused Paul ' of sedition,' and doubtless it was conceived a great offence, if you consider the time and other circumstances, for they were heathens and Ro-* mans. And although be in very truth taught the gospel of God, yet he was taken for a peftilent fellow, and as a persuader to shakie off government. Bracton, lib, 3 , de Corona, c. 2, ranks sedition amongst the crimes lesa majestatis. But it hath been objected, that if it be a capital olfence, it ougbt to be felony or treacon. To this I say, that it cannot be felony, but it may be treasoa, for any thing, that-appaais. It is true, that by the statutg of 85 E. 3, treasons are declared, and nothing shall be callod treason, which is not comprised within the flid statute, unless it be declared so by act of parliament. But upon Indictunent of, inea-
son, such sedition as this may be given in evidence, and perhaps will prove treason. And. the return is not, that he was seditious, which shews only an inclination; but that he stirred up sedition, which may be treason, if the evidence will bear it. In divers acts of parliament, notice is taken of this, word Seditio, and it is always coupled with insurrection or rebellion, as appears by the statutes of $5 \mathrm{R} .2, \mathrm{c} .6$. 17 R. 2, c. 8. 2 fi. 5, c. 9.8 H. 6, c. 14. S\&\& E. 6, c. 5. 2 R. 2, c. 5 . $1 \& 2$ Phil, \& Mar, c. 2. 1 Eliz. c. 7. 13 Eliz. c. 2. 23 Eliz. c. 2. 27 Eliz. c. 2, and 35 Eliz. c. 1, all which were cited before; and they prove, that Sedition is a word well known in the law, and of dangerous consequence, and which cannot be expounded in- good sease? Wherefore, us to the nature of the offence, I leave it to the court. But out of these statutes it appears, that there is a narrow differcnce between it and Treason, if there be any at all.

3diy. As to the Oljections which have been made, I will give a short muswer to them.

1. It was objected, That every imprisonment is either for custody, or punishment; the last is always after the Judgment given for the offence ; and if it be but for custody, the party upon tender of sufficient mainperners is bailable. I confess, that this difference is true, but not in all respects ; for I deny, that a man is always hailable, when imprisonment is imposed upon him for cystody: For itaprisonanent is for two intents; the one is, that the party which had offended, should not avoid the judgment of law ; the second is, that he shall not do harm in the interim during his trial; and the law is careful in this point. But it hath been said, That although the party be bailed yet he is imprisoned. I deny that, for $\mathrm{so}, \mathrm{is} 1$ II. 4, 6. If the party come not ut the day, the bail shall be ipprisoned; but yet the pail shall not suffer the same pungishent which ought tod have been inflicted upots the party; ns if it were for treason, the bail shall not ansner for the fault, but only for the body. Serjeapt Berkley did well call a seditious man, an Iucendiary to the government, and, as commune incendium, is to be restrained of his liberty. And he put 22 E. 4, and 22 Ass. 56, that a madinan may be reatrained, to prevent the hurt he would otherwise do bimself and others. A seditious man is as a rapdnan, in the public state of the Commonwealth, apd, therefore onght to be restrained. And it appears by the writ 'De Leprpso amovendo,' that a leper is to be removed, and, in a miauner, imprisoned, for the contagiap of the disease; and this is for the safeguard of others, lest his leprosy infect others. The application is ensy, and by the Scatute of 1 Jac. c. 33 , is restrained to kRep within dogrs; and if he go abroad, any man
y jastify the hilling of him. The infection of Sedition is as dangerous as any of these doeases, therefore it is pot safe to let seditious mes to bail, or at liberty; and ip dangeroun cases, the wisest way is to make all safe. In all cases of thio, nature, much in peft, to the dis-
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cretion of the court. The Case of M. 9E, 3, rol. $s 9$ Russell, hath been objected, to be in the point; I have riewed the record of that case, and although jt be verbally, yet it is not materially to this parpose: for the commitment was by a Justice of North Wales, npon the accusation of an accuser; and it was wilhin a ghort time after the statute of 5 E. 3, by which it was orduninel, That none should be imprisoned upon the accusation of one uccuser: but here the detainment is by the king himself, for stirring up of sedition. And there the return was, That he was accused of seditions and indecencies, where the latter word doth qualify the former. And there issurd a Writ of good bebaviour, (as the use was) to enquire of the truth of the offichice; and it was found, that there was no such offence: and then opon the same retura again he was set at liberty; so that the case there was special, hnd the manner of proceedings special. And I desire that one thing may be observed, that Rusyell came in here upou the IIabens Corpus, 20 Sept. but was not delivered until Hillary Term following. And for 28 II. 6, the duke of Suffolk's Case, which was objected, that the general accusation of divers treasons was not legal. That is true, because it was in parlinnicnt, and in the nature of an accusation; and bemg in a court of justice, it had been unjust to condenin a man befiore his trial; and yet tiis court, upon probablity of an fanlt, does ofttimes restrain a man before conviction. But it hath been objected in this case, They have been a long time imprisoned, and no procealmgs agningt them. It is well known, there have been some proceedings against them, and they declined them; aud also more than three moikhs is requisite for the preparation of such proccedings, and the king intends to proceed dgainst them in convenient time. And some that were offenders in the same kind are already delivered, to wit, Mr. Coriton and sir Peter Hayman. Therefore, if any injury be done to the Prisoners, they thenselves are the cause of it, for not snbmitring themselves to the king. And for the instance which Mr. Littleton used of the Judges in 11 R. 2, nlthough they suffered for their opinions given to the king, I desire, that the time when their opinion was delivered, may be considered, to wit, in the tinie of R. 2, athd the time when they suffered, to wit, in the time of H.4. And it was the saying of atnoble gentleman, the lord Egerton, That Belknaps suffered tather by the potency of his enemies, than the greatness of his offence: and yet it is to be confcosed, that they might have given better counsel; but there was no time to dispute of the justncts of their counsel, when the sword was in the hands of the conqueror.

What hath been relied upon is the Resolytion, of all the Justices of, England in 34 Eliz, which Resolution is now registerect in the upper house of parliament, at the request of the commons, in tertio Caroli regis; but I leave it to you, as that Resolution thall away your judg-
ments. The said Resolution is, That the cause ought to be certified in the generality, or specialty; and here the general cause is certified at least, if the special be not so : and upon the whole matter the bailment of these prisoners is left-to your discretion; and 1 have shewed to yoa the discretion of your predecessors. And if any danger appear to you in their bnilment, I am confident dhat ye will not bail them, if any duuger may ensuc; but first yc are to consult with the king, and he will shew you where the danger rests. Thereforo upon the whole matter I pray, that they be remanded.
When the Court wns ready to have delivered their Opinions in this great business, the Prisoners were not brought to the bar, according to the rule of the cuort. Therefore proclamation was made for the Keepers of the several prisons to bring in their Prizoners; but none of them appeared, except the Marshal of the King's-Bench, who informed the Court, that Mr. Stroud, who was in his custody, was removed yesterday, and put in the Tower of London by the king's own warrant: and so it was done with the other prisoners; for each of them was removed out of his prison in which he was hefore.' But notwithstanding, it was prayed by the counsel for the prisoners, that the Conrt would deliser their Opinion as to the matter in law: but the Court refinsed to do that, hecause it was to no purpose; for the Prisonurs being absent, they could not be bailed, delivered, or remnnded.
The evening before, there came a letter to the Judges of this court from the King hinself, informing the court with the Fensuns, whercfore the Prisoners were not sufficed to come at the dey appointed for the Resolution of the Judges.
To our trusty and well-belovell; onr ChiefJustice, and the rest of our Justices of our Bench.
"C. $\boldsymbol{R}$. Trusty and well-beloved, we greet you well. Whereas by our special commandment we have lately removed sir Miles Hohart, Walter Long, and William Stroud, froun the several prisong where they were formerly committer, and have now sent them to nur Tover of London; understanding there are vaious constructions made thereof, according to the several "apprehensions of those who discourse of it, as if we bad done it to decline the course of justice; we hare therefore thought fit to let you know the true reason and occasion thereof; as also, why we commanded those and the othor Prisoners should not come before you the last day. We (having heard how most of them a while since did carry themselves insolently and unmannerly both towards us and your lordships) were and are very sensible thereof; and though we hear yourvelves gare them some admonition for that miscarginge, yet we could not but resent our honour a and the honour of so great a court of justice, 30 far, as to let the. world know how much wi dislike the atme:
and haring understood that your lordships, and the rest of our Judges and Barons of our Courts of Commoni Pleas aurd Fxchequer, whos: ndvices and judgnents wa bave desired in this great buainiess, so much concerning pur goverayyent, have upt yet resolved the naian question; we did not think the presence of tiose Prisoncrs necessary; aud until we should find their temper and discretions, to be such as may deverve it, we were not willing to affird thein favour. Neverthfiess, the respect we bear to the proceedings of that Court, hath caused us to give way, that Sellen and Valentine should attend you to-morrow, they being suticicent to appear befire you, since you cannot as yet give any resolute Oppinion in the main point in question. Ginen under our bignet, at our manor at Greenwich, this 2 th Junc, in the fifh year of our reign."
Within thrce hows afier the receipt of those Jetters, other letters were brought unto the said Judges, us followeth:
To our trusty and well-Lecloved, our Chief. Justice, and the rest of our Justices of our Bench,
"C.R. Trusty and well-beloved, We greet you well. Whereas ly our letters of this day's date, we gave you to understand our pleasure, That of hlose prisoners which, by our commandment, are kept in our Tower of Loudon, Selden and Valentine shoald be brought tonorrow before you ; now, upon mure mature deliberation, we lave resolved, That all of them shall receive the same treatment, and that sone shall coine before you, until we have cause given us to beliere they will make a better denonstration of their modesty and civilty, both towards us and your lordslipp, than at their last appearance they did. Given under our signet, at Greenwich, this 2sth duy of June, in the fifth ycar of our reign."
So the Court this Term delivered no upinion, and the imprisoned Gentlemen continued in restraint all the long racation.
Towards the latter end of this vacotion, s.ll the Justices of the King's'-Bench being then in the country, received every one af them a letter to be at Serjenant's-inn upon Nichaelmasday. These Letters were from the CouncilTuble; aud the cause expressed in them was, -That his majesty had presenc and urgent oc-' - casion to use their service.' The Judgea cane up accorrlingly on Tuesday, being Michaelma:-

- day. The next morning about foor o'clock, leters were brought to the Chirf-Justice from Mr. Trumbal, Clerk of the Council then attending, that be and judge Whitelocke, one of the Judges of that court, should attend the king that morring so soon as conreniently they could; which the Chief-Justice and that Judge did at Hampton that morning; whece the king taking them apart froin tbe.Council, fell upon thebosiness of the Genulemen in the Tower, und was contented theg should be bailed, notwithigtaeding their obstinacy, in that they would not
give the king a Petition, expressing, 'That they 'were sorry he was offented with them.' He shewed his purpose to proceed against them by the Common Law in the King's-Bench, and to leave his proceeding in the Stur-Chamber. Dirers other matters be proposed to the snid Jurges by way of Advice,:, and seemed well contentecd with what they unswcred, though it was not to his mind; whiclo was, That the offences were not capital, and that by the law the prisoners ought to be balled, giving secarity for their good behaviour. Whereupon the king whld them, ' That be would never be offended ' with his Judges, so they dentt plainly with ( him, and did not answer him by Oracles and ‘ Riddles.'t
The first day of Michaelmas Term it was moved by Mr. Atason, to have the Resolution of the Judges; and the cuart nidh oue voice
* Mr. Whitelocke in his Memorials, p. 13, says, "My father did otten und highly complain against this way of sending to the Judges for ther Opinions beforehand; and said, That if bishop Laud went on in his way, he would kindle a flaue in the nation."
$\dagger$ Mr. Whitclocke, in bis Menorials of the English Affuirs, page 13, says, "The Judge were somewhat perplexed about the IIabeas Corpus for the Parliament-men, and wrote an bumble and stout Letter to the king, That by their, oatlo they were to bail the Prisoners; but thought fit, hefore they did it, or published their Opmions therein, to inform his majesty thereof, and humbly to adpise him (as bad been done by his noble progenitors in like case) to send a direction to his Justices of his bench, to bail the prisoners.' But the Lord Keeper would not ackuowlodge to my father, who was sent to him from the rest of his brethren about this business, that he had shewed the Judges Jetter to the king, but disserubled the matter, and told him, that be and lis brethren must attend the king at Greenwich, at a day appointed by him.-Accordingly the Judges attended the king, who was not pleased with their deterinination, but commanded them not to deliver any Opinion in this case without consulting with the rest of the Judges; who delayed the business, and would hear Arguments in the case as well as the Judges of the King's-Betch had done; and so the business was put off to the end of the Term. Then the Court of King's-Bench being ready to deliver their Opinions, the Prisoners were removed to other prisous, and a Letter caune to the Judges ffom the king, 'That this 'was done because of their insolent carriage ' at the ber.' And so they did not appear.The Judges of the King's-Bench were sent for by the Lord-Keeper to be in Iondon on Michaelipasdaly; the chief-justice Hyde, and my fither, were sent for to the kiug at HamptonCeury who advised with them about the imprisoned Parliumeut-men; Ahd-both these Judges did what good offices they could, to bring on the king to heal these breachers."
said, That they are now conteat that they sliould be bailed, but that they ought to find surcties also for the gond behaviour. And justice Joness said, That so it was done in the $C_{\text {pase }}$ which had thees often remembered to another purpose, to wit, Russel's Case, in 9 E. S. To which Mr. Seldeu answered (with whom all the other Prisoners agreed in opivion), That they jave their surcties ready for the buil, but not for the good behnviour; and desire, that the bail might first be accepted, nund that they be not urged to the other; and that for these reasons:

1. The case here hath long depended in court, and they have been imprisoned for these thirty weeks, and it had been oftentimes argued ou the one'side and the other; and thove that argued for the hing, always demanded that we should be remanded; and those which angued oo our side, desirtd that we wight be baled or discharged; but it was uever lise desire of the one side or the other, that ue should be bound to the grod behaviour. And in the last Turm fuer several days were appointed for the IResolution of the court, and the sole point in question was, If builable or nut? Therefore he now desirs, that the matter of Dal and of gooll lehaviour may be severed, and not confonnded.
2. Because the finding of Sureties of good behaviour is seldom urged upon Returns of Fclunies or Treasons. And it is but an innplicution upon the retun, that we are culpable of those matter, which are objected.
3. We demanal to be bailed in point of Right; ; nud if it be not grautable of right, we to not demand it: but the fintling of Sureties for the good belrwiour, is a point of discretion merely; and we cannot asscnt to it without great offerice to the parliament, where these matters which are surmised by return were ucted; and - by the statute of $4 \dot{\mathrm{I}} .8$, all punishments of such nature are made foid, and of none effect. Therefore, \&c.

Court. The Return doth not make mention of any thing donc in parliament, and we cannot in a judicial way take notice that these things nere doue in parliament. And by Whitelocke, the surcty of good behaviour, is a preventing medicine of the damage that may fall out to the commgnwealdi; und it is an net of government and jurisdiction, and not of law. And by Croke, it is no inconvenience to the Prisoners ; fir the same bail sufficeth, and all bhall be written upon one piece of parchnent. And Heath, Attomicy-Gcneral, sail, That by the command of the kigg, he had au Information ready in his hand to deliver in the court ngainst them.

- Hyide, Chief-Justice. If now yot refuse to find Sureties for the good behaviour, and be for that cause remanded, perbips we afterwards will not grant a Ilabeas Corptis for you, inaspuch as wo are made acquainted with che cause of your imprisonment.

Ashley, the King's Serjeant, offered his own mail for Mr. Hollis, ouse of the prisoners, who
vol., II .
had married his daughter and heir, but the court refined it; for it is contrary to the course of the court, vuless the, Prisoner himself will beconie bound also.*
And Mr. Lang, that had found sureties in the Chiefftistice's Chamber, for the goor behasiour, refused to continue his sureties any longer, inasmuch as they were baund in a grent suin of 2,000 l, and the gopd behaviour was a ricklish poiut. Therefore he was commited to the custody of the Marshal, and all the other Prisoners were remanded to prison, becnuse they would not find sureties for the good behatiour.

$$
\text { Mich. } 6 \text { Car. B. R. }
$$

John Sulden was cotnmitted to the Marshalsea of the King's-Bench, for not putting in Sureties fin his good bchaviour. There were with han in the same prisun, Hobart, Strout, and Valentine, In the end of Trinity Teron, 6 Car. the sichness increusing in Southwark; the threc last named mate sutt onto the Judges of the King'-Bencl, to be delivered over to the Gatehouse in Westminster, to nvoid the danger. The Judges thought it charity, and by writ to the marshat of the King's. Bench, commanded hinn to deliser theto to the Keoper of the Gatehouse, and sent him a writ to rcceive thena. Mr. Selden nerer sent unto them whilst they were in town, but "ben they were all gone, made suit to the Lord-Treasurer to move the king, that, to avoid this duger, he might be renowed to the Gatelouse; which he did, and sent a warrant vuder his hand $w^{2}$ the marshäl, signifying his majesty's pleasure to remove luim to the Gatehuase : accordingly he was rewosed. Thereapon, when the Judges came to town in Alichachuas Term, they calleod the Narsbal to accouat for his Prisoner, Mr. Selden; and he prosenting unto then the Lord

* "Thi- mhtion of discharging proceeded from the kuy homstif, who had conferred with the Jodzes, and had declased his content the Pisoners s!ould be bailed, notwithistanding their obstiacy, that they would not so inuch as present a Petition to him to express, that 'they were sorry he was offiended with then.' But the Pusoneis hearn now to value themselves upon their suffering, and had so much countenance from sercial of their late fellowmembers, that they would not now accept of a deliverance, but unanimnusly refused to find sureties for their behnviuur: nay, Mr. Hollis was so industrivas to be continued in custody, that when ollered his own bail, he would notyield to the crurse of the Court, to be himself bound with hin. And eren Mr. long, who had actually found sureties in the Cliief Justices Chamber, declared in courl, that his sureties shculd no longer continue. Such a uerit did theynow place in confinement, as to labour ogainst their own liberty; and by such glorying in persecution to taise a popularty to themselves, and cast an odium on the king." 3 Keanet, p. 49.
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Treasurer'y Warrant lyy the king's dire -tion, the Judges told lim it nould not serve, for he coold not be removed but by writ; aud upon his mnjesy's pleasure siguifie d, it inglit so have been denc. And althaagh the Jpdges were out of town, yet the Clerh of the Crown would have made the wit upon so grod a warrant, and it might have been subscribed by the Jutges at their retom. And to nvoid the like erruir herealter, 'the court st nt justice Whitelocke to whe 1 ord Treasurer, to let him know, that Mr. Selden never looked after any of the Court, but sought a new and irregular way to be removed without them, The Lord Trensurer m'ide a very bonourable answer, That he would n t move the king for Mr. Selden to be removed by this means, until lie sent him word, on his credit, that it was a legal way; and told that Judge, that Mr. Sclilen was at the Judges dispose, to remove hack when they would, for it was not the kings meaning to do any thing contrary to the order of the conrt, or their formal pfoccedings: on Writs were sent this Michaelmas Terni to remove the fuur Prisoaers bnck again to the Marshalsea.

## Tue Cafe oy Sir Miles Iomart, and Wiliham Stroud, Fisq.

On the 23rd of Jantary, the Attorney-General exhilited two several Intirmations, the one against VWm . Stroud, esg. the otlier against sir Mfles Ilobart, knt. The clange ngainst both of them therein, wis for several escapes out of the orison of the Gatchouse: they both pleaded, Not Ginily. And their casesappeared to be as follonelth: The said W'illiam Stroud, and sir Miles Hobart, were by the king', command committed to prison, for mistiemeonors alleged agninst them, in their carriage in the House of Commons at the last parliathent. Afterwards in Trinity Term, anno 6 Caroli, hoth of them being lyy order of this cours, and by a warrant from the Attorney-General, to be removed unto the Gatehouse; the warden of the Mnrilialsen, where they were before inuprisumed, sent the said Stroull to the kecper of the Gateluuse, who received hin into his house lateiy built, and adjoinung to the puison of the Gatehouse, but being. no part the cof. Afier which reccipt, the same night, he licensed the sail Stooud to yo with this kecper unto his chamber in Gray's-inn, and there to reside. Sir Miles Hobart was nlso by the said earden of the Marshalsea, delivered to the keeper of the Gatebouse, but being sick, and abiding at his chamber in Fleet-street, he could not be removed to the pricon of the Gateliouse, but there coutinued with his keeper also. Afterwords the Sickness ircreasing in Lundon, they (with the licence of the keeper of the Gatehobse, as it was poved) retirell with their under-kecpers to their several houses in the codihtry for the space of six weeks, until Michisilinas Term then next following, when by difestion bf the said keeper they returned to his Mouse ; but in all-tyat synce it could not he proved, that they were in any part of the old
prison of the Gatehouse, but in the new building thereto adjonning; unlcss when they once withirew theinselves to a close-stool, which was placed near to the parlour, gud was part of the old prisun of the Gatehouse. This Evidence was given to both the Juries, and both of them returned their Verdicts seremilly, 'That they 'were not Guily,' accordiug'to the Information exhibited agninst them. $\Lambda_{\text {nd }}$ in this case it was debated at the Bar and Bench, whether by this rectipt and continuauce in the new house only, it may be said, That they ever had been imprisoned?' And the Judges held, 'That their 'voluntary retirement to the close-stool made 'thein to le prisoners.' They resolved also, that in this nad all other cares, alchough a Prisoner depart from prison with lis keeper's licence, yet it is an offence as well punishable in the prisoner as in the keeper. And Culthorpe made this differenec between breach of prison and escayre; the first is 'against the 'Gnoler's will;' the otber is 'with his consent, 'but in both the Prisoner is ponishable:' whereunto the whole Court ggreed. It wns also resolsed that the Prison of the King's Bench is not any local prison, confined only to one place, and that ciery place where any person is restrained of his lilerty is a prison: as, if one take sanctuary and $d \in p a r t ~ t h e n c e, ~$ he shall be said to break prison.*

In the next parliament, nhich met April 13, 16 to, it was refeyred to a crimmittee, to consider of the breach of privilege by sir Joha Finch the Spenker), 5 Car. 1, who refused to

[^10]put the question hy command of the house; and the committee ordered to state matter of fact, and so report.

Monday, April 20. Mr. Treasurer reported, That sir John Finch late Speaker did not say, - He would not put the question i' but that, ' IIe durst not put it:' that 'he left the Chair not to disobey the house,' but 'to obey his majesty.'

The house thereupon resolved, That it was a breach of Privilege of the house, for the

Speaker ant to obey the conmands of the house; and that it appeared the Speaker did adjourn the house by command of the king. without consent of the house, which is nlso a breach of privilege; it was therefore ordered, that this sllould be humbly repiesented to his majesty. But this parliament being soon dissolved, viz. May 5, 1640, luothing was done for these Gentlemen, but in the next parliauent, which met Nov. 3, 1640, reparation thas ordered then ; as will be shewn in the following proceeding.

## 130. Proceedings against Sir Joinn Elliot, Denzil Hollis, esq. and Benjamíi Valentine, esq. for seditious Specches in Parliament: in B. R. Mich. 5 Charles I. a.d. 1629.*

Sir Robert Weath, the king's Attorney-Ge- divers malicinus and seditiqus words, of dannersl, exhibited informations in this court nguinst sir John Elliot, knight, Denzil Hollis, and Benjama Valentine, esqra. the effect of which was, t That the kug that now is, for weighty causes, buch a day and year, did sommon a parliament, and to that purpose sent his writ to the sherifl of Cornwall to chuse twa knights : by vithe whereof sir Joln Flliot was chosen and returned hnight for Cornwall. And that in the sume inunntr, the other defeadants were elected burgesses of other places, for the same parliament. And shewed forther, that sir John Finch was chosen for one of the citizens of Cauterbury, and was Speaker of the house of coinmons. And that the s.ill Elliot publictly and maliciously in the house of commons, to raise sedition between the kug, his nobles, and people, uttered tliese words, 'That
'thic Council and Judges had all conspired to

- trample under foot the liberties of the Sub-- 'jects.' He fu:ther shewed, that the hing had power to call, adjourt, and dissolve parhaiments: and that the king, for divers reasons, had a purpose to have the bouse of connmons audjourned, aud gave direction to sir Johu Finch, then the Speaker, to more an adjourument; and if it should not be obeyed, that he should forthwith come from the hnuse to the king. And that the Defendants, by confederacy aforehand, spakean long and continued speech, which was recited verbatim, in which were

[^11]kerous consequence. And to the intent that they might not be presented of utteving their premeditate speeches, their intention was, that the Speaker should not go out of the Clair till they lad spoken them ; the Defeudants, Ifollis and Yalentine, laid ciolent hands upoa tho Speaker, to the great nilfightment and disturbance of the house. And the Speaker Leing got out of the Chair, they by violence set him in the Chair ngain; so that there was a great tumult in the house. And ufter the soid speeches pronounced by sir Jolin Ellot, Hollis did recapnalate them.

And to this Iuformation,
The Defendauts put in a Plea to the Jurisdiction of the cout, becnuse 'these offences 'are suppused to be done in. parlinment, and 'ought not to be punished in this court, or in ' any other, but in parliunent.'

And the Atturncy-General moved the Court, to over-rule the ples to the juridiction. Aud that, he said, the court-might do, although he had not demarred upon the plea. But the court would not over-rule the plea, but gare day tojoin in demurrer this tern. And on the, first day of the nest term, the record shall be read, and within a day after shall be argued at bar.

Hyde, Chief-Justice, said to the counsel of the Defendants; So far light we will give you: this is no new question, but all the Judges in England, and Barons of the Exchequer, before now, have of been sssembled on this occusion, and have, with great patience, heard the arguments on both sides; and it was resolved by them all with one voice, That anoffence committed in parlinment, criminally or contemptuonsly, the parliament being ended, rests punishable in anther court.
Joncs. It is true, that we all resolved, That on offence compitted in parlinment against the crown, is punishable after the parhament in another sourt; and what court shall that be, but the court of the King'rbench, in which the kjing, by intendment, sitteth?
braillocke. The questionision reduced to a
narrow room, for all the Judges are agreed, That an offence committed in parliaunent against the kiug or his govetument, may be punished out of parliament. So that the sole doubt which now remains, is, wiether this court can punish it.

Croke agreed, That so it had been resolved by all the Judges, because otherwise there would be a fivilure of justice. And by him, if such an offence bc punishable in another court, what court stall punish it but this court, which is the highest court in the realm for criminal offences? And perlaps not only criminal netions committed in parliany'ut are punishable here, bot words also.

Mr. Mason of Lancoln's-Inn argued for sir John Elliost, oue of the Defendunts. The charges in the Information against him are three:

1. For Speeches.
2. For Contempts to the King, in revisting the Adjourmment.*
3. For Conspiracy with the other Defendants, to detain' Mr. Speaker in the Chair.

In the discussion of these matters, he argued much to the same inteut he had argued before, therefore his argument is reported here very briefly.

1. For his Speeches, they contnin matter of nccusation ugainst some great pecrs of the realin; nud is to them, he said, that the king cannot take notice of thetn. The Parliament is "Council, and the Grand Council of the kang; and councils are secret and close, none other have access to those councils of parliameat, and they themselves ought not to impart chem without the consent of the whole house. A Jary in a leet, whech is suom to inquine of olifences within the said jurivlicten, are sworn ta beep their own comuel; so the hasese of commons inquare of all goevances within the hingdom, and ther counsels ate not to be revealed. And to this purpose was a P'clitim, 2 II. 4, n. 10. That the kring shall not give credit to any privale epports of their proceedings, to which the king assents: therefire the hing ought not to give credth to the infurnation of these offences in this case. 2. The word themoches contair: several uccusations of great ment; and the liberty of secusation hath alivays been pulamentary. 50 E. 3. Palfament Roll, n. 21, the lord Latiance was mpenched in pailiament for sundry offences. 11 IK. 2, the archbi-hop of York; 18 11. 6, n. 18, the duhe of Sutionlk; 1 Mar. Dy 93, the duke of Nortiolk ; $\because 6$ II. 6, n. 60, un Vickar Genersi: 2 sud 3 E. 6, c. 18. the lon! Seymour; 18 of king. Jame , the lard of St. A1hans, Chaucellor of Enpland; and 21 of king Janes, Craulield, Lord Treasurer; and 1 Car. the duhe of liuchuggham. 9. This is a priv slege of parhancuc, which is determinable in p.usiament, and not elsew ere; 11 R. 2, n. 7. the Parhament kill, a Peltion echibited in parliament, und allowed by the kl.g. That the fiberties and privileges of parlia-, rat shall only be discussed there, andaot in other cuurts, nor by the sommon, nor cyy! law; (oec this Case inore at large in Selden's Notes upon Fortescue, f. 42.)

11 R. 2, Roll of the process and judgment. An appcal of Treason was exbibited against the arcchbishop of Canterbuiy and others, and there the advice of the sages of the one law and the other being requiret; but because the appeal concerned persous which are peers of the realm, which are not tried clsewhere than in parliament, and not in an inferior court. 28 If. $6, \mathrm{n}$. 18. There being a question in parliament concerning precedency, between the earl of Árundel, and the carl of Devon, the opinion of the Juilges being demanded, they answered, That this question ought to be determined by the parliament, and by no other. 31 H. 6, n. 25, 26. During the prorugation of the parliament, Thorp that was the Speaker, yas out in execution at the suit of the duke of York; and upmo the re-assembly of the parliament, the commons made suit to the king and lords th, have their Speaker deluared. ''pon this, the lords demand the opition or the Jutges; who answer, That they ought nat to doterinine the privileges of the high conrt of parliament. 4. This aceusation in parliament ts in legal course of justice, and therefore the necuser stall never be impeached, 13 In. 7, and 11 Elz. Dy. 28j. Fun*mg of false deeds brought against a pecr of the realun, action de scandalus mugnatum, doth not lic. Coke's Kep.4.11, Culer and Dixy's cuse, where divelx casps are libewne put to this porposc. 35 15. 6, 15. If upon the view of the hody the slayer cannot l-e found, the Coroncr ought to enguire, Who first fonad the dead body? And if the first linder accuse another of the murier, that is atterward nequit, he shall not base an action upon the casc, for it was done in Iegul manner. So it is the duty of the commons to enuruire of the Grimances of the bul. jects, aud the causes thereof, and doing it in a lepal manaer, 19 II. $6,19.8$ II. 4,6 . in conspiracy it is a gooll plea, that he was one of the indectors. And $2011.6,3$, that he was a grand Jury-man, and mformed his companums, Aud al E. 4, 6. 7. and 35 11. 6, 11. that lie was a Jrostice of Peace, and inforned the Jury, 27 Ass. p. 19. is to the same parpose. And if a Justice of Peace, the first finder, a juror, or indictor, shall not be punithed in such cases ; à furtiori, a memher of the house of conmons shall not, "ho, as 1 II. 7 , 15 a Jougge. 27 Ass. P. 4.4, may lee objected, where two weretindicted of a conspiracy, because they maintpined one another; but the rivs, maintenance is a matter forbidden by the law; but parpamentary accusation, which is our matter, is not furbidden by any taw. Coke's lep. 9. 56 . there was a conspiracy, in procnring othery to lie indicted. And it is une, for there it was not his duty to prefir such accusation. (2) The accusation was extru-judicial, and out of court; bot it was not so in our case. (\$̣) Words spoken in parliampitt, which is a superior court, cmnot he questioned in this court, which is inferior. 3 E. 3,19 . uyd Stanfford 153, will be objected, where the bishop of Winchestet was arraigned in this court, because be departed the parliameut without licence; there is but the opinion
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of Scroop, and the case was entered, P. S E. 19. And it is to be observed, that the plee of the bishop there, was never over-ruled. From this I gather, that Scrgop was not constant to his opinion, which was sudden, beng in the same term in which the plea was entered; or if he werc, yet the other Judges ngree not with him; and also at last the bishop was diecharged by the king's writ. Prom this I gather, that the opinion of the court was against the king, as in P1. 20. in Fognssa's case, where the opinion of the court was against the king, the party was discharged by privy seal. 1 and 2 Phil. and Mar, hath been objected, where an information in this court was preferred sguinst Mr. Plowden, and other mempers of the house of commons, for departing from the hotse without licence. Hut in that case I observe these matters. (1) That this information depended daring all the life of the queen, and at last was sine die, by the death of the queen. (2) In the said case, no plea was made to the jurisdiction of the court, as here it is. (3) Some of them submutted themselves to the fine, becanse it was ensy, for it was hut 53s. 4d. But this cannot he urged as a precedent, because it never came in judginent, and no opinion of the court was delivered therein. And it is no argument, that because at that time they would not plead to the jurrsdiction, therefore we now cannot if we would. (4) These offences were not done in the parliament house, but elsewhere by their atsence, of which the country may take notice: but not of our matters done in parliament. And absence froun parliament, is an offence neaias the kmg's summons to parliament. on R. 2 , l'arliament Roll 12. Thomas Ifackscy was indicted of high treason in this conurr, for preferring Periton in parliament; but I II. 4, n. 90. he preferred a Petation to have this julgnent voided, and so it was, although the king had pardoned hum before., And 1 II. 4. n. 104. all the commons made petrion to the same purphese, because this tends th the destruction of their privileges. And this was liken ise grauted. 4 II. 8, o. 8, Strode's case, That all condemnatious muposed upon one, fur preferring of any Bill, speaking, or reasonng in parlan.ent, are vord. Aud this hath always been concened to be a general act, becanse the praycis, time, words, nuid persoths are general, and the answer to it is general; far a gencral act is always on-: swered witb, Le roy roit, and a partucular act with Soit dıait fail al partyrs. And 33 IF. 6, 17, 18. a general act is always imrolled, nud so this is.
2. For the second mifter, the Contempt to the command of the adjournanent, Jac 18. it -was questwoued in parliament, whether the king can adjourn the parlianient, (althrugh it be without doubt that the king can prosngue it). Anh the Jniges resolve, that the kivg may andjourn the house by commission : nud 97 Eliz. it 'was resolved accorduigly. But it is to he observerf, that none was then impenched for moving that question. (2.) It is to be observed, that they resolve, that the ndjournment
may be by commission, but not resolved that it may be by a verbal command, signified by another; and it derogates not from the king's prerogative, that he cannot so do, no more than in the case of $26 \mathrm{H} .8,8$, that he cannot grant one acre of land by parol. The king limself may adjourn the house in pernon, or under the great seal, but not by verbal message, for none is bound to give credit to such message; but when it is undef the Great Seal, it is teste meipso. And if there was no commani, then there can be no contempt in the disobedience of that consmand. (3) In this, no contempt appearm, by the information; for the information is, that the king had powbr to adjourn parliaments. Then pht the case, the command be, that they should adjourn themselves: this is mo pursuance of the power which he is supposed to have. The house may be adjnurned tho ways, to wit, by the king, or by the house itself: the laft is their own voluntary act, which the king cannot compel, for, 'Voluntas non cogitur.'
5. For the thind natter, which is the Conspiracy : although this be supposed to be out of the house, yet the act is legal ; for members of the house many udvise of ryatters out of the house: for the house itself is not so much for consultations, as for proposition of them. And 20 II. 6, 34, is, that inguesis which are sworn for the king, may enyure of matters elsen here. (2) For the Conspiracy to lay violent hands "fon the Speaker, to keep him in the Chair ; the house hath privilege to detain him in the Chair, and it was but lightly and softly, and other Speakers have been so served. (3) 'The hing cannot prefer an mformution for trespass; for it is saiil, the ling ought $i_{0}$ be informed by a jury, to wit, by indictment. or presentment. (4) This cunnot be any contenpt, because it appears not that the loouse was adjourued; and if so, then the Spenker ought to remain in the chair; for without hin, the house cannot be adjouned. But it may be objected, that the informution is, That ail these matters were donc nuliciously and seditiously., But to this I answer, That this is always tu be understood accordng to the subicct mattor, $15 \mathrm{E} .4,4$. and 18 II. 4, 5. A wifo that hatu utic to have dower, agrees with another to enter, (which hath iight) that she against him may recover her dower. This shali not be called Covin, hecause both the parties lave right and titles. (2) It will be oljected, That if these matters shall not be punstabable bere; they shall be unpunished altogether, hecause the parhament is determinel. To thas 1 say, That they may be punished in the subsequent parhament, and so there shall be no failure of rught. And many times matters in ono parlianent have been continned to another, as 4 E. 3, n. 16 . the lord Berkleg's Ca-ce"50 E. 3, n. 185.21 K. 2, c. 16. $6 \mathrm{H} .6,7.45,16.8 \mathrm{H} .4, \mathrm{n} .12$, offence in the forest oueht to be purushed in eyre, and eyres oftenumes were not held but every third year. C. 9 . Epiatle. and $\$ 6$ E. 6. c. 10 . A parlisment may be ercry year. Error in this court
cannot be reversed but in parliament, and yet it was never objected, that therefore there shall be's failure of right. 25 E. 3, c. 2 . If a new case of treasun happen, which is doubtful, it shall not be determaned till the next parliameat. So in WVestin. 2, c. 28. where a new case happens, in which there is no writ, stay shall be made thll the next parliament. And yet in these cases, there is no failure of right. Aud so the juages have always done in all difficult coases; they have referred the determiuation of thein to the next parliament, as appegrs by 2 E. 3, 6, 7. 1 E. 3, 8. 33 H. 6, 18. 5 E. 2, Dower 145, the case of dower of a rent-charge. And 1 Jac. the Judges refuse to deliver their opinions concerning the union of the two kingdons. The present case is great, rare, and without precedent, thereforc, not determinable but in parliament. And it is of dangerous consequence; tor ( 1 ) by the same reasun, all the nedibers of the house of commons may be questioned. (2) The parties shall be disabled to make their defence, and the clerk of parliament is agt bound to disclone those particulars. And by this means, the debates of a great council shall he referreal to a petty jury. Antl the partics cannot make justification, for they camot speak those words here, which ncre spaken in the partiament, withont slander. And the defendants lave not means to compel nuy to be witnesses for thens; for the memhers of the house ought not to discover the counsel of the house: so that they ure debaried of justitication, evidence, and witness. Lestly, By this means, noue will adventure to accuse any oflender in parliament, hut will rather submit hinself to the coxmon danger; for, for hus pains he slall be iunprisoned, and perhaps preatly fined: and if buth these be unjust, yet the party so vexed can have no recompence. Therefore, \&c.

The Court. The question is not now; whether these matters be offences, and whother true or false. But adinitting them to be offences, the sole question is, Whether this court may punish them; so that a great part of your argument is nuthing to the present question.

## At another day, being the next,

Mr. Calthorpe (who succeeded ${ }^{\text {' Mr. Mason, }}$ as Recorder of Loudon) árgued for Mr. Valentine, another of the defendants:

1. In general, he said, for the nature of the orimes, that they are of four sorts: 1. In Matter. 2. In Words. 3. By Consent. 4. By Letters

Two of them are lail to the charge of this Defendant, to wit, the crime of the Matter, and of Consent. And of offences, Bractou makes some public, some private. The of fences here are public. And of tiem, sone are capital, some not capital ; as assault, conspiracy, and such like, which lave not the puniohment of life and denth. Public crives eapital are such as are agaipst the law of nature ${ }_{2}$ as treason, morder; I will agree, that if they be committed in parliamept, they may be quentioned elsewhere out of parlizuent. But
in our case, the cerimes are not capital, for they are assault and conspiracy, which in many cases may be justified, as appears by 22 H .7 , Keilw. 92. 2. Ass. 3 II. 4, 10. 22 E. 4, 43. Therefore this court shall not have jurisdiction of them, for they are not against the law of nations, of God, or nature; and if these matters shall be examinable here, by consequence all actions of parliament-men may be drawn in question in this court. But it seems by these reasons, that this cuurt shall not have jurisdiction, as this case is :

1. Because these Offences are justifiable, being but the bringing the Speaker to the Cbair, which also perkaps was done by the Votcs of the Commons; but if these matters shall be justified in thas court, fo trial can be, for upon issue of his owa wrong, he cannot be tried, because acts done in the house of commons are of record, ns it was resolved in the parliament, 1 Jac. smal 16 II. 7, 3. C.9. 31. are that such matters conot be tried by the country. And nov they rannot le tried by record, because, as 22 II. 8, Dy. 32. is, an iniferior court cannot write to a supenor. And no Certiorari lies ont of the Chancery, to send this here hy Mittinnus, for there was ueser any preredent thereof; and the book of the house of commons, wheh is with their clerk, ought not to be divulged. And C. Jittle. is, that if a man be indieted in this court for prinacy commitied upon the sea, he may well plead to the jurisdicuon of this rourt, hecanse this court cannot try it.
2. It appears by the old Treatise, ${ }^{6}$ De modo 'tenendi Parliamentum,' that the Judges are but assistants in the parliament; and if any words or acts are made there, they have no poiver to contradict or controul them. Then it is incongruous that they, after the parlianent dissolved, shall have power to puaish such words or acts, which at the ume of the speaking ' or doing, they had not power to contradict. There are superior, middle, and more inferivr magistrates ; and the superior shall not he subject to the controul of the inferior. It is a position, that ' in parts est nullum iuperium, ' multo minus in eos, qui magis imperium ha' bent.' C. Litll. says, That the patiament is the supreme tribunal of the kingdom, and they are Judges of the supreme tribunal; therefore they ought not to be questigned by their inferiors. (\$). The Offences objected do concern the privileges of parliament, which privileges are detprounabic in parliament, and not elsewhere, as appears by the precedents which have been cited befores (4.) The Common Law hath assigned proper courts for matters, in raspect of the place and persons: 1. For the place, it appears by 11 Ed. 4,3 , and old Entries; 101, that in an gecttpue firmic, it is a good plea, that the land is ancient demesne, and chis'exeludes all other courts. So it is for land in Dushapp, old, Eutrief, 419, for it is questionable there, and not out of the county. 2. For persons, H. 15 H. 7, rol. 09, old Entries, 47. If a clerk of the Chancery be inpleaded is
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this court, he may plead his privilege, an sholl not answer. . So it is of a Clerk of the Exchequer, old Entries, 473, then much more when offences are done in parliament, which $i$ : exempt in ordinary jurisdiction, they shall not be drawn into question in this court. And if n man be indicued in this court, be may plead sanctuary, 22 H. 7, Keilw. $91 . \& 22$, and shal: be restored, 21 E. 3, 60. The Abbot of Bury't Case is to the snine purpose. (5.) For any thing that appears, the house of commons had npproved of these matters, therefore they ough not to be questioned in this court. And i they be offences, and the said bouse hath not punished them, this will be a casting of impu tation upon them. (6.) It appears by the old Entries, 446, 447. that sucla an one ought to represent the borough of St . Germains, from whence he was sent ; therefure he is in natore of an ambassador, he shall not be questioned for any thing in the execution of his office, if lic do nothing against the Law of Nature or Nat tions, as it is the case of an aunbussador, In the time of queen Elizabeth, (Camden's Brit. 419.) the bishop of Ross, in Scotland, being ambassador here, attempted divers matters against the State; und hy the opinion of nll the civilians of the said time, he may be questioned for those offences, because they are against the law of nations and nature; and in such matters, he shall not enjoy the privileges of an ambassador. But if he commit a cevil offence, which is against the municipal law only, he cannot be questioned for it, as Bodin. de Republica, agrees the case. Upon the Statute of 28 HI .8, c. 15 , for Trial of Piratec, 13 Jac. the case fell out to be thus: A Jew came ambassador to the United Prnvinces, and in bis journey he took some Spanish ships, mud after was driven upon this coast; and agreed upon the said statute, that he cannot be tried

- ns a pirate here by commission, but he may be questioned civiliter in ihe admiralty; for, ${ }^{\text {s }}$ le'gisti suo regi soli judicium faciunt.' So ambassadors of parliament, soli par liamento, to wit, in such things, which of themselves are justifiable. (7.) There was never any precedent, that this court had punished offences of this nature, committed in parliament, where any plea was put in, as here it is to the jurisdiction of the court; and where there is no precedent, non-ugage is a good expositor of, the law. Lord Littl. Seetion 180. Co. Littl. f. 81, says, as usnge is a good interpreter of the laws, in nou-usnge, where there is go example, is a great intendment that the law will not tear it. 6 Eliz. Dy. 290, upon the Statute of 27 K. 8, of infollments, that bargain and sale of a house in London ought not to be enrolled; the renson there given is, because it is not used. $29:$ Eliz. Dy. 376, no etror lies here of a Judfmein given iq the fire ports, becabse such writ whs never seen; yet in the diversity of Codrts it is said, that error lies of a Judement given in the five ports. ${ }^{*} 39$ H. 6,39 , by Ashton, that a protertion to go to Rome was never seen, therefore he disolloned it. (8.) If this Couft shall
have jurisdiction, the court may give judgunent according to law, and yet contiury to parliament law, for the parhament in divers cases hath a peculiar law. Notwithstanding the Sentute of $1 \mathrm{H} .5, \mathrm{c}$. 1 . That every burgess ought to be resident within the borough of which he is burgess, yet the constant usage of parliament is contiary thereunto; and if sach matter shall be in quesfon before ye, ye ought to adjudge nccording to the statule, and not sccording to their usage. So the house of Lords hath a special law also, as appenr by 11 R. Y, the Roll of the process and judgment (which hath been cited before to another pur. ose) where au appeal was not accorling to the one law or the other, yet it was good according to the conrte of parliament. (9.) Because this matter is brought in this court by way of Information, where it ought to be by way of Indictment. And it appears liy 41 Ass. p. 19, that if a bill of Deceit be brouglit in thas court, where it ought to be by writ, this matter miny be pleaded to the juristiction of the zourt, because it is viet armis, nod contru pacem. It uppears by all our lhouks, that informntions ought not to be grounded upon sarmises, but pon matter of record, 4 II. 7, 5. 6 E. 6, Dy, 74. Information in the Kxchequer, nud it if. 8, Kcihw, 101, are to this purpose. And if he matter he di rt urmis, then it ought to be foumd hy inquest. 2 E. 3, 1, 2. Appeal shall not be granted upon the return of the sheriff, but the hing ought to be certified of it by inlictment. 1 II. 7, G, and Stamf. f. 95, a. upon the statutec of 25 E. $3, \mathrm{c} .4$, that none shall be mprisoned hut upon indictment or presentnent; and 28 S. 3, c. 3, 42 E. S, r. 3, are to he same purpose. So bere, this information ought to have been grounded upou indictment, or wher matter of record, and not upon bare ntelligence eiven to the king. (10.) The preentense is grent and difficult, and in such cuses, be Judges have always outed themselves of urivdiction, as apjears by Bracton, Book 2,
1, 'Si aliquid novi non usitatum in regno acciderit,' 2 E. 3, 6, 7, and Dower 242.
Now I will remove some Objections which may be made.
Where the king 3 ; t intintif, it is in his olecion to bring his action in what Court be plenses, This is truc in some sense, to wit, That the King is not restrained by the Statute of Magna Tharts, : Quod communia placita non sequantur curiam nostram;' for he may bring his ware impedit in B. R. And if it concerns Durbam, or other Connty Palatine, yet the ing may have his action here: for the said ${ }^{-}$ lourts nre created by patent, and the king may uot be restrained by parliament, or by his wn putent, to bring lis action where he leaseth. Bat the king slaull not have his ction where hesplenseth against a prohibition f the common law, as 12 H. 7, Keilw. 6, the ing shalf not lave "as sornedon in Chancery. And C, 6. 20 Gregorys Case, if the king will sring an information in ag, inferiar coun, the urty may plead to the juristiction. So where
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the Common Law makes a prohibition, the king hath not election of his couit.
The information is contra formam statuti, which Statute, иs I conceive, is intended the statute of 5 H. 4, c. 6, and 11 II. 6, c. 11, which gives power to this court to punish an assanlt made upon the scryant of a knight of Parliament. But our case is not within those statutes, nor the integnt of them; for it is not intendfule, that che parliament should disadvantage theysetves m point of their privilege. And this was u Trespass done within the house, by parliament-men nuongst themselves. And Crumpton's Jurisdiction of Courts, f, 8, satth, That the parliament may* pouish trespasses done there.

Precedents have licen cited of Purliamentmen imprisoned and ponished for matters done in parhansent. To this I say, That there is via juris, and via ficti; nud via facti is not alwnys va juris, Ca 4, 43, Precedents are no good directums, unless they be judicial.

Otherwise there will be a fallure of justice, wronga slall be unpunishell. To this I answer, That a mischef is oft-tines rather sufficrable than an incouvenience, to draw in question the privileges of parliament. By the antient Common Law, us it 'appears by 21 E. 3, 23, and 21 Ass. if an mfant bragg an Appeal, the suit sladl be stadd during his intancy : because the paty cannot have his tual by batile against the infant ; but the law is now held othernise in the sadd case. And in' some cases, criminal offences shall be dispumslied, 29 II. b, Dy. 40. Appeal of Murder thes not for Murder done in several Counties.
This court of B. R. is coran ipso rege; the king humself, by istendment, is here in person. And, as it is said, C. 9, 118, it 1s, ' Suprenum - Regni Tribunal,' of ordinary jurisdiction. Bot to this I say, That the Parliament is a transcendent court, and of transcendent jurisdiction: it nppears by 28 Ass. p. 52, that the stile of other courts is curam rege, as well as this is; as 'coram rege in cancellaria, coram rege in ' camera;' and though it be coram rege, yet the Judges give the judgment. And in the time of II. 3 , in this court, sone entries were 'corana rege,' others, fr. que Ilugone de Bigod.'

The Privileges of Parliament fie not questioned, but the conspiracies and misdeneanors of some of them. But to this I say, that the distinction is difficult and narrow in this case, where the offences objected are justifiable, and if they be offences, this reflects upon the house, which hath not punished them.

- The Cases of 3 F. 3, 19, and 1 and $q$ Phil. et Mar. have been objected. But for the last it is observable, That nus plea was pleaded to the jurisdiction, as it is in our ease. And if a parliament-man, or other which bath privilege, be implended in tore gn court, and neglect his plea to the juri-diction, the court inay well proceed, 9 H. $7,14,3614 \mathrm{H}^{\circ}, 34$ II. $13^{\circ} \mathrm{Jac}$. In this Court the lord Norreys, that was a peer of parlinazent, was ipdicted for the murder of one Bigod, and pleaded his pardon. And there it
was doubted, how the Court should proceed against him (for he, by law, ought to have his trial by his peers). And it was resolved, that when he pleads his pardon or confesseth his fault, thereby he gives jurisdiction to the court, and the court may give judgment against him. So that these cases, where it, was not pleaded to the juristiction, can be no precedent in our case.
The privilege here is not claimed by Prescription or Charter, therefore it is not good. But I say, that notwithstanding this, it is good: for where the Common Law outs a cnurt of jurisdiction, there needs no Charter or Prescription ; $10 \mathrm{HI} .6,13,8$ II. 8 , Keilw. 189. $\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{c} .515$. Where snnctuary of a Cluarch is pleaded, there is no need to inake prescription, because every Church is n sauctuary by the comminn law. Therefore, \&c.
Sir Robest Healh, the King's Attorney, the same day argued on the other side, but briefly. First, he auswered the Objections which had been made.

1. He said, That informations might well be for matters of this nature, which are not capital; and that there ure inany precedcuts of such intormations. (But Nute, that be produced none of them.)
2. It hath been objected, That they are a conascil, therefore they ought to speak freely. But such speeches which are here pronnunced, prove then not coumsellors of state, but Bedlams; the addthon of one word nould have made it treason, to wit, proditurie. But it is the pleasure of the king to proceed in this manner, as now it is. And there is great difference between Bills and Libels, and between their proceedings, as council and us mutinous.
3. That it would be of daugerous consequence; for by this means none would adventure to complain of grievances. I answer, they may make their complaints in a parliamentary, manner; but they may'not move things, which tend to distraction of the king and his govemment.
4. These matters may be punished in following parliaments. But this is impossible, for following parliaments cannot know with what mind these matters were done. Also the Husse of Commous is not a a court of justice of itsclf. The two houses aye but one body, and they cannot proceed criminally to punist crimes, but only their members by way of itnprisonment; and also they are not a Court of Record. And they have forbid their clerk to make ehtry of their speeches, but only of matters of course; for mapy times they speak upon the sudden, as occasion is offered. And there is no necessity that the king should expect a new parliament. The Lords may grant Count missions to determine matters after the parliament endeds but the House of Commons/jannot do so. ${ }^{\text {. }}$ And ulso a new Ilouse of Commons consists of new men, awhich have no cognizance of these offences : 1H,4. The hishop of Carlisle, for words spoken in the parliament, that the king bad not right to the crown, was arraigned
in this court of Iligh-treason; and then be did not plead his privilege of parliament, but said, That he was Epiqupus unctus, \&c.
5. $\mathbf{4}$ H. 8. Strode's C'ase hath been objected. But this is but a particular act, although it be iv print; for Rastal entilles it by the name of Strode: so the title, body, and proviso of the act are particular.
6. That this is an inferior court to the parliament, therefore, \&e. To this I say, That, even sitring' the parliament, this court of B. R. and nther courts, may judge of their praileges, us of a parliament-man put in execution, \&ec. and other cases. It is true that the judges have oft-times declined to give their judgincnt upon the privfleges of parijament, sitting the court. But from this it fillows not, that when the offence is committed there, and not purfished, and the said court dissolvel, that therefore the said matter shall not be questioned in this court.
7. By this menns the Prisileges of parliament shall be in great danger, if this court may judge of them. But 1 nuswer, That there is no danger at all; for this court mny juige of acts of parlinment.
8. Perhaps these matters were done by the Votes of the house; or, if they be offences, it is an umputation to the hoose to say, That they had neglected to punish them; but ths matter doth not appear. And if the truth were so, these matters might be givel? in evidence.
9. Theie is nu precedent in the case, which is a great presumption of law. But to thus 1 nuswer, That there was never any precedent of such a tiuct, therefure there cannot be a precedent of such a judgment. And yet in the tine of queen Elicalieth, it was resolved by Brown, and many other justices, that offences done in parliament may be punished out of - parliament, by imprisomment or otherwise. And the case of 3 E. 3, 19, is taken for good Jaw by Stamf, and Fitzh. And 22 E. S, and 1 Mar. accord directly with it. But it hath heen objected, that there was no plea made to the jurisdiction. But it is so be observed, that Plowden, that was a learned man, was one of the defendants, and he pleaded not to the jurisdiction, but pleaded licence to depart. And the said information depended during all the reign of queen Mary, during which ume there were four parliaments, and they vever ques:tioned this matter.-But it hath been further objected, That the said case differs from our case, becnuse that there the offence fras done out of the house, and this was done within the house. But in the said case, if licence to depart be pleaded, it ouplit to be tried in 'parlinment, ns well as these offences here. Therefore, \&cc.

The Judges also the same day spake briclly tn the case, and agreed with one roice, 'That 'the court, as this case is, shall hanve Jurisdic' tinn, although that these offences were com${ }^{\prime}$ mitted in purliament, and that the imprisoned 'menbers ought to answer.'
vol. 1 II.

Jones began and said, That though this question be now newly moyed, yet it is an ancient question with him; for it had been in his thoughts these 18 years. For this informution, there are three questions in it: 1 . Whether the matters informed be true or false? And this ought to be determined by Jury or Demurrer. 2. When the matters of the information are found or confessed to be true, if the Information be good in substance? 3. Aidmit that the offencess are truly charged, if this Court bath power to punish thear? And that is the sole question of this day.-And it seems to me, that of the:e offences, although committed in parliament, this court thalf hage jurisdiction to ponish them. The plea of the Defendunts here to the jurisdiction being concladed with $\boldsymbol{u}^{\prime}$ demurrer, is not peremptory unto them, although in be adjudged against them; but of the plea be picaded to the juisslictivn, which is found against the Defendant by verdiet, this is peremptory.
In the discussion of this point, I declined these questions: 1 . It the matter be voted in purliameut, when it is finished, it can be pulnished and examined in another cuurt? 2. If the matter be commenced in' parhament, and that anded, if afterward it may be questioned in another court?
I queston not these matters; but I hold, that an offence committed criminally in parjiament, may be questhned elsewhere, as in this court; and that for these Reasons:

1. 'Quia intercst reipublicie ut maleficia ' non mancunt impmita:" nud there ought to be a fresh punidument of thom. Parliaments are called ut the hing's plensure, and the king is not compellable to call his parliament; and if before the next parliament, the party offending, or the witnesses dic, then there will be a tailure of justice.
2. The parliament is no constant court; every parliament mostly consists of severnl men, and, by consequence, they cannot take untice of matters done in the foregoing parliament; and there thag do not examme by oath, unless it be in Chancery, as it is used of late time.
ment cannot send process to
S. The paffisment cannot send process to mahe the offenders to appear at the next parliament; and being at large, if they hear $n$ noise of a parlinment, they will fugan facerc, and so prevent their punishinent.
3. Put the ci.se, that one of the Defendants be inade a baron of parlianent, now he cannot be punished in the honse of commons, aut so he shall be unpunished.

It hath been objected, 'That the parliament is the superior cumt io this, therefore this 'court cumnot examine therr procredings.'
To this I say. That this Cunrt of the King ${ }^{\text {S- }}$ Bench 'is a higher court than the Justices of Oyer und Teraminer,' \& the Justices of Assize: bot if no offence be done where the King'sBench is, afier it is remoged, this offence may be examined hy the Justices of Oyer und Terminer, or by the Justices of Assize. We cap$x$.
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not question tive judgments of paliaments, but their, particuiz offences,
2 Object. It is a putvilge of parliament, whereof we are not connpetent judges.

To this I say, That ' brivilegrom est privata 'lex et prrvat. legem.' And this ought to be by grant or pre-ciptua m paltament: and then it ought to be plogated for the manner, as is in 33 1f. 1 , ie: in it is not bere plearled. Also we arg Judgey of all acts of parliament: us 4 II .7 , ordinance made by the king and commous is not good, and we are juikes what shall be at sestou of parliament, as it is in Plonden, in Parridge's Case. TVe the Judges of ther liyes and lanks, therelore of their thertics. And a Elic. wheh was cited by Mr. Attorney, it was the upinion uf Dyer, Oatlyn, Welsh, Brown, and Southent, Justices, That offences connmitted in parlisment may lee punished ont of parlipme:t. And $3 \mathrm{Ed} 5,19,$. it is good law. And it is unalal neter the emil of partaments, to set dewn some petty punishment apout ofenders in forlament, to preveut orlier courts. And lane seen a Roll in this Court, in 6 II. 6, where Judgment was given in a Whit of Annsity in Leland, and afterward the saifl judgment wa rexened an parliament in Ircland; upon which jutpusent, Writ of Error was lorought in this Cours, and reversed.

Fiydlc, Chief-Justice, th the same intent : No nen matrer lewh be con offred io us now by them that argue for the Defindants, Lut the same reasons and autheritics melistance, which were olijected hafire all the justices of England, and baroas of the lischequer, at Scr-iemuts-inn in 1leet-stricet, upa an Information in the Star-Chamber for the same matter., At which time, after great deliberation, it was resolved by all of them, 'That no offerice com' mitted in parliament, that being ended,'may 'be punislied out of parliament.' Aus na court more apt firs that purpuse than this conart in which we are: and it caunot te punished in a future payliament, becausc it caunot tale notice of matters done in a forcguing pariament.边
As to what was said, Theit an euf rior court cannot meddle with natters done in a superior; true it i-, that an inferior court cannot meddle with judgments of a superior court; but if particular members of a superior court Mr: nd, they are cift-times puni-hahle in an inferior court, as, if a jullee shall commit a capital offence is this court he nay he arraigned thereof nt Newgate, 3 E. 3, 19. and 1 Mar, which have been cited, over-tule this case. Therefore, \&c.
Justice Whitlocke. 1. I say in this case, ' Nibil dictum quod non dicinm prius.' 2 That all the Judges of England have resolved this very point. 3. Thyphow we are but upon the brink and skirts $\Omega^{\prime}$ the cause: for it is not now int question, if these be offences ar no; or, if true or false; but only if this court have jurisqiction.

Fut it hath been objected, That the offence is not capital, therefore it is not examinable in this court.
But though it be not capithl, yet it is criminal, for it is sowing of sedition to the destruction of the commonuealth. The question now is not between us that pre Jurges of this Court, and the parliament, or betwẹen the king and the parliament, hut between some private inemliers of the house of conmons and the hing himself: for here the king hiusself questiong them for those offences, ns well he may. In every cominonwealth there is one super-eminent power, which is not sulyject to be questioned by any ocher, and that is the king in this conimosivealih, whp, as Bractoff saith, 'Solum 'Deum habet ultorein.' But no other nithin the realon hath this privilige. It is true, that that whelh is ane in parlinment by consent of all the bouse, shall mot be quistioned elsewhere; lint if any priva:o members 'exuunt ' persoans judicem, et indumet malefacientium 'personas, et sunt seditiosi;' is thicre such sanctimony in the place, that ticy may not be questioned for it clsewhere? Thie bishop of leoss, as the cave hath been put, being amlassador bere, practised maters against the sthte: and it was resolicel, That although ' I gatuy 'st ies in aheno solo.' yet when he goes out of the bounds of has ottice, and complots with traitors in this hinedom, that he shall be put nished as an offeniter locre. A miniter hath a great privilege wren tee is in the pulpit; hut yct, if in the pulpit he miter specches, which ate scandalous to the state, he is punishable. $\mathrm{b}_{0}$ in this case, when a burgess of parliament becomes mutnous, he shall not have the privilage of pariament. In my opinion, the realm camaot consist withoot parhaments, but the behavour of parliament-men ought to be parliannentary. Nó outragcousspecthes were ever used against a great minster of state in parliament wlich have not bern punished. If a judge of this court utter scamialous speeches to the state, he naty be questuned for them before commi-sioners of Cyer and Terminer, because this is no julicial art of the court.
Dut it hath ficen objected, That we cannot cramine acts done ly a higher power.
To this I put this case: when a peer of the paima iv arraigned of Ticason, we are not his sudga, but the ligh-Steward, and he shall be tucd by his peers: luat if error be comnnitted in this proceding, that slaill be reversed by croor in this court: for that which we do is coram ipso rcge.

It tath been ohiected, :That the Parlia-'ment-law dutiers from the law by which we 'judge in this court in sundry cases.' And for' thr .ustance wheh bath been made, "That My 'the suatute, yone onght to be chosen burkitss ' of' a towis in which he doth not inhakit, but ' rhas the usoge of parhument is contrary;' But if infortiation le brought upon the said statute against such a hurgess, 1 think that the statute is a good warrant for us to give judgment against' him.
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And it hath been objected, 'That there I ' no l'recedent ih this matter.'

But there are sundry Precedents, by which it appears, that the parlinnent hath tuatsontued matters to this court; us 2 ll . 9 , there bemg a question betycen a great peer and a bishup, it was transmitted to this court, being for untter of behaviour: and although the Judice of this court are but inferior men, yet the court is higher, For it appears by 11 Eliz. Dy. Thut the Earl Marshal of England is an nideer of this ecurt; and it is always adinitted in partiament, That the Prisileges of Parliament lubld not in three cases, to wit, 1. In case of Treason. 2. In case of Feloby. And 3. u sut for the Peace: And the Jast is our very case. Thercfore, \&c.

Croke argued to the same intent: he said, That these offeaces ought to be pumsind in the court, or no where; and all manatr of oflences which are against the crown are exumaible in this couit. It hath been objected, 'That by ' this mems, none will adventure to make com'plaints in parlinment.' That is not so; fior lee may complan in a parliamentary cousc, but not filsely and undawfully, as here is preteaded: for that which is unlawfilly, cannot be in a parhauentary course.

It hath been objected, 'That ilse parlinuent 'is a higher court than this.' Aud it is crue: But every member is not a Court ; and if he commit offence he is punstmble here. Our court is a cout of high jurisdicion, it canno: take cognizance of real pleas; lat if a real plea comes by error in this courl, it shail never be transmitted. But this court nasy mavard a Grand Cape, and other process wial in real actions: but of all captad and crimmal causes, wic are originally cmay ctent judices, and by rour sequence of this matier. But $I$ am not of the opmion of Mr. Attorney-Gencral, Itsut the word proditoric would have nate this treason. Aul for the other matters, he agreed with the ju iges. Therefore by the court, the icfendants were ruled to plead further: and Mr. Lenthai of bincoln's-lun was assigned of counsel for them.

But tnasmuch as the Delendants would not put in any other Piea, the last day of the 'Term judguent was given apallist themn upon a vihil ilicit; which dudgne at was pronouaced by Jones to this eftyet:
"The matter of the Iaformation now, by the confession qf the Defendants, is admittel to be true, and we thinh their pleag to the jurisdictum insufficient fir the matter and manner of it. And we hereby will not draw the true Libertics of Parlianent-men into question; to wit, for such matters which they din or speak in a parliamentary manner. But in this case there ins a conspiracy between the Def.mulants to mpder the statc, and to raise stdji:on and discord between the kug, his peers, and pethple; aud this was not a puflianentary cograa All the Judges of England, except one, have resolved the statute of 411.8 , to be a private act, and to extend to Strode only. Batt every mepuber of the parliament shali hare such pri-
vileges as are there mentioned; but they bave no privilege to speak at their pleusure. The parlianent is mu high court, therelore it ought non to be disurderly, but ought to give good example to other courts. It a Juige of our court should ral upon the state, or clergy, he is funishable for it. A member of the parliament way charge nny great ollicer of the state with suy purticular offencs; but this was a malevolous nccusation in the generality of all the officers of state, therelore the Gatter contamed within the enformation is a great offence, aud punishable in this court.
2. "For the Punishnest, although the (fffarce be grcat, yot that shall be with a light h.and, and shall be in this namner.

1. " I hat every of the Defeddants shall he imprisonet durngi ihe king's pleasure; Sir Juhn that to be tup rionasd in the Toner of Lonislon, and the other Defeypans in other pituons.
2. "That mane of them stall be delivered out of prisan until he give securitydil this count fin las zood belasiour, and have made subuission aml acknowledguent of his offence.
:3. "Eir Johm Elitot, masmucis ay we think in on the ercate-t oilender, nud the ringleader, thall pay to the lang a Fine of 2,000 . and Mr. Hollss, a Fine of 1,000 marks : and Mr. Valentian, because he so of less ability than the rest, alall fay a Fine of 500 l ." And to all this, all the wher Justices with one voice accorded.
Afterwards the l'arlinment which met the 3d of Nonember, 1610 , upon report made by Mr. Hecoriler (ilyn, of the state of the sereral and rest ectise Cases of Mr. Hollis, Mr. Seldeu, apil the reet of the unprisoned Members of the perthament, in Tertio C'moli, touching their ertraurdinary sufferngre, for their constant afficetiuns to the Liberties of the kingdom, expressed in that parliameat ; and upon drgments mado in the house thereapon, dud, upon the 6th of July, 1641, pass these ensung Votes: which, in re-pect of -ihe reference they have to these last mentioned procetduge, we have thought fit to insert : viz.

$$
\text { July 6, } 1641 .
$$

1. "Resolkel upan the qquestion, That the issuing oit hif the Wirams nom the lords and ashers of the privy-canacil, compeling Mr. Ilollis, und the rest of the members of that parlgaient, 3 Car. durmy the parliament, to appear betore them, is a bieach of the privilege of parlianeat by those privy counsellors.
2. "That the committing of Mr. Hollis and the rect, by the lords nadl others of the privyconticil, dathes the parlinment, is a breach of the I'rivilegr of parhament by thuse lords, and others.
3. "That the scarching and sealing of the chamber, study, and papers of Mr. Ilôlis, Mr. Seldein, and sir Jjla Elliot, being members of this holse. and dork g the parloment, and issuing of Warrmits to thas purpose, was a breach of the privilege of parliqpaent, by those that execused the same.
4. "That the eshibitige of an Information ia
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the Court of Star-Chamber, ngainst Mr. Hollis rud the rest, for matters done by them in parliameut, being members of parliument, and the same so appeariug in the Information, is a breash of the privilege of parliament.
5. "That sir Robert Heath, and sir Humphrey Davenport, sir Heneage Finch, Mr. Hudson, sud sir Robert Berkley, that subscribed their names to thẹ Infirmation, are guilty thereby ot the bieach of privilege of parliancent,
6. " That there was a delay of justice towards Mr. Iollis, and the rest that appeared upon the Habeas Corpus, in that they were not builed in Easter and Trianty-term, 5 Car.
7. "That ir Nich. Hyde, then Chief Justice of the King's-bench, is guilty of this delay.

St "That str William Jones, being then one of the Justres of the court of King's-bench, is guilty ot this delay.
9. "That sir Jaupes Whitlocke, knt. then gue of the justices of the court of King's-bench, is not guilty of this delay*."

* Mr. Whitlucke in his Menirials of the Enghas Affairs, p. 38, 39, say-, " In the honse there fell out a Debate touching the Writs of Habeas Corpus, upan which Selden and the rest of has lellow-p,isoness demaided $\psi$ be bailed; and the Judges of the King'--bench dide not hail them, us by law thev oupht; but ieInired of them suictics for their guad behaviour. Thus was so fir aggravated by some, that they moved, : The prisoners mighit have reparation ' out of the pstates of thuse Juiges nlon then 's sat in the Kug's-liench when they fiere re' manded to prisun;' which, Julpes, they named to be Hyde, Jones, and tny fathor: as for judge Croke, who was one of that court, they excu-ed hm, as dittiening in opinion from the rest.-1 being a member of the houce, and son to the Judge, kiew this to he mistaken, os to the fict, and, spake in the leehalf of iny father, to this effect: "1 hat it was mot unknown to 'divers wortly menbers of the house, that © judge Whitlocke had heen a fanthful, able, and 'stout ussertor of the Righty and Labertics of ' the free-born subjects of this kingdom; for ' which he had been many ways a sutferer. And - particularly by a straitnud llose ispris onment, ' for what he said and did, us a memter of this ' homurable house in a former parliainent : and ${ }^{\text {E }}$ he appeals to those noble gentiemen, who can${ }^{6}$ not but rennember those passages; and tsome - who were then sufferers with hiin. And for ${ }^{4}$ his Opinion, und carriage in the Case of the - Habeas' Corpus, it is atlinmed to have been ${ }^{5}$ the same with that of Judge Crohe; and * he appeals for this. to the honourable gentle${ }^{4}$ men who were concerned in it; and others, ' who were present thea in comit.' Hampulon, and divers others, seconded this motior; who atfirmed very wuch of the watter of fact, and expressed themselves wijftigreat respict and honour to the memory oft the beceased Judge, who wast thereupion reckoned by the house in the sanae dggree will 'judge Croke, as to their ceasure and proccedings."

Ordered, That the further debate of this shall be taken into consideration on to-morrow morning.

$$
\text { July 8, } 1641 .
$$

10. "Resolved opou the question, That sir George Croke, hnight, then one of the Judges of the Kiug's-bench, is not guiky of this delay.
11. "That the continuance of Mr. Hollis, and the rest of the Members' of Parliament, 3 Car, in prison, by the then Judges of the King'sbench, for not putting in sureties for their good behaviour, was without just or legal cause.
12. "That the extriting of the information ugainst Mr. Hollis, sir John Elliot, and Mr. $V$ alrntine, in the King's-bench, being Members of Parliament, for matters dons in parhament, was a breach of the privilege of partiament.
13. "That the over-ruling of the Plen, pleaded by Mr. Iollis, sir Joln Elliot, and Mr. Valentine, upou the information, to the jurisdictoon of the court, was ng, inast the law and privilege of parliament.
14. "That the judgnent given upon a nohil dicit, ngeimst Mr. Ilolls, sir Jolun Elliot, and Mr. Valentine, and fine thereupon imposed, and their several imprisominents thertupon. was agriust the law and privilege of parliament,
1.5. "Thrt the se eral proccedings agamst Mr. Hullis, and the rest, by committing thesn, and prosecuting them in the Stur-Chaniber, and in the King's-Bench, is a Grievnace.
15. "That Mr. IIollis, Mr. Strond, Mr. Valeaune, and Mr. lung, and the heirs and executors of sir John Elliot, sir Miles Hobart, and sir Peter IIcyuan, respectively, ought to bave reparation for the ir iespuctive danages and sutierings, against the lords and others of the council, by whose warrants they were apprehended and committed, and agamst the council that put their hards to the infinnation in the Star-Chanhier, tud agamst the Judgcs of the Kmg's-Bench.
16. "That Mr. Lawrence Whitaker, being a member of the parlament 3 ('ar, entering into the chamber of ser Johin Fliot, being libewise a member of the parhment, searchug of his trunks and papers, and sealing of them, is guilty of the breach of the privilege of parlinment, this being done before the dosolution of parliament.
17. "That Mr. Lawrence Whitaker bcing duilty of the breach of the privileges as atoresadd, shall be sent forthwith to the Tower, there to remain a prisoner during the pleasare of the house." "
Mr. Whitaker was called down, and knceling at the bar, Mr. Speaker pronounced this Sentence agemst him accordingly.
Mr. Whitaker being at the bar, did nge deny, but that he did search and seal up "the chausber, ufd trunh, and -tudy of sir John E1liot, hetween the 2d anal 10th of March, during which tinte the 'parliament was ucljourned: but endeavoured to extenuate it, by the coufusion of the times, at that time; the length of the time situce that crime was committed, being
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thirteen years; the command that lay upon hum, beins commanded by the king and 23 privy-coumedlors.

Afterwards Mr. Recorder Glyn made a further Report to the House of Commons, viz.

The Warrant, ${ }^{4}$ which issued and was subscribed by twelve prit y-counsellors, to summon nine of the members of the house of commons, in the Parliament of tertio Carol, to app,ear before them during the parliament, viz. Mr. Wm. Stroud, Mr. Benj. Valentine, Mr. Hollis, sir John Elliot, Mr. Selden, sir Miles Hobart, sir Peter Ileyman, Mr. Walter Long, and Mr. Wm. Coriton, hearing date tertio Martii, quarto Caroli; and qhe names of the twelve privycounsellors that signed this warraut, were read: the parliament being adjourned the 2 d of March, to the 10th of March, and then dissolved.

The Warrants under the hands of sixteen priry-counsellors, for committing of Mr. Denzil Ilollis, sir John Eliot, Mr. Johu Seldei Mr. Benj. Videntine, and Mr. Wm. Coriton, close prisoners to the Tower, bearing date, quarto Martii, quarto Caroli, during the parhament; were read; and the names of the prisy-cuunsellors that subscribed then, were read. The Wurrunts under the hands of 22 privy-counsellors, dircted to $W \mathrm{~m}$. Buswel, esq. to repair th the lodgings of Denzil Hollis, ery. and to Simon Digby, esq. to lopair to the lodgings of Mr. John Selden, and to Lawrence Whitaker, esq. to repair to the lodgings of sir John Filliot, requiring them to seal up the trunks, studies, and cabinets, or any other thing that had any papers in them, of the said Mr. Hollis, Mr. John Selden, aud sir John Elliot, were read, and likewise the names of the privycounsellors that subscribed the said Warrants. - $\Lambda$ Warrant under the hands of 13 privy counsellors, tor the commitnfent of Mr . Wni. Struad efpose prisoner to the King's-Bench, beariug date 2 d April, 1623 , was read, and the names of the privy-cou nsellors that subscribed it: The like Warrant was for the conmitment of Mr. Walter Long, close prioner tuthe Marshalsea.

Hesolved, \&ke. 1. "That Mr. IIollis shall have the sum of $5,000 l$, for his dunages, losses, impuisonments, and sufferings, sustained and unde gone by lising for his sesvice done to the commonwealth in the parliament of tertio $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{u}}$ roli.
2. "That Mr. John Selden, shall have the sum of $5,000 l$. for hir damages, losses, inprisoninents, and sufferings, sustained and undergone by him, for his service done to the com-- minnweulih in the parliament of tertio Caroli. 8. "That the sum of 5,0001 . be assigned for ind damages, losses, imprisodunents, nnd sofferingr, ifystanned and undergone by sir, John EIliot, for his service done to the comm, suseath in the parliament of tertto Carol, to be lisposid of in such manner as this house shall appoint.
4. "That the sum of 2,0001 . part of 4,0001 . paid into the late ceurt of Wardo and Liveries,
by the heirs of sir John Elliot, by reason of his marriage with sir Daniel Norton's daughter, shall be repaid to Mr. Elliot, out of the arreats of monies payable into the late court of Wards and Liveries, betore the taking away of the said late court.

Ordered, "That it be referred to the committee who brought in thig report, to examine the decree made in the late sourt of 'Wards and Liveries, ennceramg the marrigge of sir John Flliot's beir wilh sir Daniel Norton's daughter; and what monies were paid by reason of the said Decree, and by whom; and to report their opinion thereupon to the house. Also, That it be referred to the commityee, to examine after what manner sir John Elliot came to his death, his usage in the Tower, ahd to view the roouss and places where he was imprisoned, and where he died, and to report the same to the house.

Resolved, \&c. 5. "That the sum of 5,0000 . shall be paid unto the . . . . . of osir Peter Herman, for the damages, losscs, sufferings, and imprisonments, sustained and undergone by sir Peter, for his service done to the commonwealth in the parlianent of tertio Caroli.
6. "That Mr. Walter Lond shall have the sum of $5,000 \mathrm{l}$. paid unto him, for the damages, losses, styfierings, and imprisonment, sustained and undergone by him, for his service done to the commonwealth in the parliament tertio Caroli.
7. "That the sum of $5,000 l$. shall be assigned for the darpages, losses, sufferings, and imprisonment, sustained and andergote by Mr. Stroud (late a member of tha bouse) deceased, for service done by him to the Gommonwealth in the parlinment of tertio Caroli.
8. "That Mr. Benj. Valutine shall have the sum of $5,000 \mathrm{l}$. paid unto him, for the dumages, lossis, sufferings, and inprisonnents, sustained and undergone by ham, for his strvice to the Commonsealih in the purlisment of tertio Carol.
9. "That the sum of $500 \%$, shall be bestowed and disposed of, for the erecting a Monument to sir Miles Hobart, a memler of tho parliament of tertia Caroli, in me mury of his sufferngs for his service to the Commonwealth in the parliament of tirtio Caroli:"
Ordereil, That it be rccommitted to the Committee, wwho brought in this report, to consider how the several sums of money this day ordered to be paid for damages to the several members before named, for their sufferings in the service. of the Commonwealth, may be raised.

In the reign of king Charles 2, this Affair was taken into consideration, and the house of commons came to several Resolutions; viz,

Die Martis, 19 Nov. 1667.
Upon a Report made by Mr. Vaughan from the commlttee copcernis? Frecgoin of Speech in parliament.
Resolved, \&ec. That the hyuse do agree'with the committee, That the act of farliament in 4 Hea. 8, commonly intitled, An Act concera-:
ing Richard Strode, is a gereral law, extending to indemnify oll and esery the members of loth bouses of parlimuent, in all parliaments, for and touching any bills, speaking, reasoning, or declaring of any matter or matters, in and concerning the parliament to be communed and trented of, and is a declaratory law of the ancient and necessary rights and priviloges of parliausent.

$$
\text { Die Sabbnti, } 23 \text { Nov. } 1667 .
$$

Resolved, \&kc. That the Judgment given 5 Car. against sir John Elliot, Denzil Hollis, and Benj. Valentine, in the King's-liench, is an 1legal judgment, and against the firedum and privilege of parliament.

## , Die Sabbati, 7 Dec. 1567.

Resolved, \&s. That the concurrence of the lords be desired to the Vores of this house comcerning Freedom of Speceh in parliament : and that a Conference be on Monday next desired to be had with the lords, at which time the Votes inay be delisered, and reasoms for them given.

Die Jovis, 12 Dec. 1667.
A message from the lords by sir Willian Child and sir Thomas Fstcourt. 'Mr. Speaher; The lords have conmanded us to acyuamt you, that they agree with this hous f in the Votes delivered them at the last Coufertice concerning Freedon of Speech in parliament.

Die Mercurii, 11 Dec. 1667.
Next the Lord Chamberlain and the lord Ashley reported the efiect of the Gimitirence with the bouse of commons yexterday, whuch was managed by Mr. Vaughan, who satd be was commanded by the house of commons to acquaint their lordshigs with some resolves of their house concerning the Frocdom of Speech in Parlimnent, and to desire their lordships concurrence thercin.
In order to which, he was to acquaint their lordships with the Reasons that induced the house of commons to pras those resolves. He said the house of commous was necadentally informed of certain Books publishod under the name of sir George Cruke's Reports, in one of which there was a Case pulurhed, which did very much concern this great Privilege of Parlianent : and which passing from hand to hand aunongst the men of the long robe, might come in time to be a reccived opinion'as good' law.
The House of Commons considering the consequence, did take carc that this Case might be enquired into, and caused the Book to be produced and read in their house, and he thooglt it the next and clearest way to inform their Jordships, is to read the Case itself, which is Quinto Caroli primi Michaclnas term, which Cuse was read as followeth:
"The King v. sir Joli Elliot, Deukil Lollis, and Benjamin Valentine.
"An Information-was eshibited ngainst them by the Attorney-General, reciting, that a parHyment was mamponned to be held at West-
minster, 17 Martii 3 Caroli regis ibiden inchoat. And that sir Jolfa Elliot was duly elected and returned kuight for the county of Corawall, and the other two burgesses of parliament for other places: and sir John Fincla chosen Speaker. Thant sir J $/$ /n Elliot, ' ma'chinaus et metendens omntibus viis et modis 'seminare et excitare' d.scord, evil will, murmurings, and sedtions, as well ' versus regem, ' maguates, pralatus, proceres et justiciarios, et 'reliquos subjectos regis, et totaliter deprivare 'et subvertcre regimen et gubernationen regai ' Auglix, tam in domino rege quan in concili'arits et ministris suis cuiluscunnue generis, et 'introturcre tumultum it confusionem' in all estates and parts, 'et ad inteffinonem,' that all the hing's subjects should withdraw their affections from the king, the 23d of Feb. anno 4 Car. in the ;'arlinment, and hearing of the commons, 'falso, malitiose, et seditiose,' used these sorrls, 'The k.rg's privy council, bis 'juelges, and his counsel learned, have con-- spured together to Irample under their feet the ' Liberties of the Sulyects of this realim, and ' the liberties of this house.'
" And afterwards, upon the 2nd of March, anno 4, aforesaid, the kug appointed the parliment to be adjourned until the 10th of March next followiug, and so stemified his plensure to the houss of cummons; and that the three 1)efendants the said 2d day of March, 4 I'ar, malitiose agreed, and amongst themselves conspore.l to distanb and distract the commons, that they should not adjourn themelves nucording to the hing's pleasme lefore sigmfied; and that the said sir Jahn Bilict, accordung to the agreement and coospiracy uforesaid, had maliciously ' ill propositua et intentioncen pradictan' in the hoose of cominons aforestid, spoken ilese fulse, pernicigus, nad seditinus words piecedent, \&c. Anif that the said Denail Hollis, acd cording to the Agreement and Conspracy aforesnal, between him atd the other dekindante, then and there 'falso, malitiose, et sedi-- tiuse uttered haec tinsa, nalitiusn el scaudalosa 'verba pracedentin, Kec.' And that the snid Deuzil Hollis, and Benjamin Vakentinc,' secun'dun arrean:entum et conspirationem predlict. 'Kc. uil intentionem at propositum jriedict." utterd the said words uport the said $2 d$ day of Marel, after the signifyng the Liing's pleasure to adjourn; and the said sir John Finch, the Speaker, endeavooring to get oet of the Chair, according to the king's conmand, thiy 'viet ' arnis manu forti et illicitio' asssultel, evil intreated, and forcibly detuined him in the Chair; and afterwards leing out of the Chair, they assnuked him in the house, and evil eatreated lim, " et violenter manu forti at illicito' dree binn to the Chair, and thrust him into it. WH Yreupon there was great tumult and comincion in the house, to the great terror of the Commons there cassembled, ngainst their allegiance, in maxiunum contemptam, and to the disherison of the king, his crown and dignity, for which, Ne. To this Information the Defendants appearing, pleaded to the jurisdiction of this court, that
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the court ought not to have cognizance thereof, hecause it is for offencey done in parliament, and ought to be thare exaunined and punished, and not elowwhere. 'It was therenpoa demurrel, and after Argument adjudged, that they ought to answer; for ble charge is for conspiracy, seditious acts mad practiecs, to stop the adjournment of the prarlizgsent, which may be examined out of parliameat, leing seditions and unlawful ncts; and this court may take connizance and pumish them: Afterwards divers rules being given syainst them, viz. Sir John Elliot, that he should be committed to the Tower, and should pray $2,000 \%$, fine, and upion his entargement sluould find surcties for his gesel behaviour; and against Holvs, that he should pay 1,000 marks, and should tee imprisoned, and find sureties, \&ce, and agount Valcutine, that he should pay 5001 , fire, be imprisonel, and lind suretics."
Then Mr. V'uughon laid much enuphasis upon the words 'machinas et intenkens, Ne.' and then went on, That the house of commons bad not only read the Case as it was in the Buok, but did look intos the Recoril, where, in the Information itself, they found some considerable differences from the print; the that the crime alledged consisting partly of Words spoken in the hours, p:ertly of criminsl actions pretended to be comsutte-1; the Gentlemen accased pleaded sexernlly, L.anely, specially to the wouk, and a seseral plea apart to the crmanal actions: But the court dealt oo craftily, that they over-miled the whole plata, mingled together, and tuok it in prucral, so thet perlaps whatsoever was cianinal in the actions might serve for a ju-tifisition of their rule, and might make it seem in time to hecome a l'recedent, and a ruled cave atain-t the l, berty of Sisweh in Parlianem, whech they durat not emely and bure-faced hasedene.

The Huse of Commins ad take car to enprise whot ancion lans did fi.fity this the greatest i'civilece of hotia hours; and they finand $m$ the 41 len :3. an Act concerning one Rticharal strode, who was a membiter of parlinment, and was fined at the Stannary Courts, in the West, fior condexcending and agrecing with other members of the house to pase certain acts to the prejudice of the staunaser ; thiv act was mak'e secasionally for ham, but did reach to every member of parhament that then was, or shall be; the rery words being, riz.
'And over that, it be cuacted by the spone aue thgrity, that all sunts, accusements, condemn${ }^{\prime}$ ations, executions, finss, amercements, pu(' nishments, corrections. grierances, clarges 4 and impositions, pit or had, or hereater to be elput or had nuto, or upon the said Richard, ... ${ }^{2}$ to every other person or persons afore'spt ifiem, that now be of this present partin' ment, or that of any parliament hereafter 'shall be, for any bill, speaking, reasonjug, or 'declaring of mny matter or matters concetn-- ing the parliament to be conmenced and ' treated of, be uttcrly void, and of none effect. 'And over that, be it enncted hy the said an-
'thority, that if the said Richard Strode, or any ' of the said other person or persons hereafier ' be vexpd, aroubled, or otherwise charged for ' any causes, as is aforesaid, that then he or ' they, and gvery of them so vexed or troubled ' of, or for the same, to have action upou the ' cave aguinst every such perion or persons so ' vexing or troubling any, comtrary to this ardj' nance and provision; in the which action the ' party grieved shall recover tieble dasmages and 'costs, und that no prutection, essoyne, not ' wager of law in the said action in any wise be ' admitted nur received.'
He said, it in very possible the Plen of those worthy persous, Denzil Hollisy sir John Elliot, and the rest, was not sufficient to the jurisdiction of the court, if you take in their crininal actions altogether; but, as to the words spoken in parlinment, the court could bave no jurisdiction while this act of 1 Hen. 8 . is in forec, which extends to all members that then "ere (or eser shoold be, ) as well as Strude; aud was a public general law, though made upou a private and a particular accasion.
He reconmmended to their lorklhips the consideratirn of the time when these words in the Case of sir Cieorge Croke's Reports were spohell, which was the 2nd of March, 4 Curoli primi, bemg in that parlament whol began in thr precekent MLareh, 3 Car. at which time the Judzuent given in the King's-Bench nbout the Habeas Corpas was newly reversed, which conceracd the fruedom of our persons, the liberty of syecch imsaded in this case; and not lone niter ibe sume Judges (with some nthers) joined with them in the Cuses of Ship-money, invaled the propricty of our goods and estates; so that their le rikhips find every part of these word- for wherh those worthy persons were accased. justifies!
tians man should speik aquinst any of the grozt officers, at the Chaurellor or Treasurer, or any of the rest recilst in thove ncts, as by accuang theto of cwrruption, ill colusel, or the like, lie might possolbly justify himself by proving of it; but in tuis cas- it was impussible to do it, because thase jndequents had preceded nurd concluded him, for he could make none, but by ableelging their own judgments which they thiemselves had resolved, and would not therefore allow to be crimes, which they had made for laws. Ile did inform ther loriships, that the Bill in the Rolls hath auother title than that he did mention; this being that, that the clerks knew it by, rather than the proper title.

The words it the Case are cliarged ea intentione, which ought not to be; for it is clear, and uniloulted law, that whtever is in itself lawful, cannot have an unlawful intent annexed to it. Thang unlawfal may be made a higher crime by the illness of the intent; for instance, taking nway ny berse's a trespass only, but intending to stenl him mu'es it felony; borrowing my horsc, though intehding to stenl him, is not felony, because loncowing is lawful; and there were no use of freedom of speech otberwise, for a depraved intention may be,
anneserl to any the most justifiable action. If a man eat no fleah, he may be accused for the depraved intention of bringing in the Pythagorean religion, and suhverting the Christian: If a man drink witer, he may be accused of the depraved intention of subvertung the king's government, by destroying his revenue both of excise and custom. .

No nian can make a doubt, hat whatsoever is once suacjed is lawful; but nothing can cone into an act of parliament, but it must be first offered or propounded by somebody, so that if the act can wrong nobudy, no more can the first propouading; the inembersmust be as free as the houses. An anct of parliament caunot disturb the state, therefore the ilebite that tends to it cannot, fire it must be propounded and debated before it can be enacted.

In the reign of Heary 8, when there were on toasy persons taken by act of parliminent uat of the lords house, as the Abbots and Priurs, and all the retigious houses and lands taken away; it had been a stramge information against any member of purliauent then, for propounding go great an alteration in church and state.

Besides, religion itself begau then to be altered, and was perfected in the begioning of queen Edward the Gth's reign, and returned tysain to Popery in the beginning of queen Marys; and the Prutestant religton restored again in the begipning of queen Elizabeth's.

Should a member of parliament, in any of these times, have been jastly informed against in the King's-Beach for propounding 2 r debiating any of these alteratious; so that their lordslips perceive the reasons and inducencuts the house of commons had to pass these votes nuw pieseuted to their lordships ?

## Afterwards these Votes were read, viz.

Hesolved, \&s." 1. That the act of parliament 4 Hen. 8, commonly iututlen, An Act concerning Rechard Strode, is a gencral law, extending to indemnify all and every the menbers of both houses of parliament, in all parliaments, for und touching any bills, speaking, reasoning, or declaring of auy matter or matters in and concerning the parliament, to be coonmuned and treated of; and is ${ }^{2}$ declaratory law of the antient and uecessary rights and privileges of parliament. 2. That the Judgment given 5 Car. againgt sir John Ellior, , Denzil Hollis, and Benjamin Valentine, esquires, in the King's-bench, was un illegal Judgment, and . against the freedou and privilege of parliament."

To both which Votes the lords agree with the house of commons.

Upon consideration had this day of a Judgment given in the court $\rho f$ King's-Bench in Miclaedmes term, in the 5 Charles 1, aguiust sir John Elliot, knt. Deuzil Hollis, and Benjumin Valentine, eqquires, which Judgment is found to be erroneous: It is puered by the"lords spiritual aad temporal on parliament assembled, That'the said Deasid Hollis, esq. (now lurd HalJis, baron of Ifeild) pe desired to cause the Roll of the Court of King'r-Beach, wherein the said

Jurgment is recorded, to he brought before the lorils in parlument by a Wht of Eirror, to the end that such forther Judgquent may he given upon tie ssid case, as thes house shall find meet.
Attorn. Gea. et al. v. Hollis ct al.-Mich, 10 Car. securati regm. Ritt. 75.
An Information in the King'-bench against Sir John Eliot.
Memorandura, quod Rol. IIeath mil, attorn. dom, regis nune general. qui pro eodem dom. roge in hac parte sequitur in propr. persona sua ven, hic an cur. dicti doul. regis coram rege apud Westm, die Mercur, prox. pust crastin. Animar. isto eodem term, et pro eodetn domino rege protulit hic in cur. dieti domm. regis corain ipso rege tunc ihidem quandam infirmatonem versus Johan. Filliot uuper de London mil. Benjunah Valentune nuper de London ar. et Demal Hollhs maper de Latudon ar. qua sequitur in hee verba silicet Mudd. os. Memorandum quod Rubertus Ileath mil, attoru. dom, regis nunc general. qui pro endem dom, rege in has parte serquitur in propria personis sua ven. hic in car. dicti don. regis cormm ipso rege aphed Westm. die Mercur, prox. post catsthin. Anumar, pto codem lermino. Et pro endea shom. rege dat cur, luc intelligi et informari. Quod cuin dictus dom. rev pro diversis arduis et urgeuthus negotiis. ussun recem et statman et defenston. regn. Angl. et ceclesia Auglican. concéruen. quoidaun parlianent. suum apud civit. suam Westis. pred, teneri orduavit. Cumque superinde quorddam parliamentum sum debito modo inchoar. el tent. fuit apud Westm. pred. decimo septuno dio Mattii anno regni dicti dons. ecgis 3 et ibidem per diversas prorogationes continuat. usque to diem Mantii aumo regni diett dom. regis 1.'quo quideas 10 de Martiisisem parliament. disolut. futs. Cunque aintea pred. 17 dien Martu annoo 3 suprad. scilicet 16 dite ejusdion mensis Mar. anass 3 suprad. Jahannes Elliot nuper de Lavdon mul, debito modo elect. et retorn. fuit un: miil. pro cum. Cornub. in coden parlianent. descrvitur. Cunique ctian Benjamin Valentine nuper de London ar. codem 16 die Martii anuo 3 suprad, debito modo elect. et retornat. fuit un burgens. pro burgo de St. Germans in pred. conn. Cornub. in coden parliament. deserritur. Cunque etiam Denzil Hollis nuper de London ar eodent 16. die Martii anno 3. suprad. debito mudo elect. et retornat. fuit un, burgeng. pro burgo de "Durchester in com. Dors. in eodem pardiament deservitur. Cumque etiam Johauges Finch mil. eodem 16 die Nartii anno 3 suprad. debito modo elect. et retornat, fuit un. civiumrpro civitat. Cantuaiz in eoden parliainent. deservitur. Cuunque pred. 16 die Martï anno 3 suprad. prefat. J. Yy, a apud Westro. pred. debito modo elocyh et codastitut.' fuit Prolocutor. per conmón, in eodem parliament.: Et sic Prolocutor pro commẫn. continuavit usque disolution. ejusdem parliament. Quod prefat. J. E. nunchinana et intendens omnibus viis et inodis quibus poterit discord. malevolenc, murmuracionea et
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seditiones tam int. pred. dom, ${ }^{*}$ regen et magnat. prelatos, procereq et justic. suos hujas regoi quam int. pred. magnat. prelat. proceres et juaticiar. dieti dopn. regis et reliquos subdit. suog seminare et excitare et regimen et gubernation, bujus regni Ang., tam in pred. dotn. rege quam in consiliar, et ministris suis cujuscanque generis totalit. deprivare et enervare et tumult. et confusion, in ornnibus statibus et partibus hujus regni Angl, introdacere et ad intention. quod veri et ligei subdit. dicti domini regis cordialem suum amorem ab ipso rege retraherent in et duran. pariament. pred. sciliect 23 die Febr, anino 4 supral, apud Westa. pred. in domo comunun. parliament. ibidem et seglegnte eadem domo militib. civib. et burgens. adtanc et ibidion nssemblat, et in eor. presentia et auditu falso et malitiose et seditiose hec falsa ficta malutiosa et scandalosa verba Auglicana alta soce dixit et propala it, vadelicet, "The King's Privy Council, all his - judges and his counsel learned, have con-- spiral together to trample under their feet - the liberty of the subject of this realm, and 'the privileges of this house.' (Privileg. pred. domus commun. parliament. imnuendo) cumque potestas summonend. parhament, ejusdemtpee centimuaud, adjornand. prorogand. et dissolvend. dom. regi spectat et de jure pertinet aul lilitum et bencplacitnon summ. cunque dictus dom. rex prit divers. argent. causis ipsam nd hoc specialit. mpyru, secunds die Martii auno \& suprad. pathament. prod. adjoruari ordinavit eodem secundo die Martii uspue 10 diem ejusdem menss Marti ndtuaç prox. lutur. Et dictus dom. rex previ. secundo die Martin zunus 4 supriad, apud Westm, proil. mandavit prefist. Jolunn. Finch adtune Prolocituri pred. وuod ipse exdem sectanto die Martia unhtubus civibus et liurgens, in domo cominum. parliament, adtanc et ibidem assemblat. beneplacitum dieti dom. regis significaret et notom faccret quad immediate poost signification, ill. sic fact. pred. domus commun. per ipsos mil. cives et burgens, adjornaretur usque 10 dicin Martii adtunc prox, futtur. Ft superinde prefat. Johannes Finch codem secundo die Martii apud W V stm. pred. militib. civib. et burgens. in dicta dumo commun. parliament. adtunc et ibidern assemblat. seden. eadem domo publice significavit et rotam fecit pred. beneplacitum dicti dom. regis quuod pred. donius immediate post signification. ill. fict. usque ad pred. 10 diem Martii- per seipsos adjornaretur et quod pred. Johannes Elliot B. Valentiue oft Demzil tyollis teupore signification. pred. per pred. rolocator. in forma pred. fact. presentes fuer. in domo commun. pred, et adtunc et ibidem audiverunt candem signification.s et ill. bene tellexer. pred. tamen J. E. B. V. et D. H. secundo die Martii anno gusrto suprad. apad West. pred. malitiose agreaser. et jinter eos conspiraver. ad distgarband. milites cives et burgens. de pred. domo commun, pastistnent. in eadem doino oppud Westrn. pred. ndtanc et ilijfom assemblat. ne illi secundum lieneplincitum dieti doun. regis eis ut prefertar significat.
seipsbs adjornarentur. Et pred. J. E.'secundum agreament. et conspiration. pred. ad fnalitiosa proposits et intention: pred. postes scilicet codem secundo die Martii anno 4 suprad. apud Westrn. pred. in eadem dom. comman. parliament. in presentia et aedito pred. mulit. civioun et burgens. adtunc et ibidem ussomblat. alta voce fuhlo malitiose et seditiose dixitet propalavit hec falsa ficta scandalosa innlitiose et seditiosa Anglicnna verba sequen, 'TUe miserable condi' tion we are in, beth in matters of pligion ande 'policv, makes me look with a tender eye both 'to the person of the king and the subject: ' you know how Arbinianism doth undermine ' us, and how popery comes upon as so open' faced as it gives a terror to the lave that 'particularly concerning the plantation of 'Jesuits amongst us, and other things incident ; thereto, do manifestly shew it. Aud not only ${ }^{1}$ these weu who are actors themsclees, I menn ' the Jesuits, but those thisare their great imas'ters and fautors, they have the power of the ' lawi, and dare check magistrates in the exe'cution of their duties; from them it comes that ' we suffer thcirguilt, and the fear of punishment 'that mny befall then, brings us uponthose rocks. -There nre among them some prelates of the ' church, the great bishop of Winchecter and his 'fellows; it is apparent what they hr fe done to - cast he aspersion upon the honour, piety and 'grodicss of the king. These are not thl: but it t is extended to some others, who, I fear, in guilt ' nad conscience of their own ill deserts, de ' join their power with that bishop, and tho ' rest, to drave his majesty into a jenlousy of 'the parliament; amongst them I shall not ' fear to name the great Lord Treasurer, in ' whose person is, I fear, contracted all that - which we suffer. If we look into religion and ' pellicy, 1 find him building upun the ground ' laid by the duke of Bucks, his great master; - from ling, I fear, came those ill counsels ' which contracted that unhappy conclusion of ' the last session of parliament. And whoso'ever shall go about to lireak parliameuts, par' liaments will break him ! I find that not only ${ }^{4}$ in the affections of his heart, bitt adso in rela'tion to him, he is the head of the Papists. 'They and yeir prarsls and Jesuits have all re' lation to bim, and I doubt not to fix it indn' bitably upon him'; nad so far from the great' ness and power of him comes the danger of ${ }^{4}$ oup relvion. For policy in that great ques'tion of 'Tonnage and Poundage ; that interest ' that is pretended to be the king's, is but the * interest of that person to undermine the po' licy of this government, and there by to weaken the kingdom. It was the coonsel of Hospitales, chancellor to Charles the Nimh, king of France, that the way to weaken this kingdom was to impeach the trade of it , and so to " lay que walls wiste and open. And I doubt ' not, but by the , gisyuisition of a few days 'to prove that, his 'inkours are to undermine 'us; That he invites strangers to come in to drive our trade, or at leatt our merchantis to trade in strange bottoms, which is as daniger-
${ }^{6}$ ous; and this is that which imprints this fear ' in his person, and makes him to misinterpret
' our proceedings to his majesty. Now there-

- fore it will be fit fur truc Buglishnuen to per-

4 form their duties, and to shew their desire of
6 the safety buth of the king and kingdom, and

- to resulve to defind the sincerity of our reli' gion, and to declare our resolutions also for The defence of the right of the subject, where-
- by wo'may deoture ourselves to be freemen, ' and so the more wealthy and able to supply ${ }^{6}$ his majecty upon all occasions. And that ' we should declare all that we have saffered ' to be the effect of new counsels, to the ruin - of the goverument of this state, and to make 'a protestanos: aqai.st all those men, whether -greater or salmordmate, thut they shall all he - dieclared us capital enemics to the king and - kingdom, that will persuade the king to take
- Tonnage and Poundage without graut of par: 'Giams nt. Anel that if any merchants shaill ' willingly pay thore doties without consent of 'parlianeat, they shall be declared as acces'saries to the rest.' Qundque pred. D. H. secundum agicament. et conspiration, inde inter ipsuin et prefat. J. E. \& B. V. ut piefertur piehabit. postea scilicet codean secundo die Marui anao 4 suprad. aprad $W^{\circ} \mathrm{cotm}$. pred. in eadem domo commun. parliameut, nilitib. cigib. et burgeus. adiunc et ilidem assemblat. etion cor. presentia ct auditu alta voce falso malitiose et sedftiose dixit et propalavit liec falsa ficta raslitiósa pernicusa et sediniósa verba Anglicam. sequen. videlicet, 'Whosocver shall counsel ' the taking "p of Tonaage and Poundage without an act of parliament, let him be account' ed a capital encmy to the king and kingdom,
' and what merchant soever shall pay Tounage
' and Pourdage, without an act of parliament,
' let him be accouuted a betrayer of the Eber'ties of the subject, and a capital enemy to 'the king and kingdom.' Quodque prefat. B. V. \& D. II. secundum agreament, ct conspiration, pred. inde inter cos et prefat. J. E. prehabit. ud intention. et proposit. pred. et ad intention. quod. prefat. J. E. \& D. II. pred. fulsa malitiosa scandalosa et seditiosa verba pred, in forma pred. et ad intention. et proposita pred. per cos pred. secundo die Martii amav 4 suprad. dict. et propalat."-bt prefertur dicerent et propalarent eoden secundo die Martii post signfication. pred. pred. beneplaciti dicti dom, regis pro adjornament ${ }^{\text {d }}$ dict, domus common. parliament. ot prefertur. fiend. per prefat. P'rolocutorem fact. et ante dictionern et Propalationem aliquor. verbur. pred. prefat. J. E. \& D. II. eodem secundo die Martii ut prefertur dict. et propalat. prefar. Johanne Finch Prolocutor. pred. adtunc. et ibidem in quadam cathedja Anglice vocat. 'the 'Speaker's Chair' in domo pred. existen, et extra pred, secundum mandat. Џicti dotm. regis ei in hac parte prius dat. jve conan. in Ct super prefat. Johannem Fing /ádtanc et itbidenper in pace Dei et dict. dorn, regls existen, ri et armis set manu fortj et illicite insult, focer. et cundem J. Fipch maletractaver, et cundem J.
F. in cathedra pred, contra voluntat. suam enanu forti et illicite detinuer. Quodque posten endem secuadu die Martii et (wte durtion. propalation. aliquor, verbor, prel. per pred. J. E. et D. H. dict. et propalat. secundo die Martii anno 4. suprad. prelat. J. F. Prolocutor, pred. apad Weatin. pred. io d.mou pred. extra cathedram pred. adtuac exinten. in et super prefat. J. F. udtuncet ibidetn in pace Dei et dict. domini regis insult. fecer. et prefat. J. Finch thaletractarer. et violent, manu forti et illicite contra voluntat. suam in cathedranı pred. traxer. truser. et impuler. per quod magn. tuinult. et periculosa cummotio et confusio in dom. comusn, pred, et maximi terror. pred. milhtib. civib. et burgens. adtunc et ibidem assemblat. adtunc, et ibide.m mòt. et excitat. fuer. contrn ligeanc. suar. delit. in magn. conterapt. et mausfest, evheredationetn dicti domint regis et demgation, persone regimnis et prerngative sue ragie et in legum et status hujus regni Augl, subversion. et in magn. scandal. et ggoominiam consiliar. de privato concilio dicti dom. regis et al, magnat. prelator. et procer. hujus regni Angl. ct justiciar. et justic. dicti dom. regis ac in disturbation. et tcrrorem commonitat. in parlianent, pred, sic ut premittitur assemblat, uecnon ad pessinum et perniciosissimun exemplum omn. al, in hujasmodi cusa delinquen, et contra pacem ejusdem dorn. rcgis coron. et dugnitat. suas nernou contra formam statut. \&cc. Unde idem attoro. \&c. per quod precept. fuit vie. quod non omitt. \&cc. Quin venire ficc. eos ad respond. \&c.
The Defendants plead sevcrally for themseliees, that they were Pwliament-men, and that the offence was committed in parliament, and ought there to be heard and determined, and ant in the Kiog's-Bench.
Et modo scilicet dic Martis prox, post octab. Sancti Martini isto eodem termino coram dom. reqe apuil West. ven, pred. J. F., mul. B. V. \& D. H. in propr. person, suis et pred, J. Fs tahat. audit. information, prcd. iden J. quoad supprosit, trausgr. offens. et contempt. pred. in informatione pred. mentionat. in dicend. et propaland. pred. Anglicana verba in informatione prell, superias recitat. Ac cidem J. per informationem pred. in furiaa pred. imposit. dic. qued ipse, non intend. guod dom. rex nunc de aut pru supposit. transgr. offens. et scontenspt. ill. cidem J. sic imposit. in cur. dicti dom. regis nunc hic responderi v lit aut debeat nuia dic quod pred. supposit. offens. transgr. et conteinpt. in dicend. et 'propaland. pred. Anglicana verba in informatione pred. meltionat, et eidem J. in forma pred. imposit in' parliament. et non in cur. dom. regis nuno hic audiri et terminari debent, \&cc. Et ulterius idem Johannes dic. quad ipse pred, 10 Martii anno ${ }^{\text {s }}$ suprad, in infurmatione ${ }^{-1}$ mentionat. debito modo elect. ct retorn. fuit un. nil. pro pred. cunt. Cornub, in parliament. pred. deservitur. prout in infortnatione pred. superius mentionat. Quodque idem. J. texupore supposit. offens, transgr. et contenpt. pred, in
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dicend. et propaland. Anglicana verba pred. nudiri et terminari debent. Et iidem B. ultoeidem J. in formy pred, imposit. ac duran, toto tempore parliame申t. pred. apud Westa. pred. fuit et remansit 'un. mil. pro com. Cornub. pred. pro eodem parliment. Et hoc parat. est verificare unde ex quo in infurruatione pred. evidenter apparat et plene liquet quod supposit. offens, transgr, et contempt. pred. in dicond, it propaland. Anglicuina serha pred. cidem J. in forma pred, innposit. et per inlormation. pred. supposit. commiss. fire cormiss, fuit in pred. domo commun. parliament. pred. in parliament. pred. idem J. pet. judic. si pred, dom. rex nune hic de offens. transgr. et contempt. pred, quadd Anglicana verba pred, per ipsum J. in parliamegt. pred. in forma pred. daci et propalari mpposit. in cur. dicti dom. regis nunc hic responderi velit aut debsat. Ex quoad tot. resid. supposit. offens, tran-gr. et contempt. in infornatione pred. mentionat. cidem J. in forma pred, iniposit. eidem J. dic. quod ipse non intendit quad dictus dom, rex nunc de aut pro pred, resaid. offens, tran $\overbrace{n} r$, et contempt. pred. in eadem inforuatione mentionat, eidem $f$. superius in forma pred. imposit. in cur, dicti dom. regis nunc hic respond rl velit ant debent quia dic. quod resid. pred supposit. alleas. transgr. et contempt. in informatione pred. snperims spec. eidem Johamni per information, pred, in forma pred. imposi, in parliament, et non in cur. dom. regis nunc hic audiri et terminari debent. Et idem J, ulterius dge. quod ipse pred. 16 die Martii anno 3 suprad. in informatione pred, mentinat debito modo elect et retornar. fưit un, mil. pros pred. com. Corunb, in pred. parlimatent. deservitar, prout per information. pred. superius mentionat. Qvodque iden J. J. tempore resill, supposit. offens. trausgr. et contepnpt. pred. ci in famma jrel. imposit. Se daran. toto tempore parliament. pred. apud Westun. pred, fiut et remansit wi. mil. pro pied. com. Corubb, in pagliament. pred. Ft lioc parat. cbt veiiticare, Unde et ex quo in informatioue pred. csident, apparet et plene liguet quod pred. resid. pred. supposit, transer. offens. et contempt, pred. m informatione pred. mentionat. eidem J. in forma preil. inponst. per eandem information. supposit. fore counniss. fuit commiss, in pred domo commun. parlimment. pred. in parliament. pred, idera $J$. pet. judic. si dictus dom, rex'nune de resid. pred. supposit. oflens. transer. ef contempt. in informatione pred. mentionat. cidem J. in forma pred. in? posit. in parliament. pred. in furma pred. fier supposit. in cur. dom. regis niyic lie rgsponderi vellt aut debeat, \&c.
Et pred. Benjamin, Valentine hahit, andit. information. pred. ideru B. dic. quod ipse non in, teadit quod dictus dom. rex nunc degut prosup-- posit, offens, et contempt. pred. in informatione nentionat, eidem B. per eandea informatioh titiposit. in cur. dictidoms. regis pune bie responderi velit aut debeat. Quia dic. quat pred. supposit, offens, transgr. et contegmpt. in ingornatione pred, Hirntionat. ciden B. per eandem informationem in forma pred. imposit. in par liament. et non in cur. domini regis nunc bic
mudiri et terminari debent. Et idem B. ulto-
rius dicit quod ipse pred. 16 die Murtii nnno 3 suprad. in informatione pred, mentionat. debito modo elect, et retorn, finit un. burgens. pro predicto burgo de St. Germans in pred. com. Cornub. in pred. parliament. deseritur, prout per infurmation. pred. superius mentionat. Quodq; idem B. tempore stupposit. offens. transgr. et contempt. pred, ei in forgia pred. imposit. Ac duran, torn tenfipore parlianent. pred, apud Westm. pred. fuit et remansit. un. hurgens. pro pred, burgo, de St. Germaus in eodem parliament. Et boc parat. est verifiUnde et ey quo in informatione pred. evident, apparet et bene liquet quod supposit. offens, tranqg. et contempt. pređt. in iniormatione pred. mentionat. eidem B, in forma pred, imposit. per information. pred. stupposit. fore comeniss. fuit comaies. in pred. donvo commun. parliamenti pred. Idcon B. pet. jurlic, si dictus dominus rex nunc de oflehs, tranger. et contempt, pred. sic silfi inuposit per iysum B. in parlinment. predict. fierı supposit. if cur, dieti dom. regis nune bic respondeil velit aut debent, \&ce.

Et pred. Demzil IIollis habit, audit, mformation. idem D. quoarl supposit. trausgr. uffens. et contempt. pred. in inlormatione pred, mentionat in dicend, et propaland. pred. Anglicana verba (infurmatione pred. superius recitat. Ac ciden 1). per information. pred. in forma pred. inuposit dic. quod ipse non intendit qyod dominax sex nune de ant pro supposit. trunsga oflens. et contcmpt. ill. vifem. D. sic imposit. in cur. Listi domini regis nunc hic responderi velit sut debent. Quia dic. quod pred, supposit, wfens. trans. et contempt, in dicend, et propoland. pred. Anglicana terba in informatoone pred, mentionat. cidem D. in forma pred. imposit. in parlianeat. et nou in cur, dom, regis nunc hic audiri ct terminari debeant, \&c. Et ulterius idem D. dic. quod ipse pred. 16 die Mariii anno 3 suprad. in infornatione pred. mentionat. debito mudo clect, et retornat, fuit un. burgens. pro pred. burgo de Dorchester in pred. com. Dors. in parliament. pred.deservitur, prout in mforwatione pred. superius mentionat. Quodque idem D. tempore supposit. offens. transgr. et egnt/mpt. pred. in dicend. et propaland. Aughicuna verba pred. eidem D, in forma pred. imposic. ac duran. toto tempore parhament. pred. apud Westn. pred. fuit et remansit, un. burpens. pro pred. burgo de Dorchester in eodem parliament. Et hec parat. est verificare. Unde et ex quo in in:ormatione preI. evident. appatet et pleue liquet quod supposit. offens. transgr. et contempt. pred. in dicend, et propaland. Anglicana verba pred. eidem D. in forma pred. imposit. joier informationem pred. supposit. fore commiss, fuit commiss, in domo pred. commun. parlianent. pred. parliament. prod. idens D. pet. judic, si dictus doin, rex nuuc de offens, tran"gr, et contempt. pred. quad Anglicales verba pred. per ipsum D. in parliament pred. \%t forma pred. dici et propolari supposit, in cutr, dom. reyis nuthe hid respunderi velit aot debeat. Et quoad tot:
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resid. supposit. offens, transgr. et contempt. in informatone pred. mentionat. cidem D, superius in forma pred. inponit. idem $\mathbf{D}$. dicit quod ipte non intendit quod dictus torn. rux nune de aut pro pred. resid. oflens. transgr. et coutenupt. pred. in eadem informationc mentionat. eidem D. superius in forman predict. imposit. in cur. djeti dom. regis nuic hic re-pouderi velit aut debeat. Quia dic: qupd pred. revid. supposit. offens. tuansgr. es cuntempt. in informatione pred. superius specificat. eidem $\mathcal{D}_{\text {, per mfonna- }}$ tion, pred. in forma pred. mppont. iu parliament. et non in cur, dom. regis wunc hic uudiri et terminari debent. Et idem D. ulterius dic. quod ipso pred. 16 lie Martii nuno 3 suprad. in infurynituje peed. mentionat. delitw inodo elect, (t etornat. futt un. burgers. pro pred. burgin de Dorchester ia pred. com. Dors. in pred. pailaucent. deservitur, prout per infunnntion. pred. superius mentionatur. Quodque idem D. tempore resel. suppoost, offens, truasgr. et contenpt. pred. ei in forma pred. inpuntt. ac dural. suto tempore parliament. pred. apud Westm. pred, fuit et rewannsit un. bungens. pro pred. burgo de Durctucter in pred. com. Dors. in parliament. pred. Et hoc parat, est verifcare. Unde et ex quo in informatione pred. evident. apparct ét plene liquet quod prod. resid. suppust. onfens. trausgr, et contempt, preal. in informatione pred. mentovnat. eidey, D. in forma pred. impost, f.er eandem inforfationem suppon. fire conmiss, iu pred. domo connmun. nenmment. pred, in parthaincht. pred. idem D. 'pet. judic. ai dietus don. res none de resid. predict. supposit, offens. transgr. et contempt. in intormatione pred. mentionat. cidem D. in furma pred. imposit. in parliament. prel. in forina pred. fieri supposit. in cur, dom. rcgis hic responderi velit aut dcbeat, ©c.
The Attorney-General demurs to the Pleas severally.
Et prefat. Robertus Headh mil. qui sequitur, \&c. quvad pred. placium pred. J. Elliut pro eodem dom. rege dic. quod placitum ill. prefat. J. in forma pred. superius placitat. materiaque in placito pred. content. minuss suficieien. in lege existunt ad precludend. cur, hic a jurisdiotion. sua audiend. et terninand. offerss, transgr. et contempl. in informatione prear' mentionat. ciden J . per eandem mformationem in forma pred. imposit. Unde pro defectu suficien. respon. in hac parte pet. jodic. Et quod prefat. J. dicto dom. regi in cur. bic respondeat de et in premiss. \&c.
Et prefat. R. H. mil. qui sequitur, \&c. quoad pred. placitum prefat. B. V. pro eodem demino rege dic. quod placitum ill. prefat. B. in firnan pred. superius placitat. materiaque in exdem content. minus sufficien. in lege exist, ad precludeud. cur. hic a jurisdiction, suz audiend. of terminand. offens. tranagr.. et contempt. pred. in informatione pred. mentionat'. eiden B. per eandem informationt. in forma fred. innposit. Unde pro defetu sufficien. respons. in hac perte post. judic, est quod preifat, B. dicta doma. rogi in carr, hic respondeat de et in pre-
miss. \&c. Et simile quo ad placitum Denzil Hollis.
The Defendents severally jo $W_{1}$ in Demurrer.
Et pred. J. Elliot nil. ut prus dic. quod placitum pred, per ipsum J. superius in forma pred. placitat, materiaque in placito pred. content. bon. et sulficien. in lepe Bxistunt ad preclaulcad. cur. hic a jurisdiction. sua uudiend. et terminaud. oficns. transgr. et conterupt. pred. in informatione pred. mentionat. eidem Jolami per eandem informationenu in forma pred, inposit. Quod quiden placitum materiannue in eodem phacito content. idem J. E. mil. parat. est verificare. Unde ex quo idem attoru, dict dom. regis pro eviden dom. rege ad plactum ill, non respont. we ill. nliqualit. dedic, sed verification. ill. sumittere unnino recusat pet. judic. et quod ipse idem J. de offens, Lauregr. et contenupt. pred. in infommatione pres. meationat. eidem J. per ealldem infurnationem in towna pred. imposit. per cur. hic dimittatur, \&c. Ka sic de verLo in ve. bum pro Valentue et Inolls separatim.
The Atorney-Gencral prays that the Defendants may aniswer.
Et quia cur. dom. regis hic de judic. suo inde reddend. nundum adusatur dics inde dat. est tam prefat. Hulierto Fleath mul. quii sequitur, \&c. quan pred. J. E. 12. V. \& D. II. in statu quo nunc, \&c, usque octab. Sancı Hillar, coram dom: rege ubicunque, \&c. de judicio sao inde audiend. co quod car, nondum, sc. Ad quas quiden octab. Suncti Hillar. coram doul. rege apud Westm. ven, tam prefat. Et. H. quii scquitur, kc. quam pred. J. E. B. V. \& D. II. in propr. person, suis. Et prefiit. R U. qui sequitur, kc. pro eodem don. rege pet. judic.
Jodgment that the Pleas to the Jurisdiction of the King's-Bench are insufficient.
Et quad pred. J. E. B. V. D. II. dicto dmn. regi in cur. bic respondeant et eor. qualibet re-spondeat de et in premiss. \&ec. Super quo visis lectis et andit. omnibus et singulis premiss, pro oo quod videtur cur. hic quod separal. placita prel. per prefat. J. E. B. V. \& D. H. in forma pred. superius placitat. materiaque in separal. placitis pred. content. minus sufficien. in lego existunt ad precluitend.
: The Defendants ordered 50 answer over.
Cur. bic a jurisdictione sua audiend. et terminand. offens. tyansgr. et contempt. pred. in informatione pred, mentionot: ristlem J. E. B. V. \& D. H. per eandems information. in forme pred. imposit, dictum est eisdem J. . . B. V. \& D. II. quod, ipsi iidem J. E. B. V. \& D. II. dicto dom. regi in our. hic reepondennt \& por. quilibet respondent de et in premisc. in jingace matione pred. superius content. \&c. Bt shra hoc'dies duL. est per cur. eisdem J. E. B. V. $\&_{6}^{6}$ D. IL coram dom. rege ubicunque, \&ec. nequa diem Vécercis prox. post octab. Purs. Weate Maria Virgin. ed information, predict. interloquend. et tunc. ad respond. periculis suis.
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Judgment against them for tant of Pleas in $\mid$ lod irrotulari et allocari, cujus quidem brevia Chief.
Ad quem diem coram dom. rege apud Westm. ven. tain prefat. It: H. qai sequitur, \&c. quam prefat. J. E. B. V. \& D. II. in propr. personis suis. Et prefat. J. E. B, V, \&e D. H, licet ipsi scpius premonit, et soleropnit. exact. ad respond. vihil dicunt in barr, sive exoneration, information. pred. per guod iden dominas rex remanet versus eos indefense. Ideo cons, est quod pred. J. E. B. V. \& D. II. capiantar ad satisfaciend. dom. regi de finib. suis occasioue transgr, et coutempt. pred. Ac quod habeant imprisonament. corpor, suor. ad voluntat. ipsius dom. regic, et quod antequan delibereatur $q u i-$ libet cor. invequat, sulfic. secur. de se bene gerend. erga dictum dommum regem et cunctum populunis suum. EL quad pied. J. F. committatar locumtenen. Turris demini regis London. salvo custodiend, quousque, \&c. Quod, que pred. B. V. \& B, II, committantur Mar. marrsc. domini regis coram ipsu rege sulvo custodiend. qunisque, \&s.

Et finis cjaviem J. E., afferatur per cur, occasione predict. ad 2,000 .
Ft finis cjusdem B. V. afferatur per cur. occasione pred. ad $500 \%$.

Itt finis cjasdem D. H. afferatur per cor. ad. 1000 mercas.
Afterwards the Attorucs-Gen ral comes into Court, and acknowledges that Ifollis has paid his Fine.
Pustea scilicet die Lume prox. post octab. P'ur, beate Marie Virgin, anao regni don. Caruli nunc regis Angl. Aec. 13. curam dom. rege apud West, ven. Jobanones Banhs mil, attorn. dom. regis nune general, qui pro evdem dom. rege inodo in hac parte sequitur et pro corlem dion. rege dic. et cognevit quad pied. D. H. molvit et satisficit pred. 1,000 megceas recept. nd - Scaccarii dicti dom. regis ad usum dicti dom. regis in pletu, satisfaction, pred. finis super ipsım D. pro oflens. pred. in informatione pred. "supenius nominat. per cor. hic in ipsum imposit. pront per constat. sub manu Edwardi Wardour mil. clerici pelliam recept, Scaccanii deti dom, regis hic in cur. ostens. plene liquet. Et pro eodem dom. rege iden attorn. dictı dom. regis general. cognovit dictum dom. regeto inde fire satisfactum. •Hleo idem D. II. de eisdem 1,000 mercis eat inde quiet.
At another time after, the Attorney brings into Court the king', Letters Patents under his privy-seal, whereby the king remits "to Vaentine his Fine, and gll the rest of the Judgment ; and prays the same may be enrolled and allowed.
Postea scilicet die Mercur. prox. post quinthe Ragehe anno regni dicti dow. regis nunc Antive. 16 coram dom. rege apof Westm. wen. Johannes Banks mil. attorn. dom. regis

* nunc general. in propr, plersona sua, Ft protwlit in car. dicti dom. regis coram dom. rege tunc ibidem quoddum breve ipsius dom. regis de privat. sigila sibl et al. direct. et pefjit il-
tenor sequitur in hec verba: ' Charles, by the ' grace of God, king of England, Scolland, ' France, and Ireland, Defender of the Faith - \&cc. To the lord ligh-treasurer of England, ' chancellor, under-treasorer, and barons of ' our Exchequer, and all other officers and mi' nisters of the same court for the time leing ' and to the chief-justice, and the rest of our 'justiccs of our court of King'-Bench, and to 'our attorncy-general, and all other officers ' and ministers of the same court for the time 'being, greeting. Whereas in Michaelmas ' terim, in the tenthyear of our reign, upon an 'Infonmation in our name exhibited -in our ' court of King's-Bench, against-Denjomin Va-- lentine, esy.; and others, for divers offencęs, ' trespasses, and contempts therein mentioned, 'the said Beujumin Valentine, by Judgment of 'The same court, was fined to us in the sum of ' 5001 . and to be committed to our prison of © our Marshlubsea during our pleasure; and 'that he shall find suticient security for his ${ }^{6}$ good behavieur to us and our people, as by the sad Information and Judginent there' upon remaining upon record in our said court ' of King's-Bench, nore at large may appear.
'And whereas the said II, V, hath been re-
'straingd of his liberty since the last parlia-
' ment fix not satisfying the said fine so inpos' ed on him, as nforesaid. Now kniov je, That ' we of our spreial grace have remised, relero-
'ed, and quit-claimed, and by these present;
' for us, vur heirs und successons, do remise, rc-
${ }^{\text {' }}$ lease, and quit-claim unto the spid B. V. the 'said tine or sum of 500 /, by the Judginent of ' our said court on bim the said B. V. imposed ' as afurcsaid. And all coumittnent, imprison' ment, und other matiers whatsocver adjudged 'or ifflicted upon him by our said court, for or 'by reason of the trespisocs, oliences or con'tempts aforesaid. Wherefore we do hy these ' presents will and require, as well the lord'treasurer, claancellior, under-treasurer, and ' barons of our Eichequer, as the justices of ' our court of King's-Bench, and the oflicers ' and ministers of the said several courts re'spectively, to whpm it shall or may appertain, 'that they, sand every of them respectively, at 'all times hereafter do forbear, and utterly sur'cease to make or grant fortin uny exteats, ' seizures, esecutions, or other process what-
' soerea, against the said B. V. his heirs, exe-
' cators or adninistrators, or his or their lands,
'tenements, hereditainears, goods or chattels,
' for or concerning the levying of the soid fiee.
' or sum of 500l or any part thereof. And
'that they take order as well for his fall and
' clear dischango thereof, as of and from his
'commitment and imprisonment as aforesaid.
'And these presenty, or the enrolment thero-
' of, shall be unto them, and every of them to
' whens if sball or may appertain, a sefficient
'warrant and dijcharge , in that behalf. And
- hastly, we will, and by tosese presents authe-
' rise and require our attorery-general for the
' time being, for us, and io our babalf, to ate
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${ }^{4}$ knowledge satisfaction upon record of and for

- the said fine of 500 , on the said B. V. by
- Judgment of our stid court so imposed as
' aforesaid. Wherchy he may be fully and ab-
'solutely acquitted and discharged thereof
'against us, hens and fuccessors; and
'these presents, or the enrolment thereof, shall
' be unto our said attorney-geneal for the
' time being, a good and sufficicut warrant in
'that behnlf. biven ander our prity-seal at
© our palice of Wrstminster, the 7th diy of
' March, in the fifteenth year of our reign.'
Judgment of the Court at the Attorney's prayer, . that Valcutine be Yischarged.
Ft miperthō"dem J. B. miles attorn, dicti dom. regis general. pro end $m$ dom. reqe virtute brevis de privat. sigillo predict. dicit et cognovit ijsum dominum regem fore plemar. satisfact. de pred. fin, 500 l . super ipeuin B. V. pro offens. predict. in informatione predict. inentionat. per cur. hic ut prefertur inhposit. et pet. quoì pred. B. V. virtute brevis pred. de imprisonament, suo ads. ipsius dom. regis et de judic. pred. exoneretar et dimittatur soper quo vis, et per cur. hic intellect. omnibus et singulis premiss. cong est per cur, quod pred. B. V. pro offens. pred. in informatione pred, superius mentionat. per cur. hic ut prefertur itnposit, st inde quiet, et eat inde sine die, et guod ipse idem B. V. de imprisonament. sun ad sect.少 m . regis et de jndic. pred. versus !psum B . In forma pred. redidt exoneretur et diinituatur, \&c.
D. Hullis, now Lard Hollis, brings a Writ of Eirror upon the said Judgucint, returuable in P'rhamens.
Poste: : cilicet 18 die Pebr' unno regni dom. nostri Caroh secund nune regis Angl. Ne. 20 dominus rex mandan it dilerto et fidel. suo Johanui Kclynge mil. capital. justic, dicti dom. regis ad placita coram ipso rege tenend. assign. breve suoun clausum in haec verba, Curolus secundus, \&e. dilerto et fidel, nostro Johanni Kelynge mil. capital. justic nostro ad placita coram nobis ienent. assign. salatem. Quia in record. process, ac etism ip redditione judicii super quandaun informationem ingur. dom. Caroli pruni nuper regis Angl patris nustii precharissimi coran ipso nujer dom rege exhinh. per Robertum Heath mil. tunc attorn. general. ipsius nuper dom. regis, qui pro eodemelomino rege in ea parte sequebatur versus Johnnnem Elliot nuper de London mil. B. Valeutine nu-- per de Londun prest. ar, et D. Hollis nuper de Lond. pred. ar. de divers. mnlege-tur. ut dicitur error intervenit manifestus de erave dampn. ipsius D. II. modo don H llis baron. de Ifeild sicut ex querela asua accepinus. Nos errorem squis fuevint modo debino corrigi et eidem D. II. modo damino - Hallis baron, de Ifeild plenam et celerem justic. fied volen, in hac parte vobis maydamus puod si judic. iade reldju, wit tunc record. et process. pred. cum omnibus ea sangex, nobis in presear. parlinment. nostruan distincte et operie mittatis et
hoc breve ut inspect. record. et process, pred. ulterius inde assensu dominor. spiritual. et temporal, in endem parlialuent. existen, pro error. ill. corrigend. quod de jure et secundum legen et cons. regni nostri Angl. fuerit faciend. T. meipso apud Westru, 12 die Febr, nnno regni nostri 20.

Norbuity.

## The Lord C'bief Jostice delivers the Record.

Virtute cajus quidem breris dictus capital. justic, record. pred. dom, regi in present. parliament. propr. manibus protulit secuudum exigenc. cjusdem brevis et postea scilt. 8 die Martia auno regni dom. regis nunc Caroli secundi 20 coram ipso rege in presenti parliament. ven. pred' D. H. modo dom. Holliy baro de Ifeild per Samnel, Astry attorn, suum et dicit quod in recond. et proress. pred, ac etiam in redduiane judicii pred. manifest. est errat, videlicet in hax verba in informatione pred. mentionat. fore dicti et propalat. in dumo cumumun. parliament. pier fred. D. II. modo dinsimuin Hoilis tuane exa isten. Lurgens. pro burgy de Doichester in tunc presen. parliament. deservien' nudiri et termiuari in domo commun. parliument. debeant per legem terre et non in cur. domini regig et in hoc quod per information, in dicto record, mentinnat. idem D. 11, modo dominus Hollis oneratar cum dictione et propalatione quurundam verbor, in domo commun. parlinnent. ac etiarn cam transgrs, et insult. fact, ii et armis super Johannen Finch, Prolocutor, ejitsdem rune domus comnno. parliament. Ad que idem D. II. modo dominus II. quo separal. placita placitahat tamen unicum tautum jubic, reddic. est ile utrogue per cur. et unicus hirus ubi duo judiens reddi et duo fines imponi debuissent quia si forte trangr. et insult, audiri et terminari forte possit aut debeat in cur. don. regis coram jpso rege tanen dictio et propalatio verbor. quorumcanque in dorgo conaman. parliaunent. per burgens, in eodem purlianent. di strvicn, alibi quam ${ }^{4}$ in parlament, audiri seit terminari non debent, \&c.

Et Golfridus Pulmer mil. et har. attorn. domini regis nunc gencral. qui per eoden dom. rege in hac parte sequitur presen. in propr. persona sua pro eodem dum. rege dicti quod nes in record. et process. pred. nee in redditione judiči pred. in ullo est errat. et pet. \&cc.

A Message was sent to the House of Commons by sir Willian Child and sir Justinian l.esin, to acquaint them, that the Lords do ugree to those Votes which were delivered at the Conference yesterday.

## Die Mercurii, ${ }^{\text { }} 15$ April, 1668.

"Whereas counsel hare been this day heard at the har, as well to argue the Errors assigne? by the lord Hollis, baron of Ifrild, upon of Error depending inthis house, brought wainst a Judginent given in the court of Krag's-Bench in 3 C Cr . 1, against the said lord Holis, by the uame of Denzil Hollis, esq. and others; as also to manatan and defend the said Judgment on inis rpajesty's behalf: Upon due consideration
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had of what bath been offered on both parts thercupon, the Lords spirtual and teniporal in parliament do ordet and adjurlge, That the said Judgment given in the court of King's-Beach in 5 Car. 1, against the said Denzil Hollis, and others, shall be reversed."
The Form wherent (to be affixed to the Trasscript of the Record) followeth:

- Et quia curia parlialienti de judicio suo de et super pramissis reddend' nondium advisatur, dics datus est tam predict' Gulfrido Palmer militi et haronet' quí sequitur, \&e. quam pradict' Denzil domino Hollis coram eadem curia usque ad diem Mercurii decimum quintum diem Aprilis tync proximum sequentem apud Westmonast. in coinitat' MNd' de judicio suo indasaudiend' eo quod curia pradoct' nominm, de. Ad quem diem coram curia pradict' Galfridus Palmer qui sequitur, \&c. quam pradictus Denzil dominus Hollis in propriis personis suis. Super quo, visis, et yer caudem curian nunc hac plenius intellectis omnibus et singulis pranissis, maturaque deliberatione inde habita, cousiderutum est per curiam praductain, quod judicium pradict' ob errores predictos et alios in recordo et processu pracdictis compertos, revocetur, adnulletur et penitus pro nullo habcatur. It quod prexicict Denal dominus Hollis ad omma ques idem Denzil dominus Hollis occasione judicii prizdict. amisit, restituatur.'

Jo. Baowne, Cleric, Parliamentorum.
It seems to be but just towards the characters of the Judges of this tine to add the following passages from kennet:
"The urgent necessity of Supplies, to be in somg measure suitable to the king's houvur, and the very nation's support, must exercise the king's council in finding out all. possille ways mand means to bring is money. In order to this urgent end, the king sent his letters, dated May 19, 1630, to the Judges and AttorneyGenernl, to frame and publish certain orders for execution of the ottice of Recciver and Collector of Fines and Forfeitures, erected by his late father of blessed memory, and hy bis present majesty confirined to John Chamberlagn his majesty's physician, and Edward Brown, esq. The Judges met lad concluded, that the said letters patents were both against law aud hin majesty's profit, and sent an account of the excesses and irregularities in the said patent, in a letter from all the Justices and Barons, Girected serepally to the Loid Keeper and Lord Treasugur. And thoogh this did well demonstrate it/e integrity of the Judges, that they would Hever prostitute an opinion to the mere interest if the king; and did as much vindicate his majegty's honour, that he would inģist upon no methasl, tlough begun by his father, *hich the Judges of his realin should not pronounce to be strictly lawful; yet, however, this too was applied to the priejudice of the court, as if they were pursuing methods which the very Judges condemned for arbitrary and illegal..
" Westminster-hall was exercised with many singular cases, that serve much to express the disposition of the times. In Easter Term sir Henry Martyn, LL.D. and Judge of the Admiralty, made a great complaint to the king against the Judges of the King's-Bench, for krauting prohubtions against his court: and upon this occasion the Judges were called before the king, where they stoutly justified their procecdings in those cases to be according to law, and from whence they could not depart by virtuc of their oathy. About the next Term, the feoflies in trust for the buying in of impropriations to be bestowed upon preaching ministers, were brought into the bxchequer for breach of their trust in not augimenting poorer vicarages, but giving arbitrary pensions to leoturers and disafifected preachers: their corporation was dissolved, and their fund and stock adjudged to the king; of which we must take some farther notice in our view of church affuirs. Nigh the same time, Huntley, n, minister in Kent before mentioned, having been censured und imprisoned by the Iligh ('ommission Court, brought nuw his action of fabe imprisonment against the keeper Mr. Barker, and some of the commissioners by tame. The Attoruey General maved, that the action might lay agninst the gaoler only, and by no means against any, of the persons in the Iligh Commission: lout afier long debate, the Court ordered that two of the commissioners should atit swer. The bishop of London made the king sensible, that the authotity of lis Iligh Commission Crurt nould foll to nothing, if the Judges of it ma-t be now exposed to personal actions. Upon which the king seut lis advocate, Dr. Ryvos, to the Lord Chief Justice, requiring him to proceed ip farther in that cause till he had spuken with his majesty. The Chief Justice answered, ' We rgceive the Message;' nall then consulted with the Judges, and they cane to this resolution, that ' they conceived such a message not to ' stand with thcir oaths, which commanded an ${ }^{t}$ indefinite stay of a causc between party and - party, that might stop the cuurse of justice so ' long as the king would.' And they farther declared the doctor to be no fit messenger, all messages from: the king to them being usually by the lord keeper, or the attorney general, in causes relating to the administration of justice. 'By the court's desire, the chief justice acquainted the 18rd lieeper and the bishop of London, who both agreed that the message was mistaken, and that the king's mind was not to command a stop, but to desire as much slowness as might stand with justice. After this, upon the importunity of the commissioners, who would no longer act if thus exposed to suits at cummon law; "the king assumed the matter to limself, and sending for the Judges, charged them with 'express command, that 'they shoidd not put the commissioners to an' swer.' The Jodges stoutly replied, that they could not, without breach of, their oaths, perform that command. Afterwards the matter was handled at the council-table in presence
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of the Judges; where, after long hearing, it wap determined, that the Judges had done their daty, and that the commissioners ought to answer. Toward the end of Trinity tern the sickDets encreasing in Southwark, Hobart, Stroud, and Valentine, three of the late members, imprisoned in the Marshalsea, sued to the Judges of the King't-bench to be removed to the Gate-boust and were by writ from the court so removed. But Mr. Selden, being at the same time in theMarshalsea, had forgot or ountted to make the like application to the King's-hench till the term was over, and the Judges in the circuit: Afier which he sued to the Lord-Trasemer for the like favour of reunoval, and by warrant from his lordship wns atcordingly so remuved. But in Michaclmas term the Judges called on the marshal for his prisoner Selden; and he prodacing the treasurer's warmant by the king's direction, they declared such watrant to be illegal, and sent their writ to rewand the prisoner back again to the Manhalsea. In the lilary terin following, the attorney-general exhibited two several informutions against sir Miles IIobart, kt. and Wullinm

Stroud, esq.; (who by writ from the Kiug'sbench had been removed from the Marshalsea to the Gate-house) for eschpes out of prison, proving that Stroud had resided with a keeper in his own chambers at Gray's-Inn ; and Hobart had continued with a keeper at his lodgings in Fleet-street. The jur) returned their verdicts severally Not Guiliy : And the Jodges resolved, that the prison of che King's-bench is not any local prisou confined to one place; but that every place where any person is by nuthority of that court restruined of his liberty, is it prisun. These several cases, and the decision of them, do abundantly prove, that the present set of Judges were no servile creatures of the court; und that the king didenot insist upon their obsequious'compliauce with him; but they gave their judgments with freerlone nud courage, and the king acquiesced in their opinion, though cuntrary to hus own."

That the court of King't-bench can cominit to any prison, sec Rex v. Hart and White, in doun, proc. May 1809, and the cuses aud authorities cited in that case.

## 191. Proceedings against Roger Manwaring, D. D. for preaching and publishing two Sermons, maintaining Doctrines tending to the Subversion of the Laws and Liberties of the Kingdom: 4 Charles I. a. d. 1628 . [1 Rushw. Coll. 2 Cobb. Parl. Hist. 388. Sir John Napier's MS.]

$\mathrm{D}_{\text {R. Moger Manwaring proinoted the same }}$ business (the Forced Loan) in two Sermons. In one of them he said: 'That the king is not - bound to observe the laws of the reakn con' cerning the Sobjects' Rights and Liherties, but - that his royal will und command in imposing ' Loans and Taxes, without common consent ' in parliament, doth oblige the sabjects con-- science upon pain of eternal damnation. That - those who refused to pay this Loan, offended against the law of God, and the king's su' preme authority, and became guilty of inpiety, 'disloyalty, and rebellion." And that the au-- thority of parliament is not necessary for the
${ }^{1}$ raising of Aids and Subsidies; and that the - slow proceedings of such great assemblies,
'were not fitted for the supply of the state's

- urgent uecessities, but would rather produce
' sundry impediments to the just designs of
' princes.'*

[^12]On the 23rd of June 1629, Mr. Rouse, a Member of the Bouse of Commons, brought in a Charge against Dr. Roger Manwaring, which some days after was secouded with a Declaration : viz.
" Mr. Speaker; I am to deliver from the comunittee a Charge twainst Mr. Manwaring, n preacher in divinity; but a man so criminous, that he bath turned his tutles into accusations; for the better they are, the worse is he that dishouoursthem. Here is a great Charge that lies upon him, it is great in itself, and great, because, it hath many great Charges in it; 'Serpens qui seryentem devorat fit draco,'. his Charge having digested maay Charges into it, becnmes a Monster of Charges.
"The main and great ohe is this, a Plot and Practice to alter and subvert the frame and
'for the raising Kiids and Subsidies,'. This author adds, he well remeembets what the ling skid when be was nfterwards censured for it, - He that will preach more than he can prokel " let him suffer for it; I give him no thanks ofle 'giving me nyly due.' So that this being entiruly the business of parliament, hepwas left, both by the king and church, to their Sertence."
Kemnet says, bothermons were first ptenchied at Ottlands in Jaly 1027. The preacher was made Dean of Worcester 1693; bishoy of St: Dnvids 1085 ; abd died at Cermarthen, in poverity, 1653.
fabric of this estate and codtmonwealth. This is the great one, and it hath others in it that gives it more lighlit. To this end,
"1. He labours to infuse into the conscience of his inajesty, the persuasion of a Power not bounding itself wiih laws, which king James of famous memous, calls, in his Speech to the parliament, Tyranny, yea tyranny accompanied with perjury.
" 2 . Ile cadeavours to persuade the conscience of the Subjects, that they are bound to obey commands illegal; yea, he daaus them for not obeying them.
" 3. He robs the Sutjects of the Propriety of their Goods.
" \& He brands them that will not lose this propriety witf most scandalous speech, and odious titles, to make them both hateful to prince and people; so to set a division between the heal and the nembers, and between the members themselves.
" 5 , To the same end, not much unlike to Faux and his fellows, he seeks to blow up Parliaments, und Parlianentary powers.
"The fifth being duly viewed, will appear to be so many Charges, and they make up all the great and muin Charge, a misclievous Plot to alter and sebvert the frame and government of this state und commonwenlth.
"Andnaw, though you may be sure, that Mr. Manwaring leaves us no propriety in our good. yet he hala, in absolute, propriety in $t^{4}$ Charges hear, hitnself makug up his own Charge. [Here he read several passages out of his Book, and then proceeded and said ;] You have heard his Cliarge made up by his own words, nnd withal I gloubt not bue you seem to hear the voice of that wicked one, 'quid da-- bitis?. Whit will you give are, and I will betray this state, kingdon, and commonwealth?
"But. there are two obseryations (I night - add a third, which is like auto ' $a$ three-fold 'cord, which camot easily be brokea)' wwill draw theClarge moré violently upon him.
"The first is of the time when this Doctrine of destruction was set furdi; it was preached in the heart of the Loan, and it was printed in the baginaing of sat Terna which ended in a Renittitur : so thathou might guess there might he a double plop, by the law and conscience, to set on Gre the frame and estate of chis com-mon-wealth: andonne of these eatailed foxes was Mr. Manwaring:-Another note may be traken of the time, that is, the unseasonableness of it; fur this Doctrine' of the doan (iq case. of necessity) was the yeat afier ari-assent in parI listment to foor Subsidfes and, three Fitteens, Which inight serve for ansuchicient stopple for the doctor's mouth, to heap, in hindoctrise of necessity ${ }_{2}$.-
"A Aecond obacruation majy be of the means by which; he seeks, to desuroy this cummonreallt; his wurks aire Pívinity, yen, by his. Divinitylie would destroy both king aud ziagdom.
"The" king if, fur there cah be no ereater mischief to a prtuce; than to pap the opision of . Wot, IIt,
deity into his ears; forjf from his ears ikdichith bave pessed to his heart, it had been morthry you know how Herod perished. Now thit man gives a participation of divine ompipotence to kinge; and though a part may aeem to qualify, yet all doth seem again to fill up that qualification, and very dangerously, if we remember thant God saith of himself, 'I am $a$ ' jealous God.'
"He goes about to deitrog the kingdom and commonwealih by his divinity ; but do we find in Scripture such a destmying divinity ? Surely' If find there, that 'God is a God of order, athot ' not of confusion:' and that 'the Son of God 'caine to sare, and not to destroy.' By wilid' it seems he hath not his divinis. from fod, nor from the Son of God : and that we many be sure he went to hell for divinity; be mimess sundry Jessits and triers, with whom he copesulted and traded for hise divinity. But not tien bely hell itself, the Jesuits are honetter 'thell| he : for if he had not brought more bell vatosthem than be found with them, he had not found this divinity in them which he hath brought forth; yea, in lis quotations he bith. used those shifts and falsloods, for which boys. are to be whipt in schools, and yet by them lie thinks to carry the cause of a kingdom.
"But, for a conclusion, to five the true character of this man, nhom I never sum, I will shen' it you by one whom I know to be contrary to fine : Samuel we know all to be a true prophet; now we read of Sarnuel, Wy the writ the law of the kingdota in a Book, and 'lsid it up before the Lord.' And this he did, as one of Mr. Manwaring's own authory affirms, that the king may know what to command, and the people what'to obey; but Mz: Manwaring finding the law of this kingdom written in Books, tears it in pieces, and that in the presence of the Lord, in a pulpit, that this king may not know what to comimend, por the. people what to obey.
"Thus Mr. Manwaring being contrary toa true prophet, must needs be a falve one, ind the judgmentof a false prophet belonga to hinisi I have shewed you an evil tree, that bringtity forth evil fruit; and now it reats with you th determing whecher the following sententer shall follow, 'Cut it down, and cast it ithes 'the fire.'"
June 4. The commons proceeded with y Decleration agoinst Dr. Manwaribg; whiofel was the sume day presented to the lordis at Conference between the committees of holh houses : and Mr. Pym was appointed by the Commons to manage that Conference. - The Deolaration was as follows :
The Drclaratios of the Commons aguiait Hoger Manwaring, Clerk, D.D.
"FGr the mbre effectual prevention of apparent Iuin and destruction of this kingd which must necessarily ensue, if the good i fundamental laws and customs, tbercin entelts, blished, should be brougin'into conteropt anty violated; and that form of govermmentiberedy
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attered, by which it hath been so long maintaiued in pence and happiness; and to the honour bf our sovercign lord the king, and for the preservation of his crown and diguity, the cominons in this present parlaupent as embled, do, by this their bill, shew and declare ngainst Roger Manwaring, clerk, D. D. that whercas, by the laws and quatites of this realin, the free subjects of England do undoubtedly inherit this right and liberty, Rot to be compelled to contribute my ma, tallage, or ail, or to make any Joans, not set or imposed, by common conseni, by act of parliament : and whereas divers of his unjes y's loving subjects, rellying upom the sairl lans and tustons, dud, in all humility, refuse to lend seti sums of money, without authovity of parliament, as were lately required of them:
" Nevertheless he the said Roger Manwaring. in contempt, and contrary to the laws of this realm, hath hately preached in lis majesty's presence, tyou several Sermons, that is to say, the 4th d.y of July last one of the said Serinons, and upan the 39:h day of the same month the other of the said Sernous; both which Sermnus Le hath siace published in print in a Book intituled, Reigion and Allgirnce; and with a wicked and uplicious intention, tu seduce and suisguide the conscience of the king's most excellent majesty, tonching the obsce vatipn of the lnws and customs of thi, buigdonn, aud of the rigb/a nurd liberties of the suljecto, to incense 2. royal displensure against the gnod subjects so refusing, to scandalize, subvert, and inpeach the good laws and governmene of this realm, and the authority of the bigh court of parliament, to aliegate his royyal heart from his people, and tis causc jealousy, sechitinn, and division in the kingdom. HIc the said Roger Manwaring doth in the snid Sermons aud fook persuade the king's most excellent myjesty,

1. "Thint his majesty is not lisund to keep and observe the grod laves and constoms of this realun, concerning the rights and liberties of the subjects aforementioned: And that his rognl will and command in imposing lonns, gaves, and other aids upon his people, without common consent in parliament, doth so far Bind the conscirnces of the suljeert of this bingdoin, that they canuat refine the same without perd of eteinal damnation.
2. "That thase of his majesty's louing subjerts which refuse the loan aforementimfer, in buch manner as is hefore cited, did thetein offead againt the low of God, against his naljesty's suipreme sutinority, and by so doing beZnaie guilty of impicty, disloyalty, rehellion, and diombedience, and liable to many ather tepxes and reusures, wbich lue in the several parts of his took doth must faluely and malicigusly lay upou them.
3. " That authority of pariament* is not Aecesasry lor the raiging of aids und sabmidien; that the slow proceedings of "surh asternhlies are notfit lio the sungly of the urgent necessic liess of the state, but rather apt to produce cundfy impediments, to the just denigus of
princes, and to give them occasion of displen:sore Ind discoutient:
" All which the commons are ready to prove, not only by the gencral scope of the same Setmons and Book, but likewise by several clauses, assertions, und sentruces therein contained; and that he the snid Roger, Manwaring, by praching and publishing the Sermons and Baok aforementioned, did most unlawfully abuse lis holy function, instituted by God in his church for the gaiding of the consciences of all his servants, and chiefly of sovereign princes and magistrates, and for the maintemance of thic peace und concord, betwixt all men, especially betwixt the king and his people, and hath thereby most grievously offender agamst the crown and dgnityrof his imejesty, and aguiast the prosperity and good government of this state and commoowealth. Aud the snid commons, by protestation saving to themselves the liberty of extibiting at any time liercafier, on any other occasion of imperchauent against the said Moger Maowaring, and also of replying to the Auswers which he the suid Roger shafl make unto any of the matters contained in this present Bill of Conplaint, and of offering further proof of the premises, or any of them, as the cause, according to the comrse of parliament, shall require, do pray, that the said Roger Manwaring many be pat to answer to all and every the premises; and that such proceeding, exmination, tral, judgment, nurl exemplary punishment, may be thereupon hall and executed, as is agreesble to law and justice.

## Mr. Pyn's Speech at the delivery of the Charge agninst Dr. Annwwaring.

This Declaration, iegrossed in parchment, heing read, Mr. Pyon adilresset himself toathe lurds in this manner:
"That be should speak to this cause with more confidence, because he saw nothing out of lim-elf tiat might discourage him : If he considered the matter, the oficuces were of an high nature, of ensy proof; if ho considered their lordships, with were the judges of their uwn intercst, their own lymour, the example of their ancestors, the car of their posterity, would all be adrocates whin hin in this cause on the behalf of the comnun-wealth; if he considered the king our sovereign (the pretence inf ishnse seryice and prerogative might perchance be soright unto as a defence and shelter for this delinquent) be conld not but remember that part of bis nfajesly's Answer to the Petition of Right of both houseg, that he held himself. bnund in conscience to preserve those libertides, which this man would persuade him to impeach: he said fnfther, That he could not but ren member bis ungjesty's love to piety and justice, manirested upon oll occusions; and be knew love to be the root and spring of all othet passiong and uffections. A man therefore hates, because he sles simewhat in, that which he hates contrary to that which he loves; a man therefore is angry because he sces somewhat in that therewith he is nngry, that gives imperti-
ment and interruption to thê accomplishment of that which by lives.-1t this be so, the same act of his apprehension, by which be beheves his manjesty's love to piety and justice, he mulut needs becieve his bate and deyestation of this men, who went about to withdraw him from the exeresisp of both.
" Then he proceeded to that which, he said, was the cusk cujuined hin, to inake good eiery claise of that which had betn read unto them: which that hes wight the nare clearly perinum, he propounded to observe that order of parth, unto which the said declaration was mativalily dissolved. 1. Of the Preamble. 2. The body of the Charge. 3. The Conclasion, or prayer of the commings. The Pramble consizicd altogetber of recital ; first, of the inducconemts apon which the comnnous undertooh thas complant. The secoud of thuse laws and literties, ngainst wheh the offence was commatte d. The third, of the violation of those lans which have relation to that offruce.
"Froin the cunnexiou of all those recitals, he said, thiere did result three posnion, which he was to maintani us the ground-work and loundatuan of the whole cause.
"The fist, '1 hat the liorm of goven mement in nny state could not be dicted nuthout apparent danger of runa to that state. The second, the laur of England, whereby the subjict was esempted truin Tascs, and Loans, nut granted by common consent of parhajpent, nas aot mitroduced ly any statute, or hy nuy cthater or sataction of praces, but was the nacient and fundannental law, issoing fion the firt frame and constitution of the hangdom. The third, that thas Laberty of the Suliject is not only most convencit and protitable tior the people, but most honourable, most necessary gir the hing; yea in that pomt of supply for which ht was endeavoured to be brohen. .
"The firm of government is that a hich doth actuate and dispose every part and nember of a state to the common guod; and as those parts give strength and ormament to the whole, so they recene from it agun strengti und pro. tection in their seferal ottions and decreces. If this nutual rel? and intercourre be broken, the whole frameafill quichly be dissolved, and fall in pieces, ąnd iastend of this coocord and interchange of support, whilst one part seehs to uphold the old form of government, and ithe other part to introduce a new, they will miseriably consunte and devour one another. Histories are full of the culamitites of whole states and nations in such cascs. It is true, that time must needs bring some alterations, and crery alteration is a step and degree towards a diasolution; those things only are teternal which are constant and uniforn : therefore it is observel by the best writers upon this subject, that those common-wealths have beenmmast dyrable and perpetanal, which bave offea reformed and recompused thengelves necording to sheiri firt institution nnd ordinance; for by this menns they repair the breaches, and counterwork the ardinary and natural effects of time.
"The second querrion is as manifest, there are plain footuteps of those laws in the govetro: ment of the Sazons, they were of that vigor and force, as to over-live the Conquest, nay, to gin o beunds and lim. is to the Conqueror, whose victory gave him filst hope; but the a-surance and possession of the crown he obtained by composition, in which he buand bimedif to observe thesc, and the other ancient haws and liberties of the bingdom, which aficorwards lie likeewise cenfirmed by oath at his coronation: frum him the said obligation deecended tu, his succe worre. It is true, they bave been offen broken, they have bren often confirued by charters of kings, by acts of parliafents; but the petipions of the subjects, upon which thpse niventers and ucts were founded, were ever petitions oit right, demandigg their autient and due liberties; not sung for uny new.
" Tu elear the third Position (he snid) may seen to some wen more a paraiox, That thum Lubertics of the Sulject should be so honourable, so profituble for the hing, undonost neressary fur the supply of lies majerty. It hath been upon an other oceasaion declakel, that if those thberties were bilen away, thers shoould remuin no moose indurery, no uore justice, no more courage: wbu nill contend; whos will eadanger hume lif for that whech is not his own?
"Bur, be said, he would not insst upon any of thow prints, nur yet upon othert sery important; he sad, tpat it those libeation weie taheu away, there "ould remain no neans fod the suljects, by any act of Bounty or Beesevolenct to imgratuate themselies to their sovereign.-And he icssie. theif lordsliin" to remember nlat profitable prerogutives the lans had 4 ppointed for the suppoot of buvereienty; as wardships, treasmentiore, felons goods, fines, aniercenents, and other 1ssues of courts, urecks, exthears, and many mone, too long to be ennwetatel! ; which tor the most fart ure now by charters and qrants of scecral pruces dispersed inth the huwds of private person?; and thatt. hesides the ancient detue-nes of the crown if Fnglaud, William the Connjuetor did nomex, foe the better maintemance of his eistate, great pro- portions of thq-e land, which nere cunfiscape froun thuse English wiuch persisted to withacteyd hion; and of these, sery fcw semam at thigday in the kng's posiession: And that since that tine, the levenue of the crown had beea supplici and augmented by attanders, and otheq casualties, in the age of our father, by the diapy, solution of monastecties and chantrres uear a third part of the whole land hejing come ioto ': the king's possession. He reme mbered forther; that constant and probrable griant of the sut. jects in the net of tunnage nind poundige. And. all these, he said, were so ullenated, anticipated, over-charged with annuttex zad assigninenter: that no wechss nere lofe for the presing andik important occasions of this timc, tat tle e oluantary and free gift uf the subjects in parlamenent: :
"The heatit of the perple, and their bonnty in partianent, is the outcconstant treasure and revenue of the crown, which canant be cenbereaty,

## 

ed, slienated, anticipated, or otherwise charged and incumbered."
Ip his entrance into the second part, he propounded thesc steps, by which be meant to proceed.
I. "To shew the state of the cause, as it trod both in the Charge and in the Proof, that to their lordships might the better compare them both together.
9. "Tó take avnay the pretensions of mitigations and linainations of his opinions, which ithe doctor bad provided for his own defence.
3. "To observe those circtumstances of aggravation, which might propesly be anaexed to bis Charge.
4. "Tepropound sone Precedents of former timey, wherein, though he could not ruatch the offence now in question (for he thought thel like before had never been committed) yet he ahould, produce such as should sufficiently declare, how forward our anclators would have been if the pirsecution and condemning of such offences, if they had been then counmitted.
"The Offence was prescribed in a double manner ; First, by the general scope and intentinu, and by the matter and partictilars of the fact, whereby that intention was expressed.
"In the description of the intention he observed six points, every one of which was a character of extreme malice and wickelness. 1. His nttempt to misguide and seduce the conyaience of the king. q. To incense his yol displeasure ngainst his subjects. 3. To scandalize, impeach, nnd subvert the good laws and goveruntent of the kingdom, and futhority of parliaments. 4. To avert his majest $y$ 's mind from calling of pagliments. 5. To alienate his royal heart from his people. 6. To cause jealousies, sedition, nad division in the king. dom. Of these particulars (lie said) he would forbear to speak further, till he should come tis those parts of the fact, to which they were most properly to be applied.
"The materiuls of the Charge were contrived ioto three distinct Articles; the first of thes comprebended two clauses.

1. "That his majesty is not bound to keep and observe the good laws and customs of the realm, concerning the Right and Liberty of the Subject to be exempted frote all Loans, Taxes, and other Aids haid upon them, without common consent in parliament.
2. "That his majesty's will and commafd in imposing any Charges upon his subjects without such consent, doth so far bind them in their consciences, that they cannot refuse the same without peril of eternal damnation.
" Two kinds of Proof nere produced upon this Article. The first was from some assertions of the doctor's, concerning the power of kings in general, but by the necessary consequence to be applied to the king of England. The next kind of proof was from his cetlsures, and determinations upon the particular case of the late-Loon, which hy necessity and parity of. reamon, were likewise applicable to all cnses of Whe $\frac{\mathrm{it}^{\prime} \text {, }}{}$ nature. And lest by frailty of memory
he might mistake the words, or iavert the sense, he diarred leave to resort to his paper, wherein the places were carefully extracted out of the book itself. And then he read each particular clause by itself, pointing to the page for proof, which we here forbear to mention, referring the reader to the book itself. , "
"Then he proceeded and said, That from this evidence of the fact dothrissue a clear evidence of his wicked iutention to misguide and seduce the ling's conscience, tonching the observation of the laws and liberties of the kingdom, to scandalize and impench the good laws nnd government of the realm, nid the authority of parliaments, which are two of those characters of malice which he formerly moted, and now inforced thes: If th give the king ill counsel, in some one parricular action, hath heretofore been heavily $\mathrm{r}^{\text {nnished }}$ in this high court; how much more heincus must it nceds be thought by ill counsel to pervert and seduce his majesty's conscience, which is the sovereign principle of all moral actions, from which they are to receive warrant for their direction before they be acted, and judgment for their reformation afterwards? If scundalum magratum, slander and infanyy cast apon great lords and officers of the kingdom, have been always most severely cunsured; how much mure tender nught we to be of that slander and infamy which is bere cast upon the laws and governinent, from whence is derived all the honour and reyerence due to those great lord, and magistrates?
"All men (aud so the greatest and highest magistraley) are salject to passions and purtialities, whereby they mny be transported into over-hard injurious crosses : which considerations may sometimes excuse, though never justify, the ralling and evil speeches of men, who have been to provoked; it being a true rule, that whatsoever gives strength and inforcement th the temptation in anyesin, doth necessarily iinply an abatement and dimination of quilt in that sin. But to slander and disgrace the laws and goverument, is without possibility of nuy such excuse, it being a simple act of a malignant will, not induced nor grcited by any outward provocation: the law rrying an equal and constant respect to all,-ought to be reverenced equally by all.-And thas he detised the proofs and inforcements, upon the first ArOcle of the Charge.
"The speond Ârticle he said contained three clauses. 1. That these refusers had offended against the law of God. 2n. Against the supreme authority. S. Byso doing, were become quilty of impiety, disloyalty, rebellion, disobedience, and liable to many other taxes.
"For proff of all these (he said) he needed * no other evidence than what might be easily drawn from those places which he bad read already; for that iropiety can be greater, than to coptemn the law of 0 God, and to prefer httman lavis before it? What greaver disloyalty, rebellion, and diwobedience, than to depress supreme authority, to tie the bands and clip the winge of sovereign princes? yet he desired their
lordslips patience in hearing anuse few other places, whereia the stains and taint, which the doctor endeavoured to lay upon the refusers, might appear by the odiousness of their comsparisons, in which he doth labour to rank them,
"The first comparison is with Popish Recusants; yet he makes them the worst of the two, and for the better resemblance, gives them a new uame of Temporal Recusants. For this he alledgeth the $14 t$ Sennon, p. 31, 32, and part of the fifth consideration, hy which he would persuade them to yield to this loan.
"' Fifthly, If they would consider what ad${ }^{4}$ vantage this their recusancy in tempurals, ' gives to the conmmon adversary, who for discoShadience in spirituals, have bitherto alone in-- herited that name, for that which we ourselves - condemn in thens for so doing, and profess to 'hate that religion which teacheth them so to 'do; that is, to refuse subjection unto priaces ' in spirituals; the same, if not worse, sume of ${ }^{\text {' }}$ ' our side now (if ours they be) dare to practise.
" ' We must needs be argued of less consci' ence, and more ingratitare, both to God and 'the king, if in temporal things we obey not : ' they in spirituals deny subjection, wherein they ' may perhaps frame unto themselves some rea'sons of probability, that the offence is not so ' heinous, if we in temporal shall be so refrac'tory, what culour of reason can we possibly ' find to make our defence withal, without the ' utter shaming of ourselves, tand laying a stain ' which cannot ensily be washed out, upon that ' religion which his majesty doth so graciously ' maintain, and ourselves profess ?'
"The second comparison is with Turks and Jews, in the 2 d Sermon, p . 47. What a Paradox is, \&c. What a Turk will do for a Christian, ancha Cbristian for a Turk, and a Jew for both, Kic. the same and mưch less Christian men should deny to a Cbristian king:
"The third comparison is with Corah, Dathan and Abiram, Theudas and Judas, which is taken out of the 2d Sermon, p. 49, where he labours to deprive those refusers of all merit in the sufferings for this esuse.-Corab, Dathan and Abiram, shom for their murmarings God suddenly sun hto hell-fire, might as well alledge their sufferings had some resemblance with that of the three children in the Babylonian farnace; and Theudas and Judas, the two incendiaries of the people, in the dnys of $\mathbf{C x}$ sar's tribute, might as well pretend their cause to be like the Maccabees.
"Thus he ended the second Article of the Charge, upon which, he said, were imprinted other two of these six characters of malice, formerly vented: that is, a wicked igtention to herense his majesty's displeasure against his good subjects so refusing, and to alienate his beart from the rest of his people; both which were points so odious, that he needed not'to add any further inforcement or illastration,
" The third Aricie conained three Clisoses.
" 1. That authority of parliament is not necessary for the raising of Aids and Subsidies. -2. That the slow proceedinge of such at-
semblies, are not fit to supply fhe irient neoje : sity of the state.-s. Tpat parlinuquis ant apicto produce suadry impediments to the jast do. signs of priaces, and give theip occasion of displeasure and discontent.
"For proof of all which he alledged two pleces, containing the two first of those six considerations, which are propounded by the doctor, to induce the refusers to gield to the Loon, in the first Sermon, p. 26, 27.
" ' First, if they would please to cotasider, that ' though such assemblies us are the higheat and' ' greatest representations of a kingdom, be nost 'sacred and honoerable, and necessary also to ' those ends to which they were at first insti' tuted; yet know we must, that ordallied they, - were not to this end, to contribute may right to - kings, wherehy to challenge tributary aidi and fsubsidiary belps; but for the more equal ime ' pusing, and more eany exgeting of that which ' unto kinga doth appertain by natural and ori'ginal law and justice, as their proper inherit, 'tance annexed to their imperial crowns from ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ ' their hirth. And therefore if by a magistrate ' that is supreme, if upon necessity, extreme and ${ }^{\text {c }}$ argent, such subsidiary helps be required, $n$ ' proportion being held respectively to the abi-- lity of the persons charged, and the suin and ' quantity so required surmount not too remark'ably the use and charge for which it was levied, 'very hard would it be for any man in the ' world, that should not accordingly satisfy $\sin \psi$. 'demands, to defend his conscience from that ' heavy prejodice of resisting the malinance of - God, and receiving to himsel Mumnation; 'though every of those circumstances be not ' observed, which by the manicipal law is re' quired.
" s Secondly, if they would consider the im'portanities that pften reay be urgent, and ${ }^{\text {a }}$ "pressing necessaries of state, that cannot stay ' without certain and apparent danger, for tha ' motion and revolution of so great and vast a - body as such assemblies are, nor yet abide their ${ }^{4}$ long and pausing deliberation when they are ' assembled, nor stand upon the maswering of ' chose jealous and over-wary cautions and ob-- jections made by some, who, wedded over"much to the love of epidemical and popular 'errors, and bent ta cross the most just and - lawful desigus of their wise and gracious sove' reign.; and that under the plnusible shews of ' singolar liberty and freedom, which, if their ' conscience might speak, would appear nothing ' more than the satisfjing either of private har. ' mours, passious, or purposes.'
" He said, he needed not draw any argaments or couclusions from these places; the substance of the Charge appeared sufficiently in the words themselves: and to this 3d Article he fixed two other of these six characters of malice, that it is his wicked intention to avert his majefty's mind from causing of parliaments, and to cause jealousies, seditions, and diyisions in the kingdom; which he shortly enforced thus: if parliaments be taken awny, migchiefs and disorden must needs abound, without any,

phibibiky of good hans to reform them; grierthee will duily incteace, without opportunitios \%f mieans to redress them ; and what readier way can there be to distractions betwixt the king and people, to tumults and distempers in che state, thai this?
"And so be concluded this third Article of the Charge.
"The linitatinns' whereby the doctor had provided to justify (or at least to excuse) himvelf, were propounded to be three. 1. That he did not attribate to the king any such absolute power as might be exercied at all times, or upun nill.occasions, accordirfg to lins own pleasure, but only upon necessity; extreme and urgent. " 8. That the sum required must be prisportionable to the ability of the party and to the use and occasion. 3. That he did not my, that the substance of the mumicipal or nacioual laws might be onitted or neglected, but the circumstances only.
" To these were offiered thrce Answers, the first general, the other two particular. The peneral Answer was thing That it is nill one to leave the power absolute, and to leare the judgment orbitrary, when to execute that pawer; for although these linitations should be admitted, yec it is left to the king alone to deternine, what is an urgent and pressing necessity, whant is a just proportion, buth in respect of the ability, and of the use aud occasion: and what Wall be said to be a circuimstance, and what of the substance of the lav; and the subject is left withots) remedy: the logal bounde being taken awny, no private person shall be allowed to oppose his own particular opinion in any of these points to the king's resolation ; so that all these linitutions, though specious in shew, are in effect fruitess and vain.
" The firt particular $A$ aswer applied to that limitation of urgent necessity, was taken from the case of Nornundy, as it nppears in the Commentaries of Guilme Jerenie, upon the customary laws of that duchy: they having been oppressed with some grievances, contrary to this irauchise, made their complaint to Lewis the tenth, which by his charter, in the year 1314, neckuowledging, the right' and cutton of the country, and that they had been unjustly gheved, did grant and 'provide, that from thence-forward they should be free from all sobsidies and exactions to be imposed by hime and his successors; yet with this clause, 'si ne-- cessitie grand ne le requiret:' which smull excoption hath devorred all these immunities; for though these states meet every year, yet they have littlo or no power left, but to agree to soch levies, as the king will please to make upon them.
"Tbe tecond particular answer applied to the limitation and diminution of this power, which may be preteeded to be mande by this mord, circurustances, as if be dido acknowledge the kingt to be bound to the substance of the law, and five only in regard of the manuer ; whereas if the places be observed, it will appear, that. - Wie intende hy that word, The mesembly of par-
liaments, and assent of the people, such contribution, which is the very-substance of the right and liberty now in question.
"The Circumstances of Aggravation observed to be' annexed to this cause, were these. The first from the place where these sermons were preached; the court, uld king's own family, where such doctrine was before so well believed, that no man needed to be converted. Of this there could be no end, but either simonincal, by flattery and soothing to make way fur his own preferment, or else extreme malicious, to add new aftlictions to those who liny under his majesty's wrath, disgraced and imprisoned, and to eularge tise wound which had been given to the laws and diberties of.sha kingdom.
"The second was from the consideration of lis holy function: he is a prencher of God's word; and yet he had endeavoured to make that which was the only rule of justice and goudness, to be the warrant for riolence and oppreasion. He is a messenger of pence, but he had endeavoured to sow strife und dissensign, not only amongst private persous, but even betwixt the king and bis people, to the disturbance and danger of the whole state: he is a spiritual father, but like that cvil father in the gospel, be liath giren his chililren stones mustead of bread; iustead of flesh he hath given them scorpions. Lastly, he is a minister of the chuich of Englandi, but he hath acted the part of a Romish Jesuit ; they labour nur detruction, by dissolving the oath of allegiance takcu by the people; fe doth the same work, by dissolving the oath of protection aad juntice taken by the king.
"A third point of aggravation was drawn from the quality of these authors, upon whoter authority be doth principally rely, being for the most part friors and Jesuits, and from his fraud, and shifting in citing those authors to purposes quite different from their own meanings.
"Touching which it was performed, that most of his places are such as were intended by the authors concerning absolute monarchies, not regulated by laws or contracts betwixt the king and his people; and in answifto all authorities of this kind, were alledged certain passages. of a Speech from our late sovereign king James, to the lords and commons in parliament, 1609.
"In these our tines we áre to distinguish betwist the state of kings in their first original, and between the state of sertled'kings and monarchs that do at this time govern in civil kingdoms, \&c.
"Every jost king in a settled kingdom, is bound to ghserve the paction muade to his peo-l ple by his laws, in framing his governmeat agrepable thereunto, \&c.
${ }^{4}$ All kings that are not tyrants or perjured, will be glad to bound themselres within the limits of thgir lawf; and they thet persmade them to the contrary are vipers and pesta, both againat them and the commonwealth.
"It was secondly obeerved, that in the 9T page of his first Sermon, be cites these words,

Suarez de legibus, lib. 5, cap. 17. Accoptati' onem populi ngn esse conditionem necessa-- riam ex vi juris uaturulis aut gentiuar, neque
' ex jure communi.' 'The Jesuit addy, 'neque
' ex antiquo jure Hispania;' which words are left ont by the doctor, lest the reader inight be invited to inquirg what was ' nntiquum jus Ilis' pania; 'and it wight have been lenrued from the same author in anvther place of that worl, that aboùt two hundred years since, this liherty was granted to the people by one of the kings, that no tribute should be imposed without their consent. Aud the author adds further, that after the law introduced and confirmed by custom, the king is bound to observe it. From this Juce he took ouccasion to make this shurt digression, That the kings of Spain being powertial and wise princes, woald never have parted with such a mark of absolute royalty, if they had not found in this course more admuntage than in the other, and the suceess and prosperity of that kingdom, through the valour and indusery of the Spauista nation, so much advanced since that time, do manifest the wisdom of that change.
"The thurd observation of fraud, in perverting his andhors, was this: In the twentielh page of the first Sermon, he cites these words out of the same Suarez, de legibus, lib. 5. c. 15. fol. 300. 'Tribua esse maxime naturalia, et pre - se ferre justitiam, quae exiguntur de rebus ' propriis;' this he pruduceth in pronf of the just reght of kings to lay tributes. And no man that rewds it doubts, but that in Suaner's opinion, the king's interest and pripriety in the goods of his subjects, is the groumi of that justice; but the truth is, that Suarez in tliat chapter had distributed tributes into dirers kinds, of which he calls one sort, 'Tributum reale,' nnd describes it thus, 'Sulent itu yocari prnsiones quadaun ${ }^{\text {E }}$ qua penduntor regibus et principibus exteris ' et agris, qux à prinsipıo ad sustentationem ${ }^{6}$ illis applicata fuerunt, ipsi vero in feodum in ${ }^{4}$ ghis ea donarunt sub certa pensione annan, 'que juie civili canon appellari solet, quia cer' tha regula et lege priescripta erat ;' so that the issue is, this, which Sanrez affirms for justification of one kind of tribute, which is no more than a fee-farm of rent due by reservation in the grant of ${ }^{2} h \mathrm{~K}$ King's own lands, the Dr. herein, worse than a Jesuit, doth wrest to the justification of all kinds of tribure exacted by inposition upon the goods of the suljects, wherein the king had no interest or propriety at all.

1. "The last aggravation was drawn from his behaviour since these Sermons preached, whereby he did continue still to multiply and ducrease his offence, yea, even sinct the sitting of the parliament, and his beiog questioned in parliannent; upon the sth of May last he was so bold, na to publish the same doetrine is his own parish-church of Ss Giles; the points of which Serwong are these:
"That the king had right to order all, as to him should seem good, without nay man's consent. That the king might require, io time of
necessity, aid; and if thesutbject did not mpr ply, the king might justiy, wengefit. That $\%$ propriety of estates and govds was ordimarily in the subject; but extraordinarily, that is, in case of the king's need, the king hath right to dispose them.
"These assertions in that Sermon, ho maid, would be proved by very good testimony, and therefore desired the lords that it might bveared fully examined, becuuse the cummons held it to be a great contempt ts the parliameot for him to mantain that so publicly, which was hate questioned.
" They held it ogreat presumption for a private divine to debate the right and power of the bing, which is a matter of such a nature, as to be handted only in this high court, and that with moderation and tenderness.-And to be concluded that point of aggravation.

- "In the last place, be prodinced some wech precedents as might testify what the opinion of our ancestors would have been, if this case had tallen out in their time; and hereln, be said he would contine himself to the reigns of the first three Fdunards, twu of them princes of great glory: He bcgan with the eldest, Westm. 1. c. 33.
"By this statute, 3 Ed. ${ }^{2}$ 1, provision was made ngainst thuse who should tell any false news or device, by which any discord or scandal may arise betwixt the king, his people, and great men of the kingdom.
" 27 Ediv. 3, Rot. Parl. n. 20. It was declared by the king's proclamation, seat into all the counties of England, That they Hat reported that he would nut observe the Grent Charter, were malicious people, who desired to put trouble and debate betwixt the king and bis subjects, and to disturb the peace and good estate of the king, the people, nad the realm.
" 5 Edw, 2. Inter uovas ordinationes, Henry de Beanond, for giving the king ill cosmsel against his oath, was put from the coancil, nid restrained from coming into the presence of the king, under pain of confiscation and banishment.
${ }^{*} 19$ Edw. 2. Chuse Ninidors. Commissions were granted to inquire upon the statute of $W$. 1: touching the spreading of news, whereby discord antascandal might grow betwist the king nad his people.
" 10 Edw. Clause 'N. 26. Proclamation went out to arrest all them who had presumed to report, that the king would lay upon the wools certain sums, besides the ancient and duat customs, where the king calls these reports, ' Exquisita mendacia, \&e. que non tantum in 'publicam lesionem, sed in nostrum ceduat ' damnum, et dedccun manifestam.'
" 12 Edw. S. Rot. Almanie. The king mites to the archbishop of Canterbury, excusing hime self for some impositions which he had lsid, professing his great sorrow for it, desires the archbishop by indulgences and other ways to stir up the people to pray fur him, hoping that God; vould enable him by sorpe satisfactor benedt to make amends and comfort his subjects \&oc those pressures.
 tix) which wee alledged, he added an ecclewhatienl precedent out of a book called Pupilla Ocali, being published for the instruction of confolsors, in the title 'de participantibus cum ez'communicatis,' fol. 59 . All the articles of Magna Charta are inserted with this direction, - Ifos articulos ignorare non debent quibus inscounbit confessiones, audire infia provinciam ©Cantuaticnse ${ }^{\circ}$.
"He hikeyvise renembered the proclamation 8 Jac. for the culling in and burning of doctor Cowel's book, for which these reasons are given, For mistuking the true state of the parliament of the kiugdon, and fundaunental constitation and privileges thereof: for speaking irreverently of the consnou law, it being a thing utterly unlawful for any subject to speak or write against that law under which he liveth, and which we are sworn and resolve to maintain,
" From these presedents be collected, that if former parliamenis were so careful of false rumours and $\bullet$ news, they would have been much more tender of such doctrines ns these, which might produce true occasions of discord betwixt the king and his people.
" If those who reported the king would lay impositions, aud break his laws, were thought such beinous offenders, huw much more should the mail be condenned, who persuaded the king he is not byound to keep those laws? If that great king wus so far from challenging any right Ind his kind, that he profissed bis own sorrow and repentance for grieving his subjects with unlawful charges: If confessors wera enjoined to frame the consciences of the people to the observances of theve laws, certainly suol doctrine, and such a preacher as this, would have been held most strange, and aboaniable in all these times?
"The third general part was the conclusion or prayer of the comraons, which consisted of three clauses. 1. They reserved to themselves liberty of any other accusation, and for this, he said, there was great reuson, that as the doctor multiplied his offences, so they may renew their nccusations 2. They shve to themselves liberty of replying to his Answer, for they had great cause to think that he who would shis so moch in offending, would shift much more in answering. S. They desire be might be biooght to examination and judgment ; this they thought would be very important for the comfort of the presentage, for the security of the fature against such wicked and unalicious practices; and so he cancluded, that seeing the cause had strength enough to maintain itself, bis humble suic to their lordships was, That they would not observe his infirmities and defects, to the diminution or projudice of that strength."

June 9. The Lord Keeper laving reported the Declaration before mentioned, andutic sabatance of MIf, Pym's Speech on the drlivety of it, the lords ordered, that the said Manwaring thould be taken inits cu-tndy, and brought to unswer the Cbarge exbibited against him.

SJune 10. The lords examined several winesses in Dr. Manwaring's capas.

June 11th. Roger Mnnwaring, doctor in diviaity, being this day brought to the bar, the Decleration of the commons ngainst him wus read. Then Mr. Serjeant Crew and Mr; At-torney-Geueral did charge hims with the offiences contained in the said Declaration: and opened the Proofs of the said Offencts out of the several places of his two Serinons, which he preached before the king in Jaly last. And they did further charge the said loger for preaching a third Sermon the 4th of May last, sitting the parlinment, in his own pa:ish church of St. Giles in the Fields; wherein he delivered three Articlea to this effect, viz. 1. "That in matrers of suv plies, in cases of necessity, the king had right to order all, as scemed good to him, without consent of his people. 2. That the king might require Loans of his peuple, and avenge on such as should deny: 3. That the subject hath property of his goods in ordinary ; but, in extriordinaries, the property was in the king."-And they churged the said Manwaring with great presumption, to dispute the right of the king and liberty of the subject, and the right of tha parlimenents, in his ordinary Sermons.
The Charge being ended, the Lord-Keeper demanded of Dr. Munwariug, Whether he did acknowledge the 3 teuets to be preached by him in his Scrmons 1th of Muy : this he absolutely deaied. (Vhereupon the Clerk read the Examination of II. Clayton, esq. and sir 1). Norton, knt. who had affirned some partsthereof upon their oaths. Then Dr. Manwaring being armitred to speak for himself, protestel before God, upon his salvation, "That he never had any meaning to persuade the king to giter the fundamental laws of the kingdon ; his only ends were to do his majesty service; and to persuade a supply in cases of extreme necessity : he desired favour and justice to explain himself; and, because his book consists of many conclusions, that the spiritual lords might be judges of the inferences nnd logical deductions therein." He further humbly besought their lordships to allow hiin counsel to speak for hiw, in point of law ; time to answer the particulars; a copy of the Charge in writing ; pnd recourse to his hooks at home, upon caution to attend agaio, when their lordships shall appoint.
The prisoner being withdrawn, and, after some debate on his requests, brought to the bar again, the Lord-Keeper, by direction of the house, blamed him for that he divided his, judges; by requiring *a part of his Charge against him to be referred to the lords the bishops; whereas the whole matter belongs to nll the lords joiutly.-Then his lordship told him, That the house had considered of lis other requests, and grapted him those, viz. 1: To have a copy of bis Charge. 2. To have fime till Priday morning to make his Ansucts is. To have leare to go to his own honse, and to abide there with a keeper. And his lordstip farther told him, That if, upon recollecting him-
eelf, he shiall desire necess to their lordships tomorrow morning, it shall be granted him.

June 12. A Message from tlie commons, by - air Edw. Coke and others.
'The 2nd part of which Message was concern-
,ing DirtManwaring's Book; They said they
it Oound hin majesty's command set upon the first ' beaf, to wiarrant the printing of that book; but ghat this they had cause to suspect, because, thougt they found those words struck ott in the originad they still stood in the printed book. And, is they conceive the printer durst not do it wishoat warrant, they therefore desired their Iordships to examine by what means this special command ivas derived, from bis majesty to the nsintos? And when their lordships have found the party, or partier, who gave the warrant, the eonmons demand to have him or then punished, with as much severity or more, as Manwaring himself.-Anww. "The lords do, unanimously, agree, That bis majesty's said Messuge tor the entering, enrolling, and printing of the sad I'etition and Answer, shall be entered here, as iv devired: and, as concerning the exumination who gave the warrant for printing of 1)r. Mhuwaring's Book, theor lordslips will take is into consideration; und do that therein, which shull be fit."

- The same day, upon another Mrssage of the commons to the upper house, it was ordered by their lorkhips, That 12d. Batger, who priuted Dr. Mruwaring's Book, be \&resently brought before then lardships; who, being brought to the Gar, sworn and examined, answered, That Dr. Manwariog, limself, delivered him his two Sermisns to be printed, with the bighop of London's siguification to that effect, ander his lordship's hand: ans that when the book was fully printed, 1)r. Manwaring brought the title of his said book, written with his own hand, as it is now printed. Hereupon a ho said priater was dismissed at this tyne; and the carl of Essex and the bishop of Lincoln were sent, from the house, to the hishop of London, to underatand, from his lordship, what authority he had for signilying bis majesty's special command for the printing of Dr. Manvaring's Book.

June 13. Dr. Manwaring being this day brought to the bar before the lurds, and admitted to speak for himself unto the Charge of ${ }^{\prime}$ the counnons ngainst him, answered in effect, as followeth; "First, he shewed that he was. under a great burthen of sorrow and weakness here to present hinsself unto their lordships: and then rendurct them humble thates, for giving him leave and tiane to recollect binself lefore he made his Answer: and craved a favonrable interpretation of what he was now to speak: As touching his two Sermons complained of by the commons, he said, "That he was induced to preach them by a poblic remon-atrance-of the necessities of the state at that timie;' aud that he priated them ąt his majesty's opicial comminad: That the grounds of his positious, in those two Sermous, are in the holy geriptires, and in the interpreters of ibe
scriptures, and are not complajned of by the commons, but the inferences only, drawn from those grounds, are questioned by them. He craved leave to explain himself in two of those positions : The first where he says, 'That kings partake of omniputence with God,' he said, that he meant no more by this than is meant by the holy scriptures, and by the laws of the land: for the Psaims saff ${ }^{\text {! Dil estis' ; and Mr. }}$ Calvin saith, "Reges a Deo inperium habere, 'et divinam potestatem in regibus residere;' wherefore to offend agaiust kings he thought it sacrilege; aud, by the laws of the kingdom, a grent smage of God is in the king. The other pusition, which he desired to explain, was tuuching the king's justice; where be ways, in bis secoud Sermon, p. 25. "That justice intercedes not between God and man, nor between the prince, being a father, and the pcople, as children: : he sand, "That he meaut thereby, that us man cannot requite Guds hor the child the tuther; so the king, being dispenser of God's power, cannat le requited; but his meaning was not, that the king should not have laws.'And touching thuse inferences, made by the commons out of his two Scrmons cumplained of, which they iupute either us sedition or malice, or to the slestroying of the muuicipal laws of the land, or slighting of parliaments, he protested, before Gud and his holy angels, that they were nescr in his thoughts. He ouly thought to persarde those honournble gentlemen, who refused to conform themselves, to yield a supply unto the present and imnament necessities, of the state. Aud, in the conclusion of bis speech, he expressed his great sorrow to be thus accused; and begged pardon and mercy of their lordships, and of the commons, even for God's sake; for the ling's sake, whom they so much honoured; for religion's sake; and for his calling's sake; humbly besecching them to accept of this subinission."

This being spokeu by Dr. Manwaring, and be willed to withlraw; the lord archbishop of Canterbury, (Dr. George Abbot) called to him to stay ; and having desired leave of the house* that he might say somewiat unto him, which was granted; his grace then told him, "That he might have miade some better use of the great favour which they did him, in giving him time to recollect himself before his Answer: but he saw in hum (as St. Bernard saith) 'That there gre some men who are miseri sed nom miserendi!" and that he was sorry to hear such an Answer to the sccosation of the commons :" but, God be thanked, the king had now wiped away what was intended by his two Sermons? which Sermons, his grace said, he borth misliked and abhorred, and was sorry that he came oaly to extenuate his fault. Touching the participation, which Dr. Manwaring gave the king with God, his grace told him, "That it was very blaspheny ; and thut those words in the Psalins, Dii estif, do waŗrant níq such maiter : ${ }^{*}$, and touching his other assertion, that there is no justice but between equaln, and not between God and aran; the parent and his children;
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not between the king and his people; his grace told bim, 'It was inpious and fulse; and that he toad therchy drawn an infumy apon us and our religion; and had given an occasion to the Jesuits to traduce us:' and shewed him, 'That the scriptures do plainly declare and prove a justice from (iod to man, from a parent to his children, and from a king to his people:' nod further ' 'That, by the laws of God and man, there was ever â communtive jusice between the king and his people, for matter of coins, and a distributive justice for government.' Then putting him in mind of Anaxarchus the philosopher, whom the king of Cyprus caused to be brayed in a brazen martar for his Lase flattery (as a jost reward for all flatterers of princes) he blamed him much for citing of 'Suarez, and other Jesuits in his sermons : and willed him to read the Fathers, the autient interpreters of the scriptures."

The Archbishop having ended his grave admonition, Dr. Manwaring made a short reply touching ${ }^{\circ}$ his said two nssertions : and said, - That he denied not justice and lav to be between king and people; but atfirmed that the king's justice could not be repuited : and excused bimself for citing of Suarez, for in those places he spuke for the king.'

The prisoner being withdrawn, the lords considered of their censure against him; and their lordships thought him worthy of sev ere punishment, for attributing unto the king a participation of God's omnipotence, and an absolute power of government; for his scandalous assertions against parliaments; and for braiding those gentlemen, who refused the late Loans, with damnation; but, for that he so deeply protested that he had no intention to seduce the king's conscience; nor to sow sedition between his majesty and bis people; nor to incense his majesty nguinst parliaments; nor to abrogate the municipal laws, as was objected by the commons; and in regard that the king himself had protested (as was affirmed by some lords of the privy-council) that he understood bim not in that sense; and for that his majesty's gracious Answer unto the Petition of Right exinibited this parliament, hath removed those jenZousies, which othersise the sobjects might justly have feared, by the assertions in those Sermons: and also for that he, the said Dr. Manwaring, had shewed himself very penitent and sorry for the same: their lordships ngreed of a mifter Sentence against him than otherwise they would.-This Sentence, being first argued by parts, was afterwards read and assented unto by the general and unanimous vote of the whole house.

June 14. A Message was sent to the commons, "That the lords were ready to proceed to Judgment against Dr, Mantwaring; if they, with their Speaker, will comd to demand the same.' Answ. 'They will come presently.' The lords being in their robes, Dr. Manwaring was brought to the bar by the serjeant at arins; and the commons whh their Speaker beithg corte, Mr, Speaker said:-" My lords; the knights,
citizens, and burgesses, of the commons house of parliament, have impeached before your lordships Roger Manwaring, clerk, doctor in diviniry, of divers enormous crimes; for which your lurdships have convened him before you, and examined the said offences: and now, the commons have comingnded me, their Speaker, to demand Judgaent against him for the same."

## The Judgezert against Df. Manwaring.

Then the Lord Keeper pronounced the Jurlgnent against him in these words, vic.
"Whereas Roger Manwaring, doctor in divinity, hath been impeached by the house of cominons for misdemeanors of a high nature. in preaching two Sermons before his majesy $\sqrt{13}$ summer last; which are since published in print, in a Book intituled, ' Religion and Alle'giauce;' and in a third Sermon preached in the parish church of St . Giles in the Fields, the 4th of May last ; and uicieir lordships have considered of the suid Dr. Manwaring's Ausser thereunto, expressed with tears und grict for his offence, most humbly craving pardon thicrefore of the lords and commons: yet neverihelese, for thrit this cau be no satisfaction for the great offeass wherewith he is charged by the said declaration, which da cvidently appear in the very words of the said two Sermons, their lardships have proceeded to judgment against him; and therefore this high court doth ad-judge,-1. That lloger Manwaring, doctor in divinity, shall be inprisoned during the pleasure of the house. 2. That he shall be fined at 1,0001 , to the king. 3. That he shall make zuch subnission and acknowledgment of his offences, as shall be set down by a committee in writing, both here at the bar, and in the house of comunuas. 4. That he suall be suspended for the term of three ycars, from the exercismg of the fuinistry; and in the mean time a sufficient preacling minister stall be provided out of the profits of his living to serve the cure: this suspentioo, and this provision of a preaching minister, slall be done by the ecclesiastical jurisliction, 5. That be shall be disabled for ever to preach at the coort hereafter. 6. That lic shall be for ever disabled to have any ecelesinstical dignity or secular office. 7. That the said Book is worthy to he buint: and that for the better effecting of this,dis majesty may he moved to grant a proclamation to call in the said Dooks, that they may be all bornt accordmgly, in Londoa, and in both the miversities; and for the inhibiting the printing thereof, hereafter, upon a greaf penalty. And this is the Judgment of the Iords."
Then the commons departed, and Dr. Manwaring was sent prisoner to the Fleet.-After this the bithop of Lincoin (Dr. John Willinms) reported the Answer of the bishop of London, unto the Message sent him by the house the 12th of June, to this effect, viz. That the bishop of London (Dr. George Moustaigne) answered, 'That he received a letter from the bisionp of Bath and Wells (Dr. W. Laud) the last sum-

## 337

(э)TATE TRLALS, 4 Charles I. 1028.-for preaching two Sermone, \&c.
mer, for the priating aad poblishing of Dr. Manwaring's two Sermons, by his nuajesty's cominand: and thereupon his lordship did give way for the printing thereof, without further examination: and caused these words, 'Published by his Majesty's Special Comoramu,' to be put on the front of the said book; that it might appear to be printed by his majesty's authority, and nut by his lordship's approbation.' Hereupon the staid bishop of Bath and Wells, being present, said, 'He could give no sudten Answer unto this report; but acknowledgel that he wrote the said letter unto the bishopp of London, by his majesty's express conamandment, that the said two Sermons should be printad whidh letter, he said, he wrote hast summer from Woodstock, when his majesty was there.' And the earl of Montgomery affirmed, upon his lionour, 'That he was then present at Woodstock, anl heard his majcsty command the bushop of Bath and Wellis tn cause the said Book to be printed; and that the said bishop desired his majesty to think better of it, for there were raany thangs thercin which would be very distasteful to the people.' -The tiake of Bucks, also, and the carls of Suffolk and Dorset, protested, on their honours, - That they have since heard his majesty affirm as much.'

June 16. The House of Lords ordercl to be delivered to the king by the Loril-Keeper two Messages, one agninst '1 $\mathbf{r}$. Manwaring's Books; desiring his majesty to put out his Proclamation to call in the said Books, that they might be all burnt in London and Westuniaster, and at both the universities. Also to iahibit the reprinting of them under severe penalticy 8 c .

June 18. The Lord Keeper reported the king's Answer to the two Messagesp concerning the cancelling the commisyion of Excise, and nbout Dr. Manwaring's Books. Asto Dr. Manwaring, his majesty sad, "That he was well pleased with their request, and would order the attor-ney-general to prepare a proclamation accordingly."

## Dr. Manwaring's Sudniscion.

June 21. Dr, Manwaring was brought to the bar, in order to read and subscribe the following Submissfion, wfich a committee of lords had drawn up for that purpose: viz.
" May it please this honourable house, I do here, in all sorrow, of heart and true repentance,
acknowledge the many errors and indiscretions which I have committed; in prenching and publishing those two Sermons of anine, which I called 'Religion and Allegisace,' and my great fault in falling upon this theme agnin, and handling the same rashly and unadviseilly, in my own parish church of St. Giles in the Fieldy, the 4 th of May last past. I do fully acknowledge thnse 3 Sermons of omiue, to haye been full of many dangerous passagef, inferences, apd scandalous aspersious in most parts of the sumf: and I do humbly acknowledes the justice of this honourable house, in that Jadgnent and Scutence passed upon ine for my great offence: and I do, fron the bottom of my heaft, crave pardon of God, the king, and this hoonourable house, the churcli, and this commonwealth ,in general, and those worthy persons adjuadged to be reflected upon by me in particular, fur these great errors and oficnces.

## " Roget Makwarino."

Afier this, the Doctor was led intathe hooseof commons by the warden of the Fleet prison, where be made the saue Submission, on his knees, at their bar.*

[^13]
## 158. The Case of Hugh Pine, esq. upon an Accusation of Treason, for Words spoken in Contempt of the King : 4 Charles I. 1628. [Croke, Car. 117.]

In Serjeants-Inn Hall, at a Meeting of the Twelve Judges, via.
The King's Bepch.1-Sir Nicholas Hyde, knt. Chicf Justice; sir John Doderidge, knt. ; sir Wm. Jones, knt.; sir James Whitlock, knt. Justices.

The Common Pleas.-Sir Tho. Richardson, knt. Chijef Justice; sir Michard Hutton, knt.; sir Francis Ilarvey, hnt.; sir George Croke, knt. ; sir Henry Yelverton, knt. Justices.

The Exchequer.-Sir Jn. Walter, kut. Chief Baron; sir Edward Bromley, knt.; sir John Denham, knt.; sir George Vernon, knt.; sir Thomas Trevor, knt Barons.
Willinam Collier, attending Mr. Pine at bit house in the country, was demauded of him, Whether he bad seen the hing at Ilintou, or no? Collier answerel, That he had seen the king there. Mr. Pine replied, "Then hast thon seen as unwisc a king as ever was, and so governed as never ling was; for he is corried as a man would curry a child with an apple; therefore I and divers more did refuse to do our duties to him."

After which words sjoken, William Collier, meeting with Richard Collier his brother, saked him, "Whether the king were not a wise king?" who answered," Yes, he was n wise'and temperate ling."

Aliter which, at another time, Monsieur $\mathrm{Se}-$ biza being nt Mr. Pawlett's hnuse, at IJinton, Mr. Pine nsked Collier ; whether the king was there, or no? who answered, that he hiear! he was. Mr, Pme rephed, Tlat lie could have had thin at his house, if he would, us well as Mr. Pawlett.

At another time one George Morley, a lockminith, being at Mr. Pinc's house, he asked bi $n$, "What news?" whereunto he answered, That he heard the king was at Mr. Pawlett's at Hinton. Then Mr. Pine said, ".That is nothing; for I might have had bim at my house, as well as Mr. Pawlett, for he is to be carried any whither." And then Mr. Pine said aloud, "Before God, he is no more fit to be king than Hickwright." This Ilickwright was an old esimple fellow who was then Mr. Pine's' shepherd.
These words being thus proved by William Collier and George Morley, all the judges were commanded to asscmble themselves, to consider and resolve what offence the speaking of those words were.

Whereupon sir Nicholas Hyde, chief justicé of the king's bench; sir Thomas Richardson, chief justice of the common pleas; sir John Walter, chief baron of the exchequer; s, sir Williamt Jones, one of the justires of the king's bench; sir Heury Yelvertoo, one of the justices of the common plehs; sir Thomas Trevor and George Vernon, barons of the exchequuer, none
other of the judges being then $\mathrm{in}^{\circ}$ town, met at Serjeants-Inn, in Fleet-strcert, where they debated the cuse amongot thenselves, in the $\mu$ resence of sir Kobert Heath, the attorney-general; and divers precedents nere then produced, viz.
The Case of Juliana Quick, (Kanc.). Anno vicesiam primu Hearici Sexti.
Juliana filia Willielmi Quick, et alis falsi proditores meogniti in peculto machifantes̀ troria... regis, \&c. predicta Juliana ex assensu Williclmi , et aliorum proditorun ignotorum, eiden domino regi, ut fuit equitaus in via adhesit, et dixit eidem domino regi: 'Harry of Windsor, 'ride soberly, thy horse may stumble and break 'thy neck.' And when the noble Joln Ileauchamp then said to ber, 'To whom spenkest 'thou?" she answered, "To that proud boy in ' red, riding on liorseback,' pointing with lirr haind to the said king. And firther calling out to the said king, said, 'It becometh thice tert' ter to tide to thy uncle, than that thy uncle 'should ride to thee; thou wilt kill him, as thou ' hast killed thy mother: scud to thy uucle's ${ }^{\text {t }}$ wife, whom thou keepest from him. Thou ' art a fool, a known fool throughout the whole 'kingdom of England.' She had pain fort et dure because she would not plead.*
Thomas Kerver's Case, (Berkshire). In the twenty-lirst year of IIen. 6.
Thomas Kerser indictatur, pro eo prod ipse proditoric dixit verba sequentia, 'Wue to the 'kugdom where a child is king.' Et terum dixit,' It had bren better for the kingiom of - Jigland by an hundred thousand parmds, if * the said king had been dead twenty years bic' fore.' Et iterum, 'It had been better for the 'said kingdom by an huudred thousand pounds, ' if the sad king never had been born.' And, ' That the Dauphin of France was in Aquitain ' and Gascoyn, with a great power, and va' liantly fighting, possessing himself of the land ' of the king of England in Aquitain and Gas' coyn. And if the said king'were but of as t much humanity as the Dauphin, who is of his ' age, the said king night quietly and peaceably
'hold and enjoy his said lands.' To this he pleaded Not Guity, and was comnitted to the constable of the Tower of Loindon; and afterward recommitted to $\$$ Wallingford castle.Ideo nil ultra apparet.
John Clipshkm's Case, (Sussex). In the twenty- . ninth year of Hen. 6.
Joharnes Clipsham indictatur, pro eo quod

[^14]ipsi et alii dixerunt, quod dominus rex no: fuit de potestate, nec scientia, ad regnum Ar glie gubernandum, et quod noloerunt ulteriu: ohedire regi, nec gubernationi sua, infra idet regnum; mianatesque inter se veros popul dunini regis de comitatu Kanciz, pro eo quor ipsi noluerunt ${ }^{\text {tresistere }}$ ipsum regem de jus utia sua infra eundem comitatum, ac simulite insurrexerunt, \&cc.*
The Mirfields' Case, (Sussex). In the twenty ninth year of Hen. 6.
Johannes Mirfield et Willielmus Mirfield in dictantur, pro eo quod dixerunt,' 'That thr
' kiing was a natural fool, and would often-
' times hold a staff in his hand, with a bird ovea tit enut, playing therewith gs a fool; nud that
' another king nust be ordained to rule the
' land; saying, That the king was not a person
'able to rule the land.' Et ulterius dixerunt,
'That the charter that the king made at the

- first insurrection was fulse ; and that be and
'his fellowship would arise agnin; and when
- they were "p, they would not leave auy gen-
'tleman alire but such as they list, \&ke.'-l'er indictam, session. Sussex.
Bretenhan's Case, (Norfolk). In the thirtyfirst year of Heu. 6.
Willielnus Bretenhan gencrosus indictatur, pro proditoriis verbis, viz. quad ' Richardus - dlax Eborum extra terram Hibernise infra ${ }^{6}$ quindecem dies tunc proxime sequentes ve-- niret et coronam dicti domini regis de codem - rege nuferret, et illud super caput ejusdem ' ducis mfra brevi poni facerit.'-Notatur in margme indictamenta sic, trespas enormia, conteupt. et alia offence. Tamen in indictamento est ' proditorie loqucbatur, \&c.'
Wiltam Ashton's Case, (Suffolk). In the thirty-first year of Hen. 6.
- Williclmus Ashton niles indictatur, pro eo quod ipsc et adii prudtorie diversas billas et scripturas in rythurs et balladis factas et fabricatas, super ostia et fenestrus diversorum hounnum posuerunt, recitantes in eisdem, quod dominus rex, per consilum ducis Suffolcia, episcopi Sarum, episcopi Cicestrix domini de Say, et aliorum de concilio domini regis existent. vendidit regna Angliæe et Franciæ; et quod rex Francie, avunculus regis, regnaret super dictum regem dicentes et scribentes hec omnia et singula. Et similiter miserunt literas hominibus de Kanc. ad insurgendura erga regem, ad adjuvandum ducem Eborum, \&cc. ad guerram levandum: Per indictamentukn Soff, annd 31 H. 6.
John Gayle's Case, (Fssex). In the thirty-- fourth year of Hen, sexti, ?

Johannes Gayle indictatur, pro eo quod ipse et alii dixerunt, quod 'dictus res, et omnes 'domini sui circa personam suam, set congi-- lium suum, falsi sunt, et quod ipsi petitiones - suas, in ultionu parliamento dictt regisp apud - Westmonasterium tentum, per ipsos et totam ' communitatem Kancie petitionat, \&c. invitis - dentibus dicti regis habere voluerunt: at quod
' non licet episcopis dicti regni ullam poteste' tem, nec aliquam congregationem populi erga - ipsos ad perturbandum de bonis propositia ${ }^{\text {' }}$ suis perimplendis, assemblare, nee retinere. ' Quodque presbyteri totius Angliz nulla bona ' nec catala, prater cathedrain et candela' brum, ad inspiciendum super libros suos ha' berent et possiderent. Ac quod Johannes ' Mortimer, alias Cade, est yjvens ; st quod - ipse esset eorum capitalis capitaneus in om' nibus propositis suis perimplend. credentes, ${ }^{\text {ect dicentes, quod ipsi essent infra tres dies }}$ 'quiaque millia hominum armatorum : et gi' militer guerram erga regem levarent.'-Habuerunt clartam allocationis codern telmino. Oliver Germaine's Case, (Wiltshire):- In the sccond year of Edw. 4.
Oliverus Germaine, taylor, et alii falsi prodixores, machinantes et proponentes quomodo regem Edvardum, \&c, destryere potueruit; et Honricum sextunu, muper de fucto, et non do jure, regem Anglix, inimicum regis Anglia, authoritate parliamenti reputst: et upprobat. infra regnum Anglie, extra regnum Scotie reducere, et regen Edvardum deponere, \&c. morten regis compasser, \&c. credentes et diccutes inter se, in prophesiis, ot falst heretici, quod dominus Hearicus, nuper rex, infra breve exset corum rex in regno Auglix sicut prius, et coronam suam in eodem regno haberet et retigeret, dicentes lazc omnia ea intentione, quod veri populi domini regis cordialem amorem ex-traherent-Judgment, to be hanged, drawn, and quartered.
William Belmyn's Case, (Norfolk). Anno nono Edvardi quarti.
Willielmus Belmyn, de Nortwico, mercer, inlictatur, quod cum Robertus de Ryddesdale, a diuturfou tempore proponens statum et dignitaem regis Edvardi quarti, \&cc. adnihillare, \&c. et ipsinn regem per guerram, \&c. de regali, \&c. privare, \& \&c. meter alias falsus proditiones, \&sc. diversos articulos proditorum, \&c. fabricavit, publicavit, et procluinavit. Et quod pradictus Willielmus quandam scedulam teanren predictorum articulorum continent. apud N. \&cc. motistravit et publicavit, et eosdem articujs pro bonis articulis, et communi utilitate regni expedientes affirmavit, et quamlures persunas ad iysos àrticulos manutenendum et approbandum excitavit.-Nota, Non dicitur Rroditorie in eodern indictamento.
The Case of Thomas Burdet, (Warwick). Anno decimo septimo Edvardi quarti.
Juratores prasentant, quand Thomas Burdet, juper de Arrow, in comitatu Warwici, armiger, Deum pra oculis non habens, et debitum legiancia sua minime punderans, ex malitia praesogitata, diabolica instigatione seductus, vicesimo die Aprilis, apno regni regis Edvardi quari, post conquestum decimo quarto, et per diersas vicos postea, apud villan Westmonasverii, in conitatu Middlesexix, falso, et prodiorie, contra legrancis sum debitum, meatein $t$ destructionem ipsius regis' inaginavit comassus fuit et circaivit, ac ipsum regem falio
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es. proditorie adtunc et ibidem interficere propoesit, et ad illud falsam nefandum propositum sunch perimplendum, fálso et proditorie lạboravit et procuravit quosdam Johannem Stacy, nuper de Oxonia, in comitatu Oxon, generosum, et Thomam Blake, nuper de Oxon, in comitatu Oxou, clericum, apad villam Westmonasterii predictam duodecimo die Novembris tunc proxime sequent. ad calculandum et luborandum de et circa nativitatem dicti domini regis et Edvardi filii sui primogeniti, principis Wallix, et de morte eorundem domini regis ac principis ad sciendum quando iidem rex et Edvardus filius ejus macientur. Dictique Johanness Stacy et Thomas Blake, scientes illud falsum et nefandum propositum pradicti Thome Burdet, ipsi Johannes Stacy et Thomas Blake, dicto duodecimo die Novembris, apud villam Westmonasterii predictam, falso et proditorie mortem ipsorum regis et principis inaginaverunt et compassi fucrunt, ac ipsos regem ac principem adtunc et ibidem interficere proposverunt: Et postea, sexto die Februarii, disto anno decimo quarto, apud villam Westmonasterii pradictarn, pradicti Johannes Stacy ac Thomas Biake eorum falsum et proditorium propositum perimplendom, falso et proditorie laboraverunt et calcularerunt per artem magicam, nigromunciam, et astronomiam, in moortem et finalen destructionem ipsorum regis ac principis. Et postea, scilicet, vicesimo die Maii, anmo regmi dieti regis decimo quinto, apud villam Westmonastrrii pradictunn, pradieti Johunnes Stacy et Thonnas Blake, falso et proditorie artibus pradictis laboravenuut; licet Juxta determinationem sacram sancter ecclesir ac doctrinam diversorum doctorum, cuilibet ligeo domini regis, de intromittendo de regitus et principibus, in forma predicta, absque corum voluutate, et preceptis inhibitum fuit. Et postea, iidem Jolunnes Stacy et Thomas Blake, ac pradictus Thomas Burdet, apud predictaun villam Westmonasterii, vicesimo sexto die Maii, eodenr anno decimo quinto, cuidam Alexandro Russeton, et aliis de populo domini regis, falso et proditoric manifestarerunt et dixernust, 'quod per calculationem et 'artes predictas, per ipsos Johannem Stacy et - Thomam Blake, in forma pradibtâ factas, ' iidem rex et princeps non diu viverent, sed 'infra breve obierent;', ad intentionem quod per detectionem et hujusmodi materis manifeatationem, populi ipsius regis magisab ipso rege cordialem amorem retraherent; et idem dominus rex per notitiam illarum detectionis et manifestationis, tristitiam inde caperetet abbreviationem vitr suax. Ac quod predictun Thomas Burdet, mortem et destructionem ip. sius regis supremi dicti domini, sui et predicti domini principis, ac subversionem legum suarum per guerram et discordianp inter ipsum regem et ligeos suos in regno pradicto movendaen, sexto die Martii, anno regni dicti regis decimo septimo, apod Holbon, in comitatu Middileseciac, falso et proditorie imaginasit, compasuas fait, ef 'tircuirik, ac ipsos riegen' nc principenm, intemicere propoesit.t \&I ad illad
falsum nefandum propositum sunm finaliter perionplendum, predictus Thomas Burdet djverses billas et scripturas in rythmis et balladis de murmurationibus serlitionibus et proditoriis excitationibus, factas et fabricatas apud Holborn, et villam Westmonasterì pradict. falso et proditorie dispersit, projecif, et seminavit dieto sexto de Martii, ac quinto et sextd diehus Maii, dicto anno decimo sc ptimo, ad intentionem quod populi domini regis cordialem amorem ab ipso rege retraherent ac ipsum relinquerent, ac erga ipsum regem insurgerent, et guerram erga ipsum regem levarent, in finulem destructionem ipsorum regis ac domini principis, et contra ligeanciam suum, necnon contra corovam et dignitatem ipsiu_sagis_res Judgrent, to be hanged, drawn, and quatered.

## The Case of John Alkerter, (Kanc.). Anno de-

 cimo octavo Edvardi quarti.Johannes Alkerter, yeoman, nuper aerviens Richandi comitis Warvici et Surum, à diuturno tempore proponens staturn regis pejorare et de regimine, \&cc. quantam in se fuit proditorie; per diversa verba nefanda, et alia dicta sua renenosa, de diversis murmurationibus seditionibus proditorum excitationibus factis et fabricatis, à gubernatione privare, \&cc. ad intentionem quod populi ejusdem regis cordiakem amorem retraherent, per discordiam inter regem et populum suum morendum, proditoric dixit Willielino Pend, Willielmo Fowle, et Sampsoni Halk, sub hac torma, viz, quod Willielmus Pend et Johannes Alkerter olin servientes dicti Richardi comitis Warwici fuerant, et nuuc quod idem comes diem suum clausit eatrenum; et hoc non obstante infra breve haberent conuitem Oxonix (qui superstes est) infra hoc regnum Anglix, qui in futuro parcellana hujus patiom gubernet; affirmandoque ultérius verlis sua cuidam Galfrido Peke, quod Edvardus quem vos vocatis regem Angliz falso fuit, \&ec.; dicendo, quod idem Edvardas per subtilem artem suam eundem comitem Warwici interfecit et murdravit, ac fratrem summ, nuper ducem Clarenciz, ad mortem simili modo traxit, non habens causas nec aliquam veritateun; et dicends, quod quicunque inheritabilis sit directe post mortem naturalem Hearici sexti (nunc de facto, et non de jure, regis Anglix), ad coronaus Anglia ille tantummodo sineret et suus homo esset. Et multa alia hajusmodi verbe proditorie dixit.-Utlagatus fuit, prout patet per rotul, session. Kanc, anno 18 Ed. 4.
Thomas Hever's Case, (Kanciz). Anno decimo octavo Edvardi quarti.
Thomas Hever indictatur, pro eo quod proditorie dixis,' 'quod ultimum parliamentum do'mini regis, apud Westmonasteriom tentum, 'magis simpler et insufficiens fuit quam un''quam axtea.' Et ulterius, 'Quod dooninas ' rex proposuit moorph suam infra comitatam ' Kincoin trahere et amorem ligeorum suorom ' ibidem habere, quia amorem cordialem infra 'eandem civitatem non habuit, nec in fatuno ' habebit : et quod ai episcopus Bathonimnis'

# 895] 'STATE TRLALS, 4 Charles I. 1628.-spoken in Contenpt of the Ring. <br> [00 <br> 'morietur, quod tunc immediate Thomal ar- 

'chiepiscopus Cantuariensis et cardinalis An" glize caput suum amitteret.' Et multa diversimoda verba proditoria de rege quam alia verba malitioss de dominis suis, tam spiritunlibus quapn temporalibus.-Utlagatus, prout patet per rutol. sesjons.

## Collingbourn's Case, (London). Hilar. an, secun. Rıchardi tertii.

Willielatus Collingbourn, nuper de Lydyard, in comitatu Wilts, armiger, et alii falsi proditores, mortem regis et suljectionem regni proditorie imaginaverunt et compassi fuerunt : et ad illud perimplendum, excitaverunt, \&cc. quendam Tho Yate ei offerendo octo libras ad partes trumsimarinas exire, ad loquendum ibidem cam Ienrico nuncupante se comit. Itichmundias, et aliis, kc . proditorie attinct. per parliamentum, \&cc. ad dicendam, quod sysi cum omni potestate, \&cc. revenirent in Anglian citra festum Sancti Lucæ evangelista, et totum integrum redditum totius regni Anglia, de termino Suncti Michaelis, \&sc. in eorum relevamen haberent. Ft ulterius, ad demonstrandum eis, quod per concilium ipsius Willielmi Collingbourn, si dictus comes Richmundire, et alii, \&c. ad terram Anglia, apud Poole, in comitatu Dorcestrix, arrivare voluerunt, ipse Willielmas Collingbourn et alii proditores, eis astociando commotionem populi ipsius regis, insurrectionem et guerrnm arga ipsum regem interim levare causarent; et partem ipsorum falsorum proditorum contre regem in omnibus acciperent; et omuia infra regnum Anglize ad corum dispositionem essent. Et ulterins, ad dicendum et demonstrandum dictis proditoriLus, Kc. nd Ilestinnndam Johannem Clieyney uqque ad regem Trancie, ad demonstrandum siht, quid ambestiatureq sui in Angliam à dseto rege Francia venientes defraudari debe-- ant; et quòd rex Anglaz nallum promissum eis custodiret sed soluminodo ad deponendum ses ad respectuandum guerram inter doninum regem tempore hyemali, eo quod in principio temporis sestivalis Anglica potestas in omnibus preparari poss.t ad bellum dicto domino regi Francia prabiendum, et eundem regen et terram suam adtnnc finaliter destruendo. Et ultcriols ad advisandum ipsum regem Francia nd guxilian dictorun̉ proditorum peconiis, \&c. ut ipse iter regis Auglia usque terram Francia impedire proponet. Et sic pradictus Willielmus Collingbowrn et alii fuerunt proditorice adherentes, Kcc. Ef gròd pradictus Willielmus Collingbourn, et alii falsi proditores, Deum prax ocalis, \&.c. à diutưrno tempore intendens per covinam assensum et voluntatem diversoбum aliornun proditorum eisdem ptoditoribus adharentium, \&cc. associaverunt, et mortem regis per guerram, commotionem, et discordiam inter regem et ligeos suos infan regaum Angliee levandum, compgssi foerunt, \&ce. Et ad illad periniplendum, predictas Wiliethus Collingbourn, et alii, diversas billas et scripturas in rythmis et balladis de murmurationibus, seditionibus, et loquelis, et proditoriis excita-
tionibus, felsod et proditorie fecerunt, scripisic runt, et fabricsverunt, et illas per ipzos, sic factas, scriptas, et fabricatus, die, \&cc. super diversa ostia ecclesim cathedralis Sancti Puuli, London. proditoriè posuerunt, et publicd ílidem fixerunt, ad movendum et excitandum ligeos regis billas et scripturas illas legentes et intelligentes, commotionem et geerram erga ipsum regem facere et levare ${ }_{4}$ contra ligenncise sua debitum, et finalem destructionem regis, et subversionem regni, \&c.-Judgitient, to be huuged, drawn, and quartered.

## Bagnall's Case, (London). Anno nono Henrici septimi.

Thomas Bagnall et alii mortem regis imagioaverunt, \&c. et ad intentionem predietman, quod populi regis cordialem amorem retrabere, \&ec. diversas billas et scripturas in rythmis et balladis de murnurationibus, seditionibus, et proditoriis excitationibus, tame versus regem quadm alios magnates de consilio suo tangent. proditorià fecerunt, \&ec, super ostiuh ecclesis sancti Benedicti in Gracious-strect, et super le Standard in Cheap, ac super ostiun ecclesia Pauli posuerunt, \&c. et quod ipsi fuerunt adharentes cuidam Petro Warbeck, inimico regis, in partibus transmarinis existent, ad levandun guerram ad deponendum regem,-Judgment, to be hanged, drawn, and quartered.

## Stanley and Clifford's Case, (Middl.). Decimo Henrici septimi.

Willielmus Stanley, miles, et Robertus Clifford, miles, ad invicem inter se communicaveruat et interlocuti fuerunt de quodam Petro Warbeck de Thornaco sub pbedientia nrchiducis Austrix et Burgundia, laimico rlomini regis, \&c. falso noncupante se fore Richardum secrndum filinun domini Eivardi nuper regis Anglity quarti, in partibus exterioribus ultera mare e evistent, ac nortem, \&'c. reyis, ac subversionem regni Anglix, proditorie conspiraverunt, \&c. et eundern regem per guerram, \&c. in regno Anglia, levandum de corona, šc. deponcudum, \&c. Et ad illud perimplendom, sic. predicti Williemus Stanley et Robertus Cliford proditorie, \&ce. inter se aggreati fuerunt, quots ipse Roberins ad partes exteras prectictus ad prefatura Petrum Wárbeck, \&sc. transtretaret, et in ipsius Petri adrentum ad guerram levandunn expectaret; et $i p s u m \mathrm{Pe}-$ trum inaregnum Anclize cum teto posse suo introduceret, ipsum in regem erigcret, \&sc. Be ulterids dictus Williehmus Stanley prafato Roberto Cliford próditoric promisit, \&cc. ad quod: canque et quotiescunque ipse Rohertus Clifford aliquos ad domom Witlielmi Stauley à partibus exterioribus, per privatum signum inter ipsos habitum. destinaret; pro ipsius aç dicti Petri Warbeck, inimicorum regis, \&ce, adjuvamine; ipse Willielmus Stanley eo toto posse adjuvato vellet, \&cc. quatum, bed pretestu dietus Roa bertus Clifford itec syom ad partes externt, prafato. Petro Warbeck arcipuik, \&ec. Et'sic Soierunt sdharentes, \&c.-Judgment, to-be hanged, drawn, and quartered.
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March and Carew's Case, (Surrey). Anno comitat. Lancastriz, taylor, pro verbis, vis. betricesimo IIenrici octavi.
Henricus Marchio, Exon, proditoriè dicebat

- I like well of the proceedings of Cardina
' Pool:' et ulteriùs, 'But I like not the pro-
' 'ceedings of this realm;' and 'I trust to see a
'clange in the world:' et ulteriuls 'I trust
- once to have a fair day upon those knaves
' which, rule abput the king'' et ulteriùs, 'I
' trust to give them a buffet one day.' Ft quìd
Nicholaus"Carew, miles, malitiosè et proditoriè inurmuravit, et indignatus fuit, et dicebat hace verba Anglicana, ' I marvel greatly that ' the indictment agninst the lord marquis was
' so secretly handled, and to what purpose? for
'the like was never seen.' - Yer hagam sessionis tent. coram Thom. Audicy, cancellar, et alias, 30 Hen. 8.
Tho Case of John Rugg, (Berkshirc). In the thirty-first year of Henry 8 .
John Ruge, chivaler, for these words, ' Tb
- king's highness cannot be supreme head of the 'church of England by God's law.' Hugo, abhot of lieading, superinde dixit, 'What did ' you for saving your conscience when you were 'sworn to take the king for suprcme head? Et superinde phedictus Joh. Rugg divit, 1
${ }^{6}$ added this condition in my mind, to take him
- for supreme head in temporal things, but not
${ }^{4}$ in spiritual things.'-Per indictam. Mich. 31 Hen. 8.
The Case of Robert Rumwick, (Kent). In the thirty-first year of Heury 8.
Robertus Rumwick indictatur, quid cum diversi fuerunt comedentes et compotantes, \&c. Thomas Brook, tencens quendau ciphum cervisis impletum, \&c. dixit, 'God save the king! ' here is good ale.' Ad quod pradictus Robertus dixit proditoric, \& \&c. desiderans murtem regis, \&.c. 'God save the cup of good ale! for - king IIenry shall be hanged when twenty ' others shall be sareed.' Cui pradictus Thomas dixit, 'Kinonest thou what thou sayest?' Pradictus Robertus iterum dixit ut suprn, ' God, \&c."
The Case of Liouel ILaughton, (Leicester).
Anno tricesimo tertio ILenrici octavi.
Lionellas Haughtoa, nuper de Ormeskirk, in
ing shooting at the butts, said, 'I would the ' King's body had been there as the arrow did ' light;' and, 'By the mass I would it had ' been in his body.'-Per indictannent. Mich. 33 H .8.

The Casc of Edward Peacliam.
Edward Peacham was ipdicted of trenson for divers treasonable passages in a sermon which was never preached, or intended to be preached, but ouly set down in writings, and found in his study: He was tried and found guilty, but not executed.-Note, That many of the judges were of opinion, that it was not treason. [See vol, 2. p. 870.]

## Chalkrcomb's Case.

Henry Challercomb was also indicted of treason for words, and was found guilty, and exceuted.

The Casc of John Williams.
Jolan Williams was also indicted, found guilty, and executed, for writing a treasonable book, called Salaam's Ass. [See v. 2. p. 1036.]

Tpon consideration of all which precedents, and of the statutes of treason, it was resolved by all the Jadges before-named, and so certified to bis majesty, that the speaking of the words before-mentioned, though they were as wicked as might be, were not treason.
For they rescilved, that unless it were by some particular statute, no worts will be ticason; for there is no treasm at this dny but by the statate 25 Wdw. 3, c. 2, for magming the death of the king, \&c, and the indictinent must be frumed upon one of the proiuts in that tatute: and the words spoken here can bé but evidence to discover the corrupt heart of him hat spake them; but of themselves they are + ot treason, neither can any indictment be ramed upon them.
To charge the king with a personal vice, as a say of him, 'That he is the greatest whoremonger or drunhard in the kingdom," is no reason; us Yelverton said it was held by the udges, upon debate of Peacham's Case.
133. Proceedings against John Felton,* for the Murder of the Duke of Buckingham: 4 Charles I. A. d. 1698. [Rushw. Coll. 685. Whitel. Mem. 11. May's Hist. of the Parl. 10. 1 Clar. Hist. of the Rebellion, (Oxford ed. of 1707) 28, 42. 3 Kennet, 45. 4 Carte's Hist. 195.]

The town of Rochel was at this time straitly beleaguered by the Prench king, and the king of
" "This Felton," says May, " was a soldier of a low stature, and no' promising aspect; bfdisposition serions, and melancholy, but religious in the

England bad prepared a flect to relieve it, under the command of the duke of Buckinghani,
whole course of his life and confersation; which last I do not mention out of purpose to countenanee bis unlawful act, as supposing him to

## 

who being adranced as fire as Portsmouth, on Saturday August.2J, 1628, being Bartholotnew Eve, was suddenly slain *in his own lodgings there, by one licutenant Felton, about mine in the uorning, who with oue blow, having got a knife for the purpose, struck the slake vader the left ril, anid ap into the heart, leaving the knite in his body, and got avay milsconered. In the fall to theground, the dake was heard to say, 'The villam hath killed mee.' Company coning prescotly in, foond him weltering in his blood ; and each person looking upon nother, narvelled who should do so horid an act: a jealousy was pressertly had of Monsieur Sotien, who was then there libouring for speedy relief ta lieseretorlochel; but he protesting his innocency, Felton immediately stept out, and said, 4 um the man that have done the sleel, ' let no man suffer that is innucent.' Whereupon he was immediately apprehended, sent to Lomdna, and there imprisoticd. The hing was within furtr miles of Portenouth, when the news was brought him of the death of the duhe: he bid secure the murderer : and boshop laud
have had (as some dud then talk) any inspiration or calling of God to it: His confessions to hir friends, both public and private, wetc, That he bad often secret motions to that purpose, which he had ressisted and prayed against, and had almost overcome, until he was at last conlirmed in it, by reading the late dissolved parliament's Remonstrance against the duke: That then his conscience told him it was just and laudable, to be the evecutioner of that man, whoin the highest court of judicature, the representative boidy of the hingion, had condenned as a traitur. But, let pusterity censure it as they please; certsin it is, that Foltou dad much repent him of the unlawfulness of the fact, out of no fear of death, or punisbment here, for be wished his hand cut off before the execution, which his judges could not doom by the laws of Enylaul."

- Jimes IDowell, in a letter to the countess of Sunderland, dated Ang. 5th 1623, gives the following Account of this transaction:
" Upon Satarday last, which was hat next Lefore yesterday, being Bartholomew eve, the duke did iise up jp a well-disposed hmmour ou of his bed, and cut a caper or two, and being ready, and having been under the larber's hund, (where the murderer had thought to have done the deed, for he was leauing upon the windowall the while).he ulnt to beanh fast, nttended by $n$ great conpany of comunalers, where Mons. Soubize citne to him, and whispered him in the ear that Roclel was relieved: the duke seemed to slight the $\mathrm{e} \cdot \mathrm{w}$, Alich nade some think that Soubize nent away dincontented. After breakfast, the duke going out, col. Fryer stept hefore him, and stopping him upon some business, ands lient. Fetton being behind, made a thrurt with a tommen tenpenny knife over Fryer's arm at the duke, which lightef so fatally, that he slit his heart in two, leaving the knite sticking in the Body.
had ndvertisement of his deaih the 21th of $A$ visi: heing then at Croydon, with bishop Neal nurd other bishops, consecrating bishop Moniague for Chichester.

Whilst Felton remained a prisoner at Iondon, great was the resoit of people to see the man who had committed so toold a murder, others came to understand what ucle the motives and inducements thgreunto; to which the man for the most part answered, that he did actrouledge the fict, and condemped bimself for the dong thercof. Yet withal, confessed lie had loug louked upon the duke as an evil instrument in the common-wealib, and that he "ns convinced thereof by the remonstrance of parliament. Which considerations, together with the instigntion of the evil one (who if always realy to put sinful motions into speedy actions) inducel him to do that which he did; IIe was a person of a little stuture, of a stouk und revengetul spirit, who airing once received an injury from a penteman, he citt off a piece of his little finger, and sent it with a challenge to the gantleman to fight, with him, thereby to

The dohe took out the knife, and threw it away; and laying his hatad on hisowgrd, and drawing if loalf our, saitl, "The villain hath killed me,' (incaning, as some think, col. Fryer) for there hal heen sutue ditlicrence betwist them; so reeling against a chimney he fell tlonn dead. The dutchess being with child, hearing the nose helow, came in lier uight-geers from her bed-chaunber, which was in an upper room, to. a hind of cail, nad thence belheld him welternig in his own blowd. Yelton had lost his hat in the crowd, ulierein there was a paper sowed, wherein he declared, tiat the reason which mored hin to th's ner, way no grodge of his onn, though he had been far Lelind for bir pay, and had heen yut hy bis conptain's place twice, but in regnrd lie thought the dake an enemy to the State, becane he was brntided in' pardianent ; therctiore what be did was for the pubhic good of his country. Vet he got elearly, down, and sn might have gone to his horse, whith was tyed to a hedge hard by; but he was so amszed that the missed his way, and sa struck into thic ptretry, where, although the cry went that some Pronckman hadd done it, be thinking the word wis Feltrin, boldly confeased, it was he that had done the deed, and so he was in sleir bands. Jack Siamfurd would bate run at liin, but lie was kept oil'by Mr. Nicholas; ; so being carried up to a tower, capthin Mince tore off his spuis, nad ashing how he durst attempt surh an act, making him beliere the dule was not dead, he answered boldly, that he knew he was dispatclied, for it was not he, but the band of Dlearen that gave the stroke; and though hig ghole body had been covered over wida armour of proof, he could not have avoided jit. Coptain Clurles Price went pout presently to the king four miles off, who being at prayers on his inees when it was teld bimpact yèt never stirred, nor wal' be disturbed a whie till all divine service was done."
let himo know that he vnlued not the exposing his whole body to hazaral, so be might but have an opportunity to lie reseuged.

Afterwards Felton was called before the council, where he confessed much of what is before mentioned concerning lis inducemeut to the murder: the council nuch pressed hina to coufess who set hin on work to do such a blondy act, and if the Puritans had no band therein; be denied they hall, and so be did to the last, thit no person whatsoeser knew any shing of his intentions or purpase to kill the duke, that he revealed it to none living. Dr. Laud, bishop of London, being then at the coun-cil-table, told lim if he would not confess, he most go to the rack, Felton replied, if it must be so he could not tell whom he might nomimate in the extremity of torture, and if what he mould say then must go for truth, he could not cell whether his lordship (meaning the bishop of London)-or which of their lordslups he might name, for terture might draw unexpected things from him: after this he was osked no more questions, but sent back to prison. The conncil then fell into debate, whether by the lav of the land they could justify the putting hiin to the rack: The king being at council said, befure any such thing should be done, let the advice of the judges be had therein, whether it be legal or no, and afterwards his majesty the 13 th of November, 4 Car. propounded the question to sir Tho. Richardson, lord chief justice of the coinmon pleas, to be propounded to all the justices, (viz.) Felton now a prisongr in the Tower having confessed that he had killed the duke of Buckingham, and snid be was induced to this, parily for private displeasure, and partly ly reason of remonstrance ia parliament, having nlso read some Looks, which, he said, defended that it was lawful to kill an enemy to the repullic, the question therefore is, whether by-the law he might not be rached, nad whether there were nny law ngainst it, (for snid the king) if it might be done by law, lie would not use his prerogative in this point, and havjug put this question to the lord chief justice, the king connmanded bin to demand the repolutions of all the jadegs.

First the Justices of Eerjeants Inn in Chan-eery-lane did meet and adree, that the king may not in this case put the party to the rack. And the 14th of November all the jistiers peing assembled at Serjeants Innin Firet-street, ugreed in one, that he ouglit not hy the law to be tortured by the rack, for no such panishment is known or allowed by our law ${ }^{\text {e }}$.

And this in case of treason was brought into this kingtom in the time of Henry the 6th; oote Fortescue for this point, in his book ' de

[^15]'laudibus legum Angliz,' see the preamble of the act 28 H. 8 . for the trial of felony, where treasous are done upon the se., and statute 14 Ed. 3, ca, of julurs or keepers, who by duress nake the prisoners to be approvers.
On Thursday the 27 th of Novennber, Felton was removed from the Tower to the Gut--house, in order to his trial, and was the same dny brought by the sherifts of London to the King'sbench bar, and the indictment being read, he was demanded whether he were guilty of the murder therein mentioned: He answered, he was guilty in killing the duke of Buckingham, and furtier said, that he did deserve death for the same, though he did not do ibscit Samalice to him. So the court passed sentence of death opon him; whereupon lie offered that hand to be cut off that did the fact; but the court could not, upon his own offer, inllict that further punishment upon him. Nevertheless the king sent to the judges to intanate his desire, that las hand might be cut off before execution. But the court answered, that it could not bc; for in all murilers, the judgment was the same, unless when the statute of 25 E. 3, did alter the mature of the offence, and upon a several indictment, as it was in queen Elizabeth's time, when a felon at the bar flung a stone at n judue upon the bench, for which he was mdicted, and his sentence was to have his hand cut off; which was accordingly done. And they also proceeded ugninst him upon the other indictment fir felony, for which he was found guilty, and afterwards hanged. And Felton was afterwards hung up in chains, in mauner as is usual upon notorious marders ${ }^{\text {e }}$.

* "All the historinns ubound with te'stimonies of the king's fo:dness for Buckinglaum. It appears that to shew his affection to the duhe's menory, he gavè command for $n$ magmficent funeral, till the thrifty treasurer diverted the project by telling his majesty, 'Such pomp 'would but prove but an hour's show : and it ' would be more for his glory to erect hime a 'stately monument that might lie dine for half 'the cost.' Upon which his body was privately interred, on September 25 . And when tha king afterwards talked of a dostly monament, the treasurer is said to have vsed this other evaSion: 'Sir, I ain loth to tell your majesty what 'the woild will say both here nud abroad, if you shoguld raie a monument for the duke - before you erect one for your father." See 3 Kennet, 45.
Lord Clarendon relates the strange story of Buckingham's father appearing three times to, an Officer of the King's Wardrobe, and directing him to tell the Duke, that if he did not concilispe the people, he would be suffered to live but a short tine. 1 Clinr. Hist. of the Revellion, ทั•


## 134. Proceedings against Mr. Richard Chambers, in the StarChamber, for seditious Speeches before* the Privy-Council : 5 Charles I. 1629.* [1 Rushw. Collections, 670.]

In the yeur 1629, sir Robert Heath, the king's Attorney -General, preferred an Information in the Star-Chamber against Richard Chambers of the city of London, merchant. Wherein, Girst, he did set forth the gracious government of the king, and the greal privileges which the merchauts have in their trading, by paying moderate Duties for the goods and menclaadizes exportedenemidimorted; and settiog forih, that the raising and publishing of undutiful and fulse speeches, which many tend to the dishonour of the king or the state, or to the discouragenent or discoutentaient of the subject, or to set discord or variance between his majesty nud his good people, are offences of dnagerous cunsequence, and by the law prolibited, and conderaned under several penalties und punislments. That nevertheless the said Itichard Chanbers, the 28th day of September last, being , anoungt other merchants, called to the Council-board at Hampton-Court, about soine thiuls which were complained of in reference to the Customs, dial then and there, in an insolput mnnnere in the presence or hearing of the loris and other of his majeaty's privy-council, then sitting in councll, utter these unilatiful, seditious, and fulse words, 'that the merchants

- ure in no pat of the world so screwced and ' wrung as in Englaud; that in Turkey they - have noorc encouragement.' By which words, he the suid Richard Chambers, ns the Informntiov settelh forth, did endeavour to niiemate the grod atfection ot his majesty's subjects from his majesty, and to bring a slander upon his just govelianent: and theefore the king's Attorney prayed process ugainst him.

To this Mr. Chumbers made answer, That having a case of silk grogerams brought from Bristol by a carrier to London, of the value of 400/. the same were, by some inferior officers, attending on the Custoin-liouse, seized nithout this defendant's consent, notwithstanding he olfered to give sgearity to pay such custons as should be due by law; and that he hath been otherwise grieved ${ }^{*}$ and damuilied, by the inju rious dealing of the under-ollicers of the Cus-tom-Iluase; ${ }^{-}$and mentioned the particulars wherein: and that being called befors the lords of the Council, he confesseth, that out of the grent senes which the had of the injurics done him by the suid iuferior officere, he did utter -these words, 'that the merchans's ia Eusland ' were more wrung and screwed then in foreign

[^16]' parts.' Which words were only spoken in the presence of the privy-coyncil, and not spokea abroad, to stir up any discord fmong the people: and not spoken with any dislogel thoughit at that time of his majesty's governnient, but only intending by these words to introduce his just complaint against the wrongs and injuries he had sustained by the inferior officers ; and that as soon as be had heard a hard cinstruction was given of his words, be endenvoured by petition to the lords of the council, humbly to explain his meaning, that he bad not the leaps evil thought as to his unjesty's guverument: yet was not permitted to bé heard, but presently sent away prisoner to the Marshalsea : and when ho was there a prisoner, he dided again endeavour by petition to give satisfaction to the lords of the council; bot they would not be pleased to accept of his faithful explanation, "Wich he now makes unto thig honourable court upon bis oath; and doth profess from the bottom of has heart, ' that his speeches only aimed ' at the abuses of the inferiur officers, who in ' many things deatt most cruelly with him and 'othermerclants.'.
There were two of the clerks of the PrivyCouncil examined ns witnenses to prove the words, notivithstauding the defendant confessed the words in his Answer as aforesaid, who prowed the words as lad in the juformation. And nn the Gith of May, 1629, the cause cane to be henrd in the Star-Chamber, and the court were of opinion, that the words spoken werc a compariug of his majesty's government with the governinent of the Tuiks; intending thereby to make the prople believe, that his majesty's' lappy government may be termed " Turkish ty'raany;' and thenefore the Coutt lined thid said Mr. Chambers in the sump of 2.000 l , to his majesty's use, and to stand comunitted to the prison of the Fieet, and to make submission for his great offence, hoth at the council-board. in court of Star-Cpamber, and at the Royal Exchange.

There was a great difference of upinion id the Court ubout the Fine: and because it is a remarkable case, lure tellowetin the naties of each sereral persis: nho gave seatence, and the fine they conciaded upon, viz.

Sir Francis Cottingtim, chancellor of the Excheyuer, his opinion whis fur 5001 . fie to Whe kug, und to at know ledge his offence at the cunacil-boand, the Star-Chamter Bar, and the Exchatage.
Sir Fhomas Kichardson, lo:d chief justice of
the Citmmon Plens, 500 , fine to the kugg, nnd to ilesire the king's faveur.
Sir Archola, Hyde, lor, Lelisief justiec of ing Kug's-Benct, $500 \%$ and 10 desire the hing's.
fiaveur.

sir Jofin Cook, secretary of state, 1,000 .
管 Hunphrey May, chancellor, 1,500l.
80 Thomas Edmunds, $2,000 \mathrm{l}$.

- Sir Edward Barret, 2,000l.

Dr. Nenl, bishop of W meliester, $\mathbf{3 , 0 0 0}$.
35. Dr. Laud, bishop of London, 3,000/.
L. Carlion, principal secretary of state, $3,000 l$.

Lord $\quad$ chancellor of Scutland, 3,000l.
Earl of Holland, 1,500l.
Earl of Doncaster, 1,500l.
Earl of SAlisbury, 1,500l.
Earl of Dorset, 3,000l.
Earl ot Suffolk, 3,000l.
E. of Mountgomery, luril clannberlain, 1,500 I.

Earl of Arundel, lord high marshal, 3,0001 .
I.ord Montague, lord privy seal, 3,000 .

Iord Conavay, 2,000l.
Lord Weaton, lord treasurer, $3,000 l$.
L. Coventry, lord k. of the great scal, 1,500 ,

So the fine wassettel to $2,000 \mathrm{l}$. - And all (except the two chief justices) concurred for a, Sulunission nlso to be mate. Aud accordingly the copy of the Submission was seat to the Warien of the Flect, from Mr. Attorney-Gencral, to shew the said Richard Chamliers, to perform and acknowledge H ; aud was as fulloweth:
"I Richard Chaubers, of Innton, merchant, do humbly acknowledge, that whereas upon an information exlubitel agaiast me by the king's attorney-general, I was in Easter term Inst beuteuced by the honourable coort of Star. Chamber, for that in September last, İ62, being convented before the lurils and utheng of his majesty's most honourahle privy council- loarid, upon some specelies then used concerning the merchanis of this 'kingdom, and his majesty's well and gracious usage of them; dial then, and there, in inso!cut, contcmptuous, and seditious manner, falsly and maliciously say and affirm; 'that they,' meaning the mercifants, ${ }^{6}$ are in no parts of the world son sere wed and *wrung as in Eogland; and that in Twikey 'they have inore encorragement.' Abil whereas by the sentence of that honourable court, I *as adjudged, nuong othre punishments juhly Imposeç upan me, to make muchumble acknonledgment nat sulmissmeu of ihis greac , p ience it ithis honourable boart, beture I should be delivered out of the pison of the Fleer, whereto I was then committed, $n$ s by the suid decree an:l sentence of hat court, amony other, things, it doth nud may appear: now 1 the said R . Chambers, in obedicnce to the sentence of the said honvarable conrr, do husibly confess and acknow ledge the speaking of these words afiresoid, for the which 1 was so chareed, and am hearmly sorry fir the sane ; and do hunsbly Bieneech your lordshits all to he honourable interce:sors for me to hus majesty, that he would be graciously pleased to pardon this great deirortand failt to commitred liy me.";

When Mr. Chambers rende this draught of Gubunimion, he thus suls:nbed the same:

[^17]' and detest, ns most unjust and false; ' and never till death will acknowledge 'any part thereof. Rich. Cinamaras.'
Also he under-writ these texts of Scripture to the said Submission, betore he returned it.

- That make n man an offender for a word, ' and lay a suare for him that reproveth in the 'gate, wud turn aside the jpst for a thing of ' nought.
- Blame not before thou hast examined the ' truth; understand first, and then rebuke:
' auswer not before thou bast heard the cause,
' neilher interrupt men in the midst of their 'talk.
- Doth our law judge any manhefere it hear - him, and know what he dueth?
' King Agrippa said unto Paul, Thou art per' mittell to speak for tlipedf.
- Thu shalt not wreat the judgment of the - puor in his cause, tham sladt not respect per'sons, neilher tahea gift. for a gift doth blind ' the cyes of the wise, und pervert the eyes of 'the rightious.
- Wie to them that devise iniquity, because t it is in the puwer of their hand, and ihey covet - fields, und take them by violence; and ' houser, nud take them away : so they oppre-s ${ }^{\text {a }}$ a man and hrs house, cven a man and his lie'ritate.
'Thus saith the Lord Goul, let is suffice you, - O prncer of Isati: remone violence und * spoil, and execute juilyment and justice, take 'away your exactions from my ן'cople, suith ' the Land God.
' If thou eest the opprestion of the poor, ' and siolent perverting of juignent and justice ' in a province, marvel not nt the matter: for - he that is higher than the lighest regnaçethy ' and there be lighier than they. Per me,


## Richand Chamacrs.'

Aficrwards in the tetin of Triuity, the 5th year of hagg Charks, it is found in the grent Roth of this year, that there is demanded there, of Richard Clamiters of London, merchant, 2,000l. for a certain Fiue, innposed on him, hither sent by iirtue of a writ of our said lord the king, under the foot of the great seal of lingland, directed th the treasurer and burons of this Bechequer, for makingers cution thereof to the use of the said lord the hing, as is there contaned; and now, that is to say, in the octalb of the blessed Trnity, this terin, cones the said Licliard Chambers in his onn proper fierson, and demauds nyer of the dethand aforesaid, and it is read unto him ; and he demanis oycr also of the wnt aforesaid, under the foot of the preat seal of Eughand, hither sent, and is read untu him in these words:
"Char'es by the grace of Gud, of Eugland, Sertlund, France and Ireland, king, defeader of the faith, $\alpha c$. to hits treasirer and baions of his Esclupguer, leith. The extrete of certain fines taxed and adjudged hy us and our council, in our said cuancil, in our court of Stur-Chamber, in the term of St, Michacl, the term of St

## 

Hilary, and the term of Easter last past, upon Thomas Barns, of the parish of St. Clement Danes in the county of Middlesex, carpenter, and others, severally and dividedly, as they lie there severally assessed, we send unto you included in these presents, commanding, that looking into them, you do that which by law you ought to do against them, for the levying of those fines. Witness ourself at Westininater, the 21st of May, in the year of our reign the 5th."

And the tenor of the Schedale to the said Writ annexed, as to the said Richard Chanbers, followeth in these words :
" $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{i}}$. đffe'tirn of Easter, the fiftb year of king Charles, of Richard CChambers of London, merchant, 2,000 l, which being read, heard, and by him understood, he complains, that he is grievously vexed and inquieled by colqur of the premises; and that not justly, for that protesting, that the great roll, and the matter thereis contained, is not in law sufficient, to which he hath no need, nor is bound by law to answer. Yet for plea the said Itichord Chambers saith, that he, of the demaund uffressid, in the great roll aforesaid mentioned, and every parcel thereof, ought to be tischarged against the said lord the kiug, for that he said, that he from the time of the taxation of the aforesaid fine, and long hefore, was a freeman and a merchant of this kingdom? that is to say, in the parish of the blessed Mary of the Arches, in the ward of Cheap, Londun: and that by a rertain act in the parliament of the lord Iecory, late king of England, the third, held in the minth year of his rign, it was provided by anthurity of the said parliament, that a frecuan slisal not be anerced for a little offence, bur nccording to the manucr of the suid offence; mid for a great offence, according to the greatuess of the offence, saving to him his contenement or freehold; uod a merchant iu the same manner, saving unto him his merchandize; and a villain of any other than the king afier the same manuer to be nmerced, saving las wainage; and none of the said amercianents to be imposed tut by the oatios of goud nad lawful men of the neighbourhood: and by a certain other act in the parliament of the lord Edward, late king of Eugland, the first, held in the third year of lis reign, it was and is provided, that no city, burougl, or town, nor any man, shall be ameged, without rensounble cause, and according to his ${ }^{\circ}$ trespass; that is to say, a freeman, saving to hinm his contenement; a merchant, saving to him his uerchundize; nud a villain, sgying to him
' hiy wansige : and this by their peers: and by the snune act in the parlianenit of the snid lord Henry, late king of England, the third, held in the 9th year of lus reigu aforesnid, it was and is providid lyy the quthority of the shid parliamikit, that no freeman should be tuken or imprisoned, or disseized of his treflinld, or liferties, or free-customs, or out laucd, nr banished, or any way destroyed: and th t the
lord the King should not go upon' him, owe deal with him, but by a lawful judgment of 6 解 peers, or by the law of the land: and by ac certain act in parliament of the lord Edward, late king of Eugland, the third, held in the fifih year of his reign, it was and in provided by the authority of the said parliament, that. no man benceforward should be attached by reason of auy accusation, nor prejudged of Tfe or member, nor that his lands, tenements, goods or chattels should be seited inta the hands of the lord the king against the formis of the Great Charter, and the law of the landf and by a certuin Act in the parliament of, the lord Ileary, late king of Englond, the sevents? held in the third year of his reign, reciting; lith by unlawful manatenances given of lirerieb sigus, and tokens, and rctaindero by indentuitot promises, oaths, writings, and other imbraetfect of the suljects of the saidolord the king, fotion demeanots of sheriss, in making of famelle and other false returns, by tuking of money by jurors, by great riots and unlan ful assemhlief the policy and good government of this hind dum was alnnist subdued; und by not punins ing of the said inconveniencies, and by oecntions. of the premisses, litile or nuthing was foand 6 inquisition; by renson thereof, the laws of the; land bad little effect in their exceution, to the increase of murders, rotberies, perjuries, and iasecurities of all men living, to the loss of thein lands, and goods, to the great displeasure of Almighty God; it was ordained for reformatiop: of the premisses, by anthority of the suid pait liament, that the chancellor and treauruer of Fingland for the time being, and the keeper of: the privy-ceal of the loid the king, or two of them, calling to them one bishop, one lond lenuporal of the most honourable council of the lord the king, and the two chirf justices of the King's-beach and Common Pleas for the time being, or two other josticen in their absence, by bill ot juformation exlibititd to the chancellon: for the king, ur any other, against any persous. for uny other ill belasiours aforesaid, have ainthority of culling before them, by wit of prishseal, such madefactors, and of oxamining them: and others by their discretion, and of punibhing such as they find defective therein, according to their demerits, according to the form nad effect of the statutes thereof made, in the same: manner and form as they might and ought to be puhished, if they were thereof convinced according to the due course of law : and by a cettain other act io the parliament of the lord Henry, late king of Yagland, the eighth, Feld in the 21st year of his reign, reciting the offences in the fores,nid statuie of the snid late king Ilenry the seventh, before-mentioned, by auihority of the said pariliament, it wns nod is ordaind and ${ }^{\circ}$ enacted, that henceforward the chancillor, Ireasarer of England, and the prosident of the pot honourable privy-counct of the hing, attending bi- most honourgble persiof for the time being, and che lord keepler of the. privy-senl of the lurd the king, or tho of them? calling to thein one bishop, and one terapogef most honourable counth of the 4- king, and two chief justices of the bepeb, and Common Pleas for the time or two justices in their absence, by any chancellor of Eugland, the treasurer, the a enident of the most houourable council of the Ford the king, or the keeper of the privy-seal of the tord the king fir the time being, for any misdemennor in the aforesaid statute of king fHonry the seventh aforesaid before recited, from henceforth have full power and authority of calling before them, by writ or by privy-seal, yuch malefactors, and of cyamining of them und others hy their discretion, and of puaishing 7 those that are found defective actording to ,their demerits, according to the forin and effect of the said statute of the aforessid lord Hagg Henry the seventh, and of all other statates thereupon made not revokel and expirelf; in the same manufc and form tus they might .nd uaght to be punished, if they were convicted according so the due order of the laws of the - Did lord the king. And by the aforessid writ, Guder the foot of the great scal, it manifestly कheppears, that the said fine was imposed by the Kot, the king and his council, and not by the 34 grapeers of the said lichard Chambers, nor of the law of the Innd, nor according to the Manner of the pretended offence of the said Zichard Chumbers, nor saving unto him his merchandize, nor for any offence mentioned in the said statutes. All and singular the which, the said Richard Chambers is ready to verify to the court, \&c. and demands judgoment ; and ; that he be discharged of the said $8,000 /$, against Whie said lord, the now king; and that us to the Ppemisaes he may be dismissed from this court. Watenhouse."
With this Plea, he annexed a Petition to the lord chief baron, and also to every one of the barons, humbly desiriug the filing of the plea, with other reasons in the manacr of a motion nt the bar, because he said, counsel would not move, plead, nor set hand to it, as further appeaseth.

The eopy of the Order , upon Mr. Attorney's motion in the Exchequer, the 17th of July, $\therefore$ 1629, afier the Plea put in, and order to file it. Per the lord chief baron.
"Touching the Plea pot into this court by Hichard Chambers, to discharge himelti of a fine of 2,0001 , set on Juin in the Star-Chamber, fprasasich as sir Kobert Heath, knight, his matjesty's attorney-general, itformed this court, that the said Chambers in his sand plear recites diyers stautes, nnd Magun Charti, aud what gffences are punishable in the Star-Chamlier, And how the proceedings ought to be; and ppon the whole matter conclades, that the said fine was inpased by the king sud his comncil, and not by a legal judgmen: of his peers, sar by the laws of the land, nor according to flet mauner of his odence, nor, saving his merchandize, nor for any ofleace mentioned in the said atatates; which plen, Mr, Attorney conceiving it to be very frivolous and insufficient, and derogatory to the honour and jurisdiction of the court of Star-Chamber, kiumbly prayeth might not be allowed of, nor filed: it is therefure this day ordered, that the said plea shall be read on Saturday next; and then upon hearing the king's ooungel, and the counsel of the said Richard Cbambers, this court will declare their further order therein; and in the mean time the said plea is not to be filed nor delivered out."
In Michaelmas term following, Mr. Chambers was brought by a Habeas Corpus out of the Fleet; and the wardea did return,
"That he was committed to the Fleet by virtue of a decree in the Star-Chambermy reasous of certain words he used at the council-table, viz. that the merchants of England, were screwed up here in England more than in Turkey. And for these and other words of defirmation of the goveroment, he was censured to be committed to the Flect, and to be there imprisoned until he hrd inade his submission nt the council-table, and to pay a fine of 2,000 / Aud now at the bar he prayeth to be delivered, bec:use thin seatence is not warranted by any law or statute: fur the statute of 3 H .7 , which is the foundation of the court of Star-Clamiber, doth not give them any authority to punish for words only. But all the cuurt infmined him, that the court of Star-Chamber was not erected by the siatute of $\$$ III. 7 , hut was a court many years bcfurc, and one of the most high and honourable courts ofjustice; and to deliver one who was committed by the decree of one of the courts of justice, was not the ungge of this court; and therefore he was remanded."

As a concurrent proof of these proccedings concerning Mr. Chambers, we shall insert here a Petition of his (though out of time) to the Lung Parliament.

To the Parliament of the Commonwealth of England, scotland, and Ireland.
The brief Reminnstrance and humble Petition of Richard Chambers, merchaut, late alderderman and sheriff of the city of London :
"Shewing; That in the parlianent held in the years 1627 and 1628 , it ygas voted and icclared by the honourable house of commons, that whosocver shatl counse' or ndvise the taking or levying of the subsidy of Tunnage and Potadage, not granted by parlinuncut, or sbail be any actor or instrument therem, shall be reputed an innovator in the goverument, and a capital enemy to the kingdom and commonwealth; and if any merchant or person whatsoever shalf voluntarily yield or pay the said subsily of Tannage and Poundage, not beng grated by parliament, they shall likewise be repted betrayers of the litierties of England, sad gnemies to the sache, as way appear loy the saiel Urder upón reciod.
" In subuuiswion and obedicnce whereonto, the getitioner first opposel nad withstuod the paygient of Tuanage and Pounduge, until they
were settled by parliament, and all other illegal taxes ; for whicl submission and obedience, in the years 1698 and 1629, the petitioner had 7,060l. of his goods wrongfully taken and detuined front him by the late king's officers and farmers of thȩ Custom-housc of London, fur pretended duties, and a heavy sentence and fine in the Star-Chamber, which $W .3$ imposed upon him in the jear 1629. Besides which losses, the petitioner forther suffered in his person by six whole years imprisonment ia the Fleet, for not submitting to that sentence and fine; and un the year 1637, nine months imprisonment in Newgate for withstanding Ship-money; by which Josess and imprisonments, the petitioner wís put by the exercise of his calling, and was wounded in his credit and reputation.
" Which sufferings the honourable house of commons (apon the petitioner's complaint in the gear 1640, taking into thicir grave consisiderations, were pleased to refer the examination thereof to a committee of fifty members, wherein were included the committee for the navy and costomy; who being well satisfied of the truth thereof, by onth, and other good sufficient proofs upon record, drew up their report, that the petiuoner ought then to have $13,680 \mathrm{l}$. in part of reparation, leaving the rest of those repurations to the further judgment of the honourable house, as by the nneexed copy of that report may further appear. .
" In pursuit of which report, the parlinment then levied and reccived from the old farmers and officers of the customs $30,000 l$, for wrongs and abuses doue to the petitioner, chiefly, and other inerchants, intending first to give to the petitioner satisfaction out of the same, because he yas the first man that opposed the pretenderl duties, and the greatest sufferer.
"Whereupon in the year 1649, the petitioner was chosen alderrman, and in the year 16.4 sheriff of the city of London: which places the petitiouer enrnestly endeavoured to shum; but such were the earnest inportunities, and persuasive encouragements of divers members of the honourable house, (who then desired to hyve the petitioner in place of trust, for bis former service to the commonwealth) that the petitioner was constrained to accept nut only of the place of aldernaan, but further uuderwent the offise nad charge of sheriff of London, which stood the petitioner in 4,0001 . that year.
" But notwithstapding the afbresaid promises and intents of the parliament to give the petitinner satisfuction, such'were the great compulsive exigents, and urgent necessities of those tincs, caused ly the public distrattions, that the suid monics were converted to the public use. Therefore the parlimment desiced the petitioner to heve a little patience, promising him speedy satisfaction as well for the forbearance as for the principal debt. But the distractions continuing, the petitioner had neither intgrest nor any part of his principal. The parliartent in the year 1648, in part of satisfaction, sed led thapectitioner in the office of surveyor and c feck
in the Castom House of London, then worth least 600 h per annum; "but the petitioner hand ing enjoyed that place ouly eight moaths, wew causclesaly ousted by sinister information of intruders, who have enjoyed that office and divided the proft thereo between them ever sinces that intrusion.
" Morcover, the late kipg, by privy seal, owwi to the petitioner's wife (who is the reliet of Mb, Thomas Ferrer) for linen cloth 5,0 gol, and for moncy lent $1,200 \mathrm{l}$. for which she was assigned satisfaction out of the customs of tobacco. Besides, she was furtjer assigned out of sir Than mas Dawes' olfice 100 marks per nonum. A1Y which debts likewise lie wholly unsatisfied, fow the petitioner's great prejudice.
" Besides the aforesaid losses, hinderancty expences, sufferings, and forbearances of the profit of the said office, the petitioner from tinde? to time hath laid out himself for the commona; good, in acting, lending, spendiug, (and servingly when others refused; exposed binnself to thetf imminent danger at Brentford, by leading out at troop of horse for the privile, es, liberties, and rights of the city of London and commonwealthys insomuch, that thereby, and for waut of hiden tisfaction aforesaid, the poltioner, baving cothir sumed his estate, hath been constrajped to mell. and mortgage some part of his lands to pay creditors, and to maintain his fumily, having a: wife and nine childres; and is likely to be un-i. done for obeying the parliament's commands; unless by the justice and commiseration of thites honourable assenbly he be speedily relieved and righted ; for that ever since the snid reported: sum, the petitioner from time to time hath made his humble addresses to the supremed powers for the time being, for satisfaction thertof, anid to be restored to the snid office, bbt? could not prevail.
" The petitioner therefore humbly prays, that be may not perish for acting for the poblic good 6 according to the declaration of parliament; but; that now after 26 years suffering, whereof 10 ? years in fruitless and wearisome waitings, thise honourable assembly would now be pleased to take the unparallaled sufferings of the petitioner into their grave considerations, for some speedy course for the petitipner's satisfaction, to phy his debts, and redeem his lands, by ordering hims the oue moiety of his debt in ready money out: of the daily customs of London, (from whence, his first losses and sufferings sprang) and the : other nioiety to be discompted upon such goods as the petitioner shall make entries of by export-:ation or importation in the Custom House, Lots don, until his debt with the interest be filly f -d. tisfied and paid; or any pther speedy wis, all in: your grave wisdoms shall seen meet: and in like manner for his wife's debt, which is to pay debts and legacies: and that the petitioner may forthwilh be restored to, and setiled in the said office, and kave Reparations from the intruders.; And the petitioner, with bis, sbell imill duty ever pray, \&d. Ricuale Cfamares.", ..'

Sept. 6, 1654.
The petitioner being wearied out with 12 $^{\circ}$
xearcattendance upon ons parliament, in hopes of eqparation for his ienprisonment, troables. and losses, during the 11 years former interval of parliament, in standing for the liberty of the
subject, grew infirm; and being not relieved, was reduced to a low estate and condition. He died in snmmer 1658, being about the age of 70 years.
195. Proceedings in the Star-Chamber gainst Dr. Áeexander - Lengh'ton, for a Libel: 6 Charies I. a.d. 1630.
[" The following report of his Case is extracted ${ }^{\text {a }}$ froin 2 Rushworth, 35. Mrs. Macaulay, in her history, comments on the proceedings against Dr. Leighton with great severity. 2 Macaul. Ilist. 91. Indeed, the cruekty ot the Sentence is beyond excuse." Hargrase. AN Information fornerly exhitited in the Stur-Chamber ngainst Alexander Leighton, n: Scutsman born, and a doctor of divinity, caine to be heard the 1th of June is the ciurt of Star-Chnmper, fur framing a look entitled, 'An - Appenl to the Parlianent, or a Plea ugainst
' Prelacy,' which be primed and published,
daring the sitting of the last parliament: and delivered it to diverse pernous io a way of presenting just complaigts (as le gase out to the then eomnons house of parliameut, 4 Car. 1.

The defendant was charged loy the said $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{n}}$ forsatina with framing, publiching, and dispersing a scandalous hook .ngaiust King, Peers, and Prelates, whetcin unongst other thanys he sets forth these false and sedtions assertions and positions fillowing:

1. "That we do not read of greater persc* cution and ligher indignity done upon God's ${ }^{6}$ people in any nation professing the gospel,
Tthan in this our island, especially since the
' death of queen Elizabeth.
2. "He terms the Prelates of this realm "s men of hlood;' Hnd enemies to God and the
'state, and suith, that the maintaining and
${ }^{6}$ establishing of hishops within this realm is a
6 main and master sin estublished hy law, and

- that niminters shoold lave no voices in coun-- cil deliberative and decisive.

3. "He avowed the prelacy of our Church
's to be 'antichriatian and sutamical;', and ternis
'the hishops, 'ravens and magpues,' that prey 'upon the state.
4. "He terms the canons of nur church, 'made auno 1603, ' nonsense canous.'
5. " IIe disallowed and contemned the cere-
'mony of kneeling in the receiving of the sa-
' crament , alleing that the suggestion of fabe

- fears to the hing bv the prelacy, and the scek-
- ing of their owa unlawful standing, brought
fortisitat received spawn of the beast, knee.-
: ing at the receiving of the sacrament.
1,6. "He aftirms that the prelates did corrupt
- the king, forestalling his juiligment, neainst
'God anil gondness ; and most au. laciugsly and
- wiekedly calleth lus majesty's royal' cousort,
' our ucacious queen, ' 'he daughter of Heth.'

7. "He waost impiously seemst to connmend - him that committed ti.e barbart us :ant bloody "Aact of inurdering the late duke of Backing-
'ham; and to encourage others to second him - in the like wicked and desperate attcupt, to ' the destruction of others.
8. " Ile layeth a most seditious scundal 'upon the king, state, sud hingdom, wickedly ' uffirming, 'that all that pats ly fespoil as, and ' ' we spoil all that' rely upon vs;' and unongst 'other particulars, instanceth the black pining.
'death of the famished Rochelers, to the num' ber of 15,000 in finur montlis: by which pas'sages and wirked positions snd nsocrtions, he ' did, as inuch as in hins lay, acandnlize his ina' jesty's sucred person, his religious, wise nal - just goveroment, the persin of his ryyul con's,rt the queen, the persous of the lords and 'peers of this realm, especially the reverend - bishops.
9. "That in another place of the snid Book, 'endeavouring to slander not only his majesty's - sacred person and government, but also to ' detract from his royal power, in making laws ' and canons for gherument erclesiastical, and - in matters concerning the church, he saith, ' that the charch hath her laws from the scrip-- ture, and that no king may make laws in the ' house of Giad: for if they might, then the 'scripture might be imperfect.
10. "And further charged, that in another 'place of the said book, thinking to salve all ' with an expression of his sicred majety, lie 'hath these words following; 'what pity it is " and indelible dishonasr it will be to you the " states representative, that so ingenmous and " $f$ tractable a king should be mo manstrouly " abused, to the undoing of linastif and his "s subjects ?"
The defendant in his Auswer confessed the writing of the Book, bat with no such ill intention, as by the said infornation is suggested; his end therein being ouly torremonstrate certain Grievances in church and state, under which the people suffered, to the end the parliament might take them into consideration, and so give sach redress, as might be for the honour of the king, the quiet of the people, and the peace of the church

Ac the hearing of the cause (June 4), the defendant's Apswer was read at large, and tho aforesaid particulars charged in the Informetion ns seditious and scandalous, were ulso read out of the Book. After which the court proceeded to give sentence, and did thicre derlare, that it evidenily appeared upon pronf, That the defendant har printed 5 or 600 of the suil hooks, and Chat in their opinious he had comnitted a mot odious and heinous offence, deserving the sevelest puniphment the court could inflict, fur
framing and publishing a book so full of most pestulent, devilish and dangerous assertions, to the scandal of the king, queen and peers, especially the bishops.
The two Lord Chief Justices being present, delivered their opinions, that they would without auy scruple jave proceeded aguinst the defendant as lor treasou coummitted by bum, if it had come befores them; and other lorls expressly athimed, that it was ho majesty's excceding grent mercy and goodness, thet he was lronght to receive the consure of thas vourt, and not questoned at another tribual as a thaitor.

And their lordshins by an unanimous consent adjuilged an*al decreed, That Dr. Leughton should the comminited to the prison of the Fleet, there to remain durmg lite, unless lis majesty shall be graciously pleasery to enlange him; and he shatl pay a fine of 10,000 ), to lis majesty's use.

And in respect the defendant hath herctorfore entered into the ministry, and this court for the reverence of that colling, rlath not use to inllict any corporal or iguomisous pumblment upon uny person, so loug as they continue in oiders, the cuurt doth refer him to the Highcommission, there to be degrated of his ministry; and that being done, be shall then also for firther punislment and example to others, he brought into the pillory at Westminster, (the court sitting) and there whipued, nud after bis whipping be set upon the pillory for some convenient spare, and have une of his ears cut off, and hity unse slit, nnd be branded in the face witha double SS, for a Sower of Sealition; and shall then be carried to the prison of the Fleet, and at some other convenient time afterwards slaid be carried into the pillory at Cheapside, upon a market-day, and be there likewise whipr, and then be set upon the pillosy, and have his uther ear ent off, and from thence be carried back to the pnson of the Fleet, there to remain during life, unless his majesty shall be graciously plensed to inlarge him.

This Sentence being given toward the end of Trinity-term, and the court not usually sitting after the term, unless upon emengent occasions, and it requiring some time in the Ficclesiastical court, in order to the degradation of the slefendant, it was Michachas-term following before any part of ehe Sentence could be put in execution; but Nov. 4th he was accordingly degraded, and on Wednesday Nov. 10th (heing a Star-Chamher day) he wis to hawe undergone the execution of this sentence; but the evening before he escaped out of the Fleet, where be had bewn k'pt a close pisoner, nnd -information hereof being giveu wh the lords of the privy-council, they ordered this hue and cry to be printed to retake him.
A Hue and Cry against Dr. Leighton, by order of the Privy-Counail.

- Whereas Alexander Leighton, a Sgottish ${ }^{6}$ man born, who was lately sentenced th the
${ }^{4}$ honourable coutt of Star-Chamber, to
vel. itt.
' great fine to his majesty, and to undergo cort 'poral punislunent, for writing, printing, and 'publishing a very libellous ann scandalous * book against the king, aud his govermment, ${ }^{6}$ hath this 11th day of November cscaped out ' of the prisun of the Fleet, where he was a 'prisoncr: these are in his majesty's name to ' require and command sil justices of peace, ' mayors, sherifis, bailifis, cu-tomers, searchera ' and ofbeers of the ports, aifl all other his ma' jeaty's loving suljects, to use all diligence for "the apprehending of the said Alexander I eigh' tun, and being apprehended, safcly to keep ' him in custody, pntil his majesty shall receive ' notice thereof, and shall give furtheradirectiont ${ }^{\text {' }}$ concersing him. Ile is a man of low stature, - thir complexion: he hath a gellowish beard, a - Ingh furchead, betw cen forty and lifty years of 'age.'
- Thus live and ery followed him to Bedfordshire, where lee was apprcitended, and brought aguill a prisoner to the Eleet. Concerning whose escape, and execuilig of the Sentence upon him afervarily, the bishop of Jondon in his Disry, on the 4th of Norember, makes this memnrial, viซ.
' Jeeghon was degraded at the High-Com"minoion, Tuesilay the 9 th of November: ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ' Hest night leighton lroke out of the Fleet, "the wurden sivy he got or was helped over 'the wall, and moreover professed he knew ' not this till Weducsday noon, he told it not * me till 'Thüraday wight. Ile was taken again ${ }^{6}$ in Bedfordshire, nad brought back to the - Flect, within a furtnizht. Friday Novem" bor the 16th, part of his sentence was ere' cuted upon him in this manner, in the new 'palace at Westminster, in'tarm time: 1. He ' was severcly whipt bofore lie was put in the ${ }^{\text {c }}$ pillory. 2. Being set in the pillory, he had " one of his ears cut off. 3. One side of his ${ }^{6}$ nose slit. 4. Branded on one check + with a ' red hot iron, with the letters S S, signifying a " Stirrer up of Sedition, and afterwards carried "back again prisoner to the Flect, to be kept - it close custody.
' And on that day seven-tight, his sores upon " his back, ear, mose, and face being out cured, " he was whipt again at the pillory in Cheap'side, and there hat the remainder of his sen' tence executed upon him, by cutting off the "other ear, slitting the other syde of the nose, 'and branding the other cheek.'

The scvere punishment of this unfortunate gentleman many people pitied, he being a person well known both for learning, and other abilities; only his untempered zeal (as his countrymen then gave out) prompted him to that mistake, for which the necessity of affairs at that time required this severity frum the hand of the magistrase, more than perhaps the crime, would do in a following juncture.

Aftemards those who procured his escape were taikw andlrought into the Star-Chamler, ąud nroceeded against, viz. Tho elefendants practising with one Leighton, $A$ notable of: iender, to prfcure his encape out of the Fleet,

887] STATE TRIALS, 0 Ce. I. 1630-Proceedings againut the Earl of Bedford, dsc. [888

Levingston put of his cloak, hat and breeches, being all of a grey colour, and Andersun his doublet, and Leighton pat theirs on, and in that disguise they all went out of the Flieet unsuspected; but were atterwards taken again, and for these offences, and respect had of their penitency, they were ully fined 500l. a-piece,

* "In 16.41 the hogse of commons came to several Resolutions in condemation of the pro-
and committed to the Fleet during the king's pleasure ${ }^{*}$.
ceedings against Dr. Leighton. Particularly, they resolved, that the fine and corporal punishment and imprisonment by the sentence of the Star-Chamber were illegal, and that he ought to have satisfaction for his sufferings and dannages, Journ. Comm. A1 April 1641." Hargrave.


## 136. Proceedings in the Star-Chamber against the Earl of Bedford, the Earl of Clabe, the Earl of Somerset, Sir Robert Cotton, Join Selden, esq. Oliver St. John, esq. aph,others, for publishing a seditious and scandalous W'riting : 26th May, 6 Charles I. a. d. 1630. [Rushiv. Ilist. Coll. Tanner's MSS. in the Bodleian Library.]

[" The written piece, which gave occasion to these Proceedings, was a most unconstitutional Project ${ }^{\circ}$ for advancing the king's Prerogative and Revenue. It appears to have been sent over from Italy ly the fimous sir Hobert Dadley, son of queen Elizabeth's thivourite the earl of Leicester; und sir Robert is supposed to have been the author; though if that wus really so, it highly refects on one, who on other accounts is transmitted to us with, high encomiums for his mental endowments and accomplishments, as the reader will see by cousulting sir William Dugdale. See 2 Dugi. Baron. 222. It is probable, that the prosecution was commenced, in order to exculpate both James and Charles

[^18]the first, with their respective ministers, from the inputation of approving of the Project. It may seem surprizing to the reader, that such persons as Mr. Selden, and the other defendants maned, except the earl of Somerset, should lie under a suspicion of comntenancing Proposituons so irreconcileable with their political professions and conduct at the time. But, ns there can be no reason for supposing that they gave their approbation to such arbitrary proposals, perbaps they were included in the prosecution from a suspicion of having encouraged a belief, that the king secretly favoured the scheme and meditated to execute it. On consulting the Book intitted the " Annals of James and
original manascript was peuned by sir Robert Dudles, at Florence, and sent over hitheł in the time of king Janes, by one Mr. Tates, who sent it in a lettel to the deponent, and he delivered it to the earl of Aomerset, and the ear! communicated it w, the king.-While this cause was heanng in a great presence of the nobility and gentry, the king sent word to the Lord Keeper, "that in respect of the great joy upon the birth of his son, he should immediately order the proceerlings to be stopped and the defendants to be discharged." Accordingly, the kecper acquainted the conrt with his misjesty's special command, and upon which the said writing was ordered to be burned, as seditious, and scandalous, and the proceedings were aken off the file, And here, though the project was proved to have beefi a private essay in a former reign, and in a forcign country, and though the stopping of process hercupon was a geuerpus act of favour, upon a proper. season of public joy, yet those persons who had the art, and the ill-nature, to turn every thing as a disgrace and a disadvantage upon the court, knew how to insinuate as if the king and the ministry had renlly formed that scheme against the usc of future parliaménts, and therefore tould not suffer it to be examiatd to the bottqu."

State trials, 6 Caneles I. 1630--for publiating a aeditious Writing. [ 360

Charles the 'First," we observe that the author adopts a like construction, adding that the piece in question was written by sir Robert Dudley at Florence, in 1613. See p. 361.-We shall now lay before the reader, first the writing which was the cause of the Prosecutiong ynd secondly the account of the Proceedings in the Star-Chamber; for both of which are are obliged to Mr. Rushworth." Hargrave.]
Ertruct from Rushavorth's Appendix to his Historical Collections, col. 1. p. 19.
A Paoposition for uis Majetty's Service to bridle the Impertinence of ParLIAMENTSS
TIIE Proposition for youro majesty's service, containeth two parts: the oue to secure your State, and to bridle the Impertinency of 1'arliameuts: the other, to increase your majesty's Hevenue, much more than it is.

1. Touching the first, having considered divers menis, I find none so important to stremgthen your majesty's regal anthority, akainst all oppositions and practises of troublesome spirits, and to bridle them, than to fortify your kinglom, by having a fortress in every clief town, and important place thereof, furnishicd with ordnance, munition, aud faithful men, as they ought to be, with all other circumstances fit for to be digested in a business of this nature; ordering withal, the trainol soldices of the cuantry to be united in one dependency with the sadd fort, as well to secure their tegmaing as to succour them in any occasion of suspect; and nlso to retain and keep their arms for more security, whereby the conatries are no less to be benught in suljection, than the cities themselve, and consequently the whole kingdon ; your inajesty having by this course the power thereof in your own hands. The reasons of the suggests are these. 1.17at in policy, there is a greater tie of the people by force und necessity, ihan merely by love and affection; for by the one, the government resteth always secure; but by the other, no longer than the people are contented. 2. It forceth obstinate subjrcts to be no more presumptuous, than it pleaseth your majesty to permit them. 3. That to leave a statg unfurnished, is, to give the hridle thereof to the subjects; when, by the cuntrary, it restetio only in the prince's hands., 4. That modern fortresses take long time in winning, with such charge and difficulty, as no subjects in theso aimes have meansaprobable to attempt them. 5. That it is a sure remedy against rebellion, and popular nuétinies, or agninst foreign powers ; because they cannot *ell succeed, when by this course the apparent means is taken away to force the king and subject upon a doubtful fortune of a set battle, as was the cause that moved the pretended invasion ngainst the land, attempted by the kipg of Spain in the year 1588. 6. That your majesty's government is the more secure, by the people's more subjection; and by their sụ jection, your parliainent must be forced co nse-
quently to alter their style, and to be conformable to your will and pleasure ; for their words and opposition import nothing, where the power is in your majesty's own bands, to do with thera what you plense; being indeed the chief purpose of this discourse, and the secret intent thereof, fit to be csucealed from any English at all, cither counsellors of state or other.

For these, and divers pther weighty reasons, it may be considered in thilh place, oto make yeur majesty more powerful and strong, some. orders be observed, that are used in fortified countries, the government whereof imports as much as the states theinselves, I mean, in times of doubt or suspect, which are these. Imprimis, that none wear arms or weapons at all, cither in city or country, but such as your mnjesty may think fit to privilege, and they to be curolled. 2. That as many highways as conveniently may be done, be made passable through . those cities and towns fortifed, to constraia the passengers to travel through them, 3. That the soldiers of foriresses be sometimes chosen of another nation, if subject to the same prince; but howsoever, not to be born in the snme province, or within 40 or 50 miles of the furtress, and not to lonve friends or correspondency near it. 4. 'That at all the gates of ench wolled town be appointed oflicers, not to suffer auy unknown passengers to pass, withnut a ticket, shewing from whence he came, and whither to go. And that the gates of caeli city be shut all night, and kegs kept by the mayur in governor. 5 . Also imnkerpers to deliver the naues of all unknown passenges that lodge in their houses; and if they stay suspiciously nt nny time, to present them to the governor: whereby dangerous persons seeing these atrict courses, will be more wary of their actions, and thereby misclierous attempts will be presented. All which being referred to your majesty's wise consideration, it is méet for ine withal to give you some satisfaction of the rharge and time to perforin what is purposid, that you inay not be discouraged in the difficulty of the one, or prolongation of the other; buth which duahts are resolved in one and the same reason, in respect that, in Fingland, each chief town commonly hath a ruinated castle, well suated for strength; whose foundation and stopes remaining, may be both quiclly repaired for this use, tund with little charge and industry made strong enough, I hope, for thia purpose, within the space of one year; by adding withal bulwarks and rampiers for the ordnance, according to the rules of fortification. The ordnance for these forts may be of iron, Aot to disfuruish your majesty's navy, or be at a greater charge than is needful.
To maintain yearly the fort, I make account an ordinary pay, 3,000 men will be sufficient, and will require $40,000 l$. charge per annum, or thereabouts, being an expence that inferior princes undergo, for their necessary safety. Alk which progention, ndded to the invincible seaforce your Anjesty hath Nready, or ${ }^{2}$ may bave will make you the most powerf and obeyed king of the world: whic I geald likewise coat
firm by many examples; but I omit them for brevity, and not to confuse your majesty with tao nuich matter. Your majesty may tuad liy the scope of this discourse, the nueans shewel in general to bridle your snbjects, that may he either discontent or obstinate. Sio likewise am I to conclute the same intent purticularly, agninst the perrersences of yout parliament, as well to suppress that permicious humour, is to avoid therp oppastlsems against your proht, being the second part to be discoursed on: and therelize have first thought fit, for better prevention thereof, 10 make known to your majesty the purpose of a generad Oath your subjects may'take, for sure avoiding of all rubs, that may hinder the conciusion of these businesseg. It is further meant, that no sulject, upon pain of high treason, may relise the same oath, containing only matter of allegiatere, and not scruples in points of consricnce, that may give pretence not tor be denied. The efliect of the oath is this, that all your najesty's sobjects do acknowleclge you to be as absolute a king and monarch within your dominions, as is nmong the Christian puinces; and your prerogative as great; whereby you may mind shall of yourself; hy your ,majeoty', proclamatom, as well as other sovereign priaces doing the like, either make lans, or teverse any made, with any other net so great a wonareh as yourself may do, and that without firther consent of a paliziment, or need to call them at all in such cases; considering, that ita parliament in all matters, excepting causes to be sentenced at the highest court, ought to le sabject unto your Eapjesty's will, to give the negative or nflimmative coredasion, and not be constrained by their impertinencies to any incoin enience, appertaining to your majesty's regal authority; and this, notwithstandirg any had pretence or custom to the contrary in practisc, which indeed were fiter to be offered a prince elected, without other right, than to your majesty, horn successively ling of England, Scothand, and Irel.und, and your beir, for ever; and so recelved, not only of your subjects, but also, of the whole world. How necessary the dangerous supremacy of pariiament's usurpation is to be preveuted, t:ec caanple of Lewis the 11th, king of Trumce, duth manifest, who foraud the like opprosition as your majcsty doth, and by his wisdom suppressed it. And to the purpose here intealed, which is not to put diown altogether Parliaments and their authority, beng in many cases very necessary and fit; but to abridge them so far, as they seek to derogate from your majesty's regal authority, and advaucement of you greatness; the caution in offering the aloresnid Oath, may require snme policy, for the easier passage at first, cither by aingular or particular tractation ; and that so near about one time over the land, ns one goverument may not know what the other istendeth; so it may pass the easier, by hauing no time of combination or oppositon. N"iere is another anapg also more certuin $\psi$ on this, to bring to pass ong oath'ti, ce casily as also your profit and whatelge $S$ istended; yshich here I
omit for brevity, requiring a long discourse by itself, and have set it down in particular instructions to inform your majesty.
2. The second pait of this discourse is, touching your majesty's profit, after your state is secured: wheren I should observe both some reasonable coutent to the people, as also consider the great expences that prinecs havo now-a-days, mote than an tinus pist, to maintain their greatne-s, and safety of their subjects, who, if they have not wit ur will to consider their own interest so nuch indifferently, your majenty's wisdon must repair their defects, and furce them to it by compulsion; but I hope there shall be no snch cause, in points so reasonable, to merease your magiszis revenue, wherein I set dowa divers nieuns fior your gracious self to make choice of, either all or part at your plessure, and to put it in execution by such decree, and cautions, is your great wisdom slinll think fit in a business of tha nature.

Imprimis, the first meaus or course intended to increase your majesty's revenues ar profits withal, is of greatest consequence, and I call it a decimation, being so termed in Italy, where in some part it is in use, importing the tenth of all subjects estates, to be pard as a yearly rent to their pruce, and as well monied-mien in towns, as lamded nen in the countries, their value and estates cstecmed justly as it is to the true value, though with reason; and this paid yearly in money: which course appled in Eugland for your majesty's service, may serve instead of snbsidies, filteens, and such like, which in thas case are fit to be released, ton the salijects bericfit nad content, in recompence of the said drcima, which will yield your majesty more in certainty, than they do casually, by $500,000 l$. per annum at the least.

Item, that when your myjesty hath gotten motey into your hands by some courses to be set down, it would be \& profitable course to cucrease your citrada, to buy out all cstates and leases upon your owiu lands, in such sort, ns they be uade nol losers; whereby having your lands free, and renting it out to the true valae, as it is most in use, and not employed as heretofore, at an old rent, and sinall fines, you may then rent it out for at least four or five tines more mout $y$ than the old rent poanes unto. So as if your majesty's lands be nalready but 60,000 l. per annum, by this course it will be nugmented at the least $200,000 l$. per annum : and to buy out the tenants estates will come to a small matter by the course, fo make them no losers, considering the gain they hare already made upon the land: and this is the rather to be done, and the present course chauged, because it hatio been a custom used merely to cozen the king.

Item, whereas inost princes do receive the benefit of salt in their own bands, as a mntter of great profit, because they receive it at the lowest price possible, and vent, it at double guin yearly; the same course used by your maje $y$ y, were worth at least 150,0001 . per annus. It is likewise in other parts, that all
weights and measures of the land, either in private houses, shpps, or public markets, should be viewerl to be just, and sealed once a year, paying to the prince for it; which in England, applied to your majesty, with order to pay $6 d$, for the senling of each said weight or netasure, would yield negr $60,000 l$, per ammum.

Item, though all countries pay a gabella for transportation of eloth, and so likewise in England; yet, in Spain, there is impost upon the wools, which in England is so great a wealth and benelit to the sheep-mastern, as they may well pay you 5 l. per cent. of the true ralue nt the shearing, which I conceive may be worth 140,000), per ansum.
ltem, wheveas the lawyers fees and gains in England be excessive, tooyour suljects prejudice: it were better for your inajecty to nale use thereof, and impose on all causes sentenced with the party, to pay $5 l$. per cent. of the true value that the cause luath gainal lan! ; and for recompence thereof, to limit all lawyers fees and gettings, whereby the subject shall sase more in fees aul clarges, than he giveth to your mnjesty in the gabella, which I believe may he worth, one year with mother, $50,000 /$.

Iten, whereas the mus and vietualling-houses in England are more chargeable to the fratelless than in other conntrics, it were gond for your majesty to limit them to certain ordinarics, and raise besides a large inposition, as is used in Tuscany, and other parts; that is, a prohibiting all mis and victualling-hnoses, but such as shall pay it; and to impose upon the chicf mans aud huerns, to pay iol. a-year to your majesty, and the wonst $5 l$. per annum, and all alc-houses zUs, per numum, more or less, as thy are min custom. Of all sorts there are so many in England, that this inpost may well gicld $100,000 \mathrm{l}$. per annum to your majenty.
Item, in Tuscany, and otheroparts, there is a gabella of all cattle, or flesh, and boves sold in markets, paving three or four per cent. of what they are sold for, which by conjecture may he worth in Vingland, 200,000L. per annum ; using the like custom upon fish, and other victaals, (bread excepted) and for this cause, flesh, and fish, and victuals in the markets, to be prised and sold by weight, whercby the subiect sareth more in not being cozened, tian the imposiuon impairethe them.

Item, in Tuscany is used a taxation of 7 per, cent. upon all alienation of hands to the true value. As aleo 7 per cent. upon all dowries, or marriage-monjes. The lite, if itche justiy used in Eugland, were worth at least 100,000 . per annunı; with many other taxations upon meal, and upon all merchandises in all tonns, as well as port-towns, which here Iomit, with divers others, as not so fit for England. And in su-isfaction of the solject for these taxes, your majerty may be pleosed to release them of wardships, and to enjoy all their estates at 18 yrars old; and in the mean fime, theif profits to be preserved for their own benefit. And also in forfeitures of estate by condemat ion, your majesty may release the subject, as inft to
take the forfeiture of their lands, but their goods, high treason only excepted; and to allow the counsel of lawyers in case of life-and death; as also not to be condemned without two witnesses, with such like benefit, which importeth much nore their good than all the taxations named can prejudice them.
Iteru, some of the former taxations, used in Ireland and in Scotland, as may easily be biought about by the first example thereof used in Enpland, may very well lie made to increase your tevenue there, more than it is, by 200,00il. per ana.
Item, all offices in the land, great and small, in your mnjesty's grant, may be granted, with condition, to pay you a part yearly, according to the value: this, in time, may be worth, ps $I$ conceive, 100,000 . per aunum : adding also notaries, attoraics, and such like, to pay some proportion yearly towaris it, for being allowed by your minjesty to practise, and prolibiting efse any to practise in such places.
Item, to reduce your majesty's henschold to board-wager, as most other prices do, reserving some few tailes; this will save your nuajesty 00.000/. per amum, and case greatly the subject besides, both in carrages and prosision, which is a good reason, that your majesty in honour might do it.
Item, I know na arsured course in your majesty's navy, which may save at least 40,000 . per annunt, which regurring a while discourse by itelf, I omit ; only promise you to do it, whencever you command.
Item, wherens your majesty's laws do command the strict keeping of fastug-days, yout may also prohilat na those, dnys to eat eggs, cheese, and white-meats, buit euly such as are contented to pay eighteen pence a year for the liberiy theat them, and the better surt ten shillints. The employnent of this may be for the delence of the land, in maintaing the nasy, garrisons, and such like, much after the fathion of a Crosado in Spain, as your majesty knoweth, being fint legun there under the pretence to defend the land agamst the Moors. And the same used in England, as aforessid, mav very well yold, one year with another, 100,000 l without any disgust to any, because it is at every one's choice to give it or no. Lastly, I bave a course opon the Catholics, and very safe for your majesty, being with their ppod-liking, as it may be wrought, to yield you presently at least 200,0001 . per annum, by raisng a certan ralue upon their lands, and some other impositions; which requiriog a long discourse by itself, I will omit it here, setting it down in my instructions. It will save your majesty at lenst 100,000 , per annum, to make it pan of death and confiscation of goods awd lands, for any of the officers to cozen you, which now is much to be feared they, dop or else they could not be so rich ; and hereint anallow'n fousth part benefit to them that shall frid out the cozenage. Move is not
 \&cc. being ofr. ers of the

The sum of all this account amounteth unto two millions, or $2,000,000 \mathrm{l}$. per nme. : suppose it to be but one mullion sud a half, as assuredly your majesty may make by these courses set down, yet it is much more than I promised in my letter for your majesty's service. Besides, some sums of money in present, by the courses following: imprimis, by the prince's marriage, to make all the earis ju Fagland grandecs of Spain, and priacipi, with such like privileges, and to pay $20,000 \mathrm{l}$. a-piece tor it. 2. As also, if you make them fecditaries of the towns belonging to their earldoms, if they will pay for it besides, as they do to the king of Spain in the kingdora of Nuples. And so likewise barons, to be made earls and peers, to pay 19,000 l. apiece, I think might yield $500,000 \%$, and oblige them more sure to his majesty. 3. To make choice of 200 of the richest men of England in estate, that be not noblemen, and make them titulate, as is ssed in Naples, and paying for it; that is, a duke 30,0001 . a marquis 15,000). an earl 10,000 , and a haron or viscount 5,000 . It is to be understood, that the ancient nobility of baroos, made carls, are to precule these as peers, though these be made marquises or dukeq; this may mise a million of pounds and mo: uato your myjesty. To makr gentlemen of lnw quality, and fizucklons, aus rich farmes, eymits, to prrcelle them, woudd yield your majesty also a geen suin of money in preseat. I l.now anctber course to yieli your majesty at lenct 300,0001 , in moncy, which as jet the time serieth not to discover, until your mijesty be resolisel to proceed ive some of the former cources, wheh till then 1 omit. Other courses, tusn, that may nake present money, I shall stuny for your majesty's service, and, as I tind them out, aequaint you withal.
Lastly, to concliude all these discourses by the application of this course used for your profit, that it is not only the means to make you the richest hing that ever Fingland had, but also the safety augunented therely to be most sccure, besides what nas shewed in the first part of this discourse; 1 mean, by泡e occasion of this taxation, and raising of Pononies, your majesty shall bave cause and Hneans to inploy in all-places of the land so bany officers and ministers, to be obliged to bou for their own good and interest, as nothing juan be attempted against your person, or royal state, ovet land, but some of then shall, in all yrobability, lave means to find it out, and hinder it. Besides, this course will detect many - Wigorders and abuses in the public government, which were bard to be discovered by men indifferent. To prohibit gorgeous and costly epparel to be worn, but by persons of good (auality, shall save the gentry of the kingdom mach more money, than they shwll be saxed to pay unto your majesty. Thus withal I take my leave, and kiss your gracious hands, desiring pardon:for my error I may contnit heceif.

Eetract fom Rushacge . h, tod. op,p, 51. Ox the 20th deMaysi 1630 , a gropt cause was
brought to hearing in the Star-Chamber, concerning a Discourse, intituled, 'A Proposition ' for hus Majesty's Scrvice to bridie the Imper'tinency of Parliaments.' Wherein the king's Attorney-General was plaintiff, the earl of Bedford, the earl of Clare, the earl of Somesser, sir Robert Cotton, John Selden, Oliver St. John, and others, defeadants. Here now followeth the Answers of the Defendants, and the Judgment of the court thereapon, viz.

Alter the king's Attorney-Gencral opened the aforementioned Iuformation, the Answer of Robert carl of Somerset to the said Information, was also opened by his council, to this effect:

That the Discourse, as he Lelievel, was ejther the same that was shewed him in the tine of his attendance near lis late majesty kiug Jaines, or had many of the same things in it: and fiuding no cause of concealing a Proposition made in a former king's time, and havng no apprehension, that scaudal to lus majesty, or the present goverament, might therehy happen, he casually imparkd it to the earls of Bedford and Clare, who, atter perisal thercoff, delivered their opinon concermung it, at ther nevt mettagg; ' that it was n phantastic pro'ject of sonse brain-she traveller, who had ' made collections of sume prances in Italy, and ' other forcign stater, no way suitable in the ' government of thi, kangdom.'
-Ind further said, that, besides that one time, there was nerer any conference, nor any passage by letter or otherwisc, betwixt them concerning it, or with any other person, and dc. med that be cither contrised the Proposition, ma hoew of the contrivang thereof, os cier namgined that his majesty would innovate the antieut forin of goremment, dispose of the estates of his suljects wathout their consents, make or repeal laws by proclamation wnthout consent of parkausent, plant garrixou- in lus priacipal cities und towns, or put in execution any part of the said discourse : and the reason why be did not present the discouse to his majesty, or some of the lords of the council, or some magistrate, was, because he did not conceive the same did in any sort concern the tinie of his majesty's government, but was contrived in some former time, as appeared manifestly, by the particulars therein contained; and that about 16 or 17 gears aga, sir David Forrler, shewed him the project, to whom he replied, 'That he was satisfied uo use could be made thereof, and so he redeliveral it, and concluded that the divulging thereof was ia his opioion pardoned by the general pardon granted upon his now majesty's curonation.'

The rest eit the Defendants denied any their . contrivance thereof, alledging the author, as they were informed, was lising beyond sea, and that they ought not to be questioned for it, being writ in the time of king James, and not in refereace to his now magiesty's government, denying that they hud the least thought or infention to scandalize the government; for that (hey rejected the discourse as soon as they
read it, as a foolish and impertinent issue o: some projecting brain; and they averred their detestation of such a project, and that they hore loyal hearts to his majesty, and blessed God for the happy and peacenble government under him.

After the publication of the Cause in order to a hearing, it appeared by the Depositions of sir David Fowles, that he received the said Writing from one Mr. Yates, in the time of king James, who brought it from sir Robert Dudley at Forence, together with a Jetter, desiring him to deliver it to the carl of Somerset, that he might communicate it to king James, which was done accordingly, and that in his learing the earl sigitied a dislike thereof; and that he received it back from the clall, being the orignnal, and kept it by him till the lords of the conacil sent for it, and that he made no copy tl: reuf.

It appeared ulso by the Depositions of other "itnesses, that this Discourse, nine years ago, ivas bought by them in Little-Hritain anongt thet mamiscripts.
'30 th. Cause coming to hearing, a great we ence of nobility being in court, the attorregy gencral opened the Charge. But before zuch proce dung, his majesty scnt word unto tion land herpe= Coventry, then in court, that tion queen was irought to bed of a son, and a a. , , ate message alos was delivered to hom fiom ithe king; wherenpon the Lowit-hecper declared a rourt, that his mout snered uajesty had tahou this matter into bis must geroos consideration. and athough the same was of so high a nature, at it was necessary to be brought in guishom, (belig contrary to many laws and ecatules, and the common law itself') yet his majoty halancing the same in the scales of justice and nercy, the Author of the Disoourse being discovered to live beyondthe seas, found these Defendants rathet fitting tu be objects of his mercy, than justuce, they being some of them noblemen, and such as bis majeuty did and doth well esteem and hike of, in his royal opimion : and that his majesty was the rather inclined to extend his goodness, in regard of the time; it hasing now pleased the great justice of heaven to bless his majesty and his kiugdom with a royad issue of his body, a hopeful prince, the great joy and long expectation both of the king aud kingdom.
Upon this declaration of the king's pleasure the Lord-Kecper made known, that the court by his majesty's special command was to proceed no further in the hearing of this cause; Lut ordered the Project, or Book, to be burat, as seditious and scaudalous, both to his mafesty, the state, and government of this kingdom. And ordered the Proceedings to be taken of the file.

An Account of this Proposition is contaned in a volume in the hand-writing of arcllbishop Sancroft among Tanner's MSS. in the Bodlyian Library at Uxford. It begins with the Atfor-asej-General's Information as follows :
a To the King's most excellent Ma'ty.
"Humbly complayning enformeth your most excellent ma'ty, sir liob. Heath kt . your ma'ties Attorney-Gen'all, that whereus your sacred ma'tie ever since your happy accesse to the imperiall crowne of this realin hath gov'ned your people with soe much justice, and moderation, that all your good gubjects do beare that rev'ence nud love to your sacred person, as is justly due to so graciuus a soveraigne; and your ma'ty, next to the service of Almighty Goul, and the maintenance of his true religion, hath preserved artil mainteived the ancient and fundamentall laws of this kingdom without innovation : Yet so it is, may it please your moz excellent majesty, That some malicious persons who are as yet ouknowne to your said Atjorney, being ill aflected to your ma'ty, and to vour happy gov'uenent, ang intending to raise false scandalls, and sedutious rumours against yont ma'ty; aud your gracious govergem't, lave of late wichedly and sedituously frumed, contrived, and writev, a fals; sedinuus, and pestileut discourse in these words following."

Then follows the Proposition as printed above, ending with a formal request to the king, to call on the defendants for trial, signedl by the Attorney-General. Then follows:
The Asswen of Francis, Earle of Bedford, oue of the Der'ts, to the Information exhibited ngamst him, and others, by Sir R. Heatly Et . his Ma'tues Attorney-Gen'all.
The said Def't, saving, \&c. with all duty and thankfullnesse, doth achnowledge $y^{\prime} t$ his ma'ty baila with great justice and moderation governed lus people, for which among the rest of his loving sabjects, thas del't hath alwnies had and ever ghall have a speciall love and reverence of his sacred person, as due to so gracions a sov'agne. He duth aloo acknowledge his ma'tics gracions care to prescrve and maintaine the ancient, and fundamentall lawes of this kingdome, without imovation; insomuch that the sard def't nev'r had thought, that his most excellent majesty did entend to innovate his 'awes in any thing: for his gov'inent hath been so moderate as this def't hath alwaies conceived it to be a speciall bappiness to lis people, neither did be, this def't, ever raise any rymours at all agninst his ma'ty, or his govement And concerning the discouse in the information. mentioned, the said def't saith that he did not name, write, or contrico the same, nor doth know who did name, write, or contriye the same, but for declaration of the def't's knowedge and thoughts concerning the same, saith that he never didi see or heare the suid discourse until the month' of July or Augost, in the Sth year of 'his ma'ty's happy reigne, about which iime; asfriting conteyuing the said discourse was sent t this def't, he then being, at his house in Wkoborne 'o Bedford! ire ; which : was sent, as tje def't w. $\quad$ inforfhed, from one ${ }_{3}$ Mr. Oliver Sf. John n. $\because$ UIn's Inne, whoi
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then and formerly was of this def't's councell in his law busnesse, and within two or three weeks after, the s'd Mr. St. John repaired to this def't's honse, and there this def't and the s'd Mr. St. John, had some speceh and conference concerning the said discourse, upon which conference the s'd Mr. St. Jolin did declare to this deft, that he had the said discourse from s'r, linb. Cotton, kt, and baronct, and that he was enformed and told, that it was written aliont the 12 ih year of the late $k$. James, of blcssed memory, which this def't easily believed, for that be observed it could not be applied ushis ma'tics time, $y^{\prime} t^{6}$ now is, as well for that there is in the same discounse mention made of the king's snn the prince, to be married for raising of present monies, as for some other passuges therein, w'ch this sel't doth still beleeve; nether did this del't think, or beleeve, or can be perswaded, that it was presented, or meant or intended to be preseated to his gracious ma'ty, y't now i-, for that he hath observed his ma'ties aversness from such courses. Aud he this def't further s'th that he this def't kept the sanne discomse by lam, till the end of Sept'r or begioning of Oct'b'r in the said year, at w'ch time this def't repared to his house in the parish of Chiswick in the county of Mifldesex, in w'ch parish the earle of Som'sett, and other of the del'ts named in the same information both then and before dweit, and after some time of thes def't's being nt (lliawick aforesand, meeting with the suad carle of Som'sett, and conferring of divers matters happening in stach time, as the esrle of Som'sett haul bicen in grace und favour with his s'd late ma'tie, a anong ot, er speeches, they had communcation of sev'.ll ways then propounded hoth for pre-ervatiou, ay aloo for merease of lis s'd late ma'ties revenues. W'ch sad earle of Som'sett then related, that div'se waics had heen offered unto him about those tumes, nud among others lie mentioned some lake these waies $\mathrm{y}^{\prime t}$ are expressed in the said dacourse, in the m!ormation specified. Whereupon this det't dirl aske of his 1'ds'p, whether he had seen a discuarse tending to such parpose, mentioning an army and gabells, and he answered, $y^{\prime} t$ he had then a notion of some such matter, but did not then perfectly call the particulars thercof to imme, but if he might see it, he should then better remember it, and thereupon thia def't did scnd, or
deliv'd unto hin the said copy, w'ch was sent unto this def't, w'ch when the s'd carle had read, he returned to this def't, and told himn, that he remembired that in the time of the s'd late king, Heads, or Propositions of like effect, had been tendred unto his I'd'p. And this def't s'th he nev'r did write, or Cause any topic thereof to be witten, nor did he co'municate, shew, or publish the same Otherxise than as afore-aid, saving to some of the I'ds of his majesties most hu'ble privy-councell by their co'mand in this M'ch'mas terme. And the suid def't saith, that the said discourse doth not concerne the present time of his ma'ty, or his gov'nem't; neither did be ev'r say, nflirme, or believe, that there $\mathrm{ev}^{\prime} \mathrm{r}$ was any ןfifpose to int'taine it : acither thid this det't diaperse, or disulge the same, to the intent that the same, or any thing conteyned therein should be divulgerl, or dispersed, ne if the snme bad been intirtained hy has most escrillent ma'ty, or by any other, or with purpose to put in executio', or to raie fears, or jealousies in any w'tsoever, or that his sacred mn'ty lad any purpose to alter, or innovate any laws of this kugdom, or the ancient inamer of the gov'nem't thereof, or to draw things to be disposed of at his will, or dispoee of the statec, revenues, or goods of his ma'ties subjects ; or to mahe or repeale statutes by lus ma'tes proclanation, or that he purposed to place, or maintaine garrisons or fortuicid places fur any such end, as in the $\mathrm{s}^{\prime} \mathrm{d}$ information is declared, and he is fully assured, y't his ma'ty net'r dad, nor will give the least intertainment to any such design, and the said def't confeseth, $y$ 't he did not shew or deliv'r the said wrighting to any of hif, 'ma'ties most In'ble privy-councell, or other magistrate otherwise than as afures'd, for what [qu. thas.] he did not, nor yet doth conceive, that it had any relation to his" mn'ties gos'nem't, or that he ever had purpose, or disposition to give care to such projects, or devicrs, or that any would so believe. And as to all and every the misdemeano's and offences in the inforination laid to the charge of this def't, he is ther oof not guily in manner and forme, as hy the sad information is supposed. W'ch maturs the said def't doth, and will aver and prove, as this ho'ble court shall award, and praieth to be dismissed without further attcuding, because of the said suit, \&c.. . .

# 137．The Trial of Mervin Lord Audley，Earl of Castrehaven， for a Rape and Sodomy： 7 Charles I．A．D．1631．〔 Rushw． Coll．93．Hutt．Rep．115．］ 

Tilere were three Indictments found at sulisbury in Wiltshire against the eurl，the ＂eduesday preclding Easter，betoie the Lond Chice Justice Hyde，the L．C．J．Richardson， and baron Denham，Justces of Assize for that vircuit，and opecial commissiouer in that mat－ ter．Onc Indectinent wns for a llape upon bis own witi：；for luotding ber by force，while one of hiis maxions forcibly，agamst her will，had carmal hiöixikedge of heer：so that he was in－ dicted as Presens，Auriliaks and Confortans＊； and therefore a yriacipal．＇The vther twa In－ dicments were for Buggery with a maul．

[^19] out benctit of clergy，but without furfeiture of lands ur suods，or curruption of blood，which st．of ？E．© ©，c．29，was repented hy st． 1 Mar． c． 1 ，so l inoth acts stoort repeuled until the sta－ tute 5 Elis ．$c_{0} 17$ ，by which the whole act 25 II．8，c． 6 ，is revived and reenacted．Mr． Barrimgton in the fist edition of his＂Observi． t．onl on the Statutes，＂p．31，＂A Assisa panis et creve isme，＂natede a remarknlic misshe in quot－ ung ly menory an passape from Tasitus．He sseems tw have thought it ilikely that the custom of pelting crinmaals in the pillory mas deried froun the autuent Gcrmans，＂Intunnes，＂says he，
－＂luto ac cexao aspergunt．＂The passage which be had in his mind is doubless the follow－ ing in Tucitus de Mor．Cerm，s．12．＂Dis－ tinctio premarum ex delicto．Proditores et，trans－ fugas arborhbus suspendium ：ipnnroos et inghelles et corpore intainines cecno ac pàlude，injectâ in muper crate，mergunt．Diversitus supplicii illuc respicit；tanquìm scelerı ostendi oporteet dum puniantur，盾保tia abscondi．＂The pasisge in

The Judges，on Friday morning before the trial，being sent for，all but Deniaru being met ut Serjeants－Inn in Fleet－street，these Ques－ tions were proposed them by sir Robert Heath， the king＇s Aturney General；a Mernorial of which a learned jurge，sir James Whitlock， one of the eight hercafter mentioned，set down in writing；to theellect following．

1．Whether a peer of the realin might wave his Trial by peers，and plead he will be tried by God and the country？Answ．He might not ：for his Trial by peers was no privilege，but the law declared by Mngna Charta：which if he would not plead to by a trial of lis peers，it was standing inute．

2．Whether a pecr might clallenge his peers， as in the case of common Jurats in Answ．He might not，（which I think is so，said that Judge） because they were not upou their onth，but upon their honour，and a cballenge is tried whether he stands indifferent，as unsworn．

3．Whether a peer might not have counsel any more than a commoner？Answ．If matter of law appeared，he might；not for matier of fact－Certain lyxaminations having been taken by the lords without an oath；It was resolved， Those could not be used until they wewe re－ pented upon oath，unless of the party to be tried；which might be read without an oath．
4．Whether the Wife in this case might be n witness against lier husband for the rape？ Answ．She might：for she was the party wrong－ edj；otherwise slie might be abosed＊．In like manner a villain（rassal）might be a witnesa agninst lis lord in such cases．
$5^{\circ}$ ．Whether，if he stood mute，he could de－ mand his clergy？Answ，If he stood + mute in the casc of Rape or Buggery，he might have his clergy $\ddagger$ in either．
the Mirrour，c．4，s．14，p．252，is＂Le mortal peché de majenté vers le roy celestre de sodompt soy fornist per enfover lee pecheurs touts vifi profond en terre ；，issiut que memoire soit re－ strainé pour la grande abomination del fait．＂ The words in Britton are＂Soit enquis de ceux que felonisemient en teinps de pees eient autre blees ou autre meqous ars．It ceux que serrount de ceo atteynts soient ars，issip que eux soient punys par mesme cele chose dount ils pechercit；et mesme cel jugement eyent sor－ cers et sorceresses et sodonites et mescreaunts apperteunent atteynts．＂Brition fo．xvi．

## －East＇s P．C．ch．x．s． 6 ．

$\dagger$ See the Mote to Weston＇s Trial，vol，2．p． 914．＇See also Barrington＇s Obs．on St．West． 1st．c． 12.
$\ddagger$ The statute of $25 \mathrm{H} .8, \mathrm{c} .6$ ，in case of Bugkery，ind of \＄8 Fijiz．c．7，1d＂case of Rape，inke awny Cleex ouly fom such as are conncted by verdict，o law，i，or confexsion； ID
6. Then if he might not he put to a trial on the other Indictment, gight not be be for a luter Buggery, and be di med the clergy? Anaw. On that he might by 18 Eliz. 7.
7. Whether, in case one stond mute, Eijdence might be opened by the court's comminnt concerung the fact, though the delinquent was tu be pressed to death for his contempt? Ansv. I hat was a matter which lay in the diseretion of the court.
8. Whetter in casts wherein clergy was alInwable, the pary might pray it lefore he answ cred, and deny tor answer otherwise? Answ. This was at conlegsion.
9. Whither in a Rape there must be penetration? The Answer was in the athimative.
10. The vrisoner having petitioned to be bailed, wher her it might not lie granted? Answ. The king, as king, was to advise nbout it: The Judyes acquantid fie Lord Kecper he could not in justice require it : yet he mieht be bault d es gratiu, nhich was not fit in that udious case.

At a second meeting of the Judges in Serjeants Inn, there were other things considered of conceruing this matter. They made a difference be tween Bugpery and a Itape, in point of havin the elergy if he stool mute: for it was nigued be might have his ricrgy if he stood mute in a Rape, but not in Bugnery; becauce by the stature 25 II. 8, Buggery was nade felony, which by the common' law was not. And in the very creation of the offence, rlerzy was thken nway: wher eas ciengy lay lor a rape until it was (hould be) takea nway by statite.

It was concluded the Lords might eat and drink before they avere agreed, but that they could not.separate nor adjouin thll they gave their Verdict: That this appeared out of the lord Dacres of Greystock's Cast*, who wns tried for Tre:1son, and nequitted by his pegrs in 26 Hen. 8 , It was ngreed by the Jnstices in that cuse of the lord Dacese, That verdict coald not be given hy a lesser number of lords than 12; and that if 12 were for the king, and is for the prisorter, the prisoner should be acquitted: That in an Atperd, if the Derendant ph.uld be mute, he should be banged; and it was an Attainder, it being not within the statute of Westminster, cap. 12. De Peine fort et Dure.t Na, more was Treason.

It was also agreed, That a lord of parliament way within (d) statute of Westminster 1 , fin case of Felony, and shoulit be presed to death.

FRrther, That if the lord Audley should have his clergy upon lis being mute, yet he might be tred upon the other Indictments of Hape and Buggery, and should not have his cleigy, by the atatute of 18 Eliz. because the adinitiong him

[^20]to his clergy would be a supersede as to all indietmrnts of offences within clergy, not of those withour, by that statute: For by the common law, he that was admitted to his clergy was discharked from answering any other aftence; for by indictment of that lav he was taken out of the power of the secular judgefatid put into the hands of the ordinary, whuse pisoner he was all his life after.

It was resolved, from the lord Dacres', Case, That the I.ord Steward, after Veidict given, might take time to adrise upon it, for any puint of law ; that his otfice continued to him uil his Judgment and Resolution; and it was but a commission pro, hac vice notwithstanding.

## The Amaignment.

The Iord Coventry, Lord Keeper of the Grent Scal of England, was appointed Lord High Stewurd for that day, who, having orders for the said Trial from his majesty, gave disections for the same.

The loids the peers took their places about 8 of the clock in the moraing, and were sented on lienclies on each side of a large table, covered with green cloth; and below them were the Judges placed, and the king's learned counsel, and the officers of the couit. And having disposed of theansches in their several places, the Lord Steward about 9 of the clock entered the hall ancorered, with seven maces carried before him by sevef Sericants nt Arms, and was atteniled upon by sir Jolin Burrouglis, garter principal king at aıms, and Mr. James Maxwell, usher of the black rod.

After the Lord Steward had sannted all the lorily the perrs, (who saluted him tigain) he prescatly ascended the state; nad being seated ia the chair, he wus presented wi.h his majesty's Commission by one of the masters of the Chancery, which borê date the 13 th of April, 1631.

After he had receivect the said Comnission, he coinmanded an $O$ Yes to be made, by one of the Seriennts at Arms, for a general silence; and then delivered the said Commission to sir Thomas Fenshaw, Clerk of the Crown, to be openiy read. Which being done, Mr. Maxwell kneelepl down and presinted his lordship with a white staff verge of state, which he gave to one of the Serjeants at Arinm, who held the same up ly the cloth of state on the right hand chereof. And afier the Cofinmission was read, and the staff received as aforesaid, his grace comomaded a sodemn O Yes to Be made; and then gave leave to all the lorth, the pecrs, and the judges, and to all privy counsellors there present, to be covered; and command was given, that gone under that degree shculd keep. on their hats upous pain of imprisonment. And then the peers were severally called by their names, and each of them nnswered particularly, viz. 1. Lord Weston,LordHighTreasurer of Englands 2. Earl of Manchester, Lord Privy Seal; 3. Enrl of ${ }^{\circ}$ Aruidel and Surrey, EAtrl Marshal; 4. Earl of Peinbroke and Montgoinery, Lard Chimmberl F in ; 5. Farl of Kent; 6. Earl of Wurcester; 7. Earl of Bedford; 8. Earl of Basex; 9. Eart
of Dorset ; 10. Earl of Salisbury; 11. Earl of fore, if you be innocent, speak bolilly und conLeicester ; 12. Earl of Warwick; 13. Earl of fidently, and fear not to jurtify yourself; and Carlisle; 14. Eat of IJolland; 15. Earl of Berks; 16. Eatl af Denbigh; 17. Viscount Wimbleton; 18. Visc.Conway; 19. Visc. Dorchester; 20. Vise. Wentaorth; 21. Lord Percy; 22. Lord Strange; 23. Lord Clifford; 24. Lord Petre ; $25^{\circ}$ Lord North; 26. Lord Goring; 27. Lord !uward.

The Juiges prevent: sir Nichola4 Hyde, L. C. J, of the King's-Bench; sir Thomas Richardson, L. C. J. of the Common-Pleas; sir Huuphrey Davenport, L. C. B. of the Excliequer ; B.ron Denham; Judge Jones; Judge Hutton; Judge Whitlocke; Judge Crote.

The King's Counsel : sir ltobert If nuth, At-torney-General; sir Ltichard Shelton, SolicitorGeneral; sir John Finch, queen's Atturney. Generai; sir Thomas Crew, hiug's Scrjcant at Law.

Otficers of the Court: sir Thomas Fenshar Clerk of the Crown; Mr. Juhn Kceling, lus Deputy or Asvistant.
This done, the Lurd Steward, nfter a solemn precognizance, commanded the Indictments to he centified and bronglit in; and then, by a serjeant at urms, the lientenant of the Tower was called to bring forth the Prisoner, (who until that time was kept in a litule room by the Common-Pleas) and the lieutenant brought him to the bar, with divers of the guard attieading on him, where he had a plice in manner of a pew, lined with green, in which he stood; and the lieutemant had another of the same form for hun to iest in, adjoining to it. And when he had done lis obersance to the Lord-Wigh Sten ard ayd the peers, (who all re-saluted him again) the Lord-Ilich-Steward spake to hin in the manner following:

## The Lord-IIigh-Steward's Speech.

My lord Audiey; the king hath understood, both by report and the verdict of divers gentlemen of quality in your own country, that you stand inpeached of sundry crimes of a most ligh and hemons nature; and to try whether they be true or not, and that jostice may be done accordingly, his majesty brings you this day to your Trial, doing herein like the mighty King of Kings, in the 18 th of Genesis, ver. 20 , 21, who went down to see whether their sins were so grierous as the cry of them: ' Because T the cry of Sodom nud Gomorrah is great, ahd ' their sins, be grievous, I will go down (saith ' the Lord) and gee whetherthey haye done al'together according to the cry of it.' And kings on earth can have no better pattern to follow than the King of Heaven; and therefore our sovereign lord the king, Ged's vicegerent heie on eurth, hath commanded that you shall be here tried this day, and to that end, hath cauled these peers to be assembled: nyd thedesire of his maj. iv, that your trind shall be ns equal as equity and justicp ilstlf; and therefore theste noble men your peers (whose hearts are as fall of integrity, justice, and truth, as their veing full of noble blood) ure this day to try you. Where-
lie assured that those that accuse you (if you be fiee yourself), ball nut encape tree. But if you be gu lity of those crimes, I adi ise you to give Lonnour to God and the king, and coulcss your fault; for it is not vain confidence, nor subtilty, nor standing out in denial, that can hide the truth; and all shifts and sultilities ngainst it are but - Conciliy adiergus Dounj'sum.' Therefose, if twith twoch you at the beart, and your conscience, which is a thousand witnenses, and Good's grace, which is greater than both, stand not out against it: And if you do, God wilf put it into the hearts of these noble persons to find it out, and to do that which is just.

## The Lard Audley's Answer.

Muy it pleave your krice; I have been clone pismer these six nugtis, without friendy, without coursel or advice: I am igubraus of the advant.ges und disudvantuge of the law. and an but weak of speech at the leest, and therelore 1 dessere to hase the liberty of having Counsel to speak for me.

## The Lord-Iligh-Stewari's Reply.

For your solong imprisonment, it hath been to you a special tivour; fir you have had time enough to brthink yourself, and more than ever any man had that bath been committed for sach ain olfence, and more favour than ever any had that cane to thi, bar; and you sha!! deniand nothing, which the law con ullow, but you shall hase it. But for your demand, I must move it to the lards the Judges, and they shall satisty you tin it, or any other thing you desire.
Then his grace desired to be resolved of the Judges, Whether this demand of my lord Audley, to have Counsel to plead for him, might b) granted or not ?

Phe Judges answere 1, That, in criminal cases, counsel is not to be admitted for matter of fact; but for manter of law they may.
Then the Lord Steward proceeded to the Charge, yommanded the three Indictments to be read py sir Thomas Fenshow, Clerk of the Crown; wo for Sudony wibl Lawrence FitsPatrick, hls footman; the third for a,lape comnmitted on his own wife, the zquantess of Castlehavefi: : viz.

## The Tirker Indictments.

The following are Copies of the said Indictments : viz.

## 1. Rex versus Dominum Audlev, for a Rape. 7 Car. t .

${ }^{t}$ W̧ilts, ss." Juratures pro domino rege super sacrazinentum suum present', quad Martinus dominus Audjey, nuper de Fountell Gifford in comitatu Wilys, et Ifgidius Broadway do Fountell Gifford 'p.zedlet' in comitatu predicto generosus, timorem Dei pris oculis suis non
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© habentes, sed instigatione diabolica moti e
' seducti, vicesimo die Junii, anno regni domi-
${ }^{6}$ ni postri Caroli, Dei gratia Anglir, Scotiz,
${ }^{4}$ Francia, et Hibernie regis, fidei defensoris,
' sexto, apud Fountell Gifford predict et in et

* super $\Lambda$ nnam dominam Audley, uxorem pra-
- fati domini Martini Audley, in pace Dei et
- dicti domini regis ibidem existent' insult' fe-
${ }^{6}$ cerunt. Et predictus Figidius Broadway
- predictam Annem dominam Audley, vi et
${ }^{6}$ armis, coptra voluntatem ipsius Anna ad
' tunc et ibidem violenter et felonice rapuit, ac
${ }^{\text {- ippam Aunain ad tunc et ibidem contra vo- }}$
${ }^{4}$ luntititum suam violenter et felonice carnaliter
${ }^{6}$ cognovi, contrn pacem domini regis nunc,
' coron', et diguitut' suas, et contra formam
'stathti in hujusmodi casu edit' et provis'.
- Et ultra, juratores predicti dicunt super
'sacramentum suum pradict', quod pradictus
- Martinus duminus Audley pradicto vicesiinó
' die Juniis ann'. seso supradicto ; apud Foun-
- tell Gilford predictam, in conitatu priedicto,
- felonice fuit priesens, auxilians, et confortans,
- abettans, procurans, adjuvans, et manutenens
${ }^{6}$ predictum /Fgidium Br , ad feloniam praedic-
'tum, in forma prasticta felonice faciend' et
' perpetrand', contra pacem dicti domini regis
- nunc, coronam ef dignitatem suas, ac contra
' formam statuti predicti.'

2. Rex versus Dominum Audley, fur Sodomy. 7 Car. 1.

- Wilts, ss. Juratores pro domino rege su' per sacramentum suum prasent', quod Mar-- tinus dominus Audley, nuper de Fountell Gif' ford in comitatu Wifts', Deum prex oculis non 6 habens, nec nature ordinem respiciens, sed - instigatione diabolica motus et seductus, pri' mo die Junii, an' regni domini nostri Caroli, ' \&cc. sexto, apud Pountell Gifford pradict' in - dicto conitatu Wilts, in domo mansional
' ejusdem Martini domini Audley, ibidem ti et
' armis in quendam Florence Fitz-patrick, yen-
' man, insult' fecit, et cum eodem Florence' F .
' ad tunc et ibidem nequit', diabolice, felcifice,
© et contra naturam rein veneream habuit, ip-
'sumque $\mathbf{F}$. ad tunc et ibidem carnaliter cog-
${ }^{4}$ novit, peccatunque illud sodomiticum detes-
'tabile et abominandum, Anglicè ycat' bug-
'gery (inter Christianos non nomin(andum) ad
© tuic et ibidem cum eoden 'Florence F. nequit
- diabolice, felonice, et contra nataram com-
' misit et perpetyn̂̂t ir magnam Dei omnnipo-
' tentis displitentiam, ac totius humani generis
${ }^{\text {' }}$ dedecus, ac contra pacem dicti dom' reg'
' nunc, coronam et dignitat' suas, et contra for-
' mam staturi in hujusmodi casu edit' et provis'.


## 3. Rex versus Dominum Audley, for Sodomy. 7 Car, 1.

- Wilts, ss, Juratores pro dormino rege su' per sacramentum suum present', quod Mar${ }^{4}$ tinus dominus Audley, nuper de Fountell 'Gifford in comitatu Wilts', Deturn pres oculis - non habens, nec natura gidinena respiciens, ' sed instigatione diabolka motus et sedvetus, ' primo die Juniii, an', fegri domini nostri Ca-
' roli, \&cc. sexto, apud Fountell Gifford preedict'
' in dicto comitatu Wits, in domo mansiouali
' ejusdem Martini domini Aurley, ibidem vi et
${ }^{6}$ arinis in quendam Florence Fitz-patrick, yeo-
' man, insult' fecit, et cum eodem Finrence F.
' ad tunc et ibidem nequit', diabolice, felonice,
' et contra naturam ren venęream habuit, ip-
- suinque $F$, ad tunc et ibiden curnaliter cog-
' novit, peccatungue illud sodomiticum detes-
' tabile et abominandum, Anglicè vocat' bug-
' gery (inter Christianos nun nominandum) ad
(tunc et ibidem cum eodem Florence F. ne' quit' diabolice, felonice, et contra naturain ' commisit et perpetravit in magnam Deı ommi'potentis displicentiam, ac totias humani ge' neris dedecus, ac contra pacem dicti dom'
' reg' nume, coronam et digmetat' suas, et contra ' formam statuti in hujusmodi casu edit' et pro'vis'.'

Then being nsked, whether he was Guilty of them, or Not Guilty? ITe answered, Not Guily. Then he was asked how be would be tried $\ddagger$ The earl said, By God and my Peers. Whereopon the peers put off their hats; and thereupon the issue was joined.

## The Jord Iligh Steward's Specch to the Loris.

My Lords; The prisoner stands indicted fir a Rape by one Indictuent, and of Sudomy* by two; and he hath pleaded Not Ciuilty to them all: it is my duty to charge you wilh the Trial of it, and you are to julge of it. The offences wherewith he stands clarged, are to be proved by Fvidenec; and the crmes that conie this day before ns, may in sume breed detestation, and the person of his lordsik, in others may breed compassion; I desire your lordshipe to set these two aside, and let your reason suay your judgment, and let that rule your affecrions, and your hearts your heads; for neither of these ought to be put jinto the balance, for a grain on either side may sway the scale. You are to give attentive hearing, and then to weigh equally, that the scale may lean the right way. The Jadges will assist yon in the points of law, which if you doubt of you are to expound it to me, and I to them. And this you are to do without corporal oath; for the law conceiveth you of such integrity, that yqu will do that for justice, which others do upon their oaths; apd therefore admits of no challenge: and God direct you to do as you ought.

Then Sir Tho. Crew gave the first Charge; and after tim Mr. Attorney said as followeth:

My Lord Steward; May it please your grace, there are three Indictments against Mervin lord Audley; the first for a Rape, the other * wo for Sodony. The person is honourable; the crimes of which he is indicted dishonourable; whicbif it fall out to be truc; which is o be left to trial, 1 dare be bold to suy, never
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poet invented, nor historinn writ of any deed so fouI, And althpugh Suetonius hath curiously set vat the vices of sume of the emperors who had absolute powtr, which might make them fearless of all mauner of punishment, and besides were heathens, and knew not God; yet none of these game near this lord's crimes. The oue is a crime, that, I may speak it to the honour of our nation, is of such rarity, that we seldoun or never knew of the like; bot they are all of such a pestilential uature, that, if they be not punished, they will draw from Heaven $a_{i}$ heavy judgnent upon this kingdom.

Whereupon (Mr, Attorney digressing from the matter) the loril Audley would have interrupted him, and required to hold him to the points in the Indictments. But the Lord IIigh steward desired his lordship to be patient, and assured him he shoold be heard in fit time at full. Whereupon Mr. Attorney proceeded again in his Charge as followeth:

May it please your Grace; I can speak is with joy and comfort, during all my time of service, both in his majesty's father's time, and since he came to the crown, 1 had never the like occasion to speak in this place agninst a peer of the realin before now; and God knows I do it now with sorrow, and I bope I shall never have the like occasion to do so much again. But his majesty, who is the pattern of virtue, nut only as king, but in his person also; in whom it is hard to judge whether he most excels in justice or mercy, but I rather think in mercy, would have my lord Audley the prisoner at the, bar, heard with as much favour as such a cydne can adaut: and when he first heard of it, he gave strict command, that the truth should be searched out, that his throne and people might he cleared from so heavy and heinous $\sin$; and thereapon be was indicted in his own country, acording to the law, and by gentlemen of worth and quality. The Bill was foand; and now he is personally brought to the bar to be tried by these his honourable peers, such of whose wisdom and sincerity there can be no question, but that he shall have just and honourable trial. And first, I shall liegin with the Indictment of Rape. Bracton tells us of king Athelstąe's Isw before the Conquest: - If the party were of no chuste life, buta ' whore, yet thereanay be a ravishment; but it ' is a good plea to say she was his concubine.'
In an Indictment of Rape, there is no time of prosecution nqcessary; fot ' nulluy tempus ' occurrit regi:' but in case of an Appeal of Rape, if the woman did not prosecute in convenient time, it will bar her. If a man take

- away a maid by force, and ravish lierg and afterwards she give her consent and marry him, yet it is a Rape.

For the crimen sodoniticum, our law hod no knowledge of it till the 25th of IIenry 8, by which statute.it was made Felony: ard the this there is no more question, but only, whether it be crimen sodomiticum sine penctratione ; and the law of 15 Eliz, setsit down in general شords:
and where the law doth not distinguish, neither must we. And I know you will be cautious how you will give the least mitigation to so abominable a sin, which brought such plagues zatter it, as we may see in Gen, xvii. Levit. xviii. Judg, xix. Mom. i. But, my lord, it seemed to me strange at the first, fow a nobleman of his quality should fall to such abominable sios; but when I found he hath given himself over to lost, and that 'Ncmo 'repratue fit pessimus;' and if once men habit themselves, in ill, it is no marvel if they fall into any sins, and that he was constant to no religion, but in the morning be would be $\Omega$ Papist and go to mass, and in the afternoon a Protestant and go to $\approx$ sermon. When I bad considerrd these things, I easily conceived, and shall be bold to give your grace a reason why he became so ill. Ile believed not God, he had not the fear of God before his eyes; be left God, and God left him to his own wickedness: and whar way not a man rua into? What sin so foul, what timg so'inhrous, which he dares not adventure? Bot I find in him things beyond all imagination: for 1 tiad his ill imagination and intentions bent to have ever his wife naught with the wickedest man that I heard of hefore: for who nonld not have his wife virtuous and good, how bad socier himself be? And 1 find bim hawd to his onn wife. If she loved him, she must love Skipwith, whom he honoured above all, and not any honest love, but in a dishonest love; and he gives bis reason by Scripture, "She was now made sulject to thim;' and therefore if she did ill at his command, it was not her fault but his, and he would answer it. His irregular bounty toward Skipwith was also remarkable. Ile lets this Skipwith, whom he calls his favburite, spend of his purse 5001 . per annun; and if his wife or daughter would have any thing, though nerer so necessary, they must lie with Skpwith, and hare it from bim, and not otherwise; also telling Skipwith and his daughter-in-law, he had raher have a child by him than any other. But"..rr these things, 1 had rather they shonid come ? f the Witnesses mouths than from me: and theieupon desired that the Proofs might be read.

1
Thus Deposition of Waltir Bigg.
Wuller Bigg deposed, That Amptil was a page to sirII. Smith, and had no more means when he came to my lond Atslley, hut the mare he rode on. He entertained hiur-ss his page 8 years, and afterwards let him keep horses in my lord's giounds, by which I think he entriched himself $2,000 \mathrm{l}$. but he never sat at table with my lord till he had married his daughter, and then he gave him to the value of $7,000 l$, -That Skipwith was 'tht from Ireland to be my lady's page; and that his futher and mother were very ppor folks there. He spent of my lord's purse ger annum 500l. and he gave lini at one time 1,000 l, and hath made divers deeds of land uato him,-My lord was first 4 Proteslant ; but after, bisoblying of Founthill, he turned his religion.

## Lord Audley's Examination.

That Heury Skipwith had no means when he came to him, and that he had given hinn $1,000 l$. and that Skipwith lay with him when be was atraitened in roums; and that he gave a farm of ${ }^{6}$ 700 l . per annum to Amptil that married hi daughter, and at other times to the value of 7,000l. knd that there was oue Blandiua in hit house 14 days, and bertowed an illdisease there, and therefore he sent her away.
The lord 'Audley's Examination taken before the Lord-Keeper, Lord-Treasurer, Lord-Marshal, and others; which being shewed to him subscribed with his own hifid, he would not acknowledge, but excused it, saying his eyes were had; but beng perfectly read, he acknuwledged it.

## My Lord-Steward's Advice to my lord Audley

My lord; I would adjvise you not to deny the things which are clearly proved; for then the fecico will gise iêss credit to the rest you say.

## The Countegs of Castleuaven's Examination

That shortly after the eall married her, viz the first or second night, Amptil came to tho bed's side, while she and her hushand were ir bed, and the lord-Audley spake lasciviously to her, and told her, "That now her body was "has; and that if she loved bins she most love

- Ampril; and that if she lay with any other
' man wihh lis consent, it was not her fault hut
${ }^{4}$ his; and that if it was his will to have it so. ' she must obey, and do it.'-That he attempted to draw her to lie with his servant Skipwith; and that Skipwith made him Lelieve he did it, but did it not.-That he would make Skipwith come naked into his chamber, and relighted in calling up his servants to shew their privities and would make her look un, and commended those thut had the largest.- That one nighr, being a-bed with her at Founthill, he called for his man Brodyway, and commanded him to lie at his bed's feet; and about midnight (she being asleep) called him to light a pipe o tnbacco. Brodway rose in has slirt, rad my lord pulled huth into bed to hion and Ser, and made him lie next to her; and Brodway lay with her, and knew her carnally, ${ }^{*}$ hilst she made resistance, and the lurd hel buth her hands and one of her legs the hile : and that as soon as she was free, fohe would have killed her,elf with a knif!, but that Brodway fogcibly took the knife irom her and broke it; and before that act of Brodway, shefliad never done it.-That he delighted to see the act done; and made Amptil to come into bed with them, and lie with her whilst he might see it: and she cried out to have saved herself.
Then Lawrence Fitz-Patrick was produced: but betoie his Exsmination was read, the earl desired that neither he, nor any other, pight be allowed Wirnesses against lyis, until he had raken the oath of allekingee. This-was referved to the lords the 'jucges.
The Judges resolve against him, that they
might be Witnesses; unless they were convicted recusants.


## The Examination of Fitz-Paraick was then read, the truth of which he then again confirmed upon Oath.

That the earl had committed Sodomy twice upon his persun; that Henry skipwith was the special fas ourite of my lord Audley, and that he usually lay with him: and that Skipwith said, that the lord Audley made hum lie with his own lady ; and that he saw Skipwith in his sight do it, my lord being present: anil that he lay with Blandina in his sight, and four more of the servants, and afierwards the earl himself lay with ther in their sights.
Then Skipwitu was prodaced and sworn, and his Examination read, which he again confirmed upon oath, and deposeth, viz.
That the earl often solicited hinn to lie with the young lady, und per-maded ber to love hin; ; and to draw her thercunto, he urged that his son loved her tot; and that in the ead he usually lay with the young lady, and that there was love between then both before and ufter ; and that my lord said, he would rather have a boy of his begetting than any other; and that she was but twelve years of age when be first lay with her, and that he could nut enter her body without ait; and that the lord Audey fetched oil to open her body, but she cried out, and he could not enter; and then the earl apponted oil the second tume; and then Skipwith eutered her body, and he knew her carnally; and that my lord made him he with his ong laty, but be knew her not, but told lis lord :ee did.That he spent 500 l . per ann. of the inid's punc, and, for the most part, be lay with the sad earl. That the earl gave him has house at Salisbury, and a manor of 6001 .-That Blandina lay in the eail's house half a ycor, and was a commion whore.

## Fitz-Pataick's second Examination.

That the lord Andley made him lie with him at Founthill and at S.lisbury, and ouce in the bed, and enitted between his thighs, but did not penetrate his body; and that he heard he did so with others.-Thet Skipfith lay with the young lady often, and ordingrily; and that the carl knew it, and encouraged him in it, and wished to have a boy by hiin ayd the young lady-That Blandina lived half a year in my lord's huuse, and was a cumthon wbore.

## Edmusd Scot's Exanination.

He deposeth, That Skipuith frequently knew. the young lady, and that the enarl knew it, und tacouraged him therein.

## - Fru's Examination.

Tiat Jitary Skipwith and the young larly lay often together, and the ear! in conpsany; and hat then the earl protested, that he would fuin have-ta boy of his begetting.

## Then was read the young lady Audley's Examination.

That she was married to her husband by a Romi-h piest in the norning, and at night by a prebend at Kilkenny; that she was first tempted to lié With Skipwith by the earl's allurements; and, that she had no means but what she bad from Skipwith; but she wonld not lie with P'awiet; he solicited her also to l.e with one Green.-1bnt the Farl himself saw her and Sklpwith lie together divers times; and mine servants of the house had also seen it.

When the Earl solicited her first, he said, that upon his knowiedge her husband loved her not ; and threatened, that he would turn her out of doors, if she did not lie with Skipwith; and that il she did not, he would tell her husbaid she did.-That she being very young, he used oil to enter her body first : and afterwards he usually lay with her, and it was with the earl's privity and cousent.

## Baodway's Examination, who confesseth,

That he lay at the Earl's bed's feet, and one niglit the earl colled to him for wbucco ; and as the brought it in his shirt, he caught loold of him, nud bid binn come to bed, which he refused; but to satisfy my lond, at last he consented, and came into the bed on my lord's side: then my lord turned him upon his wife, and bid hum lie with her, which he did; and the earl held one of her legs and hoth her hands, and at the last (notwithstanding her resistance) lay with her.- Then the earl used his body as the body of a woman, but never pierced iy only emitied between his thighs.-fie-wn seen shipwith lie with the young lady in bed together; and when he had got upon hier, the eatl strod by qud encouraged him to get her with child: and that he hath made him the said Brodway hiss his own lady, nnd oten solicited him to live with lier, telling him, that he himself should not live long, and that it nuight be his nuking; and that he hath said the like to Skipwith.

## The Earl's second Examination.

The Earl destired to be pardoned of those things whereof tie must accuse himself, and said, ' That conderipnation should not come out. 6 of his own mouth.?

Thece Testimonies being read, Mr. Attorney pressed things vem earnestly, and indexcellent method against the Eajl, and said,

My londs; you have seen the carness of fhe Pronfs, and 1 know your wisdomst pbe such, es you well know in oo dark a business clearer proofs cannot pissibly be had; for let a man be never so wicked, or nerer so inpudent, he will not call Witnesses to ere lis wickeducess: yet you see bere this point fully proved,
Then be showed how hoih the laws of God and man were agninst Sodorsy, and cited Levit. 18, towards the end, 'That by theve Abmil${ }^{4}$ nations the lind is defled; and therefote the

- Lord doth visit this land for the iniquity 'thereof.' And then 'concludes ; That God may remove and take awny frum us bis plagues, let this wicked man (saith he) be taken away from amongst us.

Then the Earl (afier the Lord Steward had told him he should be heard in his own Defence, with as much patiennce as was admitted in lis Charge) entered into ais own Defence. But the Lord Steward advised him to speak pertinently; whereupon he alledged, that lee was a weak man, and of ill memory, and therefore desired that he might not be interrupted.

1. Then he hegan his defence with exceptions against his wife, urging, that she was naught and dishonest with Brodway, by her pwn confession.

Whereupon my Lord Steward answered, That this made against his lordship; therefore be ought not to ulledge fa. Wis.Defanou that fuct, as an imputation to his wife, which he forced her unto by compulsion and, rialence.
2. Theu he objected against the Incompetency of the Witnesses, as the one his wife, the other his servants; and they drawn to this by lis son's practice, who sought his life: and desired to know, if there were not a statute ggainst the Incompetency of Witnesses?

The Judges resolved hiin, that there was none twaching Witnesses; but in cases of IIighTreason, theie was-a statute concerning Accusers.
3. Then he desired to be resolved, whether, lecause Rrodway doth not depose any Penetration, but only that he emitted upon her belly while the earl held her, that should be jadged Felony as for a lape'?
The Judges resolved it to be a Rape, and so conséquently to be Felony.
4. Then he desired to be resolved, whether his wife is to be allowed a competent Witness ugginst him, or not?

If Judges resolve, That in civil cases the Wife ray not ; but in a cruminal cause of this nature, here the wife is the party grieved, and on whom the crime is committed, she is to be admittod a witness aquiust lier husband.

Then tho Iord High-Steward desired the lords the Jidges to resolve the questions which Mr . Attorne In his 'Charge submitted and referred to the $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { jodgments. }\end{aligned}$

1. Whethe it were to he accoanted Buggery within the sqtute, withnut penetration? The Judges resolve that it was; and that the use of the body, sofar as to emit thereupon, makies it so.
2. Whether, it being proved that the party ravished wers on evil fame, and of an unchuses life, it will ampent to a Rape? The Jodges resolve it to be a Rape, though committed on the body of a coinmon strumpet; for it is the enforcing against the will which makes the Rape; and a colhnon whnre may be favisbed againet her will, nutit is Pelony to do it
3 . Whether it is adjotged a liape, wher the woman complaineth not presently? And, A ther there bes peoterity of tocumetion withiden
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convenient time, as within 24 bours? The Judges resolve, That inasmuch as she was forced against her will, and then shewed her dislike, she was not Innited to any tune for her compluint; and that in an Indictment, there is no limitation of tine, but in an Appeal there is.
4. Whether men of no north shall be nllowed sutticient Peoofs agranst a baron, or not? The Judges reoolve, that any mati is a sullicicut witness in case of Felony.

Then the Lord Steward spate, and said; My lord, you have betu graciunsly dealt wih in this proceeding, for it ↔ not an usual thing in so capital nad heinous causes as this, to britg the party and witaesses face to face betore trial: but, my lord, you have long before this ture heard therr Fxaminations, and ques tuned and opposel them face to face; and are thactioy tiac beite? enabled to make your De feace; and his majesty is stlll graciously pleased to continuélis goodness wwards you, and liath commanded that you should be heard at full:

- if therefore you liave any thing eloc to say for yourself, speak it.

Whercupon the Farl answered, (having first made a solemn I'rotestation of his Innocency, but nevertheless implored the mercy of God and the king) That he had nothing more to say, but left himself to God and his pcers, and presented to their consideration three Woes:

1. Woe to that mant, whose Wife should be a Witness against him!
2. Woe to that unan, whose Son should persecute him, and conspire his death!
3. Woe to thatman, whose Servants should be allowsed Wituesos to take away his life!

Aud he willed the lord, to take this into, their cousideration; for it might be some of their cases, or the case of any gentleman of worth, that keeps a footman or other, whose wife is weary of her husbaud, or his son arrived tof full age, that would draw his servants to chaspire his father's deauh.
He said futther, his wife had been fraught in his absence, and bagd had a child/which he concealed to save her hooout.

That his son was now become /i1 years old, and be hiuself old and decayed; and the one would have his lands, and the qcher a young husband: and therefore, by the testimony of ${ }_{i}$ then and, their servants added to their own, they had plotted and conspired his destruction and death.

And then (being thereunto, required by the Lord Steward) he withdrew firmself from the bar.

Then the Lord Steward (afjer solemn proclemation of vilence) addressed himself to the lords, and said: My lords the peers, your londchips have heard the Proofs, the frisoner', Defensé, all bis Doubts and Quetions resolven by the lords the fradges; and therefore goups lordehips, if "'jou please, may witbdraw yoinselves, if you are satisfied; because the Prisouer is not'to bo called to the ber ayajn,
until your lordships are agreed upon the Verdict.
Thrn the peers withulrew thenselves; and alter two boors debate, and sererol advices and conferences with the Lord Clice Justice, whom they sent for, and consulted with forr seicral times; having in that time also tent the earl of Warweck, and viscomet of Dotcliester, togelher whth the Lord Chuef Jnstice, to consult with the Lord Steward, at the late they returued to their placers: and then the Lorid htewanl asked them one by one, begimnag at the lowest, and so asceading;

1. Whether the said eal of Castehaven was Guilty of the Rape whereof he stood indictet, or not? And they all gave him Guity.
2. Whether the sad earl of Casth hascu was Guilty of the Sodony with which he waselarged, or not? And fificen of the lorils condenined him , and the othei twelve freed lam.

## Tue Sextives.

When the Verdict was thas given, the lientenant of the Tower was again commanaded to bring the prisoner to the bar, to hear his Sesotence; and after he was brought in, the Lord Steward said unto hin:
Forasmuch as thou Mervin lord Audicy, ear! of Castleharen, hast been indicted for divers Felonies, by three several Indictments; one for a Rape, the other two for Sodoniy; and hast pleaded Not Guilty to them all, und for thy Irial thou hast put thyself upon God nnd thy peers; which trial thou hast had, and the: found thee Guilty of them all: What canst thoin say for thyself, why the Scntegce of Deuth should not be pronounced ayainst $i i^{-} \circ$ c?

Whereupon he answered, He counct os in more, but referred himself to God and the king'y mercy.
Then the Lord Steward said, My heart grieveth for that which my Iongue must utter: but jostice is the way to cut off wickedness, and therefore hear thy Sentence.

Thou must go from hence to the prison from whence thou camest, and from thence to the place of execution, there to be hanged by the neck till thou be dead, and the Lord lave inerey on thy soul.

## The Lord Steward's Exhortation.

Oh think upon your offences ! which are so heinous and so borrible, that a Cbristian man nught searce io name them, ahd such as the depravelt nature of man (which of itself carries a man ty all sin) abborteth! And you have not only offeteded against nature, but the rage of a man's je, leusy? And, although you die not for that, thri you have abused your own daughter! And having both honour and fortune to leave behind ygu, you would have bad the impious and spurioos offapring of a harlot to inherit! Both those are borrid crimes. But my lord, it grievei me to ree you stand 'out against the truth to npparent; and therefore I will. conclude with this admonition, That Gort might hare taken you away when you were bludud

- 417] STATE TKIALS, 7 Chamles I. 1631.-The Trial of Mcri inLord Audley. [415
in your sins, and therefore bope tie hath re- ! served you as $u$ subject of his meicy: and us he sends you to see this day of shame, that you may return unto him, to therely in a manner he loviugly drans you to hinn: therefore spend the remainder of your tume in tesis and sepentance; and thise day's work, I hope, will the a correctuon from many crimes and corruptions.

Whereupon, at last, the Earl desceoded to a low Yetition to the lords, and very humbly besought them to intercede with homajesty, ih. : he might not suddenly cut hin ofí, but give bim time of repentance. And then lie desncel tha ir loriships pardons, it that he had been so gieat a stan to hooour and nolility.

Theu a Proclanatuon bciag male hy a serjeant, declaring, That the 'ord Uegh-steward's, pleasure was, that all such as had atiended this, day's service might depart; the licutemant of the Tower carred the carl atray, and the court broke up.

## Tin Exifutios.

In pursuance of the Sentence, a warrant was ibsued for hiv, Evecuion upoo Saturday the 11 h of May following; motice nlise of "as giwn him, and his cotiin carried into the Tow er alonet a week before, that be might the better fie pare himself for death: The desu of Phat's, flurtor Wincrfe, fiuled not daily to visit hmm, and to see how he stood, and to settie him an bis religion.

Bemg brought to the sealluhd, atiended by the dean of Paul's und Dr. Wrehhan, together with his servants, he saluted tho imble peremages, and whole asocmbly, sheniog to thea all a very y bine, manly suil chearful countenaters
 of Neath. Aiter a shirt white shew me lnaedid to the people, he adilerevel huw lif to praye, the deans accompunyne lan in that evacise, but somewhat apats wheh beise not tong, the stood upou his legs, and leaned upon lice two deans, conterrug with them. Thes he turned to the Lords, aud spake to this eflict:
" I acknowledge with thanh fulues, the great gondness of Almughty (iod, that it hath plensal lus diviae majesty to lestow on me many eudownent, as honour, tiches, and the like, whictr I have nimesient; having been a vicious hacr, and justly deserved devth, for as much, and in that the leat sin ut Gorls loand jusply dexerveth death, and no leas; but for the mo leinous crunes with which lowim brank d, condeinned, and bete 10 saller for, 1 if here deay them upan my deathpfreely forgivi s those that hase accused me, and have beeu foe occasion of my death, even as freely as $1,{ }^{\prime}$, yor if do desire forgiveness at God's hand, which I hope to obtain through his iufinte. gnodness and mercy; and somewhat the rather, ly, your Christian players, wheh I expect, and lumbly beg of your lordships und this whole astembly. Now for as "much is there hath bein speech nod rumour of my unsettleduess in my lecligion, 1 have, for explanation thereof, not only made Confessiun of my Faith to these two wurthy
doctors, but for better satislitetion to the world in that point, express the same ma writing under my hand sigued; which as it is here set down, I desire may be publely reat."
The Cunfes-ion of his Fsith then way read hy a young gentenan, with a loul voice, wherenii he profeses he dies in the fauth of the church of Bugland.
After which be procquiled: "I acknowledge the great justice and mercy of the"king's majesty; hin justice in bringing me to the hars aud his nercy in oflorthige mie such a moble and gracious Prial there: and I give his majesty lumble atel hearty thanks fir a-ognang my death to bo in this manmer, comirary to the Sentevec pronounced against me at iny urraignment. But there is a greater fincour than this, for nhich 1 am to render thauks moto his satcred majesty, and that is, the long time 1 have had to sepent in ; whereof (yraised be Alinighty (Gid) I can tpeak with contemphernade grod ure, and an now filly prepared for death, and mui h the better, by the grow help and instite the of these two wortigy neen, to whom I wichnowledge my elf hominden, aud do here, befine gou all, give them hearty thauhs for their great pans taken in coming io me, praying for tace, atorl preacling and readug to me.-And I dicsire gour loridhips to prescat my humble acknossiedgment to lis raujesty, for lis goadues in sendug them to mee, and my thanks for the same.-I do aliso trom my heart dessie and besech Almighty (iod to bless the king's majroty, the que n, and the young prince, togethere with all sach other juve as he shall hereafier ia man'y bestow on thenu, and the whole tate; mol my trust anibdcoire is, there may be eser one on their line to sway the secpter of thase hungtoms to the worli's end.-And I bewher, an 1 do heartily pray tor the weltace and Laspey proppoty of the krog and queren of Bohatha, with all tiseir princely iwuc: I do again Bore sour tochstijps to wake tender of iny hư whe achmonledgement of lis mercy and yoonkere. - Anal now lasly, That you will not bend four cyes so mucli upton me, as your hauds .od learts to haven in pragers for ine; and on tatake fis lat daresell of your lordships aul the torld.'

Thea If weat ngain to his private prayers; which begng dons, he frepuated himsedf for death, st, ing to shew the tike coorage and magnar in y whech he had formenty done, unto the last : bit sigh, of the beadsinat (whon yet he frcely fif ene, and thok lim by the dund, bidding hanf do his ofice manfully) together with the npt chansion of his near approaching end, made tia sonace hat to change colour, and shew sme sigus of treubling pissoion; for his huuds t! fook a litt!e in undring his hundstrines; which his inan perreiving, stept to hin and helpe him, as also off with his devidet. Then laking lave agnin of the locds, the doetors, and lifis ming, saying a very shipr prajer hy limiself, he polleal Nown bis ha dkerchief" over his face, and laid bis head upon ar block; which was taken off aţ one blow.
${ }^{\circ} 2 \mathrm{~K}$

# 138. The Trial of Lan rence Fitz-Patrick and Giles Eroadway, two Servants of the before-mentioned Lord Audiey, Earl of Castlehaven, at the King's-Bench, for a Rape and Sodomy : 7 Cuarles I. a. d. 1631. [2 Rushw. Coll. 102.] • 

ON Monday the $s$ दh of Juae 1631 , the marshal of the King's-Bench brought Fite-Patrick and Brodway to the bar, where was a jury of sufticient and able Wiltshire men, inpannelled to $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{m}}$ upon and try them.

The countess of Castehaven lienelf was in court, to give Evilence againt Brodway ; and she came in upan the mstant, when the Lord Chief Justice (sir Nicholas Hyde) demanded of her, Whe ther the Fividence she had formerly given at her lord's Arraignment was true, and the full matter of Clarge she had then to de-
 answered, It was.-My lord said: Madann, you have sworn ther Brodivay, prisoner at the Lar, hath lain with you doy force, which may be, and yet no act cominitted: Did he enter your body? She said, That in her former oath taken, when she testified he lay with her loy force, her menning was, that he had known her caroally, and that he did enter her lody*.
Then was she wighed to look on the Pri*ner: nuto which motion and comorandment she marte a shon reply: That although she could not look on bing, but with a kind of indignation, and with slomec, in regarg of that which had been ofiezed unto her, and she sulfered by him, yet she had so much charity in her, and such respect to God and his truth, that she had delivered nuthing for malice: and therfore hoped, that her osth and evidence thereupon should he credited: and so desired to be believed and dismissed. Which being grauter, she departed with as nuth privacy as nught be into her conch.

Fitz-Patrick being asked concerning his gniltiness or innocenacy, tlenanded, who wro his nccasers? The Lord Chief Justice aif wered,

* Emission is necorsary to constitu/e a rape. So ruled by eight juiges, though indefd it dues not seem periectly clear whitt was Leid Mansfield's opinion, cont. lord Loughburn fh C. J. of C. B. Buller J. of H. R. and IIcat/ I, of C. B. (Perryn B. aboent) in the case of Itll tied at Lincolm assize in 1781. Sec East' Crown Law tit. Rape, where an account is giv fo of previous opinions oll this point. In prictice, direct proof of emission is not requirgh. It may be inferred from circumstaices. yote, It seems that Mr, East was not t at the carl of Castlehaven and lord Audley was the same person. The earldonn of Castlehaven ynas an Irish peerage, which in 1777 became expuct on the deaty of the lust Yarl, for want of aiale descendents, claiming through males only from the first learl. The Einglish honour of Atdiey, beingft harony in fee, is inheritable by females, and diall subsisth

You have accused yourself stuliciently. FitcaPatrick rephed, That he thought nettier the laws of the kingdun required, nor was he bound to be the destruction of himself: what Evidence he had formerly given, was for the king against the earl, and no forther.

The Lord Chief Justice replied, It was true, the law did not oblige any man to be his own accuser; yct where his testimony served to take nway any one's life, and made himself guilty of the same crime, therein it should serve to cut him off aho.

Then the Jury demandial of the Court satisfaction concening the words of the Statnte, which run, 'To chaige him alone to be, and ac'cousted a felon in lav, that committed a Bug. 'gery with man or hast.' (or which fact the hate earl was found guilty, and had sulfered.)

The Lord Cluef Justice replied, 'I hat forasmuch as every accessory to a iclon is a felon in law ; so he beng a voluntary prostotute, when loc was not only of understandang and years to kllow the heinousness of the sin, but aloo of strength to have withstood bis lord, he therefore was su far finth guily.

Whereupen the Jury found the bill, nnd the Sentence of Death was passed on them both; nad they were deliversed and commotted to the sherif of Muddleses, wlio, after he linel suffiered then to have some repast at Mr. Hillis'inetier Palace Yard, aud conference with their friehds, carried them in Newsate, where they behaved thenseleses civilly and religiously.

As som as they were foumd guilty, the Jndges of the Court wrote this Letter to the Lord Keeper to piepare hin for the king.
"Right Honourable; May it please your lordship to be mformed, That thus day Giles Brodway and Lawreuce Fitt-Patrick were tried Lefure us in the King's-Bench, for the several offences of Rape and Buggery, of which they were indicted, and they have received Judgment of Death: but we forlear awarding Exechtion, upon a message sent from your lordship Ly sir Thomas Fenshaw, of his majesty's pleas. re for the stay G.' Execution, until further direction frin has majesty: but "conceiving there is great calse to put the malefactors to execution, we thfught it our duty to acquaint your lordship widthe passiges of the Trinl, that his majesty by'your lurdship's menas being made acquainted therewith, may signity his further plensure.-Brodway, who was arraigned for the Rape, very impudently denied his own Confession, takpn before the lords the, peers in the Trind of my lord Audley: he pretended he was annzed, and knew not what he subscribed; and professed himself guiltless, with preat execrations. He would not be satigfied, unless the
lady was produced face to face, which she was; who by her oath, viva voce, sutisfied the auditors, hoth concerning the truth of the fact and his own impudence.-Fitz-Patrick, who was arraigned for the Buggery, confessed his Exitmination to be true; but hike one very ignoraut, or ratiocg seuscless, would have tlicin true against the lord Audley, and not against himself, which was impossible: he pretended be was promised security from danger, if he would testify against the lord Audley; and so someht to raise a suspicion, as if he had been wrought upon, to be a witness to bring the lord Audley to his end. They were both found guilty, tis the full satisfiction of all that were present; and ne for our parts thought it to stand with the honour of conmon jistuce, that secing their testimony had been talen to bring a peer of the realm to his death, for an offence as much theirs us his, that they should as well sulfer for it as lie did, lest any jealonsy should arise about the truth of the fact, tuid the justuess of the procevdings. But upou recespt of yout lordship's Message, we have stopped the Fxecution till his maje,ty's fiurther pleasure be known; to which we shall lumbly subnit ourselics, and rest at your lurdship's command, N. Hyde, W. Jones, J, Whillock, G. Croke."

The kint by this means being truly inforned how thangs stood, signified his pleasure, that they'bhould be exccuted, lut to have a week's tune for ropentance.

On Wednesday, the 6th of July 1631, they were brought to 'I'yburn; where, when the executioner had tied the halter about Fitz-Patrich's neck, he stid :
"Foyemuch as I am here, and, as it were, vwunalie mstant to sulfer death, I desire ali lowing subjects and members of the church of Rome to pay for me." Then he proceeded to pray to our Satiour, his Motitcr, und the Saints; in wlach he was interrupted by some genticmen, who told lim, that the heginnmg of his prayer was good, for that he offered it to Chist Jesus, in whom ouly sulvation is to be found; as for the Virgin Mary, and the Saints, they could do him no good. But notwithstanding he persited, saying, " 0 yes, the blessed Virgiu never formenk or failed any that trusted in, or called upou her; and therefore he would depend upon her and the rest of the Saints; ant so proceeded to an exhortation to Mr. Brodivay, to cleave to the same opinion, antd die in the Romish fath; for which to have him doy he said, if he hadit, he would give the whole world." Unto phich motion Brodway gave no answer, or seened not to regard it. "Then he proceeded yf shew how he had been examined by my Lord fhief Justice touching the corruptness of iny lord of Castlehaven's life, wherein be said he confessed nothing to prejudice the said carl.
"That being within three days afierssent for before the IOrds of the council, my lord Dorset had entrapped and ensnared him to his destruction; for saying upon his honour, and speaking it in the plural number (as the mouth of the .
whole board) that whatsnever he delivered should no ways prejudice himself, he thereby got hin to declare the earl guilty of the sin of Buggery; wherein bimself being a party, was the unly cause he came now to sufter death: for which his lordship's skill and policy in sifting lim, ugether with a dispensation of his proinise and oath, he freely forgave him; saying fariher, the stid lord bad done him no wrong, because he therein sas Sut an instrument to setd him out of this world into abletter. 'Then he dcmanded of the company, if the earl denied the sin at his death; and wished my lord had not (if he did) for it was too true; his lurdship had both luggered him, and he lis lordship. That it was true (for some private disconteutment) he bore a litule malice to the aarl and Skipwith, lor which he asked God forgiveness. That for Irodway, if he had done any thing to the countess, he did it not out of his own ill currupt tature, but was pionmwidendesersuaded to it by the earl.
"He cleared the young lord as never being any occasion or meatis of his father's denth, in lurugg, or persuadiog lini to give evidence, as he had donc. He confessed he had lived an ilt life, in that he had delighted in drinking, whorjug, and all manner of uncleanness; but now, as be was heartily sorry, so he doubted not of mercy of Almighty God, to pardon and forgive him all his sims, through and for the merits and mediation-sake of Christ Jcsus, the blessed Virgin, and the Saints in II eaven.
${ }^{4}$ That he bad fallen or 100 into these sins, (and especially that which he came to die for) by reason he had neglected, and not so duly, as he should lave done, apaited to his ghostly fatlier, to mahe confersion, and take instructyns from liun. That after be did make contersion and his sins huown to the priest, he was nęt only sorry for them, but also resolved never Iu come into iny lurd's house again; but it was hrough frailty, and because he was not furm. lued of another place."
$S_{5}$ turning ngain to Broblway, and persuading bra to embrace the Itomish faitl, wherein, as he zercerved, his labour was in van, so the sherifltud other persons of quality willed him to forbeiar, and shat up his discourse, unless he had any thing more to say to the purpose. Wbereupon praying for the king, queen and state, lie fetook hinself so private prayer, and therein foi the must pat contipued to his death.

Brodwal came, (and as it wids thpught by the compra, a true penitent) and after fetching a deep $s$ gh at the sight of the tree, he lifted up his eyes od hands tow ards Heaven, making and saying to thimself two short prayers; so attending Fitz- 'atrich's diccourse, he sat in private meditatyon, often making it manifest be was in prayer most of the tine, and also rejoicing ut the nssembly's well wishing of him, for which he, returued smiles and hamks. His time being conk to stand up and haje he halter put about his neck, and so declife himselfy be willingly suffercd the one, and proxeded to the other. First askug Fitz-Patrick in he bat.
done, he pulled a sheet of paper out of his pocket; wheh befog writ hnailways, he rould not splead it to reat, therchere desteci to bave his liands unt.ed; which wha doae, and he read it dustinctly, to the amembly; the che t whereul was, to ideclare himetif pealty, in the sight of Atmphty tionl, of deah and clamesttion; fir that he houl hooke all the comamantinents, in thombt. nord, and deed, ant smead in prale of "lite, late ot the eye, conceth of the own beauty; math hiess stieneth, and other natural guls, in devie of terenge, not pityme the poor, undan ful riches, not repairmg to sermons, not obecrume the S.hhbith, \&e. For all wheh, amil other bis sins whatseser, be hoth desared of, and tuated is God fir pardon, and that through and bor the ouly reesits of our Savour Clorst Jesus, his hitter death and pansion. He expresed a strong asturane, which his sery soul had, of forgicencos, it that, through the g-ntus. such hold on Christ as he fad ilone. This paperwriting contafied the consesson and payer; also (as I remember) some thing of has slender guiltiness and idesent of death, lim not son moch. Then deliverny has to dwe nherifi, he opened a little Look, mtitked, ' Lararn todic;' aut desived the conpany to join with him; so seading over three short prayers, the last whereof wes compased anly of cantic, $k=3$, and for parion, which piayer he pronounced with gicot conifint, at evciy Auen clappuige hmiself ton the breant; be clored it ng, and gase it to hor ghastly father, a mmistor and homsman of his, who came atone whth him on boverbach cloce by the cart. 'Tlxa be puiled out a linte paper, which contained a prayer of his own making: and when he had reat it, and ciery me jound with him to the Amen, lue commended it nlor to the sheriff; and then throning away his posy of flowers, be roused himself and said to !his effect:
" (jentlemen, though true it is, what I fyrmerly have delivered wurhing my gmituesyond desert of death, my mean, ng wald, and iy canly in respect of my suns towards (iud, and po fuither for breach of the laws of the kiage/ m , tham only lying osce with the larly Cisketarem, through persatasion of the earl, who was then in bed wihber: and ung sume sumall foree for the purpose, I ded emit, hut not pegetrate her body. I came not to my lord with a diesire or intent noy ways to serve him, bu was rather inclined tor' the seat : only Mr. Fipwith had drawn me thither for society-sake: and not hearing from my frienls concerning fay inteuled voyage, and heing more kindly rf fiected by the enal than I looked for, I stand fiom weeh to week, and from month to mon (, , contrary to my intention. Theu suy lord, inaking me his bed-fellow, diti oue day, when Skipwith was with him in the gaden, (but walking"smewhat apaysy break out in speeches to nec to this purpoce Mrodway, thou arg foung. lusty, and well fary ared, and thetefore canst not but prevail wig any woman thou attemptest: whereforofir that I am old, and cannot live long,
my wife wholly delighting in lust, which I am neither able nor willing to satisfy, thou mayest do well to lie with her: and so p!eaving her, alter my death marry her, and thereby raise thy foitune. lita-Patuick knows my lord had solicuted me agan and span, hearing hims use tha angutge "hen we hate been m bed together, mas he lying at the bed's fect." Which to clear, he charsed Futz-1'atrick to spealh his knowledge; who reptiefl, "' I was true." 'Then he was asked by one of the lords," Whether when my lord solicical him, my lady deared to have him know Ler carnally?" To whotu he said, "No, he woudd not wrong her, though she hated him infinitely. But," said he, "I hnow well, if I were minded, and nble to profler, she would not say nay : for Mr. Shapwith and Aroptil lay with her commonly."
He aided, "That Shipwith confessed to him he had often known her, and gotten a child upen her, which she, like a sicked woman, had mads anay: which was the on:-" and sole accasion he the saal Skipwith now liated her, and therefore had trined to the voung lady Amilley; alt which he presumed bhipwith would coufese upon his onth. That the countess was the wickedest woman in the world, and had more to answer for than any woman that lived,
be thenght." At which words, that lard whech akked him the former question, said. Grovy not jutn a pasoinn, Mr. Brodway, aud spreak nothing for malice. Ile answered, " (Fod forhid I slould, I an in charity with all livng prople, and tho as frecly furgive my larly Caslehaven, as I do desire God to forgne me. but what I spuak, is true, we I slaill presently answer beline him that redecmeisyin, and the Iloly (ihont who sanctified me: Faredoses he all bmaur and glory, now and for everimure. Ainen."

Then he proceeved farther, and said, "That my lord wonald have had hrin done at long hetiore : for one night comning tos ham tos his bedside, he caught hum, nud bid lime come to bed to hum and his wife: Ihat thereopon be made to him as if he would; bat being got from him, deparred the chamber, never intending to do su frul a deed; and that for the reasons ;forresaid he hated her of all women living. Howlucit, that one time, sattsfying my lard's desire, he came to bed to theni, where (being gratityed) mature provoled him to a kind of desure, and he emitted, but dud not enter lu.r body, as he hoped for salvationt; that he never knew any woman cafnally whilst he lived m my lord's houre.
"That if was not his intentions to bring to light either wy lord's or my lady's shame; but that when fee was upon his oath he could not' but spenk the truth, his nature bemg never prout; to lying ; or if it were in his youth, the good coniection of his parents had weaned him from it, saying, that his mother had often told him the obld Poverb, 'A lyar is' worse than a thief;' and lie thought he had more stripes for that than all faulty elce whatsoever: that he lad, as he hoped, spoke nething of momeat
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ugainst my lord at his arraignment; he could not now remember every thing; if he had, he desired pardon.". And so concluding his Speech, prepared himeelf for death; pulling out a luced bandkerchuef, he desired the caecutioner to the it about his head. Then pulling off his garteres and unhuttoting his duablet, Mr. fivoiconale, the muister, nshedl bim , if be would not have \& Psalm. He sain, Yes, with all my heart. Then lie read the 143rd psalm; which Mr. Broadiwny, pulling up the handher chief, sung very chearfully, neier changing colour at all. The nmister desired him to make contesson ot hus faith; so he pronounced aluntid the liestef.

Mr. Coodenale said, These are the Articles of the Chit-tan Faith accorling to the Chuch of England, into wheh faith you was baptized; pray sigmily whether in ihat faith you intend in dim? Ile sand, Yes: for there is no other fath, (us I soppose) it and by winch a
man can be saved. Then he made requést to the sheriffs and those af his kindred there, that he might be buried in his own country. It wis then told him, that it was granted, and order taken to have it so, wherefore he should now mind his prayer. When his kinsman anked him, if he had never another prayer in his pocket? be said, No. Then asked Mr. Gesodcoale, if he wonld say gfier him ? And he said, Yes, with all my heart; bot first he desired the executioner to tie his hands again. Which being done, Mr. Goodcoale said a short prayer to recompuend his sonl and body to Almighty God, min and for the merits of Christ's death and pasticn: to which Brodway and the people said Amen. Then liftiug up his hands to lleaven, he sadd, 'lord Jesus receire my spirit, and the cait wav drawn away."
. Fin-Patrick lifrieg op lis bands, and comneending lumself to forf, whesecuted in like manner.

## 139. Thee Trial of Jamps Lord C'mithitf, for Calumies and

 slanderous Speeches against James Marquis of Mamilton; and the Earls of Haddington, Roxburgh, and Buccleugh, tending to the sowing of Scdition betwixt his Majesty and the said Noblemen, at Pdimburgh: 7 Cinaries I. A. d. 1631. [From an authentic MS.]Cucia Jathearice S. D. N. Regie tenta in pretorin, hurg de Fdinturgh, watimo die netn-is disventur, A P. millermme, sexcen-


- thotpor viro, Magitrois Alevandrmi Colville de Bhar, if Jacobum Robertoun Advocatum, Jusicciarms Ileputatos mbilis ef potentus domint Willielme Eranits de Stratherue et Diontenth, dem. Siralame, Kilbryde, ot hyapont, pravitiss ser reth Conchin et Jusherri enenerah, Dom. S. D, N, Kegis totion regm am Scotis, ubilibet conoltut. stetis vocatis et curia legtume affirm.ta.


## Intran'

James Lned belufue delaitit of the maihing of Leasings, ('alumness and slantervus Speeches nganst James nargois of Itesuilton; the earl's of Hadd ngton, Rinburgh, and Buceleneh; tending to tife sowing of Solfyion hetivixt his majesty and the said notlemen, higmajesty's layal subjects; m iorry and manne specilyed and set down in his Ditury.

Purster, sir Tliwas liope of Craighall, 4night and baronet, Advocate to ous sovereign lod for his highuess's entries.

My Lurd Advocate produced ail Acṭ of secret council, commandong hime to pursue James lord Uchiltrie, now entered upon pamael for the crimes tontained in lis Dituy', of the which Act of Council the tenur follows:

- Apud Hallyrudhouse vicesimo ado die ${ }^{6}$ mensis Novembris A. D. millesimo, sexceute-
'simo, trigecimo prino.' 'Forasmestill as the
'king's majesty, by his lerter directed to the
" lords of his piry-cimnel, having tigotied his - royal pleasure and direction, that James lord
${ }^{*}$ Techltrie, whinm his majesty has sent hoone
* todeckept in close ward, shall be tryed and
'temoured accordang in the laws of this king-
' donn, for some Intionmations given by him, re-
' ilecting upon senne noblenuci and counsellors
' of the same, hefore what judicatory and judges
${ }^{\text {' }}$ the said lords should think fit and competent
r for thit pupose: and his majesty having, to
'that end, spat down to the said lords some
' Depminitions ungler the lerd Uchiltrie's own
' hand; and the authent:c copies of others,
' whereaf the primeipals are retaned by his ma-
'jesty, because tliey likewise concern other -persons. And the said lords having read and ' consiblered the same Depositions, and having ' taken into their consideration, which is the © most prope Judgment for trying and Ceusar'ing of matirrs of this kind; they have' all 'with one vifce fonnd, and by the tenor of ${ }^{6}$ this net, finds and declares, That the Trial 'and Censurity of the said lord upon the ' particular aforessid, is most proper and com'petent to be followed out before his majesty's 'Justice : and therefore ordane sir Thomas 'Hope vof Craighall, kut, his majesty's Advo' cate, to form and draw up the said ford Uchil' trie's Dittay; wht to pursue hin erfminally
' therelupon, helore his milijesty's jastica, upon
' the last day of Novenber instant; and, or-
! dajns his majerty's suid Advocate to griest.
' just copy of the Dittay to the snid lord - Uchiltrie, betwixt and the 24th of Novernber
' at tight; to the intent he may have time to
- be advised therewith, nnd to consult his Advo-
- cates, anent his lawiul defences competent to
- him against the same. Anent the doing
${ }^{6}$ whereof, the extract of this Act, shall he unte
'his majesty's saud udocate a warraut, ' Ex-
"tractum de hbris sactoram secreti conclii
"S. D. N. Regis', per me Jacobum Prymorvis
" Clericuur' justem sub meissigna et subscrip"tione matualibus, sic subocribitur James "Pryinross.'"

After production tuml reafling of the which Act of Council, my Lord Adwocate also produced his majesty's Letter, directen to the Jords of his majesty's privy-cruncil of this kiugdom, dated the 24th of Sept. 1631, tugether with two Depositions of the lori Uchiltrie's, thy oue dated the gush of June 1631, the other upenetion antif of June 1631. Then three several Depositions made by Donald lord Hea, whereof twh thereof dated upon the 21st of June 1631, and the third apon the gtth of June 1631, all true copies thercof under the hands and subscrpitow of the Committee of the Council of Englanil. Of the which Depositions and Letter above-wniten, dircetid hy his majasty to the council, the renor follows. In the firt, the depnstum made hy James lord Uchiltrie upon the reth of June 1681.

## Copia rera. The Examination of James lord Uchiltric, taken the 20th of June 1631.

The said Exammant saith, That on or about the Gith or 7th of May last, at the sign of the Bear near the Bedge-fiout, the lord Itca told this examinant, that soldiec and trasellers did often hear those thum, whereof those that staid at home had no notice: and sad, he did believe there was a plot against this land.. This Examinant vished hain, if the had any good grounds so to think, that he should not fail to discover it. The lord Reas sad, he had no certain ground, but if be haul staytd but five wecks longer in the Low Countries, he would have known the certaiuty; and thay he would have hazarded his life but he would have had the certainty. The 13th of May the lord Rea came to this Exammant's- chatnher, and there putting this examinant in mund of the former speech betweea them, he told this exanituant, that he bad learued more certonty than ever he bad before since the time of thy ir last epeesth; whereupon divers passages nefe between his lordship and this exammant, fbout the discovery of it, and the manner. In the end his lordship told this examinant, that the purpose of the marquis of Hamilton's Levies (as divers of his commanders and followers lard mfirmed hin the said lord Rea) was, that cother they should not go out of England and Scpitand, or if theypdid, they shonld retown to Jugland or Scothe id, and sarprize $2 / 4 \mathrm{C}$ king's honses in Scotly iud viz, the chasles of Edinbur;h; vineling and Duabarton; and fortify themselves ig Leith, under pretence of training; and
should take Berwick, and so march forward into England. And this Examinant asking what could be thrir intention so to do; the lord Rea ssid, that he was informed they meaned to take the king's person, and to inmurate him, to send the queen uto a cloister, and to capmate the young prince with his father, and to stribe off the heads of all the principal inen about the hing, both Fanklish and Scots: and in particular the Lord-Yreasuicr of England, the earl of Montcith, sir Willaam Alexander, and sir Thomis Hope. And this examinant snith, That belone the lord Rea discoverell the particularities aforesand to this examinant, this evammant using persuasions to him to reveal it, ushed the lord lea what it mizht be, sayng, it was either a Frcueh or a Spansh facion. To which the lord itea said, It was neither; but told this examinaur what it was, and so serealed the paticulars alonvemeationed. Whercippon the ford then beng fully resolved to proceed to a farther discovery, and thumbing it fitter to be done by thas examinant than by himself, lest those uhom it concerned inight sonner suspect it, desired this exatuinaut to acquauiat las majesty or the LordTreasurcr therewith.
On the morrow, being Suturlay the 1 tht of May at night, this Examinont came to have spoken with the Iord-Treasurer; but his lordship being coac to bed, by his appointment, this examinant dame the neve morning, and fold hum, he had somenhat to reveal that concerned his najecty, and nll his hingtoms nud posterity. The Liri- Treasurer therenpon went mstantly up to the king, and after, the same day, told thin examinant, that hispajesty bad govea him cormmissiun to bear this exaecinonts rclation. This exrminant further sailit That the lord Itea told this examinant, that he had much of this beyond sea from Robert Meldrum and David Ramsay. But since his romurs into England (as he said) he had spoheu with sir Jamics 1kamsay, sis James Hamiton, col. Alev. Ifanitoon aud captain Douglar, and had gotecil soncwhat out of cuery wae of them: the alou said, he bad spoken with the lord Seaforth, and understood tomen bat from him.
On Mouday the t6th of May, this Examinant attended the Lord-Tkasurer at Whitehall; and entering into a relation, in the very beginaing discorered, thaf the matter which lie was to relate soncerned the lord marquis of Hamilton and his actions; which so soon as he had famed, the Lord-Treasurer commanded hiun to so $y$ no more, unth be had ampainted the king ygin; but wisheal this examinant that he and he lord Rea should go presently to Grecnukh, wi ere the Lord-Treasuicr would meet them. But he hang fieing cone towards Whitehal., this exmmasnt and the Iord Reat came bark ngun, and were then appointed by the $=$ Lqrd-Treasurer to attend his majesty on Thundar at ten o'clock, which thev did. The examinant wurtice sath, That on Monday the 16th of May, thes examinant delivered to the Lord-Jreasurer a List of Names, to represent
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to his majesty the strength of the lord Hamilton's party and-adherents in Scotland. At this examinant's coming to his majesty, this examinant told the king, that the business was a Treason intended against his majesty, and the party was the marquis of Hamilton, as this examinant wasieformed; and that it was the filthiest treason that ever was intended, and was sorry that any Scottish man should have a hand in t, for it was a sliame to the whule nation. And then the lord Rea bimself conme in, made telation to his majesty, who remitied him to the Juod-Treasurer; whereupon this examinant coming to the Lord-Treasurer, and telling him the kiug had remitted the lord Rea unto hm ; the Lord-Treasurer wished, that the lord Rea would put his Pelation in writing. Wbereupon the lord Kea and this examuant went together, and sat up all night; and the lord Reat first putting it moto writing, this exalmimant wrote it out 4 " the lind Ilea's papers, who on the morrow brought the same to the Loril-Treasurcr: but this exammant was not then present; but the neat time that he came to the Lord-Treasurer', being asked hy hem whose the hand-writing was, thes examinant said, it was hiv own haud; and the lord Treasurer telling him that the lord kea bad not subscribed it, this examinant said, he would without doult solncribe it. And nhout two days atier be brought the lord Reat to the LorilTienturer, who read over the whole writing, and suliscribed has name to at, saying, he would seal it with his bloed.
Thn Waminant further confesseth, That he told the Lord-Treasurer, that the lord Rea told him ja had yet more, and would say so mont, that the marquis should not hase the face in deny is ; whech the lord Rea then present allirnued; mssmuch asthe lord-Treasurer said, Then is the busuess at an end, there needs now writing.

The Examinant further saith, That on the Sumday moruing, when the marquis of Hancilton came out of Scotland, the lord Rea told this examinant, that he had spoken with the lord Seaforth, who assured hin their purpose was to take the king, the queer, and the prince; and this examinant usking how they should effect it, the lord lea replied, The lnrel Seaforth had told him, they yere great with the earl of Dorset, who had the custody of the prince. And this examinnust further saith, That the lord Rea told him, that he was assured by my lord of Roxburgh, that the marquisf and his company would hasten their purpose and the lord Rea said, that surely the Ilamptons had taken sone veut of the business, and that sir James Ramsay had told him, he had 500 men in readiness upon an hour's waraing, but they should not come about Iondon till dicir bessiness wus ready withal; which this exuminant the same morning acquainted the Lord-Preasurer, to the mitent that his raajesty might know thercof.

And further saith, That shortly after the dord Rea told him he had spoken with the lord

Seaforth, who told him, that the matter which he had formenly told him concerning the earl of Dorset, was but a disguised thing.
This Examiuant further saith, That on that Sunday morning be wished the Lord-Treasurer to a:lvise the king, that he should go to London for more safety; and understandung the ling had sent Tor the lord Rea, this examinant wished the lord Rea were agi sent for, because the lord liea was gone to the loid Seaforth's to learn more: And further saith, That the same tume being in the end of the king's dinner, this exauinant told thy king in these words: 'Sir, ' now we kn iw the buancss, but know not the 'time ; and theretore, sir, either do or die.'

## Copia Vcra. My Lord Rea's first Examination, 2 1st of Jone, 1631*.

- In the Fxamination of Donald lord Rea, taken the 2lst of Juace, 1631, tly said examinant s.ath, That having heard in Swedertion anvid Ramsay, sach thums as are contained in the writen Relation which hath leen delivered to his majesty; and before thaving licard in Ponnerland those passages from Itwbert Melduns which are in the same relation, this examinant having a rcsolution to come for Eagland about Decenol er last, was stayed in Denmark by reason of the ice, so as he came not to Ilolland will about March List, where he had conference wits. David Remisay, and heard from him such other gasstges as are contained in the same relation. And after coming into Englaud, hecau-e David Itansay had told this exammant, thot he wonld write to the marguis of Alamilton, how firr lorih the said David flamsay had treated with this examinant, this exammant expected that the marguis would have'spoken thereof unto him; he did theretore forbear to say any thung thereof; yet alouet two or three days befire the lord marquis went into Scotland, this examinant did spenk to the lord Uchiltrie to that purpose: That his lordslip was better acquainted than this evaninant with the fashoons, and laws of this land; and desired to know what danger it was, if anm $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{m}$, hearing beyond sea of things that mighi be dangernos to the hing or state, suould not speak of it. To which the lord Uclultrie answered, Nio less than your liead and estate. And this was all that passed between them at that time, being the first time they spake thrrenf; and the place wis, as he thunketh, at tic lord Uchiltir's own lodghngs.

IIe further zaith, That about eight or ten days-nfter, thisidexaminant coming to the lord Uchiltrie's lodghtgs to talk of some other business, after spiect thereuf, spake to this effect : My lord, you remember I asked you a question awhile since, what the dauger might be, not to speak of, matters dangerous to the king or state, which he had heard beyond the seas, and I would now agah have your advice "fyerein : And the lord Úchitrie promusing his refdiness
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to advise him, so as he might be acquanted with the particular, this exaunuant told hint, Le would acquant him with the particular, if he would swear not th disener it but as he should direct : addume, Thent if he did otherwise, this exanuant would pay him. The Iord Uchiltree thina sarl, and protesied, That he would nut dificeser any thang but as this examuant should qup init; whereupon this evaaninant decflared the paticular to hen, who beavang it, told thrs esaminantat was necessary to be revealed, and duabted lest this examsnant lasl kept it too long aly endy: Bat theu thus exauinant sail, Considéring it concorned one su near the kng as the morrquis of Hamilton, he thought it not fit that this cxammant shund himself hacak it to the king, lest the king should at first reject it; but it wonl.d be Gitter for some other to do at, and thecefore de? sired lus opimon bow to dascover it. The lord Uimilife, racosing awhite, sand, He thought it best it were dizcotered to sutace of the I'risyCouncil; Al.creupon this examinant sand, That he would ist diworer it to nuy Scot ,man, but thatght it beat to reveal it to the Lard'Treasurer, bectune be thought the Lord-Tieasurer was uo way fin the Plot.

According ta which resulation the lard Uchitrie, as he affer told thiv examinaut, dad, according as "as agred betiveren han and this examinant, repars to the Lorit-1 reasorer the oime night; but fallug then to spoak whi him, he went the next morning, before this exainioant sas him, an! returning, told thes "xaminant he had been with the Lorl-'lrensurcr, and in general noproted to hum, that he had of mater to discoser whith nearly comstaned his unajesty : And sand further, it was no Finglish business, but it was, to hy awn shame he spake 14 , a Scutti,h business, neither was it any Popish Plot: Aud the Lend-Ficaurer then refused to bear 14 , till he had wanant from the king.

After the loral Wehiltrie and this Examinant were appointed to wait on the king at Greenwich, whither this Examinamt commg, tound the lord Uchultrie nithen with his/ majesty; and then this exmminant conng in, made a full relation to his majesty; who asking this Examinant wherefore he had not lumself told his majesty soouer of it, this examinant answered, That constdering the nearues, of the marquis_of Hamiton to lis majasty, this examinaft was afraid lest his majefy would hare been impnttent towards this Cfaminant; and - rought his majesty wo forgive his examiaant, be had thought be had dung amiss therem. if he najesty thereupon referred this examinant His al Lord-Treasurer, and bid the exammant to theja Relation m writing. Whereupon that put theus examinant and the lord $\mathbf{U}$, sat up all night tond this examinant waitug it fipt down, night, \{ U, wrote it sheet gitier shect, out of the lor faminant's paper. And this examinant this egt the same writen relation to the biordbrouasurer, and read it uato him, uad left it Trens him. Aud a day or two after, thif exg-
minant and the lord U . cane agoin together to the laord-Treasurer; the lord 1). having told ham, that this eraminant had forgoten to sign it; and then thin evamiuant sugned it, saying, he would make is grod with his blood.

At wifich tune thes Exammant remembers the lorl U. widd the Lond-Trcasurer, that this exammant bad more to say yet, which this exmunaut chd then abo aflirn'; and the cause wheretore he did alinirn it, was, because this exanuanat had spoben wah the lord Seaforth, and hal sone partuculars from hme, which he did not particulaly till to the lond U. but affirmed to him in the ge neral, that lie could say no more; but a dyy or two alter, this examnant went again to the lord Seatorth, and sprake with lim, and thei he told the same, fist to the lord U .

He further saith, That the lond Uchiltrie, on Sunday worning. stl thes Raminant, that he had been with the Larri-'Jreasurer, and had told him of the pasazes wal: the loril Seaforth, and of the marquin's returi, und that he conceived it might lee daugerous it that time far his majesty. That this examinant told hum, be had done esil therem, fior there was no such suddentios to be fered: and on the same Sunday in the afternoon, this examinant commg to his majesty, mid hearing from ham, that he had been advirused of somewhat importung matter of prexent danger; this exammant sand, hee had been wath'the lond Seafirth, but hed not the ectainty of things, lout prayed bis natjosty to give ham lonse to go agan to the lorid 'Reaforth', and then he wonld learn all. And tat the same tune las majenty teflog what danger hat beeu sugge-ted to hm, nhe upou the inarquis'z return: this examme.nt protestat he knew nothmg against the person of the mhtiquis: but that be was, for aneht this examsiant kne", as good a sulject as any the bing had.

## Copia Teia. My lord Rea's semourl Eammnation ihe ? 1 , of Junce 1631 .

The said lord hen, having delhberately heard read the Exanmation of Jane- lord Uchiltii, taiken the 20ih of this instaut, doth achoon1.Jye we same to be true in all points, so firr as the same concerneth the knowiedge, words or acts of this examnate, sinug the explanations hercafter following; He sauth, that as touching the conference betiveen the lord $\mathbf{V}$. and thes examinant the 13th of May last, where it is therein mestioned that this examimant told him, that siuce the time of their last speech, he had lef ned more cotainty than ever he had before; fis exammant had not say, that he had leap wed more certainty since their last speech, for in trutb he had not learned any thing within that time. But thinks he might say, that be had learned ruore cerrainty since he camb to England than he had before; nnd thereigre takes it, that the lory $U$. did mistake in that point.

And whereas in the same conference it is set down, that this Exuminant should say that he was informed, that they means to strike of the
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heads of all the principal men about the king, this exauninant guid, that he was informed they would strike off the heads of the Spanish faction; and that he named the Lord-Treasurer, the two bishops, the eari-marshal, the carl of Carlisle, sir Francis Cottington, the lord Mouteith, sir Whs. Alexander, and sir Thomsas Hope, and likewise sir Kenelm Digby, and spake of none other, neither in general nor particular; and suith, that he was so informed touching the Spanish faction by Mr. Meldrum, and David Ratnsay; and touching the Scots by the earl of Seafurth. And suith, that Meldrua and David llamsay did naune the aforesaid Englishmen to be of the Spanish firtion. And whereas it is said, that this examinant desircd the lord Uchiltrie to arquaint his inajesty or the Lord-Trensurer with the matter ; this exnminant did desire hiin to acquaint the LordTreasurer, but did not mention his majesty, but that it should come by the Lord-Treasurer to his majesty.

He confessed, he said, that since lis coming into England, he had spoken with sir James Ramsay, sur Jumes Harmilton, and capt. Duuglas, and gotten somewhat out of every one of them; but did not say he had spoken with Alex. Hamilton, or gotten any thing out of him since this examinent's comung into England.
This Examinant denieth, that he either said Limself, or affirined its being said by the lord U. that he could say so mich us the marquis should not have the face to deny it: but ssid he could bring as honest a man as this examiuant, that would tell to the marquis's face more than this examinant would do: and thus he meant by the lord Scaforth.-He confessed that be said, sir James Ramsay told him he had 1,500 meu in readiness, but would not bring them together, till the parties in Scothand wcre first ready ; and yaith, sir James told him as much, and that there were good otficers, and the earl of Fssex, and the abp. of Canterbury were surcties for some of then; and other than this, he spake not touching the 1,500 men.Ife saith, he was not acquainted with the list of the names delivered by the lurd $U$, to the Lord-Treasurer, nor had any thing to do therein.
(Copia vera.)" The second Examination of James Lord Ughiltric, taken the sith of June 1631.
The said Examinant confesseth, that the understanding which he had of the busipess, concerning the marquis of. Hamilton, whereof he hath been so often examined, cance to hin from the lord Rea. He eonfesseth further, that the paper of Numes which he did deliver to, the Lord-Treasurer, was made by this examinant limself, and the lord Rea was not privy to the makiug of it, or to the delively thetcof to the Lord-Trensurer, till after it wls doue. -He saith that the canse wherefore he diul in that paper mention the lord marquis to be prime agent, was, for that the lord Rea lad cold him, the lord marquis's followers had'said,
vol. 1 .
the intent of the marquis's levies was 10 invade Scotland. Being told, that the lord Hea hath been so far from charging the marquis, that he: hath alfirmed before his onajesty, that for aught he knows, the marquis is as good a subject ab any the king bath; he answereth, if in ienderness and care of the king's safety, and upon ground of the lord liea's relation, for the lord marquis's followers, he have gone any thing too far, be trusteth his majesty a ill impute it to his duty.
lleing asked, why, in the aforesaid Paper, be tnakes the earl of Melros, the earl of Roxburgh, and the earl of Buccleugh to he plotters, saith, that the lord Hea told him, the lori- Senforth had affirined it to him, that the earls of Melros and Roxburgh were acquainted with the particulars and secrets of the business. And fuis ther saith, the lord rea lind told him, he conld not guess who else th mids be in the plot, unlen it were the lord Bucek ugh bof whons lie lord Rea said, he heard him sjeak ternble und" jiver. sumptuous words ugainst the king, at his own, table in Ifolland.

He said, the lord Rea did urfirm to this Fxaminant, that he had the ufiresaid report of the earls of Mesros and Roxburgh from the lord Seaforth, lefore he, this eximinant. made or dehnered the said P'nper ta the lori-''reasurer: The said exaninzant doth nsow, that on the, 13th of May, the lord Real had ullirmed to him, that since their former specch, (which was the 6th or 7th of May) he had learned more cirtainty than ever he had before.- He e nfesseth, that whorens in his forwer Examination, he said, the lord lea told him, he was informed thit they mrant to strike off the hads of all the proncipal men nbout the king; he was mistaken in memionity all, nad did tot well mark himself when be so expresend it; his purpose being to have said, they would strike off the heads of mauy; for s., be tukes it, was the scope of the lord Ifen's speech.
Being told that the lord Rea donieth, that he spake with col. Alexander IIamilton since his, coming into England, he saith, it is possible that this examinant might mistake in adding that name to the rest, nid therefure will not contest about that. 1le doth avow, that in the presence of the lord Rea, before the LordTreasurer, this examisant said, the lord Rea could siy so much as the marquis should not have the face to deny it; and what this examinant said, the lord liea being then preseut, and licaring it, did not gainsay.-He saith, the lord Rea told him, sir James Ramsay suid to him, that he lad 1,500 men in readincss, and the first time said, upon an hour's waruing. But at a scoond time, the lord Rea spose of eight days warning; and further, that he would not briug them to London, till their business was rady.Being acquainted with what John Macky, son to the burd fiea, had confessed to have heen told hin by this examinant, he doth neknowledge it, and that he said it to John Mooky. after the lord thea and this exnminant had attended his majesty about the same buaigenes - 2 F
but did not tbink lis speech thereof to John Macky shookd have dune any hurt to the business.
(Copia vera.) The third Examination of Donaid Loud lea, tuken the 2sth of June 1631.
He sainh, that the first time that the lond Geaforth had any speech with this Examinant, touching the earls of Melros and Roxburgh, being privy to the particulars and secrets of the Lord Ifamiton's business, was on Monday nfier the matquis's comiug nut of Scotland, sad not before.-He forther saith, that the lord Uehiitrie havigg snoue speech with this examiunt, who might be like in Scotland to take arms, if the marquis of Hamilton should take up arms; the said lord U, and not this examinant, named the lọrd Baccleugh: whe reupou his examinant told him, that at the sicje of the Busse, this examinant heurd the lond Bucclengh use sumo words, whereby this exanninant toolk him to rane maxe-cointent vat of Bugland.
'The Tenor of his Majesty', Letter directed to the Lordy of his "ḷyjesty's Council of Scotland.
C. R. Right trusty, \&ic. The lord Ucluttrie - having been examined lefore our council here, - touching some Infortations given by him, re--flecting upon some of the nolility of that our - kingdom; we have been plensed to remit him * thither, to be tryed accurding to the laws - thereof; having to that purpose sent you 4 herewith inclosed some Depositions under his - own baud, and the authentic copics of others, - whereof the principnls we cause to be reserved 'here, because they likewise concero other ${ }^{6}$ persons. Our pleasure is, that haring given - order for receiving and committing himi to safe 'custody, you couse try and censure him ac* cording to our said lans, before what juedica* ture and judges you shall think fit nnd com! petent for that purpose; and for your so doing t these shall be sufficieut warrant. Given at ${ }^{6}$ our honour of IIampton-Court, the 24th of ' September, 1631.'

After this, my Lord Advocate produced the List of Names, or Representation written and given in by him to the Lord-Treasurer of England, upon the 16 th of May 1691, together with the lord Uchiltrie's Ditiay, of the which List or Represeptation and Dittay aforesuid, the teaor followeth:

The tenor of the List.
Representation fir my Lord-Treavurer,
The uhârquis of Hauviton is prime agent.
Plotters.
i. The earl of Melros.
§. The earl of Roxburgh.
3. The eail of Buccleugh.

Adherents to Homilton, by new blood and fininity, aùd dependance.
C. The 管rl of Kinghorne.
$\$$ The sarl of Abercaruc.
The earl of Glencairne.
Thik yitevunt Laudetlale.
The manquis of Hautley.
The eurl of Wigton.

By near Alliance by his two Sisters.
The earl of Fglington.
The viscount Drandangrig.
The carl of Melros hath alliance and affinity. The earl of Cassils his son-in-law.
The lord Carnegie his soh-in-law.
The lord Lyndesay his gruadchild by his daugiter.

The lord Boyd his grandchild by bis datghter.
The lord Oghtie his son-in-law.
His ellest son marricd to the earl of Marr's daughter; And so brother in-law to the lord Eishyue, now Kevper of bis majesty's two principal Castles of striveling aud Edinburgh; and so commander of all alnost of his majesty's Orduance in Scotland.

The earl of Melrus's son; likewise brother-in-law to the earl-marsban, and to the carls of Rothes and Kïghorne.

The cerl of Maltris's second son, married to the lord Wiachton's dunefter.
Tise earl of Melros hums if brother-in-law in the canl of Sunerset, and to the Jord Balmerimach.

The carl of Roxhargb.
Brother-in-law to the eall of Perth.
Fother-in-law to the constable of Dundee.
Father-in-law to the lond Pitcairn.
And the said lord Roxburgh able to raise of his own finends and followers alove 1,000 gentiemen in two days.

The earl of Bacelcugh.
The earl of Duccleagh, uephew to Roxliurgh.
The earl of Bucclengh, Lrother-in-law to the lord Erskyne.

The lord Tayes.
The earl of Winton.
The lond Sempill.
The lord loss.
Apud Edinhurgh vigesimo nono Novembris 1631. In presence of the bishop of Dunblane, my lord of Carnegic, my lord Justice Clerk, and Justice Depute. The whilk day Jnmes lord Uchiltrie heing present before the lords examinators abore-named; and the list of Names before mentioned being shewed unto him, and he required to declare if he would recognize and acknowledge the same to be his own hand-u riting; the said lard Uchiltrie, after inspection and corsideration thereof, declared that List of Nomes was written with his own hand, and delivered by him to the Lord-Treasurer of Englanḍ ; sic szbecrititur J. Uchiltrie, ai R, of Iluablone, Carnegie, Geo. Elplanston, A. Colville.

The Tenor of the loril Lichiltrie's Dittay ar Indictment.
James('lord Uchiltrie; Ye nre indicted and accusell orasmekill as by divers acts, statuted and con titutions of parlinment, made and publisherd fa the days of our sovereign lord's mont royal phogenitors, specially by the 43 d act of the end parlisment of James 1, of worthy memory it is enacted, statute and ordained, That nil leasing-makers aud tellen of them, whilk
may engender discord betwist the king and his people, wherever they may be gotten, shall be challenged by them that power has, and tyue life and goods to the king. And likewise hy the 43d act of the sixth parlinmemt of kine James 1 , of eterual meurry, Learing touching the article of hegsing-makers to the king's grace, of his bnrons, great men and lieges, and for punishument to be put to them; therefore it is the'rely declared, that the bing's grace, with advice of his three estates, ratifies and approves the acts and statutes made thereupon of lefiore, and ordains the same to be put to due execntinn in all points; whilks acts of parliament, in the 205 th act of the 14th parlianent of our suvereign lord's dearest father king James the 6 , of happy and never-dying memory, and with advice of his highness's estates in that parliament ratified, approren, and confirmed, and ordained to be put in execution in all the thereafter following, us in the suid laws and acts of parliament, at length is contained. Notwithstanding wherenf, it is of verity, that he the said Jannes lord Uchiltrie, having in the month of May, the year of God 1631. last past, heard by relation of Donald lord Rea, that certain speeches, surmises and informations were made to hias by Davirl Itamsay, with the hend of Mr. Robert Meldrum, and certnin other persons beyond sea, in Siceden, Pumerland and Holland respectively; mnd hy the said David Ramsay and certain bither persons within the kingdom of England, anent some plot and dangerous purpose intended against the sacred person of our gracious lord and sovereign, the king's monsteexcellent majesty, bis gracious queen, and their dearest son the prince, and against the land by surpraing the king's majesty's houses and castles of Fdinhurgh, Sitriceling, and Dunbarton, and for seizingof the town of Leith. Ye not being content to retain yourself within the bounds of a faithful subjeet, by revealing of that, wherenf ye had received information from the said lurd Rea, out of a malcious policy and design, tending to the sowing of discord and sedition hetuist his majesty and his must loyal subjects, the lord marquis of Itamilton, the earl of Haddington, the earl of Rosburgh, and the earl of Buecleugh; did at your first dneeting with his majesty, which was upon the 17 th of May last past, signify to his highness that ohe business was a Treasop intended against his majesty: and that the party was the manguis of liamilton, as ye was iniformed. And to the effect lis majesty might be put in better assugance of the truth of your said speeches, ye upon the 16 th of May preceding, delivered, to the lord-treasarer of Eng-- land, $n$ List of Names, to represent to his majesty, the strength of the suid anarquil of Haunilton's party and adherents in Scotian Whilk is all written with your own band, 4 d intitled. "Hepresentation for my Lord-T eagurer." Wherein ye name the marquis of Hamiltou to be the prime agent, and sames the earl of Melroa, now earl of Haddington, the earl of Roxburgh, and the earl of Buecleugh, to be
plotters. Likewise upon Suaday, being the 2qd of May last past, at twlich duy the warquis of Hamilton (liaving come post from Seniland in three days) was to present hinvelf to his tasjesty. And ye thinking that ye had possesteal In s majesty sulbiciently with your moliciousLeasiugs nud Calomnies against the asajd lord marquis; and that his majesty being io instignte and irritate ayninst hym, would follow your cruel und malicious counsel; ye onine to his majesty about the end of his dinner, and noss boidly and male-pertly spake to his majenty. the-c words, 'Sir, now ye know the business, ' but knows noe the time, and thereforc, 8 ir, 'either dos or dic.' By the whilk 'inalicious coumse! (if God liy his grace had not ruled and directed the heart of our gracions soveregign to proceed in the hasiness with greuter wisdoni, calumess nad moderation,) ye Ly your former wicked counsel intented ye thereby did what in you lay, to move und carm. hionagesty to put in practice somic kudden and violent conf. for sabversion of the life and bongur of the suid lord marquis, his majesty's mg/ luyal subject. Like as nil the present articles and passoges in your proceedings, in the premises, were muliciously forged, inveland und practised by your-, sclf; without any warrant arising to you froma the Relation of the said lurd Rea's ; whilk is manifest by your own Deposition, made in tho preseuce of a number of the council of Eagland, teputed by his majesty for your examination, upon the 20th and 24tif of June last past. By the which ye have grunted and confessed the premisendaid to your charge to be of rerity; and nho does grant that the paper of Names which ye did diliver to my Lord-Treasurer, as sair is, wne made ly yomrself. And that the ford lea was not privy to the making thereof, nor to the delivery of the same, to the said Lord-Treasurer. And sic-lihe in your examity. atw, ye being imquired for what cnuse, yo did name the said Iord marguis to be prime agent, ye could assign $n 0$ trie reason, nor cause, by any warant of the lord Rea against the marquis. But by the contrary the said lord Rez being examined 'upon the $\dot{Q} 1$ st of June, in presence of his majesty's council, declared that he knew nothing ingainst the pirnon of the loid marquis; but that the suid loid marquia was as grod a suliject as any the king's majesty 'ad. And likewise ye being asked by whiaf; warraut ye dill call the earls of Melros, Rox: buirgh, and Bucclengh to be Plottera; ye an-. swered theretn, that the lord Mea had told you;: that the lord Seaforth had affirmed to him, thige: the earls of Melrus and Roxburgh were acs: quainted with the particulurs and secrets of thaty business, declaring thereby that the lord Rei had affirmed that to you, before you gave.fin, and delivered your paper of representation to. the lord treasurer. And furtber, ge declared. that the lord Rea had told you, that be cooficis, not guess who elje should have been upon thet plot, unless it were the lord Burecleagh. Albery the lord Rea being examined in prevence of bif majesty's council upon the enti of June laty
past, declared, that the first tine the lord Seaforth had any specch with him, noent the earls of Melros and Hoxhurgh, and their being privy to the marquis of Hamilton's busisiess, was upon the Monday after the marquis of IIsmilton's coming out of Scotland, and nut before: aad the marquis having come to court froun Scotland upon Saturday the 21st of May, and the representation given by you to the lar. 1 Treasurer, containing the liat of the Ilotiers and actors, being given'n by you to the said Lord Treasurer, upon the Joith of May bef re; ye could never truly affirm, that ye lhad nanned the ssid earls to be plotters, upon pretence of any information received from my lord Rea, who did not speak to you aneut them, nt the time of the giving of the saill Represenistion; but cight days thereafter, sul vieh hike. The said lord Rea deponed upon the said exth of June, that ye, and not he, did name the carl of Buccleugh," as paerwlo troutt take arm, in Scotland to asGist the marquis; ly the whilk l.easings, CaIumnies, and slanilerous Speeches, untruly alotied, devised. janl vented by yom, agninst the said marquis of Ilauilton, the earls of tladdington, Rosburgh, and 18 iccl. ugh, in mauuer foresaid; all of them being lus majesty's faithful councillars and loyal suljects; ye have manfestly controserted the tenor of the said laws, and acts of parlianent, and incurred the pains mad puaibhuent mentoned thervin, w. the deserved pumshment oi death, which omght and should be execute upon gim "ith all rigour, to the terror and example of others.
The Justice at emmend of a warrant and direction of the lords of the secret council, whereof the tenor follows: "Apul Ihalyruil-- houne, vice $\cdot \mathrm{imon}$ quinto die mensl2 Nor, 1631. 'The Lords of the secret council, for tomespe'cial cause, and comsitlerations moving them, 'orduins and commands his majesty's jurtice, - justice-cleck ant their ileputes, to prorngat

- and continue the lyyt appointed for the Trial
- of James loril Iechi'trie, until Tharsday nest,
the 1st of Depe. nevt to rome: whereanent
' this earrict of the act shall be unto the said
' justice, justice-clerk anit theirsleputes, ' a War-
rant extiactuan de libris actormin secretic con-
cilii S. D. N. re,it, per me Jacobunt Pıym-
rose, clericumn ejusdem, "sub $\mathrm{m}+$ is signo et
'subscriptione inanaalibns, sic sutseribitur
' Jacobus Prymonse © ' Prorogates and continues the Trial of James lord Uchilrie, now impanfielled, to the morn the 1st of Decemher next to come, and ordained him to be retarned back to ward, to be kept in sure firm-䰻ce, in the mean time: the jury or persons of Gamize summoned to this day, are warnicd, "pul ecta to compear the said 1st day of Decemher pext to come: ilk person under the pain of six Gards.-Whereupon the Advocate asked Instruments.
2diria Justiciaria S. D. N. Reqis tent' in pretirio burgi de Edinburgh, primo die,mensis Decembris, anno Dom. millesimo, sexcentesimo, tricesino primo, per honorabiles eqt
discretos viros, magistros, Alexander Colville de Blair, et Jacobum Robertoon, advacatum, justiciarios deputatus nobilis et potentis connitis Williclmi comitis de stratherue et Monteith, dom. Grahame, Killbryde, et Kyupont, presidis secreti concilii et Justiciarii generalis dict, S. D. N. Re gia, totius sui regni Scotis, ubilibet coustitut. sectis vocatis et curia legitime affirmata.


## Intran'

James lord Uchiltrie, delated of the crimes foressids, contained in his Indiciment preceding.

Pursuer.-Sir Thomas Hope of Craighall, knight and baronet; his majesty's advocate for his highness's entries.
Prolocutors in defence,-Mr. Robert Nairne, Mr. Alexander Pierson, Gilbert Neilson, Advocates.

The Prolocutors for the impannelled, produced nn Act of the Lords of secret council ; ordoining and commanding ti rm to compear and asust him, by proponing of all lawful defence, competent to him on his Trial, and desirel the sume might be insert and remain on process, whereof the tenor fullows: 'Apad Ha-- lyrudhouse sigesimo quinto die mensis Novem-- bris, 1631. Whercus Janes Iord Uchiltria - has made choice of Mr. Jobert Nairne, Mr. - Alexander P'ierson, and Gilbert Neibon, ad; vocates, to concur and jou with him, for pro© poning of his ladful defences, compctent to

- hom ugaust the Dittay, whercupon lie is to be acensed before his majesty's justree, upon the Ist of December next: therefore the Lords of seciet council ardains and commands the said thrce advocates to confer and meet with the ssid lord Uchiltrie, th receive his informations;
'to accompany and as-itht him at the bur; and to do their duty and office in all and every 'thing lying tor their charge, concerning the propuning of all lavfubdefences, competent to the said lord in his trial. Whereanent the
' extrnct of this act shall be to them a warrant extractum de libris actorum secreti concilii isS. D. N. regis per me, magistrum Gllhertuin Piyinrose, clericum ejusdem, sub ineis signo et sulascriptione manualibus, sic subscribitur
M. Prymrose." After reading of the whilk Act of Council, the said Prolecutors protested, because the present matter of disputation est res ardua, anent Treason and Relations thereof, rom party to party; that whatever the exisence of the cause requires from them, as proofs to speak herein, for clearing of the nobleman impannelled, his innocence, and of the warrants of his information; that it is not with any thought of wronging, or tasking of any parties, noblenen or others; but to do that whilk their duty as P olocutors craves of them to be done, being cof manded bereto by the Lords of his mejesty yecret Council: nnd that the purpose and Ipefches that shall by God's assistance be uttered and delivered by thembin this matter, may be so accepted of my Lord Justice.

Thereafter the Indictment of the lord Uchiltrie, being read judicially, and he accused of the
crimes therein contained; my Lord Adrocate asked Instruments of the reading therenf, and of the acts of prrliament set down in the proposition of the said Indictanent. And because the subsumption of the said Indietnent is founded upon certain Depositions made in Eugland, in prgsence of five of his mojesty's councillors, deputed by his majesty to that effect: he therefore repeats the Ixaminations of the lord Uchiltrie produced yesterday in proccss, dated the 20th and 24th of June last; with the three Examinations of my lord Rea's, whereof two are dated the 21st of June, and the third upou the 21th of June: and declared, that he used these Depositions under the hands. and subscriptions of the five councillors of England, as authentic copies, whilk should make as good faith, as if the principal were produced.
It is alleged by Mr. Alexander Pierson, as Prolocutor for the pannel, that it cannot, nor should not be proceeded ngainst the impaunclled here in Scotland, but conform to the laws and statutes of England; the place of the pannel his offence, (if niny bc) and not conform to the municipal laws of Scotland. 'Quin de jure - judex originis vel domicilii not potest puaire © subditum delinquentem extra territorium, nisi - secundum poenam impositam n jure communi, ${ }^{6}$ vel secundum statutn loci in ques deliquit non; ${ }^{6}$ autem recundum statuta ipsius loci originis, ${ }^{4}$ vel domichiii.' Julius Clarus, quest. 85, numb. quarto.

It is answered by my Lord Adrocate, that the alleigente is no ways relevant, except the pannel will condescend to the relerance of the Dittay; and of his own consent be content, that the same pass to the knowledge of an assize. Next, it is answered by his majesty's advocazes that he oppoues his majesty's Letter direct to the council, bearing, that the impannelled shail be tried according to the lavs of thas kingdon. Aud is the one place it shall be justilied, that his majesty's letter shall be grouided upon the civil and common law.

It is answered thereto by the lon Uchiltrie and hiv Prolacutors ; that the kiog's majesty's Letter is, and must be understond, without prejudice, of the pannel's lawful deferices.

Item, That the alledged crimes contained in the Dittny ure, or perchance may bc, lawful in England, and yet criminal in Scothand; and it carries no reasone that the pannel should be punished here in Scotland, for any fact $\mathrm{com}^{2}-$ mitted in England, not punishable by the laws of Eugland, whgre the pannel is tutus ratione loci.

Secundo, It is allenged by the Pannel, that the subsumption of the indictment bas no.de-

- pendance upon the proposition Thereof; because the particulars contained in the subsumption are no wnys the leasings meatipned in the said acts of parlinment, whereaponse ye proposition is founded, especially seeing the leasings mentioned in the said acts, arê lensihgot tending to discord betwixt the king and his people: and the relling whereof is unlawful and prohibit. But the particulars of the Dittsy or

Indictment are no ways such. But by the contrary, the matter thersof being an beinous trenson against the king's majesty and estate; in favour of both, 'propter publiaam atilitatem,' it is incumbent to every subject that shall hear speeches of such matter, though the matter itself be a lie and untruth, whilk is alike to, the hearer, neither does it belong to him, to judge or discern therein; it is incumbent, I way, to every subject incontinently to tell the same; the telling whereof tends not to aliscord between the king and his people; but to suppress and prevent the same, and the chief cause thereof, which is Treason; and the not telling and revealing whereof is punithable by the law of all nations, by our municipal lawa and acts of parlimnent; yea, by the same gitty whereupon the Dittay is founded, viz. Jnines 6, parlament 14, cap. 205. And therefire the relling thereof is no ways prohilited and pum nishable by the said acts, neither are these pars ticulars in the Indictment the leasing ment tioned in the snid acts, whilk is medium concludendi in the Dittay. And wbik last act ${ }^{2} \mathbf{b}_{6}-$ ing the last in time, ns it ratiffes, so it exptains the true sease of the former.

And further, it is alledged by Gilbert Noil. son, prolocutor for the Pannel, that not only by the foresaid act, of the 14th parliement of king James 6, whereupon the Dittay is founded, in there a necessity laid upon the impannelled, and all his majesty's lieges, to reveal what they hear, concerning his majesty's projudice; but tikewise by the 134th act of James 6's 8th parliament, it is specially statute, That whosoever hears any speeches to the harm or prejudice of the king's majesty's estate, shall with all diligence reveal the same to his majesty, or $\mathbf{y o}^{\circ}$ some other, the king's m.yjesty's officers, that may make the sume manifest to his majesty, with this special additom, that in case the same be fot done, the person coucealer, and not teller or revealer, shall incur the like punishment, contained in the said acts, set down against the priucipul leasing-makers. And so the impannelled was necessitate, upon no less pain than his life and estate, to reveal the same.

It is answered dyy his inajesty's Advocate, That this preceding defence can elude no part of the Dittay; because the first part thereof anent the lawfulness or necessity of revealing of treason is granted in the Dittay or Indictment. And if the pannel had contained himself within the duty of reveabing, albeit the Plot and Trowson revealed had been false, yet he woitd hate deserved commendation sud reward from his master. Bot the Indictment is founded upwis thiree particulars, to the whilk no answer is made; and whilks three particulars agrees: and quadrates with the natoral quality of che leas. ings, contained in the act of parliament, whereupon the Dittay is founded. Because they nre such, as might have engendered discord betwixt his majesty and his loyal sobjects; in $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{g}}$. far as it is qualified in the Dittay, that the in "t, panuelled having only hiad his relations froun tho: lord Rea, and whilk relations had no warrant
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against the marquis of Hamilton's person, as eathor or actor of the Treason, nor against the three noblemen as Plotters, but depended 'ex ' auditus, vel relatione relati ab altero;' that is, from David Ramsay, and Mr. Robert Meldruan, of whom neither of them did relate any thing that could prove against the marquis; but simply upon the speeches and report of some, whom they call his fullowers, or upon their imagination, or possibly foolish and perverse wishes, that the nobleman who was imployed for the levjing of an army for aiding the king of Sweden, should employ his forces to the destruction of the king, his queen, the prince their dearest sot, and kingdom. Yet the impannelled, when as he might have sufficiendly exprest his loyal duty to his master, by bringing the lord Rea to his majesty, to make his own relation, did at the first appearance betore his majesty turn the uncertuin report and rela: tion to a positive speech: shewing that the buntiess was a treason; and the party the marquis of Hamilton. Next, the Pamel, by his Aepresentatian all written with his own hand, add delivered toiny Lord-Treasurer of England, to be pbewn to his mnjesty, he has expressed the lord marquis, to be prime agent; and the sarls of Haddington, Roxburgh, and Buccleugh to be plotters; and hath added to the number of 20 or 50 noblemen, as adherents to theq. And Iast, when his majesty had received this positive information, and was possessod with appearance of the truth thereof; to add a spark to the fuel, the Motto was given, 'Sir, 'oow either do or die;' whilk words could not contain any other intention or event (if his mujesty had not been graciously and wisely (disposed), but either to have used some violent course aguinst the narquis's person and life, or to commit him to prison, and to canse him to make answer ns to Treason, er rinculis: whilk is the condition of traytors, both by the cominon lav and by the act of parliament, made by king James 2, parliament 12, cap. 49, whereby it is ordained, That persons slandered of Treason, shall be taken nad remtain in firmance, while they thole an assize. And all their proceedings are directly contrary to the act of parliainent, whereupon the Dittay is founded, especially seeing the Pannel, by his Deposition made the 20th of June, Articulo prime, in relating the lord Rea's first speeches declared that the lord Rea granted that he pad no certain ground for the 'Treason diledged by him; and it is an heinous and odious fact, punishable by all laws, to turn relalion into delation, and to be an author or adtistri to a sovereign prince, to begin at execuHion before trial. And all the particular points 3 Fith Dittay are clear, and evident by the DCFiouttion of the impannelled, made upor the Work eistyesth days of June; whilk are the true awpies '3f the original and authentic DeposiOona, ${ }^{\text {the }}$ ade in the presence oif fire of his ma(\$yrf) souncil in Epgland ; like as the cogies produced and read in the presence of the Part nell, and his prolocutors, are subscribed'by the
said five councillors; and also are declared by his majesty's letter, directed to his council the 24th of Septernber, to be true copies of the said Depositions: whereof the principals are retained by his majesty, for the causes mentioned in the said Letter. And therefore ought to have full faith, as if the principals, were produced; like as the Pannel by his acts de calumnia will not refuse, but that the Kepresentation containing the list of the names was given in by him, without the privity of the lord Rea, and also that he apake these words to his mujesty upon the Sunday after dinner, being the 22d of May; which was the self same day that the marquis came from Scotland to England, and was to present himself to his majesty, viz. ' The purpose 'is known, the time not kuown; Sir, either 'do or die;' in respect whereof the alledgente ought to be repelled.
It is duplyed for the Pannel by his Prolocutors, as to the particulars contained in my Lord Adrocate's Answer, they ceate to answer him now in the general, seeing they are upon the relevancy of the Dittay and Indictment, and shall answer every one, singulation as they lie in the Indictunent, suo loco.

Tertio, It is ulledged for the Pannel, that the particulars contained in the Indicment are not Leasings, 'quoad referentem neque id genus ' referens mentitur, quoniam quantum in ipso ' est non fallit, sed tallitur, ct quicquid falstatis ' vel mendacii in selatis inest, id ad suos au' thores referendun, cap. Is autem 28 quest. '2. et cap. 55. Beatus Paulus ibidem, ubi di' citur, non mentiri eum qui animum fallendi ' non habet quod est essentiale et formale men' dacii, impostura scilicet et intentio fallendi.' And the telling and revealing of the whilks matters aforessid, ' nullum habet in se ,delic'tam, sed est de natura boni;' being commanded, and therefore in the hearer and relater, ' presumitur omnis dolys abesse, quia parere 'necesse habet.' And specially in such a business as this, whilk so highly concerus the king's majesty and estate. Whereof there was so great appearance, by the relation made by the lord Rea, whilk the pannel craves may be read to the judge.
It is answered by my Lord Advocute, that if the defence means of the Treason related by the lord Rea, the pannel cannot be quarrelled for it, nor for telling thereaf, albeit it were a lye. But the Leasiugs and Calumnies assumed upon in the Dittay, arises upen the contradictien, betwist that which was related by the lord Rea, and that whilk was spoken and affirned positive to his majesty. And where it is alledged, that ${ }^{4}$ mendacium est semper cum ' nnimo falleadi,' that is, ' in discrepantia inter 'intellectum et vocem ejusdem persona; ;' whete he hinks one thing, and speaks another, whilt is spa our case. But 'mendacium vel - falsitany whereapon we dispute, is the ditcrepinde and the contrariety letwixt the relation made by the lord Res, and that which is related by the pannel: wherein the pannel was obliged, as a faithfal subject, to make a
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simple or true relation，＇sine paraphrasi，vel ＇periphrasi，sine interpretatione，vel circumlo－ －cutione，et ut in Apographa vel exemplari －committitur falsitas，si trnnscriptio differt ab ＇exemplari，ita committitur falsitas abi relatio ＇positive refertur；＇whilk is the leasings，where－ upon the Ditmy subsumes．And where it is desired that the lord Rea＇s relation may be produced and rettd to the Judge，if it be mennt of that relation whilk is subscribed by the five councillors of England；and if the pannel will acknowlelge it，to make faith as the principal， together with the remanent depositions of the pannel，and the lord Rea，whilk are all sub－ scribed by the said five councillors，and already produced and read to the judge；the pannel shall receive satisfaction of his desires，other－ wnys not．

It is duplyed for the Panuel，that the fore－ said alledgeance is to answer that part of the Dittay，bearing the heads thereof to be forged and vented by the pannel．

Quarto，it is alledged by the Pannel，that as to the subsumption of the Dittey，bearing，that all the articles and pussages of proceeding thercin mentioned，were maliciously invented and practised by the pannel，whilk is qualifyed by the Dannel＇s own Deposition and alledged Confession aguinst himself，and by the Panncl＇s Deposition freeing the Lord lea，nud the lord Hea＇s Deposition freeing himeelf thereof；the qualification infurces not the pannel in the particulars to be a leasing－maker，and to have forged lyes．－First，For the Pannel＇s own De－ position，it infurces the just contrary，to wit， that the lord Rea was the Pannel＇s informer in all．$\Lambda$ for the lord Rea＇s Deposition agniust the pannel，proported in the Dittay；that in－ forces not forgng of lyes against ihe paanel， neither can the lord llca＇s deposition have nny force against him；tint，hecause the lord Rea is party，and the pantel is n witness against hin for the king，and whose deposition cannut be reapected against the testimony，made suainst hitaself，for the king＇s majesty：and in effect is but a denial，whilk can neither liberate himself，nor weaken the panuel＇s deposition． －Et omnibus in re propria dicendi testimonii ＇facultatem jura submoverunt，lege 10．Cod． ＇de teatibus．＇Isem，The lord Rea＇s deposition made by him，not being sworn，and so is null of the law；＇Quiretestis injaratus exrminatuz ＇non probat nec fidem faciat，lege juris－ju－ ＇randi；＇nonteCod．de testibus et lege testium xviii．Cod．eorleni．

Item，The lord Reais Deposition not made in the presence of the pannel，and so＇non ＇valeat authentica，sed etsi，＇Cocs de testibus． ＂Item，Although the lord Ren were not party， he is but＇testis singularis et nihil projat．＇Item， The lord Kea＇s Depositions produred！capnot be respected，because they are nut insprincipal subscribed by my lord Rea，but relainjons，and doubtless from the couneil．＇ Bt in criminali－ －bus aliorum judicum relationibus credere non ＇oportet，＇Lege singul．xiv．Cod．de eceusa－ tionibus et inscriptionibus．

It is declared by the Jord Uchiltrie himself， now upon Pannel，that the Depositions whilk be made in＇England，and subscribed with bis hand，are true in theraselves，the there de－ poned，according to the relations and grounde of Information，which he reeeived from thy lord Itea．The reconciliations which are in－ grossed in the several Depositions，being al． lowed and admitted for recepnciling of any ap－ parent contrariety，without prejudize of what explanation of the same depositions he maty juolly make further；he declares，that the alledged copies of the Pannel＇s own depositions， under the band of the five councillors，so far as his memory can serve him，are not different ia the substance of them，from the original．Bat that there is no unore in his depositions；nor that whilk the subscribed copies contain，that－ pe cannot say．And this his lordship does ac－ cording to his memory，und in reverence of his majesty＇s letter，and noblemen＇s hands theregy

My Lord Advocute takes instruments of the impannelled＇s declaration in that part，whereby he grants that the copics of－2s depositions made by hinn，under the hand of the five count－ cillors，is not different in substance，according to the Paunel＇s memory．

Thereafter，my lord Uchiltrie end his Prolo－ cutors crated that the lond Ken＇s Relation made upon the 18th of May，whilk under the hand of the five councillors，might be read to him，because he ininds to found exception thereupon．－To the whilk，it is answered by his ragjesty＇s Advocate，that he cannot be com－ pelled to produce the said Relation，in respect no part of the qualification of the Dittay is founded thereupon．And yet according to his． former answer，says，if the Pannel will acknow－ ledje the same，as it is under the hands of the five councillors，to make as great faith as the pringipal，be is content，that the said Relation he read；of the whilk Relation the tenor fol－ tows．
The true Relation of such passages，ns I Do－ xald Lord Rea have heard or learned， which may concern my most dread sove－ reign，or his estate，beyond seas and else－ where，as I will be rendy to take my oath npon，and seal，with my blood ogainst all ropponents．Written this 18th of Maysi 1631.

In the month of April，1630，or thereby，at my coming from Stockholon，I found＇Cint Alez． Hamilton，brother to the earl of Haddington， sir James IJamilton，son to the said earl，gir－ James Hamilton of Reidhall，nephew to tho said earl，and one Hamilton the lord of－－； who were all officers under the king of Sweden then．But before my coming there，they hd all casbicred themselves，not having terved ont year．

At this time the laind of Bensho，Lynd my lieut．colonel，being bed－fellow and comer， to－sir．James Hamilton＇son to the enti Hadrlington，keeping $\mathrm{E}^{+}$chamber in $\mathrm{Jn}_{n}$
Mackleane＇s q．Scetsman＇s house in Stockholmí；
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Lyadeary.did inforn me, that the reason why the Hamiltons had cashiered themselves, was because their chief, the marquis of Hamilton, wos to be a soldier, and they would follow his fortunes. I asked Lyndesuy who had told him so much; he told me sir Jannes Hamilton of Priestield, Haddington's son: and Lyndesay told me nithal, that all these Ilamiltons, and sir John Hamilton, another son of Haddington's, hadzlenudedthemselves of their fortunes and estates; some of them to their friends, but the carl of Haddington's children to their father.

Moreqver, Lyndesay told me, that sir Jumes Hauniltop, Haddington's secofd sou, had told him that sir Jumes Spence, now lord Spence, had directed Mr. Robert Meldrum with letters intor England; and that therenfter they did expect David Ramsay with the head cousin to the lord Spence, as ambassador from the marquis of Hamilton : and all the Mamultons did' espect David Ramsay's coming.-Also LyadeThy did inform me, that sir James IInnilton did desire him to join with them, and that they would give him a regiment; which he diul mecept, and did desire my consent, which 1 did yield unto.
Also ten or twelve days after we did hear from Denmark, that there wns an ambassador coming from England, who proves to be Inavid Ransay; who did gire bimself out, all the way as he came, to be an umbassador: which to prove, he did stand in completition with his maljeaty, our dread sovereign's extraordinary ambassador sir Thomas Roe ; both the said sir Thomas and David Ramsay encouthtering in the town of - . . . . in Denmark : yet David Ramsay would never do so much as visit the other amblnssador. Upou which oversight [ did questiou David Ramsay, whose answer was, he did not desire to be seen of any man that would discover him; affirming to lus cousin sir Robert Aostruther (as David Ramsay told me) that no honest man coold live at home. David Ramsay, col. Alex. Hamilton, and sir James Hamilton, attending their dispatch froas the king of Sweden at Elsmeby, were forced to reside with me in my ship, for at this time we were all on ship-board.
And one night drinking some healths, amnngst the rest, the marquis's healdh coming by cuurse, I asked col. Alex. Hamilton, the marquis's christian uame; be answered me, 'James, by ' the grace of God;' sir James added, ‘ King of 'Scotland:' therefore his health passed under that name, till I did take exceptions, and did desire them to alter their titic; sir James Hamilton answered nolens, volens, it should be iso, and did laugh. I did desire them to drink fifit more coverily, thus, ${ }^{4}$ To the happy event of **ull Good Intentions;' so David Ramssy said 3 sbould be so.
W. Thist night, after the two colonels Hamiltons Mitot'to bed, David Rethsay apd I beihg alone in the hatches above, Dayjd Ramsay and I (ffinkiag and mmoaking a pipe of tobacço, wold (mip many abuses in the court of England; lay, ing the whole blame upon the Epd-Treasuref.

He told me, that the mampuis had sent him with a challenge to the Treasurer, and that Popery and Arminianism had ever come the most part from the Bishops; and that there was nothing looked fur but desolation and cliange of religion, and that the poor soul the king was blinded to his ruin; and that he had begnolain with the king, till be did gire him no eur; therefore he said, he had retired himself ftom thence, since no bonest man could live there, and with many such discourses he luboured to pos,ebs me. My answer was, The Lord anend those evils, nud no- remedy but patience. By God, Donald, (said he) I will use your own phrase, we must belp God to amend it. He tuld me, he had brought as moch gold with him, as would maintain lim ut the rate of six pound a-day for three ycars, and did assure me that before that tine would expire, that God would raise up some men to ditead his Church, and liberate tonest men from slavery. Withal he told me, that his majesty at his partup with his, told thin, that he would do with him as king lleury 4. sad to Colvil, I wil! think on thee in absence as picsent.
Thereafter I did desire one favour of him, that be would tell me if the marquis of Hamultun would come over; he said, he nould tell me to-murrow. The next night after, 1 did renew my former question of the marguis's coning oter, and he said be would. I nisked him what content ify lord marquis had at hoone? He said none; for the king had forced him to marry a wife, and to acknowledge lier, who he said was a very beast. I asked him of what religion my lond marquis was? He said, a good protestant, nud before it were long, he would let the world sec his aim was the defience of his religion. 1 told hip, it did avail us liule to suke the Gospel a fair passage in Gernany, if we lost it at homic. He sadd, there were many honest men in our landg and that the marquin would use his army to protect them, which was hi, muly aim. I desired him to go no furthe: on with me; for 1 would not desiie more trust of it, but that I would spend my blood in my lord marquis's quarrel. Well, my lord, I will gn uo further, fur my master's secret no man shail get.

The third night, on land ip an isle, he told me, that Alex. Hamilton and sir James were to go for Eugland, and he to Holland; yet if I had any thing to do in England, that he would be willing to do me service. It old him I had a mo.ad to scek the reversion of Orkney from the king my master, if the marquis would mediate with my master; for it were good for my lord to have a friend in that place for his ends. Me said, By God it was to be thought upon; and he did desire me to give him leave to think upon it that night. On the morrow, he and Alexand hr- H amiton did desire me to write a rencral/etter to the marquis, with the two colonel Hinniltins, with great assfarnies of true friendship frim their máster, if I would continue constapt in resolution; I did give then my letter, and so we paited.

In the month of July, a day or two after the taking of Stetin, I did encounter Mr. Meldrum who came from England: after salutations, I did atk hin what news? He answered me matters are worse and worse; the hing givet greater way to Papists and Arminians then before; that Colsinglain was gone to beg peace with Spain; that P'embroke was dead; that the marryuis governed all, and was noade Keepe of Windsor, and was made knight of the garter, and was to be Admiral of England. He did ask me where his cousin David Ramsay was : 1 told him he was gone for IIolland; he did nsk me, what he had done: 1 did answer, that all was ended to his mind, and that Alex. Hamilton was to get posider, arms, and munition with him from Sweden, on the unarquis. At these news, he did throw bis cap to the ground, and cat a caper, calling aloul, Good news! good news! I am a bappy man! I am happy and made for ever! I thauk God my five years pains is not for nothing ; good my lord Kea, is this true? Yes, said I, for t have one double of the contract, $I$ an engaged in the business t. David Ramsay, and Nlex. Ifavilton and sir James Mamilton, and by writ to my lord llamilton. O my lord, (saith he) that was the work of God and not man, to inspire your lordship to go with us?
At that tine I cast Iyndesay loose, to find more of Meldrum; who told L.yndesay that 6,000 trained soldiers wotid do the turn with their own faction in the country.
The nest day at col. Lestie's tent, I encountered Meidrum, whom 1 dill call out, and be told me that ding Charles was gond and created for nothing, but for desolations and undoing of kingdoms, religion, and people. There was no way bout to menurate hing within a wall or dungeon for crer. I asked what way we might do that? He said the way was oeasy: first, atier the men were listed ibScotland, that they might take one month's time to learn to handle their arms at Leuth, withont any suspicion: then they might seize on the castles of Ealinburgh, Sterling, and Dumbarton in one night, and upon Berwick; and having the castle of Edinburgh, the town durst not stir; then to fortify Leith: thereafter into Eingland per force. I answered, the plot was good if it held.
He told me further, that he was writing a Derlaration of the juetness of the marquis's quarrel, with the tyrunnical using and suffering of the Church under king James in his last duys, and now worse agroaning under his son; with the Hamiltons clear fitle to the crown. I allowed of all. But I did demand who I thought would take our parto; he said, he did know nine of the best earls in Scotianit that would live and die with us. As also that the body of Eugland was with us, and some of the nobility for evil will of the Trensurer. The next day there came news of the birth of the prince; I did ask Muldrum if that would cool he marquis's intentions: he sighed and sail, not if bue king and queen of Bohemia will give their daughter to the marguis, as they had protuised.

Is that true, said I? He answered, I should see ere it were long. That night I did desire L.yndesay to drink with Meldrum, which lte did, and liarry Muschampe an English gentleman was with them. Lyndesky told me on the morrow, he did think Meldram to be the worst secretary in the world, for he did reveal this last night all he did think. I do not remember the nighte's discourse; but do remit to Musclinmp's relation.
A week after, I did speak whth Meldrum agnin in leslie's tent; so he did desire me to walk forth, and told me he had been with secretary Sadeler, to whom Meldrum did deliver a private packet of letters, and did require ansiner. But the Secretary told him, that the king of Sweden would write none, till he heard from the marquis of his last letters, sent with David Ranssay. Meldrum went further with the Secretary of Sweden, telling him, that it was greatly for the advantage of the king of Sweden, whose ambition was nithout limas that the marquis did raise war in Britain; for if the king of Sweden had a mind to take Denmark, the king of Britain shonld not be able to belp his uucle. The secretary of Sweden did auswer, that we care not for, neither do wo fear your king: for he thint would not help his own sister, will never help his uncle.-I did ask Meldrum what they did intend to do with the prince and queen of Britain? He naswered, the child should be cast in with his father, and the queen sent home to ber mother to be put in a monastery. I did ask him, what charge he woold have in those employments? He said, be should be Secretary of State, and have a horse-troop.-Meldrum did shew me also, that all who would not take our part, of Scotmmen in §co:land should be put in the Bass, or some other proon, till all were ours; and that the marguis woold take pledges of all who in Scotland did lie by as neutrals at the first.

At my couning to Holland in March Inst, David Kamsay did leave word at Amsterdam, when 1 did cone, to send hino word; which I did: he canne from the Hague to Amaterdam, and stayed with me eight days, where he did deliver me a letter from the marquis only of compliment and thanks. He told nie all went right with the marquis; that he had gotten from his majesty 10,000l, in England, and the Winc-Customs of Scotland for 16 yearis which the marquis would sell, and that all things went on without nuy obstacle ; and tha; the only stay was for want of arms and munjtion, and especially powder; and desired me to put on hard for this, aith the Swedish ame hassador, which I did. Thereatter he told mee, he had evil news to tell me, that the marquis' wife was brought to bed of a child.

I did ask him, where our forces should meet; he suid upon the sen, and thereafter land in Scutland or England, he would tell me no mure: but thit for my basinesp of Orknóy [. . might have it betier cheap than to pay the dy: ties of $\bar{x}$; and be told me, that when I shoul peet with tha farquis, he wouk infase in P .
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that which he durst not ; since he would have ! that they would hare from the king 100 barrels the marquis to take the thanks to bimself. And withal he did desire me, that I should not tell the marquis what had past between him and me.
1 did ask him, whot part of England we should best land at? IIe said at Yarmouth or Harwich, or thereby. He told me that England had made a pence, nith Spain very prejudicial to Ilelland; fond that the ticasuier, und such of the Spanish fitction, ay Carliste, and Cottingtou, and Kenelm Digby, had munfled the king to bar the Insllander from the fishing; which he said might fall out Itappily for them, and he diad deaise me to assist them at the prince of Orange's hand, as a special service to the marquis, to make the states contribute with the marquis: and I did speak to the prince of Oranye, and his excellency told me, that he would do his best therein.

Ile told me, that Spain and France were asiving who should first drink up Fingland in their ambition; but he hoped the marquis should prevelk them both. Ile did ask my advice, whether it were best to cross the seas once, or to go on bravely? I answered, ilelays were not good, which he dill subseribe to; and so we concluded, and I came tor England.

At ny coning to Enyland, my lord lamilton did give me many thanh, assuring me, that he would not want ine; and that I should have what conditions I nuuld desire, for he sadd, that should not separate us. I did desire lus lordship to go on with me really, if be neant to have my service, he slould have it without conditions; he did auswer, My lord, I will not want you, for I have written to the king of Sweden, with Elphngst mn and Meldrum, tiat I will detain you with me, and assure ypur lordohip, that he that will hasard with me now in this business, it shall be a tie to me and my posterity, to hazird iny fotune and estale with him and his. The sanie word the next day hee sent to me, with sir James Ilamilton, the earl of Itaddington's son.

The sad sir James Hamilton and I being together, I did much conmend a suit of apparel which sir James had; his monser was, Ihave them on, pay them who will, I have taken them up , it may be a merchant of, Lamdon will pay for them, ere it be long; my lord, take one also on luch's head.

The first day, my lord marquis went down to Greenwich in a barge, accompanied with sir James Rausay, sir James Hamilton, sir Robert Ballenden, Capt. Douglas, and, I do think, Ludowick Leslie, the king our master having gone befire; the discourse was moved, if they Were to make an insurrection, where would they bagin? The marquis answered, he would finech io Loudon directly ; and ouc of then, I think Capt. Douglas, said, that he knew a Mases in London should make thein up for diver.
That day my lord marquis fold me, be would foll the Wine-Customs, for he expenied no 2agre from England Capt. Dpughóa told me;
of powder, and that they would-muke slift for arms. Sir James Ramsay told me, on Sunday last ut Greenwich, that he had 1,500 men in readiness on a week or less udserusement; and that his stay only was here, till be heard the men in Scotiand were ready, andathat his rendezious would be at Norwich, and meet the rest. Sir James Hamilton told me, that the English rendezvous should glip at Harwich, for he said, the devil have bis part of the river of Thames, he did not like it.
The earl of Roxburgh told me, that he and others the marquis's frienils, were at first ngriust the warquis's couises; but now since they saw his lordship to far engoged, and that he only aimed at the glory of Gud, that he and ull others his friends would put to their helping hand.
On Thursday last, the earl of Hoxburgh told me, that the marquis would hasten his resolution, aud said, that he would assure me, my lord marquis thinks himself for ever bound to me, aud so do all his friends, for his lordship's cause; and I will assure your lordship be trusts you, and that you never took a toore fast and real friend by the hand,
My lord Roxburgh, sir James Ramsay, and captiin Douglas questioned mee, what was the reason, that I had taken on sir Pierce Crosby, since the marquis had cast him off ? This Gay severally, 2 told them, I was forced, in honour and conscience, to keep my promise tis the king of Sweden; so that I did take on sir Pierce Crosby, to send bim thither with Irish and Eaglish, and that myself was minded with all the Scots that I could get, to follow the marguis's fortunes; the which answer severally given, gave then all content.

In wituess of the truth of these, I have signed
it with my hand, day and year aforesaid. Sic subscribi'ur,
D. Mea.

After reading of the whilk relation judicially, the said lord Uchiltsic declared, that so far as his memory serves him, there is no difference hetwixt the foresaid copy of relation, subscribed by the five counsellons, and the principal, or origiual, set down and subscribed by the said Donald lord Rea: upon the, making of the whilk declaration, his manjesty's Advocate asked Ipstruments.
Thereatter his majesty's Advocate answering to the former alledgeances propened by the I annel, and to the finst part thereof, he opponed the pannel's own Depositions, made upon the qOth aud 2sth of June, subscribed by the five enancillors; and whilk are granted by the pannel to be'true copies, together with the representatiop subscribed nud written with the pannel's or $n$ hand, whilk vetifies the first and last point of the particulars concerning the pannel's ositive alfirmation of the treason. And that the marrquis was prime agent thereof, together with the speeches spoken to his majesty, upon the Sunday after dinner; and as to the thfrd particular point of the Dittay, anent
the earls of Haddington, Roxburgh, and Buccleugh, who are called Plotters; that is rerified by the relations made by the lord Rea the 18th of May, acknowledged also by the pannel, and by the lord 'Hea's Depositions, whilks are uned conjunctly, for verifying the secund particular point of the Jdgnient, anent the three noblemen who are called Plotters, the one thereof, viz. the relation to prove the negatise part of the Dittay, and that the pannel had no warrant from the lord Rea: and for verifying the affirmative, that the lord Rea disclamed the same uses, the said lord Rea's Depositions. And where it is alledged, that the Dirtay, so fir as it is founded upon the lord Rea's Deposition, is not relevant against the pannel, because he is the paunel's party, and because he was not sworn, and not in presence of parties, ' quod est testis singularis,' and not subscribed by him ; it is answered, first, That the negatise point per se is sufficient to infer the relevancy of the Dittay in this point; that he gave them up as Plotters, without any warrant of the reIntion fo im the lord Ren, whilk is clear, by couferrag the Iist of Representation, given in by the panael (wherein they are called Plotters), with the said lord Rea's relation. Where by it is evident that the Representation, given in upon the 16th of May, could have no warrant of the relation, whilk in the pannel's lepositions is affirined to have begun upon the 13th of May, and closed the 18th of May, and delivered to the Lord-Trensurer to be given in to his majesty. Within the whilk relation there is not a word of the said three earls; neither can the pannel pretend ignorance liercof, il respect he in his own Deposition grauts and conicoseth, that the Lord-Treasurer, to whom they were remitted by his majesty, desured to give in the relation in writing, conform to tic whilk the pamel and the lord Rea went together and cumsuraed the whole night in drawing the said relation; whilk night was the night or evening of the said 17 th of May, whereupon tirst they appeared before his majesty. And the pannel having given in the representation of the prime agent and the plotters upon the 16th day preceding, he could not have onitted sur h a substantial point of the relation, which $w$ nearly touched the marquis and the three noblemen aforesaid, and the pannel's own exoneration. Like as the pannel himself with his own hand wrote up and drew off the said Inrd llea's Papers the said relation of the 18th of May: so that the pannel can never nffirm, that he bad any warrait from the said relation for branding the murquis as prime agent, and the said three noblemen as plotters.

And as to the Arguments made against the lord Ren's Deposition; First, the same is not used per se, but jointly with the nther, ' Et 'juncta plena facuunt fiden.' Nest the lord Rea is not the punuel his varty ${ }_{6}$ ' sed pot guthor ' et mandatorquem ierebaturedere,' dher ays he would thave been bimself culpable of treason, or, a delator of treason against the said noblemen, ' poena talionis vel tautopatheias' by act
of parliament, made by king James 6, par. 11, cap, 42. Next, ' Nulia necessites jurisjurand 'quia non testis sed author a reo nuucupatus.' And the singularity cannot be objected, because he used him ' tanquam singularem et solum,' to warrant his declaration; and for present be could not be, if the lord Rea had been examined ' tauquaun testis,' as the pannel alledges; and as to the authentickness of the copy of the relation, it is approven by the panirel, and he cannot be heard to object against the authentickuess thereof, nor of the other copies; because they are subscribed by the said five counsellors, and have the warrant of his majesty's missive letter directed to the councif: whiclis the pannel for reverence of his majesty's letter. and of the counsellors subscribers of the said copics, has ncknou ledged as truc. And therefore cannot be heard to object against the reinament, whilk bas the like solemnity of his majesty's letter, and consequently the fourth alledzeance ought to be repelled.

Quinto, It is alledged for the pannel by his prolocuturs, That as to the pyy-iculars of his Dittay, the pannel purges every one of them in manner fullowing; vis. The first particular point is not relevant, because that the paunel at his first meeting with his majesty upon the 17th of May did signify to his majesty, that the business was a Treason against his majesty, and that the party was the marquis of Hamilton, us be was infirmed. This Article enforcis not against the pannel, that he is a lensing maker and forger, but clearly frees him therenf, bearing ' as he was informed.' Whilk Article being the sum and substance of the whole Dittay having the atioresaid clausule (as he was informed) annexed theretn, inforces of necessity the same clauule to all the particulars of the Dittay comprehended under the said general, ' quia 'semper specialia gencralibus insunt.' And that the pannel spake it by information, it is clear by the pannel's Depositious upon the 20th and 24th day* of June, and by the lord Rea's Deposition the 21st of Jmue, acknowledging the panuel's Examination to be true in all pointe, in so far as the same cuncerns the knowledge, words and acts on the lord Rea, and by the relatious made hy the lord Rea and subscribed by him, and other relations made by the said lord Rea to the pannel by word, as the painel's own depustion bears. Neither was it ever heard or practised, that any subject being necessituted by the law to reveal what may concern the king's majesty or the state, and ievealing the same, with his informer therein, and constantly sbiding thereat, and willing to mamtsin the same upon any torture or trial whatsoever; that the rej. vealer, upon the party's deniel, should be called: in question of his life, as the deviser und forger, or the same to work nay mays nguinst the revealer; nud which it it should now take place, and begin to he a prepurative nguinst the pant. nel; it were to kire way and occusion to all trixet sopable exploitr, find that gecurely, pone Tepld or d rat reveal the same.
Next, iovor fre List of Names of the prined
pal ngent and plotters represented to the Lord Treasurer the 16th of May, affirmed to be forged and javented by the panuel himself without any warrant from my lord Rea, coufurm to the pannel's deposition upon the 20th and 24th days of June last, none of the pannel's depositions fores.id, to the whilk the Dittay remits, beur any such confession or furging hy the pannel; but bears expre-sly the lord Rea's relation to him in bogh the prannel's depositions, which is sudicient foe an informer.

It is asked by the pannel, That whereas it is alledged by my I ard Advocate, that the pannel could neser ascribe nuy true cause or knowledge iurthe List or Representation where the lord marquis is named prime agent, and the said, earls of Haddington, Roxburgh, and Buccleugh, plutters; because the Lord Advocate afliams, that the relation was given in upon the 18th day, and the List presented to the Treasurer the 16th day; and so the pannel could have no just rensou why thene contained in the List, werc not contained in the Relation, which was posterior. The yamuel alfirms, that bowsoever the List was given in before, the reason thercof is thus: The Lord Adrocate making mention of his relation, distinguishes not betwixt a relation by word, and another by writ; but soit is, that my Inrd Rea made relation to the paund upon the 13th of May of the wbole thiug, cotitained in the Relation upon the 18th of Alay, as nppears evidently by the panmel's 1s and and depositions, and upon the $1+4$ la and 15 th days my lord Rea renen ed the discourse conceaning suy lord Seatorth, nad explains hinself in these particulars concerning the earls of Haddington and Roxbargh, anent their being upon the secret aud counsel of the marquis's courses; That it was represented upon the $1+4 \mathrm{~h}$ and 15 th days, the Inrd lea and the pannel being buth at Greensich, returned upun Monday mounne, being the 16 th day, to London; at which time the pannel went unto the Joril Treasurer, and there fell in discourse with the Treasurer on the business concerning the marguis's power in Scotland, and friendship with the pannel, nund to shew his lordahip he would let him hnow was very great; and so came forward to the panuel's own house, and urote the Reprcsentation, and delivered the same to the Lord Treasurer, which was done upon the 16 th day, being Mond:y. And where my Lord Advocate alledges, that there could be no ground for this representation before the giving in thereof from my lord Ren's written relation, because the representation preceded it in time, and the Plotters were not named in the relation, whilk therefore followed upen the 18:h of Mny, inswers the verbal rela*tions concerning the Plotters made by the lord Rea to the pannel preceded the scriptural relations given in to the Treasurer; yea and the representation both, becaupdone upon the 14th find 15th days of May, the representatiós being *pon the 16th, tuil the writtert relation upon the 18th. Neither was it seedful to the lord Pea to make thy i relation in lhis papers which the had made to the pannel beide byword, likg
as there are sundry other things in the pannel's depositions, whilk are not set down in that written relation. First, because in all the written relation, 10 mention is made of my lord Seaforth, or any thing proceeding from him; if of nothing from him, why then of the grounds of the pannel's representation, whilk was had from my lord of Seaforth?

The Justice continues all farther disputation and reasoning in this matter (by reason of the lateness of the night) to the morn the 2d of this month of December, and ordains the Paunel to be returned to Ward, to remain therein in the menn time; the persons also warned apud acta to the morn, all persons under the pain of a thousand marks.
Curia Justiciaria S. D. N. Regis tent' in pretoriu burgi de Edinburgh, secundo die mensis Decembris, anno Dom. millesimo, sescentesimo, tricesunt primo, per honorabiles et discretos viros, magistms, Alexandruin Colsille de Blair, et Jacolıun Robertoun, Advocatum, Justiciarios deputatus nobils et poteutis comitis Willielmi cuminis de Stratherne, et Montcith, dom. Grahame, Kulbryde, et Kyppont, presidis secreti concilii et justiciarii gencialis dict. S. D. N. Regis, totius sui rezui Scotia, ubilibet constitat, sectis vocatis ct ctaia legitime affirmata.

## Jntuan'

James ! ord Uchiltris, delaterl of the crimes foresaids, cuntained in his Dittay.

Parsuer.-Sir Thomas Hope of Craighall, kught and baronet; his majesty's Advocate for his highness's entries.

Prolocutors in Defence.-Mr. Rubert Nairne, Mr. Alevander Pierson, Gilbert Neilson, Advocates.

The said James lord Ucliiltrie by himself repeats the frormer first Alledgeance, word by word, as it stands, and cikis thereto, that he can no ways be in malo fule: That the grounds of his Representation preceded not his written representation hoc argumento, as it is alledged, because the lord Iea had onitted in his relation to make mention thercof; whereas my lord Rea's facts cannot make the pannel guilty simply; neither can his omissions take away the streugth of the panuel's arguments of bis innocency: For it was the pannel's part to fullow him, and not to lead,him; to reveal assertions, and not to indite ussertions to him, Neither was the Pannel under any just cause nf fear that his onitssion could endanger him in the point; because he was conscious to himself, that my lord Rea had told him the grounds of his Representation of before, viz. upon the 14th and 13th days of May, by verbal relations thereof upon the said days. The pannel likewise knew that there was a second relation wrote of susdry other particulars to be made by my loyl Ren subscquent; among the whilk be knew the gfounds of his preceding verbal relations of bis representation was to be justificd and cleared. So by these reasons the lord Rea's omission of the grounds of the pannel's

Representation out of hin scriptural relation, eo bempore, can give no jast ground to invalid or infringe the truth of the pannel's assertion. That ny lord hea by verbal relation preceding both the representation and that written relation, had told him that the earls of Ifaldington and Roxburgh Atere upon the council and secret of the husiness informed against the lord marquis, The same list argument holds good likewise for my lord Buccleugh; and as to the representation in general, the pannel declares, That it wns written and given in upon a discourse of my lord treasurer's inquiry for the friendship of the marquis in Scotland by blood or interest; wherehy conjecture might be made of his power suppositive, if his friends had joined to him. And this is clear lyy the very writ itself, in naming it a representation, nnd not an information, accusation, nor relation. The geueral strain of the writ likcwise evidences, that there was no intent by that writ to reflect in any thing conceruing the lord marquis or those three noblemen. Because the representation containing one side and a half of paper, that whilk concerns these noblemen originally will scarce take up two long lines. Wherchy it is manifest, that the intent of the pannel was more to illustrate other thinga, viz. The allinuce and interest in blood of the noblemen to these first four, than any intent of either delaung or inserting any crime or fact ggainst these first four; but to distinguish by way of narration betwixt the one and the other. And although this be said, the pannel attests, that the mentioning of these noblemen succeeding the first four, be taken $\mathrm{in}_{\mathrm{n}}$ no evil part, for he attests no meaning to that effect, whilk the pannel thinks Christian charity will not presune; his wife's son, tis children's brother, the prop of his providence under God, and of his wife and children, the earl of Cassils heing one; and the marquis of IIuntley histhiet by his mother, his good dame, brother's sou, who saved the pantnel's life, and for whom the pannel has ventured his life, or any of lis; can it be presumed that the impannelled would have intended malice to that mass, wherciu these two lielped to make up the coustrnction? Neither doth it appear by any intent, that any thing was meant hy tie representation, butesur explanation of noblemen's power in Scotland. Neither let this be thought any new invention,* or new explanation; because it is mentioned before that alledged by my Lord Advotate in the Dittay, and acknowledged thereby; neither can it be presumed, that these words, prime Agent and Plotters, can import an information of any thing, because all direct aflirmations must be enungiation, and hust have summ vinculum to join the suhject and the attribute together. Which vinculum and connection of it want no enunci,tion; if no enunciation, no affirmation, nor'lye. But so it is, the words (prime ngent) bave ni, vinculum, nor the 'word 'plotters' simply has no vinculum betwixt them as attributes, and the persons named as the subject, and therefore no enunciation, nor affirmation, nor lye. And
where my Lord Advocate in his Dittay affirms, that it is manifest by the pannel's own deposition, the trath of the Dittay; and in the dispute yesterday, produces a particular, that the pannel should have deponed, that the lord Rea said, that he had no certainty of the business; this can no ways fortify the assumption of the Dittay. Because the pannel's deposition says not that the lord liea hatel cgrtainty but whether he had rertainty or no, the words whilk the pannel alledges was told to bim by the lord Rea; for the pannel's deposition depends not upon a scientia cerda, but relatıo ccrla, that he spake it to the panncl as he hns deponed. As to the third Article of the Dittay, anent the pannel's proceediny and speeches to his mnjesty the 23d of May, to-wit, 'Sir, ye know the busi'ness, lunt knuw not the time; sir, either do, 'sor die;' this Article enforces not upon the pannel lying to his majesty. For the lord Rea, and the panuel upon lus ufforination, both had acien: quainted his majesty with the business, so that the paanel might tuly say, 'Sir, ye know the business;' and it is as true, that the p. .nnel knew not the time. As to the words 'slo or die,' that is a asual phasast of speech, nud inports, ' Sir , see ' to your safery,'tull these informations had been cleared, and is of itself a faithful advice to his majesty, and not a malicious counsel; and advice for to prevent the king's majesty his harm, and not to draw harp upon any other: like as the words themselves inforces not against the pannel, as the Dittay bears; but does very well admit a barmless sense, and should be interpret to the best ineaning the words may admit. 'Quia de jure etiam in dubiis et obscuri ' quod minimum et benignius sequimur. I.ege - nona et 56 de regulis juris; tt in ambiguis ' orationibus maxima sentenia spectanda est ' cjus qui eas protulet. Lege 96. ibidem: et ' quosies iden sermo duas sententius exprimit, ' ea potissimurnacipienda est, qua rei gerendac ' aptior test, dabit autem operam exprimi reus 'dista rerba at cui licito;' to-wit, the revealing of purposes he heard ogainst the king and state. - Denique in puenalibos causis senper benignius ' interprenaudum cath.' And therefore the pannel's speaking and insisting with the king's majesty to sce to his own safety, should be referred to its own cause; to-wit, the paunel's most bounden duty and tender love to the king's majesty, his wellare, and to the state of the kingdom, fearing their faith, upon that relation thatbad been made to him. And also fearing his own fuith, if that he should have been found any ways remiss or slack in not insisting with his majesty to prevent those evily ond treasonable plnts, so often related and repeated to him; and whilk the pannel then feared to have been treason, and to have come beyond sea, And should not be quributed, ns the Dittay bears, tofany malicio s counsel, or purpose of the pannel, for instig fing, or stirring up of his majesty, to any syden and violent course agaimsthe margy 's's life and conour; as the Pqunel nttouted G\&fore God, to have been his trie meaning: fike as he in his Bramina
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in June, upon the 21st thereof, depones, that upon Sunday morning whilk was that day he advised the king's majesty with these former words, was, that he sboull go to London for more safery, and that the pannel had no other end of speaking of the words foresaid.

Item, The words 'do or die,' can be no Leasing, because they are not words of affirmation, but of counsçl oe advice.

As to the Paper of Names, whilk the Dittay affirtus; the pannel has confessed to be made by himself, and that the lord Rea was not privy to the making thercof nor delivery of the same to the ,Lord-Theasurer; it is anwerd, these words made by yourself, is written with y aur nwn hand, so purportel to be by the Dittay ofself, in that article mant the list of names delivercd to the treasurer. To the writiong of the whilk paper with pen und mk, and to the instant delisery thereaf, by the pannel to the sand Jord-Treasurer, the lord llea was not privy, - he not being present with the pannel at that time; but docs no ways enforce, that the names and inatter of that written paper was forged and devised by the pauncl. But by the contrary, the paunel by his deposition, made the 20th of Jane, whilk bear-, that after the lord Rea had revealed to him the pariculars, he desired the panael to acquaint has majesty; or the Lord-Treasurer, therewith; leasing to the pannel his own free-will, whether he shoul. acquaint him therewith by word or writ. ' Et - hic maxime spectanda est sententia proferen' tis,' who is no ways contrary to himself on his depositions; but whilks both subsỉts in their own true sense.

As to the Article bearing the pannel in his Examination, being inquired for whit cause he did name the lord naarquis to be prime Agent; and that the panmel aflirned, that he conld assign no irue reason nor warrant from the lord Hea: it is answered, that the pannel is not obliged to give any true cause. But that the lord Rea's relation to him qualis qualis is a sufficient warrant.
Secundo, The Pannel's deposition upon the 24th of June bears the contrary of the said Article, and assigus the cause to be, that the lord Rea had told him, that the lord marquis's folluwers had said, the inient of the marquis's levies was to invade Scotland or England. As niso the Pamel's Examination upon the 20th of June purpuris, that upon the 13th of May, the lord Heac came to the Paunel's chamber, and there putting the Paunel in mind of their fonner specclies, tuld the Pamel that the purpose of the marquis of IIamilton's .levies, as divers of his commanders nud followers had informed him, was, that enther they should not go out of England or Scotland, or if they dud, they should return to England or Scotiand, and surprize the king's houser in Scolland, vix., the capales of Ediuburgh, Sfrivaling and Dumbarton, and torgiify themsalves in Leith, under phe pretence of training, art should tgle-Berwick, and so g/arch forward foto Eyfind: and

said, that he was so informed, and as the deposition in itself bears.

Tertio, The lord Rea's written relations of divers persoos discourses to the same purpose, of the whilk he was inforned by themselves; whilks written relations, although they be written on the 18th of May la.t,oylt are of matters and purposcs that passed long before, and related of beiore to the paunel by word, by the sand lord Rea.

Quarto, The lord Rea at his first coming to his majesty in this business, in the pannel'g hearing, leend askrd of the king's majesty, why he had not told his inajesty sooner of it; the said lurd Rea answered, because it concerned so near the marquis of Hamilt n, who was so near to lis maje-ty; he was afraid to commumicate the same to lis majisty immediately, as the said lord Rea's depuation taken apon the 21 st ot June parports.

Itcm, The lord Itea's deposition made upon the 21 st of June, wherew he depones, that he saul the should bring as honest a man us himself, that would tell to the lord marquis's face more anr the lord hea would do; so all that the pannel spake herein, was by information, nut $s$ therem is no fonger, nur maker of Leasings.

Ifem, The pannel's deposition, taken upon the 20th of Juae, bearing that the pamel told the Lord-Treasurer, That the land Rea told him, he had yet more, and would say so much, as the lord marquis would not have a face to deny it; whilk the lord Rea then present aflirned, in so much as the Lord Treasurer said, then is the business at an end, there needs no more writing. And thas Article belore repeated, the pannel affirms, that the Lord-Treasurcr of England heard these uords, ai.d did affirn the same before the king's majesty and council of Engfand, upon the peril of the pannel's head.

Item, As to the Article bearing the lord Rea's Declarution upon the 21st of June, that he knows nothing against the person of the lord inarquis, but that the marquis was as good a subject as any the king had : it is answered thereto by the pannel, that his deposition and representation depends not upon the lord Rea's knowledge, but upon his relateinn made to the panuel. And that Declaration of the lord Rea's takes not awny the relation made by him to the pannel, and foth not infer making and forging of Leasiggs in the pannel without warrant from him; this syecially-being considered, for werakeniag and infringing of the lord Rea's opp sitions to the pannel's depositions, that my lord Resa havmg said these words in presence of the Treasurer of England, and justified hy the Lord-Trensurer of England, in presence of his majesty nod council of England, that the lord Rea would say so mucb, ns the marquis should put baye the face to deny it; and now he says that he knows nothing anent the marquis's person : whilk are contradictory to himself, and renders himself not sufficient to imp prove the pannel's depositions.
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Item, Here the pannel, in this place, repeats the objections of the vulhties, made ngainst the lord Rea's Depositions, so far as the same may be prejudicial to the pannel.

Ilem, As to the Article, bearing that the pannel being asked, by what warrant, hecalled the three eurls ylotters; und that he answered that the lord Kea had told him, that the lord Seaforih had uffirtied to him, that the earls of Melros and Roxburgh were acquainted with the particulars and secrets of that business; declaring therewith, that the lord Rea bad atfromed the same to ham, before he gave in the paper of representation to my Lord-Treasurer. Albeit the lod ltea, by his deporsition the 2415 of June, declared, that the first time the lirel Seafurth had speech with him, aneut the said two earls, and their being priry to the marqu:s's businens, was upon the Monday after the marquis's coming ont of Scotland, and not before; and so after the representation given in to the Treasurer upon the 16 th of May, containing the names of the Plotters and Actors; at whilk time the pannel could not truly affirm any information from wy lord Rea: to the whilk'it is answered by the pannel, that he, by his deposition inade the 24th of June, has Heclared, that all the understauding the pannel had in the business, came to hino from the lord llea, also by his deposition the 20th of Junc.

Item, The lord Rea's grantug of the speech hinself, anent the two earls; makes presumptiou aganst the sand lord Rea, likewise for the time, 'Quia dicta facta presupponuat et tra' hunt se cum suis circuinstantis? ' "neither is it probable nortordinary, that there slooold be that sagacity of spirtt in the pannel, as to press what the lord Rea should make to him so long befire, é Quod non prasumitur, sed prasump' tio, pro eo est, quod maxine ist, secundum - naturam tuto ; titulo de presumptionibos.' And so this Article enforces not against the pannel, that he is maker and forger, because the lond tiea denicy not simply, but the time of the panacl's deposition thereanent, whilk is an leasing.

Item, The pannel in his Fxamination upon the 20th of Junc, in the course and order of the depositions thereof, whilk is the order of time, before the article anent the representation of the list of names to the Lord-Treasurer; he depones, that theelord Rea had told him, that he had spohen with the lord Seafortl, and had understood that from him; whilk general has no other meaning but that, whilk is particularized, by the said lord Rea's Examination upon the 24th of June; in that article thereof, bearing that the lord Rea did affign to the pannel, thut he heard the aforessid report of the two earls, before the pannel made or delivered the paper of representation.

Item, The lord Rea's deposition spon the 24th of June, bears not the lord Rea to depy, that he did affirth to the.panhel, that he heard the report of the ssid two earls from the lord Seaforth, before the pannel made or delivered the puper of reprcsentation- to the Treasurer.

But purports, that the first time that the lord Scaforih had any speech with the lord Ren, touchng tie two earls, their being privg to the particulars and secrets of the lord Hamilton's basiness, was upom Monday after the marquis's coming from Scotland. And so that the lord Seaforth had nut spoken with the lord Rea thereauent at that time; whilk is nothug to the pannel, whel ber the lord Seaforth nad spoken with the lord Rea or fot. But denirs not the foresaid repots of the two earls inade by the lord Ren to the pannel, whilk the loid Rea did then affinin, that he had the sama by infornation of the lord Seaforth; neither is the panuel obliged to make good the lond Ren's warrant herein, that the lord Rea had the snme by information of the loid Seaforth; but the question is, if the pannel henrd the same from the lond Rea, before the giving in of the representation to the Treasurer. Whilk the pamnel atlirms and abides at, confinen to his deposi-4 tions, made upon the 20ih and 2sth of June, us said is.

Item, The pannel here also repeats all the obljections against the lord Rea's Depositions, ut supra.

Item, Anent the Article, bearing, the lord Rea to have told the pannel, that he could not guess who else should have been in the plot, unless it were the lord Buccleugh; and that the lord Rea, who by his deposition upon the 241 h of June deponiec, that the pamel, and not he, did name the earl of Buccleugh, as nine would tahe arms in Scotland to assist the marquis: It is inisnered theroto, ut supra, that the pannel ly lus deposition has declared, that all the understanding he liad in the basiness, came to him from the lord liea; as the pannel's examination bears, and whilk he ubides at as most true.

Jfer, The pannel's deposition upon the 2 th of June, bearing the loril Rea told him, anent the earl of Buccleogh, is mut simply, but cuin causa, bearing the lord Rea's reason, wherefore be nained him: atd whilk rea-on in substance is granted by the lord Rea, although with some diversity of words, at the least not denied in his Exnmination upon the 2sth of June. And therefore, the said lord R'ca's deposition affirming the reason, but denying the naming the said lord Buccleugh, ought not to be credited in his denial; but the lord Rea's affirming of the rrason, iuforces upna lim the naming of the said earl. 'Quia ratio confessa dicti pre' poiderat et prasumit contra proferentem.'

Item, The lord Rea's sueeches to the panuel -nent the lord Buccleugh, though conjecturnl, necessitate the pannel to the representation, and revealing of the same to his majesty; especially seeing the lord Rea strengtheneth the same with reason wherffore he so spake, to wir, that he hoard the lord 等uceleugh spenk terrible and prestanptuous wf rds against the king's majesty, at his ownothle in Holland; as the pannalid deposition ypon the 24t, of June pum ports, and" pilk i. grapted by exe lord Rea hillbelf in substande, at east noo denied.

Item, The pannel repeats here again all his objections ngainst the lord Kea's depositions; and alledges that it was never heard nor practised, that a subject beang necessitate by the law, to reveal what may concern the king or state, and revealing the same with his informer therein, and coustantly nbiding therear, and willing to maintain the same by any trial or torture, that the revegaler, upou the party's denial, shoald be cilled in question of his life, or - the same hay ways work against the revealer. And whilk if it should now take place, and begin a preparative against the pannel, the same were to give wny and'occasion to all trensonable exploits; and that securely, because none would or durst reveal the sune.
Aud further, where it is affirmed by the dittay, that in the list presented by the panael to the Jord Trensurer, there is designed the earis of Haddington, Roxburgh and Bucricugh to be Plotters ; the pannel had ground to make the relation, no ways attirming any thing positive; because in the paunel's deposition made the $q$ th of June, being examined nad noked why in the aforcsaid papor, he makes the said earls plotters;

It is answered in the Deposition, that the lord Rea had told the panacl, he conld no: guess who else should be in the plot, unless it were the earl of Buceleugh ; whilk word Plot, having had relation to the carl's business, must also have relation to the nther two earls, seeing they were spoken of nll at one time.

It is answered by his uajesty's Advocate, first to the first article of the fifth exception, maneat the puigng of the Panuel's speech to his majesty, upon the 17th of May : By this woril that is subjoinet, as the Panuel is mformed, whalk the proponer for the Pannel will hate to, be repeated in all the subsequent passiges concerning the business; first, that this nord, ; as he ' is informed,' cunnot purge him, because he received no positue information from the lord Rea against the maryuis, nor no warrant to call him party, prime sgent, null to affirm to his majesty the business was known; to whilk last he subjnins his counsel, very daugerous for the life and estate of the murquis; whilks three are conjoined to infer agaiust the pannel, un exceeding of the relation mande to ham by the lord Hea, and the exceeding of it, with the peril of the nobleman, his life and estate; there being. neither word nor passage in the lord Rea's written relation, whilk may either warrant their opeeches, orinfer thean by consequence. And in mattery of this high strain, as of trenson, no illatiou by consequence is permissable, but upore the peril of him that infers. For in all the twritten relation there is not a direct word apoken against the marquis, neither by David Ramsay, bur by Me. fobert Meldrum; but allenerly some mad and fantick speeches attered by Meldrum upon bo own imaumation, or wish to have it so, for dis furbince of the essate, by expressiog phe mane how such ansenterprize, accord/ag to his foolioh opioion, might be perfurmga; but pae word or sylla he,
that Meldrum heard it from the lord marquis, or from any who declared they beard the marquis spenk it. And as to David Ramsay, the worst word that is in his relation, is anent the marquis's miscontentment, and all the marquis's tuin was to use his lery for the protection of religion; whilk aum and intention the lord Pea, after his coming to England, clearly onderstood by the lord marquis himsedf; who emplayed him to be a colunel in his company, and gave him this assurance, that he that would bazard with him in tha: glorious business fir ussistamee of the king of Siveden, should make use of him, with the hazard of lis fortune and estate : and none of these passages will quadrate with those speeclies spuken by the Panarl to his majesty ; That it was a treason, an odious treason, and the lord marquis party, as the Pannel wus informed; and that it was the filthiest treason that ever was insended, and that the Pamel was sorry that any Se,tsman should have fallen into it, it being a shame to the whole nation: whilk is a positive affinnation, not as the l'annel was informed, but as he himbelf did infer, upon the relation made to him by my lord Rea, "ho would give the Panuel no assurance he hadany certain ground, whilk is manifest by the l'annel's deposition upon the 20th of June last. And as to the relation albeit it avowed with thece words, as he is infurmed, could give the Punnel un warrant to express thesc positive speccles to his mifjesty, upon the 17th of May; so far less to the other of prime nyent, and known business, with the subsequent coumsel. First, Befnuse they are positively spoken without adjection, (ns he is infonued), and where the proponer for the Pamel arges that these words 'as he is informed,' must le repented in all subsequent passages ; that has some,probability, 'in unico contimuo actu, vel unica scriptura.' But here the ucts are diverse, the days diverse, the expression of writing diverse, the list being upon the 16th of May; the speech with the king, as he is informed, upou the 17th of May; and the speech of the hnown business with the council being upun the 22 d of May : and these three taken conjunctim eridently charges the Panuel with the excess of his duty. For he did all his duty that was required of hini, as a faithfal subject, when ypon the 15th of May, whilk preceded all the expressions, he came to the Lord-Treusumer and told him, that he had a business to reveal concerning his majesty, and whilk, as he said, concerned the marquis of Hamilton's actions, and that he had the same of the lord Rea: atter the wlulk, he had wo necessity as a loyal subject, to go further. And yet be goes on to the expression of all these speeches, and adds theretu his dangrous counsel to his majesty ; whilk can have no respect to the lord Rea's relation, nor to the Pdunel's daty in revealing of ít. And where the Pannel would purge bis giving in of the list first, because it is not an enunciative speech, 'quia caret vincui? $:$ ' this is contrary to the representation, bearing the vinculum is the 'marquis of Happilton as prime agent : and
this word is must be repeated in the subsequent word Plotters; , the sentence being, the earls of Melros, Roxburgh, and Bucclengh plotters, Winlk is a sentence that has a clear signification, nffirming the attribute plotters upon them three, in as evident and clear sagnification, as the clause subsequent, whilk names the whole noblemen adherent by blood.

And where it is alledged, that albeit the prnnel named the lord marquis prime agent, be did allanerly upon intention to distinguish the marquis, and the other three earls from these of their adherents in blood; and urges that this intention should be charitably expounded :

It is answered, that 'crumen vel delictum ' non potest purgari bona intentione.' And if the pomes contaned in the Dittay, whereupon the pannel is accused, be in their own nature crimmal, they cannot be purged by a good intention, nor yet by a protestation adjected, the time of the doing it. But the intention, with the fact and derd, are both alike judged odious, and punishnble. ${ }^{\text {E }}$ Et nunquam prasumitur ${ }^{*}$ Gona intentio nisi proletur, ad eluendum cri${ }^{6}$ men;' ns it is inctanced, by Jul. Clarus de injuriis, 'til mentiris salva reverentia.' This instance being adduced, whilk by the opmons of all the doctors cannot excuse the cominitters of the injury, and much less in this Dittny; whilk contans 'injuriam capitalem,' strihing upon the life and fame of the noblemen. - Nam qui defamat, jugulab plusquam maledic' to yuain in manu injuria est qui sic inctiatur, ${ }^{4}$ ut nota et ramad posteros transeat, quod est 'sape eundem occidere:' and charity can have no place here, without the offence of justice, whilk craves the due punishment from the offender. And where it is alledged, that the pannel had no warrant, both to name the inarquis prime egent, and the earls plotters, by a verbal relation from the lord Rea, therc can be no respect had to a verbal relation, except it were proven to the juifge, especially in a matter 'tantæ atrocitatis.' Neither can the pannel alledge to a verbal relation, to colour his hehaviour therewith; because the pannel nffirms, that their veibal relations were made opon the 14th and 15 th of May; whilk precedes the relation exhibited in writ, upon the 18th of May. In the whilk relation there is not a syllable of the three earls as plotters; nlbeit in the relation there is mention made of the speeches spoken by the earl of Roxburgh to the lord Rea. And the pannel having given in his list upon the 16 th of May; and knowing the , peril of adding or paring to that, whilk was related to him in a matter of this importance; whilk should have been as tenderly bandled, as the life, honour and safety of our gracious sovereign upon the one side; and the care to eschew the branding of noblemen with the odious nspersion of bis treason, did requirg of hin, on the other part: And cannot pretend a colour of excuse by the omission of the names of the said three earls in the relation given is upon the 18th of May thereafter; specially seeing the relation in effect was his onn deed; be-
vol. $11 \%$.
cause he brought the lord Rea to his majesty, to make the relation. And the lord Rea, in his relation to his majesty, spake never a-word of the three earls; nor yet the pannel in his relation to the king's majesty, and the LordTreasurer, spake not a sylfable thereof: whilk is clear by the pannel's depositions upon the 20th of June, which bears his relation, made in presence of the councillors his examinators; wherein is nn word of the three earls. And Ihervise by the Examination of the lord Rea upon the 2ist of Jane, which is such like; and when his majesty had remitted the pannel and the lord Rea to the Treasurer, who directed them to draw up the lord Rea's relation in wit ; the pannel confesseth, that he and the lord Fea went home, and sat up all night $;$ and that the pannel, after the lord Rea had put the relation in writ, did write the same over with his own hand, out of the lord Rea's Papers. And yet not a word of the relation of the threw earls; which would not have been omittef, If it had been truly donc. And where the pannel pretends, that the omission thereof wes in iespect that the relation contains allanerly that which was related by the lord Hea upout the 13th of May; but not that which was upon 14 th and 15 th of May; which was learned of the alord Seaforth; that alledgeance hath no wariant of the relation, nor yet any appeara ance at all ; because in the pannel's examinatuon upon the 20th of June, the pannel doth condescend of that which was, done upon the 14th and 15th of Nay ; but not one word of the earlspalbeit in that same exaunination he makes meution, that the lord Rea had spoken with the lond Seaforth. And sic-like, in the evamination of Dosald lord Rea, upon the giat of June, 1631, and also the pannel's own depostion foresaid; that the pannel two days after the relation, which behoved to be upon the 20th of May (relation being upon the 18th), the pannel brought the lord Rea to the Treasurcr, and caused him to subscribe his relstion; at which time the paunel told the LotdTreasurer, that the lord llea had more to say; whereupou the lord Hea being asked what it was, and wherefore detained, the lord Rea answered, that he had spoken with the lord Senforth, and had sundry particulars from him, which he did not tell to the lord Uchiltrio in particular, but generally affirmed to him he would say more. But one or two days after the Inrd Rea went to the earl of Seaforth, and thien told the same, first to the pannel. And that the pannel, apon the Sunday of the morning (which was the day of the marquig's retweing from Scotland) he had told the Lord-Tres. surer these particulars, spoken by the lord Seas forth, And therewith also of the marquir's return : whereby it is clenr, that as mothing is . contained in the rylation touching the three errls, neither in thy verbal relation to hin man," jesty and Lord-Freswurer, nor in the subseribed! relation; so the mpeeches thereanent spolkent $\hat{\text { in }}$ byun lord Rea to the pennel,swas not till eigints fays after grving in offole list.

2 K
. And where it is alledged by the papnel, that as the lord Rea's fact cantiot burden him, far leas his'omission; it is answered, first, it must biad him, because he has named him to be his authur: and wheren he is not his author, the pannel bimself must needs be the forger. And nest, because the relation in effect is the pannel's own deed, as said is: and as to the purgation of the speeches, that the busness is Known, 'Sc, either ' do or die; ' that the same mast be interpret' 'secuadum communem usum ' loquendi;' and to mean as michel as, see to yoor own safety : it is answered, that they must be taken properly, and not improperly, and must' be ruled by the preceding speeches of the party and prime agent; as if the panael had soid to any person, this man slew your father, 'do or die.' In the which case, the words would not be exponed safety, but revenge: and where it is alledged ' quod in du' biis benignior fieri debet interpretatio;' this ruie of law has only place 'in contradictibus, 'sed non in crmmbus, precipue atrocioribus,' as this is. But it is arged, 'hic sumus in fac'to licito;' but it is answered, 'huc m maxime 'illicitu.' Because there was nothing required of the pannel, but to reveal, whilk was done of before; and whatever was done after the revealing, that might tend to the hort of the reputation of the nohlemen, or touch them in their life and estate, was altogether unlawful and capital ; and the unluwtilness is manifest, by the subjouning of that perucious counsel, 'to do or die:' whilk is not of the nature of a relation, but of the nature of the instigation, of a sovereign prince to a dangerous act, tending to the destruction of the hife and honour of them, against whom the counsel is given; and by their answers, the whole oljections made ngainst the particalars of the libel are solved. To the which is added, the lord Rea's declaration by oath, freeing the marquis, his majesty's Wetter of the 29th of June, sent down to the souncil, declaring the lord marquis, and the maid three earls of IIaddington, Roxburgh and Buccieugh (io be as lins majesty knows them to be) as good and faithful subjects as any within bia, majesty's kingdoms ; and declaring hes majosty's resolution, to have those who have given Salee information against them, to be punished. After the which, did follow his majesty's letter of the date of the 2sth of September, for trying and ceasuring the pannal, upon the particulars given forth by him againat the noblemen: whersin the pannel, notwithstanding of all his pargations, can have no juat warrant egainst hap sparquis, specially in the two last points of "Nipe ageat, and known business ; nor pretext Tgainet the earla of Haddington and Roxburgh; that, ty the pannel's confeasion, least of all iogainek the surl of Buceleghb, against whom he ;ind nothing but a guess by his owa confesion, and yot he makes him ploster.

It-ia daplyed by Mr. Fpberi Nairne for the peanel, thet this Dittay conslate upon threedinds dane aid committed, by the'pasael, toj coer -with his intention ia she doing of them. 希
to the pannel's intention, that it was not of purpose of making any leasing, against the act of parliament, but to the revenling of an alledged treason against his majesty; the revealing whereof was necessitate by that addition adjoint to the last act of parliament libelled: for obedience of the wlilk act, the pgnnel did whatever was done in this business by hirn, and that the first part of the dittisy is not relevant against the pannel to infer the punishment hbelled agninst lam, is clear, by retorting of the argument after this manner: A deed commanded by the act of parliament, cannot infer a punishment by the same act of parliament; true it is, that the first deed contained in the assumption of this dittay was commanded by the act of parliament, imponing a necessity to all hearers of treasonable speeches against the king or state to reveal the same: Ergo, he did the same lawfally. Whereas it is alledged in the dittay, that by and after the information which tho pannel had by relation, be has exceeded in the particulars expressed in the dittay. And first, in the firt particular, at the doing and spenking whereof by and after the anterior information, which the pannel had received, the lord Rea his autbor was present: who being inquired or by his majesty, why he was so long in revealing of the alledged treasons; he answered, That be was afraid to do the same, in respect of the nearness betwixt his majesty and the morquis. So that what which the pannel did for obedrence to the law, and his author being present beside bim, is no ways relevint to mfer the pumshment of Leasing-roakers aguinst hum contained in the act of parliament. Like as also the sand lord liea, after the said relation to his najesty being remitted to the lord-treasurer, declared that the marquis was party; and further said, That be would cause as honest men as the lord Bea himself to affirm the ballue.

Whereas my Lord Advocate, in his reply, quarrels the fonner words positively set down, and not by relation: it is duplyed, That the form of the speech is not in question liere, but the matter ; for albeit, that the pamel had reproted in other words, or by a description, or paraplrase, that which my lord Rca related unto him, without changing or altering of the substance, commits no fault, nor cannot be accounted therefore a leasing-maker. And here the proponer repeats the words contained in the relation and depositions, which he remits to the Judges consideration. And to the secuad part of the subsumption, anent the delivery of the List of Names to the treasurer, it is answered, That that' part of the Dittay is most irreleyant, and cannot be subsumed upon the proposition of the indictment; for to reason. thus, all leasing makers should be punished, True it is, bat the pannel has writteh, and delisered is list of names to the treasurer: Ergo, he ought to be punished, is an evil argument, which is remitted to the jodge, except it had libelled, that the said list contained lensings, which is not libelled. And albeit it had been libelled, it is alledged, that the said list of
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names as it is set down, contains no purpose, fol it is not written therein, prime agent and platters in such a treason; not only indefinite prim agent and plotters. And if the pursuer will fora these words, to this alledged treason containec in the relation, the pannel then will repeat th word used ofbefore (as be is informed). And here alledges, that it is as lesome, or rather mori lesome to the plannel, to erk true words for thi pannel's good, nor to the pursuer of the Dittay to erk others for his sccuastion. And albetl the said words had been adjected, whereby the sentence might have been filled against the pannel; yet he closes himself with the relation made by the said lord Rea verbally, befort making of the written relation. And where il is disputed, that there cuinnot be a verbal relation here respected; it is answered for the pannel, that it is clear, the written relation we learned of the marques's servants and follower: tantum.

And further alledges, That the disputation of the time of the giving in of the List upon the 16th day, and the trine of the speaking of the lord Rea with the lord Seaforth, upon the 31st day, is no ways relevant nor material ; nt being confessed, at the least not denied by my lord Hea, that he had related of the three earis to the pannel: For the deed beng constant, the circomatance of time is nut so material, and cannot be presumed that the pannel sbould have any knowledge thereof; who had of before been very long absent, and no ways interested in their advice, and altogether ignorant of them; cauld of humself without any information, named the same persons whom the revealer was to name, and none other.

It is answered ly his majesty's Advocate, Thin he oppoites the Ditray, nith the reply made to the former defences.

Lastly, It is alledged for the pannel, That as it cannot be proteeded agamst the pannel heic in Scotland, but conform to the laws and statutes of England, the place of the pannel's alledged offence, and according as the fact is punishable, or not punishable, and more and less puushable in the kingdom of England; so there can be no legal accusation instatute or laid aganst the pannel bere in Scotland for the sadd facts nnd deed, but upon the laws of Eugland, or at least upon the common law. And true it is, that the Dittay is not founded upgn the laws of England, nor yet upon the common law; but upon the municipal taws of Scotiend, and acts of partinment thereof: And therefore the Dittay is no ways relevant, subsuoung and concluding upon the acts of parliament, menconed in the proposition of the Dittay.
Item, If the relevancy of the Dittay all be sustained, it is alledged, that there can be no pain inficted, but that whereby the saig facts and peeds are punishable by the fows and statutes of England, or by the common lan, and no ways by the pains mentioned if the said acts of parliament. 'Quia judex originis vel - donsicili non potest puane subditann delin${ }^{6}$ quentem extra ternionum, misi secundam
${ }^{\text {E }}$ (poenam impositam n jure communi rel sturutia - loci in quo deliquit, non atitem secundum 'statuta ipsius Joci originis vel domichii:' Jol. Clarue, Questio 85.
To the whilk it is answered by his mejesty's Adsocate, That the first part of the alledgeance is altogether unreasonable and absurd; ; and as to the second part of the alledgeance, it is answered, That the pannel, being a 8cotaman by burth, and also quyad donicilium betng resideuc, by his lady and children in Scotlanid; and having committed the crime libelled againat four, noblemen in Scotisod; he must be subject not only to the laws of the kingdom, but to the pain and punishment contained in the laws : like as his majesty by his missive letter has ordained him to be tried and censured by the laws of the kingdom. And where it is alledged, that be is only punishable by the pain inflicted in England, where the crime is committed; and for this alledges Jul. Cl. in lis 85 th question; first Julus Clarus in that place calls the matter dos putable, ' et egere decisione Cassarea.' Next, he adduces the number of doctors, conflieting in divers opinions pro et contra. And in the end he seems hinself to incline to the punishment lors delieti. And after it, cites Merinnus in cap. ' Postalusth extra de foro competenti: ' qui dicit generalter esse communem conclas sionem, quod delmquens debeat pumre poena ' mposita a statutis loci 30 quo delinquens 'punutur.' And this last speech after his own carres communein opinionem, for has una is only gnen, ad cautionem, to fiee the juiges from inguistiore of law. Fionn whilk the JusticeGeneral is well warianted, by his majesty's letter commanding the pannel to be judged, conform to the laws of the kinglom.
And further, it is granted by Jul. Clar. to the thich the proponer assents in his acceptation, quod posset delinquens punire pane jaris communis vel poena loci ubi delinquitur? But so $t$ 15, that hy the common law, "Calumnintorea puniuntur popna taliouis, lege quisvis Cod. de Calummatorihas, novella Leoms 77. Lef finati Cod. de accusationibus Leg. s8. digest, de poenis.' And Cicero in his fragments cites he Law in his Twelve Tables, in these words : Nostre inquit, duodècim tabule cum per paucas res capite ssansissent in his banc sanxiebdam putaserint; si quis actitavisset quod iurfamuan fateret flagitiumve alteri, et praterta atrox injuria, de jure communi punibilis ent popns mortis.' Jui. Clar. Questione 85 mitmero 9.
The Justice continues this Diet with all furher disputation and reasoning in this mettiv, o the oiorn the third day of December instant; nd ordains the pannel to be returned back to is ward, therein to remnin in the mean time. he persons of assite warned thereto, apudd arfa, - of before, ilk person under the pain of 1,000 ıarks:
(in Justiciaria's. D.AN. riegis tent' in prownis mo burgi de Edinburgh, tertio die mentas Decembris, anoo Dom. mullesimo, sexcen: it
tesimo, trigesimo primo, per honorabiles et diseretos virns, magistros Alexandrum Colvillo de Blair, et Jacubum Robertour, Advocatum, Justiciarios deputatos nohilis et potentis comitis Willielmi comitis de Strstherne et Monteith, doun. Grabame, Kilbryde, et Kynpent, presidis secreti concilii nc justiciarn generalis dict. S. D. N, Regis, totus sui regni Scotia, ubilihet constitut. sectis wocatis ef curia legitime affirmata.

## Intran'

James lord Uchiltrie, delated in the crimes foresaid, specified in bis Dittily.

Pursuer.-Sir Thomas Hope of Craighall, knight and baronet; Advocate to our sovereign lordfor his highness's entrics.

Prolocutors in defeuce.-Mr. Robert Nairne, Mr. Alexander Pierson, Gilbert Neilson, Advocates.

It is duplyed by the seid James lord Uchiltrie himself, being entered upon pannel anent the first part of iny Lord Advocate's reply, bearing, that the Clausule, as he was informed, can no ways be repeated in the particulars. And although the pannel so said, yet he has no positive informatign from the lord Rea; it is unswered, the same ought to be repelled, because of the clanse' as the pannel was informed' purported in the Dittay itself: For the pannel in that whilk is the same, and strength of the whole Dittay, is necessarily understood, and should be repeated in all the subsequent pasanges of the Dittay; because it is unicus actur continuatus. And whilks whole three parts of the subsumption, as they are used conjunctly by my Lord Advocate against the pannel; so the punnel allerges that these words, as he is informed, contained in the general, must be, understood and repeated in all the three several parts of the sobsuinption; as being the suin of all, whilk is after alledged, or was afier imparted by the pannel, and ought so to be understood. And where it is alledged, that where the pannel, although he said, ' as he was informed,' yet it is no positive information from my lord Rea, to ground a positive assertion of the whole, conthined in the Dittay.

It is answered thcreto, He had positive assertions and information quoyd relationem; sed guoad teritaten he was not bound to have it; sicdike many parts of his affirmations would be best cleared by the confronting of the pannel and his informer, whilk was never yet done. Neither are the clief of the pannel's affirnations, alledged by my Lord Adrocate, simply takeu, positive affirmations, but with interpretations, coustructions and alosses, lupping in mentem of the pannel: To which God is his ouly joulge; and it is an hard matter by presumed constructions, to draw the pannel to the question of his late; ' Ninn non presumuatundelicta, 'sed ponhantur.' The pannel refers hinself to his deposition, in substanliuldus, undeaied ly the lord Ren, as is clegar by the punnel's fogner exceptious. Whereas my Lord Advocate mentions the madnets and foolish inagination bi

Meldrum, as the lord Rea's author of the speeches; first, the pannel protests, he urges nothing against those noblemen from himself, ab origine. But he adheres to the Relations of the lord Rea, verbal and by writ; neither dispotea he againat Meldrum's sutliciency, or for it; but that Meldrum's words, related to him by the lord Rea, gave him just ground of revealing. And as to the words alledged, $r e-$ lated by the pannel to the king, anent the odiouspess of the matter, and the lord marquis as party; this can no ways be leid to the pannel's charge as a fault, much less as a crime capital, because the informer, viz. the lord Rea, was present at the discourse; and related himself to the king, coden tewpora, the particulars of what the pannel had spoken; neither did he at that time oppose biu, or contradict him in ove circurnstance, whereby the pannel was bona fide exonered, and therefore ought not now to be Isid to his charge. And further, in the time of the pannel's relating his ovn words, the pannel affirms, that he did it with tears coming over his cheeks, no sign of malice, or sowing sedition, in expressing these words to the king, like as his majesty bure record thereof, before the council of England, the impannelled being present.

And to that part of my Lord Adrocate's Reply, anent the lord marquis to be prime ngent; the pannel repeats the first member of his duply, together with the inpannelled's former exceptions thereanent.

And where my Lord $A$ dvocate affirms, that the pannel did his duty sufficiently in the firat discourse, and might have acquiesied there with duty; the pannel affirms, that he could not, because all the time, from the 13th of May to the 20th, it was actus conlinuatus, by constaist information running from the informer to the pannel; and so tequired a constant dutv, whilk he durst not omit, neithe: with safety nor duty. And this is clearly by my lord Rea's own Deposition; who utirms the relating of several things, in several days and times, and is manifestly proven by the pannel's owu depositions.
And where my Lord Adrocate refuses to the pannel the exception of charity, answering, That ' crimen non putest porgari bona inten'tione, transeat,' where there is ' crinuen sim' plex.' But where therc is ' tantum crimen,' by constructions, periphras 6 , and glosses, supra mentrm, yea, contra mentem of the paunel; there charity justly may be adnfitted: For a good intention, as it will not-purge a manifest crime, neither should the wresting of the pannel's mitention inforce a crime, and so not debar charity.

And where my Lord Advocate doth urge against the pannel's defaming of the noblemen, it is answered by the ponnel, that the first author must be the defamer, and not the revealer; the whole matier of the pretended defamation, being orfininal acts of the lord Hen's quod defensorum, upon pannel, and not acts of the pannel; as it is evident, by the panuel's two depositions, the lord Ren's first deponition the
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21st of May, where the suid lord Rea doth achnuw ledge the examination of the pannel, taben the 20th of June, to be true in all points, so fir as the same concerns the hnowledge, words and acts of the sadd lord Red; upon the expla. nations then tollowing. By which explanations, and notwithstafiding thereof, there is substance enough in the pginel's deposition, to prove that the lord Hea, and not the pannel, was author to the whole matter of the pretended detamation. And whereas my Lord Advocate would in his reply seem to question the verhal relations, flowing from the lord Rea to the pannel; to this the pannel opposes his own deposition, and the first article of my lord Rea's exammation formerly cited, wheren the lord Rea ratuies the pannel's verbal assertions if he ratifies them, they must be in rerum natura, If they be, my Lord Advocate's assertion is not relevant And where my Lord Advocate alleges the leaving forth of the lord Rea's verbal relation, out of his representation in writ, giren in to the Lord Ireasurer, the pannel repeathis exception made thereanent as bcfore And to all my Lord Adrocati's discourse to that effect, contanaing one side of prper, the pannel oppones lus first answer, and his depositions, and the lord Rea's relations, and his exammantuons agrecing theieto. And whereas iny Lord Advocate would bind the pannel to abawer for the lord Rei's omissions, hegause the lord Hea is his author, the pannel being situng behind nt the ume of the expression, can haudly find means to be persuaded that it cume from his majesty s adiocate, and oppones and repeats the pannel s creception hercin And where my Loid Advocate, upon these words, ye Lnow the business, and not the tume (do or die), says, that these words should have a pioper mecopietition, which is, says he, to be a counsel given by the punel, for subversion of the marquis's honour tud estate the pannel answets theieto, that he adkeres to his exception already ilit idy alleged, und furthet adds, thit the lord Reas ud to the $p$ innel that hundiy moining, that the lord heaforth had sud to the lord Rea, their puipose $w$ as to take the hing, the queen, and the puace and oo the information beang given to the pannel, furnished to the pannel great causc of lent, and his faar the reason of the expression of thecu words, of advising the king to ptevent histlinger, as the pinnel had lormerly advised my Lord Tie.ssurer of Tngl und, to advise his ni ycsty to reure to Whitehall trom Greenwich, is tor plice of more atety And this is cleas, by the panacl's deposition the 20th of June, ratuifed by the loid Fica in the first article of lus er umination the glstonf Jane.

And where my Lord Aitvocate alleges, that the lord Rea and his majesty's letter hees the mirquis of H ualtan, and the othei noblemen, by the lond Reis onth It is ansuered thereto by the piunel, that the pannel doth not charge them, he only charges the loid Kean as ho inlormer ned author, humbly attcoting, that in what essenind ponts the lord Mea so contury, to the panuel, be does the panncl wrong and
therefore the pannel declares, that whensoever it shall please his majesty to permit the parpel and the lord Rea to be contronted, or if then upon differ ence, the matter be not cleared of tho pannel's smnocency ; the pannel is ready to hazard hus hite in a duel, to the glory of God, and to tho clearug of the truth of this busmess, has majesty commanding the same, with a protestation, that he curries no malice to thersard lord Rea. If the business be not decided by this, or ahat if has maje-ty is pleased to admit torture before as duel-trind, the pannel is ready with ham to bear out the torture, and to be tried theraby, with the said lord liea, and let the truth then appear which if it be not then, whenever it shail please God to call the pannel to bear teptimony thereof with his blood, if God be not graciously pleased to bear him up in it, let rgen so concerve and it God bear hum out in $n$, then will he be tound meet after his death, to have been an honest man, and thas blood thall be iequired of the takers thereof.

My Lord Adiocate, betore any anower to be made by hum to the pannel's former duply, produced his majesty's Letter sent down to the lords of his majesty's piry council, of the date of the 99th of June, 1631, and Jesired the saine to be judicially read, of the whilh the tevor tollows
"To our night trusty, \&e, the viscount of ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Duphn, our Chancellni, the earl of Menteth, - President of out privy-council, and to tha 'iemancat earls, loids, and other of out privy' council, of our hingdom of Scotland.' -Sic suprascibitul,
"C R Rught trusty, \&e we greet you well. Where is ne die inturnied of a practice in appeat ance so permicious, and nearly concerning us, as we would not but tahe some trial theieof, both by ourselt, and some of our councll, apponted by us for that porpore But in the mean tume, bet luse of some binistious rumours mancousiy dispersed thercupod, to the pricjudice of our $11_{0}$ hit tuasty and right wellbe loved consms and counsellora, the nuarqua of Hamiton, and the earls of Haddington, Roxburgh, and Buccleggh, and some others; lest the lihe reports be biought unto your cals, we have thought good hereby to declase, that not only we bave found by the tral we oursek es heve tahen, that they are altogether innocent and cle ur thereof, but hilewise that the prime informer thereot huth now cleared them upon oath, testulying them, as we know then to $b x$, as good and farthtul subjects, as ne have at any of our bingdoms. And for the busincss uself, whensoever it shall be folly tued, we will thereater express our further plensure conceining others materested therem, accoiding as we shall find just cause, ether in punfling any person that shall be found gulty, or in - pumbling any person that shall be found wi have given false intorme: tuon. And whereas at have formerly by Du letterv recommended unto you, our right tusty and robit well-beloved cousin the mar

- quis of Hastilton, for furthering the speedy levy
cand transportation of his men with all possi-
-ble diligence; these are again to require you
4 to contribute the best help that your autho-
- rity or endeavours can afford for that effect.
- Whereof, both out of the regard we have to
- him, and to that employment, being very con-
- fident of your best care; we bid you farewell
- From our Coury st Greenwich, the 29th ol
'June, 1631.'
After reading of the which Letter of his majesty, it is answered by my Joid Advocate, that the urging of the pannel to have the words 'as ' he is informed,' to be repeated in all the rest of the Dittay, wants all reason; the points of the Dittay beitring relation of several deeds done in several times. And where it is alleged by the panael, that these words, 'as he was - informed,' were true, 'quoad relationem et 'quoad veritatem,' there was no necessity: If this were true, the Dittay were eluded, for the pannel is not accused of a Leasing in respect of the verity of the matter related, but in respect of his discrepance from his nuthor, and that he affirms more than his author, and with greater certainty thun his author: and that, not content with both these two excesses, he follows it out to the very poiut of execution, which is evident in the poiut of certainty, by these words spoken to his majesty, 'the busi' ness is known,' whilk is more certain by the npposition of the uncertainty of time only, which redoubles the certainty of the business. And in the point of exccution, by that pernicious and cruel counsel, ' do or die:' the effect of which pernicious counsel, if God in mercy had not disposed the royal heart of our wise und gracious sovereign, would have produced more lameatable effects, nor could be quenched with the pity of tears shed by the pannel. And the conferring of the lord Rea (whom the pannel calls the prime author) with himself, in the progress of his behaviour, will manifest the pannel's guiltiness of the points of the Dittay, wherein he is accused: for the lord Rea, who behoved to have greater certainty than the pannel, never proceeded to the points of posicive party, prime ugent, plotters; and to say to the supreme sovereign prince, that the basiness was known, in all which points the pannel has involved himself; but the lord hea mas content to reveal the reports made to him by David Ramsay, and Mr. Robert Mcldrum, to the pannel, without adding or paring. And when the pannel, upon the 22d of May, which was the day of the marquis's returning from Bcothand to England, came to the lord Reu, and told him, that he had been with the Lord Treasuror, and acquainted him with the passages, which he had from the lord Seaforth, and of the lord marquis's return, and that he had conceived, that it might. be dangerous at that time for his majesty; fir the which cause the ptanel in his deposition saith, that he did advise the king to remove from Greenwichsto Whiteball or London; my lord Rea enswered,
that the pannel had done evil therein, for there was no such suddenness to be feared: yet notwithstanding hereof, and that his author had reproved his rashness, the pannel went thereafter and attended his majeaty at dinner, and at the end of dinner spake these words of the certainty of the plot, strcertainty of the time, and added the counsel. Like as the pannel being asked, hereupon granted that he met with the lord Rea upon that Sunday the marquis cane to court, and also that be met with the Lord Treasurer; and counselled his majesty's removing from Greenwich to London. But denies that the lord Rea snid, that he thought it was evil done. Notwithstanding hereof, the panne| went thereafter and attended his majesty ut dinner, spake the words and gave the counsel; like as his majesty being something wakeaed by that fearful counsel, sent for the lord Rea, and did acquaint him, that some present dauger was suggested to his majesty, upon the marquis's returuing. To the which the lord Rea answered by onth, that he knew nothing against the narquis, for any thing be knew, but that he was as good a subject es any his majesty had.

And where the pannel would labour to free himself, by denying the counsel given to ham by the lord Rea; it cannot be a warrantable excuse, except he would prove that his nuthor adrised him to do it: which is improbable, seeing the lord Rea, his author, neither did it, nor allowed of its being done by the pannel. And where it is alledged by the pannel, that he had sufficient warrant from his informer, he cannot affinn it, as being genufary to the relation made by his author: which is not a syllable of the marquis as prime agent, nor of the :bree noblemen as Plotters. And whatever the pannel did after the discovery of the business to the Lord-1'reasurer, which was upon the 15th of May; it was ${ }^{\text {F }}$ the pannel's own word, work and deed, as the giving in of the list, expressing the certainty of the plot, and urging he putting in execution. And where the panrel alledges, that all was done upon a good untention, and that God is ouly judge of the mind; it is true where the mind is not rerealed by speech or act punishable of the law: jut if eitber speech or deed be doue againat he law, the pretext of the mind will never ex. zose it.
And albeit it be true, as the pannel alledges, ' Quod delicta mon presumuntur sed probantur,' yet it is as true, ' Quod in telictis prasumitor 'dolus nisi probatur contrarium.' And in the case of this Dittay, we have a lam prohibiting Leasings and Calumnies under the pain of death; and seeing by the Dittay, there are three particulars libelled, which his majesty in his Letter of the date of the 29th of June, has called false informations, and which directly frill evithin the compass of the lam, as reflecting upon the life, honour and estaite of the noblemen ; the pannel's excuse by intention is too slender, to free him from the punishment of the law. And where it is alledged by the pannel,

## 

that be has named his author, and that be was present the time of his relation, and did not contradict him therein, which the pannel abledges for a sutficient ground of his exoneration; the contrary is true: for the lord Rea, in his Examination upon the 21st of June, doth acknowledge the pannel's Examination to be true in all points, saving the explanations thereafter subjoined. But this approbation cannot exceed the subject; and also the subject must be restricter according to the conditions of the explanation ; but so it is, that in the pannel's examination, which is approven by the lord Hea ; there is not one sylable of the lord marquis as party or prime Agent, or of the three noblemen as Plotters.

And therefore that falls nof within the compasa of the approbation, and where it may appear that in the pannel's examination upon the 20th of June, it is granted, that on Mondlay the 16th of May, he delivered to the Lord Treasurer the list of names; that is not a part of the pannel's relation npproved by the lord Rea: but it is the pannel's nnswer to an interrogntory, ashed of hum by the counsel, which could have no respect to the lord Rea's relation, lake as the pannel being exnmined thereupun the 24th of June, grants that the list was made by hunself: and that the lord Rea was not privy to the making thereof, which is also confessed by the lord Rea himself in his examination the 21st of June, 1651, and last article thereof. Wherein he depones, not only that he was not acquanted with the list of names dolivered to the Lord-Treesurer, but that he had nothing to do therein; which both excludes the concourse ofthergahing of the list, and his knowledge of the purpose of it. And where it is alledged by the pannel that after the revenling to the Lord-Treasarer, he could not abstain from the remanent passages of his bebaviear, because it was actus continuatus by the lord Rea to the pannel?, from the 13th of May to the 20th of May; it is answered, that after the first revealing, the pannel had no more to do in the necessity of duty, because his author was reveuled: and whatever followed after discovery made by the pannel, would have tied the author and not the pannel; there being no law that might have punished the pannel for ohifting his courfe atter the revealing. Bat there bemg manifest hazard and danger in law, to follow further aftet the revealing of the business, which the author thought nether clear nor cortmin; and the pannel net being conscious upon what mitd the lord Rea made his first information to him, which might very readily have been upon malecontentment, grudging and maloce, his credulity to him vas cruelty against the noblemen. And his going forwards aiter the revenling, was a manifest engagement of himself, in the malice of the aythor, and drawing upon himself the epinion of greater.
And where it is alledged by the pannelpthat his behaviour cannot be counted in the nature of Leasings, punished by act of parliament, but allanerly by way of illation and apaster
construction; the contrary is clear, by the three points of the Dittay. And the pucsuer, in bis reply, has most justly challenged the pannel as a defamer of noblemen; because their fame, honour and credit was unblameable before it was taxed by the pannel; and the lord marquis brought under rianger of the loss of life, honour end estate.

And where it is pretended by the pannel, that the cause of his speaking of these words to his majesty, upon the Sunday of the ,harquis's coming to count, was because the lord Rea told the pannel that the lord Seaforth had assured him, that the Plot wes for taking off the king and the queen ; first, that excuse has no warrant from the lord Hea's deposition; next, in that same conference betwixt the pannel and the lord Rea upon the said Sunday, the lord Rea told him it was an idle fear; and thirdly, it cannot be a pretext, because that passage of the taking the king and queen, is contained in the relation made by the lord Rea upon the 18th of May; and so cannot be pretended as a new informntion lately come to his knowledge, to waken the pannel to such a pernicious counsel. And this shall suffice for answer to the duply, which is closed with that which is contained in the reply; that the pannel had no warrant of his speeches and proceedinga positive agninst the marquis; nor colour of warrant agaiust the three earls, and neither warrant nor colour at all against the earl of Buccleugh, agninst whom the pannel, by his own confession, had nothing but the guess of the lord Rea ; which the lord Rea not the less refuses, and affirms to be the nomination of the pannel. But howsoever it is only a guess, by his own confession; from his author, and yet in his list he makes him a plotter. And ulbeit the like evidence of the pannel's calumnies against the other two earls being undoubted, as haying no warrant at all from the lond Rea's relation, wherein there is no syllable of these noblemen; and that the excnse made by the pannel of a verbal relation by the lord Mea, has no probsbilty, and also is contradicted by the lord Rien, being poised thereupon: yet in these two noblemen the pannel covers himself onder the shadow of a verbal relation, against that which humself drew, up in ariting; but in the lord Buccleugh's he is exclurded from all verbal relation, in respect of his own deposition, whereby he is manifestly convinced of incurring the punishment of the acts of parliament whereupon the dittay is formed, as having named him plotter, when by his own deposition he grants it to be a guess of the lord Rea.
It is quadruplyed by the pannel, that for answer to the triply, made by the Lord Advocate his porsues, he repeats and oppones his defences contained in the former exception and duply. And further the pannel adds, that where it is objected by my lord advocate against the pannel, that he had no ground nor canse from the lord Res's relation, from the pannel by word, to call the two enris of Haddingtoe and Roaburgh plotters; but by the oontrary,
that the lord Rea refuxes and denies the same; again the which objection, the pannel does repeat and adhere to that article of his second deposition, dated the 24th of Jane in these words following: he saith, the lord Rea did affirm to the examianant, that he had the foresaid report, auent the earls of Melros and Roxburgh, from the lord Senforth, before the pannel then examined, made or delisered this said paper or list to the treasurer. And in the article preteding, in the same deposition, the pannel atirms the lord Rea told him this; whereby it evidently nppears by the two artucles joined together, that the pannel had ground for that part of his representation.

And whereas it is affirmed by my Lord Advocate, that the lord Rea denies the same, this comparing of the pannel's assertion, and the words of the lord Rea's slenial together, the lord Rea's words will be found to import no direst nor clear denial; the pannel affirms that the lord lea told him, that the earl of Seaforth told him, that the said two earls were upon the secrets of the business of the marquis; my lord Rea's words in his renial bearing, that the first time the lord Senforth had any gpeech with him, couching the said earls of Haddington and Roxburgh, their being privy to the particulars and aecrets of the lord IIamilton's business, was on the Monday after the marqnis's coming out of Scotland. The pannel nuirins, that the lord Hea told him such a thing; the lord Rea says, the lord Seaforth spake not to him any such matter before such a day: liow do these two agree or contradict one another? The pranel afirms the lord Rea told him; my lord kea affirms my lord Seaforth told him not such a thing before such a day: what is that to the paunel, if the lord Seaforth had never told that to the lord Rea, the lord Rea might have told it to the pannel for all that? And where it is alledged for the fortifying of the triply by my lord advecate, that the lond Rea in his deposition, denieth that he was acquainted with the list of names, delivered by the pannel, or hail any thing to do therein; the pannel affirms, that this eludes not the particular words concerning the two earls; because of the denial of the general list, wherein they were iogrossed: for the manner of the discovery was left to the panael, when the direction of the discovery was given him. And so it was not ueedful that the lord Res should be acquainted with the papers, with the pen, the ink, the hour and the manner of the writing; because that was left to the pannel. Neither can the general denial of one piece of paper takeaway the pannel's testimony of the certain, determined, condescended upon by word. And for his word that he had no hand therein, is not meant de materia, affirmed by the panuel, reported to him against the said two earls; because he grants the substince in the preceding deponicion mede by him thereanent. And us to that relation by that list, copceraing the earl of Buccleugh as plotter, for defence of the pannel's deposition it is. produted in these words, bearing, 'And further saith(to wit the pannel),
'that the lord Rea had told him, he could not ' guess who also should be in the plot unless it - were the lord Buccleugh; of whon thelord Hea 'said, he heard bim speak terrible words against 'the king, at his own table in Holland.' There it is affirmed, that he suspected the lord Buccleugh to be upon the plot: if suspected to be on the plot by the lord Rea, "ind told' by him to the punnel; the pannel was necessitated to reveal the same, yea in iusdem terminis, to reveal him to be a plotter; whon he said, he suspected to be upon the plot. To this my lord advocate objected, my lord Rea's denial, which are in these words: That the pannel and lord Rea having some speech together, who might be looked for in Scotland to take arms; the pannel, and net the lord Rea, named the lord Buccleugh. Whereupou the lord Rea told, that at the siege of the Busse, the lord Rea heard the lorl Buccleugh use some words, whereby the lord Kea look the lord Buccleugh to have come malecontent out of England. In this presumed denial, there is contained $n$ discourse betwist the pannel and the lord Rea, as the lord Rea alledges. And in this discourse, that the pannel should have numed the lord Buccleugh, and not the lord Rea; this naming, is a numing in the respect of time first or last; for the lord Rea grants that he did name the lord Buecleugh, because of the last words of that clause; so that his denial respects only priority.of time, bnt no ways the pauntl's nssertion : for not a word of his assertion is denied. But by the coptrary, for corroboration of the pumnel's just ground, concerning the earl of Buccleugh; the lerd Rea makes addition of more nor the paunel had atthenibrance of; and so fortifies his aftirmation, and proves no denial thereof.

The pannel ndds further, for clading'of the mistaking of these his words, 'Sir, we know the 'business, but not the time, therefore do or 'die.' It is affirmed ty the lord Rea, that he did hear of his majests that there whs a danger suggested to him; which danger my lord ardvocate alledges to have come from the panncl's words. If a danger but by the king's self apprehended, then not a persecution, for a danger imports defensive remedies, and not violent and malieious attempts; yea, it imports and implies a preventing, and nat a prosecuting. And lansly, it clearly confirms the mentioned intention of the pannel's expressing of those words, which ans to prevent his majesty's danger. This the mannel's alledgesince, is cleared in the lurd Rea's deposition; made the g1st of June; yea, this is my dord Hea's relation, to whom the pannel never spake, since the pannel and heentered to their trial. As for the words, 'Sir, we know the basiness infallibly, ' this is nolie;' becsuse the pannel and the lord Rea was at his majesty's ten days before, and sffirnted the sume: the pannel by relation from the lord Rea, and the lord Rea fromi others, 's and so that is no lie.'. And that the panuel did not know the time, it is alledged in ihe contrary, ergo, not no lie. As for the
words ' do or die,' by my lord adsocate's de claration, it is but 'conciliun pernicioson.' And that it is not 'mendacum,' neither can it be made nor interpreted 'mendacium,' by any probability or sense, nad no malicious council, as is afledjged neaninst the pannel; considering the parnel's declared intent, and the lord Rea's before-mentioned exanmation, wherein it is caked a thager, 'so mot a he, ant thercfore not rightly saloumed.

My Lord Advocate ri peats has former replies and triples, and desires my Loril Juntice to close thas process, so that there be no further dispensation or reasoning herein, till an-nt the relevance or irrelevance of the indictment. The Justice by Interlopputor ileciaces tlee process to he closed; and consinues Interlonqutor upon the aliedgeances proponed by the panuel ugainst the dutuy, and answers made thereto by his majesty', ahocate, to Wedansilay next, the seventh of December in-tant; the persoms of assize warned aphil acta, w conpear the sail day in the hour of conse, ilk peroon muder the pain of 1,000 marks. And ordained the pannsl to be returned to ward, therein to reman in the mean time; wherenpon my Lord Advocate asked motruments.
Curia Jubticiaria S. D. N. Remis tont' in pratorio burgi de Edmburgh, neptime die measis Decenabus, atum Dour, millesinio, sescentesimu, trigesime primo, per honorabites ut discretas norns, magistros Alexandrum Culville de Blair, it Jacohem Rebs rtoan Adrocatum, Jurtiviarus deputatus nobilis et potentis connitis Willulai comitis de Stratherne ft Momanih, dum. Graham, Killoryde, et Kyupont, et Prustin stereti Concelio et Justiciarn generalis dict, S. D. N. Regis totiug regm sui Scotise, ublhbet conitut. sectis vocatis et Curia legtime adirmata.

## Intran'

James lond I'chiltrie, delated of the crimes foresaid, $m$-utioned in his indictuent.

Pursuer.-Sir Tho nas Ilope of Craighall, luight and baronet; lus majesty's advocate for his highnest's entres.

Prolocutors in Defence.-Mr.Robert Nairne, Mr. Alevander Person, Gillert Neilson, Advocates.
The lorl Uchiftrie being entered nuon pannel, prodaced to my Lard Justice, an Act of the lords of his majesty's secret C'ouncil, for continaation of this lijet, and of all further trial or proceeding against him, for the crimes contained in his 'bintay, the the first day of February next to come; ; of the whilk set of council the tenor follows: 'Apud IIalvrudhouse sexto - die mensis Decembrs, anno Dont. millesians, - sexcentesimo, tricesimn primo. The Lo:d ' of the vecret Comecil, fir some special causes ' and considerations, oriduns and coymnndg his ( majesty's justice, justire-clerk and their de' puties, to continue all far:her 1 rial ąud pro-- ceeding ngniast James hord I'chiltrie, upon the - crimes whereof he has been accused before - them, till the die diny of February mext. vol. HI .
' Whereanent the extract of this act shall be ' unto thenn a warrant, extractun de libris ac-- torum sccreti consilit S. D. N. liegis, pet me - Jacohua Prymrose clericun ejuslem, sub ' meis signo ot subscriptione manualibos, sis 'sulsecribitur Jacobus Piymrose.' According to the which Act of Council, warrant nnd emmmonh! aforesaid, thercin coutained, the Justice continues all further trial pnd procceding against the said James lord Uchilteft, upon the crimes al'resaul, anto the said 1st day of February next to come: and ordained hiin to be taken b.tck again to his wand, therein to remain in sire firmance, till ${ }^{\text {t }}$ the said day. The whole persous of a-size, called upou by their names, are of new warned, apud actn, to compear beCore his majesty's justice, the said 1st day of Fel.rnary next to cone, in the hour of cause; ilt: person nuter the pain of 1,000 marks. Whercupon my Lord Adrocate ashed instruмения.
Extructum de libris actorum adjourualis S. D. N. Kegis, per me Johamem Bannatyne, Clericum deputatum bomorabulis siri, dom. Georgui Elphangston de Blythiswood, militis, Clerici Justicianii principalis dicti S. D. N. Regis, et dictoutn Curiarum, Testan. his meo signo et sulacriptione manualibus. Sie subscribitur, Johanmis Maxaivae Cleneus depatatus ('lerice Justiciarix: Cencralis S. 1). N. Kegis, Testan. his unt is signo et subscriptionc.

The lord C'chilicie, appearing on the said 1st of February, was sentenced to perpetual Imprisonmput.*

* a The lord Uchiltrie, n man of a subtil spint and good paits, had not those endowmenta of his mind brein stained with some ill qualit.es: his malice against the marquis of Hamiltom tas hereditary, he being the son of capt. Japues Stenaut, who in hing James's minority, wha the Itaniltons were groundessly and in a mock parliansent attainted, carried the title of earl of Arran, and possensed their fortunes." Burnet's Memoirs of the dakes of Mamalton, p. 11, and 12. where he relates the whole business; and p. 19, says," This was a calumny, than which hell could not have forged a fiuler, for lord Uchiltrie judged that this would infallibly have produced one of two effects, either raised such a jealousy in the king's thoughts, as to have quite ruincel the marquix, since fevs ministers are proof against such whispers, or at least it nould have stopped his voyage for a while, till be was tried, and the smanleat delay m that would have scaticred his soldiers, (which the king was to send ander the marquis's commund, to aswint the king of Sweden to recorer the Palatinate) so tyat this design failing, in which bis L.onour was now so far enguged, a stain sliguld lie on him through all Europé. Lord Weston corried this story to the king, whether provoked to it out of hatred to the marquic, ar mored from ${ }^{\circ}$ his zeal nnd duty to the king, thatll not be datermined; though the
2.1


## 488] STATE TRIALS, 7 Charles I. 1651.-Proceedings in the Court of Chivalry, [494

last was pretended by him, in many of bis letters to the marquis. Bur his majenty knew the marquis too well, and understood all his motions and the progress of this affar, too exactly to give nny credit to this firgery" And p. 13. "Bur the marguis was not able to lie under such terrible imputations, wherefore be prested that Uchultrie might he put io it, to prive what he had alledged: latt all lee offer:d against Remsay yas only a presumption, whech fomsay denned, aud Rea atlineed; in that they were hoih put under bail, nid uothine appeared that dud touci the marqus; for though Ramsay hal been as guilty as the lond Rea called him, that left no imputation on hm, since none can be made answerable for thove they employ, unless it appear that they followed the fostructions given them. So the marguis was dispatched to Germany, Lord Uchititrie haul charged the maryuis with Treason, and failing so totally in has probation, was srut dioun to Scotland tis be treed, where he had a legal and
free trial for his false charge before the JusticeGeneral, aurl such aysessors oy were appointed to sit with thin, hy the privy-council; and had the marquis repaid him in his own coin, he could not have escaped cupital punishment. but he was sats fied with his uirn justification, and sach a ceasure put on the calumaniator, as night deter others from the lile attempts. Wherefore the wus robutameil to perpetual impironment in Dlackeos Carles, und be continued there for riwenty ycars."-He was released by Cromwell. The severity of the Sentence ag:ust lord Uchiltrie seems to have deterred tie carl of lothes fom mantaining the charge which he advanced aganast the Lord Register of falsely reporting the votes of the Scots parliament in 1639, respecting the contirmation of the statutes concerning religion, as then professed, and the prant to king Charles the 1st of the power to regulat" reclestastical habits, See 3 Laing's Ilist. of Srotiand, 103, 10-4, and the authorties there referred to.
140. Proceedings in the Court of Chivalry,* on an $\Lambda$ ppeal of IIighTreason: by Dosald Lord Rea, against Mr. David Ramsey, 7 Cinairles I. a. D. 1631. [Sanderson's Charles the First, 164. 2 Rushw. Coll. 6e, 106, 119, 142.]
[" The following Cave is an instance of awarding a Trial liy Diee in the Comrt of Cluvalry, though afterwards the divel was preveuted. There are two account ot it, whin h we shall submit to our read, re. One is from "Sanderson's History of the Lute and Ricia of Charles the First;" the other from "Rushworth's Historical Coslectious," [Bishop Butnet relates the history of the accusation in hus " Laves of the Dukes of Hamilton,"opuncipally with a view to justify the first dinte of Hamilton, whose naine was involved in the affair. See Burnet's Memorss of the

* "It seemeth that by the antient Common Law one-nccuser or witness syas not sufficient to convict any person of High Treason, for in that case where is but one accnser it shall be tried before the ('onstable oridarshal by combat, as by many recorils appeareth." Lord Coke's 3d Inst. c. 2, p. 26. Sce niore concerning thas Court in 4th Inst. c. 17.
"The Court of Chivalry, of which we" also formerly spoke as a military court, or conit of honour, when beld befise the earl marshal on'ly, is also a criminal court, when held before the lord high constable of England jointiy with the earl marshal. And then it tan juristiction over pleas of lite and meinber, trising in matters of arms and deeds of war, as well out of the realm as within it. But the criminal, as well as civil part of its athurity, is faflen into catire disuse; there having been no permanent hein ofonstable of Eugland (but only pro huc rjice at cotonations and rhe like) siqce the attaipder and esecution of Stafford duke of Buckingham in the 13th year of Heary 8 ; the authority and charge, both, in war and peace, being dewned too ample for a

Dukes of Itamikon, p. 11. to 14. The bishop, it is observable, clasges Sanderson with giving a juurnal of tha procerlure on lord Rea's apperal, in order to impeach the duke of $\mathrm{Ha}-$ miltut's Inyalty. In Rushworth there is a Istter from ('harles the first to the duke of Ilamilon, which explains, $\underset{\text {,'hat was done in }}{ }$ the Court of Chivaliy, mind amply proves, that the king was i,uite satisfied of the duke's innocence. This Letter forms a part of.Rushworth's relution. At to the account in the Aunals of James and Charles the first, it is merely a copy of Saaderson, with the addi-
subject : so anple, that when the chief justice Fineux was asked by king IIenry the 8th, how far they extended, he declined answering; and sand, the decision of that question belonged to the law of arms, and not to the law of England." Bl. Comme b, iv. c. 19. § 4.
*The form and manner of yraging battel upon appeals are much the same as upon a writ of right; only the oaths of the two combatants are vastly more striking and solemn. The appellee, when appealed of felony, pleads Not Guilty, and throws down his glose, and declares he will defend the same, by his body: the appellant takes up the glove, and replies that ho is ready to yake good the uppeal, boaly tor body. And thereupon the appellee, taking the book in his right hand, and in his left the right hand of his pntagnnist, swears to this effect. 'Huc audi, ' homo, quem per manum teneo,' \&ic. 'Hear ${ }^{\text {' }}$ this, $O$ inan, whom I bold by the land, who ' callect thyself Julin ty the name of baptism, ' that I, who call my self Thomas by the name ${ }^{4}$ of baptism, did not felomously murder thy ' fatlfor, Wilfinm by natue, nur ane any way
tion of the king's Letter from Rashworth." Hargrave.] .

## Sanderson's Relation.

WHEN friends fall out their faults are found, as appears by the quarrel between Donnold lord Rea, a Scptigh highlander, or rather moire not thward of the isles Orkney, and one David Ramsey, a true Sent courtier, concerning words and designs of treason against the biug and kingdom, which because Ramsey denied, they are adinitted the Trial by Combate, the manner being as fulloweth.
The day prefixt for trial was the 28th of Nov, 1631, before llobert earl of Lindsey, lord bighchamberliin of Fugland, and now pro tempare deputed lord high constable of Enyland. Tho. earl of Arunocl, earl marshal of England, the
'guilty of the said felong. So help me God, 6 und the saints ; and thes I will detend against 'thee by my body, as this court shall award.' To which the appellant replies, holding the bible and lits natagonist's hand in the sane manner as the other: "Hear this, 0 man whom -I hold hy the hand, who callest thyself ThoEmas hy the naune of haptism, that thou ant ' perjured; and therefore perjured, because that - thou ieloniously didst muider iny faiber, Wis-- liam loy name. So help me Gof and the 'saints; and this I will prove uganst thee by ' my bonly, as this court sthal! awnrd.' 'The hattel is then to be fought with the some weapons, via. batone, the same solemnity, and the same outh against nmulets and sorcery, that are ured in the cinal cumbat: and if the apredlee lie so fiar vauquisheo, auy louger, he shatl be adjulged to be hanged immediately; and then, as well as of he be killed in toitel, provilence is dectued to have determuned in lavoor of the truth, and his blood shall be attanted. But if lo kills the appellant, or ean mantain the figle fimm smarsigg till the stars uppear in the cvemus, he shall be acçuttel. So also af the appellant liccomes recreant, and pronounces the homrible word of criven, he slaull lose his laberam legerm, and becone iuf.imous; and the appellee shall recover his damages, and also be tor ever quit, unt unly of :he appeal, but of oll indictments hikewise for the same offence." -BI. Cimun, b, iv, c. 27. ì 3 .

In Co. Lut. lib. 2, c. 3. sect. 102, a case is mentioned which went of shy reasin of what scems to have been a denial of justice. Lord Coke's words'are, "Regina mpluit constituere - Constabularium Augliie, \&'re. et idè̀ doruuvit 'Appellum,' See tor sect. 153. 745.

Concerning the Constable and Marshal, sce also Madox's Hist. of the Fxehequer, 27. Ir. -Oldis v. Donmulle, Shuwer's Parl. Cas. 58. Soue curions matter concerning Deels, and the Courts of the Constable, Marshal and !igh Steward, are to be found in the Dolleian ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{Li}$ brary in Oxford, Tanner's MSS. Nos. 14, 84, 85, 89, 103, 776, 278. und a treatise in MS. 'by Sir John Burgh in the libary of the Ianer Twinple.
earl of P'embroke, lord chamberlain of the kings houshold, the earl of Dorset, chanuberlain to the queen's houshold, the carl of Carlisle, earl of Mulgrave, earl of Morton, viscount Wimbleton, riscount Wentworth, viscount Faikland, sir Heny Vane.
The place was the Painted Chamber at Wentminster: at the upper eud thereof a bench was erected four feet high for the constable, and manhal, and lord noshostyints. Under them seats abuut a square tuble, filled with the licrald- - farms, and serjcants at arms, and other oflicers of the court. Directly under the upper bench sate the repister doctor Dethrck, and oyer against him doctor Duck tic king's adsoeate for the warshals court. Behind hum at the bir were the two pens for the appelinent and tefendant.

At eight a clock cumas the enrl marshal feshered in wuth were heraids, and three serjeants at arms) bearng, his max-hal tuncheon of gold, tipped with black, and commanding room, and giving orders, retised unto the upper house of parlanaent, and then returned into the court, is to make way for the high con-table, who followed, and all took place in their degrees.

The earl marshal risec, makes ohedience to the constable, and passugg forwant meets sir Willam Scaucr king of heralds, and both of them presesit to the censtable his commission, whers he lecered with has hat off: and deliverod it to the register to reade, in effiect,
-That has magesty being mformed by Donnold ${ }^{*}$ lord I Key, hows Havid Ratusey crq. had plothed, 'and was privy uito diness Treasons and Con-- spuracies agaust his fuysl person, poverament, 'and kngdoms. In the search whereof the - king had used all way, and means for the dis' covery of the truth: the one of theun accusiog, ${ }^{\text {' }}$ the other denymg, anal so no certain secuity 'to pis onn pervis aut his sulyiccts: therefore - be thoth asthonze the said Rohert Bartie earl 'nf Lasibcy lord high constable, for to call unto
 'anul wih him weh othor pers, sheriffs, and 'voficeres, as be thanks nit, to hold a marshal's ' coutt, for sifing the troth between the said 'partis, \& \&c.'.

Tien the bivg of horaids delivers to the constalle, his siver soge or stalf, half a yard in length, haded with a rown of gold. Then the earl mas hal delaceed a key to a herald, io fisch in the Appelkant uslac red in by the herald, and accompanied "ith his sureties, sir Pierce Crobly, it Walter Crosby, sir William Forbiez, sir Revert Gordon, and sir Willian Evers. He wis apparelled in hack velvet trimped $v$ ith silver buttous, bis suord in a silver imbroidered belt, in his oriler of a Scrtish baronet, about his neck, and su with reverence entered into his pew. His council dioctor Reeves standing hy. Ifs behaniour (like himself, tall, swarthy, black, hut conuely) sery port-hke and of stand counteaance.
The Dctendant nas ajike ushered in by another berald. His surties were the lord llos*
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borough and lord Abercorn: and his deport like himself, stern and brave, a fair, ruidy, yellow-headed bush of buir, (so large, and in those days unusunl, that he was called Ramsey Redliead). Ilis apparel scarlet, over-laced with silver, the ground hardly disterned, nad lined with skj-coloured plush, but unarmen, without a sword. After his reverence to the court, he faced the Appellant, who alike sterned a countenance at b;im.

After O yes! the earl Marshal told them the effect of the commission, and the power of this court, which was not of any strange nature, but legal and justifuble as suy other trial in Westminster-hall: and that there had been no more nor other trials of this kind of late, we were to attribute it to Cods goodness, the jurtice of the hing, and loyalty of the sulyect, with the providence of state, and wisted there might be no more in time to come; ond that to expect any combate, this court he hoped mould present it by the discorery of the l,git, and so 'magna ent volitns, et pravalcbit.'

He re ferred the further proceedmgs unto 10r. Duck, the kima's Advocate, who spake thus in effert:
That the kings majesty lad committed the trial of the business to your prace biy lord hagi. constrble, the enal marhal, and this court, wheh course was waurantable by the laws of other nations, and alos hy our owr, who have userl the s.me manner of trat.- That our tav adimutted sundry proofs for Trenson, nhich in other inatters it flid not : that all sulfert- w, re bound to diserwer treabons: and coteltion ancient civilians, Hicionymus and lihernas, who gave ther reasoms for ihis hinde of tial. Aut fie mentioued sumiry remods of our own rliromicles and examples herem. as the duke of Nortiolk combating nesaisast the duke of lhartford in IIenry 4, hi, tume ; Jo. Fly and Wilham Scronp aganist Ballamment Bardeaux, the hing bring there; the luni Morlcy impomelied Mountague eal of Siliet ary; and Ibnt Thonas of Wabingham and Therimas of Worbtom $k$ in their learnot wr,tuys, expresed andey precedeuts fior the manaer of procectlidg; washang the court in (bur's name to po on to the tial, and the Appeltant to gae in lis eviricnce
Then the $A_{p}$ - Ilant came up upurr the table, to whom the cand marshal-delivened the petstion, which tee had the day before ex!ibiteat to the hiog. And the Ditendant hering alon catled up, the petition was real, which was in effect, That he laving accined ltamey of trenson, and als, Metdram his kimeman, zanl of confederacy, against whom captan Nothwirk wus winhess, thelefore bad desired, that the court nould proceed ugamst Meldrain first.
But he was told by the court, ilat their cases differiog, the Appellant way oriercd to dether in his Charge again-t the Defendant, which he did, in writing by bill, coutaining sumiry particulars, viz.

That m Mny last in the Iow. Cfuntrie-Ran-ey complaincd to. him Agairst the court of Eigland. 'That the mattery of clurch and
state was so out of frame as must tend to a change, if not desolation. That therefore lie had abandoned the kingdom, to live where now he was, and to expect a mutation forthwith, to which end he had brought prevent monie- to maiutain him at $6 i$. a day for three years. That maiquess Uamittun had a great army promised to him, for pay whereof the king had given in hand 10,0 owl., and all the wine customes in Scutland for 10 years, piesently to be sold for the armies subsistence. And that he staid for ammunition nail ponder to corne over, for which his lordslip was th wediate with his majestv of Swedeu and the ptates, and then tmik themselves toge ther, of whose made liey should hoou hereafter.
Ti.at their frends in Scotland had gotten the retioce arms nud powier out of Eughand, and that what he should procure in Hollund wun to be bronght over by the marquess; mind that ull Scutlaul were sure to tive except three. That France and Spain thirteo For England, but Hamiten nould slefatat then for hinself:' His onely fear was uf Dh umark, where he meant to land, nud eiber to take him off, or mahe a party. That alternards at Amsterdam, Ramscy with Alevander Hamilton solerited bim the lord Rey to be true tu them, zuld to be of thicir council, though as vet they darst mot res eal ton nuch of Hamituri', secress, bet if he sepaired to Eugland, he would intrist hine with letters; and that his hrother in lan Kes-pnet knew all.

This beng the eifect of the charge. Ile adderl,

That if Ramesy nould deny it, be was a villain and a traitur, wlach he would make good, And therenith cust him biw ...ise:

Ramsey deased all, stud saul, Rey was a liar, a harlarons villan", and threw doun h lis glowe, protesting, in gar hini dy for it, if he hail had him in place firt diat prurpuse.
liey was temperate, wilhont uny passion, hut sming, replied, Mr. Itamser, we will unt concad here. Answer to my lobil.
Then Kamsey oblit rril sene resons of the impossbibity it ticc ('harge, the slender numbers of 'uen from Enghad, but 6, onchan mulders, asamst threc honetomes, whom the first proclamation mi;ht dissipate. That the maryuess was neither on wacked, nor weah in judetuent: and if he should roncrit to anipried the king, what hope had he against his, chaldren and k:ndred? And therefiore, an, he, my loril liev is a liarharous, wham, und a har, and he will gan hiun dy lior it, ur lose has dowest blond.
lle was interrupted by the: Farl Marshal, telling him, he must no: staud upon conjictures, lut answer the bill of form necordme to law, and war adrisel to take comespl the rein.
Then llansey in general acknowledged all the partuculur circumstanecs of tine and place alleged loy Ray, and the discourse io tiant eflect; but cuncluderl, that no trenson was intendid or nittergl, and craved counsel to ausner, whach was granted.
And sn the conrt adjourned th the 5th of December, but upon a fresh arrest by the Earl

Marsbal they were to put in bail for apprarance, which were the old security; and lamsey ordered to suswer upon oath. At which day aplienring, the fame of the cause brought thither such a crowd of people as was not imaginable.
Rey enteredaq before in manner and habit; bnt Kamscy was new suited in black sntten, and presented lis Auswer in writing to this effict;
That having well considered the time, place, and cummunication with the lord Rey beyond the seas, (as belore urged) be confesess; That Rey ifemanded of him, whetier the marquess Hhamilton intended to come ovcr and follow the wars? He said, yes; and told hiam of his furces 6,000 men, nurdeof the 10,0000 . in money, and Wiue-Custoncs in Scontand, which be would sell to maintam the urmy, and that he would cone so provided with ammaumion, that beng jomed with has friendx he valued no enemy. Upan which Rey replied, that his own two regments slounld wrait upon hivn: but the place of thise forces to mect was at sea, and there to rective directions from the king of Swerle, where to rendezroung. Upon which Re? said, that hus life and firrtunes should wait on the maryness; who leing told of his friendshin, wrute a letter to Rey, which thamssy dehrecred, it elfect, liat R-y would get smee nummumuen fiom the han of Swe de, whelh was wantug. Aud that, spesbiug in generat of matters anuss in Enghland, Bey answered, Bood amend all. To whom Ramber reppied, by Goif, Donuold, we sust helf, lim to nneend all. And to all the other matters and things be utterlvaienier, and cran thise:vge upon Itey's person loy dint of sword.
Then diotor Eiden of council for Ramsey spake ${ }^{\text {to }}$ the court, that being assogned his council, his opinion was, that, the defendant mught dectine the combate, und reply to the appecllam's bill in brief, with thene rearons: First, that by the words in the bill, no man can be charged a trator by one that is puilty in his own parucular, and sa is not tied to be defendant, nor to answer such a bill. Secondly, the incortainty and doubtululuess ot the words in the charge ; so that thll the cont duth censure the m to be treasonable, the defembant is nor tied to answer. Thidel, the Appellant relers the combate till the lasr, it be cantnt in the mean tine pove the charge by any other ways ; the no he offers to make it good by ho body. So then, the defendant may forbear his answer, and decine the cofmbate.

And nuw my lords (said he) I humbly shall aryuaint you with the Deriend wits an wer to me in privute, whech was, That thaugh in law he might, yet in tumour and twonsency he wonld not, diecline the combate, bat berwic his onn consent, lis adicomacic lasth the lend to say, foir hun. Aud son tume nass given for Rey's replication till Pridyy uffer.

Rey's councel mowed, wherens Dr: Eden had excipted at sotme worib in the Charce, lie minswered, that whoever was accused of tuason,
was not to iusist, how polluted the answer was, but bow to approve and clear hinself: then to refer the combate to the last, was well rune, ultimum refugium, to expose lis life, for God, the king and his country.

This speech being somewhat peremptory, and directory to the court, he was tuld, That the court needed not his diection, as to the Tryall of Comlate, their wisdoms would consider of that when it was tiked nind an, the court adjourned, hoth parties being admitted to have comnon hawyers; but to plead onely by civilians.

This day come, Rey appears ns before; but Rausey in a new suit, of ash-colouf cloth, opened with scarlet colour, the cluke scarlet cloth, linell wath ash-columiedl velvet, nad the whole suit and cluke orerlaid with silier and shy-col,ured lace.

- The former proceedings were read by the register; und thercupon the Appellants Reploeation presented to the high constable: m effect, That Ramsey in his Answer had cunuingly slipt orer a part of the Charge, which was, that the lord Iley protested, he was not ingaged in wars, for want of subsistence, and therefore would not hazand in any tiesigne, without sure huowleige, upoul whish words, depenils much of the matter and math of that part of the biil. Ind so rippume up the several chargis of the bill, the stiength, reawous, aud lihelhoos, and the defeadaut's detects 1 a not clearing the sluel point, they went wh wh the councel.

It was his part 0 inforce the Chatge against Ransey to, this effect :

He observed, that the first day, Ramsey denied all the charge, whelest he stuod upon positive resolution, but atierwaids his councel brought him to perticulars, and tampht lime in answer superficially: first be knew nothing, and yet now so mich. No doabt there was comastraiger enterprize by the norquesse llamelton, then to serve the hing of Swede, by Ramsey's professing that Hamiton was a proissta it, and bore anns for rellyion, not caring with whow tu prapple; fron tence observing, that thev intended somenhat to altempt of themselves. Ka!nsey stited the narquese his master in discounse, inul in matuy of his letiera produced, much of the discovery hy'Rey was (o) fi-h out of Ramme'y the teuth of his dovhifol worlo: how unlakely it was that Rey hromg two cegiments of old souldiers, captain of the kung oi Swedes dragoons in good pay for ail, shau'd offer to serse llamilton who was to be coniniauded by the king.

And that Itamey might decline the combate, or fonbesar answinging till the last, was a str.uge opium of council; because, combate was to be reserred till all other means of diso corcry fuyled, and tperefore Rey bis reasons were supplimental proofs: and requesting Meldrain's testimony ; but hawever he wha now ready, if the court thinks fit, to give the coarbute presently.

And coucluded with mirexample in case of nourther. Two men tight in secrit, the one is
slain, the other flies, and tbough without any wituepe of the fact, his seeking to escape condemns him guilty. So Ramsey having beeu acoused of treason above three moneths by the lord Rey, and both confined, Rannsay dispairing of his cause, seeks his tlight from justice by sending to Roy a prirate challenger, being a sutficient conviction in law, as hy ancient presidents is this court: viz. Kiieles, after an appeal, sends a challenger to Scroop, and was therefore adjudged guilty.
Doctor Duck answered to all. That first, it was prudence for Ramsey to answer in general negatively, having been newly landed from sea ; and might be excased till ume and consideration, to refresh bis mennory, be ing not upon onth; and as yet, the Defencant need not answer perfectly, till further ume and favour, to view the exlubits in court by copies, which he desires.
-And directly urged nagainst the lord Rey's replication, not to be allowed; hecause, Rey, referriug hiaself now to proofs, might hawe sared the trouble of this court of bonour and chivalrie and hazzard of their persons by comnbate, which intends the trial wihhout proufy; and that the Defendant having ingaged his sureties, but to tlifs day, he humbly detires the time and place to be ordered for the sudden combate, according to the law of arms, nud custon of this court; saying, that the duel foreseen, must ensue upon the appenl and denial; and therefore ought now to be granted.

Doctor Recoes moved for continuance of the replication, and cunsented to the combate ; the court admitted the replication, and ordered time till Werlvesday for exceptuons thereto.

Doctor Duck offered soune reasons to satisfy Rey, and extremely to sensure Ramsey, where he was interrupted and told by the earl toarshall, that the court will save bun the lahour and cruance, till the rejoyndess be put ing and then to be ordered.

Ductor Eden shewed, that the copies of the letters exhilited were not given nut : nor shall, sayes the earl cmarshal, till the court have considered of the contents, and so they were read.

The one was from Hamacy to Rey, certrying him of passages in the Low Countrey, since their parting, to put the marque sse in minde of directing him how to dispose of the ammunition and arins in his custody; subscribed, "your servaut, Kamsey."

The other from the lord marquesse, to the lord Rey, congratulating his love and affection, expressing a great desire to ineet him in Germany, upon any terines he would propose ; and that Rausey the bearer was instructed for bin to treat with the king of Swede, whon he desires to favour and assist, "which will oblige him his friend and servant, Hamilton."
Doctor Duck opeard thie whole matter, and each particular, insisting, that my lord Rey's evidesce being for the king, and lie a person of honour; and peer of Scothand, his testimony was sudiciens, And moveathat Mr . Mèldram inight be adaited for supply, for though they were.,
not joynt wituesses together, of the words, which made the charge; yot for as puch that they. were spoken asunder, and agreeing together, made up a full proof: that po testimony may be neglected in matters of treason. That if any part of the charge was denied by the Dcfendant, and proved by the Appellant, it might convince hum in a manner of the whole: and urged the uffence of Ramsey's challenging Rey. But more of that hereafter.

But docto: Reeves prosecuted the matter, for that Ramsey's councel endeavoured to prove that he might decline the combate, or forbear answering, becausc of some words which reflected upoa my lord Mey as matter of reproach, that Rey had uttered words of treasou to eatch Ramisey, nud then to tura intorner. But (said he) no office can be accounted base, whien the king aud kingdom's safety is concerned; citing a story out of Lavis, that the Romans coufederate with the Sanubies, were to undergo a base oflice thut stood nut with bocour, und resolved, so loug as it was advantagious to the lioname state, it might with honour be undertaken.
Doctor Eden was eqraest to excuse himself for putting in these words ugainst the lord Rey, saying, that his cliemt enforced to have them inserted.

But being a point of honour, the carl marshal interpused, that true it was, the best man may not refure the basest uflice to preseive a king and nation ; lyut again, it was must unworthy the degree of honour, for any man to angle and intrap ouother, and then to present himito that king's justioe.
Then the pleaders argued concerning Meldram's testimouy, that ug.; iuvf ought to be omitted for the king; but it was ollered for Ransey to joyn issue upon that point in law; for the bill was jaid aga:nst hum not gcuerhl, but partucular to place, time and matter, wis. that in Muy last in a shlup, and ufterwards at Amsterdam, then again at Delph, Ramsey should say such and such words, nhich if Mdidran nould justifie, besides hanself, thi y oughit to be adnutted, otherwise it was no good natter, but must refor to a new bill.
That the Defendant had auswered fully, far that the lord Rey profered his service to the marquesve wathcot pressmg to know any designe. That noihing in the letters cosid convict Rawsey. That the lord Rey standing upou his great otices under the king of Swede, and no not necessitated to serve the marquesse, he had not these places of compiaud then, but since; and that since his coming into England, he said that he would have scrred under the marquess, and concladed that Rainsey and the marquess might use such wards, aud yet not intend treason do. lis toajesty.

Bat having in this tryal medled so much with the marques, the court was fuin to enter an order or proteccion, to clear the marquess hir worde or actions from dishonour.
Then the court proceeded to' exampine Witnesses dina vore.

Arçhibal Rusken was to prove the challenge
tas the bringer : upion these questions be confessed, that he was in Ramsey's chamber at Richmohd the last of October. That. Ramsey did not imploy him to carry any challenge to the lord Rey; but at that time Ramsey told him, that it was his grief to be restrayned not to meat Rey, who was a trayterous villain, and wished to meet him in the open fields at Barn Elins, be would make him dye for it, and tear his' heart, with other such words of reprosch, and wished this deponent to tell Rey so much, which he did, but it was three weeks after, and then, not until the lord Rey told him, that Ramsey had sent him a challenge; so that, said Ranisey, my message was but a relation, not a challeuge.

But linuken was observed to falter from what he affirmed before Dr. Reeres, and others, viz, to have carried the chalienge, and that Ramsey could not deny it; so that knuken was threatned not to necuse Ramsey.

Gilbert Seaton deposed, that Ransey said, he had made it come to Hey's enrs, tos have ended this busincsse without troubling the king or lords.
Then doctor Duck suminoned up all the proseedings, observing that formerly in the presence of the king, Ramsey had with deep protestations and oalh denied the time, place, and matter which he now confesseth, and thuugh then not examined upon oath, yet in France and other countreys, the very holding tup of the hand is an oath, and so Tertullian sayes of the Romanes, and Ramsey confessing part, he might be guilty of the whole charge.

Doctor Eden said, that Rey was not a competent witnesse agtionst Ramsey, though for the king, for he was 'particeps criminis; capitalis 'iningirus :' for the first his bill made him so ; for if Ramsey spake treason, so did Rey; for the second, it appeareth by Rey's violent prosecution, and if all failed, his sword must make it good; and so the Defendant was not bound to answer, nor to accept the challenge unlense he will, to which he is so willing.

But doctor Duck said these reasons did not ' currere quatuor pedibus.' Some of the conspiraturs with Cataline were revenlers of the treason, and allowed as witnesses.

Doctor Recves concluded, that although some of the lord Rey'? witnesses did not affirm what they might, it would encourage him to set a sharper edge upon his sword when he entered the lists; and, that the God of right would so weaken the heurt of Ramsey, that it should fail him when he took his sword in hand.
The holy-daies of Christmass drawing nigh, the court ordered, that either party might repnir oto sir Heary Martin, and possesse limm with further proofs, out of these witnesses nlready examined, but of no other. And so adjourned the court till Monday the 9th of Janyary, when after some small debates, but no further matter or proofs, the busiuesse was briefly deteratined to be referred to the king's pleasure.
Which came to this account. That Hamilton's power with the king got all favear for

Ramsey; and well rewarded in duc time; and Rey having done the duty of a loyal subject, left the court and kingdom, and returned to his coinmand it Sweden. But thas story, though tedious, will enlighten us further to the truths of the Scutish affairs.

## Rognwonth's Account.

Towards the end of this year (1630), the marquis of Hamilton arrived abthe court of England, where was at that time Mackny, lord Ochiltry, a lord in Scotland, by name Skuart, and who once bore the name of the earl of Arran, when by ef purliament nthich contracted a by-name in that kingdom, the Hamiltons were attainted of Treason, but afterwards both blood, honour, and estate were restored to them. This lord had no kindness for the marquis of Hamilton, but nourished a discourse, which thamsay let fall to the lord Rea when they were beyond seas; and prevailed so far with lord Weston, then lond bigh treasurer of England, in to impart the basiness to the king, being a Treason of an high nature (if true) to this efliect; - That he raised this new arny, with design, ' when he was at the head of them, to set him' self up as king 'of Scotland.' Much credit was given to this design by the lord Weston lord high treasurer, who endearoured to persoade the king not to pernit the marquis to come near his sacred person, and in no knind to have the privilege to lie in his majesty's bedctamber, lest his majesty's life were hazarded thereby.

The lord Weston pressed this home unto the king, bat his majesty kept his thoughts private to himself; and having a great affection to Hamilton, ns soon as he conme into his presence, embruced thim with great kindness, aud discorerefi to han what he was accused of, but said, I do not believe it; and that the world may know I hive a confidence in your loyalty, you shall lie in my bed-chasaber this night. But the marquis beseeched his majesty to excuse him, till he had received a Trial, and was cleared of' the Treason he was accused of; but the king would receive no denial, yet told him he would pat the business, into a way of examination; but afterwards when the exanination was taken, it was found that the one nffirmed the accusation to be true, and the other as postively denied it, and that there appeared not then any concurrent proof of the saune.
A report of these Examinations was afierwards minde to the king's majesty, who was graciously plensed to refer the whole matter to $n$ Trial before the lord high constable, and earl marshal, in the Court of Honour, of which the reader will have a full account towards the end of the next year in its proper time nad placo. In the mean time, the king caused Rea and Ramsey to be secured in order to that trial: so the marquis proceeded in making provisions for the embarguiag of his army, and ordering those forces in Scothond, to be in readiness to be stupped, to come to the place of readeswete then tbey received onders.
A. Memorial made by Mr. Justice Whitlock in his lite-time conceruing the lord liea's Discovery of the nasrquis of II.milton's Couspiracy.

- Presently after my retarn from this circuir, - myself and the rest of the Judges of the King's-
- Bench were sent for by the Lord-Keeper to
- London, to advise with him atoout the affairs
- of his majesty. Né came thither on Monday,
- 22 Augus, except the Chef-Justice, wha was
s sick. The matter consulted of, was to give
tour opinion, concerning the conference had
- in Gemmany between certzin Scutish peutle-
${ }^{4}$ men thout the making the marquis of Ilamil-
' ton the head of a party aguinst the king und
- his kiugloms of Eagland and Scotland.
- The lord Rea, a Scotish barom, did impench
- Ramsey and Meldrum for moving him to this
- Conspiracy : they denied it punctually, and
${ }^{-}$no nitness could be produced. Ramisy, a
- soldier, offered to clear himself by combat,

6 that he was innocent; and the appeltint ac-
${ }^{4}$ cepted of his offer. The king wis desirous it
'should be put upon a Duel; and we were
'cousulted with, ist. What the offence was?

- gdly, Where the trial might be?
- We all with'the Lord-Kiceper were of the ' opininn, 1st, That it was an hich nud horri-
- Lle Ireason, if that in the Examinations were
- found true. 2dly, That the Trial might be

Ghy an Appeal of Treason, upon which the

- Combat might be joined : but the hing must
* make a constable durante lene placito, for the
' marshal could not take the appgat withont
- him : that it must be after the manner of the
- civil law, und we were not to meddle in it.
${ }^{6}$ Likenise we were of opinion that this pro-
- ceeding before the constable and inarshal was,
${ }^{6}$ as it was before the statute of the 3.5 II. 8 ,
${ }^{6}$ cap. 2. and that statute devived a way how
- to try these foreign treasons in Euglank', but
- did not take away the other. We were also
' of opinion that the statute of 1 Mar, cap. 10.
- did not take it away nor iutend it; and that
- a conviction in this appeal was no corruption
' of blood or forfeiture at the common law.'
See Doughtie's Cave in Cokẹ's Commentaries, fol. 75, sect. Escuage.

By order of a commissiot under the great seal, dated the 2114 of November, (1631) there began a notable Trial, betore Robert earl of lindsey, constable of England; and Thrmas earl of Arundel and Sarruy, enrl marsbal of Eneland, in the Court of Chivalry, judicially staing in the l'ainted-Chamber ai Westminster; tosether with other honouralle persuns, namely Philip earl of Pembrook and Montgnuery, bord chamberlain of the kiog's houshold; Fidward earl of Dorset, lord chamberiain of the queen's mounholds Sames earl of Carlisle; Vdmund enrl of Mulgrave; Williann earl of Morton; Willizin earl of Stratherne ;' Edward vicount Wimbietwin; Thomas viçount Wentworth; Heard viepunt Fhulhland; 'sid sir Menry Mar uip, sudge of the high court of Adnirally; sll
of cuunsel with the court; Gilbert, Dethick being Register. And first William Seáger, king of annis, presepied to the lord constable of Enghand letters patents of the tenor fullowing.

- Carolus Dei gratia Anglive, Scotixe, Yrancie, ' et IIIberuis, rex, fidei defensor, ikc. predilecto
' et per-quam fideli consanguipeo et cunsiliario
' nustro Roberto conit. Lindsey summo came-
' rario Anglise saluten. Cum officiam consta-
' bular. Anglia vacais existat, ne Donaldus
' Nackay dominus Rea nuncupatus, in regno
${ }^{6}$ nostro Scotie oriundus, quendam Davidem
${ }^{-}$Rarasey ariniger. in codem regno nosiro
' ortam, de quibusdam contemptis et' proditi-
' ouibus contra nos in puribus transmarinis
' actis et perpetratis, in curia militari nppellare
${ }^{4}$ intendit, et nobse supplicavit sibi justitian
'soper appellatione predicta exhiberi: Nos in
' huc parte fieri voleates, quod justum est, ac ${ }^{\text {' }}$ de fidelitate et provida circumspectione vestra 'plenius confidentes, volis concessimus officianm 'constabular. Angliz (hac yice) ad appella'tonem predictan Donaldi in hac parte, "una ' cum predilectoet per-quan fideliconsanguineo 'ac consiliario nostro Thona comite Arundel ' et Surr. mareschal. nostro Aughax, nudiend. at ' sine debito termunand, et omma quas ad otio ' ciam constaholar. pertinent in causa et ne' gotio predictis faciend. et exercend. secundum legen et consuetudinem armonoun et curue militaris Anglie, volir, ut predictum est, authoritaten damus elecomnittinus, tenore presestium: et ideo vobjs mandamus, quod circa premissa, una cum prefato nurescallo intendentes sitis, in forma predicta: damus autena ' Jucilus, marchionibus, comitibus, ricecomiti-- bus, baronibus, justicinc: -. -wallivis, prepositis ${ }^{\text {E }}$ et ministris, et aliis fidelibus nostris universis 'et singulis, tam infra hbertates, quam extra, ' tenore presentium in mandatia, quod vótis in ' promissis faciẹnd. et explend. intendentes sint, ' et consulentes, respoudentes, et auxiliantes, ' quatics et prout per sos fuerint super hoc pre' moniti ex parte nostra. In cujus rei testimo' nium has hiteras nostras fitri fecimus patentes. - Teste me ipso apud Westm, vicesino quarto - die Novemb, anno regni nostri septimo. Per 'ipsam regen.'
Which letteri patents being read by the Register of the court, Donold lord liea, the Plaintiff, and David Rammay, gentieuffan of the king's prisy-chauber, Defendunt, made their personal apppearnnce. Then the IOrd marshal spake in defence of the Court of Chivalry, and the mantrar of proceeding therein, according to the law and custom of arms, shewing;
"Tiut it was legal and agreeable to right and justice, as any judicial proces in any other courtu of this realin: especially when the nature of the cause required it. And that in these latter ages this kind of trial biath not bin frequently, used, but that was to be attributed to the piods and peaceable goveriment of the state, under our most happy and prudent king, and his most illustrious predecess, is, the kingt and queent of England, and the abedience and fidefity of the people of Eugland; both which
are to be ascribed to the favour of Almighty Cod, conferring this blessing upon our astion above all the nations round ahout us."

The Lord Marshal further shewed; "That it was un error ia many, to apprehend, that as soon as an Appenl is brought into this court, it was presentipto be decided by Duel; when $4 s$ duelling was the ultimate trial in defect of all otjers. And clen then it was in the arbitriment of the court, whether a duel shall be granted or denied."

The Earl Marshal's speech being ended, Arthur Duck, dóctor of the civil law, made a speceh concerning the antiquity, jurisdiction, aud necessity of the Court of Clivalry, held by the Lord Ifigh Constable, with the Earl Murshal, especinilly in cases of Treason, where the truth can no otherwise be discovered.

Then Rea and Ranasey were called into the inner court, and the one stood on the right hand, and the other oo the left, of the lord coostable, and earl marshal.

The lord Rea preseated his Appeal fin writing: and his Petition formerly exhibited to the king, was read in these words:
To the King's most excellent majesty. The bumble Perinion of Donald lord Fiea,
"Most humbly sheweth; That whereas he having heard sundry speeches fill from Mr. David Ramsey, importing plots and practices against your royal crown and realms, did, according to his daty and ullegiance, reveal the same to your majesty; the cruth whereof be is ready to maintain with the hazard of his life, and dearest lluo 0 is he be thereunto required. Now so is is (may it please your sacred majesty) that your petitioner being informed, by his counsel, chatethese trials, by duel, or single combat, are ythimum remedium; and that a man may not a;peal to this kind of divise jucioment, bnt where all possibilitye of discovery by ordinary trials fail, and cannot be had. And whereas your suppliant, at such time as he disclosed all the practices which he heard from the said llausey, and did withal discover what he beard likewise from Robert Meldrum ; agninst whum also one captain James Borthwick hath been examined, and the examination of Meldrum takeo thereupen. And your suppliant conceiving that if Meldrum be guilty, the snid Mr. Rarosey cannot be innocent, your suppliant therefore, not out of any inclination to decline the conbat (us God who knoweth his heart can witness (yjth him) but oaly out of his sincere desire to have the truth discovered, in a case so higbly conceraing your majesty's safety, honour and government, moat humbly prayeth, that you would be graciously pleased, that Meldrom may be first proceeded against aecording to law : and if upon his uyal, the Couspiracy affirmed by your petitioner do not fully appear, he shall then with all alacrity (is in a case which otber* wise cannot Be cleared) justifie his assertions to be most true: cither ay a defendant againat the said Ramsey, who deesapded the combat of him before your majesty, or as a challangtry if the YOL. 11.
court of Chivalry shall so award; and shall be bound in all duty ever to pray for your majesty's loag life and happy reign."

## Load Rea's Aṕpeal.

The Petition being read, the Lord Constable, with the counsel of the other nobles, declared his majesty's pleasure, that this cause should be tried in this court, apd gave the Appeal to be read as followeth:
" In the name of God, Amen. * Before you, most illustrious and right honourable lorde, Hobert earl of Lindsey, coustable of England, and Thomas earl of Arundel and Surrey, pparshal of England, or your lieutenants in this court-martial: I Donald lord Rea do accuse and chollenge thee David Ramsey in the month of May or of June in the year of our Lord 1690, and in . the 6th year of the reign of our lord Charles, by the grace of God king of England, Scothend, France, and Ireland, being then alone in my ship within or near the port of Elsinore, in the kingdom of Swedeland, in the upper part or deck of the said ship, when thou hadst this discourse or the like, aud spakest these or the like words to me in English, viz. You told me many abuses in the court of England, and that there was nothing to be looked for but desolution and change of religion, and therefore you had retired yourself thence, since no honeat man could live there, and with many such dia;courses you laboured to possess me: to which my answer was, The Lord mend those evils, and no remedy but patience. (By God, Do' nald,' seid you, (I will use your own phrase) ' we must heip God to amend it. You told me you had brought as much gold with you as." would maintain you at the rate of 6l. a duy for thgee years, and you assured me before that time would expire, God would raise up some men to defend his church, and liberate honest men from slavery. I desired if you could tell if the marquess of Hamilton would come over. You said he would the morrow or next day. After I asked you what content my lord marquess had at home. You said, none. I asked you what religion my lord marquess was. You said, a good protesmant, and before it be long he would let the world see his design was for the defence of his religion, and the glory of God, and that he should hare an army so well provided with brave men, and all warlike provision, that he should not need to be afruid with whom be encountered. I asked you what advantage was it to us to make a free passage for the Gospel in Gernanty, if we lost it at home. You snid there were many hodest men in pur land, apeaking of Scotland; adding, if we had onco an army over, what would you think if we shoild take a atart to settle them also, for ere it be long you will hear our country will go together by the ears. So closing that night's discourse; he says at last, some such thing perbapicia ipteaded, but $I$ will not tell 'you more, for my master's iveretio mere dear to ine. The third hily after, in an island, you told me, that Alox. He. milton and sir Janhet Happilton wife to *2 $x$
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England, hund you for Holland, and in the mean while pretended yourself willing to do me service in England. I told you I land a promise of the reversion of Orkncy from the king my master; if the umarquess nould mediate with his unajesty for it, he would do me a great kiadness, and 1 said, it were good for my lord to have a fiend in that place for his cads. You moreover nsked me, if there were grod harbours in Offpey, or in my land, or in any part that inight be firtified. I sidid, yes. Yau said, 'by God, it was to he thought upon ;' and yon desired lease to think upou it that night, aud on the morrov you and Alc x:nder Hamiltou did desire me to write a geueral letter to the marquess, with rrust to the bearer Alex. Inamilton, concerning Orkney, lest letters shouhil miscarry, with great assurance of trie firiendship from your master, if I would continue constant in resolution, and so I gave you my letter.
" Afterwards in March last post, you came to me from the IIsgue in the Iow-Cuntries to Amsterdam, where you stayed with me eight days, and delivered me a letter from the mirquess, only of compliment and thanks; and you told me all went right with the marquess, that he had gotten froni his majesty $10,000 \mathrm{~L}$ in England, nud the Wine Custous of Scothand for 16 years, which the narquiss would sell, mind all things weat on withnat any demur or obstacle, and the only stay was for waut of armos, anununition, and especially possler, and desired hie to put in hard with the Swedish ambassulor, which I did; und you told me, that the marquess had writ to you, that of the arms and other provisions were obtained, they should le sent to England und not tu Sicotland; at which you did nuarvel, because lii- lorlship had changed his resolution, heing all the other provisiens were sent to Scotland. Also you told the, that my lond had sent over a man to recerive them, ut I desired. I told you the letter which Mr. Lindsey brought me, desirol the arms the be seut to England. You said, though the arms were had, yet you would not seud them till yon had further order from the marques, nad you desired me to haste to you the anower thereof. In the end you told we you had eril news to tell me, that the marquess's lady was brought to bed of a child.
"Some few days after, in March or April last past, at Delf in the Low-Countries, I told yon that 1 had a letter from the king of Siveden to the king of Britain, desiring some ships for the marquess. You said the marquess and I muse hewgre of that, for then they will think that The mean to take their land from them with their own ships. 1 asked you, where our forces "ybould meet. You answered me, on the sea. I apked you, where we ahopld land. You said in eque part of your old master the king of Depming's country. You asked furthep, what think you if wie should plunder some niook of The land wid- thercafter go whiere we please: or wethink he will be the only nian that will Se most giginat, us. trenswered, I bm conv ${ }^{2}$ tept; for "he routs in bim more than you all.

I asked if my lord was to raise any men in Eugland. You said, one regiment. I asked you if they would be true to us? You said that there were Enylish that my lord was as much assured of as of any Scots. I asked, where we should make these niett. You said ut Hatwich or Yarmouth. I asked if they were fortified. You said, that no payys of all those coasts in England or Scotland could hold us fivm landing. I told you that I feared Mr. Meldrum was an evil secretary. You asked ine whetein. I sad, that Meldrum had told me inauy things, and that I thought he had told it to others. You said Mr. Meldrum knew nothing thereof when jou caine from Euglaud, though he might well suspect, and that he spake once to you at that time, as if all were ours, and hat you had great patience to hold your hands off him, oltimugh he was your cousin. I told you that I was not a soldier of fortane; but hatd brcad nt hone, and night live without hazaruijg my life in the fortunes of war; yet notwithstanding that, I would hazand my life and fortune with the marquess, only that I would know the business. You answered, you would tell me no more of your master's secrets; but that you wonld nrite a letter with me to the marquese, and when I cane there, the marquess would infuse in me that which you would not; winhal you desired me nut io tell the marquess owhat had passed betwist yon and ine, whereby the marquess should have all the thanks to hmself: adding that he was ery close, and that he would discover himself to them that he knew would hazard with him. That my brother-in-law Seqfixat knew all, and that the marquess trusted him nuch. I asked you what was done in my husiness of Orkney. You told me, nothing till my coming, and said, it might be I should have it better chenp than to pray the duty of it; and you told me England had inade a peace with Spain, very prejulicial to IIolland; and that Spain aud France were hoth striving who should first drink up Figland, but yoi hoped we should prevent them both. Besides, yuu told me the lack of powder was the gieatest let. As for arins, we inight get help thereof in every house, and that ne had reasonable provisiou thereof already and that my lord had written to you that he had 90 pieces of cannon great and small already provided. I desired you to go in person and spenk to the Sivedish ambessador for the jowder, and to odvertise tie in the Brill of his answer, that so I might assure the marquis wha he might expect: and you did send a letter by one of your own men ta the Brill: to shew me that you were wide the aubbussador, and hoped to have that which we splake of. You asked my advice whether it was best to cross the geas oncepor to gg on bravely. I answered, 'delays ' were not good,' which you did condesoend unto, or you used wordy and speeches to that effect.
"Bot if thou the bhid David Ramsey shath deny tif preroisas, or say thou hadst not the mene cuscourse, of to the same effoct with inc,
at. the foresaid times and places; I the aforesaid Donald lord Rea say and attiras, that thou David Ramsey art a false traitor and liest fulsly. And in case the premises cannot otherwise be found out by the Sequtence of this court, proffer myself ready by the help of God, to prove and justify this my Accusation and Appeal, by my body upon thy body, according to the laws and customs of weapons in a Duel, to be performed in the presence of our lord the king, \&c."
Which challenge being publickly recited, the said Donald lord Rea, the purty challenging, threw his glove in the Court, of a red or brown colore, for a pawn or pledge, in presence of the aforesaid lord constable, and Thomns lord marshal, in confirmation of albcontained in the Bill and Challenge.

Then the sijid David Ramsey answirel, in his own person, and snid, "Thast the sald Bill and Appeol was and is fulse, and that the said Donald hord Rea, the appellant or challeuger, did lie falsly, and that he was reasty to justify and prove thas in Duel, accordiug to the laws and customs of arms, and of this count, by his body upon the body of the suid Donald lord Hea, ns it should scen good to the cuart."

Aid thereupon in confirmation and justification of the premises, he threw his glove in the court, of a white colour, for his pawn, or pledge. in presence of the lord constable, and earl marshal aforesaid; which gloves respectively Richard St. George, etherwise Clarenceux, king of arms, took up and delivered into the lauds of the said lord constable, with due reverence, and the saidklerd constable, together with the earl marshal, colisuitted them to the custody of the uforestid Register of the court. Then the said, Lurd Marshal ariested, as well the said lord Rea, the Challenger, us the aforesaid David Ramsey, esq. the Defondant. And the said 1)unald lord Rea produced sir Rohert Gordon, sir Pierce Crosby, sir Wulter Croshy, and sir William Forbes, kuights and baronets, and William Innis, esq. for his sureties, who obliged themselves all, and every of them, et division et conjuuctim, to our lord the king, for the said lord Rea, body for body, that the said lord Rea should duly prosecute this sforesaid Challenge to the fival and last deternioation of the same; and that in the mean titne the said lord Rea should keep the peace of our lord the king, ayainst all and every of his liegen, and especially against the aforesnid Duvid Ramsey, esq.
And the said,David Ramsey produced for his sureties, the right bonourable James earl of Ahercorue, and Rohert carl of Roxborough. Then the Earl Marshal aforessid released Donald lord liea the Challenger, and the aforessid David Ramsey Defeadant, from the aloresaid arrest, and at their request respectively delivered their boods of suretiships tode cancelled.
After this, there was read in the coart, the lond Ren's Petition to the king, for divers-noblewien and others to be of his counsel in this cause; whose nimes be presented in a schedule asnesad, as thiey aze here recordid.

To the King's most excellent majesty, the humble Petition of Donald lord Rea.
"Huinbly beseeching your royal majesty in this cause of appeal agamst Datid Ramsey in the Court of Chavalry, to grant unto the said petitioner, that he nay have the partie', whose namies are in the Schedule bereunto annexed, to be of bis counsel in the said court. And he shall daily pray for yout uagesty's long life and 'happy reign over us." Viz.
"George earl of Lyney, lord Gordon; Miles visc. Mayo; Theobald baron of Brillis; Maurice Horh, son and beir of the vicount Fennoy; Dounongh Mac Charty, son and heir of the vicount Maskery ; sir Robet Gordon; sir Pierce Crushy; sir Walter Crusby; sir William Forbes; Dunnough O Conno Sligo; James Hay, esq. of his inajesty's body; William Iunis, esq. ; Dr. Rives; Dr. Duck; Mr. Selden, and Mr. Litileton, of the Iuner Temple."

Upon which has majesty issued out the foliug Order:
"It is his majesty's pleasure, that only these should serve, lowth for his friends to advise hini, and his Counsel to plead for him."

## Ranaey's Defence.

"In the name of God, Amen. In the presence of you most illustrious and right honourable Robert carl of Lindsey, constable of England, and Thomas earl of Aruadel and Sarrey, unarshal of England, or your deputies in your court marshal; I David Ramsey, esq. Defentent, say yod attiun, that all and every the things contained in the said pretended Appeal and dccusation, were and are false, and suggested and proposed ogainst me malicionsly, and against tryth, excepting what follows at tibe time and place under-written, I had the under-written discourse with thee, or to the sane effect, and no other, viz.
" I David Rausey being with thee Donald Jord Rea, in the month of May or June, as it is in the said bill mentioned, and in the ship there also mentionct, beiug in or near the port there mentioned, thou desiredst that I would tell thee, if the marquess of Hamilton would come over; and I answered he would. And you asking me of what religion the lord marquess was, I siid, a good Protestant, and before it be long, lie would let the world see his design was for the defence of his religion, apd the glory of God. And then usking me whether he would come over with an army; I said, yes, with an arnoy of brave men, aid all warlike provision, that be cared not wiih whoun lie encountred. Which passages, upon often and better recollocting of my wemory and thoughts than beretofore, I do pow rempenber, And you the gaid Donald lord Ren, having then upder your command tyo regineats of spuldiers in service of the king of Swedland, und thegh and there of thine own accord sayjog to-nge thou woultst get, leave of the said kjug to jofa your said two regginents' with the enid fard yfite quess bis forcei, and serve the said King 施: 货e
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wars under the lord marquess, I kindly occepted that motion of yours, and dessired to contirm it in you; and I told you, that Alex. Hamilton and sir James Hamilton were to go for Eug. land; and you told me you had a promise of the reversion of Orkney from the king your master, if the marquess would mediate with your muster for it; and I and Alex. Hamilton did desiie you to write, a geueral letter to the marquess, with trust to the bearer Alex. Hamilton concerraing Orkney; and nssured you of the suid marguess his friendstion, if you would continue constant in your resglution, in joining your reginents with the lord inarquess, when he should come over, aud you gave a letter nccordingly. Atterwwrds in March last, I being then at the Hague in inploiment for providing furniture for the said marquess his companies, which were to go over into the king of Swedland's service, cume to you from the Hague to Amsterdam, being earnestly invited thereunto by letters fron you ; where I staied with you eight days, and delivered to you a letter from the marquess only of connplement and thanks for your offer, to join your reginent under the marquess his conimand. And I told you all weat right with the marquess, and that 1 heard he had gotten from his majesty 10,0001. in England, and the Wine-Customs in Scotland for 16 years, which be would sell; and all things for his coming over with his forces went on without any demur or obstacle: and the ooly stay was, for want of arins and aramunition, especially powiler, and desired you to put in hard for that with the Swedish anibussador, which you did affer the premises. And in March or April last, in Deff in the Lom-Countries, you told me, you had a letter from the king of Sweden to the king of Britain, to desire some ships for the marguess. And you said forther, that the king of Siveden said, he had no ships to opare of his own, but he would write to our king for some for him; and that he the said king of Sweden would allow 40,000 rix-dollars for the entertainment of the snid ships to be always in rendiness upon the motinns of his army. You also asked me, if my lord marquess was to raise any men in England. I answered, I heard he was to raise three regiments in England,-and three io Scotland. You asked me where these forres should meet. I answered, on the sea. You nsked where they should land. I answered, I was doubtuil where, because the rendezvouz was to be appointed by the king of Sweden. You said firtber, that ynu was not a souldier of fortune, that you had bread at hoone, nnd might live without haznding yourself in the fortones of war; yet that you would hezted your life and fortuoe with the marguess. I enswered, I kney no more of the marquess his designs, then I had then told you, but that I. woold write to the marquess to commiend to him your formerd. Wction to his servich, or to that purpove. Thold you, that, sineo iny bfing in HolInad, I did jperceive the Hollander' did con. ceire, that Englaid had made a pence with

Spain very prejudiciel to Holland; and that divers of thein had said so it my hearings which passeges conceraing the snid peace, upon often and better recollection of my memory and thougbts than beretofore, I do now remember. And I also told you, that the lack of powder was the greatest stay of the marquen his coming over; and you deirred me to speak myself to the Swedibh ambaisadidor for the powder, and to advertise you of his answer, that you might assure the said lord marquess what be inighte expect; and I did send one of my inen to the Brill, called John Thompson, to shem you I was with the ambassador, and hope to bave what we spoke of.
a But wherens thou the sair Donald Iord Rea in thy said prétended Accusation or $\Lambda \mathrm{p}$ peal dost affirm, that 1 said other yords to thee, than such as are here set down in this my Defence: I the , aforesaid David Ramsey sny and nffirm, that thou liest filsly, and art a false calumnintor, and oughteat to be punished with the pduisthnient of a fabe traitor; and I offer myself ready to prove and justify, by the help of God, this my Defence and Exception, by my body upon thy body, according to the law and cussom of arms in a Duel, to be performed in the presence of our lord the king. And I humbly and instantly desire, that a day and place may be assigned for the snid Duel, \&ce."
Then was read in court the Petition of David Kamsey to the king, beseeching his majesty to ussign him the person, whose name was written in the Schedule annexed, to be of counsel with him in this canen' The name written in the Schedule Was Mr. Dr. Eden. The Witnesses in this cause were commanded to make their personal appearance in the coart, and were there examined; and divens letters written, as well-from marquess Hamilton as from Ramsey to the lordefien, were then prodaced.
Mr. Ramsey had bin released from imprisonment in the Tower apon bail, and his proouise to appear before the Earl Marshal of England; or such other persons ns his majesty should appoint, at such tinie and place na should be nssigned mito him, upon three dnys warning; in the mean time to keep the peace, and to confine hiinself to Richmond, having the liberty of three miles wnik, with this scknoimledgruent, that in case of absenting himself frem sach appeapance, or breaking the peace, he will be accoonted kuilty of the crime, for which he atood committed. And for the performance of this engagemeot, the eurls of Abercorme agd Roxboriagh entered into a bond of $4,000 \mathrm{l}$, to the king.
A while efter - Ramsey entered in the coort a Protpatation of the tenor following :

## Ringer's' Paotestatios.

" Whereas in obedieace to 'his majesty's commiande, and in conformity to this howoursble count, I have heretofore, contrary to 'tuch istentioas as seemed to me mox ristonable,
procured some persounges to stand engaged for my persotal apptearance in this court, concerning this pretended cause; and have, in ohedience and conforunity as aforesaid, used the counsel of Dr. Eden, assigned unto me for that purpose by his inajesty, as defendent ia the said cause: ando whereas at my first appearance, upon sight of my lord Ren's bill, I accepted of the Trial by Combant, and ever since avoided and waved alf courses usaully proposed by defendents to nvoid the combar, which at this present I am ready to entertain; and whereas since from the premises, and the lord Ileu's pretences of proving new matters, the final decree in this cause, to my great prejudice in my other occasions, hath bin from time to time put off, and nothing al I conceive, under favour of this honourable court, proved against me, either tg convince ine of any matter objected agninst ine, or to urge me by the law of arms to submit myself to trial by combat, if I bad a desire to decline it: I do here again once móre, and that most instantly, desire a celrtain day and place to be assigned and decreed for the combat between the said lord Rea and me, for the trial of the matter in issue between us in this honourable court; and I do with all humbleness desire of this honourable court, that after all these delays used on the lord Rea's behulf, I mny now betake myself to my said firt intentions; and thercfore 1 do protest, that so much as in me lieth, I do now disiogage, and do desire this honourable court for ever after this time, to hold for disengaged thosa honourable personages that are bound for my personå spoearance; and I do humbly desire to know whathis majesty's further pleasure is concerning me, since I came hither upon this majesty's command by letters, and am here ready to satisfy my loyalty as his faithful servant, with the hazard of my life."

And so instantly desiring nad urging to be released of his obligation, and that his sureties might be likewise released, he was remanded to the Tower of London, and his sureties were released, and the bnads were rendered to them.

At another sitting, when Dr. Duck moved divers things in behalf of the lord Rea, declar. ing, that with due reverence he submitted to the court in all things;
The Earl Morshal made answer, That the lord Rea had governed bimself in the whole process of the cause with much pradence and moderation, and wisbed that Ramsey bad used the like moderation in bis Defence. And he further said, That now it seems oetessary to lay opert the series of the whole business: and so continuing his speech, he shewed that our sovereign lorid the king, so soon as. he bad knowledge of the orime objected, did use all diligence to find out the trath, and cailed; the parties before him ; and the lord Reth constanty affirmed the trath of chese thinges, and offered to Justify the adme with the hanard of his blood and life; and Mr. Ramsey on the other part with the like constancy denied the accusution, and seid, be would prove it fale egaindt the
lord Ies by duel, if it seemed good anto his majesty; and that the king observing the conGidence of the parties, and the defect of other proofs, and the parties free choice of duel, cony sulted about the way of a public duel by the authority of this court, and look care to be informed of the proceedings and customs thereof. That it was certain, that this court was the only publick judicatures, to which the cognizance of treasons committedtheyond sea appertained before the time of Henry the 8th; and that the statute of 26 th and 35 ih years of that king, concerning another manner of proceeding therein, was not derogatory to the authprity of this court, bat ooly superadded another way of trial. That all private duels were accounted and are unlawful; but publick deela, decreed by the suthority of this court, were always granted to be lawful in cases of treason, wheu for the safety of the king and state the truth would not otberwise appear. That lin majesty therefore consented to the requests of these parties, that they should fight a duel for the discovery of the truth in this behalf; and therefore he constituted and confirmed this court under the great seal of England. That the Lord Constable, and be the Earl Murshal, according to the king's letters patents, together with those nuble persons that were of counsel with the court, had heard with patience whatsoever was alledged on either side; and that there were three ways of determining things of this nature in this court used by our ancestors.

1. To absolve the accused; which in this case, the aature, quality, and circumstances of the fact and crime objected being considered, caunot be.
2. To condemn the accused, when the truth of the crime ohjected evidentily appeareth by witnesses, or any other way; which in this case hath pot been, nor seemeth possible' to be, when out of the accusation it self, it appeareth, that the words were spoken secretly, and not before witnesses.
3. By way of publick duel, to the decreeing whereof the lord constable and himuelf, with the assent of those bonoumble perisons of counsel with the courr, did intend to proceed.
Then the Lord Constable rogether with the Earl Marshal demanded of the palyies, whether they had any thing more to speak or propound in this cause. They severally auswered they had nothing more. The forenamed lorts asked the lord Rea, whether he would finally aequiesce in his forementioned Bill of Appeal. Whereunto he answered he would therein acquiesce. Then they asked Ramsey, whether be would acquiesce in his Answer to the Bill of Appeed. Whereunto he also answered that be would therein ecquiesce. After this, the गogister read in court the lond Rea's Bill of Ap. peal, and Hamsegls Defence in the presence ofz the parties. Prgsently the lord Rea sealed hisy. Bill with hig veal at arms, and subscribed any name with liss own hand. After the same motion nor Mr. Remsey sealod nnd subscribed his-jnat swor.
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Than the Loid Constable taking the Appen! in his hoads, and folding it up, put it into the glove, which the lond lien had cast forth in the gourt for a pawn in this behalf; and held the Pith and glove in his right hand, and in his Goft band the Answer and glove or pawn of David Ramsey; and then joining the Bill and Answer, and the gloves, and folding then together, he, with the Earl Marshal, adjudged a Duel between the parties under this form of words:
'In the name of Ged the Father the Son and 'the Holy-Ghost, the Holy and most Blessed

- Trinity, who is one, and the only God and
- Juadge of baitels ; we, as his vicegerents under
${ }^{4}$ the most excellent prince in Christ our lord
${ }^{4}$ and ling, by whom we are deputed to this, do
' admit gou the nforesaid Donald lord Rea, the
'party challenging, and you the aforessid Da-
4 vid Ramsey, the defendent, to a Ducl, updn
'every accusation contained in this bill and
' the answer to the sume; and we assign unto
${ }^{\prime}$ you the 12th day of the month of April next
- following, between sun and sun, in the fields
${ }^{4}$ called Tuttle-fields, in or near Westuinster,
'ip the presence of our lord the king, to do and
${ }^{4}$ perform your parts to your utunost power re-
'spectively.-And we will and enjoyn you the
' aforesaid lord Rea the Challenger, to be in
' the aforesaid fields, and within the list there,
' between 7 and 9 of the clock in the forenoon
' of the aforeasid day. And we enjoyn you
${ }^{\prime}$ the nforesaid David Raussey the Defendent,
to bein the fields in the aforesaid list between
' 9 and 11 of the clock in the forenoon of the
' said day, upon peril attending you respectively
' in that behalf.'
Which Decree and final Sentence pronounced,
The lord Rea craving pardon of the court, spake to this effect. First, be gave thanks to the lord coastable, the earl marshal, and the rest of the lords, that they had with so much patience and justice heard and examined this cause, and for the justice therein exercised, especially for the Sentence already given. Then he protested before Almighty God and that court, that be had revealed nothing eggainst David Ramsey, or any other, for mulice, or hatred, or hope of reward, either gain, or bonour, but only out of his faithfuluess to our lord the kiog, and for the safety of his flourisbing kingdonns, knowing that nothing is more peraicious to kingdoms and commonwealths than inteatine wars. He professed that if he himself had not revealed the premisses, but some other scquainted with the treason had first discovered it, be without all doubt had deserved the death in innitor. And mhereas it might be stid, that be by revealing it bad hazarded his own Wifit ta this heanswered, that he was unworthy - Eedly hogoung.jes of life ithelf, that was not wevfeto log: down, moch mpre to hazaril his veidele the mafety of ging and kingdoms. And wheney lia: mighe avion in the process of athorinep toshane decliped e duel; he desived

that bebalf, he did in hope and expectation, that the treason, which was communicated to many, might be tome way brought to light ; for be dat neitber distrust his own cuuse, nor fear the person of his adversary, nor any other in so just a cause, only in this he grieved, that ani adversary, equal to him in bimb, degree, apd nobility, was not oflered. And wherens many wondered that he would hatard his life for revenling words, whereof he was doubsful, whether they would emount to treason or not; be said, he knew much more concerning the treason, than what was contained it this bill, which, by the interposing of authority, was for just causes yet to be suppressed.
As for the duel now decreed, he professed, that he embraced tise sentence with all chearfulness, and desired no farther delay of the combat, than that in the mean time he might provide biunself with such necessarics for this duel ns becane his stock and kindred, and the combatant and chatapion of so great a hing. That he had no private hatred to the person of $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{y}}$ vid lamsoy, but was now to encounter hin, being by the court declared his public enemy. And so having prayed to God for his majesty's sefety, and happy government, and unploring the favour of the court, he made in end of speating.

After this the court assigned to both parties a day, whereon to make such propositoous ne they would think fit.

Then the lord Rea desired, that the crimes and words, by him objected in his bill against David Ramsey, might be declared treasonable, and thant Ranisey were guiltyof treason, if be uttered those words: wich the court with an unanimous consent did declare so, and udjarge.

Ramsey moved, that a shorter time, and some day within the 1tth of April, might be asaigned for the duel, saying that he would soon compel the lond Rea to confens the fulshood of the crime objected, if be would meet him in place convenient.

The Lord Marshal nnswered, that the day was deternined; and further intimated to both parties that they were to be attoched aud kept in safe cuttody, if they gave not sufficient cnution for their appearing at the day ond place appointed, und in the unean while for keeping the peace. For the perfipmance whereof on bis part the lord Ren produced sureties, namely ir Pierce Crosby, sir William Furbes, if Welter Croshy, loigghts nad baronets, and William Jones, eng, who bound themselves to the king body for body.
Then Mr. Ramsey being asked, whether he would bring forth suroties, answered, that be Was ready in the word and honaor of a guntlemga, to oblige himself to whatsoever in time regard should be ty the court enjoyned; but ns for gureties thest be hat poove, or at lopat detirgd nope ; that it wes troublesome for hisa to ellguye polde persong, who had in other respects inferposed in this behalf. Wbereupon the luart gathadmente desirtol, that Ilampay be eperp-
mitted to the Toyer till the day appointed for the combat.

Then Robert earl of Roxborough pablickly offered, and said that himself and James eart of Abercorne were ready to put in caution for ltamsey, if the gourt would admit them; and Walter earl of Balclough made the same offer; and the court adminted them, (although the lord Rea's ardrocate alleged many things to the contrary) and they became bound body for body. Whereupon Ramsey was released from tis inprisoument in the Tower.

The lord constable and earl marshal admonished both parties to keep within the bounds assigned then, to wit, that the Inrd Rea should not go westward beyond Gharing-cross, nor Mr. Ramsey beyond Whitehall eastwurd, These houuds they might not pass without the special licence of the court, or some just and reasonable cause.

The weapons, which the court assigned to the combatants, were a speur, a long sword, a ahort sword, and a dagger; each of them with a poink.

Then the lord Rea presented these Protestations to the couit.
Firt, he did humbly desire of the right honourable juiges the lord constable and earl marshal, that his aums and weapons mutght be assugned him for to ad himself therewiti ngqint has adversary in the day and place to bin assigued, and also in any other day and place, if any should be nosigned him; and that he mught have no weapon of advantage, nud that he might be received in the lisis or field with those arus as shall bu assigned him, and aumed in what sort he slooutd plagae; and that be might have with him all other thinga ueedfal and accustongd by right to aid himselfat need against his adversary, although they be not eapressly written; and desired that his adversary should have no other weapon, por of other size thas those, that he the band lord lles should have; and if the said udversary should bring into the list any other weapons, or of other sire than the court should assign hin, that such weapon should be talen from him, and that he be allowed no other.

It seeured reasonable to the eourt, that he should be recerved into the lists armed as is fit: and as for the weapons, was to hase a spear, a long sword, a short sword and a dasger, each with a poist, as above asid, and for the rest the coust would do reason, sccording to the custom and law of arms. *

Item, the said challeuger did pray, that his counsel might be received into the listis or field with him, for to counsel him what ghould be needful, and that be might have a thirurgeon with his ointmenots and instruments to eerve and ald him when need required; and he did ptay, that his counsil might remain with bila, unsil the words laver les armes were cried.

The court willeth, that he shall tave sufficient copnsel, a chirutzeon with his ointments and instrumeats कैtbin the said lists, as uppertuiboth, uptil, the Wptida later ha armis be phomounced.

Item, he did pray, that he might 植re,within the said lists or fielde, a seat or pariion, or other covertare to rest bimself; that he might have bread, withe, or other drink, iron-atilit, hammer, file, scissars, bodkin, needie amd. thread, armorer sud tailor with their instrte ments, and other necessaries to aid and serve ham in and about his armour, weapoes, sppared and furuiture, as need reqbined.
The court willed, that be have a seat and such cuverture ss he shall please, without fixing|y sny thing in the ground, bread, wine, and otber necessaries, ir quch cases requisite, fill the words lester les armas were pronoanced.
Iten, he did pray, that he might have ljberty to make trial of his arms and weapons within the field, to put them off, and to pout thein oo, and change them at his pleasuri'; to neil, fasten, or loose his arms and apparel, and other things needful; to eat and drink, and to do all other lis necessities.
The couit grantet, until the words lenser lit' armes were pionounced.
Item, he did pray, that after be did ence come into the field and lists, that his adsersary should not be permitted to male him stny and attend too long, ander pain of being couriet.
To this the court retarned answer, The court will do you renson.

Item, he did pray, that if it should trappen, cither by the deiny of his adversary, or muly other iropediment, that he shoold nos be able to prove his intent upon his adsersary in the day ansigned hirs, between sun and son, that then he might hase further time and dny allowed and assigned lim for the proof thereof. on bis said adversary.
To, this the court answered, The coart in this case will do as anciently hath been used accordity to the custonn and law at artas.
ltefh, he did piav, that the field and livate might be well aud safely guarded for hme untit the end of the battel, and as well in the night as in the day, until that with the aid of God t. should make good, and prove his intent epton his adversary.

It was answered, The court will do hereis as is right.

Item, be did hombly pray, that if God shouth so dispose, as that be died in the provecutiver of this his rightful uppeal in this behalf, tudy then his beirs, without my impeschment or hundrance, might take hus body and give Ctristian burial, in such place ss he chall 'ippoint by his last will and wowanienl:
It was answered, This must be it the King'e pleasure.
Itera, he did pray, that satwithstaniling thind the custom of arms will, dhat be should berr into the field certinin fuiteg necevairy for bis. that these, or tovet of twene thinge miny
 might be saved and castim butek for thin, if

 Hereapos this prder thes mitioe by thetention the cotirt willotb, that you to burdin tie-

cain spe to the cattom of arms used in like cases bo pre this time.
Item, he, did desire, that the same day when wigh God's help he did intend to prove his inthat upon lis adversary, he might have all other things necessary for him, and accustoined by tight and law of itrins, although they were not eapressed in thesa his protestations.

To thise it was enswered, The court berein will do that which shell seem reasonable unto them.

Item, he did pray, that these his protestations, nor the copy of them, wight be delivered nor shewed to his adversary, nor to any of his counsel, or other person, whereby his said adversary might have knowledge thereof: further praying, aud desiring, that these his protentations and demands might be graciously granted unto him, by those honourable lords, is the right and law of arms did require.
It was answered, The court would herein do that which should be reason.

Item, he did pray, that it might be lawful for him to go or ride into Tuttle-fields, in or near'Westminster, at his pleasure, and so often as he should think fit, to view the ground which should be asuigned him for the proving of his intent, and for such other ends as should be most for his idvantage for the proving of his intent upon his adversary.
To this the court answered, It seemeth reasonable unto the court, that at convenient times, which should be signified and expressed under the hands of the lord constable and earl marshal, what should be lawful for him to do es was desíred.

Item, he did humblly pray, that since by the ligiw and custom of arms, and of the honourable court, the defendont is never to be allowed counsel, nor to have any ussistants, nopito have ony petitions of favour granted, except in due tine, he shall bave desired, or shall have protested that he would desire them; and that in this case his adrersary publickly hath protested apainst the having of counsel, and all other Aids and dssistants in this court, as by the acts of the court appeareth; be, huribly prayeth, that he inight not have any counsel, nor airls, or anistents essigned unto him in this behalf; and That no petitions or protestations, if he shall make any, might be granted unto him ; and in this he bumbly desired the justice of that hopourable court.

Answer was made, The court would do herein upoty considerstion, as to the custom and law of arms appertained.
The dimensions of the Weapons were as fol*loweth:
 (\%) ith a yisd mad four inchesja leogeth,
vita Greidth the Inches.
fot in letith begd and all.


", 2tiontons were not to exnesd this propor-
tion; , but the parties might abote of chik langth and breadeh if.they thought $7 t$.
$\rightarrow$ 누 $\frac{1}{3}$

- These protestations and petitions wefe ac. cepted and registered.

Afterwards Mr. Ransay presented a petition to the lord high constable, and to the earl marshal:

## Ilansay's Petyifon.

To the right honoarable the lord high constable and the lord inarshal of England; The humble Petition of Mr. David Ilamsay, gent. of his unajesty's privy-chamber in ordmary.
Shewing; That in regard there can be no president shewed forth by authentical record, whereby the choice of arms was ever heretofore permitted or granted to the chnllenger, or refused to the defender, suitable to the custom and law of all Christlan nutions ; ns likewise in regard the challenger himself, as I suppose, being ashamed of his protestations und demand for defensive armour, hás in good company denied 'the same, and ascribed it to your lordships imposing; he therefore according to the said law of nations, and custom of the lingdorv, doth humbly intreat, that there be no other arms allowed for the trial, than such as he hath bin already suitor for, viz, rapier and dagger, as being in the number of such its your lordships were pleased to nominate, which are the most common in all gentlemen's opinions, and that are carried by all and every man that is acquuinted with the management of them.

Lastly, intreating, that if there chaniced to be found any want or mistake in the formality of this, that your lordships will be pleased to pass over the same, and-attribute it to the absence of the lawyer allowed by your londships, having now no other counsel than the ${ }_{9}$ jutstice and equity of his derannd. And as in duty bound, he oliall never be wanting, either in nction or speech, to shew his gratitude for these your lordships so just and noble favours.
To the foregoing Petition, this following Anawer was returned decimo A prilis 1032.
The first part concerning my lord lieu, the lords having called sir William Balfour, (the witness vouched by Mr, Ramsny), gud lieard him, but could not prove what was alledged.

The second part concerniag the election of arms, the lords thought it was not, fis to be granted, the custom of this covit being otherwise, and other arms being already assigued by thé court.

On the 10th of April, Ret and Ramsay appeared agaia before the court, sitting in the council chimber at Whitehall; at which time the lord high constable and the eat! farshal signified to the parties, that it whis the king's pleasure, for corthíp just and urjent capreat to plotogue the day of combat, from the 1 It 5 of April to the 17th, of May, i, and thity pigroficed
 Rapisis to eppear in Tutclg-Tiedo ispon the day lapt masigned, ot the hours appopited in the grive duyi for the performaper ing iof both finchallenger and the dofender prodaced uair

## \$133

several surreties, and the ciantions and arreties for the former daty weive by the cooir remitted.

The loind Rea desired to know the pleautire of the court, whether hio might use defensive arma; ; and in case he might, whetber according to his own discretion, or as the court shall regulate.
The judges of the court answered, that the offensive weapogs and their dimensions were mosigned by the court already; bat both parties might use defensire meapons -at their own discretion.
May the 12th, the court reassembled, and the parties were called, and answered to their names.
Then the constable, topether with the marshal, declared, thatt upon bearing and exainiuation of this cause, they had not found David Ramsay guilty of treason, nor was the treason intimuted made appear by the lord Rea, thaugh he had so long time atteaspted it ; yet they found that he had soditinusly committed muny contempta against his inajesty; the reforpation whereof his majesty reserved to biinself; and therefore the court decreed, that they (the said lord Rea and David Ramsay) should both be committed to the Tower of London, till by sureties to be approved by his majeaty, they gave in sufficient caution, that neither in their own person nor by any in their families, nor by their procurement or assent, they wartld attempt any thing one against the other, and that so long, till it seemed good th his majesty to set thein at liberty; and so they were both arrested by order of the lord constable and marshal, and by serjeants at arms delivered over to sir Willinas Balfour, lieutenant of the Tower.

Then a letter was brought from his, majesty by Richard St. George, king of armis, to the lord constable and marshal, by which his majesty revoled his letters patents, given to the suid lords for the trial of this cause, not willing to have it decided by fuel. And so there was nothing more done in it.

On the 8th of May this year, [1632] a period was pat to the great trial in the conrt of honour before the lord high constable, and lord marshal, between Res and Ramsay, concterniog the forementioned accusation of high trepeon against marquess Hamilton, which begna to have a hearing in November the laty year, and was now decreed by that opurt in thia yeer to be determined by combat : which in regard it is a trial remartable after the proceediag of the civil law, we haye given the roader an abcoont thereof at large. However, sales his majesty's thoughts of it, as it is expristed ia this letter to the marquess.
"James; Since you weat I hare pos withen to you of Meckuy's basiampa because I seitber dealee to prophesy, hor wribl haff newis but noiv seeing (by thi Erace of God) what shall bo the end of it, I have thought fit to be the first givertiser of is to you.' 1 doubt not bot yob hate heard, that (eftier iong meking of *yol tuy clearing the busiduas simpoch-sis coujotho and formatiang wicti opeld bot bo edration
the comid yes anard gh se, repope

 earriage of Boit tha meen, uporn agstrie deftioration I have resolyed not to tanfer themito figit: Becausé, fint, for Mackay; heshich Hild $^{\circ}$ ed so mych in his eircurostantial probajiante es pecially concérning Muschamp, upod $\mathrm{wi}^{3}$ /
 way satisfied with his decomations: ited
 for that that is not, yet fe hath io mudos of sa offen offended by his violent tongye, thed . can no ways thind-him imnoceng dhoyghiche that way guilty whereof he is socuisof whow fore I have cominanded the court giaf hat mised, and comber discharged, wist $/$ \% wo tion to this purpone, that thopgh ueit whe good proof the combat was neceadrbly yet upon the whole matter I aim fill fact that there was no such treasor hi Mecky fancied. And for Darid Fauitey, tho (os)
 not in far in the general, but that Doly occasion enough by bias bpugue' of gropt of
 foolish presumptupas carriage wid upperize This is the subutance, and mo short, that "itil rather a direction how to believe others, thaid narration itsclf; one of my chief ends beine: that you jnay so know D. Did Kempey, the you nuy not have to do withaictra peat ai a is, suspecting he may seek to iósiopicter himeajes to you upon this occasipn. Wherefore I Mutat desire you, as you love me, to lave nitithing to: do with him- To conclude powt 1 dare Cy that you shall have no disbonour in thit hid ness; and for myself, I am not adianed chat herein I liave shewed myself to be, Your faithful friend, and loving tósing Canales $\mathbf{R}_{3}$, Lopat. don, Mey $8,1639 . "$

Chijef Justice Dyer reports twa ofyonots Wager of Battel; the first is Heato at C ,
 peal of death. One of the Appellocity. Not Guilty, and neady to deread re with body, and waged bin batef, Opotas prodgrinc: tiff denurred inlaw, and the degheree fo was adjudped agript tho plaintifi, in hareforet en was barred"of hir Azpeal baime 'Gin wiva
 Dyers Repont 189, is The other jowe



 the decandaits berk utennly callow


 prepared foe tbe pomberyad Wratchata
 particuatito Misbein b Dicjopary, phay whes
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## 515] STATE TRIALS, 7 Cbanles I. 1631.-Procedings in the Court of Chirafry, [516

the sward or bastons, of two champions. Of this you may reade at large, Paris de Puteo de re militari et duello. Atciat de duello. Hotoman. disput. feudalium, cap. 42. As also in our common lawyers of Eugland, namdy Glanvile li. 14. c. 1. Bracton lib, 3. tractat. 9, cap. S. Britton cap. 22. Honns Mirrour oi Iustices, lib. 3. enp. des exceptions in fine proximè ante C. Juramentam dnelli apud Dier. bol, 301. nu. 41, 42. I Stami. Elees of the Crowne, lib. 2, fo. 176. b, and 177 a. saith that it is mancient tfiall in our han, nud much used in times past, us appeareth hy firers presideuts in the tives of Edivard the :ind, and Heufy the 4th: which is not yef out of use, but may be hy the law in dise at this day, if the defendant will, and nothing can be drawen in conter-plee thereto. And it is suid M. 37. EI. 6, f. 3. that to wage battle, or to combat, is by the civil luw : but Moile saith it is by our coromon lav, nad as Stamford* Plees of the Crowne, fo. 177. a snith, That they ahall come armed into the court, and ioine issoe: the plaintiefe begiones his nppeale, \&c. and the defendant pleads not puiltie, and (as Britton setteth it downe, fo. 41 ) undertakes to defend it with his hodie, \&c. And after, one taketh unother by the hand, and first the defendnnt saith in this manuer: Heare you this, you man whom I hold by the hand, which are called Iohn by your cliristion name, that I Pierce, such a yeere, such a day, in such a place, the aforesaid murder of $N$, neither did doe, nor goo ubout, weither purpose, uor assented to such a felonie, as you have alleged. So God me helpe, and his Suiuts. , Aud after the accuser saith: Heare you this, you man whom 1 hold hy the hand, which are called $P$. by your christian name, you are periured: For ou buch a day, such a yeere, in such a place, you did such tre, tsou or snch a murder, wlith it have alleged against you, or whercuf I challenge you. So God mee helpe, and his Saints. Tben they shall be both lead intu a certains place, where both further say, IIeare you this, iustices, thut we I. and P. have neither eat nor drunke, nor done any other deed wherchy the law of God should be abased, or the law of the divell advanced. And foorthwith there shall be an oyez or proclamation made, that nohe shall bee so bold but the combatants, to speake or doe any thing that shall disturbe the combat or battle: and whosoever shall doe against this proclamation, slall suffer imprisonment for a yeere and a day. Thèn they shall fight with weapons, but not with nuy iron, but whth two staves or bastons tipt with horne, of an ell long, buth of cquall length, aud each of them in target, and with no other weapon may they enter the lists.' And if the defendant can dufend himiselfo tilf after sumne set, ind as my author aiith, till you may see the starres in the femurotent, and denand iudgement if he ought to fight any longer, then mute there biurgeanent given oil the defendants side. And Bracton agreeth hearewith in thest words: 'Quod ${ }^{t}$ si appellatus se defenterit ontra appellantemp s. sotrodie, usque ad horam que stellae incipiunt
'apparére, tunc recedat appellatus, quietus de 'appeilo, ex quo appellans se obligavit nd © convincendum cum. una hora diei, quod qui'denn non fecit.' When the detendant doth plead to the appenle not guity, and undertakes to defend it with lis bodie, he must throw downe his gauntlet or glove inte the court; and if the plaintiefe doth enter rcioinder, to the batile, then must hee take ap the glove or gauntlet; but if the plaintiffe doth conterplead unto it, then must hee suffer the glove or gauntlet to lie, aud the other shall demuire in law or void him of his appeale, because he refused his glove or gauntlet. When they dre sworue, they must produce mainprisers or pledges to performe the combat or battle, and then the court shalk appoint them a day nnd place to fight, and as Fitz. p. 385 , further sath, that the challenger shall be at libertie, but the defendant in the custudie of the marshall, and the marshall shall array them both at their owne clarge, rad that must be the night before tho battleffor that they may be ready in the field or lists by sunne rising. The formes of batte descubed 17 Edw. S. et 9 II, 4, differ from that deacribed by Bracton and Briton, and that desciibed by Dier, Termino Trinitatis, unno 13 Eliz, as hee sets it downe betweene one Cheuin and another Paramour a Kentish geateman, ahnut the triall of land, and lerying a fine thereof: and on the issue Paramour chose the triall by comisat or battle, and had a clampion one George Thonte a gentleman of Kent and no sloubt his dearest friend, that would enter the lists to such a hazard of hife, \&c. Aud the other had one Henric Nailer a master of fence, and the court awarded the battle, and the champions were unainprised and sworne (Quare formam iuranenti) to performe the combat or battle, apud Totehill in Wesin. 18. Iunij. prox. post crast. Trinitat. which was the lirst diy of the vtas of the terrue, and on the day apponited was therẹ a list mude foure-square in cien ground, exery square 60 foot, and East, West, North, and South : and the place and seat of the iudges was made without, yet close upon the lists, and a barre made for the sergeants at law, 'ct circa horain deci' mart eiugdem diei,' three iustices or judyes of the Comwon Pleas, viz. Dier, JVeston, Harper, (the fourth, namely Welshi, was away by reason he was sicke) did repaire tg the place in their toabes of scarlet, with their other habits and coifes, and the sergeants at law also : and there a proclamation fieing made with three Oyez, the demnndauts were first called for, and they cume not. After shat, the mainpernours of the champions were called to bring forth frrat the cbampion of the demandant or challenger, which. came into the place in rugged snndats, bare legged from the knee downward, and baro hearfed, and bare armes to the elbow, being brought in by the hand of a kuight, sir Ieroine Bowel by name, who carried a ared baston, of av ell long, tipped with horne, and a yeoman carrying the target, made of double lenther, and they were brought in at the north side of the
lists, and went about the side of the lists, untill the middest of the listh, and then came towards the barre before the iudges, with three solemne congies, and there was bee made to stand at the south side of the place, being the right side of the court. And after that, the other champion was bruught in, in like manner, nt the south or contrary side of the lists, with like cougies, \&c., by tie hagnds of sir Heury Chency knight, dxe. and was set on the nurth side of the barre (quite opposite to the other champion) and two sergeants being of counsell of each partie, in the middest betweene them: This done, the demandant was solemuly called againe, and appeured not, but made default ; upon which default, Barham sergeant for the tenant, praieth the court to record the nonsuit; which was done: Theu Dier chiefe iustice reciting the briefe, the matter, and issue of the battle or combat, and the oath of the chumpions to periorme it, and the prefixed day and place, gives tivall iudgement against the demandant, and that the tenant shall hold the land, to him and to his heires for ever quietly, from the said demandant or challenger, and their heires for ever, and the demandants and their pledges, 'de prosequendo,' to be at the mercy of the queene, \&c. And than was there solemae proclamation made, that the champions and all others there present (which by estimation were about 4000 persons) should depart in Gods peace and the quecnes. And so they departed with a shout, God save the queene. Likewise, according to the lawes and ancient custonss of the realme of England, 0 Iacobi Termino Hilarij, a gentlensan, by name Mr. Fgerton, having challenged another gentloman, one Mr. Hobuoson, for the killing of the brother of M, Egerton, slaine in the field upoa a quarrell betwist the said M. Egerton slaine, and one M. Morgan, with whorn (as it is said) the said M. Robinson at the same time went as a friend into the field, \&c. The proofes being no more apparent, and the defendant challenged, \& $\mathrm{c}_{\text {. as }}$ by the formes of our ancient lawes first above recited, it was granted by the judges of the land, that it should be tried by combst: The glove or gauntlet was cist downe in the open court, ex parte prozocantis, and received in due forme, er parte defendentis. The path was administred solemaly, and'pledges pat in by each partie, fouro bufficient men to napwer for either, body fot body. But an errour or scape being gade in the plea, the bill was frostrated, so that flyy came nop at all to the lists. An antiquarie, Verstegas by nerae, in bis booke intitulad, a Restitution of decaied intelligence, in antiquities concerniag the mass noble and renowned Enquish nation, sets it downe in these wards, and saith thus: "In the triell by single combat or camp-fight, the socsser was with the perill of his ompe bodiefo prode the sccused griiltie, and by offering him his glove, to challenge, him to this triall: the wlikh the other must either actopt of, or ale scknowiedge himselfe culpable of the crime whereof. he was ascused. If it were a cpime deserving daath,
then was the camp-Gight for life and death, and either on lorsebacke or on foot. If the offence deserved imprisonment; and, not death, thea was the camp.fight accomplishied whep the one had subdued the other, by making him to yield, or unable to defedm bimselfe, and so bee taken prisoner. Tbe accased had the libertie: to choose another in his stend, but the uecusar must perforine it in his owne persou, and with equaluic of wenpons. $\mathrm{N}_{8}$ women wete adt mitted to bebold it, nor no men chifluren otider. the age of thirteene yeares. The priests and. people that were spectators, did gifentlo pray that the victory inight fall unto the guiflience, And if the fight were for life. or death, a beere stood ready to carry away the dead bopdie of hin that should bee slaine. None of the people might cric, scrike out, make any noise; or pive any signe whatsoever. This was so atrictly $\mathrm{n} p \mathrm{t}$ severely punished at Hall in Svevia, (a pliph nppointed for caup- -ight) that the executiones stood beside the iudges, reudy with an ake to cut off the right haud and leff foot, of thy patie so offeoding. Hee that (being wounded) dit yield himselfe, was at the mercie of the other, to be kilied, or to be let live. If be were slyine, then was be carried away, and honourably buried: and he that slue lim reputed more honourable than before. But if being overcome be nere left alive, then was be ly sentence of the indges declared utterly void of all hovest repatation, and never to ride on horseback nor carrie nrmet." Verategan. p, 64i-

The last case of Trial by Battel was 14 Car. 1. 4. d. 1898. 2 Rushiw. Coll. 788, Claxtoa 5. Lilburn, (father of Jobs Lilburs the farpous za publican). Upon one pointt in this casp, Buslor worth records the opinion of the Judges as fab lows:
${ }^{t}$ The tenant wazed Battel, which wes accepted; and at the day to be performed, Berko ley; Justice there exanined the Champions of both larties, whether they were not hired for money? And they confersed they were; whick confession bie caused to be recorded, and gave further day to be advised. And by tha kjanfo directione, all the Josticen were required tow liver theis Opinjons, whether this was anusp fo. de-arraiga the Buttel by these Championsf And hy Bramstone, Chief Justice, Devenpoirt, Chief Barou, Denham, Hotton, Jobes, Cook, and other Jostices, it was sulscribed, That thiwitr caption coming after the Battel gaged, aidd Champions allowed, and Sureties given to poeCorm it, ought not to be neceived.":

Of the Trial by Battel Ms. Rurnington (Ow) an Stat. De Meqpis Astivis et Duellis et St 8 Ric. 2). bep collected tome perticulars; and hie referned to some curious passiages on the eubiject, in tha writings of Bnglish and Franch auttofit. He observes on the ludicrousaess of tome of the circumpstanees contisined in Ruabwotth's Ro count Igriated in the lext) of the proteedingith this cast of lotd Fien and Mr. Ramsay. . He aloo relatesn story from Grafton of a citisea of Loadon of scong malt but faiut hoart, who entering the 1 th against an antagoniginher
weak and puny, was made Arunk by his friends with the design of raisung his cournge; and so fell an edsy prey to his ndversary. Mr. Barrington could find no reference in the indexes to tny bill for abolisting the duel by champions on a writ of right, but cites au entry in the Lords' Journals, Mar. 10th, 1629, of such a bill having been twice read und reported : and observes that it is very remarknble that the time when the 'Frial by Grdeal was disused, does not appear. Concerning the Trial by Ordeal see Bhackst. Comm. b. 4. c. 27, and the fitteenth volume of the Archeologia, jn which are published "Soune Remarks on the different kinds of Trial by Ordeal which formerly prevailed in England, by Hobert Studley Vidal, esq.;" wherein he announces his imention of publishing "An loquiry concerning the forms and ceremonies used in some of the antient modes of trial in England, purticularly io the' fire and water ordeals, the corsned, the judicial combat, and other Judicia Dei." Mr. Barrington (Obs, on Westm. the First) says, "That
in the arraigmnent of prisoners, the question 'Culprit, how nilt thou be tried $k$ ' is improperly answered, By God and my country. It originally must have been, By God or may country." Upon this Blackstone observes, "A learned author, whe is very seldon mistaken in his conjectures, has observed that the proper answer is, 'by God ' or the couatry; that is, either by ordeal or by jury; because the question suppooses an option in the prisouer. And certainly it gives some countenance to this observation, that the trial by ordeal used formerly to be called judicium Dei. But it should seem, that when the question gives the prisoner an option, his answer must be positive: and not in the disjunctive, which returus the option back to the prosecutur."
Doubtiess the superintending providence of God was appealed to and was supposed to direct the jodgmeot, to whatever mode of trial recourse was had. By God and my country therefore would be in contradistinction from, By God and the Battel, By God and the Ordeal, \&c.

## 41. Proceedings in the Star-Chamber against Henry Sherfield,* esq. Recorder of Salisbury, for breaking a painted GlassWindow in the Church of St. Edmonds in the said City : 8 Charles I. a. d. 1632. [a Rushw. Coll. 153.]

## The Infurmation was thus opened:

MR. Attorney-General (sir Rob. Ileath) hath exhibited an Information, in the belaalf of his majesty, against Henry Slierfiald, esc. an antient bepcher of Lincoin's-lnn; fur taking upon him, of his own authority, to deface a ParishChurch in the city of New-Sarum, in the county of Wilts, and that in opposition to the church goverument, established by the laws amphgat us.- His majesty's Attorney, in the said onfor mation, setteth furth, Tbat his majesty is in his kingdom, next uuder Christ, the sopreme Hend: that all Churches are secred, and both founded and maintained by regal and sovereign power; that no suhject can meddle with then, in doing iny thing for their ornament or atructure, without licence of the bishops in their several dioceses, or the ordinary for the time being, who "derive their authority from the sovereign power. -That the party delinquent was an inhabitant of the parish of St. Edmonds, in the city of New-Sarum. - That in the same Chorch was an Minient and fair Window, cootaining a description of the Creation: That in January, quinto Chroli, the Defendant, and some factious per10yas, intending to deface it, there met and - ${ }^{2}$ ed so to do-John Daveniant bishop of SFins commainded the contrary, and accordin ${ }^{4}$ y inda á public act chereof.-Bat in Oct, Collowingy be the suid Dofendant got we keys of the Cbareh, upon pretence to walk there,

[^23]and then locked the door, and contrary to the bishop's appoiutment, le beat down the said Window, aud utterly defaced it; and when he had thus done, he did bonst and ghory in that he had so done, and reported that hiinself was a Defincer of Idolatry.-And for punibhing of this great crime is this Information exlipited, by his majesty's Attorney in this honourable court.

Mr. Herne. The Defendant lumbly offers to the consideration of this honourable court, that he is aged, and has grey hairs upon him. That among all the crosses of his life hitherto, he doth not account this the least, That he is this day not ouly suspected, but accused as an enemy to his ingjesty aud his religious government; $k$, cased and charged with, is, that of breaking the Glese Window, whereunto himself giveth thit Anawer:

That in the Time of king Hen. s. this clurch of St. Edmonds In Sarum wag built, and that there was a College of priests there; for there was an house ndjoining, Ealled The College to this day. Thatt by the act in the time of Hen, 8; this college came to the crown, and there temained antil king James granted it to Gouge and Lloyd, who afterwards granted the same to Bartbolowey Tookey, and others, to the use of the parish of Sc . Ddmonds, topether with the advowlon and free disposition of the vicaridye tbere, phich they bave by grant from his said late matjenty king James.

Andshough be did conceive the said Church

to be a lay-fee, yet be offereth this, that what he bath done, was not to usurp authority over the bishop of Sarum, nor' in opposition to his, majesty's government: But the parishioners, and such as are vestry-men of the said parish, have time out of mind assembled, and met upon occasions, in moliztle room called the Vestry, in or near to the chorch of.St. Edmonds; and there they have "used, time out of mind, to make orders, whereby new windows have been made, divers seats in the church altered and new made, and many other things done for ornaunent, and otherwise, in the same; and this without any order from the bishop of Sarum, or any other ordinary, for the time being.

For this Window that is" charged to contain the History of the Creation, he answereth,

That it is no true relation or story of the Creation, in that true manner as it is set down in the book of Moses; but there are made and committed by the workmen divers falsities and absurditics in the painting of the same Window, alf that he hath put the form of a little old man in a blue and red coat, for God the Father, and hath made seven such pictures; whereas God is but one in Deity : and in his order of placing the several days works of God in the Creation, he bath placed them prepostemosly, the fourth before the thind; and that to be done on the fifh, which was done on the sixtbr day; and in one place he hath represented God the Father creating the Sun and Moon with a pair of compasses in his hand, as if be had done it according to sonse geometrical rules.

That this was not wone of the greatest and fairest windows in the said church, it contained only fuur lights ; for the cost at first in setting it up could not be more than 40 shillings : and that which is broken is very dittle damage to the Window, and mas be repaired for a very litule cost.
He conceiveth, for his defacing of this profane Representation of God the Father, it is not so heinous a crime as deserves the sentence of this honourable Court; and for his Defence he saith, That he linth for many years past (be setteth down for thirty years past) been settled and resolyed in his judgment, and that upon good and sound authorities (as he conceiveth) that it is utterly unlawful to make'gaty such Iepresentations of God the Father; and by such authorities as were set out and declared in the time of queen Elizabeta, and otherwise, for the taking down and abolishing superstitinus Inages and Pietures, espeeially in the Churches.

- He was thereupon the rather emboldened to. desire sand endenvour the taking away of the sald Window; and because it had been a cquase, of Idolatry plainly to some iznorant peoplo. He saith he whs placed in the church in queh a sent as that the suid Window was always in his eye, during his abode in the church ; and not out of opposition to the king's manjelty, bat by apecial order and igreement of the Vestry,
abont January 1699, (wherein it was ordéted that Mr. Sherrield mighty, if he thought 6t, take down the said Windews and set up. new glass for the same) he thereupon did with his staff pick out some of the glass in that part of the Window only whth represented the Deity; but for the doing the same through combinations with others, and by force, he denieth the sames. and that he should do it contrary, and against. the command of the said biahop of Sarum, arid his said not, and letter supposed to be sont, this be also denieth, and sailh, that be had no no: tice thercof in eny part.- And to all the reat of the Misdemennoufs and Offences chgiged in, the information, be pleadeth Not Gailty, Wind submitteth himself to the Judgment of thiv) bonourable court.
For the King.

2 Solicitor General (Sir Richard Skelton). For that divers things seem to be set forth in the Defendant's Answer, which have not been opened; we that are of counsel for the king. ${ }^{4}$ desire that the Answer may be read; and the ruther, because he hath therely confessed more than we have proved, or indeed could prove against him.
Whereupon the Answer was read in effect as followeth:
The Asswer of Henry Sberfield of Liwcoln'sInn, in the county of Middiesex, esq. to the Information of sir liobert Heath, kt. his majesty's Attorney General.
All advantages of exception to the unicertainties and insufficiencies of the said Information to the Defendant, now end at all timessavedz' This Defendant snith, That k. H. s, founited in New-Sarum the college of St. Erimonds, and the church thereanto belonging. That there belonged unto the said College and Chufch, a provost and 13 priests, which had main fance there allowed them. That in the time of K. H. 8 , by the act of dissolution, the said college and church;', with the reyetuie ${ }^{2}$ thereof, came to the crown, and 20 remained till septimio Jacobi, who granted the same to Gouge end Lloyd, who alienated it to Baylte, who conveyed the whole premises to Bartholomew Tookey, one of the defendents named; who, in 13 Jucobi, for many good uses, cosis. veyed the same to the ase of the pariblionej: of the same, chureb.
That this Defendant is one of them, so that the right of the seid church is in the said pet. rishioners, who are seized thereof, ns of thity lay-fee; and the said church is exempt fifid. the juristiction of the bishop of Sarum; "nind: that they, as lnwful owners, had lawful power withoot the bishop to take down or set up eny window, and to do any other thing in repairing: or adorning the said churob; and for reformation. of such a ings as are amiss in the same.

And that he, this Defendant, and the rcat of . the parishionsog bejing Vegatry-men, have mett. and have used ro peet for a long, while, and their predecesoch time out of mind, in 140

Vettry-house, and there have made orders far the taking down, and setting up agrin, parts of the church. They have taken down glase pindows, they have removed alters, roodlofts bare been pulled down, seats pulled down and altered, the pulpit taken down and set in another place; and these and unany other things withous any special licence of the kng's majesty that now is, or of his futher king James, pr of Johip lord bighop of Sarum, or of his predceessors.

That at a meeting of the said Vestry-men of the said parish, this Defendant being one of them, in or about January 1629 , it was urdcred, that this Defendunt might, if he pleased, take down the sadd Glass Window, being in the south-side of the said church, so as at his own cost and charges he would repair the same with new plun glass. And this Defendant being to cawe to Londun, desired it should be done before his return; and that the glazier might not mistake the window, be pulled down with his staff two or three of the small quarrels of glass, and su left it to the church-wardens to be done.

That he is accused to be an opposer of his majesty's goverument, and of the revarend hishaps; and this by the procurement of thase that are ignorant of the thung, which this Defendant accountteth a very great affliction.

Ha saith, That this Window, and the painting therein, was not a true Representation of the Creation; for that it contaned divers forms of hitle old men in blue and red coats, and naked in the heads, feet and bapds, for the picture of God the Father; and in one place ha is set forth wath a pair of compasses in his bands, laying them upou the Sun and Moon; and the painter hath set him forth creating of the birds on the third day, and hatb placed the picture of beasts, and man and woman, the man a naked man, and the woman naked in some part, as muchas from the inees upwards, risiog out of the man; and the seventh day he thereip hath represented the like Image of Cod; aitting down, taking has rest: wheress this Pofendant conceiveth this to ba folse, for there is but one God, and this rapresenteth meven Gods; and the Sun and Moon were not made on the third day, bafon the fourth day; por-the trees and herbs on the fourit day, but on the third day; nor the fowls on the third day, but on the fifth: and man was pat creared ou the fifth, but on the saxth day ; nor did the Jord God so create woman as riving put of unan, but he took a rib of the man, whan be wes. in a deep sleep, and thereof mode bof the Wingan, in all which the workman wal mip Hiken: is regard of which faluificatione, this D.fendapt deemeth, that this was not a true Pepperponation of the Creation, theugh it be 49 protended, but rather an abuse of the true and lively, Word of God, which to ppas dewa, 4. araranid, cannot he any"oftence in him, Thit Dedodast, as he concefiret, at least is thent wanper as in the in(proftion it in prosthind. Egt is as much ard ho is sccused of
ingidelity and impiety to Almighty God, and to ${ }^{*}$ be disaffected to the King, therefore this Defendant humbly craveth leave to declare his opinion ia the thing iself.
And he saith, that he believeth it altogether unlawtul to make the Reprisentation of tho true God in any church, or ynلll, or window ; and this he hopeth to mule appear by the Scripture, by orthodox Writers, Councils, nud Decrees of Empeiors, and by a certain Dook of the now bishop of Sarum (Dr. John Davenant) written on one of St. Puul's Epistles, in the 97th and 98th pages; Ling James's Book, intitled bis - Premonition to ull Christinn bings ' and princes ;' the Book of Ilomilies, set forth by authority, and therein the IIonnly ngainst Idolatry in perticuler: That this being so false a Representation, and so profane a setting down of the Image of God the Fathicr beven times, he, this Detiudant, beng a parihboner, and troubled therewidi in conscience by the space of twenty years, for that he could not comennto the church, liut he must see it, sutting right opposite to it, he pas much grieved theieat, and wished a long while that the saive were removed, and yet in iespect of hiaself laboured still $t w$ disaffect lis thoughts; but seeing the dishonour doue to God therely by some iguorant persons, (as this Defendant nas informed by the pastor of the said pari-b) and fearing that others inght ofteud in Idulatiy, he (by order of the Vestiy aforevaid) did takedown some little quarrels of the Window; and it was done by bun only, without any disturbance, and he did it only un such places of the glass us the Representation of the Deity so filstied was: he did it not to arregate to hinself authority, but as bound to do what he did to preserve a good conscience ; it was not done riofously, nor by corubination with any others. And he saith, That he pever deserved, nor will deserve such a bitter charge, as, through the malice of his enemies, is land upon hum th the said Information. And be saith, that he, and other of the Defendants, were justices of the peace, anil not altogetber private persons, and they "ere parishigners, and entrusted, as aforesaid; and the taking down of the Window seemed to be warranted by the statute of Edw. 6, and by the late quega's injuuctions, get forth in print in the fint year of her reign? whereby it was copmmanded that all idolatrous and suptrstitians pictures, ropdlofts, sitars, anid other pelicky of idolatry, especially $1 u$ churches, should be deficed and ${ }^{6}$ abolisher, hyt the wall preserved, asd this to he doue hy the churchwarlens, and these ipjutctionss being by act of parliament, they are still in force, as he conceiveth. Atad is the 1sth of Eliz. in the con-, nocation held by the Archbishops, amongst ouber Cayons, this for taking down and defiecing idqlatrow Picturcs and Impges wes one, and aqde an arriole, that the Cburch-W ardens shall inquite whatber it he done accordiagly : and thenaros codered ha the Canvocation, to the Ift of higg James. He saith, That ho dophean conntentince ans cemtemners of tha Church,
nor ever did: that the painted Window aforesnid was one of the meanest Windows in the said church, containing but four lights, whereof nil the glass at first was worth but 40s, and nill that was taken down by this Defendent, was not worth more than $18 d$.

Anduhis Defeedant denieth that the bishop of Sarom sent to him to forbid the taking of it domin ; ahd denid that he had any motice by way of act, letter or monition to the contrary, or that any such came to his hands. But this Detendant saith, That he often attended the lord bishop, as well upon this defendant's own busincss, us on the bishop's occasions; and yet his lofiship never used any speech thereof to him at all. And therefore this Cbarge, that it was done by this Defendant hgainst the bishop's directions, is rot crue, us will appear by Proof, But this Defendant doth aver, what he hath done is lawfully done; and to all other the Olfences, \&c. he pleadeth Not Guilty.

Sul. Gen. Here is a confersion of the fact, and a justification ; and therefore we mande no examinations or interrogatories ; for as I said before, so I make bold to say again, that he hath confessed more than we could prove ayninst him. The Question is, Whether a parishoner may of himself undertake to pull down and set up what he concciveth to be idolatrous, and so take upon him to be a reformer? In this Genticman's Answe, you see how curious he bath been in confuting the painter that is dead 100 years ugo. (Nay, 'it hath been there these 300 years,' said the Archbishop of York,) From the 5th of January 1629, till Octuber following, he could find wo opportunity to expecute the 'Vestry's order; and yet he saith, shortly ufter the order, he did with his staft take down some of the quarrels of the Window, \&c. Fist, for the manner of coming into the church, we shalf read a Witness or two for that.

## Depositions of Witsresses on the King's part read.

Bowen the Sexton's Wife saith, That Mr. Sherfield caune unto her (her husband not being nt home) and said, Woman, bring the keys of the church-door, and let me into the church; whereupon she presently brought the key, and opened one of the little doors of the church, and Mr. Sherfield went in, and made the doof, fast unto him, and stayed there alone by binself. That wien he went into, the church, he said, Now, wortsan, to about your busimess, while I walk in the cfurch. That thereupon she went into her house, and after stit had tarrie! a litile in her house, her child, that was hbroad gathering of sticks, came in, and brought her two or three pieces of the Glass Window, and said it was done by a man all iff binck. Thereupon she went to a plivate door and looked into the chorch, and she saw Mr. Sherfield standitig upon a i. seat some fuur foot above the ground, and so be stoud breaking the window with a black staff with a pike in the end of it. That the Window was broken
in eleréa several places. And after she went by the samie window, and saw it broken; but being on the outside, she did not then see it, yet the heard one groan, which she conceived was Mr. Sherfield fellen from the seat whereon he stood; to the ground. And she thie rathet believeth it was Mr. Sherfield, because be afterwards sent for a borse, end rode botire. That afterwards the window was broken "by another, and a pitch-fork left in it;, Ind diveno other wiodows were also broken, but by whots this deponent knoweth not. That therefore a watch was set, agd continued for a forthight; to see who should attempt to break angy of the windows of the snid church.

Elizabeth Bowen, the Sexton's Daughter, saith, That Mr. Sherfield came to this deponent's mother, about three or four of the clock iu the afternoon, a little after Michaelmenty and commanded ber mother to open the church door for him, and she did open it: when he wes in the church he said, Get you about your business; and when her little brother brought int part of the Glasi of the Window, saying, Somebody was within breaking some of the windows of the church, she then tun out of her father's hoose and went unto'the church, and saw him beating of the ladder, and heard him talk to himself, and thereupon said to her mother, That she thought Mr. Sherfield was mad That théreupon Mr. Sherfield came out of the church, and went into the clerk's house; and after he had been there a little apace, be went again into the said church, and bolted the door after him on the inside, but ske peeped in at the door, and saw him break the window with his black staff, which had n pike in the end of it. That the staff broke, and he fell down into the sear, and lay there a quarter of an hour groaning; but what hurt he hed, she knoweth not. That he afterwards kept his house for a month. That the Window was broke afterwards, and ons Johr' Palrner seen there aboat four of the clock in the morning.

Dr. Webb of Steeple-Ashton eaithy That Mr: Sherfield coming thither to keep a courtweet, he afterwards went with this deponent into the church of Steeple-Ashton to view it, and be, asked this deponent Why they should bestow ${ }^{2}$ so mach cost in their windows; and be de- . clared his dislike thereof, giving these resaons, to wir, That it made the windows darker; ,and it was the occasion of idolatry: and he cond ferred with this deponent about the window now in question, which he said he would have taken down; but the hishop's chanceller opposed him, and said, He knew no ćause why he should; for that it was a lay-fee.
Joln Lymminge of the city of New Saruin saith, That be hath heen thirty years a petrishioner, gul two years ngo he was church-wrardeni of the puarishof St . Edenond's in the city of New Sarum, , that then the order was mide; when be was "hurch-warden. That he waf present in the ingty at the making thereof That Mr. Sherlied Imoved the matter to the
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reat that were present, and they all consented thereanto, saving two, who ssid, They desired to bave the lord bishop's leave for doing it. To Whon Mr. Sherfield said, Do not trouble yourwives, I will give my lord bishop satisfaction. That the bishop afterwards sent for this deponeut, being then church-warden, as aforesaid; and he went to his lordship, who told this deponent, He had beard of such an order or agreesoent to have been made at the veetry, and anked this deponent if it were so ? This depoenent answered, That it was so: that thereopon the lord bishop said, Let it not be done, and gave directions and commaidinent to this deponent, not to give nutuce of this inhibition therein to the rest of the vestrymen.

Francis Roberts, servant to the bishop's Reginter, saith, That an Agreement was bruught to the bishop vuder Mr. Sherfiedd's hand, and the hands of divers others, by Mr. Sherfield; and the effect of the order was, ns he taketh it, for the taking down of the Wiodow, in the south porch of the church of St. Edrond's ia the city of New Sarum. That the lord bishop caused this deponent to take a copy thereof, which be did; and thereupon the churchwardens were seitt for, and were for bidden by the bishop to put the same in extcution, and medo a.public act thereof, which this deponent wrote.
It was urged by those of the King's Connsel, that the Answer was not in this rightly opened, and was therefore one of the reasons why they desired the Answer itself to be cend. In the Answer he justfieth the act as well done; but the counsel that opened the same, did new shew it so to be.
Mr. Herne. Ia opening the Answeg, we Ueclined, as but an opiuton of the Defendant, and from this a man may recede: It was not the point in issue, therefore ne were advised not to stand upog it; and we do achnowledge the church to be a parochial church, and sabject to the bishop of Sarum.
Whereupon sir John Finch devired that Joinn Lymaninge's Deposition might be read agan, and it was read to this purpose, "That Mr. -Sherfield said he would satisfy the bishop, 3 urging that this was after the bishop's inhibit tion: but this did not appear to the court.Sir John Finch conciuded the Evidence on the king's part: the goestion is not what is fit to be in us church ; the matter is, that he hath takean upon him to determine what is fit. He is an antient reader, and well reed in the law; but our law saith, (and this every one knownesth, that hath sucked the least sweetness from the Books of the Law), That the church must be governed by the reverend bishops of the church. That it was a parochial church he kppt wif for that hinseff wns a parishiover : and thenk his counsel decling this, fot his DeFanet ${ }^{3}$, ibat it is a lay-fee, gnd th the might vell ${ }^{\text {g }}$ ons he did, to. pull doy the Window. Hais.well acguainted wit it bishop, and yet goald never desire his lea fon taking it down;
but he goeth to the sestry for power to do it, and yet there it is his own'motion; and when some questioned their own power to do it, he beareth them in hand he will satisfy the bi-shop. When he cometh to ileeple-Ashton to Dr. Webb, there he saith it is a lay-fee ; for he being a justice of the peace, that secuseth to add another wing to bear him up: But the matter's not in question, it appertainolh to side ecclesiastical jurssdiction. For the bishops iuhibition, that Mr. Shrrield, be ing a cestryman, should not have notice of thas, this is very fimprobable. If it had bepn dour in execution of the vestry's agreemen, why tien was it done so privately, and not some of the westry called to be with bian? But to have the dours shat, to do it with such prifacy and so many colours, as reading in a book is the church, walking and viewng of the clerh's house; this could not be but that he feared something, and that this was the inhitition

Note, This was a great deiect in the Prowf of the king's part, that the selator could not prove that Mr. Slerfield had nouce of the bishop's inbibitinn: nay, he hati not the act of' the bishop, which was so rasy to be had. to shew in court, for which the bishop of London was much dispiensed, and al was the LurdKeeper.
To remeliy this, if itn:ght be, the lishop of Londun (Dr. Willian 1aud) devired that Dr. Lynn, the bishrep \&f Surrua's chancellor, who was the relator is thes cause, inight be heard what he ctalld soy to thin poont. And be was permitrea to speak, get cuuld not directly say that Mr. Sheifictd had any uonce of the bishop's inhibition; yet lementioned a letter that was sent $t$ biin to give him notice of the bishop's commands to the contrary, dut "I could not he proved that ever any such littet came to has haisls.

## For the Difendant.

Mr. Herbert. That the Information contained seven several Churges nguinst the Defendant; for five of which, that is to say, 1. That be being factiously ${ }^{\text {di}}$-posed, and disaffected to his majesty's governnent, on his own authority took opou him to deface the parish church of St. Edmond's in Newf Sarum. 2. That he is an opposer of the suthority of the reverend bishops and their government. 3. That Tre is an encoorager and mhintainer of all such as are ill-sflected persons to their government, and contemner! of their authority. 4. That the defncing of the Window in question was done by combination and confederacy between him and tep others, Defendants. 5. That this was done riotoualy and routously with force and, arms.
For all these I appeal to this honourable court, what colour of Proof hath been mado; ouly jit hath been proved that the Defendant bimself regok down a littie of the glass of that Window. And there hath been some offer of Proof made, that it was done against the Lord Biahop of Sarua's Inhibition. And wheress
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the said Dr. Lynn, the said Bishop's Chnncellor, hath endenyoured to prove soune things nut of course for this last Charge, we shall desire and beseech your lordshipa to consider that be is the prosecutor of the cause agniast the Defeludant. For the manner of the prosecution, it hath been very yinient, injurious, and sat ouring of malice. He was the man thut went to these Wraensef aud forty other persons, to raise up and frane a ('harge upon the Defendant, Ile exhin sfangill, or cauecth the same to be ex libit chyphat the Defendant, nud ten others. And il Elamp off from all thouè ten, and desurcs ${ }^{-1}$ at two of the defendants might be witnes 5 . Ir him; and when he had thus done, never used them And this, my lord, hath been the manner of forecution.:

For the talydg away of the Glass Window, it is true, we contess we did take dnun part of the glass; but for the second thing, it doth not appear that lie had nutice of the Bishop's Inhibition. And wherens sir John Finch hath insisted upun sone probabilities for Proof hercoif; we hupe this cause shall not be sentenced uccording to probalibities. Now though the Charge of those live ha fire mentioned be not proved, nor uny uterrogutory administeret to prove then, yet we shall deaire leave to olfer our Pronfs to the comirary to your lordhips considerations.

And lirst, as i) the C'harge that we are ill affected to the Church (Governnent, and an encourager of these that be like minded, and this for private ends, and out of a prisate spirit: We nusuer, That Mr. Shestield the Deferndant bath on the contrary, in all his actions, been conformable to the canons and coussitations recticvastical, the Ithes and Ceremonies of the Charch of Einglaud. That he hath been so far frous encounajing such factious poromen, that he liath h, en Sery active in his place to punsh Scparaints. And that he dud not this (in removing thas little quintity of class) of his own hend or private spint; but it is true, he conceived it to be niplatrous, and so wis it thought by the pas. tor of the church, and by all the men of the vestry thoufhe fit to be remored. What we did therefore, nas mo way out of a singular or private spirit, nor our own authority, bat by order from the vestry; nut in opposistion to the church govermuent, or governors; but as in respect to the uature of the thing. Besides, all the Canons, Constitutions, and Coummands for taking awuy such superstitinns and idolatrous relicksp we stall prove, in fact, there has been much idolntry committed therewith. - We say, that of a long tume there have been meetiogs in the vestry by some antientanen of the pari-h, and this by power from, and under the cecleniantical - governurs; and this they have used to dn, they Thave ordered nrany such things, and they agieed this Window should be takeu down by Mr. Shertield, if he thought fit, nud to set up new ghass. We go not about to entitle she vertry to any jurisdiction; we say they are respondent wo the bishop, and he may punish them if they abuse their power : but though they have not tegally a jurisdiction, yet de facto they do meet, and Pós. It.
do soch things, though it be not justifiable; yet this is the question lefore your lurdbhips, Whether those of bis majesty's learned cuancib can unake it a crine, Yen, or No? The curate and church wardens ussented, us is required by the statuté.

The queen's Injunctions, 1 Eliz. give power to the commissioniers and others to take away thinge of this nature, especially in churches, preserving the wails, \& ec. Sosin the Aiticles set out in 13 Eliz, to enquire whether they were removed, Yea or No. $\Delta$ fierwards there was the like in the first of king Jawes; and the Cunona contniu one partictilar of the like power given to the church wardens to enquire of such matters, dcc.

## Deposicions of Witnesses on the Defendant's part read.

- John Joye of the city of New Saram, gent. saith, That he hath kuown the Defendant ni ove 20 yeurs, and hath ever since olserved him to be conformable to the church of Eagland; and that the Defendant, when he is in beulth and at home, is present at divine service and sermons, nad that during all the tine of this deponent knowing of him, giving good example by his religious and pis.as carringe untoothers; and that this deponent huth known this Defendant divers times to have receved the sacrament of the Lord's supper kneeling.-That this deponent is a parishiuner wilhin the parish of St Edmond's in Sarum, and a sestryman.-That the Vesrrymen of the said parish bave met, and used in meet as often as tiey thuughe fil, aud used to mahe orders for repaiing and adorning the said parish church, and have hitherto many times ordered the taking fown of tewis in the clurch, and the setring up of new, taking dowa of tinduns, ivalls, and pieces of the same church. And this deponent remenbereth, that abouk 20 years since, a new 1 .ew was erected in the middle of the charch for the minister to read prayers in; and 14 years since a new pulpit was set up, and pait of the minister's reat was again altered; there was alwo a new loft made fur ringing of the bells, and thirty seats were tuken dowa and new made, and a glass window in the Towers was quite taken away; and all this nus done without any special order from the bisbup of 'Sarom, for the time geing, or any other midinary, and never any doubt or quection was made thereof. - That opoh the 16 th Jan. 1629, at a weeting in the said vestry, by the vestryarcn, it was ordered, that the Window in question should be taken down, and the reason why, as this depanent remembreth, whe for the darkness cnused by it, and for that it was superstitious; qud that five of the said vestrymen were Juntice of tie Peace within the said city of New Sarom.

Mr. Herne noted, that the Nefeadani's Wit nesses int Justives of the Peace, and such ary lanve been mangiors of the ciry, and are alderatel there ; hut thêpther's'Werie ses are poor puos. ple, and silly wurac? led by Mr . Chancollorts?
$2 x$

This Witness and many others, testified the Defendant to have been couformable during all the time of their knowing him, and observent of the rites sud ceremonies of the church of England.

Peter Thatcher, clerk, vicar of the parish church of St . Edmond's in New Sarum, saith, That beliath known the defendant, by the space of 8 years last past; that when he is well, and in goor health, hegefneth to church, and there stayeth NIL the time of divine service and sermon, and hath received the Sacrument of the Lord's Supper kneeling. That there was an order made in the Vestfy, where this deponent wias present, for taking down of the Window in question by Mr. Sherfield. That he hath seen the said Window since it was broken; that the Story intended thereby to be set forth, may well enough be discerued, and this, upon his certain knowledge, for he took special nptice thereof, upon Suturday last, before his Examination; that there are no letters in the Window aforesaid, describing the Representation of the Creation. That it inay be amended for a very smull matter. That it is not so good work as some other windows of the church. That he hath heretofore seen an accompt, made in the time of Henry 7, of Charges in setting up certain Windows in the said chursh, which are all painted glass; nnd there wus set down a particulur of 94 foot of glass, set up at 3 d . a foot, and this Window in question containeth 72 foot of glass or thereabouts.

Note, That in the time while this Deposition was reading, Mr. Sherfield caused a map of the said Window to be presented to the lords, representing the said Window, and all the several breakings of the same, set forth in colours like the window itself, which was inspected by she lords.
The Bishop of London at this tume took some exception to the testimony of the last Deponent, Peter Thatcher, for that he saith he kroweth he cometh to church, and stayeth there all the time of divine service; whereas he knowcth that this Thatcher himself bath not read ull the divine service in a whole year together; he hath not done it heretofore, whatsoever of late he hath done for by-respect.
Then proceeded the Deposition of the said Perer Thatcher.
That the Picture of the old man in blue and red, is taken to be the picture of God the Father, the Creator of Heaven and Earth; and that he is taken to be there represented creating the birds and beasts, the sun and moon, and speakiag to Adam and Eve, \&ec. That, as this deponent taketh it, there are many mistakes, faloities and absurdities contained in the said Window. That be saw Emma Browne bowing to the Window aforesaid, on which oceavion (this Deponent corning in the nyean while) he aiked what was the canse, she sofowed. To which she answered, I do it to ms lort God. Why, nid thin Daponent, yfere is he ? Said the onid Emma Browne, In. (be Window, if he
not ? This deponent thinketh he told this Defendant of the same, but doth not remember the time when.

Michael Mackerell, of the city of New Sarum, gent. saith, That he hath known the Dec fendant, by the space of 20 years and móre, during all which time he hath been confọrmable to the church of England, and fuly repaireth to the Church and Snerament, \&ec. frhat Ahis Deponent knoweth he hath called fdivers in question for their Inconforaity, ing' I' ifs have been accused for Anabaptistst this, paratista before him, and other justices plow, zeace in the same city, and some of tifem we (y) imprisonerl, some bound to their good bexayiour, and some otherwise punishof by his means; and the deponent set downyibd names of those who were called in question Inconformity in particular.

James Palmer, of the city of New Sarum, seninr, aged about 80 years, saith, That in the said parish of St. Edmond'b, for 50 years past, he hath known divers of the parishioners to have met from time to time in the vestry of the said church, which is part of the same church, or adjoineth thereunto; and they have ordered many things for reparation of the suid church, without the bishop of Sarum, or any of his predecessors; and divers of the said parish are, and have been called, and have been and are vestry-men of the said church, and they have done divers thangs in the church without the bishop's leave, as nanely, the place of reading the Service was altered from out of the choir, and appointed and ordered by them to be read in the hody of the church, withqut license from the bishop: And therefore the parishioners did not ask leave in this case, of the bishop, to remove the suid window.
The Bishop of London. Often Vestrfes tale upon them that authority which pertaineth not unto them, and usually trausgress their bounds; they were made by thie bishops heretofore, where they are granted and suffered, and in many cascs, by the common law, we cannot du withnut them. The truth is, that the Archdeacon in erery diocese was wont to be ' magnus 'oculus episcopi,' to view and inform the bishop of the things fit to be refornied. But you will say, Shall not those men repair, nor do any thing in the church without leave? Yes, they may, but not doubtful thisps ; therefore for such things they showld ask license of the bishop at their perils.
The Lord Keeper. So for mending of a wall, or repairing things not well đone in the church, vestry-men may do it; but when they do ill, they are to be punished.

## February 8.

This day the Defendant's counsel proceeded inureading their Witnesses for their Defence.

William Antopp of the city of New Sarum, gent, ssith, That heretofore, about 9 or 10 years bince, he took notice of one Willian Trumpetter, who came as a stranger into tha town; aud this deponent after understood bis
name was Aldersey. That this Deponent hath observed the said William putting off his hat to the said Window ; and that the said William's master, called Beech, did kneel down and pray tufure the Crucifix in one of the windows. This Deponent had conference with the said William, and with lis said master, the said Beech; and in tlueir talk they much commended Pictorol in Shurch-Windows, and praised Cellarmines ritiags; wherefore this Deponent did concer p rity both to be Romish Recusants : And thy churfoneut save the said Trumpetter kneclivg thadyyying towards the said window; and ins said Betth kneeling before the Crucifix, beating his breas, which this deponent did courceive was idolaty

Here the Ifefendant's Eounsel offcred to speak something to discharge the Defendant of that point, as to the doing of the said act contrary to the lord bishop of Sarum's Inbibition.

Whereunts Mr. Altorney said, That this was not worthy to be insisted on, because they had come short in Proof against him: But as for Mr. Chancellor's enquiring into the said offence done, we conceive it was rightly done, and proper for him to do it; for that thiy fact is of a mixt cognizance, ecclesiastical and temporal; and therefore, he being an ecclesiastical officer, it was not a fiult, but a commendahle thing in bim strictly to enquire of the thing as he did, and it was his duty so to do. And for the preparing and pre-examining of the witnesses, we say, in this case by him it was lawfully done, and they were justly prepared.

The Defendant's Counsel proceeded, ind read divers Statutes and Proclamations, and uther Records and nuthorities in justification of the Defendant's fact, as conceruing the nature of the thing. And first was read the particular Injunction in the point, set out 1 Wliz. the 23d Article or Injunction; Church-Wardens are to see the Cfurches kept clean, and all loathsomeness by dust or otherwise removed ; That they have in the Churches the Holy Bible and Homilies, late set out in print against Rebellion, and other outrageous crimes. That there be in every Church a fair joining Table, and a convenient Pulpit, \&c. Also they shall see that all Shrines, Coverings, and Candlesticks, Pictures, Pilgrimages, Helicks of famed Miracles, Rolls of Wax, and superstitious things, be taken away and defaced, preserving neverthelens the walls of the stone buildings of the Windows.

Archbishop of York (Nenley. The ChurchWardens executed this by direction of the queen's Visitors, not upon their own authority.
Then was read the Article touching this - matter, set forth in the Book of Articles, in the 1Sth year of the late queen. The titie of which book is, "Articles agreed upon by Mat-- thew Archbishop of Canterbury, apd the 'rest ' of the Reverend Bishops, 3 Aprilis, 1571. The Articie ivelf ruoneth thus: Ifem. Whether all Shrines, Coverings, Rolls of Wax, \&cc. and pictures of false and feigned miractes, be moved and abolished.

Bithop of London. I do not thisk that the Story of the Creation was a Picture of false Miracles. - The canon of 13 Eliz. was, That the Church-Wardens should enquire and make presentment of such things to the bishop or ordinary; but it gave no power to them, being but lay men, to do whut they would in reformation.

Archbishop of York. The Injunctions were in 1 Eliz, when the Chutch was very much out of Order ; and this was done by siecial commissioners, and not by the Cburch-Wardens power.

Then was read the Canon made 13 Eliz, the 18th Canon, That the Church-Wardenh should see the Church kept clean, the Holy Bible te be in the Churches, and the Holy Homilies lately set forth nuainst Rebellion, a fair joining Table for the Celebration of the Communion; and all roodlofts, wooden crosses, pictures of false and feigned miracles, and all other relica of superstition destroyed nand demolished; the walls of the Chorches to be new whited, and sentences of Holy Scripture to be written upon them in great letters, and a bason to be in the Church wherein baptism is to be administered.
There was the like made in 1 of king James, and 2 Jacobi, 1603, Canon 85, Tuat the Windows of the Church be well glazed, the pavements even and decently kept: the like care to be had for the Church-walls and Churchyards, that they be shut in with pales, walls, and rails, as hath been accustomed. And the officers are to see that the peace be well kept, and that the Book of Homilies be in the church, which speaketh against idolatry and superstition, \&c.

Mr. Herbert. The matter before your lordships in judgment, is the remoral of some few parcels of glass out of a Church-Window. Now, upon things thus opened, whether this be a crinte punishable in this court, we humbly leave to your lordships to judge. But if it shall be conceived to be an ornament to the Church, and so a fault in any to remove it without the bishop's leave; we say it is true, we did it, but not upon our own private head, the Vestry agreed upon it.

And whereas it hath been objected, and charged, that the Defendant did this to encroach upon the Chirch-Government, we hope it doch not so now appear to your lordships; but that he is a good constant observer of the Charch of England. And for the taking down of this glass, we have shewed what we did simply, and not so much what our own opinion whs, but as it was conceived idolatrous by others.-If this be a fault, it is then because it seemeth to derogate from the honour and nuthority of the Charch and dene in oppositinn to the bishops jurisdiction ; or else it arieth out of our Answer, in that we justify the doing thereof, as in our lay-fee. Bat we have showed tid did pot this to make a power in the vestry aganat the power of the bishop; no, this was an act of duty to the bishop, and punituhable by him if it were not well dones to
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we do not divide the power from the bishop, but the question in upon the exercise of this pon ${ }^{2}$, whether the vestry-men, when they have done well, ure to be repri henderl; or whensoever they do any thiug about the church, whether they must still go to the bish ip for lcave?
fut tough this be not an offence in the nature of it, yet it is saind, that to us it is un offence, hecause of our Justification; which is nut ony cle facto thut'it is done, but that it is rightity dus. liy the vestry as their act in their lay-ice, which is exempt from the bisliop's jurisdiction.

To this we answer, That chis was only the opmion'of the Defendant, it was not thic issue; but lus disposition is not to stand out in a thing, whech afterwards appeartth unto lim to be otherwise; he did conceive it was a lay-fee, and was their improptiation ; and though be baid it was excmpt from the power of sur reme authurity, the archbisiop or the king's majesty, yet he did it as the act of the Church- 1 ) ardelis, which is subject to the Lishop.

For the act itself, the tahing away of some litile quantity of glass, we have shewed you the usage of the vesiry, what they have dune in wher cases; and if every alteration io a church should be prosecuted as a crime in this court, I suppose the count would be overnuch filed swith prosecnt-ons of this nature. ' J hets much for the Matter of the fact; then for the Mauncr of doing it, it is pretended, thut it was done agninst the bisluppis Inhibituon.

The Defendant hath devied this point upon lis oath, uud sloweth probability fo the contrary: my lord bishop had long and often conferences with him, but never spake to bin of this thang.

Next, for that circumstance, that by thus example of his breaking the Window, some othets hase done the like; but in the Books we find ouly that somebody did brcak the windows uguin after this, but who it was, is not set down. Two or three times a year for glass windows to be broten by accident is a common thing. We find also that after this a pitchfork was found hanging in the Window; we say that this was discoveret ouly upon Proof, and was not in the Pleuhng: but the men that examined this, sald that it was a madman indeed that came that nay, and threw his pitchfork there, and this might have been proved. And shorily after many mare glass mindows were broken, but where or in what Church it doth not appear as I conceive. And whereas it hath been saisl by a slly maid that she thought Mr. Sherfield was mad; and it hath been said, he went about like umadruan: We say, that if his faithful care and industry in thut city for the good thereof, if his advice in all the kingdom so well hnowin lee respecterl, he is not to be accounted a madman: he slid not conie in a-mad and braving mavner, but secretly, and this is proved by the my (fier and daughter; we say the more.privalcly it was dowe, the less offrnsive it was: which we submit to your lordships, and the whole Cause td-
gether with it. The Charge against the De fendant is, that he did disbonouc, to the Єharch: but we stay that the act was to do honour to God.

Mishop of London. Was not this done copa* trary to the bishop's Inhibition? Let me $\ngtr \mathrm{sk}$ ilus question of the Defendant's cuunsel. on Why did Mr. Sherfield promise to satisfy thic Bishop? Did hee give this satisfaction hefore h. dil/eifo fact? This I must needs declare to yur ordships, that my lord bisloup of Safng a if writreu to me, and by biv letters it A, thes, the sufficienily how this mater was cyeretr if il what pased about it : If it please n/ $/$ Lord 4$\rangle t$ eper, it nasy be rend. (But this beigg out of cisurse, and a thing to which the Defegramt could make no Answer, whs, not approved ( ) There hath been no fault is the buhop of Strum; but the business on the prosecutor's part hath been as ill folloned as ever I siw : And on the otber side, by the Defendant's enulist, as well defended; so much I must say bior thein.

Sir-Kıchard Skelton. This offence is clearly ngainst the bishop's uuthority ; and the greater by his Defence set forth in his Answer; and by what the Defridant hath sliewed, it apieareth he did this by his awn authority. The Order or Agrecment of the Vestry is no more Lut this: You may, if you please, do such n thing: Mr. Sherfield nasy, it he please, tahe down the Glass Window ; thant is to be understood at his peal, they nobld not stand to it. This, especially in a man of his exanuple, is not to be pawed wicr in these tiues, I madertake thera arc some spuits now, that if they had been alive in Solumon's time, wonld hasts gane nigh to have done viulence to dhe Chirubims; God kuoweth what would bave bccome of them!

Mr. Ifcruc. As to my lord of Londup's objection, that it seeme' $h$ it was done after the Inhibition, Mr, Sberfiell, upon bis oath, denieth that he had notice of O . M Mr. Chancellor liursclf saith, he had not notice till ofter the fact: He was uften with the bishop, and he never used any words nbout it to him.

Dishop of Limulon. He undertook to satisfy the hishop (and the bihhop, you are to hnow, is not bound to give notice to crexy man of his public act;) but your Proofs are, that the vestry bave done these and these things, without tho lishop; they prove matter of fact, but what was done heretofure, maketh not much for an svil custom.

A'torncy Gencral (Willian Noy). We will not talk of the atathority of the Vestry against. the Bishop's aulhority, they were nt first made and suffered through negtigence of the prelates themselves. The vestry consists of the minister and curate, "and some lay-men, I do not say, lay-elders; they bere agree for the taking of this Window down, but the question lieth not upon their power, it lieth upon the fact itself, confessed by the Defendant himself: and, I say, the had not coufessed and proved more against hinnself than the relutor limelf hath done, hd might (I thinh) liave gone without the censure of this court. It is proved by the

Defendant's Witnesses; and that he did it, is confessed; but he alledgeth in his excuse, that for 20 years he observed this window, and be took offence at it; he sat in a seat in the Whurch, and he, could not choose but gaze on it he could not rest with a good conacience, but ine Windgy must be taken down: But in all these, 20 years he never complained to the ONinary; but after 20 years be proposcth it to the-restry; there were present the chuichwardied "ruil vestry-men, and Mr. Thatcher the mif churosone were so wise as to question whethy (Lhedyjshop's consent were not to be had 40 It: To this the Defendant saith, he will ©atisfy the bishop, but never offered to do it: Atterm. dis it is agreed Mr. Sherfield may take/h down, prowidel he make it op nguin with uetr plain gliss. The bislop, hearing of this, sendeth for one of the churchwardens, and makes a publick act to prohibit the taking of the Window down, and suspends the power of the vestry, if they had any: of this the bishop is not bound to give notice; The Defendant must do it afterwards at his peiil, and he told others that the chancellor opposed it.

For the Manner of it, when he cometh frotn London, he went in secret manner, it was between four and five of the clock, when at that tine of the year it was between day and night, growing to darkness: And he went by himself, he took no elazier with hirk, nor any other to witnces las act, no not so much ns any one of the vestry-men, upon whose authority he said he did it; ant thus in private und secret manner he comnmitted this exploit. It is saut by the Witnesses on hisopart, that some dud, by uccension of it, commit Idolatry, but Mr. Sherfield knew not of it, (for any thing that appeareth; besides, lie did not tollow the Vestry's order in toing it, for he broke it down, he dud not tuke it down : he tageth offence at the painted errors, but he hath broken it, and never mended it again: But he breaketh that part only which offended him, the head and the fret : If this had been done in the execution of a publick act, he would surcly have had some witnesses of it; but he had none, he bolted humself into the church, that none might see him, he was not willing to be seen; so it was clandestinely done: If it had been done by him upon the order, he would have consulted with Lie ghazier; wherefore certainly lie exeruted his own humour, and not the restry's order.

It hath been figrther said, tif excuse the Defendant, that he may and must do it; but of how dangerons conséquence this 'unay and ' must by;' is, I shall endeavour lriefly to shew unto your lordships. He takes intice, first, that the church is a lay-fee, and being in the parishioncrs, and himse'f a parishioner, that he may therefore do it: but from this they have already started, and they may and must do it ; for they well know it is a parochial church, nud endowed wiila a ricarage, which if they should hare denied, we have the record itser ready here in court to prore it.-But yet thing say,
there hath been a vestry even from the first foundation : and they have done, and used to do divers juch things; they have made seats, pulled down seats, removed the place for reading the service out of the choir into the body of the chorch, takeu down walls of the church, and the like, without the bishop's leave or license, and therefore may take down this Wiudow.
My lords, there is a great deal of difference between repairing and reforming: Rformation ought to be made always by the supreme power, not by privgte men : but when private persons, or a vestry, will take upon them reformution, I make loold to say, it is the Gighwny to pull all out of order with their reformation. Something was said, as if the reason why the Window should be taken down, was, because the painting darkened the church: But if this had been all, I should unt have spoken much against it. But it was done for reformation, his conscience could uot bear it. If it should be lawful for private men to do thus much, what will ther do next?
Nay, sume hold our church is idolatrous and unclean, because Common Prayers are said in them, and Massers havg heretotore been said in thein; and therefore these reformers would at the next bont take nway our charches also; this must be nest. As to the kneeling down to the windows by some; it may be sone will do so to a Sant, or one of the Prophets of the Old Testament, when they see their pictures in a church or chapel, (as in Lincotn'sInn Chapel) which if they do, then Mrr, Sherfield must pull such windows down, or somebody else to whose conscience it is $\pi$ trouble. Aga'n, because it is a Cause of Idulatry in others, therefore muct Mr. Sherfield pull it down; but it is such idolatry as must be conrealed from the ordinary. Sappose another man'come, and sny it is no cause of idolatry, and therefore it sliall stand still: Thus they differ fint, and then they fight for it; next they have partakers on both sides, and so an insurrection may come of it, which kas many tines taken its leginuings from less occasions than this: And.though, God be thanked, in this case it was not so, yet it might have been, and may be so, it such things should be alloned, in other instances of tihe nature hereafter. But he may and must do it, because of the late queen's Injunctipus and Articles, \&c.
The Injunctions and Articles are but to authorize the Ordinary to enquire of such thinge, fit to be reforned in charchis by the churchwardens, and other officers: and they are to present it th them, and so they are to be rcformed by the power of the Urdinary in every diocese and jurisdiction: And the meaning was, that all rellcs of idolatry and snperstition should be taken away; but every memorial, or story of n maint and prophet, is not a relic of idolatry ì) superstition, Any monument of superstition, or of feigngd or false mivacler, may be taken down; but monuments, or pictares for memorials of snints or prophets, are
not idolatrous or superstitious. If they shoul be so, because sone men conceive them so and then they may pull them down of thei own headg, why then, many might, and I doub not but some fiery spirits would, take upor them the boldness, to pull down all cathedra churches, because they are made in form of a cross, which some of the precise sort canno abide: And sof because churches stand for th most pari east arfl west, they would pul them dowi, because, forsooth, they hold it superstition. But reformation is, and always hath been a ${ }^{\text {owork }}$ of public authority, and some men have been punished in this court, heretufore, for offending in this kind.
In the queen's time, many went abroad, ol their own heads, to break down crosses, images, and pictures of all sorts, in the 44th Eliz. At Banbury they pulled down the cross there. And in the 12th of king James some were brought here in this court, ore tenus, and sentenced for the like. And by the Sentence you shall see what name is given to those men, who pulled down crosses; I shall read bat these two records, and say no more.
The Records were read by Mr. Robert Pagc, the Recorder's Attorney.

- By the Queen, a Proclamation ogainst pull$t$ ing down of Inages and Pictures: Whereas - many violent persons have of late of cheir ' own authonty gone about to deface the Walls
' and Glass Windows of Churches, and in their
- violence have pulled down Tombs and Monu-
- ments of noblemen, and gentlenes deceased,
' to their dishonour, and to the breach of our ' peace: Therefore a strict coumandment i, - given that all men forbear to break the Pic' tures set upon tombs or graves, and not to © break the pictures or portraitures of the nu-- blemen, or others in churches, church-yalls, ${ }^{6}$ windows, \&c, nor any images whatsoever, 'without the advice of the ordinary, or the ' advice of the queen's majesty, or her council, '\&cc. Given 44th Eliz.'


## The other Record is this:

- In Camera Stellata anno regni Jac. duodetcimo. Whereas William Dale, John Eden,
- Hugh Jones and Richard' Jackson, and other ${ }^{6}$ refractory Puritans and Brownists, did deface - divers Crosses in high-ways, in the night'time: For this the Judgment of this Court is ' upon their confession in open court, that the f said William Dale, John Eden, Hugh Jones ' and Eichard Jackson, shall be bound to the - good behaviour, and acknowledge their of-- fence at the assizes, and every one of theas 'pay 100 marks fine to the king's use.'
- Your lordhhips see now that the defendant migbt not do it as he did, nor was he bound to do it at all; we therefore leave it now to your lordships to censure.


## The Santenciz.

Chancellor of the Erchequer (Lord Cottingtpa). This Cause, may it please your lord-
ships, is of great weight, and well deserves the consideration of this Court : it is brought by his majesty's Attorney General against this Defendant, Henry Sherfield, and some others; but the rest are not proceeded ngainst. $11 ;$ offence, as it hath been proved by witneges, end confessed by himself, is the vilful breaking of a Glass Window in a church in Salisburv. which window anciently stood there; and fins he endeavours to justify. That these, ${ }^{2} \cdot 1$ such like, are the acts of Puritans and ${ }^{\text {Ig }}$ a 1 sts, it appeareth upon record. IIs Anthis, it conceive, to bo against him, thoug fie ni qaits that purt of his justification, and it shed deh his spirit. It is said he is a wise han, and alf old man, learned in the laws, at ff that grey hairs are upon bim ; but' it had been a better argument of extenuation to have said he was a weak man, a poor man, or a mad man. He took scandal, and it was an offence to his conscience; but this was a tender and scandal-receiving conscience; be must have the window removed.

This, and such like matters may go very far, and great mischiefs may arise by it, as there have of late years both here in this kingdom and in France. Ile did not only do this, but he boasted of it when he had done, as if he had reformed Superstition : one Day's Work, in the representing the Creation, is set befure another; and the Picture of the little old man in blue, must be the picture of God the Father. But this is as light as to affirm, that ldolatry may be onmunitted to any thing, which for ornament the painter hath inade. But for the making of pews in the church, pulpits, \&sc. this is but reparation, from this theytcome to reformation. Six of the vestry, at least, conceive they have power to puil down this Window, theysagree it shall be taken down, and Mr. Sherfield may do it if he pleast, \&cc. This was in Jan. 1629, 5 Car.; but it was not done till Oct. following, and then it is not taken down, but broken down. I verily believe, though it appears not in proof, and therefore as a non liquel I pass it by, that he knew of the bishop's Inhibition. But, say they, why then should not the biahop speak to him of it ? He snith it is a lay-fee; and said, that before the fact Mr. Chancellor opposed him. The violent manper of his doing $t$, is both proved and confessed. It is said he s a justice of pence, I hope your lordships will ake order he be justice no longer. It is nuved he receiped the Communion kneeling; why did they not prove likewise that Mr. Sherfield was haptized? Whq doutts that Mr. Sherfield was baptized? There wss a atrict inquisiion to prove the fact; it was well done so to inquire, you see the ill example of it, others. collowed it. They say it was a madman who didjt: this was not proved: but it was more like he whato mad himself; it was indeed the act of a madman, and fit for none but madinen to imitafe.
For $h^{\prime}$ s Answer, I take it to be full of singularity and pride; and notwithstanding any thing contaified therein, or in the Proof, I hold this
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his action a great offence, an offence of great acandal and presumption as to him that knows the law. If he or others had been minded, upon good advice, or in good way to bave prevented this or the like thing fit to be reformed, Q the proper ordinary, or to the king's majenty, being the supreme head, be and they should have done nell, and have had a great many thanks fos so doing: but though it were fit bos removed, it was not in his or the vestry'shed sado do it. I take it, it differs not from \& churgle adjudged here in this court the last dm/I. ben a great many poor men, who had io Right © Common, but in claining it madefer riot, wre justly punished. So here, though this Whdow were scandalous, yet a private man, fack, many grivate men cannot take it down? for what, as Mr. Attorney said, if one half the town would have it stand, and the other half would bave it down, what must follow but insurrection? So that here is in this a great deal of disobedience, and that done in the singularity of his syirit in contempt pf the Church; lie bath thereby touched upon the regal power, and encruached upon the hierurchy of the bishops, who have their autbority froin the king. I come now to my Sentence; - I will hare him to be no more Recorder of - this city, That he be bounil to the good be' haviour. That he make a public acknowledg' ment of his fault in that Church where his 'fact was doue, and in the Cathedral Church, 'and that he may pay $1,000 l$, fine to the king's ' minesty.'

Lord Chief Justice of the Common Pleas (sir Robert 1 jeaih). In this canse, brought by Information by myself, whea I was his majesty's Attorney-General, aqainst Mr. Sherfield and others, the relator hath only proceeded against this fine Defendant. Upon all that hath been said on both sides, these things come to my consideration, the fact, and the circumstances of aggravation : for the first of these, I shall ngree it to he an offence; but 1 shall not agree in the manner of punushment, set by my lord that spake last. I dare not give encouragement for uny private man to do any pubite thing in church or commonwealth of his own authority, it is a very pernicious and dangerous thing; but yet I shall not senteuce him for some things, which in the first place I shall make mention of.

1. That he should do it by Confederacy and Conspiracy with others, and that riotously: it is true, it is thus charged in we Bill; but this is not proved, nutr any other, than Mr. Sherfield himself prosecuted. I must confess, ${ }^{\prime}$ was informed (hat the Cause was much foulenthan it is, and many others were suspected to have an hand in it; and this was the reason of the Charge in the Information.
2. It may be he twok juct scandal at thin superatitius Window ; and had he ohly moved it at the vestry, this alone had not been so great a fault, if he flad done according as tie Vestry -rdered.
3. That he did it contrary to the edpmand
and direction of the bishop; but this I dare not say is so fally proved, as on it to ground my Sentence, though I verily think, as to my own private satisfaction, be could not but know of the bishop's Inbibition. Inowever, seeing it is not proved, (though if it had been carefully followed, I doubt not but it might have been made appear sufficiently; for the bishop of Salisbury himself, and mauy others, might have beea examined in it) I pass it grer as a thing not manifest.
4. That this was done out of the spirit of contradiction, and in opposition of the CharchGovernment: I condeunn lis rashness and heat of spirit in doing it without the bishop; but I cannot perceive that it was done to oppose the bishop, or ceclesiastical government. If this bad been proved, or did appear in his actions, I should have accounted it the greatest matter agninst him; as for his inward thoughts, I dare judge no man's conscience.
5. That he did this in a profune manner, and that it was a breach of piety towards God, I must confess I think not so, hut rather that the offence was fit to be removed; he was grieved, and his conscience offended at it; and I verily think, if the bishop had been told of it in a decent manner, he would have reformed it.
6. That it was done riotously ; but it is clear there was no riot in the manner of doing this thing : and so I hold this no augravating circumstance, he did but satisfy his ill-grounded cunscience.
7. That when he had thus done, he bonsted of it; this appeareth not, no man seeth this proved: nay, in his answer, opened by his counsel on his onth, he saith he accoonteth it $n$ great cross to him, and is very sorry for it.
As to his place and authority, his wisdom andgravity, and his profession, these excuse hinn not, bot nuther incretise his fault. As to the colourable pretences by him used in acting this business, I do not take these, or his secret going about it, to oggravate his fault; I think Vestries have too great power, and often take upon them to do things beyond their power; and yet I know nothing to the contrary but the reverend bishops, may abridge that power when they will. As to this action of his, I find that he did it not 'contra voluntatem episcopi, sed ' prater;' that be was the first mover of the matter to the Vestry : this is not a fault in bim, I think, but well done of him; and yet when the vestry had done this, and the Defendant executed their order or ngreement, I do not see, but the bishop, if they had done ill, might have punished them. Give me leave, I beseech your lordships, to speak thus much: a judge must not speak his own imaginations, but according to Proof; he is bound ever to give Sentence secundum probata, not probubilia. That he undertook to satisfy the bishop, this I think is proved by one single Witness ; but yet this action of the Defendant, I cenceive to be an error in the Defendant. The Vestry (he should have known) are tbut private.men, and lave no jurisdiction to reform, whatsoever they

## hiave done in matters of repair heretofure. But *) this,' ot such like things should be pernitted

 in the Church-povernmeat, to be done upon private authority, why should not the like men do the like in the commonwealth? and then we shinuld be ut an evil pass. We read in the Book of the Judges of Israel, when there was no king in Israch, prisate men did what was good in their own eyes, and many erroneous things and slaughters fell out thereupon.There pas cause, I am satisfied, that this Window should be remived. It was made for the Picture of God the Father, and so it wno generully conceived to be: Vut though it was idolations, and their howing to the same was conceived to be idolatry, they should therefore have tuld the bishop of it; which seeing neither Mr. Sherfield nor the Vestry did do, he is not in this to be excused. I shall therefore ugree to sentence hin for this fault; but I shall for bear to put him from his place of Reconder in the said City : it is not an offence in him as Recorder, nor as Justice of Peace. I hold every than that is sentencenl should, as near ns may be, be sentenced co mudo quo offendit, nad therefore I think not fit that he be put from either of his placess, for else we should for this one offence censure him as worthy to be cut off frum tis plsces, and so good for nothing. And I shall forbear to bund him to the good behaviour, for he is a gentleman of reputation in the country where he dwelleth; and I have oliserved, that u gentleman is not bound to the good behaviour, but for very foul and enormous offences. But I would have him to make acknowledgment of his Fraule mito my lord bishop of Salisbury, and befire such an he shall call unto him: and I would lave bim give some satisfaction, and thas in the very kind that he hath offended, at the discretion of the Bithop. For the lime of 1,0002 . set by my loril, that spake list betore me, I hold it to be wo nuth for an error, being there appeareth no contempt : I shall theretiore think, and so set 500 marks tn be eusugh.

Lord Chief Justice of the King's-Bench (Sir Thomas Richardson). My lords, Mr. Attorney General is plaintiff ngainst Hepry Sherfitld, esq. We are not to take notice of any more Defendants, because they are uqt proceeried against; for this cause, my lords, I hold it comes fitly and properly before your lortships bere. This as rightly cromen stellionalun. There be many covers in it; for it is of mixed cognizance, and therefore fit for this court, which I ever held to be the ereatest court, except the parliament.
In delivering of my mind, I shall crave pardon; if I speak any thing which shall be mistaken. For the fact, (as it appeareth unto me upon the Proofs) it was the breaking of a cer--taia Window of painted gleas, not one of the greatesk is the charch: it was a private Window, widd it was privately done by him with pis plack utaff. Hianative to do it was this, There wns effence ioptis Window, and the conceived that ift wes idelatry, inr the cause of idolatry. The wats, that God the Father should be
picturef there in the form of appld man in blae and red. I have no reason to think Mr. Sherficld took this to be made for God the Futher; for he never was nor never can be pictured. Who knoweth him so well? Moses hitnself seg but his back paits. But give me leave, fly lords, as for Idolatry. This yorshippind of idols is the greatest sin of all others; it is a spiritual idolatry; it is to give Godfs hopour unto creatures: For the Ifomilies nof the Church, I think they are very ef ${ }^{1}$ it whings (and so they are without doubt "low, yhere is an excellent Ilomily ugaiust Idelliry iso that Mr. Shertield, and others, taki/g offend pat the pictures in this Window, (al fough I see not why it should the taken for Fod the Father) they might, to aroal occasidno of evil desire, endeavour to remove the same But then I hold he should have gone to the proper judge that bath power, And here 1 find tault with him, thut in the $\$ 0$ years of has continued offence theieat, he would never resort to the tuslupe to complain thereof: This svas certainly 'scandalum neceptum, et non daiun.! He should lave goue to the bishop; but for his colour to do the same, by the oriler of the vestry, I think it a mere culour. Tivo withstzod thas motiou, eight or six comsented to the taking of it down. I marvel any question at all was made of it; for 1 know Mr, sherfield is as well beloved of the citizens us any man can be; and, I presuine, be might command an order in the vestry: but, I say, the vestry hath nothing to do to reform, it wholly belongeth to the bistop. And the pouer of the church-wardens, by the Canons and Constitutions, is hut to inquire and present ; but the hidhop, the sus preme ordinary in his docere, and the archdeacom, who is 'maguus oculus episcogi,', are the proper agents in a work of reformation; what mischiefy nould else ensue? There was ta apecial comnission in IIenry 8'stiaue, 1 know who were coumissionet's, and have seen the commission: They did strange things; but I have seen again as strange stories of things befallen thise houses.
In 3 Edw. 6, cap. 10. it was enncted, That Reformation in the charches should be made by Arcbinshops and their Commissaries. This was repenled by queen Mary, but set on foot ngatn 1 .Jac. und these times must follow the wisdom of an act of parlitunent. Agnin, of whit dangeraus consequence is this act of Mr. Sberfield's? If these men should be permitued to be refirnnerspti.ey would refoim sonuse ihugs that need no reformation. I bave scen, iu sonne chucrlas, in my crrcuit, some Storics of the New TestaḰnt, so:ne in windows, sone in needler wark, and 'woren-work; Goil firbid Uhese, should lie taken nwhy.
The manner of lis doing of it I tike not. He dide not take it down, hut treak is down in the heal and Fet, which olfended hinn: This should havetheen the act of public autuority; he prevumethín do it in the church, 'a sacred place, and eyer privileged: Therefore it was nn offenceso use any violunce in it, though. but ty

the wipdows, and therefore to be punished. I think churches too little regarded; I hold it very ill that he did it so in private. He might rather have taken a glazier with him. Yet I bold clearly, be doth not disaffect the government. To my knowledge, he hath doue good in that city singe I went that circuit; so that there is neither beggar nor drunkard to be seen - Ihice. For ecclesiastical governusent, be is outwand!/ confornoble: I have been long acquainled sitia hin; he sitteth by une sometimes al churoh; he bringeth a Bible to Church with him (I Snve seen it) with the Apocrypha and Conmon-Frayer Book in it, not of the nqu rit.

That he should do it ngainst my lord biahop's special directing is the contrary, I do not think so. Th-re is but one that proves his undertaking to satisfy the bishop. And for the Inhibition, he had no notice of it, for nught is proved; "ny, he expresly denieth it upon his oath, wherefore I do not believe lie had notice.

To speak somewhat of the Offence, that sticketh upon him, the breaking of the Window; I assure myself, if Mr. Sherfichd had gone and acquainted the bishop with this order, when it was made, this cauce had heen prevented; but done as it was, it was disorderly done, and without warrant. This therefore is an offence done by the Defendant; and it is e.. जūuct, ill nrroxating to himself power nnd authority pot belonging to hitn, aud lís zeul and good io, tention shall not excuse him : Zeal must not transport a man out of his calling, nor beyond his bounds; if it doth, it ceaseth to be zeal, it is rashness und boldness, it is (my loris) presumption. I proceed to ny Sentence, wherein I nust crave liberty (and in all things whenever I speak in this place) to use my oun conscience; and I shall ever hold this rule, to judge and iufict punishoient,' 'secuuduus quan"titatein slelicti"
For this Defendant, I think hin transported with a litte indiscreet zeal: and he woald ant seek remedy for his grievance of his ordinary, that he might have had leave to do this deed, but rather do it of his own hend, and this by colour of the vestry's order, nothing to the purpose. And this, my lords, is rather an error, in not doing what he ought to have done, than any great ofence in doing what he ought not.

My lords, this I remember always, that every punishment here mukt be 'ad. reformationemf 'non ad ruinap:' therefore I shall not agree ta discharge him of bis Reeordeship $p_{2}$ nor of hin place of Justice of Peace in that city. For bindung him to the good betuaviour, I humly crave -pardon to disseut from that; be is agrape - bencher, and a learned man, and a gentleman well governed hithertn, howsinever his indisireet zeal transported him into this error. This is the first offence that eyer you heard of him. I thall agree for his Subgission and Confétion of his faple to my lord bishop of Salisbury, to bermade before his lordship, an! such as he hall call unto him: But, my lords, for bis Fury to the king, 1,000 l. is too much, and 300 marri $-3 \operatorname{tog}$

Voln HL.
little; I shall therefore go between both, and set 500 l . and imprisoniveut accordity to the course of the court.

Secretary Windebanke: I agree is Sentence with Mr. Chancellor of the Exhhoquer, 1,000l. Fine, Acknowledgnent in both Churelees; to be put out of his place, and fraprinoned.

Secretary Cooke. His majesty's Attorney General Plantiff, and Mr. Sherfield, tio antient gentleman, is Defeadunt. In my Stonce, I stall endearour to keep a good rule, which in this, not to make faults where thoy are not, not to make them greater thain in themselves they are. The Information bath charged sedvep se veral crimes upon the Defendent; bat of ald these, nothing but one, touching the dofacing of such ornaments, sticketh upon him: But is thim so much? This picture was made for the picturw of God the Father. So I thought also, I confess, whosoever was mistaken: for Images in. Churches, there hath heen heretofore much trouble aliout them. The first trouble that 4 have read of, was in or about the second Nicear council; and we read, that imngery, or imaga worship, grew up afier it had wlily crept. in, in this manner.

First they were made for 8tories, to tomeh that to the eye which the word doth to the eat. Then they began to gain some shaw of reverence at their approush unto them; but nok to than images, the reverence was done ta God. Afieywards they came, as we read, (out of the windows and walls) into the church, and at lapt upon the aljars, and then to be worshipped and offered unto. Howbeit, this was but a relarim worship, ss they would excuse it; nay, as 8 . Gregory de Valentia saith, they did in his time give co-worslip to the Inages with'God : Bat our Charch doth not allow Rny adoration to be given to any image or picture whatsoever, hor the inange of Gud the Father to be in the chureh.

This is the matter of his Acersation: Bo that as unto the matter, the taking away of auch a picture is no offence ; but in the manner of doung it, is the greatacss of ihe offence. Reformation iu a prisate man, is deformation, it is ingt to be permitted: I shall ever be as feady to punish such as any. But that be did thas of his own hend, witiput leave of the churfbnardens, and agninst the lishop'y Inhibition, it doth not appeur to me as it standeth before us. I conceive he had sone opinion that the Vestr's, or his own authority, might allow him "iu thet. which be did; and warrant him, in it; but He sloould then have done it according to the tijo thority. I think he did this oat of a litule more ieal than he thought to be in others; and I Rind that he did it without seqnainting the tushop therewith: but it is fully proved that he is copformeble, and tberefire it doth not appeariso be jo opposition of the reverend bishops.
I incline to my lord the Judge's opinion tbute, spoke lust, that it was done out of zest; and ho hipself $\mu$ pạwerettr, it was dope out: of tendepngss of conscience: ypt May, that private nies ate not to make batierien againg glass windepo
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in Churches at their pleasure, upon pretence of reformation. Notwithatanding, I conceive the dariger of example bencounuge others to break down such wimions, will not be so kreat, as the occasion of iriums do tw ill-affected persons would be, if this coun sh uld too severely ponish un erfur in pulling that down which the church disalloseth. Thereliare an Acknosledgment to the bishop of Salizbury, in the presence of such others us je shall dppoint, that he lath not dune well in int asking his leare, would do noll; and I hold it, $t$ it shoadil so be: And that he be admonished to coaturmo humself to the goverment; but I nequit hum (for my part) of his Fine, and all other punishment.
Sir Thomas Jarmin, The cause before your luidabrys, is upon Mr. Attoncy Generial's' Informathon uzunsi this genticman, Mr Sherfield: and it is for doires of a thang, "hich, it it had. been done with auswerable crrcumstancers, had been no fault in hus, tsed bouten est ex imu gris 'causis.' So that the not doing of thit thang in a right maduer, maketh it nuevil; but not so great ant evil, in my optnion, as by our sentence to ruan hum. But it is a great error tor men to be zealous in ther private spirits, and to put thenseleis forward upou poblic actions belouging to the supreme government. Ibuld that the practical sulecisun, by overt actions, is thegreatest oppoition auainst uu hority. These ore to be viglantly met withal; but fior thos gentleman, somu things here spoken make me pity has case: besides bis doing the fact in such manner as he couresseth, there is not any one thing else brought home upun hin ty two witnesses. To speak my Sentence simorily; As I shall not say any thing to cucoura;e those hotspirited men, so I shail till bear aud remember that excelleut und just saving, mentionel by one of my lords the Judges, that we are to juinesecundam probata, not prubabilua; and therefure I agree with the same lond in all the sentence.

Sir Henry liane. As to the cause, before this court, at this time, it is (my lords) a crine in the Defendaut; none differeth from, this, thont he hath done that which befitteth not hus wisdom and experience. I thave learued long since, that ignorance doth not exruse an orfience, cither in church or commonnealth: and I hold that this offence of conscience is not to cacuse him; for he went not to the binlap to complain of it, all these 20 years that he was troubled at it. I heard some commend bis manner of doing it. I am not of that mind, he could not but know, that if he had an order he must pursue ft. I must confess, I do adinire, that he (beiny a lawyer) should be ignoriut of the
r. Prochanation to the contrary: but he is a Jearried man, a Recorder, a Bencher, and a
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Parliament man; I have known him give -grave and wise counsel in thut place: all These aygrevate his offence, quid make it wilfulness in hym. But for his çoufurmity, and yet doing a thing contray to his profession of conformity, I gropud nyy Sentence the heavier upon - hisa. He shall pay (I think fir) 1,000 l. he shall
make acknowledgment of his Offence in the cathedral Church of Sarum before the Bishop, Prebendaries, and Canone, but not be put out of his Recorderslip.

Sir Thomas Edmonds. I agree with my lord Heath for 500 marks fine, acknuwledgment be'fore the bishop of Saruun only', and such as he shall please to call unto him.

Bishop of London. If there be 'dplensio ' fact,', or 'confessio facii,' or else twi) Witnesses, I think any one of thesé thrie will be a sulficient proof to cinnict $n$ man of an offence; and 1 have ot scry/d therevare all these together, in this cequse against Mr. Sherfield. Ile conicssith yhat lie troke the Windows, and seffeib forth hid justification in his Answer to Mr. Attorney's Information ; and this was doue by hun with his pile-staff, as is textileed by two witnesses, such an they were; yet they were- rye witnesses, which is the atrongest testunony. I am persuaded, as I aura private inan, that at least he heard of the bistopt's Inhibstion; I do not say, hat intoorantinfucti may excuse a man in such a case, ut least a tanto, tiough not a toto perclance; hut zemurantaa jarí never doth excuse: wet, bucause it is not drecily pirixal that he hasl matice of the bet of Juhilition, mate hy my lord bishop, 1 shall forlicar to give my Sentence tunchong this partucular, the rather brcause he hith cleared hinseff of it by lis oath; and yot lhave met woth an strange an equesocation in some of lite a a alunot hath been beard of, I have not read the like; hut secing tirier is not phom prodf, I must not jurge- him otlier than a honest man.

Mr. Helliert hath defended this as well as erer suy did a rause to my kuinuledge. - As for Vestries, which were made and suffered first by negligence vanbtless, yot be ing of continuance, we canatot su casily restrain the power which they use. I bave lad experience of what 1 speah lerein, in a parish charch within my diacese, St. Lawrence by name, there is a Vestry : in fell out noce that they could not agree upon some elecuon, I interpused ns Ordihary; I had no sooner done this but I was inhibited by the archbishop of Cantertury; afterwa:ds, by lis grace's means, it was icferred to me to cnd, which I endcavoured; but then a Prohibition at the common law was sent me; so that it is not all easy matter to cestrain a custgon.

Bat it is not in the pnoger of a Vestry to remorg or displace any thing in the Charch that f; doubtful; and though they mate min Order, in this case, for the taking down of the Wiodow, yet it was Mr. Shertield's fault io go so disorderly to work; his violent and riotons bepaking into the charch, nud upon a consecrated thing, is criminal in him.

Wherohs divers thingy truching his conformity hife teen prosed, I mun confident upon gond iftormution, had the chuse been followed as wef as defended, (but it was ill followed by then that prosecuted, and unworthv their
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place,) many more things might have beet proved agniust him ; and that it would have appeared, the had done more harm underhand a his place, than good otherwise. But for his trouble of conscience, which should impel to this uction; it troubled not much, for he kept it in, and uuarigleed it umil it grew, as you see, to a great head, so that at lnat it hath broughit hiun hatur r, even to the sentence of this court.
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{y}}$ conscience heing lad at stake, I an no of opinion, hat Images and Picturvs were not in the Church untul the time of Gregory the Great: nor am I of opinion that the first trouble about them $p^{\text {was }}$ at the second council of Nice. St. Grepars, who was 600 ycars after Christ, in his 9ti book and 9th epistle, written to -, saith of Images, ' vesustas ad' nissit, \&cc.' But 200 years before thus, we fiod that Gresory, surnamed the 'Duine,' otherwise called 'Gregory Nazianzon,' whell the Emperor laid siege to the city of which he was bistop, in hiss Oration to the suid Emperor, to move him to pity, suib, That the citizens, above all their fossey, spoiling of the city wulls, ruining of their houses and temples, toik to heart the pulling down their statues; - Ft hne ncerbuo, saith he. Nay we find them in the church 200 years after Christ, they were upon the chalice, and that is ever upon the altar.
In 'Terrullian's time (who was one of the ancientest Father.) there was painted upon the Chalice the piture of the Shepherd bringiug home the lost sheep upon his shoulders; and this was oljected ngainst Tertullian himself, who in lis latter time fell into the opinion and error of the Montaniste, who are against second Narriage and Repentance after Baptista, affirmaig drat no Repentance is left to hina that sinneth after Baptivn; ngainst which error, the church used this symbol of the shepherd bringing home the lost shees
Again, in the time of that nncient Father Ireusens, who is held to be the Scholnt of St. Sohu, thiry had the Picture of Jesus Chist: and fley bail it from ti.e Gnosticks, who had adorations aih it, and sacrifices: and therefore the huly father condemned that picture, because, sath he, the Gnosticks did that to this Picture which the Heathens did to their Idol Gods. Butit hath been, a distasteful thing to remove Pictures and Images. We read, that the bishop of Cyrene broke the Pictures in the Churchus, which his people took so ill, that they rose agginst him, and werpe hardly appeased.

And of late times we thave had exper nce of like mischiefs in lrance and the Low-Cotntries onbout this matter. And we know what rebellions were raised in the begianing of the Reformation here in tlis kingdom and in Germany : when Carulostadius and lis complng. went ahour to pull down, and deface be Images in the churches, what a atir was ther? fí Luther himself had not come back aud oppensed the Multitude by his tuady advice, ,yat the Work of Reformation was to be left to the su-
preme ruagistrates, "which was well done of him, and a thing wherein he shewed his wistom). much more mischief would have ensued. I do not say these things to any such purposes, at that Imuges should have any part of Divine adoration.

When these were brought into the Churcher, as one side fell to worslisping them, so the other side fell to breaking and defacing thẹu, which bred many broils; anol amongythe rest, one very sharp contention by reation of the prevailing of Worshippers of Jmages, was stired in the time of Constantine the Great; for I read the Euspress gave her voico agaiast her $t$ on Constantine to put him off from theempire, because of bis defaciag of the Innages, which they had in tiecir Churches. But for that gross Council of Nice, (pardon me this gross term, but they deserve it in my opinion) Whey decrie the same honuur was to be dana to the Image ns to the Life, whether it wera the pecture uf man, or of God, or of Christs. And then another Decree in that Council was, that a man must rather endure penury than da violence to a Pieture: and their absurd div-: tinction of Latria and Doulia, \&cc. Yet this I say, therc is a great deal of differ ence between an lunge and an Idol. But then, if men give worship to them as to the other, it is unlawful.

As for the Injunctions in the queen's tine; ; this was done by pablic authority, and done ia every duce ly their proper Judge.

And, touching the matter in question, I do not thank it lawful to make the Picture of God the Father' but it is lawful to nake the Picture of Christ, and Christ is called the expresa Image of his Father. I do not mean to say that the Picture of Christ, as God the Son, may be myde ; for the Deity cannot he pourtrayed or pictured, though the Henananity may. I do not think but the Representatioli of Gad tho Father (ns in the Prophet Duniel he is culled the Autient of Days) hath been allowed (thougli crroneously) to be made, like an antient old man ; and this the Lutheran party hold-took but whic her it he idelatrous or superstitious of no, this I hold not to be the question. And I shall crise liberty not to declare mine opinion' at this time, whether it ought 10 Le removed : but the Delendant, Mr. Sherfield, did this it: contempt, at least in neglect of the Cburch': authority, and the authority of the king's mer jesty; for the clurch derive their nuthatity from the king, as well as the Civility. I shalit. therefore sentence hiin for breaking this Window, whether it nere fit or no to be in fine. church; if it had been white glass, it would have been the serpe thing in me; it wes a violent and raging act, and it is now a busfiness of great weight and ill consequence, and therefore it for the timely censure of this Court. And I say farther, if it had been the Idol of Jupiter,

[^24]sind thoy had professed Diviue Worstip to it, ir had not have beea lawful for Mr. Sherfield, or ady private man, to defnce it; and this I shadl prove und manintain by Seripture.
Tive Idol of Jupiter was but as the golden calf which Aaron made, before which the People of Israel committed Idolatry; yet we see Judgment was executed by the supreme mogistrate, by command fram God, and the tribe of Levir was cemmandefl to kill the Idolaters.

Then agsin, there was a Brimen Serpent appointed to be set up by the Iord himself; and pfierwards it became en Idsl, and the people committed idolatry with it ; yet none of all Israel presumed to break it down, bat Hezekiah the king did it. Also the Calves of Jeroboam, set op at Dan and Bethel, were plain Idnls, yet they continued a long time, and were.not pulled down until Josiah the king did it, and this he doth by his suprene power;' and the king did this by the priests of the first and second order. Both these appear in their Stories in the 4th book of Kings, the 18th and 2sd chapters.
As for the Second Commandment, "Thou shalt not make any graven Image,' or Picture, to thyself: no, take heed, worship it not howsoever it be; if thou dost make an Image, yet thou shalt not worship it. Bat there is no command or example for breaking of Images (when they are made) without public authority. You shall see this plainly in that Altar set up by the Reubenites anid Gadites at Jordan; this was conceived by some of the people to be an idulatrous thing, at least an intention in them th iet up another manner of worship, and Jerusalem was the place of worehip only: there was in embasegge sent unto them, nnd Phineas and other princes were employed in it ; they did not presently fall upon them and break down the Altar, though they had special and strict command to overthrow and break down all idolartrous and heathen Altars, Groves, places o Idolagry and Images ; but this they were not to do pretently, they wete to tarry until the Find was theirs, in their own power, as you may oge in the 7 th and 12 th chapters of Deut biat you will say these were for the Jews, but Bot lor us in the times of the Gospel. In St. Augastin's time the peopld comrinited Idnlatry Whith their Images, and mnny there were that would have pulled down the Images (the causer of this Idolatry.) St. Aquustin adviseth, No, Fint preach them ont of men's bearts, and he ealled upon the ministers so to do ; but you ( Will not pull them down (saith he) until the oapreme Power doth it, or power were given Thim. Thus, if it were Jopiter's Pictare, Mr. Thetrield or any others are not to pull it down What, power be given thern, and Gerardus th IIflheras la of the same opinion.
The Ho thy azainst Idolatry (so much mag $\therefore$ Mifodiningy shews it to belong id the su-- ithomeingiarate, and has refereoce to suc! Gefores, ar are upon, Walls; bot Stories upor Blain Windong were not heremennt. And in forsing lord bitbop of Salisbury, book of his
porthy Lectures ${ }^{*}$ at Cambridge, opon the th chaptir of the Epistle to the Colossians, tpon these words, ' Walk wisely towards them hat are without,' what saith hel Why the ery same that St. Austin did before, thet a private man hath neither pocationicm nor poTestatem to do it; thus if he that read a little farther he should have found direction to have walker wisely. And indeed, those that are out of the Church must be dealt wisely withal, When you see these things, you cannot, as the Israelites did not, deface them, for they belong only to the supretne power. And you shall see St. Paul's practice in the 1 th Chapter of the Acts of the Apostles; he shw they had' set up an Altar to the yuknown God, get he went. not to pull it down, but to teach them that Fod which they knew not, even as St. Austiil flerwards advised.
So I cone to this which is the Work of the Day; this is a violent, riotous and prophane entering into the Church by him, to break this Window down with his pike-staff: and as the matter standeth proved to me, it seemeth there are these circumstances of Aggravation of his fank.

1. The first circutostance of negravation is, That when he went about the taking down of this window, he went not unto the hishop, but chose another way. 2. 1fe was 20 years of fended at it; and in all this time, we think some good spirit might have sugzested unto binn hetter advice, if he would have followed it. 3. By his Olices and authority, his fault in the greater and more scandalous. 4. By bis nge, being grown grey, he should havé learned wisdom. 5. That when he went to do this, he went in private, which sotne have snid to be well doac, hut $I$ atm not of that opinion $?$ true, if it had been a work of necessily in him to tnlè it down, he uight have done it, but then he must follow his order, and he should then have taken a glazier with him to have taken it down, and not brake it down with his staff. 6. His Ofence is the greater by his office of justice of peace; certainly hertin he wai not conservator pacis, for besides the force and violence, there might bave been ruuch discord and blood-shed inbout it, as was well ohserved by Mr. Attorney. 7. By the doing of this act contrary to his Couformity, there hiato beeh the like insolences done in the same Chtrth, for which I think there is a cause against somé of thero depending in the Higt Commitysion Court; there was the Tormb of a dead Bishop there, $1 / 5$ bones taken, up, his skull milade a mazer, ha Apothecary's shop, (as I am informed) his duit thrown aboint, and ath to bory, a timner's wife. 8. In regerd of his tenderness of Coascionce, which he allerged for himself: mytenson is, for that, if he were of a render coniciencol Indeed; yet in this thing I shall stentencelain for not going to the bishop to reveil. it to himif if it were but a shew of tehdomest,
[^25]
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then surely there was the more wilfulness in bis offence, and the can be no excuse. 9. His fault is aggravated from bis Profession. It is an hotourable profession; and as it is a great offertce in a divina to infringe the law of the kiugdom wherein he is born and bred up, so is it also a great ofitnce, if those of the profession of the law vilify the poor laws of the Church. Thus much let me say to Mr. Sherfield, and such of his profession as slight the ecclesisstical laws and persons, that there was a time when churchmen were as great in this lingdom as you are now; and let me be bold to prophesy, there will be a time when you will be as low as the Chorch is nove, if you go on thus to contetnn the Church.
To proceed, he went into the Church. It pleased God to give him a fall upon the place; and if it had not been God's mercy he had broken his back upon the edge of the pew; yet all this while thene things bave not wrought hirn to any Confession that he hath done amiss; nay he saith, he was persecuted for God's Cause, (ns I am informed) but I think he perstecuted the poor Sexton of the Charch, they put him in prison, and there kept bion, and would have kept him, if my Lord Bishop had not seut bail; and if it had not been for the blshop, they would have turned him out of his place. And then, just upon the fact cominited, cometh a new Lecturer to town, and he pitcheth upon the text, Psolm cxix. ver. 181. - I have execated Judgment and Justice; leave ' me not to mine Oppressors.' I hare been the willinger to render this account at this time, because sorne are ready to slander us, as maintniners of Popish Saperstition, and I know not what. A! for my Sentence, I agree with my Lord Cottington.
Lord Wentuorth. This is an offence, my lords, committed by tonan, of learning and judgrinent; the persoths of men and times may aguravate offences. Men now in these days inke themselven wiser than their teachers; whereas it is shid, he did this out of conscience and zeal, and with an intent to honour God, he is out of his element. Uzzah touched the Ark with a good intention; but because he difit this withont waresut he was secretiy ponisted; it is not for a Divine to neddle with' 'Little'ton's Tenures,' norga Fawyer with divinity, to gotem matert in the Church. The Veatry bad ne powet to reform, nos anthorize Mr. 8hérfeld to do this ching: and I hald it a véry great boldness in him, to justify fris bt onder chete prevences: for things which $\forall$ entive utdertake to do of theimselves, if ii be widf done, is is well ; if it be not, fet them look to it. But ior their frequent and orfinary trabscenitivig their power, it is high time that the bishopt to directed by the king's majeity, to Atgulate an tuch thinge, and to reflute all these estry-tweh into order and"obedience. I shall nc\& forbear to punibh an offiente of this dargetrow consequence apon that ground for fear of occasion of triumpla to some: I thi
panity wilf be rather ata encourngedient, to wen of other minds, to set their hands to the: like; of which there is great dxager. I shall not therefore in uny Sentence go asy thing tive than tiny of my lords here before me haved done! ' That he be not any longer Recordor of 'that city; that he be bouad to the good bo' haviour;' I see no reason but a geuidenaha' may be hound to the good behaviour : for hio public ack nowledgrnent, I think in necesoary'ta' be made in both Clurches; and this be paly 1,000 l. fine to his majesty's nos.
Sir Rabert Nauston, master of the Court of Wards and Liveries, gave not his Senteace because he was not in court the last day; at the: beginning of the henring.

Lord Nesbergh, chancellot of the dachy of Lancastet, forbare to give his Sentence for the same renson.

- Viscount Falkland agreed in his Sentence with my lord Cottington, 'for 1,000l. fine unve. ' the ling, \&cc.'
Vircount Wimbleton agreed in hit Statewep with my lord Heath, 'for acknowlodgeent of - his Fault to the bhshop, and auch as he should ' think fit to call to him; and to pay a fine of ' 500 marks to the king' wajesty?

Earl of Follend. Hie was not pretent as the beginning of the hearing of the cause, and therefore did forbear to give his Sentence.

Earl of Devonthire. He agreed with my lord Cottington fur $1,000 \mathrm{l}$. \&ec.

Earl of Dortet. I conceive, my londt, thaty the prosecutor of this cause is much to be blamed, and did the court legally take notied of a prosecutor, where the king is a panty, I should give my vote to fine such a patan: he hath here made a great noise of terrible thiogy (seven in number) but hath not endeavourd to prove many of thent. I shall speak somet what of the matter in question -thent aticketh upon him, and not meddle with what hath wet been proved. And first is to be consideted what was done; a Window in a Churctlow broken, becaase of the Image of God the Ft ther which was in it, in those placts of the feed and feet of the Representation of the Deity this, if it had been done by the proper Judsts bad been well done. If all unlamfol pictures and ingajed were ftterly taken out of the charches, I think it were a good work; for th the best they ara bat rainities and tenelvers of lyes. For the Antient of Dese in Dhatel fit take it) this doth not give wartitht to fround picture of God like an old man ; bot fit thetwedt the eternity of $\mathrm{O} o \mathrm{o}$, thathe was before thll tientich
 thiture of thiy other: for thin being mille wo-k
 for the picture of God the Futber; for with wise dind help God thoout the Cremion t TN therefore if umfowful, no imme ever aw Ood, nor did \%everer yppear in any likeness to pains. Bat we pietare Cllinst, becmase he took upen tinn mith's nature, wend, wes man ne well wiw Gorl; and the Fioly Ghiot appeared in tiver militude of in dove: but I wish there welt of
image of the Father, neither in the Churcb, nor out of the Cburch.

Secondly, I note the mind wherevith it was done, aod it was out of a little too much zeal, his conscience was teuder. This, if it had heen guided well, would loave been worthy of praise. I do not spenk this to make as if men maky take upon them to medille in what belongeth not unto theng; yet there is differcuce between an fault donc of zeal. and the same thing dond out of malice.

Next let us coinsider the authority wherely he did $t$; und hereiu espeaally Mr. Shertield had no power. The Vcotry had no power, neither could they give any to another; it was therefore an error is him to conceise, that because they used to neet and do things for repair aud ornament in the charch, that therefore they might do this, being $n$ piece of reform:tion; 1 say, it was his error to do it withone the bishop of the place. I would not be mistaken, as if I speak or did any thing agningt the authority of the reverend prelates: lor 1 take it, whensoever that nuthority gueth down or decayeth, the monarchy dieth with ir, I think they are inseparably joined together. But this was an opinion of his, that it was their lay-fee; und if he repent him of his opinion, recant it, and depart from his justification, (though his Answer be otherwise) I shall not take upon ine to destroy a man for such an offence. Then in what manner did he it? Privately and without noise; and this I hold to be a diminution of his fault, for secret evils are not so bad as when they are openly done; the saue evils dune in chambers, are not so bad ns if they were, done in the market-place.-And it cannot aggrarate his fault, that he is conformable: I sny, in iny opinion it was very necessary for him to prove bimself a couformitaut; and being charged in the inforaation to be otherwise minded, he did well and wisely to clear himself by proof.
I conne to my Sentence. I shall not sentence him for three or four Papists, nor shall 1 lorbear to seutence him for three or four Sclismaticks; the reason why I shall not sentence him, is to avoid the tumults of the rude ignorant people in the countries where this gentleman dwelleth, where he hath been a good governor, as hath been testified, and is well known, and no doubt hath punished drunkenness and other disorders; and then such persons shall rejoice and triumph ngainst bin, and say, This you have for your severe government. This I think would be no good reward for his care. The resson why 1 shall sentence-lim, is because he bath erred in his nasnuer of doing this thing, in going on his own head without the Ordinary, to a work of this nature ; and this I shall hold to be an offence in this Drfendant, or a misdemeanour, but not $\dot{4}$ crime. I would not bave bim to inne his place therefore, nor to be bound to the goud behaviour; I would notwith-tanding have him make such Ackinowledquent tu the bishop of Sarum, and in such manner as he shall think fit: but I do not set any Fine upon bis.

Earl of Pembroke und Montgomery, Lord Clamberlain, he gave nu Sentence at ull.
Eart of A, undel, Lord Marshal. I find fault with this geatlemun for keeping close his Offence nf Conscience, which he sauth he had at this Wrudow, by the space of 20 years together: He should in all this timablave revenled his naind to the bishup, who had been able to direct him ; but $u_{1}$ on the matier, he geeth in his own nulharity to break dann thes wind?w. This being long kept in his beart, hreaketh out to diface the lasige of God in a man. Besides, he leaveth the ordinary, who hath power, and goeth to the Vestry, who quath none; and in las finatical humnur he proccedeth, nad breaketh the order of the Vestry. Giod pare him a warning; he foll upourthe seat, and hath had tome enough to thank of at shace, nud in all thas time he never $c$ ime to acknowledge has offenre. I agree therefore with my lord Cottinkton.
Earl of Manchester, Lord Prisy Soll. In this chuse, my lords, I shall proppound two thangs to be coustiered, the fact its If, and the circumsance of it: For the fact, the lirashing of the SVindow because of idolatry. If this bad beea in a man's lay-fice, then he had been bound to havo pulled it divira; bat being in a paruchial rhurch, it is to be dime by the Ordinary, or hy his appointmest. This therefore buing doue Ly Mr. Slaericeld, apon soue opinion that lhe bad in the jower of the Vestry, it was an errur to lom, but pardosable. It doth not ajpear that this was done contrary bs the Inhabition of the bishop,' ' Nou natum est jubici, 'quod non notam est jodiceshater;' he had not Hicerfure notice of it: Fer my lord of Loudan's aggravating circumstances, it is tric, if the thang were donc, asit is chaged inthe Inkmation, then those would be all nyanst haw; but we see there were ceuses it should be takeu down; it is proved some did adere it. How long soever pictures and images have been in the churches, I hold it a rery offensire thing to make such a picture, or represeatation of God. I nill mention but one author, which was before all them who were numed, the prophet Isaiah, ' What likeness or similitude will you mahe of 'me, saith the Lord?' Yes; but idolatry lics in the wurshipping of the image. Take a wise man's connsel, The painted picture inticeth the ignorant to idolary. I profess it would fffend my conscience to set it, I am of such a pura conscience.

But there are iliree other things for which I shall cengure him. 1. His pretending the Order of fie Vestry. 2. That he would veglect autbority, which is near unto Contempt. S. His passion in doing it bimself, and not by others.-This Cause nod Sentence hath many judges, even so mavy as hear it are judges of it. All megy take notice, that our votes are to maintgin foder and government, yet not to uphold opentition. I will be short, I will ventence the Defendant, but not fine him ; 'to ' make /Acknowiedgement to the bishop,' not to diagforder him; The fact deserves not a fine.
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Archbishop of York (Dr. Neale). May it please your lordships, this gentleman, Mr. Sherfield, is informed against by his majesty's: At-torney-General, for entering with force into the church of St. Edmond's in the city of Salisbury, und there undertaking, witnout the Ordinary of the plyce, to be a reformer of idolatry, in breaking a Glass Window in the same church, which hee did of his own authority. In lins Answer upon the matter, he setteth forth a justification.

Firot, he saith it was the lay-fee of the parishoners: but this will not help him, for it is a pasochial church. Next, he bad Warrant for avlat he did, as he pleadeth, I hat he did it by order of the Vestry: I wooder what is the Ves'ry, and what power'and nuthority they have f it is a place where anciently the ormaments of the church were $\mathrm{kr} \cdot \mathrm{pt}$; since those thangs were gone, there were meetings by the parsbinouers to agree on matteis of repar and ascessments, und sates for the chorch, and the poor; nud they did meet sometumes in the ch.rch, and sometines in the Vestry, no man of the parish was axcl.ded. Afterwarre, to nowd ruaults and muluplitizy of voices, some bishop,s lad appointed, by special instruments under theic epixcopal seab, ibat such and such, to a set numbiec, should be Vestry-inent, and be so called, und shall order matters for the repair of the churcti, or hrad and wine for the Commusion, and such like ibugs, as the charges about bells, Xie. And hrre I slail make bold to remember a stury to your lordduips of what passed between mi lird Bnrieigh, myself, nad Dr. Bancrott the ulen bishop of London, when I was vicar of Chesient. I was then a young man, and I had an upinion thut there was somewliat in a Vestry; and had a purpose which 1 acquainted my lord and honourable patron withal, to have some wathority deputed us in our Vesiry, by the bishop of London our ordinary; 1 had my lord Burleigh's letter of commendations, and special request to the bishop for the same. His lordship's Answer which he gave me was thus: ' If you have occasion to repair the church or the bells, to make rates for the poor, and such like things, this you may do; but if you think otherwise, or ammat any other power, it shall not be allowed you, and you smell of the presbytery; therefore, I pray you, commend me to my lord Buileigh, anâ tell bis lordship I will nôt incur a pramunire, for I have somewhat to lose.'
I conclude: The Vestry hath ncipower to make reformation, nor can the Defendent derive any power from them; Therefore, as for the matter of offence, the picture of God the Father, no man ever took upon him to paint the essence of the Deity. .But the questign is, whether it be lawful to express Goo the Father ty miy representation? I think it hot uglawful in itself. The eternity of Alpha nad Omega doth uppear in Christ, and Christ is the Inage of his Futher. As for those divine Iomilies of the charch, set forth in king Edward\} days,
and that in special against Idolatry, we know the times did not bear them: nor are they to be taken or undeistood, as not to alllow any manner of pictures or images (though it may seem so) of Christ upon the Cross ; but it is like the forbearing of food for a time, as. St. Paul saith he would, for fear of giving offence or scandal unto others, who are weak; I say that for the crucifix, there may be a very good use made of it. As for she purpose, he that shall lock upon a crucifix not to allore it, or give any divine worship thereunto, he must needs think with thimself, how can I but grieve and mourn for these sine of mine, which could not be explated but hy my Saviour's blood upon the cruss? And then I cannot but think of the great love of our Cord Jesus Christ to mankind, that vouchsafed to die for my sins. And then, it nerves to iocrease my confidence in him, by considering that he has given himself for me, and promised that I slall not want any thing that is grod for me; nnd that he will not deny me my prayers in uny thing which I ask agreeable to his will; so that this must needs woik a deep imprestion on my heart. I thus think; but when it cometh to be sapersutious, or that some make it a cause of idolatry, I must confess, I woold then rather want the thing, and all the good uses of it, than incur the danger of propagating idolatry. That reverend Jewel, bishop of Salisbury, in his time had a counmission, and he took down all idolatrous Windows in the churches, and set in place thereof clear glass; but he left alone this Window ; sund surely, if he had thouglit it to be idolatrous, he would have reformed it. And we have the Creed of Athonasius which hath these words, "That Christ is of one substance ' with the Fither;' therefore the Imnge of the Son is the Image of the Fither, and therefore it cannot be idolatry simply to make it. But grant that it was a cause of idolatry, might Mr. Sherfield or the Vestry take it down? He saith in his Answer, That himself and four others of the Vestry are justices of the peace, and not altogether private men. I would ask him this question, Whether as justices of the peace, they are to meddle with Reforination in the Church ? It is-plain they are not; yet, as a private man, he hath undertaken to break this Window; wherens the agreement of the Vestry was th take it down: Neither was it meent that he should do it himseff, bat by the glazier, und set up new glass in the room of it ; but be hath not followed this neither. My brother, that sitteth by me, hath very well and learnedly spoken of the nathority by which these things ought to be done. I cannot add to what hath been said by him; I shall therefore, because much time hath been already spent, only insist on one thing in the Defendant's Answer, and so conclude my Sentence. He saith, tho eathority which the late queen had to reform und set farth thoqse her Injunctions, wesi given to her by the parliament. This is not well spoken. Tbe statute of 1 Eliz. is but mi Adt Declaratory, not to be taken as if without it the
aucen had no power to meddle with those thmus of the church; fur this authority was iosested in the crown, and is still without the parflimment. He that said ' per me reges rey"nam;' giveth this authority to the king. It in good to meet with growing evile, we know nut how great a fire may be kindled with a sonall spark. I cannot therefore do otherwise, but ugree to Gue and censure him highly, having ollruted with oo many circumstances of aggravatign, as hare been well opened by divers of your lurdships before me; therefore my Sentenco is, that 'I concur, with my lord Cot'tington in all the parts of his Sentence.'
Lord Caventry, Lird Keeper of the great geal of England. This Cause, my lords, 1 doubt not will produce a good effect ; for this great qudience consisting of gentlemen from all parts of the kiogdom, cannot but be satisfied thas we think it not fit nor lawful to represeus the Deity by picture, and consequently we condern Romish superstition; and on the otker side, that we are resolutely hent to maintain the goverument by the reverend Fathers of the Church, the bishops. And all this Ithink fit to be carefully exprensed in drawing up the Sentence. This I must premise, that when I speak my conscience I be not mist, ken, I am no worshipper of graven images; nur on the other side, am I of that peevish turbulent bumour with others. For the Charges in the Bill, if they had been proved, I should for my part have trebled the Fine set hy any of your lordsbips. There was never cause worse prosecuted, yet we are to consider, hosv mueh standeth proxed against the Defendant. The Proserutor causeth the Information to be evhi-, bited against this Defendant nnd ten others; but those ten are not so much as pressed to answer.

First, to speak to those tbings, that are not proved; hat only charged upon him. 1. He is charged with Inconformity, therefore it was necessary for him to discharge himself of it by his Proof, which he hath done, and no doubt remaineth in me to the contrary; for the prosecutor, though apt enough to charge hiip with this, yet he exbibiteth not a witness or interrogatory to prove it. 2. That he did this in Contempt of the Ecclesiastical Power, and contrary to the lord hishop's Act of Inhibition; but it is not. proved he hed any notice of it hefirse the act was done, and therefore the oath of the party is to be believed; nay, there was no endeavour to prove it, so far as I see. And I like not so well Mr . Chancellor's moving the , bishop to make an act to continue this finduw, if it wore for uny other caupe than to pritserve the ecelesiastical juridiction. Mr. Chancellior chould have doge well to have declared this dislike and scaudal to the Window to my lord bishop of Sarwn, and'he, no dnubt, would huve removed it. I do not say ihe buishop or ecclegiastical judge is houud'ro give notice of thin judiciul acto in their ordluary proccediogs in course of the ecclesiastical laws, and their awn juriadictious : But if guu vill cloyge a
man upon a contempt in a criminal court, as here you tnust, then prove he hath notice of the Inhibition: for else it is but ignorantia $j u$ ris, which iu the ordinary, way will not excuse; and yet if it were ignoruntia juris, I io not seo but ins so high a course of prosecution as in this court, it might in some cases diminish a faalt ; but this is ignurantia fecti in this case. 3 . That he did prufanely demolish this Window, containing a represcntation of the Creation. This giveth occasion to look a little into the nature of these pictures; I conceive them to be unlawful and irreligious pictures of God the Father. Two of the Witnesses say they were idolatrous, and made to represent God the,Father ; that it is God the Son's picture, there is no proof. I think that opingun of making the Image of God according to that of Daniel, calling God the Antient of Duys, in the forn of un untient man, is, as my lord of London hatb said, erroveously grounded; and-also to bring God as he appeared untu Daviel to be presented in the Creation, which was long before, is sonewhat improper. 4 . Then that Mr. Sherfield hoasted of it, it is not provel that he did, and it is evident that he duth not hoast of it.
Now for what is charged upon him, and sticketh, that under culour of the Vestry's Oider, he did tho same, and without the bishop of Sarum. And for an answer what Vestries are, I read not of a Veitry in our Bcopk of Com-mon-Law; I read apuch of clurch-wardens, and their doings. If it the a mreting of the miniter, church-wardens and parishioners, it is a good meeting, and they may well deal in matters of reparation, got reformation; and this is not derogatory from the authority of the biahop, but subordinate to it. But it may be through the neglect of the prelates, the vesiries do encroach uppn their government; and will be inore disorderly, if they be not regulated. My lord of London did, in the beginning of this cause, well declare, that the archideacon is ' magnus oculus episcopi;' it were fit for these to do their duties, and so such things should not be left to be done unto these men of the parish, I mean to these Vestry-1pen. Now, in the Vestry they make an order that this Window nay be taken down by Mr. Sherfield. I do not say nor beliere they have power to refarm; yet he proveth by way of prescription for 69 years they have ntade reparations and meetings. But howsoever he dpth not pursue his order ; and this indeed was not discretion in him. But if he had taken down white glass, Y/o not see any renson why I should seoterte him; this being not prosecuted in an ecrlesiasticul ordiaary course, The council on. both sides have carried themselves in the cause extrenely well; and fur their yielding it to be a phroclinal church, it is well done, and no fault is to pe py on the party for his protestation; for I conyot think but when he made his Anower, he was of opipion it was a lay-fee, le sweareth it; and being be now confesseth jt to be-subject to the binhop, his fault in a grept
deal the less, in as much as it now appeareth, be doth not oppose the ecclesiastical nuthority.
I am glad to hear whac I have heard thas day from tay lonis who have spoken, nad from my lords the revereud bishops. I say, it appearcth that nottring hath fallen from thein or nay here present, to allow the picturing of the Deity, or the ${ }^{\circ}$ Worshipprng of muges. I nu mach melined to that opimou of Mr. Secretary Cooke, "That be be sentenced by way of Re'preliension and Admonition; 1 hold fit that - he make his ackiodwledgment before my lord - Lishop, and repair this bruken Wudow in de' cent manner,' I nam lotis he should be pat io any heavy Fine, the rather because be fiath not been prosecuted in an ecclesiastical course ; therefore I give no Fine at pll.

The Votes of the said lords and others of his majesty's privy-council, were thas disposed. Nine ngieed to set 1,000 . Fine upon Mr. Sherfield the Defendant, and he stould be put out of his place of Recorder, be bound to the good behaviour, and make open acknowiedgment of his fault in the church of St. Pdmonds, where the offence was done, and likewise in the cathedral church of Sarum, befire the bishop there, and the deans and prebends of thut charch.-And nine others, my LordKeeper's voice heing one, agreed that the should not be disrecordel, that he shouid make Acbnowledgment in privute tg the hashop of Sarum of the said ofience, and in sorh mamer, and before such permons ns the said bishop of Sarnom shanld think fit. And for the hug's Fime, these weat agua divided; four, whereof my Lord Kecper wat ove, gave no lime at ull,
and five did give their voices to set a Fine; tisur of them set 500 marks, and une of them, viz, my L. C. J. Richardıon, set sook, wheh fine of 500l, was laken for the hing, because according to the rules and orders of the court of Stur-Cham ber, when there is diffirence of fines in an odid, the king is to have the middia Gine. Thetefire the seutence of the court was, and is thus entered:

- The Defendant leing troubled in ennsci'ence, and greved wath the sight of the pic-'Lures whach were in a Ginos-Window in the
' church of St. Edmond in New Sarum, one of "the sand pictures," to his understanding, being ' made to represcat God the Father; did pro'cule ant order to be made by the Vistry, ' whercof humelf was a vember, that the Win-- Jow should le taken down; so as the De'fenount dud, at his own charge, glaze it again ' with white glass : and by colour of this order, the Defendant, without nequainting the bi'shop, or his clancellor therewith, got hatself ' into the church, made the doors tust'to hinn, ' and then, with his stalf, brake divers hooks in 'the sand painted Window, wherein was de' scribed the Creation of the Wmild; tuil for ' this offence comantted, with neglect of epis-- copal authority, from whom the vestry derwo ' their anthority, and ly colour of an order of ' cestry, who have no power to alter ar reliarm ' ony if the ornauments of the church, the De'Icadant was chanaitted th the Fleet, fined 'Soot, and ordesed to repaur to the lord bishosp 'of his diarese, and there mathe ant acknow-- ledzmeat of the offrice and contempt, before such persbus us the bising would call uuto 'hum.'

149. Proccedings against Wm. Prynn,* esq. in the Star-Chamber, for Writing and publishing a Book intitled, " Iistrio-mastix, " or a Scourge for Stage-Players," \&c.; and also against Miciael Sparkes, for printing, and against William Buckner, for licensing the said Book: 9 Cinarlfas I. a.d. 1639-3. [1 Clarendon's Hist. 73, 158. 2 Rushw. Coll. 220.]

TIIE 7 hh of February $1 \mathrm{CS} 2 \cdot 3$, Mr. William Prynn, utter-bairster of Liacowh's-Ini, was hrought to the Star-Clianber; together with Michael Sparhce, Yyilliam Buckner, and fous

* " Mr. Wullam Prgun nowr pultashed his - Histrio-Mastix'oor Bhook ayninst Duye Plays, licensed by the chaphajn of archhishop Ahbut; wherein, with very profuse collections, he expused the liherties of the stage, and condemaed
-the very lawfulvess of uctulg. In his way of writing he could not refrain from uver-duing nny subject and from many appearimet of ruiling. And becnuse the Court 15 came now more addicted to these hudicrous entertainmenta, und the gacen berself was so-fiend of the auusement that she had bore the part of a pustoral in her own royal person; thercfore vol. 111.
other Defendants, upon Mr. Attorney Noy's luformatim; which being opened by Mir. Hudv son of (iray's-Iun, died set forth, That about 8 Car. Reg. Mr. Prynn compiled and put in print
this Treatise against Plavs was suspected to be leselled against the practice of the court, and the esample of the queen : and it wws supposed an Innucado, that in the Table of the Book Lhis refereuce wis put, 'Wonen actors noto'rious whores.' The Attorney-General prosocuted Prynu for this Libel in the Star-Chumber, whicre he was sentenced to imprisomnent and other peualtes. The misfortune was, that hishop Paud was the instrument and abeter of thas process against the Book und the Anthof, by shewiag the brok to the king, and pointing at the offensire parts of it; and then by emp-
a libellous volume, entitled by the name of "Histrio-mastix," against plays, masques, danciogs, \&c. And although he knew well, that his majesty's royal queen," lorils of the counsel, $k$ c. were in their public festivals, and other times, present spectators of some masques and dances, and many recreatums that were tolerable, and in themselves sinless, and so published to be, by a Book printed in the time of his majesty's royah father ; yet Mr. Prymn, in his Book, hath railed, not only against stageplays, conicdies, dancing, and wull other ceercises of the people, and agyunst all such as hehold then, but farther and particular ngainst hunting, public festivals, Christmas-keeping, boufires nud maypoles; nay, against the dressing op of a house with green-ivy. And to manifest his evil and mischievous desgn in publishing of this Libel, he hath therein written divers incitements, to stir up the People to dise enntent, as if there were just cause to lay siolent hands on their prince; and hath expressed in many spseches agzanst his majesty, and his houshold, whanous ierms unfit for so sureted a person, He bath cast un aspersion upon lier majesty the queen, and railing aud unelaritable censurcs ugnimst all christian people. He hath commended all those that are factions persons, that laste vented any thing in any boois ugainst the state, as the factious Buok of Dr. Leightoon, Jo. Mariama a jexutr, to draw the people from his majesty's government, whach is of nuost dangerrous conseryuence to the realin and state. His Book is of ahore 1000 panes: and he dealt with one Michael Sparhs s for the , publishing, hicensing, and printing there of, who is a persou that is a common publisher of unlawful and unlicensed books; and dealt also with Mr. Bucknct, another De eadaut, for the alloniog of it fir the press; and with the other four Decfendauts to print part of it, and publish the sume: and hy this menns this Volume was allowed and publshed, to the great scandsal of the whole realin. And to bave this punnsied according to the demerit of the cause, is the end of Mr. Attorney's Lufforniation.
ploying Dr. Hevlyn to pick out all the virulent passages, and give the severist tura to them; and lastly, hy carrymg those Norrs to the At-torney-Geileral for matter of Intormation, and urging hom earnestly to proced agamot the Author: which thmigh a prelute mieht do with sincere intention to suppres, libelime, and to nsselt a respect to crowned leeads; yet it was Jookel upun, by some scrions men, as a givug conncevance to the licentinussoess and profineness of the Stage, which ouglit rather to have hern reproved and rustrained by a christian bi-bop," Kennet.
- "The Queen lad acted a part herself, in a pastoral at Soinerser- Llouse : and this Book of Prynnis was shewed her ng levelied at lier, there being a referencere in it, Womea Actors intorious Whores; though in truth the boik was published six weekn L (ore the quecu's acting." Whíluck's Mem, p. 13 .

Mr. Atkins of Lincoln's-Inn (nfterwards a Judge in the court of Common-Pleas) opened Mr. Prynn's Answer; 'That he the sard Mr. Prynn taking into his serious consideration the frequent resort of sundry sorts of people to coumon Stuge-Plays abont the city of Landon : and laving iead dísers councils, laws and statutes of this and other realms, 'hgamst the frequenting of conmon stuge-pleys, and the judgment aud opinion of several duvine, and other antient nuthors, and divers Engli.h writers allowed l.y | 'ublice nuthority, and lis own judgment ruinuag with thooe; ; not mitending to reflect, or to bare selation to the kimg, queen, state, or governnient, or your loriships, ddd ahout sesen years ago, comp,de this book entitled Fistrio-mastist; whech is no more but a coilectuon of divers argaments nud nuthorities against conmur. n Stuge--1lays. That about tour yeas sme, he did commit the same to Michnel Spakes, one of the Lef;ndans, to be commiended to such persons os then liad nuthority to lifense buoks for the press. Sparkes did carry it to Mr. King, belonging to the late Archbishup oi Cannerbuy ; and isefice he had perased this buok, Mr. Buckner had authority to allow of the books, to the press : S.palkes brought this book to Mr. Duchner, who kept it by hiin thrce montls, in which ume be did fally peruse it. In the internn, he guve part of the book to Sparkes to print, und hept the rest till he had peiusedait, and said, that he should kare that alon to the press. In October iollowng, he carrivd this copy with the licence, numd ciused liem to be entered intu StationersIIall, and did compound with those that had autliority for the printing of Lisis book. It was prowted publicly, wad nat secreelly ; and because there was some of the copies close written, he cansed these to be brought agnin to prause, to the intent that he might nit he decerred in then; ;ad as he saw cause, corrected them accordingly. That in Fiester-ferm was twelvemonth, the Epistlc, and the whole First Pait of the Book was priuted ; and he had time to examine it hetwcen Eattr-lerm nand Truity, and then lie did make suich alteratoms as he saiu cause, viz. in p. 711, sec. And aterwards the Secand l'art, and two sheets of the index of the book was lihenise promed, nind these were hite wise brmath to Ar. Buckuer ; so that the whlowk Booh writh the Index, was bound up prbout Chisismus fellowng, which was Clirsstmas was a twelve-noonth. Mr. Buckner sent for Mr. Pryern,mind the stationer was desiruns that the R wook migith be publishied, and that he might $p e n d$ sone volunes to hun: bat Mr. Buckycr said, he could wish the word 'Pity;' in such a page uight be left out; and I wish with Mr. Buchner, thut ' Pity' might be added to every pase of the Book. So when Mr. Prjnn saw nill this from him, that had licence to nllow pinted Books, be conceived it a sutficient wy rant for his proceedings. Aud for tiant wiffth is alledged in the inlormation, of Mr. Prynn'y comniending Dr. Ieighon, for which the doctor receticed a Ceusure in this
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court, in the quotation whereof, viz. his Buok, and of others, be adhereth to their mesaning so far as, and wherein they are agre eible to the lan: and this book was printed lous before Dr. Leighton was quesrioned in ths court. And as tor encouraging of others to be factions or sediriuus, he saith upon his oath, That be was so lar fioin disloyalty, schisin, or stdition, or neglect of the king, state, or giperament, that he hath with much joy, cheaiful ess, and thanhfulness to God, cver acknowledged I:s, and the rest of the hing's suljects happuacss, by the reace we have under his majesty's happy govermment: and this Answer an I inteathas in sincere, though otler coastruction be made thercupon. He sath, him listh when his oath of Supremacy aud Alleghage to the Liusersity and luns of Court where he hath taken his degrees. Thiu it uever came intu his thoughts to appune of Sclusum or Sedition: and if any thung in his Wooh, contraty to his mexasing, hath a mortoistruction totivar ds bis majeaty's governmesas, shate, or your lurdships, he doth piestrate himself it his majesty's soyal féet, and crave parton and grace. Nud lie doth sppeal to your lordshiph interpretations of those prats of his look : and doth withal desire your bordslipis tavour, and to take it mutu your consideration, that he hoth been a year prisoner in the Tower: and this is the substance of his Answer.
Mr. Jeuhins of Grays-Tnn opened the Answer for four of the Dcfeadants. Pirst, for the poor Widow he saith, fir miy manner of combination, ur knowledga of this book, or of the contentson' it, \&cc. she knowth nothum, For the rest, they allsay, They beng illiterate, were not able to judge wheticr it were fit to pass the Pre-e, or nut; that the Book was licensed to lue printerd, allowed after it was printed, and before it was publishigd, and it was entered in the Stationgrs-! Iall, and the warden there allowed and rubsentied it to be a book passable. The book hath been three years in the prese. All this time was spent before it wis printed: there were searches made durngg this tane, and they came untu the press. They saw the Book tliere in a public way, and not in coraers, or privately promted, as is ulledged in the Intirmation; and it whs printed and published, and some of the books sold by Sparhes: and Sparke, saith, the priating of this book cost himefmost 300 l . and saith upen his oalt, he sold not many books. And for the chorge upon hign, of heing a Rotrogon printer of uulanful books, he saith, IIe hath" Fyospererl in his calling; and somé other stationers !raving an eye upon him for his thrit, have envied him in publishing of books, and leaveth it to my lords the bishops, to know what success lie hath had in the Ifigh-Commaission.

Mr. Lightfoot of Gray's-Inn "opened Mr. Buckner's Answer. Ile saill, That the was chuplinin to the late archbishop of C'anterbury, onid doth approve of the church without nny scruple, and of ull the ceremoniea of England.

Church-music he doth allow of; lowiug at the nane of Jesus : plays, wu-1c, and dancmg, he doild esteem them just and lawful. Aud for thonse censures against ecclesiasucal perafors in thas book, he doth, and ever tiad abhor and detest them. He contesseth be licensed part of the book, but wever gave order to disperse the booh; but when be lieard it was pubinslitd, he dad endeavour to suppress it: and to the rest of the Iuformation pleadeth Not Guilty.

## Then, Mr. Noy, Attorney Genernil, spake as

 folloneth: Thas volume of Mr. Prynn's is written liy lamself, whthout the help of any man. There are passiges in it that reflect upon the hmg, state, and givernment, Ke. other things relliect $u_{i}$ ou the church and chirgy; but for that tha re is no clarge in the Information, which I did conceive fitter to be left out, and withal I received a command for the sanme: therefore *finding the ( burch so deeply wounded by Mr. I'rym, 1 d, leave her to avenge herself of him, and to indlact such puni-hucent on $h \mathrm{~m}$ ns he diserves. I shali lee an homble suitor to the court, that they would be pleased to conmend the proscretion of those thang that concern the Clarch to the Iligh Commassinu. Theve are divers particulars wherenite be is not charged within the Informatun by way of crime, and so it is not proper now to bring him into question for them. As for meatsung of Ceremonies, Kec. of dadicating D'aul's to Diaua; of the Discipline of the Clurch; the complanot of uew erected Alars: I wouder what Altars he wems, I hope the chmelh will examine in dae une; ns also who he means by las ' modern innorators ' in the church, antl ly 'cringing and ducking to 'Altars,' a fit terim to bestow upon tiee chuich; he learned it of the canters, being used among thena. The Music in the church, the charitable terin he givethit, is, not in hee a noise of megn, but rather a 'bleatugg of lirule becists ; - Choriters bellow the tei.ur, ns it were nixen ; ' bath a counter point, as a kennel of duga; rona ' out a trelile, libe a sort of bulls: grunt nut a ' bass, as it were a number of hogs:' Li, cuasplaint for suppressiug repetitions by way ol'Conrenticks; all his general censure of all the hoshops, and of all the clengy; they srom to feed the poor; the 'silk and sattiu divines: very clonritable tenus upon then of the church! Chitunas, as it in kept, is u' decil's Chastmas;' nay, be doth becton a great number of pages to make men atfict the name of Puritan, as though Christ were a Puntan, and so lie suth in his Index. Ihen concerning the Inages in the Church, he speaheth against fhem, and putteth that now in print, which was contained in an Answer in this court. Al-o tor the Sabbathday, whether to begin on Satur,lay night, and end on Sunday at six of the clock. These nre things projer to the examination of the Church; and whatsoever becometh of the rest of the cause ${ }^{\text {Fin }}$ this Court, yet I commend these things to the consideration of the Church. I wouder what the man mears to loring the e things under*the.tite oi' Stage Plays; Pluralities under thetitle of Stage Players. He had an end in it; he had an ead in it.

Now conceraing the lkok tioelf: This Book, *nid' Mr. Noy, it - the witneso, it do lo testify what was las mentum, and tog the lhook he is to to jubled. If is bad lecenf foumi in the street, aud if Mr. Ponnis compitions aned bronght to tins ronert, mod com-nk ratum tahen of at, the court wowh fir a eect without a party against Mr. Promn. Amil here Mr. Attonney recited a precetent of owe thist nrote a luoh, and it was brought to ilie comerif. It was domemetet, who wat the acrmen? anower was made, the Darok wis the accuser: hall the licretie go inipunishedf? Thin Book it is Mr. I'ryin's domp, he doth put his name to ir, he swears that be dal write it ill.

Then for the time of compiling it; seren or eight yeus ago it was cumpiled, and it is grown reven tmes bigger than at the fint. Mr. P'rym. shout enght years sunce, shewed it to Dr. Goade, who toht him so good causes of dislihe, that mizht make auy reasonable man give it orer. About seven yeasango he came to Dr. Ilartis, to desire his opmon of the Book; and tee told him it was untit and unworthy to come to the press. In the Parhanent time, before the year 1630, he gave sone part of it to he printed; but it came not to Mr. Buckner thll long after. Sparkes said, be would primt any thing in Parliament time.

Now we are to onnsider two things, from the first compiloug and printing of this Rork, to the last : First, how it grew in volume; lur after it was delveecel to the press, it hath grown up with disess things, whel then were inpossuble to be known at that time, when it was delisered to the press; which appeareth by this. In 1628 was the parhament, and in $16: 51 \mathrm{St}$. Gicorge began to look alimad into the world, 1 his than bestows eght whole pages upon St. Georec, for being so hold to look out. He saith, that Sr. George the Arian was a Cappatocian, though born in Cilicia, a part or provmee of C'appadocia, \&cc. and that St. Genrge's adrocate was an Englishman, born in Glouecster; and that St. Baiil the Great was bishop of Cirsareat in Cappadocia, the native country of st. George the Arian. Certamly he could thet cell that St. Gearge would then remave limelf abroad, or in the country of Gloucestel, \&kc. at that time: but this man dial ge, on according to the necasion in 16\%3. A wonan, 101628 , acted a part of a Stane Play at Black Fryers; he spends many pages nhout this.

We nll know what time the dearth was, three years ago: he taheth occasion not to plass it over. Ile maketh a long discourse of Plays, Masque, \&c. in the late penurious tines, how they were as expenceful as the wars were. This is to shew how by pieces it did grow bigger froin time to time.

All Stage Players he terms them Rogues: In this be doth falsify the very act, of parlitusent, for unless they go abroad, they nre not rognes. The same term lie givçih unto Sclinlars acting. Mr. Pryan had a purpose, not vily in this to
fall upon Stage Plays, bnt upon the body of the Comnoonventh; and to inluse it into mẹn's minds, that we are now ruuning into Paganism and Gentilsm. Ile falleth upon those things that have ni.t relation to Stuge Plays, Music, Mu-lc in the C'harch, Dancing, New-ycar's Gilis, whether Witchery, or met. Witchery, Clurch Ceemonies, sce. monstmetly he falleih upon them; then npom Altar-, Images, Hair of Men and IVomen, Bichupn and Boafires, ('ards and Tables do otfond hm, and Perrikes do fall nithin the emnpats of las theme. St. George never otficuled ham; but all this is to the end to hong a lnclief anong the prople, that we are renurnang back again th Pragansm. His end is therefire to persuade inci to go and serve God in whother covotry, as many are gone already, and set up new laws and fiancies anoong thembelves. Consider what may come of it.

It may be fit etomgh and lanful to write against Plars, by men thet have a missinn; nud they inust so theor errimd in mamerly terms, and in the same terns as other men expect to bear with then. Nr. Prymn had no mistion to merddle with thesc thinge, to see whether men should not return to Gentilion; the termas which the useth are such as be finds among the oyster women at Mallingegate, or at the common conduit. He hath rahed up all the vile terms that could be frume.

Now to prove that this is Mr. Prynn's Book, read Mr. 1'rym's - Exaunination, Inter. Sth, (which being read, was to this effeet,) That Mr. Prym, wathout the help of any other, did write, pen and compile the whole book, calld Mis-trio-minsiir, and the Epistle before the Book, and the Index and Talide following.

Now for the publishung of this Book, it doth appear by the Deposition of Dr. Goade, that abuut 8 years since, Mr. Drgnn did linge a Book to hum in writime, of about a quire ot paper, concerming stage-Plays, to have the same licensed, but he held it unfit to le allowed; and doth well remember, that as to his argument of the unlawfulness for a man to put on wounan's upparel, he put Mr. Pryan this question; Suppose, Mr. Prym, yourself, us a Cliristian, were persecuted by Pagans, think you not, if you did disguise yourself in your maid's :apparel, you did well? who answered, That he thought himself rather bound to yield to death than to do so.

Dr. Harris also deposed, That about 7 years ago, Mr. Prynn came to him to license a 'I'reative concerning Stage-Plays, but he would not allow of then atme. So this man did deliver this Book when it was youig and tender, and would have had it then printed; but it is since grown seven tumes bigger, and seven times worse.
Noy. We shall now prove when it went to the press.: Read Austin the Stationer to Interrogatory the 28th, which being read, was to thin effect; That the suid book called Histriomastix, wis given in this deponetst, in or nbout the list parliament, at which time seven sheeta thoreof were printed at this deponent's bouse ;
which this deponent so printed, at the request of Mr. Pryna and Mr. Sparkes, upon Mr. Prym's infornation, that it was licemsed, and that he would hring the hand of the licenser unto it. But this deponent did refase to print my more of the same.

Rend Josepl. II. to prove, that Sparkes would set upon it unlicensed in parlianenttume, to Inter. 29, who saith, That the Defendnnt Sparken did, in the ture of the last parliament, print, or cruse to be prmad, divers boohs without licence; whereof some weie Mr. Pryon's, some were Mr. Burton's woils; and this deponent hath heard Sprorkes say, lie durst print any thing in parliament-tume.

Another part of the Charge was managed by Mr. Mason of Lincoln's-Inis, reckoning up the number of Fppthets wherewith Mr. Prynu had uspersed all sorts of penple: and he said, that it was a Libel, not ouly against the stare, but ngmast every particular person; and proved the Charge liy divers passages contained in the Baok, tol. 201, \&e.

Afterward Mr. Noy procceded in the farther making good of his Charge agemst Mr. Pryun.

May it please your lordships; As ho hauh fillen foul upon all things, all persons, all speses; upon the magistrates, upon the houshold of the hing; so he hath not spared the kugg himself. Inin sorry I shall have occaston to speak any thing of it; hut there is a great deal too mich in lis Book. My lords, after he hath made all these couplaints as motolerable, he falleth upon all indistinctly, and never taketh upon hin to discern, to make a distinction, that there may be a toleration; hut falleth fioul upon every thing, that we are falliog into Paganism; mea and women ure nanght: he spareth wat tha hing himsifi, but takes upon hom to teach a remedy; the remely is worse than the discase. What hatefut comporisons he bringeth with other prinecs ib as Nero: avel speaheth of the comsumang of the treasure of the meslas with Maspues, nad of the late penuious times. a base word! A declaration of infanuy upon princes, u ith such-lihe conclusions as these are. When all this is done, be teacheih the remedy not by way of piccept, but by nay of example; invites men to read John Alariana, and tivo grave authens more, he sath inen not censured. I am wery sorry I am to spoah any thing wherein the bung should be natued, hat he would not forbeap't when the pen was in his hand; scme al the words arg so nasty that I will not speak them.

After Mr. Attorney General bad spoken, he called for these Passages, amongst othws, in .Histrio-mastix, to be read, viz.
To his much-honoured Friends, the rieht worshipful Ma*tery of the Beuch of the housurable flourshing Law-Society of Lincoln'sImi.

[^26]' quaintance drew me, while I was yet a novice) 'such wickedness, such lewdness as then made 'my penitent heart to loath, my conscience. to ' nbhor all Stage-Players ever since; and hav-- ing then likewisc obserted some wofnl experi-- ments of the lewd, mischievpus fruits of Plays, ' of Play-houses, in smme young gentiemen of my ' acquaintance, who though civil and chaste at ' first, became su vicions, prodigal, incontinent, ${ }^{4}$ debauched, yea so fir past all hopes of amend'ment, in half a year's "pace or lesg by their ' resent to llays, where whores and lawd com© panions had misegeled the w; that afier many ' essays of their much desired reformation, two
' of them were cast olf nud utterly disiwherited
' by their lowing parents; whom 1 beard of com-
' Ilaming, even with tears, that Plays and Play-
' houses had undone their chaldren to their no ' small vesation, (a good Cavent fir all young 'sstudents io keep theinselses from Phay-houses, - by three two youngsters harms:) Ilercupon I 4 resolied, out of a desire of the priblic good, th ' ojipugn these common vice-fomenting evils:

- for whel purpuse about 7 years since, recol-
- Ireting those play-condemuing passagess, which
' 1 had met with in the Pathers and oiher nu-
' thors, I digested them into ople entire written
- Discourse: which having since that time in-
*larged beyond ats inteuded bulk, becnuse I
'san the number of players, play-books, play-
- haunters, and play-Latises thll increasing:
* 'here being ahore $\$ 0,000$ Play-Bowks printed
'whhin these 2 yewrs (as Stationers inform me)
'they being nuw more rearible than the
- chocest Sgrinans; two nld Play-house9 betug
' also lately re-editied, cnlarged, a.d one new
' theatre erceted: the multitide of our 1 hn -
- don play-hamenters being so nugmented now,
'that all the ancient Devil's Chapels (for so the
- Fithers sygle all Illay-hnuscs) bemig five ia
' number, aie not sufficient to contain their
- trofops; whence we sec a sixth now added to
- thrm: whereas cven ia vicious Nero's reign,
- there were bat three standing theatres in
' 1'equal Romer, though tar more spacious than
' our Christian London, aud thoce three too
- nasey. Herrupon [ first commended ii, being 'thus numented, to the Licenser, and from thin unto the press, where it hath lingered ' longer than I did expect; which being now 'at last bronght forth into the world, in such a ' play-adoning age, that is like to hid defiance 'to it: I licre bequeath it to your worthy 'patrouage, to whom it wats fist devoted, not ' caling how it farcs abroad, so it may do good 'and please at home."

In the next place, Mr. Attorney Noy caused to be read out of the book of Histrio-masting such pussages, as were scandslous to the king and government, as fol. 313 , \&c.

Mr. Atkins, in defence of Mr. Prynn, said, That the eloqueuce of those gentlemen who argued zwainst Mr. Prymn, made an exposition which was no part of his intention, in which point lie would efideavour to clenr him; and the way was by letting their Jordships know, that mapy Peasyges in that Book are uply r申
latively spoken, and not positirc, and most of them are but the affirmations of other authors of several kiuds and profecsions: as where it is said, that 'such incannate devils as fiequent 'plays,' \&sc. he speaheth by the way of enminon frequentugg of Plave, hast they prove incarnate devils; and so of ladies that en-t off their nature unid modevy, hat is relativety spoken by frequenume plays, lascivens dancing, \$ce, and whetl he -peaheth, of those in a continual propositum, la wrgument is ihus:

- That whech doth oddaarily (if not always) - detile lie eyes, the ears. nnd suuls bothot the - nctin? and jpuetatons, hi ingendrivg, by excl-- ung inerchit ione, lusthol, lewd, adulterous de6sues and aliectoons in their hearts, or by instigating, by preparing, by inducting them in ' actual uncleanuess, mnot necdslie atominable ' and un'awfulutu Christians; bnt tiase Stuge. - Plays, sec. therefore they mnet needs be alsi'munable.' And there is none bur Whares, Paiders, or toul incarnate Derils, who date controul that minor truth.

My lurd; : Te doth not condomn New-year's Gifis, lut urde "iledgen them to le as tokens and tes'mowoes of tavour and regpect from supernors to their mfeniors; and for Darcing (under faveme) lie doth net condemn it at all: he lath commended the same ns single, and datacing the measures. And for dancing in great meen and princess, he doth protest it $w \cdot-1 s$ far from his thought to comparev tirse times to Nenv', under so pious and relizious a prince as we have, and by whom we receive so much huppivess. That had been so inapuous and unworthy, that he could by no meanis make any apology; but as well his persin as his pein should have been detestable, if he had wade any such compation.

And whers he doth speak, that Dancing and Masquing have been near as expenceful os the wars, in that he means in Ilenry the sth's thene, and not in these duys; as I take it, he speaks there of a Ilistory that doth express the gicat charge in that time.

I shall deare, as I did bezin in the openirg of his Auswer, that he may lay fast bold upon the rock of the king's favoor and mercy, and compassion of this court; and what hiv imtentions are, they are best known to his on $n$ heart, his expressions known to your lordhhips. I cannot condemn his hart, I will not excuse his pen.

This if your lordships will give me leave, : shall say; I have long known hmu in a Society of Inns of Couit, where be has lived : and for hiy orduary discourses (except the matters in this Book) they hare not been factious or seditious. But now he is before your lordships, truly for uy part, I compare hinn to the condition of an Astronomer, who fixed his cyes so much upon the stirs, that he did not look to his feet, und wo fell into a dhech: for, his cyes were so fixed upon this subject, upnon the common regort to $\mathrm{St}^{2} \mathrm{v}$ - Plays, atud the great abuse that coines ly thein, ihat he forgot to lonk suwn to has dand that guided his pen, which
now bringth him under your lordohips censure. That I raay not offend the patience of this court, (the court is full, and the expectation is great, (I will conclude with all humi'ty, and wihh and crave, that he who is the rupireme Judge, may be with your iordolupe in this matter, and may be over all your kool tuloubtc, jucgments a d entences this ilay, in dhis camse of thes poor genticman. And this is all I huinbly oller ma lefince.

## The next day of learing,

Mr. Holhourn, of counsel with Mr. Prynn, spake thus:
My Jords; I am nssigned counsellor with Mr. Prynn. The Infomathon is for publohing in print a Libel ar Volume of Liliels auainst king, queen, state, Kc. My lord, for Mr. Pyan, be doh humbly cust hi a self at your loristhips feet. For the Browk, I must say, he d.th humbly subuat : muself to your lordships; yet, my loids, his les art will not give ham leave ios spy, that he in guity of thuse grous offences that are taid to his charge. He confisseth himseff to be justly brouglt before your lordships fur lits ill expressions, whech may prove an occasion of scudal hy misconstruction, and so some dangerous priaciple may be infused into the rulje is: And he begecth your lordships to ronsider of then, sconrdag to the mentums of has lieart, which were far and howest, though howh in expresstefl, that be may recerve a favourable construction, for that he citeth his Author, nod ther words, and not his own. And fir that loe meddeth with maters not proper to Stage-Plays, as in mentioning the Sabbath, his meanithg, was, Stage-Ilays upon the Sablath-day: his inentioning ITabits and Recreations, was in relation to men puiting on womeus habits, and onlawful recraition at plays, and so he conceins them not altogether mapertinent.

For the Manner of his uriting be is heartily somry, thast his style is so bitter, and bis unputations so nulinited and general; yet in thas he was led thercuntu by Authors in the like case, whirh be offers for bis excuse: he hopes his paxion againat these abuses by Plays may a little plead his excuse.
Now for the Manner and Matter alledged against him out of his own Book, in that ho hopes your lord-hips favour : Ile saith, that those that are judges of the Books for licensing, they are guilty of the matier, and he hopelh that thus Ibsolodoth differ from all the books brought, fito thas court; for here are none brought but such as are unlicensed, nuld this is liceused: and he submitteth thus to that point.
My lords, as to the general end and iutention of his Book, he sweareth, that the genersl resort unto plays was the first occusion; and his end was for the Reformation of the ahuse of it,' and no otherwise: and ihen, my lords, he hopeth he shall not incur your lorddlyips severe ceasure. He did not send the Boak Leyond the eens to be printed, but pinted it here;
and it was three years in the press, and licensed and published.
And, my lords, the Declaration to the Information of this court, speaketh something to his intentions, viz. to whom he dedicated this Book, and he dispersed it himself to mea of known integrity $j$ and certainly of his heart had been guilty of those foul crimes, he "suuld not have prescated ose of these Rooks to Mr. Attorney Noy himself. He did nut absent hin:self, as one guilty of such offences would hare done; he was so far from having disloyalty, that he doth commend the king and state, and that could not be with na inteation agnamst king and state. And all the Changes that lie upon hum for his foul intentions are but infictences upon his Book, nud.cunsequences, and such of then only that be strained, and not of necessity.
The nest thing charged upon him is Perjary, for that he said, he shewed no part oi this Book to any beiore it was shewn to Sparkes ; yet it was proved liy Dr. Iarris and Dr. Ggate, that ther saw it many years ago. As to this he ssiith, they speak only of his Book, concerning Plays, coataming a quire of paper: and that it is true, he did shew them such a boak: but that took was not this look; thnugh they be of one mibject and matter, yet they difler much in firm and frame.
Then Mr. Hern spake on his behalf as follows:
My lords : We that are assigned connsel for Mr. Prynn, do come with great disadvantage, Lonth in number and judgments, considerug the great ability of the king's counscl, who lave spuken against is. If the construction they huve made he thers, then clearly we are (as the'y would hare us) to fall decp by your lordships Sentence.
The weight of this Cause, and the aggravatious upon it by the King's Counsel, made me the last day (without desire of my client) to crave farther time, for we durst not then give nny Answer. All that I can now say, is, 'that your lardships would look upon the intentions of his heart, in that be hath explained himself as fair as any man can do by his oath: luw is it poysible to make other proof of the clear intentions of his heart, than by his oath? 1Lis oath is adinitted as $j$ roof against him, in the acknowledguent of his Book; and shall it ut be admitted to him, to clear the integrity of his heart ? We beseech your torkships to look upon him, as not writing these thius out of perverseness of spirit, but out of the abundance of his heart transported with zeal agaipat the growing evils, which have befalien many of thas nation, and some of his own ecquaintance, by their frequenting of Stage-Flays. We that know him must say, as far as our knowledge will give us leave, that in all passages that have fallen from him to us, he hath expressed hinnself fall of devotion and duty to his majesty and the queen; and shall bunbly take leave to offer sume passeges out of his;Buok,
which do bear evidence, tbat he doth spenk well of both their majesties, and of the state; und that by inferences and distinctions there made : and what is it but inferences made out of other passages of his Book, which doth reflect upon Lium? - Which P'assages were opened by the Coansel, but not read.

The Sextface.
Tliree Star-Chamber days baving heen spent in the hearmg of this Canse, the lords sat the fourth day to proceed to Seutence, which held thll four of the clock in the afternoon; and they prassed such Sentence, on Mr. P'rynn, as is expressed in the ensuing speeclics, which in effect comprehend all that was said thy others.
The first was of Frouncis Lord Coltington, Chancellor of the Exchequer, whose turn was to hegin first to speak, ns being in the lowest degrec of quality by his place. And ennmonly tre that beginieih, as he openeth the matier at larg', so tee inchucth toereby many lords to forbear makug of speeches, and oniy to declare theuselves to concur in Sentence with lom that beaan first, or with some ofher lurd that spake betiore, as their judgments lend them.
My lords (said uy lord Cottiagton), his majesty's Attorney-General hath brought Mr. Prynn before your lordships, with other Defendants, 'Thounas Buchner, Mirhael Sparkes, \&sc. It is for poblisling a libellous Book, or Volume of Labels, to the scandal of hus mnjesty and the state. To my understanding it may lie more properly said, the preat and high malice of Mr. Pryou, published and declured in that Libellous Book ; a malice expressed in a manuer aganst all mankiad, and the best sort of mauhind, against kug, prince, peers, prelates, magistrates and goveraors, and truly in a manner against all things. But that which bath been more remarkable, is, his spleen against the Church and Government of it: therefore for that I will not sentence him, (because Mr. Attorney doth forbear to prosecute against him, for that which belongeth to the Church) yet it is an argument of hi, great and high malice : and when I consider of that, which hath heen so ofien repcated, that he writ this Book alone, surely lie mas assisted inmediately by the Devil hunself, or rather be hath ussisted the Devil. He hath written a book against the due reverence and honour, which all Chrislians owe to our Saviour Jesus, this doth convince my jodgnent staainst hinn; but the Book (as Mr. Attortery saith) declares the man, it is tho witness : and it your lordships observe the pariicnlurs and style of it, you wiH say it is a strauge thing; the very style doth declare the intent of the man, and that is (as Mr. Attorney said) to work a discontent and dislike in the king's people against the Church nad Goverament und disobedience to our gracious sovertign the king.* If Mr. Prynn should be dev manded what hewould have, he liketh nothing yo state or sex; masic, dancing, \&c. unlawful ever is kings; no kind of recreation, no kind
of entertainment, no, not so much as hawking, all are damned. The very truth, Mr. Prynn would have a new governnent, he would have a new church, he would have new laws, new entertainunent, God koows what he would have; anew king he would lave, and have all the perple of his inind, to be discontented with their kugg and government.

Mr. P'rynn confesseth be did write the Book, and it is true he did, ende avour the printing of it, and the publisting of it, this is proved very well : yed, but Mr. Prym had no other intention Lit a tenderae-s of conscience, he meant no hurt to the king or st.te (ns his Counsel said): but Mr. Aitumey answercd them, that he is not the declirer of his intentions, he must be juilged by the Book, by lus words, more certainly by the ediect ; for all good men do receive scaudal by this Book, und all of Mr. Prym's humour were glad of this Book. When I consider what hind of Lixlit is, it is not like other libels : wher bluws bave bera by per-ous discontentesd, some poor roguts scattered up and down ; but here is a libel an folio, and in priat, and jurntieth usell by authors with an Sigh haid, That is the, e,' and 'that is there.' And (my brol) wirn I consider those high Passiges in his 3ook, I protest unto you, they are thinet to he abloned; they are uot spukea relansely (as has comusel would hase it) but positisely, 'That ume Eaghsh tadies, shorn and - fiikled madams, hast. lest their modesty; - that the Devit is ouly homoured in dancme ; that Piays are the chief delight of the Devil; 'that they that ficquent plays aredameed, and 'so are all that dos not concur with. hom in his - opinion, Whors 4, Panders, fimil mearmate - Devil, Juda's to their Lurll and Adater, \&́c. 'priners dancine in ther own persons,' hiv censure of thein is mfamous, die. Bat the foulest of all is, "That this was the cause of mir'tinely end, in priaces.'
My lords, shall not all that hear these ihings think, that it is the mercy of the hing that Mfr. Prymin not destayed? Have we not seen men lately condemned to he hanced and quantered for lar less maters? Oue Pe.chom, 1 wasnyself employed with others in the exanuining of ham ; lie esutesed, that the . W'riuiag tor whirh he was questoned, was a Sermon that he did intend to piesth: the Whrds werc against the person of the hing, yet lie never preathed it; yet because be had written it with as 1 .ttenion to preach i, lie was brought up on this poont and conderuned to die. But this Baiah is an print ; it i, against all magistates, and particularly against the king out soncrizin, had hiv blessed consuit. And yet, my lorde, it pleascih his majesty to let Mr. Piyom hate his tral here. I will not trouhle your Ioriships with nay mote parts of the Book: Mr. Attorney noted unto your lordship-, that ynu sh mid sere how necessary it was, that Mr. Mrynn slomidel be clean cut olf; as one that, hath a lang tiree endeavoured in truve the pesiple to disobe ilience ngainst the hing, \&ec. So I say with Mr. Atwrney, it is high tine that Mr. Pryun may
be cut off, so far as may go with the Censure of this Court. My lords, Mr. Pryan is better dealt withal, than he would have prouces dealt with; he hath hadvery fair trials.

For his Defince, I wol notice of it likewise: I do remember that all those gentlemen, that nerc employed and as-igned as counsel for his Defence, every oue of then $4 t$ gan to crave mercy of the Court ; yet they came with a Defence and Justitication, so far as their causo would bear. Mr. Molbourn said, That for ' Players, they were rogues by the statute:' Yet Ar. Attorney said, 'They are not rognea ' by the statute, unless they wander, \&ce.' My lords, le said, his intention was agaust public and common Plays; yet seek uil his book thorow, and you sliall find it is against plays in princes palaces. Lis intention now must be understarnd hy his Book, and by his words; and that which lie doth npply of any author is his own. And I thint has Defence did aggravate his offence. As to his Defence nganst the ladies, he saith, he speaketh it relatively ana not positively; yet he saith, 'Our English ' ladies are so and so whorish, \&cc,' And for that part of his Book, wherein he cendemims Murder, Mr. Pryun will have murder unlawful; but execution of princes is not murder, and therefore that is a las fiul act. Mr. Attorney said well, the end of this man and Jelm Marianna, (a Jesuit) Ǩc. they are wll oue, they all cry matice againat priaces.
I shall humbly crave parclon, and discharge uny conscience, and shall let your lordships see, bow I undertand the sense, and that so I anu to jurge ; and I concene it to be as your londthips eev, that the malice of this Book is ugnast bing nud stare : and, wiy lords, with this I bentence Mir. I'rynn.
I do min the first place begin Censure with hi, Book; I condemm it to be burnt, in the most public mauner that can be. The manner in other countros 1s, (i-here such Boohs are) to be benat ly the haniman, though not used m England (yet I wish it nasy, in iespect of the strangene-s and heinotasess of the matur contaimed in it) to have a strange manner of bur.man; therelure 1 shall desire it may be so burnt by tie band of the hangman. If it may agree with the couit, I do adjuige Mr. Prynin ti) be put from the bar, aud to be for cier uncapable of his profesoion. I do adjudge him, my lords, That the Sucicty of Lincoln's-lun fio put him out of the socicty ; and because be had has offipring from Oxford (now, with a low viier, cide the bishop of Cauterbury,' I ' ann sorry that ever Oxford bred such an evil ' member') thene to be degraded. And I do cond_mn Mr. Prynu to stand in the pillory in two places, in Westminter and Cheapside: and that lie shall lose beth las cars, mue in each plise; and with a laper on lus head, declaring how foul on witence it is, i iz. "That it is for 'an iyfatnous Libel agamst both thes majicstics, 'stste and governuent.' And hastly, (nay not lastly) I do condernu him in 5,0001 . Fine to the king: Aud lastly, perpetual Jonprisompeut,
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There are other Defendants, Thomes Buckner, whom I conceive to be the chaplaia charged with the licensing of the Book. I observe, that Mr. Attorney hath little or nothing prosecuted against him. It is said, he did combine with the Writer and Stationer for this infamous Libel. It doth appear that he did license it, or at least $\mathbf{6 4}$ Qtges thereof; I think it be also true, that Mr. Buckner did see the Book atter it was printed. It is said, for hit excuse, That Mr. Buckner was cozened and surprized by Mr. Pıyau and Sparkes ; but it is plain he licensed ir, or at least 61 pages; thercfore I shall judge according to the proof, us it is the usual cuztom of this Court. 1 must judge Mr. Buckner to be worthy of a very sharp Sentence, forcertanly there is a very great incouvenience fallen upon the state, for want of due examination : to what porpuse is there an examination of Books, if there shall be a connivance and winkmg at the party that dith it? I shall think Mr. Buckner not only worihy of a serpere reprehension, but I shall censure him first to have Inprisonment according to the course of the court, and 50l. Fine to the king.
Now, my lords, the next is Michael Sparkes, and he is the third; and he is the Priater of the Rook; and binder, and poblisher thereof. In his Dcfence lie hath slowed your lord-hips a piese of the Book licensed hy Mr. Buckner, nud santh, it was all licensed, but that he proves not; but that it was cotervd in the StationersHall, that lie proves. But I do find, that he persuaded men to bay this Book after it was prolibited; and before it was probibited he persuaded mign to hay it, saying, 'It was an 'excellent Book, and it would be called in,' and then sell well. 1 do line Sparkes soot, to the kiag, and to stanil in the pillory, withost touching of his ears, with a Paper ou his head to declare his olfence, and it is most neecso rry in these times; and for the pillofy to lie in l'sal's Chiurch.jard. ('lt is a consecrated place,' sinth the Archbishop of (anterbury). 1 cry your grace's mercy (said my lond Cottington) then let it he in C'heapside. Finr the other three, I find that Mr. Attorney doth not prosecate thein; therefore, my lords, I do not cellsure them.
The next in comrse that spoke after the lord Cuttington, was the L. C. J. Richardson.-My Lords ; Suce I have had the honour to nttend this Court, writing pnd printing of Books have been exceedingly found fiult withel, and have received a sharp censure, and ait doth grow every day worse sud worse; every man taketh upon him to understand what he conceiveth. and thinks he is nobody except be lie in print. We are troubled here with a Book, a molster, ' Monstrum horrendum, informe, ingens! It hath been a question who is the Author of this Book? Surely I aun satistied ia my conscience he is the author of it: but troly give me leave, I do not think Mr. Prynn is the only, netor in this book, but that there were many heads and hands therein besides hinself. I would to God in heaven, the devil and all else that

Ful. 1II,
had their heads and hands therein besides Mr. Prynn, were, kec. for 1 think they are all illwillers to the state, and deserve severe punishment as well as Mr. Prynn duth. This' Book is the subject of this dny's work, nind it is manexed, by Mr. Attorney, to the very information itself.
For the Book, I do hold it a most scandaloas, infamous Libel to the king's majesty, a niost pious and religious kiog; to the queen's mesjesty, a most escellent tand gracious queen: such a one as this kingdom never enjoyed the lhe, and I think the eirth never had n better, It is scaudalous t $\delta$ all the honourable lords, and the king dom itself, and to all sorts of people. I say, eye never saw, nor ear ever, hearrd of such a scandulous and seditious thing oe this mis-shapen mouster is. How scuadalous a thing it is, hath appeared already to your lordships, by the king and queen's counsel againss Mr. Prynn: I will not for my part repeat.

Yet give nie lcave to reati n word or two, where he comelh to tell your lordships of the Reasons why be writ this Luck: Because he saw the number of the plays, play-books, play-haunters, and play-houses so exceedingly increased, there being alove 40,000 play hooks, being now more vendible thon the cbuicest Sernous. What suith be in the Fpistle Dedicatory, speaking of play-books? 'They are so - big a price, and are printed in far beiter pa-- per than inost octaso and quarto tibles, which - hardly find so good vent as they; and then ' come in such intundance, as they exceed all ' number, and it is a year's time to peruse ' them over, they are oo multiplied :' and then he putteth in the margio, ' Ben Johnson, \&c. - printed in better paper than most bibles. - Now if this be not a tax upon the kingdom, ' If print these books in better paper than the - Bible itself, for my part I leave it to your 'lowdsips.' . This monster, this huge, minshiupen monster, I say, it is nothing but lien, and venom agaiust all sorts of people. It is a strange thing nhat this man taketh uphn lim : He is not like th ise Powder-Traitors, they would have blown up all nt once; this ibreweth all down at once to hell together, and deliveseth them over to Satan. I heseech your lordships to give me, leave: 'Stage-plays,' \&a saith he, "none are gainers and homoured by ${ }^{4}$ them lout the Devil and thell; ond whea they ' have taken their wills in lusthiere, their sonfs ' go to eterual torment hereafter.' And thin mast be the end of this monster's horrible sentence. He saith, 'So many as are in playshouses, are so many unclenn spirits; ${ }^{\prime}$ and that 'Play-huunters are little better than ijso 'carnate devils.' He doth not only condernh all Play-Writers, but all protectors of them qud all beholding of thens ; and dancing at plays, and singing at plays, they are all damned, (nd that no less than to hell. I beseech your priships to give ine leace but in a word to rend nto you what ho writes of dancing, \&cc. 'It is fthe Devil's profession ;'and he that entereth into a dance, entereth into a devilish profes.
f sion ; and so many paces in a dance, so many 'paces to hell ?' This is that which he conceiveth of Dancing, 'The woman that siugeth in - the dance, is the prioress of the Devil, and cthose that answer are clerks, and the be${ }^{6}$ holders are the parishioners, and the music ' are bells, and the fiddlers are the minstrels of ' the Devil.' I said it was a seditious Libel; this point of sedition is the only thing that troubles me, and it is that which I shall offer to your lordsbips : For I do know it, the good opinion, heart, will and nffections of the kmg's people and subjects are the king's greatest trensure. Now if this be so, then fur any man cunuingly to undermine these things, to take away the hearts of the subjects from the king, and to bring the king into an ill opinion monong his people, this is a most damned offeuce; and if I were in my proper place, aud Mr. Prynn brought belore me, I should go nnother way is work. I protest unto your lordships, it maketh my heart to swell, and my blood in my veins to boil, so cold as I an, to see this or any thing attempted which may endanger my kracious sovereign ; it is to me the greatust counfort in this world to behold bis prosperity.

Much hath liegn spoken concerving these things, and sumething by my lord Cotington before me; but good my lurds, give me leave to remember you one or two Passages, not yet spoken of. IIe writeth thus: 'That Nero', ' acting and frequenting Plays was the chiefest ' cause that stirred up others to conspne his 'death.' Would any man think, that bis acting and freyuenting of plays was the chieftot occasion?

He writeth in another place-worse than this, Fol. 46 . Trebellius Pollio relates, That - Martian, IIeraclius, and Claudius, three yorthy Romans, conspired together to murder Gallienus the emperor (a man much besoted, und taken up with Plays, to which he likewise drew the magistrates and people by lis lewd examplen) as Flavius and others conspired Nero's murder for the self-same cause, \&cc.' Now, my lords, that they should he calle. three worthy persons that do conspire an emperor's death, (though a wicked emperor) it is no Christian expression.
If subjects have an ill prince, marry what is the remedy? They must pray to God to furgive thim, and not say they are worthy subjects that do kill him: fif they were worthy acts, Mr. Prynn, I can tell what you are (Mr. Prym tanding during the Censure behind the L. C. J: Richardsoo, and archbishop Neal). No men will conspire to murder a king that can bpe泿orthy actor; for the very thought of it as Hgh-treason, He speaketh of these three, that they were three worthy Romans that did cinzpire to murder Nero. This is most horribk, and here can be no manner of exposition, bht in the worst sense: for his excuse, ble haih made none at all, only it was not his intention.

Good Mr. Prynn, you aré a lawyer. Ihtention! I know where the word standeth equal, as that you may take the iotention this
way, or that way, with the right-hand or lefthand, there in that case you may speak the intention; but where the words are plain and positive, as in your Books, here is no help of intention in the world: your Words are plain and clear, therefore you can never make any defence at all out of that. Not to hold your lordships any longer, my lords, it is a most wieked, infamous, scandalouts, and seditious Libel. Mr. Prynn, I must now come to my Sentence, though I am very sorry, for I have known you long, yet now I must utterly forsake you; for 1 find that you have forsaken God, and bis religion, and your allegiance, obedience, and honour, which you owe to both their excellent majesties, the rule of charity to all nolle ladies, and fersons in the kinglom, nad torsaken all gnodncss. Therefore Mr. Prynn, I shall procered to my Censure, wheiciu I agree with my lord Cottington, as he began very well: First, for the bu:ning of the Rook in ns disgraceful a manner us may be, whether in Cheapside or Paul's Church-yard; for though Paul's Church-yard be a consecrated place, yet Herctical Broks have been burnt in that place. And because Mr. Prynn is of Lincoln's-Inn, and that his profession may not sustain disgrace by his punishment, 1 do think it fit, with buy lord Cottington, that be be put from the bar, and degraded in the University; and I leave to my lords, the lord bishops, to see that done; and for the pillory, 1 hold it just and equal, though there were no statute for it. In the case of a ligh crime it may he done hy the discretion of the court, so I do agree to that too. I fine him 5,000 l. and I know he is as well uble to pay $5,000 l$, as oue half of $1,000 l$. and perpetual Luprisonment I do think fit for him, and to be restrained from writing, neither to have pen, ink, nor paper; yet let lim have some pretty Prayer-Book, to pray to God to forgive him his sins; but to write, in good faith I would never have thim: For, Mr. Prynn, I do judge you by your Buok to be nn insolent spirit, and one that did thiak by this Book to have got the name of a Reformer, to set up the Puritan or Separatist faction. I would not have Mr. Prynn go without a recognition of his offence to the king and queen's majesty. I agree to the Sentence on Buckner and Sparkes.

Secretary Cook. By this vast Book of Mr. Prynn's, it appeareth he lath read more than be hath studied, and studied more than he hath constdered; 'whereas if he had read but one scotence of Solomon, it had saved him from the danger he is now like to fall into. The P'reasiver saith, ' Be not over-just, nor make 'thrself over-wise, for why wilt thou destrny 'thyself?' My lords, it is a Sentence requireth much study and consideration. It is most certain, that aighteousness and wisdom are such virtues, as they help forward justice; but when wisdom is mixed with a man's -own humpurs, as for the most part it is with flesh and blood, there is danger of straining it too far, and that will tend to the destruetion of him and others.
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Examples are too pregnant of this, and he may take it from a good Author, even from. Christ himself. When his Apostles, nut of zeal to their master, would have called for fire froun Heaven against the Samaritans that refused to entertain him, the answer was, ${ }^{4}$ You know not ' of what spirif you are.' I would Mr. Prynn would have considered this.

There is a goow Spirit that is meek, tempered with modesty and humility, with mildness and with equity; and such a spirit is always tender, not to dentroy, root up, overthrow, but to bind, repair and pieserve. But there is another fiery spirit, which is always casting of fire, nothing but damnation and destruction; certainly such a spirit ever tends to his own confusion. And if this be well olserved, ewery man shall find it true, that such a spirit ever cometh before destruction. I wish Mr. Prynn were nnt an ill example of this. Certainly, my luris, vice and corruption ougit not only to be reprebended, but to lie puni-hed severely, and that sharply too where it is; but Mr. Prynn should, have considered, every man is not a fit reprehender. He harl no invitation, nor office, nor interest to employ a talent which doth not belong unto him. If magistrates and princes shuruld inveigh against all thimgs, and tolerate nothing, we must live no longer among mein; and certainly, if we will be thought to live with them that are wholly virtuous, we must go not of the wurld; we have a good author for this. Bnt, my lords, a toleration must be used, and that Mr. Pryma would have fuund, if he had considered his own body: shall a man upon every slight distemper and disorder in his budy take physic? Or shall illhumours be purged till he purge all out? Certaunly he will puige spirtt, life and all away with if. And as it is in the natural body, so it is in the politic, there must be a toleration and connivance; it camnot be governed without it, and we have a warragt for it. Did not Christ himself forbid the cyeyting out of the tares, lest they should pluck corn, and destroy that too? I think, if Mr. Pryun should have bcen asked the question that Nasman did to the prophet, he would not at nll have bid 'go awny in peace,' he would have Ihreatened Hell and Destruction. There is a Cluristian wisdom, and there must be a toleration in allstates. And certainly the faults that have been tolerated in all times were greater than modest Plays, or modest Dancing. It is not my intention, neither do I think it is the intention of any of your lordships, to apologize fur Stage-Plays, much iess for the abuse of them; I wish, and so I think doth every good man, that the abouse of them were restrained; liut, my lorils, not by railing, gresing, damning, inceighing, \&c. not only agaitot the faults and players thenselves, but againt sell apectators, and those that come to themy and that of ull degrees, and with sugh bitterness and acrimony, that in all the authors gilleged, which are infinite, there is not to be found an example. My lords, I nu very sorry he hath so carried hinself, that a man inay justiy fear he is the Timon that hath a quarrel against
mankiad. But I love not much to aggrayate offences, which of themselves are heavy enough,

He calleth his Book Histrio-mastix; but: therein he sheweth himself like qnto Ajuxis ' Anthropomastix,' as the Grecians called hitn, the Scourge of all mankind, that is, the whipper and the whip. I cannat but concur with the Censure already begun by my lord Cottington, given against Mr. Prynn, Buckner and Sparkes,

Afterwards the Earl of ©orst spake to this elfect:

Such swarms of murmurers as this day disclose themselves, are they not fearful symptoms of this sick nuid diseased time? Ouglt we nat rather with more justice and fear npprehend those lie.ryy judgments, which this minor, Pron phet, prophet Prynn, hath denounced aigainst this land, for tolerating indifferent things, to fall upon us for suffering them, like those Matineers agninst Moses and Aaron, ns not fit to breathe? My lords, it is high time to make a lustration to purge the air. And when will Justice ever bring a more fit oblation than this Achan? Adain, in the beginning, put namea on creatures correspondent to their naturen: the Title he hath given this Book is Histriamastix, or rather, as Mr. secretnry Cook observed, Anthropomastix; but that comes not home, it descrves a far higher tille; Damnation, in plain Englith, of prince, prelacy, peers and people. Never did Pope in Cathedra, assisted with the spirit of infallibility, more positively and more peremptorily condemn Hereticks and Hercsy, thou this doth mankind. Lest any partial Auditor may think me transported with passion, to judge of the base liveries he ben stoweth upon court and courliers, I shall do that which a Judgeought to do, viz. assist the Prisoner at the bar. Give me leave to re member what Mr. Attorney let fall the other dayt I will take hold of it for the gentleman's. advantuge. That this gentleman bad no mis. sion; if he had had a mission, it would harr. qualified the offience. Our hlessed Savious, when he conversed in this world, chose Apptr tles, whom he sent after into the world, 'Ite, 'predicate,' \&cc, to shew the way of Salvation to mankind. Faith, hope, and charity, wecp the steps of this Jacob's ladder to ascend Eeer: van by. The devil, who hates every man,upan earth, played the divine, cited books, wrought miracles; and he will have Mis disciples topy as he had his confessors and martyrs, My lords, this contempt, disioyalty, and despery, are the ropes which this emissary lets down 20 . his great master's kingdom for a general serrice. My lords, os the tenor of their commiofion was different, so are the ways: 'these holy pen advanced their cause in former times by acekuess, hamitity, patience to bear with the weakness and infirmities of their hrethren; they ophtobedience to magistracy, even for cong jence-sake ; "they divided not their estathy Into factions; they dotracted from none, they zought the salvation of then's soulv, and guided. their bodies and affectiong anowerably.; thy
gave to Ceasar the things that were Cassar's ; if prisces were bad, they prayed for them, if good, they praised God fir then; however, they bore -with them: this was the docirine of the Primitive Chureh, and this they ddd. I appeal to my lords that hnse read this Book, if Mr. Pryun hus not with breach of fauth, discharged his great Master's end. Ny lords, when God had made all his Works, he looked upon them and saw that they were ghod. This gentleman, the Devil having put spectacles on his nose, snys, that all is bad: no recreation, vocation, no condition goon; neither sex, magistrate, ordinance, 'custom, divine ond human, things animate, imènimate, all, my lords, wrapt up in massa dumnuta, all in the Ditch of Destruction. Here, my lords, we may observe the great prudence of this Prince of 门arkness, a soul so fraught of mallice, so void of humanity, that it gorgeth nut all the filh, impiety and iniquity that the discointent of this age doth contract against the eharch and state. But it may be some follower of his will say, It was the pride and wickedness ef the times that prompted him to this work, and set his zeal, through tenderuess of conscience, to write this Book. My lords, you mny know an unclean bird by his feathers; let him be unplumel, unmasked, pull off the deseifful rizard, and sce how he appeareth: this britte Conscience Brother, that perhaps starts at the sight of the Corner-Cap, sweats at the Surplice, swoons nt the sign of the Cross, and will rather die than pot on Woman's apparel to save his life; yet he is so zealous for the advancement of his Babel, that he invents legions, coins new statutes, corrupts, mismpplies texts with false interpretations, dishonours all men, defames all womien, equirocates, lyes; and yet this man is $n$ holy man, a pillar of the chupch. Do you, Mr, Prynn, fwid fiult wioh the 'court 'and courtiers habit,' : silk and sattin divines? I may say of you, you are all purple within, all pride, malice, and all disloyalty? you are like a tumhier, who is commonly squint-eye.l, you look one way, and ruy another way: throch you seemed'hy the title of your Book to scourge Stage-Plays, yet it was to make people lieLieve, that there was nu apostacy in the Magistrates. But, my lords, aduit all this to be venial and pardonable, thio pigny groweth a girat, and invades the Gods themselves; where we enjoy this felicity under a gracious prince with so imuch advantage, as to have the light of the Gospel, whilst others are sept in darkness, the happiness of the recreations to the health of the body, the blessed guvernment we now have: When did evert Ghurch so flourish, and State better prosper And since the Plaques happened, none havk been sent among us such as shis caterpillar ide? What vein hath opened his anger? Or whe hathl let out his fury? When differer man sed wath a guietur eat as in these dap.s? Yetoin thit podiden age is there not a Shipiei nunungst up that curseth the Anointed of the Jord? so puffed with pride, nur can the beams of the surt thow his fruzen heart, and this masn appearcth
yet. And now, my lords, pardon me, as he hath wounded his majesty in bis head, power and goverminent, and liger majesty, his majesty's dear consort, our royal queen, and my gracious mistres; I I can spare him nu longer, I an at his heart. Oh! quantun! \&ec. If any cast infamous aspersions and censurps on our queen and her inaucency, silence would prove impicty rather than ingratitude m me, that do daily coutemplate her vintues; I will praise her tor that which is her oun, slie driaks at the spring-head, whilst others take up at the stream. 1 shall not alter the great truth that bath been said, with a heart as full of devotion, as a tongue of eloquence, the orber day, as it came to his pârt, (meaning sir Jolin Finch.) My lords, her own example to all virtuts, the caudur of her life, is a more powerful motive than all precepts, than the sevcrest of laws: no hand of fortune nor of power can hurt ber; bee heort is full of honour, her soul of chastity; majesty, mildness and meokness are so married together, and so impaled in her, that where the one begetteth admiration, the other love; her sonl of that excellent tenper, so harmoniously composed, her zeal in the ways of God unparalleled; her affections to her lord so grent, if she uffend him, it is no sunset in her anger; in all her actions and alfections so elective and judicious, and a woinan so constant for the redemption of all lier sex from all imputatiou, which men (I know not how justly) somelimes lay on them ; a princess, for the swectness of her disposition, and for compassion, always relieving sone oppressed soul, or riwirding some deserring sulject: were all such Saints as she, I thinik the Roman church were uot to be cotedenned: on wy conscience slie troubleth the ghostly futher with nothing, but that she hath nothing to trouble him withal. And s? when I have said all in her praise, I can nerer say enough of her excellency; io the relation whereof an oratos cannut flattor, nor poet 肬: yet is there not Doczamong us, notwithstanding all the teigiversations his counsel hath used at the bar ? I can better prove, that he meant the king and queen by that infamous Nero, \&c. than he proves Players go to Hell: but Mr. Pryan, your iniquity is foll, it runs over, and Judgment is come; it is not Mr. Attorney that calls for judgment against you, but it is all maukind, they are the partics grieved, and they call for : *dgment.

1. Mr. Pryon, I do declare you to be a Schism-Maker in the Chyrch, a Sedition-Sower in the Common-wealth, a wolf in sheep's cloathing; in a word, 'omnium malorum ne'quirsiunus,' I shall fine him 10,000 l, which is mpre than he is worth, yet less than be desercetisk I will not set him at liberty no more than a plagned man or n mad dog, who though he canot bite, he will foam; he is so far from being a socinble soul, that he is not a rational soul; he is fit to live in dens with such beasto of prey, as wolves and tygern, fike himself: Therciore I do condemin hium to perpetual Ini-
prisonment, as those monsters, that are no longer fit to live among men, nor to see light. Now for Corporal Puuishment, my lords, whether I should laurn him in the forehead, or slit him in the nose; for I find that it is confessed of all, that $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{r}}$. Leighton's offence was less than Mr. Prynn's, then why should Mr. Prynn have a less punishenent? Ife that whs guilty of marJer was marked in a place where he might be scen, as Cain was. 1 should be loth he should escape with his ears, for he may get a perriwig, which he now so much inveighs against, and so bide them, or force his conscience to make use of his unlovely love-locks on both sides: Therefore I would lave him branded in the forehead, slit in the nose, and his ears cropt too. My lords, I now come to this O'rdure, I can give no better term to it, to burn it, as it is common in other countries, or otherwiso we shall bury Mr. I'rynn, and suffer his ghost to walk : I shell tharefore concur to the barning of the Book; but let there be a Proclamation made, That whosoever shall keep any of the Books in his hunds, and not bring them to some public magistrate to be burnt in the fire, let them fall under the sentence of this Cisurt; for if they fell iuto wise men's hands, or good men's hands, that were m ofear; but of among the common sort, aud into weak men's hands, then tenderness of conscience will work something. Let this Sentence be recorded, and let it be sent to the library of Sion, (meaning a college in Lon-
don) whither a woman, by her will, will allow. Mr. Prynn's Work to be sent.
2. For Mr. Buckner, I believe that he had no intention at alt this Work should come abroad; he is said to be a conformable man tothe church of England : I shall hardly censure him, he deserveth admonition.
3. For Sparkes, I cnacur in sll things: The feodary had his office talsen away from hip. by this Court; I see therefore no reasgn but that he may be barred from printing and selling of Books and kept wholly to binding of books.

The Sentence *against Mr. Prynu was ezer cuted the seventh and tenth days of May fol. lowing. $\boldsymbol{t}$

[^27]
## 143. Proceedings in the Star-Chamber against Sir David Fowlis,

 Sir Thomas Layton, and Henry Fowlis, esq. on a Cbarge , of opposing the King's Service, and traducing his Officers of State; Hilary : 9 Charles I. A. d. 163s. [2 Rushw. Coll.' p. 215.] .[ " The prosecution was apparently promoted by lord Wentworth, afterwards the fiunous earl of Strafford. It produced disagreeable consequences to the earl; for on the Trital of his Inpeachment for Treason, sir David Fowlis, and sir Thoonas Layton, two of the Defendants in this case, were material wituesses against his lordship on the second Article of the Impeachment; both swearing to having heard fim use those emphatically threatening words to some justices of the peace, 'that dhe king's little finger should 'be heavier than the loins of the law.' See 8 ltushworth, 140, "15t." Hargrave.]
IN the month of February in IIlary Herm , 163s, upon an Iuformation in the Star C amober against sir David Fowlis, sir Thorgas layton, and Henry Fowlis, esq. defepdants, the cause cane to a hearing.
-
The Information being opeaed to the court wus to this effect:
That whereas several Comnmissions had issued lately out of his majerty's eourt of Exchequer in
the 6th, 7th, and 8th years of his majesty's reign. directed to the lord riscount ' Wentworth, and to divers other lords, kulights, and gentlemen of the best and principal rank and quality in thoese northern parts, who were thereby quthorized: for the more ease of the country, to treat, commune, and compoupd with all and singular his highness's subjects of the cily and county of York, and other northern counties therein para-1 ticularly expressed, as would make fine with his: majesty for their contempts in not attending his majesty's coronation, whave taken the ordar. of knighthood, as they ought to have done? gnd the ssid lord viscount Wentworth wan. by Epress letters from his majesty in that behaff 3) ecially appointed to be collector : and albeip tif shid sir David Fowlis bad received maty g acious favours both in honour and profit, at: WIl from king James, as his now majeaty. which might justly have incited and stirred him. to all dutifor and grateful thankfulnem for ${ }_{3}$ t e same; nevertheless the said sir David Fomtior dost undutifully, and inghatefully, did nowrer gard the same, liut harboured some secret dis. contgatment, and ill affection in his heart $;$ or

## 

whereas the said lord viscount Wentworth, and otberthis majesty's commissioners, carefully and dutifully inteoded thr due execution of his highmess's said commissions, and had by virtue thereof summoned, and given notice to Ralph Ewre, James Pénaunan, esquires, and sundry othere dwelling and iuhabiting near unto the akid sir David Fowhs, to attend the said commissioners at the said city of York, for their compounding for their said fines of knighthood; the said sir David Fowlis most undutifully eudeavoured and practised what he possibly could to oppose hi, majesty's service therein, and to dissuade and diveit persons from compounding with the said commissioners, and many umes publicly dec'aed his dislike and disatfection of, and to the said service, which was generally observed and noted throughout the country where he dwelt; which was ly hinn so spoken of intent and purpose te cause inen to foibear and refrain compounding, or reiorting to the suid commissioners, to make any composition for their aforesaid contempts; and therely animated and encouraged sundry persons to stand out, and refuse to make any composition at all, who otherwise would have compounded with the said commissioners for their said fines of contempt, in not uttending at his majesty's coronation to take the order of lnighthood, as aforesaid. And in farther prosecution of his ill affection, and to shew his dislike of the said service, and the more folly to expreas and manifest himself, and his desire for the hindrance thereof, he the said sir David Fowlis, at a public meeting, at the house of the midd sir Thomas Layton, in the beginning of the month of July, 1632, did, in divers of his conferences with gentlemen concerning the compounding with the said lord viscount, and the other commissioners for their fines and contempts of knighthood, publicly affirm and say, 'That Yorkshire gentlemen hiad been in'time ${ }^{6}$ past accounted and held stout spirited men,
' and would have stood for their rights and li -- berties, and were wont to be the northiest of ${ }^{6}$ all other shires in the kingdom. And that in
${ }^{6}$ former times all other shires did depend, and
$\leq$ would direct all their great netions by that
'county. And that other counties, for the
' most part, followed and -imitated Yorkshire:

- but now in theie days Yorkshiremen were be-
- come degenerate, more dastardly and more
'cowardly than the men of other counties,
${ }^{4}$ wanting their wonted coarage and spirit, which
'they formerly used to have.' Which said words and speeches the said sir David Fowlis then used and uttered purposely to dissuade and discourage persons from compounding for the said contempts and fines for kpighthood, as fiofressid. And the more to encourage thase that stood out, and refused to compound, el ie said sir David Fowlis, at the same time a d place, extolled and highly commended one James Máleveref, esq. for denying and refusijg tó compound with the said commissioners of or his fines of knighthood, arid said, ' that the satd - Jabses Malererer was the wiqest and worthiest
${ }^{\text {I }}$ man in the country; and that he was a brave ' spirit, and a true Yorkshirempan: and that ' none durst shew himself stoutly for the good ' of the country, but the said Mr, Maleverer, 'and was to be honoured therefore;' and did very much commend him, both there, and at other places and times, for ngt compounding. And the said sir David Fowlis heing then told, it night perhaps prove more thargeable to the said Mr. Maleverer, for his wilful standing out in that manner; the snid sir David replied, - That the said Mr. Maleverer had put in bis ' plea thereunto, and would easily procure his 'discharge, hoth of the fines and issues.' And in truth lie had pleaded in his majesty's Exchequer un insufficient plea, and after such time as he had paid 156/, for issues, at last he compounded for his contenspt. And farther to discourage and hinder men fron compounding, the said sir David Fowlis the n also alledged, that in other counties and shires they had not advanced their fines of knigtthood so high, as was doneby the commissioners in Yorkshire, saying, that there were many in Buckinghanshire and Oxfordshire, who did utterly refuse to compound: and thereopon shewed forth a list or paper of the names of sundry persons of those two counties, that so refused to compound. And the said sir David Fowlis taking notice of Mr. Ewre's, and Mr. Pennyman's compounding with the commissiontrs, blumed and reproved them for so doing, saying, "That they had by com${ }^{\text {' }}$ pounding done thicmselves some wrong, and 'that the country hereafier nould be much ' troubled with such impositions.' And the sad sir Duvid Fowlis farther, to begit and draw a general disobedience in,the hearts of his highness's people, and to cause them to deny and refuse to compound for their knighthood fines with the said commissioners, and to draw a scandal upon the said lord viscount Wentworth, and to bring him into disesteem in the hearts and minds of the gentlemen of that country, publicly said and pietended, 'That the people ' of Yorkshire did adore him the soid lord vis' conunt Wentworth, and were so tinorous and ' fearful to offend bis lordship, that they would ' undergo any charge, rather than displease him; 'and that his lordslip was much respected in ' Yorbshire, but at court he was no more respect'ed than an ordinary man; and that as soon as his ' back was turned for Ireland, his place of presi' dentship of the council would be bestowed on 'another map.' And the said sir David Fowlis, sind the defendarnt Hevry Fow̧lis, did, about the beginning of July, 1639, and at other times publicly, in the hearing of sundry $\mathbf{k}$ nights and gentlemen, to the end to hinder his najesty's seryce, and to render the said lord viscount W atworth odious to the inhabitants of Yorkshife, and the places and countries where he was emploged as a connmissioner, most falsely and untruly scandalize and wrong the said lord viscount Wentworth, to have received much money of the country for knighthood fines, by virtue of the aforesaid commission; and that his lordship had' not paid the same, either to hig
myesty, or the Fxchequer. The contrary whereot did planily, clearly, and evidently appear by the sevelul tallies and constats, which were produced ind shewed in open court, testlfymg that the lord visoount Wentworth bed, a yeu befort the spcabing of those words by the sud sn Davir, and his son, pard unto his majesty's reecupts for huighthood fines the sum of i 1,500 l besides $\theta$ other assigninents by his lordship disbursed about the sud senvice amounting to about 700 l of lus own money, and more thin he had at that tume iccenved for his tnajesty And the sud sn Divid Fowlis and Ilenry Fowlis moat fulsely and maliciously, not only to the scandal ot his myesty and his justice, but chiefly to wrong and slandet the sud loid viscourk Wentworth, ieported, give out and affuincd in the presence of divers hnights, geutlemen and others, that when the sand loid viscount Went sonth wis gone meto Lelond, all such is had paid then fines to his lordlup, atthough they had his lordship's iequitenue for the same, jes they would and should be forced to pry the sume over ag un to his majestys use And the defendant, Thom is Liston, caused his offeer and bulif to levy ibout s/1 isuer upon the goods of one $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ Whel, who formerly compounded and pud his fine tor him, hethood and had his lordship 4 icquitence in the same, and that complint had been mide to the council at Joih, in the abscuce of the sud loid president, that the sud ar 1 homes Laton's officers or bulffe had Iy has ponty exicted and taken 40s worth of the sud Wiad's temunts goods, by coloun of the sud levy, tor so levying of the sud isumes, "hereby ther sud council concened, that the sume would much cross and oppose his myesty's sud servie, and the cxiction wis meet to be pumshicd and therefore did iward and scud the hin,'s latter to the sud sir Thomas Layton (being then high sheniff in the county of York) for to appear, and answer an unformation crlubited ag unst lum, and bis seivants, tor such their supposed exactions in that behalf, ns was lanful for the saud councul to do, and caused the sald sir lhomas Layton to be sericd therewith, who mimediately shewed it to the sald sn David Iowlis then the sald sar David Fowlis thereon tooh upon hun in a great presence and assembly of divers hntghts and gentlemen of the county (himself being then one of his myesty's sworn council in the saitl nothern parti, one of the dęputy licutenants there, and 2 justice of peace 10 the North Radung where he then dwelt) to advise and disswade sin Thomas Layfon, to yield obedience to his mijesty's letter, which this court held to be - a great contempt, and offence, for th it hessard thit he lield $\mathrm{t}^{+}$not ht, thit the said sir Tho nas Layton being high-sheriff, should agpear, and answer the sald ktter, before he hord acquanted his majesty first therewith, and hnown the hing's pleavures The sud sir Dasid soying further, (in scorn and contempt of the said court and council, wherenf humself was a member, and by his oath bound is mamtaia and aphold
the rights and liberties thereof to his uttertiokt) That the sand court was a paper-ciurt, and the sand lord president, and councal, had dond more than they could justify, by sending for the sad high sheriff; and that, if he were tn the shenff's case, he would not care a dog't turd lor them.' And the more to draw the council into disesteem and diarespect in those parts, he the sad sir David then also aand, that the sadd council had nothwg to do with a jusetuce of peace, apeahing withal companatively, that the offere of a justice was above the colincal at Yoik, the ene (meantig a jusuce of peace) was by ict of parhiament, the othbr (meaning the court at Yoih) was made but by cominission And also the sud arr David beng is pioved by some gentiemen there present, who much disliked his discourse, yet he answered, ' he caied not who heard it, nor if it were pro\{clatmed at the cross'

1o this Information sir Davod Fowlur made this Answer, " That he hath been so fir froth oppusing the Commssion concerning knighthood, as that he hath, according to his power, advanced the asid seivice, and that he did perswade James Males erer, and others, to submit to the commisioners, and coinpound for then hancs That he did perswade sir Ihomas I ayton to ippear before the lord Wentworth, and the council, upon the ling's letter, and donucth the words charged upon hm ' Hie cahhesseth he did siv, That he hnew not how his majesty would take it to have a hugb-sheriff commatted, and disgraced for executing ins majesty's yrrit und confesseth, that it dppears bv the information, that Mr Wivel had made his composition for haighthood, and that he recensed his acquittance, neverthcss process whas aivaigled out of the Exchequen fir levping itsues, nmnunting to $30 l$ or thereabout whertupon thus defendant did sty, that if the lotd Wentworth had pad in all the momes he had riccived, he unght have done well to have tiken oider, that those who had pand their money to hum, should be free from any trouble, and not be compelled to make double payment " THenry 「oovis pleaded not guilty
Sir Ihomas Layton for hnnself sath, "That a letter was served upon him from the lord presodent and council, be being then hagh-sheriff of the county, dong matters in the execution of his office, and thit before he was in any contempt, he was within three days arrested by the pursivant attending the court, and by him cyrried pusoner from his own house to the sad council, about thirty mfles, and there
iained in the custody of the sard metsenger, he had answered an inforaintion there prered agunst him, and interrogaton ies concernthe self- snme matten now churged upon , and before he was discharged, pned the
Wivel the money leered by virtue of the essy and alpo paid 40t more, which (ay pretended) Appleby, the tailff, exacted bom the said Wivel-Dgrigg all which tume : thus defendant's restraunt, he was high-aherfe of the county of York, of all whach ho dearach
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a consideration might be had; albeit he inght juastly plead the dependance of the sult at York, yét he doth wave the sune and doth deny, that if the soid 40s, wire exacted by the gid bailff, over and above the $\$ 9$ / levied upon Mr. Wived, that the same, ot way part theieot came to this deleadunt"

Agd it plaualy appeared to this honourable
court, by good and sufficient testimony then
openly read, that alk the partuculars before
mentioned, wherewith sir Divid Fowlis stood charged by the infonmation, were fully proved aganst him whercupan the court, upon grave and delibetate conarderation of all the atoresand premisses, dcclared; ' 1 hat the sald sar - David had many ways ende woured and sought
'to oppose his mijesty'b service, and hid with-

* al gieatly and hinhly theieby scandrlied has
' majesty, who haif done han so many gracious
- farours, and affionted bis se.vice, and had
'unjustly traduced his mijesty's commis
'swoners, and great ofhcers of stite, and shen-
' ed exceeding m thice to the lond deputy ad
' the said sir David speahmg these woids
'charged upon ham, to deter his myest's sulp-
'jects fiom makug payment of thar mes,
'hrs majesty's recenet, for haughthood moncy
6 and thit the coust duly weighing and const
- dering the hemousncss of the sard defindints
- offence therem, and decl ung the sune wo.-
'thy of seseci and extraondanaly punishmen', 'ordesed
- That the sad sur David Fuwlis, be ne a ${ }^{4}$ principal offender, shall ot ind, and le coum
- mitred to the 1 'cto, theie to remund doras

4his majesty's phe isurc, and th it Ric. sinll piv
'a fine of 5,0 )ol to his whery's use, and 'shall also publicly ichnowledge his gicat ind 'several offences, both to lus miaj(sty, and the
'said lord uscount Wentwonth, aot onls in
e this rouit, but in the court of lork, and hike-
' wise at the open assures in the same countr, - where this decree shall be publicly rend - And faithus, that the said sit David Tonlis 'is a person altogether unuot thy of tl e placcs 'he holds, at we of the council of lorh, de-'puty-lieutennnt, and justice of pence, who - hath breathed out so much faction and di-o' bedience. And for that he*sught and en'deavoased to diaw disesegem and scandal ' upon that court, whereot he humsilf w is a ' nuember, and upon the principal offices and ' number of the sad courr, the loid Went ' worth, a noble person ot simpular woith and ' muitt, and worthily comployed in a malter of 'gre itest tuast ind impoitance the court huth ' Uiciefure oidiced and udjuiged, that the said ist Dasnd lonis, shall, from henctoth, be 'hert, and made 2 capable to hasc, on execute * any of the sud plices, wh thit he shall pry 'rooldruage t tha sad lond We.itwoith, ieiter in this court, whom chus court highly ' co nmenacd tor vindus : " n bis myesty's ho' 1 om , in such a surice of oundoubited ri_ht, 'pusly appeituming to the coown, abd whels ' 1 th been hentotose then by min haigs; 'his myesty'h piclecesoms, constintly and succesot dy flau lot bhing pencrilly condimued the sud un Dind therefore, and for sthe oabe ind ectudalous reput, thit he es ', ubl bed a mast the sud iond Wentumith, 'ondenal uddericad, that the sal sn David thon ld $\mathrm{pig}_{\mathrm{y}}$ uort ta the sud lad Wentwoith'
A id tot , bume the Defendint IIenry Fiult, the suit likesise thought him woithy of conitt, mindendend und decreed, thit he should etin I committed to the, lect, and piy 600 nene to 1 is my yesty's use
And lorasinuch os the council unged no proof against sir Thomas Liyt in, thicy dismossed hum fiom any turticer sttcid ma.
144. The Trial of John Lord Balmfrino, in Scotland, for a Libel: 10 Charlís I.* a.d. $16 \$ 4$. [1 Runet's Histoy $\begin{aligned} & \text { of his own }\end{aligned}$ Times, p. 32, \&c. 2 Rushw. Coll. p. 283.]

Curia Justiciaria S D N., Regis, tenta in pratorio de Edinburgh tertio die mensis Decembris, 1634, per nobilem et plapotentem co matem, Wilhelmun comitem Euthre, domsnum Hay, magnum constabulanum bcotax, ac Justicianium Generalem ejusden, hac in parte ac in crimmali processu sobsequent. contra Joannem dommurn de Balmerin

- " Relturons grievances are often ideal; phe Trial of lord B loverino was un act of presanve iniquity, conducted under the shade ${ }^{\text {fi }}$ the laws, and with all the torms of unsubstant $a d$ justace. In consequence of lus father's dlasgra) e and death, be bad lived in relureinent, arid, till the arrival of Charles $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{a}}$ Scotind, wis unknown to the churt. But his deportmeat in the late pariament was offeusise;
virtute commissimms S D N Regis, sub testumono sau magn sugulh spechaliter constitut. Curis legitime afthrmat
Assessors to my Lord,Justice General, sir Robert Spotswnod of Dunnypacç hnight, prestde it of the Cologe of Jusuce; su Jolin ILay of Barro, hmight, clemh icglste1, sir James Leaunouth of Balcomy, hanght baronct
-nd /hus nume was maled in the hast of the fdissentung nobility, foom whom the rays of Ryal favou ncre now withdrnwa. $\Lambda$ temperate and subnussve peution had been prepaied by these peers, in order to exculpate themselyes from the unputation of an oppositon to prerogtave, and to deprecate the operation of those artucles from which they dissented; but when the design was mimated to

Intran. John lord of Balmerino, delated of Airt and Purt, (i. e. of his being C'ntriver and J'arther) of the penning and' settiug down of a scandalous Libę, and civuleing and dispersing it aumongt his majesty's lieges; ut the least of concealing and not revealug of Mr. Styilliam Haig, and not, appreliending of him the said principal nuthor of the said infanopus Libel, ay is at leugth contfinined in his Dittay (i.e. Indictmênt) following,

Pursucr, sir Thomas IInre of Craighall, knight, Adrocate to our said lurd, for his highness's intcrest.

Procurators in Defence, Mr. Roger Mowat, Ma Alexander Pearson, Mr. Robert Macgill, Mr. Joln Nisbet, Adrocates.
My lord Kildryume, master of Elphingatoune.
The inster of Frazer.
Sir Thomas Ker of Caicrs.
Michuel Elphingstoune of Quarrel.
George Dundass, fiar of that ilk, i. e. of nundass).

Churles, ns a necessary precaution before the pelition was presented, or ever subserbed, the royal displeasture was sugnified in such severe terms that at was inst nutly abandined. A copy retained by Balmerino, and imparted to a contidcutal notary, was sarreptuously sranseribed and commonicated to Hay of Naughton, his private enemy. The latter betrayct the secret to the archhashop of St. Andrew't, who repained to court, and, under the specious pretext. of allegraace, revealed it to the king. He atirmed that the petition was circulated ihrough Scutland to obtails subscriptions; declared that nuthing but the oppostinų of the nobility had reildered the clergy hitherto so arerse from the surplice; and as-ured the king that their refractory spirit would be soon subdued, if their patroms were sclected for a serege exumple. A rommission was issued to exaunine the suppracd ofience, and Bahuerino was imprisoned on a warrant oltained by Sputtiowoud; but the real suthor of the petition, Haig, an adrocate, escaped to the continent.-It would be difficult to conjecture, what was criminal in a petition neither puesented to Charlos, nor divulged except to a confidential friend. There were laws in Scntland against the utterance of leasings, or false reports tending to excite sedition, or to sow dissensions between the king and the people; and according to the usual extension of state offences, whosoever listened with an air of approbation, nud neither revealed the report nor securel its Author, was obnoxious to the same capital ponishment, as if equally guilty of the same crime. As the author and abettor of , u seditious petition, Balmerino was accordjgly arraigned for leasing making; as the aup or, because the enpy, found in his custedy, wes slightly interlined with lis own hayd: as the ahetior, because he concealed the petitiop, and suffered the author to escape undiscovered. A Petition, couched in the most inflen-ive terms, was converted int the indictment into a dangerous Libel,' that depraved the lawe, aud misvol. IIL.

Robert Drummond of Meidhope:
My Lord Advocate produced his majesty's Letter, comtnauding him to pursue the puanel, (i.e. the person mdicted) for the crimes contained in lis Dittay following; together with an Act of Session, nominating the asscsers foresaids to be assessors to my Lord, Justice General: And therewith produced thessid Ditray or Indictment, with the expections thereif, of the whilk the tunour follows. And. first the tenour of his majesty's Letter, directed' to his majesty's Advocate.
To our right trusty and well-belored counsellor, sir Thomas Hope of Craighan, knight and barunet, our Adrocate for our kingdom of Scotland.

## "C. R. Trusty and well-belaved counsellor,

 we greet you well. After due consideration' daving residsed to cause the lord Balmerino be put to the trial of ane assyse, (i. e. Jury) and to this purpose it being necessauy that you in-' con-trued the proceedings of the king in the ' late parhanent, so seditious that its thoughts - infected the very arr, a cockatrice which a ' good sulject shoould hare crpyshed in the egg.' Balmerino, who forbore to cussh this unhatched redition, was oppressed by the intrigues of the prelutes, and the criminal connivance of the judges and officers of state. The court of sesslou appointed threc asscossors to the justice genera; Learmouth one of their number, Hay lord register, nal Spotiswood their president, necond son of the archbishop, whose influence liad incited, and still contuued to fument the prosecution. It was representell in vain that the interlineations, as they softened the terms of the petition, conld nerer constitute that libel which they served to alate; that the petition was neither promuigated, nor dix losed, except to a tonfidential law er for his private opinion; that there was wo jrecedent for the trial of those why had neglected to reveul a sqditious performance, or to secuie its author, and that a severe law never executed must be regarded as liavige passed into oblivon; that it might be illegal perlanps to,conccal the petition when adjudged seditious; but as its purport was apparently respectiul, nud inteoded to concilinte the king's affection, that no prudence could dis- $\cdot$ cover a different construction which was necessarily latent till determined by the court. The question was already prejudged by the court: the assetsons sustained and referred the indictment to a jury, which the earl of Traquair had \{ndertaken to corrupt or intimidate.-As peremptory clallenges are unknown in Scotlnind, ute jurors are invariably selected by the judge fr m the returu made by the clerk of court. pine of the jury, with a single exception, were ineffectually challenged: hut when Traquair, a uinistes of state, was admitted, it was nolouger dpubtful that the rest were industriously selected fyr their bostility to Buluerino, or their derothon to the crown. The experiment did not eaiks tirely succeed. In the former century, Gordedi
form yourself of such particulars, as concern your charge in the legal prosecntiou of that business: it is our pleasure, that with all convenient diligence you insixt thercin, by prepariog of ane Indictment fit for that purpose, and that jou carefully ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ " on in every other thing, touchIng the prosecutuon thereot, as you will answer to us upon your trust: And that by the advice of the Chief Justice you pretix a day for the same, for which there' presents shall be your warrant. Given at our mannor of HemptonCoirt, the 14th of October, 1634."
Follows the Act of Sessiok, nominuting the saids Asessors, at Edinburgh, the 2d of Dec. the year of God 163 , the which day the lords of council and sessiou nominate, appoint and elect sir Robert Spotswood of Dunuypace, knight, president of the College of Justice ; sir Jqhan Hay of Barro, knight, clerk of our sovereign lord's register council und rolls; and sir James Learmouth of Balcomy, kuight baronet, senator of the said College of Justice; to be
of Buckie had been engaged ia the murder of the earl of Murriy, and was appointed therefore as a sure man. When the jury had withdrawn, he addressed them unexpectodly in the most pathetic terms; and conjured them to reflect that the life of an innocent nobleman was at stake; whose blood would he heavy on their souls to the last hour of their lives. While the tears streamed down his aged cheeks, he protested that his hands had once been imbrued in blood, for which he had procured a pardon from his sovereign; but that it hap cost him many sorrowful days and nights, to obtain a remission to his conscience from heaven. The jury was moved with this impressive address : but Traquair, their foreman, resumed the ergument, that it belonged to the court to determine whether the law was severe, or the petition seditious; whether the prisoner had 'concealed it was all that remained for them to dccide. Afier along altercation, the jory wele equally divided; and in cousequence of the tinal suffrage of Traquair their foreman, Balmerino was convicted of having lieard agd concealed a seditious petition, and of having furborffe to reseal the author. Sentence of deatb was immediately pronounced; but his execution, to the great umbrage of the prelates, was suspended during the pleasure of the king.During the whole trial the people discovcred extrense agitation. They assembled daily, in opposition to the effurts of their magistrates, in tumultuous crowds; they prayed aloud, and in the streets, for the preservation of Balmerinok applanded the exertions of his friends, nud showered imprecations on the heads of his adversaries. Their rage proceeded to the mi desperate designs. © Nany consultations we secretly held; sind it was determined to bu. open the prison for his releuse; ur, if cthat a tempt should miscarry, to ren ęage his death bis judgas and the eight jurors by whom he bhd Wheen conricted. Some had undertaken to bura-lheir hovses; othurs to perpetrate the

Assessors to William earl of Errol, Great Constable of Scotland, and having, commission from bis majesty to be Justice-General in the crinuinal pursuit intentit and dcpending before the said Justice against Johu lord Balnerino.
Extractam de Libro Actoran per ine magistrum Alexandrum Ilay, ncriban clinsilii nc deputatum honorabilis domini dolannis May de Barro militis, clerici registri uc Consilii S. D. N. Reeis, sub meis signo et subscriptione manualibus, sic subscribitur A. Hay.

Follows the tenour of the Dittay :
" Charles by the grace of God king of Great Britain, France, and Ireland, delender of the faith; to our lovit (i. e. beloved) Jan.es Curric, Ermond purserant, messenger, our sheriff in that part comjunctly and severally, specinily constitute, pretting. Forsuameikle as it is complained and himbly meant to us by our trusty and well-heloved counsellor sir Thomas Hope of Craighall knight baronet, our advo-
massacre ; when Traquair, apprised of his own danger, repmired to court, and represented that the execution of the sentence was inipolitic and unadvisable, however justly the prisoner had forfeited his life. After a long and severe iinprisonment, a warrant was procured for his culargement; but a pardon was dispensed with a slow and reluctant hand. The merit of the pardon was variously nscribed to the intercession of Laud, or to the humaue and merciful disposition of Charles, who was averse from bloodshed rather than from revenge; and who hesitard to execute an innoccut nobleman against whom he was , incensed, though prevented by no scruple from acquiring an unjust and absolute dommion over his life.-This miquitous prosecution was ruinous in its consequences wo the hing's interest in Scotland. The people had long felt that the administration of justice was partial and corrupt; but the nobjlity now discovered that there was no protection for thenselves, from the resentment of the prelates and the power of the crown. Whatener secret cause of offence existed; a speech or a petition, an expression of discontent or grievauce casually heard, and concealed from motives of compassion or honour, might furnish a pretext for their own destruction. The lenity of their sovereign was no protection; and Balnerino, whose real crike whs his conduct in parliament, justly considered the remission ot his sentence as no redress of the injury nhich he had sustained. His danger made a deep impression on the minds of his peers. Unider an infatuated, or despotical monarch, whefiever the laws were perverted for their, ruif; their order had found no resource bot in a confederacy against the crown; and to this mensure their thoughts were already directed by the frequent example of their ancestors; by the sense of their danger individually, and of their stiength When united; and above all, by the inordinate and daily usarpations of the aspiring prelates." 3 Laing's Seotland, 107.
cate for our interest upon John lond of Balmeuno, that whese albett by the comunon law, ds also by the laws and acts of parhament of thas hangdom, and specially by that act and stitute of pailiament madc by our umqlale (o e late) dearest fatber hing James 6, of hippy ud blessed memojy, pai. 10, chap 10, it is statute and ordamed, That all our subjects contunue themselies in quictiness, ind dutitul olicdience to us and our royil authonty, and that none of them presume or tahe upon hand publicly to disclami, or piratcly to speah of witte diny puipose of ıeproich or slinder of our peison, estate, or governinent, of to depiave pui laus and atts of pailuncut, or misconstruct our proceedings, whereby any mishinng mas be mosed betwixt us and our nobility ud lowing subjects in tune coming, uadel the nun of douh, cerutying them that docs in the con trai, they shill be repure as sedtious aind nichud instiuments, enemies to us and the conmonwe at of this oui realm und the said pun of de th shall be excrute upon thera witl ill rigour, in example of others Like is by the 20 th ut of the 14th puhment of our sud nuqlule devrst father, in the month of Tanc, 1098, the former act of parliament, with divers others, vg unst lezung mabers, med outhors of slanders and calumnies, is atitited and appioven, ind oid uned to lie pablished of new ag un, in 1 to be pat to exciuti $n$ in all time comm, with this iddition, that whos wes he irs the sulf ismg c danmes, of sc midous speeches, or wits to be in ide, add ipp thends not the suthors theicot, it it bc it his power, or reveals nutithe a mete to us, of to my cf our pivy council, of th pur theriff, stciv urd, or buline of ous shailidom, stewaitry os builius, sten igls in ic, ilits of toy ilry, of to the pro vost, ot me of the bullies witho our burrows by uhom the sumen my couse to out hasw ledge, or to the hnowlgdge of out priv council, wheicby the saids le ismg-inakers ind athors of scand dous specches, miny be called, trycd, and punishid, accoiding to the sud $u$ ts the bencr und not rcvoles, und not appiehonici, (it it he in his ponct) and conceiles and not revealer of the suds leasing-mahcts, aud 7u thors of the sards scrudalous speeches und witts, shall incur the lhe pun and punishment as the promupal offender, ofs in our suds uth of parlament at length is contened Votwithstanding qhereof, it having come lately to oth hnowledge, inothe month of Murch last by pist, that there was a most scandalous, reproachitul, odious and sedtious Label, found in the hands of one Mr John Dunfoure, notary in Dundce, and divalged and dispersed in the hinds of

- scveral of our subjects whilh scand fous, odious, mfimous, and seditious I ibel, dif not only sedituously, reproáchfully, and outryeobily tax our sacred peison in our beh asomen at parliament; but also contans many points and purposes of false calunmes, pultic scund is and reproaches as, unst us, our estate and government, depraving our laws and acts of parlament, and muscoustruing our jast and glo-
nous proceedings in our fist parli iment, holden by us in pe son in the month of June of Letort, as doth mintertly qppcai in the hul teuoui of the sauds inf unous Libel, and particuluily in the particular passages beic of atter following. msuat it as d'beat by the law of God and liws of all $n$ tuons, the person of the supreme and soretel, a prince is and nught to be sicied and mivolible, and he ought to be revienctid, honourcd, ud icqed, is brod's heutenamt on euth and that all subjecis are bound and tycd in conscirnce to content themselices in humble ubmissom to obey and reverence the percon, liws, and authonity of then supreme soveremn yut the sad unh ippy and infumous hibch, ut tle hist entig thereot, bugins with an uth ineors uphatang and tixing of our soverenn lord, m je-ty of a point of injustice or mdiscreti in in out tehiviour at pathunent, ator putimn of Nites (is the suids infimolas hibe allatge (s) upon the a nues ot a number of our suljecis, who did vote contrin to the acts of uan chorch give inancat, pist in pailitument What is we foutul thang an we sal ject to pry into the getaic of hus sorecign in has supreme couit ind ufon i gesture, nithout speech, to mitu a glound of expiobation ind reproach to the soicrilan prace N(xt, the and infainous litd repinches us for refusing to rcceive thou some of oun rubjects their reasons for d) iscintang fiom the sud acts, belore thenr pull charag in pulament whilh is a poont no w iys comp tuble with the hamble obe dience of irool quit, and peiceable subject, buk canks with it the signal ar I tilch of discontemtinent, idd mbs upon nut sacred person and paccedul, mattet of reproach mind scandul, tendrog, if it wat possile, to dimumish the glo ans opanon ude istmin $n$ of our hoyal peisin, equity, ind just $C$, ill the learts of uis suyjects I budly, the in dicisis be ut of the pennci, not content with the hiat ispcistoa lud upon us tor putturg notes up ry these who dis issented, does ingcamint the samen in ane most bittcr instathe nad suerous style, in thinmin thit suchat thing wis netcr of beture censuid by a pance of st much justice is out sticiul mijesty whilb in effect is to 1 epioach us of minifest mupustict, for domg of that, the lihe shere it $\pi$ is nerci done iy a just pance. And the hbellicr, not content with these reproithe, thost villavously and devpitelully belchis und vomited fourth renumst our sucred petion, proceeds to a most fentul and dant guouv undcumining of our honour, credr, and gre ust $h$ ippiness, in uffinning thit theie is iou a gener is fear of some innovation mentended in cssential jounts of religion albut (blessed by God) it be certanly hnown to all our guod soljects, that we are, and in all our acungs haie showen vurselves to be a most devote and rulgous prince, hatugg anil abhoongg in he ut und afiection ull pipistacil supersition and diolatiy Aud îc libelies, (out of a deseinh hpmour) not content to restrain his pen within the hmits of this oun bingdoun of scotland, as it it were too hittle for the compasy of his cur
rious and furious brain, he enters to pry onto our estate of England, and assurss thit there 19 reports of allowanre of reprimise of books of Popery and Aimim unsm in Lacland, and of the reatrunt of unsers made to them ind their retornug in acotl und, " oot filay atfinns, that Arminamis $n$ is preat lod there with sut rensure Aiter thit, he goes to the entates of the purtimur $n t$, and ailims mosit tolsh) and calomunuuly, that duers pepists neses ulvinted to pailiment. and upon miesarticles, who by the liw of the realin can be no member of any juilicatory Alhest it be constant and kontour, thit none of thex, who nere aduntied to puhiment and upon die aiticles, $u$ is prolented/ivists, as will appear by the soll of the name of these who were upon the uucle, Aud fuder, the unh appy pennes of that cused libel procceding to ane hygher point of taxing and mist onstinct ing of our pricreding, he athumis that the quev, ances allowed to be pioponed in (onvention in anno 1025, were altogethet lighted in this our first parlament which sammatestlgenal untruth, there being anthang osociming the palide maved at paibancut, whel was not eithei determined by our cot ites, or iemittid to our counal And theicefici it is as talily afthmed, that the meetungs of the eentry, wheh well appomited for iepte-conting the gies ances of the coun ty in the muttcr of (oin and increase of theft, were miterrupted in our name wheli is a monitest lye and calumny Like os th reattei it is most scandalously seditionly ffis mal, that we daned libcity to nur molulity to meert and convect wit the loids of the irticks, against the consutution ot a fice pulament under such a juit and I wful prince albett it be nottouily hnown, that our nobility did cnjoy sill the puvileges of a frec ts ate, which. pertrined to thein and thell piedecissors And sichlite therieafter it is affumed ignorantly, toolishly, and filsely, 'I hat aganst the cust in of this cugh hing doem, the bishops did chase the arucles of he noblity, the it liet ne the parlament in imio 1609, the nolulity did eier cluce the atulis, thimelies wluch is notani ously fal e, rd contiai to the foudamental laws and pricticis ot nill puccting parisi mente, wheithy it is comsinule, thite ever the clergy did chuse the urieles of the nobility And thereaftcr be attunns, thit the bishops did chuse such of the notility on the aricles, as setther were popshly afle ud, or had small hnowledge of the estate and laiws of this nui countiy which is an mpudent madicious ca Jumny and fast ood; these nho were rhosen on the artich s (as will appear by the ir names) berng of the most antrent of the nohility, and moct exput in the laws ind custons of this our kingdon lheicalten the cursed and unhuppy hibellir returns to his mpping and cheching style ; and most picsumptuously clanlicnges otr ancred self upon our apeches in parkament, nuil upon our pioclamations mpade upon our nerocations; which was mtended for nugmentt: tion of our patrimony, und tor dishuidening of ; subjecty of taxation; and that yet never.
theless buge and great tavations were imposed, Tyanst the counsel gren by. our naighila deasent father of blescell incinoty, in his B whion Doion, and aganst the plactice of our dearest predecessoi hing James 1 whoiemitied to lis sutjects a gies part of the texation grinted tor his tamson whichis a peast nand mischacsous expiohition to ous siced person, who out of the lixe and tendé attecrion uhch we beat to this out anticut it tuse hingdom and country, vand hasiled thit gace and fasoun to vist it in oui ' 1 y 4 pcirsi, wit out spaing of cost and chuges fur our pouncy, and other neermuics betion minto out conontion, which is wall haonntir to cruccil the tisitions volfortailv olicical to us by our ext ites, in th stimery of than hamble aiff th whitul gratitude for so eicat a blesting as the persomal prestiver of us then barsedl d and soverngin, withen this our nitine sonl anul at tio it hrigd mom Aud as w. did never anjoin nor uif- bin tixation, so the sune being volunt arily and humbly ottereal to us by oun loving and twhtul subjects, ds the mite of the a hunble aliection, fit nuhn wd bene th the reycut of siglonous a benchr, jet we were pleasd out of our low tos scept it
 ance cunnot pass the pen of the uulispiv hbellec, bat must be cisten in) ( 6 e wascied upou) with a filse and disputitul exprobition, as done ng unst fomise, proclatituon, and the practice of km , lame- 1 whith is taluly and villuoush, thimed And whin the intamous litellir ha sycnt his unhopy lice th and pen in sesiong and $m$ alede ther thi glounus nime of us, his gixious sotretigu, in our person und pi ceading, be obeteatter chadlengcs us for spplying our fitmer inations t) twonh use, in bestanme the sim 1 n up n paim and
 Winis, out sal f.et- te not oblh ed to supply. Ind wn th is liat is le ten iy, he uphadidy our cuv mo mad co I clers ion in ibcistion, in the graday an! 1 Im 1 yme tietent And is ${ }^{01} \mathrm{pe}$ ut and mim whit in his desiledi atylc, th it be spaits not the $n$ inf of oull ever-hlortous dearist fither hing I mics of bliseel memory; but moci fills cits up (t ( mentions) a piomise tliedgei in bo in ide bv his malesty or his commonatier, im the pulit unent toldenin inno 1691, ton duc intrumpg of the extraor limiry taxation in all tume to conie And thin in the end, as a renomous waspf; he closes with an unpudent reproinch towaris us, in that whicn is most cominendable in a sorrieign pr nce, by taxing us in oun benefier nce and liket lity to the lorib of our session, in providing honouiable manienuice to them, ind in hestowing penfais upon ous ofhcens; ind le.sves nothing wit han this our hougdom, which in mot drawn in whin the scourge of his icvilish and mithcious pen and tongue to thit it is not to be wondertd and admired, that any peison, laing under such a gracious, prours, add just prince, could degenerate into so monstrous a contempt of oui goverminent, as to dare and presume upon to thanh, let be ( 1 e. much less) to spenh and
write such devilish, reproachful, scandalous, and seditinus thoughts, which infest the sery arr, and can have no other end bat the breeding in the hearts of our good and loving subjects, a fearfal jealousy and dislike, and in the end contempt of our just goverument. And albeit all our good subjross be bound in conscience, as also by the laws of this our bugdom, to crush this Cockatrice in the egg, und to abhor it as a pestilentious clout; yet the sad devilish libel was found in the hands of the said Mr. John Dummure, notary in Dundee, and was divalged and dispersed amongst our subjects aliout the foresaid month of March last past : which enining to our notice and knowledge, "e then gave prower for examining of the said Mr. John Dunmure low the same cane to his hands; who deponerl, That he had the sumen from the said Johin lond of Balmerino. Which Jopn lord Baloneriua liciog also examined, he grauted the satuen to be of verity, and therenith affirmerl, that he had the sad libel fiom one Mr. Withan Haip, whom he thought also to be the penier and author thereof. Of the which meandalous libel, the said John lord Baluerino himself whs, and is, nuthor, deviser, consuker, adviser, airt and part (i, e, contiver and partner) in the penning, writing, and drawing up thereof; at the least is quilty of the heaning thereof, and of the concealing and not revealing of the s.tid Mr. Wilhan IIjig, whom he affirms to have heen author of the same; and also is noost guilty of the not apprehending of the said Mr. Willian Haig, it being in the said John lord Butmenmo his poner to have talken and apprehended the suil Mr. William; and, lastly, is guity and culpable of the divalging and dispersing of the said scandalous nul seditious libel innonyst our suljects, in so far as the said John land Ralmerino knew of the penning of the said scandalous litel lyy the said Mr. William Haig, and advised and gare his opinion anent (i.e. about) the making oi the samen; and in token therepl; interiined a part of the said infamous Jahel in divers parts thereof with his own hand, which is yet cxtant to be seen by ocular inspection; and which interlining the said John lord Balmerino has confessed to be his own hand-writing. Likeas im- ${ }^{+}$ mediately after the forming of the said most infamons libel, the said John lord Balmerino received the same from the said Mr. William Haig, and delivered it 'to the earl of Rothes, of purpose to have the samen' presented to us, and caused Mr. Itobert Dalgleish his servant copy the said infamous libed; which copy lie deliserid to the said Mr. John Dununure, in whose hands the snmen was found, by occasion whereof the said infiumous libel was divulged and dispersed uniongst our subjects, and opealy read and exposed to their view; to the prejudice and derogation of our sacred and gloridls name, by the infamons, scandalous, and sediticts passages and articles obntained thereirt. Likeas the said lord of Balmerino kept and detained the said infamous libel in his hand continually, from the time of the clusing of the parfinment
about the end of June, 16s3, antil the 9th of June 1684, last past, at which time he was challenged for the same, and did exhibit the said infamous libel, in presence of the lords appointed by us for his examination. Likeas the said John lord Buluncrino having conferred with the said Mr. John Dummure, ufter his first examination, which was in March 1634, and avoving that which he had done foncerning the delivering of the said infamons dibel to the said Mr. John Ilaumure, and dispersing thereof, desired the said Mr. John Dunture to go and tell the earl of Traquair, of ef the examinators, that better men than the said John lord. Balmerino himself would set their faces to (i. e. justify) the said libel, at the lenst knew of the samen. And albeit the said Joln lord Balmerino be a nobleman of good learuing and understanding, and so presumed to have the knowledge of the laws and acts of parliament of this our kingdom, was bound in all duty, after receiving of the said infamous libel from the said Mr. Witliam Haig, and reading thereof (which in the hail strain and tenour of the samen was of the nature of a scandalous and seditious libel, prohibited by our acts of parliament) as he would hawe cocliewed the danger of our laws and punishment therein contained, as anthor thertof, to have revenled the same to us, or to some of vur privy council: Aud also to have apprehended the said Mr. William Haig, whoas he attirnis himelf to have been the nuthor and penner threnf: yet the soid lord Balmerino did no ways apprehend the said Mr. William Hnig, nor yet reveal the said scandalous libel, it beag in his power to have appreheuded the said Mr. Willium Haig, who was but a single person, and the said lord Balmerino heing a noblemain of power and credit : But the said lord, notwitistauding thereof, did still jhaunt and converse with the sad Mr. William Ilaig, and did kcep, detaiu and retain the said infamous libel in his hands. Likeas the said lord Balinerino, after he way cited to comprar before the lords appointsd for his examination, which was upon the 7th of June last; he compearing before the saids lords examinators upon the saud 7th of June, being Saturday, he craved carly of the saids lords to have his exumination :went (i, e. about) his knowlerge of the authors of the sand infamous Libel, to be continued (i.e. delayer) till Monday next thereafter, which was the 9th of June ; which being granted to thim by the saids lords, and he thereupon being demitted from them upon the said 7th of June, bejing Saturday about 12 n'clock, he immediately thereafter met with the said Mr. William Haig, sad shewed to him the warrant of the citation. At which time the said lord of Balmerino having the said Mr. Willinom Haig in his own house, and so in his power, did not appreliend him, whom he knew and affirmed to be the nuthor ofthe said scandalous libel : but by his shewing to bim of his eaid warrant of citation, which pore the said lord Balmenino to have been conveened before the saids lords examinators, to make answer anent the said seditious libel, found
in the hands of the said Mr. John Dunmure, he thereby gave occasiou to the said Mr. Willam Haig to escape out of the country, and become fugitive. Likeas the said Mr. William Haig, immediately alter the sight of the said warrant shewn to hum upon the said 7th of Junc, being Saturday, escaped and fled out of the country, and becaune lagitive, and remains out of the country continually since syne, (i. e. since that time.) Likeas theosidd John lord Balmermo being incarcerat (i. e. unprisoned) within the castle of Edinhurglt, after his first and second examination, us nuthor, airh, part, or accessory of the said infamous libel, recerved seteral letters from the said Mr. William Haig furth (t.e. out) of the Low Countries, and other places to which be escaped; which letters the sald John lord Balmerino kept by him, without acquainting the sauds lords examinators, until the tíme he was challenged. In the which letters and is other letters sent by the said Mr. William, and intercepted by the lords, it is affirmed and avowed by the said Mr. William, that he had the approbation and allowance of the said John lord Balmerino to the making and penuing tbereof. By the which particular decth, circumstances, and pther vehement presumptions particularly ahave espressed, it is clealy evinced, that the said John lord Balinermo was author, deviser, vutsecter (i. a publishor) adviser, airt and pat of the penming and forming of the sand mfamos hibel, at the leart concealer and not resealer thereof; and is alsu culpable of the not apprehending of the said Mr. Willum Haig, whom he aftitmed to be the author of the sand meanious libel. As aboo of the dispersing and divulgung of the sand intamnous libel, is manner particularly abone-declared; incurring thereby the paun and punshroent of death, specified and contained in our sards acts of parliament, which ought and should be inflicted upon him with all rygour, in example to others to attempt the like. Our will is herefore, and w'e charge you straitly and command, that incontinent thir (thrse) nur letters scea, ye pass, and in our name and authority, lawfully sunmon, warn, and charge the sad John lord Balmorino presently in ward, withn the castle of. Ellinburgh, to compear before our justice and his deputs within the Tolbooth of Edinburgh, the 3rd day of December next to come, in the hour of cause (i. $\boldsymbol{c}$. when the court is met) and there to underly our laws for the crimes above-written: To the effect that upon his trial and conviction, as culpalle thereof, jastice may be ministered upon him conform to the laws of the realm; and that ye summon an assize, (jury) not excceding the number of 45 persons, whose names ye shall receive in a roll aubscribed by our advocate, ilk (each) person mader the pain of 200 marks, according to justice. Given under our signet at Edinburgh the 11th day of November, and of our reign the 10th year, 1634."

Ex deliberatione dominorum concilii, sic subscribitur Jahn Bannatue.

## Follows the Execution of the said Summons.

" Upon the 14th day of November 1634, I James Currie, Ormond pursevant and one of the sheriffs of that part within constitute, past at command of thir (these) our sovereign lord's letters within written, und by virtue thereof charged the within written Johiflord Balmerino personally apprehended in tle castle of Edinburgh, and delivered to him a just and authentic copy of these his majesty's sald letters, to connpear before his majesty's justice and his deputs in the Tolbooth of Eilinburgh the Sid day of Dec. nest to come, in the hour of cause, and there to underlie his majesty's laws for the crimes within written; to the effect, that upon his trial and conviction as culpable thereof, justice may be adininistered upon hm, conform to the laws of thas realm: And thas I did after the form aud tenor of our sovereign lond's letters in all points, befire these witnesses, Mr. Archubald Gediles, constatie of the said castle of Edmburgh, and John Malcome, herald. And for the further verfication of this my execution subscribed with my haud, my stanp is affixed. Sic, sub. Ja. Curre, Otmond pursevant."
Theieafter uny Loid Advocate produced with the Summons abone-written, the copy of the mfannous Label which was found in the hauds of Mr. Jolin Dunmure, notary in Dundee; bearing in the end thereof, that the satd Mr. John being examined thercupon, he by his Deposition has granted it to be the samien Taldel which was in has hands: Of the which copy the tenor follows:
To the King's most excellent majesty ; the humble Supplication of'a great number of the Nobility and other Commissoncrs in the late Parliament,
" IIumbly sheweth; That the Notes wheb your majesty pot upon the names of a number of your Supplicants in ooting nbout these acts, which did unply a secret power to mnovate the order and government long continued in the Reformed Church of Scotand; and your majesty's refusing to receive from some of your supplicants their reasons for dissenting from the 'said acts, before your majesty, nod in your hearing in parliament, to breed a fear of our becoming obnoxious unto your majesty's dishake, if your highness sbould still remam unacquaintat with the reasons of out Opinions delivered concerning the said acts: Seeing your supplicants are confident, that your majesty vouchsafing to take notice of the saids reasons, would be pleased to acknowledge, that no want of affection to your majesty's service, but a carefol dadeavour to conserve unto your majesty the fiearty affections of a great many of your good subjects that are tender in these points of novation, cevertly thrust upon this church, did induca our wibhes and voices to appear in opposition to the said acts; and that a predominant desire in us to have all your royal designs here to prosper without interruption, did absolutely command us to forbear any reasons thate
could have heen propounded against many of the conclusions in the late parliament.

We do therefore l.umbly beseech your majesty graciously to ponder the counsiderations after-written, so shall we be encouraged (as in duty bound) to continue our humble prayers for your majesty's long and happy reign.
" First, we humbly bescech your majesty to consider, That though these acts as they are conceived, and may concern your majesty's prerogatives, and the libertics of the church, had never been moved or concluded (as they are). your majesty would have suffered no prejudice in your benefit, hounur, nor power: That your supplicants are much more free, from all suspicion of private ends in dis-assentiug, than the contrivers of the said acts, an offering them to the hazard of contradiction, or soliciting au assent thereto : That in deliberation about matters of importance, ether in councils or parlitments, opinions do often differ; and they that have beell of contrary mind to a resolution carried by the plurality of votes, have pever litherto been censured by a prince of so much justice and gooduess as your majesty.
" We do also most huunhly beseech your majesty to helieve, that all your Supplicants do, in most sthmissive manner, acknowledge your royal prerogatuve in as ample manner as is contamed in the Article 1606, made thereasent (thereabout); and withal do consider, that the long experience and inconparable knowledge your royal fither had in matters of government, as wellion church as in commonwealth, is the very cause espressed in the act 1609 , for giving power to his anajesty to prescribe apparel to kirk-men, with their ougn consent. And since in all the time of his life and government for the spaye of 10 years thereatier, he did forbear to make any change upon ther former habits; we are bold to presume, that ip his great wisdom he thought fit, thag the apparel used in time of divine service cver since the reformation of religion till his death, and to this day, should be continued, as decent in the church, and most agrecable to the minds of his good subjects in this nation. We do also beseech your majesty to consider, That under the act intitled, 'A Ratification of the Liborty of the 'Church,' the ucts ratifying the assembly of Perth in parliament 1621, were declared to be comprehended: That most part of us being then in parliument, did oppose the same; thok experience hath shewed bow much these articles of Perth have troubled the peace of this church, and occisioned innumerable evils and distractions in it : That there is now a general fear of some novations intended in essential - points of religion ; and that this apprehetsion is much increased by the reports of allowince given in England for priating books of Popery and Arminianism, and the restraint of answers made to them; and by preaching Arminjauism in this country, without censure: by the admis siou made of divers papists to the parliament and upon the articles, who by the laws of this realm can be no members of any judicatory in
it : That the minds of most of your good people being in this perplexity, your supplicants have greut rensun to suspect a snare in the subtle junction of the act 1609, concerning npparel, with that of 1606, anent your Royal Prerugntive; which by a sophistical artifice should oblige us either to vote uudutifully in the sacred point of Prerogative, or uncouscionably in church novations, [ $\mathbf{\Lambda}^{5}$.] which blessed king James would never have confounderl, nengpeared evidently in the parliament 1617, honoured with,his gracious presence; where his majesty, by the bisiops instigation, tried, uged, and past in Articles a ratification of his royal preragative enacted in the parliament 1606, with Addition of 'an Article authorring all things that thereafter should be deternined in ecclesiastic affairs by his sacred majesty, with the consent of a competent number of the clergy, to have the streugih and power of law. When this Act came to be heard in open parlament, his majesty gave order to read only the luubrick of the Act; which being done, he was then pleased in his fatherly compmssion over the tender affections of his loyal subjects (well knowu to his majenty, as fluctuating betwixt love and fear) publicly from his own mouth to declaue his priacely lova and pleasure, for reasons knowin to humself, to have that Act suppressed, though passed in the Articles: because his Royal Prerogative being of itself inviolable, was already established sufticiently; and in the depth of his wisdom, he would alsolutely prefer the peace of the Church to the appetite of charch-men. And since we are fully persuaded of your majesty's unfeigned affection to the true religion, and so do presume. that nane of these things lawfully rejected at the Refornation $[\dagger 1]-\mathrm{C}-]$ thereof in this kingfom should be introduced again without consent of our clergy lawfully assembled; and fearing that a forcible and colourable intruding theresif, would dininish in the hearts of many of your loyal subjects, that affection which is fonided on their opition of your majesty's goodness and wisdom.
"We do therefore dis-hssent from the foressid Acts, as importing a servitude upon this church unpractised before, and giving ground for introduction of other new indefinite devices.
" We do further officr urito your majesty's consideration, that albeit our just and heavy Grievances ellowed of in the late Convention of Estates 1625, and 1650, to have been represented to your ingjesty, in hopes of refreshment to the couutry's sufferings, have been altogether slighted in this your first parliament; alheit your majesty denying your nobility their freedom by authority to meet with the Lords of the Articles, may seem ngainst the constitution of a free parliament (under such a just and lawful prince, and contrair to the custom of your ancestors), which before the parliament
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Mhy ord Bulmerine pioduces two Warrands of the Lords of Seasion, hy their lordslups deliucriçe of the ecicral dius under-witten, "re daingig the procuintors thercing cont uneif, to compear and defend my lord in the cammal process nbose, and the sud loids by their deliverayer, of the date the 19th of Nov. 1634.

The lords having considered the desire of the Supplication, kec. and nppointed the persons therein condescended on by my lord to be his advocats for his Defence, viz. Sir Lewis Stexart, Mr. Thomas Nicholson, Walter Huy, and Mr. John Nisbet. And by the delverance on the enif of aygther Supplication given in by iny lord to the said lords, craving (upon some of the former nukveats relusal) more advucats, they by their deliverance thereon, of the date tive 25 th Nov. 1634, appoint and ordun Mr. Hoger Mowat, Mr. Alexander Pearson, and Mr. lubert Macgill, Advucuts; and ordans, \&c. Upon the production and reading of the whuch Warrands, the satd lord Balmerno trul Instruments.

Thereafter it was oljected by my Lord's Advecats, that my Loid Register could not sit as ane assessor to my Lord Justice-Gicneral ut this process, becuuse not only my Lurd Register has been one of the Juilges of the partcular committee apponted for Tinal and Fiamiaation of the Pannel, before whom he hasoftentumis compeated and been examined; hot also my Luid Regroter has given partial counsel, and has heen upon the counsel of the adousing and libellang of the Dittay now prorluced and read, and has assisted in the same at sereral necasions : and so by giving infurnation und advice in that hind, has behaved hunself as party in elfect, and therefore cantot be Judge nor Assessor to the Justicc-C(nenal. And after Answers and Iteplies, the lords by thear Intcrloquitor repelled the first part of the alleadgance, bearmg that my Lord Register cannot beAssessor, because be wus a meinher up the committee: and ys to the second member thereof, declares that they will have my Lord Register to make his judicial Declaration, and that judicially thercupon, in presence of the Panuel, before any Answer he gnen thereto; which accordmgly my Lard Register does.

The Pannel, in rispeat of my Lord Rugister's Declaration Judicial, is content that my Lord Register remain Assessor to the justice-general : whereupon my Lord Advocat asked iustruments.
Thereafter the Pannel produced a Supplication to the Lords of privy council, with DeLiverance thereupon, craving the Depositions made by the eanl of Rothes, Mr. John Dunmure, and Mr. Robert Dalglesh, from the clerk, to be seen hy his procurators; and their lordships, by their Deliverance thereon, dated at Edinburgh, 28 Nov. 1634; the lords remut to the justice the answcring of the desire of this aupplication. Sic subscribitur St. Andrews.

Accordingly my Lord Advocat, ut the Jastice Ordinance, (i. c. by oider of conurt) g.'ve up to Mr. Roger Mowat, one of the Panufl's procurators, two Depositions of Mr. Jein Dunmure, oue of Mr. Robert Dalglest, one of the earl of Rothes, one of Mr. Peter IIny, together with four misive Letters, sent by Mr. William Haig to the Pannel, to be reproduced Friday next, at which time they were accordingly reproduced.

Thereafter upon the said Decemb. 3, the Dittay and infamous Libel was rend, as speaified in the Dittuy.
It is first alledged by Mr. Robert Macgill, as the Paumel's procurator, under protestation for humself and the rest of his brethren, with an apolugy that he nor they allows not the least sort of mordinate specch against lus majesty, but only to free the zunocent, us they who are commanded by the Lords of Session, sud take mstruments upon the first Article of the Acts mprinud in our soveregn's first parliament, anent the surveyivg of the laws; does alledge the Dittay cannot be inferred aganst the Pannel, upon the first act spectally and at length set dowu (theicin:) hecause it has not been the mund of the legislator there to inflict the pain of death upon such reproaches ny aro contamed in the Dittay, and alledged to bo contained un the Supplication or Petition styled by the Dittay 'Culumanions?' For the main caace of mabing that 20 lh act, parl. 10 , holden iu December 158.5, (our dread sovereign, and his hounur, being eier proposed) was not only to ratify the grice given and extended to these noblenen, who a hutle betore became in at Suling, as may be seen by the particular acts of parhanent unprinted anent the restoring of these noblemen, with thetr followers; but much more to strengetien the mobility (ns reason was, and the tume required) agamet captain James Stewart, who then had fled, nad was the cause of their fommer banistunent; nnd feared by them, that bee might wiong them agam, if he had regained lis ninjesty's ear, because that they eame in such a mainer. And to strengthen also the nol-ihty mgainst any other, who should take in hand the like, ws to come in betwist the tree and the rind; I mean, betwist has most sacred mape-ty and his favour et suos commitates, tor his nobles are so called, and has therr names as they who should lie ever accompanyang lis mont sacred person. l'or the Deeds June 'contıa aliquem et comi' tatu vindicantur ut cinmen lapsa majestatis, ' Lrg. quisquis, Cod. Ad legen Juliam majestalis,' by the time.
The strain of the Act carries niso this, to wit, Reproaches of his anajesty's Estate or Gusernment, or deprasing his lans and acts of parlisinent, or misconstruiug lus proceedings: but answerng to the quention, 1n quem finem should these Reproaches have been uritten, whereby any miching may be moved betwixt his majesty and his nobility und loving subjects? Where the word 'his nobility' is very emphatick, and the two last words expounds the samen, being exegetick of the former by a gracious praise, lestifying by the word 'luving' the forgetting of the former slip, which had been more by misreport than in verity. Compare also this Act with that which was made during the said captain James his grandeur, a little more tifon a year preceding, parl. 8, cap, 154, Jac. 6, in the which, as it were consulto consilio, the noblethen are omitted ibi; to the reproach of his majesty's council and
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 Acts sucut lenmbande, we reftit the fors id Protectition, ind sis, If all soits of mordinit yecelics(ulith let it be spoken with all hamaltr) and dutifal is sertike, as nox it lowine sny, but to shen the l'anncls inno(cicy) igunst his mycsty our dicad on (reige and his povcrument, esen thove wheh by mitcipectition or winsec witiuction mav be allerted upin a mins pectila (a a contiars to) his mund, and net unly the aulmt of the sime, Iut also the be as , not icseile, and not appichendets, Tic underto d to bc punished with lfith in cur lit, in the addition of that act, and so a tc ul to le comprehended ti cte an then wa shou'd mathe our lan to commat un absurdity, it ith no mu mapal liw ou_ht to do, but $s$ ithes in materprctation should be tahen out of the common faw an I reason Guus ad Iongum, hb 2 - Obernsatione tri_cema tertis, quo modost atu 'tonum matupictitio facri'n ste' The ab*urdity ) that that should be equality of pains, wid so equality of cimes, committed in Specclics in mus cubimssies manincr be it said, aguinst his mort sacie I majesty, not only ly the outhors, but nlso by the concealers and not apprehender, whatcver the scandulous speech be Rut he that heais ind not retenh, a lugher speech, shall be punashed is he who heais treason. The sonncetion is cleurd by this, 'Quod de hictum majus a parvo dignosci${ }^{\prime}$ tur ex pain qualifictu" 1 ractatu incerta
 II 11 min 5 Ji , win in Iuct, it comprobit
 tulnm o hib o Jis lonum de Vatisillo qui

 ' it eontic : num harit ih Ayello' lor
 ud (antum hes ie tant thr piace and te es-
 rest - [\{i4 a ind blaw muft ircous), of in a

 jestith, nim 1, in fime Ind bece it is on ly that the liwgus fad the he uls and not riveders, and not aj prelondes $t$, be pumshed by de th (1nus, $\}$ tadith ith) ultuno, quast s7 inn D, Punctus mfac And, ct le ice
 biccites u'lucd ) is ( ime mind ho complacs, ' 1 t non nut ibe tb withons 'muno detort!' In mhath case it is melt
 'm Gec in mem, it prach thomycst tiszene' 11 к nc h hinle l lum st,' $1 / 27, \S 2,1$ d It im Juhan I fest itis the second acrt Is whase 'taticuis sulis anm, muniond
 toe Wher the biwseis is wit the suthor,
 '1t, (it not henis jut condescondel fully moniso them, whit is ine med b tic word Remilicnilum) But nent the he ut1, not 1 eit less, and not, app tehende s, 1 xt a n im, but
 As ' in umin de licto moicindas dectis, Clanus 4ys, num ${ }^{3}$ 'Justcu'a quol tunen, dicta 'yoistuone 37' lat less ein tice he iner, not resealcis, and not ppichendera of the athors of the thred sont of tpeeches be concluocd undet de ti with the first, and thet thand sat, in all bum ity I say, whece sio and lich of virtue to not ' mpiopeint dicetis sel is ( 1 mutum thest 'ut at ummesimuri adi)' Buat is a flower or flomishing need may altond be the hoicy and
 L , we mu coustauctung 11 tion men be mitcrpret in anc is 1 raxe, st the mformet of the Dittay mide the alled ged Supp lis at: $n$ to be a contumetious and uhlan ous Label which
 I wiv it, to mine the Dittay minst thes Pannel, upon the sud aldinon' in the act 205, as h itit, nut icve la, not appiclender, theliout
 as sud is Tor even in ypecth, 'quanvis dixit - dommum summ esce fombum homaum at 'besprum, quod non docet athor pumn,' cuacluded remissive 'Caso ()icillio in ad'vacat one ad decisionems Mathei de afflictis ${ }^{\text {' } 265, ~ n u m ~ 68, ~ 69, ~ e t ~ i d e m ~ a d v e ~ c i t u m e ~ i n ~ d e-~}$ ' Lbsiontm '307, num 15 Qaod vilin suit ' cas liet capiende et ad bonum moder inds; ' makem in dicto tinctariu miceti nuthe is dici'iur distaguendum inter, ibu nathatisa it 'siti plater cnuncita quasi pratcreundo, ac ' dis forthi dapositis a scu positunnes aiminu-
' tas, ut ex iliis non liceat argumentum sumere, ' ex his vero liceat.' Quist. 6, num. 13, ibi nunc videnduin. But of the Particulars, and even of this General, more falls to be said hereafter.

Only against Delators of Speeches, called, - Frumentarij et Otacustai,' let it be remembered to the justice, that some good eruperor ' quos infinitise illustrissimus et sacratisimus ' imperator noster precurrit parasangis,' used these folks to know what the people thought of them, and how to amend any slips, if nuy were. Capitolinus in Antonino Phulosopho sayk, ' Erat fame suae curiosissinus, requirens sut verum quisque de se diceret, emendans ' quaz bene reprehensa videreutur, et passus se - impune cavillando perstringi, dicitur civiliter 'se equse.' Xiphilin reports the same of Titus; ' Imo Tiberius deprecatus est apud scna' tum nimis prescipites verborum pernas.' Yeh, to this tendit kug James 5, of wothy metnory, his disguining himself for such another inquiry ; as also Guran, one of our dread sovgreign's most worthy progenitors, is commended for the s.mue by Ilector Boyer In reapect qhereof, the 1)itiay is not relevantly inferred upon the two acts of parliament libelled.

It is firrder alledged by Mr. Ringer Mrovat for the Pamel, That the said, two acts of parliament mentioned in the Diluay, and qhereupon the samen is founted, can be wo gromed in law for this criminal pursuit; in respert the saids two acts, and many others of that hind, but copeciatly the last of the saids tivo acts, aul the additions subjoined thereto, have neve; herit in olservance, custom, or practice hartolore, graint any alle lged conthavessers thereof: and the fore cannot now reccive a bog.ming ug,inst thos pannel, being a noik man hnown by the hail counse of his bygote life to bave been ane strict olsequius hecper and chetever of his gacest majenty and his most mole prodenitors therr act, and statutes; tu atel somt, that it ean newer be scified that wer the pamel has been so much as once denonaresl rebel, aud pat to his majes y's hom, (outlawy) for any artum or canse, cral or crimianal, nhatsoneter; noll so is not presumed to hate contrascoed , why of the sual two acts, nibent the same had been in custom and practiece, as thry have not. And that the sail two acts, specrailly the sand addition of the last act, are fallen in deguetude, and never heretofore practi-ed, is cle.sr and evident, becanse the controry c.annot be showen: And it has been received as a most ladable and warruntable custom amonyst wise and jadicious politiques, that laws in desuctude and out of custoni are not to be introduced at an instant, without some new intimation thereof, when necessity is found for re-establishing of the suid laws; hut speciadly soch laws mul arts, as rarry with them the pann of death, forfaulture, or such-lihe: likeas sonve strict acts of parlimpent of thes kind, containing the like or more grievous pains, being ratilied by subsequent and posterior acts, the seid posteriur acts havg ordained
intimation to be made to the leidges of the said turmer acte, pailis, and sentrity thereof, before the said pams cinould the inflicted upon the contraveener. And it is clear, thate not only the sald two act, mentioned in the Ditay are not of custom, and have not becn practsed, but many more, containing some less and some greater pains, in the most part of all preceding parlanients: For which I will only adduce some few, to verify and instance this part of my alleadgance, (affegation). And fint I alledge the 105th Act of the 7th parliament of kiug James 5, of worthy memory, intituled, - Pains of them committing Fraud in Aliena' tion;' which bears, about the end of the said act, That the person, seller or giver shall be declared infamua, and shall be punished in his person and goods at the kug's will. And it cannot be denied, but that this act hath been many times contravened, by many of pood sort, in points of double Alienations, and yct was neter heretofore practised ugsinst the saids contraveeners.
There is another act of queen Mary's, of happy memory, par. 5, e. 16, made agamst them that swears abomanable Uaths; whith act is ratufied by ling James 6, of ever blesed memory, par. 7, c. 103, looth the svid acts beanneg in expres worils, 'Tlist fir the fourth fault, 'prelats, eatb, or londs contraveening, shall be 'bam-hed or put in ward year and day, at the 'will of the prince.' 'Therencis hate heen contraseened, yet no pursuit for tle sads. Pains has followed therrupion.
The gad act of the 16th partiament of most Wewed hug Jancs, bears, "That the Nayiug oí ' salmond, smolis, hipper, or black fish, fall ' $\mathbf{x}$ : a clime of theft in tune comang, and to be: 'pronished as theft in ciery qualay.' Which act, if it shall recence force, and be put in practit e upon a landed man, (av questionless it may) $10^{\circ}$ shall import ham, on any l.mod d wan contratreener thereof, nollos than tumel (lon) and forfaultry of life anil goods; because ly the 50th act of the said thih parhment, lauded men convict of the ft or reakipf therenf, commits tredson: eryo, lauded men, slayers of silmon 1, smolts, \&̌c. iu forlingien tiane, commits thect, and consequently tre. pon; which (as the act bears) is declancif to le uncI nud forfaulture of life, latuds, nul coooh.
These and many other of this kind, every where to be liouad amongut the sald acts, docs evince, thrt with reasou the suid acts lite lled in the Dittay, and others of that hind, which never have been prastised of betore, cannot be received agganst the leidges (subjects) without $\alpha$ precediug intination; whereby good and loy.al sobjects may be in mala fide, in case thry be found after the said intimation to have contraveened. And so the said two acts, sjecrilly the srid addition mentioned in the last act, cannot loc found nor sustained as warraitable grouinds in latv against thi, Paunel; hemg a nobleman, not doly hnown to be anc obserice and not liteaker of his sacred majesty his noble progenitors ther laws, acts, and stalutes, to dram
apon hum for alledged heaung, conceaitng, and not revealing and not apprehendang of the atithors.of the itledged intanous supplication, the pains cont ineed ais the sad acts and in the sud Dittiy, which is the tarsel and loss of his lite

It is alledged tartien by $\mathrm{MI}_{1}$ Ileranda Poarson for the $p$ winti, in loitucation of the Eicep. tion propounicd, " Quod leges per desuctudr${ }^{6} \mathrm{nem}$ ticit c consensu censeutu abrog ita, ex${ }^{6}$ pressa lege 33 \& it ' 1 de Ita ut secundum - ipsas nolltermat is judtrare non hicent, human' tus, sulem leges cum monbus utentiom ap-- piobartur, cuione in ististiotio distinctione ${ }^{6}$ sti L.t st hoc obthet in civilibus, quanto ${ }^{4}$ nagis in cramm thbuv ubi tanto cautias,igen${ }^{\text {' dum }} \mathrm{ent}$, quasut) inogis periculam vethtui ? - Whide illud papar qui diciest ut gener diter - clerici in quinquageam a cainbus ct delasis ${ }^{4}$ jejunent, qui a monibus utentium approbatum ' non ert, litei agentes ti inecicsuonisicos, non 'atgant canome supt i citato' And theifiore the act, of puhament whereupon the proposi $\mathbf{t a n} \boldsymbol{n} \boldsymbol{0}$ of the Ditt iy ore tounded, n ne of them, at the le sot the list therest, neier hivin。 I een in obscivance at no time since the mashing there of, now ty the grace of fotls ye urs, cren since the last act, the uts forcsadid, specally the lavt, c unnot sust un thi Ditty, no miter tho pains the iem inentioned
It's answatid by my Land Itcicat, that all the Alledg miner ought to be ic clled, mispect ot the acts of pailiment, whicupon the Ditey is founded, ond thit the e suis no precription agaust lans, and upeca illy ag unct / iws prolahstinentcimes, whithase tho piolulat ind 1 anshoed by the common law, of the uture wherenf are these two ats whetcupon the Dittay is founded And the Alled, ance, ' Quod uggs ' tat ito consc nsu al iogontim,' is only 'pet con ${ }^{\text {- trari un consietudinein uigue ia contiadicto }}$ 'Judicto,' wheh is that wheli the lan calls , tr 'ceto consensu;' zud all the uggments iddiced in the contiany, ane 'ab mevmmodo quod non 'solvit' And the indulgence of the prime in the oversecming the pumbhent of ammes in bygonetune, r moot le idducelto n unant a cime when it is pursucd, and specilly when the cime is of the nature hif aebodlion az nust the pinace, in his person, lest ite and foveniment And albent this te a clear and sond quswer, und $t /$ at no more is necesa ir, jet it is consta it and nottourly hnown, thit these law h his been putimextistion thas 3 \& jeus bygons, as nonely, ag unst I rancis I enu ut, in the veou of God 1000, unl trimst Mr. Ihomes Rosse on anno 1618, and lately gigunst Mr (George Nicol his mfamous I ithel

It is duplyed (sand again) for the Pannel by Mr Alevander P'cursom, Ilwat where it is alledged liy my I ord Adsocat, thit The vetude cannot be obtiuded ag uust acts of porliament, ahich las wainant fi in the commion fin, it is answered, that the wts went crimes by Disuetude rather loses their ugour thin acts of avil business, becausein actsctimmal the re is gie ittcr hazard, as loses of men's honoor and life As to any precedent in civil han giving wartant to the
addition of the last act of parhament, it cannot be alledged, ' Quiz non est lex statuens prenain ' mortss,' contra hearers, concealers, and not revealers, which is the addition of the act. And where it is alledged by my Lord Advocat, that Desuetude of liws is only ' per contrariam con'suetudnem in judicio contiadirto,' it is ansueied, Thit Disuetude of lays is clearly expounded otherwise by the ciritions al ove rehearsed so that ' leges que nunquam m usum ' forenssum pioducter sunt et quai inonius uhn' thuil sppribhantui,' are become in Desuetude, - hicet non sit cousuetudo in furo contradicto in 'contrarium '

It is futhen duplyed by Mr Rovert Macgil!: Not to dive any further moto the acts of pailinment, but respecting the distunction already said, I atlerge, thit the addition of the act 205, capinot be thou he to be ' m vuid observantia' in this our case, to inse it so ought to be, it should mach moue hive wen practised ag unst the haves, ha utis, revdes and seers of any using 'Mr George Ihuchatan's Boohs But this hath never been used yet, cict 154, paili unent Bith, albeit theie his been many liat no it is, that the has not beon ured in its own a te, erso it ought not to be begun to le put in use ag must this prunel, wholet be his other witues, hab beat wet ip ptron of iluting abcdence in bis oud out most gn cions, wind stered sonereign She corme toun os cle us, bec auc thit Bo ih, is sundiy reports it who his ie id it out of the countiv, ' 1 psum regrminis cirdil cm mutur cou'villecc, et mpugit an ugiom procogativam' I uther, if all sirt of apecthe, let me +1 it in all due tevi renct) ag unt I s mlicsty and govornment, come undta he att 1 tithed hete, with the uldtion gimst breis, beaicis, ievaler, nud mot appielun leis, a nil nue' in 'sulidoberestanta, who should not be brought befone thas jua contory, and under the compass of the sud $\mathrm{kt}^{?}$ ton k wo hice ill in one tamuly, every min an lis own, and talh theriot, so hie ne also in i connonwedth, whetent $t w$ talh sonieunes biteily (which is not to be allowed) though foolsh And , the mot put thans that they b we then own mencst, and will fonce as it were the wist, mill they wall they, to hear them Aird incur that which my lind adiocat idjs, ' quad non valent argumentum nb in' coum sdo,' it ought to be repelled in iespect of the plife illed,ed out of Gailus, Libio secindo, Olmirvitione 33 where he concludes, $t$, at the argoment is good are nure the municipil lave to make it to be sule 1 accoiding to the cisil $12 w$, and to common reason And lartber, that our own muncopil laws ought to recerse limitation according to ieason. I repeit the forcoud 25 Act Jic 2 Ium by the cud Act 134 Par 8 Jic 6 it is mule cipital to midide in his lughessos affaus and ectate, either preseft, by zone, of to come, saying lurther, 'I lat none of his subjects of whatsoever function, degrer, or quality, presume in time commg to meddle is sadd 14 , without any exception, then shall a nobleman, who is born as it were by our laws to meddle in such affairs
as concern the commonwe alth ind countiy, not have so much heity to to petition his myenty most humbly in intteis of govienment, tor the neal ot all, ds mity sem to him, whie his req sonings be dincussed, no not mpirhinent, ye i, even ertra parhamentum, his sqcied mijesty was petiunnedoafier his myents sesocition, hownoerer it was conccised, in im ulintroerea terms bu that punishenent of Specchis ment Goserument, ud the Laws, must icceive then right sense Wherefore 'oll they te arveied (which has been most roy illy tegun long since by his inost sicred myesty, and now enacted in bis fust pailiament) the rigour of the sad uddition ought not to be practised upon this p mnel, ' $P$ irb enim pracipua begs est soluntas, it ${ }^{\prime}$ verborum dicitut piarogitiva, lege non du - brum ' ( udice del egibus And Menor hus passiun de abitriris Judicis, eives esception fron mumesipil laws, according to equity und re tonn

It Nirther duplyed by MI Roger Mfoat, *o that put of my Lord Adsocaty Answer anent the mat unces ind prictices alledjed for proving of the Custom nd Consuetade of the said two acts of purfiunent, to wit, Trucis Tennont, Mr Thouns Rosv, and Vr Gcorge vicols, Ihit the sul pracuices or instinces cannot be iespected, becinse they ne not pioduced und it ther wore proluced (is they i : not, it should he cle irly shoni $n$, that they met not in (did not sut) this eise, other hec luse they we nit lounded mpon the sul ict of pal
 contuned in the sud lis $7 t$, on cloc lesiuse the ciunc, ale not atike fit the furt crame it Tenn uit 5 wis ise (ohinnd (Tibel)tering ad proportung exprets postive scandilo on is proicber, whiteot he was condenned th hine been the actor and zuthon Lad so whelias be $w$ is purnaed in / comsict op in the vety on upon the common live, his Dittiy on is mont reles int Asfor Mr Inonis Rocs his come $w$ is sho $\mathbf{a}$ mose ibommble ypeech wnten bs hmuelf usunst tie huil $n$ ition doects cils, qicreot he conlessed himelf (heme upon pin nal) to be it e pennei, thinet, ficiser, wid itvalger, ind copu, of has most int umous lhel affired upou put lic plicer, with las own I ind and so cun ot he obiruded as a prictich or precelent in this ense As for MI George NiL 1 lin crine, it nieds nit to be inwwed. bec use he wis int pinuelled nor consict, mil so his process"intuited thi int him (annot ic uddan od sane putah ti ale the bhecims
 by witi and of comeil, i I nonone of the $u$ la prietichsciube opected In ie pectquitof, the digument founded upon the desuctate of the sud two cre, in I the In_Ir it it miv tollow hell ater upous othes ut to of thit hind, Ke whelitas aser berpololve leconpurined, stuods relevimt Ind it is criont, thit the
 the practuce of the nets betore alledjed may be ailvertid unto, and the dangerous requets prevented,

Sccundo, It is alleiged for the Pinuel by Mt Ala runder Prarson, under l'rotestition tor -ud, that the Winung whertupon the substump ion of the Ditt ay is foundit, is not an minnous hine quoud accusatum, nesther in the pinutl, part me the putuculas hibel'ed ugainst hum stdtious and c Alumnious, nuthet can he Ie piesimed ts hue had any such mentention In t not une infmons bibel quoad accualum, Iut an lumble supplicatyon of some, lords and comm sionets of the fite parhament, offered to his myjesty himecit, whereunto the Supplicints were miduced in love and tendenng of his in jecty's honoun, and in lezr ot thatroffence to his myerty biv the ir soting anent some acts of the lite puh ment 101 removing whereof, and in satifiction to his majesty, they drd humbly bexech liss injesty to be graciously pleved to pon ler then icusons tor dis assenting Fiom It sids acts, and to constiler the Sapphicants licaty obsequiousness in has majesty in othes imitters of the sud parla ment, wherin thev did forbe at to reprecont any thang to the contrury theriof, for testufiction ot their inec nuous affection to his majesty, Sbis dome of the 'npiflonts is no cums, sed de nutura boni und tar tiom any culpable commission, mincdding with things not lelon ing to them In int is hird thit any supplicints depiec lang huinhlv his myesty s oftence, should hy so domg ment has mycoty's oflence 7 I I the crime
 havg mo jimate icsocts, but in the pablich. wheren they had intcrest and specill chirge. and I i convens tuon of theuseliss mbis majestr's good twour, the lows w/ creuf, or the ithi yf lons shuuld to most cirsous to ady los alfuljecte, ' (iyjus republice tantus ubi' queftic rut prov lim int lege a quod rempublice 'velict mite cuns standum bonos mores sit, ' cti misad contunchion alir mios pin titi peiti ' mit quit tumen non ix mente migitratusticit ' at mjuntm lacent, ad id rimiet un in jest itis ' pu ' if respir at, achone muriarum non te
 - I st ugno quond iccusatum Lit ellas sapplex. ' ct al hibellus wipl lex pptulat juri contiaria, ' hojusmodi postolit is ib mab is judicibus re'thatait pricipunt inges ed supplicantem iterom ${ }^{\prime}$ s $\mathbf{z}$ it exdem canst non tudiendum, I 3, 5 , et ${ }^{7} 7$ Corice de pitabs mimeaton! ofleiends - Sell non den suppluc inv icus est sceleris, quin ${ }^{4}$ hbellus c minet it tut inda, nec libellus sup'pley ide, funowes, cum haec fuit aneppria ${ }^{4}$ Non I ibellus $f$ mosas quoad accusatum, quia ' non ad mis imam, sed faioris conciliationem, ' ut wapir Jiem non clanculum et scieto, ' - d prokess, at ie ipse domuno regi oblatus - Atque suleo nulla modo quoad accusatom de'tutitomes ont evlummosus, cum detrictio 'sit ceman wis mid occulte, et c clumas sit id'vervis ablisente 11 , neutinan antein cominitil-
 ' ton um eo сиип pute sunt de ea co onocere. ' de en copusi terest ispublicu e ejurque s ilutis ' caumduntarat --Nam Labellusftmosusquold
'accusatum, quia non constat directis asses.

- tombus in quibn, iont ierum aut filsum, 'quod ommme ni quat hib llt, funows' Itg. unes, Codice de imu is Sibellun - hed por' tulatis in quibu 1 mader wim senit bor um, ' Justum, et qui wimm it t ikum noa agnorcunt 'cum non luit ti mictato'

1 ruda, the I'muli ( minnot be presumed to have hid ally wht intention than the stian and thum ot it, at $\downarrow$ suppl cation does mply, and which is mast $\mathrm{o}^{\prime}$ ' $x$ cuinin, thetito, to wit, to the voile of ane hoin sh st picmit 'ka sen-- tuntir tecipienda est scmpci, qué rcigerendar 'aptior ent, it in ambugus orationibus maxime 'sintenitia spectulis fat cjur, qui is protulit' De licguits Juris And it in divary disizteang to a humble supplicant to tax mi chammate, and thenciore it cham t be on expounded, hat should be interpieted the list way the woids may admit, ' Quatide joie 31 dubuset obocuris, quod m wnium et han gais est sequiman 'Leg 9 1. budim.
llem the Whiting af iessid, as in torns of Supplicitin, wis u d doa ds : Supplication, and to deliscied IV Ule Pamil to the eal of Rotho, to be piecented to his myery as itforaced to the Ditt ov $16 \times 11$, and adecd ollared t) lis mis esty ouhich, hunsoevir his in ife , did not i cupt of then, and it e the simeca to liss consideration, ist the supplicants dal tumb that the visy mesentitum there of did seem to osure th. nivare of the wang to beea rup pucation and xucely cien could the wr st conctise oof the prunel's hiving the wation,
 thash thet his mijesty had pist all offence theront, is the law veeah of ution of mimy, wherent the presentactu-iti $n$ is ihad $I t ?$ ? \& 1 I de my int, ' Weibi legsis, wisi© ruing, ictio (x bono it a quo ret ct dastrunli-- tonne ibohtur, si quis emm mpunim derelo' quent, hoc est st timin porsu ad unmmaisumn - non icrocaicist, posti a ev pa nitentit icmis 'sam mjuilam non pota it iccolse' by the which it appeans, that the D'inal hid ne out i matd ot mitution ts, the Dittivy would ith (fis) upon lime in ull the progiss of the in tisi ho belled dganst hou. duil I appose thit wone will themh, thit by picaraent tin it the hupplication at the fun) to his in ifcely by the citp pheants, that they then by so dong weic cuilpible al ic opitif cethe, it the sume had lien inmednataly therealter fest 0 ad wal nesti. inote stennom bend And it this thrie wers ut culpible, shall ony supcive ment act mist t'rem culpibl, on more culpible' 'am mè - nunguar enun ciexciter post ficto pratciti ' dele th estumath,' I eg 1u0), \$ 1 de Regnlus - Jui © Vialto minus ca poot ficto ontur no' vain delactum, qual ab imtor non fuit quosd ' accusitum, int uls offemsa $s$ qua that disol' molatione iblit'stt '

It in rihed (uddal) by Mr Raberl Margill, If it bad been lcisume (hwoul) tathor Paniel, as a boin counselior, in the egre th cuid icil and parlament, and of her noblemen, to propourd to his most sacicil in yesty the things contasued in that supplication alluigrd to be scandelous;
which ire not affrmatione, but as it may seem, grietancts, remuntrincis, and expechents then it a is also leisone to the Paunel, and oihers, to icprisent even out of puliment to his mast sicicd in yists, our diead sovereign, whit they could hise propounded then, but did abotain (the rehom) in the reverence they caured in his majesty speciilly when they did It in mont submisule mannet, as the hall stiann therrut be the, md out of a most loy $1 /$ affecuon 'Lt pium cat verum eigo et pustenus' The senty of the minor is plunly embraced by Bodin, 'qui est aceamus Regie majestatis ' pappaguator,' cap 1, lib 3, 'ubi de sea itu ' it qu nl $x$ nitot de itepublicz loquendunestr, - praxi,tim de ommbus terl caprubus in sup'plicatione hu coutenas' As to the connerthon, $u$ depends hast on the daty of a good gunsellon bet to whom, is God has given to Fr ell lowed with i inou, bs out mint sicied soseceipn aul his pro, vetors hive gricu to he swive man and $c$ uacilor even foom las bith I ht he may he wh exticisc has reason houn $\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{l}$, th, to the the fit if the comammed, whach is tie thet place of hoorme, is (ice a sisc, ad is that whelt we call uobimety, ball

 cite thein with others, $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{t}$ ty wes, of the same r spectilly at the tume of surevims of the liws, thet aceo duge to the time, and other chementt unes, he may flumbit to his mipety has beat counch in coct thag thit he timhe in y conscem the weal of the count $y$ wherem he heve, is by dutverentiom has buth lie is bound hike to the Ithousci, to whom in at inay dy, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ qu:

vas, it depends up in the good umd to the Commonneal, quercof his mose all ied investy is the he id, ' at non teneatur suunarum $\mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{m}}$ 'quirquam iexpuly cunsifuit' Nethet is that thachat is be an abjury, $L_{r} e$ Lajuituunn, is $61 \mathrm{Le}_{\mathrm{n}}$ equod hap 1 de luguna juncti Irg nemin m 9 (od cr quil is c wiu-1smagitut mitwill, et lise crvariguintide Delatonbur, lib 2 Cod

Ihud'y, It does di pend upon the conception of the word, whath is by w iy of most humble Supplie ation 'It verbs propter udjunctim mut int aturam au m, Carvcta ad decisionc athle ti nequi tata sefert quilha sunt qua mitra nonuet composumus qurm quomiodo elleranius' Ac (Quantianus'' lib \& ' 1 t ut in alins rel us it in seruone, forma dit essentiam ser' mom,' tor esen good woidh miy be used in all cvil sense, ut hrus bone in' ' but thus is wotthy of ill prasc ' L t si non duderis C.esan, 'permitte rogiri, offeulant nuaquan thun ' piecerque Deum,' bing, are gid, on earth; and alletet by Bochin eiticma provacito be counted muter pura mapstalis, thit fiom a hing bunself ticle is no appollition (appeal), yet he consineads the sort of appell toon a Philippo ad Phiphtum, and allerges it tor be the opmion of Bildur, ad Lefeul primun et ultumam (od de Relatiombus, et Leg 1 § 1. F. de Appellotodilus, ne to his majesty.

For seming the alled_ed scand Jous Pettion wis ollated to. is most saridedmijesty to be it id, but mut if a wid nor ie id, noi tites duc - moderito condexumed by live myesty, it $n$ is not tho ght so dad ketots (kt me ycth it with icre ence) as to conat under the compros of the uts of quah unint, and so ats st ought not to hive bey divuloed I mein, in thatt yint im uner, as at in uhit ot be mop rted to Mi Joh, Dummere, 'suh ugallot teitu nit itse', and not to haic licascopiel And tirder, the Prmel ou_he to le excused and bso lined ( d - Leel) from the l) tivy, 'si delicturn dicide-- be it, qu d cum loquimus in delictis an qual us - dolus cot de suhstantia delieti, tuin cledultas ${ }^{6}$ Ct anve pirta she munt isit cius i excuset, $n$ un 'sidetur ces-aic mamus de lifquendr' ( lains, § hind Qucct 60, Iun 32 ' Tt , it delictus vo'Iuntis mon hais attenditor' (, uus lib $\sum_{*}$ Ols r 99 num 6 'At hic nullus catis 5 niv
 ' if hictis princip um i $m$ fims aiter di m,' num Is "Uli ide home iden perpetratui et cons-- Irm "initir tenditar Lege sinun, quants (od de lifjuins, 'ut usitur bic seto ex at'fecturt iemur, 'lhum (2uxst 10o Inspect y umm s a lege illud tutur, of ig non si lua 2 mpincip u quas servum 26 , de Injuvisut, P'lumicur, ' Quod liticupt his dolo
 ${ }^{\text {' }}$ duh in in th u que Puteri, et de jur unento pur'g tuots suldt' Nmi lis, ' dieta mapec 'tione qu d verbi del ent illiptopt $u$ ad tu 'glenchum dehictum et witum znmum, j1asel'tum ruin it pulitioo duta anat' Whach we sil, when it "'is not cor craled, but olieicd to be h, ir in to his nust ciced mijects, neither is at picauned tuit my man has a mued to de tauc lim neight sur l'hirm dutaliopect 2 num nis, et x quint And were he net to ic thought more thin mod, wlo tsould dinn up, mate id of a Supplic then a I bel full of deta $x$ tions 1 - unst bis sicied sivetilalad, of wh, would he $p$ the s me I padd ham, let be to oftel to sinc it $i)$ hes diced sowtign' And in the thme of Leoc Myesty, 'Dolus maluc e $t$ de 'aubst miad commis, it heing eset surd in the - Dimestr, Cupos operadi, malo quad i ctum 'sit if cunpublean' Whit sh if it not be de substantia delut, in this alled_ed crime of detiaction, not so evil is is alledged in the Dit tay ? But is the sume biets with iremonsti i tise suj plicition (litine say it with icserer ce) wrong af w $w$ did as it mily concem the Pannel, (wherc he load rown inv prob ible cia es miducmg hnn th icto) ' (Queq quiltues et cancum-- rant,e conjecture, cum nulla delmouendi 'consuctudine probate, it levent toneridolum 'piesunptum cluam piopter prolubizonem' Carenus, fol 104, 105 uif Ihit these thangs mught baie been propounded in puliament, That he was a counscllor of cstate, Thit other noblemen thou bet theu alae (ns) well of it as he, It it it iv is toi the wcal (at least sb appiebended by them) of the countay, in this time of surstyme the liws, That it wis offered to be given to hus in jesty, and that under the fam
of a most humble supplication Thit other hard sufplicituon. conceiming the estate had been itcersed griciously by lin mivesty lhat itw is not that tiken notice it, nen upon cons deritoon cordeinicd Aud it mimht hue becti, ti it it any heit $h$ d petchance lighted on st, they would have done won-c with it than the Pantel Ior, as (quatih in ady, 'Consil um 'at hatio quidem act, petat iet plun y perpen' dhas, ac comparens hity 'ddilibe ds' Now no ghit not viribe in tsolt in Le seen but quasi fucies, as Plito gity ver, vents, equaty, and uthlity, ne lain had an the draw-vell of Democutus ' 1 t ut quisque 'alussman micatc est, ta CX altistmo Democti' t puteo quast submistis ventatem, ot guta-
 the ic ison of in in obfuscat (dorhened) stice his 1 ill, that he cinnot peristrate to that deep, in the durhices, which by diceptation of contuy rivions, the tho fint of thestichen upon other, sume sparbles of lishe tike out, lot letung sec to diaw foith there vilues Wherinil cousider alsa the Panel's cruntric and lans I ie by past, and at my thing teving to echition was evet he ud of ham, on evch what effect hes t illowed ' Quilitas emm fistice pusomi ta' exenter pis-umitu,' Gloss s fin li Canone non omins' ' quest 5 , et dict I I ege etptimid, 61 1 Ad I cem Julu Myet itis 'Lbait no-- destanus, inm et pasous spectande cst, an - ficere porucut, an ante quadiecent, at in co' Lutinciit Jt tr, it finh cansa cacusat a sedi'tion eque an ciajit' Rochus In ictita de sedrious paemiso 4, 1 cm 2 Uli ututui cremplo Ahossic et Istat lit aum ad Aquos Meriba And sjoil the l'a ( 1 , who hid to m my reasons. lot bif he the malt pumshable as one veditious in that me $n$ matt 1 of d walging as sud x, of a tomstutise stplacition whereot but by It itath and miseris incting (sita poce diretim ) it is githet l, tat fiom the Pani cl s mind, " $t$ chatan $w$ bt bive ban $11 i v e d ~ N u$, no, thit matis oals stiled aciations, whe, by ducet r ecles, draws the puofe mintions. and -ita gadly lefore one of tlom, hes out the word ( 1 th it) 'selfe of bac ant illifnctio' Bonims dict 11 let tu Pith misto 2 aun 2 kt
 th nt dicunt, ' Quod in $n$, quoque qui jm se'ditmen excstalant 1 umcadis icquantur, at 'stadiose thmorem et tumultum conchlarent 'sociterntunc' What hangs, scuagg they nie so fat from the nature of the Prancl, aud form hind $\mathrm{nn}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{t}$, his intention and mind ought to be justu cd, and consequently he ought to lie assonzied ficm the Dittoy produced

## December 6, 1634.

It is alledged by Mr John Nubet for the Pannel, That the dittay is no ways relevant, because nothing is libelled to infa $n$ edittous and simstrous intention of the pannel, in ecntriving, conceahng, or impaitung of tie fiece challenged, neuther is in libelled, that the paunel hnowing the alledged Libel to be sedtthous and anfamous, concealed or divulged the
same; but to the coatrair, it is hbclel, ibit the Pannel, immeduately aftes the retenpt thereof, delivered it to my lord Hothes ts be presented to bis majesty, which cle us the cuudour of has intenton, and the opinou he find of the nature of the prect, and of the use he thought might be made of it to propitit his myesty, and not to triduce his acied poison or goverament to his sulincets. And theietork, albeit ic had enceild it, and'divulged it, he cunot be ohnoxiou•m the fauh of the acts of parhament, which aic oulv acuust seditious Con tivers of slaudeciou, Withys, and imahcious Conce Jeis of Wrunge, nottourly and to then knowledge seditious, expressly compiled by incontiles and thebrands of sedition, and ex pooed to the view of the subjects tor that cflect Ior the words of the quts of pouhiment ('To the - reprouch of his myetts spison, etsate, ind ' government, stecing up Scdition, tendrug te toteer up the hearts of the suljects to hatiedt) ampleth metention And the casil and cinon law requicti' 'dolum geneicle in onm actione ' 1 njuriuum tinqua im substantinle iequisitam ' Gailus hb 2 Observ tume 99 And e rperestiv both in the compilug ind disalcing of seditious and mimous hbyls, Leg ler ( oruelin, \& 11 de Injurar, 'Cum dolus non viest, nasi dalo - malo quis fecent (viz) Lal tum ad mf whin ${ }^{6}$ nhicujus pertinentem scup cint, componuent, 'vel ediderit' Ieg illad 's sine cordur utul

- Impabea el funosus non prount licuc mag * riam, qair neuter ext din cipin, num has 0 - lent pati ujunum, non ficeif cum cmm m * Juria cr effectu fac entis non compistat, connc-- quens ent dicere, hos sire pulsant, sise con 'vitium dicunt injuriam ter issc non 'odien'' Leg 34 F de Obligatuombus et Actionifus, m Juria ex effectu sit, Institurimibus de lijuinies, of Leg 56 ultin $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{F}$ ad Le_s m Aquila an Ubt dect Julianus, ' Actioncm 11 juriaiupgi non - competere, quia uon isciendie impuria cius in
- feccrit, sed monende, et injuine non lartum - queritur, sed causa ficienda expiesba Itg, 39, de Fuitis decieti sectunda pirte, ( uusa s Quest. 1 Canone 4 'Verba sont (or wim - chartarun quie tuioese sunt) -cilicet dolo-e - manifestaverit, al af se quasi duthoicun hujus 'modi delicts capit \& sententh subjuganiuin' Turre-Cremata et alu doltores in ieiho Do lase Baldus Consil 250 in fir hb 3 C in an 149 an fin hb 5 'Dolum in maturi re'quirit, sine quo all ita dica non poter') Idem consil 877 Num 2 volumme 5 - Rispondet ' totain culpam quar alicui dilo aqui pir itar ' non sulficere continet' (ravett i, conall 119 num 1 Idem concilo, nuin 96 'Art ngens - actione imuriarum deliet alligari dolum et ' ounnia jura clamit int, mjuriam non then sine 'amino imjuriandi' Leg si non cinvmi, C dice de Iujufis, verba sunt 'st non coi vith ${ }^{6}$ concilo piubaie potes, te nliquid imjuriunum "dixise, fides icit a calumnit de' nderit' Lek 5 §1 F de Furts, 'Malehcia soluntaset 'proponitam delunquentis datmpuit.' Leg 14 F. ad Legem Corne nairir de Sicailis,' in mak 'fious veluntis sptctatur nod ex us' Leg
unica Codicis, 'si quis umperatan maledixerxt, tubr petulans et inprobum mendacium, qu0 ' imperidha nomuad lacessuntur, non station ma' junis censctur, et co nomme puntur, sed ' distinguitur an ex levitate pioctascit et sic 'contemmatur, an ex msama, it miseratione ' digna censetur, an ex iujuiss $\boldsymbol{A}$ ' sic remitenda - declatetur bic manuid contunditui es seci' ptocutur ram ammo munustee' Omues Doctoies, Uenochus consil 107 lib 12 per totum The cause diebated by the dictor 19 coincident with the present, but nut so preguant in tavour ' Arguchatur senator scippisse in' juriose de principe in hunc sensum, quod com 'senatorum numeius utili sabectione coasctarus - fuiset, posted es-et amplintus importunitate ' et ambanone postulantion, meatem priacipis, ${ }^{6}$ mipeliente, et cumi iursus nova Cousututione
' consultum furcet, et nuinerus schatorum inn-
minutus, demique, net demum auctus fuit, et
( in iminensum creit m $n^{\text {nad }}$ cuin supiemi nt-
- dinis jactura ct muth intens?, totiusque be tors
'moseanodo addider th, hospitatiombus tota
' die patiam maxime gravail et filenses mimas 'rem uicre gravatos'

Mencluas conoultus num mjurinse scrip-- 14 , irspundet, ' Qui imuri rumin et male di'cratur accusalionem matutut, doo debet po' bais, altetun verbe esse muniosi, alterum 'prolite esse ammo imjuisinds lt hect me-- thado ostendit serbs anon evse mjuniosi, quia liudon duck colitinent cura ejus cinstitutio 'dictur optumn et potius bon) selo et pro - cel-otudints uthitate piolita videntur Deinde

- ulut sen storem pruam non madisse, quat
- veib nimjurima etia in de suan nura pumuntur,
- tal um cuin anino anjuisandi proferuitur, et
'prasump ho juris stat pr) eo qui protulerit
- Jiqui iciba quax identur iajurios i, ut dica-
' tui c i dixisse ahrque anmo mjuiandi Ergo,
'satis superque fundato est intentio senations
' quod scupuent pradato, non modo non ammo
' othri ndi mujuri itienissimum piancipea, sed
' $p$ cuus l ndandi et simhla iver ba a subdito bene
- meino de puincipe prar uinitur puolita potios b no calo See undo, quia quando veiba sunt - Jubis, st undun est declirauom cjus qui ad
'dixit et quando sunt dubio, suniliter vecla${ }^{\prime} 1$ tuo nb alins veiths pixcedentibus vel subse' quenabus, sel ab a risque Lt in casu dicit - Cenat nem priaciem laudasse, cum dixert - fusse in ai bitrio suxe celaitudans, num senato" 1 un numetum angeret . Addat, quod mjurid iequirit dolayj, sine quo illata dici nequit, et ' in rinu constat omnem dojuin abluisse, quis ' dolus non presumitur comminsus contra per' sonam benemisitam; non presumitur in ho' mine probo, non piesumitur in eo qui pro © bencficio patise aliqus verba protulit, que - principis personam indentur afficere, non ' prasumitur in subdito. quas mhil nunquam - contra $\uparrow$ nincipen molitus est Qua pro'sumptio multo mages comprobatur, quando
- serba voce vel scriptus directa sunt ad pinacipi in, et si bec in homuse prisato vera sunt,
' quas to magis in senatore gravi et illuatri, qui
'alnoqua ex lus serbis congeiset whas contuggere
grave detrimentum ? (asetts in sunhic cosu - dilut. Judmos (harist mimicos, in dubio non - presumitur, uiquid divise id unuinm Chris${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{t}$, quanto manus debet picsumi crime $n$ myu${ }^{4}$ rie in subdito, contis anam d monnum P' Idem abid, num 26 ' Comjunctus ne in presumatar m
 * umuin giadurn Glowi in 1 kg wastes F de linjuris - Multo misay pi uniten tom est ${ }^{\text {' subditum velle injuriare domaun sun ' et }}$ Consal o 'Nou ist sumile quod tu ficult tip 'bus plenus adeo stultu, fuerit, at ${ }^{\text {b }}$ iodigus ${ }^{6}$ esset pusisice it dens, it quid dolus non solct ' comautticontia tuntain pineipcou, eme cqus ${ }^{\prime}$ ldecius, Consll 256 'Abvolvit quecadatu $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{L}}$ ${ }^{4}$ ridem seditions insunulatum, quam nullo ${ }^{4}$ aumo muninudi dixent tho vorc quod pinn ${ }^{6}$ ce; s quid un male ficiebit, et ficeie nom po ${ }^{6}$ teast, yuia adita decudun potent bono alo ${ }^{6}$ maven, et in dubio pi ssumendun est ut de - Inctum excluditur, et qui i prasumptio de - licu remonctui groptei bon an $t$ unani et opr'anmen Punds' By all which presumpmons, more preguntly concurng thin in auy cree extant an lis, the panaels smorency is cle uad abuadantls And nothing tudat on lecx acted bet has declaration by onth, whath be ef tus movt wilhusly, and by the unisusil and une introvetied picice of ill antions, rconded with like pres mpto ms, mponteth il solution * Quit probitui ammus nin mur ind, juta ${ }^{4}$ tucntu ejus qui mjuriain intulit Guido Cousal 223 n m 2 m tine Mcnoch de presump tiont us, hb , Petmp 00 nmm 32 Iderius,

 ' idtione cuin f ir incite st undum est, qui ide ${ }^{6}$ pendenutar ib rumo stitas jui mento ejas - de cequa anmo dulat tur' Jch 1 (odic de
 platilu- ad 1 e_ein st non coratin, ( dice de Iojuis, ' He, purs, atpons jurim ntam caus - cogmita dectertii la ibiti a toone petor ne, qui 'muntisuvint uristia veibis usicst;' Gallue, hib 2 Obstiv 106 'Notum eet injuit in 7bs ' que aumo uon committ, it mance ithim cum ${ }^{6}$ amino const it juivaninto probari posse, et 'Ita in caluera judicitum itfert' Aad therefore in ra-pect ot the prenisect, ie Dittiy is no whys reletant, both because in lus is not libelled, and bec use the Ditt ty bears thet the pannel gave the precc thalcaged to my lord of Hoth s of antention to be preeinted to his m* jesty whicreby the innocency of his intention is evidenced and ot his nocession in having or divulguing the priece foresind, since he wis on tar fîun thmbing thé prece munious to his mu jeaty, un that he hid ventuied by my lord - Rothes's means, not only to acquant his majesty the rewith, but to present it as a fit apology to his majesty, us is achnowiedged by the dittay, which we accept in that pars

It is farder alledyed for the I annel, That the heaing, haiang, and not reporting, is not relev int to infer a concealing aften the $\rho$ sinel's hnowiedge that the bing was acquanted therewith, because ' celamus eum qua ignoint, ${ }^{\prime} \operatorname{leg} 1$,
F. de Actionbus empts et venditi And the reason expiessed in the act of parlament whiv the reportung is mijomed, is, thit geditions pieces of that naturc inay come to his majesty's hnowledge After whach tome, the pannel was not obluged to importune his mijesty with superfluous reports, seeng it is acknowledged by the Ditt ly, that sumediately it $w$ is delivered hy him to my lord Rothes, and so acquitted hamselt of all that was meuthbent to him in duty aneut the point of reiephis

It is lihewise alledged fot the Punel, That the points of the affedged Libel quarielled on outr ue sus to ci machmen, on to noblequen, is not ielevan to miter the cume and pain ot inI unous libelline, because they are not challenged by tie putus mutcisted, and of the law 'Tolent hou bt injuria, et injuia dissi' multione aboletur si quis deliquert, ct ad f Inmuin non tevocaviat' 'Secondly, Ihere is mo ket of puhtunent inug ting cipital punshment apn in the cuth as of maluous Whtinge, reflec ang ig uast subjects, bat only igunst his mijest) ; shece i person, cstate and governwent

It was there ffer illedged, apder Protestation ut suppo, by Mi Roger Mount tor the Pannel, Ih it the Ditt iy is not relevant to mine $r$ the pan libelled upon, licc ture ane bumble supplication in nan c of a number of lus majest y's ly yar subjects, for removing of the picjudicts which hin ma jesty had on migl $t$ concerve dg unst them as supplic mite, and for conchiting his majesty's gitcious tazout tonnud, them, is int such ane unfanous libel as fills under the compass of the acts of puthment upor which the sud Ditcay is top hided tut the supplication quarilled, wherfupon the pwiel is accused qu ad rom, and the list of the sud nol hamen, is an humWhe sppppise it on in then $n$ mmer, is a numbici of lis ingety 5 nost loy a bulijecti, to iequore his $m$ ycst's f iejudices, and ts concatat lis loighness tirsur, crgo no mitunous hil el falling with i the comp iss of these ncts, quoud eis, and the panuel Tlie inqot is cle ar ly the deenition, nature, and qu litiof of we infmous and $x$ undalous hbel, (alicic the mount be minlignmg, dur ictann, tad calunni), and ly the definamon ot an humble and subunissise pention and suppheition, whach difters tu hiom an infumous libel, and altogethes beterogeneous. The issumption, sis that the qu urelled supphertion, whereupon the panncl is nccused, is an humble petution to remove prejudice, and to conciliat his minjesty's gracious fivour, is evinced in the sald quarrilled suppucation itn self, in the msciption, in the inten'ion of the supplica $t$, and instiv, in the humble destie of the supplicztion, which is the substance, life, and quintessence of all petitions the metention and end of the supplic pats being the e-sence of the petionon, nof the harsh or haid expresions of the said quarrilled supplication (being submasane and modest in the mscription udd desue thereot, as said is) cannot uifer guiluness aganst the Pannel, wha is nut Author nor

Wruer thereof. And also any Petution, formal by a secretary, a lanjer, of a witet, to be given and presented to his sterul moraty, (even though the desne thesent might seem just, beng hard and difficile, on contamug hask (harsh) expressothy not easy to be understond by every reader, sh if mimer guituness and pumshment of death npon the supplicaus mention theiem, who peichance masy be nbsent out of that part of the hugilom whe $\mathrm{r}_{4}$, the petition was foumter. And if the thar-haces th ome expressions, whe tame in the sud quair relled supplication, can inter the guiltiness libe lied, "and punishiment of death, ypon the prannel, the sopplication and remonsti ince mide and prescnted to his innst sacied majesty by a great inany of the nobiltt, who then wire ait ud at the lange extent of lus mige-ty's late revocation and ieduction, would likewise fall undel the comp iss of these acts of parlament. But that supplication and remorvo strance was accepted by his suyal manesty, and his majesty was ple istd with it And unce the same his tended to his majcsty's honou and bincht, in the misters of suriender nunuity, and platation of the Kirks, with competent stupenda, the connexion is prosen, because the humble supplication and icmonstrunce was no less expostulatise nor thr, whith may be easily cleared loy peiusing the sand iemonstrance iselt, engo, Ac.

It is answered bv my Lind Adrocate, that the second alleadgance, and all the member, thereof, and Additions niade to it by the Pannel's procarators, ought to be repelited, $m$ icspect of the Dittay, w/ rh subsumes teles antly opon the two arth of p arlament, nuid by word, as the same are concersed. And whero it is allerged that the mamous him I cannde bc esteemed minmeas or iepioichful, quoad accusatum herause it is concensed undy the forin of a supplication, and cont uns not infamaan ot anjuriem, but tends to pacily has uadjeaty, whom the smpplicants suppoved to be offended; and that it contams nothang ' posi' Had vel enuncatuce 'euca velumu vel talsum, ' sed 114 postulati cyra bonum vel malum.' And likewse wheic it is alledged that the Dittay is not relevant, therc being nothung Itbelled to infer the libel ptaarrelled to be sedttious, and that the pannal hnew the same to be seditious; and that there is no Dolus Ifbelled it is nnswerd, That all these alleatgances ought to be repelled in respect of the Ditta), which is qualithed according to the quatlification of the acts of parliminent. And the designation of at supplication alters not the nature of the libel in the points where it is challenged as reproachful and scandalous; tout these points being of their own nature scondalous and reditiots, cannot be (xcused undet the veil and pretence of a supplication, especinlly where the reproaches are emitted ngaiyst his rajaesty's adered person: 'Quid in muminis pulsatione - sacre et supremex majestatis nulla admatutar ' excessatio, ut alt Horoudus,' lib. 8, de Labelhs - definationns: tanta est atroctas libellorum

- amosolum; et amperator Augustus libellos 'sunosos in principem tinctavyrit sub speule ' lacar majestatis.' Et citat. Ciceionem. lib 4, de Repablica, ' ula aut nostre duodecm tabula 'cum per paucas ies c pite satichissent in hi., ' hanc piæcipue sancie ndam putavernint, si quis - hac tentavisopt sse carmen pondidiset quord ' matanam tactie flagitionse aluir, et multo ' magis ubi quis modestre neferus, et pudons
 'majchatus unmen ceedident licesendum.' And this ctime is so odtous and hemuns that there niceds no dolus to be libelled, $\eta$ uia dolus prasumitus. And fon the colouring of the supplaation, it is altogether impeitinent; lacuuse that would clade the law, steng every man whorexolvss to abuse the sacied person of the swetign pince, has it in his power to gne what namin he pleases to las scandalous and odous conceptions, whether to deugn them by ane e pistle, by anc listry, by a petition, by an ndmomition, sudd lastly, it he pleases, by a sow, eto cosel his crime undir the mash of prety And ton supplications, the Law 19 elenr, that albeit they be oflered to the supieme puace, vet at they contan a repioach and $x$ andal to a tubject, they are pumshed, Lere apad laboneni, T, de Lijuins et famovis I ilielliv, $\oint 29$, a nus heec sunt veiba; ' $\$ 1$ quiss - libcilo dicto puncipi famam alienam fiecot 'msectatur, tenctur injuraarum.' And therefore much mote, whete a subject dares pre sume to mathe offer to hus sovetergn land of a supplication, and in it prosume to tix or repmach hir majett's person, his gestures, his speeclics, IIs promises in lus supreme paihament, and tis liy sisperotions upon haf glotious bonour, majesty, and digury; which, with the rest of the pouts of the libel, there sepcated, are ar many aggravant qualities to wier the atrocity of this mianoous and schltious libel And I'harma, int hrs 30 Concil. num 34 35, 37, and 62, d15putes at length this question, 'An suh specie 'vout hhellus famosus encusctur" And concludes, 'Quod quasitus color infanines sub 'sclamine petitionis migis aqgiavat et injunain et ammum injuriand.' And no man can be able to deny but the defimition of ane fainous libel is most competent to this paticular case, which is 'ubi vel dehctum, vel vituum, vel de'Iectus mproperatur ahent persone.' And not only one, but all these concur in the particular qualifications contasned in the dittay; aid of the lnw, 'Actus sumunt desiommationem ' a potentioti, precipuè in actu mixto.' And iead this infamous libel, it shall be found in the strain of it , and the most powerfal positions, to aum still at ins majesty's person, estate, and government; which are so much more mex-* cusable, that they were needless; and not only neediess, but contraiy and destiuctive of the narration and conclusion, which seems to tend for pacafying tus sacred majesty. For it the purpose of the supplicants bad been to propitiat his majesty, their sormw and grief for his majesty's supposed offence, and an humble deprecation of hus majesty's wrath, had been fit
and useful means to procure their wished desire : , but in place of these, to enter irreverently and outrageously upon the gesture of the prince, upon his acts and gestures in parliament, upou the censuring and misconstruing of his proceedings, and drawing the hail body of the estate under the asperity and atrocity of their sedrtious specches; it is a thing without example. And where it $A$ nlledged, That this petition was presented to his majesty by the earl of Rothes : and if any injury was therein, it was removed by dissmmulation : it is nnswered, That dissimulation never removes injury, but upon preceding kuluwledge. But there is no netice thereof to his majesty, because sefused: and, ns I am credibly informed by these who have heard it out of his satred majesty's most gracious mouth, his majesty has deelared that his majesty remembers well the time that the earl of Rothes made offer of that supplication to his majesty; and that his majesty's Ansucr to hinn was, 'My lori, ye know what is fit to ' you to represent, and I know what is liteo me - $u$ hear and consider; and therefore du, or 'do not upon your peril.' And the cirecmstance of presenting to his majesty can have mo place to extenuat, but rather to aggravat the crume; becuase the pannel in his own deposituons has declared, that atter reading thereof by the earl of Hothes and him, it was thought of such a btrain as was not to be represented to his majesty; and thercfore the representing, afier it was disallowed, increases the offence. And where it is alledged, if his majesty had received it, that no crine nor punishment might have been mferred against the presenter; it is formally contrair, in iwpect of the odions and seditious conception thereof, wheth would have deseryed the punshment of law in greater measure : in respect whereof, the said haul alleadgunces ought to be repelled.

It is duplyed by My. Alexander Pearson for the P'anncl, That where it is replged by my Lord Advocate, that the alledged and infamous Libel, though under the title and form of Supplication, yet the same being indeed scandalons and reproachful, that it cannot escape under that cover: it is answered, Thar the alledged libel cannot be thought scandalous, quoad supplicantes, neither doth it affirm the particulars libelled by the Dittay cum hoc in se non habent; being a supplication which of its own nature speaks not but ns iyseeks, and no more than it seeks : and whatever reasons or motives it proports to perguade, it ends in a bumble no quest for a gracious answer from his majesty: that it cannot be saiffto affirm directly, which nne infamous libel of its own nature requires, dicta legc uniea codice de famosis libellis, The words of the law is, 'si ejusmodi assercionibus ' ides: veri opitulata non sit:' Thereiore requires assertions direct, which is 10 in the alledged libel: but proports reasges and inotives, laying out the same to the view and consiteration of his majesty, if thereby graciously and farourably it may obtain its desire. Where it is replied by my Lord Adrucur, That dolus
needs not be lihelled, 'Ruia delictum to injy${ }^{6}$ ria prasumitar facte animo iujuriands et aic 'doluse; ' it is answered, That giving and not granting the same, ' Iqjuria presumitur animo 'mjuriaodi in dubio tantum, et nisi probetor ' contrarium.' Clar. $\$$ Injuria, num. 17. But to the present ouse it is by the most evident presumptions, ubove rehearsed in the defences made for the pannel clearly manilested, that there was no mind it the Supplicaats and paunel of injary or yong; therefore cannot he presumed to have offereri uny injurions and scandalous Libel to his majesty, or done any thing thereanent with that mini.

And where it is replied hy my Iord Adyocat, That if the supplicants had a purpose of deprecating his majesty's offence, and intreating bis majicsty's gracivus fuvour, they bad made choice and use of fit means to come to that end, and , not by scandalous speaking or repronching :-

It is answered, What fituer means can a supplicant ose for intrenting of favour, than by humble supplication? And that which wy Lord Adrocat calls scandalous reproaches in the alledged Libel, is grievances and remonstrances thereof, humbly presented to his majerty, and not anertive reproaches, ut supra. And where it is alledged, That dissimulation removes not injury, but upon preceding knowledge; and that his anjesty did not read nur take to consideration the supplication foresiaid presented; it is answered, That the supplication offered to his majesty, ulthough his majesty took not the same to consideration, seems to assever the nature of the writing to be a Supplication, and was a great inducement to the supplicants to think that his majesty had passed all offence ther fof conceived. And whene it is alledged by py Lard Advocat upon the panuel's depostion, wherein it is alledged that the Pannel and the earl of hothes together, atter the reading the supplication; thought it not fit that it should be presented to his majesty; it is answered, That the Pamel's alledyed deposition proponts not the carl of Rothes and his together rending of the supplication; like as it is of truth, that the sard Jpplication was offered to his majesty by the earl of Rothes, before the Pannel und the carl of Hothes had any thoughts or purgose of supphessing of it : aud howsoever the panuel had had once a mind of suppressing tine sume, yet he thereafter knowing that it was indeed offered to his majesty, wama great inducement to the Pannel to estcem and think of it as aue supplication.
It is further duplyed by Mr. John Nisbet, for the Pannel; Where it is replyed by my Lord Adrocat, That it is subsumed relevantly, and the Dittay is qualifed according to the qualificatious in the acts of-parliament; That dofe being essentially required in all actions of injury, albeit acts of parliament, by reason of their shortness, are pot apecifick in the expression therenf; they must be interpret conforin to the common strain of law, 'Quia in statutis poena-- libus aliquid delictunu capitaliter, eliamsi quad - de dolo non fiat mettio, dolus requiritur, et
'suph, suffict lata culpa' Phar Quest. 86 Naog. la, es 'pris qua ppibiune cit te et quain - adares doctures, qui arunt se liber isse uliquos 4Appens moits, et esse ments teueudum ud ${ }^{4}$ contuasouciu judicun noper itoram, qua cre
'dant non esse iccedeuduan a tabis statut et

6satutain unpont prea un pio co quad die jure
'commanis in panilu'. As the preseat cibt, the points of notiewptung and not upprithend ing, anc punsh ible by ho otherliw in the woilf. and that the mimatiousiose of tie mitention 15 necessuiy to be hbelied, it is conasicnt with reison, becanse the cume ronasts the isot, it ${ }^{6}$ idea op ritte puneie in libello, quil non probat
'hoc cuse quod aliquindo contrugit shes,' Codise de Piobatiombur Ley Neq, as the prosent case $\Lambda$ otdutious conetalung or havim, 15 not ie levantly libelled by the having of u jece allerged seditious, bec euse i m wim my hive \& seducous prece, nidyet not he a sedinous con cealer, hecruse be miy be of opin $n$ thint it is not sedunus And it were had in low oi red son, upon the eimors of opmion, to micr the guilt of or atiocious 2 cime, whis is all cumes, 1 fquires ane express consent Aud by the lin, 'Nibil est tam conturium con ensal, 'quam eirnr,' $\Gamma$ ' de Juisdatione omulum ju
 ' eat soluntas,' Cudice de Juis, et Iacti Isnorantı

And where it in ieplied hy my Lord Arisoc it, Thit wie desi, nutio" altel, not the niture of the alledead Libel, it is daplyd, that the disig, nation of the foresual libel, jomed with the stiain and tishoon of it, vadic tues the Pannels monecency in the couccumg and usiug of it, because it would appe ir to any man tod be : supplicinoin, and not in mfamues hibel, \&eng it is addrcssed $t$ ) his myenty, whose royil and excessise fordness cacludes all picsumption of anjury, und f the law 'Subditi contry pinaci-- pein suum it tuden committ solume non me 'sum tur' Menoch roncil 405 num 27 Cravetts concal 09 num 0 It len mimeth at anc humble supplic ition st bis muyesti, and cont clurdeth with i hope if dswur mee, that his in : jesty will histen thereto And theicfore,' cums 'exordane puncipi moternetenuur hdem' Baldus in Leg quantz (odice de Commisas 'Mal ' to mag's ex principis et hue reliqut interpie'tands suat, et ex exticmis media pr samun'tur' Menoch de Piesumptionibus, lib 6 It continns amp le clogic of his majesty's mos al goodness, and justice ot his blessed thetici aud thar predecessors ' 1 t deo verba debent in: telligi de actu umf mom, non defformi, id est, - noitornatur in liudem, it decus, et non pir' then in laudem, paium in vitupeniom.' Craietta concil 9 num 27 ' it verba debent prtius - mproprian ut intulligi seconduin fictinuem - Jurrs, dehctum tughtur.' Ibid et Plum Quest. 105. So that it is clear, that siee supplication proves not a nahed, aad transparcht vell of deagration, but probatle grounds iesult ung upoo the atraun of the piecerself Whereas my lord Adiocat a,graiates the circumstanea
of the person injured, being his sacred inajesty, the laus adduced convmices the odiousners of the crime, when it is nottour, but extenumuts the presumption, that the Puunel chould hase bet $n$ tanted thertwith, bucue so otcilegious a irice is not piesuined 'et maxime petulans 'et inpiobumi mendicinin,' c) mimu ut int thon ig unt i putmee And the emperors hat lett $\mu$ lice to pone the candur of mention oowithot tinding the most expiess and tonal myuns of words Is uma i Codice, 'si quesungcriton in ildedseit' And whele it is tifive IIs my ford Mds it, that dole is it sumad Hisiluplied, * Quod ammus mju-

 cip, 'Actor Ifibct wecersirimon paes re in 'hildo que I mimus imjunimid intacesscret' AnI th the is tonin edicts requie fimally d lum malum, 1 d mil conul to, lab 3 et
 ridictione ommuin luikum If_ 7 . Doh I dy Ldetrun de vi public 1 , qui Dolo Malo, 1 id I cgun Tham de a pullie i, Itg 10 It in (imue Mijctitis ad Le. luhtim Myestitus ' It resat Dolus gave a a pidsumatur, 1 eg Dolun Coduce de Dolo Pharm Quett 105 Iuspectione 3 et 121 In I ibello da bet comam, - Quod Injurians mmo mumandi lechit gam'disumus in pasonis in quibu, mil s mina'onds ibumus non pidsumitur' S heclus in I gg st non consitn ${ }^{2}$ od a de Itjuar, num 4 © dist i, ruir utioum is qui myurizm fee isese dic itur, ' t l , ste persona qui prisumptionem minut 'firlat cessuc, an vero $t$ dis qui non fic $t$ ' And thin inore when the person thit is muared concuis we exclude the piesumption of mjury - Princeps qui tianscendit ominem! juir p affoo 'tum et affectum' $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ ivet concil 9 \& I tu un (Jud us ion plesumitui thquild dixise 9 dar'Jurs un (liristi'
Whert as it is replyed oby my Imd Adsocat, That if the dosinn inin of a sop plic tho is should be admatted to pillinte ane mjoinous tht hition, the livis would be tladed ' $\mathbb{R}_{1}$ istio col 11 mf$)$ 'mip sab velamae petitomis,' it is dup yed, Thit the inconienifncy camnt be olaturicd in this rave, bec in e the hibe piesuinptions of annoctacy will $n$ it be concurrent
Where it iv replyed by my I ord Adiont, I hat the impudent presumptonym presenting a piece of thit stran to his sicted $m$ j jesty, aggi -Patcs the cime, it in duplved, ihat the citat on adduced by my Loid Advoast, aggi wates ealy the intention, ${ }^{\text {t }}$ et ununum jnjuriandi,' when it is constaut, but infecbles the presumption, Ihat noblemen of thei quality and wisdon should have adsentured to prcsent a prect that they thought of that nature, seeng it cannot be * presuined, that they should have thought that the nume of a supplicition would have satisfied or eluded the high's majcaty , segacity, and male them to exchage due punirhment
The loid Justice-General contunued the caurt till Tuesday neat the 9th At which tume, when it was replyed by iny Lord Adsocat the lunt day of the piocess upon the law, ' Itemi
'apud Libionem,' that the nature of a sedinous ir intamoas libel is not conapatible with the 'presenting to his majesty, ' Quid hbellas ' potest due principi, et nithlommas in eo farna 'dhtna licessit'

It 19 d 9 yet duplyed thereto by the sand Mr John Aasbet ty the Pannel, Ihat the Case meets not becquse the law addluced is of a libel presented in the prince, and reflecting upon ane other person tha the punce humself And it has never been heard thit any his been so inconsideinte as to piescret las own Dittay to 2 prince

Where is it is replved by my Lord Advocts, That the most of the alledged libel adduced by the supplicant, t) cnforce their intention in propititug his in yesty is liopeitiment and destracuse of the c aclusion itself, and there fure, ' Denommuto sumenda est a potentiore, 'piscique in utu inisio.
It is duplyed, 1 hit in 1 iow words destauctive of a Powtatuen, ' Rclet intur per piotest t 'tomem (wili gi thi') the word 'Menturis, is swo honovi, st sulwessent conjecture per 'quas tals protestatio udpuvaretur, quod valicet 'protestans noo bal ceet anunuin impurisends' Cluus, 6 . Lajuris, nuin 13, And albeit in mattet of notion and foum, 'Conclasio sequita ' dcturiorem partion illogism,' yet in practuo sill bismo, the conclu 1 un lieng ' the Te a pan - rimen, transmittut ih il tellectu practico,' to be espuused and erobriced fiv the will, is most consida ible, ind the impertinence of Midses used to cal rec the sume, is achnowledged hy Il man int to be un excye of impiadency in the prea itf n , ind not ot guil in the mutin tim 1 ul whor wimy loid adve it contend, - Qu dit met to vumendit a potenision,' it in ans crud, quod eipursa elsan ate moic powerfinl than eruned consquences. And in
 of the prece, sl ould apriponder tre some mos dent slance ' Ft quando veibi mjurione non ${ }^{4}$ pimeipititct, sed in consequentuam prol it 1 'sunt, ctiun quando suit de suz nitury imju${ }^{6}$ riosa' (is is not in this present case) ' pr 'su' muntur dicticils cipt s sane ammo imjuiaand' ' Mur Cone 107 num 10

Whuess it is iteplyed by my Lord Advocat, ' H it the richinition of the intamous Libel, 15 quadrant ig uust the piece quarrelled.

It is duplyed, Ihat allett a pcace, 'ubi de-- lictuin sel vitiunfiel defectus improperatti ' alicu,' be materinlly infamous, yet formally and in rel tuon to 2 built and a cruie, dolus malus is necessarily riquied, ds an essential mgredient in the definition in an infamous libel. Dunhauderins in prictica, cap. 138 num 1 -Scriptsi fit upuna cum dolosè et malicusè ( componuntur et scribuntui' (antilene, ryihms, - hbeli, cons ediola qut contuuncula, quitbus al' terius laceratur nomen et famnt', et libellus ' fumosus, et compositio facta un scriptis in in-- famiani nhectios, ejus quod quis pmbire non ${ }^{\text {' }}$ vult, et 10 pablico jactat vel in loco ubt nave ' nuatar' Phar Quest 105 Inspect 2 num 1 - Et ad essentiam libell, tamoss sidetur Tequir,

- quod fuent positus in pablico, vel loco uth no'venuatur.' Ibjd. num. 485, et Canone, Caus. 5 Qnast 1 'Qui'zn alterius faman poblice ' scripturam aut verba contumelooss continxent, 'flagellptori' And alheit in law 'calounninri' materally 'est talse crinua intendere,' ad Senatus Consultum, Taipilinum, Leg $\mathbf{1}$ § 1. neverthelcss ' non unque qui non probat quod intendit protnus videtur calumnuari, nam ejus Ie inquisito arbitrio cofyoscentis commitutur, qui ron abmoluto de ay asator us coneilas ancipit quirere qua mente ductu- ad socusationem processent;' $\Gamma$ na ${ }^{\text {Senatus Consultum, Tur- }}$ pilianum, Leq. 1

Where it is replyed, That the presenting of such a prece dgeiavates the crime, and the odious conception thereof is punsshalide notwitistaiding the presentation, it is cluplyed for the Pannel, That the presenting of it by so oworthy 1 nobleman put the pannel, in bona fide, to thinh it not a piece of that anture whereof it is illedjed to be, and to overpass, at the least to mistake thc oriousness of the cunception of it, if there be nny

It is aked (added) by Mr Robert Macgill for the Pannel, waith Protestation, as set down trom the hegruning, not repc ptug that wheh I have sadd but remutung the most honourable and wire Jodges (qual via pam est pramunita) to there thangs ret down betuie by me, touchng every purticul ur, as they have bcen worthuly replyed by my I oid Adiocat

And thot, anent the Qualifiction coptanedin the acts of parhament
It is anam ered finst. What the first act libelled is only ag unst del ators, as sand 11, and expounds th 3 t 83 Jec 5 Parl 6 as might be cleared br t)e tune whencin that art wis also made: But fhe remiss it to the considetation of the Judges Secondly, It in answered, I hat ihere berne no Qu dification set down m this trat act of pathonent, but in general liw, thit general law ought to recene the qualificition accordme to the dstanction propounded by me, in ill humilty, of morilinat speecies agunst a prince, in thi last pait of my hrit exception, in respect of the absuidity hf the pinity of cimmer, and pans whichoould follow, if it were not so hunted And remats, as hefore, to Galius, ancont the interpietation ot niumicipnl laws And whot shall the general rule of the law (miscalled by stue Requle Leshux) ieceive therr own exceptrins according to reason; and the muncipal liws, nhich even in the most gabstantial pounts of the comunonweal, will alter three or four tumes in an age, accurding to the citcuintances (nqu er meribus legu); shall ther, sav I, stand good in a general sease contr ury tnicason? which I count to be the judgment of the lanyers See in the last part of ms Duply, strengthening my firnt exception ; where it isshewn that the word 'government,' in the ${ }^{\text {a }}$ 34th act, and so in thas nct, ought not to br understerod agnunst counseliors and lords, of pariament Which is, followed out largely in my second exception, and so ought not to be uideratood in any other act, to mahe them *
contraveeners, where they barl oplumum anumum and according to therr opinuon only might be thought to have erred, quod homanum ett, ds was alledged ly Mr John Nasbet, 'Neque ad ${ }^{6}$ prise quam rationis ad veistatem eliciendarn ' inter se confligatit.'
The Second is anent the colour or mash o Supplication; senits that to the practics al ledged by Mi Iohn Nisbet out of the Consultus of Menochus theching government, set down so radely, ut introddta supplicato, and ny it wite, dropped out of the gall of bitteness And yet notwithstanding the liwyers voidict was to absolve the nobleman. Remits also to the last pat of my first exception in the end, aneat the exposition of words and sentences, taught hikewise in the second exception, and anent the form of speaking and prayet, especally where 'cuntra presumptionem doh' It is clearly evinced that the paonel had not 'animum dolosum,' but rather a vet) good wall and intention, which he, is we siy in d proterb, wishes most lumbly mugitt be tahen in pirt of paincnt And remits thit aneent presumption of Dole, whech my Lond Advoe it sud in the fourth place to miv second sxeeptuon, parte secunda, That whether it be necersar to libel, or not, yet secing it is, 'de sub-- stantiz delict, et credulitas a caus.a ase m${ }^{2}$ justa escuset a delicto, qua abest ammus de* hinqueudh,' as ( latus has there alledjadd, eros, to bc assolzicd as uuthor, dec

And to the I bird, alduced by my I and Advocat, anent the de timition of in infanm moll 1 Lel, and the punshment of mjures ig unst pivate persons, and tar moie aguast praces; we disallow altogether suc h mondinate sperches, and sny, That they ought to bc punshed st the hawyers have defined according to the muld of the speaher ol penner But that the dcimition of an infunous libel c innot agice heien, sp fai ne conceins the pannel, it is not to be thought, ' quia duectis et conceptis reibir, maliciose et ' peiditassimo animo,' mut injuiles be mpiopisit Which, in all humility, the pannel thuubs not to be her ; and remits to my second exception Ald thit at capital pan ought to be inflicted upon all soit of moidinate speechos or writings, and all sorts of speaheis and writers, and upon the havers, heaters, and not revealers, indistunctly, even against punces, let me sty 1 , with all ieterence, as my Lord Adyocat would msinuate by the places addaced, it is not the lawyers mind.
The Fufth, alledged by my Lord Advocat, goes bach agan upon an ailedged disguising. Bat we deny that any thygg adduced enther unent supplication or vow agrees with our case, whieie nothing can be sald to be improperat directis ver bis (ekse the pannel had been a mad man) Bur by a wrestang may, salva pace duarma, may be thought by aceme to anfer imjury. And heip again remits to thelle parts of my exceptions, ut supra, aient the expossthon of words.
The Sixth 18 anent our most sacred sove-- seign hue refinsal; wheresn remuts to that part
of my excoption anent the appealing fiom a punce to himself, especially by a counsclior; - Donce intellygatur quid velit supplicatio, et ' rationes hanc inde adducta, quasi ex adieaso ' acies manum inter se conferant' As to his majesty's own declatatuon, the pannel seals his mouth w th the finger of Harpperates, and reverences his majesty's sayngs as oracles, and in all humility, accepts them is an achnowledgment of the offer made
The last, I thank, ate the Pannel's own Deposinons, wherein dinturguish the disallowing and the times and all shill agree. He disallowed of it first, as that of the general, it was ryected by homost sacied indjesty But she pannel thought that it mithe have been heopld bill a more comsentent tume, accordug to the appellation also mentionell, and ull cuusa audi$t a$ it had been roudemned And the pimel depones, Ihat bifoic ever the pimupal came bach, the copy $\begin{gathered}\text { *s suaut putionsly purchased }\end{gathered}$ by Mr John Duninure, it talstifyng his promase
It is triplyed (ieplyed the thud tume, by my Jord Advocal, that the duplies in the whole paits and unembers thareof are impetunent; because the exception was founded upon the form and designation of the mfanous libel. Ihat beng desinnell a supplication, it could not contain mattel of ane andanous libel, which eaception was answered with this reply, That the Dittay is duectly sobsumed upon thie acts of parluanent, viz Ihat this, which they call a supplication, is a writung contaimes reproaches and scandals ugaust the person, atite, and gosernment of ou gracious sovereign, is the Dittiy beav, and no inote was necassuily to be replyed but upon the act, of puliament And yct, toi mfonum, the mind of the judge, to wis cleaued both by the cxpiess text of the civ' law, and by the jougnent of the best jurists, and verced in cruminds, 1 hat the deagration paiges not the nutamons speeches concerved in a supplication to the prince, being to the mfamy of ane subject, much less to the dish nour of the supitme und sovereign prince. And this 15 not pritiacntly answecied by no part of the duply, but both the acts of parlament und crall liv concuirng, stand in full force to ehde the ciception And the points uiged by the duply, has nothug to do with the exception, but alt points cxirameous, founded upon mintentuof, bbetling of Dole, rresumption m , favouss of the pannel, and others, in whose name it was alledged the sad supplication was diawg up, which I may justly term presumption 1 oi whatsoevet disunction this have in ther qu lity fion othere, it is from the bounty and tavour of their su-' preme prince and sovereign, who is the fountain and sounce of all honoui and dignity. And good 'reason that thay buuh (enjoy) all their privieges, in all causes with all parsons, except where they come in coftestation with therr supreme sovereign and there, as Godophred writes, 'ad legem Juham majcstatis, 'abi tuajestas pulasta, defenditur nulla digur-
' tas ; nullum culmen, nulla fortuns est immu' nis.'. And in this case, where reproaches, scandals, and aspersions are laid upon our gracious sovereign, the excuse of nobility, that it may be presumed that they did it not analo animo, is ruther an accusation than an excuse; because no sukiect is so bound to know the true respects of humility, mind revirence, and obedience due to the sovereign prince, as those to whoin his majesty hath communicated a shadow of his gloriouy honoor. And as to the instance adduced out of Menochus, we have nothing to do with it ; for it is likely, he that answered so, had not such a law as we bave. And as to the iustance adduced out of lex Unica, ${ }^{4}$ si quis imperatori maledixerit,' that by that law there is no plake left to the accused to purge himself of his evil intentiou, that is true in the case of the law, but has nothing ado with us, 'ubi non est lubricum lingus quod ' facile ad paruan non eat trabendim;' Lut a malicious and seditious writing, compiled of purpose to the repronch of their gracious"sovereiga. And in writings of this kind, as Ha rondus expresses in lis 18th title, fol. 208 , there are no worse reproaches and convitia (says he) than those which are done by writing, than those which are done upon manifest delibeiation; because the same proceeds upon ane evil and seditions purpose, and pierces deeply, and abides longer than the injury by words. And for all the rest of the instances and authorities of the civil law, I oppone the two acts of parliament, which are the only grounds of this libel; in so far as it concerns the authurs of the infamous libel, the not apprehending the authorsothercof, the concealing and not revealing of the same. And ns to the mention made in the entry of the Dittay of the common and civil law, the same is wholly in respect of the divulging and dispersing of the said infumous lihel, whereof our said acts of parlianent make no express mention. And where we have our own laws, and acts of parliament, as we have in this case, these must be the only rule of judging. And the exception and duply, albeit they have many quotations of the conmon law, yet all needless and impertiuent; since they are not adduced to purge that part of the Dittay which is founded upon the common law. But in the points disputed, we have good warrand to adhere to our own acts of parliament, (except in so fiar as wegare forced by the objections made by the pannel's procurators to clear the doubtful and ambiguous terms of their exception and duply) because hy act of parliament, made by king James 1, par. 3, cap. 48, and by king James 4, par. 6, cap. 79, it is statute and nrdained, That all the leidges be governed by the king'sliwws, and no others. And his majesty's Advocht offers, whenever it shall please my lord Justice Oetueral to command him to clear any speech that is founded upon the common law, that he sladl do the same by word.

It is quadruplyed (pleaded the fourth time) by Mr. Alexander Peasson, for the Pannel,

That where my Lord Advocat triplyes a part of his lurdships reply to have received no antswer by the duplies made for the pantel ; to wit, that part thereof, which bears, that the writing quarrelled, although in form and title a supplication, yet does contain reprouchfül speeches, dic. it is answered, That the alledged infamous libel, in so far as it concerns the pannel, cannot be eateemed but us a supplication, in respect of the gaply and the reasons contanicd thercin, which 1 need hot repent here.

Where it is triplyed by my Lord Advceat, That our Doply is itnpertinent; it isequadroplyed, That it there be any impertinency, his lordship is to be biamed therewith, for braving occasioned it by une unnecessary reply. And for the points urged by us for the pamuel, 'to clear his intention, and to vindicate bim frum the presumption of injury, in compi'ing or being acressory to the piece quarrelled, nit extraneous, both because the acts of parlianent require intention, by the intentional words ' to ' the reproarh, steering of sedition, Kic.' And albeit they should contsin nothing thereof, a sinistrous intention leing required in the essente of the crime, it muss necessarily have been libelled conform to the passages already cited in our duply.

Whereas my Lord Advocnt triplyed, That the character of nobility accused pon excusat in this case; it is quadruplyed, That thrit is only where the crime is constant, (i, ec. evident :) And that eminent quality ever wants the presumption, than any invested therein should injure their sacred prince; whereof they are ngt only n shindow, but noble and mystical mempery, as is cited ulready.

Where it is triplyed by my Lord Advocat, that the casc adduced out of Menochius, is not pertinently adduced bere, because there was no law ; it is quadruplyed, That the question resulted upon the common law, which ordains due puishment opon the authors of infamous libels, and chiefly against princes. And the case was not 50 pregnant in favour of the party whom he absolves, becratse he was the author, and had exposed to the siew of all the world the piece there challenged. And we adhere to the author's opinion; and instances, how it has uever been found to be an undutiful part in jurisconsults and advocats, to vindicate pieces quarrelled to be injurious.

And where it is triplyed by my Lord Advocat, that the instance Lex Unica quadrats not; it is quadruplyed, That the instance of the present case is more pregnant, because the case of the law adduced, "improba et petalantiamendacia," are challenged: And in the present case the piece challenged: is not expressly injurious; and all that can be inferred upon the paniel, is an indirott and impradent arcession thereto.

And "where it is triplyed by my Lord Advocat, that the aflegations of the duply are,impertinent, because not alledged for the points of the common law not expressed in the acts of parliament; 'tis quadruplyed, That there arte
alledged in our duplies for all, and refers our self 10 ourtluplies.
To-hie whilk it is quintuplyed (ansuered the sfib time) by ny Lord Advocat, That the words of the act of parliancent has no respect to the intention of the pernou, but ooly express the effect of the reproaches which tend to move disclike betwist his majesty and his good subjecta. And it is granted in the quadruply by Mr. Joln Nisbet, Thet they are mnterially reproachfíl, and not firman'y.
It is sexuplyed by Mr. J phn Nisbet for the Pansel, that the words 'to the repruach,' imply dole-and intention; because the crime probibited by the act of parhament consisis essentially thereof, and is cleared by all the allegitions adduced, and more expressly by other acts of parliament of that natare, as the 60ith act of yueen Mary, 6 Parl. whereof the uords are, 'Tending to stir the hearts of the sutjecto to hatred,' which are emphatcally words of intention. And whereas my Lord Adrocht would infurce an acknowledenent of maternal iajury in our quadruply, we dicclain it, and manntun that it cunnot be evinced theremidh; nul alleeri it were, there rests a relevant defence in law apon the innocency and enndour of intention.
Thirdy, It is nlledged by Roger Mowat for the pannol, for a third pracipal Defence to that part of the subsumption of the Dittay, qualifications, and cundescendings thereof, bearing that the pannel himself was nad is author, deriser, consulter, adviser of the infamooss Libel, and airt aud part of the penniug, writing, and updrawing thereof; at leatt 19 guity of the beasrug, and of the concgiving, and not reve.ling the author thereof; anil wiost guilty of the not apprehending of Mr . Williun Luig, whom the pamnel afirmel to be the suthor of the snid libel, ;it being in lis power to have appreheuded binn; and abo is guity of the divulaing and dlspersing thereof, in so far as the sard pannil kuew of the penning of the said libel, gave hii opmion to the mahng chereof, and in token (bereof interhned a part of the same in divers parts with hus own hand, which by ocular inspection iv to be seen, and which the pannel has,abo confessed by his deposition unter his hand, as the said Dittay in thatt part bears, \&c.
That the Pamnel ought and should be simpliciter nasqiilzed (absolved) therefrom; find 1st, That the said Pnanel cannot be crinionally pursued as author and deviser of the said Libel; because by the pannel's owa deposition, taken by the lords of the conumittee on the 9th of June 1034, the pannel bas deponed, that the said Mr. William Haig was the author of tho anid Jibel. Likeas the said Mr. William bis miasive letter, all written and subscribed with his gman hand, dated at Carrppire the 274h day af thie seid month of June, which letter was difrected to the pannel, atd exhibited by him before the sand committee, beary the said Mr. Willimap his grant that be was the penner of the arid mupplication or libel; and takes
the crime upon him in soliciting his fireende by his other letters and missives, , Ikewise. produced to the said loids of comenitee, to deal for purchasing and obtanaug to him a remission for the sund niledged crime. And so the said Mr . William huviug not only confessed tiinself to be the author, but having dealt with and solicited his said friends for a remisson, ws said is, the said dittay can never be sustanmed against the pamel as author and deviser thereof; which missive letters are in the pursuer's own hand. Item, the said pannel ought likewise to be absolved from tint part of the said duttay, bearing to be consulter, wdviser, and airt and part of the penning, writing, and drawing op of the siod quarrelled litel; bocause the said two Acts of parhunent, whereupon the said dittay is founded, make no meatign of consalters or advisers. And arts of purliament, specially in this kind, are sirictly to be taken, and suffier no extension beside that which is expressly set down therem. 2dly, Givint, (grantung) the said acts might be extended to cunsulters and adisers, (as they cunnot) yet absoloutur ought likewise to be grauted fiom the alledged consultugg and advisme by the punnel, and las hemg aint and part of the peaning, witing, and drawing up of the said supplication now quarrelled; because the said pamel being exnmined by the said committee upon the said 9th of June last, and being then interrogat who was author and penner of the said libel, not ouly has sleponed, nt supra, that it was the said Mr. Willinm Haig, who gave the said libel to him, nad who (as be thought) was the author thereff: but being thereafter interrogat upen another interrogator the same day, if the said Mr. Willium I ad any command to draw up the said quarrelled supplication or libel, or if the pannel or any of his nosledge, was at the furming thereof, the pannel his also deponed upon his sadd great oath to the said interrugators, that the sand Mr. Willam Haig had no wartant from him, nor knew be of any warrant given to the said Mr. William, or that any was present at the forming thereof. Which clearly evmess and manifests that the pannel was neither author, deviser, consulter, adviser, nor airt and part of the penning and writing of the sad libel; secing the said pamnel being brought before the said conmmittec, and urged by them to give Ifis oath upon the said poifts, he has given tho bamse, he has deponed, ut supria denying all the said several points. After the giving of the which oath of verity, and subscribing the same, as the same produced by my lord Advocat bears, no dittay or pursuit, criminal or civit, cap now or hereafter be sustained against ' the pabiel upon these points, whereupou already he bas given his said oath; because no other marther of prohation can be received ngoinst him, to prove 'contrair to his said oath, given befure the said committee in manner uforesand. And where it is libelied in the said dittay, and qualifications thereof, that the pasned is aethor, consalter, advieer, airt and
part of the penning and writing, at the leust guity of the hears id, concealing, \&e nut revealugg, not apotehending, \&cc in 50 itr as the pannel knew of the penning thereol by the sud Mr Win II ug, advined and gave his opinion anent the mahimg of the sud hbil, and in token thereot intcrisied it in divers $p$ uts with hus own hand, dec

It is alledged, That tie pranel ought to be sumpluciter absolved from the sud quilific ation libelled in these words, in so far as the panicl hnew of the penning of $1 t$, advised and b ise his opinion anent the making of the same, beczure that is ulewise dnectly contian to the pannel's deposition foresand, procecting upon the sud inteirogator, moved by the said committee wherewith he was interrogat, if Mr Wilham Hayg bid dry warient or command foom hm to draw up the sond libel, and it the pounel, of any of his hnowledge, was at the formmg thercof Whetcunto the pinnel has made answel, and upon his sud gieat outh de poned, That Ni W ILag had no warruat from Am, nor hnew he of ny quen to him, or that iny was present to the formung thercof And on the pamuel by his deposition, upon his sud oatl,, livimg deponed, that $\mathrm{Mi}_{1} \mathrm{Wm} \mathrm{II}_{\mathrm{us}}$, had no war int from him, nor hnew he of my waisant given to bime of that iny in is picsent at the formang thereot, the oud cumand pursuat cin neves be antaincd to mier the richy that the primel is uthor, "f snoulter, devisci, adviset, wit and pait of the $/ \mathrm{cmm})_{\text {g. }}$ writing ind th iwing up, bec use thit is ultose lat donsed by the sind puncl, is $\mid$ iscui $\mid$ deper sation chuly than- And lamg der ied upon bis gieic oth, is sud $b$, thit put ctunit b suat uned upon the s ud qualace thon and inf tesice litixild, it be in inpersuble, per revim taturan, thint the $s$ ul uitiy $m$ these point, con toy way be pi ncu Ly athy othet piobation whutsocser, fur givméhis sud outh
(oust adjounsed ull to mossow the 10th instant

## Inti int the enul fothdiy

The pannel ind procurations (is before) compericd the said thay
 for the Pannel, As loi bis fouith principal Defence agamat that $p$ ist of the Dittay, beatang, that the pinnel hnew of the sad 1 ledead infamous hbel, advised and gise his opnim to the mahugg of the sune, and $1 p$ then thicoot intenlined aput themeof as dives pirt, wih his own hand, 15 may be seen by oculu uspection, and is his (onntessian in the dip rsition bears, that absolvatur shoula be granted trom that part, bcanng that the pannel hnew of the penniug theseot, becaum that is duccily contair to the puncl's deposition, before rcpeated, which does contun an expraw denal of lis knowledge of the forining uf theasadid libel, or that any was present at ibe foruning theneof And to that past of the qualification liselled, beaing th it in token that the pannel knew of the peuning thereot, he mesliat a
part with his onn hand; it is alledged, nulle modo relezat, nulcis it had been ielevantly hbelfedin the dittay, thit the pa mel interinned the said lines the time of the fisuing of the saad hibel, or shasily theicuiter, which is not said; tor mending and interbning to be tomen aganst the men len or mituluiti of a wring, thit he hicw wf the penning thereof, and gave optuon to the mahing of the same, must necessanily be hibelled to hare been at or ammedately of et the watang of the sime" Wlach connot be uiged npap the pannel in this particular, because by his dcposition, givell upon the 16th of Jume 1631, upon an inteamgator wheiewith he wis interrogat, whether he had intainined some lines in the sud quarrelled suppficition, which $x$ is exhabited by lim befoie the lords of the cominittee, and whether lie did the same belose he shewcd it to Mi John Danmuse, of to the enl of liothes, has deponed, thit the sud Mr Jolin Dunmure never siw the sid intaluit dhbel, but only the copy, "hath w is cist is the fire fifcr the redelivery thatcof, ind deponed, thit the said moteilined c py lying the 1 bct $n$ the e ind lords, and now in us I ud Iflyoe t his pursuer', hands, was that $c$ ory sluch wis dehieicl bs the fannel t) the e ul of Rothco, but was not interhned untal long atter il c enl of Rotlics redelaveicd the 11 , ind de poned, thit never ons sqw it sunce thic suduteil um; And so it berig that 4 Py wich should heric been presented to has mijestr, ind silti n is off icd to be presente $I$, it is not lifily n I piobible, and with it is) liued betetc the tume if the sua ifter ot presenting; And so the sad mieshaed libel cannoy be c unnted a token (as the dutay bcais) of the prinal = hoow'ed, en the pennore of the add label, in I if his idvaing and gising his opimog to the milang theicot Likers, in res ziritaft, the sud in cil nusg " is after the said Ur Join Dunmure was first quectioned ia 'luci lat, by the pice ot mue months, or the rely, aftel thit the sud supplicntion was oifucd to hase I cen presjoted to his majesty. Aud so 1 puis ite miterlinhg of the sad copy by the pionel, by waddmy or mending some few woids, whel weither in mitter noi fun can be quirrdled, leciug ool iug tifcr the intended Sler theicol th ade to lis lighnes, cimuot be a token ab unst the pannel, as 15 libelled, to $m$ the hinto hwe beca upon the hnowledge of the penning, in it) hive jucn his adsice and. pimun to tic mi'mg thertot, Hoc altento, That the and intcrl ricd copy was never seen by any, hat 'sy humeil, ften the said unterlanmg, which smpls ie froduccd the same to the , il I committre, which m law he could never hase bcen cispelied to do, if be had expected that any suc $h$ du'vant ige had been taken thereapun to his heas prejudice For the pannel lid neverife il ior, suspect that the sand committee wonld hise derncd or induged lum to hise exhibat nout pioduced befire thein a paper, the productinu s hereuf might have smported no less to ham than the probation of tha
andedged chome, committed in the dittiv, to male hm theieby guilig ind punsliabic by | denth ${ }^{2}$ And it is nothour that the pannel did, at the sands lords denif, produce the saine to thens, upon then [romise that it should not ensnate the pinul, seting in hiv he wis nelther oblimed to pioduce any wutun, not to declare, not to bive his oath upon any intering itors dein inded of hum, uethes would te have done it if he had hition (as now in cxjeticnce he find) that it might inl nould hise bronght upon ham the sard alledged crine and pumshnicut of devth

It is idled bo II Alesu der Poarson fon the Pannel, in tonufic ation of the sudid turth excepton prog onaded for the Pinct rust, th thit put theecof, be nin , 1hat the pronel the oth of Junc list deprond, upen his Licit onth, thit Mr Willham Ilug lind $n$, wis int of ham foy drawing up of the sud bupple tion ad that ha knew nothing of the formin, licicol, that the pursuce cimnot be he nd now uf on that pert of the Dittiy, to pursuc the punm, Inceuse of thic pannel's outh und declosit " iosetud, which cannot in live and ict m If called ;-2um 11 onestion, 'Pioptorjuiryui madachignemequed - speciemit insichons contmet in onesique - habet authoritnte mi quim res ju licat i ct dato
 'sit $1 \mathrm{~g} 1,2$, et ${ }^{5} 921$ de latej ir indo Where it is alludged thy the Jottiv, I hat bs the letter, sent by Mi Inng to the ${ }^{11} \mathrm{ncl}$, it is it firmed by Mi IIng that he hud the tlow une e of the pamel to thic mition, ind penning thereof, non relezat, bec une $\mathrm{M}_{1} \mathrm{H}_{1 \mathrm{~g}}$ biem nthot and penies of th illed ad minusus lobel, by lis own Llant in lis mis olic lo tut, dfod 27 Junt, mid iso uhnowledsed as bv the Pitt iy, Mi, Willion Il ug's declination forto kI (no
 epected, or have tuice $\quad$ or unst the pannel ' Qu a

 de 1 entubue $1, h_{1}$ il um, Lod de libet tu Causi.

It is alledge 1 by Mir Juk : Nobet, that the Ditt is ty not iele vant, in so ter as it quilibes the pamel to be anthor of thic prece challenged ov the inteilimag ticcot, fichase the mterlining no one point onlv, c minaceth thit be hath not been uthor of the lest secundo, ithe interliming hos no contingency with the point chal lenged, but is a smoothing of tomie app rient sbiewdiness in conception Anlin i woid, the Jittay is no anis relev int in to $f$ ir as it quali fies any dicescion by the pannels de porstions. becaore it is thimed by the pinnel, th it he was -aduct to depone, upori assurance thit ic strould not be inenued ind of the liw, 'Con-- tessio emanatisub spe mipunitation non unpor ' ant coudennithone me' (dmus $\oint$ honl Quast 58 nuin 8, et 9 - Ubi afteat iptinohem Ino-- le in momerentus esse equiorchn;' Pharm Quest 82 num 980 'Contessoe eminn rtu sub - promusaione mapaintatis non sufhert ad conthemrandum, nec iu foro monsientues nec cers-
' tentinso, et confitentem non afhct ' Eadera Questione, num. 12. Hiplitus in 5 postquam num 15.

It is answered by my Lord Advocat, That the exceptions propaned agamst that part of the Ditt ty, bearnug the pannel to be suthor, adnser, consulter, deviser, and wut and part of the penning, witing, and drawing up of the intomus libal, ought to be repelferl in the hal ineubers thateof

And trost, where it is alledged, that my lord 19 not nuthon, because thet he has deponed that Hage is suthot, and Ilaig by his lettei has granted himself to be authon, because that is not a dcfence, but idenil tot both Hagg and the pannel might be anthois and ronturers of on ulamous libel Nind theietore the Ditay in tlus point is relesant, and consequently must ly put to the + n)wlulge of ant dssise, (juiy) betore whom the ptoll stion and verification of the bitthy is tin be usenl nd before whom it in Lisf to slick und clenr, that netiher the pannel's deposilien can hbuat han, not yet Harg's lette, who in the defence is grnited by the pro curators to be sochus crimmas And fivme (kranuug) th intuce woud cuhe the dupute of this to finu- 1 , wheh is propit in the assie. get it un mon cut st ood ui II ug, letier, it must be taken comj les, for by the suine leitut be thims, thit the pannel was udsisen of the sud stdetious I bel

And where it is dill diged thit Dumer, Lin sultes, und Adviset, uc not cont nued in the kcts of parhunent whetempon the Ditt iy 19 founded, cught to be repeiled in respect of the "t of puhiment of him, 1 mese $b$, his myesty s gi ciuve 1 , bes of etemal memony, pall 12 c 151 whe cili it 10 declared, thit il criminal libel shill com un the p urties conpl uned upon to be mit umpart of tle chmis hiblled which 15 m thes cts per ery restam ibellel, ud the "ords of wiv1 1, castiket, deviset, sunt synonuma el lyeneqr
And whac it is alled, ed, il it the pronel in
 then ot wisci, that is i demil $u t$ supia, and not qide sec sense the seles mes Aind whers it is illedged, that the punel hwag deponid by oth, the no othet probation cau be we! cosira jnywrindum, $t$ is mbsered, I hat it is tannt all law, resson, and cut)m, to (oppone gusyua dum in cromenshbues 1 or then Faftet examunation by the joige, which is cvei then apon outh no ciamand waould phos the hoonkise it anc asuire, and wetc a fioclamotion of unverssl mpanit), if orta shouid determine the trial
But that whath is alle deged liv the panatl ind his proceratoie sapen jercourazodo, has onily
 'acturem,' which caninn hate any respect in this cace * Aad it is nottourly hoown that Anchandine nnd Gaisarie not oulv deny dapon oath, buat abode by the dinnal in tic "iture and quastion, and yet notwitimendanc their ondh, nond dental by onth, were put to the huowk flger of ene mank, and connacted. Aud where it it
alledged, nguast the'specification in the tibel of this irst pout ot nuthor, thit bnowledge, idvisug, and moteihnagg, are not reler ant, 1 declate th it I adhure in the tirst place to the gener il subeumption of the Dittay upon the ut of puliment, where ly 16 is subsumed th it the pi misel is autber, idiser, consultei, deviser, and wit and part of the seditious libel and protest, that notwithstrudang of whitsocser defence it ot sh il be propounded agunst the quiditica uov-, (albent the same inght be found relevant, which c monot be in reason and justice) Thit ti suid general shall pass to the hnowledge of ane mosice, is relesant pet of Under whicu protest thon, 1 proceed to make moswer to the defenres aguinst the quilfications

And hrat, whece it is allédged that the clausule, beanng the ponnel hnew of the pranag, of the sud at indalous hibel hy 11 ug , and adused and gatc las opinion mient the making dercot, thit the sunen is contr ur to the pannels depastuons, siveu liy limu upon atth, (as said 15 ,) I answen, fhat thes in pugns of the reler mey of the Dittal concerning the qualifi cati in, zud theicturc minat $p$ tso ta the hnon. ledge of in issire And as to the pioncl's deposuons, whethet they be cuntorm or discuntorm to the hbil non evthmus lata, becattee nether is the Ditlaty founded upon then, not are they ued b, ime as pursuct, nethet as ? $\rho u t$ of the libel or proot of the hibel
And where it is nliengeil, that that pant of the qu dihe mon meat the $p$ undis metelimin, is not reles mit, excopt it le condescraded que
 'post ktum,' it is insuctivi, Ihit thes pirt of the guditication is usced jomily with the rest And there is no necisaty of conduccudin', becnuse quocuryue cempore itectined th is isntficient cridenct of the concourst, consent, and advising to Flub, maker thereat, eapeciilly in respect it was diasio mp liy him, 17 Hayg, in name of the paincl, ind otheis hiving mentient in that writing, whinh is truly in miamons hbel, and termed in a qumstious notion by nume of a supplication I iheas the penncl his procumators has in their second defence mantwined this iction of low, thit ' principium et finis tangana 'duo extrema meludant nucdium' And thecetore the pannel's hnowledge, as the first imitation ot that intamous libel, und his recerving the same from Hing immediately after the poining thereot, and recepvifg to be presented to be bis majesty to their use who were, allcdged supplcauts, and the 10 terluming theieof er post facto, (albert not intclined before the dehiery thereof to the paunel) is ielev int in law with the rest of the members of the qualication pir ue, by and attour (ouer and above) the genet $d$, to surtaun thus Dittay, to make the panuel author, adviser, deviser, consulter, and ant and part of the peaning and diawiag up of the safti no unous hibel specitly seemg it will be constant (1 e evident) by the depositis one, when the stiue ha ill be used before the nssi/e, that the sainc was not interluned longe intervallo after deliveiy theieot by Hag to the pamanel, but vcry aliortly afiter on
tempere quas rontinuo And where it is alledged, That this minterinang nas not till after minc months atter the receipt thereot froat If ing, thit is not, or canuot be venfied, nen has ny wonant in the depusitions And where it is ulled, ci, I hit this meterhned libel cannot be used rganot the panad, because it was never delacrad to Dumane, min never slewn to any, till the pishil producc dept betore the coinmitr Lte, and it wn pradact buider promises thap It than not be u-ed io ibe panaels pitjualice; and that the pronebn as not obliged to answer, nethicr to lave produced the same belore the comm itce. it is cutam the candmitte urged nothug fomp the pinul but by good wariank and to whach the pannel was olliged to answer upen brs ille_jance, ude the denial to give ano answel to las in tje ty's tominnsingers, who had " irimt undey has sacied hind and seal, whelk * is evint tied to the panil, would hase mofar ied it unst ti a pancl i moic dingerious crime than tint f es non ucused upon, by dechming of horiay esty , judgaicar, ind of his majcoty a counmis -1 neis And for the comnittee, thay unged nothug hom the panel but a plun, tue, sincue, ud speedy declat ition of his hnonledbe of the uthoi wad consrner of the said scadilus libel, whelh, os it wis morumbent tor the rummitec in obedience to $h \mathrm{~s}$ m yesty's w wint th than, so it cuald hec 1 no wn we nor mejudice fuder, nue he did baden hamelt hy his owis contersin, witapect whicicut, the exci ptions outht to be repelled
It is nuplad by Mr. R iocr Moont to my I ond advue it has Reply, mule to the defences propuncal ir unst tut $p$ art of the ditt iy whereby it is allidged, Thit the pimel c monot be comingul as ruthor, ec insiltci, udisel, Sc but
 the hul members thatof And first, that the saiddint put of the difluce is not a detence, hut idenid of th it $p$ it ot the dittus, and that the demil must be ichenid to an assize, and disputed thice, ind not heie, and that the stas is not relevant, becius albut the punael $h$ is illadsed noothei ai 101 , jet they inight bo both muthois of the s Iutel It is ansuesed, I het the s ud alleadkance stands rclevant, notwithstinding the reply, beciuse the suid defence in that part is not simply a demal, but is a demid very prepuant, mat intly veislymg that the $p$ inacl cannol be saind to I tane uthor, and so criminilly to be pursued, becouse by has de posituon before the said comnattee, be has not only demed upon oith that he is the radd author, but his lihewise mstantly sentied the same, condesecuded up on the tiue author, and not only has umply coulcscended upon the sadd nathor, but has hihewise instantiy verified the bame which verific ition bemg proponed with the sud detence, is both ielevant and competeat now ouly to he den ided before my Lard Tustuce; udd aot, befone the Assul, seciag it is a peremptar exception matantly seritod Aad wheieab the sadd Reply bears, I hact the and Auchor Mr Wilu im Haic's letter, bearngg that the paunel was adviser, is ta he taken complex,
there is no soch speeches nor worde mu utioneid on contaned in the sud lett : wheh is ist nau be produced bec luse it lins been secil by the pannel, tint it miy sis tor tself Ind wheress my I oid Adio at alle lese, that the isyme is only jodges t, the foolation, and thit hep are betore thein th $t$ the puncia $x$ रs urion ud-
 that ought $t$, he repelted in respect of the il leadgance propounies it it is pinnel, foum de I upon has depo itions nitid Hugs lettei whith depositions ind letter bues ilre idy produced, and deliverced to the pinnel, and ried, and Itberty granted to propound has defences there upon, the defance is so propoucd, that it m ir be uther found ielev int or icpilied And it e innot but he lound icler ant, Lic ause ipranel bemg parsued for a con ne, is quthon ticicof, this is a most usual ind iflevaut define $e$, thit he cannot be convencd as muthor But he must be absolie fiom that pount, bceanx he offus ham mstantly to $p$ ose wit ther iu hm upou whon he lihewie mst intly coudc, calls, and not ouly condescendl, but instratly scities and protes And so the exception hemg relevintly propouide I, u1 mastintly verithod, as said 1s, it must dee hoic tound itlesint, ind likewisc proven, wid cunot bc refini I to the inquest $\Lambda_{n}$ ! where it is icplied by my I ond Adsocat, Thit the puit if tie dence foumded upon the pannels depositess, beqmes thit it bos confessed unc other auth $n$, und gumg ho onth thcieap i ic a int be resucetad, betog but ho own depositions and ducl iritio 15 , and r in not woik in his own fivosis, it as din, hat, That that $\mid$ ut of the sud inswer and. Reply ought to be acpelle I, in is ct of the sind ds femic founded th cicapoa, es) corilly scoing the badd deposilung atere given leface the sul compatice, wh) wete oppont d by his myenty fos trid of the sud quthoi And the sue depositions beiug ipitt of the sud thi l, th pursuet cannot be $h$ ird $t$ if uit them, es $c_{1}$ itally secing they we usid ty the pusict aganst the $p$ mne', aded a $n$ ) is lus cride net Aud if the pur uet urd thon , un thep and 1 ,
 thereot, $5>f_{t}$ is mithe. i $\pi$ hum, in reyfect wherent the tlleadgence st i ids reles int in thot purt founded ag on the suid de position, Whach together in th the sud inms ic le er wi tien by Mr Hug, and whac the nannal propounds compuctua, to pt sve lus alle idzure, verthes clearly that pari theieol und so bemy bothiclevant and pioven, is sulficint to clde (qu ish) that part of the ditt 2y, Ih th the pannd should not be fuand convenable as author and where is my Lord Advocit in his ieply answeis, That the sud thrst defence, oo first put thereof, is not relevint, beiring that it is alledged for the pannel, thit he cannot he convened as author, beang there N another an hor condescended mpoin, and proven because it is replied thas they might both he zuthors of the sand alledged libel it is duplred, That that part at the said Reply oucht to be repelled, in gespect of the dittay itscll, wheren it is not h -
belled rint they are both unthors, but only this the punel in authur, and when it shall be so thetled it thathlave tu tubwer And whare it isief I ed by me Lord Advorat, Ihat that pas of the detence, be animg thit consulters and adwas 1, , He in $t$ contamed it the acto of parliume 1 , up ou wherh the datt y ts anunded, oughit t in it ickileal in ie-pert of tif 151,t act of the 1 th $p$ al of ham, Thes 1 of happy memois, wlatin it is declus i, thit all crummal libels cont uns art and put fo thit it is duplyed, Ih it ibe deffence st in is ache saint notwathstanding of the sud inswet, ard thit the pinnel cin io wivs be do awn withun the compinss of the sud tw) uts, cxcept upon that which ofs copecitli con anacd theiem, and consultis and udsuers of nit cont ined therem $A$ id is ta the contamul in the other act of puh geat, cited ment ant mad put, it is maswercd, Ihtt they are a liseut and il they bo alihe, ant ond put should niv be mentioned in the ditt ay, and n timticoh with comsulting and
 mut be seven illy elided, in rebjcte whereof, thit put of the sud allendg nec st mels releI int An I these it is itpliad, fhat the pan-
 icin/ it it he wis no' comsitte, whis ? Kt lecaur- that the sud ileprostuous in us that ie Inew not of di, penmm adenc no who therito, that that put of the and olle ad_ moce is thewis del I d ut : ipra, and not a detence Itsreph I, Itint as iny Joid ldincit rupeats lus teply, in st the demal, so for the nums
 un thercis, arch ic repcated, inperilly that put of th doply tonadded upon the said deport ions, be uing thit the sud depsution, the iscd hy my Iond Advorit ng unst the pannel, und the the must worl in his $t_{1}$ woiralon Wiaie it is upled to thit part of the the dyancl, bermg th the p mitel eqnnot I comethed is zuthot and deviser, bectuce hich, aten alure, wid an token therest his mended ind ictormed 1 p at of the saud hbel, that that cimnst le icspicted, because of the c son cont uncd in the a ad exception, be rring Ul it it is contrary to the depontions, ind thit it ampugns not the relevancy If is ans verd and dapled to the sud Reply, Thit the sanie ought to be icpeliad, 11 icspect of that $p$ irt of the leeudgance which is founded up in the pruancls dif osition, and ifeeds not infugn the refs incy, bucpuse it is puenpt 1 tor th: pitt, and as it is reler mit, so it is instantly procica by the sind depositions, und chides thit memher of the Dutay, bemg peiemptonly pioponed, and anstantly ventind and proven by the sud depositions And albett it be replied by my Lotd Advocat, I hat the sadd depontions are not used by hun nether as pirt not pioof of the libel, yet if is contrair, because thicy re mentuoned in soine part of the hel and ss used And as for prool, they cannotbe itt used as such, till the relctancy be discussed But albeit my Lord Adsocat should not ure them, yet the pannel uses the same, and the sudd depo-
vitions may be fund a lawful probation to $\lim$ of arfy thing that he shall found thereupon.

And where it is alledged by my Lord Advocat in his reply, That that part of the Defence used by the Pannel against the iuterlining libelled, that the same is not relevant, because nut libelled when interlined, as th it part of the sad alleadgauco bears; and it is auswered by my Lord Allucat, That that part of his lordshap's qualuication is used jointly with the rovt; and that quocunque fempore inteilined is an etidence of the Pannel's concurse with Haig, in reapect it was done by larg in name of the Pannel and the rest of the sapplicants; To that it is duplyed, That that part of the alleadgance proponed for the Pannel stands relevant, notwithistanding of that part of the reply made therets; and that it is yet contenden for the Panuel, that it is no ways relerant co alledge quocunque tempore interlined thercupun, to inter aghant the Pancel that he was author, deviser, and nirt and part of the penning: Sor common seuse evinces, that to he airt and part of the penning or devising of n writing, must he done cither the time of the forming of the sand writ, or very shoutly after, otherwise interhung can neter infer anthor, deviser, or curt and fourt of the penmug. And it is clearly sct dowit in the said allendgance, that gicat time intervencd betwiat the auchor's penning nind devining of the sand libel, and the Paanel's interlanme of it; for it is clear and nottour to my lind Adrocat and the lords of the Coinmittee, by clear depontions before them, that the said interlined libel was that same which ivas intended to lave been presented to his majesty h ${ }^{\bullet}$ the earl of Rothes, and that it was not interlined during the hail time the safd caul had the s me, and for a long time after. And so that pait of the solid Dittay is no ways relevant to infer the stad Pannel to be author for the said interining, because the trme of the sant unteilining is not libelled quando, and that it was at the tame of the penning, or immedistely after.

And shere ut is answered, That the said libel was done ly Ilag in name of the Pannel nad the rest, that part of the answer ought to be repelled, as altogether irrelevant, not bearing that it was done at their command; for to do any deed in name of annther person, cunnot be coonted that prron's d ad in whose name it was done. Aud 'where it is replied, that the general is rel vant, withont ahit part of the qualilication anent the interlining after that the 1'annel received it froyn Hag, especially seeing it will be constant by the depositions, when they will be producod Lefore the assize, that there was no interlining lumgo intervallo, but shortly: To that it is duplyed, It ought to be repelled in respect of the allendgance, nod the qualifications therein contaned ${ }_{3}$ beaping clear and undeniable circunastances of the time of the interlining; and likewise in sespect of the said depositions, whereupon that part of the said alleadgance is founted, and which now the pursuer uses by pro-
pounding bis reply thereupon. And seeing both the pursuer and the Punuel condescends upon the said depusitions as a probation of that jart, that part of the Dittay cannot Le'réfer red to the assize, to be proven before them, nnent the tume of the said interliuing; but as the detence is relevant, ns it is proponed, and ought and should be so found, so the depositions muy be presently recened as the probation thereof, being instantly veruied wist proven, as said 15. And where it is nwsucred, That the nine months, cuntamed on the alleadgnnec, alledged to have intervenel betwixt the penning and interlinng, is not verified; it is duplyed, That, first, relevancy must precede probution: Sccundo, That the said space and time may be gathered out of the circumstances contained in the said alleadgance and deprositions : and lastly, The Pannel's own declaration must be Iaben thereupon, secing the pursuei sliews nothing in the contrary. And this judicntory admits no dyet to prove any thing thit is found relevant, wheh is not pioven instanter. And where it is alledged ugainst that part of the Pannel's alleadgance, bearing, that the said interhned cojy was never seen before it was produced before the Comfnitter; and that the Pannel had promise not to be suared, and needed not to have produced it, except he had pleased; and that it is replied ty my Lord-Adsocat, That all that wis urged from the Pannel by the Committee wa, by good warrant, whereuuto he way obliged to answer upon his allegiance; and that the detial to noswer before them would have inferred against the Panuel a more glangerous crine, if he had refused ; and the C'ommuttee only urged a true declarntion ane ne the author: It is duplyed, That the Pannel if not to dispute now nnent the warrants of the said Conmittee, and upon the crime that miglot have followed if he, had denyed to answcr, and $w$ bether he might have declined them or not. Rut this he duplies in fortuficution of that pait of the allearlgance, Tlat albeit he had nether doclined the sa'd Commattee (us he did not) nor had opposed in uny case their pows or warrants; yet he might lase lawfully proponed before them this defence, which to his great and heavy prejudice he did omit, That of law and reason he was not obliged, nor could ant been urged to have made any depositions, nor given answers to interrogators anent any de mand cuurerning the said libel quarrelled; because his auswers and depositions might have been the ground of a criminal pursut against bim (as now it is.) And so with reason he mught have been silent, and the saids lords of Committee could have taken no exception against hm for his said silcnce. And theicfine that part of the snid Panncl's alloadgance stand, relevant, notwithstanding of the nuswer bearing that he could not have beea counpelled to have made any depissition either upon his oath or derlatation, if he had remeruhered, and had alledged the danger that was to follow and ensue thereupon. In respect whereof, the said replies, and every one of them, ought to b/
repelled, in respect of the said alleadgances and duplies made in fortification thereof.
It'is farder daplyed for the Pamnel by Mr. Alesunder Peurson, That wiere it is replyed by my Lord Advocat to that part of the last defence, bearing that Mr. William Hnig, the author and peaner of the alledged libel, by his own grant in his unssive letter, and also acknowiedged by the Dittay, that his declaration that the pannel Mave his allowance to the penning and forming of he said alledged tibel, cannot be respected, nor ikre any faiih, 'quia 'particepr criminis adversus socium, fidem non "hacit," whereupon my Lard Advocat infers a gramt agaiust the pamael, of his piving allowance to Mr. Huig in the forming of the alledged libel: To which it is answered, That the inference and the consequence is not good, and Las no lorce; because the foresaid defeace does no ways inforce a grant of allowance by the pannef to the formmg of the nilledged libel, but does ooly import thit Mr. William llaig, the author and penner thereof, that his declaration (not grantung any) bearng the panuel to have given allowance to hiun in forining the alledged libel, caunot be respected, nor have any taith at nll-agtinst the pannel, hinself being clearly the autior and penner thereof; -quia particeps nut socius criminis adversus ${ }^{4}$ alium, fidem nou fucit.'

It is also farder duplyed for the pramel by she said Mr. Alexander Pcarson, That where it is replyed by muy Lord Advocat, that it is against law and reason'to oppone jisyuramitm. in criminalibus, and that the opposition of an Wath given has ouly place in ciodithus, it is answered, that the contrair is true in isw, to wit, That caases criminal are sometimesteven decided by oath of party by clear law. /First Les. 25, ¢ 5, F. de Jurejurando: Verba Legis, ${ }^{4}$-Si quis juraverit şe non capuisse, non \&ebet - adjurari hoc jorejumndo in actione furti, quia - aliad est furtum fecisse, quod vel clam fieri ' potest.' Et Leg. 6, § 5, F. de bis qui notantur infamia : verba legis, ''Sed et si jurejurando - delato juraverit quis non deliquisoc, noun erit ' notatus; nam quodammodo innocentiam suam - jurejurando approbant, qứhos luce clarius Cest. Et in causis criminalbus juramentum -deferti, ergo etiam causis criminales per jura'mentum delatum decidi.' And it is alhe, ${ }^{4}$ utrum jusjurandarn a judice an a parte dela© tuin sit, otrumque enim decisorinm est litis: expresse Leg. 1. F. de jurejurando: verba, - maximum renediom expediendarum litium in ${ }^{4}$ nsam venit jurigurandi religio, quia vel ex ${ }^{\text {E }}$ pactione ipsoruin litigantium, vel ex authoriStaue judicis deciduntur controversie: : et ratio -quia judex mon defert, jurumentum nisi in - mapplementum, et ubi res aliter probari nan 'potest.' Asd therefore that part of the defeace fonesaidstands good and relevaat, notwitinatunding of the exply.

It in likewise duplyed by Mr. John Nistet for the Papael; Where it is replyen by my Lorrd Adrueat, that the opponing of tue pannel's dopolimioos, wherein be disuroms that he is we-
thor, deviser, conscious or accessary to the framing of the allerged libel; is not a defence, but a denyal; it is duplyed, That sundry points of the dituay being qualified, by the paunel's deposition, there resuls to him a relevant defence in law, upon the indivisiblity and com${ }^{\text {a }}$ ples taking of his depostions, * quat confessio E non potcat pro parte accepturi, et pro parte 'sperni:' Barwl. in Leg. Aurelius, $\oint$ Id cm quiesiit, num. 2. F, de Liberatuone Lequata. Pharas. Quast. 81. num. 108, et alis nuner. 'Quando 'est prasumptio quod qualificato confidente ' occiderit ad sui defensinnen, nt parte si oc' cidens sit vir prohtus, mullamque occidendi et - offendendi causam hilxhat, et quar occiobs 'erat homo rixusuy; talis yunlifcuta confessio ' uou putest dis sti, nec prata estraordinaria im' Poni, et suć confiteus onnaino a hsolvendus est.'
-Whereas it is replyed by my Lord Advocat, that the relevancy of the qualification, und disconformity of the panat' 4 deponilions, is not proper to be debated here, hat must lie remitted to the ussize ; it is itmplyed, 'that nll dispute and debate of law must be decuded by the judge, nud is not pettuent to be agitated before the assize, who are only judges facti et quasitores to make inquiry mote the verity of the deed.

Whereas it is replyed hy my Lord Advocat, that interlining ' id in actin ipso, vel pist 'acturn quocuilque fempore,' of a picec draurn up for the use of the ponuel aud others interestid, cvidences the paunel's nccessary concure in the forming and devsiog the prece: It is duplyyd, That it is most unrensumable, becanse it shauld follow, that interlining of any piece or book should emport concurse in the first framing of it, which is contrair to renson.
Whereas it is replyed by my Lord Advocat, That ' principium et linis tinyuan duo extrema 'tuerlimm includunt;' as is acknowledged by us in the ure of that maxim; and consequently that the first intimation of the pannel's knowledge, and the inmediate receising of the piece challenged, and interlame ex post facto, are relernant to make the pramel anthor, or airt and part: it is duplyed, That that masion is used by us in the interpretation of sundry passages of a piece done unica contextu, which therefore must be presurued to be done uno unimo et uno stylo, by the analogy of the two extremes interpreting the midst; and can never be used to make disparat acts, to joiu preprstenosly, to prove accession in forming and devising, seeing the first inutation alledyed by my Lord Adiocat is of knowledge, which prosupposeti a thing to be done.
Where it is replied by my Lord Advocat, that thr" Libel produced before the lords of the commitree with the pannel's depositions, notwithstanding promise nud assurance that they slovald aot be used, may be used by my Lord Advucat, and that the procedure of the lords of committre is most warranedble, that the pannel was obliged to auswer by his slleadgnace: it is duplyed, That the warrantable prosedure of the committee is not contested, mor
cannot be; and that the pannel, albeit he could not decline his majesty's commissioners, nuight very well in reason sud law have refused to depone his own dittay. And we adhere to the former citations, averring that the assurance giver by jurges should secure pannels against any use that eguld have been inade of their depositions.

It is added tn the duplies by Mr. Robert Macgill, That where my Lord Advoent has replied, that the oath of a party to be indicted may be urged in crimioals, 'quat hoc vix sit ' naditum in jure,' Clarus of wht. Quast. 63, et Quest. 45, num. 9. And if it hath been practised in some parts, as likewise in this country int the Examination of these who are to be indicted, 'Consuetudo illa tes retustas erroris ' que non adeo suo momento valitura, aut ut 'legen vincat aut ratiuncm.' Apud Gregoriuin, et Codice Justiniano, ques sit lomas Conssuetudo. The renson of the hav is, that the maintaining of our life is so natural, that what will we not say for the defence of it ? ' Fr sti"pra omnia eritandem est perjurium.' And thie rensons of the practians is nothine, which is lest crimes should be anpanished: for upon probation by writung, witnesses or other cunfetsion, qua non rst jurata, or apon presmaptions luce meriduma clarinres, an alledjed onminal may be put to the knonkdge of any nssyze; or otherwise the que-tion may he used, 'ad eliciendam veritatens' And where celerity of punishonent may be objected rather or a man perjure himself, 'vindic a tirditas sup'plicii gravitate comperiectra.' Bnt acceptiug the practique to be so, 1 say, hast in confes-- sione jurata sullo puypie meta propter iunpu'mitatem promisham.' The manum of the lair must be here received, quad approbo, non repobo; especially secime the pambet was so simple and careliras in respert of his maucency, that be reanited the fyrmutg of his ite positions to the louds of emoaitiees themodvos, he hering then removad the tiane of the dyling and writing of then, and did subecrito them fide muplucita.

Where it is replyed, that adriving sud der ising may be attribiter or quadiad hy any subsequent deed us the nlledged eiver: it is answered by thet of Sallust, "Et antequam inci' pias consuho,' Xe. and so it is in manduta. And as to the ratilabition, est ficto juris; nad it is to be understool ' in criminitus matilies. ' (is, et non whi queritur de mamine crinimin;" as in our cose. And remuss to the thatinction alledged ia the hinder part ot the first exception.
It is duplyed by Mr. Roger Monart to the tun practi-pues of Auchindrame and Carrarie alledged hy ny Lord Advocat, That they snit not this case, aynug that depestions should not prove in fosvor of the panimh berause if nay isepositions were given hy these two personn, tous gruxing the sance, they wate given after therr indicment for the crims, of murder ; bat here the deponitions are given helore any erime known. Secondly, If any depasitions
were given, they were the voluntary depasitions of the party, not demanded by the judge. Thirdly, it is not replyed, that these delinguents propoued any defence upon their depositions, and craved their said depositions to verify the said dispute. Lastly, it was not there allenged and offered to be proven, That there was ane other author of the said murder, which was their crume; whereapon if they had condescended and offered to'grove the same, their depositions would have been further respected. In respect of whici, clear differcuces of these delinquents from this ense, no respect can be lad to the practiques alledged.

The Dyet continued till to-morrow the 1ith instant.

## Curia legitime affirnata, the said 11th of De-

 cember, 1634. Parties and Procurators as before.The Eing's majeaty's Advocat having henrd the Duplies made to his lordship's Leply, declaves that be finds nothing northy therein to be auswered, except one point of law moved sery impertinently aneut the division of confession in crminals, aud another made in facto anent the challenge mude to she comnittee in no alledged promise made to the pannel nt the tume of his exaunination. And for the first, which is da-putable in jure, if it had been pertinent to the purpose, bis majesty's Advorat dislares he would have triplyed thereto in writurg, and is ready, upon uny Lond Justice's desure, to clear it to his lordship. And as to the other purt in facto, it is more periment to be cleqred before the assyze. And thereforp he superserles his answer, excepted he be cnjoinct thereto by my Lord Justice General.
Qlinto, It is alledged by Mr. Roger Mowaf for the pannel ogainst the second nlternmise of the Dittay, That the pannel noght to be atsoilzed, and cannot be pat to the knowledge of an assyze, for alledyed hearing, concealing, and not revealing, and not apprehending of the anthor of the alledged infamons libel, and nlledyed divalging and dispersing thereof, as the Dutay bears: becanse giving, and not grantins, ilat the fartsard vappheation quarretled uught be found scaudalous againet $\mathbf{M r}$. William Inig, the known nuthor thereof; yet quad thus pannel, who is not, nor cannot be found the nuthor, it cannot be so declared, bechuse the addition of the act 1594, milithts only tugainst shich writiugh as are manifently, cleurify, and without doutt or difticulty evident and seen, and huown to be infumous libels, and at the very first sight many appear such unto evibry ordinary understanding. But the quartelied supplication being of a dutiful strain, and such as inight he nintaken even by very undcrotanding readers and bearers, the addition of the suid ngt cau no wayt be extended to the bearer, cincealgen, not reveniens, sud not apprehenders. But $=0$ it $k$; that the said quarrelied supplication was delitered by the said nuthor to the pannel as ma humble eapplicatióny
and given by him to the earl of Rothes as ann humble supplication, and returned to him under'the same name: likeas the ponnel is coutent to make fiith anent his bnowledge and conception thereof, that he received and retained the same ro nomine; and therefore cannot be put to an assyze upon these points, seeing they are points that canuot in reason be found capital by the common and civil law, but by the said addition, which was made in turbulent times, and iever took effect, but in cominual desuetude, as las been said before. And it were very hard, upon such une act, never practised heretofore, to make noblemen and others his majesty's good subjects obnoxious to a capital cringe. And albeit the said quarrelled supplication might now be found to be infamous, yet the fiuding it now to be such, ought not, nor cannot be drawn back to infer the pain of death upon those who bona fide did, not think it such, as now it is said to be hy the said Dittay; they having 'justann et probabi'lem ignorantiam,' by doing that which truly they did, thereby not to have iucurred the hard and rigorous censure of the said act of parliament. Secondly, it is alledged, that absolvitur ought to be granted ut supra to the pannel, because the supplication quarrelled was nevcr declased hereto:are infanous: and before it bad been declared infaunous and scandaloas, and by the said declarator the pannel had been certified of the danger, the atledged having and hearing thercof cannot be now sustained as a relevant ground to infer this criminal pursuit and pains of death against him. And with reason it should have been first so declared against him, that he, and others his magesty's Ioyal and gond sobjects, being lawfully warranted by the saill Declarator, might have thereafter cschewed to have offendel in that kiad. Thirdly, absolvitur ought to be granted, because the said quarrelled supplication 'was iotended and offered to be presented to his sacred majesty; which intended offer of the said supplication by the earl of Rothes, put the paunel in tutn, that he could never have suspected any crime or punishment for hearing, keepint, \&t. to hare followed after the said intended offer: and so was thiereb; in optima fide to hear, keep, and not to reveal any thing thereanent. The making of the which offer to his royal majesty, in the pannel's judgwert, did then vindicat him that be thought nor ronceived not the said supplication scandalous or seditious; seeing it is presurned that no man of juilgment, or ordimary sense and reason, would be so foolish as to ofier his own Dittay to his prince.

- And that the Pannel's innocency may farther appear, and that his Opinion und Judyment of the said quarrelled supplication, as be offers to teclare the sume then to have been, may be trusted above all other presulpptions that catr be adduced in the cotinnir; it is to be remarked, and gravely and wisely considered by the judges, that the pursuer in effect has no withec evilience nor probation of the said par-
ticulars libelled in the said dittay against the pannel, but such as proceed from his own depositions made before the said conmittee: which point is so considerable, that in reason no advantage should arise thereupon against bim, seeing he was not obliged thereto, but of his own accord. And as in laga a person accused criminally cansot be compelled either to depone or declare at the commaind of the judge, so the depositions given by this pannel hefore the said committee, should not be respected, in so far as the same muy be made ane ground of the said dittay, which coucludes and infers no less agaiust the pannel nor the pain of denth. And for the point of conccaling and not ree vealing the said writ, de ficto the sanie was revealed by the pannel to the earl of Rothes, in so far as the same way appoigted to be presented to his sacred majesty, and thereby to h:lve becn revealed to his highness : liheas accordingly the earl of H -thes did offer to prescit the same, as said is. In icspect whereof, absolitiser from the said concealing nod not revealing; absolvitur likewise for not spprchending, because, as is said hefore, the pannel is ready to declare, that in lis judgment and conception he did not think the said quarrelled supplication to be such ns it is libelled by the dittay, for the reasons belore ndduced. And in that iespect it was hard for him as a private man to take upon him to apprehend the author of a writing, which befone he had not conceived to fall within the compass of ti.e said uct of parliament. For albeit in matters of treason all good subjects are obliged in duty under all highest pain to discover und dclate authors and practisers theicof; yeg in other matters, in writing, ahd such like, wherein are doubtful nud ambiguous expressions, which may suffer divers interpretations and constructions according to the humows, capacities, and conceptions of the readers; there is ng such necessity posed by the said nets of parliament libelled, nor hy the makers of the sanie, that a writing coming to a nan's hands, whereof he makes not the right sense and meaning as otliers do make thereupon, that the said writing not rightly understood by him, shall thereupon be a ground of a criminal pursuit to make lim lose his life for not taking, or not apprehending, or not revealing the author of the said a rit. The preparative seems dangerous and singular; it would therefore be carefully adverted unto, specinlly at this time, and in this case; when as the puttiag of the said fict in practice, seems to have the first beginning upon this painel. And the taking and appreliending' of leidges has heretofore been daugerous to sundry appreheuders; and is instanced by the earl of Queeusbury and the laird of Geichtis practices, for taking of leidges at their own hauds.
It in answered to that part of the said Dittay nuent Mr. Ileig's appreliending of his on n dumper upon, the simple sight of the committee's letter by the paosel, That that cannot make the paunel accessary to his escape, no more nor bis ows consent anent the praning of the saind
sawirelled supplication can make the pannel airt ind part of the forming aud peaniog therooft socing the said panael's second deposition bears that he was neither upon the counsel nor kuawledge of his escape, and knew not of it till three or four days after be was gone. That part of the divay anent the pannel's receiving of Mr. Haig's letser, is no way relevant to infer the pain and crime libelled against the pannel. First, because this is not a matter of treason, wherein receiving and writiog of letters are prohibit Secondly, The pannel was not prohibit by the lorms of the comanitiee to receive any letters. Thirdly, The said letters are produced and delivered to the saids lords, which in rigour of law he needed not to have done. Fourthly, Beng produced, they prove nothing against the panoel, but are clearly in his farnur ; because Mr. Hnig professes himself thercin to be authogr and pemner of the said supplication. And where it follows in the anid dittay, that it is affirmed und avowed in some of the said mir sives, That Mr. Haig had the pannel's approbation and allowance to the making and penning of the muid supplication, thut is altogether irrelevant, as haring no warrand from the said mismive letter, which contains no such affirmation as is libelled. And the pannel craves the letter bearing that passage presently to be read, seeing it is in my Lord Advocat's bands; which desira should be granted, because the pannel has seen it, with the rest of his said missives, by warruid from my lord justice and his assessors.

It is added by Mr. Alerander Pearion to the Bxceptiont, That the pannel is not guilty of ooncealing, not revealigg and divulging of the alledged mhanous libel, because the writung quarrelled being in form of a supplication, and used as a supplication by offer made thercof to his majesty, who then gave nou signification of uny offence therewith, the pannel is not, nor cannot be counted formally a concealer, not revenler, or divulger of an infamous libel, there being no such knowledge nor opinion avent the writing foressind of the pannel: 'Quia in-- jarism potest facere nemo, nisi qui scit se 'injuriem facere.' Leg. S, ¢ S, F. de Injuriis. - Bed accusatus non habebat hanc scientiam, 'sed jumam caosam eredendi,' to think of it otherwise as a supplication, for the reasons ahove rehearsed. And ws when any is accused of theft, it ss a good defence to say, - Quod do. ${ }^{-}$mini voluntafe et cousensu rgm contrectant, ${ }^{1}$ saltem patarit doninum consensurum, sive 'id falso, sive id vero puret;' expresse Leg. 46 , $¢ 7$, de Purtis, 'márime dann suberat infra - cmasa ita credandum.' So by the like reasen - in the matrer of meddting with the ipfassons libel, is must defead the pranel, that he had no knowledge or conscience of ane infamoss libel, and that be had jingt and probable causes to think of it as a sapplication, 'sine id falso 'sive id vero.patovit; et generaliten ubi de ${ }^{2}$ obligando queritur, propensioret ase debere - noe (ti habsemve occaciosem) ed aegnodem,


Leg: 47, de Obligationibus et Actionibus 'ergo in re prasebti rupienda est occasio nd. - fiberationems necusati.' Sppoially; anenk thy dirulging, because this point of diany apent divulging, in not founded upon nay acts of papw. liament, but'only upon the common and civil law, and therefure thould bo decided by the said law: by whioh the defence nooy alledged for the pannel is very relevant. Farder, that part of the dittay, bearing that the punnel by shewing to Mr. Ifaig of the warrhat of hia citanwn, did therebygive him ocession to escape furth of the country, is not relevant; because that which of itself is good, mny give eccusion to evil: and the pannel's sbewing the warrant of his citation, is no more nor if being verbelly cited, be band told Mr. Haig of bis citatiung which is no crine.
It is added by MIr. John Nisbet, that the dittay subsuming concealing of the piece quarb relled, is contrary to itself, bearing the imparting of it to my lord Rothes, of purpose to present to the king's mnjesty.

It is added, that the not npprebending the author of an infumouis libel, is not relevantly qualified, by the not takiag of Haig; weting it is not libelled, that the alledged libel wio declared to be of that nature, or conspiquoundy or nottourly, at least to the pannel's knowledy an iofamous piece; and that it was in the pannel's power to apprehend the author witbout incurring any hazard of law for the injfrious interpellation or apprebending of any person, whoth he was not able to convince to be author? specially seeing the not npprebeud-. ing of the nuthor of a treasotiable piece cannot iniport any guilt against the not apprebeodés, who is not able to convince the author of the crime by lawful probation, and therefore not obliged to apprebend, since he canpot do it without the danger of retalistion, in case be succumb; James 6, par. \&, cap. 49. And in law, 'Qui injuriose interpellit in judicio civili 'tenetur injurlam,' F. de Injunis, Lege ip. - Et si liber pro fugitivo apprehensus nit, ap-. 'prehendens punitur.' And by the like rég. son, the apprehender of a party, whom he cannot convince by lawfol probation, is punishable; and in the civil law, he is obnoxious in the paina of Lex Julia de Vi publica, and of Lex Cornelia de lnjuriis.
Secondly, The apprehending injoined by ack of parliament is noly subsidiary, wheti a party is declared suthor, and cannot be well apprehended by the judge: and a party that is retidy to delaty the author of an infamons piece, to the end that he may be apprehended by ue jurge, he is not obliged to apprebend him auspmarly.
Thirdly, The not apprehending of the fort said author is excuseable; bqcause the puinom was epioined by the lordo of the committee not to divalge the business whereupon he wha conyeoed, end to keep all thipg eecret until his deposition.

## It is farther Riked by Mr. Rolert Meoging,

abent the not apprehending, That the pannel ought to be assoilzed therctrom, because freedom is so natural and farourable, that even mmongst the Romans many of their magistrates had not Prehensionem ; and that which the tribunes of the people had, it.was also for the people's freedom. Gellias, lib. 13, cap. 12. Wherein it is certain of the law, 'quod nec ${ }^{6}$ magistratibus licet aliquid injuriose facere, ' quin injuriarum teneantur.' Leg, nec Magist. S8, F. de Injuriis. Wude etiam captura - debet esse ex decreto judicis et judicia quaz ${ }^{6}$ resultant ex processu generalis inquisitionis - debent esse sufficientia ad capturam, decer' niturque captura ex facti qualitate et debet ' processus esse informatus licet reus qui capi'endus est, non citutus sit:' Clar. of ultima, Quast. 28, et Quastione 20, num. 2. ' Quod in 'delietis enormibus id ita hodie scrvatur.' If then it he so, in magistratibus capturamjubentis bus, can it be thought that any inunicipal law can command apprebension, ' nisi in casibus de 'jure, et ratione competentibus ?' And so ' it - criminibus decorum enormitate jam satis con' stat' (where the panuel professes before God) ' et juisjurandum purgativum de jure recipitur.' Leg. Lex Cornelia 5. §8, de Injuriis. That he never remembered of such an act, be could never lave imagined at that time the piece quar relled to have been of such a sort, much less to be treasonable; and remits here to the distinction of crimes propounded in the last part of my first exception, where crimes and pains ought to be ruled in reason even in municipal faws ; and except in suab seditious ppeeches, which is the first sort of inordinat ppeeches against a prince, 'Ubi et tilictatus 'adesse debet, ut in conjuratione Catiline; no luwyer did ever think the laver, leearer, ard not revealer, not apprehender, to be ponishable by death; 'et ut videtur absurflum.' All municipal laws ought to receive their own limitations according to reason: and remits here to the second part of my duply, in fortification of my first exception, grounded upon Gailus, lib. 2, Observatione 33, auent the interpretation of municipal lans; and to the limitation exponing the act.194, which forbids any man, of whatsuever quality, to speak in time coming anent the government: which would be absind, if it received not its own limitation to spenk (about it) in cquncil and parliament. And repeats here tagain the gracious acts of our dread sovereign anent the surveying of the laws.

It is answered by my Lord Adzocat to the 'fourth Exception propounded against that part of the dittay, whereby the pannel is indicted and is punishable by death; and that by the act of parl. 94. for not appreliending of Haig the author of the infamous libel, and for not revealing of the same, ought to be repelled in the hail members thereof. And notwithstanding the same, the dittay in that part is severally and per se relevant, onght to be put to the .knowlenge of an assize; because it is subsumed
in the dittay precisely, according to the words of the act, fhat the pannel, who knew 'Haig to be author, did not apprehend, but concealed him and it, and not revealed them; and also gave occasion to llaig of his escape; and siuce his escape, received divers letters from him, which were conceuled till the pannet? was interrognt thereupon by the committee. : And where it is alledged in the exception, that the dittay is not relevant in this point, not condescending that the infiamous ibsel was declared to be an infamous libel; und in particular that the dittay in that part is contrair to itself, which bears the panuel to be guilty of concealing; and yet bears also that he delivered it to the earl of Rothes, to be prescented to his majesty : it is answered, that the dittay is relevant, because it subsumes directly according to the act of parlianent, which speaks not of an infamous fibel declared to he so, but of na infamons libel of specches, whech are so really in the self. And therc is no concrariety in the dittay, because giving to the earl of Rothes is concealing, except it were qualified that the earl of Rothes were such a person to whom the act of parliament ties the hearer to reveal, which he is not, not being of his majesty's secret council. And where it is alledged that the true meaning and sense of the net is only to be understood of reproaches and infamous libels, which are certainly, conspicuously, and nottourly so, and not of such speeches and libels which are of a doubtful and ambiguous, or indifferent nature, which at the first view and hearing could not appear to all men to be iufamous and scandalous: it is answered, That - Ubi lex non distinguit, néc nos distinguere 'debemus.' And seeing the act comprehends reproaches and scandalous libels, which are so really, 'et non opinione,' the judge and assise are obliged to judge and proceed according to the nature of the thing prohibited, as it is so really, and not to leave place to frustrate the execution of the law under the veil of opinion. And of the law, ' ignorantia juris neminem ex' cusat ne quidem in delictis, liect sic ignoran' tia probabilis pracipue in atrocioribus,' of which nature this is. Neilher can the pannel pretend excuse upon the incertainty, obscurity, or ambiguity of the infumous libel; because this infamous libel, by the first view, reading, and inspection thereof, might and should have appeared to him to have been of that nature. And no nobleqpan, or whatsomever subject of whatsomever quality, being of the pannel's knowledge, learning, and understanding, can or could justly pretend any doubt or scruple; but that the said infamous libel was, in the first riew and reading thercof, of the nature of a scandalous libel, punished by denth. And albeit in infamous libels against subjects thete might beosone shadow of excuse, by reading and looking upon the same, and receiving thereof either for curiosity, or to learn the quickness of a wit eril set in the penning of such infamous libels: but, such excuses are damnable in infamous libels which touch with
the least aspersion or blame the honour, credit and glorious estimation of our gracious sovereign. Likeas the pannel cannot pretend ig. norance, in so far as he granted in his dopisitions, that after his receiving thereof from Haig, when he did communicato the same with the earl of Roghes, that they found the same of such a straln as ought not to be presented to our gracious sovereign. And where it is alledged that this act of parliament 94 , in the points of the addition anent not apprehending and not revealing, are not of crimes panishable to death by the comanon law ; and that the some has been in long desuetude, and out of use; that ought not to be respected, because we are ruled by the laws of the kingdom, by the acts made by James ;, and James 4, before alledged; and there is no prescription in law. And where it seems to be adduced to infer 'probabilem ignorantiam,' it is answeretid -Quod omnis ignnrantia juris est improbabilis 'et punibilis.' And where it is alledged the pannel 'hakebat ignorautiam facti eamque.pio'babilem qua excusat in delietis;' in so far as alheit this infamous libel was punishable to death in the person of the author, yet it cananot be punishable to death in the pannel, not being author as he alledges, because it was delivered to him as a sopplication, to be presented to his majesty; and that he presented it to the earl of Rothes, who made offer thereof to his majesty; and after returned it to the pannel, which (as the pannel alledges) put him ' in tuto ' et in boaa fide' not to apprehend Haig, rot to reveal it to any of his majesty's council as a scandalous and infanaus libel, because he did not conceive it to be so; and is content to depone upon his great oath, that he did not know, nor apprehend the same to be an infamous libel: it is answered, that the nature aud strum of the infamous libel must be the rule of punisbment or impunity, and not the opinion of the pannel; the reproaiches, exprobations, and scandalous asperstons thereby put upon his majesty's sacred persou, estate, and government, being so nottour, evident, and couspicuous, that nother the panuel, nor nane of his knowledge and judgment, could pretend excuse or ignorance in the reading thereof; 'Et est ignoran-- Lia maxiuse inexcusabilis nescire hoc quod 'onnes sciunt?' bat especially in the panuel, who adverted to it narrowly, and heard it not simply spoken, but had it delivered to him in writing, which he kceped, copied, and advised with, und found the strain theleof of that nalture, as was not-fit to be prescuted ts his majesty. And where it isealleigent, That the pannel cannot be pumislable to death for not appreliending of Haig, and not revealung of him and his intaunous linel, except it had been declared tis liave been infamous, and Haig to have been the autior thereef) and that the apprebs ading of Ling in a matter so opscure and doubtsone, would have been daugecrous to the paund per Toavioweliar or retallainon, ordained by the at of parhament of king James, his inajesty's blessed father, of happy mẹmory,
parl. 9. cap. 49. It is answered, that act of parliament requires no other declarator but the real nature and quality of the specches and infamous lihel; neither could therc bave been danger in apprebeuding, being warranted by the law. And where it is alleged, That all depends upon the pannel's deposition which he made roluntarily for satisfaction of the lords of comnittee ; and that therefore he must have yet place to clear his bwn depositions ; and that for clearing therepf, he is ready to depone by lis great onth, thal at the receipt of the said infamous libel, he received the same as a supplication, and so seeped it, and retajined it: it is answered, that the most substantial part of the dittay is founded upou the nature of the infaumus libel, and not upon the pannel's depositions ; wherein his denial of knowledge upon oath cannot liberat him from the punishment of death contained in the not of parliament.
And where it is alledged, that albeit the justice should find this libel to be infamous now, yet it cannot be drawn back to the time of his receipt from Ilaig; it is answered, that it needa no declaration of judge, and consequently is not to be drawn beck, but was so from the beginning.
And where it is alleiged, That albeit in matters of treasun all subbjects are obliged to delate, yet not in matters which are roubtsome, hut ccrtain ; it is answered, That this is certain, 'et de jure et de facto:' di jurc, because commanded to apprehend under the pain of desth; de facto, brcause of the said infamous libel, which is really and of the own nature so.
Any where it is alledged, that 'Credulitas 'in furris prabet causan probubilera,' for the which the text of the laws are arduced; it is ansutred, ' Quo, illa creduhtas probanda est alitpr quam per joramentum rei.'
And where it is alledged, that 'proniores ' esse debemus ad liberandum ;' it is answered, That this has no place in atrocioribus, and which are so clear and mamfest as this.
And where it is alledged, that the Pannel ought to be assoilzed for not appretiending and not revealing, because he did ieveal it to the earl of Rothis, who did make offer of it to his majesty; it is answered, " Quod nullo modo 'relevat,' in respect of the act of parliament which ordains the revealing to be to a counsellor, which Rothes was not. And the offer to his majerty by the earl of Rothes non relecat, except it be alldgged, that the earl of Rothes offered it to his mnjesty as a scandalous hbel, to be punished conform to the act of parlianent; which is not nor cannot be allerged. And supposing that this reventing by the earl of Ruthes to his mejesty meght be mostained us lawiul for procuring inn punity from the act, which is not granted: yet the Pannel is punishable to death upon the other niember, for not appreliending of Haig whim be in his"di pontions declared to lava been the author thereof, und whom be might have apprehended, buth at the time of receipt
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theroof, and also at the time when the Panvel ohewed to Haig the warrand of his citation before the Committee, he having him theh in his power. And where it is aliedged, that he pould not apprehend bim then, in respect of the command given to the Puanel by the Coumittet, to acquaint no persons with the causes of his warning: that cannot be adduced for an encuse, but rather makes the Pannel inexcuswble, hecause he trangfressed the command of the Conunittee in showing of the warrand to Maig, and get did not haprehend hinn; but, showing of the warrand, give him occasion to escape. And where it in alledged, that giving of occasion is not relevant, and also that reveiving letters fron him (not being in a matter treasonahle) is not panishable; it is answered, That these circumstanees are not esential parts of the Dittsy per se, bat used as -dminioles to aggravate the Pannel's crime it not apprehending of Haig, and entertaining with him correspondence ufier his flight.

And where it is alledged the letters, if they were produced, would prore notbing againgt the Punnel, but in his favours, non est hajus toci, because now we are only npon the relevancy of the Dituny. But when they shall be used in the proper place before the assize, it will be clenr, that the same make rather against than for the Paunel, in respect whereof the said fourth exception, and hail menbers thereof, ought to be repelled.

It is daplyed by Mr. Roger Mowat, in fortiGacation of the Defence proponed for the Patael, bearing, that giving and not granting that the foreseir sapplioation quarrelled mipht be foand scandalous against llaig the author; yet guoad the Pannel cannot be found, becnuse the mddition of the act 94 mili;nts only against clear writings, clearly known to he infanous; and at the first this appears not so, being of a doubeful strain, as the exception bears.

Whereanto it is replied, that the hail members of the Dittey, aurent hearing, not revealing, potepprohending the author, are severally relevant, in respect of the act of parliament; whereupon 'it is subsumed, that the Pennel knew İaig to be the author, and did not apprehend $\operatorname{lin}$, bet concesled and revealed neithor him nor the spid alledged libel, and sicklike occasioned his escape, and received letters from him since. It is duplyed, that the snid fourth alleadgance proponed for the Pannel, stands relevant in the hail members thereof, notwithstanding of the said reply founded upon the said act of parliameat; and the Pannel refan himself to the suid exception and hail memrbent thereof, to be judicially pondered and considered. And farthet allectges, that the said soply is not relevant, bearing that part of the aubeumption of the Ditzay is fouaded upon the said act, bearing, that the Punael kuew Haidy the the nuthor, aud did ont spprehead ghim. Depause albeit the. Pahuel knew him to iswerthe author, ya his depotition bears in these spendechatide took him to lo the anthor; yet
it followeth, not by grod cousequence in law.or reason, that be took him to be, the quthor of this as a seditious and scandelous libel; ne my Lord Adrocat in his replies bears hurdly upoil us, whioh were to take cuntroversum pro confenso: And so the Pannel still nbiding hy his former defence, ncknowledges ${ }^{\text {th }}$, Wist it he had known the libel to have been inininous, he was tied to the strict observance of the act of parliament made aguinst libels of that nature and kind. But seeing the Pannel was still denied all such knowledge as is inforced upon him by the Dittay and reply, and that it is againat reason thut any forder knowledge of a writing should be infurced upon a party, otherwisa than he declares limself, and has declared ab initio; for he has ostall atirined, and yet does nffirm, that he never conceived that quarrelled writ to have been infamuus, or to have carried or rabbed any nspersions upou his sacred sovereign; which if he had percesved, be would rather have loat his life before he had concealdi wae jot thereof, as he was bound in duty. And so seeing the glose and commentar of his knowledge of the said libel, must almays be referred to himself, and not by the gloss marle in the Dittay, importing no less than the loss of bis life; the suid reply ought to be repelled, unless it were alledged that the Pannel, by bis Enowledge and by his understanding, had perceived the said libel to he as the Wittay bears, which the professes he never did. For if this ground hold, that all men reading writings shootd have a like knowledge thereof; and thant any reading a writing should understand all the sense or commentaries that could, be made thereopon, or else to ipeur such paibs as night follow; this inconvenience might eusue, that bairns, or mere ignorants, or fooks, reading writings of this kind and nature, might incur the selfsame daogers; שhich is a great inconvenience, for certainly they know no better: and by their knowledge they appreflended not the danger, which wiser and more learned mee prying more narrowiy thereinto, did perceive and find out. For it bas pleased God to give every man his own particular knowlectge, and not all knowledge to one. And except it were replied, that the P'unnel either by word or writing lisd signified or expressed any other knowledge or conception of the said quarrelled writing, which may verify agninst hinn that he acknowledjed the same to be scandalous, as the Dittay bears, the said reply should be repelled as irrclevant. and the conception and hnowledge of the snid writing must necessarily be referred to the Pannel's own declantion, whose life and fortune cannot be taken away upon another man's exposition of a writing, which the Puntel is con- . teat to make faith that it never entered in his judgment or sense to know that to have been the ipeming ; which if be had known, he could. nut byt at the first view have fulfilled and obeyod the law set down in the saids acts, ss hin disposition, ond hiscarriage, and his bygnne nctions clearly evince, rad moeds no further quest ioning. Is repect whereof, the said reply ought to be
repelled, as altogether irrelevant, for the reasons before alledged.

And where it is replied by my Lord Adrocat, That the giving of the said infamous libel by the pansel to the earl of Hothes, is de facto concealang, except the said earl were zuch a pernon to whom tiva act tyes the hearer to reveal; which he is nol becsuse he is not ane counsellor: to that it is duplyed, That the said reply is no ways relevant, because albeit the said act of pariament expresses a aumber of kinds of persons in place, to whom the crimes prohithit by the said uct are to be revealed; yet it excludes not other persons, but that such crimes many lie revealed to them as well as to the persons contained in the act: and the revealing thereof to other persons woald be counted good service, and not baken for a fuult in the revealer. Secoudly, The said earl, to whom the said writ was revealed, albeit he be not prisy-counsellot, yet hy the laws of this country be is born a ceuusellor, and was so nt the making the said act, as all the earls in Scotland were them :anda therefore the revealing to the said earl may be estinat to have been done cooform to the said act. Thirdly, The said earl is ane aheriff, and by the act of parlinunent the saids crimes are ordained to be revealed to sherifts, as one of the persons mentioned in the said act : and therefore the delivery of the said quarrelled writ to the eurl of Hothes by the pannel, is clear revcaling, and not concealing; at the least is such rerealing, hat in law and justice should liberat and free the pannel foom the crime libelled in the ditay, and fiom the heavy pain that follows thereupon, being no less than the loss and tinvel of tis life.

And where it is replied hy my Lord Advocat to that part of the suid defence, bearing that the uct of parliament is of notorious and known arditious libels, and not of doubtsouse and ambiguous writy, which in reading may suffer divers senses and consthuctinns, of the which lust kiad it is alledged that the writ quarrelled is: to the which $x$ is replied, 'Ubi lex non distin'gurt, neque aos distinguere debemus.' And soeing the aet comprebends repronches and soanduluns libels, which are, really so, et non in opinione; the jwige und assyse should proceed nccording to the thing prohibited, und not leave place to the reil of opinion: To that part of the said reply it is duplyed ut supra, That albeit the said act comprepends reproachful and scandalous libels, which are really so; yet it follows not, for the ressons adduced in the fiest duply made to my Lard Adrocat's first reply inmediastely preceding, whith I here repeat brevitstis canma; for here is all the controvensy, Whether my Lord Advocat's opinion in the dittay, or the pannel's opinion in the defence aguinst tive dittay, shall carry the greatest force, seeing they are hath different opinions. And it seems noset favourable and most rezeonable, that a nobleman's life being quanrelied and drawn in question and hazard upon the interpretation and comumatary, that the pannid shoold have the profifencs minent the ioterpretation, for pre-
servation of his life, honour, and astate; eape cially in respect thet it is not only simply offiered, that be would decline the true meuning, which he alwaye knew and understood, of tho said writing, hat likewise offers to make faith thereupon. And as this is mast reasonable, so it ought to be favoured upun the pannel's part in this case, so heavy and so dangerous to him; for it is not deuyed, but the act prohibits the hearing, having, concealing, \&c. of infamous and scandulous writings; but this writing guoud avn caanot be called 4o: neither prohibits the act, that readers of buch wriungs should otherwise read, know und understand the same, nor according to the knowledgo that it has'pleased God to give them.

And to that part of the Reply, bearing that the Pannel cansot pretend excuse upon the uncersainty and ambiguity of the said quarrelled ,writing, because by the first view it might and should have appeared to him to have been of that nature; albeit in libels against suljeots there might be some excuse by reading, retaining, and looking upon therr; yet the pannel cannot pretend ignorance after the receiving thereof from Haig, nad communicating the same to the earl of Rothes, that they found it of such a strain, as should not be presented: It is daplged, That this part of my Lord Advocat's reply doth unwillingly force the pannel to fall opon the exposition of the words of the said libel; which cunnot be eschewed, in respect of that part of the reply, bearing that by the first view it anight and should have appeared to him to have lieen of the nature of a seditious ltbel: which the pannel flatly rofuses, and ip formally contrair, becauso he never took it to be so; for the first words of the said quarrelled writ are thir, (these) ' To the king'o - mogt excellent majesty, the humble 8applici-- tign of the lords and other conmivaioners of 'the late parliament, humbly sheweth? \&ce. And these being the first words of the asid writing, if in reason it may be affirmed that the pannel cannot pretend eucuse, becauss by the first view that writing containing these worde; if, I say, it might and should have appenred to him to have been a seditious writing, the pannel remits himself about it to the wise and jardicions deliberation of the judges and nolde zuditons. For as it has been oft said before, and now not to be repeated, the pannel deelares that be never took the said writing in his opinios and judginent for any other kind of writing or libel, but for ane humble suppliention and remodstrance, in all humility to have been presented to his sacred majesty; and which accortingly was delivered to the earl of Rothes; to bave been presented asd by him offered to his majesty, and refused in masaner contained in nay Lord Advocat'i own declaration of his sacred majessy's own speech and wonds uttered to the gaid earl of Roches, the time of the offering thereof: 'whercupon the pannel takes instruments. Arid so the said quarrelled wriking not being at the frst view heditious, as is libellad in the dittay, and contriund in the roply, bat
in the said first words being a most humble supplication; the pannel most justly pretends excuse, that seeing dé facto the said first words are not seditious at the first view, that therefore he ought not to be convened by this dittay, as haver, hearer, and concealer of the said sedjtious writing; but ought to be suffered aud permitted to make his own interpretation, that he never thought it so; and therefore camnot be pursued crimiually upon his life therefore, ns the dutay, bears.
And at that part of tho Heply, bearing that albeit in libels agsinst subjects there might be some excuse by reading, receiving, and looking upon the same; yet the panael cannot pretend ignorance, in respect of his depositions, after receiving from Hnig, by communicating the sume to the earl of Rothes, that they found it of such a strain as should not be presented: to that it is duplyed, That the saids depositions make nothing ngainst the pannel; for the pannel did never deay the receipt of the said quarrelled writing from Haig, nor that he did communicate the same to the earl of Rothes, and that they found it of such a strain as should not be presented. But the times being distinguished, the doubt is soon solved; for the depositions spparently express not the times. For the pannel did not depone, That before dehvery of the sad quarrelled writing to the carl of Ruthes, and before the earl of Rothes his intended offier thereof to the king's majesty, that they found it of such a strain as should not be presented: but that confereace betwixt the rail of Rothes and the pannel, was long after the intended ofler and refusing. At which tune, upon the ogaasion of the liarshness and misconstruction of some words, that speech was uttered betwixt thenn; and from that time furth, nothing furthet followed. And therefore the paunel's deposition makes notbing for the said argument. That the pannel could not pretend ignorance; in respect whereof, the sadd reply, and hail members thereof, ought to be repelled.

It is farther duplyed by Mr. Alexander Pearson, That where it is replied by my Lord Advocat, that the pannel's opirion of the writing, and the alledged probable cause of his ignorance to be a scandalous libel, addaced, canot defend the pamnel from concealing, $\& \mathrm{cc}$. because the writing in itself is really scandalous, and at the first view might and should have appeared in that nature to any man of the pannel's understanding; and who can pretend opinion 'nesciendo hoc quod omnes 'sciunt?' It is answered, That the panael's opiaion of the writing, and the probable causes of his ignorance of the same to be scandalous, does defend the pannel fiom the crunes libelled, not only for the reasons and laws already ndduced, in the matter of injury and other crimes, which are mose pregnadt; but uso because no capital crime whatsoever is or can be committed sine dolo, whereof the panael is altogether free in all sorts thereof;

- Et a dolo vero et a dolo prasumpto ex quali' tate facti.' :A dolo vero,' which the pannel's innocent intention evinceth in the defences proponed for him in the second exerption; and there be is purged abundantly, for the reasons contained therein, which are here repeated brevitutis causa.

The Justice and Assessors continues this Dyet till to-morrow, the 12 th instant.
Curia legitime affirmnta, the said 12th of Dec. 1634, \&c.
It is alledged by the said Mr. Alexander Pearton, und duplyed ly the paunel. Secundo, That the said Pannel is free ' a dolo presumpto, - quia tunc presumitur dolus ex qualitate facti, ' uhi quis facit quod scit vel scire debet se non 'debere facere : At in proposito nostro nulla 'scientia nec conscientia criminis in accusato, 'soec ignorantas cjas quod onnes intelligunt.' But most just and probable cause of ignorance of the writing to be scaudulous, which would fres affected even the wisest and most sagacious then; although now ex post facto, by pregnancy and vivacity of spirit, the writing quarrelled being searched unto exactly, the same being interpret by the dittay, and urged upon the panvel as seditious; which interpretation now of it, caunot make damuable the estimation and opinion of the writing quarrelled, which it had of before amongst men of common understanding, to make culpable of capital crime: 'quia injuriaram zwti' matio non addit tempus quo judicatur, sed ad ' id quo facta est referre debet;' Expressa Leg. 21. F. de Injuriis. 'Et nunquain crescit ex post facto prateriti delicti estimatio.' And it is hard that the pafnuel, upon error of judgnent, should incur capital puuishment.

Where it is replyed by my Lord Advocat, That the pannel cannot pretend ignorance of the writing quarrelled, because by bis depositoon the 7 th of June, he has declared that he thuught it not fit to be presented to his majesty; It is answered, That the reply is not reletant, and that the paunel then thinking it nut fit to be presented, hinders not hut the said writing being thought fit hy others to be presented to his majesty, aud indeed offered to bis majesty, the pannel therely was confirmed the more to think of it as a supplication, and may make the defences thereupon, his ciedulity foresaid.
-Where it is replyed by my Lord Advocat, 'Cuod ounis igtorantia juris est' improbabulis ' et punibilis,' and that the punnel cam pretend uo probsble ignorazce thereof; It is answered, That the addition of the act of parlament 94, anent concealers, whercupon this part of the dittuy is only fiunded, had never otrength nor vigour of law, (never being yet practised ugainst any since the first making thereof) but being as it were by desuetude aholished, ut supra in our first exception, shews that the ignorance thereof is nether improba-, ble, nor punishable against the ponnel.

Where it is replyed by my Lotd Advocat to
that part of the fourth exception, bearing ' quod 'crectulitas id furtis prebat causam probabi' lem,' and that by parity of reason it should have place also in the maiter of infamous libels; to the which it is replyed, 'Quod illa credulitas ' aliter probanda est quam per joramentum ${ }^{6}$ rei;' It is n.pyered, That the Pannel urges not his credulity $f$ the writ quarrelled upon his own Decluration, only, but also upon other circumstances, evidences, and presamptions, ulready adduced in the said fourth exception and former defences; which clearly eviuces the pannel's credulity, and the justness thercof.

Where it is replyed by my Lord Advocat to Inat part of the exception, proporting the words of the law where it is said, 'Ubi de obligando ' et liberando quarritur, propensiures esse debe' mus ad liberandum; ;' to the which it is replyed, That the law cited has no place in clear and manifest crimes, ns this is: It is answered, that the reply takes controcersum pro confesso, That the crime whereupon the pannel is ac) cused is clear and mauffest, which it is $n \mathbf{0} 6$; but in the notion of a cime (if any there be, which we do not grant) the same is most abstruse and obscure : and if any clearness be, it is for the pannel's innocency; in respect whereof, the exception stands relevant, notwithstanding of the reply.

It is further duplyed by Mr. Roger Mowat, to the reply made by my Lord Advocat to that part of the said fourth defence, bearing that the pannel is not punishable for not apprehending and noc revealing, upon an act become in desuetude, because the leidges are ruled by the laws of the kingdom, as the acts of k . James 1 , and $k$. Janes \& bears; and that there is no prescription in laws, and that ' omnis ' ignorantia juris est inuprobabilis et punibilis.' It is duplyed, That albeit the leidges are and should be ruled by lus majesty's laws, yet where laws are become in desuetude, and have never been practised, the ladges ought to be certified thereof, and new intimation ought to be made, as in the first defence at length is contained. And neither of these can be alledged in this present case; and therefore the dangerous consequence of this and the like laws onght to be prevented before the same be practised, which is the rind of the law-giver. And to that, bearing that there is no prescription in laws; is is duplyed, that desuetude must be estimat ecyipollent to the prescription of laws obscure, or that are not in daily custom. And where it is replyed agninst probable ignorancé,' 'Quod omnis ignorantia juris 'est improbabilis et punibilis;' it is duplyed, That ' ignorantia juris in damnis vitandis non ' nocet:' and this is our case. And where it is replyed to that part of the said fourth defence, bearing that the pannel had probable ignorance, the defence ought to be repelled, hecause the nature and strain of the quarrelled writivg must be the rule of the punishment or impunity, and not the opinion of the pannel; ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Et quod 'est ignorantia maxime inexcusabilis ncscire 'hoc quod omnes sciunt :' is is doplyed to the
said reply, That the pannel still contends that the nature and strain of the supplication quarrelled may be the rule of his punishment, and impunity, according to his opimon of the right menning and sense that he made thereof, for the reasons already adduced in the former duplies immedintely preceding; wherein the paunel contends, that with reason he himself unust be the ooly truchenaan and interpreter of the ssid writing, in case'any othér commentar or interpretntion be made thereof, containing such a sease and myelning, us beng received and admitted, will bring upon bim the punishment of death: which duply is here repeated breoitatis causa.

And where it is replyed, That the Repronehes and Exprobations therein contained are so nottour, that the pannel nor none of his judgment could pretend ignorance on the rending phereof; it is duplyed ut supra, that the pannel refuses his havuig knowledge of any such reproaches, and professes his ignoratice thereof: albeit he read the same, and others likewise of better judgment than himself, who did never observe nor find out the like, according to their judganent and understanding. Which reproaches and scandals, if they had perceived and remarked, (as they did not) would have touched them as near as any other of his majesty's suljects whatsoever of their quality or degree : but seeing the pannel, and the rest of the hearers and havers of the sad quarrelled supplication, pretend their ignorance foresaid of any such knowledge, as is now expressed in the said fittay; the pursuer ran never be beard to force any other knowlerge upon them, to thaf end that they may be found guilty of the rrime libelled, and punishment of death therefore.
Ahd where it is replyed, That it is 'igno' rantia maxime inexcusabilis nescire hoc quod ' omncs sciunt;' it is duplyed, that my Lurd Advocat will do well to apply that rule to thepresent case, and show 'quod sit hoc quod 'omnes scuunt.' For if his burdship means by his dittay, then that rule con have no place here, because no man knew any such glosses or interpretation of the snid supplication, but the pursuer himself, before the coming farth of the said dirtay. And if before that time many were of another opision, concerning the meaning of the said supplication; then my Lord Advocat cannot be heord to say, that it was ' ignorantia maxime inexcusabalis' io the pannel ' nescire hoc quod omnes sciunt,' seeing nb man knew that which was set down in the seid dittay, but my Lord Advornt himself: and many knew, and yet know, that the said quarrelled Supplication in their judgments and con* ceptions carried with it no such meaning or construction.
And where it is replyed, That the Pannel's Defeice, founded upon his depositions which he made voluntárily for sutisfaction of the convmittee, cannot be respected, because the most substantial parts of the diftay are founded upow the said quarrelled supplication, and not upom
the paanal's depositions; and that his denial of kpowledge by oath, cannot liberat bim from the pain of death: It is duplyed, That nlbeit many substantial points of the seid dittuy be foouded apon the said anpplication, yet they are founded upon the panael's depositions also. And albeit the said dittay quarrels and impugns the said supplication in sundry pasmages, which the pannel doth not maintain as they are expressed in the dittay, because when he and the other sapplicants read and heurd the said supplication, they found no such meaning in it; and they leave the defence of that commentary to the author himself, and declare ut supra by their jodgnent they found it not of such a strain as the dittay bears : the declaration ought now to be received, for clearing of the pannel and remanent supplicants from all suspicion of such knowledge as the dittay bears upon them, for the reasons foresaids, contained in the former defences and duplies. In respect whereof, the said reply ought to be repelied, in respect of the saids depositions alrendy given, and of the pannel's declaration upon osth, which he now offers to give.

Where it is replyed to that part of the said Defeace, bearing that albeit the said alledged libel should be now found to be infamous, yet cannot be drawn back, that there needs no declarator of the juige; consequently may be drawn back, because it was intamous from the beginning: it is duplyed, that the defence stands relevant notwithstandiug the reply. Which defence beare expresely that the pannel and other supplicants received the said libel (now quarrelled) as an humble supplication ; and io tolken of their said knowledge adill ressed the same to be presented to his sacred majesty, as the said defence bears. And so what has been found out since to be therein by the purwuers, and those of deeper wit and knowjedge than the pannel and other supplicants, canaot be inid to their charge; for whom all premumptions are most clear and evident, that the pannel had never so minch as one thought or opinion, as is contained in the dittay.
Where it is replyed to that part of the exception founded upon the instance of treason, to the same bears ; it is duplyed, that my Lord Advocat in that answer takes controvernum pro confcaso, ever taking this for a ground that the topplication is seditious and scandalons, and so to be reputed and holden eqainst the pannel and others in like case, which is still denyed, for the reasoas before anduced. Where it is answered by my Lord Advocat, that that part of the said defence anent the revealing to the eart of Rothes in not relevant, in respect of the out of parlizenent ; it is doplyed, and ooght to be repolited in respect of the former duplies answering this point, that earls, the time of making the said act, were born counselion; and whot the aad of Rothes wis a sheriff, which the ect aliome.

And where it is replyed, That the offer to in angesty son relerat, exsept it were alledged yn lis olved it as a reandaboss bibel; it is
duplyed, that the anid reply is no ways relevant, becluse it wes sufficient by preventing - it to his royal majenty to reveal the same in that mauner: and it cannot be now known whather it would have been so thought by his sacred anajesty, albeit he refued the somen ; but it is certain that the pannel and tha, rewater would never have presented the saple, if they had thought it scandelous. And that part of the defence, bearing that it was revenled by the presenting, neede not to bear that it was offered to be presented as acandalous, for that was not their end, neither had they any such meaning by the taid presenting ; their intention being, as is contrined in the said defence, to huveit presented as an huuble supplication, to receive a gracious answer, has other remonstrances had gotten before. And so there is no necessity to allerge it was to be presented as a scapdalous thel.
And where it ia replyed, That (granting) the said revealing to bis majesty might base been sultained as lanful, yet the pannel is guilky of death for not apprehe:wling; it is duplyed, that the said reply is not relevant, becuuse if the sadd revenling was lawfol, erge there was no necessity of apprehending ; for the worde of the sct of parliament anent the prolitition are alteruntive. And alheit, as it is answered before, that the pannel thought Mr. Heig to be author, yet in respect of this conception of the said libel, he thought him not to be such nn uuthor as merited appreliension, because the suid libel in his opinion fell not within the said act of parlinment. Aud repeats bis forwer auswer, and the two practicks about the apprehenaling of free leidggs ; adding thereauto the late lord Maxwell's practick, who having apprehended by virtue of a conimission, was notwithstanding forefaulted therefore.

To the reply, bearing thant my Lird Advoent granted that the circumptancts of the receipt of Haig's letter are not essential parts of the dittay per se, but used as adminicles to aggravat the pannel's criune of not approbending, and giving him occasion to cscape, nod entertaining of correspondence with him thereafter: it is duplyed, that the panpel and his procurators accept of the said answer, and protest that no respect be had thereto, iss ane essential part of the dittay. And the alledged correspondence is no ways relevant, not bearing. that the pennel did write letters to Haig: for correspondence must be mutual, othervise in can be no corre-poodence.

Where it is replied, 'quod non eat hujas lo' ei' to produce Haig's lotter; it is duplyed, That it in ' raxime hujuen loci' to be prodinced, if that part of the Dittay founded upon. it be found relerast, because they were alrendy produced by warrand of my Lord Jeatice; and being now produced, sothing shell be found in thena to curry sny warrand for that part of the said Dittay. And being produoed to the paunel, avd bis procurstore for their iaformation and defance, mant yot be produced to be compared .with the suid Ditisy. For if shey chall
find that the Dittay ond the Letter do not agrea, then that part of the anid Dittay foundeal upon the said missive will not be sustrined, nad so caunot be put to the knowledge of an inquest. In respect whereof, the hail replies and all the meinbers thereof ought to be repelled.

It is duplyeỉ̀y Mr. John Nishet; Where it is replyed by my Lord Adsocat, That the dispute against the relevancy of the qualification is superfluous, because the grueral subsumption cotforin to the act of parliment is per se relevaur: it is duplyed, That the general is not relevant, ' quia nou opurtet in crimi' aibus ragari,' Leg. Libelloram, F. de Accusatiombus: ' Et locus, et tenspus, ct mimuna - delicti exprimenda sunt; alivquu ncrussatio ' ipso jure est nulla,' Clarus, § tinul. Quas-L. 12, num. 8.

Whereas it is ruplyed by my Lord Advocat, That the Dittay is mot contiar to itself, beenause of the acepuainting lis majesty by apy loril Rother's means does not explat und purge the concealing, my loril Rothes not beng one of the-e pendons io whom the delators of such preces, and uaihors thereof, is appointed by the act of parhament: it is duplyed, That these persons are only specified for receiving of such delations in sibudizu, where the revealer knows not any other sumuar and secret way to acquafat his anajenty ; and not to tye them to an mnecessary circuit, where by a more compendions way his majesty may be acquainted, and the anthars supprest. And we represent the inconvenient to envuc, if parties by whose necans lis nagjeety h.is been acquainted with pieces of that kud, shall be obnoxious to the pains oi the act for not seekng a bailly or sherifif, to tell unto them that which by other and more direct means is hown to has majesty.

Whereas it is replyid by my LLord Advucat, That the acis of palliasent require not a judicial declaratur of the nature of such pieces, nor the former knuwictlee of the party challenzed, but without distuction pumsh the not rerealers and nut apprehenders of the nuthors of pieces muterially seditions; + Et ubi lex non dietin'guit, nec nos distinguere debensus:' it is duplyed, That statutes being particular conclusions of law, presuppone principia universulin et prima, and imply intrinsecally the counmon notions of law and reason. And theicfore seeing in reason there cannot be a crime of concealing, unless the piece or autbor alledged to be concealed were either declared to be, or to the panuel's knowledge were, such as be ought to revenl, because celare is relative to knowledge; it is nut celevautly subsumed that Haig was author of ane piece materially sc:urdalons, and that the pannel revealed him not, a.aless a former knowledge nere nssumed likewise. Aud the law isself furnisheth a ground for, this distinction, because the word 'concenling' importeth knowledge: ' Fi statuta pumentitis de-- licta capitaliter non hahent locum uisi dolo - ipterveniente, etian quod de dolo non fint ' Ifrentio! Paulus do Cnstro in Iege Nemo, vol. uk.
num. 5. Codice de Fpiscopis et Clericis, - Ebi perstringit imperitiam judicum qui verbis' ' statitorum mordicus inherent, et mulio magis - cum dolis requitur, vel expresse vel tacite, - tunc enim nec lata culpa suticir, tacite au' tean requiritur dolns, cum statatum utitur ' verbic, uullus audeat vel piasumat.' Which are expressly in the act of the 10 h parliament; and must of necessity lef conssidered to understand the addition contained in the act of the 14th prorliancot ; ' Igque multo magis in de-- liet is ques de sutl natura requiront dolam 'prout est falsem injuria et similia.' Phar. Quiest. 87, per totam. Wherens it is'replied, - Quoil jadex dehet procedere secundum natu'ram iet prohibtar,' and should not leare place to claide the law sub zelo opinionis; it is duplyed, That by all statutes of that nature crupes are only obviated and prohibited: And The julge should proceed to try whether crimes be committed, or not, and not to condema escupes or errors of judgment, which are not arbitrary to the will to shum, but depend upon the di-position of organs and represchtation of faurasms, which are exhitited 'ab intellecta 'agente,' nud 'necessitate intellectum patien' tem,' to ane nssent, where it is furnished with no probable grounds to elde the same; as is known even to the novices in philosophy by that trival maxim, ' Intellectus per assen'sum premissaram convincitur ad assentien'dum conclusioni, saltem quoad specifica'tionem.' And where my Lord Advocat would expose the weakness of the pannel's judement is not discovering the nature of sediunus pjeces, to enforse the punishment of a crime, ft is duplyed, That the law is not eluded by the slender pretence of opinion; but the pamagl's conception of the piece, verified by his gath, and by all pessible presumptions, which we hilve at leught deduced, must libenat him from the guilt and pain of the crime, seeing in law ' carent naimo injuriandi ex quo crimen ' consistit,' rerified by the oath of the party; ond any assisting presumption imporis absoloiIur, as is already shewn by the furecited laws, and the harmony of doctois. And whereas it is replicd, 'Quod ignorantin juris non excusat ' in delictis atrocioribus;'. it is duplyed, That 'ignorautia juris' being at the most 'lata cul( pa sequiparatur dolo, et non excusat in ac-- tonibus descendentibus ex contractu, vel - quasi, sed excusat in cinmuibus vel delictis, - quia voluntas, quas maleticia distinguit, spec' tatur, non veritas vel exitus.' Leg. Divus IIadrianus, F. ad Legem Corveliam de Sicariis. Phar. Quast. 87. 'Ubi regulariter do${ }^{4}$ lum requirit, et ubi abest dolus, pernam as-- serit cesarc, idque etina in crimine lase ma'jestatis,' num. 10, et per totam. And the ntrocity of the crime exclades not a probeble excusc, 'Quia causa quielihet, etiam levitan, 'credulifas excusat a doln regulariter.' Piar. Quast. 90, num. 1. - Et now solum si sit levis 'sed colorat.s ct irratinnnblisis inn etiam teme-: ' raria et bestialis.'. Jiem ibid. num 3. Whin. refert doctores concordantes Claudiys Battan:
dien Reg. 'Si fatua crefulitas a dolo escusat ; the panuel's conception thereof, becnuse it is

- cas vehementibus prissumptionibus proter' nur,' $\mathrm{num} .1 \& 2$ 2. 'Et cau:a levis excusat - in crimine injuriarum, et ad excusanduin suf'facit error verus sel prasumptus.' Plaar. dieta Quest, num. 22, 'Et levis causa excusat etrom ' in crimine la-se nhijestatis.' Ibid. num. 20. - Et multo naghs in iis qui de sua natura nee - de jure civhl reprobatag sunt :' As is the pounts of not apprebsendiag and concealing. 'Ibi ${ }^{4}$ enim dolis non presamitur, et si quis sic ege' rit sine dolo et animo deliuequenda creditar ${ }^{4}$ agentis assertioni ex quo habet pro se juris
'presuntptionew.' Num. 14, et num. 90.
' Et ignorantia jurss excusat a dulo.' Num. 01.
- Et crassa supina et affectata excusat ex opi-
' nione B.dli et Tiraquelli,' que citat, num, 99. ' Nisi sint circa eat ytar sint de jure natu'rali gentiun et divana prolnbita.' Whereas it is replyed, That the paanel cannut pretend ignorance in respect of the piece conspicuously iafamous, and of the pannel's sutficiency and qualifications, and in respect of the person in jared, being his sacied majesty; and therefure excludes all excusc upon curiosty, or any other respects, excusable by the law, and, at last, in respect of the pronel's disapproving of the piece in his own depositions: It is duplyed, What the piece is not nuttourly infamous for the reasins ardluced. And here we protest, That my Lord Adrucat's owious decyplering of the piece, nrecsutates us to vindicate not itself, but the conception that the pamel had, und that any man may have, that i, not preuccupied with the nnnatural phoves of the Dittay. And for the panucl's qualifiquions, it evinceth that he would not lave dafivered a piece nottourly infsmous to be prestated to his majesty, ns is achnowiedged by the Dittay, and so to have endangered lis houont and lite, if he had had any such conception ot it.
And for the panuel's disproving of the prece, it convinceth net his sinistrous intention in using or having a piece disprovable, because it is not deponed that he disproved it as scditious or infumous, but 'quando verba confessionis sunt ' dubia, pussant et debent declarari ct interpre' tari per coufitentem, et in ineliorem partem.' Phar. Quasst. 81. num. 38, 'Et confessio dil-- bia et sucerta interpretatur in bonam partem ' in favorem confitentis, et secundum illius in'tentioneus.' Bald. in Leg. Unica num. 95. Codice de Confessis. And the pannel declates that he disproved it not as seditious, bitt fit io be suppressed, in respect of bis majesty's will expressed to my lord Rothes unent pieces of that strain. Whereas it is replyed by my Lord Advocat, That the defect of the common law, in the puints of concealing and not apprehending, cannot be obtruded, and that there can be no prescription of laws; yet there is antiquation and desuetude, as we have before shewn: And the defect of the commod law is hedoced *. an a probable, candour of the desuetade in poista debording from the common law. Whereas it ia. applyed by my Lord Advocat, That the naturse of the pieoe must rule, notwithstanding of
nly required that the piece should he realle: sedifious ; it is duplyed, that m unater of chme the intention and canception is me-t consideralle, as is already inculcat; and when there is a question anent the credulity of the party, his credulity is probatio by outh, frad. $\$$ Hecte 1 ege inter omues, F. de Fiwto; opeciatly where there coucur sime presumptions. Whercas it is replyed, That ' ignorantia ent inexcusatilis ' nescire quod ounes sciunt;' and that the panoel's narrow adventency and chuvassing of the piece challengeit, m readng, copiny, advising, interlinugg, joined with his opmut anent the presentation thereof, excludes all presumptions of rgnorance: it is duplyed, that it cannot be sadd 'quod omines sciunt,' seeing my lord Rothes, a nubleman of eminent quality and suffigency, made 6 Oer, nt lenst mention thereof, as a supplicntion to lit ranjesty, for the pannel's opaion. It is already natwered for his perpunding of the picce; it is so far from aggravating or convincing lis knoslcdge of the piece to be of that nature, that he is confident that indifferent and impartial juilgments, the more they advert to the strain of it, they will be tho more edififed of the nature of it, that it is not so nothourly ingurious. And there'ore the panud protests that his procurators may vadicate his conceptions of it, and rewoustrate the strange inferences that the Dittay makes of it. Where it is replyed by my lord Advocar, That apprehending is warrauted and engoined by the acts of parliament, and therefore not daugeanux; it is duplyed, That apprehending is warrauted, when partics ure able, by lawful prolation, to bind upon the pervons apprebended the guilt of the crime for nhich they delatt and apprehend them. And that even in the crine of lese thajesty there is no warrant tu apprehend parties, allueit known to the apprehenders guily of the crime, unless they be able to yunlify and prove the guilt, under the pain of Tomivowafia and retafistion in case they succumb. Whicre it is replyed, That the noost materinl parts of the Dittiy are founded upon the mature of the pirce itself, and not upon the paunel's depositions; it is duplyed, That howsoever there results a relerant defence ia law upon the praneel's abientia anini, which is probable by bis oath, assisterd with presamptions; and $i$, admitted in the most strict inquisitions, as is constant hy the uniforin fad constant harmony of all criminalists. Yihereas it is epplyed by my Lord Adsocat, That ihere needs not a declarator of the judge to be drawn back; it is duplyed, That in all countrits where the concealiug of heretic tooks is ponished, a judicial declarator is necessnrily required; and the private opinion and mistahing of parties befure declarator was never censured, muç̧ less punished.

Whereas it is ieplyed by my Lord Advocat, That the maxim, 'Proniores debemus case ad ' liberanduna non habet locum in atrocioribus ' et delictis contra principens;' it is duplyed, That we retort this unswer, ' ubi lex non dis'tinguit neç diatinguere debemus. Et aon de-
' linquit qui in dubio contra fiscuin respundet,' F. de Jure Viscl; ' et turpe est et principi in-- juriosum ciedere inventan qui priacipern om' nibus benefaclentem, neminem ledentem, in-- juria velit elficere; et quavis excusatio etimn - levissima et maxime fatua in crimintos atro' cistimis arknititur.'

It is added Mr . Robert Margill to the preecding duplyes, That where my Lord Advocat rephech, that ' juris ignorantia non excusat;' that the said rule may be understood ' in jure, 'quod usu invaluit?' for even if in these petty statutes anent the inflicting of pecunial pains contaned in the 9th act, parl. 21, 1612, a new intination of them is thonght fitting to pat the lealges in mala fide before they be practised, what then in this act, and some others, under the compass whereof sundry here may fall, shall there not an intimation be required where life, honour, and lands, are in dauger, aud a caphal pain to he inflitied for to put the leidges an malel fide 9 Item , Where it is replyed, ${ }^{4}$ (2uod nece ig-- norantia ficti excusat propter prasnmptom 'doluin :' it is answered, that the paunel's' ig' norautia facti' is 'ubomui doli prexumptione ' alienn;' who could never think that meder the general law, comtained in the ardlition, could cone in all sort of inordinate speeches, and all sort of hearers, concealers, and not apprebendcrs. And for the excusing of any dole that can be presumed, he adduces the knowlerige of law tuid reasou, which ought to exponc all municipal laws, ut ceitctur alsurdum; which is, that all sorts of iuordinate specebes or writs, even agamet a prince, and all concealers nod not apprehenders, should be punished alike: For th Prator says, ' Si quis adversus a a fecerit, prout 'quaque res prit, unimarivertam.' Leg. stem apuddabhanem 15. G. 251. 23. ' Ulide par-- barum disthetione ex circumstantiis juxta Le'gem sut facta 16. in princugiv,' F. de peenis, 'quaun consideratume allectus et animus faci'entis maximum habet momentum,' Leg. illa 3. § 1. dieta Leq. 15. § 33. Lege si quis certum 20. in fine, F. de Inyuriis. So that my Lord Advocat's reply anent credulity, that it excuses not, and that it is not a sufficient wariant $a d j u-$ ramentum purgationon, and that it ought not to be here received; the same ought to be repelled, because ' credulitas sive justa sit causa sive ' injusta excusat nhi dolus requiritur? as in our case. Clarus, 9 , finali, (2n.est, 60. num. 22. - Et quod recipiapar juramentum purgativqm - concurrentibus aliss ad animi boni probatio${ }^{*}$ nem indiciis constat,' per Phar. Quast. 105. Insject. 3. post num. 11L. 'Leva vero judicia - sufficere,' ait Alexaider, Conc. 115. Columna penultima, Volumine quarto. 'Et à delicto 'etian conventicula, et male congregationis, 'causam vel levent escusare,' ait Cravette, Conc. 4. num. 26. But so it is, there may be many good reasons alledged, uberefire the pannel had a good mind; as, that all which is in this quarrzlled pettion, might have been proponed in parliament. And the rest of the rensons adduced in my second exception, ' adeo 'ut dolus non sit presumendus' against the
pannel; neither is the argument grod that the pannel disalloned it, of thought it not fit fira tume, ergo he thought it seditious negater sequi. And bectuse my Lord Advocat, in all the members almost of his reply, returns upon this, That the piece of itself is really seditious; mad that olscurity and ambiguity of words may rereive evasion and excuse among subjects, bat not in the case of the pannel; \{ answer, that the exception stands pond, notwithstundiug of the reply, in respect of the examples and casen following. And, frast, I confess that such inordinate speeches and writings as were utiered hetwixt Catline and lis complices against a goscrnment, and against a prince's pfogenitors, or his person, in that surt are punishatie both in the rerson of the spenker and penner, ts also of the hearer, nut revealer, and nut apprehender; wherein the case of this addition is vernicd: and no otlars are hearers, nut reven!ers, and not apprehendes. Clarus, 6 ultina, Qucst. 87. nuin. 2, et 3. But mark in a second case, ' Quod nolulis qui directis verbis at ${ }^{\prime}$ assertinuibus dixit, att, scripsit, principem 'smum non halecre animam remuserandi;' by Matheus, De Afllictis, decisione 307. num. 27. is not thonzht punishable by the ordinary jurlev: but 'rs mittendus,' ut in Leg. Unicn, 'si - quis priucipi malcoliscrit ubi tamen inuprope'ratur defectus liheralitatis, quee est pracip;a 'virtus in principibus;' is our Saviour himself aftiras, Lube cimp. ?2. 'Eughím Bountiful. The third case is of bin who spake or writ that which by interyretation mipht be misconstructed to ane evil senac, as was snid in the second past of my first exception : and remits also to that comment of Menochius, ndduced by Mr. John Nesbit. And where my Lord Advocat would eschew, by saying that Menorlijis had not such a law as we, and that we nuflit to be governed by our own laws; remite to the end of my first exception anent the exposition of all municipal laws, according to reason. Ubi Gaylus expones the maxim, 'Ubi ' lex non distinguit nee nos distinguere debe'mus, et ut vitetur absurdum paritatis;' our laws may be so expounded. Ergo if the anthors of these last speeches and writingi be scarcely punishàv, far less ought the henrers, not revealers, and nut apprichenders; for even in
 'stet de criminis enormitate,' which is not here.
It is triplsed hy my Lord Advocat, That the finds no necessity to iiiply. But if the justice, and his lordships assessors, require any thing to be explained in that which is duplyed, upon signification of their pleasure, he shall be rearly to expend nill doubts verbo, which he did.
The Justice General conainues this Dyet till to-morruw the 15 th .

The said 13th of December, 1654, The Juistice continsed the Dyet till the 16th of December, 1684.

Curia legitime iffirmatn, tec. and Procurators in Defence, at before.

Mr. Roger Mlowat, is procurator for the
pannel, repents only the former definces, and duplier of them, nnent the revealing by the panael to the earl of llothes of the supplication or pevicion contaned in the dittay, and the earl of Ruithe lis oitenng thencol to the king's majesty ; and that the act of parlument is satisfied tue.eby, which does not exclude the reve.slag to other pervons, nor are mentioned in the s.ath act: hat athirms positive all manner of revealing, whereby kyonledge may couse to hiv mayaly, which is the end of the stud revealing, sulficient, as saidres, in ane act of thas kind, which has never beet in custom. And su revealii.g beng clear, as said is, there nceded no apprelsending.

My Lurd ddvocat repeats his foimer answers, That the revealing is not clear nor relevint; and abeit it werr, that the not apprehending makes the pannel guilty, and so to fall under the puovimuent contaned in the act of parli ment hibelled.

It is alledged by Mr. John Nishet under Protestainin, That the viodicating of the label challenged from the ghisse's of'the ditaay, shall not onport any spprobation thereaf either by the panael or liv procuraturs; but in ,3 far as they are necrsoltat, by my Jand Advocat's roplies, to sindicut the proniel's innocency m the bearing, or any other accussion to the sail libel challenged. It is tirst replyed by my Lord Advocat in his replis, hearing that the nature of the piece is so noturnusly infamous, that at the lirst veev it is apparent to the most shallow and ordinary uaderstandas, i, be injurious to his majesty in all the ponnts libelled: it is duplyed thereto, that the points of the alledged hisel unpurt no reproach to has majesty's persun, evate, or goverament, in the p.ainei's correpption, lur the se reasons: 1 st , That joint anent gesture is not reproachful, because 'pub. "jecta sunt talia quala pradicate demonstı int, Bartolin, Priman, Lag. 3. F. de Instuncto vel Instrumento Legato. And Uiere is no injuious attribute eunme-at, to defame his majety's gestue; but it is only atfirmed, that his majesty's notes bied a feer, which is ane attribute of casiality, and not of quality. Se coudly, the intention of his majesty's notimg could not be conceived he the-pannel to be ane taxing of hiv maje-ty of any point of indisrretion or injustice, miless the act of noting had been quadifical in the alledged lifiel to be unjust, or indiscreet; which urre sacrilegions to thinh of a prime estranged from his cout:ry, and from the ecasinus of knowledge and kiowing of his subjects: for he may in justice and wisdom remark tine ogimons of the lords of parliament, and thereliy probably to found a conjecture of that madinations to his majesty's service. Thirdly, the alledging the noting of the names of the dis-assenters could not at the first be conceived to imply an officious prying Into the gesture of the prince, but ryther a loyal fear of incurring the king's displeasure; taking hold of a simple gesture, whereby his majesty might have seemed to have taken nopice of their untowardness to lis majesty's ser-
vice. Fourthly, there is no ground of reproach miferred upon lis gesture, as the dittay bears. It is duplyed to that pout anentt bis minjèsty's refusal to hear the reasons of some di-assenters, That the first patt of the Answer to the former point quadrats here. Secondly, The alledged refusal of his majesty to hear tlye reasons of the dis-assenters, cannut be $8^{f}$ readily conceired to rub matter of repioach upon his majesty's sacred persun or procecdings; but in the contrair argues his majesty's royal goodness in nut acceptnig the scrupulous, preposterous anticipations of reasoas lefore voting in parliament, as being di rogatory from the sintient and hereditary liberty of noblemen's votes, and fiom his majesty's royul bounty, ready to acquiesce in the free opinion of lus estates; and far from a previons and partial enpousing of ether part of any debatable point, before the final tlecision in parhament. And as for the inference of fiar to laceme obnosious to his majesty's shpleanure, it is $\mathrm{nc}^{+}$ane inference of innesporoac $h$, but rather of a dutiful love, wheh debonds (runs out) ofttines into a needless fear.

It is nusweded to that ponit of the Ditury (ladiaging that ptosige, 16, "That the op* puaing of resolutions carrid by plurality of - ionce, was nover censimed by i prince of so ' muchjuatice,' contans no sulject of reproach; but on the contrair, ane ample and due achnom. ledginent of his majezty's undonbted goorness and justice, used ase an argument to deprecar bis majesty's censure, an ancompanalie with hos majesty's coodness, and the example of other princes lihe to his majesty.

It is answered to liat point of the Dictay bvaring that his majesty's honour is undermaned lyy the afliming a general fear of isnuvation in essential points of religion, that his majesty's honour is in t staned; because there is muthing uflirmed to have been done hy his majesty, which miphtoccasion any such lear; and ilue pamek and groundless tear of suljects, icflects min seproach upou a hameless prince. Seconilly, It is atiormed that there is fear of novation intended, but not by lus majesty, us is clea by the motives of that fear adductd hy the suppheants, viz. 'That 'there is allowance of printing Arminan ' books ; which is not posutucly allirmed, but upon report, and the impunity of Armmana prcarhing, which reflects upon clurchmen ouly, to wious it is incumbent tu advert to the pintugg and preaching of orthgdox tenents. And therefore albeit it were falbe, canṇot tall under the compass of the acts of parlinment, as seditivus, and reproachful to his matjenty, his estate, person, and proceedings.

It is answered to the point of admission of Papists upon the palliament and articles, That ia the Panuel's conception it reflects not against his majesty, and therefure is not rclevant to infer the cime of seditoous litielling, or.accession thereto. Item, It is nuswered to that point of the Dittay, bearing the kmg's payjenty's proceedings to be misconstructed in the alledged prohibition of the gentry to meet, That bis majesty's royal procedure is not taxpd as unjust;
bat without dyving upon the words of justice or injustice of the interruption of those meetings, it is only insinuat, that albeit in parliament they night have objected agaiust the interrupton of those meetings, and had occusion to oppose his majesty's will, as they thought, that these mectings should be interrupted, they contented themselves $\mathrm{n}_{1} \&$ were not refractory. And herein, and in Dhe hail strain of the following points, (in the Pannel's conception) it is only an ondeavour to shew that the disassenting from some acts, was not from any faction and waywardness to oppose his majesty's will, as they feared he might have been possessed with; seeing in other points, wherein they had occasion, and probable grounds in their opinion to oppose it, they were silens. Moreover, it is answered for this point, and all others follonuing, 'Quod in causa crimanali quando verba 'possint interpretari ad bouum vel malum, to 'dubio debent intelligi ad bonum, et excladetur 'prasumptio delicti, Cravecta, Concil. 9 , num. 21. ' Ft sccundum subjectam materians, Leg. Procullus, F. de Usufructa : 'Et quando - verla dubia sunt, non del-ent intelligi cap* tuose indamuun proferentis, sed secundum ' 1 psius menten: et convenit anumalvertere, - qua mente quid dicatur, et multo magis quid ' concipatur' Leg. peusit. F. ad exhibenduin. - Et quando verba nunt dabia, ut videntur inja-- riosa, vel mon, standam est declarationi ejus ' quica dixit vel scripsit. 1 Menuel. Concil. 197. hb. 12. 'Nulto magis ejus qui impru-- dens at bona fide us nisus est. Fe quando * verha sunt dubia, declaratio sumitur a verhis praccduntilus vel suhacquentubus, vel utisque.' Menach. Couci. citat. num. 7. And therefore if the strain of the words, the mature, of the subject benng a supplication, the declaration of the Paunel's conception of them, and other eusaing words which dechared them, be adverted unto ; it will be found the Pannel is excusiable, if in this conception they import no reproach to his majesty: for the hail last part of the supphcation resolves in an imunciation de posshihlspraterito. We might have represented quo rerificatur rationc presentis; and therefore if at the tune of the paaliament they had power to represent these things, the enunciation of that power cannot import calumny or reproach to his wajesty. And secing the actual representation of these things could have imported no mijury , to his majesty, 'quin ubi - licentia lofuyrudi nisi in dando consilio et sc' natu?' Menoch. Concil. 107" num. 2, far less could the attiviting of their forbearance from ane act not injurions an itself, have been conceived or interporeted to be reproachfal to his majesty. And whereas it is libelled, that the prohibinion of the nobility and gentry to meet amonget themelves, or with the lords of the urtcles, is false ; mon relezat, because ik is not positively ultinned that they were prolntit, but ouly that they might have represented. the prohibition of these ineeungs. For the verity of the which enunciation, and the defence of it fiom being repronchful, the power of represent-
ing is sufficient with any probable ground to believe that these meetings were probibit, whether upon surmises, or upon any other occasion. And lasily, it is declared afterward, that they furbsur to make use of these reazons, which they might have probably represented, to give his majesty full content in every thing that m their opinion maketh not a breach in our relggion or laws: whereby the justuess und lawfulness of all they consented to or forbore to oppose, is clearly arknowledged; And that ir appeared to the Pansel, and iany appear to any, that they might hove opposed the king's procedure. It is not to traduce it as unjust in primts which they toth by their silence acquiesced uito, and expressly acknowledge that they import no breach in our religion and laws, but to show how probahly they might have opposed divers points thercol, if they had been possessed with $\mu$ seditons spirt of opposition, and thereby carried to dos-aseent fiom other acts, as they feared lis majesty might have been moved to belicve.
It is answered to the point of slighting the grievances of the country, That his majesty's proceeding is not taxed, berause it is not said that they were proponerl in pailiament, and rejected or slyghted; but only whereas in the consention of the estates the person chiefly intrusted by his majesty undertook to acquaint his majesty therewnth, and to procure redress, nevertheless no notice way taken thereof, nnd they were slighted not by lus majesty, but by those who undi rtook to arquaint his majesty; and therefore reflect, only upon them.
It is anisucred to that point of the dittay bearicg the gnorant und folse aftimations, that before the 1609 year of God, the noblemen made choice of some of their rank to be on the artices, the supplicants iqnorance, in the parnel's conception, lays no aspersion upou his majesty or his proccedings.
It is answered to that point of the dittay, bearing the taxing of the undutiful choice made by the bishops, of noblemen iusufficient, or unexperimented, to be upon the inticles, That it is not mjurious in the panucl's conception to his majesty, in his person, estate, or government. Secondly; Repeats the general answer, that it is not positisely atifmed of the bishops, that they did undutifully, or that the noblemen elected upon the articks were popish or ignorant; but that they had probable grounds in their opinion $\omega$ think so, and to represent.
It is answ ered to the point of reproaching of his majesty for the ncceptnnce of the taxations, That in the pannel's conception his majesty is not challenged upou his speeches in partiomenr, nor upon the narrative of his proclanations, nor by the antitheses of king Jumes 1, his practice: but his majesty's'speeches in parlinwent, lis proclunations, king Janies 1, his practice, and the parallel of the estate of the country, and thẹ end of taxations under either king, aud his majesty's father of worthy memury, is adduced in the pannuel's conception as specious reasons wherewith they might have
opposed the granting of the taxations, if they had bad ane disloynl intention to mar his majesty's ways aud lienefits.

It -is answered to the point of challenging of his majesty fir employmeut of his taxations, aud for his liticrality in rewarding his oflicers, That in the panucl', conception it is not spoken positively and ly way of reproach, as the former points, shewing hov far the dis-assenters were from aversenes or tepidness in his majesty's service; seeing without expatiating on the conmon head usual on such occasions, against the granting taxations; Without inquiring of the reasons and cuuses of granting the tiaxntions; tvithout ryresentang the aconvenience to ensuc, they all unanimously favoured his mejesty's benelit.

It is added by Mr. Rolert Macgill, That the remedies applicd to a disease, must be thought both by the plysician, and the patient or sich man, wectest and most fitting for the curing of the disease. But so it ix, that the pannel and supplicants were sick of a disease; aul therefore they in curing by the rence.ines ns were thought fittest aud most helpful ty them, contained in the supplication, must be thought thercio to have had a good mind, and that they thought and used the rentedies according to the nature of the discase, as being most fit. Their disease wus fear of displeusurc from lus majesty; for we must not think according to the Stoicks. That ouly ' vires animi quas phantasins philo-- sophi appellant, quibus mens bominis prina 'statim specie rel ad animum accedentis pel-- litur, nou voluntatis sint, neque arbitni:' but chat also 'assentiri et co-opmari incidnut in ' viruin sapientem.' But so it is, that $\mathbf{\alpha}$ 人is discase was filinl, erga patrem patriac. And like bairnes who are dung (i. e. cluldren that are beat) go back again to their father, so the pannel and other supplicants having by cpance locked upon the piece quarrelled, dal think it a very good remedy to appense his most sncred majesty, their father. As it is reported of the spear of Achilles, that be who wounded then with fear, night cure the same wound, in shesing that they inight have represented grievances, which are diseases, to the only plysician. And in our acts of parliament, declamations are forbidden ad plebiem: sn it-must be thought of writings also, in the conception of the pannel, - quax per plebicin distribuuntur atque ita devol'gantur,' which is not in our case; ergo, \&e.

It is answered by his inajesty's Advocut, That all ought to be repelled, in respect of the dittay, and of the particular points of reproaches, which not so meikle (much) is by the conception or meaning of the pannel caa be justified. And all which is opponed, is either aguinst the relevancy of the dutay, which is remitted to the justice; or against the verification thereof, which is proper to the assyze. And if the justice and assessors desire, a more apecial answer to be made tq ${ }^{\circ}$ the particulars, the king's Advocat offered to clear the samen by word in hearing of parties.
It is last alledged by Mr. Roger Mowat for
the pannel, That that part of the dittny anent the paanel's alledged divulging and dispersing of the said alledged libel, is not relevaut to fer the crime and punishment concluded in the sud dittay; because divulgug and dispersing are nut contained in the nets of parhament whereupon the dittay is jubelied, ynd so cunnot be the ground and warrand thry tof. Secondly, In so far as the dittay bears, font the said libel was divulged by giving the sail copy to Dunmure; giving, and not granting, that divulging is warranted ly the said acts, or can be sustamed as a warrand against the pannel to ufer the said crime and pain, that cannot he called divalging, because Dunuure's own deporitions bear not, that he received the said libel from the panncl to copyor dirulge, but that he took ut up ouly to read upon very stict conditions; nhach being the thue manace of his receipt thereof from the pasinel, cannot be called prisperly divulging: because to divulge, properly, is to affix in loco publiog, as Mr. Themass Rois Vid, wi:om nuy Lord Advocat cited; who alfixed his seditious pasquils, and invectives agaiust his nation, whereof he was the confe-sed nuthor, upon the public parts and places of the town and naversity of Oxford. Or to divalge is to tyne (drop), nad cast down papers in kirks, colbouth, or high-streets, as did + rancis Tenant, lakenise cated lyy my L.ord Advorat; who left and of purpose tynt (dropt) hiv infamous missives in the knks. : And it is universally maintained, that the delivcring of a hitel or wating to one only, camot infer duulging; albeit it is not granted that the pannel delivered the sad writ to Danmure.
To that part of the said dittay learing that the said hbel was divulged by delivering thereof to the earl of Rothes; it is nuswered, That that was not dirulging, because it is ronfes-ed in the said ditty, that it was delivered to the earl of Rothes to have been presented to his sacred majesty : anal it is contcnded, as befire, That that which is affirmed 11 thic sant dittay to be divulging, is wore properly to be caikid revealing, as indeed it was. So that it is ietorted, to free tho pauncl not only of divuging, but of all crinie for hearing or not apprchending; because if the pannel delivered it, an the dittay bears, to have been presented to his sacred majenty, crgo, not as infamous, reproachful, or scandalous: which mast be presumed by all manner of presumptions in favour of the pannel, that he did not coneent to the preqnating thereof to his highuess, as being in his lenst thought or imagination scundalons, or otherwise us the dittay bears; but only to have bcen revealed to bis sacred naje-ty as a piece which he and the other supplicants did think and con- ceive might have been graciously accepted, as others of that kind had formerly bcen received by his gracious majesty.
To that part of the dittay bearing the copying thereof hy Mr. Rotert Dalgicish, the ponnel's servant; it is answered, quod non relepat to infer divulging, because the said Mr. Robert his deposition bears, that he did only deliver
the copy to my lord his master, and did no farder. Neithar depones he, that iny lord did any farder but tuok the copy from him; which in no sense can be properly catled divuiging, for the reasons betine adduced.

To that part of the said ditray bearing Mr. J. Dunnuse' aherpug the suld copy in his hamdz, hy the s.gce and in manncr libelled; it is auswenel, non rilriva, becaure it is not, ut supris, Hat the panacl gave him the copy, but that he took it, as the deposition bears. And what he did thereafter without any warrand, command or allowance of the pannel, cannot be laid to the panael's charge, but to his awn; seeing the usanner of his receiving and divniging thercof, is nottourly known to huve hecu agairst his promise, of without the knowledge or consent of the pannel, ly abusing his trust given to him by the pannel, though innocently, who was free of all his sulisequent proceedings. Aul there being no fraud or mafice in that point upon the paunel's part, who was wronged hy Dummure, though innocently; thint cunnut be called the panuel's divalging: for who lives, anil may not be deceived and aboued in that manner as be was, though innocently, on Dunmure's part?
To that part of the dittay anent Mr. Jolin Uummure his unswer to the carl of Traquair; it is answered, That the sime cannot be respected as relevaut to infer divulging, because the pannel's deposition hears that he gave no warrand nor dircetion to Denumure to retorn answer to the said earl: but that in discourse with Dumure, the pannel naswered not those words, 'That' as lamest men would put their 'faces thereto' (i. c. jussily it) * as the paunel 'himself;' bot the pannel's snswer only was in these words, 'That as lomest men as the pan-- nel himself hnew of it:' meaniug of the eurl of Rothes und other supplicuans, who had intended to cause make offer of the same to his royal majesty, and accordingly did make offer thereot by the sad earl of Rothes.

And to that part of the said dittay, concluding that the said pannel being a nobleman of goud learning and understanding, should have revended, should have not coucealed, should live apprehended the author; it is alledged, non relcvat nt supra, and absolvitur ought to he granted from that conclusion, for the reasons mentioned before in the defences and duplies inade for the pannef, who still contends that is he never did tonceive or understand the said supplicuin, as the dittay inforces and bears the s.ome upon him; so his own commentary and declaration anent his nieaning and sense thereuf, onght only to be received, to free and vindicat him from the crine and pains libelled. In respert whereof, the said ditiay saent the point of divalcing can no ways be respected as relcivant, but alsolritur ought to bes grouted to the panacl therefrom.

It is added hy Mr. Alearander Pearson to this last and fiuh exception, That the point of Dittay ment rivulging is not relevant, and cannot iuter the pains cuncluded by the Dittay, Lecause
the said point of Dittay is not founded upon any act of parliament mentioned in the proposition thereof, but only upon the civil law, which the leidges cannot nor are obliged to know in all the snnctions thereof; specially secing by divere acts of parliament, to wit, king James 1, purl. 3, cap. 48. king James 4, parl. 6, cap. 79. by the which it is statute, That all the king's leidgen live and lee gorenned unlor the king's laws and statutes of the realm ouly, und not by any laws of other combilies : And therefore camnot infer the pains concluded Sy the Dittng.

Farder, The pannee ouglit to be assoilzed from that point of the Dittay of divulging, because the pannel is not nor cannot be counted formally divulger of the alledged infamnous libel; he not having knowledge or opinion of the writ quarrelled, that it was infiumous: but having just and probable cause to think of it otherwise, ut supra. And as credultry by the civil law defends in theft, so by the like reason it ought to defend the pannel licee, anent divulging, as is conffrmed in the fourth exception proponed for the pannel, which I here repeat, and which defence is most relevant by the civil law, whereupou ouly this point of Dittary is urged, and therefore should elide the same.

Item, Farder, The civil law does not make any to be divulaer of an infamous libel, but after knowletlge of the same to be infamous: which is clear by the ordinance of the law set down in Lege Unica, Cudice de famosis Libellis, ntich commands the finder of noe infamous libel presently to destroy it; which the finder cannot do but afier knowledge of the writ to be such. And 'tis also clear by the prohibition oi the ssid law, bearing, 'si vim earum manifestaverit;' which requires divulging of an iufamous hbel, in the forin and strength ther of. In respect whereof, the pannel onght to $\mathrm{L} t$ asonized from that point of divulging.
It is added by Mr. John Nisbet, That divulging is not relcrantly qualified in law, by the imparting of the aileciged libel to my lord Rothes and to Mr. Johin I Junmure; because it was impurted to neither of them in quality of an infiamous libel, but to my lord Rothes in the contrair cquality of ane supplication, to be presented by him to his mizesesy, as is acknowledged in the Dittay ; and to Mr. John Dunmure as a confident of the pannel's, under promises of secrecy; which procedure and qualities of inpparto ing are far from the nature of divulging; for the word itself implieth a pullic dispersion, and the express law requireth ' manifestationem publi' cam et dolosam publicationem;' Canone qui in ulterios, Causa 5. Quest. 1. Dolosam. C.none 4. eadem Chuss et eadem Quest. ' Et Li-- bellas famosus dicitur Pasquillus, quod in urbe ' Roma ad truncam Pasquin cujusdam statuam ' afligi solet.' Herprechtus in Tractatu Criminali, 9 Injuria. Sectioné de famoso Libello, ${ }^{\text {© Et }}$ - qua libellura famosum ab alio ncceptum vieis'sim alii, et uni tantum secrito tradidit, libell ' fumosi pona non est plectendus, quia ex tre'ditione secreto facta non obsecuro colligitar ' animus non diffamendi; et quia libeltoma for
' mosum non dicitur publicasse, nisi qui cum 'pluribus impcrtitus est.' Harprechtus, ibidem.

It is added by Mr. Rubert Macgill, That the divulging qualified in the Dittay is not roletant accordiag to the civil law, where a public then and out-setting is requird: Pharm. Quaest. 105. Inspect. 11. num. 485, 487. And the teasoa is, 'quod convicium dicatur quasic.anvolium,' Lex. Item, apud Jabloonc m 15. 94. F. ve Injusis, ubi of se.puenti ait sici'vocitiontionem in unum 'collatum's additis § 8, et 11 et 12 . 'Quod ${ }^{4}$ oportet in cattu dici, ct cixa rocileratione vul${ }^{4}$ garc, etian Natmus Marcello est in vulgus dare, ${ }^{\prime}$ ct quav multin audientilus ac non tuciturnus 'dicere.' Then'ei dispersion'-m et diviviunem 'superaddunt, ut it in plarium inanu, yparsio ${ }^{4}$ ab una eadenque facta, et maxime st in ple${ }^{4}$ bem distrilutiv Gar, unde savitque animis is${ }^{4}$ nobile vulgus. Quippeut Cicero pro Plancio, ${ }^{6}$ non est consilium in culgo, ann ratio, nou dir"crinen, man dilisentia.' And even in that tate of the nin h book of the Cod. de Serlitionis, it is added, 'Et hiv qui plebem coutra rempubticam 'audent cullisere.' And so hase I said not long since, that these pivate writings, and the dispersing of them, must be onderstood in our acts of parliament, as the declamations therein mentioned, that is, to the meanest and commun st sort; and that to ten at least,' "quia mon thitur * notorium ni-i per decim solten transeat ; Boerius Tractutu de Seditioss- Preniss. 7. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ quippe quod pupulus dici nun posse, nivi sint 'decem: ' 1Lid in Promiss. 3. And emits here what I have suid du-Scditionis, in the end of iny second exception. But sor it is, thit fhe communication of the pi ce quarrelled to Mr John Dummure, in that mean sort as is contaimed in the deposition, and consequently in the Dikay, cannot be thoupht a divuleing in samner above expressed; ergo the p inurl ought to be asf filsed from that part of the Dirtay.

It is answered by my Lord Advocat, That the alleadgance ought to he repelled, in respect of the Dittay, which in this part anent divnlging is founded upon the common law, Leg. I'nica de famosis Libellis. And which common law, in the case where we have no particalar law nor statute of our own, is obligatgry against the leidges. And the acts of p thament cited by the defenders, That the leidgcs shall be ruled by the laws of the kingdom allenarly, (only) and not by the laws of other kingdoms, exclures only the particular laws of particular kingdoms; but excludes not neither the laws of Gid, neither the laws of uature, neither the laws of nations, nor the comuon law; otherwise, odious crimes against which there are no inuarcipal laws, as Sodomia, Plaginm, privali Carccres, \&sc. should be unpunisliable. And ds to the exception proponed axainst the relevancy, and the alleady ance of the civil law anent divulging wni ; oppones the Dittay, bearing the divulging thereof to three particular persons in mapner therein libelled.
It is dop'ged by the, pannel and his procurators, That the point of divulging being foun.led osly uppp the civil law, if is were sustained, is
only relevant to infer the pain of the civil law, whish is nut capins, ${ }^{4}$ Nisi nu,n libellus tamosus * contınet delicta capitalia in alium improperata. - Secus un en inproperetur delictum non capi"taie, sut aha quaer is culpa." Glossa in Leg. Unica. Codice de tamum Lileello, in verb. si quis fanosurn. Ph ir. Quest. 105. inh. 11. And of the canon law, which has fer had more force with us : ' l'ona etiam atrocissimi libelli 'eot tuntinu flagellatio.' C.mone, qui in alterius Causa 5. Quast. 1.

It is fander duplyed by Mr. Roser Mowat, to that part of my Lord Advocat's reply, bearing, That the alleadgance mude against divulging wught to be repelled, in respect of the Dittays, bearimg the divulgng loy the pannel to three seseral peisutis: it is duplsed the reto, That the said ref.Jy onght to be repelled, in respect of the said alleadgance proponed against the said ménher of divulging, and hail qualifications thereof, to the suids thrce prisuus; whereunto tyer is no answer given by my Lord Advocat. And therefore temits the said atleadgance, and hasl nuembers of it, as yet muanswered, to be con idered liv the judge as most relevant in itself. In respect whereof, the sad alleadgance, anil tail meshers thereof, stand, relevant, notwithontanding of the reply.

It is triplyed by my hard Adrocat, That the pain by the common law is capital; 'and the quotation by Mr. John Nisbet is a gloss, without warrant. And albect sime respect inight be had to an infanous libel against a sulject, yet none in that which concerns our sovereign, tending to the disturbance of the estate and pullic peace.

It is quadru.lyed by Mr. John Nisbet, That we оррине tlic glossts aforessids acknowledged and tollowad by all the doctors, and fomnded upon the equity of retaliation; and oppone liken ise the forcsxid (itations out of the canon luw itself, and the hail tule of the canon law De Maledicis, where detractors of the pope himselfare only obnoxious to the pain of flagellation.

The Justice continues till to-morrow the 17 th instant.
Curia legitime affirmats, the said 17 th of Dicember, 1631, \&cc.
My Lord Adrocat, nfter some speech delivered by the pannel's procumtors, verbo declared to my Jord Justice-(Beneral, That what whs poken was oflly a summary recapitulation of that which is at length set down by the pannel's procurators in their defences; ond offered to his lordship, if it were liis lordship's pleasure that lie, as pursuer, should clear liy his unswer verbo; and otherwise, that my Lord Justice, with consent of his essebsors, would close (put ath end to) sll farder writing, and declare that no more shutild be added, but that interloguitor mny be pron unced of that which is proponed and writuen already. To the which my Lord Juatice made answer, That there was no necessity to auswer farder than is said and written already.

It was therenfter humbly craved by the pannef and his prtict.rators, that if nny theng should occur to be demanded wiereupon he dcaired to be heard belore interloguitor, that my Lord Justice would be pleand $w$ hear him, hie proponing thes same, verlo in his lordship's audience.

My Lord Judice-General, with adkice of Ei: lorbhhip's assersors, declares that all farde writing in the matter shall cease hefore the dittay be fuund relevant, and refierred by interloquitor to an assize ; an.l contmues intcrloquitor upon the exceptions proponed in this prucest, and nuswer, made thereto, till Friday hext, the 19th of Jecember mstant.
The said 19 th of Decupber it was continued till the next dny, the 20th.
The said aoth of December, 163I, Curia legithene aftirmatu, Ne. Pamel and Procurators ns dime.
My Lned Justice-General and his lordshis asscmon having read and eonsidered the Dittay, busl exceprions, replies, duplies, with all that is propatad for the pamel by his procurations in thas proter, and my Lorid Advocan's answers made thereto; liy inforloguitur iepel the first esception proposed by the pannel qud lue paocurators against the relevancy of the dintay, in respect of the acts of parlianent staudhum anrepealed. Mepel the second exception in iespect of the dittay, amf nets of parliamomt whereupon the same is founded. Reped the third exception in respect of the dittay, and that there may bo more nutiors than one; and likenase suxain these words of the dittay, 'Thut tha Punnel' is : Idh iscr, Deviser, and 'Consulter,' an respect they all sigmify one thing with airt and part. And as to the qualtifention of the interlaing, net down thr rein, reant the same tio the assize, as propstote be cograsced by them, with the hail remanent qualifieations and presumptions contained in the stid dattay, to be proven to the said assize. Repel the fourth exception in respect of the dittay, and scandalous and reproachfal libel meutioned thereia; whech my Loril Justice, with ndice of his lorishi, 's nssessors, find $t w$ be of that naltura, hotwithstanding of any thing propounded in the contuit in the pannel's favour. As $w$ the last exception, repel the samen, and sustan the point of the dittay anent the divulging of the infunour libel, an be tryed and proven conjunctim with uny one of the rget of the articles of the said dittay found relevant, us said is, to infer the punishment prescribed by the act of parhument; and declfre, That if it shall le only proven per se, to he punished per panam arbltrariam. And in rospect of the former iaterhoquitor, ordaip the dittay to pass to the tryal of an assize. Aud for that effect, continues this matter to the 1tth day of February next to cume; and ordain the pannel to tie returned to his ward within the castlo of Edinburgh.'

> Caria legitime nffirmats, the said 11th of February, 1635.

John Lord Balmerino delated of the Crimes contained in his Iittay, contuned in hits preceding Process.
The Justice Depots (being Alexander Codvil of Blair, and Mr. Jamen Ruterthuts, ahocat) forearids, with advice of the Abs sure, before mentured, coatiuts itiv- Dict, arat the Timal of the suat , Dalan Land Bowneturns, for the erime spicitiod in hiv Dithay, to the 11:h of March next to come. il he pess.us of Assize are nefnel, Ac.
The said 1tth of March, it is enntinued till the 184h of Mareh, 16:35. And the'said 18th day, in respect of the alsence of Mr . Iloger Mowat, the Pamel'* promeipal Irocurator, by ceason of sichness and the goot, the Justice continuell the Dyet thll the eoth of March thereafter, 1695.
The said 20th of Marcl, 1635. Pannel and Procuratois as befure.
The nanch of the petsons of Assize (Jury) William eau Marechal, James corl wi Murray, Willian earl of Bhanticis, Moneo viscount of Stormond, John eal of Lauderdale, Jolin earl of Traquair, Geonge lord Fqnester of Contorphine, James lowd Johnstoun, sir Alex. Atrachan of Thonntwin, hit. sir Robert Gerer of Logg, sir Juhn Charters of Amistield, sir Alex, Nisbet of Westanshet, knt. sir Patricl. Agnew of Jocluair, hit. sit Jamis Badle of Loclicad, John Goidun of Bushic.
It is alleged by the panel and his procarators, thay the Earl Marechat cannot be admitto upon the nssize, thecause he has recetred information and paticular instructon-of the panied's ulunces of the crimes giot n up in the dittay, and particular direction what to do in cast he pass apon the usve; which they refer to the nubleman's, own oath ard declaration : who being snurn, slectares that he received no such instruction or information of any pcisun. Whercupon, teing puised of parial counsel, the justice ndmits him tupon the assize.
It is alleged nyailst the carl of Dumfieis, that be cannot he recsives npan the assize, because be bas gisen out lis projuriwed opiuion against the pannès afiirming, befire any probation led, that the pannil is puilty of the dittay ; which the pannel referred to his Inrdslip's oath, alleging that in law a declinator is only to be proven ngainst one assizer by lis onth: und farder athrms, that the said Willoum carl of Dumtreis has bren sollecited and dealt with y prayer to find the pannel guilty of the dittiv, which being referred to the said earl his oath, he denied any such matter, that hp either gave out speeches of the panuel's guiliness, or that be was solicited or de it with hy prayer, or therwise; the justice admits him, in ieppect $f$ bis declaration.
It in alleged aquinst my lord Blantyre, that be cannot be upon lis assize, berause he thas publickly reported to sundry, thant the paunel to his judyment is guilty of the diteny, and cannot be cleared thereof; which they refer to his
lordship's oath: who being sworn, declared that he could not deny that he hod spoken such speeches. Whereupon he was repelled, and ordaiued to stand avde.

It is uhedged liy the pannel ard his procurators agaiust my loni Jolnstoun, the laird of Lag, the lard of Aruisfield, the laird of Thorutoun, the laisd if 'Westnisbet, that they cannot be received upgn the tassize, because they bave all been sulicticd by prayer and request to find the pamel guily ; ans that the lord Johastoun has dicelaredwo suadry, that if he were on las assize, he could not but firl him to be guiky : likewise, affirined by Thorntoun, that as the diusy is founded upan the acts of parhament, the pannel must he guity, and none can açuit him thereof; nad that Westniske had affrmed in public conference, by his lewrayed opuion, that be woulh the (conrict) the pannel, and do his ensleavour cau*e others file him of the dittay. Whereupon the foreoamed persons havag by their oatho denied the premisses, the justuce theroupun haviug purged them of partisl admits them upon the nssize, Whereapon my Lord Adrocat nske.l instruments. Likenise admits iny lord of Traquaire, notwithatandius of the declinator proponed ngainst lim verbo, by the panael's procurators.

My Lord Advocat, for venifying of the dittay, 1st, Repeats the acts of parliament whereupan the dittay is fiounded, (viz.) the 10th act of the 10th parlimment of hi, majesty's dearest father, bing James the sixth, holden at Linlithgow the 10th day of December, 1585 ; the other act being the 205thact of his majesty' 1 th parliament, holden at Nidinburgh upon the 8th day of Jnue, 1591.
adly, Produces his majesty's warrant or letter, direct for examination of Mr. John LPinmure, whereof the temor follows:
" To the right reverend father in God, our right trusty and well-beloved counseilor; To our right trusty and right well-belonerl cousins nad counsillors; To the repcrend fathers in Goul, our trusty and well-belnved counsellors; and to our trasty and well-beloved counsellor, the Archlishinp of St. Andrews, Pimate and Metropolitan of all scotland; the Earl of Mortoun our Thesaurer, the Earl of Traquair our Deputy-Thesaurer, the Bishops of Edinhurgh and Ross; and to sir Jolm Hay of Baro, our Clerk-Register of our said kingdom."
"C. R.; Right reverend and reverend fathers in Gud, our trusty and well-beloved counsellors, right trusty and right well-beloved cousins and counsellors, and trusty and well-beloved counsellors; wr yrect you well. Having sesa the copy ot a l'ettion," which hath been in the hands of Mr. Peter Ilay of Naughtoun: And he being required by us to dechre fromowhon he had the sad Pctuion, hath done the same by naming one Dumpure, dwelling in Dundee, a notary thera: It is our pleasure, that you call , Whem before you; and liaving received the said

Mr. Peter Hay bis information, and examined the said Dunanue concerning the author'of' that petition, and who may be any wise accessary unto it, you intom youre4lt so far as you can is all things concerning it, and centily us what ye find thereanent, that we may cnuse take such forther vidi r with thesyethat shall be foumd to have had hatal thergm, as we shall thmy fitting. And for yours so doing, these presents sbailf be your sullictent warrant. From our court at Ncw-Mcreat, the 3d of March 163.4."
Sdly, Repeats the mfinoous babel yruduced by Mr. John Denneure betore the loids of the comunittee uph the 14th day of March 1631, with his deposition made in presence of thet committee that sati.e glay; which mfamous hibel, with hiv ssiid deposition, is prodaced by lis majesty's. Advocat upon the $3 d$ day of December 1631, before my turd justice, and is registrat fia ${ }^{1}$ is process that day.
4tily, Pioduced the Doubic (copy) of the infivious libel, interlined by the panael, whereof the teanur follows. [This is exactly the d. ulde of the former, only with the Addition of the panuel's int-rlange, whils are these; First, at letter $A$, on the 14th page (or of this vol.) there is interimed by liss lirdship these words, which are not wentoned in the former donble, viz. "In uch a case as this, it hath not lieen 'un', orthy to liave repaesented to your ma' iestis observation, ,that.' And at thes mark aho there words wre wanting in this double, which the finner bas, viz, 'Which blessed 'kang James woold never have contionnded.' And hetwixt letter $\mathbf{B}$ und C , on the same page thus, B of religon C , is interluncl inthodomble. And the last interlined sords in this double are to cone in as makked on the page, betwixt C and 11, these woids, viz. To suffer to be introduced.]

Item, Produces Mr. John Dunmure's two Depositions, made the 15th of March 1634, and the 7th June ufter, whereof the tenoar follons:
"I Mr. Jobn Dummure confess und declare, That the cupy of the petition, remonstrat to the king's most sacred majesty, to have heen delvered ly me to Mr. Peter Hay of Natehtoun, was intrusted by me to him upon huffiath and promise never to hare been imparted or disulgei to any other, and that he should redeliver to me the same, after the reading and consideration thereof: And that-I extracted the said copy with my owa hurk against the directio., and by (nithout) the knowledge of him from whom I had the first copy and warrant thereof. So help me Goil. And this for amplification of my confession of the said matter, made in presence of the lords commissioners, receivers thereof, the 14th of March instant, by thir presents writtren and subscrived with my hand at Edinburgh the 15th of March 1634. Sic subserib."Joan. Dummure."
At Edinburgh, 7 June, 1054. Serlerunt, St. Andrews, the earl of Roxburgh, Traquair, Brechin, Clerk-Register, $\Lambda$ dvocat.
The which day Mr. John Danmure, beiny
deeply sworn upon his knees, ratified, and approved his former depostion of the date the 15th of March, 1634 ; and declares, That at the ti.ne he received bis said supplication of uny lurd Bahnerino, the said lord Balmerino desired him that he would take it, and give ham his opinion thereof $>$ and as be loved his credir, he would keep it, and shew it to no man living, but only give his own opinion there-anent: And dechares that there was nothing spoke about the copying thereof; bot is persuaded, if that my lord Badmerino lad known that he would have copied it, he would never have given the same out of his hand. And depones, nfter the receipt thereof he abode three days in Edinburgh, and during that time copied the same, and did return the same to my lord Balmering : but no ways told him that be copied the same. Aud depones, he shewed the samie to no person, nor had no purpose to divulge it, but did take it hoone with ham to Dundee, and dry keep it closo and becret by himself by the spice of six weeks, till the laird of Nughtouncame to him in hus own chamber iu Dundec of purpase to ask his advice in some affairs, in respect he was his orduary writer, as hus custom was : And depones, that after some conference with the lard of Nauglotoun, he took the same out of his pouch (pocket), and said to the laird, He knew that he was a man of judgment, and well-acquainted with the afliurs pf the kingdom; and sair, Here is a paper whereof he would be glad to have his judgment, providing he would kcop it secret, and return the same back again: Which the laird fathfully promised. Whereupon the deponer gave the said paper to the haird. Whereupon he began to read: And be fore he had ended it, he said to the deponer, Mr. Sohn, 1 meteat you heartily that I may have this paper to Naughswun, that I may read it, and consider it at lesure. To the which the deponer answerad he would, providing he would keep it secret, and shew it to no man, ns he had promised : which the laird of Naughtoun faithfully promised to do. And declares upon his grest oath, That if he had known the laird would not have keeped it secret, he would not have given it for all the world. As also deponcs, That within a month or five weeks after the deponent went to the laird of Naughtoun's house, as he was going through Fife, and craved the paper back with great earnestness; who answered trite, tratil, ye need not be so curious: that there was a gentlemars at his own table told him thatothere was three copies thereof going through Fife, apd my lord Balmerino had given one thereof to Mr. Williarm Scott, another to Mr. Alexander Henderson, and the third that the genticman would not name. And the deponer declares, aiter that tume he met Naughtoun divers time in Dundee, and asked the paper back, which he ever shdnued. Amil declires, about October last Naughtotin canae to the deponer's chambers in Dundee, and told him that he had given the paper to my lord St. Andrew; at which the deponer was mightily moved. Item, depones, Atter his firt declari-
tion he went to my lord Balmerino, who after conference wath hun, iny lord Biluerino desired hin to go to the carl or Traquair, and tell him that better men uor my lard Balmerino himself would set their taces thereto. Sic subarrib. Jonn. Dusmuar.
St. Andrews, J. Morton, Roxburgh, Traquair, Da. Episc. Brechin, J. Hay, Tho. Hope.
5thly, Produccs thrée Depusitions subscrived lif the pannel and the lords of'comnittee, one dated the 941 of June 1634 ; the second the 16th of June 1634; and the third the 1st of August 1634. Of the which three Deposithons the tenour fillons, viz.
Appad Edinh. the 9th of June 1631. Sederunt St. Anirews, Thesauter, Rinaliurgh, Traquair, Brachin, Clerk-1eegister, Advocat.
The which day John lurd Baluerino being examined upon lio great sath, depones as after follows: Imprimis, depones, That the hbel produced is the just copy of the livel given by hin to Mr. Jolin Dmanure, so far as lee remembers. Item. Being interiogat to what use lie gare him the same, rud upon what occasion, depones, That Mr Jobul I) unmuie having given to him the copy of my lord Brechan his sempon preached at hiv, in:jesty's coronation, and Mr. Juhan having seen the paper, he gave it to him to look upon, hut to keep it to himself alone, and to show it to no other, as he respected his lordship's credit: And depones, That he nerer knew that Mr. John Dunmure had copied the same. Jtem, Being interrogat from whoon lie had the papeghe gave to Mr. John Dunmure, depones, As be remembers lue received the principal of the same from Mr. Willam Lag. Ilem, Being interrogat who was author and penner of the dail hbel, deponer, That ir was Mr. Willimm 113. : who guve it ham, and as he thimhs, was the anthor thereof. I/cis, Remg interiogat to what use Mr. Willian Itaig gave his lordship that p-per, depones, That Mr. Willian Ilaig said lee thought it a fit sopplication to be presented to his majesty which he had made out of some collections which he had gathered upon some coaferences which lie had with sundry persom, the timen of the parliament. Item, Being interrozat what he did with the paper which he received from Mr. William 1nig, deponcs, he received two of them from Mr. W. Haig, whereof one was to be presented to the king, of it had been thought expedient, which he delivered to any lord of Rothes; and the uther he caused his man Mr. Robert Dalgleish copy; and gave Mr. Haig lis own back agan, which he thinks he destroyed. And the paper which be shewed Mr. John Dunarure, was it which his man wrote, as he remembers. ltem, Bemg interrogat if Mr. William Ifig; had any warrnand or command to draw up the saud sepplicatipn, or if any lord or any of his knowleJge whs at the penning thereot, drpoues, That he had no warruad from him, urr kuew of any warrand given to bim, uor that any wat presept at the forming thereof. Item,

Declares that the earl of Rothes and the deponer having read the supplicathon, thought it no ways fit to be presented to his majesty, but to be absolutely suppiest. Item, Beng mererrogat of he had any of the salds copes, declars, Aftur the receipt of Mr. Joln Daemure his copy, ure cost the samen in the fire; and for the ohber, bee diad daligence to srek the samen out nud tind in, and exjubit the smen to the lords: and declarel, that he tsed no more concermare that purpose. 1'egu, Bewg interrogat if he kue aiy $\mathrm{co}_{3}$ nes of the said supplication,
hewed st to any person, depones, That he nethior gave copses thereol, nor sliewed is to nny except to the c.ul of Rothes and Mr. Joha Dumare. Sic anfoc. Balmarisu.
St. Andiews, Monton, Lionburgh, Tinquair,
Da. Ep. Bicchis, J. Hay, Thomas Ilope.
Follons the Tensmr of the second Deposition.
Apud Dhah, decmo sexto Jonii, 1634.
The whik day , Jolin lord of Balunerino being exunined upon his oath in he kuew any thing of Mr. Willamu Itaig his going out of the country, depon', That he knew nothing of his away go ue until Wecheodis hist; that a man of thic lotly Ye ister's tuld hum, when be was going t, lb,dek ugh's burnal, that Mr. Willam Haig wos rone out of the country. I/rm, Reing mombei atm int that part of Mr. Joha Dunnure's deprosplon, That atier his first declaration he wont to tine deponer, who affer conference wath hian de,tred him that he would ga to the earl of Traqnair, and say, '1hat - better men than the sepmer humseff will vet 'their foces therefo:' the said lord Balneermo depones, That he never gave Mr. Joha Dun, inure steh a commissiun, bur colly told hín in conference, lhat there were better men than the deponer humself who kuev of that matoAnd belug $\quad$ minuired what these were that lac meaned of, dipone., Tinat it was the earl ot Rothes, to whimin he delivered the supplication, con'orw tn the former deposition. Itru, Being inguires whether lie had interhaed sonce lius in the said libel which was exlationed by lam to the liads, and whether he dhal the same before he shewed it to Mr. Jg/n Immmure, or to the earl of Rothes, depoues ibat it was the earl of Rothes in whom be delivered the suppl:cation, conform to his former deponnion Item, Being inquatel whether he had interlined some lines in the said libel which was extibited hy him to the lords, and whether he did the s:ume before he shewed it to Mr. John Dunmure or to the said tarl of Rothes, depones, That Mr. John Dummure never saw this interlined libel, but only the copy wlich was cast in the fire after the redelivery therenf: And depones, That the copy interlined lying before the lords was the copy delivered by lim to the earl of Rothes, but was not inteilined, while the earl of llothis iedelirery there of to hinf: And depones, That never nny saw it since the interlining thereof, $-S$ c subs. Balmenino. St. Audrews, Mortnn, Roxburgh, Traquair,

Da. Ep. Breclin, J. Hay, Tbormas Hope.

## Follows the Tenour of his lordship's third Deposition.

Apad Edinb, the first day of August 1634. Sederuat, St. Andrews, Thesaurer, Roxburgh, Stirhng, Tiayuar, Bishops of Edinburgl, Hoss, Clerk-R gistof the King'sAdvocat.
The which day John lord Balmerino being asked upon his great oath, if he shewed to Mr. Ifag the Warrand of his appearance belore the lords upon Satarday the 7 th of June, depones, that atter dianer Mr. Hay came to his house, and noked by what warrand he was convened beliure the lords; and the deponer took the warrand out of his, pocket, nud shewed the same: and adheres to hav lormer deposition, avent Mr. Hasg lus partung, or to the parpooe thereof. Item, being inierrogat if he received any Iotiers from Mr. Hase since his parting, de-
med, that he received at bis back coming Nou Baicleuglis burma a letter trom lis lady, direct fiom Mr. Haig, but without either dath or place, which he prodnced: as also iecerved from Thomas Hatiburton a letter direct from Mr. Haig, wih ome note comcernang my lord Jedburefi, husmess. And sack-like depones, he recoind a letter from Adam Watt, which concerned some busiuess betwist my lund Yeaster ond Mr. Haig; and tor the end desired the depouer to assist sir, Lewi, Stewart, and other fiends that be had wnten wito tor procuring of him a remission: wheh letter, ater the deponer had dealt with the lond l easter, he cancelled and burnt. And last prants he received a letter from Camplire the 27th of June, which Le received from Mr. Resbert Bruce; which he exhibits, and which Ietter bears Mr. Hals has grasted that he was the pencer of the sailis sapplication, and then fore protests that the sans mas be delisered" up and given to hun. And heing ashed unent that part of the sad letter, which benrs that the earl of Ruthes and such other honest men tiat did once approve the said supplication, it the deponer did ever allow and approne the sanen; answers, that he did never aliow nor approve the samen to be presented to his majerty, but thought it fit to be suppret. Ant in the rest adheics to hus former depositions.-Sic avos. Bas.miriso.
The same day, m jrestace fire eraid, it being ashed whetber ine dill allow und appiove the sinne hinself, in the matter and substance; he dccared, that he neither alluwed nor allows the samen, and declates he conckmns the sime both in matter and form. Sic subs, Balageniso.
St. Audrews, Morton, Roxburgh,
Stirling, Truquair, Da. Ep.
Edinb. Jo. Hoose, J. Hay,
Thomas Ifope.
Gthly, Produces Mr. Robert Dalgleith, servitor to the panne-1, his Depositions, dated July 3, 1634, whereof the tenor follows,

The which day Mir. Robers Dalgicish being
sworn upon his knees, and the libel being shewn to hiin, denies that he knows the hand-wroting or the writer: grants that my lord Balinerino being in his place of Barnetown sliortly after the parlimmeat, and being to go to Edinburgh, about fuur after noon defivered to the deponer a paper to be ibpied, which he did copy that saine night, and sent it with the copy thervof to bis master inclosed in a paper, the next day in the morning. And being asked if that he had copied another to himself, grants that he had made another copy which he keeped to bumelf, which he did wuthout the command or knowlodge of his master; which copy he: exhimited presently in presence of the lorils. Itell, being demanded if he did conmmunicat that copy whel he kecped to himself to any other, depones upon his great oath, that he did neither show nor give the copy thereof to any other: but grants, while he was copying the same in Barnetown, Mr. William Colvill mimsser of the parish came in and read the same," but got no copy thereof, And also depones, That since the beginning of the trial sbout Pusch (Vaster last, the hady Balinerino asked of the deponer if he had kceped a copy of the foressid libel to bimself, and desired a sight thereof; and when she heard the same read, she said that he was a fool in keeping, and bad hun cast it in the fire,-Sic subsc. Mr. Konert Dalgleisif.
St. Andrews, Mortoan, Roxbargh,
Stinling, 'Iruyuair, Jo. Rossen.
J. Hay, Thmmas Hope.

7thly, Produrws JIark Cass las Deposition, dated apuil Edmb. the 31st of July, 1693.
Scderunt, St. Andrews, Roxburgh, Starling, Traguaur, liosse, Clerk of Register, Adrostat.
The whilk day Mark Case, whtier, hemg examined upo his gient oath, depanes, That about cight or ten day, bet,ore Mr. Haig his goug nway farth of the country, he beang in Mr. Ilag's chamts, Mr. Itaig askell hom what news: to whom he ansscred, he had no news, 1,ut that he hearl that my lord Balmerino w/s troubled for a petition that had bern written. Lakeas Mr. Haig asked him it he knew who was writer thereof, whatk he depones that he answered bin he knen $n \geqslant t$, repither didi he know. Tbereatiter Mr. Willam Haig tola him that he was the penuer thereof, and took ont the papersund read it over to hin, and suid that Rothes und Balmerino knew the paper, hecause it should have been presented to the king. ltcin, Being saked if he knew of Mr. Hug's away going, depones, That upon Sunday the sth of June the deponer being in Newbottle kirk ot the communion, Mr. Wage sent a buy to him before the ending of the seunon in the atternoon, and desired bam to coine out to lift: ; who came out and met with him in the half of Newboflec, but spake nothing with him there. And then they went out together to the green of Newbotle, wherche told the deponer that be was to go to the South Cóantry,
and that he had received for his comprizing of Maxwellheugh, disponed by him to the earl of Roxburgh, the sum of 20,000 marks, which he bad paid to Mr. John Sharp and otbers ; and that there rested yet 8,400 and some odd maks: And that he had taken the deponer his naune to the bond thereof to his own use, and that he would trust lim wi'h it till his return; and then delivered to him the bond thereof, and saud to him that he would retunu shortly. Iten, Depones, that he received a letter from Mr. Wilham Haig from Yarmoulh, in which there was inclored a letier direct from Mr. Villian Haig to Thounas Hadiburton, which he seat to the vaid Thomas: And the contents of the letter to hamself was, that he desired the deponer tos assist lus ne, hew, the said Thomas Halihurum, to get a trmb carried to Holland by the adiliess of Mr. Ruheit Buace; and that gie should moke the said Thomav forbear to do At, if I should find by sir Lewis Stewart the appesarance of the quiting of this service. And depones, 'That he shewod this letter to Thomas Haltituton, who was then pree ent in Edinhurgh, and declared to the said Thomas, that be woald not speak the stid sir Iewis Stewart iti such a business; and rive (fore) out so much of the letter as concerned sir Lewis Stewart, and desired the said Thomas that be would take it to him, because sir Lewis knetr Mr. Harg his land-writing: which the sand Thomas refused, and said dist sir lewis would belice fum but (i, e. without) the leiter : And immeduately uficr he read the hal letter in prevence of the sail Thomas. Item, Remembers that the letter did bear that he was presently gong abroad. Item, Remembers that be receited flom Mr. William Haig since his parting $m$ all thrce letters, wherenof the said lettel) was one, and the other two which he exhibited to the lords.-Sic subs. Mark Caisk with my hand.
At Fidmil. the 31st of July, 1634. Sederunt, S. Andrews, Thesaurer, Roxhugh, Traquair, Fidmburgh, Rosse, Clerh-Register, Advocat.
The forcsaid deponent depones, That the 20,000 marks prout ro Mr, Wallian Hang upon the Saturday, was eniployed as afier follows, viz. 8,400 muarks to Mr. John Sharp; then restrd 11.600 mark , whercof there was lent to the earl of Intlitan 7,600 marks, and 4,000 marks to the lond Salmerino; querefore (for which) the teponer received bonds wlich are blank in the name, in the deponer's hands. Itein, The deponet produced two letton from Mr. IIaig, one of the date nono Junii from Bimersile, with a command to hum to receive a packet to be delivered to my lord Balmerino; whach packet io his knowledge and memory Adan Watt delnereal to my bord B.dinerino. 11 cm, Thee othes letter of the 23d of June, dared from no place, which the deponer rer eiveld from Adam Watt, who had in hand a letter to my lord of Lathian, which be rectived : and delivered a letter to my lord Bulmerino, and
anuther to the earl of Traquair ; which letters nere received by Adam Watt from sir Lewis Stewart. Item, Depunes upon his great oaih, That he never opened the litule cottier, nor trunk; but unce that he opened the litile coffer, and tank out the kegs of the trunks, but never stired the papers, nour none others to his hoowletge: bur that he heserd that Thomas Haliburtou had looked in the cuffer befoie, but knows not whether lie took any papers out or not. I/ $\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{n}$, Declares that since Mr. Willaun Hag his away going, there came a trunk of his home, which iv in William Dick his hands, whereif he has the key; and that be never opethed the same, but allenarly (only) ouce at the desire of Mr. Alcx. Jolustoun advucat, who alledged be had some clothes and other gear theren, which be bad put in Mr. Ilag's trunk when Mr. Hag and lie was at Ioudon the laut vacance. And the deponer grants hd received the key of the truuk from William Frier, inclosed in a letter from Jondon written by the said Willaan Frier, and dated before Mr. Hag's gong away out of the country. Itrm, The deponer remembers, that Mr. Haur told him that the pamphlet was writen bya man employed by the lady limplam fior that eflect.-Sic subs. Mark Cabs, with my hand. St. Andrens, Morsoun, Roxburgh,

> Traquar, Da, Ep, Edinb. Jo.

Russcu. J. ILay.
athly, Produces bur letters from Mr. IIaig to the pannel, date.l 27 June, 1 Jaly, 10 Joly, and 1 August, 163 f . Ot the which four letters, the temour follows:
To the righ lonourable my singular ghood lord my lord Balmerinn, These at Barnecova; to be sent to han by Mr. George Lawson, or Adam Watt, writer in Ediniburgh.
My lord; now that it hos pleased Gud to bring ine safely through the seas, though slowly (in three days and three nigho from Yarmouth) to this place, I begon with these to pray your lordship cither to use your tilent to get me home otherwise, or to give vignur to that way I wrote to your lordship from Yarmouth. I thought it fit to be taken, to get a compendious way to an end, of wry troubles by their means, who for private respects have given the name of a crume to that Supplication, which though I cannot deny the pennug of, yet would not have brought to me any inconvenience, if it had either been used as once intended, or, after changed of purpose, kept from those base bodies that put it into the hands of such, as bave been nble to make hard constructions of it. And seeing for whatsoever I now suffer in my private fortunc, in my weak body, or in my name, by the contrivance or knavery of Mr . John Dunmure, I may justly blame your lordship; I do here adjure your lordship, by the persoasion I have of your awn integrity, of your honourable mind and zood-will to mewards, and by your,knowledge of the pains I have bren ever willing to take for an ingenuous furtherance of all that concerns uny lord of So-
merset amongst us; even to take pains to obtain to ine a remission, for domy that witrich is terned a crime, and that by means of these that hove termed it so; and make them sensible that it shall be more for their credit so to make an end of the business, than to drive me to such defences and apologice as the publishing of will gall them, more ifin the blazing of the Supplicauon. Withal it tuay please your lordship to represent to my lord of loothes, sud such other honest men, as I know did onec approve that Supplication, that since a hard character is made of it by these that have wrested in the king's ire the sense of it, they should do right both to thenselves, their printe, and country, by apother P'etition to repriscut that whereas they intended to have delitered to his majesty the foresaid Supplication by such ps did dis-assent to that church-artucle, and changed purpose, because the paper conld not bold ull their subseriptions, and other good icepects, very compatible with their most humble duties to his majesty; yet since the furcsaid Supplication has conie to his majesty's hands by such as have made wrong constructious of in, thercfare to conclude craving humbly that lus majesty wonld give them leave to be nuterpretern of their owin language, and the ileaire of their Potition (bor the reasons it containeth), which is, that no prosate respect, hut mere affection to his mejesty, did rule their whole carriage in the l-te parliament. But in this I subinit my de-ire to your lorlship and their judgment and plearure. Hlowsotver, since I suffer for that which truly had their ullowunce, I think they are in honuur bound to use discreet ineans to relicve me off thus cruss, at least to help my poor estate in some measure to hear out the burden of it. I suifet erfrugh in the toil of my boily, and wounds given to my name, though your lordshups amongst you fice me of all the charges tiat will be inevitable to me in this course: a little help from cach honest man, that will possbly pity me, would do this business. Thus your lordship may see there heth a heavy larien on my stamach, when $t$ is brought so low as to beg ; yet I shall rather starve tinan discover so much to any other than your lordship, to whom only I can lay open the silly and low thoughts that misery, and the fear of it, may bring to, my lond, your lordhhip's most respecting servant,
Camplure, June 27, 1634: Wm. IHatc.
Postscript. 2V hatsomever botids are in moneys to my behoof, I do not think one groat thereof mine, till my, lord Yeaster be siltisfied; which will be easily done (upon the grounds I have sent a note of to Mark Cass), if your lordship move him to a submission (wherein Mark Cass and Thomas Huliburtou shall take burden for me); but we will never end otherwise." Prny my lord of Rothes to help your lordship to induce him to a subanission to any that your lordship and be عan condescend upon ; and tell him of his old letter to my lord of Anchram, That be should settle with me at any man's sight I tiked. Bat get him to a suli-
mission in writing for his good as well as mine: for if God plense to call ine, he will f.ud that he shall not make so good a condition, ats he may do now.

## The Sccond Letter.

My lord; Just as I hat done closing of my letters written thyour lordship with mithers at ('amphire, the conervater nowly arived here fiom London canc to my chamber in ane inn hept hy his nother-in-law: and licaring I was arnived there from Scotland, was very curious to ask uews. And bec.use I could tell himn nothang, at last wanderng, asked me by way of question, If I heard nutlang of a petition, which a member (35) sand he of lords had resolved to give to the king, cravugga relief of the act made in the chuicli-bunine's, and a divelarge oi any furtlier pasment and raxations. I laughed, and told lmm that I durst assure, himin thite was no surls matter. That emnot be, said he, for I brwe this from such as las be-t timelligence abow the court; and have seen a letter, hideng un eer the hand of one of the Commissioncry, that they bad had my lonl Balamermo that dny be fore the m, who had hirlaved hamself very inndently, and was to be befine them the bent day, where they hoped to get good s.tisliction in all they weic about. Then I answerest, I bead your loddlip was ralled far by ome of the cumeil, bat had not learucel, nor bou murh as abhel for what: nad that the counct mizht have many things ado with your lorfs! ip that I was ignonat of, and could not in good mamens ingune, 1 protest, sidid he, that is a lasemess mell men's. mouths ubout court; and I ticonler, said he, jou have not beard of it. I hisve not tridy, said I: And so we Iett that the one, und drank together, and within a quarter ane hour after I left that town, and to one Alesataier Speir, a factor in it, a packet to Mr. Robent ishuce; under whate cover there is a letter of the 27 lh us June to jour lordblap, the contents whereof I will not repeat here, but beserch your lordship to have respect uato it, as well in on far as it beseecheth your lorilship to use yuur talent for sbortening my troubles, as in the care I lumbly beseccli your lurdship to take to induce my lord Yenstcr to a subuission, without which there is no hope of any conclusion with him. 1 will long to hear from your lordship what posture this busmess works itself vuto, and what success I inny expect in the way $I$ ath contented be taken to end my troubles, by their means that has occisioned them. T'gus hating nothing to sny but what I have firmerly written, and besceching your lordship uot to he swear (slow) in writing to me, (under cover to my lord of Autun, James Tinwstoun or Janes Wriglit, merchants in Ainsterdam, or to Alexander Speir in Camphire, or to William Mureliead by the way of Londuti) I crave leave, and rest, my lord, yourlordship's most respecting servant,

Wm. Haic.
Arnsterdum, July 1, 1634.
Directed to the right honourable my singular knod lord, my lord Balmerino, These, at Burnetown.

## The Third Letter.

My lord; I know that repetition of my desires exprest in miy letters to your lordship from Yarmoulh, Camphare, and Amsterdam, were enough to accuse me of distrust of your lordship's either menory or goond-wilk to me-wards. Wherefiore these are only to l.tseech your lordship to let me know what postare that tusiness is m , which occanoncd my woyge hather; and what isue I may expect hy your fordslap's means, sud such othshs as I have witien unto there, as well of the trouhh. I am now under, as of that busmess I prayed your lordship, to manage with my lorl Yeater. I intend to fix about Delit hefore the 27th instant, and stick thete till I hear from Scotland or England what 1 may $\mathrm{ex}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Nect}$. So your londshp may put all you write to me muder corer to Mr. Johin Borles, a minister (dhough now silenced by orjer frum Cantortury) of the English that are ah. ut ther staple in that place, Mr. Hobert Buce, or A!r. Alesander Colull, by Dand Junhen's necaus; and oihernive also will get them sent to Alr. Torber, and he will make them find me : so will Whilian Sturcheal, if your lordllap jlease write hat way t.o. My lord, your lardship's most respecting servant,

Croumg, 10 July 16:3. Wm. Itato.
Directed, on the bach thereof, Tin the right hoprourstle my simgular good lowi, my lurd Balmerino, These; at Banclowa.

## The Fourth Letter.

My lords; I send l.cie to your lordship my lord Johtureh's diveliange tor the crop iuss, and his daughter Mary's receipt for 100l. with a protection for uny lord Jedliurgh, to be made usc of, as your lordship bas occasoon, His lady fes speaking to me to procure her a house near New-bottle, called liryanhirk; which camun- be, becnuse my lord of Lothian h"s leut it to his uncle sir Jolan Murray. But-I intenil to spoik to Marh Cass, and try if he can spare Cockpen: which leing near Coal, and net of Tinutdale way, I think wẹre sery convenient for my lord Jodburgi, since he suits some house in Lothian, to be free of the importunity of his Tivieddale, erechitors, and other meonveniences his dwelling at Jedlurgh makes him obnoxious unto. 'There is also within thing packet Mr. Lewis his discharye of 600 marka 10 my lord Jedlurgh, and a note of Mr. Lewis his wrilings, with an a-sggation to be subscribed by my lord Jedburgh, for recovery of some moness he paid for Mr. John Home: I int-nded it for Mirs. Anne's belp, before your lordship and I neat to Tiviotdale; and when his brother captani Home was assisting his clicf's process, and was likely to bave adventured to pay that sum for Mr. Johin, if he lad found him pressed (l,eing then in Eslinburgh) ly some pood caption. It shall be well done to make theliest use of it ver that cau be. I have also inclused in this.pachet Mr. Cornelius Aucslie's discharge for 200 matks fire the interest of his money preceding W'hitsunday
last : I was not able to pay the priucipal till the 7 th of June, and then he refised: I hope to do it bonestly at Mertmas, belire which tine I hopet to retuth ammed agaist all inconveniences that coot or poncr slubll be able to put ufon mex, by eul met ryreting what was well meaned. I have hff to my ne phew Thomas Inaliturton the hey of wy chanber and trunks, with dwertien to te given to your lordship ti, he made wse of during my absence; whereof I shall write © your bordstup the reason at more length by dre firs: occasian. I rest, My lurd, yout lorilhin's mot saffectionate, nud respecting se vant, Willian Harc.
Direction on the buek, To the right honourable my singular good lord, my lord Balmenno, These, 1 Ang. 1634.
Produced to the lords examinators by my lord Balme ruo.
9 ilily, I'roduces three extracts of parliamenc whertiol two contaming the names of the carts und loris puesent at parfianenent 1633, trogether with the names of the articles ut that same parkament; of the which three extracts the whou tultion:

## The Names of the Lords of the Parliament.

Archbishops; St. Andrews, Glasconv, Btshops; Duakell, Murray, Russe, Brechin, Galloway, Dunblaine, Cunthess by Dunkell Ir, prosy, Ivles, Argyle, Orkicy. Duke of Iemmon. Marquis of Haidiagtoun, marquis of Huntley by the duke of Leunox his prosy, uarquis if Dowglass. Earls; Argyle by Mivtoun hiv proxy, Faroll, Marcsclall, Sutheriand by the duke of Lemax lis provy, Mar by Haddingtoun his proxy, Buchau by the marquiss of Hadilingtron fins prosy, Ifother, Fglingtonn, Cassiles, Glenesiarae by Rothes hieprovy, Murray by Lauderdate hi- provy, Nith-late, Wmtoun, Lulithgow, Pirth, Diauferning, Wigtoun, Kinghorne, Abercorne, Tillebarne, Kethe by Kinowll his prony, Galloway by Traquai, his proxy, Scaf.rth, Annatudale, Latulerdale, Carrick by Traquar hus prove, Dothan. Viscounts; Falklatal by Stirlatg hisprosy, Dunbar by Traquar his puexy, Stonnuunt!, Drumhnerk. Lards; Landay, Gray hy Hortoun hes proxy, Yeaster, Sem. 'b, Sinclair, Ilerries, EIphinstuun hy Batinerina hit provy, (iglve, Torphichen, Spynie, Lindores, luudon, Kinloss by Mortoun his provy, Bahoierinoch, Burlie, Halyrood-house, Conper, Cranstoun, Deskfoord by Cranstoun hisproxy, Melvill, Carnegir, Ramsay, Naper, Cancrun, by Trayuair bis proxy, Newhurgh by Stirling his proxy, Weenes, Corstorphin, Forfar, Rue by viscount of Air his proxy, Dalzell.

Commissioners for the burrnugha; Sirfienrge Forreater of Corslorphom, and Mr. Patrick Hnariton of Little Prestoune, for Elinhargh, principal; sir Patrick Murray of Eletsank, nud sir John Hamiltoun of Prestoane, for Hadidingtoune ; sir Alexanter Nisbet of that ilk, and John Home of Rentoun, for Berwick; WilHinu Dowglass of Cinlvers, and air Walter Rid-
dell of that ilk, for looxburgh; James Murray fiar of Phillipaugh, and Jahues Pringle of Whitebank, for Sclbirk; James Hay of Sioithfield, and Janas Naswith of Posso, tor Peebles; sir Jumes Lackhart younger of Ley, and Gawn Hamiloun of Raploch, for Laverick ; sir Robert Grierson of Lugg, and siR John Charters of Amistield, for Dunfrics ; rir Patrick Agnew, of Lacluair, knight baronet, for Wigtoun; sir Willinm Cunninglame of Cunnioghamebead, and James Chalmers of Gaitgirth, for Air; sir Ledovick Howstoun of that ilk, and Jnmes Merihe if y unger of lachope, for Dunibarton; Hector Bamstyne of Kevmes, and Johin Stewart of Escohe, for Rute ; sir Aichiblid Stewart of Blackiball, ind Patrick Flemming of Barzechine, fer Renfrew ; Aichibald F.dmingstoun of Duatreath, for Stitheg; sir Walrer Duadass of that ilk, and Willmm Drumnoond of Richartoun, for Jialithgowv ; sir Archibald Ogivie of Inclmatatn, for Perth; s:r Robert Grahame of Morphe, for Winceaten;

Alevander Irvme of Drum, will Thooms Crombie of Kenno, for Abericen; sir Julm Machenzie, of Tirbit, for Jacerness; John ('amphell tiar of Calder, and Joht. ©oubar of Mayue, for Natirne; sir Thomas Liquart of Crom.ut , for Cronsartie; sir John Serineger. of Duddore, avd Duncan Cambed fare of Awelmbirevk, for Argyle; sir Langhtane Maclane of Mortere, for 'Tarbet; sir Jola Lessic of N:wtome, and Thomas Myretoune of Cambe, for Fyje; sir Ilarry Wood of Bunnitoun, and sir Wítham Grahame of Claverhuuse, for Forfar; John Gordon of Ionernarhic, for Bamff; tr Patrick Margie of Lange, for the scewartry of Kirkudlsight. Sic suls. J. Hay, CI. Itegist.

> The Lords of the Artucles. *

Pro Clero; archb. st, Andrews, suchb. Gilnseow, bislotips Sluiny, Lusse, Duablaine, Breshm, Isles, A eyle pro Notilibus; duke of L-nox, inarquis of tiamiton, inarquis of Dowel :s, earl of Mareschal, cail of Wintoum, earl of lioxbu gh, earl of lauderdole, viscount of Air. Pro B.nonibus; sir George Forrester of Constorphin, sir Patrick Murray of Elebank, gir Patrick Ogilvie of Inchmarten, sir John LesIee of Newtonne, William Dowglass of Cavers, sir Jannes tochtrit younger of Ley, sir Robert Grierson of Lagg; sir John Scrimger of Duddope. Pro Buagis; John Shiclair and Gilbert 4 Kirkwood, Andrew Gray', Mr. Alexander Wedaerburne, Paut Mcuzies, Gabriel Cunninghame, Mr. James Cockburne, Mr. John Hay, Andrear Bell.-Sic muls. J. Hav, C1. Regist.

And last produced ane Note of Articles in Parlimment in annis $1800,1606,1607,1617$, and 1621, whereof the tenour follows.
" In the parlinment held at Edinburgh the year of God 1600 years, the noblemen after named were chosen to be of the lords of the aricles, viz. The doke of Lennox, the earl of Mare-chal, the lord Seaton, the lord Newbottle. the earl of Errol, the earl of Mar, the loid Levingstonan, lord Fyvie,-Sic subs. J. Hax, CI. Hegist:"
"In the parliament holden at Edinhurgh in the pronth of June 1606, the noblemen after usmed were choven to be of the lords of the articles, viz marquis of Ifamitwon, marquis of Huntley, earl of Aryyle, carl of Eirroll, earl uf Mar, carl of Glencumene, eanl of Linlitlogaw, lurd Glances,-Stc suhs. J. Hay, Cl: leegis."
"In the partiament holdea at Ediohurgh in the month of Mirch 1 COT , the nohlemen after named ware chosen to be of the lords of the aticles, viz. earl of Arayle, earl of Angus, earl Mareschal, earl of Mar, earl of Kinghorne, eal of Lodhinn, lord Elphintoun, Rovborgh, Blautyre,-Sic suls. J. Har, CI, Megist."
"In the parlinuent liolien at F.dinburgh in the month of May 1617, the neldemen afier named were chosan to be'of the londs of the articles, viz. luke of 1 enisis, marquis of llamuitotir, maryuis of Ifuntley, carl of Argyle, pard of Aiontross, lord ( $\mathrm{g}_{2}$ try, lorit Sompair,

"In the parlament holden at Jalinburgh in ${ }^{*}$ the month of June 162 1, the wothemen ater named were chosen to Le lorils of the articlor, vic. the earl of Angus, the cal of Mortani, the catl of Nithadale, the enl of Wigtoun, the and of Ruxburgh, the earl of IBalckeng, lnod Sicoone, lord Carnegy.-Ser subs. J, Has, Cler. Regits."

After the proditction whereof, his umjesty's Advocat aflirnis, that the writy now prodaced fror verification of the dutuly, the said tlittay is thereby venified in the hait pomts thercof, riferred to the assize ; and in respect thercof protests, if thry cleange (clear) upon so clear probation, tornialful error.
Thereafier it was allsuged by the pannel, that the earl of Rothes's deposition ought to be produced and read.

To the which it is answered by my Lord Advocat, That he uscs not the savee as a point of his probation.

To the whilk it is doplyed. That it will serve (being read and produced) for clearing of the pamnel's innocency; aud that by inicrloguitor of before, the saill depusition wats by the justice's thterloquilor produced and given up to the pannel; and thercfore it is most necessary to be produced to the assize, aul cogrusced by them.

Thet cafter my Liord Advocat, for eschewing of delayz, did propiuce the carl of Rothes his d position, with thisprotestation, That he usey nox the samessas a rerification of the dittay, hut only for satisfying of the interloquilor given out by the justice before, and to rut off all occasion of clansour that'any part of the process were withdrawn; and when the paunel shall

- found any alleadgance or abjection thercupon, he shall have a suflicient answer, of the which deposition the tenour follows.

At Edinburgh, the Sd of July, 1634.
The which day Johu curl of Rothe,' being sworn upon his'great oath, and the lilal being shewn to lim, grants that this is the hibel that was shewn to him by or from niy lond Dalinerimo; which he took and read till ahous Arenty
lines in the heciuming, and no furder, in pre* sence of the tarl of Cassiles and the lord levoler, beng with him in coach, gning is Dalkeith: whe finding it of such a sta $\mathrm{ain}_{\mathrm{n}}$ nusd hav eig fold them that his maje-ty hat given him an rejums command to appress ail that wats of that mature, tie deponter and thry, nll m one Vrice, thought it should the sappressed; and the deproner did par it in ha pochtt. Aurl binsing ocrasion that same dayto mert litim.jesty at 1) athenth, and les majesty falling inconterunce Whif himanent informatim made to his ma-
 lus majesty; mal having received fiom his mu: jesly of letore srait commandment for suppreming atl peltitoms of the mature of that a lici sas tau od in the time of the pardiamen', ho shewaito lis sojgety that he had fuithfully thone the sube eter since, and added this nwouds; 'sis, thafe is " Petition given me *presently to lic lawhed upon and cuasidered, whish I live in my jocht, whel I line accordhge to your majesty's moblanad suppresell; if your majeriy, l.e pleased to louk
 " is so maitor: I bane no leisure: I ana 'gniug to the park.' And deglares, that it remained in his porket minool.eal upin by lim, or any otlier, liy the space of cighit days, or thercibou:s: afier the which he returned the sume backsatio, uclosed in a paper wheh was kcalet, to my lowil Nalucribo, to whom belore he had vold lís jurloucht of it, that it was alsogether w be suppresoel. And teponis upon his gro at genli, that he seblicy thewed it to any, nor pay any copy dicicaf to any; but remeinlicro befure he sent it to my Jord Balmeliag, he cutased copy it by his own ser rant, which is yet extant, Uncomsatmetted to any, and whereof he lad no memory at all, till he heard that Mr. Peter Hay of Namhon had shewn it to others; and then be seardatl the same, and foust it out. Itum, Being interrogat if ha hatw the liand-writ of the libel, or who was the author or peoner threoof; depones, ha knows not the writer thereuf, and knew not certainly who was the author and peaner thereof, till he heard that Mr. William Haig was bruited (i, e. named) for the penning thereof; of whom he had ever suspicion, bectause he has ever been bnsy upon sucle idle and foolish toys. Aud being usked if he gave his alvire ament the pemumg chereol to Mr. Willian Haig, or any othe $r$; depones upou lis great oath, that lis nether prve his advice to him, or any nitier, anent the penning thereof, but, ever thought it fit to be supprest; and derlares, that the asever hucw any consultation either hefore or after anent the same. And the said carl of Rothes promised with all diligence to send the copy which be has inclosed to the lords; and promisel upen his outh oud hooournot to copy the same. : Sic subs. Noinis. My Iord Adrocat declares that be wers not Lae last port of Mark Cuss Les depusition. It is, objected nud alledget ly Mr. Alex Pearson ognimst the probation of the ditt:y uch-
diced, First, To the probation of the first part thereof against the panvel, bsauthor, consultor, \&c. that the panuel's alledyed moteliming dors not prove hin to be consuitur with Mr. Haig, in the penoing and first drawing np thercof, , hecause the alledged interinung is hing after, yen, alter the olf. r matle therent to his majesty, to whoni no sulject can be picsumed to rey, risent a supplication integlined. And depooition to thint pont of dittay, the paunel's the 16 i h of June, which bears the igtelining not to bave been made white atier the eari of Rothes's redelivery of the writing to the pronnel, which was mofe than six or sercn months afler. Oppones also the other copy of the writing quarrelled, produced by my ford Advocat, which wants the interlining; and which evinces the interlining not to have letu at the penning and forining thercof, and consequently the alledged interlining proses not the panncl', adrice int, the penning and first drawing up thereof.

Item, Tic ailelged interliming proves not the pannel $t$ be consultor ancut the scandalots tibel, because the stial alledged interlining is not of any matter of reproach or scandal, whereupon the said libel is now clallenged, or can le challeuged, and so hus no accession thereto us scandalous; and therefore proves not the pannel's advice anent the scandalous libel.

Item, The alledged interliuing proves not that point of the dittay, hecnuse hy the pannel's deposition, 16 June, never mansaiv it since the interlining thereot; and therefore nuthong can be enforced or concluded thereaptn, and is alike as if the same bad never been interlined: For even un influmous libel found with appariy in serret, who did suppress the same, and never mamfest it to another, does not make or prove him guilty of the infannous libel.
That part of the dittay, bearing, That by letters sent by Mr. Haig to the pannel, it is nfirmed by Mr. Haig, that he had theallowance of the panael to the peaning of the writ quarrelled, is no ways pruven by the missive letter, nor by any of them. And where the sad missures, or auy of them, nany seem to concern any purpnse of allowane of the writing quarrelled, that is no ways of the pannel's allowance, nor cau be so expounded; for the letter from Camphire, dated 27 June, directed to the pannel himself, and which speaks of their allowance in the third pernon, extends not to the pannel, and makes not the ullowance therein mentioned (if any be) to he the panuel's allowance.

Furder, Although the said missive nillirmed as the dittay bears, yet the same can no ways be respectell, nor wake faith ngainst the pannel for his conviction; because Mr. Haig being puilty us nuthor, his olledged declaration, if any be, (no wuys granting the satic) can be no probation nt all agninst the ponnel; ligcause it is an undubted maxint in Lfov, 'Qund sorius - aut particeps criminis adversuy alium fidem ' non facit:' Accotiplice in a crime's declaration cannot make probation against any other yhiom he alledges to be guilty.

To the first part of the dittay, and probation thereof, oppones the dittay itself, which bears Mr. Haig to be anthor, and which makes puint of dittay against the pannel for not apprehending of Mr. Haig, author thercof. Opponss also Mr. Haig's grant that he was author and penner thereof, containeds in his missive letter, 27 June. Oppones alyo Mr. Haig his fleeing furth of the country for the same cruse; and since his flight, his intreatiog of his friends for procuring to him a remission for it, us is propoited in the paunel's depositions the 1tt of August. Oppones also the pannel's oath and declaration the 9th of June, wherein he has deponed, That Mr. Haig had no warrant froln him, and that be new nothing of the forming of it. Oppones also Mark Cass his deposition, which bears Mr. Haig to have confessed to him that he was the picnier thereof.

It is alledged by Mr. J 4 五 Nistet against the verification of the dituay, That the pannel's 'tepositions cannot be used to verify the dittay, interring capital guilt und punislment upon the pannel, because the pannel was induced to depone under promise und ussurance of impunity : And in law, a confession clicit upon promisc inf impunity, cannot inforce conpital guilt, as is abundantly astructed in the dispute, It is likewise alledged by bim that Duniuure's dcposition, Dalgleish's depositions, and Haig's letters caunot be used nguiast the pannel, because they being all involved in the same acts whereupon the pannel is indicted, they canaot prove against the paunel to disburden themselves; secing in law these with whom scandalous pieces are found are presumed to bo authors, unlesstheycof descend upon the autlior or deliverer of these pieces to them.

It is alledged by Mr. Alexander Pearson, That the second part of this dittay agaiust the pannel, as guifty of hearing, concealing, not apprchiending, divulgingof ane infamous libel, $\$ \mathrm{cc}$. is not proven, becuuse there is mothing adiluced against the pamel, to prove the pannel's knowicdge of the writing quarrelled to be scandalous or selitions, wihhout which the pannel is sont, non canuot be counted gnilty of the crimes hibelled. And oppones thereto the just and probable cause contmined in the second exception, and remancat defences proponed for the pannel, which the panuel had moving him to think otherwise of the writing quarielled; to wit, The writitg itself in title, tom, strain, beginning and ending, abd in all, nn humble supplication; the same being first delirered to the panuel as p supplication to be presented to lis majesty. [Tbe pannel's deposition 9 Juna.] Next wis deliverid by the pannel, to the warl of Rothes of purpnse to be prescnted to his majesty. [The pannel's deposition foresaid; gad also nflirmed by the dittny itself.] Last it was offered by my lord of Ruthes to bis majesty; as the earl of Roghes's deposition bears, 3 July: Whilk offer of my lord of Rothes to his majesty is acknowledged ly my Lord Advogat, confiorn to his lordship's answer ip the defenges proponed for the pannel. Of-
poues also the pannel's quality, life and conversation, which is in such 's fur distauce fiou the crinies libelled, that it exclucles all pre sumption and probability thereof against thp panuel.
To that point of the Dittay negravating the panael's not apprehending MIr. Il.ia, by th" pannel's shewne to ban the warrant of la citation before the committee and therely giv ing him oceasion to cscape; oppones the pan uel's deposition of the 16 th of Jane, whicl bears that the pannel knew nothug of $\mathrm{Mr}_{\text {r }}$. Haig his goong off the country before the thin day after Mr. Uaig's cscape.

- That pat of the Dittay bearing the panne to he guily of divulging und dispersing of the ulledged infarnous hivel, is not proven. 1 st The prunel his causing Mr. Robert Dalgleis his servant copy the saude, proses not the pannel's dispersing thereof in public; Mr Tobert Dalgleinh being the panel's houshold servant, and he having copied the same infia prizatos parietes, which proves nut dispersugg in public. 2illy, The delivery of the writ quarselled to the eari of Rothes of purpose to be preseated to his majesty, proves no ways divulging of a scandalous libel: But the aleliviry thereof, qualified as said is, and followed with ane rea uffer thereof made to his majenty, culs anaty its being oticred as a scandalous libel, and divulging thereof; buth becaucy of his minjesty's sacred person, whith is far transcendent ahove all presumed mjuries, nud also, 'quia caluinnia 'ett adversus nilsentem.' Farder, since in law and reasou, intention of law and reason differences crimes by the purpose of the party, let the purpuse of the paisel difference now this his act, whoh does make the sume to the prerentation of a supplication, and not divulging of an intumous libel. Sdly, The delivery of the writ by the pannel to Mr: Dunmure proves not divulging; and oppopes thereto the pannel's deposition of the 9 th of June, which bears that the pannel gave the writ to Dunumure to look upon to hiniself alone, and to shew it to 10 other, nud that he never knew that Dunmure hud copied the same, till Dunmure was called in question for it. Oppones likewise Mr. Dunmure's deposition, which bears in this same manner. Oppones also the law ' quad in male-- ficis voluntas spectatur, non exitos ${ }^{\prime}$ ' and that the pannel's'purpose is declared by his deposition foresmid.

It is nnswered by my Lood Admocat, That the interlining is not found relevant per se, but with the remanent rircumstances; and so far as interlining is libelled, which is indefinitely, without respect of tinic, (whether before or after slewing of it to Dunmure) it is clearly proven by the punnel's own deposition, and by ocular iaspectun of the scamdalous libel produced by the pyonel, which is interlined with pis own Imad in two divers places. And for "proving the panuel to be suthor, adviser, Sic. his reseiving it imunediately from Haig, delirering is to the earl of Kothes, interlining of it quocunpue tempore, dixpering of is to Dunsolire, aad
piving the power of it to Dalgleish his own man, is sutficient to verify hat part of the dittay. To the whilk is adiled Inaig lis contession in his letter, whech in two passages thereof beary. that it was allowed by them no whose name the same was hiamed, of the which number the pancel was one. Where it is alledged that the not ifprchendin!; is nut verified, or concesling of Haig, \&c. hecause it is not proven that the pannel had linowledge that it was a scandaiuus libel; it is answered, That the pannel knew llaig to 供 author, which is proven by his depositions: and the justice by interhquator. has fround it to be in scandalous hivel, and there is no neecosity to prove his knowlcuge. And As to dirulgng it is not found relevant solo, but being coujoined with any paut of the Dittay; and it is proven in termanis as it is fibelled by the pannd's depositions. And ns to the phatnel's intention, it is not a part of the dittay, the panuel being icrilied to hare been author or deiser, not appreliender of Ilang, or enncealer of hins, ur divulger of the seaudalous tibel; which is clearly proven by the writs produced. And therefore alledges that the asolze, notwithstand$\mathrm{in} \%$ of their oljections proponed, ought to find the dittay clearly pruven : otherwise, proteste for wilful crror and remeid of law.

It is duplyed by Mr. John Nisbel for the pannel, Whereas it is replyed by my L.ord Advocat, that the receipt of the piece froin the nuthor ununediately atier compiling of it, joined with interlineation, and the nuthor's testimony of the pannel's approving of it, verifies the parioel's concourse with the author in framing the piece founchcandalous; twe oppone the pannel's reiterat depositions, learing that he had no accesson in the framing, and gave no previous warrant to the framier, and disclaiuing knowledge of the framing of it, which must elide all the presumptions adduced by my Lord Advocat; seeing it is incontraverted in law, that a qualified coufession cannot be disjoined, as is clearly proven in my dispute, chiefly since the pannel has deponed so ingenuously, without any obligation in law, and upon assurance firesaid.

Whereas it is replied by my Lord Advocat, That the pannel intrusting of his man Mr. Robert Dalgleish with the.piece, his imparting of it to Dunmure, and to my lord of Rothes, vetifies divulging, oppones our dispute, and the authorities of the laws cited by us, requiring a public cxposiug, and a fraudulent intention to dearne. And we oppone the condition of the nanuel's delivery of it to Dunnure, that it should be illi soli; Dunmure's copying of it withous he pranel's knowledge: then of the pannel's delivery of it to Dunumare, to forand his opiuion 'n judgment; all clearly verified by Dunmure'z deposition.

Where it in replied by my Lord Advocat, That Inig's evasion, the pannel's knowledge that he was atthor of the prece now fourd scandnlows, verifies the poitt of not apprehending the nuthor; and that it is not aecessary to prove tho pannel's inowledge of the piece: 'It is daplyed, That we oppope che uacostrarerted yractick of
all countries where appechending of parties is enjowed, aur reseting is prohiht ; that derlaraurs shonld precode, in in authors of heretical books, forbidelen to lie recewed by the law; because every man wnot wide to divern thone phecs, which are at.batert and contaverted manongot the lenaticleat, And ne oppone the pannel's own it-quottons, beariag Not he knew not assurefly 11 his to be pathor thereof, bout thought only be was author; and therefore wot obliged to appeciliend sumparly and abrapily. In respect huriont the assize can no ways find the pannel guily of the Ditray, nad allelged crime spectact therein.
The Assize by phatality of votes, elects and chrises Jolmeal of Trurnir Chancellor, (Foneman.)
Whilks ferwons of asaire being received, avporn, and almitted, neber ncrusation of the said, Solan Lord B.tmerina thy dittiy of the erimes foresads, mentioned and set down therein, and profluction, and reading juchicnally of the wras, and probation used aod prothced by his in:tinsty's dhocat liur verifying thereof, they remused all toguthor, fitith of conrt to the comed liwas of E.tir-hurgh, where first, by fharality of votes, thef ctected and chused the suid John carl of Troguair Chaucellor. Thereatier receivel and soed upon the dail points of the said Dittay; and being riply nnd at length advised therewith, and with the writs and prohntion u-e.l and produced by his majeaty's Adrocat for instracting oi the simb, und with tie objections mala hy the pannel-and his procurators there arginst, and anowerg made by his majesty's Advicat to the said objections, att read in their prosence and andience;re-entered asain in court; where they by report and judicial ileclaration of the said John earl of Traguair, Chancellor of the said Assize, founct, pro: nounced, and declared the said Jolin lord of

Balmermo to be cleared aad acquit of the firct part of the side Ditt-ly, wherem hay is indicted as author, deriser, culsulter, adviser, ant nud part of the foraing and penning of the infamous or scamblaus labed mentioned therciatil: As also of unt apprehending of Mr. Witham Haig, whens he atfirms in has depositing to have hieca author of the sand libel: And hikewise to bo clenird, assoized, and acquit of the disulging and dispersing of the sand scandalous libel amongtt our sovereign lord's subjecis, in manner specified in the said Dittay. And last, found, pionounced, and der lared the said John lord of Balmerino to be allcuarly filed und convict of the hearing of the said infumous fibed, concenling and not revcalury of the suid Nr. Willian Hag. alfirmed loy lonit to be the author thercof.

The Justice I'rieral upon considerathon of the sgid Jolin lord B.alaerino his conviction by the fore-named persons of asvize of the faresaid print of Dittay, anent the heating of the infawions libel thercin contained, concealing and relealing of Mr. William Haig, anthor and penner theresf, found and declared, by advice of his loribhy's as*essors, That the suid John lord of Balmerino bak there-through incarred the prain of thath containel in the acts of parlitiment; suypeathg aluays the execution thereof, ontil the time bis sajae-ty', gracious will and pleasare be shown and ilcilared the conent: to whose sacrefl majesty the monner, time, and place on the execution of the said sentence is renuted by the justice: and the said John liord of llalmerino ordained in the ne.m time to be returned to ward within the castle of Palinburgh, to remain therein while his majesty's pleasute be signified. ${ }^{*}$
The King heing infurmed hereof, was ploased to grant him a l'ardon.
$2^{2}$ Rush. Col. 281.
145. Proceedings in the Star-Chamber against Dr. John Bastwick, Mr. Heniy Burton, and Wilitam Prynn,* esq. for several Libels $\dagger 13$ Charles I. A. d. 1697 . Written by their Friends,

AN Infornation was exhibited in the StarChamber by the Attorney.Gencral, nasainst John Bastwick doctor in plysic, Ifenry Burton batcheior of dividity, and William Prgna barrio-

[^28]ter at law, Defendants, for writing aad publishe ing seditious, schismatical mad libe lou, Books usainst the Ilierarchy. They prepared their an wers, but thę counsel being lackward for
said authors did amount to 'High-Treason. But when the council withdrew, the Judges in rebate arnong themselves, came to these resolations. 1. 'That if there were any thing in - the Books that arnounted to treason, no In. - dictment could be found good for treason, - unless it vas groanded apon the stature of ' 25 VL .3 . either for compassing the king's - death, or imagining the same, on olse for levy' ing of wat. 2. That if any man seditiously, ' maliciourly and of purpose to mise rebolli..m, ' and toincite, rebellion, did take arms to reduce ' the counse of goveraneat of the state, eithot
fear of offumbing the court, they petitioned they musht sign their Answers ihimselves, which was demed; and the 23th of A prilthe court ordered them to put iu their Answers by Monday sevennight under their counsel's hands, or else the matters of the Information to be taken proconfesso. Mr. Pry'm, May 5, again peetitioned them, that having heen for above a week debarred access to his counsel, and his zerrant who should solicit for him beng detained close prisoner in a messcuger's hands, nod it being difficalt to get bis connsel to repair to him during the term; he having bien in barrister at law, prayed he might (according to former precedents in that court) have libeity to put in his Answer by the day prefixed, under his own haud, and not under lis counsel's, who refused it out of fear and cowardice; for which he alledged these lleasons :

1. Close, Dr. Layton, and others, had been allowed this, and there is but one precedent upainst it; where, upon a special reason, aud iu case of a woman, not of a man, much less of a lawyer, it was tenied. 2. Upon an ore fenns in this court, in tnaly case9 at the Council'Table, in parhament, and in the Kug's Bench upon Indictments and Iutirmations (cspecially in criss of felony or treason) the 1)elendants make their defence without counsel. 9. Counsel is allowed not of necessity bat favour, as a help to the Det-ndants; bat when they find the"n no help, hat that they adrise then to their pregudice, winy may they not answer without them? 4. Fvery Answer, in the eye of the lan, is the Defendant's, not the counsel's. 5. Shall an innoceut man sthfer wilhout comt iction, through the want, fear, neglect, ignorance, disersity of opinions, or treachery of counsel? 6. The law of natare teacheth every creature, man especially, to defend himselli, and in the present case the Defendant's Answer resteth upon Soohs, matters of divinity, aud other points, wherein counsel have litle shill: how cau they defend him in a cause they understand not? 7. At the general Day of Judguent, every man shall be allowed to make Anwer for himself, much more shon!d earthly Judges nllow the same, where others will not or dare not. 3. By the judicia! law anong the Jews, and by the civil law among the Pagan Romans, evety oge might answer for themselves: Naboth, Susonnah, Chist, and others though unjusily condemined, yit wome not condemned as gulty for nut answering by counsel. 9. St. Paul, when he was slandered and accused by Ansuias the high-pfiest, and Tertullus, and

[^29]several times lefore Felix, Festus, and king Agrippa (three beathen inagistratera) wns suffired to spenk for hionself withont muy counset assigned.-The Defendant therefire lopes, he being aecused in this court, by the Baglish prelates nad high priests instigations, of. teditiorr and other surl like cinest, as St. J'aul way, stall enjoy the same printege and frcedom before Christion Judges, nv St. J'aul had among Paguis; which his ndversarics will not be agaiast, unless they pill be deemed more unreasonable than Anumias himself: especiully the Defendant lasing been a barrister and counsellor at law formerly, and admitted in thie court in put in Answers under his hand in other nicn's cases.
Upon reading this and a Petition from Dr. Bastwick to the same parpose, alledgiug his conusel refusel to sign lat Answer, the court adhered to their former order, that they should ly Monday put in their Auswers under counsela hands, or cloce to be takeu pro conficsso. Prynu and Bastwick thereupon left their Answera under their own hands at the oltice, and tendered another driught thereof to the court.

Before this petition of Mr. Prym, he and the two other Defendants puit in a Cross-Bill under all their hands, against the archhishop of ('unterbury and others of the prelates, wherein they charged them with usurping upon his namjesty's prerogative moyal, with lanovationa, licensing popish and Arminian llooks, Kcc. and set furth the sulstance of their Answers. The Bill being ingrossed and signed by them, Mr. Prynn tyathered it to my Lord-Keeper, praying it might be accepted without counsel's hands, who darst not sign it: The Lord-Keeper upon realing the Cross-Bill refissed to admit it, but relivered it to the king's Attoruey. The ArchLishop nettled thercat, demanded the Opinion of the Judges, whether they could not be puoished as Libellers; whe all but one answered negatively: for it was tendered in a legal way, and the king's courts are open to all men. The Archbishop then appiyed to the rourt of Star-Chauber, and informed them,. That in some Bonks and p.anphlets lately published, his grace and the other bishops are said to have usurped npon the king's prerogative, and proceeded in their courts contrary to law. Ha prayed the count would require the Junges to give their Opinions therein; and the court ace cordingly desired their Opinious in the paint following:

1. Whether process may not issoe out of the ecciesiastical courts in the names of the bishapaif The Judges answered afirmatively.
2. Whether a Patent under the Great Seal be necessury for keeping Feclesiastical Courts, and fur citations, suspensions, excummunicetions and other censurus? Whether citations must ba in the king's name, and under his seal of amps? The live for instututions, inductions, nad corrections of ecclesiusticul offencra? They answered, that a l'atent under the Great Sed is not necessary in uny of these cases; nor is is necergary that summons, cirations, ot otbpr
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process ecclesiastical, or institutions, inductions. or corrections of ecclesiustical offences should be in the king's name, or with his sule, or under his seal, or that their seuls of othce have in then the king's arms, the stutute of 1 Ediv. 6. ch. 9. being not now in furce.
3. Whether Bishops, Arch-deacons, \&c. may keep any Visitatuou, without commissoon under the Grent Scal? They answered they way. Which Opinion of the Judges being certified into the Star-Clamber uggler the hands of 11 of the 12, the court, at the prayer of the Attor-ney-Gentral, onderd the sadd Certificate to be recorded there, and in the other courts at Westminster, the high commivion and uther ecclesiastical courts ; und alterwands the origual certifiente to be delwered to the arehbishop of Canterbary, to be preserved among the records of bis court.

Dr. Bastwick having left his Ausser at the oftice ns aforesaid, the court tahing uetice that it was the stims and a hatt of parchenent el, written, and (as was allerged) coatamed anuch scanduluus defamatory raatrer, ordered, That all the matters of the Information, wherewith he was charged, sliould be tahen pro confoso. Dr. Bastwick notivithstanding petationed again, that his Answer might be accepted under his own haud, hut to no parpose: And Mr. I'ryan in a second Petition desiring of the court not to require impossibilities of him, his counsel's lands not being nt his command (for thus the most innocent man may be betrayed and condemned, through the unfaithfulness, wilfnluess, fear, corruption, or default of couincl), he prayed them to denl with him as they would be dealt with thenselves, were they, whicle (God forbid, in bis condition, and as they would have Christ proceed with them at the Jay of Jutgment. He craved only so much favour and jubtice as Christ found hefore Pilate, and Punl before Felix, Festus, and Agrippa, or as elery traitor or felon enjoys in the conrt of jostice, in answer for himself, when his counsel will not, cannot, or dare not; especially in this weighty cause highly concerning his majesty's Royiil Prerogntive, the snfety of Religion, and the good of the whole realm. He prayed the Cross-Bill and Answers of him ind the other Delendants against the prehates lite dangerous emeroachments, innovations, practires nud uppression-, may be accepted under the detemdants own hands; and the petitioner, upon grationg lis Petition, should ever pray for their lordahips, \$c.-The Court lereupon commanded Mr, Holr, one of Mr. Prynn's councel, to repair to him in the Tower, and take mstructions for his Answer: and the Lieutenant of the Tower w as sent for and checked by the lords for suffering Mr. Prynn to dictate such a Petition ; and one Gardener who writ it from his muuth by the Lieutenant's license, was the sume ercuing, ly a werrant from the Arclabishop and others, ajpreheuded by a porsaivant, detuined about is days, and not. released till be bad givuu hond to sppens sheu alled.

Mr. Prynu, upon Mr. Livt's repairing to him, gave him lis fee, and Ins:ructions tor drawing his Answer; and the same being agreed on and setted by Mr. Holt, and Tomlins his other counsel, Mr. Holt's cleck ingrossed u; but Ilule then refused to sign it, eaying he had express order to the cuntrary, and wauld not do it for 100\%. and in the mecan tome Tonlins weut into the country. Mr. Prynn thas deladed, requested the Lurd Keeper, the Chef Judge of that court, to command Mr. Holt, who had drawn it, to sign it ; but the Lord heeper ausweled, be had no poner to command connsel to sign an Ansser. And the court, May 19, positively conlered that for ther contempt in itht putting in their phowers, the matters ugamst Bastwick and l'ryun strou'd be taken pro confessn, nud the (iunse against them should be Weard the fint stting of the next term. As for Mr. Burtun's Anbner, 1 a was signed by Mr. Itult; but atter is had been neer three wheeks ta caurt, upou Mr. Attorncy's suggestion that it whs scandalou, the court referred it to the two 'bief Jistices Branston and Finch. The latter reviel Holt exceedingly, and told him he deseried ts have Lis, gon " pullecl over his care for dawing it: 1Folt replyed, it was only a Confession or explanation of the charge in the Ball, and a recital of acto of parlinnent, and hove that could be scaudalous or impertiment he could not conceise. But the two Sustice. cernfied it to be all scandalous and impoitiinent, except the usual words in the beginaing, 'The said Defeadant by Piotestation not con'resing,' Kec, and the words inthe latter end, containg bis plea of Not Gulty, the conmmon averment that he was ready to prove the matters of Answer, his Prayer of a favouruble miterpretation, and to be dismissed. Sio nill the booly of his Answer, contuming about 40 shects of paper, was expunged, nod nothing but the hearland feet remainet: And by bis Plea of Not Ginity to all, be was nade to deny what he had confessed, and justified in his Answer : And the Exammer coming to hin afterwaids to the Flect, walt Iutearggatorics grounded on his Auswer, he reflused to be exsmined vilens his Auswer might be alnitted as it was put in, ur he permittel to pat in a new one. The Cont ordered the Examiner to repair to him a econd time with the Intcrogatonies; hut beperistug in lus refusal to be cexamined, for that tho Absser now in cout was none of his, the coust ontered the matter of the Inforination and Incrrogaturics to be takeq agakist hinn pro conisso ; and ont the 13th of Jane the Coart orlered the c:use against all the three Defendants o be heard the nest day, aud that in the mean. time they should have literty with their keepers We atrend their counsel. This was loaked ujoin as a short waraing by some, who aflirmed, that by thegeourse of the court, a sulpqua ad audiondum judiciuan should have begn served upon hem 15 days at least befoie the day of heanms. thich was not done, However Nr. Prynn made, use of his liberty, and repaired to $\mathrm{N}_{1}$. Tomelins (tlea newly racorned) wilh his Auswa
newly drawn up and ingrossed ns afyresaid, who signed it, but Nir. Ilolt said he durst not; then Mr. Pryun tendered it thas signeel to Mr. Gonde at the oflice, but he utterly refused to take it.

Mr. Burlon in his Answer, set forth the substance of his, Seruon which he preacheed the 5th of Novamber in his parish clurch in Midaystreet, tunching the wnovations brought inw the eliurch.

Dr. Bustwick in his Answer termed the Prelates Invaders of the king's Prerogaive, Contemners of the Scriptures, advancers of Pupery, superstition, idolatry, profanchess, oppression of the king's suljects, in the impious performunce whercof they shewed neither wit nor honesty; Faemies of God and the king, and serviants of the Devil.

Mr. I'rynn's Ansixer was much against the Hierarchy, but in mure moderate and captious expressions.

June 1.4. The Lords being aet in their places in the Star-Chauber, and the three Deff ndauts brought to the lar, to receive their Seatences, the Lord C'hief Justice Finch lwoking eurnestly on Mr. Prym, said, I hat thought Mr. Prynn had no cats, but nuethinks he hath ears; which caused many of the lords to take the suicter vew of him, and for their betler sativfaction, the wher of the court was cominaaded to tarn up lus harr, and shew his ears: upon the sught whereof the lords were displeased they had leen formeily no more cut off, and cast out some diegraceful words of him. To which Mr. I'rynu replsed,' My lord, there is never a one of your binours, but would he sorry to have your ears is minine are.'
L. Kicrper. In goor's faith he is somewhnt saucy.

Mit Prymu. I hope your honours will not be offended, pray Ood give you cars to hear.
L. Kieper: The lusinesh of the day is to proceed on the l'risozers at the bar.

Mr. Prynn then humbly desired of the conrt to give ham lave to make a motion or two, shich leing gramesi, he moved, Firrs, That thuir honours would he pleasel to necept of a Cross-Bill against the Prelates, sigued with their own hauds, being that which stands with the justice of the court, which be humbly craves: and so tendered it.
L. Kreper. As for your Crnss-Bill, it is not the business of the day; hereafter if the court thall see just caust, nad that it farours not of libelling, we 'may accept of $\dot{x}$ : for iny part $\mathbb{1}$ have not seen is, hat have heard somewhat of it.

Mr. Prynn. I hope your honours will oot refuse it, being it is on his majesty's belalf. We are his majesty's soljects, and therefore require the justice of the court.

1. Kecper. Dut this is not the uusiness of the day.

Mr. Prynn. Why then, my lords, I hare n sreond motion, which 1 bumbly pray your hnmairs to graut; which is, that your lordsbips will be pleased to dismiss the Prelates here now sitting, from laving nny voice in the cenaure of this cause (being generally kilown to
be adversaries) ns being no way agreeable with equity or reason, that they who are our adversaries, should be our juidges. Thercfore we humbly crave theg may be expunged out of the cautrt.
L. Kerper. In good faith, it is a sweet motion, is it not? Herein you are become libellous. And if you should thus libel all the lurds and reserend Judges, as you do the most reverend Prelates, by this your plea, you would hatre none to pass Sentence upon you for your libelling, because they are parties.

Mr. Prymn. Under correction, my lord, this doth not hold, the case is not plike, for here are ouly one or two unembers of the court, who are said to be libelled against, and your lordship yourself in your case agaiust Norton absented yours.If from the hearng, because a party, whirh is usually done by the lords in like p cascs.-But this prevailed nothing.

Mr. Prym. Then I have a thiral motion, which iv, that your lordsbips will recenve my Answer to the Iuformation signed with oue counsel's hand, whech as soon ny I rould get signed, I tendered at thet othice, but it was refused.
L. Kenper. Your Ansser comes now too late, procted to the busincss of the day. Read the Information, which was read being very large, and having these five Books thpreto anucred, Dr. Bastwick's Iatin 'Apolugy,' bis 1.jany, Mr. Barton's book enteled, ' $\Lambda$ ii $\Lambda$ po-- logy for an Appical to the king's most exrel-- lent majesty, with two Sermons for God and ' the Kins.' preacher on the 5 th of November last: The News fiom 1 1 wwich, and the Divine Tragedy, recording Gorl's fcarful Judgnents nganst 'Sabbath-Bieakers.-Thie king's counsel being five, took each of them a sercral Book.

Mr. Attorney began with Dr. Bastwick's Latin Apology: next nutn the Attorncy, styjeant Whittield allls upon Mr. Jhartoa's book, saging, In good faith, ny lords, there is never a page in this Book, bat deserves a heavier and deeper Censure than this court can put upon bina.
Next followed the Archbishop, wha in lite manner ilescinted on The News from I I;swich, charging it to be fall of pernicious lyes; and especially vindicating the honnur of Matthrw Wren, bishop of Norwich, as being a learsed, pious, and reverend father of the ('hurch,

Next followed the king's Saliciter, Mr. Tittieton) who descanted opon the Dinine Tragedy; to which part of it conecrising Find's judgments on Sabbath-13reakeis, he said, That they sat in the Seat of Gud, who judged these accidents which fell out uy on persors cuddenly strack, to be the judgments of God for Sals-bath-breaking. Iie enlarged himself upen that pasage which reflected upon tis majisty's late Attorney-General, Mr. William Noy, who, (he said) was most shamefully abused by a slauder laid upon him, nis if God's Judgment fell upon him, for so eagerly prosecuting Mr. Prynn for his "Histrio-mastix," whioh judgment wis tha;

that he laueding at Mr. Prynn, trulce he was suffering upon the pullory, nios strack with au issue of blood in lus piist-part, which could never be stupt till the dizy of hus denth, which fullowed soon nfier: but the truth of this, my Lords, you shall time to lie as probable os the rest, for we have lare three or four gentenea of goorl credit and rank, to testify upem nath that he hal that insue long before. And the Sulicitor called out far reiom to be made for the peatlevien to come m, but nons such appearcd.

Lastly followed Mr. Ilerberl, who descanting upon Di. . Dastwich's Litauy, concluded jointly with the rest, hat it deserred a heary censure.
L. Kicper. Yisu hear, pentcmen, wherewith you are charged, and now lest yon should say you camot have liverty to sponk for yoursclies, the court gites you leave to speak what you can, "ith these couditions: 1. That you speak within tiec bounds of modesty. 2. That your Speechies be not hibellous.

They all three noswered, they hoped so tn order their speech, tis th lie free from any immudest or litellous speaking.
I. Kerper. Then speak in Ciod's natac, and shetr caure why the court in censure (as tating the cause pro conjosso.)

Mr. Prynn. I expected sone particular Charge to be proved uganst me: Dr. Bastwick and Mc. Burton are charged with particular Boohs to the Information amoeved, bat none of the Books are laid to me; my soie otfence, for which the Iuformation must be caken pro coufesso, is my not futting in my Answer ander counsel's haud hy a day piefixed; whereas I entered my appearance, and took out a copy of the Intirnation, which being taken out, 1 endeavoured to draw up my Answer; but heing shat up close prisouer, i was deserted of all means by which I shouid have done it; for I was no sooner serred with the Subpena, but I was shortly after shat up, close prisoner, prolubited of pen, ink, and paper, aud so disabled to draw up niy Ansuer, or Instructions for counsel; iny servant who should solicit for me was in prison, without being admitted to bail, my friends deuyed access, and wy chamber twice searched; and after I had drawn smene Instructions, and part of my Answer (having then obtaived liberty of pon and ink) thay "ere taken away by Mr. Niculas, Clerk of the Council; your lordslips retused to let me put in my Auswer ander my own haud, though a counsellor at law, contrary to former precedents; your loribhips did at last assign me counsel, but they neplected to cone to me, and when by order of the court Mr. Holt came to me in the Tower, I gave him my Fee and Instructiour, and afterwards Mr. Holt and my ather counscl agreed upon my Answer, caused it to be ingrysed, and promised to nigh it, but Mr. Hult. rf fused to do it then; afterwund $\mathrm{M}_{5}$. Tumlins signed it, pad it was carry d to the oflice, but they refiused it. Here it 13 , 1 tepader it upon any oath, which
your loriships canuot deay with the justice of the cotin.
L. Keaper. We can gire jou a precedent, that this court hath procecided and tiheor a cause pro con/icsso, for not putting in an Answer tu six duys: you bave had a great deal of favour sheacd you, at anlordang yot longer time, and thercfore the comt is fice fom all'calhmny or apperion for rejectung jour Auaws, not signed with counsel's lands.

Mr. Prynn. But one word or two, my lords, I desne your hranous to hear me: I put a case in law, If an award be made that A shall regether with Brad C enter into a houd of 100 l. to S , the award is void, hecause A lath no power to compel is and C to enter into such a foond: tny cate is the same. The comit ondered me til pat in my Answer noder conusel's hands; I endeaveured it, they refused th segn it, I had n- poser to compel then, and densed the cant to ondicr them to sign it ; but the court replied they hadi $4^{2}$ power to force them; how theon could 1, a clase prisoner, comped them, if the court could not? By this meais the most innocent person in the world many le made cuilly ot what erimes you please. I appeal to Mr. Ilolt, if 1 bave not used all my endeavours be git him to sign my Answer.

Mr. Holt. There "as so long time spent ere I conld do asy thiag after I was nasigned lis counsed, that it was impossable his Answer conld be drawn up ith so short a time as was allutted; for after loug expectation, seving he caace not to me, I went to him, where I found him shat up close pisoner, so that I could not have access to him: whereupun-I motioned to the Lirutenant of the Tower to have free litierty of speceh with him concerning his Answer, shich being granted me, I found hinn very willing and desons to have it drawn up; whereupon I didd mors in this coutt for pen and paper, which was granted, the which he no suoner had gottea but be set liinself to draw up Instructions, and in a shat time sent wic $\mathbf{3 0}$ sheets, and soon after I received 40 more; but 1 found the Answer so long, and of stuch a nature, that I daret not set my hand to it, for fear of giving your honours distaste.
Mr. Prynn. My lords, I did nothing but according to the directions of my counsel, only 1 spake iny own words: my nuswer was drani up by his conscut, it was hys own act, and he pid approve of it; and if he will he so batse a Coward, to do ghat in prisate which be daned nut ackuosledge in public, I will not bave such a sin lic on my conscience, let ît rest with hiul. Here is ny Answer, which though it be not signed nili, their hands, yet here 1 tender it upon my oath, which you caunot in justice dsny.
L. Krrper. Your case is good laws, but ill applied; tic court desires no such long Answer, kot whether you are Guilty or not Guilty.

Mr. Mryan. By the statutcs of Phil. and Mur, and of Eliz. in the caso of libelling the king or queen, the party's confestion, or two nithesses fuce to face are reguired, clop no
ennviction, and here is neither; nor is there in all the Information oue clause that doth particularly tidl on ime, bat only in general. There is no Book luid to my charge, und shall I be candemned tor a particular act, when no accusation of any particular act can lie brought agaiust me ?" This were most myjust and wickef. Here I telider my Ansicer to the Information upon ny ustin; my lord, you do impose impossthilities upan me, 1 conla do no more then I did.
I. Kreper. W'ell, hold your peace, your Answer comes too late. What say you, Dr. Bastwick?
Dr. Bastwick. My honourable lords, methinks you look like an Assenibly of Gods, and sit in the place of God; Ye are called the Sons of Cod ; and siace I have compared you to gods, give me leave a litule to parallel the one wtin the other, to see whether the cmmpanson lietween God and you doth bold in thas noble and righteous cause. This was the carruge of Almighty God an the cause of Sulem, before he would prooounce Sentence, or asecute Judginent, he would tirst come dowis, and see whether the croue was altogethre acemining to the cry that was come up. And with whom doth the lord consult, when the tame down? With his servant Abraham, and he gives the reason ; 'for 1 know,' (siuth hee) that Abraham will command bis chlderen and - houshold after him, that they shall kecp the ' way of the Lord to do justice and judgnemt.' My grod loris, thus stands the cane between your honours and us thus day: there is a great cry come up into your ears against us from the king's Attorney; why now lie jot plasel to descend and see if the crime be according to the cry, and consult (with God) (not the Prelates, being in the ndversary part; who, as it is apparent to all the world, do proudly set themselves nguiust the ways of God, and from whou none can cxpert justice or judgnent) but with rigitcous men, that will be impartual on either side, beiore you proced to cewsure, which censure you cannot paos on us without great injustice betore you hear our Answers reud. Here is my Answer, which I here tender upon iny outh: My good lords, give us leave to speak in our own defence. We wre not conscious to ourselves, of any thing we lase done that deverves a Censure this day in this honourable court, but that we have ever laboured to anaintain the bonour, dignity, and prerogative royal of our solereign lord the king; ; let uy ldrd the hing live for ever. IIad I a thousand lives, I should think them all too little to spend for the maintenance of his majesty's royal prerogative. My good lords, can you procied to censure before you know my cause ? I dare undertahe, that scarse any one of your lordships have read roy looks: and can you then ceusure me for what yue know not, and befose I have made my Defence? O any noble lords! is this righteous Judginent? This were against the law of God and man, to condenn a man before you know his crine :

The Governor before whom St. Paul was carried, who was a tery Heation, would first hear his cause before he would pass any censur. upon ham; and doth it beseem ao inotle and Clirstian nssemibly to condemn me betore my Anwwer be frerused, aud my catse known? Men, brethren, und fathers, into what an age are we fallen? I desire your homons to lay asde your Censure for this day, to enquire int., my canse, nud hear my thaswer read; wheh if you refuse to do, I bere proliess, I wall clothe it in Aloman baff, and send it abroad unto the view of all the world, to clear mine innoceary, and thew your great iguastice in this cause.
J. Kicgicr. Bat this is not the Lusino-s of the day; why brought jou not m jour Auswer in due time?

Dr. Buslue. My loril, a long time since 1 teadered it to jour homour, I falled not in any , one partucular: nad if mis counecl be so bse and cowardly, theo they dare not sign if tir fear of the 户oflater, as 1 can mahe st appes. therefife have 1 no Answer? My liord, here is my Ancw. w, which though my centinel nut of a baise sprit dare not set ther hands unto, g.t i leuder it upon my oath.
L. Kerpir. Dar, Mr. Doctor, you shonld have been brief; you tentcred in two large un Answer, whath, as 1 hearil, is us bibellous as your Borhs.

Dr. Basta. No, my lord, it is not libellous though large; I have mone to moswer for me but myself, and being left 10 mysclf, I must plead iny conscence an answer to every circamstance of diculomation.
L. Kiser. What say yon, Mr, Doctor, aie you Gulty, or not Gulty? answer yea, or no: you needed not to have troubled yoursulf to much about so large an Answer.
Dr. Buster. I kuow none of your hononrs lewe read my Buok; and can you with the justice of the comrt, condemum mefore you hious what is witten in my Books?
L. Keper. What s.ly you to that was read to you even now?
Dr. Bester. My lord, he that read it did so murder the sense of at, that had 1 not known what I had writen, I could not tell "hat to have made of it.
L. Keeper. What say you to the othce Sentence read to you?
Dr. Basta. That was none of mine, I will not father that which was mone of my own.
L. Dorset. Did not you send that Book, as now it is, to a voldenara's house, together wath a letter directed to him?
Dr. Bustw. Yea, my luril, I did so, hut withal you may ser in my Epistle set before the flook, I did at first disclain what was not nine. I sent my Book over liy a Duch merchunt, whu it was that wrote the Addution I do nut hnow, but my Epistle set to my Book made manifist what was mine, and what wis not; and I caunot justly suffer, for what was none of muie.
I. Arundcl.' My lord, you hear by lus own Sperch the cruse is takey yiro onefisso.
L. Keeper. Y $\mathbf{c}$, you say trie, my lord. ,-'
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Dr. Las'w. My noble loni af Arnodel, I know you are a nuble prince in Itach, mad a feat peer of this realm; these are some hoaourable loris on this court, that have been firceed vot as combatation in andegle fluel; it is texwent tue Proctes absl ur, at this time, as hetween tho then lene sppomed the ficted. The one Elac; a manail guen tir the matetrate, and by urtue on hanallemy disamos the other of his wespons, sad; mas fan a bolirush, tuad then ciatlenen bom to isht. If the be not
 soldicr. Ition in the cise hetween the Prelates und us, ha whithe andy our we.pons (our An-swei-) bj bitte of giur sutherrity, hy whech we sinuld d, teal waicelies, and jot ibey bal un fight. My lowl, woth mat then sumer of a howe comatily -pent? It now, my lond, thenes udkerec gonctiotm (ior my senteice was passed

J. Kicine, whon sind haw sur Cinsure, Witine the cultre fayo it? Do you prophey of yoncedvis?

Dr. Jiastir. My lord, $I$ an alke to poove it, nud that fiom the mou'h of the I'relato own forrante, thet in Aleghot ha.t it was di- $\operatorname{crccol}$, that D2. Phetwikg should lowe hise ear- O wis

 nen! I lane incon a sultur able to leal an


 rors; and to chentue a lamon's evir, like a

 regheots and honourable a canse? The cnuse, my lo.st-, It Ereat. H cone me tee plory of Coil, t, Hemour if our kug, whose prergative we lab,ur to maintain aud to ect up in a hielh mesmer, in which yrur hemous hiveres, ate engaged: Sud duth not theh a wase deurne your horlships consileraton, Letore you proceed tis rensure? Your lontems may be: pleased to comenter, that ia the last canse heard aud romureal in thas c in.t, betwern ir Janes Bhaf: mat the lord Modtat, whereion vour loribliys towk a e en deal of paris, with a greatedeal of pathens:, to fisar he Bills mon buth sides, with all He Ancusts and Depontions
 yon harl fully hean, some of sone homours now rit'ing un cont, :n, i, :om con,! not an conscience penced to rabare, will gou had taken some it it ter rese, ct younelios. If in a couse
 and alewwhis incostent yours lies hefore you would pase cr bisire, have much mare shooid it move you th nomrs tor tahe sume time in a canse wherein the glory of Givi, the prer.ggative of his minjesty, your hotaours disnty, and ithe Sulijects Liberty is so lagely ingaged? My pond lurds, it may fill out to be any of yom bonkthips cased to stand as deluquents at this har, as ue now do: It is not unhamwin to ynur homours, the next cause that is to succe cal ours, is tonchang a person that sometimes bath been in greatest pows
in his count: And if the mntations and revolutions of peroons and unes be such, then I do most hmably lee-ech your honours to look on nis, ils it may becfal yourselves. But if all this nill not preval with your honours to peruse my Lhookh, and bear my Answer read, whinch bere I tender mun the word and nath of a soldher, a gentloman, a scholar, and a phessician, I will cleth then (as 1 sam belore) tn Roman buif, and dispictes them thoughout the Cliristian norld, that future peaerations may see the illnocency of the cause, and your hanours minist phoceding in it ; all which 1 will do, thourih it corr me my life.
L. Kerper. Mr. Ductor, I thonght you would, Le angry.

Dr. Busta. No, my lord, yuu are mistaben, I ain bor augry or passmonate; all that 1 do prese is, that you would be pleated wo prowe bis Auswer.

1. h.ape: Well, huit your pase. Ms. Batun, what say you?

Air. Firrtout, , liy (It shatd -ceme) do deacrmene wo consule in, ard tahe our cane pom congos, althareh ne have. lahoured th \&ise your ionomas sith ar tion in all thinzs. My lord, whot wou have to say agoinst
 any thene out of mitent of '(mmoonom, or helithin: I deliveresl nuthes bout what my text led



 lur you to prearb, beit to answer to those thulys


Nit. Bhirian. My locit, 1 have drawn up my Answer to my xrcait pains and changes, whith Answer was sizned with my chun-its lamik, and received into the court, accorsing to therule whd ouder thereof. And I dad aot thank to Lative becn called the day 10 a C'ensure, lat have hat a legat procetding by way of Bill aud she ster.
I. Kirper. Your Anster was impertinent.

Mr. Bustun. Diy Auswer (after it wis entered into the court) was acterred to the Jndeen, but by what mean, 1 do not know. Whather it be mpertinent, and what cause your lorkhups had to cant it our, I hious bot; bitt after it was approted of, and recened, it was cast out us an unherfinent Answer.
L. S'meth. The Judges did you a good tuin is make it mopertinent, for it was as bhe lous as yo. ir Brok, so that your Answer deberved a censtre alone.
I. Kecper. What saytyou, Mr. Burton, arc you Guilly or not?

Mr. Burtun. My lord, I desire you not only t" peruse my Elook heie and there, tut cvery passige of it.
J.. Kcepes. Mr. Burton, time is short, are you Guilly or not Gailty! What say you to that whicli was read? Doth it hecome a minister to delier himseli in such a rating and scandalons way?

Mr. Burton. In my judgment, and as I
can prove it, it was neither railing nor scandlous; I conceqve that a mimster hath a larzer liberty than ulw.ly, to go in a moll strain: I bemg the pastur or my people, whon I fad in charge, and wasta intruct, I supporsed it was my duty to udurn then of these Innovition: that are crept intu the Chusch, as hacesion of the danger und ill consequence of them: antion my Auswer, ye bloted ont whot ye woni.l, and then the rest whach made bext i, cide, gon would h.ve b, stand; cuul how for me ta teader ouly what will serve fiec your osa timins, athd renounce the rest, weae bo deater my cause, which liethe I will do, or derept my
 deacer it tip to your lerdsing, to du wide it what you will.
L. Kiceper. This is a place where you shanid crave mery and Lavour, Mr. Burtan, athl wh stand unon hich terms as yot do.

Mr. Bartur. There wherem I iswe othoule-f
 portha; and I pay (ind, that ia gour bentence you may wo cenatiry us, that you may not sio ug, thest the Lorid.
Thus the Prisoters dosiring to speak a litele morest for thomehose, wi re enomanded to silence. And on the bord proced dal to Censone.
L. Cothangan. I condenin thre three then tis lane tueir ean in the Pabuee sard at Wrat-
 jeny; an! 1 to perpetunt meprivenment in three remote faces of the homplom; wonsly, the castle of Carnarrun, C'm, wall, and Latocsier.
L. Finill., 1 con lemin Mr. Pryin to be stiphatioed in the lieel.g with two lethers (s) \&i.) tor a Simthon ?labeiler. Tow wheth all the legen, agrest. Amed so the Lord heepice concfuded the Cex-sure.

## Archinshor Latd', Speecla*

My lurds; I shath, wot neel to speat of the infimous course of lobllung in aty brud: nor

- The origias, of the flloang Juter from Bastwich to Latl, is anoug the Als, is the library of Lambeth Palace, whel the Arcisioshop of Canterhary alligngly peram.ted to Le copied fur this work, (A. D. 18u9.)
- To Will. Canterburie, repentance and mercir
${ }^{4}$ from nur Lord Chad.
'Sir; About thre Jaies ago there came to me
'a messenger not urexpertell, who cited ise
- into the Star Chamber, to misscare to certma.
- crime whell there be objerte-d against me. It
- is well, wether ann [ 'afraili, wer'her dot I yevine
- priaily to sechew the danger of the Traull, moly
' let this bounte of yours (although you he a

- forwad us mierable men, not suly in word
- but in deed; lout one thing there is ukiech
- troubleth me murh, povence and, want of
- money, to which your noer devouring prison
- hath brought mee. I beecarta you therefire
- that you would take pitternou mee ponre men.
- and to grant soune swall parcell of, anoneyor
of the punishaneut of it, which in some ciases wav capatal hy tive imperual laws. As appeas:


Nor haw paticutly whegrat min $n$, very great madee. l, have hurne ' anho civh' (ilat's Suetowh's (rou4) - laceratom raistunathonem, the

 Shin I, licy aue mont odio is which pretend Reltana ; as ut that of call thangs dod desire to Le velempod hy a 'mouta that is lade an opea "paichios:' w by repen that is made of $n$ sick and a lenthome "puill.-There were nues when P'un, atpmo were gieat on the Churdi, eaten to eveent lrubatity itesil: did any Natyr or Sout ser, in thase tanes, hiter the gonermurs? Sm-by wo: wet one whin to to my be-t rememLemere: y:1 thene ala;hin if prisicention wothoit aht shew of cons, anil in the mean twe liled and r,al whhtht all nesarese. S. bitic of : tot be they bo thow nhath suifer for Chist, ar des hat prato of Chostan M ligion.
My lorst, it bs mot "triy matis spinito to hold up :ughes the wno.a whinh latelifos spit. Forst. Lini.rest, who was a stout and woully paclate, twho tio, (lin 1. Apal. Waral. c, 6) Hot that hobseff, hat that a fir gieater ma than he, that is, ! me'lansd, had found out (zo it scoins in lin juibement it waty nos matter of indinury ability) ${ }^{2}$ 'unde inventum, agrat and mishey metitim, how to swallow ani put off thase butser contanulus of the tomene; and tho-e of the pen are no whit loss, and spread forticer. Ami it was a great one insked, and well beseencai the greathere of 1)aval = Isat 1 thank $u$ will be fas hetter for mer bs howh upwarit, and prastise it, then to lölt d whyand, and di-conise upan it.
In the mean tine I shall remocmber w!at an bitent tuder the nane on Sr. Jern her rells me, - indenum ect et prapotoman,' it is mavorthy in iteetrand prepostations in dencansur, tor a man to te stiamall for ding goon, because wher tnen Llome to sposhine ill. Aad I can - iy it denty . wal indy, as in the presence of E.nd, I have dene nothimg as a l'relate, to the
' out of y, our tre surce, , we tauch as may sul' lite to pase the scriceuers for roppeang out 'of lencis and artichtes, for without cuppies 'how shall the controncoic be detided, and 'withost fees the scribe, hasuls growe faint,
 ' wil' modewar fir this that 1 pmone wretche I ! ans aldozeather fatlen to decane may be ad-
 Gory mach that I si,ould moterrupt you with 'my pe ionn, you leang at all thenes imployed ' whth waghtic athiars, butt the necessitic of tike 'tiaces and of my for:uncs is so urgent that I ' cannot courend my :ervice unto yom, witiout 'some molestacion anto jour urgent occupar 'tions.

- How than farest in thy pallare temandeth ' in tumbor pativan,'
Mards 10, 1636. Jous Dasiwicer
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utteranst of what $\int$ am couscions, bint with a swsle heart, and with:a simere mention for the pood gonernerent anil lamane of the ('harch, nuet the morntenance of the Onthendon-Truth and R. Gigim of ('hant proseocd, mathathed, and mantanes in the, ('lumeh of Fingland.

For my cotre uf the (hurile, the reducing of it ino butier, the uptroldheg of the extemal worship of Gind in it, and the settlang of it to the rules of it tioc ! !cimimatime, are the causes (and the selce caser, whateser ane preended) of nll the maticens, stown; which hath lowed an blapk $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ on mar, nul ome of my hrethron. And we the mean tome, they whe hare the ouly, o. the rine flouonator, of the Cherstan warlit, hworg not hing to saty, accuse us of Innovation; they themshou and their complaes, in the mein tme, beng tie gr ateot tomerators that t'e ('britimn wold hath almont eser howno. I deny mot lut who base saced more dangerons Errors in the Church of Cbrat: But 100 men, it suy age bit, hine lieen more gulty of Inneration than ther, whle themon lese cry out agannst it : ' (Ruis thilerit (irav clon?"

And I said will, 'Qa,b tulert Grach hins?' for it sumst appese at to any wan that will wot wiok, that tha pyen'win of the me men, and
 leteng as great me ndiares in the state (where the $y$ set ponet) as they have ever heen on the Chivec; Norstiso humedf hardly giester.

Gor monn crime os ("ould they all speak out ns tome of them sos) that we are Brdop: (Ghation Apol p. 110, we;e we not so, vame of ns meght he as pastable as ontier men. Aud n genent trombice it in to the m, that we Avintan that our C illing of Binlup-1s Jare Dreino, by
 in this pire, wh lembitit' fase : mon will I refest. Only this I will say, sam abuide by it, ther the Collime of Kishope in Jare th: inv, by divine ripht, tiongl: not all teljunct- is their calling I.nt tha I any in as direct opporation to the Chasch of Rone : O to the furitan lonmone. And I sey firther: That from the nopotles times, al all ages, in all planes, the Chuch of Clatat was governed by Dishops; and Lay Eldis inever luard of ult Calrin's newfangled device it (ienesa.
Now this is made by these men, as if it were contra regen, neaiust the king, ig ifht or m power. But thit', t mese ignarint shef; for our being Bishar, Jure Divino, by divine right. takes nuthing fiom the hug'\} regt or power over us. For thonghtion office be frem God and Christ mmednately, ret may we not exercise that power, cither no order or jurisdiction, but as (Gid hath apomstef nx, that is, not mis sis majesty's, or my ' 'luitian king's kingdoms, but by and under the power of the king given us so to do.-Awl were thia a good argument against us, as Bistopy, it mast needs be gond against Priests and Mimnters tori; for themselves grant that their calling is Juee Derino, by duine right; and yet I bope they will not say, that to he pricsts and mimsters is against the king, or any his royal prerogatires.

Nest suppose our callines as Bishops, could not be made pood, Jure Dicine, hy divne right; yet Jure Eccleseas/ico, by ecrlesiastical right, it cantoot he denied. And here in Fughand the Bishops ane contirmed, hoth in their power and means, by act of pathament. Su that here we stand in us good carc, as the present lans of the ralm can make us. And so we must stand, thll the Lis? shall be fepealed by the same power that made them.
vow then, supprose had monther string to hold ly (I say suppose this, but I grant it not) yet no man caus libel asamet onr ('atlang (as these men du) be it mpulpt, phat, or otherwore, lout he libels agaiust the hing and the state, by whose laws we are establiohited. Thereforr, afl these Libels, so far forth an they are agmat our calling, are aganat the houg and the haw, and can have no other purpore than to stir mp Sultion anue of the propite. If thicre met hod aty other intentrni, or if they hail any Christaiai or clamable desos: to retuna any thing saniss; why dal they not moolosily petttion his majcsty about it, that in has princely wisdom he meghe st all theme reght, ma just anil onde!!y manner? But thas was wilher ther intention, mor way = for mee Clmamis ont of he pulpt, sand all of them fom the pres, and in $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{a}}$ mast virolent and whehnstan manuce set themerlec, to make a leat nomeny tiat frisple; and so by motuy, to clicecthat, ulon la by las they raunot; mund by most forlor and uniuse calataiee to deflune both nor collangs aid persous. Bat fir uy part, as I pty thesr rape, to I beatily pray Gud to firgite thear nasitice.

No nttion beth seer appeared :none jealons of Keligion, than the people of Fangland have ever been. And their zeal to Gud's gloy hath Leen, and at thi day is a great lomour to them. But thas zeal of thers, hath not hemin all times sad in all promeas althe guted by huowledge. Now real, us $n$, is of escelient use where it secs its way, it is si, very dangerons crmpany where it goes on in the darh:' and theac inen, bnowng the dispumation of the people, have laboured mothing more than to misemfons their hnowedec, and nussuide thenr ceal, and so to fire that moto a Sedtion, in hope that they, whom they causelessly hate, mught miscarry in it.
For the mam scope of these Libels is, to hindle a je slousy m men's mind, that there are vine great plots in hand, dange rous plots (o) s.ays, Mr. Burton expressly p. 5) to change the C.rtherdox Relipion established in England; and tn briug in I know not whit, Romish Su-

* You may see it in the example of St. Paul himself, whose rery zeal in the darkness of his understandug, which be then had, made him persecute Christ nud his Church, Acts xxi. S, 4. And he wasvery dangerous company then; for he breakied out threatenings ugninst the disciples, Acts ix, 1. So true is thatoof St. Gireg. Nom. Orat, 21. Zrtus racundum armil: ail zeal puis an edge to anger itself. And that must neada be dangerous in the dark.
perstition in the room of it. As if the external decent Worshap of tion could not he upheld in the buggdon, without bringing in of l'opery.

Now by this art of theirs, give me leave to tell you that, the Ring is most deoperately abusel and woynded in the minds of his people; and the Pielates damefully.
Ther King most desperately: fine there is not at more connugg trick in the world to, withdraw the people's thearts from their Kinereign, than to per-uade them that he in changing the fl-fighan and about to bing in gross supersition upoo them.
And the Prclates shamefiully: for they are charged to seduce, and hay the plot, and be the instruments.
For his Alajesty first. This I know, and upon this occasun take it my duty to speak: there 19 mo pince in Chontendom nore stacere in his relgiven, nor more countant th it, than the kine. Aned be gave surh a restimony of thes at lis bevez in spans, as 1 mach doubt whether the beet of that factou durst have flone lasi so much as bi, majesty thd, in the tiace of that hmefom. Ani the you, my lord, the veth of Itoiland, unf otber persons of honowr, were ye and ear nitueses of, having the lanpposes to attend him there. And at this dav, as lus majenty /by Goil', great blessume Luth on him nud us) houss mure, to is he more attienl asit mare comtioned, both om the truth of the retigun la re establshell, and in resoluLian to maty

And the the Prechato: I assure myself, they esamont be $\omega$ ther as to lise Prelates in thic Church of Euglam, and jatour to britg in the Smpentitons of the cliurch of Rome apon themeraes and it. And of any flotid bere foul, I do not only lease lom to Gort', juitsment, Lat (uf thes labe lers, onany other, can
 to shane also, and seocre puaslanent from the state: and in any just way, no man's hand shall be more, of sooner agmast him, than nime shall lee.

Aud hor My-edf: To pass hy all the scandalons reproaslies wheh they hise must mjuriously cast npou me, 1 shatl saty this ouly ; 1. I know of no Plot, nor purpose of altering the Itelgion established. 2. I have ever been tiar foom attempting apy thing that may traly be sadd to tend that way in the least degree : and to these tivo h. here offier my opth. 3. If the king had a mind to change Religion, which 1 know he huth not (and God forbid he should ever have), he must seek for other instruments. For buscly as these inen conceive of me, yet I -thank God, I know my duty uell both to Goul and the king: and 1 know that all the duty I owe to the king, is under God. And my great happiness it is (though not mine thmie, but your lordohips and all his subjects witle nue) that we live onder a gracious and religious king, that will ever give us leave to scrve God first, and him next. But were the days otherwise, I thauk Clisit for it, I yet know nob how
to serse tuy man against the truth of (iod, and heper I strill never harn t.

But to retun to the business: W'hat is their at to mate the work lehere a Change of ke ligiou is endeavoured? What? why torsorth, thry say, there are freat Innovatmos broughit in by the Iredates; and ateh as tend to the adsanceng of Bopery. Now that the vanty and Fabloond of thas nu:y apprar, I suall humbly desirc vour loriblips to gade me leate to recite burily all tia Innowatoms clageded upon us, be they of less or greater mement; and as brichly to answer them. And then jou shall chariy sce, whecher uny cause hath heen given of these unsavonry L.biels; and withal, whether there be any sicw of cause to fiar a Change of Reloguoll Ami I will take these gieat pretended lamuations in mider as I meet with them.
Fint I bemen with the "News from Ipsvicl."
Where the first Inaovation is, (p. 2), "That 'the last gear's Fast was enjoyncd to be with' out Sermons in London, the suburbs, and - other infecteal places, contrary to the orders - for other Fasts in former tim's: whereas Scr' mons are the only meany to bumble men,' $\mathrm{x} . \mathrm{c}$.

To thas 1 say, 1. That an afier-age may, without offence, learn to avoid any visible inconvenience ouserval in the former. And there was visble incontenience observed it men's former flochang to sonnons in infected places. -2. Thus natan particular net of the Prelates; but the byapers was dehated at the CouncilTable, bruag a momer ot state, as well as of roligoy. And it was concluded for no Sermuns in those inferted places, ppon this reawon: that infected persims or familes, known In their own genshes, might not tahe occasion apon those hy-di.ys, ta run to other churches, where they were imet Lnown, as many use to do, to hear some humnorous men presch: fint on the Sunday, whin they better kept their awn clurches, the d.unger is not so great alto;-gether.-Nor, 3, i+ lhat true, that Sormuns are the only meam to hamble men. For though the preaching of God's Wand, where it is performed according to his ordinance, be a great means of many good effects in the souls of men: yet no Scrinons are the only means to hmuble men. And smue of their Sermons are fitter a greatdeal for other operations : natuely, 'to stir up Sedition, ns you may see by Mr. Rurton's; for this his printed Libiel was a Sermon firt, and a hibel tso. And it is the best part of a Fast to ubstain from such Sermuns.
2. The second Inuoration is, (p. 3.) 'That - Weduesday was appointed tor the Fast-day, - nud that this was done with this intention, liy 'the example of this Finst without preaching, in 'suppres all the dVeduerday-Lectures in Luil'don.'

To this I answer, 1. That the appointing of Wednesday for the Fart-day, was mo Luaciar .. ." tior it was the day ia the last Fast betiore
this: and I myself remember it so, above futy years since, more thay onte: 2. If there had been any Innuvation in it, ile prelates anmed not the day: my lord-Kecper, I must appeal to your londship; the day was first named ly your lordshii, as the urunland bittest day : and yet 1 date say, and snear ton, ihat your lord ship had $m$, ium to bring in l'opery; nor to suppress all, or any the Wednenday-Lectures in London. Besides, these men live ta see the Fist endert, aud no one Wednesday-decture suppressed.
3. The third Ianowation is, (p. 3.) *That - the Penver Gor seasmable weather was purged * out of this last Fast-book, which was (xay they) - one cause of Slapwrechs and tempestunus ' weather.'
To this I say, 1. in the general ; this Fastbook, and all thot late formenly been made, have teea hoth made and pullisised by the: command of the ling, in whier sole power it is to call a liat. And the Archbislapp and Bistops to whom the orderng of the Book is committed, hase poiver under the hing to put in, or leave out, whatsoever they think fit fur the present ocention; no their prest eswass have ever done lefore them. Prosided that nothing be in contary to the doctine or discipline of the Church of Einglond. Aisd this may serve in the general for all nherations, 14 that or any other Fast-book, or bools of dewotinn upon nuy particular octasions, whela nay and ought to vary with several times; and we may, and do, und will justity, undem !, is majest's's power, all such Alterations made thercin. -2. For the particular. When this last Brok was set out the weather was very seasonable; and it is nut the custm of the Church, inor fit in itself, to pray for seasonable weather whea we have it, but when we want it. When the former Book was set out the wtather was extreme ill, and the hanest in danger; now the harvest was in, and the weather good-3. It is most inconscypent to say, that the leaving that Prayer out of the Book of Devotions, caused tine Shipurecks and the Tempests which fotlowed. And as bold as they are with Giol Almighty, in saying it was the couse, sure 1 tan, God never told them that was the cause. And if God neter revealed it, they camut cone to hnow it : yet had the Bishops been I'rophets, and forescen these accidents, they would certainly have prayed against them.-4. Hiel any minisuer found it necessary to use this Prayef at any one tone during the Faist, he mizht with ease, and withont danger, have supplied that want, by usin; that prayer to the same purpone which 1 in the ordinary litersy.-5. Thumbly. desire your lurdships tio weigh nell the consequence of this greut and dange ous Inoovation. The Prajer for fair weather was left out of the Book for the Fast ; therefore the prelates intend to bring in popery. An excellent couscguence, were there any shelv of reason in it.
4. The firurth Innoration is, (p. 3.) "That 'there is one rery usefut Collect left out, and ' chasse omitied in another.'

Tuthis I answer, 1. as laffore: It was lawfu! for us ta alter what we thought lit. And 2. Since that Collect made mention of preaching, and the Act of State forbad Satnons on the Fast-days in infected places; we thonght it fit, in pursuance of thet orrler, to leave out that collcer. Aul 3. Fior the hayget in the other, whirs is the first collea, thoogh God dut deliver our foreffathors out of Romi-h Supersition, yet (God lie blessed for it) we were neser in. And therefore that Clause beomg untittingly expressed, we thought fit to pass it ower.
5. The fifth lanovation is, (p. 3.) That in - the sisth Order for the Fist, the ier is a 1'as'sage left ont © oncerning the Abuse of Fasting ' m relation to menar.'
To thex I answer, Thent lie to whm the orderng of thit Book to the pross was conmuttel, did inereliore lease at our, incause in thas nge and homgluas there is litile oppion of meritheg by Fasting. Nay, the the constary, the contemper amb morn ot al Vasilly fave wher hamnuroms men call tor of themelves) is so ranh, that it would grieve auy (has-um man to ser tie necessay orders of the Clanch concermag fisiang, boih in Js-nt, and at other set tian-, oo shlified as tha y we.
6. 'The enth Lumation is, (p. 3.) 'That the G lady Siraiketh and ber prucely chadiden we 'da. hied' (that is their phrase) 'ont of the ' new collect, whereas they were in the Collect ' of the tormer Itakh,'
For thi, 1. The author of the News knows tull well innt they are lett ont of tiw C'ollect in the hatter editionsot the Comarm Prager-haoh, as weil as in the Brok for the Yant. And thr was done according to the course of the Church, which ordmarily names none in the I'raser, but the right line descendiag. Set this was not thene till the hing himedf commanded at; abl have to shew modier his majeotys hand -2. I begeech your hordasips to consider what mut be the consequanes heve: the queen of Bohecmis and her clubdren are left out of the Collect, therefore the I'ielates intend to hing in D'opery ; for that (yan hann) Ithe; say is the end of ith these Imarathos. Noir of this be the cast and the comequence, tonly the libellers have done very datidully to the king , to poison his pemple with this conceit, that the lady Elizableth tand ber children twonll heep Popery ost of this hinglom, but the ling and his choldresp will not. And nany as good viticus as thees havo they done the litug equite thorow there Liblels, and quite thom row his hmgdoms. For my part, 1 hunour the que en of Bohenia, aind her line, us murh as any man whateosce, and shall be as ready to serve thear; but I know not how is depare from my allçiance, ay I doubt these men have done.
7. The seventh Innorution is, (p. 3.) 'That ' thes- Words' (s, ho att the father of thme elect and of their seed) ' me changed in the prefare ' of that collect, which is for the prince nad the "king's cluddren,' Ami with a most spiteful inference, that 'this was done by the pichatos

- to exclude the hing', children ont of the num"ber uf Giod's Elect.' And they call it 'un - intolerable mpiety, and hurrid treasun.'

To this I anower, 1. That the Alteration was made in my pretecesor's time before 1 ham :aly tathanly io medtle with the-e thineq, farther then I wis c.lleal upon by lime. 2. Thrs i) hot the refore, to lay any abpenson upon my preiectsor, for tie dal in that but hiv duty; fior hin mejesty achuoulctlges it was dane liy has spectaid diectiom, as lateby no chatider to pay for. Aum But, thas Colle conld not be topy whl, for it bud no beeme in the Common-I'rayer-bouk all the en blasabeth's time, ble having no isue. - The thelbis, it was ted de at the commet in of bher James; and nate of

 And this is ilpe ' natolesabide Itapicty and hourid 'licastan' they clarge uphom us.

In thes methon the lumation ares se donn an the 'Nuss' Trom I Bhertun', 'New tiom Friday-strect' (ralled his * Apolacy; they are in another urder, and noore see ahdit. Therefore with your hardlizis lene I will the requat my of these, but go in to the rect, whet h Mr. Burton adds.
4. The euglith Inmovation is, Tlat in thee Epistle the souday before Eanter, we lave put out 'In,' and male it, 'At tipe Aame of Jesh') every knee shall bow: wheh witerninon, lie nath, is dircoly games ble's act of gratament. (Btaton'sappology, p. ...)

Hete ghe wa leane be teil you, it is ' $A$ the ' Name of' .Jenus,' in the lote learned Trandstion mate in high Jabacis mate. Aborit whah many leaned men of hesy nute in the harglom were mplused, he shlees some pro lates. Biat th
 Praye-ibonk was contimed by act of purliament, and on all thans containgal $m$ it, :s: the pasting of that act. Ifot thowe of any thone nere fine pronted there, the pathameat had now intend to frouthere dita for emirent. 2. I am not of opsuial, thit it one word te fut in for another, so they beat tuth the stane somes. that there is any great mate done ogaint dae net of Parliament. 3. The can make no Jnnovation. Ior 'In the Nauw,' and ' At the - Name of Jens,' can make sion coomial cifforeuce hers. And Mr. Piym (whore darling Imainess it hath lopge heen to rry down the honour due to the Son of God, at the mentionms of hin saving n, ale J (stus) hrows the Grammar mbe well, ' In a place, or at a place," Ase. 4. If thete "cre airy error in the change of ' In ' into ' $A t$,' 1 du here solemen!y protest to yous, J haw not how it came: for nuthonity from -the Prelates, the primers had none; and such a word is camply changed in suchathenfigent pros as we hate in Eughond Or if my atterall it parpusely, fir ought I know. hary dud it 11) gratily the preciscr zont; for theremt they follonectithe Geneva translation, and printed nt Genesa 1557, where the words are, 'At the 'Name of Jesits.' And that is eighty year, usa; and ther-fore no lunwation made tiy us.
5. This I find in the queen's injunctions, (52.) without either word, In or $\Lambda t$, " Whensoeser the Name of Jesus shall be in any lessum, serrann, or otherwise pronounced in the church (iss eujoined) that dac reverence be mate of all persems, young and old, with lowloness of cotiss, and uncovering of the heals of the memhind, as therenuto doth necessarily belong, and heretufore hath been accustomed.' So here is necessity lail upon it, and custom for it, and tooth exprensed by suthority in the very lics ....ung of the Reformation; and is therefore no imbutation now.
9. The aunth Immvation is, "That two places are changed $m$ the Prayer set forth for the fifin of Noncunter; and oridered to be read (they say) by act of parliabeat. The first place is changed thas, bom, not out that Bahylonish and antelansian seet wheh say of Jirusalem, Ne.' Inte thas form of words; ' gout ont that Dabylmi-h tund ag'ichristian sect, (of them) which say, sic.' The sicond place went thas in the old: : Cut of those workers of iniquity, whose Relngion is Rebellion. But in the book printid 1/i3:5, '(as thus altered) Cut off those worhers of auruty, whoturn Ieligion into Rebellum, Ne.'

To this I say, 1. 'Tis a notorious untruth, that this Dork wis orviered to be read liy act of parliament. The att of parlianent indeed is printed belore it: and therein is a command fior Paseis and Thanksgivings every 5th of Noxembie:, but not une nord or syllable for the Forn of 1'rayer. That's leff to the Claurch, thetefore bieis no ionotation against that set of | Srlament. 2: The Alteration first mentioned, that is, 'That sict,' or 'that sect iff them;' is of so madl consequence, as it is not worth the speaking of. Bi sides, if tiere be any thang of momentim it, it is ans wreni in the bevt. S. Both forthat and the sovond place, which secms of mone monent; and so for the rest nat unly in that Buok, but that other alan for lis misesty's corunation; his majesty expre-Ny cotimatided me to mahe the Altentioes, and see them pinted. And here are both the Bonhs with his majesty's warraut to each of thein. So that hereen I concerve I did not offerd, unless it wroe that I gave not these men notice of it, or ashed them leave to olicy the keng.
Againe this these can te bu two Objections, shauld malice itself po to work. The one is, , that I mocel hes majesty to cotumand the clampe. And the other, that now, when I saw mysilf challenged for it, I precured his inajesty's baind for my secturity.
To thase I answer clearly; 1. That I did not nore the king, dreetly, or indrectly, to make this change. And edly, That I had his ma juty's band to the Wook, not now, hut then, and betorn ever I canbed them to be printed, ns uow othey are And that both thase are true, I hers ug:mn ficely ofler myself to my naih. And yet silly, That you may see bis graciuns majesty nsed not lis power omly is coumpunding this change, but his wisdom alwo;

I shall adventure to gire you my Reasons, such as they , sre, why this alteration was most fit, if not necessary.

My first licason is, In the litauy of Heary $8^{*}$, and aloo under Eda ard 6 t, there was this Clause; ' From the Tyranuy of the bishop of Rome, and all his detestable enormities, from all false ductrine, \&e. Good Lond deliver us,' But in the 1jtany in queen Elizabeth's time this Clause nbout finc Pope was left out, and it seems of purpose, for avoiling of scandal: And yot the prelates for that were not accounted lnuovators, or introducers of Popery. Now it, is a far greater scandal to call thesr religion liebellion, than it is to call their chef bishop tyrant. And this reason is drawn from scandal, which must ever be avoided as much as it may.
My second Ieason is, Tiat the Learned make but three religons to have lieen of old in the world, Paganism, Judassin, unt Chrstianity. And now they have arded a fousth, whach is Turcism, and is an absurd mixture of the other three. Now if this ground of theirs be true, as it is generally received, perinaps it will be of dangerous consequence sadly to avow, that the Popish religion js rehation, That some opinions of thetrs teach rebellion, that's apparently true, the other would be thought on, to say no more. And this reasan wifl weighed, is taken from the very foundations of religion itvelf.

My third Reason is, Because if you make their Religiou to be Rebellion, then you make their Religion and Rebellion to be all one. And that is aqainst the ground boiliol state, and the law. For when tlivers Romish priests and Jesuits have desrrvedly suffered dethih for Treason, is it not the constant and just profession of the stato, that they never put any man to death for religion, but for rebellion and treason only? Doth not the state truly affirm, that there never was any law made against the life of a papist, quitenus a papist only? And is not all this stark false, if their very religion be rebellion? For if their religion be rebellinn, it is not only false, but impossible, that the same man in the same act should sufier for his rebellion, aud not for has religion.
And this king Janes of ever-blessed memory understood passing well, when, in his Primonition to all Cliristian monarchs, (p.336.) he saith, 'I do constantly maintain that no Pa' pist either in my time, or in the tune of the? ' late queen, cier died for his conscience.' Therefore he did not think their very religion was reltellion. Though this Clause pasoed through inadvertency in his time: And this Reason is grounded both upon the practice aml the justice of the law.-Which of these Rea-

[^30]sons, or whether any other hetter, were in his majesesty's thoughts when he commanded tise Alteration of this Clause, I bnow wit. Hat I took it nay dnty to lay it before yon, that the hing had not only power, but reason to commaad it.
10. The tenth Inanvation is, (p. 3.) "That ' the Prayer for the Nary is lell out of the late 'Boob for the Fast.'

To this 1 say, there is great reason it thoold. For the king had mu diclared cnemy then, nor (God be Ulanked) latis he now. Nor had he then any Navy at sea; for ahoost all the slips were cone in, before the Yast-book was set out.-But howsoever, an excellent consequesce it is, if you mark is; the Prayer fur the Navy was left out of the Buok for the Fast, therefore by that, and such-like Innovations, the Prefates intend to bring in l'opery. Indeed, if that were a piece of the prelute, plot, to bring in Popery from beyond rea, tien they wet. mightily orerseen that they' 'eft out the Prayor for the Navy. But clse what renson or consequence is 10 it, I know not, uniess perhaps Mr. Burton intended to befriend Dr. Bastwick, and in the Navy bring hither the Whore of Bahylon to be ready for his christening, as he most profanely scoffs.-Well; I pray God the time come not upon this kiugdom, in which it will be foumd, that no one thang hath advaniced or nshered in Popery so fast, as the gross absurdities eren in the Worship of God, which these men, and their like, maintain both in opinion and practice.
11. The 11th lunovation is, ( $\mathrm{p}, 105$.) 'The ' reading of the second Service at the Commu' tion-Table, or the Altar.'
To this, 1st, I can truly say, That since my own memory, this was in use in vety many places, as being most proper (for those Prayers are then read which both precede and follow the Conmunien) and by little and litile this ancient custom was ultered, and in those places first where the emissaries of this faction came to preach. And now if any in authority offer to redure it ; this ascient course of the Clurrch is by-and-bye called an Innovation. 2. With this the Rubrics of the Common Prayer-Book agree; for the first Rubric atier the Communoon tells us, that upon Iloly-days, though there be no Communion, yet all clse that's appointed at the Commupitn shall be rearl. Shall be read? That's trie, bat wherc ? Why, the last llubric before the Cominunion tells us, that the priest, standing at the north side of the holy table, shall say the Lord's Prayer with that which follows. So that not only the Communion, but the Prayers which accumpany, the C'ommunion, which are conumonly called the second Scrvice, are to be read at the Com-manion-Table. Therefore if this be an innovation, it is mude ly the Rubric, nut by the Prelates; and Mr. Burton's scoff that this 'srcond Service must be served in for dainties," sarours too much of belly and profanation.
12. Oue thing sticks much in their stomacha,
and they eall it an Innovation too. And that 13, -4 bowing, or doing reverence at vur first - enming into the charch, or at our nearer ap'proaches to the holy table, or the altar,' (call it whether you will) in whech they will needs have it, that we wnrship the holy table, or God knows what. „(P. 105).
To this I angwer, 19t, That God forbid we should worship any thing but God himself. 2. That if to worship God when we enter into his house, or approach his Altar, bean innovation, it is a very old one. Fer Misses (Num, xx. 6.) did reverence at the very door of the Tabernacle; Hezekiah, and all that were present with him, when they had made an end of offering, bowed and worshipped (2, Chron. xxix. 29.); David calls the people to it with a Venite, ' $O$ ' come let us worship and fall down, and kneel - before the Lord our Maker (Psal. xev. 6.): And in all these places, I pray mark it, it is bodily worship.-Nor can they say, that this was Jurfaical worship, and now not to be imituted. For long before Judasm began, Bethel, the Ilouse of God, was a place of reverence, therefore certainly, of, and to God. (Gen. xxviii. 17, \&c.)

And after Judaical worship ended, Venite, Adoremus, as far upwards as there is any track of a Liturgy, was the Introitus of the piest all the Latin church over. And in the daily Prayers of the church of Englund, this was retained at the Reformation ; and that Psition, in which is Venite, Adoremns, is comanaudel to hegin the Morning Service every day. Aud for mught I know, the priest may ax well leave out the Venite, as the Adoremus; the calling The people to their duty, as the duty itsclf, when they are come.

Thatefore even according to the Sersicetook of the Chuich of England, the priest and the people both are called upou, for exterual and bodhly reverence and worship of God in his church. Therefore they which do it, do not innotate. And yet the goverument is so moderate, God grant it be not too loose there while, that n o man is constrained, no man qứstuoned, only religiously called upon, Fenite, Adoremus, ' Come, let us worslip.'.
For my own part, I take myself bound to worship with body, as well as in soul, whenever I come where God is worshipped. And were this kingdom such as would allow no holy table standing in its* proper place, und such places some aliere are, yet l , would worship God when I capme into his house. And were the times such as should beat down Churches, and all the curinus carved work thereof, with axes, and haunmers, and such times have been,
Psal. Inxiv. 6, yet would I worship in what place soever I came to pray, though there were not so much as a stone laid for Bethel. But this is the misery; it is superstition dow-1-days for any man to come with more reverende into n church, tharf a tinker and his bitch come into an ale-house; the comparison is too homely, but my jost indignation at the profaneness of the tines makes me speak it.
vol. in.

And you, my honourable L.orels of the Garter, in your great solemnities, yon do your 10: verence, and to Alnighty Ciod, I doubt unt, but yet it is vcrous allare, towards his Aitar, as the greatest place of God's residence upon earth. I say the greatest, yen greater than the pulpit; for there it is ' Hoc est Corpus meum.' This is my body; but in the pulput, it is at most hut, 'Hoc est Verbum meurn,' This is my word. And a greater reverence, no doubt, is dae to the Body than to the Word of our Lord. And-so, in relation, answerably to the throne where his body is usually present, than to the sent, whence his word useth to be protlaimed. And God bold it there as his word; for as too many men use the matter, it is ' Hoc est ver' bum diaboli,' This is the Word of the devil, in too many places (wituess Sedirion, and tho like to it). And this reverence ye do when ye enter the Chapel, and whell you nppronch nearer to offor. And this is no innovation, for you are bound to it by your Order, and that is not new.

And Idolarry it is not, to worship God towards his holy table; for if it had been idulatry, 1 presume queen Flizabeth and king James would not have practised it, no not in those solemnities. And being nut idolatry, but true divine worship, you will, I hope, give a poor priest leave to worship God ne yourselves do; for if it be God's worship, I fught to do it as well as you; and if it be idolatry, you ought net to do it more than I.
I suy again, I hope a poor priest may worship God with as lowly reverence as you do, since you are hound by your order, and by your oath, nccording to a constititotion of Henry the 5th (as appears In Libro Nigro Windesoriensi, p. 65.) to give due honour and reverence 'dominu ' Deo, et altari cjus, in modum viroruin eccle' siasticorum;' that is, to the Lord your God, and to his altar (for there is a reverence due to that too, though such as comes far short of divine worship) and this in the manuer ay ecclesinstical persons both worship and do reverence.
The story which led in this Decree is this: King Henry the 5th, that noble and victorious prince, returning gloriously out of France, sat at rhis solemnity; and finding the Knights of the Order scarce bow to God, or but slightly, and then bow towarils him and his seat, startled at it (being a prince then grown as religions as he was before victorious), and after asking the reason, (for till then the knights of the order never bowed toward the king or his seat) the duke of Bedford answered, It was setuled by a Chapter Act three years before. Hereupon that great king replied, No; I will none of this, till you the knights do it sutis benc, well enough, and with due performance to Almighity God. And hereupon the fore-named act procteded, that they should do this duty to Almighty God; not slightly, but 'nd modura ' virorum ecclesiasticorum,' - as low, as well as decently as, clergymen used to do it.
Now if you will turn this off, and say, it wat
the superstition of that nge so to do: Bishop Jewel' will come in to help me thare. For where Harding nancs diers cerenowie,, and particularly bowing themselves, nud $n d$ ring at the Sacrawent, 1 thy, t Adonug at the Sacritment,' not 'adoring the Sacrament:' there bishnp. Jewel (that learned, painfut, and revereud yrelate) upproves nill, twith the huecling nnd the Bowing, uud the standing up at the Guspect (whici' $u$ ) antient as it is in the church, and commion custim, is get fondly made another of their Innovatious:) And furtier the Lishop aids, 'That they are all conmenendnble gestrares, und tohe.rs of derivion, so long a. the prople understiand "wat they meenn, nud apply them unto G.al ' (B.-Lo; Jowel's Reply io Ifirilizg's Ansmer, mt. 3. di. 29). Now with us the oroyle did evir undertand therr fully, and aptly them t, God, wad to none but God, till thicse facti. us sparis, sull tat if the to the , great diserrice of Gad nid his charch, went nibout to per ruade then that they are supn istitious, if mith idolatious gethris: 1 as tha $y$ mate eiery thing else to be, where Goid is not served sluvenly.
13. The thirtecnth Innovation is, The placing of the holv Tuble ultar-wise, at the upper enil of the chancel; that 5 , the sethang of it North and Soutly, and $\mu \mathrm{c}$ cing a ral before it, to heep it from pofanation, whish Mr. Burton ( $\mathrm{P}^{3}, 4,5,105$,) miys, is done to adrance and usher in Popery.
To this I ansiver, that i, is no Popery to ost $n$ rail to keep Profiaution from that holy Table : nor is it any Innovation to place it at the upper end oit the chancel as the altar storod. Mued this appears buth by the partice oud by the courmand and canon of the charch of Eulland. First, By the Practice of the choreh of E.ngland. For in the Ling', ruyal chapels, and divers cathectriub, the hily Table liath ewer since the Reformation stood at the upper end of the chor, will the large or full stide towards the people. And thuggh it stood in most parish churchies the other nay, yet wherther there t.e not more reason, the pai ish churclles slomuld be made coofirmable to the Cathedial and mother Churches, than the Cailedrals to them, I leave to any reasonable man to judge. And yet here is nuthing doue cuther by nolence or command, to take off tiee indifferency of the standing of the holy Table cither way, but only by laying it fairly before nien, how fit 11 is there should be order and uniformity: I say, sill reacrving the indifierency of the standing.

But howsoever 1 would tain know, how any discreet, moterate man dares sas; that the placing of the holy Table altar-w.se (rince they will needs call ts su) i. done entiber to advance or usher in Popery? For did queen Elizabeth baish Popery, and yet dill the all alone lier reign, from firt to la t , leave t '.. ConnumionTuble so standing in her vwn cliapel cuyal, in St. Paul's and Westminster, and other placers: and oll this of purpose to ndvance or usher in that Popery which she had driven our? And since ber deach have tuo gracious kings kept
nut Popery all their times, and yet left the holy. Tisble srapding as it did in the gueen's tope;" and all of purpose to advance or usher in Pupery, which they kept out?

Or what is the matter. May the holy Table stand this way in the king's chapel or cuthedrals, or bishops clapels, nud not elsewhere? Surely, if it be deccut and fit for God's service, it may stand so (if mutho ity "please) in any church. But if it advance or asher in any seperstition and Pof,c,y, it ought to stand so in nu"e.
Nor hath any hung's chapel any prerogatice (if that may be culled one) shove auy ordinary chanch to di-serie God in by any superstatious rites. Where, give me lave to thll you, that the King aud his ching are most jeeringly and with scom abuseal, in the last leaf of Mr. Burto.i's wuimous $A_{\gamma}$ peal; for such it is.
S condly, Th appears liy the Canon or Rule of' the cliurch ia Euglind too: for it is plain in the last Injunctasis of the queen, That the boly Table ouplat to stand at the upper end of the choir, Nossh sud South, or altar-wise. For the wand, of the quecn's Injunctions are these: *Tue holy lable in every charch* (mark it I puay, not in the royal chopel or cathedrals ouly, but in every chureh) 'shall be - decently made mad s:t in the place where the 'altar stack.' Now the Altar stood at the upper end of the choir, North and South, as appears bifurs by the practice of the church. Aud there to set it ohherwisc, is to set it cruss the place, not in tho place where the ultar stoonl: and so 'Stulti duin vitant vitin'-weak men, as these labellers arc, vun into one Superstition vile theec would avoid another: for they run upon the suparstition of the Cross, while they scek to asoud the Superstitimof the Altar. So you see here's nethei Pupery nur funotakon in all the practice ol quetn Elizabeth, ne sime. The-g words of the Injunction are so plain, as that they cua adant of no slift.
Aud ive tue leave to tell you, that a very learnen prelate of this Church, and one whon, I think, the-e nien will not accuere, as a man like to adtance or usher in Pipery, is of the same opinion: It is my lord the bishop of Salisbury. Some difference was lately rising nhu,ut placing the Communion-Table in a parish church of his Dincese. The bishop carefully to provent all disorder, sends his Iujunction (May 17, 1637.) punder his hand and seal to the curate and chorch wardens, to settle that business: In which he hath these two Passages retnarkable. I have seen and read the order. The first passage is this: 'By the Injunction of queen Eli'zabeth' (saith he) 'rund by Can. 82, under - King James, the Communion Table should or${ }^{4}$ dinarily be set and stand with the side to the ' Fast-walk of the chancel.' 'Therefore this is no Junovation, since there is Injunction and Capon for it. The olher phssage is this: 'It ' is ignorance' (saith that learned bishop) 'to 'think that the standing of the holy Table there 'relishes of Popery.' 'Therefore, if it do not so

- Wpuclina relish of Popery, it can neither advance it, hor u-ber it in. And herefore this is a most odiuus shaider and scandal cast upon us.

So here is enough both for the Prucuse and Rule of the Church of Fugland since the Re formatyon. - Now before that time, both in this and other cluaches of Cbristendom, in the East and West, ordqarily, the holy Table or Altar stoun so: agaust this Mr. Burtoa says little.

But the Lincolnshne muister conaes in to play the Puritan, for that. ('oncerning whicl Book (failling thus in my way) and the nameless Author of it, I sball ouly say these two things. The one is, That the Author prev.ricates from Whe fint word to the List in the Boosk; for he take, $m$ h lum both for the nane and for the placing of the holy Trable, and the like, to prove that gencrally and universailly, and ordinarily in the while Cuthole Clurch, both Bast aud West, the tooly Table dad not stand at the upper end of the chioir or chamerl. Aad this he must prove, or le doth nothing.

Nuw when he conses to make lis Proofs, they are alunost all of them particular, few or noue general and concludent; for he neither brugs lestmonics nut of the general and received Ritualy of the Eastern and Western C'hurcher, nor of Fathery and Ilistories of the Church, which speak in general terms of all, but where shey speak of particular churches only.- So, that sappose the most that can be, that is, suppose his quotations be all truly alienged, and tue too in the sense that the mimster takes them (though in very truth, the places, mont of them, are ucither truly alledged, mor senged) yet they are but Exceptions of, and
-Excmptions from, the gencral practice. And you know both in law and reason, 'Exceptio ' firnfat regulam in uon exceptis.' So that npon the suddea I am not able to resolve, whether this minister hath done more'wrong to himself or his readers, for ho hath abused both.

The other is, That in the Judgneet of very many lenrned men, which have perused this Book, the Author is clearly conceived to want a great deal of that leapong to which he prescoils; or else to hare y-itten this Book wh.olly, nad resolveuly agninst both bis science and liis couscience. And for my own part, I ann fully of opinion, this Book was thrust now to the press, both to countenance these Libe llers, and, as much as in lime lay, to fire both church and state. And though I wonder not at the minister, yet I should wonder at the bishop of the diocese (a man of learning and experience,) that he should give testimony to such a business, and in such times as these.

And once more, befire I leave the holy Tnble, name, and thing; give me leave to put you in mind, that there is no danger at all in the altar, name, or thing. For at the begianing of the Reformation, though there were a law for the taking down of the attars, nend netting up of holy Trables in the room of thein; yet in some places the sltars were not suddenly removed. And what says the queen in ber Injuoction to this? Why, she says, 'That there
' seems no motter of great moment in this, 4 saving for unifornity, and the better initation ' of the law in that behulf.' 'Therefore for nny danger or hort that was in the alturs, name, or thing, they might evea then have been left standing, but for unifortaity, and the imitation of the law.-But howsuever, it follows in the same Injunction, 'that when the altar i, taken - down, the huly tably shall be set in,' (not cross) ' the place where the ultar stood;' which (as is aforesaid) must needs le altar-n ise.
14. The fourreenth and Iast Innovatiog comes with a mighty charge, and it is taken out of an Epistle to the temporal lords of his majesty's prisy councii. Ot which Epistle we got one sheet, and so: (for aught I yet know) that impression said: In that sheet is this charge; the words are, - The prelaten, to justify their 'proceedings, have forged a new Article of - Heligion biought from Rome, which gives 'theon full power to alter the doctrine and dis' cipline of our church at a blow, (as they in' terpret it) and have foosted it (such is their - language) into the begiamag of the twentieth ' article of our church.' And this is in the last erition of the Aricles, anno 1628. in affront of his majesty's declaration hefore them, dic.

The clause (which they say is forged by us) is this: 'The clurch' (that is, the bishops, at they expoumd it) "hath power to decree Hites - and Cercmonies, and authority in matters of 'faith.' (The word is ' controversies of faith,' hy therr leave.) "This clause (say they) is a - firgery fit to be examined, and deeply cen'sured jn the Star-Chamber. For it is not to ${ }^{6}$ be found in the Latin or English articles of ' Edfward 6. or queen Elizabeth, ratified by 'parliament.' And then in the margin thus, - If to forge a will or writing be censurable ia ' the Star-Chamber, which is but a wrong to a 'private man; low much more the Forgery of ${ }^{\prime}$ an Article of Religion, to wrong the whole 'church, and overturn religion, which concerna 'all our souls?' This is a heavy charge, ny lords, but I thank God the Answer is easy.

And truly I grant, that to forge an Article of Relpion in whole, or in part, and then to throst it upon the church, is a most heinous crimes far worse than the forging of a deed; and is certanly very deeply censurable in this court. And I would have humbly besonght you, that a deep Censure might hare been laid upon it, but that this slieet was found after, and so is not annexed to the Information, nor in Judgment at this present before you.
Bat then, my lords, I must tell you, I hope to make is as clear as the day, that ilhis Forgery was not, that this clause mentioned was added by the prelates to the Article, to gain power to the church, and so to serve our turns. But that that clause in the beginung of the Artirle was by these men, or at least by some of thur faction, Hused out, and thi, 10 wenken the just power of the charch, to serve their turns.
They say (to justify their charge) that thia Clause is not to be found in the Articles, Englinh or Latin, of either Edw. 6. or queen Eili-
zahetb. I answer, The Articles of E.dw. 6. and those made under que in Flizalieth, differ very much. And those of Edw. 6. are not now binding. So whether the clau-e be in or out of them, it is not mnch material.

But for the Articles of the Church of England, made in the quern's time, and now in force, that this Clause for the poner of the church to decrec cercmoniey, and to hase nuthority in controversies of faith, stiouth not be found in Euglish or Latin copies, tul the year 1628, that it was set forth with the king's declaration before it, is to me a minacle; but your lordships shall see the falshood and boldness of these men.
What ! Is this affirmative clause in no copy, English or Latip, till the year 1628? Strange! Why, my lords, I have a copy of the Articles in Eughsh, of the year 1612, and of the year 1605, and of the year 1593, and in Lation of the year 1569, which was one of the first printed copies, if not the first of all. For the Articles were ugreed on but the 20th day of January, nuno 1562-3. And in all these, this affirmative Clause for the church's power is in. And is not this atrange buldness then to abuse the world, and falsly to say 'tis in no copy, when I myself, out of my own store, am able to shew it in so many, and so antiently?

But, my lords, I shall make it plainer yet: For it is nut fit concerning an Article of theligion, and na Article of soch comsequence for the order, truth, and peace of this church, you should rely upon my copies, be they nuver so many, or never so ancient.
Therefore I sent to the public Records in my Office; and l.ere under my ollicer's han-l, who is a public notary, is returned me the twentieth Article with this alfirnative clause in it. And there is also the whole body of the articles to be seen.

By this your lordships see how free the prelates are from forging this part of the Article. Now let these men quit themselves and their faction as they can, for their Index Espurgatorius and their foul rasure in leaving out this part of the Article. For to leare out of an ArLicle is as great a crime as to pot in; and n main rosure is as cenşurable in thir court as a forgery.

Why, but then, my lords, what is this mystery of iniquity? Truly, I cannot certainly tell; but as fir as I can, I'll tell you. The Articles yon tee were fully and fairly agreed to, and subscribed in the year 1562-3. But after this, in tho year 1571, there were sorne that refused to subscrike; but why they did so, is not recorded. Whether it were about this Article or any other, I know not. But in fact this is manifest, that in that year 1571 , the Articles were printed both in Latin and Faglish, and this clause for the church left out of both. And certainly this could not be done, but by the malicious cunning of that opposite faction.' And though I shall spare dead men's names where 1 have not certainty; yet if you be pleased to look back and consider who they were that governed busi-
nesses in 1571 , zind aid the church nlmost at their pleasure, and how potent the uncesturs'of thesc Libellers began then to grow, you will think it no lard mutter to have the Articles priated, and this clause left out.
And yet 'tis plam, That, after the stir about Subscription in the year 1571, the Articles wer* settled and subscribed nuto git latt, as in the year 1502 , with this chase in them for the church; for looking firther into the lecords which are in mine own lands, 1 have found the book of $1562-3$, subscrited by all the lower house of convocation, in this very year of contradiction, 1571. Dr. Jolin Elmar (who wus after lord bishop of Londom) leing then prolecutor: Alexander Nowel, dean of St. Paul's, having been prolocutor in 1502-3, and yet living, and present and subscribing in 1571. Therefore, I do here openly in Star Chumber charge upon that patae sect this fool corruption of filsifying the arieles of the church of England, let them take it off as stey can.
1 have now done, and tis time 1 should, with the Imnovations charged upon the prelntes, and lit to be answered licre. Sime few more there are, but they belong to matter of doctrine, which shall presently be auswe red, justo volumive, at large, to satisfy all well mmded people. Rut when Aifr. Luitun's Book, which is the main one, is answered, (I mean hes Buok, not has railmg) neither P'ryyn, nor Khstwick, nor any nttendant, upon Rabslaheh, bhall by me or my care be ausacred. If this count find not a way to stop these libellers mouths ond pens, for me they shall rail on till they be weaty.
Yet one thing more, 1 beseech you, give me leave to add. This Miwter Burton's Charge (p. 175.) upon the prelates, That the Censures formerly laid upon malefactors, are now pulvopon God's nimisters for their virtue and piety. A heary charge this too. But if he, or any man elsr, cun shew that any raan hath been punished in the IIigh Cominissiou, or elsewhere, by the prelates, for virtue and piety, there is all the reason in the world we shoulat be geverely panished ourselves. But the trath is, the virtue and piety for whidy these munisters are punished, is for preaditig schism and sedition, many of their sermons being us had as thear libels; as Burton's libel was one of his sermons first. But whether this stuff have any affinity with sirtue and piety, I submic to any Cbristian reader.
. And yet Mr., Burton is so confident of his inns cency, even in this cause whetein he havh so foully carried himself, that he brealis forth into these words, (p.7):' 1 nerer so much as © once direnmed, that impicty and impuriency ' itself, in such a Christian state ms this is, and - under such a gracious prince, durst ever thus ' publichly have called me in question, and that 'upon the bpen stage, \&c.' You see the boldn ness of the man, and in ns bad a cause, as, I think, int this kind ever any man had.

I shall end all with a passage out of $\mathrm{St}, \mathrm{Cy}$ priau, (lib. 1, ep. 3); when he, then bishop of Cartiage, was bitterly railed upon by a pack of
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uchisunaticks, his answer wns, and it is now minis: They have railed hoth bitterly und fably upon nee, and yet ' non oportet me paria cum 'illis facere;' it becomer uot me to answer them with the like, cither levities or revilngs, but to spenk and write that only which becomes Sacerditein Dei, a priest of God. Neither shall I in this giye way (though I bave been extremely vilified) to cither grief or passion to speak, remembenng that of the pssilnist, 'Fret ' not thyself, else slivil thou be moved to do 'evil.' Neither yet by God's grace shall the reproaches of such men as these, make me taint or statt oside, either from the right way in matter of practice (they are St. Cyprimn's words again) or 'ì certal regulà,' from the certain rule of fiuth. Sad since in former times, some spared not to call the master of the house Beelzeluab, how much more will they be bold with them of his houschold, as it is in St. Matthew. And so Lold have these men been; but thie next words of gur Saiiour are, ' Year them ' not.' I humbly crave pardon of your lordships for this my necessury length, and give you all hearty thanks for your noble patience, and your just andrhonourable Censure upon these men, and your unanimoos divlike of them, and defivace of the Chuich. But because the husiness hath some rellection upon myself, I shall forlear to censure them, and leave them to Gud's mercy, and the kimg's justice.
On the 30th of June following, the Sentence was execated, when Dr. Bastwick, Mr. Prynn, and Mr. Barton, were conveyed to the pillory in the Palace yard, Westminster.

- Dr. Bastwick and Mrt Burton first meeting, they did close one in the other's arms three times, ${ }^{\text { }}$ with as much expressions of love as might be, rejoicing that they met at such a place, upon such an occasioif, and that God had so highly honoured them, as to call them forth to suffier for his glonous Truth.
Then immedhately afier, Mr. Prynn came, the doctor and he suluting each other, as Mr. Burton mud be did befope. The doctor then went up first on the scafold, and his wife immediately following capre up to lum, and saluted each ear with a kiss, and then his mouth. Her husband desired her not to be in the least manner dismayed at his sufferings: and so for u while they parted, she using these words ' Farenel wy dearest, he of good comfirt, I am ' nothing, distiayed.' And then the doctor be-' gan to speak these words:

Dr. Bustwick. There are many that are this day spectators of our standing here, as delinquents, though not delinquents, we bless God for it. I an not conscious to myself wherein I have compitted the leasy trespsss (to take this outward shame) either against my God, or my king. And I do the rather speak jt, that you that are now beholders may take notice how far innocency will presprve you in such a day an thiv is; for we come here in the strenyth of our God, who hath mightily suppurted us, and filled our heartw with greater cowfort than
our shame or contempt can be. The first occasion of iny trouble was by the prelpres, for writing a Book against the Yope, apd the pope of Canterbury said I wrote ngainst him, and therefore questioned me: bui if the presses were as upen to us as,formerly they have been, we would shatter his kingdoun ubuut his ears: but be ye not deterred by their power, neither bo affriythed at our sufferings; let none determine to turn from the ways of the Iord, but go on, fight courageously against Gog and Magog. I know there be many here who have set many days apart for our behalf (let the prelates take notice of it) and they have sent upt strong prayers to Heaven for us, we feel the strength and benefit of them at this time; I would have you to take notice of it, we lave felt the strength and benefit of your prayers all along this cause. In a word, so far I am from base fear, or caring for any thing that they can do, or cast upon me, that had I ns much blood as would swell the Thumes, I would shed it every drop in this cause; therefore be not sny of you discouraged, be not daunted at their power; ever labouiring to preserve inuocency, and keep peace within, go on in the strength of your God, and he will never fail you in sach a day as this: as I sadid before, so I say ngnin, had I as many lives ass I have hairs on my head, or dmops of blood in my vcins, I would gire them all up for this c:usc. This plot of sending us to those remote pluces, was first consulted and ugitated by the Jesuits, as I cam malie it piainly appear. 0 sce what tumes we are fallen into, that the lords augt sit to act the Jesuits plots! For our own parts, we owe ny malice to the persons of any $\mathrm{p}^{f}$ the prelates, but would lay our neeks unler their feet to do them good us they are men, but agniust the usurpation of their power, us they aue bishops, we do profess ourselves enemits till dooms-day.
Mr. Prymn shaking the doctor by the hand, desired him that he might speak a word or two. With all my lieart, said the sloctor.-The cause (siad Mr. Prynn) of my standing here, is for nut bringing in my Answer, for which my cause is taken pro confesso against me. What endeavours I used for the bringing in thereof, that God and nyy owa.conscience, and my counsel knows, whose cowardice stands upon record to all ages. For rather than I will have my cause a leading onuse, to deprive the subjects of that liberty which I seek to maintain, 1 rather expose my person to a leading example, to bear this punishment:- and I beseech you all to take notice of their proceedings in this cause. When I was served with a subpocua into this couit, 1 was ahut up close prisoner, that I could have no access to counsel, nor admitted pen, ink or paper to draw up my Answer by my iostructions, for which I fee'd them twice, tlibugh to no purpose, yer when all was dine, my Answer would not be accepted into thie court, though I tendered it upon my oath. I appenl to all the world, if this were a legal or just procee ling. Qur accusation is in point of libel
(but supposedly) against the prelates; to clear this now, I will give you a little light what the law is in point of libel, of which profession I have sometine been, and still profess mys-if to bave some knowledge in. You thall find in case of libel, two starutes: the one in the second of queen Mary, the other in the seventh of queen Elizatect $h$. That in the racond of queen Mary, the exrronuty nud hewht of it runs thus, That if a Libe lier dothe e, wo fir and so high as to label nyaiust haud or queen by denommation, the height and extrenity of the law is, that they hay no greater tine on him than an hunitted pounds, with a month's inprisonment, and ao corporal punishmint, except he doth refuse to pay hiv tine; and then to inflict some punislaneat in lieu of that fine at the month's end. Neither was this censure to be passed an him, except it were fully proved by, two witnesses, who were to produce a certincute of their good demesnor for the credit of their report, or else confessed by the libeller. You shall find in that statute 7 Eliz. some further addition to the former of 2 Marix, and that only in point of fine and punishment, and it must still reach as high as the person of the king or queen. Here this statute doth set a tine of gool.; the other but 100l. This sets three months iappisooment, the former but one: so that therein only they differ. But in this they both agree, namely at the end of his iuprisunment to pay his Fime, and so go free without any further questim: but if he refuse to pay his tine, then the court is to inflict some puishment on han correspondent tomhis fiue. Now see the disparity hetween those times of theirs and vors. A libeller in queen Mary's tione was fined but 100l.; in queen Elizabeth's 2001.: in queen Mary's days but a month's imprisoument; in queen Elizabelh's three months, and not so great a fone if they libelled against ling or qucen. Formerly the greatest five was 200l., though against king or queen: now 5,000 l., though but against the prelates, and that but supposedly, which cannot be proved : Formerly, but three months imprisonnent; now perpetual imprisonment: Then, upon paying the fine, no corporal punishment was to be inflicted; but now, infamous punishment with the loss of blood, and all other circumstances that may aggravate it. See now what times we are fallen into, when that libelling, if it were so, against prelates only, shall fall higher than if it toucher kings and princes.
That which I have to speak of next, is this : The Prelates find themselres exceedingly aggrieved and vexed against what we have written oonoerning the usurpation of their Calling, where indeed we declare their call not to be Jare Didino. I make no doubt, but there are some intelligencers or abettors, within the bearjing, whom I would have well to know and take notice of what I now sey. I Mere in this place make shis offer to them, That if I may be adthitted a faie dispute, on fair terms, for my onuse; that I whli maintain, and do bere make the challonga ugaingt all the prelates in fthe
king's dominions, and againat all the prelates in Christendom, (let them take jif ihe pope, and all, to help twem) that their Calling is not Jure Dieino I will speak it nyain, I inake the challenge rgainst nll the prelates in the king's dommons, and all Christendom, to rhaintani, that their calling is tot Jure Dicine. If I make it not cood, let me be banged up at the Hall Gate.-Whereupon the people guve a great sb $\cdot 1$.

The next thing that 1 ann to speak of, is this: The Prelates find themselves exreedingly aggrieved and vexed against what I have written in point of law, concerning their Writs and Procesw, That the sending forth of Wits and Pro cess in therr own mame, is against nll law apd justice, and doth intrench on his mujesty's prerogatne roval, and the subject's liberties. And trire now I make a second challenge nguinst all the Lawyers in the kingdom, in wny of fairdispute, that I will maintan, the prelates sending fortf of Writs and Pruct is in their own naines, to lie agninst nill law nud justice, and intrenchecth on his majesty's prerogntive royal, and sobjects liberty. Lest it should be forgotten, I speak it again, I here chhllonge all tho whole Society of the Law, upon a fur dispute. to maintain that the sending forth of Writs and Process in the prelates onn naines, to be negin-t all law nud justice, and intrescheth on thie hing's premgative ruyal, and the sulgeets liberty. If I be not able to make it good, let me he put to the tormenungest death they can devise.
We praise the Lord, we fear pone but God and the king: IIad we respected our Libetties, we had not stood here at this time: it was fior the general good and liberties of you nil that we have now thus far engaged our own libefties in this cause. For did you know how decply they have intrenched on your liberics in point of Popery ; if you knew but ifto what times you are cast, it would make you look abunt you : and if you did but see whut changes and revolutions of persons, causés and actions, have heen made by one man, you wpald more narrowly look into your privileges, find see how far your h berty did lawfully exteld, and so maintain it.
This is the second time that I have been brought to this place; who hath been the nuthor of it, I think you all well know : For the first time, ( 7 Feb. 163s.) if 1 could have had leave given me, I could easily have cleared myself of that which was then Inid to my Charge; as also I could liave done now; if I might have been pernitted to spenk: that bouk (Histribmastix, ${ }^{\text {© }}$ ) for which I soffered formerily, especially for some particular words therein written, which I quoted out of God's Word and untient Fathers, for which_notwithstandiag they passed ceasure on me; that same Book was twice licensed by public authority, and the same words I then soffered for, they are agnin made use of, and applied in the same sense by Heylin, in his Book lately priuted and dedicated to the king,

- See No, 149, p. 569.
- and no exceptions taken against them, but are verk weil taken.

Dr. Bastwick. And there is another Book of his licensed, wherein he rails against us three at his pleasure, and against the Martyrs that suffered in quepp Mary's days, calling them Schismatical Hereticks; a nd there is another book of Pocklington's Dcensed (Altare Christianum.) they be as full of lies as dogss be full of fleas; but were the presses as open to us as they are to them, we would pay them, and their great master that upholds them, and charge them with notorious blasphemy.

Mr. Prynn. You all at this present see there be no degrces of men exempted from suffering : Hera is a reverend Divuse for the soul, a Physician for the hody, and a Lavyer for the estate : I had thought they would have let alone their own Society, nad not have meddled with any of them. Aud the next (for aught I know) may Le a bishop. You see they spare none of what society or calling soever, none are exempted that cross their own ends. Gentlemen, lnok to yourselves ; if ull the Martyrs that sutficed in queen Mary's days are accounted and called schisinatical heretics and factious fellowst; what shall we look for! Yet so they are called in a Buok lately come forth under nuthority. Aud such factuous fellows are we, for discovering a Plot of Popery. Alas, poor Engfand, what will become of thee, if thuu louk nut the sooner into thime own privileges, and mamtaineat not thine nwn lawful hberty? Christian people, I bescech you all, stand firn, and be zealous for the caure of God, nod his true religoon, to the shedding of your dearest blood, -wherwise you will bring yoursclves, nond all your puaterities, into perpetual boudage and slavery

Now the lixecutioner being come to sear him, and cut off his ears, Mr. Prymn spake these words to him : Come, friend, come, burn me, cut me, 1 lear not. 1 have learncal to fear the Fire of Ileil, and not what man ran do unto we : come sear me, sear ine, I shall Lear in my borly the marks of the Jond Jesus: Whach the Esecutioner perliorm id with estraorduary cruelty, heating lus irri twice to burn one check: and cut one of Wis ears so close, that he cut off, a piece of his cheek. He said, " The s more I aus beaten dowa, the more am I hit 'up.'

Upon the day for Exccution, Mr. Burton being brouglst onto the Palage-yard, a to a chauber that lowhed into the yard, where he viewed three piffories there set up: Methinks (said he) I sec Mount (Calvary, where the three roisses fone for Christ, aud the other two for the twa Thieves) were pitched: mad if Christ were numbered anong thieves, shalla a Chiristian

[^31](for Christ's cause) think much to be numbered among rogues, such as we are condemned to be? Suely, if I Le a rogue, I am Christ's rogue, and no man's. And a little after, looking out at the casement towards the pillory, he said, I see no difference between looking out of this square window and yonder round hole. Pointing towalds the pillory, he said, It is no matter of difference to an honest man. And a little nfter that, looking somewhat wishfully upon his wife, to see how she did take it, shee seermed to him to be something sad; to whom he thus spake: Wife, why art thou so snd ? To whom she made auswer, Sweetheart, I an not sad. No, said he? See thou be not, for I wóuld not: have thee to dishonour the day, by shedding one tear, or fetching one sigh; for hehold there, for thy comfort, my triumphant charior, on wbich 1 múst ride for the honour of my Lord and Mas,ter: and never was wedding day so welcome and joyful a day as this day is; and so much the more, because I have such a noble captain and leader, who hath gone befine me with such undumedncss of spirit, that he saith of himself, I gare my back to the smitu rs, my cheeks to the tippers, they plucked off the hair, I hid not uny face from shame and spitting, for the Lord God will holp me, therefore sliall I not be confounded: therefore have I set my face like a flint, and I know I shall not be ashamed. At length being carried toward the pillory, he mes Dr. Bastwick at the fout of the pillory, where they lovingly waluted and embraced each otber; a d parting a little from him, he returned and must aftictionately pubraced him the second time, 1 eing heartuly sorry he missed Mr. Prynn, who was not yet come, bi fure he was gone up to his pillory, whit b stomd alone next the Star Chauber, tund ato.ut half a sivue's cast from the uther double pillory, wherein the other two stoond; so nis all ther faces looked southward, the brecht sun all the while, for the space of two hours, shining upon them. Being ready to be put mito the pitlory, standing upon the seaffold, he spied Mr. Prynn new come to the pillory, and Dr. Bust wick in the pillory, who then hasted off his band, and called for a handkerchieff, saying, What! shall I be last, or shall I be ashancd of a pillory for C'hrist, who was not ashamed of a cross for me? Then heing put into the pillory, he silid, Good pcople, I num brought hid:er to be a spectacle to the world, to angeis and men; and buwsoever I stand here to undergo the punislument of a rogue, yet except to be a fauhful servaut to Clrist, and a loyal subject to the king, be the property of a rogue, I am no rogne. But yet if to be Christ's faithful servant, and the kiug's loyal subject, des rve the puoishment of a rogue, I glory in it, nad I bless my Gorf, my conscience is clear, nud is not stained with the gu'lt of any such crime ay I have been charged with, thonazh otherwise I confess myself to he a pan subject to inany frailties and human infirmities. Indeed that Book intide d, "An Apology for an Appeal, with sundiy Episties und two Sermuns, for Gôd and the king," clarged against me in the Information, I have
and do acknowledge (the misprinting excepted) to be mine, and will by God's grace never disclaim it whilst I have breath within ne. After a while, he baving a nosegay in his hand, a bee came and pitched on the nosegay, and hegan to suck the flowers, which be beholding, and well observing, said, Do ye not see this poor bee? she hath found out this very place to suck sweetfrom these flowers; and caonut I suck sweetness in this very place from Christ? the bee sucking ant this while, and so took ber flight. By and bye, he took occasion from the shining of the sun, to suy, You sce how the sun shines upoo us, but that shines as well upon the evil as the good, upon the just and unjust, but that the Sun of Righteousness (Jesus Christ, who hath healing under his wings) shines upon the souls and consciences of cvery true believer only and no cloud can hide him from us, to make hiui nshamed of us, no not of our most slameful sufs ferings for his sake: And why slould we be ashamed to suffer for his sake who hath suffered for us? all our sufferings be but fleabutings to that he endured; he endured the cross sod despised the shanne, and is set on the right hand of Gud. He is a most excellent pattern for us to look upon, that treading his steps, and suffering with him, we myy be glorified with him. And what cun we suffer, whercin he hath not gone before us even in the same kind? Was he not degraded, when they scornfally put on him a purple robe, a reed into his hand, a thorny crown upon his head, saluting hion with, 'IGail 'King of the Jews!' and so disrobed him again? Was not he deprived when they smnte the dicpherd, and the slieep was scattered? "Was not violence offered to his sacred person, when be was butfetted and scourged, his bands anid his feet pierced, his head pricked with thorns, his side gored with a spear, \&ec? Was not the cross more shameful, yea and mote painfol than a pillory? Was not he stript of all he had, when he was left stark naked upon the cross, the soldiers dividug his garments, and castiug lots upon his vesture? And was he not confined to perpetuad close imprisonment in man's innginution, when lis body was laid in a tomb, and the tomb sealed, lest he should break prisop, or his disciples steal him away ? and yet did he not rise agniu, and thereby brought deliverance and victory to us all, sn os we are more than conqucrors tbrough him that loved us? Here then we have an excellent pattern indeed.

One said unto Mr. Buiton, Christ will not be ashnmed of you at the last day. He replied, IIe knew whom he had beliered, and that Christ was able to keep that he hadl counmitted to him against that day. One asked hium how he didf He said, Never leetier, I bless God, Whn hath accounted me woithy thus to suffer. The Keeper keeping off the people froun pressing near the pillory; he said, Iet thean come and spare not, that they may learn to suffer. The same Keeper, being weary, and sitting down, apked Mr. Burton if he were well, and bad bim be of good coinfort. To whotn he re-
plied, Are you well ? If you be well; I am much more, and full of comfort; I bless God. Some asked him if the pillory were not uneasy for his neck and shoulders? He answered, How can Christ's yoke be unensy? This is Christ's yoke, and be bears the heavier end of it, and I the lighter; and if ming were too heavy, he would bear that too. O good people, Cbrist is a good and sweet master, and worth the suffering for ! And if the world did but know his goodness and bad tasted of his sweetness, all. would come and be his servants; and did they. but know what a blessed thing it were to bear his yoke, 0 who would not bear it? The Keeper going about to ease the pillory by patting a stone or a brickbat between, Mr; Burton said, Trouble ${ }^{\text {pot }}$ yourself, I am at very good ease, and feel no weariness at all: And espying a young man at the foot of the pillory, and perceiving lim to look pale on him, he said, Son, Son, what is the metter you loak so palef? I have rs much comfurt as py heart can hold, and if I had need of more, I should hnve it. One nsked him a while after if he would drink some aqua vitic. To whom he replied, that he needed it not; for I have, snid he, (lay1"g his hand opon his lireast) the true (Vater of Like, which like a well doth spring up to cternal life. Pausing a white he said with n most chearfol and grave counteuance, I was never in such a pulpit before, but little do ye know (speaking to them that strorl nlout him) what fruits God is able to produce from thes dry tree. They looking stedi.stly upail him, ho sand, Mark my words, and renember them well: I say, Little do you kubur what fruits God is able to produre from this dry tree; $\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{2}$. say, remember it well, for this day will neter be forgutten; and the regh thase holes (pointing to the pillory) God can bring light to bis Church. The Kecper going ahout again to mend the piliory, he said, Do upt trouble vourself so much: But indeed we are the troublers of the world. By nati hye, some of thetn ofiering hin a cup of wine; he thanked them, telling them he had the wine of consolation within hinn, and the joys of (hrist in pos ession, which the world could not thke away from him, neither could it give theln unto him. Then he looked towards the other pillory, and making a sugn with his hand, chearfully called to Dr. Bastwick, and Mr. Prynn, ashing them how they did? Who answesed, Very well. A woman said tata him, Sir, every ihristian is not wo. thy of this honour, which the Lord hath cast upon you this day. Alas, (said he) who is worthy of the least mercy ? But it is his gracious favour and free gift, to account us worthy in the behalf of Christ to suffer any thing for his sake? Another woman said, There are many huadreds which by God's assistance would willingly stffer for the cause you suffer for this day. To whom be said, Christ exilta all of us that-are ready to suffer affictions for his name with meekness and patience; but Christ's military discipline in the use of his spiritual warfare, in point of suffering, is quite forgotten,
aud we hava in a manner lost the power of religion, in not denyng oureelves, and following Christ as well it sulfermg as in doing. After u whule Mr. Burtim calling to one of has friends for a handkerchief, returued it ugain, saying, It is hot, but Christ bore the burden in the heat of the day; let us always lakour to approve ourselves to God in all things, and unto Christ, for therein stands our happiness, come of it what will in this world.

One said to Mr. Burton, The Lori strengtian you. Tu whom he replied, I thank you, nad I bless his name he stroughens me. For though I ana a poor sinfal wretcle, yet I bless God for my vinueent conscience in any such crime ns is laid against me; and were not my caose good, and my conscieuce sound, I could not eajoy so much unspeakable comfort in this iny sulfering, as 1 do, I bless my God. Mrs. Burton seading comorendation to hm by a friend: He returned the like to her, sayimg, Comenend my love $t 0$ my wife, and tell ber I um heartily chicurful, and bid her remember what I said to her in the morning; namely, That sheshould not blemish the ghory of this day with one tear, or so much as one nigh. She returned answer, That she was glad to hear hima so chearful, and that she was more chearful of this day than of her wedding-dny. This answer exceedingly rejoiced his heart, who thereupon blessed God far her, and snid of her, "she is but a young soldier of Christ's, but she hath already endured many a sharp brunt, bot the Lord will strengthen her unto the end: And be having on a puir of new gloves, shewed theen to his Iriends there-- about lun, saying, Ms wife yesterday of her own accord bought me these wedding gloves, for thin is my wedding-dny.
One said to him, Sir, by this sermon (your sufferiug) God may convert many unto him. He answered, God is ghle to do it indeed. And then he called again to Dr. Bastwick and Mr. Prynn, ashiug them how they did; who answered as before. Some speaking to him concerning that suffering of shedding his blood: lie nuswered, What is fny blood to Christ's blood ? Christ's blood a a purging blood, but mine is corrupted and (olluted with sin. One friend asked another standing near Mr. Burton, if there should be any thing more done unto hin? Mr. Burton over-hearing him anawcred, Why shand there be no more done? For what Gid will have done, must be accomrplished. One desired Mr. Burton to be of good cheer : he ihus replied, If you knew my checr, you would be glad to be partaker with nie; for I am not alone, neither liath God left me alone in all my sulferings and close imprisonmient since first I was spprehended. The halberd-men standing round sbout, one of them had an old rusty hatbent, the iron whereof wus tacked in the staff with un old crooked nail ; which one ohserving, and saying, What an old rusty halberd is that? Mr. Burton said, This seems to me to be one of those halberds which accompanied Jurtas when lie weat in betray and apprehend his master.
vol. IIf.

Mr. Burton said again, I am persuaded that Christ my Advocate is now pleading my cause at the Father's right-hand, and will judge my cause, though none be found here to plead if, mad nill bring furth my righteousness as the light at uoon-day, and clear my inbocency in due tinue. A friend asked Mr. Burton, if he would have been without this particular suffering? To whou he said; No, not for a world. Moreover, he said that his conscience in the dischurge of his ministerial duty and function, in admonishing his people to beware of the creeping in of popery and superstition, exhorting thean to stick close anto God nud the king in duties of obedience, was that which first occasioned las sufferinge; nid he said, As fire this truth I bave preached, I an reculy to seal it arefi my Woon), for this is ny cruwn both here and hereafter. I am jealous of Gud's bonour, and the Lord keep us that we may do nothing that may didnonour him, either m dving or suffering; God con bring light out of darkness, and glary out of shame : and whint shall I say more; I am like a bottle which is so full of hquur, that it cannot run out freely; so I win so full of joy, that I am vot able to express it.
In conclusinn, some told him of the approach of the Executioner, and prayed God to strengthen him. He said, I trust he will, why should I fear to follow my master Christ, who said, ' I gave my back to the smiters, and my cheek to the nippers that plucked off my hair; I hid not my face from shame and spitting, for the Lord God will help nie, therefore shall 1 not lie tonfounded; therefore have I set thy face like a fint, and I know that I shull not be ashafied.'
When the Executioner land cut off one ear, which he had cut deep and close to the liead in an extraordinary cruel manner ; yet he never ouce noved and stirred for it, though he had cut an artery, so as the blond ran streaming down upon the scaffold, which diven persona standing about the pillory seeiug, dipped their handkerchiefs in, as a thiug most precions, the people giving a mournful shout, and crying for the surgeon, whom the croud and other impodiments for a time kept off, so that he could not cone to stop the blood; he all the while beld up his hands, said, Be content, it is well, blessed be God. The other ear being out no less deep, he then was freed from the pillory, and came down, where the surgeon waiting for him, presently applied remedy for stopping the blood afier a larye effusion thereof, yet for all this he fainted not. in the lenst manner, though through expence of misch binod be wnxed pale. And one offering him a little wormwood-water, be said, It ueeds not; yet through importunity he only tasted of it , and no more, snying, His monster. Christ, was not so well used, for they gave him agall and vinegar, but you give me good strong avater in refresh nue, blessed be God. His head being bound up, tivo fiiends led him away to an bouse provided him in King-street, where being set down, and bid to spenk litule, yet he said ater a pause, ${ }^{\text {this }}$
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is ton hot to hold long: Now lest they in the roon, or his wife should mistake, and think he spaike of bansell conce rung bo pain, be said, I speak not this of myselt; for that which I hane sulfered is nothung to that my Saviour sullered fors we, who had tiss tands and feet nailel to the crons: aid lying suil a while, he took Mr. I'rym's suileriegs much to heart, and ashed the people buwste did, tor, said he, bis suifet: ca hive teea gient. He ashed albo how Dr. Dioshnk did, with much compassion and grief, that hum-elf' (toing the lint that was executed) coobl nut stay to see how they two fared atter han.

Sonomatier the exccution of the Sentence, they wete severally sent prooners to the respertive ca ties of Carnarvon, Lancestos in Cornwal, and Laucater, nad afterwaruglow the 27 th of Augnst tobomug, it was ordered, by the king and couscil, That Dr. Bastwick sinuld be remonetl to the cistie or fort of the 1sles of scilly, Mr. Buriou th the Nle of Ciacrasey, and Mr. I'ryun to which of the two casthes of the isle ot Jersey the covernor should think fit; and that nowe be admited to have conte ence wrb them, or to hase necess to them, hut whe in the capiains of the said casiles or their depaties shonid appoint; they not to be allowid peat. paper, or mk, mur any books, but the Bable and Cummon-Prayer bowk, and other books of devouins, consounat to the doctrine and dasequline of the Church of Euggand; no :etters or aritungs to be brought them, but what shall le apencd, nur any to be sent from thenu: that the wives of Bastwick and Buiton should n.t land or abide in any of the said Ishail $k$, int I they did, they should be detained in pri-on till forther order from the board; nad the conduciors of the said thee prisoners, either by sea ar land, to suffer none but heruselves the sprak to tieen in therr pasage. Accordingly they were sent to the stid three Isla ds, where ithy remaund thl the begmaing of the Long Patinnent 16:11, when upon their re peetire peithons whey were sent for up, discharged and reatured. Their l'etitions were as follow:

To the Honourable the Kaighrs, Citizens, and Burge sses of the Conannins House of Parlist ment; the fumble Petitiou of Wilitan Pryax, late Faile and clone Prisoner wit Jersey,
In all humbleness sheweth; That your petitioner, though not conscientious to himself of any voluntary or apparrat ofience agaiost the laws of the reain, (tu which he ever suruied to conform himelf) through the malicious practices and perscrutwus of sonic Preinies and Church-men, (especially the now archlishop of Canterhury, and Pcter Hegloo. doctor in divinity) whose Errois and Inaovations, contrary to the ductrine and discipline ol̀ the Charch of England, and extravagancies in the IIgh Commission, and other ecclesiastical cuurs, your Petitoner for his own relief, being there unjusly provecuted, (had to his weal- power op-
punged) hath within eight years last past, ursdergone two heavy Censures in ibe Star-Cbarmber Court.

The first upon an Information there exhihited against your Petitioner, by Mr. Noy deceased, then Aubrney Gineral, for some misconstrucd passuges, moflensive in themselres, and in your petitiouer's tuue intenam, being lor the inost part the words of other appioved authors, comprised in a book, styled hatrion mastir, written by the petitioner, against common interludes, and licensed for the press by Mr. Thowas Buckner, houshold chaplain to the then archbishinp of Cinaterbury, authorized by the state to license books, and by him a xactly perased, and apprcied toth in the written and prated copy, before its pubhcation, and so coufessed the Information; for which anthoT:ued Book and Passages, your petitioner, bofore the hearing of the ranse, was not only inprisoned in the Tower of Lundon, without bail or inainprize, for a whole year's space, denied access to his connsel, convenient time to cxamine Wituesses, and nake Breviats to instruct his conasel (the information bergg general, and reciting no particular chauses of the Book excepted ugains:) the only means of his Defence illegally suppressed, some of bis coonsel trmpered with to make to justification, contrary to your petitioncr's instructions and desire, wherehy bis cause úas miscarried ; but nloo at the learing, by reason of those mahicwus and perrerse glosses on the said Passiges, which the said Ilcylin had collected and presented to his majesty's learned counsel, who repented his instructions only, gour petitioner was finej 3,000l, to his majesty, expelled the University of Oxford, and Lincoln's-Inn, degraded from bis profession of the law, wherem he neter offended, set in the pillury in the Palace-Yard at Westminster, where lhe lost one of his ears, and tua days after on the pillory in Cheapside, where be lost the other ear: and had his said licensed Buoks there publicly burnt before his face, by the haneman, in a mest disgraceful manner; and adjudgd aftor to reman a prisoner during his life.

That after the said densure, to defame and injure your petitioner the more, he was charged wrongfully in the Decree, as censured for perjury, (though not tased for it by the court) and between lis sulferings in the pillory, the books of his stady (tuice surveyed, and restored to him by order frum the lords) before any fine estreated, by a warrant out of the high comanission, signed by the said Archbishop and othen, were seized on by Cmss a messenger, who carried them to his house ; with which warrant your petitioner charging the said archbishop upon occasion, in the open court of Star-Chamber; he there pullicly disavoned the same (though your petitioner can yet produce it under, his own hand) promising witbal, that the books sthould be restored forthwith : which notwithstaiding were all still detained by his means, ctill they were extended and sold for your putitioner's fine : who sbortly after, by on
order out of the said court sent to the Tower to be executed, wasthere shut up close prisoner, and Dr. Heeves sent thither to scarch his chainher for the pampiblet, which the said archbishop, would wrougfully have fathered upon your petitioner, whuse friends have been uajoutly prosecuted tu the exchequer, and elsewhere, sundry years, for his finte atoresaid.

Aud your Peutioner liurther saith, that ntout Easter wus three years, during his imprisunment in the Toner, by means of the said archbishop, a new inforination way exhilited in the said court against your petitioner, and others, with certain Books thereto amexed ; denying the prelates jurisdiction over other ministers, to be Jure Divino. Charging them with many errors nud mnovations in religion, usurpation upon his majesty's prerogative, had sulject, Jiberty, abunes, and extortions, in the ligh, commussion, and other ecclesiastical courts, suppressing preaching, and painful mimsters without a cause; licensing Popish, Armiuian, and other erroncous books against the Sabbath; setب̣!ng up Altars, Images, and Crucilixes; removing und ruiling-in Communion Tables, and bowing down to thenn, altering the Book of Common Irayer, the books fur the Gunpow-der-Trenson, and late Fast, in some material passages in tavour of Popery and Papits Which things, (though very notorious, and oft complained agamst by tilis honourable house, in former and late parliaments) were yet reputed scandalous. And though netther of the said Books was particularly charged on your petitioner, is the suid Information, nor buy svituess produced to pryse him either author or disposer of any of thein; yot by denying your pectitioner liberty to draw up hii own Ansoer, (thoughonce a barrister at las) whell as hos ansigned counsel refised to w it, by chose inn prisunngy your petitioner, and his scrvant, by debarring him pen, ink, and paper, whereby to :nsiver, or instruct his counsel; searchme lus chamber, und taking away part of his Answer there found; deaying him access to his counsel. and conference with hingco-defendants, even at counsel, though jointly charged with hum ; rejecting the Cross-bill fxhilited by hum fur his defence ; threatening master Holt, one of your petitioncr's hssigned counsel, sent by the then Iord Keeper to the Tower, to draw up your petitioner's Auswes, and commanding him not to sign it, uffer it was engrosjed, whereupon lye refused to suluscribe it, contrary to his promise to your petitibner ; and by refusing to accepr your petitioner's ansters to the sad information, sigued with bis own, and uaaster Toulins, the other of his counsel's hands, though tendered by your pettioner, both at the StarChamber Ottice, and in the-oleen court at the hearing; the said information, far default of Answer (though two Answers were thereto tendered by your petitioner) was tuken pro confeaso sgainst your petitioner, and he thereupon fined $5,000 \mathrm{l}$. to his majesty, pilloried, stigmatized on both cheeks, mutilated andedismemhered, in a mest barbafoas manaer, and the
small remainder of his ears, left after his first execution, cut off, to the hizard of his hearing, and life; und adjudged to perpetual close inprisonment in the gaol of Caruarvon castle in Norti-Wales, a nasty dog-bole, far remote from your petitioner's frieads. Which Sentence was unduly drawn up and executed upon your Petition'r, us his Atturuey's ('lerk informod, before it was entered into the book, or your petitiouer could get any copyof it, to except against the same, as he had just cause.
That inmedhately after the Execution of the same Seatence, your pentioner sent to the said Archisshop to desire hin to rflease or hail his sertant (who wà delained close prisoner for ten wegks space in the messenger's hands, and oft exis maned and solicitid, by fair promises and Nreatenings, caurelessly to accuse your petitioner, ugainst nhum they wunted evidence) that so he might attend hiun durng his sores, which the sand Archhishop out of his grace and charily utterly refused; saying that he intended to proceed agaiust his said servant in the High Commission, wherv he hath ever since vexed, censured, and banded him from prison to prison, only for refusing to accuse and betray your petitioner.

That after the said heavy Sentence, your petitioner by an order in the said court (hy way of addittoon to the said Censure) was inhibited the use of pen, ink, and paper, and all books ; except the Bible, and the Book of Common Phayer, and some few books for prisate devouou; and betore his wounds were perfectly cured, le was by order removed from the Tower to Coumarrou; and some of his fiends in Chester, who visted han there in his passage, in the presence ot his conductors, who had no order to reatam any person from resorting to him, were for thas reyy canse sent for by a mesouger, to appear becire the lords of the privy conucil, and hinew ise cued into the Iigh Conmisston at York, where they were mprisoned and fined, to the tum of their extates, and injoined to make a $\mathrm{pu}^{1}$ lich recancation in the cathedral church, and in the Juwn-hall of Chester: The shid commissioners further decreeing, that three pictures of your Petitioner fuund in Chester, should lie publickly burnt at the IIIgh Cruss there, which was done accortingly.
That your Petitioner, since his said Sentence, hath heen publickly reviled a:, and libelled agaust, both by the High Commissicners at York, and in sundry churches, both at Chester and elsewhere, and in divers licensed printed books, compiled by the said Hey!in, and published by the Archbishop's priviry or command; and that sundry of his friends houses, studies, books, and writings have been violently hroken up, ransacked and taken awny, and thronselves prosecuted in the High Cownission, out of matlice, for the relation they hal to your Pettimer.

That nfter your Petitioner har contmoed oome ten weeks space close prisoner in('anarvon, he was, about three yenrs sinke, by a waurant from the lords of the connci, mate in the summer vacation, ( 10 which the said Archbishop's hand
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whs first subscribed) ordered by way of eave, in be imbarked and transported with all pravary into one of the castles in the wle of Jersey, and his conductors thereby clatged anst to suinut any person whatsoner, but themselves only, to speak with you l'etitoner in his passage : Whereupon, after some injuries there recenved by Mr. Grifith, the kme's attorney in those parts, who endeavourento sc:/e 1 puta the furniture of his einamber for lis cown une, your l'etitioner was imbarheal tumong payists, in a bruised slupwrecked iessel, full of leaks, and after fourteen weeks wyage in the winter season, through dangerous stom ans seas, which spoiled most of his staff and beddugg, and threstening ofien thi,wreck to him, le arrived at he said isle, and was conveyed close prisoner rito Mount Orgatile castle there, where the Lietia nant Governor, Ly another extra-judicial order, b to which the suid Archishop's uame was first, was orderd to kuep yuur Petitioner clo-e prisoner in a chauher, suffer none but his keepers to speuk with him, to intercept all letteis to him; to permit him neither pen, ink, nor paper, either to write to has friends fur neccssaries, or to petition for relief, and to pernit him no book but the Bible, and thuse afore-named books, without giving nny order for hiv diet there : so that bcing deprived of his calling and estate, exuled and shut up close prisuier among strangers, remote from all his friends, denied all address tis hin by person or letters, be had certainly perished in his almost three years close imprisonment there, had not the exmaordinary provilence and goodness of God, which'lie shall ever adore, and thie noble chariry of those under whose custody he did remain, furnished thim with such det and nccessaries, as preserved him both in headth and life, in this his close imprisonment and exile.

May it therefore please this hononrable house, to take these your Petitioner's almost eight yeare trugical grievances, of new and dangerous example, into your most sad and just considerations, that so they may nut become precedo nts to the prejudice of posterity; to grant him liberty to send for and examine all necessary witnesses; to order all clerks, registers, and other officers of the Star Chainber, or elsewhere, speedily and freely to grant him the copies of such Orders, Decrees, and Writings, as his cause shall require, to release him upun bail (being sow but a prisoner only upon an extra-judicial order of the lords, and not by virtue of any Sentence or Decree in court) to grant him fiberty to plead and prosecute his own cause, since counsel hath so often failed him, and to give him such satisfaction and relief as the justice and equity of his cause shall merit. And your Petitioner shall ever pray for your safeties,

> Williay Pryan.

To the Honoutable the Knights, Citizens, and Burgesses of the Connnons llouse of Parliament; The humble Petition of Jous Babrwice, Doctúr in Physick, lakely retained close Prisoner and Esile, in the Island of Scilly:

Most humbly sheweth; That your Petitioner having ahont sis years since set out a Book in latim, calleil * Elenchus Religionis Papisticat; with su midhtion thereunto, called 'Flagellum ' Pontiliric, et Episcoporiun Jatiajum ;' being thereantes provoked by one Richard Short, a Papist that inaintained the Poue's Suprenacy, the mass, and papai Iteligion: In which book your l'etitioneri ior preventug all misinterpretntion of bis prous and gotad mentans therem) in lits Ryatle to the Reader, fully dechared himself, That your Petitioner nueunt nothing aguinst such bishops as acknowledged their authority frous kings aud emperors; yet, because your petitioner (the better to sliew the papal usurpation over ofier princes) therein only maintained loy way of argument (as other ortho:fox writers of that sulject have dane) a parity of the said bishop of Itione, or all other bashops or presbyters, by the woid of God, denyng his and their Supremacy over other ministers to be by the dinme mstitution:

Thereupon a pursuwant, by authority from the High Commasion Court, came into your L'etitionei's house at Colclester in Eissex, ia has absence, and the snid pursuivant, nssisted with the then buuliffs and coustables of Colchester, aforesaid, ransached his saud lususe, tugether with his chests and tronks, nuil with great violence broke npen your petitioner's stady, which was in his apothecary's house, and took and carried away divers of your petitioner's books, writing, letters, and what clse the pursuivant pleased, without makiug of restitution of them to your petitioner.

And then your peritioner was prosecuted in the said High Commission court, principally for his said Book; where after a ling and chargeable prosecution, he was the 12th of February 1634 , fined $1,000 /$. to the kmg, esconumunicated, debarred to practice physikik, the chiefest means of his livelihood; his said Book ordered to be burnt; that he should pay costs of suit, and be imprisoned till be should make a Hecantation. The which lieaty Censure was ouly for the said book whereiu your petitioner maintaiaed the pierog vive of a kiug against the papacy. Whereas ime Thumas Chawney, of Rssex, lately wrote a Book in maintonanoe of the papal religion, and in defence of the church of Rome, and avers, it to be a true church; the which vook if dedicated to the archbishop of Capterbury, and was-aud is patronize 1 and defended by the suid archbinhop, and the said Chawney never troubled for it. After which censure deciared ds aforesaid, all the bishops that were then present, denied openly that they held their jurisdiction from his majesty; and athrued, that they had it from God only. And the archbishop of Canterbury, among other erroneous seyingo uttered by him, maintained the sand Chawuey's book; and maintained that the church of Kotne was a true church, and that ir erred not in fundamentals : And be, and other the said bishops, there defumed the holy scriptures, and abused reverend Muster Calpin. Ia regurd whereof, and for
the sindicating, of your petitioner's innocency in the matters for which be was most unjustly censured, as aforesaid, your petitioner published in print another book in Latin, intitieft, 'A po-- logeticus ad Prasules Anglicanos,' expressing the truth of his Proceedings, and speeclus of his said Censure. For which last mentionct hook, sud his book culled the ' Litany,' not then in print, an Infurmation was exlubited against him and others in the Star-Chumber, to which your Petitiong's Ahswer being drawn and encrossed, was only subscrihed by himself, because he could get no counsel to set their hands tojit; your Peationer tendered the said Answery first at the Star-Chumber Office, and after in open court at the Stal-Chamber bar, but it would not be accepted for want of counsellors hands to it: contrary to former precedents But the court of Star-Chamber twok thre suid Information pro conferso, aud censured your Petitiouer $5,000 \mathrm{l}$, hine to the king, to stand in the pillory, and to lose both his ears, and to be clove prisoner m Lausceston Castle in Cornwal. All which hath been executed upon him with great extremity, to the peril of his life. After all which extremity, your Petitioner (by what order he knoweth not, it being no part of his Censure in Star-Chamber) was trunsported from the said Casile to the island of Scilly, a place so barrey that it affords not ordinary necessaries: where he hath been in close Hurance for three years or more, and not suffered to have any of his friends come at him, his sery wife being prolubited, by the lords of the council's order, untler pain of imprisonment, not to ast her foot upou any part of the satd island to enquire of his welfare. So that your petitioner liath beeu exiled from his wife and dreers snaill children three' years and more; besides the great straits and miseries which he hath sustained during the said time. All whech is contrary to the law of God and man, and the libcrties of a free sulject ; and to the utter undoing of your petitioner, his wife, sud children.
May it therefore please this homourable assembly, to take these trv-s-ing gricrances of your Petritioner into yoy conviderations, and to afford him such relief (weren, as in your grave wisdoms shall seem consonant to justice and equity; and to assign him for counsel, Mr. Atkins, Mr. LudGore, Mr. Toulins, Mr. Gurdon, and Mr. Randen, to assist h.m in this his complaint; and to order that your petitioner. may take out grutis such copies of the said Censures, Warrants, and Orders, and other the proceelings in the snid -several court, as shall or may any way concem this his sad, yet most just complnint, with warrant, from this honourable house, to bring in his witness.

And your Petitioner, as in disty bound, shall ever pray for your prosperities, J.Bsestwick.

## The humble Detition of Hesry Burjos, late

Exile, nad close Prisoner in Castle-Cornet, in the Isle of Guernsey,
In all humbleness sheweth; That wherens your petitioner, on the 5th Nov: 1696, did
preach two Sermons in his own parish 'church in St. Matthew Friday-street, London, for the which he was, in llecembet then next following, sumumoned to appear before Dr. Dack, one of the Comnnissioners for causes ecclesiasticul, at Chiswick in the county of Middlesex : where (with the register of the High Commission court) the sad Dr. Duck tendered to the petitioner the outh er offició, to suswer to certain articles there presented: Which outh the Petitioner refusing to take, did then and there appeal froun the said court unto the king's majesty; which appeal the said Dr. Duck did admit, and the said register, by Dr. Duck's directhus, did then and therc enter in writing.
fotwithstunding uhch said Appeal, n special Hipl Comaistion court was slurtiy after called R'L London, consisting of four or fire doctors: -where the said Conmissioners proceeded illegally to suspend the petitiover in lus almence; by means whereof, as of the threatenings of the said Comsuissioners, lee was iuforced to keep his house, until a serjeant at arms, with divers pursuivants, and other armed officers, assisted by alderman Abell, then sheriff of London, besot the petitioner's house at eleven o'clock nt night, and vislently broke open his doors with iron crows, and the like, aid surpised hun in his hunse; he mahing no resistance at all. Where having first se.srched his study, and taken away such books us they pleased, they carried your petitioner to prison ; whence, the next day, being the 2nd of Feliruary, hy a pretended erder from the lords of the council, be was conveyed to the Fleet, nul there hept clove prisoner,
During which imprisomueat, an Information was extribited ugainst the petitioner and others, inchis innjesty's court of Star-Chamber; whercly he was charged, anter uliu, with the publishing of a certuin Book, contaiaing, "An A pokogy for an Appeal," with the cuid ton serinuns, intitled, "Goal ond the bing." Wherein he taught subjects to yicld all manner of due ohedience to their lawful king, and reproved all lawless innovations in teligiou, \&c. Which Information the petitioner upon his oath under the hand of M. IIolc bcing then of his counsel, awigued by special order, from the said court, did put in his Answer wherein he alledged such things only as lus suid counsel conceived to bematerial, and pertiuent to his jast defence is probli-hing the said Book; but deuied all other matters in the said infirmation contained. Which said Answer being admitted and received in court, the petitioner (being then a close prisoner) not only attended the exhlibiting of Interrogatories, according to the custon of that count, but withal. after some inniversal delay, did write unto the king's Atrorney to liasten them; but before the Pxaminer cane, the petitioner heard that his said Answer was referred to sir John Brumston, kt. L. C. Justicer of the King's-Bench, sir John Finch, then chief Justice of the Common Pleas, nud was by them wholly expunged as impertinent and sciandalous, save only the not Guilty. And the petitioner understanding the Answer be wasoto
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make to the Interrngatories was to be reckoned as a part of his Answer admitted in court, but afterwards expunged as impertunent and ccandalous, as aforesaid: so as if he sliould then have answered the Interrogatories, he should thereby have assented to the sail tiet of the said Judges, and so the condemuation of his cause betiore the hearing; whereby he should have contradicted bis Former oath, that his suid Answer was a true Auswer; and so should justly have brought himself uuder the guit of wilful perjury, and his cause under just censure. For thay very reason he held himself not bound, as he conceived, to answer the Interrogatories: for that his said answer was so expunged, and the (Not Guilty) as the foot so tied to the l, ead without the unain body, and in the judge'syown words, as the petitidner could not in any sto: take or acknowiedge it now for other than the judge's own answer; as may appear upon re cord in the same court.

Nevertheless the Court taking the same Information pro confesso, and refusing to permit a copy of the petitioncr's own true Answer, as also of his reasons of not answering the Interrogatories, both which at his ceusure he tendered to the court, dissining thry might be then and there publickly read the 1 thh of June, 13 Caroli tegis, proceeded to ceusure : wherehy your petitioner was censured in a fine of 5,000 i. to his majesty, to be deprived of his ecclesiastical benefice, degraded from his ministerial function and degrees in the university, and ordered to be set ou the pillory, where both his ears were to be cut off; conined to perpetual close imprisonment in Lancaster-castle: debarred the access of his wife or any other to come to him but his keeper; and denied the use of pen, ink, and paper. All which, except the fine, was executed accordingly. And atter bis close imprisonment for twelse weeks in the common juil in the: said castle, he was, by what extrajudicial order he huows not, transported by the conduct of oue Braan Burton, appointed by the high slee iff of Lancaster, wha used your petitioner very basely and deceitfully, in that his transportation, which was in the winter aeason, through dangerous seas, to the apparent hazard both of his health and life, to the said castle of Guernsey, white he remained a close prisoner and exiie almost three whole years; his wife utterly prohibited, upon pain of imprisonment, to set her foot upon any part of the island where siee mught but inquire bow her husband did : contrary to the lass of God and the liberties of this kiugdom.

May it cherefore please this honourable house, to take the petitioner's sad cause into consideration ; nnd for better manifestation of tis grievauce in this cuuse, to nssign tim for counsel Mr. Serjeant Atking, Mr. Touljus, and Mr. Gondon, to assist him in pris cause, und to command that he may take wut such copies gratis out of the seid several courts as do or masy concern his oniue.

And your Petitioner, as in daty bound, shall dejly pray for your prosperilies. H. Buaros.

These Petitions being read, they were referred to the Committee appointed for inquiring ${ }^{1 n t o}$ the proceedings of the Star-Chamber and High Counmission Court, and upon their Meport the house came to the fillywing Resolu* tions.

As to Dr. Bastwick, Fub. 22, 1640.

1. Resolved, "That the I'recept made by the archtishop of Canterhury and others, high commissioners for can-es ecclesiastical within the realm of Fugland, for the appicheuding the Lody of Dr. Bastwick ani searcling for and scizing his books; and the mesengers actingu therenpon in scarching Dr. Bastwick's hoprse, and scizug his Bopks and Papers, are 口gaiust law and the liberty of the sulject. 2. That the Sentence given against Dr. Bastwick by She High Cominisoioners, and the proceedings whereupon that seutence is grounded, and the excention of that scateuce, are against law; and that the sentence is vid, and that 1 Dr . Bastwick ought to be restored to the exercise and practuce of physick, mad to have reparntion and recompence for hiv damage and loss sustained by the said sentence turd execution. x. That all those severul commissioners of the high comanission court which voted ugninst Dr. Bastwick, in the Sentence prounonced against him, ought to give satisfaction to Dr. Bastwick."
The bouse alterwards resumed the Debate concerning Dr. Bastwick, Whereupon it was farther,

4, Resolved, "That the proceedings ngainst Dr. Bustwick are againgt the haw and liberty of the subject, as also the Senterice ngainst him. ought to be reversed; the fine of $5,000 \mathrm{l}$. dischanged, and he lave reparation for his losses and safierings. 5. That the Orders and Warrants from the Council-Board for 1r. Bastwich's exile, nifd transferring him from the castle of Launceston torthe isle of Scilly, and his imprisoument there, are against the law aud libierty of the sabjict, and that he ought to have reparation for his losses and dannages sustained by those ordeof, and that imprisonment.
"Present at the serfence in the Star-Chamber these lord, and priv -counsellors following: The J.ard Keeper. dukd of Lenox, earl of Pembroke, canl of Holland, lord Cottington, sir Thomas Jerunn, Lord Treasurer, marquis Hemulton, eart of Dorset, earr1 Morcton, lord Newl,urgh, Mr. Secretary' Cooke, Lord Privy Seal, earl of Arandel and Surry, earl of Bridgwatir, viscount Wimbieton, sir Henry Vane, Mr. Secret. Windebank"

## As to Mr. Burton ;

1. Resolved, "That the four Commissioners,

Dr. Duck, Dr. Worrall, Dr. Sams, and Dr. Wood, proceeded unjustly and illegally in suspendiug Mr. Burton ab offieio et beneficio, for nobappearing npon the summons in the Girst process, \&. That the breakingeopen Mr. Burton's house, and urresting his person without any cause shewed, and before any suit depending against him in the Star-Clamber, and bis close imprisooment thereupon, are against the
law, and libery of the subject. S. That John Wragg hath offended in searching and seizing the Books and Papers of Mr. Burton, by coJour of a general warrant dorinant from the High Commsssioners, and that the said warrant is against Law and the Liberty of the Sulject; and that serjetut Dendy and alderman Abell have offended if breaking open the house of Mr. Burton, and ought respectively to make him reparations for the same. 4. That Mr. Burton ought to have reparation and recomspeuce for damages sustained by the nforesaid proceedings from Dr. Duck, \&cc. 5. That the Warramt from the Counci-Board, dated at thisthall, Feb. 2, 1636, for the connnitting Mr. Burton close prisonc?, and the conmitment thereapon, is illcgal, nad contrary to the liberty of the subject. ©. That the archbishog. of Cunterbury, bishop of Lundou, and the earf of Aruntel, the earl of Pembruke, sir Henry Vaue, secretary Cooke, aud secretary Windebouk, do make reparation to Mr. Burton for has dumages sustained by his mpribonment."

## As $t$, Mr. Prynn ;

1. Resolved, "That the Sentence given aganst Mr. Pryon in the Star-Chamber, February 17, 9 Car. is illegal, and citen without just cause, and ougit to be reversed; nud that Mr . Pryun ought to be discharged of the fine of $5,000 \mathrm{~L}$ imposed by the said Sentence, and of all extems thereupon, and of his imprisonment decreed ly that Scutence. 2. That Mr. Prynn ouglit to lie restored to his degress in the University of Oxford, and do the suciety of Lin-coln's-Inu, and to the exercise of his profession of an Diter Barriter at law, and to his chamber agaiu'at Lacoln's-Iun. 3. That Mr. Prymought to have reparation for such damages and prejudice as he hath sustajned by the said Sentence and pruceedugs. 4. That the Sentence given ngainst Mr. Prynn in the Star-Chamber, 1t Junii 1637, 13 Car. is illegal, and given without any just cnuse, and therefore ought to be reversed; and that he nught to be discharged of the fine and imprisqument thereby decreed, and that he ought to haje reparation and recompence tor the damages surtained by that Sentence, and the execution therevf.-Thit the Warrant dated 23 Aug. 13 Car. for the transportation of Mr. Payn from ('aernarvon-Castle to the isle of Jersey, and jis impnsonment. there, and other restraints therein mentioned, are against the ${ }^{*}$ law and liberty of the subject, and that he ought to te discharged of that inprisonment, and to have repnrations ior his damages sustained thereby. 5. That the lmpriconinent of Mr. Prynn, by a warrant dated the 1st of Feb. 1632, under the hands of Thomas lord Coventry, Lord Keepier of the Great Seal of Enyland, Richard lord archbishup of York, Heiry earl of Munchester, Edward earl of Dorset, Heury lord viscount Falkland, Williann lord bishop of Lundon, Edward lord Newburgh, and sir Thomas Jermin, is uajast and illegal, und that they ought to givee Mr. Pryan
sarisfaction for the damages sustained by his imprisoninent."

## "Thie Sentence of the Court," says Kennet,

 " was a Fine of $5,000 l$. upon each delinquent to the king, with pillory and loss of ears, and the sery remainder of ears: after which sufferiug, they were committed close prisoners, one to the castle of Lanceston in Cornwal, unother to the castle of Lancaster, and a tifird to Carnarron castle in Wales; from whence they were afterward removed to remote islands, and nu access of friends allowed to them. And here, though the insolence of these men was veay great, their punishment was thought ex tre ely to exceed it. Some moderate penalties might have left them under the neglect of Zhig bold and impradent writers. But thess pterrible blows nopon them, raised then in the eyes of the people into the reputation of sufferers and confessors for the best of causes, Religinn, Liberty, and Property. The lord Clareudon delivers a wise and true opinion of these men, and their prosecution. 'They were three persons most notorious for their declared malice against the government of the church by bishops, in their several Books and Writings, which they had published to corropt the people, with circumstances very scandalous, and in language very scurrilous and impudent; which all men thought deserved very exemplary punishment: they were of the tiree several professions which had the most infuence upon the people, a divine, a common lawyer, and a doctor of physic; none of them of interest, or any estecm with the 'worthy part of their sevcral professions, having been formerly all looked apon under characters of reproach: yet when they were all sentenced, and for the execution of that Sentence brought out to be punished as common and signal rogue-, expged apon sculfolds to have their eats cut off, and their faces and forcheads branded with bot irons (as the poorest and must inechanic malefactors uned to be, when they were not able to redeem thenselves by any fine for their trespasses, or to satisfy any damages for the scandals they had raised against the pood name and reputation of others) men bequan no more to consider their manners, but the men; and each profenolon, with anger and indignation enough, thought their education, and degrees, and quality, would have secured them from such inf3mous judgments, and treasured up wrath for the time to come.'"Lord Clarendon says," There cannot be a hetter instance of the unruly and mutinnus spirtt of the city of London, which was then the sink of all the ill-humours of the kingdum, than the triumphant entry which some persons nt thataime inade ints Iondon, who had been before seen unot pillories, and stigmatized as libellous and iufamous offenders: of which classes of men scarce any nge can afford the like. There hall been three pi rsous of several profosions some jears before cenoured in tha


Star-chamber, William Prynn a barrister of Lincoln's-im, John Bastwick a doctor of physic, and Henry Burton a minister and lecturer of. London.- The tirst, not unlearned in the profession of the law, as far us learning is acguired by the mere reading of books; but being a person of great judustry, had spent more time in reading divinty; and which marred that divinity, in the conversation of factious and hot-hesded divines: and so, by a mixture of all tiree, with the rudeness and arrogance of his own nature, had contracted a protad and venomogs dishike to the disciplise of the Church of England; and so by degrees, as the progress is very nutural, an equal irreverence to the ;overnment of the state too; both which he ve fied in several absurd, peculant, and superci, ous discourses in print.-The second, a half-wittits crack-brained fellow, unkuown to either university, or the college of physicians; but one that had spent lis ume abroad, between the schools and the camp, for the had been in or passed through araics, and had gotten a docturship, und Lattu; with which, in a very flowing style, with some wit and much malice, he inveighed against die prelates of the church in a book which he printed in Holland, nad indostriously dispersed in London, and throughout the kingdoun; h.ving presumed, as their modesty is always equal to their obedience, to dedicate it 'to ithe sacred majesty of the king.' -The third had formeily a kind of relation by service to the king; having, before he took orders, waited as closet-keeper, and so attended at canonical hours with the looks of devotion upon his majesty when he was prince of Wales; and a little hefure the death of king James trok orders: and sis hi, highuess coming shortly to be king, the vapours of ambition furning into his head that he was still to keep his pince, he would not think of less than being clerk of the closet to twe new king, which place his najesty conferred upon, or rather continued in, the biskop of Durham, Dr. Neyl, who had long served king James there. Mr. Burton thus disappointed, und, as he called it, despoiled of his right, would not, in the greatncess of his heart, sit down by the affiront; but cummitted two or three such weak, saucy indiscretions, as caused an inhibition to be sent him, 'that he 'shoald not presume to come any more to 'court:' and from that time he resolved to revenge hiusself of the bi hoop of Durham, upon the whole order; and so turned lecturer and preached against then; being endued with malice and boldness, instead of learning and any tolerable parts.
, " These three persons having been for several follies and libelling humours, first gently reprebended.; and altor, for thrir incorrigibleness, more severely censured and imprisoned; foand some means in prison of correspondence, which whs not before known to be between thern; and to combine themselves, in a more pestilent and seditious Libel than they had ever before vented; in which the honour of the king, gueen, courseallors, and binhopt, wes with equil licance
blasted and traduced; which was faithfully.dispersed by their proselytes in the city. The nuthons were quickly and easily known, and had indeed too much ingenuity to deny it ; and were thereupon brought together, to the StarChamber ore tenus; where they behaved themselves with marvcllous insolence; with fall confidence demanding 'that the bishops who sate $t$ in the court, being only the archbishop of - Canterbury, and the bishop of London, might ' not be present, because thry were etuemies, 'so parties:' which, how scandalous nud ridiculous soever it seemed then there, was good logic and good law two years ufter in Scotland, and served to banish the bishops of that langdom both from the Council-table and the assembly. Upou a very patient and solemn hearing, in as full a cuort as ever I saw in that place, without any difference in opinioni or dissenting voice, they wrie all three cehsured at scandalous, seditious, and infamous persons, ' to lose their ears in the pillory, and to be in'prisoned in several juils daring the king's plea'sure:' all which was exccuted with rigour and severity enough. But yet their itch of libelling still lombe out, and their friend of the city found a line of eommanication wilh them. Hereupon the nisdom of the state thought fit, that thove infectious sores should breath out their corruption in some air more remote froun that cutchang city, and less liable to the contagion: and so, by an order of the lords of the council, Mr. Prynn was gent to a castle in the island of Jersey; Dr. Bastaick to Scilly ; and Mr. Burton to Guernsey ; where they remained unconskiered, and truly I thin: unpitied, for they were men of no virtue or merit, for the space of two years, till the beginning of this prement parliament.
"Shortly uptin that, Petitions were presented by their wives or, friends, to the house of commons, expressing their heavy censures and long sufferings; and desiring, by way of appenl, 'that the justice and rigour of that - sentence might be revewed and considered; ' and that their persous might be brought from - those remote and desolate places 10 London, ' that so they might b's able to facilitate or 'attend their own business.' The sending for them out of prison (which was the main) look up much consideration : for shough very many who had no kindness, hadryct compassion for the men; thinking they had suffered enough; anci that though they were scurvy fellows, they had been scurvily used : and others, had not only affection to their persons as having suffered for a common cause; but were concemed to revive and improve their nseful faculties of libelling and reviling suthority; and to make those ebullitions of their malice not thought noison to we state : yet a Sentence of a supreme tourt, the $8 \tan$-Cbamber (of which they had not- yet spoke with irrevefence) when not lightly to be blown off: bat, when chey were ioformed, and had considered, that. by that Sentencs the peritionen were couldemmed th some privolis in Iopdon; and were aftervard,
removed thence by an order of the lords of the council; they looked upion that order as a violation of the Sentence: and so made no scruple to oriter that the prisoners should be re-- moved thoun thuse foreign prisons, to the - phaces to which they were regulaily first com'iuntwd.' Dud to that purpose, warrants were signed by the Ppeaker, to the governors and captains of the stereral castles, 'to bring them in safe custridy to London:' which weie sent with all possibie expedition.
"Pryuu and Burton being neizhbours (though in distinct islands) landed at the same time at Southumpten; where they were received and entertained with extraordinary demonstrations ofoficetion and esteem; atteuded by a marvellousconAux of compauy; and their charges not only burne with ereat magunticence, but liberal presents given to them. And this method and ceremony kept them emmpany uf their journer, mreat herds of people meeting them at their entiance into all towns, and waiting upon them out with wonderful acclamations. of joy. When they came near to London, multitudes of people of several conditions, sone ou ho.seback, others on foot, met them some miles frum the town; very many having beeu a day's journey; and they were bruught,
about two of the clock in the afternoon, in at Charing-cross, und carried into the caty by alune ten thousand persons, with bonghs and thwers in their hands; the common people strewing flowers aud lnsbs in the way ns they passed, making graat noise, and expressions of joy for their deliverance and retum; and in those acclumations, mingling loud and virulent exclamations against the bishops, 'who liad so ' cruelly prosecuted such godly men.' In the same manuer, within five or six days after, and ai like triumph, Dr. Bastwick returned fiom scilly; landing at Dover; and from thence Liinging the same tentimonies of the affections and zeal of Kent, as the others had done from ILunpslire and Suirey, was met before he car e e to Southwark by the good people of Londons) and so conducted to bis lodging likewise , iv ae cily." 1 Clarendon, 199.

By the Habeas Corpus Act, 31 Car. 2, § 12, it is enacted, Thit no sulject of the realm. minhatitant or rentant of Eugland, Wules, or Berwick upon Tweed, shall be sent prisoner to Scotland, Ireland, Jerbey, Guernsey, \&c. or other place beyond the reas, under heavg penatics apon all persons cyncerned in contriving such comiontment, or tranyprotation.
146. Proceedings in the Star-Chamber against Dr. John Winininms, Bishop of Lincoln, for publishng false News and Tales to the Scandal of his Majesty's Government; for revealing Counsels of Sfate contrary to his Oath of a Privy Counsellor, and for tampering avith the King's Witnesses: 13 \& 14 Charles I. 4. D. 1637. [2 lushw. Coll. 4168 803.]

Sir Jolun Banks hnight, his Majesty', AltorneyGeueral, Plaintif, the right reverend Father in (God, Jolin Lard Bi-hop of Lincoli, Walter Wather, Tho. Land, Cadwadader P'onil, Richarl Willams, William Chthu clerk, Ed. Lake, Jo. Monteyn, and George Walker, Defenilants.

$P$Piligionn's crelit coming in question, being u ingternal Watnes fog the ihshop of laneohi. the king's Attoiney-Gtaeral let tail the tist Bull, fearning a delect of te-tmony, and preferred is second Bill ayainst the behop for 'samper-- ing with the king'sowituesses,' and upon that account the Cuose came on the 11th of July, 1637, which beld nine da,s debate in hearny; and great was the concourse of people eqery day to the court of Ster-Clamber to heur this great Cause, the Bislop being at that time much pitied by the people, who then cast out speeches tinat that Bishop was prosecuted because the state wanted Monry to go to war agaust ihe Scots, and that it was fir he should bleed in his purse by the Consute of the court of Star-Citamber to pay a round the to, the kin, of 10 or 12,000 l.

The Information doth charge the said Bistiop with a practice unduly to gain tbe sighs and po-

VOL. 1.1.
rusal of crrain Examinations taken by the Lor-Is of his majesty's Privy-Council, and commaurded by thein tis be kept secret from the viers of all men, to the end unlawfully and corruptly 10 procur. Witnessca, directions and instructions for Witnesses to make Proofs to contradict and we.shen the snid Examiyations : and lur prostising and corruptly tampering with Wituesses to retract the ir former T'estunonics, and ro vary fro.u the saine upon their second Examination : Aud for tumperang with, and soliciting other Witnessec, produced, and to be exam ed for ins majesty, not to dep,ese aganst the saia Lord Bi.b.p, but to canceal wheir knowledge, aud say they did not rearmber; and for Perjury in an Attidavit made by the Defendant Cation in thiv Cisurt, and subornation threof: Ar Ifor othe widences, at by the sad Complanant's Information mose at large it doth and may uppear

Upon full and doliberate heoring where.f it plainly and evidentiy appeared to this honourable Court, tuat there being nnotiter Cause tormerly depeadung, in this court, betwera his maJesty's Att wnes General Plaintilf, and the s : id Land Bishop of Lincoln Defendant, for publishing false News and laley, to the seandal of tias majesty's Govcrumeut, and tor reicaling of
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Counsols of State contrary to his onth of a pri-vy-counsellor; cne John Prigeon, gent. was in that cause exanined as a Witness for tho Defendant, and by an Order made in Hillary Term 10 Car. regis, fibety was given to the Plaintiff to examine the credit of the said P'rideon upon certain Exceptions, which were delivered into this court: And literty given to the Defendent also to examme Witnesses to aphold and manintuiu this credit ; in oue of which Exccptions was, nuougst other things, * contained. 'that the said Prigeon being by oue Rliznbeth Iodggon, upon her oath, accused to have begouten $n$ bastard clild un her body: Aud being by the two next justices of the peace andjulged the reputed lathicr; and appealing from thent to the next Quarter-scssions bely th the 9 th yeur if his majety's reign; to miffend the court of Quartec-sessious, and to free hima self from that accusation, did nt severad times, nnil hy several persons and means, atter he was to accused, tabour to corrupt the sinid Elizabeth Hodgson, and firr moviey to procure her to lay the said bastard cliild on some other father, and to swear that some other, and not the said Prigeon, bad begotten the stiid bastard; nad that be did labour sone Whatucwers ither could have testified against hime, touching the sald bastaril, nt the said Quarter-sessions, to suppress their Testimonies, and drew or enileavyured to draw ohhers to equivecate upon their oaths, when they did appear.

Mr. Gardiner, Recorder of London, made a Ing and witty defence for the Bisbop for sercrat days together, much of whichis repeated by sone of the lords in their Speccless, which, for brevity sahe, ne omit; referring the reader to those repetitious which some of the lords do make of the Defeuce.

As to the first Bill depeading against the bishop of Lincoln in the court of Star-Chamber, it was occasioned by the Examinations taken by some of the privy-council, of sir Jobn Lamb, and Dr. Sibthorp, who, amongst divers other zlings, testified against the bishop of Lincoln, that the said bishop did give them great discouragement in their proceedings in the Ecclesiastical Courts against the Puritans; and that the Bishop asked sir John Lamb, rihat kind of people those Puritans were of nhom he complained, and whether they did pay the LoanMoney? to which sir Joln replied, They did conform upon that account, and paid their money; hut nevertheless they were Puritans, not couformable to the Cburch: to which the lishop replied, If they pay their monies so readily to the king, the l'unitaus are the king's best suljects, nud 1 am sure, said the Bishoi, the Puritans will enrry all at last. These Exdminations were sealed up, and Mr. Truunbel, clerk of the council, was required to heep them secret, and permit none to see them; but a discovery thereof wus made to the Bisiow, which, amongat other matters of state, was the occasion of the first Bill in this rout against the Bishop, at the Information did set forth.

## Sir John Banks knight, his Majesty's AttorneyGeneral, his Reply in the Cate againse the Bishop of Lincoln.

May it please your lordships; 'Your lordships have heard a Defence made by the Defendaut's counsel, that consoists móre of observation than of proof, and in ex:exnination of his Defence, I sball make it appear plainly, that they very much fail in their own observations. In their observations they have been curious in distinctiou of times, place, and other circumstances, to descant upon the particulars of witnesses, and men that were ne parties to the suit; but for the main substantial parts of the Defence they lave omitted. I shall devirer to olserve to your lurdships, that, with a great scaudal ou his majesty's Proceedings in this Court, they bave told you stories and tales that should be ground of this suit, viz. That th was through malice and hatred between sir John Mounsou, Mr. Ancuchs, i.2id Prigeon; whereas it shall uppear vatu your lurdships, that the suit was upou most just grounds, for the viadicuting of public justice, and that sir John Mounson hath done nathing in this C:nuse, hut accurding to the duty of has place, and clearing his own reputation. It will lie necesoary, sincu these things have been stiried, wot for your berdalips information, who hows it well, but for the satisfaction of the wonld, to clear and jurtify his majesty's procecdings, that I give you some infunation sf the the gronal of this suit, and of the neressity of 'st,

Nichaclanas \& Car, in Information was exhilited uga:ast my Lanil Bishog by my prado-ce-sor, and that ans for the couthiving and publishing davers false Tinles and News, to the scandal of his maje ty's Government, iwd for revealmes sone thions rontary to the duty of his place, and oat 0, as a Puiv-Counsellor. This Catase came to issue, and in the examiatition of Wituesses, anotif:r isshe bappeued, a collateral matter by tereff tonchug the credit of Prgeon: Upon exnmuation of this it fell out, (which we could not dincover hefore publication in the first Catise; that there had beta such tampering, selucine, and litauring of the king's Witnesser, as yever was in any cause, There hath been such! preparations, such instructions, such limitations to his unn Witnesses, to ditect them luw far they should suvear, to whal to give ansuefr, and to what not. My lorts, these proceeding, if they night be suffered, teul theally to the sutiversion of all justice: for the procceduigs an this Court, as in all other courts, ess ly exammation of Witne-ses returied il parchment, not viva doce; therefore if any le instructed what to swear to, ond it so returned in writang, whether though thrents, or for fear, or favour, or mflectoon, it is impossiale yon sbould give a just Sentence, though you in'end it never so clear. My lords, this uppeathg after publication in the first Cause, it was tunc, for exaurple sake, to bring this Cause and there Misdèmanors'to a public Sentence, to be a terror to all vthers for the

like. So as, my lords, this Cause is not grolnded. upon the, fabulous story between sir Jo. Mounson, Mr. Amcocks, and Prigeon, but upon these just grounds and proceedings; and herein we pave great cause to bless God, and muguify his'majesty's justice, that we live not under $n$ cobweb-law, that taketh small flies, and lets great 8 ges pass. This presence doth tell us, that honourable persons who do déserve well huve his majesty's favour, and their own merits do receive a double honour; and this person, how great soever, if he deserve ill, he must receive a sentence according to his jusi demerit.
My luids, I shall come now to the particula. Charges, and therein I shall begin with the firs Charge, wheh is concerning the tampering wit the fuar Witnesses, who deposed nbont this bastarc-chuld; wherein the state of the question standeth thus. In February 10 Cinr, there was an Order tor the examination of Prigeon's cro dit: tunong other things there fell out a ques tion concernuyg a bastard-chilil, whether Johi Prigron was the reputed father yea or no: There was for the proof of the fact produced before ithe justices Dr. Topham and Dr. Farmery ; and before the justices at public sessions several witnessey, Four of them, Lunn, Wetherel, Anne Smuth, and Tub, deposed directly, that this Prigeon was the father of this child; some hy contession from him, some hy confession from herself being the mother if the child, who were present at the time of her delivery.

These Examinations thus taken for the truth of it that he was the father of the bastard-child, the justices did certify it in public sessions accordingly. But now my lord of Lincoln conceiveth with biraself, that be canuot support the csedit of Prigeon (which concerned him so much) unless lie could get these four witnesses $t_{0}$ vary from their former testimony, and by his agents hath laboured as you bave heard. To this they have seemed to make some Answer: 1. The Order made 2 Muii 9 Car. and that was before sir John Bowles, serjeant Callis, and others; and that Order was to acquit Prigeon of the bastard-child, and to lay it upon one Booth. To that I shall give a clear mnswer: first of all, Dr Topham and Farmery that were the mea that took the Examinations concerning this bastard-child, were not present at the making of this Order. Secondly, The Witnesses, those four of them that should give the testimony for the Proof, no one of them were present; and it is proved that Wetherel was hired to be absent : and in the last place, this Order 2 Maii was contraried by the Order 3 Oct. when all the other justicess save sir John Bowles were present at the sessions. And for the order that was confirmed by the court of King's-Bench, it was upon the regality of the order, but not in respect of the fict. And, my lords, there is another ground of it ; fir at that time Prigeon tind submitted to keep the bastardchild, sud given bond to discharge the parish, and maintnin the child. This was proved by two witaesses: but the offertco is nosconceru-
ing this bertard-chila; for suppose a man had a bastard-child, will that mulke his testimong wholly void? The Charge is, that after such tine, as this was folly deposed by four witneases, there muat bo a latouring with them, und giving of money to make a retractation. 1. For Wetherel's retractation, your lordsbips havo heard he was examined at the sessions 10 ct . that he did confess there John Prigeon intreated hin uot to appear at the sessions, and thit he sbould answer to no more questions than the court asked him ; this was his deposition at the scssions. Being examined in the second Cause, there be doth mince his Deposition, 160 Int, he saith Prigeon did not drak him hy bribes or rewards to equivocnte. And that it wa) for bribes and rewards it appeareth by Ge'rge Walker's Examination ; for he sweariti, that this money was to be paid to a butclier loy $0 d$, , an a joint; so it wns not given as a bribe, hut by bd. in n joint of meat. George Walker sweareth, that Owen aud Powel shewed Wetherel a Dictionary, and shewed him the meaning of the word Equivocation und Sulornation, and this discourse was related to Elizabech Smith. To which the Rccorder replied, Mr. Attorney mistakes himself; 1 will not (saith the Attorney) touch upon any thing in my Reply that will not plainly appear in the Books.
To take off this Charge concerning Wetherel, they have read Wetherel's Deposition, (against whom all this proof is) to the $2,3,4$, nud 5 Int. to which Interrogatories his Deposition is a plain negative preguant, made upon a leading Int. thus: Thether did Powel at the tine and place abresnid request you to write your name to any note at all? (to shew that it is leading) Wetherel answereth Int. 5, That the snid Powel did not in Janunry aforesaid, at the place aforesaid, request him to write his Answer to any note, or to any note to such effect ; so he sweareth he did not at that time and place shew such a note. The Deposition of Walker is, That by the direction of Owen and Powel he tendered the note, as by the direction of the lord bishop. Another thing upon the examination of Wetherel, he was not examined till the 16th of May 13, and at that time he had copies of Walker's Examinations, and so prepared himself.
This is the answer I give to the Deposition of Wetherel.
They had next George Walker, a Defendant, who hath confessed against himself sufficient matter, for which 1 hope your lordships will Sentence him. It is proved he was imployed to tamper with Alice smith, and he must be he man to give an account to my lord bishop of the proceedings. They say it was a lawful und justifiable thing to ask a witness a quesion, and that Wetherel wus but asked the question, and nothing more: my lords, here is more than asking the question, if nppearelh in the proof that athere was a Note deliverd by Powel to Walker to subscrihe, there was shewing to Wetherel a Dictiohary $o$ expound the words Equivocation and Subornation; so it

Wha not an asking for bare information, but a tendering of notes to avoid equivocation in the cuuse.

In the next place they have insisted upon the Deposition of Lunn and Alice Simit; wherciu they say, that what they have said was but man explanation of what hiey had formerly swarn, and no retractation, nad that it was lanful for A witnes, to explan lanself: but it will appear to be a pian retractatuon. 1. Ahee Sinith did defoos lorperh, that l'igemn se,tt for her, de siriug la r to see of she coold get the woman lay the cluld upoutany other. I bat was her Depositum at the serosions. But in the second Cause ,ise sweateth it was to get her to hay thechild upon any other that she reputed to be phe fither of it, aid nut upon himseli. Aut sofor Aune fub her Deposition at the sessions, (hat I'reseon laul offical ber 20s. to get her hay time chufu upou any other but upan bin; but bus Deposstion in tac second Canec tal 1 y the cluid up on simue th.st were toe true lather : ow hese is a lay the chater $u$, on may other but upon Prigeon, and now wo din or to tay the chald upon the truc falloce, t, a a mosigg of the former depo-


Thry hate then sune Exceptions to George Walker, to shew how unpro Lable a thong it was, that he should be a fit peeson to nezociate in this bu-vins, ind they told your lordsons sme reasoms: Gionge Walker and I rig on were mot bud, and ticefore unlikely George Walkor should be en.ph yed for suppo. tung the eredit of Prigeon. 1. In this particalar taty lave noh readthitt tre was any laference briween tiem two. 2. Geurge Walber mi, ht be eery weil made chuice of to be etapl yod, for le was a proctor in my lor.d bisherp,'> Crum. 3. Suppose there were ditier nees bitween ticm two, thas was an empl yment fir the hishop of Lancoln; for this was a service fior my loid hishop, who was mu h engogerl to natuntain the crelit of Prigeon, for it uppears out of his own mouth by three witucs-e., that hat comt himi $1,2: 00$., anai 1,000t, to una intun li credit; fur Prigeon at this time had tubean the child $u_{i}$ on how, but the service that was t., he dome was for the bishop, mind therefore Ge ge W.alkir a fit man for it. But they say here was unly a questom a-h+d of Alice Smith, whether sh yad s aid so? here was no tanioering with ier tu alier ber Depontion: Lamk upon the De, ovitum on alice Simith to Int. 29, 31, and there it will appear unto you Jordst:ps; it wav not a lare asking of a geceton; dide not he say it was to lay the child upon any other th.t was the true father? but the very question asked, they ende.voured to hase proved in the second 'ause. The question was, whether that Alice Sinith coul- depure that Prigeon said unto her, Get the woman to lay the child nyon the true fathor? Georne Walker brought word to the bishop they could get uothing fivio her us yct.
E. Snith Int. 29. saith, Alice' Smith did then and there seriously nilism, that Procon offered her 5 . to lay the bastard child upou any other,
and tuot upon him: and then this Deponent asked her, if she were not mistaken, for he ineuat it was to lay the chuld upou any other that was the right father; she answijed again, $u 0$, she was not raistaken, the $5 l$. Was to procuie her to lay it upou any ottier, aidd not upon ham; and sadd further, that the woman had acku wilcdged Prigeon lad twice five use of her hody asainbt the church waff; that George Walker, in the presence of Powel and Owen, and others, $\boldsymbol{r}$ lated unto them tie enbstance and ctifect of the whole di-course with Alice Smith at Morton; and one of them desired to write his Le:ter tor the bidop to give haus satistaction, and whld this Deponent it was desired by Uwen an. 1 Powel to give his lordslip an nccuunt of their journey; in which Letter was expresed, that they could gain nothing out of Hice Siaith.-My lords, to confirm this we N'ad Gearee Vother InL. 17. who proveth the the pressug of Aise Siuith; and in the end the conserpuence was, Alice sinith did vary from what the had fornuerly sworn.

Nest pinct they have given some Answer auto Rubert Kichardson, to the 35 Int. (which lecing rend was to this ellect) sauth, John Priyeon the elder dud acquaint thas Deponent to come and speak with the lishop of Lucela befare lis Examinatuon, and accortingly his lordshup did in bis little parlour speak with thas Deponent, and dat tha in atad thele acquaint him, that he had secu the copy of the Fixaminatous tahen at the Sessouar, nud dal ash thas Depurnent who drow ap the same; Kis Deponent athsw rell lise bordship, that be dial it as clerk of the prace: the Bosbop said, heowas metahets in the peoning of the Deposition, for that he should bave sad, to lav the child upon the right father, and not otherise. The Blishop askivd how he would interprit the Recori; he said be conuld thank ny otherwise of it than as the Witaces hud sworn. And this Deponent further sath he verily believèth his loniship wonld hive had him to have altered the llecord, tiont it unglut uot treach upon Prigeon's rredit, for he wuid have had hiun given it a reght tather.

Mv lands, your loriships nay nbserve first of all, that my Lord Bishop sent for Richardson to cume to than and speak with him, before he should be examined; tlen my lord ashed who drew the Ilcpostion of Ause Tub and Alice Snith; be told hua they were drawn up in open conit; l.e sud he though, the Deponent was decered, for it shuuld be upon the right intier ; so hele was a setractation, which was the point in sesue. 3. He asketh him hove he nould interpret the Recond, and woshed bim to be tender of Prigeon's credtr.

My lonis, this is of great consequence; for if my Lord Bishop could have gained the razing of this llecord, to lay the hastard child upon any otber that was the rieht father, then lie had gained the cause; fur the rampering with Witnesses was the pround of the cluse.

I be next Obj-ction they made, was touching the fitching away of this same Alice Sonith They lage told your lordships, that she was
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brought to Huntington, and not finding the commissioners thege, they brought her up to Lqudon, and hefe she was examined, and if the king's Cour fil would have examined her, they might have exhibited Interr'; and they have tolid your lord-hips, that the Charge was, that she was shifted firm place to place, that slie might not be exulyned ss a witness for the king. They have utterly mistaken this Charge of the Information; for the Charge is, that tbey did shift her assay from place to place, that she should not be examacd ns a witness at the conmission. 2. Of purpose to cause her to vary and retract her testimony, by working with her durug the time of the execution of the commissinn, that she shurold here been examined for the kng: For this commissiou was executed at Bedford but 12 miles fr m Hantugton, where they were with Alice Sunth; and of they, had not an end in it, they might as well have brought her to Bedfont, Leing but 12 miles, as to Lomblon, beang 50 bule9. 9 Martij the commussion was evecured at Bedford: 13 Martij executed at L.seester: 21 Marij adjourned and executed ar Lincoln: 7 Ajrilis executed ot Iluntington; and the very day that she was exammed at London, this Alice Smith they sent unts her, and proftered her money, tollt her she should never want; she went away in poor habit, glad to therrow her maid's cloathe, returncth agaun gentlewoman-lihe, end lentet h money.

They say, when she was at Loudon, she continued there keeping an Ale-house ar Whittington's Cut till the sickness in Michaclmas Term, and then was forced to go into the country; and ull tiat tine they might have examined lier for the kag, living in so nopen is manner. I ngree, she might have lived openly at Whittingtoin's (hat, and le known to the Justices of the Peace for keepirg of an Ale-house, or pethaps for her good belinviour; but hoit the prowecutur of the Chuse should eqme to find her, I know not: when she was with her hushand, all his goods had lihe to have been taken in execution, and she had not sus, to redeen then; yit she had means cnough to furmsh an Ale-house, nnd to live afterwards gentewonatilike. But, my lords, they have pressed us how we bring these Charges home upon my ford Dishop, nothing fixeth upon him they say; God forbid he should be charged, unless he be an actor or procurer.

1. Uliserve these retiactations, und these variations in the Depositous, they wert procured to maintain the credat of Prige sa: It appeares 1 by three Witpreges that have been read, out of my Lord Bishops oyn mooth, that the maintaining of the credit of Prigeon hath cost my Lord Bishop 1,200/, and another xpeaheth of 1,000 l. If this Charge come not lumne to my Lord Biship, why should he conclade binuelf of expending 1,200 l. to m.untain his credit; for it did concern my Lord Bishop, for he was bis principul Witness in the first Cause.

Owen and lowel were the servants of the Bishop; and when in their journey they could pot prevail any thing with Alice stuith, then the sccount of this must be given to my Lord Bi-
shop, and Walker must write a letter accordingly: Cui bono, say they, who should receive henefit hut I'rigeon? Prigeon was the e prucipal winness in the first Clause, my Lord Bishup did maintain his crodit; it was no advantage to Prigenn, for the bu-taid child was submitted unto. Aud then yout lirdships have heard what a tampering both been with Richardson, and that hy my lord himself, who sent for him to come and speak with him before he was examincd; and if he had prevailed with Richard$\sin$ upon this, there h.id been an end of the hnsiness, for the retractation of Anne Tub, and Alice Sunith, and Wetherel, had becu as he would have it; then for the leading Interr' exhibiged by himself in this Cause; so as I say thes things trenct upan the Dishop, and upou ('odvallader Powel, and Gcorge Walker, that were employed ahout Alice Suith: he prosured Wetherel to subscrite a notr, would have the meaning of the words equirocation and subornation to be expounded; and th's is the mgn must pive an account of the procecdangs with Alice Smith to the Bishop; and all this appcareth upon his own Examination.

These are the things upon the first Charge.
I shall proceed to the second Charge, my lord hishop of Lincoln's scaudal of the publice Justic ev of the se-sions, in saying the Order 3 Oct. was a pocket-order, made in an inn or an ale house, and belore any witnescs were ex auined. Your brdships may remember, that upon this Charge we made Proof, 1. That the matter of the Order was resolved hy the whole court. 2. That what sir Jo. Mounson did was at the open sessions, at the desire of the other justices. 3. That my lord bishop of Lincolu had nutice of the due making of this Order, and was satisfied it was justly and duly made; yet wher, sir Jolin Mounson was gone into the country, he quentioned it, that it was not made legally, but was a pocket-Order noade in an inn or an alo-house; proved by three wancesses.

Tont which hath been said ngainst this Charge, That the bishop had reason to question this Order 3 Oct. for J. S. told hinn sir John Mounson pulled it out of his pocket upon the hench; it appicareth by Dr. Farmury, William Parkinson, atad Mr. Dallison, that my lord bishup was informed the Oider was ciuly made, yet fre doth publish it to be made in an inu or an ale house.

Next place they say Parkinson wws a single witnew; be whs nos single nituess, neither for the Tampering, nor fur the scandal: for it appears by Willian Amcocks, the bishup would have had the Order 3 Uct. impeached; and that it he were examine d, he should say nothing: Kichardson said, that the bi-hop would have had Lim acruse sir John Mouuson for the undue maling of the order; so as I say for the scundal, and for 'the taupering in this kind, Parkinson was not a single wituess; nor in the affirming that the bishop said it hum cost him 1,0001, to maintaip the credit of Prigeon, for
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sir Tho. Mounson and Suith both swear the bishop said it had cost him 1,200l, and Parkin$\sin$ speaketfa, of $1,000 \mathrm{l}$. and said further, that the llishop threatened to bring him into the Sur-Chamber, because he wodd not comply with the bustup; inut they say against Parkinson he wis piepared by an Altidovit. For that, 1 mut lementier unto your loridships that this Aild wh was mode hy Parkins, upon occasim of he whuse mivered by my lord bishop at the cortitun oi the Commision; at which time. He newed suit was not thought of, for it nis ito. bites whether we should procerd upon the whatw, wording to matly procedents, or L) s.ry with wa tom, wh ch was the cause of
 when thas coud al wns r.inet; they are mistaken in twis, fire at aplere ha by Parhimson 43 !.t, that h. hislop sham 20 Mnitij, 10 Curs at wis a Pachet-Onder, and made liefore wit-hrae-we." wammed; nund then Richardoon (11. 78, t. die - whe purpmae; und E. Smith I, is thathio scantalion repert was pulbishal a it ir 0 10. The pat la re sors ouls a

 the ta an be ex ume of , ter he was gome into
 man or the buach of a promere: the brearts G' 'oe promise was ti.t the thame, as thee lattrayag if the tratio in the howe's cause, and it was ine pilicy of tee bistep to send awny sir Jonu if musim, and citterwards draw it into questan in lis athe..ese.

I do not reneqlore nuy more they have sair concenneng the-charge. But now it appgareth upos toiv chores, 1. That the bishop hath publisied that thos order was mate in an inn or an ale house, hefiure any wotnesses were examaed, to the seand il of publice justice. That is the first offience in this second change. 2. It is scandalous ngainst his own knowledre, proved by thrie witnesses. 3. His endeavour to suborn Parkwisn. 1. To send for lam before he was exambied, and would have had hinu say the order S Oct was made in an ion or an aleLouse. 2. To attion to Purkinson, that some of the justices had canfirsed as much, and did threate, hun, that if he did not agree with the justicts, he should be questioned in the StarChamber; and when he could not prevail with limn, then ne did dircet him, if he were examined he sin-uld answer only to the bare Interr'; so in these particulars my lored bishop is concernid in thiy charge. Lann, he is concerned In this also, for he was imployed to speak with Parkui-on, and kept him from the church, lest he should meet with Kilvert who was an informer: Aud Itt. 5, he wished Parkinson to answer to no more thin to the bare Int. and he should he w. Il paud tor his pains. So an I shull leave this second charge shich doth reflect upon iny lord bishop rind Lunn. And whereas they have pretended, that air John Mnunsnn should be an enemy to Prigeon, there was no proof at all of that in the bookis;
for in truth be was the best means to release Pugson, to get the order in the ${ }^{\text {King't-Bench. }}$

The third Charge is concerning the Affidavit of Catlin 11 Maij. 11 Car. mude ) scandalous Affidavit, which was, that Parkinson told him, he came to swear aguinst the bistiop, and that sir Johr Mounson had promised him 100l. for it, and he would swear homp, and feather his nest by awearing against my lord bishop. 1. Your lordships bave observed what namer of persma be was that made the Alidnvit, he appeareth by the sentence in the high conmistion t , be a common swesirer, a common bail, \&c. so infanous, as I mail rot need to repeat the thing in the sentence; and for any thing said to support his credit, nothug will sway with your lordships judgements. That that Atiidavit is false, appeareth liy Parkmson's onth; and dinat it was contrived in ins Imin in the presence of Waller and Mo-lem in plauly proved

In thas the Deiendant- Counsel hrot make objection tgainst the se.'sence, that this sentence was offer such time as he was exuminet; and thoush he tee combited of a scanial sutsequen, that doth no way blen.sh his testimonv: t. I say, by the sentence it dicth appear it was for oiffences done three or four years herfige lins dep-sition tahen; so the sentence was for matier of siffenee done betore his deprostom, and the sentence is bat steclaratory of whit he was thegi: But they have read five minitters, that Cadiu is a man of grod credit, and one that preacheth well, and maketh a conscience of an wath. 1. These witnesses are lait sur h witnesses as we produce upon our law-wager, or fir compurgatots; they swear ouly as in common charity : cvery man is bound to think aumther man honest, unless they did know the conirary, and some of these whinesses live a great way off, some 40 miles. The main part of their defeace bath been to discredit Parkinson, nud that they have done two ways: 1. They say he was a disguised person, and carne in a minister's hatit, and called himself hy the name of parson Watson, to entrap Catlin, and therefore a witness not to be believed. 2 They do pretend that Parkinson's deposition was taken to fortify his affidavit.
For the firct, this great matter that he should be a disguised person, and forswear his name, und called himself by the name of Parson Watson, and Catlin not to know him, the matter was thus.
When Parkiason did see what an Affidavit Catlin mare against him, he did say that this Catlin did not know himes. to that end and purpose, going with Mr. Cúfverwell a gentleman of 400 L . per annum, went purposely into Catlin's company to see if he did know him. We shall prove unto your lordships, that Parkinson did not come in any disguised habit; true, he was in a black "suit, but in no minister's habit, nor coat upon it, but in a black suit proper for a juutice of peace's clerk: Yonf lurdsbips will rather believe that which is jadicially sworn, than extrajudicially spoken. 1 shall desire to, reed twe or thrte witnesses to prove, that Par-
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kinson did not conse in nay such disgrised habit; which being read, Mr. Attomey proceeded, saying, 1. I ptall observe, that the two witnesses, Boon and his wife, swear he did not come in an Ininuster's habit. 2. It appeareth for half an dpur together Catlin did not know $^{\text {n }}$ Parkinson, tull Mr. Culverwell's hughing at parson Watson'e proffer to preach at Catlin's church next day. ${ }^{\text {I }}$

In this thidd Charge I slall observe, how it trencheth upon these Defendauts, (1.) You find Catlin guilty of perjury in making of a false Athduvit aqainst Parkinson; and that he lath been formerly inticted of perjury, your lordships have heard by the sentence in the high commission. Walker and Mostein were present at the contriving af the allulavit. It was all one to Catin whether to swear against ar Jo. Mpunsou, or Dr. Farmery.

My lord beshop of Lincoln (it should seemy did take this is a courtesy done uuto him, for he did intend to give Cattin a living of 80h. per manum, but that he was advised by Walker to stay this living thll this cruse was heard. My doill bivepg writ his letter anto Richardson on Catin's belvalf, that if any indictment were at de-stums against Catha for a common barrator, lie slantid stay the same, and Powst his own servant carried the letter. Leades witnesses owear Catlin did looast of his lavour from the Lishop: he brought gold home with tim, bevides $3 \%$, given him to Leardis charges.

I shall now proceed unto the fourth Charge, which standeth thas : 20 Martii 10 Caroli, a Coumission was esccuted at Lincoln, and this was to examine hiun touching the credic of Prinenu; divers Witnesses were there produced on lic king's part. My lofd bishop, and other the Dgendauts did draw from the king's witnesses what they had deposed, and threatened some of tiem after they were czamined on the king't part; this is the clarge.
Sancelot Ilarpham'to the 9.fth Jnter. saith, upon thus depouent's relation may lort bishop willed Lunn to call for pen, ink and paper, and wished this deponent to write down the sulstance of what he had sworn, which this deponent by his lordhhip's persuasions did; but when his lordship had read the same he liked it not, but wished the said Linn to write down the substance of this deponent's Relation, which he did accordingly, and then this deponent subscribed his name tliegeunto; then the AttorneyGeneral said, here was the offence of the bishop to drawn from Harpham the substance of what he had sworu, the charge being for tampering with Harphaungend other the king's witnesses. Bates saith he was sent with a message from the bishop to Rdward Smith. These things are laid ns crimes upon my lord lishop in this fourth charge. (1.) In sending for Edward Smith before he was exnmined, and conveying him out at the back-door, that ray lord bishop should instruct him what to depose, sending for him after examination, questioning binn what he had deposed, and told him he had sworn maliciously. Next place was this mes-
sage intended for Edward Smilh. (3.) The threatening of Edward Saith the kiag's withese, that be would sit upon h's okirts. (4.) My lord bisbop's sending for Harpham after he was exarpined, making hinn set down the substance of his deposition. (5.) William Amenck swerreth that Powell shewed him au interrugatory ready drawn to swear uato, and wished hin to be careful what he swore, when he uss exnmined for the king; For Lunn, he said, he never played the knave but in this busimess.

As to the execating of the Commission at Lincolu in March, 10 Corul, whercin Kilvert was employed to atiend for the king: my lord bishop of Lancoln called lian bate tellow, sancy fellow, base rascal, proted by three witnesses. The charge is likewise agamst Luna, who called him base fellow, and told hun ' it 'he business ' were over, he should know he was a man.' There anperions speeches fiom the bshop unat nedis dishearten the witucsses that dul come for the hing.
Lastly, As to the Charge for madue getting of Copars, to the intent to make a Counterproof of the Kine's Withe sses. Your lurdbbps way remomber how Allen gave intomation to the king nuainst the bistop,, for the contraving of fatse nens und tales scandalous to the king'ngoveriment.s. The referrecs that took the examuation of 1)r. Jo. Lamb, Dr. Sibthorpe, and others, they did trust sir Willam Becelie: in this examination; they gave him a clarge that the examinations should be kept secret, accordingly he sealed them up, and when his waiting nonth was Jone, be delivered them aver to Mr. Trumbell; yet by undue meaus my Ined bialiop eot copics thereof. (1.) It appeareh by sir William Beecher, inser. $\overline{5}$, that he was movid by several persons at court, to let the lord bislopp understaud the effect of these examinations; and that my lord bishop made nse of thosc examinations, it appcureth by the proof that hath been read; and that the seal was broken off, and copies delivered to his steward. Now whether these be not strong presumptious, that my lord bishop had the sighit of them, I must leave to your lordships.
My lords, I bave done with the particulas Charges, nud your lordhips have heard in the prosecution of this Cause, and in the proof of it, a heap of Offences, all tending to the subversion of public justice; a labouring, tampering suborning, seducing and sending away of the king's Witnesses to suppress the truth, to swear agaiust the truth, and to cause Wituesse! to make retractation ; a scandal raised against the proceedings of the justices at the sesisions, and in particular an aspersion cast on the person of sir John Mounson, as though he had made the order in an Inn or an Ale-house, before any witnesses were examined. I hope your lordships will clear him in his reputation, that he, hath done nothing in this cause but what becometh is. person in his place, and what at other times hath been done by him and other jurtices in n public manner.' My lords, thew Offences, if they were committed by an oedi-
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nary person, are great crimes in themselves, bu being dune by nyy lord bishop of Lincoln, whe is pretatus, io be a guide, a light, a judge among the king's petple, and to have a superiutendent cure of sonls witsin his docess, these things considered it his person must needs ag. gravate his offences, for him that should be a -lyght, to become darknen, and a guide to lead saen into error, a judge to aveituin the course of justice, in saburning of nittessc., dec. These thingt if they be not remelied will draw upon thas nation, that inlamy that was a ron the piople of Greece, thit they wruld huy and stit uestimnuy, dare mutuun testimoninim: Rut what followed upon that, but the subverson and ruan of the counnod-wealth? For him that hath tins superimendent cure of s-ulc, to do any thing for the destrying of mens s uls, it is an high offence. Fieta lib. 5, cap. who writ in the tume of E. 2. Si Perjurus, ke. That the manslayer killeth the body, but the sulanner ailleah his own soul, nad the soul of lin that sweareth.

1. Agnast nay lord beshons I pray Judgnent, that he may be deeply fined, and recise a tleclaratory Sentence of this Coust, ns unworthy of any Reclesiastical Dignity or Sacred Orders, and to be recommeaded to the ILgho. Commission for that purpose ; Pas. 31, Eliz an a sunt in the Star-Chamber apainst the bishop of SL. Davids for contriving and pulhlishong of a forged Will, he way here fined and referred to the High Commission for Jurther proceedings.-10 Jac. Joha -bishop of Down was convented before the High Com. rabsion, and was there degraded for suborang of Witnesses.

Thard place, I desire not only a Reparation of the credit of sir Jo. Moumsn, but danages for the scandal; and thaugh he be neither party uor relator, yet dwages have been given by this coutt to a thiril peron.-Mich. 31 Eliz. Three gentiemen thit were no parties had $\$ 00!$. damages given the $m$, as in the cave of the kimg's attorney aganst I'rice, damages were given to as sherift that was no party.
Mr. Attorney-General having ended his Reply, the court proceeded to pass their cessure : and the lord Cottingtoa tirst begun and spake to this effiect:

The Lord Cottington. "My lords; The business we are now met about, to put a period unto whach hath tiken up so much time slready in this Court, that I mtend to he very short in what I have to say. If we go to the well-beal, and louk at the orignal, (from whence thece foul s'reams have issued) it is very smull, and the meonveniences my lord hath fallen inti, are rather of his uwn seekme, than any ways propeily offered unto lom oot of the former passages, which touched his reputation in this court: however that maxim atands true, * Quisq, est fabricator sux for'turime.' I am gure in this, phat thrriugh tho whole passage and current of it, he hath snoght and wrought tis own overthrow; and I an sorry that so great a person, so wise, and so well-
experienced a man, and one who hath sate here bimself, should now come to be censured for so foul crimes, so dar unbrsecusit, his tuaction, and those dignties he hath heen crared withal in thas commonwealth. 1 find (ull the way) several undue practices, many/heinous mitempts, and fial faulis in his agents, comutenaned (uay mamtanied and sot on) by has instigation, for which I bold Ifoth luim and them worthy the censure of this wout.
"I promise brecity, the efore I on't the relating of any thang concerimen the thuth of the malter, which concenned Alice Swith and Elizubeth llodgson. If that Prgeon had been fiee from the getting of the hastard, and that he hud suffered that way innocently; it had beca better for my lord of lincoln to have advised hou to a patient undergoing that uffliction, and have stopt the pulilic defamations,
ach mught grow tiercupon, with as little no.se as he might, ' "Qu'un queat minino:' but these stirs which follon atfer. and the great expences wheh my lord Lishop of Lincoln was at to prescrve Prigen's reputation, plainly shewed there was somewhat more in it than retinary, when, railier than that should be themed, my loid would absolutely overthrow has own.
" Prigeon was to be a witnéss for my lord bistop, and a main person he uns, on whom be depended for the clearmg limself of those charges, which you. lorislapys knuw he was tastd nithal, by the first bill. In the mean mie a Lastard is laid unto him hy kilizateth Hordgon, which m my lords estimation was nuch distibing to l'rigeon's lestimony; and thereforr my lord bishiop what doth he? IIe not only labours to suppress a truth, and to cunceal a fault in his nitne"s, but he wiflt have 'uen dectiarged of it, " Quo jure quave injuria, $t$ matters not.
"Hereupon the proceedinot, of the justices of the peace must be citier made noar, or put out of order, so that they stand ins'rad of nome. A new father mut be found, and becouse there was at conmission to be site upon, and situesses to be examined, some molst the abtented tull the commissiou was over : orlhers are deterred and threatencd, and sir Join Mounon and Dr. Yarmary giust be heid for to act authime that must statud in this canse, (though a the pablic sessions) but their orders in that cause are pocket-orders.
( Owen avd Powel, two servants to my larl bishop of Lancoln, they set Uirur wits to work to convey Alice Sunti Cut of the way, and that with rewariseof no small value. Letters are written, and much ado there is; the setcral misdeneanors of Luun and Walker are apparent enough; and Catlin he comes not behind the sest, nor must I let hum pass, although bejhath better luck in the carriage of his knavery than any of the rest; and fior the procuring, gaining, and keeping, of depovitions from the custody of the conacil-tatle, and tha clerks there, it is plain enough, I will unshe my word good, and go to Censure.
" Cadwallader Powel I fine at 200l, Owen I Gụe at us much; George Walker and Cutia at 300 l n-pitce.
" Lunn, (who is an agent in all the business) I hold hinfunfit fior to be an-ufficer nuy more, (he now Leing a Froctor-Hegister) I fine him at sook. And tor my lord bishop of Lancoln, 1 fine him at 10.000 l . to the king, and to be imprisoued in the 'Tower during his majesty's pleasure, and to be suspended from all his ecclesiastical functions, both ab officio et beneficio; und I refer him over to the ligh CommassionCourt to Censure him as they thak fit concerning his degrees, and to repair sir John Moukson's reputution, to pay him for the injury done to him, in particular, 1,000 marks."
Sir John Fanch. "Mydords; This Cause luath held us already nine days. I find in it six Charges. 1. The first and main is for tantpering with Witmesses, to retract their Tusti? monies, or to vary from the snme. 2. For seNlucing them not to depose at all. 3. For undue practising to gain a sight of some Examinations kept in the Counci-Chamber by the Clerks of the Council. 4. For preparing and instructing Witnesses by the sand Examuations. 5. Perjury in Catlin in an Afidavit, mad subormations thereof. 6. Other undue proceedings, whereby to cause witnesscs to say, that they did not remember, or the like.
"I will only insist upon two things. 1. Ilow this trenched upon my load bisbop of Lincoln. 2. How arr, and in what manner he pursued it.
"I question not whether the cluld gotten upon the body of Elizabeth Hedgson be I'rigeon's, yea or no; howerer the Justices of the Pesce at the sessions, Dr. Topham and Dr. Farmary give up, that $f t$ is land to him: yot legally Priceon is free, for by a Stntute 13 Eliz, tiough the Order be, yet un Appeal may be maic. Now in the second place, what is this to my lord bishop of Lincolu, utrun pater st necue ${ }^{\text {b }}$
"The Bishop is taxed for scantalous Words in matter of state, contrary to has duty as a sulfject to his sovereign, contary to his Oath as a Privy-Conusellor. Now I'rgeon beng a Witness, must justify that no such fault shipt from rily Lord Bishop, his testimony, Which serms to be suspected, and why? not for the laving a child tathered upon him, but for that he goes about in publico to suborn witnesses, and to wrest the truth byounlawful courses, and it is to be thought that he, who was of such an evil conscience, it that he would ecause others, by suy way of brikes, gifts, threats, or the like, to forswear themselvend hazard their souls, might hinuself easily be tampered withal to do unjust acts, and to take that false oath also by hinself, being led to it by hopes and rewards, which he by all means sought to procure in others, for his own safety.-Now if my Latd Bishop had used other means, aud gone the right way to maintain the credit of his wirneses in a fair munines, it bud been commendable; but whether he did to or no it is to be enquired alier.
, Vol. III,
" By the way, my lord, give me leave to say what I think, it is not always necessary in this Court to have a truth proved by two or three Witnesses: men will be wary in Bribery, and Estoriou, and the lihe, to do it ia publie, or to hase many acgusinted with those works of darkness, And singzularis Trstis many times sh.th move and induce me verily to believe an net done, when more l'roofs are shunned.
"But to conne to some of the particulars. I find bere, that Wetherel hath been often tumpered withal, by two of the bishop's seriunts, Uwen and Powel, and there was a nite thewed to George Walker to enquire of Wentierel, whether 1'rigeon had done uny thing with thim or yo ?-But to take oif this, (Mr. Recorder. saita) George Walkrr is singularis Testis. Now it's plain Wetherei swears punctually, that he met with Prgeon, and was advised to speak aparingly, and no more than he needs mut.
" Jor Alice Smith, she deposeth that Prigeon wistied her to win Filiz. Hodgson to lay is to soonebody else, and he would give her \$0s. and so doth Anue Dove; now Alice must ofterwards equivocate, and say, she was profiered money to get her to lay it on the right tather, which to iaduce her wis no hurt.-And I had, that Alice Smith is caried awniy on hore-back by l'owel, mal absented till the commission whs set, and was past, at Liucola, and then lirought back again by Powel. And 1 tiod slee went out poor and needy, she returns well clad, gen-tlewonnan-like, nad able to lead $s l$, at a tume. who was, betore Owen and Powel tampered "ith her, so poor, that her gnods were distraned opon for rent.
" Genrge Walher, he saith he could do no good vith the m, and so wites to the bishop. Now fir Rhchard-on, the Bishop teld biin, thas he knew the erders that were made at the sessonins, and Rechardsen is tampered withal to suppies that orler, and told, that if he would, be mught do the bishop good service.
"Now for Lann, 1 find biur run through with the bishop in all the case, be teaclieth to smother and to equirocate; so that 1 find Powel, Gwen and Walker, guilty of the first charge; Lunn I shall sentence, but nut for that change.
"Now my lord himself, out of his onn mouth, professed to two witnesses, viz. to sir Juln Mlounson, and one Edward Smith, that to defend Prigcon's credie it had cost him 1,0001, if not 1,2001 .-My Lord Bishop excepts against some Witnetsec, as Bates 1 hold him finulty, and for Mr. Kilvert's misdemeanors, thoughi he did provoke my lord, yet he should have forborn. It was not so much to uffront my Lord Bishop, as to animate his witnesses for the king, which the presence of so great a person might have daunted.
" And your lordships know, that is the circuit, if a great unn have n cause at the bar, he is not tossit on the bencb, his nod or frown, nay his bare presence, (by waty of obsornxbion) 1owy, do much with inferiou persons. I discominend my lond for his passion, and commend Kilvert 3 E
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for the zealnus prosorution of his canse. I must clear sir Jolin Mlounson, and for Pautison diesgui-ing of binuself in the habit of a minister, (true it ix, n slutit they bad to find out what might be;) But the matter was apparent to all they know he was a counterfeit.
"And for C'ulinix testunony, I weighit not; but 1 find my lod tanpering with Smuth, with Fidward Snith. He must be brought in by Mr. Mostry, and at the lisack door too, and after that ho liful takin lis oath, was told be had swon maliciously, and wis advised beforeIn und what to say, and the bishop chid him, and asked yyy lie wonld be sworn, nad not acquaint him, anid let him hnow hefore; and there is mewy proots of my Lord Bi-hop's dehorting gind teriilying others-Kor Walher, I shall not Censure bum, heing not the manner of this conat to cen-ure, when he is nat charged with any particelar, bat in pencral.-For Luna; I find han ther ateming Mr. Wikert, and I 6ind him tampeting disers ways, and getting the writings from the clerhs.-1 agree with my lord Cottington, untl fine him 1,000 marks. I clear Mosten. Cut lin I leane wuh a non loyuet; nud do ceasme Lunn to 1 e disabled, by way of his pruliession. *julier to be iegiser or proctor auy more - For C'adwallater Powel nad Owen, I ngiee with my lood Cottington,--And for ny Lord Bishop, 1 censute him 10, now. Tine to the hme's majesty, to lec suspemided ab omai sficio col brncf cen, fluing hus majesty's pleasare, nid likewise to be imprisoued in the Tower during the king's pleasare, as my lord Cothug. ton said before; nlos tor to repar the credit of nir Jolin Mtonntm, 1 fine lam 1,000 marks to him; und sure, my lords, lus fer-on dath not diminish, but rather ageravate his faults: for to be faulty iu seandal.2mg his master, and then suloomangly to bolster up his fault, by such tross and unlieseemiag matner, is worse at bra than it had been in atother man.
" Yor as Mr. Attorney well noted, for him that is net to hase a care of soul-, to be corrupter of them; lor ham that is set as a liglit on a bill, to lide the truth; I call to nind his greatness, hus place and his dipenty: hut had he lives a private ignorant than, I should have gone viry deep with him; but he that hath knouledge to rectufy limself, and hath sat in the place to direct cousciences, to wrest and wrong consciences, I must go as deep tall in every thing as my lord Cottungton, and I shall heie crave your excuse, and end."

Sir Juhn Baniston." "I beliere, my lords, that the Lurd Bishop is guily of tirree of the Charges, and I conccive he had a sight of the Writings, fiom the Council-Chamber.-I find him procuring some to absent, to deter others, and all to support l'rigeon's ciedit. Alice Smith first deposeth, that Prigeon offered ber 5l. to previil with Eliz, Hodgson to lay the chikd to any other man.--Noes my Lofd Biston he mast intermeddle to support his credit, I disallow of his tanpering with Wetherel; to widh a nituces to keep away, or to wish him
to soy less than he knous, is not justifiable; it nut so much concerned hum, but it seems he thought it did, and therefore fie must spend $1,000 \mathrm{l}$. or $1,200 \mathrm{l}$. to make that grod: So sir John Mouason nad Alice Smit dejoseth, Alice Sinith is fetched, and carien, and maintained, enriched by the bishop's servants. I find many shilts in my Lord Bortop to effect his desire ; it's plain she went nuay poor, returns rich. Weilicrel must be tampered withal, is also plain by sereral confessions.
" Now to the second Clinrge, the slander is laid on the justices; Sir John Mounson sat openly in court, the order apparently made, $y \in t$ the bishop strives to suppress it. Ife useth all the ways be can, either by fair enticements, or by foul menaces and threats, to have them in the Star-Chamber, \&ce.-Now, my lords, howeter he prevailed not, yet he uttempted, he en"deas oured subornation of perjury ; And wisely did Mr. Aitorney th lay the charge in that mamner be did, for andue and unjust undertaking to suborn witnesses, t's a crime equal, though he fffect it not, jet it's maleficium condemnutiunis, and is ceasurable.-I find my lord bishop of Lincoln much to blame in tampering, persunding, threatevime, and directing of Witnesses. A foul fault in ary, but in him most gioss, who hath curom animarmm, throughout all hiv diocese. To destroy souls is most odious, and to be severely ponished.
"To proceed the efore to Censure, I meddle not with Bates his testimuny, but I sball fine Powel 300/. I clear Mostein: And for Lunn I shall fine him 1,000 marks, and to be distabled also from his function. And for my lord of Lincolu, I hold him not fis to have the cure of souk, and therefore I to censure him to be suspended 'tam ab Otlicio, quam a Beneficio', and agree for the Fine of $10,000 \mathrm{l}$. and imprisomment during the king's pleasure. And for sir Juhn Mounson, I find he lath done nothing but as he ought, and therefore 1 hold ì fit his credit should lie repaired, and to that end I sball agree with my lord Cottington to gire him 1,000 marks."
Mr. Secretary Windebanke. "It is ncedless for me to relate thie business, or to declore the name of the crime for which this great person is censured bere in this court. The free your lordsiejs from any further trouble, hanving seriously considered the matter, I do find not only my Lord Bishop limself, but also his agents faulty; and tperefore for the fines, punishk.ents, and imprisonments both to him and thern, I apree in all with $7 y^{\prime}$ ord Cottington ; and for sir Johin Mounsün also."

## Sir Thomas Germine. "I agree with my lord Cottington."

## The Earl of Lindsey. "I do agree with my lord Cottiagton in omnibus."

The Earl of Arundel. "My lords, the cause is great, the person eminent, the prosecutors, as in relation to the king, to be respected. Tbe person that now is on the stage to be censured,
one of the grave bishops of the realm, one who himself hathpborn sway, and hath sat in a ligh office under two fumous lings, and now comes to be censfred for undue proceedingsin matter of jusuce. He who had the protection of equity, now hath turned to be a subrerter of right, and nin oppressor of the truth, ly concealing her from that she delights int, the light: onduly menacing, detering, and delarring witnesses in a canse of such a natule, ns concerned so great a person as the greatest we have th do withul, under God, on earth. I protest I apeak it with giief, I ain sorry for his person, much more for his profession, no clild being more reveread to a niother, than I am teuder of the chureh, and of that coat : But upon snch Benwishes to forbear censure, were to allow of them: I do therefore agree with my lord C'ortington in the fine, imprisinment, and in all tife sest."
The Earl of Manneheter. "My Lurds; 1 cannot but adnire to sce, that a man of that emineticy for part, nad fortune to overshout hiniself so tar, and to be tramsported in so ignoble a way, as my lord bihop of Linenla hath been, that he would undo lis own to muintain the credit of another man. Give me leave a little to untiold the occurrences, that it may appear hour this cane about, and what orcarinned this his so gross an error. About the fourth year of his now in:jesty's reugn, a kill was exfibited inso this court against my loid bisloop. of Lincoln, the complaint was about matter of state: now Prige.nn was a main witness for the clearing my lorl froan being held guilty of what was thins alledqed. The Bill was slow-paced, nud slept from I till nl甲ust 8 Car. Hegis, and now a provocation begets an Information Nov. 8th; and in Yebuary following the bastard is born, here is a louch upon Prigeon's credit, this matters not to the bishop for ought we see; but in May foflowiug it must be laid on another father, and one Boone is found out for the same purpose, and it must be fathered on him. The justices of assizp publicly ordered, and set it down, that it is laid upon Prugenn, and hereupon he is thought to be disabled of his testimony for the bishop oi Lincoln, if he have nceasion to use liim, for lis clearing in casc of those accusntidis nud informations laid against him.-Whereqjon this Prigeon must be set upright, and made an honest man, and be rectified, though itabe ly indirect and unlowful means; pay, most unconscionable cuurses, by wresting thic consciences, and falsifying oaths for the same.
"Now, my lords, four lordships well know, that every man's state, every man's credit, his possessious and livelihood, much depėnds upon onths; for if not upon the jurors, yet the witnesses in any case of evidence, be it for matter of titte, or matter of fact, if they be by sinister courses, and by bribes and threats, be ittor fear or for love, if they be caused to swear against sheir consciences, and that tye be taken nwny whereby they stand obliged before God and men to give right to the truth, no man is wue
of any thing he enjoys, nor can oxpect to get any thing that is unjustly detained and withheld from him in the proceeding of any court whatsoerer.-And I tind my lord bishop much to blame, and indeed no more than an nliester in many passages of this cause tending this way.
a There be six faults be is charged withal, and I find him faulty in three great ones.For his tampering with Winessts, it is plain enouath, nud I am sorry it breuks oft so in all the way us the cnuse goes. 1. In withdrawing Witnesses, and ubsenting them out of the way. 2. In preparing, fitting, uad disposing $\mathbf{W} \boldsymbol{n}$ nesses to liy own ends, 3. In dute.ring them bofore ibey are to take Cuth, and threvtening them alter. 4. In sitting out misas: 511 y, lry indirect and smster conrsars, what Evidemie and Proof was given, so to be better canbled ,to have criss Uathis and Prool's against ti.e Evidence thant was before. 5. To canse witnesser to speak liss than they know, and to conceal the trut', wr at least to rary from that which they had formerly sworn. 0. Geiting the cojies out from the (leris of the starChamber, and heeping thein a long time from that place, where iliey ought $k$ be and rexile.
" Now for a man of urt, of a pronet and ingenious wit, a well experiencel mail, who hath been a Jadge, and well buew the inconvenicnees of these defaults, and the groseners of them, to ruil iuts them so violenty, and mi foul, 1 cannot but admise, and much pity lim. -Nuy, he doth take upon him to defend, nad to patronize Catlin too, withough it be nbsoIntely $10^{\circ}$ overthow nud undo lininself. Bot us it is said, 'Nemo laditur nisi a seipno,' if aty lordship had not heen over-biny for to do those men good, he bad never done hiniself this harm.
" Now for Kilvert's affront to him, I must needs say it may seem over-much in the place where it was done, being in the chief place of the diacese, and to his own person: bat being it was in such a cause, where witnessecs were to be heard and examiued for the hing, and my lord bcing a great man in that pluce, I pass it hy, as his zenl and carnestuesg in prosecution of the cause, wlich might eloe have suffered, had he not used the betier courage, and put the better face upon it.
"For the getting the Writings from the Council-Ciaamber, it is plain enouph, and they were alsent long enough, aud whetiur be hail used or perused them it matters not; surely he woold uut hase them gotten from their due station, and place of abude, only to lie by him, but that he would make use of them. And I must needs commend sir Willinum Beccher's dircretion and ingenuity for his courage in that particular.
" Your lordships have heard nlready by the two Iyrd Chief fuatices, what the nature of these crimes aré thoogh it be not suhoriuntionof perjury, yet it is mannifest injury to the witnessing of n truth; and I Mappose both they that do provail, and they that are over-ruled
and won to such dishonest courses, are much faulty; and therefore I shall agree with my lord Cottington for the Fine upm the two sersvauts of my lord Li-hop, Owen and Powel. Aind also I tine Lunin in 1,000 marks; I clear Mostein, and I must not let Cathu cscape, if 1 can legally do it, bat he hath leter iortune than the rent, though I thuth net a whit less culpable.-Anal for my Lord Bishop, I must, for his tine, imprisonafeut, and suspention fiom his diguitns, otises, nall heneices, ngree with my loid Cuttington, and $s>$ in all the rest."

The Lorl Treasurer. "My Lorls; This Cause hath held a great time, your lordships lave had much patience and great attention, and appilled yourselice to give ear to the defences ilat have been made therein, whieh have been very well parformed by the counsel on may Lord Bishop's hehail.-The cause is great, a gie eat man that is thas day sentenced, sud in a matter of very high natue for me, my lords, to run over the several chargers, and to explain how and whercin I find uy Lord Mishop rand his agents culpable, and very well deserving the rensare of this court for their crimes, it were howth ss, nay altogether neediless to insist upon the tampering with Alice Smith, and Wetherel, with the undue practices of Lumn, Owen and Powel, these things have been copinusly set out hefore, tand for me to repeat, or to wabe any relation of my ohserations in the occurrences and passages of the cause, it were but actrua ngere. The business talls out very unhappily, sud all the way cannes with it a relisin. My Lord Bishop's encouraging and settiug on the several ngents, that were used for the clearing and ju-tilying Pngeon's repatation; so that in the prosecution of the matter, probable surmines conue to be violent and torcible instigatimss; and whereas my Lord Bishop strives th suppuess a fanli, which is libe to eedound to Prigeon', disesteem; my lord utterly overthrows lis own credit, and latiouring to tahe a stain out of another's face, opens several scors and uleers in has own. - My luril Divop might betier have let the tumth haic been bolted out, than so smother 1 , as to mjare his ona reputation, and can-e hemscif to undergo far heavier and fouler uopersion, than the comes at his watneases could ever have lirumight them or him. I much pity him, nuf I am beatily sorry that he was so over led with desire, is os hotly to pursue a thing thet maght nith a great deal of more discrethen have beetsict alone.And tuuly 1 concrive it is now appare nt to hism, and he himself $i$, sorry for his onn intemperate promectutions; and I hald to censure can be so heary to haw, ws that your lordst.ips shonld hold him crnourable, and that he sioculd now iacur the dislibe and sondemnation of this court, wherein he hath sat es emment in plase and dignity, as the rest that now are to poss Sentence ufon hin.- What Censure atherefore my lord Cottington before me hath giren, both for Lunn, Owen, tund Powel, both in number, tate, apd weight, 1 agrec unto; and so I do als.
for the fine upou my Lord Bishop of Lincoln, his inprisonment during the kigy's pleasure, and the rest."
The Lord Archbishop of Canterlnty. "Sorry I am, my lords, that such a man as my Lord Bishop of Limcula for prolession; and sorry that he, being so, wise, so discfect and understandmg a man every way, shofla come to stand culpable of such faults as should descrve the censure of this conrt, and in this nature, that it should fall out that by being over-active and over-doing businesses of other mens, to do his own, nay even thereby also to undo himself.We lanve adversaries tootoo many amongst ourselves, but this dny's work opens a way tor the Romanists to take 'advantage by it, to sce so eminent a person as a bishop, und so cminent $n$ bishop us lie, to become thas censurable in a thing of so hinh a nature in this high coort, it opens way I say to them of rejoicang, which I would to God fad not been at all, or at least not by him.
" IVhen I look upon and consitler his excellent parts, both of mature, and atcheved unto by stady and art ; when 1 thmh upon his who dom, learning, uglity of uemory, and the esperience that accompanies bua with uil those endowments, it puts vie to staud; that after be lad been oveitakcis in une crror in the first ciuse, lie should tot have recalled hunsedf, and made a stand, but tiat be hath now rum anto a fir worse, and more desperate a one in tha causc, by obuoxious nud cruminal ways, even to a very precipitation and downfall of hanself and his credit. What though there yas mome question made, and sone proofs on foot, whereby his loyalty to the hing his master seemed to be in dispute, and his discretion might have some ways come to trial in matter of nords, dienoveriug his affection in some matter of state? must he seek unlawful means to procure his actions and words to be lawful, and leave the course of a good conscience to bolster up a fancy of innocency in another man, and make himself plainly faulty, for to make unother man seem free fiom shanue? I could wish heartily from my heart (however this cause be, let it be as it is) that his deportment in passion had been like to that of S. Cecilia. I readjt in a very good author, and it is not impertinert, nor unworthy whatsoever patience, when a creat stir there was, and all the stream and currint rotn quite against her to bear her dow in a meit furious and violent manner, she mikilly in the beat of these storns, and when thase billows secme, 1 to overwhelm her, and hide ber from, it. nopes of being admitted hearing her to plead her innocrncy, much less to gain success to her desires, it being told her theie were many wituesses against her, but noue that did, or would be, or seen to appear for $h \cdot r$, or in her behalf. She used the saying of holy Joth, 'Testis naeus est in Crelis,' My witness is alove: and so it fell out, for ns the story saith) when the mattor came to be scanned, the wituesses that were against her, (by what means, or fiom wheoci, or low, I know not) but they
were so daunted snd struck with such an nomazement, thag it was their gencral vote, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Nos ' nihil habemus contra Ciceliam.' 1 have it in St. Augustinc, a Father of the Churcl, whoseauthority there is no doubt of, he being held learned amongst the best of that time, lib, 1. ${ }^{4}$ Con' tra hareticum donatum.' It had been better with my Lord Bishop if he had hud such a rause: I am sure if the circumstances of his behaviour had been more temperate and mixed with more patience, the event could not have been so unlucky, and his Censure so sharp, as it is now like to be.
"I may be bold to say it, my lords, for it's no untruth; I have been tive several tiwes upon my knees to the king my master in his bebalf, I delivered for him several petitions myself into the king's own band, and I then did that (which had I known what now I do) I should not have donc. I seut him under my own hand the king's' answer upon every pettion. And after all those five several serices, I must tell you, my lords, I was hut coarsely dealt withal, niny very ill requited; yet was I overcome to move again at Cliristmas last, sud 1 have it under his own hand, or (if his secretury writ the letter) his own hand and name is undenscribed, that he had better and more hopes by my once moviug the king, than he had formerly had, by the solicitation and means of all the friends he had at court.-And no longer ago thno at Cliristmas last I moved the kutit my master again is his behalf; and then (had he solicated that which was intended for his good, and prosecuted the same nith submission) it had in all likelibood gone better with hin than he could have expected, nay, I think, as the case stood, better than he then desired.-But a crosg business came just in the way at the vely time, (of which your lordships, or the most part of you, 1 am sure, ave prisy to) and had not I then mterpueed inyself, (the king being then so exasperated against him) he had taflen. But to let pass my desires, and the earnestacss I used, and the tenderness I had, lest my public aspersion shonld have been opened, aud such as could not have bẹen wiped nwwy, but needs must have left a stain to my coat.
"I must needs say thus much for his majesty, he was very inclipable to have had a fair reconcilement, as may appear by his often asking what Lincoln di), 'doth he seek to re - pair my credit? Hati/ he any shew of sorrow-- fuluess for his fault: And, my lords, I may safely say, bécause I truly speak it, who ever peaned his peliongs, howsotver they seemed to be his friends, on alosoever advised him to let them pass in that form, they did him, though questionless he is able to pen them himself, an injury, yet if he did it by advice they were not therein his friends, for in them all there is not one word tending to gubmission and confession, or so much as an acknawledg. ment of a fault, wherehy any shew of recantation in that nature might be made to his majesty, as both in duty he ought, and in wisdom might have: made proffer of, und with more
safety and assurance have performed, insomuch is that by his stiff and stubborn behaviour, there wus no way but to have the business fully ript up, heard, and decided.
"Yet there were not wanting divers ill dis pored persons, who bruited it forth, and very boldly gave out, that my lord bishop of Lincoln had not-made any fault, or done or spoken ought, but that which he could stand unto, and needed not to be ashamed of, only that he was rich and must be let hlood, he might well spare 4, and the king wanted 10,000 l. or 12,000 , and so he should bave little snid to hum, if it was once condescended unto, and either given by him, or gotten from him. But howsoever these repqts go, the king is just as he is bonourable; and though he was inclined to mercy (for so the bishop of Lincoln lad found it, if he had sought it seasonably) yet now you see, there is cause, and just cause of censure, and in a very ligh nature of desert in liia to be sentenced by this court.
"Now for the unture of the cause, the several Charges of the proofs, and the defences also that have been by the lord bishop's council, hath fully and amply been opened by the two lords chief justices, and if I should attempt any thing this way, it were but needless labour to myself, and would breed a tedious troublesomeness to your lordships, dietum dicere would be all, when I had suid all.
" I leave to meddle with the manner of the proccedings, and must give Mr. Attorney a great and large commendation, and Mr, Solicitor also for their wise stating of the cause, and for their wisdom, wit, temper, and patience in the prosecuting of the same.-The matter is ill, aidd howsoever it perhaps be not suliornation of perjury, yet to tamper with witnesses, to threaten, deter, offright, corrupt, or to silence, or absent those that are to witness a truth, and to give evidence in a court of justice, are rjustem nature, a very foul crime and a most odious and detestable fault, in any man of what condition soever be be : and it these things be sulfered and may go unpunibhable, no state can stand, and it destroys the iuterest of mrum et thum, and no man is sure of what he holds, or can say whether he hath an estate or no.
"It is a point that ruins all right, and is the utter enemy and subverter of all jus'ice, and must needs oveithrow any state, where it is not weeded out and prevented by sesere animadversion and correctious when it happens to be found out. Finst, It destroys marumum mandatum, the great Commandment given by the great Lawgiver, God himself, 'I hou shalt not hear false witurss ;' In the Sth of Leviticus, if a man know a truth he is not to conceal it; nay, he is to witness it. In the 93d of Exodus, a unan is not in any case to bear unjust witness, and so in the ninth of Deuteronomy, it in in criminalibut, and he that did bear false witness was to sofiet per legem tolionus that same punishment and loss, which by his false teatimony the other party had ourdergone.
"In all the time that passes from the creas
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tion of the world until the law, I do not find that the Holy Ghost once inade mention of aoy, that attempted in this way. I find no shadow nor overture of any such crime; the corruption of uature had not inen grown to the height, and so over-flowed the bauks, as to break out so outrageously, and produce uny such ill d'sposed perions.
" Insomuch as I find not any tract, no ressigiun of the like precedent for above 3,000 years, and the fathers of the church upon that place, where it is said, 'out of the mauth of 'two or three witnesses shall every thing be ' justified;' they descant upon it, why twn or three witnesses in matters of consequence? Because, say they, so many should not be,snbject to be tampered withal, veimg they might be of several tempers, several conditions, and so the truth might be had by some of them, if not by all.
"And for a long time I rend of none, but of the devilish practice and leading piece of impiety set on foot by Jezebel, for what cause, and how prosecuted, you all well know, and what she was, how she sped, and what end she maile is recurded to posterity. Yet, iny lords, I pr.ay you observe and note with me, how warily the Iloly Ghost goeth about in the setting out of this fact, and the passnges therein in the 21st verse, as if the Spirit of God was unwilling to display and discorer the beinousness of this monster hatched by this vile woman; as if he would not have it seen, he shadows it forth in a low stream, that there came in two sous of Belinl and said, \&ce. As, if the original of this odiuay practite was not rooted in human nature, but took his birth from hell, it came in with two sons of Delial in the devil's nane.
" Well, afterwards such a tutor could not want apt scholars, and, as Saint Paul saith in another case, 'when I come to speak of it 'ater the manner of men,' I find it then practised, and that, with a witness, 'it out'faced the God of truth,' though truth itself mast not be trodden underfoot; hut this engine fetched from hell, most be planted to defeat the counsels of God Almighty. They found ontrand hired false witnesses ngainst Christ himsef, who they were you knot, Mark 14, 55. 'The chief ' priests and the elders, and all the whole council ' sought false witness against Jesus to put hinh to ' death;' and after Christ Stephen had the same measure, Acts 6, 11. 'Then they su-. 'borned men, who said, we have heard hin ${ }^{6}$ opeak blasphemous words agaiust God and ' against Moses.'
"Thua amongst those stiff-necked and hardhearted Jews, the fault was in use when sin and iniquity grew ripe, and, as the Prophet anith, ' Faith and truth could scarce be found ' amogat mortal mea; ;' but the subversion and destruction of their city follows, and there comen in the government of a wartike nation and people, the Romans ; amongst whom I find sorse lawi'made against fabe witnesses, mod those very ewere obes.
"Besides other laws, in the law of the tweive Tables there is a stritt law cupfirmed against it; and these twelve tables were not made by any mean advice or persons, but by the persona of a poweifal council and the ileconviri ; that he that was fuund faulty in this notorious crime, he was to be tbrown diown a steep high rock, tu have his boues sliverad allfó picees, c momte Tarpeio, or e saro Tarpeio; ufterwards tho law-pirers pursued thes fault with banishment and confiscation of goods, ' Confiscatio bono'rum fait et puniatur uti pro cranine falsi,' Within those times was a heny and most severe punshmeut, whereby their posterity also was branded with the fault of them that nent before them. In the ninety-fifih Cunncil 1 find a course set down for the prevention of this crime, and a punishment set fior the offersders thercin. And in Intertimes Bartolus often meets with it, und goes very precisely and exactly in the rase, that if so be it be found in uny man, so much is an overture of tampering with witucsses, it is censurable; rrus est, he is guily, though he effect not his purpose, if he inenace, threaten, or deter a witaess. And so, my lords, shall I do, thongh he bit barely atteenpt to smother, deter, or hiader any witness, when he is to give lis testinony; lec it by words, looks or actions, promises or thicats, it comes much to one in my opinion upon the matter.
"Now, my lords, to come unto the laws of the church, if we perase the (anon and Feclesiastical Law, there we shall often in every nge, have somew hat or other still established against it. In the Canon Law the secondl part of the Decretals, if any map shall be a saborner of witnesses, 'Etiamsi instigatus aut compulsus a 'domino,' if he bring a false testmony (though he be even enforced to it by his superior liord, governor or lis master) deliser him over to excommunication, 'et, sic maneat usque al ' exitum vite,' and so be must stand and remain in that state and case during term of life. A grievous thing, and a f.host heavy burthen, the sentence of excomrzunication is to an offender, though now in these looser times it be slighted and litule set by; yet in cases of this nature when the offence is so high and transcendent, and of condition tendipg to the ruin and condemnation of the sou;, the party at that time, and for that present, leven. dallying, mocking and ahusing of God to his very face, to he left void of the Communiph of Saints, to be bewaved of the lenefit of being a member of Christ's flock, and cut off sid If that holy body the church, I tlink thrino man is in a worse and a more miserable estate, nnd more to be pitied, if he be insensible of it himself.
"To come to the first council of Macedon, the seventeenth canon, 'Si sit ille inter falsos 'juratores, reus esto.' And what is he guilty of ) Of no small matter. Ranked and ranged in the degree and place of a murtherer et tum homicidis,-Nay, he is worse than n manslayer, for he that kills a man destroys but one, and that but the body neither, Gor he cannot any
ways infringe the union of his sonl that it hac with his Muker ${ }_{2}$ But he that goes about to suborn and procure false wimesses; he at one time destroys two souls, both his own, and the soul of him that he so in that hind intermeddles withal; and puless lie lee rejoined agaia by the renewing of faith and serious and hearty repentance, he sets boA their souls and God at oddr.
"Alierwards yoa find it in the western part, of Englund, nnd in France, in the 17th council of Agatha, you have a tanperer with witnesses adjudged to death (even in the time of Alexicus, who was no better than a barbarous Goth) this crime was disallowed, made horrid, and held soverely to be punished. You may find it in St. Augustin, who lived about some $\mathbf{4 0 0}$ yenrs after Christ; and indced he most excellently sess it out in his 88 h Sermon, 'De Nativitate 'Domini,' either he had it out of that council, or the council out of him, being much what both at one time and in one age. Some parts of Greece I find did affect and patronize lying, but Tully wittily guirds them for it, saying, they were uet wise to countenance folly; yet I find that Aristot'e in his thetoricks conecrning the Interrogatorics to witnesses, gives admirable rules, and such ns (though short) compreheuds the most what of the most sctuled aud the wittiest, and the sofest ways usel now adays in that kild, lib, 2. Rhet. you may read the ways of prevention hercin by him set down.
" And to cone into our bwn country about the year 1221, in the reign of king Hen. 3, and your lordslups know, that it was a troublesome time; yet I read that Stephen Langley, my predecessor (then archbishop of Canterbury) called a council to be held at Oxford: the main busineas was for the reformation of two points; the one was conceraing Marriage, the other concerning Exheriditation, \&cc. where I find s foul penance inflicted and set oyt for him, that shall either bear jilse wituess by himself, or procure it in anothe -
"For he that is a camperer this way, be is guilty of no small crintedoing, doing (at the same time) wrong to three of the greatest persons in the world, viz. 1. To God. 2. To the king. s. To the innocents.-First, He manifestly wrongs God by a notorious conternpt, by a very slighting and vilifying his omuiscience, omnipresence and omniptency. He robs God of that which is his ver essence, for God is truth, (and who dart tho (out-face God's truth!) and wittingly deviseth nd practiseth to lay it aside and keep it from the light, I find hira in very nature to teabhorred and held unfit for human society. Arritogle binself in that glimmering he had of divive learning, deciphers such a one, and sets him out by these very characters: lie must be one 'qui pietatem non curat,' $n$ man that matters not at all for piety; he must either deny the Deity, or else thipk he can escape the reach of the celestial powers, such a one ' qui pugat se latere Deo,' he is able to sknlk on the one side, and absent himself from the hand of God at his pleasure.-Secondly, In the next place, another great person (unto whom
be doth injury) is the king, whom he plainly cheateth to his face, and wroggs in the very seat of justice, even forcing his offictrs, the grave and learned judges, will they nill they, in do injustice: for if the witnesses be suburned and give in false evidence, the jurors cannot come nenr the truth, and the judges mast needs euact, order, and sentence what otherwise they neither would, nor ought to have done,L.zst of all, the third person that is wronged in conspectu Dei, is a person of no small esteen, the innocent (one many times littlo enough esteemed, God knows, in the eye of the worlil, but gracious and great in thę sigha of God,) and he is also most shamefully wronged gnd abused; nay, perhaps undiune by the indirect practices of those false witnesses. Sometimes his person is traduced, his fame and crealit either stained or else quite taken zway, or so shaken that it's a shy matter to deal with him; nuother while his estate, his birth-right, is wrested from him, or else withdrawn, uud for a time withheld from liun: one while his goods, another while his lands, a third time himself, is nt stake; to life, lauds, goods, and all are in danger: well though such witnesses be against him, his plea jo never a whit the worse in Furo Cali, his inheritance is laid up among,t the saints, "Testimoniam ejus est 'in ceclis,' ' donbtless there is n reward fior the ' righteous, verily there is a God that judgeth 'the earth, for there is nothing hid which shall ' not be revealed.'
" And thus, my lords, have I spiid what I thought fit, though not so much as the crimes deserve, for my time being scauted, 1 have laid it out (though roughly) yet so as it unay easily be seet of what a vile nature this cankered and pestilent weed is, and from whence it had its origiaal, and how odiwas and detestable it hath been to all nges, how dangerous the elfects be that proceed from it, and therefore how carefully it ought to be looked onto and supprest in all common-wentths.
"I shall make my word gond in my brevity concerning the last thing 1 am to perform, and shall pass over the sentence very speedily; to make any repctitions were but actum agere (as my lord of London said) therefore I will be thort. I find much tampering and striving by my lord bishop's agents for to suippress and decline a truth. I wonder that Lake should be sent to the university of Cambridge presently to take the degree of doctor, and that they would let him pass. I cannot commend Mostein (though otherwise a rery civil and deserving man, and I think very true and trusty to his master) yet to be present when a blank is put up, and an Affidavit to be made to a blank by bis privity and in his presence, this is not honest; he might have done well to have difallowed the proceedings, or at leasi not beeq present, and have countenanced the same. And Wafter Walker, though he be a solicitors and must and ought to follow the causes ha. undertakes, with as much skill, industry, and advice as be may, yet he might have bean
sshamed of this Hlank Affidavir, and he ought undeed to have supprest it.
"'If. Walker had been a good servant it had gone better with the bishop, than now it is like to do : and if Walker do escape suntencing it's more by hap thau skill, more by luck than bonesty. I lind hiin to be a very arrant honest man in all the business, and my lord bishop is a very wiscrahle man, thus to defend Prigevn's go dl name with the loss of his' own.
"Catlin, he must have a living given him, nad well he deserved it, but ns yet he had not played his part to the full, and therefore stay your hand (uny lord saith Walker) till the bussness be done. A manifest plain proof that there was some use to be inade of this cunning and crafty fellow, to conuntenance the busimess in hand. And I can say no less, than that I find Catlin to be a very lewd man, a very incendinry, and truly to be paralleled with that Catlin of Rome, ngainst whom so many learned and cloquent orations were writ, by Cicero that famous orator.
"For Lunn, let him go on in God's name, to be put off from the place and oflices that he hath; and for Powel, I could go deeper than any before me hath yet done, who prosecutes nn unjust act st long, so off, and never repeuts of it.
" For Kelvert's affronting of my lond bishop in that manner he did, I must set that, and the bishop's offering to be present at the examination of the nitnesses, both in one distunce, and they uny very well the one of them quit the other; and I must cominend Kelvert, or mny man else that shall go on in the hing's business, and concerning so great a matter; for if he had gone on piningly, faintly, aud cawardly have putt bis bead in a hole: if he had, by my lord's presence, and by the terms he used, gone sheepishly on in his business, the matter might have friled. Some of your lordships have condemned him for his bold carriage toward a bishop in his own diocess, but I cannot, for my lord and his passions were more to be condemned. A temper woald hetter have befitted him, and indeed he ought not to have given any such occasion, and therefore it may be said, - Etian si ego dignus sum hac contumelia, indig' nus tamen qui fererit,' I pass that by as to be excused by reason of the weightiness of the matter.
"For the gaining of Papers from the Council Table, I find my Lord Bishop had them long enough in his castody, and they were ret turned unsenled; and I do find that he proceeds to counter-proofs directly, according as he was informed by these papers, which in my mund abews apparently that he both had them aud perused them.
"For sir John Mounson, the king is wounded through his sides, I have known biin a long timed, thid I never knew nor heard any thing of hime good, and therefore do kold 1,000 viarkigliftle enough for reparation ot his credit, who did nothing but that which wus lis duty, and belonged to his place.
" Now for my lord bishop of Lincoln, truly I am heartily sorry to do that which I mutido, both by reason he is of my own coat;' and also by reason of the place he hath in formet timte sustained in this court amongst your lordshipn, and in this commonwealth, but fi must not forbear to do that which my conscience leads me unto, and less I must not go than for to discharge that as I ought to do.
"Wherefore I shall ugree with my lord Cottington and the rest that have gone before ge in the fine of $10,000 l$. to his majesty; and likewise for his unprisonment in the Tower doring the king's pleasure, as also to be subpended frum the exercising of his ecclesiasticnl function, 'tam a Beneficis, quam Officiis,' and to be referred over to be proceeded ogainst in the 1Ligh Commission Court, as the nerit of his offience shall deserve."

The Jord Keeper. "This Cause, my lords, is a cause of great consequence, and it is a very foul matter, though I must need, say the defences that hath been made in it, hath been very fair by Mr. Recorder, and the counsel on my lord of Lincoln's behalf.
" Mostein I must acquit, for Catlin I came resulved to Censure him for perjury; but seeing the court lets him pass, I shall not sentence lan, though be is a very notorious actor, aud had a hand in that Blank Atfidavit, and helped Smith, and coomenanced and directed him what to swear, and what to let alone.
" All my lord's actious, for which he is much to be blumed, are subsequent to that Affidavit; I must do iny duty, and discharge my conscience, and shew my love to the common good, whatever my private wishes are, that things had not fallen out thus with my lord as they do
"I shall divide all into two parts, some things I hold faulty, and some I shall not cenlsure; I shall not censury Mr. Kilvert for his unmannerliness, though tie nffront was great to an man of his place in, he couniry, where be was bishop of the diocgine, and in the chief seat of his jurisdictionir Mr. Kilvert might have forborn hin some dhat in that respect; but the cause being of that high nature, and concerning them it did, he had somewhat the more renson to be the more confident and bolder in the prosecution thereof thar ordinary, and for that concerning sir Williaw Beecher I find no proof of it.-I find that Luyn, Fubb, and Wetherel, were tampered withal! Dut I cannot find my Lord Bishop saulty with them: For George Walker, I find him consurplep; and so is my lord also thimself in othe matcers, as will ajpear when I shall come at them.-I find by the proof of two Wiunesses, that my lord confessed himself, that it cost him 1,000 l. or 1,2001 . to bolster ap Prigeon's reputation, and to do this he falls into error cunceraing the order made by sir John Mounson; that must be woppNest, and I know not how so much money thould be expeaded, but upon such conrses as wore uted with Smith and Catlin.-Catlin told Smith be ahowld be made by it; so that the
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onder must be to undermine the witnesses, and getthem to vary from what they hat formerly aworn before the jostices at the public sessions. I know that men in cases of this nature do not plantly promise this or that; but I find Walker goes about by questions at first a-far off, and then comed close to instruct Smith what to swoer; and rewirds are not bebind, neither do I conceive in such cases, that there are ever two witnesses to be expected, but as my Lord Chief-Juastice of the Commou-Pleas explained it, singularis Testis shall induce me to believe a truth in some cases, and it must of necessity, when circumstances concur with the sane.
"Su that whatsoever Mr. Recorier said, the return of that order made, by the justices was coram non Judire, it matters not with me. The witnesses knew nothing of the questionableness of the proceedinus, but went on the woy their consciences at that time led them: Now for my lord bishop of Lincoln to seek to dishearten them, to terrify them by threats, and scek otherwise after by rewnrds and promises to subvert, or at least, to divert the current of justice, I know not how to excuse them, but that he is much to blame to give sach ill names and disgraceful titles to an order made in court, as to call it a Pucket Order, thereby bringing an odium and defamation upon the justices, who procceded as they ought, and in open cuurt, as appears by Dr. Farmary, aud liy Ascot, who had both of them a hand in it, as I take it, as well as sir John Mounson, and therefure their credits must be repaired also,
"For Alice Smuth, she was tampered withal by Owen and Yowel to snlve up P'rigeon's eredit; and after hat she had taken one onth before the justice, then, for the present the conmission to be sat at lincoln, she is taken and withdrawn out pf the way, and hath rewards given her, as is dhparent, either to deny, or alter, and vary from what she had swom hefore. She had $3 l$. offered ker to persuade Eliz. Hodgsan to lay the child to another father; and then afterwards it was qisen her to persuade her to lay it to the right ather; and yet here is no false oath, but may bevrue, as 1 can instance that a deposition may be marle by a party that may swear two things that are contrary, and yet the depositions of both of them may be true.
"And yet an Attailder hath been upon the like; now upon antut finder the puasishment is 'great, be loseth his frethold, rand goods, all are forfeited to the king, his houses are to be razed down, meadowsphowed up, and woods to be felled, and he hinself'to be imprisoned or baniahed, his wife and children to be turned out of all.
" So in mother Case, (Fitr.Herb. Natura brevium, lib. 19.) one bath a hold from an abbot, and a rent is doe, as Foster in \%ee he holds jure rectoria, and it is sworn be had common, time out of uldnd: And if the words-jure reccorie, be not exprest, all may he true.
" In this case of Alice Smith it may be questioned what money wao promised, 51. to what
end? to swear that she was moved to get the child laid to another: Well, afterwards stie mast swear that it was to lay the child to the right father, Mr. Valentine and Mr. Powel shewed her the interrogataries, she must swenr against the first order, nod Mr. Valentine said his heat trembled at the questions.- There is much cunning in this way of tanpering with a wirness, for my Lord Bighop nsks them leading questions, by which they have instructions what; and how far to swear.-And Prigeon tells Richardson, that if he could get the order altered, he might do the bishop special service.
"Now it way be, said he, may not n man meddle, nor question with a witness? yes, but with certain linitations, for else, if the witnesses be made and corrupted, the jurors and judges both of them may be abused; and if that witnesses may be led and instructed by 'questions, or the like, it comes all to one as sub-ornation.-A solicitor may wam IVitnesses to come in, he may incite them, and enforce them, nod one as well as the other: but for a stranget to labour a juror or a witness, is not so allowa. ble.-But a solicitor must not instract a witness, nor threaten him, nor carry letters to him, to induce hum this way, or that; yet be may discourse with him, nod ask bim what he can say to this or that point, and so he may knaw whether he be fit to be used in the cause or no: by which means this court is freed from the labour of asking many ifle questions of the witnecses to no end, if they can say nothing to them, and so spend good time to no end hor parpose; yet he may not persuade, him, ar threaten him to say more or less, than he of bimself was inclined unto, and was by his canscieuce before-hand bound to deliver as truth. .
"The tern in law is called embracery of a Jury, amplesus, to curry favour, when one hagit them, and honses them in their arms to procur? respert unduly to their own ends; you bave it. mentioned 13 H. $4, \& 16$, when there is a lending of a Jury $\geqslant 2 \mathrm{H} .6$, whereupon it hath beba ordered, that in cases which have any relation to great persons, who usunlly are on the bench, they are upon trials to absent themselves, and not to be in presence of the bar.
"And as my Lord Chief Justice of the Comp mon-Plens well insisted upon in this case, the countenance of a great man, nod one that is powerful in the country to do a poor witnest harm in another way upon any other occasion, when it shall come to his turn, and occasion be offered for him to do it; the verg looks I say at such a man is able to put the witness off from what he was resolved to speak, and dash hind out of countenance, that he will either, for fear that such a man shall take dislike at him, or for hopes of mach favour with him, and to gain his good will, speak sparingly of the watter in hand, at least, if he do not altogether declint anotber wey inshis words to that which he in his conscience knows to the the very trath,So that in the poist is is plyin, that wben as mian shall alter the trstimony of a witness, and cinit him to decline from the truth, whether it bet
VOL IIF.
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threats, promises, or rcwards, it halh ever been much disalloweil, and he that nutt mipt, the same is censuratile, though perthaps be effects it not.
" Now, my iord, to come to the Censure, Yor I have been somewlatt the more bold upon your patience, than I woald otherwise, hecanse I would hasest appear how lar one may, and wheren one may mot, inmper, or moddle with witnesses, whech thug is the main matter in this caso we hate lad so loug in hand; for had not my lord bshop moved this way, I should nut have found matter in tha bill to Lave sentenced limat ath.
" But for to justify Prigeon's credht, and to make hamstond nunght, and to elear tise requtation of ham, and oldas, so much tuoney is spent, and theee anj"st and undue uaderiahangs have Lean mate hy my lord of Lancoln's str-
 withdraw the witheses shile aus.ins the laws of God and whin, and to the very whortirow of my land hanself.
"For Cudwallader Ponell it is phin atil the way what lie dad, and in what ondice conres be snught to snppees the trmb; Ithall fagee whh your hordslups fur haur in lin fine, mon d.e cent sure lian at 3 Ool. five; and for ©wen, I shaill also agice whth you in ha line of sool. Mou for Lum, I could gidec per, and set hem a grater puushment then your horids ips hise, but do agree with you in bor tine of 1,000 marh, and 20 be disathal from bewg in any stine or pacetice hereafter in his professim, or in any other csurt.
"Now for my lord listiop of Lincollo, truly I am heartily viriy for han; lout re ser carlit, he is the cause of his onsu merthin,w, 'it run 'Roma viribus sorv, mo man luats him lat hinself. I thus' "hira as a grext person, ond more ta be pitied for that he bo a chuectanam, and in so enment a ratik ay a bistap, ane none of the ineanist of that dignity : and as my lord of Conterbury spuke, that it was the more grief to him to puss sentence in on derp a maturer upon him, by reasun he was of the sasace coat : po, my lordy, I cannot but be stas.ble oi his precedency to me in that place 1 now undergo by the favour, and at the picasure of the hing's majesty, my honourel masicr.-For a man of his wislom, of that experience, and haxing setn the various changes of times, and of several mens fortuncs, lived in that emineacy, and so well-literated, to seek las own ovcrihrow, to support and mantam the reputation of another, is a thing not to be so much as drcaneed on, or could he once thonght upon, that such weakness should ever lusten and take place in a person so well-guarded and accompanied with virtues and commendable qualities, us my lord hishop was ever tsteened to have.-And for a 'Church-man, whose profession is to support thens consciences ill the righteway, and lor to reduce thein into it when they slall chance to err, for him to be ng seducer of the conscience, is a fouler and worse stain in bim than in snother.
"But'when to that sacre.f calling (he professors whereft are ever to be bud in reapect) shall be added another Change, nod care by the f.soour of the kiug, ts becone a judge, and f n judge of that gieat court of Chancery, wheref equaty and consciente gite the vely denomination of the court; to be adranged io this eminency is a thing of great consquence, and to be alainted to this flace is n sign of preat trust reposed and placei 10 that servant ly tis muster. Now I cannot, my lord, but be heartily sorry, and sely much pity, that a Chuich-man, and lishop, and s, ne that hith been a prelate, and amuestly dynafied for the space of this 16 or 1 ? ycars at least tugether, should to grossly he oversern in the gountenancing and chenshmg su, if foul crimés; Nay, a man that had lorn the great ssal of England before two such grat bings, and been highly graced with so asuy but Ieximume, ond remarkable favours tron the hu hoth, to uncuse fumseli of nil those, and lo.t at once, and for so s'mall a matter, *: hate ieally mitsoliconerrning hiun, I cannot but wonder how he staothd fall into such on elror, und m.re pry his misfortunes to see him monuch lan own chemy.-Bat as it hath been whenved hiy you hil, he hath overilirown himsi ho. Aud therofine I must do my daty, und proced th lin reanure also, ws 1 Lave in the
"Ishall agre wif my lord Cottington for
 wth my lord Cottimgon for lis mpmomment II the "Tower duning his majrsty's pleasure. Aned withat th le suypeated trom all his Erclosiastical $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{g}}$ guties, micts, and fifthons, and in all wath my lord Cattacton, 'ay olliciis et be-- neficar, :and to be relened to he High Conmission fia the rent."

## Peortroisga agaisor wif Bishop or IIN: COLN a:m LaMBEMKRODALUSTON.

## Frbruary 1ef 1628-9.

The Bistuoy of Lisoln, together with Iambert Cbibaldsion, 5 : noolmater of the Giammar School at Wirstauinster, was rharged by Information in this court of Star-Chamber, to have plutted together to difulge false News and Lies, to breed a disturlguce in the State, and difference hetween tw great persons, and peers of the realn, viz. he late lord ueasuer Weston, and the preselt archbichop of Canterbur. . The Charge was grounded upon these fullowing Pasages writien \%; Kir. Usbaldston to the bishop of Lincoln?
"My dear Lad; I find for certain, which I

[^32]report subsigillo, that the great Leviathau, and the little Urchin, are a great storns in Christmas weather, and are at a very great distance one (with anotier, insonnuch that your lorishop hath been inquired of inore than once, if you were come, aml when you will? And the gieat man was heard to jussify the wonls which you were questioned for, Tamity, "That you had as grous - a right to the Deanery of Westimin-ter, as 'the kaug to lib crown.' And he was heard to say, "That they were no more than he himself ' would say.' The jealousy grows great and shorp, between the Iaviathan, and the little moddling Hocus-Pocus; nad if it increase, there is hopes your lordslap witl enj"y the blessing 'of the king's gryce. Your Lordshup will hear, that the lord's grace of Cauteriary is come to lodge in court, so is the lord treasurer: your loniship, 1 bope, will pick out my meamu. Westminster-College, Jun. 9, 1638."

## Iu another Letter.

" My tlear Lord; I camnit be quiet but I must write to your 1 rdehtp. The sport is grown tesgical, any thing woald be given for a sound and thorough charge to push at and confound the little Urchm. The Spaniards and the If,llanders are, both approsedly and firnly joined to effect the same, if your lordship lend your ussistance, which 1 an bound to implore and, require. let them in the mean tiaue scraitch one another to the bones. I use freedom of heart, it is something of resenge that a brase -oul, as your lordship is, hath some hopes of support in the midst of a fluod of destruction, Westrujuster-School, Jan, 50, 1633."

Likewisc, tyeee waseproduced the Bishop's Letter of the fid of February, to a friend, to this parpose:
" Mr. Oshal|ston reported to me by letters, That it was desied that I should contribute my endeavours be useful to the LordTreasurer agaflast te little great man, and assnred me that they wige mortal enenies. But for my part 1 refuse ticeneddle with any such thing; yet I pray you leing, whether it he so or no, lest some have gulled Mir. Oshaldston in his three last letters. If the Lord Trensurer would be served by me, he must free tue from the bonds of the Star Chamber, otherwise let them fight is out for me."
It was answerect if the behalf of Mr . Osbaldston, That what nas tone by him, was done in private letters, sealed to an honourable friend. And itwas confessed to be error in him to use such famfliarity in some passages therein expressed. That he doth deny, That by the appellations therein mentioned, he did mean the Archbishop's Grice, or the LoriTreasurer. But he meant thereby one 8 picer, which took apon hiun to be a doctor and was none; that he had divers times used, betwern jest and eurhest, to call him 'little Urchin,' 'Vermin,' "little Hucus Pocus in the Velvet - Jerkin,' and that there were some diferences between him and the bishop of Ligsoln; and
by 'Levisthan,' he meant, Clief Justice Richardson, who had formerly committed Spicer, in-Westuinster-hall; and he confe-sed he did merrily ase that name, the person being apt to take a jest in good part.

Un the bishop of Lincoln's part it was offered, That if any such J.etters were sent by Mr. Ushaldston, yet he denied the receipt of them ; and for the intergretation of those Appellatious, he concerved the writer was the best interpreter ; tiat he liad many times heard Mr. Usbaldston call spicer by the name of ' Hocos. ' Pocos,' and he used to call Michardson by the name of ' Leviathan,' nad deoieth the publishing of any such Letters, or so much as the speahing of any such at his talle.

The Attoruey Gentril urged, That the Interpretalun given by the Delendants would nut, serve their turn. That those Letters of Mr. Osbaldston were fund in a box in the bishop's house at Bugden: and when the bishop leard they were found, he said, 'Obbuldston was un'done.' That the Bishop's secretary Walker, and the cleik of his kitchen, had heurd their mavter discourse of the subject matter of these Letters, and that thee names of appellation were frequent, between the Binhop and Osbaldston, and that by them was meant the Archbishop and the Treasurer.
But it was further offiered, in the behalf of Mr. Osbaldstom, That the Interpretation made by others, shonld not be the tuin and destructuon of the defendants. That the bishop's saidsecretary and clerk of his kitrhen, witresses agaiost him, were lately censured in this court for tampering with Witnesser, and were persotis, expecting the nercy of the court; and witaesses were heard ou the behalf of Osbuldston, that he frequently callird Dr. Spicer the ' little llocus Pocus,' and the 'little Urchin ;' and the reason was, because Spicer had made many promises to give a Library worth 401. to the sichool at Wesnamster, but failed in the performance. And it was further proved, that he frequently called the L. C. J. Richardson, 'Leviathan,' whas had committed Dr. Spicer in Westuinster-hall.
And in the Defence of the bishop of Lincolsit was further urged, That his sald secretary, Walter Walker, did frequently receive, and hod. comnussion to open his Letters in his absence;: and what letters he received be could not tell; ; and if those letters were found at his house, hey were laid up and concealed by his So-ii cretary.

And further the Bishop petitions the court, nforming them how unable he was to make hia? lefence, beng his majesty's close prisoner in: the Tower. After whici, Mr, Attorney General. replied.
Sir Joinn Banes's Reply about the Censure of, my Lord Bistiop of Lincoln, and Mr. Osbaifston, the 14th of Fẹb. 1638, in the Star-s Chamber.
" My Lords; The Defendants' Counsel in: their Defence, does except against the dutrese.
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of the Infurmation, and they laboured much to tie the matters charged in the Information to the very day of the month, seeking thereby to encape the Charge, contrary to their judgments and the commun course of jastice.-Also they made question where those Letters should be found: but of they will, they may know that they were found at my lord bishop of Lincoln's house at Bugden, us is manifest by their own witnestes Interrogatories, 1 and 71 .-In the Answer to which Interrogatories, three Witnesses do all say, That they heard they were fuund in a band-box in my lord of Lincoln's wardrube.

Mr. Recorder. " My Lords; We have examined four Winesses, which do all depose, That there were no Letters found in the bandbox, and that his lordstip did never leave any letters to be kept there."
Mr. Herbert. " It appears by their own Witnesses, that there were such Letters found; bot this is not to the purpose where they were found, but now they are found, the heinousness of them is the point in hand."

Lord Reeper. "It may be that some of the lords may find some thungs in the Depositions that may serve one way or other, therefore 1 think it good they shuuld be read; but for my own part, I am satisfied in my judgment."
Mr. Attorney General farther proceeded, saying; " My lords, the Defendants counsel bave made two Defences, one for Mr. Osbaldaton and the other fur the bishop of Lincoln. The counsel for Mr. Osbaldston except against the Wimesses that prove the interpretation of the Letters, and would bave Mr. Osbaldstin to expound his own meaning, and if this should be pllowed, every libelier would thereby escape the censure of the court.-First, They except against Cadwullader Powel, because he was before sentenced in the Slar-Chatuber; but, my lords, his Censure in the Star-Chamber was not for any matter of perjury, or crime that should take away his testimony; and the same thing for which he was sentenced was my lord bishop himself sentenced at the very same time.-Secondly, The second Exception was, that Mr. Powel and Mr. Walker were made Defendants in this court to take away their testimony in this cause, and the reason why they were not proceeded against (said the King's Attorncy) was, my londs, because my lord bishop of Lincoln would not perfect his Examination, by which we should have the better proceeded against them, and when my lord bishop hath perfected his exar mination, they shall be proceeded against.
"Again, they except and say; that Walker belng secretary to my lord bishop of Lincoln, he ought not to be witness against my lond of Lincoln.- My lords, when a Secretary iscalled and examined upon oath, he ought to discover the truth of what he jis exsmined upon, and not to perjure himself.

Aypin, they say, that there are no express
words in the Letters, whereby it doth certaingy appear, that these words were oot meant of uny lord's grace of Canterbury. My lords, this sticks at all causes of justice: for if they shall be soffered to iaterpret their own words, by this meaus all Libellers would escape punishment.
"The third part of the Deffice is this : that Mr. Oshaldston should call Dr. Spicer, 'Ver' min, Hocus Pocus, Urchin,' and the like: therefore say they, those terms in these letters are not to be appled to the archbishop of Canterbury, bat to Dr. Spicer. This is no exclusion, for I shall bhew that those words must needs be inteuded and spoken against the archbishop of Canterbury, and not of Dr. Spicer,
" la the Letter of the 9th of Jan. the words cannot be applied to be spoken of so mean a man as Dr. Spicer: and so likewise in the Letter of the suth of Jan. wherin he desires, that it should be kept breret; and if theso words had been spoken of Dr. Spicer, they needed not be kept so secret. For 'Hocus Pocus' and 'Vernin' being spoken of Dr. Spicer, were published in Westminster Hall, and other places thereabouts, and therefore needed no secrecy.
"And that by the 'great Leviathan.' it should lie understood to be meant of the lord Iichardsom, there is no colour for it, my lords.
" As for O-baldsten, be hath long beell a turbtulent meddler, and a false intelligencer of the uffair, of state; I may say he is 'Hocus Pocus,' and a juggler in the uffairs of state ; and yet I am surry that I shall charge him 'with that which is wone than the chaige laid against him in the information, for he ing guilty of gross and wilful perjuiy, for he hati denied upon oath that which was plaiuly ,roved against him.
" Another argument is, This Osbaldston is now run awny, which in asr ense doth shew a guiltiness of the offence. 25 1. 5. One being 'indicted for felony, if b - runs away, he then 'forfcits his goods.'
"As for my lord himop of Lincoln, he stands upon three thingore 1. That he received. not the letters. 2. That he did not publish them. 3. That he did not entertain them.-For the first, it is proved by wittesses, That two days after Twelve-tide he gave order that his Letters should be mpened $t$.jat concerued his lav suits, so that thereby he wight colour the matter, that they might nob come into his own hands. Agan he doth not say, that be did not
 his own Letter of the ed of January, mikes mention of three Letters which he received from Mr. Onbaldston : in one of which be should contribute money to the use of the Lord Treasurer for the ruin of the 'little great man?' so that it is "confessed by his own writings that he did receive them. And the Letter of the soch Jan: was sent by a special messenger to Lincoln, therefore it is most probable that he received it, and your lordships bave heard Cadwallader Powel my, That my lord of Liasolo
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desanding of bim, Whetber any of Osbaldston's letters were found? And he answering that they were. Thell said the bishop, Osbaldfon is undque: so that I conceise your lord-- Wips will not thank, but that his lordsbip received the letters.
" But it is objected, That this is no offence: for, say they, it it no offence to receive libellous letters, and to keep then private. And as for my lord of Lincola, he did never publish any of them: and there is difference betwixt Usbaldston's Case nind my lord bishop of Liacoln's, for they were only sent to my lord of Lincoln, but he did never contrive nor publish any of them.-It is evident that he did publish these, for they were spokep of by Powel and Wulker, und the women did talk of them in the market.
"But they say, That some of his attendente never heard him publish any such Speeches at his own table. It is like he did not make it his table-talk: but, my lord, under favour, my lord of Lincola hath been too forward to eatertain and poblish libpllous letters. If any receive libellous letters, the receiving is not a publishing of them, as it is in 9 lleport fol. 59. In the 5th Report, there is difference betwixt Words and Letters, which concern a private man und a public officer. If they do concern a private man, it is no offence in him that concenls them: but if they concern a public officcr, he that doth conceal them, in guilty, and shall be punished for a libeller. And I conceive my lord of Lincoln to be guilty of perjury in this cause : and, nyy lords, that perjuries are punishable in tis court, (though they are not cbarged in the ifformatict) deth appear. Hill. 2 Eliz, \& Hill. 1 Eliz.
" My lords, I lo wish that this nge that is so mach infected with devising and divalging scandalous Iettergond Words, that some exetnplary example migit he made, that we might hear no more of such scandalous matters.
" My locds, befiore"the Conquest they had very strict laws agninst \%'hellers, (as to have their tongues slit); and in the time of Fd. 1. and E.d. 2. there were speciat commissioners uppointed to enquire after libellens, as in the close Roll. Parliament, and so I humbly leave them to your lordslips.'
The Court procgeding ta Sentence, declared in general, "That the had reccived satisfaction that Mr. Osbalds on was the cuntriver, writer, and publisher of those odious Appellations of those twa great persons. That bia Defence was ridiculous, and that his ead was the ruin of the archbishop of Canterbury.As for the bishop of Lincoln, they said, he was a person of quality, parts, and abilities, and one that once sat ns judge in this court: that be shewed himself very indiscrut in concealing those lettern, und had thereby made bimself guilty of a bigh crime."
But for further satisfaction, take these Speesbes following made in Court at the said Censare.

Sir Joha Finch, Lord Chief Justice of the Common Pleas, spake first, the Lord Cottingtor being abseat.
" My Lords; There are two Defendants, so there are two Charges in the Iuformation againast them. 1. They are charged with giving nicknames unto two honourable persons of this realm. 2. They are charged to plot, and cons trive to work an utter rum and overthrow to my lord archbishop of Canterbury. And ia thinse Charges, I shall observe several steps and degrees. 1. An eudeavour and agreement botween Osbaldston and my lord bishop of Lini colu, to reprouch. nad scaudalize these two noble persons. 9. A publishing and divulging of the same.-In the second Charge I obsaive thene steps. 1. A false rumour raised by them. 2. A publishing of the same. 3. An eadesvour to work the ruin of the lord archbisbop.of Canterbury, by contributing charges to effect the same.
" And how far Osbaldston and the bishop of Lineoln are guilty, I appeal to your lordships. I shall now shew the extent of the Information, the Charge being haid to be in or ubout the 10ila Feb. M. 9 Car. Which Charge doth comprohend all libelluus letters, ether before or sinee 9 Car.
" But it's true, if in the Ietters wily not appear that sense which is contained in the Charge, the n they shall not be within the charge of the Information, and therefore the Information ado mits of as much favour to the Defendants as may be: for this court doth rather desire to find men innocent than guilty.
"Ishall now come to the particulars, and shall herein obscrve the course of the Defend. aut's counsel, who huve distinguished my lard of Lincoln's Case from Usbaldston's, and so shafl put a difference between them: for though Osbaldston be guilty, yet it's possible that niy lord of lincoln may not be guilty.
" And as for Osboldston, I hold him as clearly guilty of the Charges in the Information, as any have been senteaced in this courts For first, Osbaldston was the contriver and publisher of those scundalons Letters; and I think there is no doubt at all, but that they were meant of the late Lord Treasurer, and the now lord bishop of Canterbury. Secondly, your lordships may observe, what interpretetion the lord bishop of Lincoln would make of them How that rny lord of Lincoln himself did conceive that he meant the archbishop of Canter-bary.-The third is hy Witnesses; 1. Walker said, Thent he saw divers letters wherein Osbaldston explained bis meaning, That he meant my Lord Treasurer, and may lord's grace of Canterbury. Again he saith, he saw some letters wherein Ohhaldston used by-words, which my lord of Lincoln did not understand until Osbalda ston had explained them, Again be saith, IIL lordship did explain many of thees dark worde that Osbaldatoo wrote unto him, shewing id he menat my lord's grace of Canterbury. A he saith, That Osbaldston spoke bese withertof
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the archbishop. And these be his Reasons why he conceives, that by the words in the letters he means the archbi hop of Canterbury; which I conceive be very pood and sufficient reasons.
"The second witarss is Cadwallader Powel, who s.iith, He used these worls in a letter, - The little sermin, the false mednator, ihe Ho'cus Pocos,' And the bishop of lincoln being in the Tower, demasded of Powel, Whether any of Mar. Osbuldston's Ifters were finund? who answered, That they were found; Then, said the bishop, Osbaldstin is undouc.
"Thus I have thouzhe grod to make a difference betwixt my lard of Lincoln und Mr. Os buldston; for it is coniessed lay my lord of Lincoln, that by oue of those is meant my Rord Troasurer ; but that sh.ll not convict Mr. Os. baldstou, but it is plain by his own wards what he meaut. And that by those words should be meant Dr. Spicer, I hold it so ridiculous a ded fence, that I think he coold not hate decersed his sehool boys with it. And that by ' Levia-- than' shouid be meant my lord Richardson, there is no colour fur that; though for 1)r. Spicer were confounded, what would the king or state suffer by his ruin or confusion? so that certainly it is meaut of the archhi-hap of Canterbury. -For Mr. Oshaldston's poing away, it is not judicially known unto me, and therefore leave It to your lordslips.
"And as for the second Charge, That the did plot and contrise for the rain of the lord archbishop of Canterbury, I hold that he is quilty, and that he did stir my lord of Lincoln, to contribute money for that purpose.
"For my lord of Lincoln, I shali only say this, That the Letter of the 9th of Janarry is such a turbulent and scandalous Libel, that a man of place and quality should not kive any way thereunto; but that he should receive it, entertain it, and publish it, this shews that he had long a rancor and liatred towards my lord archbishop. And for the nick-nannes, I shall find my lord of Lincoln guilty as far forth as Mr. Ushaldston, for he dud entertain these letters, and did publish them, and it was an agreemer: and confederacy so to do.
"By way of Defence my lord bishop of Lincoln's counsel did allege; 1 . That there was an agreemeat between Oshaldston and my lord of Lincoln. Again, they said, That there was no certain appellation of my lord archbishop of Canterbury. 2. There was proof that my lord of Lincoln did not speak any such words at his table. S. They endeavourad to prove, That my lord of Lincoln never wrote any Letters containing any libellous matter; when as there was a letter under his own hand, which he would not confess, although he was brought like a bear to the stake, and three rimes examined about it.
"Aguia they said, That he did not receive theina, and yet be wrote an anawer to them. Again, admit he did receiva shem, yet he did mot publish theas, but only delivered them to Mr. Waiker his secretary. Mr. Attorney well remembered the law, That if a libellous letter çncerning a private perion, then be may con-
ceal it; but if it concern a public officer, then the concealing of it makes himr guilty thefeof: and that the letters were pubilished is most certain, fur they were openly spoken of by womed in the marhet.
"Another thing of Mr. Recorder's was this; That if my lord bishop of Lyycoln did understand Obbaldstou's meaning, ot he did not undestand that he meunt my Lord I reasurer, and my lord's grace of Cantertury.- 'Then, I say, he hath scandalized my Lord Treasurer, nud my lord archbishop ot Canterbury; tor by his own writing he saith, That it was meant of these peisons.
"The next is, the charge of a Plot laid for the rum of my lorg archtistop of Canterbury, but 1 will not condemn my lond bishop of Luicoln for that.
"The next Defence of Mr. Recorder wns from this, That Mr. Sobaldston wrote to the bishop of Lincoln, sayong, ' I hope you will 'pick out the meaning,' \&c. so that there was no confederacy and agreement between them. 1. I say, he received the letters, and published them. 2. He was so far from nipping these scandals in the huds, that he enourred further after them. 3. That be was ready and willing to contribute money towards the ruin of my lord archbishop of Canterbury.
"I nm sorry that a man of his rank and condition, should make hinaself conupanion with a school-master. And us for the aspersion which they cast upon Mr. Walker, to take awny his testimnny, I see no just cause thereof. For they said, Either his Deposition is inpossible and beyond his knowledge, or else tha "his reasons that moved him thereuntofare insulticient. But for my part I know not how a better reason can be given.
"Another objection ngains. Walker is this, That it was long befurc ;-ise Letters weie brought forth; and thre these are not all, but there should be a third 1suer. I say, It is a wonder that so many wite brought forth now. But that they had bi Mlost, or that my lord of Lincoln had burnty hem: for had 1 a friend that I professedtot much kindness to, as he did to Osbaldston, I should be very sorry that he should suffer for his letters.

For the testimony pf Cadwallader Powel, I hold his testimony as fif to be taken as toy lord bishop of Lincoln.-A Ad for the Charge of the Defendants counsel, fo the subtil prosecution by the solicitor Kilvert, I shall say little, I know him to ve of a good carriage in other businosses, therefore if any fault be, I shail only desire that it may be amended for the time to come. I hold that these Witnesses stand upright; and as for the other witnesses, I submit unto your lordships, for in sentence I must consider the nature of the cause, and the persons. First, I shall not acquit my lord bishop of Lincoln, nor condemn bim so much ns Oabaldston. I must considef the persons offending, and the persons against whom they have offended: For the persons offended, the first is the lard treasurer of Englawh, one of his majesty's privy-council;

And the second is the metropolitan of England, wher hath ever carried himself with grent trust and fidelity towards his majesty and the public pood. And I verily think, that none can accuse fim of the least corruption. In a court where nost causes of the clergy are tried, I did never receive any private inessage from his lordship in the belualf of any clergyman, which is a thing to be much observed in him.
" Yor Mr. Osbaldatoa, he hath been a parson, a prebend, and a schooimaster. My lords, I will not suffer him, he is so turbulent a person, and scandalous libeller, to teach and mostruct others. I would have him therefore deprived of all his spiritual dignities and promotions, that he never have any place in the church; neither hold I it fit that be should teach scholars, (bhould I do him justice, I should adjudge him some severe corporal punishment:) 1 would have him deprived in the high-conmission court, and then to be set on the Pillory in this PulaceYard. And that he may be an exmmple to his hoys, I would have him also to stand in the pillory in the Deau's-Yard, and one car to be nailed in the P'nlace, tand the nther car to be mailed in the Dean's-Yard. I do fine him 5,0001 . and impusonnent during the king's pleasure.And I do fine iny lond bishop of Lincoln 5,0001 . And I give to my lord archbishop of Canterbury, 5,0001 , a-piece fur damages."

My Lord Chief Justice Bramston. "My Jords; There are two Defendants, and they have made two delfaces. 1 find my lord bishop of Lamenla to he guilty, though not so full and in so blha a ature as Mr. (bbaldston. They are charge if to ploteand confede rate together, to scandall e two honourable persons, aud to raise discord in the state, and to seek the ruin and overthpw of my lord archbishop of Canterbury. Os-aldston wrote a letter of the 9 hh of Jannary, of pther letters, which my lord bishop of Jonc in is clarged with to receive and publish. Jrat Osbaldston is guily of every part of the Chita-e, I shall mot need to make any question; neithes shall I much stand upon the valdity of the wh: nothug but that he is gailty of all the clarge.
"I will beuin with the first letter of the 9th Jan. in whech he would have tivo other persons meant therc, and not pyy lurd treasurer, and my lord'b grace of Cant ab bury; but the one to be meant my lord Ricpardson, and the other doctor $\mathrm{S}_{\text {picer }}$
"Now what comparison was there between those two persdtan? The one was Lord Chief Justice, and as for the other, every one knows what he is; so that it cannot be meant of these two persons, for there is no comparison between thein. And for these words, the t litile ' meddling Hocus Pocus works his own confu'siou,' \&cc. How would this be metant of Dr. Spicor ? For how did Dr. Spicer work his own confusion i Antil who would give so much to confuand $\mathrm{Dr}_{\mathrm{r}}$. Spicer? He is no such eminent person, that any slould give so much found, bim. So that this letuer is yery clear
against Osbaldston.-And it shews a petulant spirit, and an inveterate hatred and malice, that be did long bear against my lord of Canterbury, without any reason or cause at all, for he doth not shew that he had ever received any wrong or injury from his grace.
"I come now to the other letter, wherein Osbaldston writ to the bishop of Lincoln to contribute charges to my Lord Treasurer, for ' the 'ruin of the little great man ;' by which must needs be meant the archbishop of Canterbury : ${ }^{30}$ that I shell not stand longer upon Mr. Ost ballston ; for if there were no witnesees against hin, yet I find matter enough in his own letters to prove him guilty of the whole charge, and thi wefore 1 sentence bim with my lord Finch.
"For my lord of Lincoln, I canuot sentence him as a Libeller, for there must be either a contrivipg or a publishing to make a man a hiteller, as Mr. Recorder well observed. But I find himguilty of this charge, That he received the libellous letters; and of this charge, That he masented to contribute money for the ruin of $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{y}}$ lord archhishop of Canter bury.
"But it is objected, That he concenled the libel, and theretore he is not quilty. The concealing of it doth not clear my lord bihhop of Linculi. Fur (as Mr. Attoruev well observed) there is a difference between a Letter that concerns a pivate person, and a public officer. If a libellous Leller concern a private person, he that roceises it may conceal it in his pocket, or burn it; but if it concern a public person, he ought to reveal it to some public oflicer or magistratg. But it is true, if he divulge it to any but to a mogistrate, he is a libeller; and nhy sjould my lord of Lincoln kcep these letters by him, but to the end to publish them, and to have them at all times in a readiness to publish upon every occasion ?
"For his being degraded, I leave it to those of the Ecelestastical court, to whom it doth belong. Anil for the oilier part of his Sentence of the Pillory, I an very sorry und unwilling to give such a Sentence upon any man of his calling nod degree. But when 1 consider the quality of the person, and how much it doth aggravate the offenec, I cannot tell how to spare him : for these considerations that shoold mitigate, males the offence the greater, which makes me join with my lord Finch in that part also.
"For my lard of Lincoln, I do fine him 3,000 l. and imprisonment. And sceing the .Offence is against so honourable n person as my lord's grace of Canterbury, and there is not the least cause of any aggrievance or wmons that he hath done to my lord of Lincoln, thercfure in damage I joih with my lord Finch."
Secretary W'ndebanck, and Secretary Cook, did hoth join with my lord Brampston for the bichop of I incoln, and with my lordFinch for Mr. Osbaldston.
Mr. Complroller. "My lords; For Osbaldt stom, considering his place, I cannot but cont: demu him very nuch, for by what hath beth alledged, I verily beliere that be plid mean may
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Lond Treasurer, and my lord archbisbop of Canterbury : And my lord bishop of Lincoln, and Mr. Osbaldston, are both guilty of crimes ing bigh nature; one would have thought that y lord bishop of Lincoln, being a person of that place and quelity, should not have ron himvelf into such danger as to have meddled with tuoh matters; for hat he not met with good counsel, I conceive that he had plunged hrmself in as deeap as Oshraldston in these practices. And es for Osbaldston's Sentence, I agree with sy lord Fioch. And for my lord bishop of Lincoln, I hold with my lord Bramstone. As Sormy mord of Canterbury his carriage, it is well known to be upright, and therefore I leave it."
Lord Newburgh. "My lords; For Obbaldston, I find bini guilty of every part of the charge; for the other I cinnot sentrace so doep; for I think my lord of Lincoln is not guifty of the plotting and confederating, fur the candalizing of those two honourable persons; I leave it to your lordships judgment, and lay all the charge upon Usbaldsion."
The Earl of Dorsec's Sperch at the Sentence of $\cdot \mathrm{Mr}$. Osbaldston :
" Aristotle in his politicks, admits not in the goverument, of nny school-waster to the exercise of civil duties, school-masters commonly proving the apes of tyranng; and being uved to imperiousuess over scholars, if you put . sword of justice into his hand, you may easily guess how he will lay about him in the state and city. I know not with what spirit of vanity this school-master was possessed, but we wee the monstrous birth ofit; if the pedant had kept within his rules; the might have been taught not to have distorbed goverument Look upon this pragmatical person, and behold the fact, and what were the motives, what the reasons to bring himself upon the stage. His ill thoughts exprest in mk as black as his crime; his pen wns steeped in rinegar and gall. What was it that atained the bishop of Lincoln's ear with the poison of ignominious tilies? It was the pedaut. Who was it, that as a thief that had stolen another's good name away, cries, bura iny hetters, on purpose to go in darkness of the night? It was a parson. Who was it that endeavoured to stain the purity of my lord archbishop's honour with foul aspersions of titles, "little grace, little urchin, vermin,' \&c.? It was Mr. Osbaldston.
" Well, I will speak what I think, I fear none but God and the king, and I speak in truth, I om sure I shall offend neither of them, I have enquired of them who are learned in the laws, who say, 'Thut the plainest sense is to be taken. The divines will tell you, that the easy places shall explain the more obscure. And if any paan would exmmine those letters, and with judicious comparing the title of ' little grace' with the other, he will be forced to confess the sense of the ather, or, else be blind at noun-day. But as truth may be cleared by strong and polid roseon, so it may be darkened and she-
dowed by the colours of probability of appearances.
"But, my lords, I think the schookmaster alleges his services to the Commonwealth, and his being slighted for it; that he hath beea these many years, and dedicated his pains and time for the good of the flowerg of the kingdom, generosa debentur. But I wifí conssider him as a man subject to his passion, (which to take away, were to take the man himself:) I will allow him his infirmities, but observe a little his euvy, see his merits and reserts, which be hath so foully abused, with the beight of insolency and bold access, to the very secrens of empire and government, to the disgrace of those men of which the Commonwealih consists. I know not, (my lords) the fault of the man may tronsport my Speech that I abuse your patience; but I shall give my ceusure of him ; I learned in the muversity, huw that a syllogism duth ever follow the worst part, 'sequitur de'teriorem ad partem,' I am sure his letter doth: he might have found a better medium to make up a syllogism of a libel, for he hath concluded in the worst body of all the figures, viz. in Bocardo: So I must concur with my lord Finch in censure.
"As for my lord of Lincoln, linked in this cause, I honour the man for many causes, in many rwlations, but for bis secretury Walker, and the clerk of his kitchen, and the rest (where-ever the letters were found); they have dealt hike Actenn's hound, that turned against and devoured his master; the false secretary, the unjust steward, and unlawful clerk of the kitchen, who were fed at my" lord bishop of Lincoln's table in his prosper ty, and now in his adversity they fall upon ti. ir master to devour him. I must say of hin," "ie hatb forgotten himaself, and agree to the eq_asure which the lord chief justice Bramstong uath given ngainst him. He did not as Pett did, when he had denied his master, gone oft and weep hitterly for his offence; hut hr justifies himself, and therefore he is falley "tito the lime-twigs of his adversary."

The Earl of 'Arundel. "My lords; For Osbaliston, I find him guilty of every part of the charge; and that he is not sentenced in a higher nature is, ' cauba de bona fortuna,' for had he been suffered to have persisted in his course, he had surely fillen into most dangerous error. And therifore I join in sentence for hinn with my lord Finch. 1 my lord bishop of Lincolo, he bath shewed himself very indiscreer, being a person of place and quality, he now falls low in his estate and dignity ; and these thinga will make his spirit fall lower than his body; nud therefore I agree in all things wich my lord Fiveh."

Marquist Hamilton. "My lords; For Oshaldston, I find him guilty of the whole Charge. For my lord biahop of Lincolh, I cannot find him so deeply guilty as Osbaldston.- Bat oertainly my lord's grace of Canterbury hath been excoedirgly wronged. Thiorofore I give dan-
mages with my lord Finch. And for Osbaldston, I sentence him with my lord Finch. And as for my lord bishop of Lincoln, I join with my lord Branpston."

The Earl of Manchester, Lord Privy-Seal. " My lords; For Osbaldston, if you take his words, his meaning, and the end, they will appear to be very, heinous. 1. His words, to bring contempt and disgrace upon my lord bishop of Canterbury. 2. His meuning is expressed in the words themselves, to be ogaiust two honouruble persons. 3. Aud for the end, that was most dangerous and pernicious. It was to ojverthrow and work the confiusion and ruin of my lord's grace of Canterbury.
"Setting aside all the witmesses, I will condemn my lurd Bishop of Lincoln out of his uwn mouth, for he denies all. 1. He deuies the receiving of the letter. 2. The entertaining of them. 3. The publishing of them, Not as Peter did when he had denied his Master, to go out and weep bitterly for his offence, but to justify himself: Yet how can my lord of Lincoln deny the letter that he wrote with his own hand, and yet he will not confess it to be his own lctter.
"And after he was prisoner in the Tower, he called to Mr., Cadwallader Powel, and demanded if any of Mr. Oshaldston's letters were found? Who answered, That they were found. Then said the bishop, Obbaldston is undone. 2. He desired that they slould be kept close and secret. 3. Though they were kept close, und only put into the pocket, if they concern a public person, je is a libeller.
"For Osbr iston, besides all the corporal punishment, I hold fit that be should acknow. ledge his offenc, in writing to my lord's grace of Canterbury.
"And as for the bishop of Lincoln, 1 hola that he should al:o make an acknowledgoent in writing to the at rimishop, for he is a person of great placee and quality. And my lord of Lincoln is under cipronical obedience to the archbishop by his oath. and disobedience is a breach of his oath; the., fore he should acknowledge his offence under Kis own hand.
"For my lord's grace of Canterbury, he hath ever carried himself with much gravity in his place, and piety towiards God and the king, and the public good; 'herefore I leave him in bonour as I found hiin, and sentence the bishop of Linculn with my'lofd Braustone : and Os baldston with my lord Finch."
The Earl of Holland joined in sentence with my lord Finch for Obbaldston, and with my lord Branstone for the hishop of Lincoln.
The Lord Keeper. "My lords; If I be not mistakeh, it is misspending of time in opening the cause, therefore I shall use ss much brevity as may be. And, first, 1 shall begin with the first letter, and think it fit that this letier, and all the copiet thereof, should be suppressed. Yet I miry make this use of it, That Osbaldston and my lord bishop had a long time continued intercourse of writing acaadalous jetters, and
false news.-Again, I make this use of it, That my lord of Lincoln baving received such a letter so scandalous against the king and state, did conceal the same.
" My lords, for the letter of the 9th of Jan. my lord bishop of Lincoln doth deny that he received it; and therefore I thinh that he concewed it to be a scandalous letter, which made him to deny it Again he hept the letters by him, that he might have the words ready to tell unto every one at his pleasure. Andd as for the letter of the 30th of Jan, he denicth to make a perfect answer to it.
" It wns the saying of one that was late Inrd Chatcellor, 'That he nerer liked $n$ cause, ' rwhere there were divers Answers in it ;' neither can 1 lake this, when nuy lard bishop of Lincoln hath been so often examined, nad will not inake a perfect answer, for he hath thrice been examined upon thas letter, which is a shrewd argunuent unto me, that he knew well that there was scandalous matter contained in it.
" Next, my lords, is the publishing of these libellous letters, and I think it very fit that my lord of Lincoln should be charged with the publishing of them. If they were in the band-box, then that wus a publishing of them; nad he delivered a letter to his secretary, which was a prblishing thereof.-But it is true, if a man deliver a letter to his secretary, and commund that he should keep it secret, I conceive that is not a publishing of it. I'there were no other proof but this, I should think iny lord bishop of Lincoln and Mr, Osbaldston to be guilty, not only of contriving, but also of publishing ind divulying scandalous Libels aguinst the lord archbishop of Canterbury, and the state.-As for Witnesses, although there is no need, for it is plain without witnesses, yet there be divers witnesses that prove it.
" Now it remains that I should proceed to Censure. It concerns the late Lord Treasurer, one of his mnjesty's privy-council, and my lord acchbishop of Canterbury, two honourable persons: And my ,lord archbishop had not only licen a friend to the lishop of Lincoln, but a faithful mediator for him to his majesty, and was always very faithful jn returning his majesty's answer unto him; and yet notwithstanding all this, my lord of Lineoln hath done many heinous offences against the said archbishop of Cunterbury. For Osbaldston his Censure, I ngree with ny lord Finch, and do add thereunto, confession of the offence, and submission. And for my lord bishop of Lincoln, I agree with my lord Biramstone."

## The Sentexce of the Court was,

" That Mr. Osbaldston should be fined $5,000 l$, to the king, and pay $5,000 \mathrm{l}$. damnges to the archbishop; be deprived of all spiritual dignities and promotions ; imprivoned during the king's pleasure, and make submission,- That the bishop of Linculn be fined in $5,000 l$. to the king, and 3,000l. to the arclibishop; to be imprisoned during the Ling's pleasure, and
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to make subnuission.-And Obbaldston was sentenced to stand in the pillory in the Dean'syard, before his own school, and his ears to be only nailed to the pillory."

It so happened, though the report was that Osbildston vas rum awny, that be was in Court standing in the croud at the Censure; and when he heord the said censure of some of the lords, he gnessed the cause would go against him, and knowing the rule of the coout, That if the warden should espy hin in court, he might command his tipstaff to npprehend him; as soon as the major part of the court had past Censure upon bum, nithough the Lord Keeper had not then given his senve; therelore he got out ot' court, went to whis study at the school, burnt some papers, and writ on a paper, which Ie left on his desk, "That if the arehtishopen${ }^{6}$ quire after me, tell him, I am gone beyond *Canterbay.' Whereupon messengers were sent to the port-towns to npprehend him ; Lut he lay hid in a privute house in Irury-lane; till the parliament met in Nortmber, 16-10.*

Concerning this Bishop and this Case lord Claceudon writgs thus : " Dr. Willianss, as is said, was a man of a very imperious and fiery temper; he had been Kceper of the Great Scal of Engla ${ }^{\prime}$ d in the time of k ing James. After his removal from that charge, he had lived splendidly in his diocess, und made hinself very peopular amongst those who had no reverence for the court; of which be would ficquently, and in the presence of many, speak with too much freedotn, and tell unthy stories of things and persons upon his own former experience; in which, being a man of great pride and vanity, he did not always confine humself to a precise veracity, and did often presume in those unwary discourses, to mention the person of the king with too little reverence. He did affect to be thought anenemy to the archbishop of Canterbury ; whose person he seemed exceedingly to contemn, and to be much displeased with those Ceremonies and Innovaticns, as they were then called, which were countenanced by the other; and bad himself published, by his own authority, a Book against the using those Cerehionies, in which there was much good learning, and too little gravity for a bishop. His passion and his levity, gave every day great advantages to those who did not love him, and he provoked too many, not to have those advantages made use of : so that, after several Iuformations against him in the StarChauber, he was sentenced, and fined in a great sum of money to the king, and committed pri,oner to the Tower, without the pity, or compassion of any, but those, who, out of hatred to the government, were sorry that they were without so useful a champion; for he appeared to be a man of a very corrupt nature, whose

[^33]passions conld have transpolted him into the most unjustifiable actions. He had a façulty of making relations of thangs dine in his own presence, and discourses made to hiuself; or in his ona hesring, with a!l the curcimstances of auswirs, and replies, and upon arguments of great moneut; all which, upon examination, were still found to have nothing in them that was real, but to be the purecefiect of has own invention. After he was sentenced in the StarChamber, some of his freuds resonted to him, to lament, and condole with him for his mistiortune, and soure of them scemed to, wonder that in in aflair of such a nature, he had not found mems to hase made some subuisson, and Composition, tigat might lave pievented the public hearing, which proved so much to his prejudice in point uf reputatuon, us well as profit. He onswered them with all the forfnality inagiasble, 'That they had reason in'fleed to wonder nt hum uf on the event; but ' when they should knuw how he had goverued ' himself, be belicsed they would cease to think ' binn woithy of blatne.' And then related to then, 'Tluit as soma as publication had passed ' in his cause, and the books were tahen out, he ' had desired his council, who were all uble ' men, and sorne of them very coininent, in the 'vacation tune, and they at mot leisure, to * meet together, and carefully to looh over, and ' peruse all the Evidence that uastaken on both 'sides; and that then they would attend him 'such a morning, which he appointed upon 'their consent, at his own house nt Westrmin'ster: That they came at the time appointed; ' and being then shut up in a $r$ ' 1 m together, hic ' asked them, whether they hid sufficie,tly pe'rused all the Books, and wire thoughly in' formed of his Case ? 10 which theyall ans swered, that they had not of y read them all ' over together, but had severtly every man by chimself perused them ay Ain, and they be${ }^{6}$ lieved they were all ${ }^{3} w$. 41 informed of the ' whole. That he then țild them he had de' sired this conferencer with them, not only as 'his council, by *iose opinion he meant to ' govern hituself. .2at as his partucular friends, ' who, he was sure, would give him their best ' advice, and persuade him to do every thing ' as they would do themselves, if they were in ' his condition. That he was now offered to ' make his peace at court, by such an bunble 'Submission to the king, as he was most in' clined, and ready to razke; and which he ' would make the next day after bis cause was - hesrd, though he should be declared to be in' nocent, of which heccuuld make no doubt; ' but that which troubled him for the present, -was, that the iufamousness of the Cbarge ' against him, which bad been oftien exposed, ' and inlarged upon in several motions, had ; been sor much taken notice of through the 'kingrom, that it coul.' not consist with his ' bonour to divert the hearing, ahich would be 'imputed to his want of confidence in hia inno' cence, since men did not suspect his courage, ' if he dyrst rely upon the other; but that he
' was resolved, as he said before, the next da
' after he should be vindicated from thos
'odious aspersions, he would cast himself at th.
ling's feet, with all the humility, and sub-
' mission, which the most gulty man coulh
' make profession of. It was in this point hs
' desired their advice, to which he would, with-
' out adhering to his own inclination, entirely
${ }^{4}$ conform hunself; and therefore desired them.
'singly in order, to give him their adviee.' H
repeated the sereral, and distinct discourse every man had made, in which he was so punctual, that he applied those phrases, and expressions, and manner of speech to the several men, which they were all taken notice of fre quently to use; as muny men have some petuhar words in discourse, which they are most delighted with, or by custom inost addicted to : and in conclusion, 'That they were unanimous ${ }^{6}$ in their judginents; that be could not, with' ' the preservation of his honour, nad the opinion ' of his integrity, cecline the public hearing;
${ }^{\text {E }}$ where he must be unquestionably declared
${ }^{4}$ innocent ; there being no crime, or misde-
${ }^{6}$ meanor proved against him in such a manner,
' as could make him liable to censure : they
${ }^{6}$ all commended his resolution of submitting to
'the king as soon as he had made his innocence
' to appearg and they all ndvised hin to pur-
${ }^{4}$ sute that method. This, he said, had swayed
6him; and made him decline the other expedi'elit. that had been proposed to him.'
"This relation wrought upon those to whom it was made, to rase a prejudice in them against the justice of the cause, or the repatation of the cou fcil, as they were most inclined; whereas there vas not indced the least shadow of truth in the whole Felation; except that there was such mecting, and confereare, as was mentioned, nd wloch hat been consented to by the bishop epon the joint desire, and importanity of all He, cuancil; who, at that Conference, ugauingously advised and desired iunn 'to une all tirs means and friends he * could, that the cause wight not be brought to 'iearing; but that he sthould purchase has ${ }^{6}$ peace at any price; for th. sif it were heard, ${ }^{t}$ he would be sentenced very grievously, and 'that there were many thangs proved against ${ }^{4}$ him, wheh would so.much reflect upoa his ' honour, and reputation, and the more for * being a bishop, that all his friends would ${ }^{6}$ abandon him; and be for ever after ashamed ' to appear on his behylf.' Which advice, with. great passion, and reproarlies upon the several persons for their presumption, and ignorance in matters so much above them, he uiterly and scornfully rejected Nor indeed was it possible, at that time, for him to have niade his peace; for though upon some former addresses, and importunity on his behalf by some persons of power, and place in the court, ot which the queen herself had cudenvoureal to have done him grod offices, the king was inclined to have saved hith, being a bishop, from the infany lie must undergo by a public Trial; yet the bishop's vanity had, in those coujunctyres, so far
transported him, that he had done all he could to have insinuated, 'that the court was ' ashamed of what they had done; and had ' prevailed with some of his poweiful friends ' $t$ ! persuade him to that composition:' Upon which the king would never hear more any persnu who moved on bis behalf.
" It had been once mentioned to him, whether by authority, or no, was not known, 'that 'his peace should be mate, if he would resign ' his bishopric, and deanery of Westrxinsterf for - he had that in commendam, and take a good ' bishopric in Ireland; which he positively re-- fused; and snid, be had much tordo to defend - himself against the Archbishop here'; but if 'heg was in Ireland, there was a man, meaning ' the earl of Strafford, who would cut off his ' head within one month.'
"This Bishop had been for some yeary in the Tower, ty the Seutence of the Star-Cha mber, before this parlianent met; when the lords, who were the most active and powerful, presently resolved to have him at liberty. Some had much kindness for bin ; not ouly as a known enemuy to the archbishop of Canterbury; but as a sapporter of those opinions, and those persons, which were against the Church itself. And he was no snoner at liberty, and brought into the house, but he defended, and seconded the lord Say, when he made an invective with all the malice, and hittẹness imnginable, agaiust the archbidhop then in prison; and when he liad concloden, that bishop said; ' that he had ' long known that noble lord, and had always believed hon to be as well affected to the 'church ts himself;' and so he continued to make all his address to that lord, and thoee of the siline party. Beiug now in full liberty, and in some credit, and reputation, he applied limself to the king; and made all posille proressions of duty to lis majesty, and real to the church ; protesting ' to have a perfect detestation of those persons, who appeared to have no affection or duty towards has majesty, and of all evil intentions against the religion estabhashed; andithat the civility he had expressed towards them, was only out of gratitude for the good will they had shewed to him; and especially that he might the better promote his majesty's service.' And it being his turn dhartly after, as dean of Westminster, to preach refore the king; he took occasion to speak of he Factions in Relgion; and raentioning the Presbyterian Discipline, he said, 'it was a goverument only fit for taylors and shoemakers, and the like : not for noblemen, and gentlemen: ' which gave great scandal, and offence o his great patrons; to whom he easily reconiled hinself, by making them ns merry with some sharp sayings of the court, and by perorming more substantial offices for them.
"When, opon the Trial of the earl of Straford, is was resolved to decline the jutignent f the house of peers, and to procced liy Bill f'Attainder ; and therrupon it was very uareaonably mover, " That the bishoris might have no vote in the passing that act of parliament;
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- becauss they pretended it was to have thei
- hand in blood, which was against an of
' canou;' this bishop, without communicatio with any of lis brethren, very frankly declaree his opinion, 'that they ought not to be present; and offered, not only in lis own neme, but fo the rest of the bishops, ' to withdraw alway ' when that business was entered upon:' nor so betrayed a fundamental right of the whol Order; to the great ptejudice of the king, ant to the taking away the life of that person, whic could not otherwise have suffered.
" And shorly afier, when the king declared that he ueither would, nor could in consci eoce, pive his royal assent to that Aet of At tainder; when the tumults cannc about the court with noise and clamour for justice ; the lord Say desired the Ling to confer with his bishops for the satisfaction of lis conscience; ond desired hin to speak with that bishop if the point. After much discounse together, and the king insisting upon many particulars, which might induce others to consent ; but were known to himself to be false ; and therefore the could never in conscience give his own consent to them; the bishop, is laath been inentioned hefore, ampongst other argunieuts, told hinn ; ' that he must eonsider, that as he had a ' privatte capacity, and a public, so he had a
- public conscience us well as a private ; that
- though his private conscience, asa man, would
- not permit him to do wil net contrary to lins
© own understandune, judgment, and consci-
- ence; yet his puilic conscience, as a king,
' which obliged hiim to do all things for the
'good of his perple, and to preserve his king-
- dom in peace for hinself and his posserity,
' would not only permit him to do that, but
- evell ublige, and require him. That he saw
- in what commotion the people were ; that his
' own life, and that of the queen's, and the royal
' issue, might probatly be sacnficed to that
'fury ; nud it would be very strange, if his
' conscience should prefer the life of one single
- private person, how innocent soever, before all
- those other lives, and the presectiation of the
' kingdom.'
"This was the argumentation of that unhappy casuist ; who truly, it may be, did believe himself; for towards the end of the war, and when the king's power declined; he, being then an archbistop, did in person assist the rebels to take a castle of the king's ; in which there was a garrison, and which was taken by a
long siege ; because be might thereby the better enjoy the profits of his own estate, which lay thereahouis. Upon all these great services he had performed for the party, be grew every ' day more imperious; and after the king thought it necessary to make him archbishop of York, which, as the time then was, could not qualify him to do more harm, and might possibly dispose, and oblige him to do some good; he carried himself so insolently, in the house and out of the house, to all persons, that he became much more odious universally, than ever the other archbishop had been; having sure more enemies than he, and few or no friends, of which the other bad alundance. And the great batred of this man's person and behaviour, was the greatest invitation to the house of commons sn irregularly to rerive that Bill to remore the Bushopss,"
Wilwon, howtyer, in his Uistory of the Dife and Reign of James the First, speaks very avourably of Lim; and Ifocket, bishop of Clitebfich (who had been bis chaplain) has eft an ample and encomiastic nccount of his Life. He was euntchus nb utiro ; yet Wilson clls us that his intimacy with the countess f Buekingham gase rise to scandal: he had cen chaplain to Bacon, from whom the Great ieal being taken in May 1621, it was in July allowing (having been beld two months by onmissoners) given to Williams, as Lord Geeper. In the sabie month he was mude ishop of Loncoln. He held the seal somewhat aore than four years. Froin Lincoln, he was ranslated to York in 1631, and he died in 650. He seems to have beennof a rash and asolent, though servile, tempes and of selfish, emporimeng and trimming poitical conduct. "or more respecting him, see the Note to ol. 2, p. 1163, and the Cass of the twelve Bishops, A. D. 1641, post.-Ht had preached 'iing James's funeral sermon, and printed it with the title of "Great' Iritain's Solomon." The text was 1 Kings, c. si. vèr. 41, 42, and nart of 43. Of the Discourse Hartis in his Life of James gives sgine specimers, which will robably be suffigi-nt for most readers. The lattery is at least ds gross as might be expected; he style is pedantic, agreeably to the taste and rectice of the time. The preacher exhibits ufficient powers of invention in his praises of be modern Solomon, and very considenable adustry and ingenuity in the discovery of reemblances between him and his prototype.


## 147. Prockedings in the Case of Ship-Moner, between the Kive

 and John Hampden,* esq. in the Exchequer, is Charles I. $\dagger$ A. D. 1637.The Speece of Thousas Lord Covesstay, Lord Kecper of the Great Seal of Englanil, by command from his majesty, to all the Judges of Assize of England, in the Star Chamber June 17, 1635.
MY Lords the Judges ; The Term being done and ended, the Assizes are at hand : you are to divide yourselves for your several circuits. Cirauits are for the service of the king and the good

[^34]To which, when relating the circtmstance of lis death, he adds:

- "He was af genteman of a good family in Backinghmmshire, and born to a fair fortune, and of a most civil and affable deportment. In his entrance into tha world, he indulged to
of the people; they are the execution of the king's laws, and administratina of justice. In the Term, the people follow and seek äfter Justice; bot in the Circuit, Justice followeth and seeketh after the people. So gracious is the frame and constitution of the king's government, that twice a year, at the least, Justice followeth the subjects bome to their own doors; which, as it is a great ease to the trouble, charge, and travail of the country, so it giveth the peo-
himself all the licence in sports and exercises, and company, which were used by men of the anost jolly conversation. Afterwards, be retired to a more reserved and meluncboly society, ye preserving his own natural chenrfuluess, and vivacity, and above all, a flowing courtesy to all men; thongh they who rouversed nearly with him, found him growing into a dislike of the Ecclegiastical Goverunent of the Church, yet most beliered it rather asdislike of some churchmen, and of some introducements of theirs, which he apprehended might disquiet the public peace. He was rather of reputation in his own country, than of public discourse, or fame in the kmigdom, before the business of Ship-money: but theil he grew the arguinent of all tongues, every mana enquiring who, and what be was, that durst, at his own charge, support the liberty and property of the kingdom, and rescue his country, as he thought, from lieing made a prey to the court. His carriage, throughout this ayitation, was with that rare temper and modesty, that they who watched him narrourly to find sone alynntage ngainst his person, to make hin less resolute in lis cause, were compelled to give him a jast testiroony. And the judgment that was givell sgaiust ham, infinitely more advanced him, than the service for which it was given. Whem this partiament begun, being recurned kuight of the shire for the county where be lived, the eyes of all men were fixed upon him, as their Patric Pster, and the pilot that must steer tha vessel, through the tempests, and rocks which threatened it. And I am persuaded, his power and interest, at that time, was greater to do good or hurt, than any man's in the kingdom, or than any man of his rank hath had in any time: for his reputation of honesty was universal, and his afiections seemed so publicly uided, that no corrupt, or private ends could hiass them,-He was of that rare affability, and temper in debate, and of that seeming humility und submission of judgment, as if he brought no opinion of his own with him, but a desire of information, and instruction; yet he had sa subtie a way of interrogating, und, under the notion of doubts, insinuating his objections, that be infused his own opinious into those from whom he pretended to learn, and reccive
ple a better knowledge of Justice; and the end of it, that they may bless God and the hing for the satne.

It hath been the custom, that before your Circuit you should receive such Directions as the king, or his council, thinks spasomable to inpart unto you, for the service of the hing and weal of the people: In the declaring whercof, $t$ shall say litile of the just- acts you are to do between pirity and party, only that you do
them. And even with them whon were able to preserve themselves from his mfusions, and discerned those opinions to be fixed in him, with which they coold not comply, he always left the character of an ingenious, und conscientic.us person. Ie was indeed a very wise man, and of great parts, and possessed with the mnst absolute spirit of popularity, and the most absolute faculties to govern the people, of any man I ever knew. For the first year of the parliament, he seemed rather to modernte, and soften the violent and distenpered humours, than to inflame them. Bat wise and dispassioned men plainly discerned, that that moderation proceeded from prudence, and observation that the seasion was not npe, rather than that he approved of the moderation; and that he begot many opinions, and motions, the edacation whereof he committed to other men; so so far disguising his own designs, that he seemed seldom to wish mure than was concluded; and in many gross conclusions, which would hereafter contribute to desigus not yet set on foot, when he found them sufficiently backed by majority of voices, he would withdinw himself before the question, that he might seem not tu cousent to so much visible unreasonableness; whach produced as great a duubt in some, as it did spprobation in others, of his integrity. What combination soever had been originally with the Scots for the invasion of England, and what farther was entered into nfterward, in favour of them, and to advance any alteration of the government in parlianent, no man doubts was at least with the privity of this gentleman.
" After he was among those members accused by the king of High Trenson, he was much altcred; bis uature and carriape seeming much fiercer than it did before." [Upon this passage Mr. Laing has a very shrend observation in relation to the character of kieg Charles, and the sentiments respecting him which his couduct had inspired.] "And withour question, when he first drew his sword, he threw away the scabbard; for he passionately opposed the overture made by the king for a treaty from Nottingham, and as emineutly, all expedients that might have produced any accoinmodations in this that was at ()xford ; and was principully rehed on, to prevent any infirsions which might be toude into the earl of kasex towards prace, or to render them ineffectual, if they were made; and was indeed much more relied on by that party, than the general himself. In the fint eutrance into the
equal right between poor and rich; the particulars are left unto yourselves, as they happen in your Circuits. But since you are sent by tho king to hear the çauses of the people, it is his majesty's pleasore, that you so hear and order the same, that they may have no cause to complain to his majesty cither for denial or delay of justice.
Of the Trial of capital offenders, I shall say as little; that part of Justice moveth in a frame,
troubles, he undertook the command of a regiment of foot, and performed the duty of a colonel, upon all occasions, nost punctually. He was very temperate in diet, and a suprenie governor over all his passions, aud alfections, and bad thereby a great power over other mens. He was of an incustry and sigilance not to be tired out, or wearied by the most laborious; and of parts not to be cimpoved npon, by the most subtle, or sharp; and of a personal courage equal to his best parts; so that he was an enemy not to be wished wherever he might lave been made a friend; and us nuch to be apprehendel where he was so, as any man could deserve to be. And therefore bis death was no less pleasing to the one party, than it was condoled in the other. In a word, what was said of Cinna, might well be applied to him; ; he had a bead to contrive, and a tougue ' to persuade, and a pand to execute, any mis' chief?' His death therefure seemed to be a great deliserance to the nation." Lord Clurendon.
$\dagger$ " Our greatest news here now is that we bave a new Attorney General $\left(W_{1}\right.$ ilinam Noy), which is news indeed, zonsiderhg the humour of the man, how he hath been always ready to ontertain any cause whereby flee might clash with the prerogative, but poow, as Judge Richardson told liin, his head is full of Proclamations and devices how to bring Money into the Exchequer. He hnth litely found out among the old lecords of the Tower some pre-cedents-for raising a Tax called Ship Money in all the port towns when the kingdom is in danger." James dilowell's Jetters, 248, B. 1, sect. 6, letter xi. to sir Arthur Ingrann, d.at. Jan. 30,1633 (1634). Other particulars of this Noy occur in other letters of Huwell. Some mention of him as a lawyer was made by Thurlow C. and Buller J. in the Cass of the bishop of Lordon v. Disney Fytche in Dom. Proc. $17 s$. (See Cunninghan's Law of Simony.) " Mr. Noy," says Selden, (Table Talk) " brought in Ship Money first for maritime towns, but that was like putting in a fittle auger that afterwards you may put in a greater. He that pulls down the first brick does the main work; afticrwards it is easy to pull down the wall." In the office of Attorney General and the operation of the office upon the character and conduct of the officer, tome observations will be fuund in the Case of Hex $\boldsymbol{v}^{\prime}$. Horne. 4. $\mathrm{D}^{\prime}$. 1777. post.
" The Narrow Scas were at this time" (says Kennett) " "infested with Pirates of all the
and if all officers under you did their parts, you should walk in so straight a path, that you would find it very hard to tread awry : therefore you
4. had need to heed them narronly, lest they pervert justice. Look to the Corruptions of the Sheriffs and their Deputes: the partiality of Jurors; the bearing and siding with mea of countenance and power in their country. When you meet with auy such, your proceedings ought to be severe and exemplary against them, other-
neighbour nations, and the Dutch began to challenge such a right of Fishery, as would have robbed the king of that Dominion, which bad been alway clained and exercised by his royal uncestors. To earry on this new pretension, hey encouraged their learaed Grotius to assert their free u-e of Shippug, in a Treatise stiled Mare Liberum; answered, and sufficiently refuted, hy our excellent antiquary Mr. Seldeó, in his Mare Cluusum, of which the materials had been formerly thrown tugether at the motion of hing Jannes, nuld were now put in order, and within a twelve mouth pablished at the comnand of king Charles. But this controcersy was not likely to be determined in paper : Therefore to shew a just concern for the honour and safety of the nation, the king on May 5, published two several Proclamations. In the first declaring his royal will and pleasure, 'That no mariner or scafating men, 'shupwright or ship carpenter, whatsoever, being

- his mejecty's subjects, sbould without the
' King's Lieense, or or the License of the ad' miral of Eugland, enter or attempt or go ' about to ente;, into the service of any foreign - prince or state, or beg employed out of this ' realm in any iea service whatsoever, unless it 'wers in the k pg'x own service, or of some of ' his suljects. And if any at this time be in ${ }^{6}$ the service of any foreign prince, that they 'forthwith return under a great peualty.' In the other of the same date he declarcs his farther pleasure 'concerning the Flags to be employed P for liss royal navy, is well as for the slips of chis suljects of South and North Britun; ; and
- conceivmg it meet for the honour of the king's
' own Ships in his navy royal, "and of such other
'Ships as are, or shall be cmployed, in the
' kıng's immediate servire, that the same be by
- their Flags distinguisted trom the ships of
' anvy other of his subjects; doth therefore pro-
' hibit and forinif, thint none of the subjects of
' any of his mations and bingdoins, shall foom
thenceforth presime to carry the Union Flag
t in the main-top, or other part of any of his 'ships, that is, St. Gerrge's Cross and St. - Andrew's Criss joined iogether; but that the - same Uuion Flag be still reserved as an urua' ment proper to the kmg's own ships, and ships - in his immediate service and puy, and none ' others. And his majesty's fartmer will nund - pleasure is, that all other ships of his subjects © of England *or South Britain, hearing Hags, 'shull from henceforth carry the red cross, ' comwonly called St. George's cross; and alvo ' that all the other ships of the king's subjects
wise Justice shall be overborne, howsoever in your own persons you bear yourselves with never so much uprightuess.

And because the time of Assize is very short, and expireth in a few days, it is necessary that you atlord as much time as may be unto those businesses that are most general, and most concern the public; the Trials of Nisi prius, and particular causes, they are in the number of those thugg that are not to bé lift undone; but those
' of Scotland, should from henceforth carry the ' white cross, commonly called St. Andrew's ' cross.'
"But the great difficulty was how to raise mgney for fitting out a Navy sufficient to puard the seas; as necessity secmed now to require not only against thic encroachments of the Dutch, but against the growing insoleuce of the Turkish and Algerine pirates. By degrees a Project was framed out of ancient Records by Mr. Attouney General Noy, to impose upan every sea-port and place of merchandize the finding such a number of ships and men, in proportion to their wealth and traile, or to compound with commissioners nt such a rate. The first Writ for this Tax called Shap-Money was dated the 20th of Octolier, begming with the city of Inadon in thus form:

## The Firit Writ for Smip-Money.

- Carolus Rex, \&c. To the Mayor, Commot nalty and Cuizens of our Cuy of Condon, ' and to the Slieriffs of the same City, and 'good men in the said City and in the liber' ties, and members of the same, greeting;
- Because we are given to understand that certain thieves, pirates and robbers of the sea, as well Turks, eacmics of the Cbristian name, as others, being gathered tngether wickedly taking by force, and spoiling the ships and goods and merchandizes, not ouly of our subjects hut also of the subjects of our friends in the sea, which hath been accustomed anciently to he defended by the English nation; and the same at their pleasure have carried uway, delivering the men in the s:une to miserable captivity. And forasmuch as we see them daily preparing all manner of shipping further to molest our Alerchants, and to grieve the kingdom, unless Remedy be not somer applied, and their endeavours be not more manly met withal; also the dangers considered, whicl: on every side in these tumes of war do hang over our heads, that it behoveth us and our suljects, to hasten the defince of the sea and kingdom with all expedition or speed that we can: We willing, by the help of God, chiefly to provide for the defence of the kinglom, safeguard of the sen, security of our suljects, sate conduct of Ships and Merclandizes to our kingedom of Eapland coming, nud from the same kingdom to forcign paris pa-sing: Forasnuch aa we and our progenuers kings of England, have been always heretofore nasters of the aforesaid sea, and it would be very irksome unto
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thiogs that concern the general and pablic good, you are to account them as the weigbtier mat ters of the law; and therefore you are to takt them into your prime and chief care and cogi: tation. Now esinong those, I shall commend unto you in the first place, The presenting and convicting of Recusants; for as it concernett Religion, so it hath relation to his majesty's Profits, which are two greest motives, to which you may add a third, because the king hath many
${ }^{6}$ us, if that princely honour in our times shouk
${ }^{4}$ be lost, or in any thing diminished. Ant
Ealthoutgh that charge of defence, which con
' cerneth all men, ought to be supported by all
${ }^{4}$ as by the laws and customs of the kingdota ot
-Englund hath been accustomed to be done
' notwithstanding, we considering, that you
' constituted in the sea consts, to whom by sea
${ }^{4}$ as well great dangers are imminent, and whu
${ }^{6}$ by the same do get more plentiful gains, for
'the defence of the sea, and conservation o
${ }^{4}$ our princely hooour in that behalf, according
'to the duty of your allegiance against sucl

- attempts, are chiefly bound to set to your
${ }^{4}$ helping hand: we command, firmly enjoining
${ }^{4}$ you the aforessid mayor, communalty and
${ }^{4}$ citizens, and sheriffs of the said city, and the
" good men in the gaid city and in the liberties.
and members of the same, in the faith and
- allegiance wherein ye are bound unto us, and
' as ye do love us and our honour, and under
the forfeiture of all which ye can firfeit to
'us, that ye cause to be prepared and brought
to the port of Portsnouth, before the first day
4 of March now next ensuing, one ship of war
' of the burthen of 900 tons, with 350 men at
${ }^{\text {t }}$ the least, as nell masters as very able and ex-
'pert skilful mariners; one other ship of war
- of the burthen of 800 tons, with 260 men at
'the least, as well akilfal masters as very able
' and expert mariners; four other ships of war,
' every of them of the burthen of 500 tons,
' and every of them with 200 men at the least,
' as well expert masters as very able and skilful
' mariners ; and one other ship of war of the
- burthen of 300 tons, with 150 men, as well
© expert masters as very able and skilful mari-
- ners. And also every of the said ships with
- Ordnance, as well greater as lesser, gun-pow-
- der and spears and weapons, and other ne-
' cessary arms sufficient for war, and with
${ }^{4}$ double tackling and with victuals, until the
- said 1st of March competent for so many*
' men, and from that time for 26 weaks at your
' charges, as well in victunis as men's wages,
' and other things necessary for war, during
$f$ that time, upon defence of the sea in our ser-
4 vice in command of the armiral of the sea,
tso whom we shall commit the custody of the
'sea, before the aforesaid 1st day of March,
I and as be oa our behalf shall command them
f to conainue, so that they may be there the
- same day at the farthest, to go from thence
' with our ships, wid the ships of other fuithful
qaubjects, for the safeguard of the sea, and
? defence of you and yours, and repalse and
years since sseigned these forfeitures to the public defence.
In the next place, I do require you, that you make a strict inquiry after Depopulations and Inclosures; a crime of a crying nature, that barreth God of his honour, and the king of his subjects: Churches and houses gn down together. His majesty knoweth and taketh notice, that nccording to former directions given you in this place, you have given it in charge to the
' vanquishing of whomsoever busying them-
' selves to molest or trouble upon the sea our
' merchants, and other subjects and faithful
' people coming into our doininions for cause
' of merchandize, or from thence returning to
'their own countries. Also we have assigned
' you the aforesaid mayor and aldermen, of the
city aforesaid, or any thirteen or more of you,
'within thirty days after the receipt of this
' writ, to assess all men in the said city, and in
'the liberties, and inembers of the same, and
' the landholders in the same, not having a
' ship, or any part of the aforesaid ships, nor
' serving in the same, to contribute to the ex-
' pence, about the necessary provision of the 'premisses, and to assess and lay upon the nforesaid city, with the liberties and members thereof, viz. upon every of them according to ' their catate and substances, and the portion ' assessed upon thers, and to nominate and ap' point collectors in this behalf. Also we have ' assigned you the aforessid mayor, and also the sherifts of the city aforesaid, to levy the portions so as aforesaid, assessed upon the aforesaid men and landholders, and every of them in the aforesaid city, with the libertics and members of the same, by distress and other due means, and to con mit to prison all those whom you shall find rejellious, and contrary in the premises, there to remain until we shall give further o-der for their delivery. And moreover we command you, that about the premisses ye diligertly attend, and do and execute those things with effect, upon peril that shall fall thereon, but we will not that under colour ofour afuresaid command, more should be levied of the said men, than shall suffice for the necessary expences of the premisses; or that any who have levied money for contribution, to raise the aforesaid charges, should by him detain the same, or any part thereof, or should presume by any manner of colour to appiopriater the same to other uses. Willing, that if more than may be sufficient shall be collected, the same may be paid out among the contributiors, for the rate of the part to them belonging. Witness, myself at Westminster the 90th dey of October, in the tenth year of our reign.'
"This Writ was read in a common council held at Guildhall Dec. 8,.where the matter gave general diaguot, ond brought out this Resoluion upon it. "This Court, after due and serious consideration taken of the premisses, conceiving that by their ancient liberties, charters, und achs of partianoent, they ought to be froed
grand Inquests to inquire of these things, but to little effect: and without duubt the freeholders of England do hate and detest thein. Depopulation is un oppression of an ligh nature, and commonly done by the greatest peisons that heep the Jurors under and in ane; and that is the carse there are $n$ more preveluted and bruught in question: but howes er your Charge and Inquiry, wuChugg this point, hath not taken effect worthy lis majesty's care and yods pains;
$s$ nad discharged of those thungs wluch by the
${ }^{6}$ sadd Writ are required by thein to be done,
${ }^{6}$ doth oider and agree, That the dr.ught of a
- Petition truching the said business, thes day
'sead to thr Cutit, shall be engrovsed, and
' with all dutuful sespect for and on this city's
* Lelalf, humbly pireented to the bug's most
'excellent majesty.'
" Which Ptition wiad drawn up, and presentcd in ths furm :
'Tu the king's most excellent majesty; The
- humble Petition of your faithful subjects,
' the mayor and commonalty, nud citizens of
' your city of London, most humbly shewing;
${ }^{3}$ That where your majesty by Writ bearing
${ }^{*}$ teste 20 Oct. last, commanded your petr-
'tioneis, at their charge to provade 7 ships of
${ }^{6}$ war furmshed with men, victual, and all war.
' like provisions, to be at Portsinouth by the
' first of March next, and to continue from
' thence by the space of 26 weeks in your ma'jesty's service, upon the defence of the beas,
${ }^{6}$ uud other canses in the suud writ contuned:-
4 Your petitioners do in all submissive humble-
${ }^{6}$ neso, and with ach nowledgnent of your sacred
' majesty's many favours unto your said city,
- inform your wayjesty that they conceive, that
* by aucient provileges, grants, and acts of par-

6 liament (which they are ready humbly to shew

- forth) they are exenept nud are to be freed from
* that charge. *And do most humbly pray, That
' your majesty will be graciously pleased, That
the pecitioners, with your princely grace and
c favour, may enjoy the said privileges and
- exemptions, and be freed from providing of
'the said ships and provisions.-And they shall
'pray, \&cc:
"This Petition seemed to have no other effect, but only to express a dissent, when there must follow a compliance. And the example of submission, however ęxtorted, from the city of London, would have its sure and certain inflaence upon"all inferior places. The legal right of this aid was not get disputed in any court of justice, but it created a general offence and odium. The nobility and gentry had renson to be jealous of any methnds of raining Money out of parliament: The merchants and traders had the Grievance of thinking the whole burden cast upon them: The Clergy could not at first obtith an Exemption from their coossiderable shure in it $;$ and the Conntry Parmers thought it little less than seizing their corn and cattel to be went on Shipboand. The murmas
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yet his majesty willeth, that you do not cense, but enquire on still; for it is his resolution, against all opposition, ts make nil men see he hath a care of this oterspreading evil, and of the means of his people having churches and towns demolished, and las people eaten up like bread, to satinfy the sicerly desires of a few, who do waste as profusely, as they gather togother unconscionably, and bring unto their pobterity that woe alich is pronounced egunst
were indeed so unirersal, and so artificinlly imp.oved by the enemics of the court, that they laohed upon the death of the projector to be a Judgnent sent upon his head. Mr. Attorney Gqueral Noy departed this life August 9."

Iord ('latendon speaking of the innovations made about this time in the proceedings of the ('ourt of Star-Chumber, says, "These errors (for errors they were in view, and errors they are proved by the saccess) are not to be imputed to the court, but to the spirit, and overactivity of the lawyers themselves; who should more carefully bave pieserved their profession, and its protessors, from beugy profaned by those services, which lave rendered both so obnoxious to reproach. There were two persons of that profession, and of that time, by whowe several, and distinct constitutions (ibe one knowing nothing of, nor caring for the court; the other knowing, or caring for nothing elso) those mischiefi were introduced, Mr. Noy, the Attorney General, and sir John Finch, Arat, lord chief justice of the conmmon plens, asd then lord keeper of the great scal of England. Mr. Noy upon the great fame of his ability and learning (and he wns very nble and learned) was by great industry and importunity from court, persuaded to nocept that place, for which all other men laboured (being the best for profit, that profession is capable of ) and so he suf fered himself to be made the king's Attorney General. The court inade no impression upom his manners, upon his mind it did: and thoagh he wore about him an affected morosity, which inade him unapt to flutqer other men; yet evea that morosity and prode iendered hin the most liable to be grotsly finticred bimself that can bo inagined. And by thig meany the great persons, who steered the pullic nffairs, by adinin ing his parts and extolling his judgment as bery hind his back, wrought upon him by degrees for the eminency of the service, to be an ination ment in all their desigos; thinking that be codid not give a clearer teatimony, that his koowloctga in the law wos greaterthas all other memp, cheip by making that law which all other men beliered not to be so. So he mpulded, frumed and pursued the odious and crying project of Soop; nnd with his own hond drew and prepared the Writ for Slip-Money, both which will be the lasting moounents of his fame. In a word he was an unaaswerable instance botp necespary a good education and knowledge' of men is to make a wise man, at least a math fir for business."
"On the death of Mr. Noy, sir John Bealk 3 II
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tboge that ' lay house to house, and ficld to 'Eield,' to dwell alone in the midst of the earth.

The next thing that 1 shall meation unto you, in the rectifying and reforming of Ale-liouses pad Tippling-houses, and those I account one of: the greatest pests of the kingdom. First, therefore, let nune be enabled to set up or continue without licence: there are a kind of people thut do take upon them licences, recognisances, or laws, or what you will, and who have been a great deal the worse, because they see a great multitude tolerated that have po licence; and therefore I give it in charge, to take a course that none be permitted unless they be licenved! And for the licensed Ale-houses, let them be but a few, und in fit places; if they be in private corners and ill places, they become the dens of thieves, they are the public stages of drunkenness and disorder. In market towns, or in great places or roads, where travellers come, they are necessary.

Next unto this, let those that be licensed be held strictly to it, according to law. It bath been observed, and very truly, that in the Taverns, Inos, and Ale-houses in Eugland, by the falshood of their measure, nad their unjust prices, they have drawn more money from the guest, than out of the Excise of Ale and Beer are drawn out in Holland. A strange thang, that people for n public work, for any thing that is gond, shoul.l be loth to part with any thing, and yet, with open eyes, to see thernselves deceived by such base and lewd people.

Next unto this, let care be triken in the choice of Alehouse-lcepers, that it be not appainted to be the livelibood of a grea: family: que or two is enough to draw drink, and serve
mas constituted Atoorney-General by pateat dated Sept. 27, 10 Car. And sir Robicrt Meath being without reason given removed from the honour of lord chicf justice of the cummon pleas, air John Finclt was advanced to that bonour. Great were the discourses what the occagion should be of that sudden advaucement. But four days after the Wit for Slip-Money coming forth, it was conceived by common discourse, that he was to be instrumental to advance that business. His concern in this invjdious matter is thus represented by lord Clarendon. "Sir John Finch had much that Mr. Noy wanted, but nothing that the other ludd. Having led a free life in a restrained fortune, and baving set up upon the stock of a good wit, and natural parts, without the superstructure of much knowledge in the profession by which he was to grow; he was willing to use thuse weapons in which he had mont skill, and so (being not unseen in the affections of the court, hat not having repotation enough to guide or reatarm them) he t.ok ap Slip-Money where Mr. Fay Jeft it; and being a Judge carried it up to that pingecle, from wheuce he almost broke his own, neck ; huying in his jourjey thither, had too much, imfuence on bis brethren, to induce thew to concur in a jodgment they had all cause to mpaspt "
the people in an Alehouse; but if six, eight, ten, or twelve, must be maintained by Alle-house-keeping, it cannot chuse bat be an exceeding disorder, and the family by this means is unfit for any other good work or employauent. I have not skill enough to understand all the inconveniencies that come from this one ill fountain, and my memory will mot contain what I have so many times observed of them myself; but your lordships have in knowledge and experience of thero, therefore 1 will leave them unto you: only this, that because in many places they swarm by defiult of the Justices of the Peace, that set up too many, und there are none, except yourselves at the Assizes, all the year long can meet with this evil, but the Justices of the Peace. And if the Justices of Peace will not obey your Charge herein, certify their default and names, and 1 assure you Liey shall be dischargred. I once did discharge two Justices for setting up nue Alehouse, and shall loe glad to do the like again upon the same occasion.
In the next place, I will cominend unto you the Punishment of Vagabonds nud wandererss; to beguile the Alchouses of such unruly guests; it would make some way of anendment to those Alehonses: and it caunot be deny'd, but the law hath appointed hands enough to do this work; the Constnble, IJeadborongh, Tyth-ing-men, and the rest of the inferior Officers, and the Watchmen,' who muy do all with a particular warront from the Justices of Peace; and the Justices of the Peace are hound to call them to an account, med to ponish them for their negiect. If this were d ne, and other officers were chosen as they ought to be, not people of little wealth, and as little uaderstanding, but that they wese elected gut of the better sort of Yeomanry, find the watches kept by able me?, I am sure that these loose prople that wander up and dowo will quickly be gone: therefore you imay do well to let it be known in the conntry, that the Lords of Leet and those that huve the elections of constables and officers, they are by the law answerable for their choice. There have been Precedents, that ' where an insufficient coroner hath been chosen hy a county, the whole county hath been answerable to the king for the coroner's fault. And if the Lords of Leet, and their Homngers, and those that make choice of the Constables añd Officers, were sonsetimes awakened by soon seizing of their Leets, or Fine, or Quo warranto, I inake no doubt, but the country would be better served many years after, for some such service done; therefore I could wish that this were made known unto the country, that the lords, and those that choose them, were answerable for their defaults.

Now for bringing loose people in order, the House of Correction hath need to be looked unto, and be put in readiness, that thoue thes are idla may not want work. 'Ducera voleates, 'trahere nolentes.' And for the Houses of Correction, as it is in some countrias, it weng
convenient thry were placed near the Juil; that not ille persons only, but the prisoners of tife Jail ulso might be made to work, nad eat the labour of their own hands : this, as it hath been formerly, so it is now commended by his majesty to see it etfected so soon ns may be.
The biading out of Apprentices is a thing fit to be pressed throughaut all your circint. Opposition hathoveen made against it by some, though without any ground or law: sometimes the parents are not willing to leave their children, though they have not meat to feed them ut home ; sometimes the parishioners are not willing to pire them clothes, nud thone that biad them are negligent : and all these must he over-ruled, and made smart for their oppusition and neglect.

In a word, you nre to call upon all to whom it belongell, Lut expecially to the Justices of the Peace, to see his majesty's printed Order be put in exceution, You are to justify yourselves, what justices of the peace are diligent in it, and who neglect, and so to certify to the Lardy of the Council.

I have but one thing more to give you in Charge, and it is a thing of great weight and importance; it concerneth the honour of his majesty and the kingdom, and the safety of both. Christendom is full of war, and there is nothing but rumours of war: what hath been done of late years abroad by fire and sword, it were a pity and grief to thank of ; yet we have Ly the goodnes of God, and his majesty's provident care, nll this while enjoyed a most happy peace and plenty. As it is a good precept in Divinity, so it' holdeth in Policy too; 'Nunc ' tua res ugitur, jaun pqusimus ardet; 'which if we observe, to defend ourselves, it would be a warning to all mations, and we should be the more assured to enjoy our peace, if the war abroad do make us stuad upon our guard at home. Therefire no question it hath erer been accounted the, greatest wisdon for a nation to arm, that they may not be enforced to fight; which is better than not to arm, and to be sure to fight. Therefore his majesty in these doubtful times, hath not only commanded, that all the Land-Forces of the kingdon should be set in order and readioess, but to set to Sea a royal Fleet at his majesty's great charge, but with the assistance of the Maritime places of this kingdom.

The causes and eccasions and times of war, with the preparations and ordering of them, is proper to the king; and dutiful obedience in such things does best become the subject. Aud yet his majesty huth vouchanfed, even by his writ, to declare enough to satisfy all wellminded men, and to express the clearness of his princely heart, in uiming az the general good of his kingdom.
The Dominion of the Sea, as it han antient and undoubted Right of the crown of Eugland, so it is the best security of the land; for it is impregnable so long as the sea is well guarded: therefore, vut of all question, it is a thing of absolute necessity, that the guarding of the sea
be exactly looked'unto; and those'subjeits whose minds are most fixed upon the honout of their king and country, will with no patience enchure to think of it, that this dominion of the sea, which is so great un bonour, should be either lost or dimiaished. Besides, for safefy sake, the dominion of the sea is to be kept, and the seas guarded. The Wooden Walls are the best wells of this kingdon; nud if the riches and wealth of the kingdom be respected for that cause, the Dominion of the Sea ought to be respected : for else, what would become of our wool, lend, and the like, the prices whereof would fall to nothing if others should he mastets of the sens? There is a Case in the Book of Agvize, fol. 43, which putteth me in mind of certain men that went down into the country, and carried a report, that no wool should pafy over the sea that year; upon this wool fent.tn so low prices, that the men that carried the noport were questioned and fined. And now if a report alone, and thut a furbe bne too, wrotight such an effect upon the wools in England, think what would be wrought by a real loss of the dominion of the sea in all our commodities, if our trade should be at the commnnd of other princes and atates. Therefore, as his majeaty, out of lis great wisdom, hath found it expedient to set to sea tbat Fleet that is now upon the sea; so bis mujesty being engaged both in his honour, and the bonour of the kingdom, he findeth it to be of absolute pecessity, to strengthen this with a greater strength and more shipping the next year. Therefore, upon advice with bis council, he hath resolved, that he will forthwith send forth new Writs for the preparation of a greater Fleet the next year, and that hot only to the maritime towns, but to all the kingdom besides: For since that all the kingdom is interested both in the honour, safety and profit, it is just and reasonible that they should all put to their helping hands.

Now that which his majesty requireth from you, and doth command, is, That in your Charges at the Assizes, and in all places else, where opportunity is offered, you take an occinsion to let the people know-how careful and zealous his majesty is to preserve bis honout, and the honout of this kingdom, and the Dominion of the Sea : and to secure both sea atid land by a powerfal fleet, that foreigu natiogns may see, that England is both ready and abfe to leep itself, and all its rights. And you we to let them know hom just it is, that hhis indert ty should require this for the common defenice; and with what alacrity and choerfamess they ought, and are bound in duty, to contribute unfo it; that foreign nations may observe tha power and readiness of this Lingdom, which will fake them slow to contend with us either by sea or land, and thint will be the best way to cotifirm unto us a firm and sure peace.--Thir is the substance of aH that which I received'h commamdment. from his majesty; there wos many things else that concern thio public, 'yit. your jodgments are well versed in thein. Then are the particulars I tad contonkint to
mention unte you, es I mill trouble you no furthes, but leave thine to y ) ur grave conaderatioos.
The Sprrer of Themes Iord Coventry, Jard Keeper of the (ncit Sell of Lagh had, to all the Juders of Asole of I uninud, by commond fion thie sulg, in the stir Chamber, Feb 14,1630
Together with the Iung's Iterer ind Casc. touchang Slup-AToney, wed tha Jul,es Ophmons thictupon
My lorist the Judges, the Teinu bring now at ani ead, and the Arsils at h had, his mingesty hath odmmandid, 'Thatt ne cording to the curtoom in format times, bon now in this place pon shoald receive sonve Dile tums for tin erecou uon of Justice an all pirs of the himgdom whereto you le-oit 7 hms , as it miv lactly be, is a great comfort to hiss my jesty') ,ut jciss, to see his mayesty's cais herein, whith is it is $s$ : testumony of theri oun lappines, in seceven 2 justee fiom the hag hmsili, the Fount in of
 encouragemeut tn you "heru jou go jour ctaeuth, not oaly to be armed with youn own authonty by commission, but nath \}oui pianct's uatructions.
In the doung of fuetice, you will ind Jungs of reereral natuics and degrees In some places bfore you, Cominunicatise Jnstice beneth away, ns in that which concerns meenn et tuum In other, Distrbutive Justice, as iu premuam et panam, some crncern one and a few; others esacern the multude; others concern the hang aud all the kung's people. In some pless thugs ane hoogitit before you thit aie ad nocuinentum of this or that paiticular tow 1 ; some ad nocumentuan totuas regui Sonic thangs are brought bchire you that atc contro paccon regis, and othe re contra ceronum et dignitatera $\mathrm{rc}_{\mathrm{c}}$ (1), and in this variety of busincur, ws there if many of a heser sund lowet degree, jct not $t$ : be omitted, so jou haie 'Giariora Ieqs,' which jnu oa ht to pitth jour marh; 'here ' opol et fiers, illa non omitth.'
In that Justace which you are to do betecen party sand panly, his majesty doth requirc son, ub in all his Courts here, on on youn ( $r$ cuits to administer umpaitual Justire, nid repirss rexitinis and wianilung suits, not woithy the digmty of your onn persons, thd the conit whicie you sit, for those actions, is they empty the apleen on the one sult, sa they neter tall to empty the putuse on both ondea.

But besides the doing of josure belween muna and min, there is moch more capected from your lordshys; for the publ c brssiem of the counaty is of much more maporiance than the tral of a Ais Prius, and fiutug you should ethera them io and thent ture it is hus mijubty's command, Thit tumse orrices whach concern huevelf und the publoc, may be tumelv thought of, and not posted of foo the nd of the Anvize.

Now before all diung, the Alvancerment of Belogeon and Piety tomads God, the peace of
the Chorch, and the exccution of those Laws that tend to those ends, ought to have the turst place. As oft as I have had occiason to apeab to you here, I hase geldom spated to give you a (harge of the laws ngaust Hecusanits, und I must reterate it now fot at you consut thein not in the connts, there is lihely to be little iclormati n , or profit to has in wisty, and nhoosocses thes be that wall wot, be found in the ( burch, it beloreth von that you tahe order thay be found in the secherques

Iu the next plue, that you proceed roundly aganst capital and iclonions alun nikra, eoperally Robbcis on the lhehnays, who now madict in tuoops afici $n$ bigh hand As a good Juilge ought in cou't to she w screnty to those in the jul, nloo the ahbest wid uthicst men in the county on_ht t) do the a utuast ende wouts for the appuchon ig of those nifnilus an we hboad, that when you ue thenc or leere in the tam, the sesure in y pace ed in a good war, and jou be ta de a torur to mititutore is some of war pradiccosos hate is $11,1 /$ at soun raue be wit pit th, mild atos, will abound theretone nu noust th wascerc ind cons int iav of futce when the) atc lound,
 they now be 1 up themse fics, mid it it is with

 ut lestet in of to mald ete is to owition all reform to on, mifentue is therily dineoms ad

In the actepist, e co mint be hat of thoor Inws wha h conccriil I axuiv ind Id eness, the suppresson uI pumshan oit I ig alomits, the otdinaz nul cmp loyme of Itwies of (vitection, the soppicoult of Ale hotes and Lip-plopg-hou c- and buding , ut of Appicnices If the eweic a ll mid of inlvoberisely, they is ula bise many I, belis that dic mesen ibly at the pillops, sud 4 a 01 a malntinde of (horin he, that petal tic commones id h, and lewen the number, if iliews mif iolbers, and
 ispecent irt of the cretut on of thacelins Aud the eneth me ocra on to fut y um mind
 jesty in the ycar 1030 , whercin at fint ti ere tas a dacctiongivcot $n$ in Account to be in ide by the shenft and justaces of tle pe ce th. same was orderly hrpt in disus plices, in others mot so well It was alten wade advied by sourselves, that the wdy of Arcount should be r ianged, and that you thould receive it at the Assizes, and present it to the CouncalBoand. yet it is iny pait to tell you, thit it hath not so sppensed br the account that is come to the ( nunci--Yai le, and it is expected a betfet be given bye ou the acxt I erin
Now in ie-pect the pullic scivice dependeth mach apon the Justices of the prace in the cinan.y, it will be ner essaly that jou cast your eye upon them, that they give due attendat ce at the Assizes it is their duty to do $n$, and yours to mifurce it upon theas. And Asbia lasteth but a te o dnys, but the fustiuctions ihat they mady reccise from you in that shoit time,
may be of great use for the county for the whole year; Also that you examine whether they give due attenidance at the Quarter-Sessions, Although there is an express article in their Outh that they should give it; I hear unany neglect it; Therefore it is a thing very fiting, and well worthy your labour, that at the beginaing of every Assize es you trust not to the clerk of the peace his Informution, but that yourselves do cast an eye upon bis Book, and command him to return the names of such Justices of peace as you find by his Book were alsent at the Quarter Sessions. Fit it will be that you let them know, that to prefer a riding, or bowling, or huntiuk-match, before their attendance at $Q$ uarter-Sessions, is little less 'han per: jury; and if your admonitisu will not sene the turn, a remedy shall be taken. In the third place, it is necessary firr you to inquire how they attend the monthly meetings, or othct times of public service; for this I am sure of, they are all witlin one commission, and hare the same oath, nod the saue att-ndance is inposed upon all; and why the greatest number exempt themselves, and lease the public service upon a few, 1 know not; but if 1 may know the particular men (of which I hope I shall henectioward by your lordslips) I shall sid them tut of Commission, and put others in their places.

My Lurils, I have but one particular more, sud that is of great ishportance; whercof by special direction and command from his majesty, I am to spenk untes you at this time. All of you are the witnesses of his majesty"s proceedings, thwugh the candour and clearness of his own beart eaceedeth your testunouy, and your testimony is uot onfy fit to be declared in this place, but in all tie places of this realm. His majesty hath now the thred time sent forth Writs "to require the Aid of has snlyects for the guarding of the domuntot of the Sea, and safety of che kingdom. This hß majesty did upon great deliberation antd advice, and iepon important and weighty reasons. "to the first year when the Writs were dirceted to the ports and maritime places, they received lattle or no opposition; but in the second year, when chey went genesally throughout the kiugdoun, though by some well obeyed) hase been refused by some, not only in some Inland counties, but in some of the Maritime places; and actions have heen brought against some that have been imploged in the execution of these writs. I suppose that no man will expect that Arcana Regni, the private reasons ofea prince, should either upon this or other occasions be made public; bat so manay reasons as were fit to be opened, were formerly declared by me in this place to you the Judges of this realra.
The First was, That the whole kingdom is concerntd in puint of sufety; admúting there were no other counsel or attempt againat us, but only to iuverrupt us in the Domiujon of the

[^35]Seas, our mopt secure and unfe, dafencos, bettere. either that cistles or forra; ; which if it be coomis manded by others, it lays us open to mach. peril and dapger.

Secondly, The whole kingdom'is concerned in point of honour: for it ts one of the poost ancient and honourable rights of the crowa of England, eren the Dominion of the Sea. And. all records do shew, how the kings and people of Fingland have ever been careful that this botoour should never perish: and cortainly the whole kingdom is concerued in point'of trade and profit; for the traffic does not only eurich the maritime parts, but the iuland-towns, and if trading fail, the inland places will flnd it is the fall of the prices of wool, lead, and other staple commodities. This experietce sbeweth daly, when upon every stop of the teat of cloth, there come such outcries by the wenver; the fuller, the spiuner, and wool-growers themth kelies; tad the authority of the lan slimetwent: the same: 43, in the Boak of Assize; which your lordships know hetter than 1 , it nppeery Hat certain men went into the country, and cast out a fame, thint for that year no woel shauld be tramsported beyond the seas; pres sently upon thisibe price of wool fell, and thiose men were called in question, aud were adjudgt ed in a fine for it. Now if a rumour nid so unuch abate the trade of the heart of the Kinge dom, what would the loss of the domimion of the sea do, which exposeth us, and all otrr trave, to the meicy of our neighbotrs? There fore since the whole kingdom is concerned it point of honour, safety, and peufit, what reasort is there lout that all shouild contribute to the maiatennnce of it? This, or to the lite effect, I did'formeriy declare to you the judges by his majesty's command; and his majerty received satisfaction, in that you made a fuil declaration thereof in your circuis: and this I may say, for the most part, the subjects have shewed themselves most dutiful and obedieat in thin service of his majesty : and this year the sump imposed upon the county of York leing 12,0001 . is brought in already ty the sheriff, and so is most part of Lancashire, and other slíres; but when his majesty heard of sune refusals, though he had cause to be sensible of it, yet he wat far from being transported with pussion, but thought good to resort to the Advice of you hid Judges, who are sworn to give him faithtial and true counsel in thist which pertaineth to the law; and this his majesty, as well for thedired. tion of his own course, os for the satisfiction of bis subjects, required you to delivet your Opinions herein, to which you returned an Answin under your hands. And beeause the command which you received froin the king, is expressed in a princely Letier under his otwu signature, I shell not take upon me to repeat it, you shall hear it read.

Whigh being delivered by my Lord-Keepou to one of the Clerks in Court; was read' it: hac verba:

The King's Lettin th tue Jvdoes.:
"C. $\boldsymbol{R}$. Trusty and well-beloved, we greet

## 

*you whe Thiag invo our princely considera-
'tion, that the hooour and safety of this oar
vmalm of England, the preservation whereof
6 is only intrusted to our care, was, and is now

- more nearly concerned than in former times,
* as well by divers counsels and attempts to
${ }^{4}$ take from us the Dominion of the Sca, of
* which we are sole lord aud rightfal owner:
"the loss whereof would be of groute-t dan er
6 and peril to this kingdom, and other ou
dominiots: We, for avoiding these and the
- like dangers, well weigho , ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ with ourselves,
- that where the good and safety of the hingdom
- in general is concerncd, and the whole king-
- dom in danger, there the Charge and Defence
* ought to be borne by all the realm in gencral
- did, for prevention of so public a mischief,
- resolve with ourselves to lave a Royal Navy
" provided, that might be of force and power,
- with Alinighty God's blessing and assistance,

6 to protect and defend this our realm and our
'subjects therein, from all such perils and dan-
' gers: and for that purpose we issited forth
*Writs under our great seal of England, and

* difected to all our sheriffs of all our several
${ }^{4}$ counties of Eagland and Wales, coinmanding
'thereby all opr said subjects, in every city,
"town and vilinge, to provide such a number of
- Ships, well-furnished, as might serve for this
' oúr royal purpose; und uhich might be done
' with the greatest equality that could be.
'In perfornauce whereof, though generally
* throughout all the counties of this our realm,
${ }^{1}$ we have found its our subjects great chearful-
' ness and alacrity, which we graciously inter-
${ }^{6}$ pret as a testimony, as well of their datifal
- affections unto us, and to our service, as the
* respect they hare to the public, which well

6 becouneth every good subject; nevertheless
4 finding that some few, haply out of ignorance
4 whit the laws and customs of this our realn
${ }^{4}$ are, or out of a desire to be eased and freed
c in their particulars, (how general soever the

- Charge ought to be) have not yet paid and
${ }^{6}$ contributed the several Rates and Assessments
* that were set upon them, and foreseeing, in
' our princely wisdom, that from hence divers
6 suita and actions are not unlikely to be com-
- menced and proseccuted in our several courts
sat Westminster: We, desirons to a roid such in-
* conveniences, and ous of our princely love and
* affection to all oursubjects, being willing to pre-
- veht such errors as any of our loving subjects
${ }^{4}$ may happen to run into, have thought fit in a.
4 crae of this nature to advise with our Junges,
"who we doubt not are all well sterdied and in-
- formed in the right of our sovereignty. And
conceuse the Trials in our several courta, by the
s.formality in pleading, will require a long pro-
tinction, we have thought it expedient, by
- N10ie our Letter directed to you nll, to requife
c your.Judgnents in the case, as it is set down
*ap, the ineloned Paper, which will not only
gain tinnay hok slao be of more authority 'to
- over-rule any prejudicate opinions of others in
' the 等做.
- Given thadir our signet-at-our court at
"Whitehall, the 2nd day of February, in. the ' 18th year of our reign, 1686.".
This being thus read, the Lord Keeper com.. manded the Cuse inclosed to be read, being us followeth :
©C. R. When the good and safety of the kingdoun in generai is conterned, and the whale kingdom is in danger; Whether may not the king, by Writ under the Great Seal of England, command nll the subjects of this hingdom, at their charge, to provide and furnusti such nuinlier of Slups, with men, victunds and munition, and fur such ume as he shall thask fit, for the defence and safeguard of the kingdoin froun such danger and peril ; and by law compel the doing thereof, in case of refusal or refractormess? And whether, in such n crse, is not the ling sole judge, buth of the 'dnager, and when and how the sume is to be 'prevented and avoided.'


## The Jopors' Answrn.

" May it please your most excellent majesty ; "We have, according to yuur majesty's com${ }^{6}$ mand, every man by hioself, and all of us 'together, taken into considemtion the Case ' and Question, signed by your majesty, and ' inclosed in your royal letter: and we are of ' Opinion, That when the good and safety of ' the hingdom in general is concerned, and the * whole kiogdom a danger, your majesty may, ' by Writ, onder the Gryat Sual of England, 'command all the -ubjects of this your king' dom, at their charge, to provide and furnish * such number of Ships, with ${ }^{6}$ men, munition, ${ }^{6}$ and rictuals, and fyr such time as your ma'jesty shalt thmk fit, for the defence and safeguard of the kingitom from such danger and peril: and that by law your majesty may "compel the doing thereof, iu casc of refusal or ' refractoriness. And we are also of opinion; 'That in such case, your majesty is the sole "judge, both of the danger, and when and how the same is to be prevented and avoided.
'Jo. Brampston, Ju. Finch, Huiup. Davenport, 'Jo. Denham, Rich. Hutton, W. Jones, Geo.
${ }^{\text {'Crooke, Tho: Trevor, Geo. Vermon, Fra. }}$ 'Crawley, llob. Berkley, Fra. Weston.' $\dagger$

- For an explanation of this transaction, see the Crse of sir John Finçh, lord Fordwich, 1640, 16 Cer. 1.
$i$ The influence and effect of this stated Opinion may be delivered in these words of he tord Clarendon. " It is notorisusly known, tat pressure was boripwith much inore cheerfulbess before the Judgonent for the king, than ever it was afterward; men before pleaving hemselves with doing somewhat for the king's service, ts a testimony of their affection, which they weree not bound to do. Bat when they beard this deinsaded in a court of law as a flight, sod found it by sworn Jsigges of the law adjudged so, upon such groumds and reasons as every stander-by was able to awear was not law, and so had lost the pleasure and delight of

The said Case, with the Judges' Opinions thereunto, (furmerly in private delivered to his majesty) being thus publicly made known by my Lord Keeper, who, withal, caused their several Names to he read, as they were in order subscribed; (all the Judges being present save only Judge Crouke) the Lord Keeper spake as followeth:

My lords; This being the uniform Resolution of all the Judges of England, with one voice, and set under their own hands; I say, this being so resolved, as they do here express upon every man's particular studying the Case, und upon a general conference amongst themselves, it is of very great nuthority: for the very lives and lands of the bing's Subjects are to be determined by these reverend Judges; much more a charge of this nature, which God knows cannot be burdensome to any, but is of singu** lar use and cunsequence, and tor the safety of the whole kingdom. The cominand from his mnjesty is, that I should publish this your Opinion in this place, and giveorder, That it should be entered in this Court, in tir High Court of Chancery, and in the courts of King's-Bench, Common-Plens, and Exchequer; for this is a thing not fir to be kept in a corner: and his further command is, that you the Judges do declare and publish this general Resolution of all the Judges of England, through all parts of the kingdom, that all men may take notice thereof, and that those his subjects which have been in an error, may inform themselves, orbe reformed. You have great cause to declare it with joy, and yot can hardly do it with honour enough to the king, that in so high a point of his sovereignty, be hath been pleased to descend, and to vommunicare with you hus Jadges; which sheweth, that justice and sovereigaty, in his majesty, do kiss each other. His furt her plensure is, That you let all know it is not his purpose ly this resolution to stop, or check, the actions or suits which any have brought, or shall bring, concerning this; for it is his majesty's command, that all such as proceed in any action about the same bave equal and neet justice, and that they lie noffered to proceed in course of Inw, so as you call the king's learned
leing kind and dutifal to the king; and inatead of giving werę required to pay; and by a logic that left no manbany thing which be might call his own: they no more logked apon it as the Case of one man, bit the Case of the kingdom; nor as arrImposition laid upon thera hy the king, but by the Judges, which they theoght themselves bound in coascience, to the public justice, not to submit to. The darange and mischief caunot be expreseed, that che crown and. state suntained by the deserved reproach and infamy tbat attended the Judget by being made use of in this and like arts of power, there being no "possibility to preverve the digpity, reveronce ead entimation of the laws themenselves, but by the intogrity and iaroosony of the Judges"
coursel unto their procsedings, that they maty: not be surprized.

Notw, my lords, I have litle more to say, but this I am sure of, that if any coutrary opiuion shall get remain amongst men, it must proceed from those that are sons of the law, (Falices cssent artes, bec.) and you the judges of the realm have been accounted the fathers of the law ; then, in good faith, it will ill become the son to diapate against the finther. Ilaving thus delivered unto you whut I received in command from his majosty, at his majesty doth, so do I, leave it to your judzmento.

## The King versuz John IIampden in the Case of Ship-Money.

Trin. 13 Car. I. in Seace.
By Writ. Of the Term of the Holy Trinity, in the 13th year of king Charles.
" Memorandum; that the Writ* of our lord 'the kiug under the seal of the Exchequer, by 'the cunsent of the barons here, isoued out in 'these words:
' $s \mathrm{~s}$. Charies by the grace of God, of Eng' land, Scotland, Frarce, and, Ireland, kiog, - Defender of the Fuitb, \&cc. To the sberiff of 'Bucks preeting. Whereas several and divers 'sums of money, specified in the Schedsle to ${ }^{\circ}$ ' this Writ aanexed, by virtue of our Writ ' under our senl of England, bearing date the '4th day of August in the 11th year of oup ' reigo, were aswessed and charged upon seve'ral persons in the said Schedule named, for ' and towsinta the providing of a Ship of War 'together with the rigging and other thiogs 'thereanto belonging, in the said Writ particu' larly mentioned; which said several moma of - moiney being so assessed and charged, and un' paid and not satisfied, the Names of the said ' several persons, tog ther with the several ' soms of money charged upon them, were cer' ufied into the Court of our Chancery by aur - Writ of Certiorari, bearing date the 9th day ' of March in the 12 th year of oar reign, inso' ing noiler our great seal aforesadd : and by ' our Writ of Mittimus under the said seal, \{ bearing date the sth day of the monih of May ' instant, were sent inato our Exchequer for - further process thereuponto be had, as by the ' tenor of the said Writ, bemring date the sth 'day of August in the 1ith year of oar rivion, : aad by the Writ of Certiarari, and Cerb' cates thereupen made, sent into awe sidid $\mathbf{Z x}$ -- cbequer, and there of meond, remeiriag in - the cuatody of our remembrumeer, monv fally 'dech eppear: We eommand yot, thet you do ( not, by reason of ang liberty, omit eaturing 'thereupoee ; nind bygood and lawfit mon of ' your bailiwick," mike known to the eevenil 'Tprsone aforoside, cemed sod speoifed in the 4 said Gelvolule, that theg appear before tho "Basons of the Brcheqier of' Wemminatior as
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rethe Octave of the Holy Trinity ensuing ; to
atew and propose, if they have or knows any

- Whing to say for themselver, why they ought
"not to be charged with she aforcsaid several
rSams of Money upon them nssessed in manner
a and form aforessid, and not paid, in the said
Schedule specified, and to sutisfy the same;
- and further, to do and receive in the premisses,
${ }^{4}$ what our Court shall then there think fit tu
' be ordered; and there bring then this Writ,
- and the hames of those by whom you have so
c made known unto them. Witness Hux-
${ }^{\prime}$ phrary Davenpurt, knt. at Westuninter, the
- 2gd day of Mny, in the 1Sth year of our reign.
- By the Roll.
'Memorandum of the sarne year of the king
* in the Record Roll of this Easter, and by the
© barou Fenshaw, and the tenor of the aforessid
- Schedule in the aforesaid Writ mentioned as
- toucling John Hampden, followeth in these
- words, viz. A Schedule of the Names of cer-
- tain persons in the county of Bucks, and of
- certain Sums of Money upou them useessed, a and charged for and towards the providing of
${ }^{4}$ a Ship of War, together with the rigeing and sother things thereunta belonging; by the vir-
- tue of a certain writ under the great seal of
- Eogland, bearing date the Ath chay of Ausust 4 in the 11th year of Claries nur now lurd the
© king, and certified into the court of Chancery
- of our said lord the king to he unpaid, by vir-
- tue of a Writ of C'ertiorari, issuug out under
sthe seal uforessid, bearing date the 0 th of
- March in the 12th year of his reign; and by
* Writ of Mittimus under the said seal sent into
- the Exchequer of our said now lord the king,
- for further process thereapon to be mpde, as c by the tenor of the aforessid Writ, bearing - dale the sth day of August in the uhovesaid - 1tth year of our saif nowy lord the king, and Chy the Writ of Certiorari, and the Certhicutes - thereapon made sent into the snid Eschequer, - and there-of record remaiaing in the custody - of the remembrancer of our lord the king, - more fuily doth appear : ss. Stoake Mand-- vile, as. J. Haunpden esq. 1l. At which day - Anthony Cbester, bart. sheriff of the county © aforesaid, as touching the aforessid John
- Hampden ${ }_{i}$ returned, that he by Nicholas Aris, - Robert Alexander, Richard Harrison, and
- Williuna Hayborne, good and lawfal men of c his bailiwick, made known to the aforesaid - John Hampden amongst other things, that he
' appear befoie the barons within written, at
‘ the day and place within contained; to shem
© 4 and propose, if he hath or kooweth of any
4 thing to say for himself, why he ought not to
${ }^{2}$ - be charged with the aforesaid sum upoa him
- anseevec, and not paid, in the maid schiedole
- Topecified, and to satisfy the same, an it is fur-

Acolct from the day of the Holy Trioity, apon
Anrey whes the aforestid Joho Happden in
- thie Monvint Schedale named, bere cometh

- Wiftor Scire Tacias eforesaid, the Retim of
${ }^{4}$ the stanic, and the Schodule anto the same no-
nexed, and they are read unto him. He like' wise prayeth Oyer of the aforesaid Writ, 'bearing date the 4 th day of August in the 11th ' year of Charles our said now lord the king in 'the Writ of Scire Facias mentioned, atd it is ' read unto him in these words.
${ }^{6} \mathrm{~s}$. Charles by the grace of God, of Eng' land, Scotland, France and Feland, king, De'fender of the Faith, \&c. 'To the sheriff of ' our county of Bucks, the bailiff and burgesses ' of the borough and parish of Buckingham, 'the mayor, bailiff aud burgesses of the borough ' of Chipping Wiccombe alias Wiccombe, and 'the grod men in the suid boroughs, parish, ' and their said members ; and in the towns of ' Agmondesbam, Wendover, and Grent Mar'lowe, and in aff other boraughs, villages, ' hamlets, and other places in the said connty 'of Bucks, greeting. Because we are given to 'undertand, that certsin thieves, pirates, and 'sell-robbers, as well Turks, en.emies of Chris' tianity, as others confederated togetlicr, wick' edly take awny and despoil the ships, goods, 'and merchandizes, not anly of our subjects, ' but also of the suljects of our allies upon the 'sea, which of old used to be defended by the 'English nation; and at their pleasure have ' carried awoy the men therein, enslaving them ' in a most wretcl.ed captivity: And whereas ' we see them daily preparing Shipping further ' $w$ annoy our sabjects, and to ougripve tho ' kingdom, unless a more speedy remedy be ap'plied, and their endeavours more vigorously obriated: Considering also the dangers which ' every where in these times of war hang over us; so that it behoves us anh our sabjects to ' basten the Defence nf the sea and kingdom ' with all possible expedition: We being will' ing by the belp of God, in the highest degree 'to provide for the Defence of the kiogdom, 'the Protection of the sea, the security of our (sabjects, the safe conyoy of shipping and mer'chandize coming to our kingdom of Eugland, and going from the said kingion to foreign parts: Aud since we and our progenitors, kings of England, have hitherta been Lords 'of the Sea aforcsaid; and it would in the - highest manner concers us, if this royal bo' nour should in our days be lost, or any ways 'diminished; since also this burden of De'fence which toucbes all, ought to be borrib by ' all, as hath been' accustopiel to be dope by 'the lain sad custom of che kingdom of Eng-- land: We firmly enioin and command you 'the aforesaid sberiff, bnilifts, burgeses, mayor, 'good mén, and all otbers wilomsoever above mentioned, in the boroughs, towns, villages, © hamlets and places aforeseid, and their mem${ }^{4}$ bers, in the faith and wlieginoce wheraby goa ' are boand to us, and as you love us and our ' honour, sas also ander the forfeiture of all - things ybod cash possibly forfeit to is, that you ' cause to be fitted out one alhip of war of the ' burden of 450 tons, with ment, as well akiful 'officen as able and experienced mariners; a 'thundred and fourucore at least; as also with ' a sufficieot quantity of camnon, muakets, gun-
" pawaler, pikes and spears, and other arms ne-
- ceasary fur war, with double tackling; as
- alsa with competent victuals for so unany
- then, until the 1st day of March now next en-
'suing; and from thence for 26 weeks at yeur
* costs, as well in victuals, as the men's wages,
'and other things necessary for war by that
time, on account of defending the sea at our
'command in company with the admiral; to
- whoun we shall before the aforesaid 1st day of
- March, nommit the custody of the sea, to be
' and remain where he on our bohalf shall ap-
' point; and that you cause the same to be
- brgught into the port of Portsmouth before
'the said 1st day of March, so that they may
' be there that day at farthest; thence to pro-
* ceed with our ships, ond the shipy of other
- loyal subjects for the Protection of the Sea,
the Defence of you and yours, to repel and
* vanquish all those whosoever they are, that
" endeavour to molest and annoy on the sea
6 our merchants and other loyal subjects afore-
${ }^{4}$ said, coming into our dominions on acconat
* of tralfic, or returning thence to their own
"country. We hgre also appointed you the
'sheriff of our county of Bucks, the bailiff and
* mayor of the boroughs and parish nforesaid,
' or any two or more of you, of whom our will
6 is, that yon the aforesaid sheriff of our county
* of Bucks lee one, within 30 days after the re-
"ceipt of this Writ, to assess as much of the
*Charges aforesaid upon the aforesaid boroughs
* of Buckinghars and Chipping Wiccombe alias
* Wiccombe with their members, ns ought seve-
"rally to be laid on or assessel. And if such
${ }^{4}$ Assessment vithin the aforesaid 30 days shall
' not happen ta be muile by yon, two or more
" of you; then we have appointed you the afore-
said sheilf of our county of Bucks, to make
'such asscssment upon the aforesaid boroughs,
' and parish, and members, as you shall sed
"reasonable to be done. . And our will is, that
${ }^{6}$ uf your so doing, yot the uforesaid sheriff of
*Bucks wholly certify under your, seal the
${ }^{4}$ muyor and baitif's taforesaid. We have also
'appointed you the aforesaid hailiff of the bod
'rough and parinh of Buckingbaus, to assesy
every man in the said bonough and parish,
' and in the members thereof, and the land-
-teuants in the same, not baving theskip afore-
' suid, or any share thereof, or not serving
- therein, to contrihute tw the expences about
"provision of the necessary prerasses; and to
"assess and lay upan the aloresaid borough and
"parish with' the members théreof, 59 as afore-"
- said, that is ta say, every one of them nccord-

4ing to their estate, goods, and employment,
and the portions on thern askessed, by dir-
' tresses, or ather due ways and meaps to levy,

- and collectors in that behalf to uouinate and
'appoint : and, all those whom you shall find
rebellious and refactory in the, premises to
6 imprison, there to remain till for their deli-
- very we shal further think fit to direct. We
- have algo appainted you the aforespid mayor
* of the borough of Chipping Wiccombe alisa
© Wiccoube, ta nssem evary man in the same vot. III.
© borough, and in the raembers therenf, and, thow IJand-tenants in the same, not haviug the shis 'aforesaid, or any share thereaf, or not serv${ }^{4}$ ing therein, to enntribute 10 the expepces ${ }^{6}$ about provision of the negessary pieruises; atid ' to assess and lay upon the aloresaid borough ' with the members therenf, No as aforesaid, that s is to say, every one of them according to their 'estate aud goods, or employment, and the ${ }^{\text {i }}$ (partions on them nsstessed, by dintresses, or ${ }^{6}$ other due ways and means to lery, and col-- lectors in that behalf to nominate and nppoint; ' and all those whom you shall find reberilious 'and refractory in the premises to imprison, ' there to remain till for their delivery' we shnil ${ }^{4}$ further think fit to order and direct. And ${ }^{\prime}$ moreover, wo have appointed you the afore'said sheriff of our county of Bucks tos assess "every man in the aforcsald towns of Agmon' desham, Wendover, and Great Muriow, and 4 in the members thereof, and in all the other 'towns, villages, boroughs, liamlets, and other 'places in the aforesaid county of Bucks, nad ${ }^{6}$ the land-tenants in the same, not haring the 'ship nforesaid, or any share thercof, or not 'serving in the same, to contribnte to the ex'pences about the provision of the necessary 'premisses, sud to assess and lay upon the said 'towns, villages, burroughs, haulets and places, ${ }^{4}$ with the members thereof, so as aforesaid, 'that is tu say, wrery one of them according to ${ }^{6}$ their estate and goods, or canployment; and the portions on theun ansessed by distresses or ' otber due ways and means to lery, and col-- lectors in that belualf, to nominate nnd ap"point; eand all those whom you slenll find re, ${ }^{6}$ belliuus and relractory in the premis ses to in"prison, there to remain till for their delivery 6 we shall further think fit to order apd direct. ' And fithther, we command you all, that you. t diligently npply yourselves to the premisses, 'and effectually do nad execute the sume, , a you shall answer the contrary at your peril. - But our will and pleasure is, not that, under ' colour of our mandate aforessid, you cause to ' bo levied from the said persons more than " shall suffice for the necessary expences of the ${ }^{6}$ premisses; or that any one who shall levy, any ${ }^{6}$ money of the contributors to the cipmrges ${ }^{4}$ aforessid, detain the safne or any part thereof ' in his onra possession, or presuive to appro${ }^{\text {t }}$ priate it to other uses under any pretence or ' colpur whatsoever. It being our will, that if " moreshall be collocted than is sufficient, that. t the same be paid back again to those who ishall hare so paid the same, according to every ' mave's respective shere and proportion. . Wit-, t ness myself at Westminster the sth day of ' August in the itth year of-our reign.
- Ile prayeth also Oyer of the aforesaid writ © Scire faciat oboye meptioped, and it js in like ${ }^{4}$ roanuer read unto him.
${ }^{1}$ st Charles by the grape of God, of Epp iJand, Scotlond, Erance and Ireland, king dom - Fender of the faith, \&e. Ta those who were-alio"riff of our county of Butks letween tion the 'day of Auguts, iu the 11th year of gier miems)


## Sili] STATE TiLilais, 13 Chamles I. 1637.-The King againt Join Hanipden, eqq. [85\%

*and the 1st day of Mareh then next ensuing, 'tos those who were bailiffs of the burrpugh and
Eptaruh of Buckingham, and the mayor and

- bailifisi of the turrough of Chipping Wic-
- eimbe alas Wiecombe, in the said county of
- Backs, within the time aloresaid, greeting.
- Wherens by our Writ made under our yreat
- seal of Eugland, be aring date the aloress.id 4th
${ }^{6}$ day of August is the 11th year abovesaid, for
'the defence of the king dom , the protection of
* the sean, the security of our subjects, and the
'safe convoy of the ships and merchandize
' cuming th our kiagdoun and passing thence to
- fort ign purts; we commanded the sheriff of
${ }^{4}$ our county of Bachs, the hailuf of the bur-
' rough and parish ol Buckonghan, as ulso the
6 burgesses of the sad burroukh, the mayor and
- ba.liffs of Chapping Wiccombe alias Wic-
'combe, as also the burgesses of the sad bur-
' rough, nad the good men in the sald burroughs
' and parish, and in the said burroughs and pa-
${ }^{4}$ risl. and members of the same, and in the
' towns of Agmondesham, Wendover, and
'Great Marlow, and in all oteier places, towns,
4 barroughs, villages, tmailets, and othar plares
- in the said cuanty of Burks, that you should
' cause to be fitted out one ship of war of the
- burden of 450 tuns, with men, ns well skilful

Cofficers an able and experienced mariners,

- 180 at least, as uloo with a suthicienr quautity
' of cannons, miskets, pikes and sperss, gun-
' powder, and other arms necessary for war,
- with double tackling, as alsa with cumpetent
' victualy for so many men, at a carrain day in
'the said writ coutaned, and from thence for
. 96 weeks at the costy of the nien and land-
${ }^{\prime}$ tenants of the burroughs, pari-b, towns, vil-
${ }^{4}$ lages, hamiets, and other places above men-
' tioned in the said conity of Bucks, as well in
' victual?, as the men's waree, and other things
' necessary for anr, by that time, for defending
' the sea ; and that you should cause the sume
' to be brought into the port of Puitsinusth
*"eithin the time in the sair writ limited. And
'whereas by the said Writ, we appointed the
"Sheriff of our county of Bucks aforrsaid, the
- bailiff of the burrough and parish of Bucking-
- ham aforesaid, and the mayor of the hurrough
d of Chipping-Wiccombe alias Wiceombe afore-
'said, or nny two or inore of them, of whom
' nur will was that the Sheriff of our suid county
' of Bucks should be one, to nssess as much of
- the costs aforessid, upon the aforesaid bur-
\{ rough and parish of Buckinghan, and upon
4 the a:ores:id burrough of Chipping-Wiccombe
© alias Wicconte with their members as ought
fseverilly to be laid on, or assessed. And
- whereas we nppointed the bailiff of the bur-
${ }^{6}$ rough and parsh of Buckingham, and the
- imatyor of the burrough of Cbipping-Wiecombe
"nalius Wiccombe, severally and respectively to
${ }^{6}$ asteost every man in the snid several boroughos
*-innt parub, and the metibers pereof, apd the
- Zand-tuhants in the samet, not Gaving the ship
- aforeatid or any share thereof, or not serving
${ }^{6}$ - Blerem, to contibibute to the experices about
- tite provition of the necessary premisses: And
${ }^{\text {E }}$ upon the aforesaid borough and parish of - Buckinghan, and tuon the aforesaid borough 'of Chipping-Wiccomte alias Wiciombe, so ' as aforesuid, then to be severally and dis' tinctly laid, that is to say, every one of them ${ }^{6}$ accordiag to their estate and goods, or em'ployment, and the portions upon them assess' ed by distresses, or other due ways and means ' to levy, and collectors in that hehalf to nomi' nate and appont, in manuer and form us in 'the said writ was commanded. And, whereas by ' our said writ we inoreover appointed the she' ritf of our said county of Bucks to assess ec ery ' man in the aforesaid towns of Agimundesham, ' Wendover, Great Marlow, and in the mem' bers thereof, and in all the other towns, vil' lages, burouzhs, haraiets, and uther places in ' the aforesaid county of Bucks, and the land' tenants in the same, to contribute to the ex' peutes about the provision of the necessary "premisses, and the other thinge to do and ex'execute in manner and form as by the said 'writ was conumuaded. And beciuse some ' men and land-tenants in the snid county, ho'roughs, parish, towns, villages, hamlets, and ' other places, by several tuxations, and sums of ' money, ly you upon them rcspecticely laid and ' assesser towards the coatribution of the burden - aforesanl, according to the exigency of the writ. ' aforesaid, have not yet paid and satished the ' snmee, hut have refused, and yet gaiasay to pay 'the same, as we are informed. And whereas ' our late will was to be certified as well of the ' names of the men and land tenaute who had 'been assessed towards the coutnbution of the ' expences abuvesaid, and had vont paid the ' muncy so nssesned, as also of the several por'tions or sums of money laid upon them. You ' nevertheless in contempt of us, have sent no' thing upon the return of vor writ hanted. We ' therefore cominnind you, the late sheriff of our 'county of Bucks, as we herecufure hase com' manded you, that you ccruty to us, as well of ' the names of the men, and land fenmus, in the ' aforesaid county, by virub of our stid writ by ' you respectively asscossed, as the sums of tno' ney on them su assessed, which they have not 'yet paid, nor saristied, but refuse to pay the 'same; as also of the sevcral purtions and sums ' of money, by you the aforcsaid late sheriff of ' our county of Bucks upon thetn as eessed, re'duced into writing, with all thngs touching 'the sarne, into our Chancery', distinctly ind ' openly under your seals, without delny, or at 'farthest before the 26th'day of April sext en'suiug, wheresuever you stall thea be, together '. with this writ. And we command you the ' aforesaid late bailiff of the borough and parish) ' of Buckingham, and the mayor of the borongh ' of Chipping Wiecombe, ulias Wiccombe, as ' we heretofore have commanded you, that you 'severally certify us, as nell of the numes of the aforesaid men and land tenants in the borough and parilh of Buckinghame and borough (ef Clippsing Wiccombe alias Wiccanibe, by ' virtoe of our suid writ first above mentioned by joe-respectively ansessed, as the sums of
- money on them so assessed, which they bavi
- not yet paid, nor satisfied, but refuse to pa:
* the same; as also of the several purtions ani
*sums of money by yun upon them respect
- ively masessed, reduced in like manner int
- writiog, with all things touching the same
${ }^{4}$ jut) our Chancery nforesaid, distinctly and
' opeuly without delay, or at farthest before
- the 26th day of April next ensuing, where-
'soever you shall then be, together with this
${ }^{6}$ writ. Witness myself at Westminster, the
6 9th day of March, in the 12th year of our
' reign. Exre. At which day, Peter Temple
' and Ileneage Proby returned the aforesaid
' writ, by iudorsement thas, ss. The execation
- of this Writ appears in certain Schedules $t$
'this Writ anuexei. The senor of which Sche-
* Jules tis to the aforesand John Hampden re-
"turned by the aforesuid Peter Temple follows
"in these words. ss. Bucks. ss. I Peter Temple
${ }^{4}$ knight and baronct, who was sheriff of th.
* county of Bucks, that is to say, between th.
" Ath day of August, in the 11th year of the
* reign of our lord Charles now king of England,
${ }^{6}$ \&c. and the 22od day of February then next
'tollowing; on which day I went out of my
"othice of sheriti' of the county of Bucks afore-
'said; by vartue of the said Writ of our lord
${ }^{6}$ the king to this Schedule annexed, do ceriity
${ }^{6}$ unto our said lord the isng mion his Chancery,
*that by virtue, und according to the exigency
${ }^{6}$ of the writ of our sand lord the king issued out
' of his Cbancery, and there inrolled of record,
${ }^{4}$ und, ainougst others, directed to the sheriff of
'the sad county of Bucks, bearing date the
4 4th day of Augast, in the 11 th year aforesaid;
- have assessed upon several men and land te-
' nants of the county of Buchs atoresaid, whose
${ }^{6}$ naiges are under-written, the several portions
' and sums of money at their particulars placed
${ }^{4}$ below, to contribute to the expences nbout
"the providing of the ship in the said writ men-
"tioned; which said"portions and sums of mo-
"ney, or any "parcel thereof, before my going
' out of my oince of'sheriff of the county afire-
* said, the suid men and land tenants, or any of
'then whuse names are under-written, have
' not paid, but have refused to pay the same to
'my hands, or the hands of the collector by me
' in that behalf appointed, by virtue of the writ
${ }^{6}$ Iast mentioned, viz. Stoake Mandiville, ss. Mr.
*John Hampden, esq. 1 1 . And the tenure of
6 the other Schedula by the aforesaid Heneage
- Proby in like manner returned, and to the
${ }^{6}$ said Writ annexed nho, follows in these words:
${ }^{4}$ as. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ There is to be accompted upop by the at-
© sessors, high congtables, petty constables
's within the asid county in general, which can-
6 ' not be acoompted for by sir Peter Temple,
" being as it is conceived short. $4 l^{\text {b }}$ "
' 1 Heneage Proby, esq. who was sherff of ' the county of Bucks between therg2nd day of
${ }^{2}$ February, in the 1ith year of the reign of opr
6 lord Charles now king of Eagland, \&cc. and
- from the said day and year, to the first day of
'March then next following; by virtue of the.
* Writ of our said lord the king to this sopedale

6 annexed, do certify untipur said lard tho kige ${ }^{6}$ into , is Chancery, chat the men aud tand te' uants of the county of Bucks aforesaid, or any ' one of them whose names are expresved in cer-
' tain schedules to this Writ annexrd, who wera
© assessed by sir Peter Temple knight and laro'net, late sheriff of the county of Bucka afortsaid, whilst he was in the office of sheriff of thes county aforesaid, in the several portions and 'sums of money placed at their several names ' above, to contribute to the expences aliout the ' providing ot the slip; by virtue, and according t to dee exigency of the Writ of our said lord tho - king, issued out of his Chancery, and there inrolled of record, directed anongst'others to the sheriff of the said county of Bucky, bent: mg date the 4th day of August, in the 11th ${ }^{6}$ year aforesnid, have not puid, but have re'fused tos pay the same to Heneago Proby be"ing sheriff of the said county of Bucks, next ${ }^{6}$ stter the going out of sir Peter Temple knight
${ }^{6}$ and baronet, from the office of sheriff of the 'said county, or to the hands of the collector fin that belialf appointed by virtue of the Writ ${ }^{6}$ last mentioned. And furiher, the nfuresaid John Hampden in like manner prayeth Oyer of the aforessid Writ of Mittimus, of which mention is made in the Writ of Sciri Facins, ' uforesaid, and it is read unto him in thene ${ }^{5}$ words: ss. Charles by the grace of God, of Enyland, Scotland, France, and Ireland, Ling defender of the faith, Sxc. To the treasurer and barons of his Eixchequer, greeting: By the tenor of a certain Writ of ours mude under our great seal of England, bearing date the 4th day of August, in the 11th year of our reign, inrolled in the rolls of our Chancery; by which we commarded the sheriff of our county of Bucks, the bailiff nad burgesses of the borough und parish of Buckingham, the mayor or builff and burgesses of the borough of Chipping Wiccumbe alias Wiccomhe, and the good men in the said bornughs, parish, and the inembers thereof, and in the rowns of Agmondesham, Wendover, and Great Narlow; and in all other borpughs, towns, villages, hamlets, and other places in the said county of Bucks; that for the defence of the kingdon, the protection of the sea, the security of the subjects, and safe convoy of the ships und merchandize coming to our kingdom of England, aud thence going to foreign parts, they should fit out one ship of war of the burden of 450 turs with men, as well skilful officers as able and experienced mariners, a handred and fourscore at least; as also with a sufficient quantity of campons, muskets, gunpowder, pikes and spears, with other arms pecemary for war, and with double tacklugg ; niso with competent viciugh for so many men at a certain day, and from thence for twenty-Nix weeks ot the conts of the men and lund-tenauts of the boroushy, pariab, townas, villages, Lierufets, apd other places abope mentioned, in the quid cuunty of Engly. as well in victugls as the men's wages, pudi other thipgs neceasery for wer for flut, timen
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${ }^{\prime}$ on account of the defence of the sea under ' our command, in company of the admiral to

* be and remain; and that they shonld canar
'the same to be broughtinta the port of Ports-
' mouth about the time limited in the sard
- writ ; and that they should respectively issess
- every man in the suid borough and parish of
- Bockingham, and borough of Chippine-Wic-
'combe alias Wiccombe, and in the rent of the
* boriughs, towns, cillages, hunlets, and orber
'places in' the sai.I county of Backs, nud the
- members therenf, nud the lnucl-tenants in the
${ }^{3}$ sime, to contribute to the expences about
- the provision of the necessary premisses, and
- other things to do and execute in mamer and
'form ns was commanded by be said wit:
- We send to you being present the inclosed
' writ; for that the safety of our kingdom of
- England, and our people thereof were in dan-
- ger, which we hare takea care to have issued
© out of our Chancery amongst other writs, to
- make such provision and nssessment stirough
${ }^{\prime}$ every county, city, borough, town, village,
' hamlets and places of our kingdon of Fing-
- land, and of Wales, and the members thereof, ' out of our Chancery aforesaid lately issuing,
${ }^{6}$ and there in like mammer inrolled. And aloo
- a certnin other Writ of ours to certify us into
${ }^{4}$ our Cbancery, as well of the names of the
' men and land-tenants in the nforcsaid borough
' and parish of Backinghaun, and bornugh of
${ }^{4}$ Chipping-Wiccomhe alins Wiecombe, ond in
- the rest of the borouglis, towns, villages, hain-
' lets, and places of the said county of Buchs,
t who by our command uforesaid wcre assessed
' to contribute towards the provision of the
${ }^{4}$ premisses, nad hase nut paid the sums oi
${ }^{4}$ money upon them so assessed : as nlso of the
' several portions and sums of moncy so charged
'and laid upouthe said men and lant-tenants;
' ns litewise certain certificates ly virtue of the
'said urit reduced in writing, and sent into
' our Chancery. We aloo send you being pre-
'sent the iuchised, cummanding you, that hav-
*ing inspected the writs and certificates nfore-
said, you further cause to be done thereupon
- for thic lesying, collectin-, and recciving nll
${ }^{6}$ and singular the aforessid sons of money of
' the at, resaid c.mbribution as yct unpaid, as
'by right, and according to the law and enstom
' of our kingtoon of England hath been used to
' be done. Witness myself at Westniuster the
4 5 th day of May in the 18 th year of our reign.
- Eyre. As in the said Writs and Schedales
'thereanto onnexed, certified into the Exche-
' quer of our said lord the king, and there in the
c custody of the remembrancer of our said lord
the king remaining of record more fully is
contained. Which being read, heard, and by
- him understood, the said John Hampden com-
'plaineth, that by colour of the several Writs,
- their Retuins and Schedules to them annexed,
${ }^{4}$ he hath been grievously vexed and digyuieted,
- and that anjuatly; because be saith, that the
- aforessid leveral Writs above mentioned,
'their Returns and'Schedules to them annexed,
${ }^{4}$ and the miatter in them contained, aro not
'sulficient in the law to charge the sail John ' Iampden on or with the payment of the ' uforesaid sum of' 20 slitlings on him in ther * Schedule alime-aill, in the manper aad furm 'aluresaid tased and nssessed, or any parcel ' thereof; to which be hath no necessity, or
' is obliged hy the law of the land to mase an'gwer : Whereupon by reason' of the insuti' ciency of the atoresaid sevcepal Writs above'mentioned, their Itetuns, the Scliedules to 'them annezed, and the matter in the said ' Writs and Scliedules contained, be the said ' John Hampilen prayeth Judgment, If wur 'said now lord the king onght or is willing fur' ther to assess or charge him with the afiresaid ' 20s. or any parcel thereof. Rob. Hot horne.
- And Johin Bank, knight, Atturuey-General ' of our now lom the king who sucth for nur 'ssid lord the king, being preseat here in court, ' the snme day in his proper persop, suith, rimb ' the afiresuid several Wris, thid their Returus, ' and the Schedules afuressid to the san:e an'nesed, and the matter in the same con'tained, are suffecient in the law to charge 'the aforesaid John IIamuden with the afore's.id oos, upon him assessed in the form, nud ' for the cause aforestid; which said matter, ' be the suill Attorney-General of our said lond ' the king, for and in be half of our said lord ' the king, is ready to verify; and wheh mattor ' the aforessid John Hampiden doth unt deny, ' nor any wise makic Answer thereuntr, hut 'wholly refurt th to admit the varification 'therenf, and therefire prayeth judgoeat; and 'that the uforesaid John Hampoden be charged ' with the sand 20s. and satisfy the same.
" Joux Baeks."
The First Day's Aroumext of Mt. ST.JOIIN,* on behalf of Mr. Hampoen, before all the Judges in the Fxchequer Chamber, in the Great Case of ShimMonsy.
May it please your lordhhip; Pasch' xiija Car'aSciri Facias issued to the sheriff of Buchs,
- " Mr. Saint John, who was in a firm and entire conjonctifon witis the other two, was a lavyer of Idifculas-Inn, kuown to be of parts and indostry, but not tuken rotice of for practice in Westminster-Hall, till he argued at the Exchequer-Chamber the cuse of Slup-money on the behalf of Mr. Happuden; which gave him much repofation, and called him into all courts, and to all causes, where the king's prerogative was most contested. -IIe was n man reserved, and of a darke and cloudel countenance, very proud, and conversing with very few, nnd those, men of his own humpur and inclinationts. He had been questionerl, coinmitted, and brought into the Star Chamber, many years before, with other persons of greht name and reputation (which first bronght his naine upon the stage) for commenicutuy; soina paper among themselves, which sowe men at that time had a mind to have extended to a design of sedition; but it being quichly evidens
reciting, That whereas several sums of mone, mentianed in an Schedule to that Writ'annexed, by a writ under the great seal of Englaut datod 4 Augusti 11 Car' sessed upon acveri persons for providugg of a ship of war, were not paid: and that upon a Certiorari dated 9 Martij 13 Caroli, these sums and the several persons upon whom they were assessed, were certified unto the ${ }^{-}$Chancery, and from thence by Nittinaus dated 5 Maj , were sent into the Jxchequer, that process might be issued against these delaulters: thereupon the sheriff is commanded, 'Quod sciri ficeret' to those severa' persons to appear in the Exchequer Octal: Trinitat' 13 Caroli, to shew cause why they should not par those sums assessed upon them
The Sheriff returns, ' Qaod sciri ferit' John Ilampden, erf. who was assessed at 20s. anc he hath unt paid it. Mr. Haunpden hath appeared and demanded Oyer of the Scir' Fac' of the Scliedule of the vrit dated 4 Augusti, the Certioruri and the Mittimus, and of their several Returns, nud hath thereupon demurred in law.

The Writ dated 4 Augusti 11 Car' because it is the ground of the issuing forth the Sci fa: and so by necessary conseguence, is that whict first occusioned any process agninst hint, it will be the subject from whouce will be fetched all that sinall Le said cither for or against my cltent. I will endeavour lyy breabing it into paris, more clearly to presemt it to goirr lordbhips view.

The thugg conmanded is, that this county should provide a Ship of war of 450 tone, with 180 men, puns, quapowder, douhle tackling, victuals, and af other things necessary, and to bring her to Portsuoutyi Ly the 1st of March following, and from that time to proxide her of victu $\boldsymbol{b}_{2}$, marisery wages, nud all other necessaries for 96 weeks. For effecting this, there is power given to assess each person within the county 'sectardum statum et facultates,' and to bring in these scosefs by distrezs, ' et quos re-- belles invenirent' to imprison their persens.

My lords, if the Writ had staid here, and gone no further, the command though foll in worl, it had been viid in lav, because as yet it nppears not for what end thís ship was to be prorided, 40 Ass. Ilow. A Conmissinn to seize meas gnods notoriwusly suspected of felony, befire conviction, adjudged void, becanse there-
that thie prosecutign woull nut be attended with success, they were all shortly after dis-

## $-$

 clarged; but he never forgave the court the first assault, and contracted an implacable displeasure against the church purcly from the compauy he kept. He was of an intimate trust with the earl of Bedford, to whom he was in some sort allied (being a uatural son of the house of Bullingbrook) and by him brought inte all matters where himseff was to be conceroed. It was gencrally believed, that these three persons, with the other three lords meationed before, were of the most intimate and emire trust with each other, and made the engine which mored all the rest."fore the comunnod, without cause ahewn, and that sufficient in law too, woold be void.
In the second place therefore they are set down to be these; " Pro defensione liegni, - tuitione Maris, securitate. Subditorum, ef ' salva coaductione Navium,' both outward and inward, the Sea leing infested with pirates, and more shipping being duily prepared 'ad Begnum 'grarundum;' these are the ends.
In the third place, the legality of it, that every man 'secundum statum et facultates' should be hereonto assessed, is thas inforced. First, from Custoun and continued use, in these wards; That the Sea ' per gentem Anglicanam 'ab olim defendi consucrit.' And secondly, thiş Use proved to be frum a common ground of equity; ' Onus defensionis, quod omnes tan' git , per omnes debet supportari:' And the Rule of Equity Lacked by the Common Law in these words, • Prout per legem et consuctudinem 'regni Angliaz fieri consuevit.' The argament stands thus ; All liavo benefit by defeace of the realm, and therefore by law the Charge ought to be bome by all.
Then it is further inferred, that every man, even by his allegiance, is bound to contribute to this Charge, the comuand being 'in fide et ' legiancia quibus nobis tenemini.' Of thesa parts the Writ consists, which all heing put together, in brief declare the scope and end of the issuing forth thereof to be the Defence and Safety of the kingloin; n thing so necessary, that it must needs be legal: for it is too near and too narrow a conceit of the wisdon and policy of the law to think, that whilst the care thereof stoould he confined only to the preservation of the general members of the body politic frotn the wrougs and pressures that might be oflered fron others to the felluw-members, that in the wean time it will leave the whole to the violence and will of enenies: so that whilst each subject, considered as a part of the whole, hath a kuown and sure estate in Lands, and real property in his Goods, not to be impeached by any whatsoever within the realin; yet considered all together as they make the 'Unum 'Compositum.' they should have in them only ' Pracariam Possessionem,' or Tenancy at Will in regard of Fureiguers. My lords, this cannot be; for the lair is so cafeful herein, that even afur off it forcsees aud preveats all dangers in this kind; sud that is the reason that an alien, though a friend, hath not capacity to purchase any land in the realm. And if the law be ao quick-sighted, as that to prevent but possibility of danger, it kecps such strangers from having eny land within the realm, which desire to come by it peaceably and for vuluable considerations; by this ive nay easily see the grent care it hath to prevent apparent dangers, which usually proceed from open force ind violence. Which further appearts by the greatness of the puaishnent whach the law inflicts upon offenders in his kind, which is High-Treason, of all other he greatest. 13 Eliz. Djer 298.' Story ${ }^{\text {c caph- }}$

- See vol. 2. No, 59,
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apired beyond sea with a foreign state to invade the rewhin; and though nothing was uttempted, yet it was auljudged High-Treason. And 21列, 3, fo. 23. and 45 Ed. 3, 24. a man killed a captain that was going with 20 men at arins to the king in his wars, and it was adjudged to he Highl-'Treason. And so by mome opini, ins in print it is, to buin or sink any of the king's Shups. By the greatuens of the punishment for the breach of the negarive part of the liw, ue might understand the per mptorincss and force of the atlirmative part. So that, my lord,, in this case the question is mot de re, for by the Jaw the salicty of the re ilm is to be provided for; ' Sului Populi muprrina lex: ' Neither is the question de personis, either in re-pect of the Yervons who are to bear the C'auge of it, or of the persons whim the Law hath intrusted with the care and power of this common Defence.

Far the first, that is, the Persons that are to bear this Charge, that in the wrot, 'Quiul 'omnes tangit per omues debet sapportari:' The reasons of the Vrit nre weidhty, and agreeatile to the rale both of the Civil and Common Law, ' Qui senit conumodum sentire ' delet et onus:' So that I cunce:ve the burden lies apon all. In respect of our bona natura, our Lies and Persons be equally as dear to one as another: In respect of our bona fortune, so secundum statum et facultates; beranse the greater the state and means of livehhond, the greater the benefit by the Defence. The law un this case of Defence against the invasion of Living eaennies, being the same with that against our soil and ground by the inundations and outrages of the seas and freah water; fir by the Lnw , as uppoars by the C'ommousion if Sewers, ass wall before the Statute of 28 Heni , 8, as since to the repairing of a bank or causey, siver, or other sewer, all are chargcable that huve + Defeusionem, Commodum, vel, Salva"tivnem qualitercuaque." All thit have Defence raust be aso-ssed, the Asvess moust be aqually distribated, and theretore laid upon avery man within the level, * Pro ruta portionis -tenures sua, seu pro quant tate communis ' papture vel piscarie;' the more land. coummon, or benefic of hshing each man hath, mocording to the proporion thereof the Assess must be. Bo that, my lords, in'the sy cond placi, the questida will not be, Whether my Client hy taw he exempted from the Charge of the Deienct of the realm; for which ither hie majecry's subjects he ought to help to bear the common buiden, and mare or levs may be daid upon him' peoportionably to bis estace and means of livelihuod.

Neither, Thirdly, is there any que-tion to be sade but the law hath intrusted the person of hin royul majesty, with the care of this Defince. The Deferce and Yrotection wimh we have in our bodies, lands, and gunds, nguiusk any within the reates, we know it is foon thing; for will joriodictiug legnl, buth ecrlesinaticul and civil, which definters ins them, is whonlly is his majeny. Then: mame it is in cane of foreigo defance, avierity oble Jas Gentium, as appears in
the text, by the peoples desiring that they might be like other uatious, by hoving a king that might go m und out before them, nud fight their batiles. That the king, and that legally, calleth the kingiom 'Regsum nostrum,' and every crty and great town 'Civitatem et Villam ' posirum :' Quoad 'proprietatem' it cannos be, because they are the srveinl land-owners; it must be so thercfore piiscipally in this respect, 'Qurad prote ctionem et defensionem.' Aeither hath the law mevested the crown with this height of sovereignty moly as a honorarium, for the greater splend or of $i t$, but likewise as $n$ duty of the clown, or para ministerii, for the good and safety of the realm, which in many of the ancient Cuminosions of Sewers, befure the statute of 28 ISen. 8 , is thus cxpressed, The homg ' ratione regue dignitatis et per juramen' tum est astrictus ad providendum salvationi 'regni undiquaque;' so that buth mhonour, and by las oath be is boand to provile for the safety of the rialm, and that cercumqueque.
My lords, by the Luw the king is pacterfamilias, which by the Law of (Lconomick is, not only to keep peace at home, but to protect his wile and childrea, and whole lanily frora aliroad. It is his vigilancy and watclifulness that discoters who are our fiiends, und whu are our fies; and that afier such discovery first warns us of them; for he only hath power to make war and peace.

Neither hath the Law onlv entrutted the care of Defence to his majesty, but it bath likewise, sccoadly, put the Armat' Potestat' and weans of Drfence whully futo his hands: for wren the eneny is by him discovered uthd drelared, it is n.t in the porer of the Sulject to order the way and menns of Defiuce, fither by sen or by land, acco, ding as they shall think, fit ; for nu nosa with ut commission or special Licernce from his manjesti, eno set torih any ship to sca for that purpose; neit.er can my wan withour such ionimission of licence, uuless upon sudden coming of enemies, erict a foit, custle, or bulwark, though upoin tis own ground: neither, but upon some such me geut cuuse is it lawfill tor any subject, witlivu sperial commission, 10 arm of diaw toge ther any troope or comp, anie- of soldiers, or to make any general colle tions if moul. $y$ of any of his majesty's subjicts, though with ther consent.

Neither, in the thind place, is his majesty ardied unly with bis pievitive prerogative of Ge e-dissinuo and ('ununnnder, in Chief, that no e ena udvance todarde the enemy; unti? the cised the signal, nor in other manver than Heconhing to lis direstiven: but who with all other p-wers requistie for the full execution of all things incident to so higl a place, as well in tianes of danger as of notual war. The sheriff $o^{\prime}$ eurth coulty, who is but his majenty's depury, In hath the p-oor countotus; and iherefore it muet needo follow, that the posse regni is in himentf.

My lorde, not to burn day-lighto Innger, it nous teeds be graused that in this business of Defeace, the muprema potsstect, is imberent in
his majesty, as part of his criwn and kingly dignity So that as the care and provisiou- of the Law of Eugland extends, in the fint place, to foreigu defence; and, secondly, lays the burden upon all; and, for ouphe I have to sny pgainst it, it maketh the quantity of ear ho man's esta'e the rule whereby this hurden is to be equally proportiozed upon each person: So likewre hath it, is the third place, made his majesty anle judge of dangers from fareiguers, and when and how the same ate to be pirvented; and, to cone nearer, hath given him power by Writ under the great sexl of Eughand, to command the iuhabitants of anch county to provide Shipping for the Defence of the kingdom, and inay by law compel the doing thereof.
So, my lords, as I still conceive, the question will not be de persona, in whom shic 'Suprema 'Potestas' of giving the auchorities or powers to the Slieriff, which are ureutioned in thas wits, doth he, fir that is in the king: But the quation is only de modo, by what inedium or method this supreme power, which is in his majesty, doth infuse and let out itself into this partucular; aud whether or no in this case such of them have been used, as have righrly accomaudated and applied this power unto this Writ in the intended way of Defence: for the law of England, for the applying of that Supreme Poner which it hath settled in his maja aty to the particulat canses and ucrasions, hath set down a me thod and known rules, which are necessarly to be observed.
In his majesty there is a twofold power, voluntas or ,"otestas in erna or nalsarnlis, and externa or lepalis, which liy ull the Judges of England, 2 Ric. 3, fo. fi'. is expressed ' per 'voluntgtem regis in cumera,' and -voluntatem 'regis per legem.'

My lords, the forms and ruies of law are not obseried in th:s case; the snpreme power not working per medid, it retmins still in himself as 'volumas Hegis interna,' and operates not to the good und reief of the subject that standeth in need To instance: his majesty is the Fountain of bounty; but a grant of lands without letucrx patent tran-fess no essiate out of the king, nor by letters patent, but by such words as the latv hath prescrilied. His majesty is the Fountain of Jusicce; nad though all justice which is done within the realm flowg from this fountrin, get it must yun in certain and known chanuch. An Assize in the King's-Rench, or an Appenl of Death in the Coinmon-Pless, are ' curam non judice,' though the Writ be ly his maje-ty's con-mant; bucso of the several jurisdictions of every carurt. The justire whereby all felons and traiturs are put to drath, prooeeds from his majesty; but if a Writ of exccution of a traitor or a felon be awneded by his majesty without Appenl or Indietrmeat precerling, an Appesal of Denth will lie by the heir ngainst the execulioner. If the proress be legal and in a rigbt court, yet I conceive that his majesty nione, withoat insistance of the Juiges of ibe pourt, caund gite judgnemt. I kyow
that king John, Henry S, and other kipgn, bive sat in the Kiug's-bcuch eud in the Excluegoer, but, for orght apfears, tiey were aswisted by tivir judges: This I gruunt upon He Booh Cace 2 Rich. B, fu. 10, 11, wiere the party is to anake fine and mavom at the king's will and pleature. This liae by the opinion of the Judges of Euginnal) must be sel by the Jauge befine whon the jurty wns convictul, and cannot he get bv the hing. - The worils ot the Tho is ar- thus: © In liermanis et non jer lepens ${ }^{6}$ per se in Camera sun, nee uliter cuam axy ' mast per Jnsticiaries suo-, et hice rst volintas ' regns, scincer per justaciarius sais et per legern - $\sin , 1 n$, ' to do it.

And ns wuhnat the assistunce of bin Judges, who inc in's settled counsel at law, his majesty applies not his laws and justice in many cases; neather is this sufficient to do it withuet the dscistance of his Gieat Council in parliument. If'an prroneous Jodgment was given before the statute of 27 H 2 a , in the King's-bench, the king could not Pity his grievd subject any way but by Writ of Error in parlianuent: melther cau be out of parligneat alter the old laws, or make nen, or make any Naturalizn: tions or Li gitimation-, nor do sume other thogs: and yet is the proriaméat his majerty's Court too, as well as other hin Couris of Justice: it is his majesty that gives life and beiag to it, for he only summons, continues and ditwolves it, and he by his le pent enlivens alk the actions in it; and after the dissolution of it, by sopporting his courts of jusice, he heeps thien stal alise, by putting them in execution. And although in the Writ of Waste, and in some nther writs, it is called 'Conmune Con'clinut Regui,' in respect that the whole hingdom is representatively there; and, secondif, that the whole kingdom have accesv thither in all things that concern them, other courts. nffording relief but in special cases; and, thinily, in respect that the whole kingdom is interested iii, and receives benefit by the law and things there passed: Yet it is 'Concilium ' Regni' no otherw'se than the Comimun Lave is 'Lex Terra,' that is 'per modum Regia,' whose it i, if I may so term it, in a great pert, even in point of intertest, as he is the head of the Commonwealth, and whose it is wholly in trast for the good of the whole body of the realen for he alon- is trusted w th the execution of it, The pariwment is the king's court, and therotore in the Summons the $\sqrt[k i n]{ }$, calls it ; partiont 'mentum nosirum.' So the Heturns of ibe huights and hurgesses, 'Quar sini ad Parlia' mentum Dounini Ktegis.' Firga, hb: 9, cap.:s, - Hubrt Rex carinas udatn ier concilo suo in 'purliamentis suss;' and tierc fore the pleedinss there pat $*$ aily were usuallu wont io bot zin for the most pait, "Quertor Domino Refif"' of t'ewions by private persuns, 'Sapplitatit - Dommo Rexi, though for telief against othets, Inquis inins and 'Yomire fa' rethimable therf sometimis 'Cotend Denniun Flege at Conalisio.
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and's time, both in the Tower, and many Cases adjourued into the King's-Bench.
The Patents passed by authority of parliament, and likewise the acts of parliament, had anciently so auch of the king's name and style in them, that as it appears in the Prince's Case in the 8 th repoit, it was a hard matter, otherwise than by circumstances, 40 know whether they had any thing of the parliament in them or not. And from those times even until now, the alteration is nothing in substance, for the acts for the most part are thus: 'It is enacted - by our sovereign lord the king with the 'assent of the lords spiritual and tennporal, ' and commons.' The king both then and still is pars agens, the rest are but consentientes.

My Lords, to apply ull to the Case in questinn : by the Cases before cited it appears, that what was done in purlianent by the law phrase and dialect, is said to be dune by the king; for as the Civilians say of the senate, that it is ' Pars corporis Casaris,' so we of the I'arliament, that it is "Pars cogporis Regis.' The maxim of Justinian, 'Quod principi placet ' legis vim haber,' is, agreed by Bracton and all the civilians. And yet both be and muny of them say, that those must pass the Senate, and though dune in the senate, yet they be phacita prinripis. So it is, athough when we apeak ut oulgus, we say Fines are ssid to be set by the king's court; yet che law, when it saith that they be done and set, saith they he done and net by the king. By the same reason therefore, though when we speak ut vulgus, we say such a thing is done by the parliament, yat in the legal nccount these are done by the king: the Medium, or way of doing of thenn, is with the nssistance or consent of hisgreat'Council in parliament.

The second thing which I obscrve is this: By the case before cited it appears, that without the assistance in parliament, his majesty cunnoţ in many cases communicate either his justice or his power unto his subjects.-Hence, thirdly, it necessurily follons, as I conseive, that the kingly dignity doth most appear and manilest iiseff there; which was the opinion of all the Judges of England, declared in a parliament 34 Hen. 8, as appears by Crompton's Jurisdict. fo. 10, wlo by the king's commandment meeting together about point of Privilege of Parliament, the ling afterwards in declaring of their Opiaions, dothit in part of the Case in these words: 'Further, We be iniormed by our - Indges, that we at no tine stand sa ligihly in ' our estate royal, as in ume of purfiament, - whercin we as Heal, and you as Members, a are conjoined and knit toget her in oue body 'politic.' Which Declaration of it glews likewise that it was the king's own opmion, that he df. no tiuse stool so highly in his estate, us in the time of parliament.
It appears not by the Record, that this Writ, which givech onwer to sell and alter the property of the Defondant's Goods, issued from fin majesty sitting in his estate royal in parliar ment, -add therefore cunnot be ioteaded by
your lordships and the court so to do. If therefore it hath issued from his majesty in the Chancery, otherwise than in parliament, where he stands in the height of sovereignty and perfection, that he hath not so much as a posse nocere; the question is, Whether it be erroneously issued, yea or no ?

My Lords, I have now put the case, which although in this particular case it concerns the Defendant only; yet in consequence, as it appears, it concerns both his majesty and the whole state, and that in matters of the greatest and lighest nature possible. Mis majosty is concerned in the way and manner of execution of the highest and greatest trust which the law hath reposed in him, the Suficty and Preservation of the Kingdem; the Subject is concerned in that, wherein he is most teider, in the Property of what he barh.
My Lords, the greatness and weight of the Case puts me in this dilemula : not to argue it, were to deny that full subinission to the command of sorve of your lordships that are sitting in the Court, neither should I do my client that service which he expects. If I procerd, the Case is too weighty and too ureat for me to argue. But I know the salest way is obedience, and that the Court canuot expect much from me. Ifaving therf fore already put the case, I will go on in the next place to state it, and afierwards to urgue it.
The Qucstion hehug conceruing the Validity of the command in the Writs, which extends so far ns to the altering of the Property of the Subjects Goods without their consent; and yet this being for a thing so necessary as the Defence of the kinglom both at land and sca; for the ends of issuing forth of the Writ are, - Pro defeusione regui, tuitione maris, , securi' tate subditorum, salva condactione navium,' Loth outward apd inward. In the stating of this great question, I will in the first place enrleasour to prevent yuurtlondships those known and undoubted ways and me:ais, whereby the law hath provided tor the Deience of the realm both at land and sea, without the way ia the Writ.
The first whereof is by Tenure of Lands, The services which hereby grow due are of two sorts; Service in Kind, which tends immediately to action in tumes of war, some whereof arc for land-scrvice, aud some for sea-service. The secoud are such as supply his majesty for that, pourpose.

Thie second way is, klose many Prerogatives which the law hath setuled in the Croun, and made peculiar unto it for the Defence of the hugdom in peneral.

The third is, the particular Supplies of Money for the Defence of the Sen alone in tirues of danger, bolh ordiaary and extraordinary, the thingo priscipally intended in the Writ. These, nyy lords, will be the materials, whereupon afterwards I shall state the Qucstion.

In that of Tenure, I shall begip with the service, and thyse which tend mmediately to action is tiauss of Wur. The kings of thin
realm, as they are the head of the commonwealth in gerserst, so are they the bean and root from whence all Tenaics spring ; fis as onr Rooks agree, all the lands within the tealin are held mediatsly or immetiately of the crown. As therefire the law hath "ppopariprinted the Defence of the kinge! ma to tho kings thereof, s: Phath it, as one of the priucipal ways for efffechus thereof, trusted them with the reservation of such Teaures, as mighatave for that parpose.

Amonst which, intending first to speak of Land-Service, I will begin with the Tinurn of Knights.Scrvice. Every man that holds hy this service, fro.n a whole Kuight's Fee to noy part thereof, ought to find a man completely armell fut lew war. Nethier doth the tindas: of arms eatisfy this Trutre: for he thithoht, by a whole K'mghts Fie, ought to be 40 days in the service; and he that hohb hy a moiely of a Kught's fre so days; and on in pripmer. tion. In the Books of the Kin. hts I 6 , of Hen. 2. Fdiv, 1, and Ilen, bih's timeze, in the Eschequer, it appears, that there weve many thausuad Knights Fees tedh ot the crown. Aud in the Red Book it is shisi, thal it was 'in 'one onsinus' that in we 'binqueros's time there were tharty thesentat hald of hina: sone since have computed them to theecseote thict sand, whech perhaps mav lie wit'i the adiditon
 which are not of those piom whech 1 shall inskt.

That it may be o! j, rev I, itat in remeret these Serveras are regerend by the bing, that therefore they were nite ind tusod ouly tire the Dotience of tie realus. bite way he evacted tor forreign wars, or mithr-wicie for ha, maje-s's's peculiar sentice, as he shall thank fit: which may ba inferred both fiom the name whaci var old Bools and I Ced style tios Sernice hy, when dre to the crognt, dit is, 'forionccain 'scrutime'; and, scrondly, from the ure ihereof;, it having licen perforgued. in Normandy, Gascoyne, Tholouse, and Ireland, as appears ly the Red Booh, and many cases put topether in the Intitutes, in the Chapter of Pacuage.
To the first Olijection of the Name, the Ansuer is clear; for antiently, when those tlat held immediately of the crown by this szivice, thid infeoff others of the land so held, de-iring to free themselves of the burden of this service, besides the service which they reservet to, theupelves, they likewise commonly in the conveyance, made provision for the ir own arepuitral ngainst the kind, and the fupfec took the whole burden thereof upon himuelf: and the refure in the Book of Knights Fees of IIen. 2's Line, in mo many bundred certificites of those that held inmediately of the king, William Condon of W'ilts certifics thin; ' 2 wad nullam militem - habeo foofatm, sel debeo defendere fioden ' meam per scrithum corporis mel.' OF this nature are thrify or four others. All the: rest after certifies, by how many knights feey ho holdg: Themlikewise he certifics that 'Defentlit;' sec. of the king, by such and wush 'Mubtes Feo-
" iatos: And in these deeds of Feoffment, shice the reservation to the feoffor, was this clates: ' et faciond'inde,' sometimes 'ForinNrum servituen,' sometimes 'Regale scrvitinn." Batct. lib. e. fol. 36, 37, and Fleta, hit. 3. cap. 1f. suith, that it is called, 'Thegale ' $\because$ rutunn, qua ast servitium Domini Ilegıs;' and by than 'isezule servicium,' and s servi-
 sa ot thing. To, that therefore I bhall need to give mo fuither ansuer. liy the same Authors it is cail.d ' Foris.sccam, quia capitur foris sive 'cxih stritium, quod fit domino capițuli; and the: is the mesmues of the word; and that it is calied. Forinsecula,' in regard the Service is $\mathbf{b}$ be tone Fors, Lhat is, out of the kingdom, in cleand to your lonh hips by these Cuses, 1 ?

 onluch we knaw is ta wimi a lont within the realm, in all those then ciateo is called, 'servi-
 and Candenaral in the county of NorthamberLand, ta the ca-he of Raminirht, called ' Fo-- rimerum servanala Dosatil Rews.'

To the sesomel part of this Otjoction, that this sersiee hath heen ofiew proformeal brymed eva; fir the present I shall give Auswer theremata but in pat, by telling gour lordships witbout making jroof thereof, that Escuage, which is all the pu nalty that lies opon the Tenant for his derantr canuot the assessed but in patliaasent; whilh, if it be so, proves that the hing vamot command this service, othernise than for the gored and defience of the realim, in regard thatif it he otherwise, no Escunge can bo asse-ved withont consent in porli:memt ; which by intenduent, accouding to the good ilie kingdom is likely to receive by the service, will pru-


My Lords, That this Sersice was instututed for the Defence of the realu, appeas by the care the law hath always takcol for the increase and preservation of these services; so that if the lord purethase part of the land, yct the whole service reunains: which being entire, and to be done by the body oi a man, in that of being a steward or bailiff, or other private service, mokes an extingujshment of the whole. The Authorities in point are many; the Statute of Mormaine 7 Ed. 1, the mischief by conseying lands to hours of reliciom is there "xpressed to be, " Quad servitra guas ex hajus'modi feodis delentur, it pare ad defensio${ }_{6}$ nicm regui ale mitio puosia a facrunt, indebite 'saltrahuntift.' And besilits the declaration that they are for the Defence of the reolin, that: Shatete likessine promice for tie iserease of thes: for if the lord cutery not withm a vesu and a diy after the leofluncut, the king is to cnter; and as we worth of the Statute of - thos inde foufaninus per rerla Norvitia uothis - ir.de nd defengionem jregni nontri faciada, the warts ara sherralle, "per servitia mothis. 'inde farienla;' tior thow h the sorvire be to lie done to the kine, it is to be dune addelens: 'sionem regni." This esplainv the Charter or
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Hen. 1, inrolled in the Red Book in the Exchequer, and cited in Mar. Paris, in the first leaf of Ilen. I's reqni ; where the king frees Koights-Service Lauds from all Gelds and taxes; that heing cased of this burden, ' apti - et parati sint ad servitiom meum, et ad de-- fensionem reym mei.' In the Black Book in the Eachu quer, tio, 3. Scutage is thus defined, - ut invenente in regnom hontium machina' Lune,' it 1 , then duce see Bracton in his secound book fol. 36 and 97 , that they are so, - Propier exirsitum et Pbthiaz iuitionem,' and to be pertormeal 'ceriis temporibus cum casus - et necomithe evenerit.' Buact. fol. 162 . 'Ies - fers te chatre thernut purseans ad defence "tle wotre reshue." Lise Borks are exprer,
 6 R.p. i., 2. Bruerton' c ise, Intitut. pt. 103. Co. Piefice ow the metits Repart.

For the tur for cha me ot what is said alreas, sat what I anc to ahth, it nobservable;
 'vishou- hamaum,' but 'ex prosisione lezh; for as 14 is in : 85 Hen, 6,7 , and weth Hep. fol. 7. Whecter's Case, it the hing giants land withnut ie promg auy sorne at all, or a alisque ' uliquo inde reild thdo,' the Patentee shall haid the land ly hinghe--Senvice is cupite. The Boak of al Eal. 3, 65 Samfind Presogat, fol. 10. Instint. pl. 2:3, it is sad the fist kings of this realm had all the tanit of it in their own hand: wheh appears likewhe by the, that all the I wels within the kingdom are held mediately or mamediately of the crown. In the transferrug three land, to the several inhabuants, we see, by the cabes before cited, thas the case nod pronision of the law was, that all should by temme of the crown be mande liable ao the delence of the Liugdom. I shall brichy therefore show lown the hiugs of this realme have exceuted the trust for the Defence of both land and sca,

And lirst, a little fiuther to proserute the Innl-Service, becanse the Tenure by KnightsSt rvice lies the tenant only to forty days service, and that for the Defence of the realo only in geniral; they therefore reserved divers other tenures for particular and certain services; is Graud-Serjennties, some whereof, as in the Institutes, fol: 106. is observed, were for service of honour in times of peace; and tome fir military service, of which last sort, as appears in the Book of Serjeanties in the Exchequer, and many rolls of them in the TallyOhice, were sery many; some whereuf were to carry the km's banner, some to sunmons the tenants 'ad exercitum,' some to be of the vanguard, some of the rear ; some to serve in Wales, some in Scotland, some 'infra qua' tuor inamia,' sume 'intra Cinque Portus An'glixe.' Of these are Services of all sorts necessary for an army ; and in respect of the unultitude of thesp nialitary Scrjeanties over others, as forgetring them, Britefol, 16 t . in his defiution of Grand-Se jeanty, saith, that they are 'Pur defence del royalme';' nad Fleta lib. 8. cap. 16. 'Mayua Serjumatia Regcia fan-
'tum respiciunt et P'atrix defensiunem.' Besides the Grand-serjeanties, of this nature likewise are the Tenure of Cornage, to give warning of the enemus coming into the hingdom, and the Tenures by Castle-Guard: these ly Littleton were 11,000 , to defend the Castles when the enemy enters the realm, withan forty and bulwarks of the realm. .Mr. Camden, p. 515, obseries, there were 1,215 in Heary $2 d$ 's time, whereot a gremt purt, and especially such as were upon the sea-coasts and frontiers of Scothnd ami Walis, the place, of greatest fauger, were the king's. And besides these Grand-Serjeanties that were to lie performed hy the bodies of men, there are Petty-Serjeanties for finding of Armumer of all soits for the war.

My Lords, That the former Lings did execute thos power of Tinures for the D-fence of the realin, according to the trust the law repose.f in them, appears further A.. this, that in the places of greatest danger there ever were most of them. All along the sea-coasts of Kent and Snsse, nearest of all others to lirance, are the ('inque-Purts, which for ther Sea-Service have whl the jurisitiction wuthin themselves, that the inhatatates, for weakening these part, might not be compelled to travd out of them for any matters of justice, and divers other peivileges; both to invite the people to live there, and to earourage them to the l)eforce of thase parts. And Dover Castle; the Key of the Kingdome as of greatest consequeice, so hath it 200 Teuures hy Castle-Guard, wanting very few, lesides divers Tenures for the repairing of the Castle; which appears by the Record called the Quire of Dorer, remaining in the Excheguer; which that it is a Recurd, and detertmums the service of the Cinque-Popts, na Doomsday-Book doth the Tenures of the antient denusne, pppears in ('omum' 27 Edw. 1, Rut. 35, and hy another Record added to that Quire of Dover, 20 Edw. ${ }^{3}$, it hkewise appears, that in the time of war the king is to mantain in that Castle, 1,000 foot, and 100 horse. Neat, to come to the borders of Scotland, there we find the franchisement of the Lishopric of Durham, instituted likewise for that purpose, fir the defence of those parts; which Willian the Conqueror, as Malms. fo. 157, observes, first made a County Palatine; and Walther bishop thereof, ' Ducein pariter et Episcopum, ' ut refranaret Rebellionem gentis gladio, et ' reformaret mores eloquio:' Ayd besides all this, in all the counties of Cumberland, Northumberland, and.Westmorclend, are more such Tenures for the Defence of the reatm, than in any of the Inland Counties, and those likewise most proper for bodies. Com. Pa, \$1 Pdw. 1, Rot. 32. It is there found by Inquisitiou returned into the Exchequer out of parliament, thent every lord of a town within the county of Northumberland, held hy Cornage when the Scots entered the reakn. Mr. Camden in his Britannis, p. 794 and 799, mentioning the great number of Petty-Baronies and Castles all along those marches, which Rrit. ful.

87, and Tustit. fol. 73, say were instituted for the Dufence of the realar ; olserves here hikewise the policy of the law, and likewisc in the many Serjeantics there, in adrancing of the king's anny, to be of the vanguard, and in the retreat in the rear, those people best knowing the ways and passages of the country.

Whence, my $1_{\text {oids, }}$ when we come to the Marches of Wates, there we find another County Palatine, I mean that of Chester, aud the care of Chester and lis barons to oppose the Welsh invasions upon these Marches; besides the like services, as upon the burders of ScotIand, tliere were likewise many Lords Marchers of several baronies, who had administration of all justices nithin them elves, 'secandum legem 'Marchan:' and for their service to be done against the Welsh, they had two special I'rivijeges, that is, the thirr penny of all the Spoils in the war, as was adjudged in parliament, the 20 Edw. 1, Banc. Regis Rot. 123, in that great Case betiveen tlic eario of Glocester and Hereford, und in the Parliausent Book, 20 R.div. 1. And, sccondly, ill ilie Pis-oneas that they toon, in the wars, 'pier ronsuetudinem Marchias;' belonged to the takers of them. Trim. 25 Ed. 1, Rot. 28, Cos. Ruger de Kownwell, ${ }^{2}$ in par'tibu* Momgomery, in puerra Waltox; 23 Ed. 1, had tiken three Whh privoners; and hecause, by the kimg's commansl, they were released of thei mprismaneat, it is thicic aljudged, that the king should pay hom 401 . in reconnpense therein). And so it was adjudged, Con' Hill. ${ }^{2} 5$ lakiv. 1, Rot, 11, dors.
My Londs, lljs majesty is in the actual possession of these Miltapy Services, by taking the protits of Wards, Merriages, Releases, Li cences, Fonterures for Alienations, and pr mer seisin, iss fruit of them.-That the profits of Wards and Marriages are to le spent in wars, fir the Defince of the tealm, al well as for the brimeing up the Wari, the Books nee, 35 IIen. 6,41 , Brit. 162. That the king receives the profits, because he is got able to do the service. If the king's Ward was within age, when the tenants were summoned ad exercitum, he paid no Fscuage, as is adjulked, M. 20, Edw. 1, Lot. 9, K2 20, Comin' and M. 23 Edv. 1, Br. irrot. So it is for Relicts and Licences; and Forfeitures of Alienation of the king's Tenant without his consent might not be altered; and for piimer scisin, the king was to receive the profits till the Tenait, by his Ilounge, had assured the kins of his segvice; the summons always commauding him to be at the place of re dezvous; 'in fide et homnagio quibus nobis 'tenemini.' All these' things being hut Fruits that fall from these military services.

My Lords, Now to come to the Sea-Service; the care and execution of this trust by Tenures, was extended likewise to the Defence of the sea. The town of Lewis in Sussel holdg by this service, 'guod si rex ad mare custodiend' 'suos mittere voluissec,' they paid so much money, "et hos babebant qui in navibus arma 'custodiebant.' This, my lords, is in Dooms-day-Book: In Colchestor every house to pay

6d. per ann. 'ad victum soldior' regis; ad ex' peditionem terra vel maris.' Warwick, 'si 'rev per mare contra hostes ibat;' the tomil was to fund four boatswains. Salislury, then to pay so much moncy, ' ad pascendunt buslecor'los domini regis,' which, us Florentius explains the word, be 'ministerns nauticos.' Glocester, nud other places such a wejght of irom, 'ad claves navium regic.' Oitheis, to find horses to carry armuar and wenpons to the ships. My lordh, of this nuture are many in that Buok, which particularly to inention, to gain time, I will onit,
That the Tenures of this hind after the Conqueror's time, continued io usc, and were well known, sppeas by the Register fi. 2, whenc amongst other scrvices, i, this of Shippug nlso mstanced in these words: ' Qund clamat tem re ' de notis per liberum servitum iuvemend mo\& bis quarque naves per annual' serv,tium.' In the Iter-ILoll of Eissex, 13 E.l. 1, Kut, 7 , it is presented that the town of Mation, ' unet per -Sçjeautian invenicodi unam navem quarylo. ${ }^{4}$ ruoque rex neces-e habucit ine el mith re 'propter negatimn regne.' And from the the they cane to the place of their rendezvous, to stay in the service foity doys, 'sumpt bus pro"pris" And be ing there presented, that they mate Defaultathe Welh war, they plead they bad no >ummin.
Sin, my Lord, in the Bonk of Scrjeanties of Eluard I's thme, in hent, tine town of Killiug. horne is to find one Slup; and in the county of Berks, Fulke Caudry holids the manor of Padworth ' $\mu \mathrm{cr}$ sedjeantan mseniendi servi' cntem,' th perform Sea-Service, Bact. 20, 3 Ed .2, Not. 40, Wiltram Dier, in the tounty of Sussex, to find e centam proportion of Cindage. Itur Cautia, 21 Fdiv. 1, Kot. 16, Solomon de Campis holds 'per seyjeautian (enen'di caput donini regis,' when he is at se:", 'si ' necesse fuerit ;' and so Ihut. 30, anothrr in the same Iter-Itoll. The Cinque Ports and their members are to find 20 inen, and a master, 'bene armatos et bene ariatos;' from the time that they come to their rendezvons, they are to cominue in the service 15 days, at their own charge, and afierwards so long as the hing pleaseth; but after the 1.5 days, the hing is to pay the master $6 d$, a day, and the rest $3 d$. a day for their wages. This Service appears by the ltecord of the Quire of 13o:er belore-ment tioned, and the Patent Roll 7 Hen. 7, hoth which Records imply, that this Service of theirs cannot be exactel, otherwise than for the Defence of the Sca; for it camot he demanded but once in a yenr, nor then neither, ' nisi ne'cesse fuerit.' Parl. Pet. 1 Edw. 3, Rot. 4. the Barons of the Poits, in consideration of this Sea-Service, pray a confirmation of their Lleerties, 'prò salvatione dicti Navigii ct R'gni;' and commonly, when the Land-Scrice was summoned, these were lilwwise summused to the same service at sea, as appear by the Close Rolls, 28 Edw. 1, m. 15.31 Edw. 1, and 34 Edw. 1, 1a. 15, 16. In all which years the Land-Service was sumuwned for Scotland, and
the summons both for the one and the other run in tha saue worls, commanding thein to be at the plare, ' cum tuto servition sestro guod ' nobis debeti,', which sleces it to be a Service by Tenure. Thast these sprvices of theirs are for the Defence of the reain, and likewise that there are many pther of the like mature besides these, which ly a supericial re ulung of two or three Iter-Rolls, I liave citsil, appicars by the Pughanent-Rull, 13 R.). :3, pars 1, M. 11, where it is declared in these words, That the Cinque Ports and other great towns hul havens are fruthised, 'pur estre ct mare iuter noms 'et aliens,' if it shall foll out that they shail endeavour, 'notre enter ct assay et sunt thans 'a ceof faire.' My land, a fuller Decharation than this there caman be, that both the :\%oss, nud havens, an I seatonan, are buand to $1, \ldots$ e servire of Sliepping, firs hae estraondiany Defence of the realm, as well as the orthary.
1 have nos, done with the Service in Land; and hecause these which upoestatate cend b; tre tion alone, were not sutficient t. tie'snd the roaln ; and this in the frame and lont rastatithtion of the Commonwealth leing fonescen, and that the land, ' Fomdus Regm, the ruont nside and constant Suqplies of our Wauts, wav lest able to supply'this likewise; tikaciore lesides them, there were divers other Tenwe crested for that purpose. Tluys wheh 1 an next to aposhe of, ate surh as seppiy hes majesty with Moncy for that puppuse.

In the Bhach Book in the Exclumper, lib. 1. Cap. 7, it is snil, that in partirnlar ' Requis statu 'at stipendia et donatisa Militum et alia ne'cessania et catellis,' and other landec' in qui-

- hus agicultura non evercebitar perunia ni' merata sneccoselat.' It meght from hocite be collourably inferrel, that in respret 'es provi'sione Legis,' upon the Ling's pa-siug of lands, a Tenure for Knighis, Servec for the Defence of the realn was to be reserved; that in case upon such grants, Rents mily, wihbout ans such Tenure, were restrel, yel that Monry stonald be imployen! lier Kuldicrs Wages, and otier necessary affois of the Commouwealth, 'at stipendia Militum et alia necessaria.' But mint to press this furthier, it is plann, that all men within the kingdom were not equally inberirable, either to the bonly or propriety of lands or gonis, but that there are degrers and rifinks, and cach differing fiom othicr in all these. 1 . Villains. 2. Frecholders, either by Knights Strrice, or Free Socage. 3. Temnats of ancirnt demesue, and that held by, Burgage witi:n citice aml horvugh towns.
I. Ior thic Villain, we know, that as to his lord be hail fieedum in neither; iz respect of his body, he conid not ' ireqguu viluit;' bot the Inrd at his will might impriy $n$ laiu, and in resiect of his Land and gools be might tax him 'ide haut et de hass,'

2. The Frechuldicrs, the greatest part of the realm, always had an ahsolute ficedom in theu lublb.
The third aort, and thuse are the Temants in ancient Denesue and Burghers, they had an
albulute freedom in their Persons, but qualified in the other of Property, not taxable at the will, as Villains, but for the Defence and other neetssary allaus of the realm, they might be tased nithout conscut in parliament. My Lords, that these had a divided estate from other free teunnt, is clear. And first, for Temants in anctens Demesuc; is is to be questioned whether such land be ancient Denicate, yea or no; the isue is in these worls, thether it be aurient Demesne or frank Fee. By this it appeauch they lave not a frauk aind free estate, as the others have; and as all our Bhaks agrec, they bave no Vote im parliament, for they have wouse: in the cluction of knights, nor jay to the capences of tie Kaghts that seve in prolianent, nor Solur is spated in parlanent, Na. Bifsc. 79. and 15. It is officn disputed in our Book, Whecher acts of paliment extend to them, uniess they be esperially mamed; nenticr can they sue at conamon-law for any thing that conceris the Frechald, but ondy hy a Wi:t of Itight close wathin thenst fess. And therefine Bracton, fo. ?.00, calls thess ' Yillanon l'riv 'egiatos.' The same it is of largeres wetim ctiksard bormenhs: and thercfore the Statute of Merion makes it a di,ysaseewenf for the lord to narry his Warl, "sillanes et ahas wa at Burgensibus," Na. Bi. 7, and ofl.er books. Ju Awae will he for soth lands, but thor are suphesubide willowt on manal writ; ly at Lill wi fors fince; and us a chattel, they mry deviec ther land. Dy the Psatute 1 Bat, z, 'de nultubus,' for snich land and anciets dunesue, no man is compellable to tahe the Oider bf Knightinond. Much more mught be grought in piouf of this, which I onut.

My Lords, all our Books agree, the Truants in ancient Demesne were to plow and manure tiee hang's landz, bemg lits dencsic. In a Mareuscript that 1 lave seen, the Author sainh, that be hath an'ancient Mamscript which saith, 'That the corn, and uther vic'tuals, aringg hiciely, was to store the hing's 'Garrisons and Castles; and considering the 'numbers of those Garrisuns, there being 'above 1,000 of them in the kiug's hand at the :Conquect, as appears by Doomsilay-Rook, ' and that those Namors for the noost part are 'great, and the greatest part of the Socage-- Tenures, till Iteury q'ds time, as appears hy 'flue Black Brok, fib. 1. f. ${ }^{\circ} 23$, were to find - Victuals of uil sons, in kind, for ghe provision ' of the king's houssiold; sand were in this time 'turned inta Rents.' Although-this may so 1 probable, yet hecause Idave nut seen the manuscript, I will insist no more upon it.
That for which these, aud the 'eaures of Burgage in the king's cities and boroughs, were meationed in the raising of Monies for the necessary affiws of the state; that tiese were anciently talliable without the: consent in parlinment, is so plain and frequeut inche Exciequer Kolls, as that I intead to cite nothing in proof Thercof; it will be adnitteci by thém that argue ou the other side. That which I shall eades-
vour to prove is, that these were not talliahle at the king's will jund pleasure, but only for the Defence and other necessities of state, Na. Br, to. 15. $49 \mathrm{Ed}, 3.22$. They be not tallable, 'de haut et buss,' us villains are; and iherelere Bractou, f. 209. calls then 'villanos privilegra' tos,' hab. parl. fo. 112. ' talliare et rationabile 'auxiliuin dare pro necessitate.' Na . Br. It. 't.slliable pur grand cause.' Rot. Parl. 6 Edw. 3. Cimmuissions tutas cities and horoughs, and ancrent demesic, upon Petations of the commous revoked, and Writs in due form to be sent; and for the than to coone, the king shall not assess, but in such a manaer, 'come and "catre fitit en tempo de cunv ancestory et come 'il denern pur reason.' The occasnon unt requiring it, I shall way nothinte of it, whin these 'laxes neredixused. My Luids, I have num dome with the 'lenurea, the list way whereby the Law hith providerif for the salety of thes realum ; which of them-elver not enabling the kings intrmed therewnhal sulliciently to do it, the law thocelore, lestides the honoars, cantles, manors, soud othei coastant reventes of the ciown, for the supportanion of the ordurary charges theneof, tath appointed unso it divens Prerogativer, tive the evtrandonary, and for this of the Define of the selm, us oue of the chefinst of them; these l'uengome bien have an influenev then the stater of atl the suljeets in the: reatru, ami av' so many, lyat, to gan tme, I w is omit to mentiou any of ilem.

Hhat which I will insist upor, will be to pranc, hat the thengs comang to the Cioun by this lreergatace way, ane ti be employed for the defienece, nufothir public affiurs of the reatm,
Iu Lit maycsty tiere ich d d uble capacity, natural aud polace. All his i'rerergatives are jure sononefy and ut all surh tlungs he is seised jote curomia' : and theretors, as in other corporatione, such thugg are patiomonia elobonn publicn, to be emploged fir the cgman good, so likewise by the s,ine: rejusin here. The reasni why the king hath Treasure Trise, and gold and salver Himes in the earth minc case on Mines, is declared to be, beciuse tue hing is herelyy to dofend the kinglom: and in the Institute in the Title of Suceige, fo, 48. 137: the reason of many of the "est: ' Qua thesuurus regis et finn' damentum bell et firmamentain pacis?' This I cuncrive to be the reason, that by the Statute 11 Ed, 3. cap. 1, E.cheaus, Wardships, Customs, and Irofits ariming of the realm of England shmuld be declared to by spent for the safeguard of the realu;', muore than the profits of chen's manors and land, and of the differente nade m the Comm' M. 3. Rich. 2. Lnidon, between ' Rectis promissomes Requi, which by the advice of the Lards of the Couical were to be spent in the houschold, and the nther profits of the crown to be quent - Circa - salvationem et defengunacin requi?' In the Parlinment Rill, 6 ltuch. N. 42, the conmmons peltion that the king nill liee of his onan revenues; and that Wards, Marriages, Recleases, Escheats, Forfetures, and other Profits of the Crawn, mayy be kept to be-spent upon the wars
for the Defence of the kingdom: which sheweth, that there was always a difference nate between the I'rofits arising of the king's Manors aud Laudf, and that which rose by the Prerogutive, casual and accidental ways. My Lords, 1 Lave nuw done with these.

The thind way the law hath provided for the Defence of the kingdon, is purticular Supplies of Moncy for the Defence of the Sea aloue in times of danger, hoth ordinary and extraordinary ; fur besides the Supplies of Money byforementioued, which are to be employed for the gond and defence of the realm in general, us in the other cins where the law putreth the king wany p.uticular charge for the protection of the, bulyect, it wiways enables hin thereunto, aud yn'!'s lim partacular supplies of money for the mastenance of the charge; so here the Chuits of Justice. fir the preservation of as in pur Hoghto, ars supponted at has charge; and that in ilse icuson why he hath all Imes and Anerciaments, the goote of outlawed men in persomal actious, Bract. hat. 3, cap. 13, to. 139. and Fones lor purchasng of Origaal Writs, ${ }^{6}$ et 'pro licentus concondandi,' whelh, ia supposition of law, are pad for nut proceeding, and troubling the court withut camse. The hing's Justices who are mant ined in their places at the kmg's charie, these me the ' vectual' justi"ciar"." The I) fence of the realin exteails, itseff to many partuculars of the Cluurch, and of Keligion : and ther fore in the summonc of parfanment, the cause of the calling the purliament is always declared tr lie ' I'ro di fensone eccle'sias Anglas' in porticulyr, is well, as 'Tutius - Requi. ${ }^{\text {B/ }}$ IJis majesty the elone bath the Tempratalies of Bishoprocks, sede vacunte, a great prer gative, and that whach patrons have not; with an addition of the First Fruis and Tenths of them, and all ohher Eer lestastical Piomotions and Beneficer in Henry the 8th's time, and likewise the Tithes of all lands which lie not within any parish.

For Defens e of the Land alone, berides those Military Services befure-mentioned, the profits of Wards and Marnages, which, as I have read, no other Christian prince hath as a Fruit of them, are received for that purpose. So it is for the Sea, in Rat. Sco. 10 Ed. 3, m. 16, it is said that the king and his ancestors are - Dousini Maris Anglicani et defensores contra "hostium invasiones ante hoc tempus exis'Ientes' For the supporting of this Charge the roline, they have not only had the Grand Custams of the Mark and Demy-Mark upon the Wool, Wool-Fells and Leather, and the Pispige; that is, one Tun of Wine before the mast, and another abatt the mnst of every ship, which were even due by the Common Law, as appears by the Book of iny lord Dyer, 1 Elic. 16.5, and sir John Davies Ruports, fo. 8, et 9 , and implied by Mog. Char, cap. 30, that Merchants may trade 'per rectas et antiquas con"suetudines;' bat likewise divers others things were afterwardy grapted by aet of parliament in addition to them. As first, the Petty-Cugtoms begau S1 Ed. 1, and were made perpetual
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by the Statute of 27 Ed. 3. cap. 26, and likewise divers Aids and Subsidies, which are an increase of Custom upon the Staple Commundities of Wool, Wuol-Yells, \&c. Leather and Tonnage and Wines, and Poundage, and iucrease upen all other things eather imported or exported, either by Denzens or Aliens. That whi hin thos kind wus taken hy his mnjesty in the 111h year of his reign, when this. Writ issued furth, was s00,000l. and upnards. The Aids and Subsidies, and likewise the Tonuage and Poundage ancientiy granted upon particular occations only, and anter wards to the late kirgs and queens for theiralives hy net of parlianent, and now take:l by lis majerty, and lakewise the new Inpositon, altugether makes up the aforesaid scm of 300,0001 . Ot the legality thereof I intead not to speak, fir in case his maje-ty may impose upon Merchnodize uhat himself pleaseth, there will be le's caase to tux the Inland counties ; and in case be cammot do it, it will be stronkly prisumed, that he can much less tax them. The proving these two things herein will serve my turn : 1. That his majesty de facto tnkes them, nud that this judicially uppears to your lordships in the court ; and, 2, that these and the anticut C'ustoms, are for the Defence of the sea.

For the first, it was declared hy his majesty in the last palimment, and numexed to the Petition of Rught as part of it, that lis majesty took them, and coold not be without them; whereof he likewise desired the Judges to take notice, and that they might so do, it is inrolled both in this and other the courts of Westmin-oter-hill.

For the second, that the grant of Custem is principally for protection of Mcrchants at sea eguinst the enemies of the realm, and pirates, the cornmon enemies of all uations, sir John Davies Iteports, fo. 9, and 12 ; and that those, and likewise the Impositions are for that purpose, was beld liy many of the Judges in the Argument of Ball's Cuse 7 Jac. in the Fischequer, in the Case of Impositions upon Carrauts, und likewise by the king's counsel, when the same case nfterwards came to be dehated in parliument, and was one of the main Reasous urged by them for the maintenance of that Juilgment. That the Aids and Subsidies, and likewise Tonnage and Poundage, before they were granted for life, were not only for the Protection of Merchantw, and the ordinary Defence of the sea, but also for the Defence thereof in times of extraordinary dunger, and from Invasion from enemies, appears by the several Grauts of them in the parlianent Rolls. Rot. Parl. 1 Rich. 2. pars 2 M. 9, and 27, the kingdom being in point to be lost by the enemies of Spain and France, and divers others there mentioned, who made war against us both at land and sea; a Subsidy upon the grand Customs was granted, as the words are, 'pus le deftence et ' rescodas def hingdome ;' this whis for two years, athd personis usigned to receive and expend the money. Comin' Mich, 3. Rich, 2, London:

of London, appointed the treasurers of it, upon their account, shew the particnlars how thid Money was expended, 'circa salvationem et ' defensionena regui,' and were discharged. Rot. Parl. 3 Rich. 2, M. 16, and 17. The same. cause continuing, Enemics intended to blot out the name of the English from under heaven, the Subsidy coutinued far a year longer. Rot. Parl. 5 Rich. 2, pars 2 M, 11, and 15. Tonmage and Poondage granted 'assint pre foit ' apply sur safeguard del Mers,' and the king at the Petition of the Commens appoints Receivers. 6 Rich, 2, M. 13, the Coummons complain, that nutwithstanding the Grant of Tonbage and Poundage, the sen is not kept, and therefore persons anamed and assigned in parliament to receive the money, nid to do it. 10 Rich. 2, M. 1. Tonnage and Poundage far a year. 11 Rich. 2, M. 6, and 12. Tounage and Poundage and Subsidy for a year. 12 1lich. 3, M. 20 . both for 4 year. 14 Rich. 2, M. 16, both for a year. 17 Rich. 4, M. 12, both for 3 years. 20 Rich. 2, M. 28, subsidy for 5 years, and Tonnage and Poundage for 3 jears. 2 Hen. 4, M. 9, both for two ycars. 6 Hen. 4, M. 9, nnd 10, boih for 2 years, upun condition to cease if the king before St. Hilary provided not sufficient army fir the sca. 8 Heil. 4, M. 9, and 9 Hen 4, M. 16, both fur 2 years, for the common commodity and dicfrace of the realm. 13 Hen. 4, M. 10, and 11, for 1 year, so as the same be confessed to proceed out of their own good will, and not out of duty, 51 Ien. 5 , for 4 years, upon many conditions. 1 Ifen. 6, M. 9, for 2 years. 3 Hen. 6, M. 17, Subsidy for 3 years, Toriage and Poundage for 1 year. 4 Hen. C, M. 22, 6 Hen. 6, M. 11, for 2 years Tonnage and Poundage; and 6s. 8d. upon every man within a parish-Church that hath 20 nobles, and 65 . 8d. ppou erery Knights-Fee held immediately of the king. 8 Ifen. 6, M. 15, Tonuage and Poundage to continue until the next parliament, 9 Hen $6, \mathrm{M}$. 13, both, and for 2 year. 10 IIen, 6, M. 21, for 2 years. 23 Hen. 6, M. 16, for 4 years, and touble upon Atrangers. 47 Hen 6, M. 20 , ond 23 , for 5 yegrs. 37 Hen. 6, M. 8, and 42, Tonnage and Poundage first granted for life; and M. 41, assigned into the subjects hands for 3 years for thic grod of the seas.

My lords, either by the Grant itself of them, or hy the Declaration of the cause of the calling of the Parlament, it Kppears, that these -were all granted upon extraordinaty occasions: and when they come to be granted for life, ils appears by the Rolls and printed Statutes of Ed. 4, c. 13, they were not only graited for the ordinary defence of the realm, and principally of the sea ; but likewise that the kings might always have in readiness a stock of money in their hands to withstand an invasion, as is declared by the very words of those statutes.

My Lords, his majesty is in. possession of them, and was pleased by his Proclamation printed 1626, declaring the cause of the Dissolatior of the last parlintoneat, as appears p. 17, to dechare diat they were almays gratited to hir
progenitors, for the guarding of the Seas, and safety and delence of the realm; and $\mu$. 18, is graciously pleased in these words, to declare that he doth, aud must still pursue thosc ends, and undergo that charge for which they were first granted to the crown; and p. 44, that he receives them for the guardiag of the Seas, and Defence of the realin.

My Lords, I have now done with the Ways which I first propounded, whereby the law hath provided for the Defence of the Kealm. I shall add this only, That by the Statute of Winchester, which was made in 13 Edw. 1, every mau ' secundum statum et facultates,' for the words of the Statute are according to the quantity of his Lands and Goods, is to find horse and armour for the Defence of the realm; fur that the Statute in this particular, extends not ouly to the keeping of the Sea, but likewise to the Defence against Foreigners, is declared in the Parliament Roll of 3 Rich. 2, M. 36. and by the Statute of 5 IIen. 4, in the Parliament Roil M. 24, not printed, 'justa quantitaturn ter' rarum et bonorum,' ngainst Invasions each man is to find Armour. And by the Statute 1 Ed. 3, cap. 5, these men upon sudden coming of strange enemies into the realm, may be compelled to march out of their own counties where they live: whether they may be compelled so to do without Wages, I shall have occasion afterwards to speak. How fir the Statute of Winchester and 5 Hen. 4, for Arms upon the Statutes of 4 and 5 Phil. \& Mar. cap. 2, and 1 Jac. c. 95 , are in force, I shall not speak.

My Lords I shall now proceed to the stating of the questiott. Bracton in the beginning of his Book saith, That ' in Rege necessaria sunt - hace duo, anua et leges quibus utrumque ten'pus Bellorum et Pacis recte possit gubernare.' Glanvill, in the beginning of his Book, saith, - Reg' majestatem armis contra gentes sibi reg-- nẹque iusurgentes oportet esse decorat." ${ }^{\text {- }}$-His majerty; as he is lord of sea and land, so by that which hat贝 been said, it appears that he is armed for the Defence of both.
My Lords, the Reasons io the Writ, as they are weighty, so from these known Supplies, whereby the law hath providod for the Safety of the realm, they will all of them be confessed; and yet thereby receive Answer, that the law hath foreseen and provided the supplies accordingly without the way in the Writ.
First, The command in the Writ being ' In 'fide et legigncia quibus nobis tenemini,' ${ }^{\text {, }}$ it is thence inferred, that ench Subject's allegiance b is him to contribute to the Defence of the renim. In the old Customs of Normandy, cap. 43. allegiance binds ' ad concilii et auxilii ad'jumentum.' This, though it be principally performed by the Parliament, both in Advices and Aids, yet besides these extraodinary, by that which hath been said, we see both by the Tenures in kind, and pecuniury Supplies, that, without the assistnnce thereof, our, persons, lands, and goods, by his majesty's command alone, are made contribatary thereunto, and that in a large proportion. semondy, The

Hule wherehy this Contribution must be regulated, as in the Writ, ' secundum statum et ' facultates,' that likewise is sausfied, and that both for Sea nad Land.

For Laud, in case either the Statute of Wincbester, or $5 \mathrm{Hen}. \mathrm{4} ,\mathrm{be} \mathrm{on} \mathrm{foot}$, that of finding arms, "juxta quantizatem ter'rarum et bonorum.' Sí, secondly, in respect of the Tenures, by Knights-Service, by the Wards, Narriages and Beliefs; these, I confess, concern the Tenant only; but wose other Teuures in copite and Graud Serjeanty, these concern all others, in respect of the Licences of Alienation, and of the Wardshaps of Lands, held of other lords, and that all the Tensants are become hereby wardable. And, Thirdly, in respect of the Prerogntives before mentioned; for the greater the Subject's Estate is, the greater infnence they hare into it, and proportuonably raise more profit out of it.
Io respect of the Sea, this is so by the Customs, Aids, Subsidics, Tonnage and Poundage, before mentioned; for the charge of these is not born by the merchants alone, but by each subject within the kingdom, and that 'secua' dum statum et facultates suns.'
For, First, in reapect of the Export: The greater the estate, the more Wool and Woolfells, and Leather, Lead, and other cominodities; if that be done by the owner, he beara the immediate charge; if by the merchant, according to that proportion is his abatement in price to the owner. So it is for Goods imported; far the greater the estate and means of livelihood are, the more each person buys of these, and at a dearer rate. This is cleared by the Petition of the Commons in Parliament 92 Ed. 3, Rot. 22, M. 41, that the merchants had granted to the king 40s. upon a sack of wool, 'en charge del people et nemy des merchants.' And by the Statute 36 Ed. 3, c. 11. that no Subpidy or Charge be granted to the king by the Merchants upon Wool, without assent in Parliament.
Hence likewise that other ground of Equity in the Writ, ' quod omnes tangit ab omnibua 'debet supportari,' receives Answer : For as all have benefit by the Defence, so is the ' compeusatio publica,' we see it comes from all. Tbe fuller Answer ì the Parlisment Summons of 25 Ed. 1, for Provision agninst che French, who intended 'Linguam Anglicanars ' omnino delere.' Rot. Claus. 23 Ed. 1, M. jh. - Lex justissima provida et circumspectione 'sacrorum principum stabilita,' statut' '\$uod ' omnes tangit ab omnibus approbatur') the Charge must be borne by all, so it mus' be approved by all.
If his majesty he intrusted with the Defence of the realim, as in the great Case ketween the earls of Hereford and Glocester, it is said, that, ' incuanbit domino regi salvatio populi sibj ' commia.' and that 'per jurnmert' est astrictus ${ }^{4}$ ad proyiden伿m salvationem regoi circum' quaque,' because no man goeth to war at hia own charge. We see by that which is alrewdy said, that the lain puth provided the 'Stipetr-

[^37]'dia Ministerii;' which that they do bind his majesty to the defence and safety of the kingdum, not only in point of care and ugilancy, bat even in pount ot charge too, I shall endeavour to prove to your lordships and the court.

Allegrance we owe as an Act of Reciprocation; for as it binds the sulyect to Tribute -and Sulyection, so therefore the king to the charge of Protection by the expence of these, - Rex ad mutclan corporum et bohorum erectos.' The suppless he hath, for these purposes, tie bim to the supportation of the Laivs, and the executon of Justice. 20 Ed. 1, in the Case before mentioned betw een the earls of Gilocester and Hefeford, it is sand, that 'dommus rex est ' oranihus at singulis de regno suo justitiar de-- bitor ;' which that he is so, eren in poinl of charge, appears in his majesty's supportation of the Courts of Justice, and the Salaries wot only to your lordships, and other the meferior ministers of justice, and anciently to the She-' riffs, but likewise many other wig, 4 Ilen. 7 , c. 12, the kugg shall not let for any faronr of charee, but that he shall see his latis folly executed. Parl. 2s, Ed. 1. Hot. 12, Exchequer. A clerk that attended a committee of (irievances recovered Salary from the king, ohihounth the Commission was for the relief ef that cotnety. This I conceive to be the reasoi, of the declaration in the Statute of 14 P.L. 3, c. 1, and wther statutes, That aids, though grautrd in parliament, for Defence, shall not be Lrought juto example; in that it moght be conccised, that the commons were to bear that charge which principally belonged to the king. 1'at. is Hien. 7, M. 8, it is recited, That whereas a late parliament 'in articulo necesstatis pro - defensione regni contra hostilem advelutuin 'alienigenarum,' the commons granted him a large Subsidy, "ultra quam retroactis tempo'ribus facere consueverant;' now the hing ' eorum indempnitati prospicere volens,' grants, that ' non cedat in prajudicium nee in pos'terum trahatur in consuetudinem.' In Wiseman's Case, in the second Keport, fo. 15, it is resolied that a Covenant to stand seized to the use of queen Elizabeth, that she is the IIead of the Commonwealth, and hath the care of repelling foreign hustility, is not good, because, saith the Book, the kfog is bound to do that er, officio. Com. 315. One renson why the kiing is to have Roval Mines, alledged by all tlat argued for the king, is, because be is at lisown charge to provide for the Defence of the ralm, whicfi he cannot do without money. In the carl of Devon's Casp, Co. 11, 91, 6 Institut, fio. 28, nud 131. 'Thesaurus Regis' is called 'Nernus Bell.'. For the practice, the proof of the particular changes the several Eings havebeen at for Defences of all sorts, would be sutedious, that 1 will omit the citing of any thing in this kund. Sir John Daring reports, fu. Is, many authorities, and in the treatise 'De-Regalibus, p. 81. 'Yrincipestotam - havigationem pro vectigalibus prestare co' guatur.'
But lecause his majgsty, in the foremen-
tioned proclamation, p. 18, and 14, is graciously pleased to profess, that he holds himelff obigged to undergo the charge of the Defence of the realm, and of the sea in particular, I shall zpare any further proof in this.

If thit in the Writ, that the Sea 'por gen' $t \in \mathrm{~m}$ Auglicanann ab olim defendi consuevit,' be not answered by the Scotish Roll of 10 Ed. 3, before recited, which savs, That the king and his uncestors ' Maris Anglisani deteusóres ' antelac constiterunt,' nor by what is now said, if it be admitted, yet that even the charge of this defence is bome 'per gentem Auglicanam,' is betore proved.
The next, and one of the main things whereupon I shall state my question, is this. His majesty is in thee suctual possesoson, not only of the Service in Land, for the Defence of the land, by takne - $f$ the benefit of the Wardships, Glarriages, Relleft, i mis and lieenses of Alienations, anul ' primer seisin,' and of the prerogalises beforementioned, but likewise of the serviccs of the Cinque Ports, miless they be released swa 7 Hen. 7, (for then their Services were summoned) and of the Tomnage and Poundage, and other dutie- fin the Defence of the hea: It appeas not by uny part of the Wit, nor by any thing in the record, that enther the sesvices of the Cinque Ports were summoned, or that any money at all of his majesty's was expendell, eather for this service, or at any other tane Fior the defence of the sca. My levis, 1 disare to be understiod, I do not afirn that hone wav expendel; only this appears not to your lordshys and the court : all that can be mferred from the Writ to this purpose is, that this slaip for Bucks, is commanded to te at Porismouth by surh a day ' ad proficend' exinde cum navibus dien do' mini regis et maibus alior' thelum subdi' torum suorum.l By this it appears not to the Court, that though the Slips are the king's, that they are to be set forth at the hing's charge ; for the charge may be borne by the subject, for aught appears.
Neither, Sccondy, doth it appear, hòw many these ships nere, whereby the charge, in case it were borne loy the hing, might in any proportion appear to be answeralile to the sapply beforementioned. Those other ships, 'aloo' rum fidelum subditior' nostror' regis,' as in rruth they were not those of the Cinque Ports. neither can they he so intended, unless it had heen so expressed.
I ne Service of the Cinque Ports, and Tonnage and Poundage, and oherer Dutues, are ordinary settled and kmown ways by the law upponnted for the defence of the seas; the way in the writ by sessing and altering the property of the subjects goods without their consemt, as in the writ, must needs he granted to be a way more unuasal and extruordinary. Agrinst the legality of it, I shall thus frame my argument ly way of ndmission: first, that in case the ser. vice of the ports had been summuned, and the money by the furenentioned ways raised had been expended upou the defience, and they inad
not been sufficient, that though in this case the writ had been. legal ; yet, as now it is, itis not. Ryhe's Case in the 10th Report, fol, 139, and Trin. 18 Ed. 2, Banc. legis 174, adjudged, that so long as he that is bound by tenure, or prescription, is able to do it, the whole Level cannot be nssessed to the repairing of a wall or bank. It is a maxim, 'Lex non ficit salutem;' nor that we are to run to extrnordinary, when the ordinary means will serve the turn; these rules are olten put in our books, I intend to instance but in one or two cases.
The Common Law is the common reliever of persons wronged; that in Chancery is extraordivary, and therefore no man can sue there, when he may have remedy at the common low. The ordinary way of Trial for Life is by Indictment and a Jury; when therefore this may be done, and that the sheriff, with the Posse Comitatus, is able to keep the peace, it cannot le dune by martial law, or by jurgment of the hing and peers in parlianent without indictment, ns was adjurged in the case of the earl of March, Trim, 20 Ed. S, Banco Regis, Rot. 21. My lords, the reason of this maxim of law is, as I conceive, these actions extraordinary are done extra ordinem, and done only in times of necessity, when we are not tied to any rules of law, and therefore not to he brought into example, nor have any warrant but only that of necessity; nor any rule toguide them but what, pro hic et nunc, shall serte for the bringing of them about : the same power then that may once do them, in the omitting of the ordinary way, may, by the same rule, always do them, and so ly consequence, how far such power is tied at any time, or in any thing, to any rules of law, I shall humbly submit to your lordships coasiderntion. My lords, I have now done stating the question, those things whereopon I slaill spend the rest of my tire are these.

First, Adnaiting that the ordinary means abovementioned had ${ }^{4}$ been all used, and that they had not been sufficient; whether in this Cuse his majesty, wilhout consent in parliament, may ill this case of, extraordiuary Defence, alter the Property of the Subjects Goods for the doing thereof.
In the next place, I shall endeavour, to answer some Objections which may be made to the contrary.

In the third place, for qualifying of this, I shall adanit, that insome cases the property of the Suljects Goods for the Defence of the renlu, may be atiterert withourconsent in parWonent; and shall shew what they are in particular, and compare dhem and the present occasion tozether.

In the fourth place, because of sorme Precedents of thie matter of fact, and likewise of all suthorities that may seem to prove a legality in this particular of Shipping for Defence of the Sen, whatever it lie in the general, I shall therefore endenvonr to answer to such of them as I have mes with 1 .

For the first, that to the altering of the Property of the Subjects Goods, though for the
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Defence of the realm, it parliamentary assitstance is necessary. In this it must be granted, in the first place, that the law ties ho mana, and mach less the king, to impossibilities : and secondly, that the kingdom must be defended.

As thicrefore the law hath put this great trust upon his majesty, so when the Supplies which by the ways before-mentioned it hath put into his hands fail therein, it hath provided other ways for a new Supply..
The first thing that I shall present unto your lordships and this court, are the Aids and Subsidies granted in parliament. That amongst the 'Ardus Regni negotia' for which partiar ments are called, this of the Defence vot only is one of them, but even the chief, is cleared by thb; That of all the rest none are named in particular in the summons, but only this: for all the summons of parliament shew the cause of the culling them to be, 'pro quibasdam ardaia ${ }^{\text {' }}$ negotiis nos et defensionem litegai nostri An'gliax et Ecclesiax Anglicane concernentibus;' and in the conclusion the party summoned to be there, 'Sicut honoree nostrum et salvatio' nem et defensionem Regni et Ecclesian diligit.' And in all the ancient suminons of parliament, when Aid was demanded, the particular cause of defence, and against what enemy in special, was mentioned.
My lords, to gain tine, I will instance but one or two of each ling's reign. Claus: 23 Ed. 1, M. 4. dors. that the French ' nd expugnan'dum Regn' nostr'classe maxima et bellatorum ' copiosa multitudine Regn' nootrum invadunt ' et linguam Anglicanum omnino proponunts; \&cc. Claus. 5 Ed. 2, M. 5, dors. and 7 Ed. 2, M. 8. dors. that the Scots had entered, barut and destroyed the Marches, and put them to a tribute. Claus. 1 Ed. S, pars 2, M. 6, mad 22 Ed. S, M. 31, dors. that the Scots and French had invaded the realm. Claus. 7 Hen. 4, M, 29, dors. that the French were with a great fleet, Quasi in ore Thamexis, to invade the ling: dom, and the king to go in person; after thit king's reign, the summons was as now it is.
That these' Ardua defensionem Regni cone ' cernen',' are the Aids and Means of Defences, and not the way and manner of doing it, as their counsel therein is clear. In the Parliament Roll, 6 Rich. 2. M: 9. This of the manner and way and prosecution of the war being giren in charge to the conumons to advise opon, they answer this, 'Nec doit, nec soluit apper'taine al eux mes al roy.' Rot. Purl, 18 Bd. S, pars 1, M. 11, the same being given in charge to the commons, they pray 'que ils. ne sout. 'charge al councel doner al choses del queax ile ' n'ont pas conuzance:' And so Rot. Parl. $\boldsymbol{8 1}$ Ed. S, M. 5 , they encuse themselves, and say, that this belongs to the King and his souncil.

And that these "Ardual circe-defeusionem,", were the Aids, is expressed in words in some of the Surmmons. Chus. 7 Ed. s, M. B, dors. abe cauce of the pirtiament to witbotand the Scoth, and that in 'tain anduis debetis extendere mane: 'nus adjatrices opportuif' auxil' faciapdo' Claus. 31 Ed. 3, M. 91, dons. that ' circa asicin.
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'sariam defensionem Regni quam ad dictum ' negotium expediend' nuxilium necpssar' nos - habere oportet.' Clans. 5 Rirh. 2, M. 2, dors. the king being ts make a voyuge + pro defen'sione Ilegni,' which cuald nint be done without borrowing great sums of money; theretire the parliament was called to advise about the mesurance. So that, my lords, it is clear, that the law hath provided this parliamentary way for supplying of the king's wants for the extraordinary delence, and hath likewise put the power of usiug of it into his majesty's own hands, for he may call parliaments when, and to often as be plensech.

My lords, as the parlianent, first, are best qualtied and fitted to make this supply; (for some of each rank, and that throogh all the paris of the kingdom, being there met, his majesty haviug declared the danger, they liest know the stutes of all men within the realm, and are fittest, by comparing the dauger and men's estates together, to proportion the aid accordingly ;) and, secondly, are fittest for the preservation of thate fundanental propriety which the subject hath in his lauds and goods; because each stulject's vote is included in whatsoever there is done: so that it cannot be done otherwise, I shill endeavour to prove to your lurdships both by reason and authority.

My first reason is this, that the parliament by the law is appointed us the ordinary mesns of supply upon extraordinary occasions, when the ordinary supplies will not do it. If this in the writ therefore may without resorting to that be used, the same argument will hold as before, in resorting to the extraordinary by wuy of the ordianary, and the same inconveniency will follow.

My second reason is taken from the actions of former kings in this of the defence. The Aids demanded by them, and granted in parinment aven for this purpose of the defence, and that in times of imminent danger, are so frequent, that I will spare the citing of any of them. It is rare in a subject, and more in a prince, to ask and take that of gift, which he may and ought to have of right.

The second way was Loans and Benevolences demanded by them, with promise of repayment both for the ordinary and extraordinary defence of the realm; -and that as well of all the subjects equally, as of some few. Pat. 48 Hen. 3 , M. 16, a commission to the earl of Leicester and others, ' contrabendi matuum in nomipe ' nostro de denariis' et victualibus,' and other things " in munitionem navium ponendis et nauf tarura stipendiis contra hostalen adventum $t$ alienigenar' in Regnum nostrum, et ad defen! sionem et tuitioncm pjasd' Regni;', and promiseth repayment. Visis computis in the Exchequer, 26 E.d. 1, Rot. 100, the king borrows of the merchants $28,9661 .{ }^{4}$ pro defensione Keg ' ni,' and promiseth repayment, Hil. 31. Ed. 1, Rot. 4, and Trin. 31 Ed. 1, Hot. 41 . diversturas borrowed swo defensione,' und repayment promised. Br. irrot. 34 Fd. 1, Rut. $82.10,0001$. paid by the king at one time for money borrow-
ed, this I confess is 'Arduis Regni negotiis. Br, irrot. 11 Ed. 2, Rot. 1, the Scots having entered the kingdom, 'diversa homicidia, incen'dia, et depredationes perpetrantes,' the king being in person to go against them, writes to his council to provide noney; and they, 'di' versas vias pro denariis proidendis exquiren' tes,' resolve tu borrow. P. 12 Ed. 2, Commun' for the sanc caase eloan upon all merchants strangers. Hot. Scot. 1 Ed. 3, M. 3, the Scots having entered the realu and taken divers castles, and threatened a conquest of England, and ' Quia crescit sump'tuom multitudo in tantum quod thesaurus ' noster ad sustentationem exercituum nostr' ' nequaq' sufficit,' he borrows. Claus. 14 Ed. 3, M. 8, the kingthad borrowed 3333L. ' pro 'salvatione et defensiune regur, et volt promp' tam solutionen tieri prout decet;' und noir Assigns it to be paid our of the customs. Wal'singhan, p. 179. 44 Ed, 3 , the king ' Sinistro ' usus concilio magnas sumnas pecouia,' of all sorts ' mutuo petiit, asseverans quod in defen'sionem ecclesiz et regni illas expenderet;' but the people would not lend. Claus. 5 Rich. 2, M. 12, dors. the king ' pro defensione regni,' being to make a voyage to sea, dosired to borrow money, and a parlinment called to give assurance. 7 IIen. 4, Rot. Frunc. money borrowed, 'pro defensione, volens promptam et 'securam solutionen fieri.' Rot. Parl. 1111. 6, M. 1s, 10,000l. bobrowed 'pro defeusione,' and spent, and the parliament order the security. Rot. Parl. 15 Hen. 6, M. 3, 10,000t. borrowed ' pro defensione,' by the king. Stat. 11 Hen. 7, cap. 10, it appears that a Benevolence had been desirest by Hen. 7, for the defence of the realm, and wherein lie went in person. The known commission to Cordinal' Wolsey for the Benerolence in March, 16 II. 8, it was to withstand ' Infestissimos loostes,' of France and Scotland, who intended to invade the realm; snd that the king's coffers were now empty, and therefore they, have power 'Com' municandi et inducendi,' persuadendi et prac' ticandi cum subditis regis super amicabilem 'pecuniarum concessimem,' 2 pars. Pat. 37 Hen. 8, ' cum pro sustentatione ingentis oneris " nostrarmin copiarum, quas in presenti tam per ' roare quam per terram conficere, et in promp' tu habere ceggimur ad resistend' propellend' ${ }^{6}$ hostem nostrum Francorum. Regem, in defen(sionem tutelan et securitnten dilectorum 'subditorum nostrorum, guorum ill' damnum ' e et interitum ofmnibus tins et modis molitur. ' Statut' et ex consensu et scientia concilii nos' tri decrevirnus aliquan opem de dictis subdi' tis nostris petere, et eand' cum corum bene' volentia recipien' pro corum cujuslibet facul' tate ministrand' mihil dubitans quin sponte et - literaliter quisq; pro sua portione it facul-- Inte elargivarus sit, enque manis et citius quod ' id totum consumat' et cedat in suam ipsorum ' defensionem;' and the power is given to Jevy it as a Benevolence only. By the Statate of 35 Hen. 8, c. 12, it appears that for the Defence IIen. 8, had borrowed divers sums of money.

The third way was by anticipating their rents. Trin. 29 Ed. 1, Rot. 58, in the Exchequcr, Writs went to all the sheriffs of England, 'pro salvatione regni ejusq; incolárum salva'tione, et ininicoruas depressione;' that all the profits arising out of their counties, and the rents of all the king's tenants due at Michaelmas, be paid of Nidsummer, and allowance promised in the rext half year's rent ; and that this ' ad tam ardua negotia necessaria, et in ' consuetudinem non trahatur.'

My lords, that not one or tivo, but so many kings, aud of such power and wisdoun us many of them were, and that in a matter of such consequence, and in times of necessity, should so far descend from their greatuess, or so fiur prejudice their right, as to borgow that of the sulject, which, without being beholden unto them, they might take of right, and bind themselves to repayment, and all without any salto of their right, your lordships will conceive that it can hardly be imagined.

My third reason is taken from the incertainty of the way intended by the writ; for the law delightivg in certainty, to the end that the subject might be sure of somewhat that he might call his own, bath made all thise things that the king challengeth as peculiar to himself from the subject, either certain in themselves, or else reducible to a certainty cither by the judges, jury or parlinment, or some other way than by his majesty himself, as indiffercat between the kiug and bis people. In this I intend not such things ns are common to the king with the subject, of which nature are the aids for marrying the king's eldest daugbter, or knighting his eldest son; for these are due to several common persons that are lords of a manor, as well as to the king, as appears by the statuté of Westm' 1, 3 Ed. 1, cap. 35, M. 28, and are not due by any special prerogative, but by Tenure; and yet the common law for avoiding excess therein, calls it 'Rationabile ' auxilium;' und even this by the statute of Westin' 1, 3 Ed. 1, oup. 35, is put into certainty; and the cause of making the statute, as therein is expressed, is, because the people were grieved by paying more than was requisite ; and thereby that which was reasonable, became an unreasonable nid. This statute was general, and named not the king in particular; bat the statute $25 \mathrm{Ed}$. 3, cap. 11, is only in case of the king, snd $\mathrm{Na} . \mathrm{Br} . f 0.82$, gives the reasun of the making of that statute, because the king before did distrain fot more than was fit, and therefore by reason of the excess, was restrained to a certainty as well as the subject. Neither are the taxes and talliages upon cities and boroughs, or ancient demesne against this, in respect of the baseness of their tethures beforemeationed. And secondly, because the subject that is lord of such borough and manor of ancient demesne, hath them as well as the king, ns appegrs by the case of New Salisbury, 35 Ed. 1, in the parliament book, nidd in the new parliament roll, 8 Ed. 2, for the borough of Cirencester, and Br. Trin. 35 Ed. 1, Rot.

22 , and $\mathrm{Na} . \mathrm{Br} .97$. These thinge which are peculiar to the king, either they be certain in thenselves, as are treasure-trove, deodunds, wrecks, and the like, where the king is to have the thing itself; and so if it be in money, as the slemi-mark; when in a writ of right the tenant prayeth, that the seisin may be enquired, fines 'pro licentia concordandi,' it is the tenth part by the law comprized in the writ of. covenant, and the post-fine one half so much more, and fines for purchasing original writs 2a. 8d, where the thing demanded is $40 l$. or 10t. where 100l, and so in propurtion. Or else it is reducible to a certainty, ns in all cases where the party is to be amerced, though hee is ' mi' sericordia dom' regis,' yet the jury must find the anerciament; and when lie is to make fine and ransom 'ad voluntatem domini regis,' yet this fine must be set by the judges : when the tenant by knight-service makes default in 'the summons 'ad exercitum,' which is to pay escuage for the default ; this cannot be set but in parliament, as I shall prove hereafter.
My lords, to apply all to the thing in question, there is a cause for raising money for the Defence of the realm, ' non defiaitur in lege,' what will serve the turn . If his mujesty, os in the writ, may without parliament lay 20s. upon the Defeadant's goods, I shall huunbly subinit it to your lordships, why by the same reason of law it wight not have been 20l. nud so ad infinitum ; whereby it would come to pnss, that if the subject hath any thing at all, he is not beholden to the law for it, but it is left entirely in the mercy and goodness of the king.

My loveds, I am now come to the second kind of Proofs, and that is by sutborities. The cases which in the first place I will iosist upon, will be to prove it by induction: for if I shal prove that his majesty without parliament cannot tax his poople for setting forth of LandForces for defence, for making and maintaining of forts and castles for defence, for victuals for a defensive army, for maintenance of prisoners taken in a defensive war, for pledges and hostages given by foreign states for the keeping of peace; if it cannot be in all or apy of these particulars, the five supports of a defensive war ; I shall then offer it to your lordstips, whether. it can be done at all.

Before I proceed to these particulars, I shall observe thus much, my lords, in the general ; that if those that hold by ancient demesne and burgage, which are but base tenure, cannot be taxed ' nisi sur grand cause,' and that have many privileges in point of ease and profit' in consideration thereof, as they have; much less then enn the tenants by Knights-Service and. socage, that are free tenants, and have no privilege in support of the charge, be taxed. And as they are not taxable, bat 'sor grand cause in the general, so neither in particular for thip of defence, as is proved by that of encunge; for if his कajestyowithout consent in parlianent, cannot tax his own tenants, nor proportion thin fine according to his plensore, when the teling: holds the laod ad exercitwn, for the defencition
the kingtom, much less can he do it where there is no tenure for that purpose. That escuage camot be set without parliament, is first the statute of Running Mead, ' Nullum - Scutagium vel auxilium ponatur in regno nos' tro nisi per commune concilium regni nostri;' which though it be not printed, yet it is of record, and inrolled in the Hed Book of the Exchequer, and cited in Mat. Paris; p. 343. And that as well before the confirmation of it, 9 Hen. 3 , as $\sin c e$, it bath been by the judges reputed to be a statute and of force, appears by the book of 5 Hen. 3, Mordam. 5s, where it is plended, and called by the name of Magna Charia, ánd ollowed; and M. 10 Ed. 1, finiente 20, incipiente Banc. Regis Rot. 56. in the case of Ralph de Tunney, it is pleaded by the name of Magna Charta Johannis Regis de Running Mead, and allowed.

In the Book of Knights-Foes of Ed, 1.'s time, there is a writ cited, which went to the Sheriff of Hereford thus; 'Datum est nobis ${ }^{6}$ intelligi quod plures sunt qui teuent per ser' vitium militarium de nobis, qui contradicunt
' solvere scutagia que nobis sunt concessa per
' commune concilum regni nostri;' therefore he is comenanded to lery them. Comm' M. 8 Ed. 2, Hot. dors. many processes issued for the levying of escuage granted in Ed. 1.'s time superseded, and quite released; the reason entered on the Roll is, ' Quia dictum servitium non - fuit communiter factum;' that is, as I conceive, that it was not done ' per commune con'cilium regui:' The Books are express, 13 Hen, 4. Com. Banc. Na. Br. 8s. Jnstitut. §97.

My lords, that those that held in sucage or fee-farm, or not by so many Knights-Fees as they were distraned for, were always discharged, as appears by infinite precedents, I sholl make no use of it, as the manner of entering these discharges upon the roll; it is observable, that he is distrained ' ac sit tenerit per ser'vitium militare,' whereas he holds the lauls in Socage, 'pro quibus servitium aliquod regi - in exercitibus suis facere non debet,' and in some rolls that ' Ratione alicujus authoritatis,' he ought not to be distrsined; therefure ' Quia - Doninus Rex non vult illom in hac parte in'juriari prout justum est,' the distresses are released. Amongst diverrs precedents for this, I shall cite but one or two, Br. Trin. 34 Ed. 1, Rot. 20. the abbot of Abington and John Arden, the iter-roll of Sussex, 7 Vid 1, Rot. 107. of Gulbert Gifford. My lords, if the king might haver raised money, and seized money for finding of Soldiers, or for their aross, this manner of entry, as I humbly conceive, would never have been suffered.
I am now come to the first particular that I Give instanced; that is, the charging the Subjeet for finding of Soldiers to go out of their Soonty for the Defence of the realin. My fords, in that I shall in the first place admit these thred things.

1. Thaf every man after the Statute of Wincliester, "seicundumi atatura et facultates,' was ti fihd all minnner of arms well for the de-
fence of the realm against foreigners, as for the peace; end that I hava before proved by that of 3 Rich. 2, M. 16. and after by the statute 5 Hen. 4.
2. That upon sudden coming of strange enemies, thesc are compelled to travel out of their own connties, is the statute of $1 \mathrm{Ed}, \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{c} .5$. and so for appeasing of any notahlerrebellion, when the king for the doing therea. goes in person, as appears by the statute 11 Hen. 4, c. 1. and 18.
3. I shall admit, that so long as they remain at home, and go not out of their countries, they are to have no wages; and that the moritime shires, and those that border upon Scotland and" Wales, were not to be at the 'king's charge, so long as they remained at home in their own counties for the preservation of them; but that they were in that case themselves to bear the charge against foreign invasion, as of making hue and cry, assisting the sheriff when he took the Posse Comitatus, and all other things concerning the keeping of the peace.

Bat that the Subjects are taxable either for Wages or Victuals, or otherwise for finding of soldiers out of their counties, though for Defence of the king oom, or that any are compellable to do it at their own charge, 1 shall humbly deny. The statute 1 Ed. 3, says, That in this case it shall be done, as usually hath been done in times past, for the defence of the realm. My lords, I shall not deny, but that before Fd. 3's time conmissions have issued out of the chancery for that purpose; ngainst which matters of fact, not only to balance them, but elen to weigh them down, it is as clear thnt whole armics, some of them of $\mathbf{5 0 , 0 0 0}$ at the least, over and above them that were summoned by their tenure, have been maintained at theoking's charge, from the time that they bave departed out of their couaties, during the whole time of their service, and that not only with promises of payment, but that they were paid ex Thesuuro Regis, out of the Exchequer; and many times upon failure of payment, victuols, wages, and other things, upon suit for them in the Exchequer, full payment has been made; of which sort in inost kings reigns there are many cases.

My lords, this is the answer that I give to the cominissions to the country, That de facto the king was at the charge usually for defensire war. By the statute 19 IIen. 8, cap. 1, those that have annuities of the king must attend him when the king in,person goes for the defence of the realm, or against rebels: But there is a special Proviso, that they shall have wages of the king from the timethey set out till they come to the king, allowing twenty miles a day, and aftegwards as long as they thall remain in the service. Upon a rebellion in the North 28 Hen. 8, against which the king intended to go in person, privy-seals were sent to most of the gentry to attend the king with the best retinue that they could make, and likewise to bring the bills of their expence and payment promised; ns appears by many of those privy-seals remaining in the palece-trcasury. And betides the
indentures themselves, whereof I have seen many, it appeass by the statate 2 \& 9 Ed. 6, cap. 2, that the retainer of soldien at the king's charge, was as well for defeusive as offensive wars; and also by the statute of 3 Hen. 8, cap. 5.

My lords, in the next place I shall endeavour the proof hereof by clear anthorities. The statute of 25 Edw.a3. cap. 8 is, that none shail be compelled to find arms, but such as hold by such service, if it be not by grant in parliament. That this was not 'introductivum nove legis,' appears by a petition whereupon the statute is made, that it is 'encounter le droit del roylme.' That the common law was so before the statute, and lihewise in case of a defensive war, appears by the authorities following ; P. 26 Ed. 1, llot. 35. dors. the Scots entering the borders, a connmission issued Reginaldo de Gray, to press soldiers in Lancaslure; he certified by his letter mrolled there, ' que sans devierca prest,' he could not procure them to march out of those parts; und therefore order is taken in the Excluequer to send money. That the Scots had now invarded the kingdom, appens by Br . irrot' m. 26 Ed. 1, in Scaccar. where commussions are inrolled for many thousauds to be levied for this war at the king's wages. Bra. Trm. 32 Fd. 1, Rot. 18, Communia. The wadens of the mashes of Cumberland and Wcatinorland write to the barons of the Exchequer, that whereas the "Scots lay near the marches with a great aumy, and that the people of these counthes would not march out of their counties without wages and victuals, that they would provide for both. 2 Pars Pat. $10 \mathrm{Ed}$. 2, M. 26, and 9 Ed. 2, im parl. a grant to find one mollice for 60 days at the charge of the town ngauns an invasion of the Scuts. Now the hing grauts, 'Quod hujusmodi concessio non 'reddat in prajndicium, nect trahatur in ex'emplum in futuro.'. At the tume when this aid was granted, the Scots had entered the realim, and wasted the bishoprich of Durham, as appears in 14 Ed. 2, Banc' Keg. Mut. 60. Rot. Scot. 12 and 13 Ed. 2, M. 7, and 13. The same indemnity upon the like occasion of defence, when they found the soldiers 'ad roga'tum Regis,' and the king coinmanded the chancellor to declare as much. Claus, 13 Ed . 3 M. 38, dors. pars 1, the abhot of Ramsey discharged 'pro custodia marituna' in the county of Norfolk, because he remained in his own county, of Huntington, . ' cum equis et ' armis,' for the defence thereof, with this, that therefore it was not rationi consonans to charge him farther. The same it is Rot. Fra. 21 Ed. 2, M. 1, Pars 1. Oxon. because they nere prompti et parali at home to defend the dounty. But the practice, it seems, not sgreeing with the right in the parliament, $20 \mathrm{Ed}, 3$, M. 12, the cotumons complain, tlat commissions had issued out of the Clancery to charge the people iff this particular and otherwise, without consent in parliament, and pray, that they may disobey such commissions. The answer is, that the commons had heretofore promised
to assist the king with their bodies and goods in the war with France, and likewise for the defencé of the realm; and that the great lords, considering the necessity as well for defence as for the king's wars, agree thereunto, and yet promise that this which is done in 'cest necessite, ne ' soit troit en consequencé n' ensample.' My lords, this is a full declaration of the right, even when for the defence, and yet some practise to the contrary. Befire the making of the statute $25 \mathrm{Ed} . \mathrm{S}$, procured the Compluints in this particular, in the parlament 21 Ed. 3, M. 28 Ed 3, Pat. 8 Hen. 3. 'Fulcasius de Brent inimiteus 'publicus et excommunicatua,' that imprisoned the justices atinerant in Bedford-castle, and helg the castle against the king; the king, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ propter grares et manifestos excessus quibas 'regnum inultiplicitur peiturbavit;' besieged the castle; and whereas the clengy, de merd kratia, had granted the king aid for the doing thereof, " lex nolens grataun sic nobis exhibi' tam ad debitum retoiquer,' declares as mach by has letters patent. My lords, it is here doclared thit the kmg cannot de debito, or de jure, take any and agaunst the subjects wills for besieging of a castle, held agaust the king by a public enemy. Rot. Inquisioq' 3 Ed. 1, liot. 4. 'Kent' coram nuditoribus queielarain post 'bellum Evcsliam et pacem proclaroatam.' The castle of Tunbridge being held against the hing, the hundred of Feveisham was nsseseed at $15 \%$. per insultationem of the castle: The jury presents this as a guevance, which the justices would never have reccived, nor suffered to be onternd into the roll, if this assessment tuight have haufully been made. My lords, this castec and hundred they were both in the same county, and being before the statute of Winchester, they mo not compellable to besiege the castle; and if they were compellable to go in person nud with aims, yet no assessiment could be land tor the doing theteof. My lords, I shall only offer to your lordships consideration the Scoush roll of 20 F. 3. 3, M. 6, the wardens of the marches of Scotland weie to appoint 'Ex'ploratores et sipiles,' which were to espy out and give nouse of the enemy's intendments. By the commissions in Hew. 4, Hen. 5, and II. 6's times, they were' explorandam defensiona ' regni, et partium sumptibus incolaruro:' But how ? Only 'de assensu et voluntate sua, prout' 'fieri consuevit.'
My lorde, I am now come to that of Victuals. The statute 1t Ed. S, c. 19, is, "That for the Wars the Provision for them shall be done by inerclants without cominission of otner powel from the king, or eny other power, that the pcople may not be compelled to sell ngainst their wills.' That this was as well for defensive as offensive war, and that this was not ' introductivum nove legis,' but was so at consmon law, is, by your loidship's favour, clear. Pat. 29, Ed. 1, M. 16, 19, 'ad reprimendam ' malitiam Scotaram,' and to repel them, Commissions to most counties to pronde Victualsg and because they refuse to do it, the king thek. offers them securit Br . Trih. 8 Ed . 2,']
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99. Victuals bought 'juxta forum patria pro ' munitione Marchize Scotis,' and there payment upon suit adjudged. Sometimes at Newcaatle, sometimes at Carlisle, sometimes at Berwick, as the war required, were StoreHouses, where the Victuals were laid, and clerks of the stores to issue them out. That the king not only paid for the Victoals, but for the houses where they were laid, appears. Br . Trin. Ed. 3, about the end of the Roll, dors. the burgesses of Newcastle complain in parliament, that their houses bad been taken up long time for the keeping of those Victuals; this was transmitted into the Fxchequer by writ, which days, 'Volumus hiis pro domibus suis - predictis sic occupatis, satisfacere, prout de-- bet et prout justum fuerit, et prout temporious ' progenitor' nostror' fieri cousuevit.'
My lords, in the next place for the Defence. When those that served with borse 'ad vadia 'regis,' lost their horses in the service, the owners did not bear the loss, but they were always paid for by the king; and-therefore when they were first entered into the service, the marshal, or clse the wardens of the Marclies, who had the command of them, did set down in a roll the horse of cach man, and the mark and price of each horse, to the intent that the owner by this certilicate might be assured of the full value to be paid hims, in case the horse was lost. This appears Claus. $34 \mathrm{Ld} .1, \mathrm{M}$. 16, where the 'custodes Marchiac Scotis,' assigned 'pro defensione Marchias,' were to do it. Br . irrot. in the 26 F.J. 1, Rot. 105 , 106 , the Scots having entered the realm, disers 'homi ' cidia, incendia et alia facinora perpetmates,' there the horses ad radin, fir Defence were to be appraised. 2 Pars Pat. $10 \mathrm{Eif}, 2$, the stune; and the Scotish Rnll of the 21 Ed. S, M. 7, the same, pront jus cst. That thereupon, since, the sibject hith recovered of the king, are many cases. I will instance but in two or three. In 21 Ed. 1, Ilot. 16, dons. Rob.rt IIeibam recorered 20 marks in the Evcliequer, ' pro equo ${ }^{6}$ perdito in conflictu Dover inter homines re'gis et inimicos Francia;' nt which time the French had assaulted Dover, and burnt the priory and a great part of the town. Br . Iilil. 17 Ed. 2, 'pro rcstauratione trium equorum 'perditor' at Carlisle. 9 Ed. 2, Com. P. 9 Ed. 2. Richard Waldegrave recovered for horses lost at Carlisle. Com. Ifl. 2 Ed. 3, for wages 'pro restauratione equorum perdi' tor' and buryiug of the dead when the Scots had entered the realm at stanopic-Park, for one troop 58,000 l. allowed, 'habita inde delibera' tione,' and adjudged.

For Castles, the antieat Forts nnd Bulwarks for Defence, the statute $14 \mathrm{Ed}$. 3, c. 13, says, That merchants without any commission or power from the hing shall vicuual them, so that the people shall not he compelled to sell against their will. That this statute in this particular is not 'introductivum novze legis,' is' cleared by the Case Thin. 16 Ed. 1, Rot. 98 . Wilts, in a little Roll, and in a great Roll of the same
' Jeit, Riot. 19, when in Trin, by Juha Eves-
horne against John Flavell, 'Quia blada et 'garbas suas cepit,' the Defendant says, he was Constable of the Ling's Castle of the Devises, and that he hat 'in praceptis Domini ${ }^{\text {'Regis, }}$ quod mur' faceret' to the castle ' de 'morturo stauro vel de bladnis ;' and of these things, and that by vitue of this writ, he took an inquest to know where he might have best these provisions, 'ad minus nocumentum pa' tria '' and the jury found it, that the 1)efendant might take it 'ad miuus nocumentum pa'trie; ' of the Plaintiff; and that he came to tha Plainti§'s house, nod offered to buy 'pro 'denariis et ad usum regis;' and that because the Plaintiff refused to sell, they departed from his house; the issue joined, and found agamse the Defendant; 10 marks datoages given the Plaintiff, and adjudged. There weee alw.yys antiently visorcs opcrationum, and they upon Lath certified, that they saw the hing's muncy expeuded, which was icannded in the Exchequer. And for Vietuals, us they nere bought with the king's money, to when they grew stale, or the danger was pussed, they were sold agau to the king's use.

My Sords, that even in the time of war, when the Frontier Towns and Casties nere besieged, and the Borders invaded, that even then the hing dad bear the C'harges, appesis by the allownnces in the Fxeliequer, Tint. 27 Fid. 1, Rot. 47, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ pro fuitione Newcastic contra 'Scotos, qui hostaliter Regoum in paribus allis 'invascrunt.' M. 31 Ed. 1, Rot. 2 , the Scots besieged Carlisle, $26 \mathrm{Ed}$..1 , und allowance now de erithaus Castr' which was the hing's. Aud an the $27 \mathrm{Ed} .1,75,10,000 \mathrm{l}$, alliwed pro ingen' nind Trin. 32 Ed. 1, Rot. 11, 12. Visis compul. 28 Ed. 1, Kot. T1. 'jrout justum, quia bcoti contra regen bonthiter insurgunt,' thyrcfore de thesaurs Kegrs,' Berwick is fortified; et Rot. i8, dors. Jt appears that the sheriff of Yorhshire had carried 10,000 . 'de theraura 'Regis' to those pats. Dr. M. 17 Ed. g, ${ }^{\text {' }}$ propter fiequentis egreasus SLotorum in reg$\mathrm{no}^{2}$, the Castle of Sutudall at the hing's charge is fortified prout justum, and allowance given. And Brevia Hil. that year the cantle of IIorney for the sume cause was fortified, the Scots having entered 'circa pradict' Castum 'et apod Lancester.' 3 et 4 Phil. et Mar. Dyer. 162. b. One in exerution for deht in the Flect, who, as the Book suith, was a man very necessary fur the war; 'and it wus noved by the king's attorney, 'per mandatuin concili,' if the prisoner right be licensed with a keeper by the queen to go to Berwick for the defence of it, or nos; apd it was held by all the Judges of the King's-Beach and Common Pleas, that the license was not good; and 4 et 5 the snme Case cited accordingly to have been the opinian of nill the Judges.
My Lords, for Prisoners taken in defensive Wars, and likewise for pledges and hostages for securing the peace, that the charge and maintenance, and the carrying them, to the seseral places of their abode, have been always. borue by the kings of this realm, the allowance
thereof in the Exchequer are so frequent, that I intend to cite none of them, save that for the prinoners taken in the conflict at Dover before spoken of, which is Conum' 4 Ed. 2, Rot. 92 dors. weither do I find it at any time stood upon, save only 3 Ed . 2 , among the Br . Trin 3 Ed. 2 Rot. 88, dors. But the reason is, because that after the death of Ed. 1 , in the com-mi-sion of grantitg the Constableship of the Castle, no mention was made of the prisoners; and yct even in that Case, upon a Monatravi Regi, a writ of Privy Seal is awarded for allowance prout justum.
My Iords, if in all these particulars of Soldiers, Victuals, Castles and Yorts, IIorses, Piisoners and Plellges in case of a defensive war, the main supports of them, the hings could hot tav therr subjecte, but have borne the charge thercof themselves; I shall then offer it to your lordslips to be so for the defence in peneral.

My Loris, the Allowunces in the Exchequer in all the paiticulars befuremientioned are freguent. In the Case of Mnees, the profirs of silver mines, that they upon an accoupt in the Ficheyuer were slways nuswered onto the king, was one of the prineipal arguments for the hing's ruht thereuito; and there fo. 320 , it is leld, That in all thing, that concern the Revenue of the crown, because they are there tebated, the Record of the Eschequer shews not only the course of the court, but what the Luv is through the kuygdom,

My Lard, that in cases of War and Embinssies the Chequicr made Allowances, and with what great conyderation, appears by the statute 3 Rich. 2, c. 10, thut they were not alloweil by the cont, till whe party brought the great seal, or the privy aeal for it. And if a Writ of Allowance came to the Exchequer before the court had examined the accompt, yet they never made Allowance uatil the court had cxamined it. JIill. 25 3il. 1, Rot. 22. 'Licet - lirver de allocatione pendit de $1,000 \mathrm{~L}$, allo' carudis tamen ante allucationem fractam oportet 'iuquireul" si pecunia illa ad opus Regis dere-- nit et quad ipsi doreant super hoc Curiam 'Regis.' And 'Trin. 25 Ed. 1, Rot. 47, the Allowances ure nevcr in gtoss, but by particulars.

My Iords, the next proof that I shall humbly offer unto your lordships, is in that of borsoning of Money by the king for the Defence of the realm; which bs they have usually done it, so it is ns-clear, that nut only upon Petitions, their own pleasnre, and opon grace, but dikewise upon sult they have been adjudged so to do in the ordinary coârts of justice. Comm' Pasch. 31 Rot. 41, 149l. borrowed of IIenry Thompsou, ' pro defensione totius Regni,' was sucd for, and repayment ordered. M. 10 Ed. 2, Rot. 160. '(Jiandes pecuniae supuma' bormowed by the king for that purpose, and order for repayment. Br. 3 Fd, 2, Comm' Princip. Rot. G641. My loids, in this particular I shall cite but thls one case more, Comm' Pasch, 99 Ed. 1, Rot. 18. the Ling 'pro argentisimis 'regni negotiss at dufensione totius, 'egni,' had
seized divers sums of money in all the Abbies and Cathedrals, and other religious houses in the realm, 'et quo citius commode poterit' promised payment. In the parliament 99 Ed . 1, at Lincoln the king is petitioned for repayment of these monics, who promiseth repayment 'Ita quod regis conscientia super boc 'exonerct,' and there, and Rut. 19. divers sums are adjudged to be padd.
My Lords, I shali thus humbly offar this unto youi lordships, that if the king had conceived, that when himeelf wanted money for the defunce, that he might have charged his subjects, he would never have made this answer of Repayment, 'ad exonerandum conscientiam' for then in equity and conscience the parliament thould have taken care for the satisfaction of these debts, or should at leastwise have distributed part of the charge upon all his subjects; neither should the paities have had full satisfaction for all their debts, but should have borne part themselves. By the statute 35 IIen. 8, c. 12. the king for the Defence of tha realm had' divers great loans made to him. Now likewise there being gruat cause of nep: defence against •France in Scotiand in aid of the king, they release tife-e Assurances given by the king, and libewise release to thy king all suits and petitions cuncerning these moneys.
My Lords, I nm now come to the other nuthorities for proof thereof, which is by acts of. parlianoent. DIy Loids, before I conie to the acts of parliameat themselves, I sball humbly offer unten your lordships the Summons and Preparatives to them.

Firx, The 'ardua regni negotin' for which they are called, are principally 'defentionem ' concernentia;' that these are not the way and manuer of Defence, and their advice therein, bat the Supplies nnd Aids for this Defence, I have presented clear Proofs to your lordshipe before. That these Aids cannol be raised without their consents, is atrongly inferred in this, that the knights of the shires are to hare 'plenam et sufficientem authoritatem pro se et - conitate comitatus pred' ad faciend st con'sentiend' to the things in ' negotiis ante dictis.' If this might be done widhout conscut of the comunons, this in the writ would be needless. But that this cannot be done without their consents, is cleared by the words following in the negative, 'Ita quod pro defectu potestatis hbs jusmodi dicta negotia infects nom remaneant 'quovismod.' This, my lordx, is the constant form of modern, mid all the antient Writs, and shews clearly that the commons withoor their consents in prulinment, are not chargeable to $s$ defensne war.
In the acts of parlinment, I will begin with hat of Williain the Conqueror, anno 4 of his, reign ; which besides that it is cited in the preface of dee 8th Report, and Insuit. fol. 75, and by Ingulphus fo. ${ }^{\text {' }}$ 19, and Mr. Selden in his Edinerus, page 171, it is likewise upon Record, and iurollerl in the Red Book in the Rax. chequer. The word Alare these, 'Voluraus ot
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© firmiter precipimus et concedimus quod omnes ${ }^{4}$ liberi homines totias monarchiz regni nostri - anglix, habeaut et teneant terrns suas et posC selioiones suas bene et.in pace libere ab omni
${ }^{4}$ exactione in josta et ab omni tallagio. Ita
${ }^{6}$ quod nihil ab eis exigatur vel capiatur nisi

- servitiuin saum liberum quad de jure nobis
' facere debeat et fucere tenent' et concessun
'jure hareditario in perpetuum per cummune "conciliun touns regin nostri pixd'.

My Lords, the wurds by reason of the disJunctive 'et nb omni talligio' are plaun, that the king shull not exact, nor take any thing of any freẹnan, hut what bis Tenure bunds him unto. As in words, by reason of the generality of them, it extunds to cases of the defence of the realm ; that it doth so in inteut, I shall cudeavour thus to present it to your lordships.
The Miltary Scrvices before mentioned for the Defence of the realin, they are by Bracton attributed to the Conqueron's Institution; for in his second Book fo. 36, speaking of them, he saith, 'Secundum quod in Conquesta fint ad 'inventum.' Plowde 1 , in the Argoment of sir Thomas Tresham's Case, means, the Conqueror had to do it by reason of the many Attanders of those that took part with Maruld, and ofter his death with Edgar Atheling. That he did it in a great part, apperers by Matth. Paris fo. 8 , that he put all the clergy that before heid in Franke Alinogne sul sercitute Militari to do bervice tempore hostilitatie, and by the county palatine of Durham and C'rester in those places of dauger. In the Book of Knights Fees in Hen. 2d's time, it appears by the Certificate, they had sonetimes "de vetent Feoffament" ond sometimes 'de novo.' Lud by sque of them it appears, that the tenures 'dc novo Fs 'offument" were before hing Steplicu's time, and therefure it is probable that the Veten night be those createal by the Conqueror. The Provision for Soldiens pay by tenures was lhewise of his Institution, as appeas by that before cited out of the Black Book, lib. 1, cap. 27, that ' in primitivo regni statu post conques'tum ad stipendia et donat' nilitum' out of the castles and other lands ' ma quibus agricultura nou exercebatur pecuna numerata succres' cebat.' The policy and provision of the Conqueror for the Defence beug by Tenurs s, when in this act of parliament he says, ' quod nihil ' ab is exigatur vel capiatur, nisi servitions ' suuua quod de jare nobis facere teuentar,' 1 humbly conceive shews plainly, that the subject was not otherwise to be charged for the Defence, nor further than by their tenure. This, my lords, further appears by other parts of that act of parliament, where spenting of any thing: of Charge that is to be done according to their tenures, as that all ' bene se teneant in equis et 'armis ad servitium saum integrum faciend": But in the next place spenking of the Defence it paith, that all within the renjin 'suat fratres 'opnjomai pro viribus et faculatibus' to defend tha lingdom and the peace, ' et ad judiciuan 'ractun, et jusritlam faciend';' the coupling of the DqCenoe with that of the peace, and doing
justice, shews the personal care that all by their oath of allegience ought to bear to the comran peace and good of the realm.

The next \&tetute that I shall present to your lordships, is that of Running Mead, 17 Johannis regis; the words are these, 'Nullum scu' tagium vel nuxilium poua in regao nostro nisi 'per commune consilium regai nostri nisi ad 'corpus nostrum redmatid ${ }^{2}$ ? ${ }^{\text {and }}$, $w$ knight hs ' eldest son, und to marry his eldest daughter. As in words these extend to the Defence; because all Supplies for that purpose from the suhject, they are ouly in auriliun, or in subventione espensor' of the king, who as befure is provided, is principally bound theieunto : on may the intent likewise be further gatheted, first from this, that the word aurilum is joined with that of Scutnge, which is for the Defence; and likewise from this, that purticular satistaction is made, by other parts of that statate, to those that had been disseised by R. 2, and king John, which were things done only for the incrense of their ierenue, without bherv of the common defence. That both befiore 9 Hen .3 , and aiterwards $20 \mathrm{Ed.1}$, this was a Statute, and so accounted, I have before proved. And in the book 5 Hen. $\mathbf{s}$, it is called by the name of ' Nagua Chartas sans addution!' So 37 Hear. 3, in that solemn coofirmatan obscrved by Math, Paris, p. 115, this of Running Mead is confirmed by the uame of Mag. Clanr, and 50 Hen. S, p. 1920, which I note only to this purpose ; that of speahing of Mag' Chart', this of Running Mead is intended as well as that of 9 Hen. 3, as part thereof, and bodied both together; yet that netther of then were obsmiod eather in king John's, or IIen. J's time, our histories are full of it. And by the pope's bulls of 12 and 13 Hen. 9 , the pope ubsolving the king from his onth in therr confirnation, doth it tis cause, as the wurds of the bulls are, 'Juramen'tum peccati sinculum esce non debet:' neither till after 29 Fdw .1 , as I sluall hereafter prove, were thicy at all obstrved in the thange concerning the kung's prérogative.

The next that l shall cite, are the statutes of 25 Edw. 1, and the statute 'De Tullagio ' non concedendo.' That of the 25 Edu. 1 , c. 5 \& 6, the grievance is for aids, tasks and prices taken through the resim, for the wars, sball not be bronght into any custom for any thing betore done, be it by roll or any other preccdeot that may be fuand; and funther grauts, that foc no business fron henceforth, he will take any such aíds, tasks and prizes, but by common consent in the parliament of the realm, and for the comanon profit, saving the ancient aids and prizes due and accustomed. My lords, though ly the copulative it is clear enough, that there nust be a consent and common profit concurring and alhough the baving of the anthent prizes aud aidsaccuttomed might well enough bave been satiofied in the aid excepted in Running Mead, nud the prizing of wints and purreyunce; yet to out these and all other sciuples, the statute 'De Tallagio,' \&c. pude afterwards for that purpose, is abo
solute and general: That no talliage or aid shall be taken by the king, nor that any of his officers shall tike any corn, leather, cuttle, or any other goods without the consent of the party.

My lords, to bring these statutes to the thing in question, that these things cannot be done though for the defence, the times of the making of them, and the circumstances concurring thereunto, I shadl present unto your lordships.

That of the 25th of Eilw. 1, by the date appears, was the 10 th of Oct. 25 Edw. 1. My lords, the king, the 19th of Aug, before being at Odimer ready to go over into Flanders, the parliament being then summoned by his letters pateuts, Rot. Put. 25 Edw. 1, m. 7, taking notice of the constables andmarshals departue from the court in displeasure, and of the rumours of the people, that the ling refused to seal urticles sent him for the common profit, for the redress of divers grievances done to the people. Forthe Grievinnces he saith, that without those things he could not have defended the realin, and yet saith, that he is sorry for it, and prayeth that this may be his excuse, as that he fath done those things, neither to buy lands nor tenements, nor castles, but to defend himself and the whole realat; and that if be geturned again, he would have nll know, that he had an intent to amend all those things, to che bonour of Goud, and content of his people; that if he dies in this service, his heirs shall make amends. Hereby it appears, that the grievances that procured this statute, were for the defence of the realm; therefore from bence it follows; that the aid and taxes there mentioned were for the defence. So likewise that the exception of the anticnt aids extends not to thoge of the defence, that being the thing wholly complained of. This declaration of the ling was the $12 t \mathrm{~h}$ of August; the September after the king being at Wioclielsen, those articles are sent unto hinf, to which he deferred for the present to gave his assent unto them, because his council was not there, and so sails over into Flanders. This statate of the 25th of Fdw, 1, is past, the king beyond the sea, the - Teste Edvardo flicio nostro;' at his retura, as appears by Walsiogham, p. 42, the king is desired to confirm these articles, which in Walbingham, p. 40, are the same word for word as the statute 'De 'Tallagio', which the king then deferred: $27 \mathrm{Edw} \mathrm{Ed}^{*}$, they desire it again, which the king doth with a 'Salvo jure coroser nostre in fine adjécto, quod com audissent comites "cum displacentia ud propria discesserunt,' saith the Author, 'sedrevocatis ipsis ad quind' ' Pasch' omnia sunt concessa.'

That the Statute 'De Tallagio' was efter that of 25 Edv. 1, is plain in this, by the king's going over into Flanders without assenting unto any articles, in Sept. and 10 Oct. following, as appears by that statute 95 Edw . 1 , itself, it wns made; rad likewise by the statute "De Tal4 lagio' itself, the king's releasing all rancour to the earl marshal and constable who had most offended hitn, and first presented these articles
to the king. My lords, I sball add thts only, as I concerve it will not be proved, that this king either before or after the zanking of this statute, or any of his successors sipce, evar claimed this absolute power over their subjerts, as to lay aids end talliages upon then for the supportation of their own private estates, ab. stracted from the common defence or good of the kingdom. This king at this time, we see by his own declaration, *kes far from it. This last Statute fully satisfied those thar desired it; for as Walsingham seith, "Ad oorum votura 'absolute omnis sunt copcessa.' If therefore it extends not to that of defence, I shall humbly offer it by what construction of it $t^{\prime}$ our ancestors judgonents and discretions will be freed froin a great deal of censure, that were $s 0^{-7}$ well contented with it.

My lords, Mag. Chart. being confirmed at the same time when the statute 25 Edw .1 , was 'made, and both that and the statute ' De Tal 'lagio' being only articles upon Maq. Chart. they were all of them, as I conceive, intended in the subsequent, and so often confirmation of Magna Cbarta.

My lords, the next is the statute of 14 Fd. 3 , c. 1, that the people ahall not be compelled to make any Aid, or to sustrin any charge bot in parliament. That this cannot be done for the defence, will, as I couceive, be inforced from the words; for a great subsidy having been granted as well for the war on this side the sea, that is for delence, as for the French wars, it is declared, that this shall not be drawn into example, and that out of parlianent they shald not be connpriled to sustain any clange; and then it is fartlier caacted, that this subsidy and all the profits of wardships, etcheats, and other profits of the realou, shall be spent for defence and safeguard of the realm, and the wars in Scotland and Prance, and not otherwhere; so that Lhis statute, as I conceive, all put together, bears this sense, That the subsidies granted in parliament, and the wardships being a fruit of the tenores created for the defonce of the realm, and other profits arising to the king by way of prerogative. are to be spent for the defence of the realm, and the king's other wars: but that no aid or change for any of these can be laid upou the commorfs without consent in pardiament. My lords, that the practice of the king, I mean Falw. S, wes contrary to these statutes, and that they were not kept, appears by the Parliament Roll, 15 Edw. $8, \mathrm{~m} .9$, the next year after, where the commons shew that their gouds were seized, and their bodien imprisoned without any suit commenced egainat hem.

My lords, the next which I shall cite are the tatutes of 95 Edw. S, and 1 Rich. 3, against Lonns and Benevolences, which I shall humbly ffer unto your loidships on thisground, "Ad ea qua frequentios acciderint adparantur leges.' As for my part; I lase seen general loans and benevolencrs, but they were for the defence ; so I conceire, if they were ofherwise, they were jut few in respect of the others. The commole
grievances therefore being by loans and benerolences of that nature, these statutes, I conceive, were made ugainst them; for these not being within the words of any of the former statutes, that therefore the kings might with the more colour put them in practice, and on the other side being as equally dangerous to the subjects, because of the displeasure by denial, they procured the statutes. That Loans for the Defence were diter 25 Edm .3 , counted unlawful, neppears by Walsingbam, p. 179, that 44 Edw. 3, the king 'Sinistro consilo magnas \& summas pecunia' ofall sorts ' petiit, asserens, ' quod in defensionem ecclesire et regni llas ex'penderer,' but that dhe people would not lend.

My lords, the next which I shall cite is direct in words, which though it be not an act of parliament, yet the weight of the authority by potting of it will appear. In the second part of the Parliament Roll, 2 Rd. 2, m. 3, 4, 5, the king being beset with the enemies of France and Spain, and Scotland, who all three by land and sca invaded the realm; the privy-council not willung, in a thing so much concerning the rvalm, to take the whole charge of it upon themselves, nur desiring so soon to call a pariament, a parliament but a little before being dissolved; they therefore resolved to assemble a great council of must of the bishops, lords and other great men and sages of the realm, who meeting and finding the absolute necessity of a preparation for delence, and that the king wanted money to do it; what their full and final resolution in this case of extremity for defence was, I shall read the words of the Roll; they buy, ${ }^{\text {' Pur cunclusion }}$ - final quils ne poient cest mischiefe remedier ' sans charger les comin' del soyalme,"quel 'charge ne pouent de fait ne grant sans parlia'ment:' and therefore the necessity being urgent, the great men lend money for the present, with advice presently to call a parliament, as well to provide for the repayment of this Loan, as fur further Supply. It is true, my lords, that this ling was at this ume within age, and it is likely that many of bis council had been Edw. s , his grandfather's privy-council, who nell knew his prerogative, and extended it as far, by reason of his great wars, to the charging of his subjects, as any before bim, or since his time. And that not only the privycquacil, but likewise, as the record saith, almust all the prelates and others, dukes, earis, barons, bannerets, and other sages of the realm, which I conceive were the judges, should be so far from putting this in execution, that they declare in the negative upon full deliberation, that the commons cannot be charged herein but in parliament, themselves likewise thereby being to undergo a present charge, by lending to supply that necessity; the nuthority must needs be weighty : and upon second thoughts afterwards, the same was declared in fall parliment by the Lord Chancellory and io atterwards entered upon the Roll witbout any qualification at all, whith adds farther to the autbority thereof.

Ult, Feb. 3 Car. A commistion issued to divers great lords; the end, ns appears by the words, whs for aiding the king's allies beyond sea, and for the defenca and safety of the kingdom and people. They were, by the commission, to raise money by imposition or otherwise, which without extreme danger to the king, kingdom, and people, can admit no long delay, wherein form and circunistances are to be dispensed with rather than the substance lost. This, my lords, was a commission to tax the subjects in time of necessity for Defence. The last parliament, this commission as against the law was condemned by both houses, and cancelled in his majesty's presence.

Philip Comines in his Sth book, cap. 8, observing the same, above all other commends the policy of the English laws and government; and both he and Bodinus Reipublic, lib. 6, c. 11, and I'nsquerus Adsocate-General in the king of France his Chamber of Accompts in his second Book, c. 6 and 7, all shew this likewise to be the aucient law of France; and how the practice comes now to be otherwise, there, Pasquerus shews at large; and that the kings sometimes endearouring to the contrary, found so much ditticalty, that they afierwards, expecaally Charles the Sth, procurd by the consent of the three estates these aids fur defence to be granted for 3 or 1 year, together: And that this convent of the people at the first, was afterwards that which"gave the ocrusion to the kug to take it without consent; and therelore he concludes, that France being 'Un Royalme 'de Consequence,' that they inust not easily prounise any thing, though but nnce, which they will not be willing to permit for ever.

My lords, I have now done with the Proofs: in the next place I shall endeavour an Answer to some few Objections that are obvious, both from Reason and Authoritics.
For those of Authorities, 13 Hen. 4, 14, 16, Gascoigne's Opinion that the kmg may charge his people without parliament, to a thing that is for the common profii of the people; the thing that he applies it to, is, that the hing may grant Pontage and Murage, \&c. My lords, that the king may grant both these, and Tolls upon erection of a new fair or market, or paveage, I shall not deny. The Answer that I shall give to them is, first, That these Grants do charge venolin only, that is, goods carried to those places for merchandize; but that any tax sray be laid 'secundum statum et facul' tates,' euther upon the IIundred or County, I shall humbly deny it.
It is true, my lords, by the Conqueror's laws it appears, that cities and walled towns were for the Defence of the country, and therefore by those laws no Fair or Market might be kept but in 'civitate nut burgo muro walliat'? Therefore in Doomsday-Book in all such Cases it is found, that there are so many ' mansiones ' marales,' which by their tenwre when need wes, were bound 'ad murum reficiend'.' That no other laud that holds not by that service is liable, appears by the Parliament Roll, 1 Rip,

2, pars $2, \mathrm{~m}, 76$, where all the cities and boroughs of England petition, that in this time of danger they not being able with their merchandize to do it, that others that had lands within the towns might be made contrihutaies, who before were at no part of the charge.

The Answer is, that all according to their Tenure, us theydiave antiently done, so shall they still; and if this might be done, there would have been no need of the statutes of 2 \& 3 Pliil. et Mar. c. 1, 23 Eliz. c. 1, for giving power to tax men ' secundum statum el fucul. - tatey,' to repair Castles and Towns witha 20 miles of Scotland.

For the Tolls and Pontages and Paseages, as there is a great deal of equity that those which receise benefit by tyinging their goods to the market, and over the bridge, should contribute to the charges that make and mainttin the market-places and the bridges; so neither are they compulsory, but voluntary clarges : For as no man pays but he that receives the bencfit, so none is compellible thereanto, but is left to his liberty. Netther is there any coJour $m$ respect of the town itself to whom the munare or paveage is granted, why they should not be charged, because the grant cannot be tut at their own suits; for if it be not at the suit of tot burgensium, the Grant is void, and to be revohed.
It may be further objected, that as the law bath entrusted the way and manner of managing of Defence wholly and indepenJently to his majesty, so likewise of Aids and Means, as the 'Causa siae qua non;' and therefore his majesty should not te dependent upon the parriament for them.

My lords, the near relation between his majesty gnd the parlianent, that they are but one lrody, hath been presented unto your lordshiju, and that his majesty did exercise the summum imperium there. Bodm. lib. 1, cap. ult. says, ' quod ejusd' esset potestat' tributa nova impo' nere cujus est legem terra;' but that the legislative is not in lis majesty, out of parliament, will be granted.

The Subjects interest being as nearly conrerned in the Defence, as his majesty's is ; as there is no cause to fear that they should-not be willing to proportion the aid to the occnsion; ©o neither can the law presume otherwise, which hath so high an opinion of the judgment and integrity of this court, that as it is in the Comm' 398 , it is unlawful for any man to conceive any dishonourable thing' of it.
My lords, my last Answer thereunto is, that by the law the king, hath as independent a power to make a foreign war, as to make a defensive. It will, ss I conceive, le granted, that in this case bis mejesty hath not power to tax the Subject; for then it would follow, that as well as to the conquering of the next ndjaceot realm, so of all Europe, the subject should be at the charge, and yet the land conquered be only his majesty's; and yet upon this ground, in respect of we equality of the powers, it might we done.-Neither, as I humbly sonceire, doth
this only answer the objection, but returns upon the other side; for his majesty has power to make an olfeasive war, which for the moat part causeth a defensive; by this means it should be in his majesty's power to make a defensive war, and to tax the subject for the maintenance of it.

My lords, the last Objection whereto I shall endeavour an Answer, stands thus: The parliament is a great body, and moves slowily ; and that the case inay be such, that the cause may be lust before the parliamentary supplies come. My lords, how means of effecting so suddea and so great a surprize can be so secretly carried, I shall not exumine it in renson, but shall huunbly offer unto it these Answers:
That the service whereby the law bath provided for the Defence both for land and sea, they have both the same limitation of time with the parliauentary Sapplies in the summons of the tenants by knight's-service ad escrcitum, and of the Cinque-Ports; forty days warning is to be given, as is for the parliament. And so it is probable, for that of Mould, 13 E. 1, it was for others that held by sea-service And anciently the summons ad exercitum to the ports, and for the parliament, went out together, or much about the samte time, that the parliament might assess the escuage; and in case the tenures and other revenues were not able to maintain the war, that the parlinment might provide for further supplies, as appears Hil. 28 Ed. 1, M. 15, 31 Ed. 1, and 44 Ed. 1, M. 15, et 16 , ' et oportet neminem legibup 'esse sapientiorem.'
The Tonuage and Poundage, when first granted for life, was, that the kings might always have money ready upon such sudden occasions. In the Parliament Roll, 4 Ric. 2, M. 42, the commons desire payment of Edward the 9d's Debts, that they might be encouraged to lend the hing in aid of the realm, if a sudden causa of necessity should fall out. The Answer is, that it shall be done selon le petition. My lords, by this it appears, that this objection was not then taken to be of weight, many of the loans are in 'Causa necessitatis in Articulo.' The authorities that furiher answer this objection are great, and foll in the pont.
The first is that of the Parliament Roll of $s$ Ric. 2, before cited; the business of defence cuald not atay so long as for a parliamentary Supply, yet agreed, that the commons without a parfiament could not be charged; and therefore the same men that gave the judgment presently lend money for that purpose.

In the Statute 51 Hen. 8, for Proclamations, the cause of making the Statute is expressed in these words: Considering that sudden casses and occasions fortune many times, which do require speedy remedies; and that by abiding for s parlinmeat, in the mean time might happen great prejudice might ensue to the realm; therelore the king's proclamation is by that act made equivalent to on aot of parliament, but with a full exception of their lands, goods and chatcels: which as it abews that before that, by the e0m-
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mon law, the king could not, in cases of exigency that coold not stay for a parliament, take or soize their goods, so they were careful still to preserve their rights.

My lords, after the statute of SI Hen. 8, the Maxim of Justinian was verified in IIen. 8, as of the Roman emperors after the Ler Regia, whereby the people transferred their suffrage to the emperor, 'Quod Principi placet legis vim - habet;' so all that time was that other as true on the suljects part here, as there in the Digest, - Lege omna de Regals: quod meum est non ${ }^{4}$ est universitatis, et quod nostrum est sine fac'to nostio ad alienos transferri non potest.' The 7 Predict. of Spain, titul' 1. particulu' 2. gives something more to the king, for he may take from the subject, " pro necessitate, reipul${ }^{-}$liceo dato primum talt causa dictre rei bona - Inmbia ejusd' vel majoris pretii bonorum viro'rum arbitrio;' he may in this case tuke, siving - pawn to the subject for the assorance of a future full satisfaction. Lywe in his 6 th book, $c$. 35, Bodin in his 6th book, fo. 655 , affirms, That when Hamibal had put Italy and Rome itelf into so great a bazard, and that there was not money left in the common treasury, that yet the senate without their consent could not charge the people, but that 'unusquisque' of the sennte ' mutuo dabat aliquid in usum pub'licum.'

My Lords, the last authority for the answering of this Objection, and the clearing of the whole business, is the Commission of the Lnan. 2 Car, pars 4, Pat. Roll, the words me these: -The grent aud mighty preparations both by 'soa and land did daily threnten the lingdons; - that the safety and subsistence of the king and - people, and the common cause of Christen-- dom, were in apparent danger of suffering ir${ }^{4}$ reparably; that the king's treasure is exhaust, ${ }^{4}$ and the coffers empty: Thnt the business of ${ }^{4}$ Supply cannot endure so long delay as the ' calling of a parliament, and enquiring into all - means just in cases of such unatvidable dan${ }^{4}$ ger; the king is now resolved to borruw of the -Subject, to enable his minjesty tor their safitic, ' and promiseth repayment.'

My Lords, the horrowing of Money only is the thing required, that is for Defence, the king had no money left; the exigency such, that it would not stay for a parliament. This Commission afterwards in the parliament 3 Car. way questioned, and upon debiate adjudged by both the houses of parliament to be void in law ; by the Petition of Right presented so to the king, lis majesty denies it not.

My Lords, froru this Objection of sudden Danger, I come to the next, which is the third thing before offered unto your lordahips, which in an admittance, shat the danger sometimes masy be such, that the Sulject's goods somecimes without their eonsent may be taken from them ; for Property being both introduoed and maintained by hutuan hivs, all things by the law of nature being cominon, there are therefore'some times, like the Pliiliakines being upon Sampton, wherein these
cords arc too weak to hold us, " Necessitas 'enim' (as Cicero saith) 'magnum humanat - imbecillitatis patrocinium omnem logem fras' git;' at such times all property ceaseth, and all things are ngain resolved into the cominon principles of uature. These tinues, as sometimes they are only instunti, and concern but some few, as in cases of killing one other men's Liund, or with their Goods rupon sudden assaults; so sometimes they are longer in continuance, and larger in extent, and concern the whole hingdom, ns it is in times of war, - Quando agitur pro aris et focis flagrante ' Bello.' And as on the particulars beford mentioned, which are bot for a short time, and that concern some few only, the law hath ne power for thnt tine, nor minintaius uny property, so in the other case it loseth this power for in louger time, and oter all. A dissent upon Disseism m time of war, takes pot away the entry of the Disscisee. Litul Sec. 112, no plenary after the six munths bars the patron of his 'Quare impedit' upon a presentation in time of war. 4s Ed. 3, ' Quare impedit' 135, $\mathrm{Na} . \mathrm{Br} .31$. And in a Writ of Right, where the seisin makes the title, the taking of explecs must be alledged to be done tempore pacts, thes law allowing no estate in such times, but calls it an occupation in time of war. Littl. fo. 12. Aud as 'inter arma leges silent,' so that of Bract. lib. 4, fo. 240 that 'tempus guerrac est ' tempus injuria,' is likewise true; for after the war is ended, the law, as not hating coguizance of things then done, gives no renody fur wrougs in that time sustained, as the Case is adjndged in the roll of Kent. 7 Ed. 1. ' inter placita de ' querelis,' one Parletoy 'dePetro Kandal quod ' ipse die Mercuri ante festum $\mathrm{Su}^{\prime}$ Thmme, 46 Heu. 3, came to the town of C'leve, and touk of the Plaintiff's goods three oxen, four cons, and thrce heifer, and yet detains them; the Defendent alledgeth the pardon of Fleury 3, of ' Omues transgressiones fact' ratione tul bationis ' tunc in regno existen',' and that it was 'tem'pus guerrae' when the grods were taken ; the Plaintiff replies, that the hing, pardous ouly offences done to biraself, 'et non transgressiones 'aliis illatas ;' the Defendant rejoins, that 'tcmpos illod' was ' tempus gueira, et non 'tempus pacis,' and upon th, the issuc was joined; the Jury finds that when the Deferdant took the coods, 'fuit tempus belh, et non ' tempus pacis,' and therefare it was adjudged for the Defendant. 'Tempus bell,' when Property ceaseth, is not upon every intestine or defensive war, bat only at such times when the course of justice is stopped, and the courts of justice shat up: and this is 'tempus belli' in the Institutes, Sec. 112, 39 Ed. 3, Eauc. liegi9 Rot. 49, the Attainder of Treuson of Thoinas earl of I eicester reversed, error assigned, ' Quia tempora pacis maxime cum per toturtempus pred' cancellar' et al' coriax Join' liegis aperte fuerunt, et in quibus jus cticunq; fiebat prout fiers consuevit, nec predictue dominus rex in tempore illoruin cum ilhs explicatis equitavit,' That thcre were great armies on fuot
ort both sides in this business when the earl wns caken at Barrowe-bridge, our Histories are full; bat yet it was not that ' tempus guerre' intended by the law, because the courts of juatice were open, and the king with banners displayed was not in person in the field.

My Lords, in these times of war I shall adunt not only his majesty, but hikeaise every man that hath power in his hands, may tale the Goods of any within the renlm, pull down their houses, or burn their corn, to cut off victuals from the enemy, and do all other things that conduce to the safety of the kingdom, without respect had to any man's property. 12 Hen. 8, 2 Br. trans, 406, 8 Ed. 4, 23, that in such times a Subject may make a bolwark in other men's lands, and that the laws already established are silent in such times. And although in that foreseen and lingering War of Hannibal's, whereof I have before spoken, the Senate could not charge the people, yet when there was a 'Tumultus Gallicus, that is, when the Cisalpani their neighbours, on the sudden. as sometimes they did, assaulted the city; by the same Author the case was otherwise.

My Lords, besides this sudJen and tumultuous war, which shuts the Courts of Justice, and brings his majesty in person into the field, and wherein Property ceaseth; the law takes notice hikewise of other tumes of war, as when lus majesty upon just cause hnosn unto himpelf by proclamatiou proclameth war agaisst uny foreign state, and hikewise the law taketh notice of the effects thereof; that is, that no pubject of such. prince or state is capable to prosecute any suit in any his majesty's courts; and lifewise, that then it is lawful for any his tanjesty's subjects to seize and heep to their owis use, the goods of the suhjects of any such punce or state, as in the boohs are adjuiged: 7 Ed. 4, 18, 13 Hen. 8, Br. I'toperty, 38, 22 Ed. 3, 16. My Lorde, it appears not by any thing in this Wyrt, that any warat all was proclamed aganst any yrmee or state, or that if any of his majesty', Subjert- had tahen away the Goods of any prime's subjects in Cbristendom, but that the party might have recovered them before your lordships in thy of his majesty's couits. So that the case in the first place is, Whether in time of peace his majesty may, wthout consent in parlianient, alter the property of the swjects grods for the defence of the realm. The tume that will serve the turn tor the bruiging in of the supplies and means of the delence, as to your lordships uppears judicially by the writ, that is seven months within four days. For the writ went out Aug. 4, and commands the ship to be at Portsnouth, the place of rendeavous, the first of March following; and thereby it appears, that the necessity in respect of tine was not such, but that a parlament might in that time have been called for the effecting of the supply.

Yet in the next place it is averred, that 4 Salus regni periclitabatur,' and that was the cause of issuing forth the Writ; and this by the Demurrer if it should be confessed, yet this is
but in general; how or in what manner ' peri'clitabatur non constat.' By the law the Defendant may have a protection when he is in Negotiit Regni; but when he will make use of it, it is not allomable in that generality, but he must shew in particular, in what town or castle, or other particular service he is in, that so the court may judge whetber the cause be sufficient, yca or no; and yet that is his manjesty's writ too, as well as that in questiop. The Boohs for itare 36 Ilen. 6, 28, 39 Ifen. 6, 1.
Yet in the next place, if your lordabips shall give any beed to this General, as to the particular of Pirates iufesting the coasts, and preparations further 'ad regnum gravand'' mentioned in the writ, the Case then, as I conceive, is this.
In a time of peace, his majesty's vigilancy foresees a Danger hikely to ensue; the Supplies for prevention of this danger will serve, if brought in seven months after within four days; yet whether in this their case without cousents in parliament, his majesty may alter the property of the suljects goods ?

## The Second Days Aroement of Mr. ST. JOHN.

My Lords, having done with the Defence in gencral, I shall now endeavour to prove, that this of the Sea bath no such pecoliarity in it, but that it will fall within that of the Defence in gencral: wherein, in the first place, I will endeavour an Answer to some Objections both frow Autherity and Reason, that may seem to prove a Fjght: and secondly, to some Precedents concerning the Use and Practice.
Of the first rank, I shall begin with Denegelt. It nuay be said, that the Danes infesting the realm, that Etheired, for the resisting of them finst by his own authority, laid this upon the subject, and made it an annual charge. That after the Conquest they seldom iufesting the coasts, the Conqueror took it not annually as at first, but at such times only as it is in the Black Book, lib. 1, cap. 11, when 'ab exteris ' gentibus bella vel opiniones bellorum fuerunt.' And that after Hifnry the 2nd's time, the kingdom bring altogether freed from the Danish invasions; although Dancgelt lost the name and use, it never alter his time being taken by bides of land as before; yet the succeeding kings, by the aane authority, did lay taxes upon the Subjects for Defenee of the Sea.

My Lords, for Answer hereunto, I shall in the first place observe this only by the way, that the best and certainest Authorities agree not what it was, I mean the Laws of Edward the Confessor, cap. 11, and the Black Book. For the Confessor's laws say, it was one shilling upon every hide of land, and the Black Book two sbillings; by which it should seern it was little in use in Henry the 2nd's time, nor much kirown. That 11 cap. in the Confessor's Laws, where this is mentioned, was no part of the antient laws themselves, tut something afterwards added, appears by the words themselves. First, it speaks of the Freedom which
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the Church, in the first institution of it, had Freedom, we know, was not lost till after th Conquest ; and likewise of the granting of i by William Rufus in parhament : and therefon it should seem to be inserted in those Law afterwards out of the laws of Henry the 2nd for this 11 cap. and that of Danegelt in Henry the 2nd's laws, are the same, de, verbo in verbum. as appears in Hoveden fo. 344.

But admitting the thing, I shall endearou Answers to each part of the Objection: as first, that the D.negelt was granted in parliament. Mr. (aunluden's Brit. p. 142, observes, that the Danes first iufeated the coasts A. D. 300, and, as his words are, With such hurliburlies, as the like was never heard of: havoc wns nade of all, razing of cities, nnd buning of charches; and for ther continual piracy, they had got the nick-amme of Neceugii, that is, Pirates. The Danegelt first Legan in Ethelred's time, almost 200 years after the Danes first invasion, for he began his reigu A. D. 978. That Provision was made for Sea-Defence in the interim, and before Ethelred's tiune, appears by the many Sea-Fights of Alfred and otber kings. That this provision was uzually in parlimuent, is probable froin that of Inzulphus, London print. fol. 483, where A. D. 833, which was :13 years after the Danes first Luvasion, a Deed to the abbot of Crowland is dated thus, 'Coram Pontificibus Proceribus et Ma-- jorihas totius Angliz in civitate London, ubi - ouanes congregati sumus pro consilio capiendo 'contra Danicos piratas littora Angliz nssiduc ' infestantes.' If king Ethelred, by his own authority, might have imposed this' it is like some of his predecessors, the Case so necessirily requiring it, in almost 200 years space, would have done it before this time. That this of Danegelt was done in parliament, the words carry as much; for the words of the law are, 'Danegeldi redditio primitus statat' fuit,' a word most proper for the parliamentary authority. But fally by the laws of that king, I mean Ethelred's laws, in Mr. Inmbert's Saxon Laws, fo. 58, there 'ex sapient' suorum con' silio,' peace is made with the Danes, and a certain sum of moncy granted to the army, as oar IIistorians obserye. The Danes, by comsposition, were to send away the whole Fleet, saving 45 ships, which were to remain to defend the kingdom against other enemies, and the king was to maintain them at his charge. That the Danegelt was paid to the Danes for. this Defence, many of our Historians observe. My lords, that at the same parliament this was provided for, appears by the words of the law, 'Si quis igitur posthac navalib sspirat' in Anglia 'predam fecerit, hic nobis auxilium ferat ex"ercitus nosg; ei quamdiu in fide manserit que 'ad comitat'suppetent' parmmus omnia.' That this was a parliment, as the words shew it, so it is held in the Preface to the 9th Report, and Huatington fo. 205. If, this was not the Danagelt, yet this is clear, that in that king's time then provision 'contra Navales appara'tas' was rande by parliament. 'Primumata-
' tuerant Angl' infausto consilio quod ipsi Da${ }_{6}$ nis censum persolverent ; regibus namq; nos' tris modo per servitia ex consuetudine' quod 'Danis persolvebatur ex ineffabili terrore.' That Danegelt which after the Conquest was paid to the king, we see by that author, 'Pri' mum staturerunt Angl'; 'statutum Angl' ${ }^{\prime}$ must needs be by parliament. If the Danegelt in such time of great danger was nut imposed without parliament, it must strongly make against thonse that shall object it.

The Dines having quitted the realm, that the Danegelt was released by Edward the Confessor, as Ingulphus fo. 580, and Hoveden 253, and all our later historians. That of Ingulphus, my lords, alone, is without exceptions, who lived in those times; he was brought up in England in the Conlessor's days, and therefore knew what he wrote; lie afterwards went over into Normandy, and wus the Conquerur's Secretary, came over with him to the Conquest, and at his own charge maiutained twelve horses: he was so great at the court, that, us hinvelf writes, fo. 514, ' quos voluit humiliavit, quos ' voluit exaltavit ;' and pag. 518, a Charter of the Conqueror's to the Abbey of Crowlund was made, 'ad petitiouem Familiaris men Ingulphi;' and therefore, in all likelihood, would not report this partiaily against the king.
My Lords, that we aje not to putout our fires upon ringing of the Cur Feu Bell, we have no other law for it but ilisuse; and the testmony of Historians, that Heary 1 released it.
For that of the Black Book, that William the Confqucror retained it, ' Quando bella vel 'opiaiones bellorum fuerint,' as that Book is mistaken in the thing, saying it was 88. every hide of land, being in truth but one; so it is possible he might mistake in the oihpr too. That it was released in aternum is apparent. That many thicgs were done de fucto, te the infringing of the Liberty of the Subjects both in his time, and the times oi Hen. 1, and Men, 2, too, it is clear by Historians ; and if it were not released before, yer that king Stephen released it, is, Huntingdon fo. 221. Hoveden fo. 276. Hoc Deo voluit,' say these Historians, 'sed nihil horum tenuit:' and as all our Historians agree, that after Hen. 2.'s time, iu whose reign he Black Book was compiled, it was never paid; so it may be collected out of the Red Book, for all or most of the Aids and Escuages in IIen. 2.'s time, and kjing John's time, aro there mentioned. In 8 Hen. 2 , it is said, ' quod
Danegeldum assessuma foit;' but after that, neither in his time, nor in the time of king Toln, or of any other king, is any more menion of it. Sir Henry Spelman, in his Glossary, saith, that when it was taken in the Conqueor's time, and siace, it was 'Consultis magnatibus et Parliamentar' demum authoritat' diminut'sunt.'
My Lords, in the last place, if the succeeding kings, mutato nomine only, have in lieu bereof laid other Taxes upon the. Subjects, hey must hold proportion with that of Daneelt; that is, that they have been equally att
upon all the Inland Towns throughoat the king: dom, as that was, and 12d. upon every hide o land; end likewise in time, and that there was no internission, but that in Bich. 1.'s time, and king John's, which were active, that then it was pot in execution. Claus. 15, Joh' M. 3, ders, \& 7. and Mat. Paris, p. 312, 313. - The Pope had granted the orown of England to the French king, who was ready to invade the realm ; great provision of Shipping was made, ' ad illibers'tiones Regis et ad stipendia Regis:' so far was this king, in this time of necessity, from imposing any aid upon the subjects for the defence of the sea, as that he himself bore the charge.
My Lords, the next Authority that I shal insist upon, is, that in the terms of the law, fo. 114, in the Title of Hidage, the taxing by hides was used miuch in old times, and that chiefly in king Ethelred's days; who in the year 1006, when the Danes landed at Sandwich in Kent, tuxed all the realm by Hides, and every 910 hides of land should find a ship.

My Lords, my first Answer to this is, 1. That this was doue when there was a formidable enemy, and which soon after coaquered the kinglom, was upon the shore, as by the Book appears; and therefore it is likely that the courts of justice were shut, and that the king was then in person in the field. 2. This was but actus unicus, and even by the Common Law, that casily admits of custoins, not good ; it is actus binus that hath any colour ' intro'ducends consuetudinem.' 3. It appears not by any thing in the Book, but that this might be done by parliament; many of the antient acts of parliainent are statuit kex : and whereas the Book suith, Taxing by Hidage was much used in ohd time, that these were by parliament, appears both by the use and authority expreso mithe point in print, Doumsdav-Book in Berks, - Quando geldum debatur,' Matth. Paris 780, many Corneagis et lifidagia, recited in parliament, that bad been formerly given to that hing in parliament. Bract, in his second buok, fol. 37 , is express in the point, that they cannot be taken but by Grant in parliament; his norils are these: 'Sunt quedam communes ${ }^{\text {- }}$ prastationes qua servitia non dicuntur, nec - de consuetudme veniunt nisi cum necessitas ' intervenerit, sicut sunt Hidugia et Corneagia - de necessitate et consensu totius regni intro' ductn.' Rot. Yarł. \& Hen. 3. M. 4.

My Loris, the next Authority I shall insist on is the Case of the abbot of Robertsbridge in Kent; which, because prima facie it seems to be to the point, I will put it at larze. M. 25 Ed. 1. finient. 1. Banc. Rot. 77. The Abbot brought a replevin against Adam de Bridland and others, for toking his cattel; the Defendants avow in these words, ${ }^{4}$ Dicuet enim occasione turbationis inter regem, et regrm Francix suborta, nssignatus fuit Willielmus de Leighbourne ex parte regis ad custorliam marie faciend' ratione cujus custodim faciend' terra et tenementa hominum ejusd' comitatus agistata fuerupt ad custod' faciend'; And the

Abbot was ansessed, 22 Ed. 1, at 7s. the 23 Ed. 1, at 13t. and 24 Ed. 1, at 15s. 'ad pred' 'custod, faciend';' and because he refabed to pay, that the Defeत̉dents being collectors for the town, distrained the Abhot. The Abbot, in bar of the Avowry, says, that for his lands he was assessed to find a horse and man in ' subsidium castod' pred',' and that he found his horse and man necordingly, 'ad eand' custo' diam faciend';' and therefore demands judg; ment, 'si una et ead' occasione custod' pred '; he ought to find the horse, 'et nihiloninus preed' ' pecuniam solvere.' The Defendants maxntain their Avowry, and say, that the abbot had divers other lands within the town, that he was assessed for them, for the money, and that he was not assessed for those for the man and horse; thereon issue is joined, and day given, without any more thereapon that I have seen.
My Lords, besides the Authority of it in point, these two things may be further objected from this Case: The county was agisted ad Custodiom marss, and likewise to find forces. My lords, for the last, I have before admitted, and by the Statute of Winchester that may be done, for the service was to be perfurmed in Kent, the same county where the land lay.
My Lords, because thus Case primae facie hath some shew of authority in point, I shall pndeavour a full and clear Answer unto it. By the Casc itself it appears, that these Sesses were in tume of war: the words are, 'occa'sione turbationis inter Regem et llegem ' Francia;' netther was the war with France only at that time, but likewise with Scotland and Wales, and all the effects of war accompanied it. The French had landed in divers parts of the realm, and in particular, 23 Ed. 1, in th's county of Kent, and had burnt the priory, and the greatest part of Dover; the Havens were shut up for a grent part of that time; the Goods both of the French and Scotish nation were seized through the whole kingdom; the lands of all priors aliens were seized, and those that were upon the maritime parts removed, and natives put into their houses, and all strangers whatsoover, that landed within the kingdoon, to be arrested. All these, if any of them should be denied, will be made good, not only by our histories; but likewise by the public records of the kingdom. So that my first Answer is, that these sesses were in the time of an actual defensive war from the two next and greatest states unto the realm.

My second Answer is, that it appears not at all, by any thing in this case, that these Sesses were made by any authority from the king; for the words are only in the general, that the coonty was agisted, and that the Ahbot himself was agisted, but says not by whom, or whose nuthority. That it was not by the king's authority, appears by Leighborne's commission appointed 'ad costodiam pred' faciend', as the words of the caseare; for by his commision whereby he was to do this, which is Rot. 22 Ed. 1, M. 8, he was so fír from having any power to tax the county hereunto, that hois
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commanded for victuals, arons, o: other things that be shall need in this business, that he sball pay, those from whom be shall have any such thing which likewise is entered in the Commupia, is Ed. 1, Kot. 77. My lords, that there were parliaments in every one of these years, appeairs by the summons, and those in words not usual ; for the great fleet of Fatace being meationed, and that the French did intend ' Linguain Anglicanam omnino delere,' they were now called ' ad tractand' ardinand' ' et faciend' nobiscum,' and the lords, 'et alise ${ }^{6}$ Incolis Regni qualiter sit hujusmodi periculis 'obviend.,' as it is in the Close Roll, 23 Ed .1 , M. 4, dors. and 24 Ed. 1, M. 7, dors.

My lorde, that accordingly order was taken ' cum lncolis;' and that the gentry and other inhabitants, by way of by-law or agreement amongst themselves, did make provision in this particular, I shall endeavour to prove to your lordships: that it hath been done at other umed, and that such by-laws are good, appears, if Ed. 2, Banc. Regis, Rot, 60. The Scuts entering Durham, a by-law was made by the mbabitants for the rasing of money, and one that refused it was adjudged to pay it: besides Leighborne, who was adminat of all the English flect, there were Custodes maris in earh maritime county ; these, as appears by Coinmuma, 21 Ed. 1, Rot. 78. dors. were chosen by the commonalty of each county; and that these, together with the sheriff aud mhabitants. did make orders for those things, nppears by the Communia, 23 Fd. 1, Rot. 79, where writs are directed to the shcriff of Kent, and to the sheriff of otber maritime counties, commanding them that 'circa maris custod' visis pris-- sentibus milites et potentiores hberos búmines ' de balliva tua evocex, et cum ipsis provida ' circuanspectione deliberes,' how he should do iv. This, I conceive, is expressed in point, and the practice grounded upon that in parliament, 'ad ordinand' rum incolis.'

My Lords, my third answer to tins Case is, that these sesses were for land-service only, and not for shipping. And this appears, First, by the case itself; for the alibot, in har of the avowry, says, that he was sessed to find a man and hoise ' in subsidiu'n custad' pred.;' which must be for land service; and thertfore demands judgment, 'si una ead' occassune custod' 'pred', he ought both to find the horse and to pay the sess. This is not denied by the defendants; but they say that the abbot had other lands, and that this sess was for those ${ }^{\text {' }}$ other lands; so that it is admitted that the sess for the horse, which must be for land-service, and that for which they avow, were both for the same use; ull the difference is, whether the sess were upon the same land or no.
My lords, if the sess, for which the defendauts avow, had been for shipping, they might hare admitted all that the abbot had said, in bar of their avowry $;$ that is notwithstunding he found arms for land-service, that yet be might, for the same land, have been sessed again to the finding of chippinger Neither do 1 doubt, but that
the parties, in the action, now before your lordships, do find arms, and yet they are semed for shipping; and that it will be stood upon by the other side, that the finding of arms for laodservice excuseth not for shipping.

But it may be said that the very words are, that the sesses were 'pro custod' maria.' My lords, by divers records it appears expresaly, that the custody of the maritume part of the land is called 'custod' maris.' Claus. 23. Ed. 1. M. 4, dors. A writ directed 'Collectoribus ' pecunia ad costodiam maris,' in this county of Kent, commanding them, that in respect that the Cinque Ports were at the charge of ahipping, ' quod quieti sint de custod' inaris faci' enda,' which must needs lie at land. Communia 24 Ed, 1, Hot. 79 . A writ to 'Wil' ham Bonell, el sociis suis ad custodam Maris ' in Com' Suff' assiguatis,' and yet all that they are to do in that office is for defence at land. So Trin. 31 Ed. 1, M. 20. Communia. This 'Custodia Marituna, how it is to be done appears, Rot. Parl. 56 Ed. 1, M. 49, and by the statute 5 IIen. 4, cep. 3, it is to be done, ns heretofore it hath been done, according to the statute of Winchester.

My fourth wuswer to this case is, that the plauntif was a clergyman, and the clergy having denied in parliament to aid the king, as the lauty did this year, at this time they stoed in the hing's disfavour ; and in Hil. Term. 25 Ed. 1, Rot. 17, the hing commanded all his counts of justice, that if any clergyman was plaintiff in any action, 'quod nullum ei fiat remedium.' And therefore Walsingham, in his Annuatis Consiliis of this year of 25 Ed. 1, says, that it was 'Clero Anglive importabilis, quia de pro' tectione regia est eiclusus, et per rcgem ni' bilominús depredatum.'
But, my lords, if 1 should let all go that hath been said, yet, under your lordships favours, the case is of no authority at all : for, admitting that the sesses werd for shipping, and that by the king's autbority; yet had the plaintiff no reason to put himself napon the point of law when the matter of fact would help him: for the plaintif says, that he had been sessed before for those lands; the other party says no, but that it was for other lands; end upon this the issue is joined.
Nay, my lords, if there be any authurity at all in the case, under favour, it is strong the other way : for if the 'sespes were for shipping, the abbot says, that before he had found arms for the land sefrice, and demands the judgment of the court, if therefore he ought to pay this sess too. The other party, if the law had been clear, might have demurred theranpon; so that the authority sways this way, that none for the same land are chargeable for arms at land and ehipping too.
My lords, not only for the clearing of this case, but all other things that cancern it, either in the mere right or matter of fact before the 29 Ed .1 , that'before the parliament at Lincoln 29 Ed .1 , all things concerning the King's prerogatire, and the subiects libertion,
were altogether upon incertainties. The statote of Running Mead, Magna Charta, Charth de Foresta, hidd been confrmed at least eight tines, from 17 Joh. unto $\$ 9 \mathrm{Fd}$. . , and yet not only the practice, but likewise the judges, in the courts of justice, weat clear contrary to the plain both words and meaning of them. By the seconde chanpter of Magna Charta, a baron, 'pro Basonia integra,' was to pay but 100 marks for his relief; the practice snd process of the Exchequer till 29 Ed. 1, was always for this relief 1000. Michaelis 28 Ed. 1, Rot. 94. Communia, uffer the death of Joln Gray, that held per Baroniam, the question was, whether be should pay 100\%. as the record says, 'prout antehac onerari solebat,' or 100 marks, 'propter confirmationein ssecurrdum Magnam ' Cbartam;' and this the court would not detormine before they had consulted with the hung; and yet the stature of Mngne Charra bad been confirmed by 25 Ed . 1, ond likewise the same year, ns appears by the ssatute De Articalis super cliartas. Communia, M. 13. Ed. 1, Rot. 26. Phalip Mennion died, and 100l. paid for his relef. It was now 29 Ed. 1, and in question, whether $100 l$. or 100 marks udmittauce, licet huctenus, they were always 100 l. because the king had contirined Magna Charta 2eth of has reign, and by his writh had commanded lis courts to inroll it, and would huve it sile cretero in onnihus suis Aruculis obser'vari'. My loids, of this kund there be many casces. The Charter of kfing John, and of the Foiest, cap. 10, is Nullus 'de catero amittat ' ritam vel meinbruin pro venatione nostra;' and yet agnin't the plain letter and meaning, Cominunia Trin. 29 EJ. 1, R. 44, Adaun Gower of Scarborough, as appears, had in this king's relgnobeen beheaded pro venatione in the forest of Danby; and nnw an inquistion went out to find, what lands and koods he hud; and then upon the return the guestion wat, whether his haud was forforted, and should esclieat upon such an attuiuder, and resolved that the land wis not forreited. Pasch. 22 Ed. 1, Rot. 48. The king's sliepherd laul put the king's shecp into a man's ground, who lind distrained them; and for this process went outeof the Exchequer to punish the man, who there pleads, that he knew not that they were the Ling's sheep. And there Rot. 51 , dors. Jessee for life of a manor of the kng's wigh an advowson excepted, the court declared, thas he had forfeited the manor itself. By these cases it apyears, that neither the practice nor the proceedings in the courts of justice in those times, in things between the king, sod the subjecty are so much to be relied upon, as the words of the law.
My lords, it may furrther be obiected, that at common law, before the statute of Winchester, the king might compel the subject to find arms for the defence of the kiogdom; Ahd therefore, by the anme reason, he mny charge thertit to find ships for'the defonoe of the sea;
My lofds, not granting the thing, yet for the present admitting it, I thall thereunto give these anbwers ;-Thas his axjerty by tonnage
voL. ml .
and poundege, and the olper duties at common law mentioned, hath a particular supply for that of slipping, but bath nothing in particular for the other of armas ; and therefure that may * with more reason be laid upon the mulject than the other. And yet for one of the principal things in that statute of Wiachester, thint is, for watching and wardmg, the king before that statute had a particular and certain farm or sum of moncy of each"county for the doing of ut, whech after that statute the cothoty wns discharged of, because by that statute the countien took the charge of doing of it npon themselves, as the cases are Connm. Hinl. 20 IM. . 1, Hot. 10, nud Br. Trn. 43 Ed. 1, Hot. 24, dors. 1 181. 'pro 'Com. Northumb' and 161. pro Cumberiand.'

- My second answer is, that each subject, and that secundum statum et facrultates, is already chargeable for that of shippinz, as Inth been before proved; and theretore if he be chargeulle both in money und kind too, the charge is double in the une, and bot snuple in the other. Neither could it bold propotion winh these cases of watchung and wardiuq, where the counties were discharged of the moncy, when they took the thing in Kind upon themselves. And therelure this objection cannot, as I conceive, be mnde, unlcess bis majesty tirst quit all the befire-menestioned duthes laid upon merclan-dize.-My thrd ansuer is, that in that of arms there is only mulatio specici, ecisnging of money into arms; for they remain the subject's still in property, and are in his own custody; he may sell then, or employ them at his pleasare to his own use : bur in this way of thipping there is allatto reti, in respect of the victuals nad marmers wages.- Mly fourth nnswer is; that that of arms is not only for the defence against foreigners, but in watching, and warding, upon Hine and Cry, and otherwise to keep the peaco within the realm, and for the execution of justice, by assisting the sheriff when he shall have occasion to usr the Posse Comitatus, and otherwisc, all whuth do full in the other. And as the use of army is more gencral, so are they for the moie inmediate defence of that element, wherein we have our usual and certain livelihood. And yet the ordering of these for three hundred years and upwards, was by authority of parliament.-Lastly, iny lords, in respect of the victuals and mariners wagrs to be found for 26 weeks, the cisse in question, os I conceire, caunot be counpared to that of arms, but ratber to that of taxing the conntry fior finding of soldiers to go out of their counties.

My lords, the next objection that I shanl endeavour to give answer unto, is, that it is in his majesty's power, for the safety of the realm, to shut up the ports and havens of the hingdom, and thereby to make a general stoppnga of all manner of foreign trade; and therefore as Lis majesty may anticipate gain, by barriug men Gom the exercising of their calling, so by the same reason may be take something sway. -My lords, my frot answer is, that the law thereia doth trust the king only with that, which being done, is most to his own low, $m$ in
respect of the customs and other duties this of prohibiting foreign trade would be.-My second answer is, that this cannot be done but in time of war, and imminent danger, and that therefore this objection will not be sensonable till the other be put in execution. The last objection is, that in divers old charters of liberties and exemptions, the patentees are freed de Danegeldo et Navigio, hereby is implied right. - My answer is, from the same charters it may as well be inferred, the sulject is bound to make and repair the king's parks and hooses, and to make up now bridges, and divers other things; these charters of exemption frecing them 'ab operationibus omnium regalium par' corum et pontum,' and from disers other things which by law the subject is bound unto.

My lords, for the precedents that, from matter of fact and charge, may le brought for proof of the use und matter of fact, us I do not profess to know them all, so if I did, yet time would not permit to give a particular answer to each of then; I shall therefore offer these general angwers to them: -

The most of them, or all of them, are for charging the se.n-towns, nud haven towns which have ships, ond many great privileges, and are infranchived for that purpnse, ns is declared in the Parhancnt-Roll of 13 Ed. 3, M. 11, before cited. These that ane to find ships, besides the main prescription for wrecks and beneitt of fishing, are discliar_ed of arrays and defence at land, as appears not only by the ParlamentRoll, but by the scotch Roll 10 E.d. 3, M. 28, dors. The town of Shorelam, in the connty of Sussex, time out of mind had fount ships, and therefore, being by the commissioners of array taxed to arns for the land-senvice, ni Supersed' for that cause anarded. Iucr Sussex, 7 Ed. 1,Rnt. 63, dors. Willaun de Bruce, lord of Shoreham, upon lis claim adjudged, that all the custons of merchants at Silorelam belouged to him. Loot. Pat. 26 Ed. 1, M. 16, the town of Yarmouth, 'pro servatio narium ' impenso et impendendo,' are disclarged of all subsidies granted in parlizment, 'pro corpo'ribus nasiam, et attito: et commuma Trin.' 31 Ed. 2, Rot. 30. The town of Baldsey, in the county of Surses, for the same cause discharged by judsment of the court. Iter Kant. 21 Ed. 1, liot. 44, dots. Certain land-holders withun the Cinque-Ports bave Taillage 'de ${ }^{\text {' }}$ quolibet homine applicante,' upon their lands. Fentions 1 Ed. 3, Rot. 9, Offico de Pat. In consideration of the charge of providing ships, the town of Sonthaunpton pctition, that their privileges of having customs within their ports be confirmed to them; that they had these, appears Ilil. 13 Hen. 4. Banc Regis Rot. 39, where they are indicted for extortion, for takng more castom than was due. Mot. Parl' 45 Ed. 3 , the commons pray, that the franchises of the sea tnwns and havens inay be allowed them as heretofore, and that by defauld thereof the navy of England is much decayed, to the disnssurance of all the realm, if need should be. That these that are not maritime towns ought
not to be charged, which is the very case of the defendant, I shall cite to your lordships express precedents. Clause 13 Fd .3 , M. 14, par. 2, the town of Bodmin in Cornwall discharged of ships, because in 'dicta villa portus non est et ' longe a mari et distat,' and hath not osed before-time to find slupping, and an inquistion awarded to inquire of these particulars; whereby it appears, that the inland counties had not so much as de fucto heen usually charged with ships. Rot. Frn. 21 Ed. 3, M. 17, those towns - qua naves nou habent, et qua alis naves ha' bentibus contributoria non txistunt,' that they should be discharged; it appears thereby that some towns that are members of great sen towns, are contributory to shipping, and other inland towns are not contributory, 2 Pars lint. 2 Rich. 2, M. 12, in which 51 Ed. S, is recited, whereby it is likewise recited, that the burgessed of Beverly had, by their petition in parlininent, complained, that their town is ' in loco arido et ' a mari,' that 'ad sinistram procurationem ' quorund' machinantium ipsos indebitc pra'gravare ad contribuend' hominibus de villa do ' Kingston super Hull,' to the making of a barge ' per mandatum regis'' now they pray 'de om' nibus et singulis hujusmod oneribus insolits,', to be discharged by their charter; and it appears they are discharreed accordingly, and this now exemplified, ? Ruh. 2.

To those of 48 Ien, 3, both for taxes for soldiers and for shipping, I shall give a partievlar answer, that it was then 'tempus belli,' when the couts of justue were shut; for the commisson weat ont atter April, and in the Red Book fo. 211. 6, it wns 'tempus bell' from 4 Sep. 48 IIen, 3. until the 10th of Sep. 4911 en, 3 , and that the courts of justice were shut up, appears 49 Uen. 3, Rot. 4. 'Comm' Scaccario ' non fuer' Barones revidentes in S'caccano,' ad I'as. 48 Ifenr 3, ad comm' Pas. 40 IIen. 3, ' propter turbationem nuper habitan,' there were no sheriffs in 'aliquilass cpmitatibus.' 48 Hen. 3, and thnse that were ' non poterint sue ' facere qua ad oificim vie' pertunebant.'
To the comunstions 30 Ed. 1, M. 9, in the Patent Roll, 'de pumendo homines' that rcfused, it is ' yquin'ad rogatum mittere non rou-- ce-sserme' so many ships. And if a by-law nere good to hind them, as is before proved, ns well as therr own promise, it may be so; but I have neser seen any legal proceedings against any of those that refused at that time, sare only againt the Cinque Ports that are sommowed by their service. " P. 33 Ed. 1, Banc. Kegis Rot. 82, agunst Seaford, as a menber of the ports ; and the chargeis, that ' per servition 'teneatur unvenire unam navem.'
For those of Ed. 3.'s time, his reign, for the most part, was a time of war; and that the offensive brought a defensive upon the kingdom, is plain. Walsinghom suith, page 119, 131, that 10 Ed. 3, the French bornt Southampton. And Stowe, page 234, says, that Ed. 12.3, they assaulted Southampton, end burnt patt of Plymouth. 13 Ed. 3, they assaulted the isle of Wight. Rot. Parl' 18 Ed. S, para 1. M. 9, that
they had done much mischief in the west consts, and conquered the isle of Guernsey. Rot. Sco. 10 S.d. 3, M. 5, dors. asd M. 2, and all the purts of England were shut up.

My lords, in these years wherein most o these writs issued, the great danger appears; nud yet that the charge laid upon the county was by by-laweand arreement, I Nall cite to your lordships the Scotish Roll, 10 Ed, 3, M. 3. The French riding at anchor at the isle of Wight, the king sends divers privy-coumsellor, to Dover, and commanded all the olficen, matters of ships, mariners and inhabitants, frotn the Thames mouth to the West, to come thither, an 'ractand' with those lords of the council for the defence of the sea by shups; and in the record it is cited, that onotwithstanding the king's former command, 'hactenus quicquid ' non facer' impress',' the writs for shyping issucd before and were not excented; and therefure now a commisuon, if an it might be done with consent. 20 Ed. 3, other writs went out, Rot Franc. pars 2, M. 21, 20 Ed. 3, a writ to Yarmouth ' propter pericula maris' to stop up their haveni, und Rot. Franc. para 1, M. 19. that uo fishermen go out to sea.-I shall enelcavour a particular answer to thic. Chaus. 10. $\boldsymbol{K d}, \mathbf{3}, \mathbf{M} . \omega_{3}$, the writ says, that 'valida defen'sannis super mare solvi non solebant teinpo'ribur progenitonun' of the hing. To this I sholl give thin answar, that these wages were demanded before their thise of goine to the service: and ther record is, hujuzmodi vadua have not leen praid.
My loide, if this answer be not sullicient, any second $1 \cdot$ by denying the thing; for brosdes that of 15 Johni. in tiph tome of necessity, the ships were to serve 'ad liberationes et ad stiperidn regis;' and 16 Ilell. 3, M. 4, both in Vd. 1, Ed. ?, and this kug's time before the tcuth yeau of his reign, wagep for defence were frequently paid.-My locis, because I knuw not how far ghis will be stood upon, I shall spare the citing of any of them, anil to this purpose shall cite to your lordships only this case. It is amungt the parhament petitions 1 Ed. 3, and trausmitted into the Exchequer, Ilil. 2 Ed. 2, dors. The fislermen upon the consts of Yarmouth, 20 Ed. 2, were daly robbed and killed, and for rescous of them, those of Yarmouth were commanded to set out some ships to sea; and Adam Bridlington, the king's clerk, sent with 3001 . to set out this Hleet, which the men of Yarmouth intended they, should have as wages for the voyage; but the clerk would not let them hase above 230l, and that as money borrowed of the king, and for this they gave their Lond of repayment thereof. 1 Ed. 3, they complain in parliament, and pray that they may be discharged of the 2301 . and that the bond may be cancelled; which is adjudyed necordingly, and transmitted into the Exchequer for a trial, whether the service was done or not.- My last answer to these precedents is, that the matters of fact in these years, to the violation of the sulject, rights, procured upon fresh suits, not only the before-mentioned
statute of 14 Ed. 3, cap. 1, against any charge to be laid upon the subject wirhout assent int parliament; but afterwurds they complain in parliament, 15 Ed. 3, M. 9, tbat their goods were seized, and their bodies taken wihbous any suit commenced against thetn, contrary to Magns Charta, and the statutes and ordinances nuade thereupon with so much discretion of their aucestors; and in particular in the Parliu-ment-Roll of 22 Fd .3 , M. 4, for the guarding ut the see, nnd in 36 Ed. 3, M. 9; and 37 Ed. 3, M. 2, as before in $15 \mathrm{Ed} . \mathrm{s}$.
My lords, I now come to the last thing, which is the Proofs in the point, which I'shall humbly offer to your lordships. The first authority that [ shrill offer unto your lordships is the Patent-Roll, 26 Ed. 1, M. 21, whereby 1 shall endeavour to prove to your lordships thesc two things:-The confcssion of that kung and his council, that he was so far from having power to tax the people for the custody of the sea, as that he is Lound to make satisfaction for any thing taken from the penple for this purposc. The second, that the charges laid upon the people for the custody of the sea, were the principal guevances that occasioned the making of the statute of 25 . Fd. 1, and the statute of Tallagio non concrdendo.'
For the first that the king declares that he had a desire to redress the Grievances made to the people in his nate, and instanceth what they were, 'veluti de rebus captis in ecclesiis, 'et de alís rebus captis et àportatis tam de ' clericis quam de laicis, sive pro custodia maris 'sel aho modo quacunque;' whereby, my lords, there is hn acknowledgment that it is grievance, and to be redressed, to lay any tox upon the subject for the defence of the sea.-Connmissionerb are therc named throughout all Eagand to enquire of these grevances ; herein hey are to proceed accordng to ccrtain instructions from the hing and the council, which are thise three: Eirst, Whether the things were tulen without warrant; and of so, then the party that took the goods is to make satisfaction, and further to be punished for the trespass. Secondly, If there were no warrant illowed, then the officer was to make satisfacion. Thirdly, If all were done accurding to and in pursuance of the warrant, and no more; hen what upon certificate thereof is, the words re 'et enferra tant que il se fienera appais pur reason :' The king hereby proniseth, that whatsoever things were taken from the peoplo jy any command of his for the custody of the tea, that be will make reasonable satisfaction oo the party for such things.
My lords, for the second thing, that is, that hese gries ances occasioned the mahing of these tatutes, is clear fion the words of the patent; or they wcre made 'post querram inter iegnum et regen Fraucie:;' which, as appears lig the Zase of the Abbot of Rotertsbridgc, were from he 22d to the 25th of Edward the first, aud by all our hitoriaus, and many recurd. It ap* pears likewise, by those other words, that the king, before his guing wto Fiunders, intended
to have remedied those Grierances; he went 'over in Sep. 25 Ed. 1, and the statute 25 Ed. 1, was made the 10 th-of Oct. after. Hence likewise it follows, that the exception of the king's antient aids and prizes, mentioned in the statute of 25 Ed: 1, extenda not to this of charging the people to the custody of the sea, that being one of the principal grievances that occasioned the making of it. That the same grievance caused the makng of the statute De Tallagio, $\$ c$. I have before oflered to your lordsbips.

My lords, the nest uuthority which I shall present is the Communia Hil. 23 Ed. 1,Rot. 77. There the king comaruded 30 gulliesto be made by spyeral great towns, every galley wus to have 120 men w-prece; these nere ' pro defeusiove 'regni et securtate maris.' My lords, the cases are many in the Eachequer, where the money for mahing these gallies was recovered agaiust the king. I contess my lords, that the kiog had promised payinent to those that unde them, which I shall thus submit to your loriships: That in cuse the king might have commanded the making of then, at the charge of the towns, that then the hing's pronise was but nuthm pactum, for pronismg of payment for that which by luv they might have been forced to du, and so the payment rexted only the king's grace and good pleasure But, my lords, upon suits in his own time, aurl in the times of F.d. 2, and Ed. 3, the inomes for making these gallies wers received by several towns, M. 29 P fl . 1, Rot. 29, dors, for York, M. 31 Ed. 1. Rot, 77, 1pswirh and Dunwich. P. 5 Ed. 3, Rut. 31, for him ' prout justum fuer'' nothing having been paid before. Bract. M. 16 Vd. 2, fot. 11, both for the galley male at Southampton, and the briugng hir to Wmeheben, nt their oun charge, Pracept. I. 1 Ed. 3, all the money from Southanpton aut being paid, now ordered that it should he pnid.

My lurds, the nest authority that I shall present to your lordships is the Parliment-Roll, 13 E.d. 3, pars 1, M. 9 * 11. The causes of calling the parliament are declared to be these : First, the hecping of the peace. Secondly, the defence of the marches. Thirdly, the sfeguard of the sea, that the enemy inight not cuter the realm to destroy it. .These were the three points for the commons to advise on, which are put into writing, and entered upon the roll. My lords, hy the articles thenselves propounded on the, king's part, it appears, That the cotnmons are not chargeable to the guarding of the sea; ; aud they pray, that this advice of theirs may not be prejuricial to them to bind then thereunto, and that there are ships enpugh in Edgland to do it, if the people wa re willing. M. 11. The commons afterwards in debating of these articles, whan they came to this of the sea, notwithataudne the caution before, they are afraid, that if they should debate it, it might imply, that they are cbargeable to do ic; and therefore they protest against piving any advice therein, as a thang whereof they have no cognizance; and do further declare, that the. Ciiqque Ports, and other great towns, that have
franchises, are bound thereunto, that they should do it. And therefore the merchants, masters of ships, and mariners, throughout England, ure summoned to be at the next parliauent for advice about shipping.

My lords, the next authority is the Parlia-ment-Koll, 22 Ed. 3, M. 21. The commons petition in thete womds, ' que "le guard de la mere so face descries res sotele guard fit man ad refait amunt vis senois ut semble que melliue guard ne poit estic fatt que le Roy ne fait ne quil demeritissent de le sur la guer ct par de fair de c'est ter.' The commons having fynnerly granted the king divers Aids and Subsidies upon Wool, Wool-fells, and leather and otherwise, for the guarding of the seas, they now grew weary of $f$, and desire, that the king hinself from thenceforth should bear the whole burden, and chaige him aith his promise to that purpose.
My lords, this Petition, tunugh in the name of the communs, yet the lords joined in it ; for otherwise all acts of parliament of those timex being made upon Petition and Answer, should be wilhoot the lords nsseat. Hence it appeurs, that the whole kingdon, at this time, was so fan from thinking that the king could chrige them, without their consents, to the guanding of the sea, ns that they alledge, the king himsedf ought to bear the wiole charge. Neulier duth the Ling deny his promise, nor wholly deny the thang: for though he ssith, it shruldid be done as it hath been done before, yet it is with a qualification, because the sca cannot be better kept than he hath hept it, by reason of his being to oflen at sea in person, in going and returning from France, aud divertipg the cnemy by lis wars in Frances. If the king bad given his absolute devial, yot here is the jadgment of both bouses of parliament express in the point.
Hot. Francire \& 1 Ed, 3, pars 2 M. 9 \& 11. The merchants had grauted /ss. 8d, upon their goods till Michacimas, for providing 120 greak ships 'pro secura condactione navium et inar' chandiz.rrum, et pro defensionc ceterarum 'maritimarum partium regni, et aliis periculis ' his quernnis temporibus regno imminentibus.' This grant being 'made, the king alledging that this was not sufficient for the service, and to remove the causes of the stopping of the trade by renson of the wars ; the king now leugthens out the same from Michaelnnas to Faster following; and to satisfy the people, the king by his proclamation declares, that the 2 ss .8 d . shah ccase at Easter, according to the grant ; which, as it should seem, not satisfying the penple, or the king continuiug the taking therenf, the commons in parliament, 22 Ed. S, M. 16, pray that it may cease, nad that by procurement of no merchant, 'pluis lergent soit continue.' An imposition but for half a year, and that upon merchandize, and by consent of the merchants for the defence, yet taken off upon complains. The Answer is, that it slinuld cease.

My next anthority is the Parliament-Roll 2 Ric. 2, pars 2 M. 5, before cited, where the great council and sages of the kingdom resolve,
that the commons are not chargeable to the defence of the realin without parliament; which extends to this particular of the sca, for the present preparation, whereunto the commons are not charge.tble, was for defence at sea; and therefore the money lent was to provide on army for the sea, 'en defence et salvation del - dit Royalue elde la Navy et des costiers del ' mere.'

My lords, the next authority is the Parlia-ment-Roll 2 Hen. 4, M. 22. Comuissions to eharge the people to make ships for the delence of the realin without consent of parliament, repealed by the king and the whole parliement for that very cause.

- Item pur ceo quero tarde divers Commissions fueront foist ant divers cities burroughs et villages du royalme pur faire ceitaines Jsanges et Batlingers sans assent du Parliam' it nit nut' $\mu r^{\prime}$ nud estre fast devant ces hents' nr' lcs Cominons pray nr' snr' le Roy que les dita Commissions soient repeals of que ils ne sorent forces, ne fait a quore loir fuit respons' que le Itoy vest que in les Commissons soient repeals in tout points mes pur le grand necessity que ad des tiels vessels pur defence din royalue in case que les quens sint priment le Roy vost commuaer de rest matter ouesque les Snrs' et puis apres le mre' al' dits Commons pur intumuer loir councel et advise tiel party.' The fint cumnussion's repealed, because the commons were not chargeable without a parlt ment. And now the king will put it in a parhomentary way, hy doing it with the assent of the lowis and commons.

My lords, my next nuthority is the Parlia-ment-Roll 9 Ilcu. 4. The caure of the calling the parinment is lor the safeguard of the sea, and of the Nurth Marches ; and M. 17, great mischief shewn for defaule of kreping the sen; and M. 21, it is there enroiled hy the king's command, that therewas conunumication had betwren the hing and the lords for the defence of the renlin, and for, resisting of the enemies, who made preparations on allsides ; whereunto sulficient resistance cannot be provided, unless the king have in his parliament some notable and granted unto him. 'My 'lords, the king hereby acknowledgeth, that he cannot without the parliament charge the people for the safe keeping of the sea, that being the principal part for the defense there intendel, the same with the summons, thint without the consent of the commons ' negotia pred' Infecta remape'rent,' and with the summons in the Close Roll 23 Ed, 1, before-mentioned, 'Quod om-- nes tangit per omnes debet supportari.'

My lords, the next authority is the Parlia-ment-Roll 4 Hen. 4, M. 28 . The lords spiritual and teniporal, and the commons, grant the king a Subsidy upou the staple commodities, and Tonnage and Poundage, and likewise a Tenth and Fifteenth, with this protestation, ' Protestant que cest grant in temps avener nc
'soit point en evnupple de charger les dits Surs'
' ne Cominons da royalme de nul manner del
-Subsidy ne 10th ne 15th a les guerris desco-
${ }^{\prime}$ regates, ou safegard del mere gans soit per lo ${ }^{\prime}$ 'volents des Seig' et les Coramons de realme ' et ceo a novel grant faire in pleine Parlig'ment.' Rot. Parl' 6 Hen. 4, M. 12, and Rot. Parl' 1 Hen. 5, M. 17, the same protestation as before.

My lords, that the charge of the defence at sen, and that in a large proportion, by reason . of the befort-mentioned daties, is to he borne hy lis majesty, I conceive that it will not bè denied; that in subsidium and aid of his inajesty theren, the commons are not chargeable without therr consent in full parliament. In these three records there are not only these protestations of the whole realm being made by the lords and commous, but likewise the king's coosent by accepting the things granted, and that without any qualification of the protestations. Those procestatious, that they are not .chargeable to the guarding of the sea in a certain way, as ne 10 ths and 15 ths, do much more ful in a way uncertain as here.

My lords, my next proof is from the practice of former king, in their freguent demands of aids froun parliaments for the defence of the sen, as well before the statutes of tonnage and poundage, as then and since; moneys borrowed by former kings for ships and defence at sea, and indentures of retainer for that purpose at the king's charge ; and not only so, but upon snit allowance in the Fxchequer for victuals, mariners wages, anchors, prisoners taken in fights pro defensione, nad also other things necessary for shipping when for defince of the realm. Whereupon the same argunicut may be made in this particular for the ses, as was before for the तefence in general.
The first thing that 1 shall press, is that of the Cinque Ports. Their service is certain in respect of the time, but 15 days in a year; and in respect of the charge, but 20 men and a master; and the number of ships certain: bcsides that they are discharged of arms for the land-service, they have likewise divers other pivileges for the doing hereof; they were free from ull aids and subsidies granted in parliament, and are by privy seals discharged thereof. Hil. 2 Ed. 3, Comm' nbout the end of the roll; they arc freed from all tolls, murage and pontage throughout the realm, which bringeth a greater charge upon the rest of the subjects. -My lords, I shall thus offer it unto your lord. ships: If they that have these privileges shall serve but 15 days in a year, bow the others that have no privilege at all, should do it for 26 weeks, es in the writ?
Sccondly, Their charge is certain in the number of men and ships; how the rest of the commons that are so far from having any privilegrs or recompence for it, as that they do contribute to this charge of the Cinque Ports, shall, as by the way in the writ, be altogether uncertain in the maker of charge, both in the number of men and ships, end of every other thing. - My lords, I shall press thib furthier, that when the ports exceed their charge in the number of men or ships, allowance by the king is to be
made unto them, as appears by the Quire of Dover, and Pat. Roll' of 7 Hen. 7, before cited, that after the 15 days they were to be at the king's charge ; so in the Pat. Koll' 19 Hen $3, \mathrm{~m}, 14$, because they found 40 men in the ship, the king promised payment for all over and above the namber of 20 . Banc. Regis Trin. 83 Ed. 1, Itot. 2.2, allowance to service in Scotland; the Scots, as appears Ly Walsing. ham, $p, 33$, and otherivhere, having about that time Larnt divers Einglish towns and ships, and a schoul-house, wilh 200 scholars in it, Visus Comp. P. 33 Ed, 1, pro ingenti Ho. Scotland P. $s i$ Ed. 1, Rot. 37, Comm' la Composition.

My lords, of the ports who are bound to the defeace at sea, when they have perriemed their serrice, be not compellable to any farther change, I shuil humblyofier it to your lordships, whener those that be not bound at all, from the same reano, are chargeable at all.

My lo, 小, 1 have now done, and shall nut further press upan the patience of your lordships; I boow that 'nullum tempus occunit 'regi;' the disme thercof, I shall priss it no othervise than that it is an moterpretation of the Statute mate azanst all Aids and Tuillages in general; und of the complaints of the parliament of 15 L Ldw. 1, m 9 ; $36 \mathrm{~F} . \mathrm{dw} .3$, m. 19, and 37 Eiln. 3, in. 9 , that thowe statutes had not been kept; and further, us it is an interpretation likewiec of the belurc-mentioned dechantion, pectatis and protectations against this in particular ; and as it is an execation of them, und putimg the in moto practice: ' Praxis 'sanctorum,' as tice divmes say, ${ }^{4}$ est interpres 'praceptorum.'

The chaims which anciently the suljects hase made upun the crosin, that none of the great odicers of the king, bom could be chosen but in parhament, nor that the hing had power to sell any of the antient conso-lands; the disuse shews, that thone claius of their's were not legal. Br. in has fuarth book, fol. 209, saith, that " longa patientia trahitur ad cousensun.' The non-clains therefore of so many kings and quecus I shall present unto your lordships, as 3n many le voits and declarations of their general consents, that without assent in pariament they rould ngt have laid the like sess upon any of their subjects, as is nuw laid upon my client.

The first'Day's Argevent of Sir EDWARD LITTLLETON, Lnt. his Mnjesty's Solicitur General, in the Exchequer-Chamber, before all the Juiges, on behalf of His MAjesty, in the Great Case of Sitip-Money.
May it please your Lordships; May 22d last, issued a Scari Facias out of the Exchequer to the sherifif of the county of Bucks, to warn Mr. IIampden to shew cause why the 20s, bhould not be charged on him towards the fingling of a ship of war, with men, munition, and victuals, expressed in a writ dated 4 Aug 11 Car. And the sums and the names of the defaukers were certifed iato the Exchequer by a Mittimus,
dated $5 \mathrm{May}, 13 \mathrm{Car}$, to be there proceeded upon for the levying of the 20s. according to the law and custom of England: Mr. Hampden appeared, and desired that all the writs inight be read unto hin; they being read, he demorred, and Mr. Attorney joined with him in demurrer, and adjourned out of the Exchequer into this court, to have the allviee of all the judges of England.

Before I enter into my Argument, because the true stating of the question in this and all other thags doth execedingly couduce to the clearing of the thing in question; 1 shall in the first place, obserye the writ, dated 4th August, 11 Car. the ground of this nssess, which was directed into Bucks, and others into all the countues of Kingland, and this was for raising aids for slips, for the defence of the kingdon, with a notable circunstance, 'quia salus regui 'periclitabatur ;' which being expressed in the record, is confessed by lue demurrer, and not only so, but testitied by the king limself under the great seal in the Mittimus: and in all matters, especially in matters concerning the public safety, the hing is ' Recordun superlativum 'et preexcellens,' as in the great 'ase of the earls of Gloucester and Ifereford, 20 Edw, 1, so that the question is only this, Whether the king, in bis jodgroent, finding the saffety and preservation of the lingdom and peraple, necessarily and unavoidably to require the and commanded by the Wrih, way not command such aid by the $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ it, for saving and preserving the kingdom and people?
Ifaving stated the question, I shall now discard many things 95 inpertinent to the question, not that 1 that wn sworn counsel for the king, do ngree in thuse things ngainst the hing; Int that they are not now in questions lt is not now in question, What uray be mposed by the king upon the subject for Defence, at their charge, for conquest or gonservation of forcigu countuies or teriitories beyond Jhe seas. Neither is it in question, what may be laid by the king upon his suljects, for vindicating injures done by forcign princes or states. Neilher is it in question, whether arbitrary impositions or taxes inay be litid at plensure upou the sulject for the incre increase of the revenue of the king's treasure. Neither, whether in ordinary and common defence, for preservation of thikingdom, though necessary, it may be thus imposed.

But the question is, ' (auando saluà regni 'periclitabatur;' in truth, the question is, whether we can be charged ' pro salvatione nostr' 'et nostror'. Again, it is not in question, whether the subject hath a property in his goorls, rcan lose them without consent in parliament. I shall shew that his property shall remain unto him notwithatanding this assess; and the argument on the contraly savours more of malignity than reason, to say that by this the subject shall lose his property in his goods.

It was rightly admitted, that the hw of property must give place to the law of nature, for the common defence; the levying of a debt
or daty public or prisate, upon any subject, is so far from destroying the property, that it doth confirm it. He hath as good property that payeth debts to the king, as he that doth not.

We ngreed de re, the bingdonn is to be defendéd; no man in his five senses will deny that de personis, according to the equitable rule in the writ (quod omnes tangit per omnes 'debet supportaris' we are in this as in the Conqueror's laws, 'sumus fratres conjurati ad 'regnom defendendum.' De persona whom the law hath intrusted with the defence of the kngdom, vic. the king, we are libewise agreed. The only difference is de modo, whether the right media be observed by the king? and whilst ne are dispating whether he may do it, I un told he may do it in patliament; true, he that may do it every where, may do it in parliainent. And I shall be sorry to hear thicle shall be no salvation for the people but in parhament.

And whist this was the question, though a ereat deal of care was hal, nad though it was done with advantage and policy, yet the bulk and manss of what was saud, sliall appear to fall quite off as nothang to the purpose. There were multiphenty of particulars, and a pretty survey of the hing's revenues, no ways concernmg the cave, and as much mistaken in $1 t$, ns he that rechoned wthout his host. He bath done like a discrect grentleman, zod went as near the question as b, rhent would let hm; he hath agreed cases more piegudictal than this, as thus: It was admutted, that if there he any actual war, thought there be but light skirmishe, the king may do it; nny, if there be but a war denounced, though thege be never a blow struck, sucly hen cau it not be done when 'sulus ecgni penclitabatur?' 'Ihes is the true state of the question.

Before I go further, I an not ignorant, and therefore canuot but be with what idsaduantage 1 come to argue this cave, every man beng a party interested that hears me; but 1 frar not but that I shall satisfy all parties, I bave truth to conduct me, " Et maguia est veritas et prav' valebit.'

The method nhereby 1 mal maintain the right of my master, and the crown, is this; I shall first ground it upon reason; every humau proposition is of equal authorrty, only reason makes the difference.-1 shall ground my reasons, First, upon thellaw of nature: Secundly, of state; and Thirdly, of public safety, necessity, and conveniency. Neither shall it be against the statute law, common law, or, any of the hereditary rights andribeeties of the subjects of England, but consonant to, and warranted by all. I shall not only prove it 'ex rationi'bus cogentibus,' or as lawyers say, 'ex vis'ceribus causa,' but 'de simulibus ad similia.' I shah confirm it by a beadroll of examples and precedents of furmer ages, and compare them with this, "and see if the case be'altered.

First, I thall shew it from the foundation of the kingdom, to that which they call the Nor$\operatorname{man}$ Conquest; from the Norman Conquest
to the time of Magna Charto, made 9 Hen. s, fron Magna Charta to the statute 'De Tallagio non conceriendo,' made $25 \mathrm{Ed}$.1 ; from the statute ( De 'Tallagio non concedenda' to the first granting of Tounage and Poundage; from Tonnage and Poundage to this very day, and that the Petition of Right doth no ways concern the dispute. I sball confute all precedents, objecthons, reasons, inconvenjencies, authorities or iprords, of which a great number were cited, that there shall not be a syllable left; and in that, Fust, I will either shew that the record is mistaben, or impertinent and not to the question: Or, Secondly, Those that are pertinent, I will etther agree them, or tako the force of them away, that none of them shadl be able to stand in the way of the hing in this way of defence.

The fundamental reason is the exact rule of the law in the 10th Repoit cited ly that learned gentlemen Mr. St. John, 'ssly populi su'prema lex.' All other laws positive are subordinate to this hav, and we to be regulated by it. We are not to talk of positure laws, iff we lase a hingdom to use them. Gianvile saith, '1legian inajestatem non solun anmis ' oportet esse decoratim re. 1 et legibus;' arms to defend us, laws to protect us. Bracton, fo. 1. In 'regitus duo sunt neclsaria, arma et 'leges;' and give, the reason: If no arms, the kragdom would ie lefi as a proy to the cucumey. And truly it is a strauge najo ture, that the law should so protide, that the hing hy his writ can give us a remedy for whiteracte and loachacre for a, clod of carth, and nut be able to give a writ to defend the kugdom when it is ut umgnent danger. Nay, povitive laws are abrogated by reason, when the safety of the hang dom nuid people ure in danger. As in Some, in the night a man might not come orer the walls, bat if an enemy did approach the city, then it was lawful for him to do it.
In the next place, I tahe that grouvd which i, taken in all laws; the common-wealth is to be preferied before all pilivate estater. 13 H . $s$, to. 15 , the opinion of Shelley. Rather tban this shall suffer, the law will turn some prejudice to particolar persons, who are but a part of the common-wealth, 31 IIen. 7, fo. 28, 8 Ed. 4, fo. 23, 29 Hen. 8, Dyer 36. If the enemy doth approach, for the defence of the kingdom one man may make bulwarks and forts on another man's sonl, and shall not the king heep the cutworks at sea, lest the enemy should land at our doors? Again, by the King's command, suburbs may be razed: In 1588 when three great land-armies, 20,000 fuot and 1,000 horse, 30,000 foot and 1,000 horse, and 20,000 foot and 1,000 horse were raised. Now lest noy army should land in other places, directions were given to kecp them from landing; but if they could not hecp them from landing, then that they should barn down houses, and come and destroy all whatsoever, that they might not hase food and provision to stay there. Where is the law of property in this case, which is so mach talked
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of? The public and private are so nearly connext that they can hardly be separated; the public lons falls immediately, and by consequesce upon particular persuns. Be a man in what condition be would be, if a public loss conses to the state, though it falls on his wed-cung-day, he shall suffer in it. It is impossible to' mave private fortunes if the public be lost, 'anaquaque amac' comm' bona totius, , \&sc.' 'And anoller says very' well, mn man repines at that which is done for the good of the commonwealth. If a subject then can be emabled without partiament to make balwarks and forts in another uana's ground, shall not the king. that is pater patria, do the like for the delience of the whole?
My third reson is to confirm, or rather mind your loriships, that the crown hath many porers and prergoatives over the estutes of private persons. May not the ling eiter into. nuother man's honse, or at least out-houses, and dig for salt-petre, because it is for the defence? $1 t$ Report fo. 81, Bowles case, aud euter into his lands and dig roval mines?
There is proprietas dommin belongs to the subject, but hee bath not the power over all, without the property ' Ratione protectionis, ju-- risdictionis,' \&c. Prinate intetest must give place to a commun good; the private prcyudice that auy man hath, is very well repaired ly the public utihty that comics to the Lingdom. Pishermea may justafy thor goung anto the lands of others ton tifh, because it is probono publico. 3 E. 4, 18, 19 . 29 II. 8 , Dyer 36 ! 21 Hen. 7, 28. A man may pull donn the house of ongther mana, when the next house to it is on fire; ' ' Jam tun 'res agitur paries cum proxinus ardet,' 'we pnvate must suicer for the public cause. 22 ld . 4, fo. 2. b. 26 Ed. 1, fo. 45. If two men are fighting, a wan may part them, and put them into several bouses, because it is for the goor of the commonivealith. If $a$ madman be abroad, he may be talken, vhipped and imprisoned, le-1 he do vidence to limself and otheis. 22 Ed. 4, fo. 45. A clirirurgeon may cut of one member to save the rest, 22 A M. Plowden 56. Necessity is the law of the time and action, and diing, ure lawful by necessity, which otherwise are not ; ' Quicquid necersitas cogit, defendit ${ }^{2}$ ' and the law of the time must regniare the law of the place in such public things. If a stoum arise at sea, to cast out goods into the sea is lawful far the safity of the other goods; and they whose goods ane not lost, shall be sharess with the others. If it be for safety of lives, pli must be cast out, Duifipild's and Nowse's care; but if tha party hath tahen more in than is fitting, and that be the cause of castuyg away the goods, and not the tempent, there the party hath his remedy. 48 Hen. 3, there was a sudden summons to be in arms both at sea and land; they plead, that there was not a just time of surpmons; the king tella them, that uo man shall escosese limself for want of convenient time of summons; nas, they shall not alledge the time of harrest, \&c. it boing mafer to be somewhat dininisbed in
estate, then the public to suffer : 'Necessitas ${ }^{6}$ est lex temporis,' whatsoever is done for public safety is best; other laws are tributary, and must give way to the law of necessity: What talk we of formalities, when we are like to lose the kingiom, when the keeping of the laws would end the commonwealth.

But this needs not, for I sball shew thet his majesty bath trod in the steps of all those kings who have worn the diadem, and swayed the scepter of this kingdom.

In the next place I shall shew divers exemptions a custodua maritima, not only aucient but late: I will put you one, that is, King's Cullege in Caunbridge, 21 IIen, 6. When these grants are made, it extends to the ordinary defence of the peoplc, and not extraordiaury; no more than if the king grants an exemption to a man that he shall not be of a jury; yet if there be no other, that shall not excrue him. Matth. Paris 838, he speahs of privileges aranted to the archbishop of G'anterbury, London, \&cc. All are granted in Liberam eleemosynam; they are bound to do nothing but to pray, and yec are not exempled from public defence," Nec adeo hber'tates et propter publicain atulitatem regni et 'per ea resisteret hosicm.' I whall gare Mr, St. John's Argument un answer by and bye; vet by the way, if tenures fend' Mhitila' did begin, as was alledged out of Britton, in the Conqueror's tome, how way the hingdom detunded beforc? If wards nnd mariages, und accidents therenpon, did not go to the foundation of the kinedoin, what was before?
The kung is as much lord of, the sea as land, "aque dominus maris et telluris.' Silden Mure Clausuin, 6 Ric. 2, Dpctor and Stndent, lib. 2, fo. 51. 5 Report fo. 108. It is observed by a great lawyer, of what consequence it isto have power at sea. The naval dominion of England is of great constequence and use; fir it is called dotem regni. If therpfore the hinydom of England consists of land ryid sen, I hope we shall not stand at hulf defenc ; to defend the land and leave the sen. Mot. Parl, 2 Rith. 2, M. 25. It is a great adrantage to, have defence at sea, elae we should hasc hot war at our thrtsholds; while the sea iv open, men may go to plough, and have the courts of justice open.

The kings of England of themselves, by their * prerogntive royal, in times of war, denounced, intended or suspected, for the preservation of the public safoty, may seize thedrands of prior aliens, 41 Ed . 3 , fo. $10,22 \mathrm{Ed} 4,43,.44.14 \mathrm{H}$. 4, 36. Anci can a king of England take the possessions of aliens, mad cannot he inforce his natural born subjects to defend the land and sen 1 God forbid; nay, if we would parva componere magnic, we should find in cases of lesser consequence, if they have relation to the defence, ho thay do it. As the king may lay a charge upon the subject for walling a town, the reason is, because they have 'benefit by it in time of danger ; and hath not all the kingdom safety by the navy at sea? 3 Pd. 3, A8s. 445, Westas. 1, cap. 3, is Hen. 4, fo. 14, 15. Sir

Jolmn Davies' Reports fo, 13, Liti. fo. 58. 83 Edward 1, 1 105. Parl. Book, Welsingham, 14 Kdward 1; 60. 7 Edward 3, Pati M. 2. And the king did not ouly command it, bat took an account of it, if it was not dour, and took ittinto his own hauds, Trin: 97 Ed. 1, M. 14, er 'lhesaur' murage of Carlisle taken iuto the king's hands. Pat. ${ }^{14}$ Edw. 1, pars 1, M. 14, and the solyplusage paid iuto the Raschequer. And this agrees with the renson of all laws, where they buve a benefit tbey must contribule to the charge, 10 Report, fo. 141, out of $44 \mathrm{Ed} . \mathrm{S}$, nay for lesser thing力, as for paveage aud poontage, the king may inapose that for a public good, and the king may distroia all the lerr' 'encents, und land-owoers, to make contribution 'secundun statum ef facultates:'
The king may dispose of the preparation for defence, hie may compel meo to be knighteded, because it was for defence. Rot. Claus. 19 Ed. 2, M. 16. Mat. Paris, fo. 12. 37 Westm. 465, no man is exempted from defegce; jadges are not exempted, yct judges are not to fight; yet whicn it comes to necessity, they are not exempted. Trio. 5 Ed. 4, Mogle 13 Ilen. 4, fo. 23. clcrgymen compelled; nay a serjeant at common law sworn at common pleas, is conpellable. Sir John Hulbert in Hen. 7 's tine was compelled to be a kuight: 9 or 29 Heu. $G$, lolfe, a scout serjeant, pieaded that ho was a scrjeunt at conmon law, and not bound to be $n$ knight, but he is forced to it. But why talk we of these? there ought to be a conmonveulth before there are lams, and private ought to give way to public.
Aguin, mminivent dangers and perils to a state do dispense with ordinary proceedings in law ; - iater armu silent leges. ${ }^{\circ}$ Nay, if there be hot rumours of wars, laws are silent; we must look then to the kingdom, upon rumours and opinions of wars. Pasch. 15 Ed, 1, Banc. Regis Rot. 70, dors. the Scotch army they besieged Rippon, the people they proaise a sum of money to them to tepart, and give them in hostages, and that money should be levied among themselves; when the war was over they would not do it, but were compelled to it. Iu 14 Ed . 2, Banc. Regis Rot, 00, the Scqts besiege Durham, but they must have reand money, they would not take hostnges to depart; while this peril was on them, they met together and swore, that what should be agreed amongtt themselves every man woold stapd to. It mas ordered they should go into the houses of others, and take what money they could find fot that purpose; they took from one man 601 ; ;h, he wes not satisfied, he had a property in his goods, he brought an action, and at Durlami if was adjudged for bim ; but whep by a wtik of errar it was brought to Westmioster biall into the Kiog's. Bencb, judgment was reveried. And in the tinhe of queen Elizabeth, grenter phings, were done upoa lesser occasions.
The next thing is the ships taken from time to time, and the comomand of persons, watclies, benovos, shutting up of the ports, which are the gite of the king iom, 24 or 10 亡. 4 , Pack.
vol. ItI.
m. 12, doon. S. Hen B, 18 . dorm A pumber. of otber things communded by the king for areethy when the law considers whet may pappoo, tap not materinl what doth happen $;$ : ang, if there be lut a oulgarit opinia, it is enough; much mope when the king by his own judgment, foreveq. it. 6 Report fo, 64 , Cark's case, thero they are curnpelled to build a hail at SL. Aibana tor the judges to sit in, much more in this chase. See Clegate's case for criumphas ind if for stute, shall not the king comounud for sifety of the bingdona? Hili. 12 Juc. Hambo's case, for paving of the way, he may take cora out of the sacks of those that come ty.
Aguin it is a Droit Royal to meddle nith war and pence, subjects have nothing 10 do wifh it. Rot. Parl. 13 Ed. 3, M. 5,19 Ed. 4, fo. 160. or 6. Brian, clief justice, saith, That if all the subjects of England do war with the suljects of inother kingiom, this is po whr ; tut if the king denounce it, it is war. 28 Ed . 1, Rlot. Vas. con. M. 10, or 10 , they must have a power from the king: true, Heury 7 , brought military discipline to the parfiument to advance Lis own ends. Sometimes daugers are fit to Le coninunicated bs the people, and sometimes not. The king sbould best know what is dopa nbroad, who hath his close chuacil of war; he knows what is done abroad, what can the people tell of these things? and it is very fit that preparation be made before-hand. It is not good to find the kingdom without a navys especiully when isuch combusion is abroud: 18 Eliz. cap. 23. It is as much to prerent danger, as to remove it when it is in being. 1 Ed. 6 , et4 Mar. A deaired provision ta bo made before-hand, and this hath been the practice of all times. Gervasius Tiburriens. Black Book Hen. 2d's time. Danegeft before the Conquest pnid annually ; but afterwardsh when there was bellum or opiniones bellurum, ' Datum est nobis intelligere, audito rumore, ' \&c.'. are frequent in the records; nay if there be but pulgeris opinio. Ay, but perhaps dangers will not come; but if they come unawares where are we then? In the cose between tha earls of Gloucestor and Hereford, there was a great tumult between them about the maurcies of Wales: and this was contrary to the king's command, and exception was then taken; that there ${ }^{\text {was }}$ as no record to warrant the Sciri Fiacias [ Na ' que fuit pur salvatione del Royalme.] the king did affirm it, 'Et Dominus Rex if ' multus casibus est supra legen, \&xc. «Dowinqя - liex est Recordun superativum et pritiex'cellens.' It is treason for any \%abject to raise in army, uoless a town bo beseged. Heury the th whas wisc king, and be hod his spies abroad in times of peace to see how things went, and his army prepared) and the prieparation of a navy does nuych more good than the spiling of blood. And to hath our navy these two years done a great deal of good to the kingdond, and monuur to the king.
Now 1 come fo the authorities; but firt wh shall obiserve what an authofity shall bo in this catio. First, I conceive bere may be, and ape
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direct and fall examples in pont, for compelling the subjects, at their own charge to guard the sen and lend, though they are not ruled in particular courts of justice ; nay, under favour, they are strouger than any judgment: there were then no need of vuts in the couits of justice ; if men would pay, what need julge meinis? Secondly, I conceive, that though I find not durect authonity in pristed books, yet records aie ns good restimonies, and greater than reporls, that are but extracts, nud second muthonties drawn out of them; and those that concern 'Jas Publicum,' come not minto ordinary'debote, but iemann 'mter arcana Impeur,' and those will'speak futly. 'I hudly, I shall obseive that our piecedints are not only in open war and hostility, but upon opinons, rumours, relatoons, and informatinns of wats.

I slabll shew this in ail nees to queen Mlizabeth's time; and it it be not so full in the yenss of hing Stepleen and Ienry 4, who were usurpeis, it is no wonder. If they had had night to the ciown, as has majesty hath, they would not hase used complomenis, but ' Tide ${ }^{6}$ et legrautia quibus nohts tcucnum. Bctore the Conquest, I find that himg Fdgar had his tenants, who swoic to ro-operate wuh hum, 'per terram et per mare:' Kung Ethelied he did conmand, that he that lind 310 hudes of land shonld find a shop, and those that had le-ser, should find other arms, and at their own charre, for evcry shuy eigh: ours, " nt tempore - Patris,' hat was Canutus ; and it was Tilbutum Classiale.
My loris, for Danegelt, if those kings thit wcre called in by the people did lay this on the people, much mone out nutural-born bmg , which ahews it to be an urdoubtude rigbt; for it is not likely they would put that in practice wheh was not an undonlited neght. In the Laws of the Confestor by Mr. Lambert, and the Black Book in the Exchequer, it was sometimes one, sometimes 25 . annusilly, in nsus Martinn', and still the charge lie, on the salyset; the shews an inherent iight in the crown, and it was paid for severul purposes, but still nt the subjects charge. horl to say it should not be mo because of the word 'statutum (De Regibus Anglize statutum)' capnot be, for in those tumes stotutum, as in Cicero, was a constyution, there was no parlu unent then; and of it now Noth alter from that way, truly petty circumstances, when the substance is observed, alter not the case. There must be a defence, and it was not cettain; doth not the danger ' sus'cipere majus et minus? and the king may say me Edward the first soid, mey, I have heard 'him say, that he hath bought meither manors, Iayds nor cnotles with it.

After the Conquest, Dinegelt is supposed to 'Re releqsed by the Conqueror, because he 'dreapped lie saw the devil dancing upon timDartigela; -bat the Black Book saty it was paid in the Conquerer's tinge. It was then guitted, till there, was bellum or opiniones belhurum; meither was it released by William - Wufis, or Henry the 1st, Kuig \$tephen store
that he would release it at his coronation, bat ' urnal herum tenait;' nay, it wes paid in Heny the 2 d 's tume, 4 Hen. 2, 1'pe Roll; and though the name be altered, yet other thungs came in the room of it.
And now I will begm with Doomsday-Book, which began in the 14th of the Conqueror, and ended the twentieth jear. There were divers towns and shies chaged, and there we see what assistance they did give both for sea and land. In the Black Booh to. 56 , he that wuted on the hag's revenue, 'liber non erit' for pubhe things. And in hmg John's time, which was a troublerome time, 5 Johanns Pat. M. 17. and Johauns Claus. M. 9, they guve a fourth part of their revenues fur detence ; and there was a comirmand for staying of all ships, and to repair to a certan place apponted

And to come to those gieat things when hing John was deposed by the pope; the hing of Frauce made preparation, sud the hing of Englanil made preparation, and all ships were unbreviated because he would not put all upon land-forces; and so in 1388. M.tt. Paris, to. 312 , it is sadd, the peopie were 'ad liberationcs ' nostras,' bot that voyage was to l'ouctou; If it were ' al liberationes nostans', yet the command of the pessons and ships were the kmg's ; and true, all mannops were to be paid, and no question immedantely nt the hing's hand, but it was first rased and levied of the penple.

Whereas for escuage and knight's-service, the sumnions was 'quod meterutus cuin equis ' et aurois, \&c. exmde proficiscend' nohiscum ;' but this was ouly to coine wrth then horses and auns, by reason of their tenuics And it further nppears, that earis, haions, knights and Ireemen, und all that had arms weie to come ' ad defendendum caput suam et regre, et quod ' nuilus remaneat qui arma portare possit ;' nay, those that had neuther lands nor arms, yet all most come, nend if they had nothang to inamtan theaselves withal, they were to be 'ad soltdatos nostros,' which shews all the rest were not at the hing's chaige. 5 Johanmis Claus. M. 1. 'Quin nd arma jurat vel qui ho' norem poss' ': of the hing could conmand for land, he may for sen, for both are one bugdom. In IJeary the Sd's tume there are divers nrrays. 1s Hen. 3, Claus. 7. dors. When the híng went into France, there wẹnt a command to all the sheriffo of Eugland to swear those that stid belund, as they were sworn jn king John's tume; all this shews they were bound to arms.
In the statute of the Conqueror, which they styled a statute, that'ihere should be no tuillage, taillage must not be understood of those kind of aids. Thit famous year of 48 Hen. 3 , (and observe when) that jear is not only after Magna Cliarta;but hfter it was confirmed by him, 20 I. 3 , when he was of full age. 48 Ed. 3 , Claus. M. dors. divers captans and others not able to maintam themselves, thetking commands they shoold he paid. De Comin ${ }^{\text {P }}$ Comitatus, 48 Ed. S, M. 2, "tif pecoma levand' circa tui'tityzaid maris,' the ling commands there, that
they should come out of their counties the bummons is two-fold, lirst, of escuage, which is 'secondum debitam.' Secondly, general for dcfence, 'cum necrese fuert.'. 48 Hen. S, Claus, M. 3, pro mihtib' Sancti Johanams et M. 6, dors. 'quod ounnes qui nolis et coronae nos'tree jure astringant, \&cc.' all Irempen must do this service. 48 Lien. 5, Claus. M. 8, dors. 'Nullus excludatur serus vel ordo' 48 lien. 3, Claus. M 7, the citizens of London, and the nica of Greenwich, were commanded to heep the Thames that noue should enter per ara eorund', the men of Greeninch plead, that they weie distramed upon common summons for thearbervice in Kent, and the kung commanded they should be frted in Kent, and join in diteace of the Thaines. Claus. 48 Hen. 3, M 11 dors to cacuse one who pretended he did attend at another place with all his stieugth; this shews that he ought to attend the defence nay, 48 IIen. 3, M. 4, when they iefused to come, the ling commayded to same then Inads, and tahe the piofits, and answei them into the Exchequer. 48 Hen 3, Pat M 5, he connands them to attend, settug all thing apart 48 Hen, 3, Pat $m .10$ or 3 , hike wit to Ipswich, Duve1, and 'per costera maris;' nay, when some went away uben then 40 daya wcre expued, the hing tells them, that ' propter mopi'natas causas,' they should stay Pat 48 Hen 3 , m 4, one hundied muls by the abbot of St Austm's for stupends tur thove at sea, for defence of the sea M 3, durb when they would have gone away, they were commanded upon paun ol forfutuge of all tury had, to stay. Biact hib 5, io. $330^{\circ}$ 'Cum fucr' in exelcita cum ' domino refe,' \&ce speghing there of law suits, whit miy be a good excuse for sbocnce, suth, ${ }^{\text {' }}$ ex aduad necesutatis republica cuss), ant 'cum iege in umis' In the time of Edward the first, statutc of Wiochester, finding of arms begau not upon that glatute; bat how, and in what mannei thev ought to be rated, was theie apponted. 231 aw .1 , claus. m 1 , ' de cun'tiabutione I ciend' "to Yarmouth, a command to the collec'ors of money ' ad custod' manis,' and he that was in G.iscorgne was dischanged of giace, but not of right Pat.-23 Ld. 1, in 1 'Itz quod omues compellere possitis ad custod' 'maris cunn decesse fivent.' Pat. \& 3 Ed 1 , ns 6. 'prn Willuima de Stonhes fuer' custodes ' maitunx,' et $m_{a} 5$ et 7. 'custodes mants de - Jerieinouth, and diveis men taken, buth archers aud sungers. Pat, m, 5. ${ }^{+}$de homumbus. ' eligendss ad aima,' nf. 7 , ' omprbas et 'roguls ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ' marinettus,' between London and the mouth of the Ibames M. 6.0De Navibus Capicnds, (laus. 23 Ed. 1, m 5, 'Mart' taclend' 10 di'versis civitatibus' Pal. 24 FL. 1, m. 10. 'de ' partibus uaditimis invemiend' it costodiend?' M. 6, et 17 ¿ de navibus et galle.b inter villam 'de Lyu et Barmicke.' Trin is Mdw. 1, Rot 02, Inter B. peo reye; there a wast usued to apch aud uuch men to find ten hoisemen; one nofurmed; thint he hod some in Scotland, and some at Cobham ue Kent; the bing males enquiry whether it were ao or an, and findsog be
had not so many as he said, commended that he should lie distraiped, not only for the cost tempt of the hing, but for the dauger the hing dom might lie in for want thereof. 24 Ed . i, Rot. 78. Power to compel men to wahe defeace furta foculiates; and the connuty of Beihs, which is ua inland cougty, upun aslugal a c cqpas in manus was suarded to auswer it in the Exchequet, and the form of wages ta be set down 24 Ed. 1, Lord Treasures's Memombunoes. A wat from the barons to assens alle the people without the bugg, Hews beng of 10,000 men coming Claus 95 Ed. 1, m2 26, dora. Wilhag de Ripo. It nas recited, that the ptople have been at a great chaige $j_{1}$ and hecause winter came on, the hing willang to spare them, watches and beacons were commauded to be set up and hept, aud the people to depait, but to retuin agan upon notice Rot Pdt 25 Ed . 1, M. 5, Custody of the Maintune was with -sus ships; by which it appears that Custodra Maris, and Custodia Mariutian, re tcrms convertable. The abbot of Robertsbridge's case, 95 Ed 1, Bot. 70, the albut brought a replevin agamst one in - that hes in the confines of Kent and Sussex. The officess did own, by reasun of the war betneen France and Enyland, the abbot was assessed three several years, at several sums, nay, the fourth tume, and no huit done; but now, if the writ poes out but tho years together (ob tnauditwin) but what doth he.plsud, doth he deny the writ? no, be pleads be was assesved for othet lands; be tound a hoise ud custoluan pradertsm, so that the horse nas for the land sorich, anal the money for the ses I concuule is $\mathrm{Sildem}_{\text {; }}$ ' aut

Altip the statute - D. Iall $\mathrm{I}^{2}$ '0 non conce-
 'congiegandib' Pat 31 bil. 1, M 20, 'Ma/e${ }^{1}$ factentibas in Marc a is Anchat a commesion nent out toast all a sill be in arms agamst Sootland. In ancicnt tunes theie was such a connucunn between 1. nue and Scullapd, that we had always a doubiv wis, and thciefore could not expect aid forces in one place. In the tipe of Ed 2, Pat 9 Ld 2, pars 0, M. 26, 'pro rege de navigio proviaeado vestirs sumptalus fachend" I see with what policy Mr. \$8. John went, and what niutiplicity of reconds be cited, and opened then with as much shill as ever 1 bnew any man; but I deure to go in
 pear to all the woild, that the bing hath done nothing but what his predecressora have dons; and that there is not more tenumony to prowe Lattleton's hrst cose, that the beir at Iaw shall have his $p$ unmoay, than there is to prove this the king's right. Pat. 15 Ed. 2, M. 15, ders all betwcensulfeen and sixty to be ready Parl' Rot. 5 Ed. 2, M. 4. Ordinapice that the kang withut the naseat of the bamons could not nuke gar, but that was repeuled and damned, Rot. Port $15^{5}$ Ed 2, M. 31. It is sild it weg preudicual to the moyal popper of the kanm and a blemush of bis rotal sivureigniy 1lot. Clmas. 177 Id $2, M .10$, whes they were mirtoid whe
ready. 10 Ed. 2, Rot. Pat. pars 2, M. 6. to all bisbopsin England to be ready for the defence. Claus. 20 Ed. 2, M. 2. and 7. 'de hominibus ' qui domi remanserunt, \&c.' should contribute toithose that went, it was to forty or fifty counties ; ' Nos considerantes, quod jastum et con' sonum rations non este' 'that those should expose both body and purse for the utality of the singdom. In the time of Edwatd the third, Rot. Claus. 2 Edass, ' de navibus inveniend.' a command that all ohips of 40 tuns and upwarels, with men, munition and rictuals, should be in remdiness at their own charge. M. 2, mariners are wamed to come per duos menzcs, at their own charge. 7 Ed. st Scutch Roll M. 19, 'de ' portubus contra adsentum, \&ec ${ }^{2}$ d et datum 'est nobas iutelligi, \&c..' it appears it was done ' tam per mare quam per terram;' and a direction to all archbishops to be attending, and the Ponse Cormitatus to be arrayed, 'secundura 'statum et facultates,' Claus. 9 Ed. 3, M. 13, dors, all from 16 to 60 , to be arrayed 'armjs ' competentihus.'.

And now I come to that famous year of 10 Ed. 3, Rot. Sco. M. 21, or S3. Men for land and sea, Rot, Sco. 10 Ed. 3, M. 21, dors. ' omnes ex delito defensionem astringuntur.' Rot. Sco. 10 Edw. 3, M. 2s, ' De proclamatione faciend'.' to all inland and other counties. M. 90, to Lynne. M. 14, dors. to all ' prout 'fieri consuevit' to all counties, ' nos consider'antes quod rutione legiantiés sum astringun' tur.' M. 1, durs, through all countles the like observed, especially ' nequimus resistere cor' rectiones, \&ec, sine nuxillis vestris.' M. 2, 'de ' navibus supervidendis,' and in that are the very words of the writ. M. 25, ' de portubus ' custodiend'' inland counties as well as others, Berks, Wilts, Leicest. Northam. \&c. M. 16, ' Navibus supervidendis nos advertentes cir' camquaque nut hase tempora.' Rot. Alman. 10 Fd. 3, puis 1, M. 13, Rot. Claus. 12 Fd. 3, pars 1, M. 13, dors. Kot. Alman. 12 Ed. 3, M. 33, pars 2 , 'versus boreales duplici eskippa' mento,' and to contribute, and those that refase, to assess them juzta statum. Rot. Claus. 12 Ed. 3, m. 14, Archers. Rot. Alman. 12 Ed. 3 , pars $2, m .6$, order that but one bell should be rung, Rot. Alm. 12 Fd. 3. pars $2, \mathrm{~m} .3$, 'supervidend' quod, omnes arraiat' considerc antes quad omnes, \&cc. se et sua exponere 'astrigguptur pro saliatione.' Alman. 12 Fd. S, pars $2, m .10$, hecause " hostes nostri mults'tudine non modica, \&ce.' All that have 'Redditus, \&c.' were to attend. Alman. 13 Ed. 3. m. 1, 'de supervidend' vill' Southamp.' Clans. 18 Ed. 3, m. S8, dors. A writ directed 'Cus'todibus terre maritime.' The albot of Rarnsey lived at Huntingdon, yet distrained for seaservice in Norfolk, Rot. Claus. 13 Ed, S, in. 14, the county of Oxon, an inland county, and yat commanded 'Custod' terra maritime.' m. 17 Ed. S, Benco Regis Rot. 15, a Supersedess grooted $\mathrm{Tilh}_{3}$, 17 Ed.3,m. 24. Wages recovered of a todta for focty days. Scot. $20 \mathrm{Ed}, 3, \mathrm{~m}, 14$,
 eip 'quan, detetet coldierr pay,' ergo complain,
m .31 , payment of wagcs. Rot. Parl. 22 EJ. 3, m .4 , there the commons were at great charge for guarding the sea, and piay remedy, but not for the right but for the excess. Rot. Franc. 21 Ed. 3, m. 21, dors. 22 Ed. 3, Rot. Pat. pars 2, in. 1, Kot. Franc. 22 Ed. 3, in. 5, dors. pro Johaune Coke. Rot. Frauc. 26 F. S. S, m. 5, and 4, ' quin eat vulgaris opinio;' and this recited, ' nos considerantes, \&c. quod omnes ' per joramentum, \&c." and this was for the ses, and goes to all inland counties. And as they talk of kings in the field, kings use not to go into the field in person. Rut. Franc. 34 Ed. 3, m. 34, ' pro clero arraiando.' Rot. Claus. 33 Ed. 3, m. 89, dors. Rot. Claus. 43 Ed. 3, m. 1, 'de Navibus arrestand'.' Rot. Claus, 48 Ed. 3, m. 14, or 15 'de huminibus arraiandis.' Rot. Clans. 45 Ed. 3 , m. 8 , 'ut intelleximus.' Rot. Parl. $46 \mathrm{Ed} \mathrm{d} . \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{m}, 20$, the commons complain of their charge, nnd say, thant the sea was so noble, that all the wortd called the hing, the king of phe sea, \&ec, they pmy as of grace, \&c. Rot. Franc. 47 Fd. 3, m. 20, for guarding of the sea-shore. $50 \mathrm{Ed}$.3 , pars 1, m. 105, 31 Ed. 3, pars 5, m. 25, the charge is not put upon the people without common conscint. The king is not to do it but for the grand necessity and defence of the realm. In kichard the second's time, Rot. Claus. 1 Ric. 9. pars 1, m, 7, Scarborough. Rot. Pat. 1 lic. 2. pars 1, m .12 , Kot. Pat. 1 Ric. 2, pars 1, m. 12, dors. Beacons. Rot. Pat. 1 Ric. 2, m. 13 , dois. Rot. Franc. 2 Ric. 2, m. 15, Rot. Scot. 4 Ric. 2, $\mathrm{m}, 9$, king of Castile, ' pio compellendo l,o' mumes pro custod' martim'. 7 Kic. 2, M. 9. 'Totus ('lerus upponere manus mdjusticea;' archbshop of York, 8. Ric. 2, m. 5, Archers.

The Second Day's Arol mint of Sif LDWARD LITTLETUN.
May it pleaseqour lordships ; The lact day I left off at the end of Ricprard the second: 1 do not love to repeat, yet in regard the recoids that are of werghtiest endence for the hing to men of understanding, are peihaps not so clearly understood by every one that hears them, I shall sum up what hath been read. It hath appeared by the records that have been read, that the sea and the land have been guarded by the commons, when danger did appear to the king: of the danger was gieat, all the commons, no age, no sex, no order to be spared, all errle, iastical persons bound to defend. If the danger whe less, thosenparts nearest onto it to defend, no reason to trouble the whole kingdum, when a few will serve the turn: those that refused were compelled by imprisonment, seizure of goods and lands. The writs have expressed folly and significantly, that no wages ought to be paid by the king; that when there was apparent danger, it appeareth by some records, that one man should not sorve for another ; care taken by commission, that all equality should be used io making of contribution; and when conplaint, as es Ed. 1, was made againt it, it was remedied; yet it was not ratione contributionns. but piolente extortionis,

This constant usnge of former kings is of much more authority and weighr, than scattered judgments here and there, or judicial proceedings in any court, and these are not wauting. Notwithstanding, I shall now proceed and come to the time, wherein so many great lords did die, ond so much noble blood was spilt in civil कar, from Henry 4 to Heary 7; ' Hearicus Rosas Regna Jacobus.' There was not then such great cause to look to preparatinn for sea, for the war was then in the bowels of the land; and the sea and the land make but one kingdoro, and the reasons are the same for both. And'for Henry the fourth, when he was newly come to the crown, it appears Itot. Claus. 1 LIen. 4, pars 1, m. 12, dors. Writs are diirected to the ufchbishops of Canteibury and York, and other bishops; and it recites, that the French had prepared a great navy which was seen on the coasts, and intended to invade the kingdom; and that abbots and priors should be arrayed, 'siac delibera' tione, \&c.' ' et juxta statom et possessionem, \&ec. et triand' Millenis centenis.' Rot. Viagii. begins 1 Hen. 4, to 11 Hen. 4, m. 20, 'de Pro-- clamatione facieud' to go against the rebels of Wales, 'de arraiatione ficiend"' in the same, and barons assigned to the custody of the marches called Battelfield; less reason for this place of nuy, for they suy there were lords marches to defend it. m. 15, 23, 'de proclam' faciend',' to go with the king in person against Heary Percy a rebel; and there was an array of men by the sca consts to resist the enemies, \&ce. and in the same Roll, ' De Hominibus 'Congregandis,' divers other proclamations, 'De IIominibus Defergibil', In the same Roll, ' De Militibus infra Comit' Lancastriax ' arrainnd',' upon the instruction of the earl of Northumberland, to bring them to Pomfret, m. 10 et 27 , again to go to the prince Henry the fifth. And in 1 Uen 4, this goes to Northumberland, Derby, Lancaster, by Robert rluke of - to invade the kingdom. My lords, noblemen, vafets, \&c. 'et omnes ho' mines defensibiles,' between 16 and 60 , that wns jurte statum, upou waruing of two days, which was in ' Defensione Reghi nostri,' to go with the king, m. 20, 'De hominibus levan' dis et congregandis;' and of this nature 4 IIen. 4, m. 11, dors. et 10.5 Hed. 4, pat. m. 28, dors. pars 2. Principal men joined together to array and amuster nll over Englend. This hath appeared by the canstant common law of the kingdom.

Before Parl' 5 Hen, 4, 24, the commons pray that the commistions of array should be considered, and the jodges advised with, and a commission made for the fature, 'sad ariand' 'et triand' qui de corpore sunt babiles,' \&ce. - juxta statum et facultates, \&cc. et ad assidend' 'et proportionnnd', with power to 'distrain. 7 Hen. Fitz. Ifer. Thomey Tite Protection, the subjects of Pngland not to go out of England with their king. This was upon the king's going to aid the duke of Flauders, Report 7 fol. 7, Calvin's Case, not to go without wages
when they were to follow the king. In Hetury 5th's time there were great wars with France; but when the king went, he took great care that England should be provided for, Pat. 9 Ifen. $5, \mathrm{~m} .17$, dors. pars 2. 'de araiatione faciend';' care is taken that all should be arrayed, and being arrayed, should continue so arrayed. Stat. 1, Ed. 1, it provides that they shall not go out of their countics but upon the sudden coming of strange enemies; and upon warning, they were not only to array theur but to lead them to the sen-consts out of their countriey, when and where it should be necessery, 'cam 'sliquid periculum eveniat,' notwithstanding the statute, which is our japse ; nay, our case is yuch stronger: And 3 Hen. 4, is derbatim with the other, 6 Hen, 5 , Pat. m. 8, dors. pars 101. 3 Ilen. 5, 16, dors. this was upon the threatening of the king of Castile, to all the counties of England. 7 IIen. 5, paga regis; divers privy-seals to all the countics of England, tn inform the king what nble men of ancestry there were, 'ad defensiouem nos in propris 'personis suis ad defensionem regni,' and the return is 'pur defence de son roaline.' In the time of Hen. 6, Rot. P4t. m. 37, dors. pars 2, 'de aratione faciend':' 7 IIen. 6, pars 1, m. 7, dors. Rot. Pat, there $1 s$ 'quin datum est nobis 'intelligi,' for the isle of Wight. Mot. Pat. 7 Hen. 6, m. 5, dors. pars 1, 13 Hen. 6, m. 3, dors. 'de militibas congregandis et ducendis 'veruus Scotland.' Pat. 13 Hen. 6, pars 1, m .13 , dors. 'Contra militiah inimicorum,' a fall command to array all, and to bring them ' ad costreram maris' and other places, 'et ' juxta gradus suos.' 14 IIen. 6, pars 1, m, 20, 21 IIen. 6, m. 40, dors. Rot. Pat. 28 Hen. 6, m. 11, dors. Rot. Pat. 29 Hen. 6, pars 1, m. 45, dors. Rot. Pat. 37 Hen. 6, m. ©, pars 1, dors. all arrays, a book-case 28 Hen. 6,11 . b. pl' 22 . Divers of the clergy had churches to be freed from the paynueut of tentha for the defence of the kingdom, and the clergy did grant 28 , in the poand without act of parlisment, and this seat by Mittimus into the exchequer, P. 26 Hea. 6, Rot. 10, et Trin. 11, for levying of money upon Sciri Facias. 36 Hen. $6, \mathrm{~m} .2$, dors, regis 4 , Purt. array in the isle of Thanet, and compell them by distress, 'pro 'defensione regni.' st Hen. 6, Pat. m. 1, et 6 dors. A writ to many counties, because of the great hurt done to the people by the sea-coants; and the great preparation to do mare hurt; thereupon command to train and array all parts of England, 'pro defensionre.' And the 39 Hen, 6, Put. 'de potestate' to array the Ifle of Wight, being invaded 'joxta, gradus et con'ditiones,' \&c.'s9 Hen. 6, m.' 9, et 11, dors. a commission to Edward duke of York, who claimed the crown, and it was adjudged hin. 31 Hen. 6, 'pro araiatione faciend' qued' per son' ill' iniquizatis dissentientes, \&c.' therefore is a compand ' pro seruritate personn ' nostrie et populi nostri ad invocaudnm omney 'defensibiles' to destroy then. Pat. m. of dors. 'de villa de Stamford ad custodiend', mo. 1, dors. a commission and command gives

## 

W. Bdward doke of York, Ed. 4, which gave 1 power to taise men and money at Bristol, Hevioford, and other places; which was cancolled, and other copmistions given in the toons. In the time of Edward 4, Rot. Pat. 1 Ed. 4) m. 8, dors. for redacing Herry 6th's power, congregure ohl the people, 1 Ed. 4 , m. 8. South-Wales on the narches 1 Ed. 4, Scot. Zich. duke of York, 'Castos West-Marchise 'ad omnes homines defensibilis,' in Cumberland and Westmoriand between 16 and 60, ' sint prompti in defensionem Marchiaram, \&c.' against Percy earl of Northumberland, Rot. 8 cot. 10 Ed. 4, there are "costodes generales ' vers. Scot,' for arrécing all men as there should be cause. Pat. 3 Ed. 4, m. 3, dors. contipue 'parati ad defensionem personas nostra et ' regni.' 8 Pd .4 , fol. 2s, a book-case, a subject hath power to make a bulwark in another man's ground; tho king hath as inuch power over all the kingdom, as any particulat man to make defence, 9 Ed. 4, Pat. in. 11, arrays 10 Ed. 4, Pat, m. 18: Arrays ' in salvationem ' regni,' when he was like to lose his kingdom, A commission to raise power agninst his great adversary and rebel Henry 6, who did larely enjoy the crown by usurpation, $2 \frac{\mathrm{Ed}, \mathrm{4}, \text { Rot. }}{\text {. }}$ Pat. pars 1,m. 2, ' pro conductoribus et weffa'toribus piscatorum,' and at the subjects charge, Pat. 1, Rich. S, pars 1, dors. againgt the doke of Bdeking bam ' quocunqu' comitur';' and this 'juxta flatum et facultatos.' In Henry Tth's time. Henry 7 was a wise prince, his majesty's progenitor as well as his predecessor; be staid not till he saw the tops of $f^{\text {the }}$ the ships, or the drums beat; he provided for the safetty of the kingdom betimes; when the war was deneunced between Charles king of France and the king of the Romans, though he was ollied to both he prepared to defend his king fom. Rot. Pat. 1 Hea. 7, dors. a command to the sheriff to make proclamation throughout In Ingland, that because we are informed of the war between Charles king of France and the king of the Romans, and great hort may onppen to thie realm, and the subjects thereof; colmmanding all subjects to keep watch and .Ward upon the sea-coasts, and all beacons to be made ready to be set on fire, as in old times, and this afier tonnage and poundage was grantvd to him for safety: and I am sure there are grenter wats about us now, than there ware at that timp. Rot. Pat. 7 Hen. 7, 'Intug de po'tentate commissa' to the eari of Suifty ta go to conquer France, 7 Hen. 7 , cap. 1. a statate io print. 8 Hen. 7, Rot. Pat. pars 1, a tommand to Ricbard Fita-Hugh and others, whedp, ill in artay for defefice ligainst EcotJande, which intend to invade the realm, yt inpowneitir. 8 tat .1 Ken. 7 , cap. 16, every sutbjeet is 'bousd' by his allegiance, to inssine when neet tugyires. In the time of Hen, 8, Rot. Put. 4 Hen. 8 , a prochanatiou to the maritine cosetieo to te in in readiness, considering the French ling hid in voadiness a great aary to enter this Amblom the next month maving, ac, and

they were all to be io a readiness at on hour's warsiog 'apos their allegiance. Kot. Pat. 4 Hea. 8, the same compmissou to Bouchiers, \&cc. in Latin, 14th of Ien. 8, which is not in this roll, bat a book of proclamations; for before Edward'4's time all between sixteen and sixty were to be ready at an howr's warning. 15 Hen. 8, the inhabitants of Smamford, Notuingham and Salop, \& ce. to attend the carl of Surrey upon an hour's warning. 30 Hen. 8, 'Pallace 'treasur' onanes hoonines ad arma,' to be ready 'ad serviend' nobis in Scotland,' as need shall be. , Stat. 35 Hen. 8, cap. 13, remissions of loans, reciting and considering, 'est duty et ' bonor del people,' to assist their king in body. goods, lands suid substance in his wars; and there it wes only for offensive wars, Rot. Pat. 36 Hen. 8, pars 2, 37 Heu. 8, in Mr. Mogle's book, 4 \& 5 Phil. \& Mar. commissions of arruy to go to all gentlemen, but now but one lieutenaint of a county; and tisat power which the lieutenant cow hath in Fogland, was the same with the antient commissions of array in substance, for it is no new thing. These are in force by 5 IIen. 4, and common-law in queen Mary and queen Eliznbeth's tine; and they did not go by the legal array, but by the power of the council-board, 3 \& 4 Phil. \& Mar. 1557. Council-board. Calais was besieged on the sudden, the enemy making pretence of going into the Low - Conutries, there went presently a cormmand to the lord Warden of the Cinque Ports 5 Jan. to muke proclamation in Kent, that all from 16 to 60 should repair to Dover to save Calais, upon pain of death, Bth of Feb. 1557. Letter of thanks given to sir John Edgecombe for diligently mustering 1,000 men. 19 Sept. 1558. Northumberland seried without wages. 1 Sept. To continuec watch. 8 April 1558. Whittypoole for not finding Demylance, is called to the council-table. These are to shew what proceedings there have been in fotmer times. liot. Put. 7 Eliz. pars. 5. A commission to muster all men against Scotland. 11 Eliz. Rot. Pat. though not on record, yet in a book is the Crown-Otfice in the Chancery with Mr. Willis: A commisvion to array men, and to ptovide all things necessary, prout neceasitas, \&cc. and to inform theroselves what armour they had, and this to be done for our better service: but with thuse commissions there went instruotions, amoagst which, observethisone, ' We require you to consider how Checessary this sevvice comanitted to you is at ' this time, for the benefit and safety of our ' yealm, wherein we think the more carnestness ' is the more requisite, because of our suljects - Joong continuance in peace, and the notorious 'being in arma of our neighbours about our 'realma,' If those times be not worse, I leave it to any indifierent man's consideration. 27 Elie. Whtoon Roll. A warrant to the lond chanbellor to.make lieutenauts and depuiy lientenanta; which are the old cominissioners of ariey turned into Englisb. Aad in '1's'8B, when that great Armada came, all the reaina was in ermend at the sulinets charge. I1 Bliz.sap.
14. 15, the subjects granted a sabsidy, in consideration of the queen's churge. , And the subjects were theri at great charge, both for land and sen, and she took it by the posver of her couticil-talle. The city of Londen was desired to finil ten ships, and of themselves they desired to find twenty; this was the affection of those times, they did gut dispute bat were ready to obey. 28 April 1558, a letter to sir Rohert Wingfield, whercas divers towns in Suffolk were charged to set out a ship, and that divers were poor and could nut, a command thut the richer should do it. A lenter to the earl of Hantington, that York should contritute to a ship charged on Hull. A letter to other towns to contribute to Colchester; they refused, yet were compelled to do it for advancement of so necessary a servioe. The clergy in 1588 joined, and the archbishop way captain. And there were letters for demolishing of Suburbs. Stitate 3 Jac. cap. 6 , where the charge of the people in queen Elizabeth's time was remembered, London was charged, and foreigners and strangers were charged also to contribute, for that the charge was comunon. In 1588, $\mathbf{3 , 0 0 0 l}$. conduct money levied for the earl of Bath; they did not stay here till the tops of the ships were seen, but they made preparations in 1587. In 1599, great troops were assembled at London, for defence of thie queen, when the tumult was about the carl of Essex; and in 1598, the charge being common, the charge ought to be born in common: Nay, the Inns of Court were charged with arms; upon the apprehending the earl of Yssex, a letter went to the benchers of the Inner-'Iemple f8r that purpose, and all the gentlemen found arms. Ainongst these letters great levies of horse and foot at the country's charge And where she would not have their persons, she had their parses and power to extend accordingly. 1505, Amougst the papers of the lord Nottingham, the people set forth a ship at their charge; "we have the letter only to shew they did it, and how mach ench man did contribute. In ting James's time there was not much; the times were not only peaceable but pacificous, his Motto was ' Beati Pa'cifici.' 10th of Joly, 2 Car. A proclamation issued, that all people should make speedy repair to several places, and shouid continue -during the danger. And for a binding authority, 12 Car. the resolution of ail the judges under'their hands, and inrolled in every court at Westminster: a man should bave thought that that should bave giver satisfaction, it would have done so in former times. And truly, that the king hath done nothing more, bat what the kings of this realm have done before, is most apparent to all those who will read our lawbooks. How often have the juiges been asvembled by the king's command, both in the outward and inward 8 taf-(:hambes, and there acked queations ? 2 Ricb. $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{fo} .10,8 \mathrm{Hien} .8$, 490. b. 101, the king was there, and reasoned there. Is this an new thing'for judges to deliver their opinions? But his mejesty hath been pleased likewise to give way, that it might be
brouglat to a public debate in a judicial onegs be hopses there are very few chat oppose it, do it out of any averseness fiom the putlios servicio, but to satisfy thernselves, and to to submit.
To answer Mr, St. Jobn's argunent; befosp I come to answer in particular to what was ab jected by this learned geutieruan, who hath raken a great deal of pains, and niade as much use of ix as was possihle for a cause of thian nature to Bear; I shall in the first place give a general answer.

I say, that the testimony by records gives on the other side, whereof many are youched, which I amg glad to bear of; and that men look into a business of this natire. To make sactr a search is for the honotir of the liug, thut there is, socording to luw, the freest accesis to the records, that ever was in Kngland; and that great lord (Ilolland) doth know that the king commanded with his own mouth, that free access should be to the recurds in this business; and I appeal to the officers that keep the records, whether I did not only deliver that comnmaud from the king anto them, but desired them myself to shew to the other party whntever I had.-I say, thesp records, tuke them at the best, they are not of such weight in poipt of right, as the other are which are for the king ; for if a king shall voluntarily, and in ease of his sobjecte, or special grace, do any thung, yet I conceive that is not of such weigbt, 长 when he prodoces a constant use of compulive power from the crowi, and obeyed by the people: for if any of the kings have spoken in gentle language, as king Jolin when be wad deposed fiom his crown; I conceive that will not be so much as gentle words used in the writs.
I shall hnswer particularly to all the recondo that bave been cited : it doth much comong the kiag, that this argument be answered ent -The first thing that was ssid, was, that bo $^{\circ}$ seize goods without suit or cause, is void. ${ }^{\text {m- }}$ law ; but this was not used as an argument, but an introductiou, But in the dext ploon, 4 . comes to a high objection, that in his majemp there is voluntas interna and erterse; hin inward will which be doth declare in his chpunbers mnd bis legal will, and withdl, that thy king cannot judge himself, 8 Ricb. 2, fo. 10, 11, which wras grounded upon that boofrcaseato The king camnot judge in his own person, bet haih leftitis your londships, that are sworn fo. do eqtal justioe between him and Latineoplaj; but to say, that the king cannot judge himotrs, I question. Can that be wanting tha the foumtain, that issues in the stromen? ithatI I atterly deny. Is it not eaid, Conead Regonathe \$iaghBeocb, and in the -8tar-Chamether, Coram Couvciliis noutris ? This in a now doetrine ; and aball not the kjpg judge i Did not Ed.4, wit in porson in the King's-Bench; in a trial of Rape? and that famous jeatice Popham oat at the bing'i fet, mot other judiges at the king's aidis, and therefore called syunticjarii a latere repigs Sure he forgot king Janen, who adjudeeltho taese 'in the Star-Clember, that of: Kellime
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ham's and air Tho. Lake's case. The book doth no way warrant his inference; the book doth tary, the king shall not judge, but saith, that if a man be cunvicted before the judges, the king ghoull not set the fine, because he heareth not the case. This no way concerns our case.

- That no law can be changed but by act of partiament, as a naturalization, and a legitimatiou ; nay, no writ of. error but in parliament, till by the statute of 27 Eliz. and that the parliament is Curia Regis, and cited 34 IIen. 8th, Crompton's jurisdiction ; the king is at no time in such state-royal as in pariament: all that was made out of shis, was, that this was a change of the law, viz, the writ fur shipping. Wé believe it is so far from being a change of the law, as that it was the custom of all ages in former times. Now he says the parlinment is the highest court, and the king is there at highest both for magnificence and power; but yet out of the parliament, the king is king of EngInad. It doth not follow, becuuse be may do it in parliament, that thercfore he can do it no where else; and it is to prove a comparison, to say, that the king should have the ordering of war as a generalissimo orer his subjeats and vassals; all the generals have their power from him. Oh! laut the king hath provision for enr. This is but a cuaning insinuation, to make a survey of the king's revenus, and to insinuate, with the people; and he begins with knight's-service, tenures, ond these in dangerous places, as Dover, Durham, Chester. 35 Hen. 6, Britt. that the king should not ouly have his wards lands to breed them up, but to maintain the wars in the mean tine; and that knight's-service 37 Hen. 6 , were in--atituted for ordinary defence as horsemen. And hecause the kings of England, out of their care to have men trained up had borsemen to follow them, that thercfore they shall have oo nid . When the kingdom is in danger, is a strange inference; shall we have no footmen, no -. archers, no slingers to be used in war, no guard at sea, because he hath some tenures of horsemen? This doth not discharge the subject; this is but for forty days, and was instituted to espappress the sudden incursion from Scolland. 19 Rich. 2, Guard. 105: pl' Tenure; they do re--strain- particularly escuage and knights-service to Scotland and Weles. 22 Ed. 1, for Gascoigne afterwards discharged, but.not for Mr. St: Johntr reasons, Rot. Claus, - E. Edy/2, m. 97, these were discharged of escyage, because the king was not there in person, and beciune. it was extraordinary, RoL. Scot, 5 Fd, ,, m . a7, that record doth shew the kings of Englapd; - did couceive that they, were sompyhat! less! Than enemies that refused it ; for foreign pervice: I never manant to object it.

Then: be snith, that escuaga is to be assepsed. in perliamenept, though for tho defence of the, kiggdonh-If that were the proper question, I could sbow when eseuage wis not by parlina soent, for $\$$ s, was by another reason. It was at wred by ahact.of. pacliagpent, 17 Jphagnia 8 ta-
ture de Runaing Mead, Nullum Scutagium, for it'was pot so before, Also it concerneth tha subject as well us tye king. 9 Hen. S, cap. $\$ 7$. The true reason of assessing in parliament is this, other men were to have escuage as well as the king: now it is true that rule, No man shall be judge in his own case, but thereforo not the king; that holds wo proportion : and kinge are said to do no wrong. The true reason why it was assessed in parlianent, was because the lords would take too much. This is from the business, I pass it over.

Then he alledged, that the king is in actual possession of the Wards.
That they should go towards the maintenauce of the war-, he caunot shew any puthority worth the valuing, peither Bracton nor Brittou hath any such thing; for 35 Hen, 6, the words are' 'pur ceo,' kc. The argument will be but thas; Because the king out of his especiul care, out of his own land, procided some tenures fior that purpose, therefore he shall have nothing from the sulject. The king did never give, nor could give other men's lands for those temures; for it is most apparent, the Normans came not in by conquest. Willian was no conqueror; for after he came in, men did recover the lands which were ther ancestors. That was the wisdum when Heary the 4th took the crown by conquest, whea he nould hase altered the laws; No! take our laws, and take our lives. This is an argumenteno way conducing to the purpose, because he hath some horse by tenure, therefore neither foot, nor procision for seafight, nor trained bands, because provision is made by tenure. This is contary to all reaton and experience: What obligation hath the soakman and the plotiman's tenures, what do they pay to defend-them ? No consideration for it ; these are rather insinuations thain argu-ments,-Then it was said, there were like tenures for sea, and be cited two or three; one to hold the king's head at sea, and some such like, as if tiwo or three tenures 'were like to defend the sea. It was also said of the Cinque Ports, that they have many privileges allowed them for that purpose, 13 Ed. S, Pur' Roll. 11.
Is the Cinque Ports service a competent provision to defead a kingdoma against hostility ? I know no reason but the whole body should defend itself. $13 \mathrm{Ed} .9, \mathrm{~m} .9$, it appears expresly, that the commons made defence at their own clarge. No man cati be, discharged of bepping a thing that he did not keep; so bep cause the subject is said to be disseharged, is an argument that he was charged. That of the Ciaque Ports is not for the defence of the king dom, but for intercqurse of merchants, not .Qugndo salus regni periclitebatur?
Then ibey objecj, that tenures in ancient domespe were talligble witbout consent, and thair servica fon, the king's provisions in their houpa. No reagon that ahould excuse them from the geapral sarvice; for though it be true, that the King'a boupe emplpyo such tenanti, yot the king dom must be supported by them and tha, dew of the ophecta together.

Then he did object, there was another way of supply of extraurdinary means, ss mines in other men's lands; and here he remembered m. 3 Rich. 2, Prerogative Comm', difference taken between annual and casual revenues for Defence.

Then lic objecter, Rut. Parl' 6 Rich, 2, 11, 42, that the king would line of his own revenues, and that the mmes, \&c. slould be for the defence of the kiagdom. Onslowe, who argued the case, said, that the kiug was the must excellent persou both at sea and land; therefore the royal ligh at sea, and the gold and silvor mines ut lund, were given th him, because he was uble to give a stanpp an money. And so 2 Mich. 2, they of London prajed that the king would not put theiu th charge, but live on his own, aud so your nuthurity vanisheth into smohe.

The king murt live, forsooth, of his ordinary revenues. M. S, Rich. 2, which expresseth, that the king at that tine woold do so ; that the king, by advice for salkation of the kingdom, would use these things given unto him; that inded was a reasomable thing for that time, but must it be now turned fir a a necessity? 6 Ricl. 2, m. 42, pars 42, that good government be about the king; thea they petation thut he would live upon the revenues of the crown, and that all wariships, releases, marriages, \&c. should be for the defence. The king is very willing to do aud ordain in this case, as by the fords of the realn may be thought best for his honour and profit; this is not a granting of the thing, but a referring it to his lords, at best it is but a temporary desire ! -But oh! he huth the old Custons, and BettyCustons, Tonnage and roundage; of the legality of taking of it he will not speuk. If the king doth inpose it on the merchants, he needeth not to tuke it of his other subjects. Duties to the king in this year, came to 500,000 . and that Tonnage nand Poundage was granted for'ordinary and extroordinary defence. 3 Rich. 2, 5 fich. 2, 1 Mar. granted on condition, that by the statute of Winchester, they were bound to bave arins, ond no such statute for the sea.
I shall answer all this; but for Tonnage and Poundage, I shall refer it for a particular place. They say, the courts of justice are maintained by the king, the king hath a profit thereby, but the maintenance of them cometh out of the aubject's purge; and the first-fguits were for the defence of the faith, that no heresy should creep into the church. Therefare, because the king is lord of the English sen, he moust defend it at his own charge, and not command the body nor purse of his subjects towards it. A pretty argument! He saith, the king hatin the old customs, which are the ancient inheritance of the crown, and so for petty customs, and that these munt go for the defence of the seas. Soe a judgmeat in the Exehequer, in Edward 1 m 's time; search into the Fine Roll 8 Ed. 1, and vee for what causes these old customs were. It was pever said till he spake it, the old cuatome or
vol 11h
lesser were for this purpose. And for this saying that the statute of Wiachenter, $13 \mathrm{Ed}, 1$, doth enjoin keeping of aros, and thereupon all defence comes, that statute was repealed liy Phatip and Mary, and set a-fuot by kiug Jumes, and agau by ifim repented. I shall shew bofone the 13 E. 1, many rccords for land-sesvice loug belore: for that ssatute doib only set a form of arms, and is not the begining of arms. Sa fur the ubjection of the king's revenues, be it mure or leas.

Now I shall cume to Tonnaqe and Poundage, the darling argument; which 1 atirm was never giren nor tahen, of itself simply, on extraordinary defence; 1 have secu ulf the grants of it : it way be when thene hive lee in wars abmad, and then the muljects in parliament have giveu Tonnage and Poundage with Tenths and Fiftcenths, they lave beea all said to have beea for the tiefeico. Toanage and Poundage hath qeen given and taken mercly for an intercourse of merchants; and in that sense the king-suid, we do and mast pursue thoze ends for which io was granted to the crown. I shall make that appear by reason, nod out of the grants themselves and other authoritics.

If Tonnage nad Poundage were merely for the defence of the sea, low was the kingrom to be delended belore in the time of the Saxons? At the first it was 6d, a year, then to 2s. and 3s. for tomuage and poundage; then 3s. upon a tou of wine and Bd. a pack on merchandize, that was all for tonnage and poundage, the rest was for uld customs. If this was for extrumrdinary, how was the kingdom defended when this was givert for half a year? Trath, it was for defence of the kingdom, that is, with relation to the intercourse of merchandize; the recital 1 Jac. saith, it hath been taken time out of mind. Ilath tonnage and poundage been giren time out of mind ? Then it was before Richard 1st's time: H. 6, he had it for life, the statute doth express it as plain as may be ; time out of anind is beyond the memory of any man living. The very interpretation of the statote explains it to be fur the safety of the sea, and intercourse of merchants. In all ages before the granting of tunnage and poundage, the kings, upon a general defence, bave had other add than this by their own power. Ordinary charge for an ordinary defence.-I could have given a legal answer, that it was not given unto the king; no act of parliamenc for it. Yea, but it is taken de faclo. Shew your instrosbents then by which it was taken; that hed been a general answer.
But I shall carry the king's honour along with his power and practice: Rot. Franc. \& Ricl. s. 7 Hen. 4, m. 93 , Rot. 11. Tonnage and Poundnge granted to mierchants to guard the sea for nutercourse of merchandize; which sheweth plainly it was fur that parpose. To put it withvut all manner of quation, 6 Ric. 9 , m. s. Franc. Rull pars 9 . Mercliants and marinets had Custodia maris, and the king granted them 6N. a pound: doth, pry man think that be would truot the asfety of hio king doun with merchants
5
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and mariners? It was merely for the guard o the soa for merchandize; express exception in the very grant, that they should not be bound by this to help agaiust invasion of enemies, un less a royal power come, ' regali potestate ex${ }^{4}$ cepta; therefore thus was no extraordmary service. 7 Hen. 4, Rot. larl. is in the same manner. And in the Close Roll, that the Custodes maris should put there a lawful power for the snfeguard of the sen against the enemies of the king, except a royal power; then notice to the king, so it must be understood merely for intercourse of merchants. I can shew you a Book-case for this, 9 Hen. 6, 12. Quer. Case Title Custom. Bract. 26, he sath, That Aids and Subsidics are granted to the huig, to the intent that the Ling, by his admiral, should goard the sea, to the end that merchandue may pas and repass; not a word of the defence of the kingdom. And in amo 11, the bing was at great charge with hiv oasy; cast up the accounts of the sea daties, and then it will appear there is not left to hm a pionay tor the defonce of the sea. Inpontions nud prirage of wime was to furnish hats house, and not for defence of the sen. Not ouly upon this, but there was an ancient writer that wrote the Doctor and Student, whose nume was St. Jerminc, who wiote in Henry the 8th's tume; he tells you, hib. 2, c. $\mathbf{1 5}$, fo. 153 . The kme , out of thie old cuntens of the realm, as lord of the narrow seas, is bound twice in the year to scour the scas, but not aganst all outivard enemies, but only to put nway pirates and petty robbers. History of Tonnage and Poundage. 25 Fd. 3, Pal. Roll. m. 11, the commons dad pray, that bd. of the 28. a sack of wool, aud of a tou of wine granti il, that the merchants might have safe conduct over the sea, if it would please the king: the merchants for that would mahe their own conduct. All the Rolls go in that manner. 3 Il. 2, 2 IIen. 4, and they cannot shew that Tonnage and Poundage was ever granted for extraordmary defence, unless for some great delence.
Next-he allerged that sea dutics wrre borne by esery man in the bugiom, and that secundum statum; 22 Ed. 3, n. 4, Parl. Roll. which says it was in charge of the people, 'et nemy des ' merchants,'-All men minst bear proportiouably their share in the chrige of defence; what consequence is this? because the firt or second yenr out of the subject's purse for their commodities, therefore their lands shall be discharged in rases 8 f extraordnary defence.
Oh! say they, but this may be donc by par-liament.-By a may-be a man may answer any argument; but a may-be will never answer a bill. 23 Ed 1, Rot. 3 , dors. the writs were in October, the paltament at St . Martin's in winter. In that to the archibishop of Canterbury, the king doth but a little compliment with the clergy, and telleth them he knoweth what dangern were abroad: the huiners of shipoing was done before the parliument, sn the parliament might consider of, it afterwards. That it was done afore, appears; this parliament was not held at the day, but the king doth piorogue it
till after St. Andrew's day, because he was basy in preparing his shipping; so they came about shipping when it was gone to sea before, for the writ of summons was the 1st of October, the day of meeting afier St. Martin's; that to the archbishop was the Slst of september; the ship writs Aug. 28, and Sept. SI, then the rest, the 3rd and 6th of October; But all long before the meeting of the parhamept, und so all grounded upon (it may be.) And to say that the hing, because he did a thing voluntandy, therefore he must be necessitated to it, I huow not what argument it is. Henry 7 , mdeed, did loring rlumgs to parlament for the ndiancenent of has own ends; did queen Blisabeth seud any of her commands out of parliament'? nud yet the subjects pave her subedices. 2+ BiL. 1. Summons there for the clergy to cone of the thace did no hold with France I thanh it not fit nt all umes, when it standeth upon intellogener, to commilnirate it $u$, the whole pet, te. 26 Avg. Summons to parlamént, diven writs in Peloruary ad Apni before, no money grantal in these arlaments; therefore to tell in it nught be by parlanment is a puor ar_umint. -W.thinghain sath, Allegmuce budeth the hing to protecthon, ns well as at dith the aulyiget to tubure.
The sulject hath a double protertion fiom injury and wrong, th theses of patce ly has laws, and in thenes of war hy his poner mut thas he tone by the hime' shigle pitam? No, it must be done by the boisice of lins anligices at thin is charges- Indeed at is fit the ot p.aticulat soldais thuald be poul.-Oh! bat they till us, that Foriescue, ('hief Justue of the Kung's Bencl, a shew the late of Bingland to he better than he law of Founce, supth, that nothure could he ahen from the subjects but by punhament. That is in the ordimay way; doth he si, that 10 man shall cuntatute to defend hamelt in unmunent daugcr ${ }^{\text {* }}$ Ne orehumi quilem.' 27 ldd. $1, \mathrm{~m} .7$. Pat there the hapy sath be did not hoy ands, natiors, or castles withit, but did it to defend the whole reatin: no mote doth our bing, but only to defend hamelf and the hinglom.

In 33 Ed .1 , rot. 10. It in objected that a cleck sued for'his salay, and had it allowed him: and the hing supponts bis courts by the fincs. But they came from the subject. And may not the king gue a alary when he pleaseth? 14 E. 3, c. $i$, and this were, prest to prove, that aids, though granted in purhament for defence, nould not be, brought moto exanple. Thece were not to be spent for the safegunrd of Eingland, but Scotland and France: and so it comes not to our case. 48 Hen .3 . When the temants came with greater charge than their service required, ' non trahatur in exemplum.' True, if enants by knights scrvice at that ume did no greater service than they were bound in time of danger, it $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{s}}$ bat reason that it should not be Jrawn to be yearly, to make their tenures igher. Plowden fo. 315. Wisenian's cuse. A covenant to atand seized to the use of che queen, in consideration she is head of the commonwealth ${ }_{2}$ held no good consjderation to raise an
use, because there wanteth gurd pro quo; and the hiog, es affeto, is bound to do thet -Unde my loid (ohes fuitour, it was not in the case live case nas upon divers good considerations, and he put th $s m$ by way of adinitt ance 1 can shew whicn this was declued to be no han
Acxt, they allidge, the hing is in possession of the sersue of the Cinque Purts, uid of ton nage und pound ige, and this appears nut to be expended, and ot other iduties for the dclence of the sed, und 'Lex $n=n$ incer stann '- Cem pus tell, I ieveric for wother plice, for thit is one of the there thugs considerable in this $\mathrm{ur}^{2}$ guncut 1 hat le innd genteman, Mi at John, did contess that (is nectsaty iequanes) wien the butty of the hurgdom is in dan m , the subject is tho ind If to were mittial to mithe at apperr whethat wy thang weic spent of not, it should bive tecn fleade I it tic I xclicquer, it he would hactiken in) uls int uge upon it

But dimulug they had been all expended, $y$ yet the prop erty ot the subjects gootis, suth be, E 1 not ine ittucid out of purhament He did blet the haggit m must lie difenica, and did vel $f$, thit $f$ a the manes of it, it did not belong to the people $6 \mathrm{Ru} \quad 2,2 \mathrm{Ed} \mathrm{1,man}$ and mon t bilomin to tle wus, the commons did T $b$ owidede it bel nged to the hing for order-
 1 if mad it up puhment

I, ent ill shen in ill ques, the I ing did it wothout / ulion int Ihas i picity timg thit thic ' $n_{n}$ is to danct the $w_{21}$, end yet shill haw methe1 men n rim my withnut ashogh his tilb : I IIIt. Aul tot thit of property beme If (1) in in, is th opoming of my argument I c cur i t, I ball mitt amble you with icpe-
 hith ourmad money tur pead in the wars and perma It inf y y it, und that mithont : biluo of hi $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{t}$ th, is ut ugument they thanh woth ( us, wiot $48 \mathrm{Ilcos} 3,16 \mathrm{l}^{\prime} \mathrm{t}$ It mave $n$. liw bli the cich the king bom borrowing the is is he hith oce ist in I, it not of mutssity thif the hang must burrou,
 buv? Hust the hing caty im litems thout
 borrow, in I ilso fit it is thit it should he pad as win D, th nuy min thunh that it the hil $f$ do hatoriow 10000 if ins putheulir man, he mut not be $1 t_{4}$ nd gan? 18 II 3 ,m 1 , of 10 Power is civen to the curl of liserten to ien it $t$, the city to bors swamoney Gient rinoun, becsue to is the chimber of the hing - Bat the bilu, s money nat commeng, he de siteth to hoit iw so insch of the city, wad it shill bc pud wlen the ot et moncy cometh
 But thit theistinc the hing compot command mid thou hatulju t bec une he hogiows of has subjects, is no ou id ugument

Then be sil ht, the liw delighte on restamties, do in thic sads 'pui hle marner, and to make his eldest son a hught, these arc certain, 25 Ed 3 All defenct is uncertion, till we know the ofeace, certauly he must be a wee
man that can do 3 . How shall a man hnow how to detend, and not hoow ahat the defence will require? whether ten or twenty thousand? and must it not be proportionable to the ofleace ? Is not this sucipcre majus et manus ${ }^{8}$ Where is the argument, that because adds, whin wele unrutain at comuon lin, are made certan by nct of parhament, therefure mutt this be certain, fol which there is no act of parliament '- Oh' but the laillage in ancelit deniesne and burgage, they mre certain; and $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{h}}$. Hampden he was assessed at 800 . It might hwe becn as well $20 l$ this is uncertans, it doth rest oniv in the king's mercy.

I he wnt tok cth care they shall not assess unequally it Mr Hampden be too lingh assenoed, $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ II umpden might call the sheriff $m$ ques tion, but the sherif ot Buchs is rather to be fined for setting ham at so low a rate as 200. We hnow what house Mr. Hamplen is of, and lus estite too, lor any thing I hnow it migbs hwi buen $20 l$ well enough - But to the legal pirt, some must be husted with it, and who shomid be hat the shenif? and the paites not without iemedy it over-rated lhen they come to suthonity by jurisdiction, and that they that hus puvileges are not tallialile, ' mas pur 'giand caust,' and thit escuage must be set by pulianent, which is by act of pailament; 17 Johanus, th at it wath called Mogn I Charta; und so it uas in Mutth Pans, and coninmed by Hen $3-$ He specike th of it is a thang of story, and there woie for foreign wirs, as so not to oun case $O h^{1}$ but it was admitted ciery man wis to hefp uans $13 \mathrm{ld} 3,11 \mathrm{H} .7$, cap. 18, and whlst they are in their own counties, to be diawn out of their own change; but not to be In inn out of the ir osin counties without nages.
IIrmy the seventh, atroid of has oun title, nates a liw, thet no man whould be questuned for bemg with the hing in arms this wis to tahe awzy the oec ssion of the people's talking, whethes they went upon just ground. -lhit many armies hive been pad by the bing $2 \mathrm{Ed} 6, \mathrm{c} 1$ ) 2, 28 Hen 8, the rebels in :he Joith the hing pionused sitistaction 11 II n 7, cap 1, the duty of the subject is reated it it-Whit agument is this? We shew n ul dges whete the hing commonded hus peois to attend hum or his heutenant, and you fllus that 1 e $p$ ud other armics 19 llen. 7s doth catead to in irs without the bingdom, as well is withm 11 Iicn 7, this lest flid expire' wih his de ith, thus iloth appesi plunly, thas n is bv especill stt by the king 4 Ehiz Dyer 211 Lxpicsoly it did not extend to the service of any other hin; but ham

2, Id 3 cip 8 None constramed to find men at uns, but those that held by such servich I his inust be understood wuthelation to the tenure, they were not to come upin c mmon sminin ms for escunge, but when the whole hungdory was ig duger, thint thes should not
 de Gray dus, not les y menswithur py * Tho

* Baronabt a elegendis 1 to for al abla Scot.
merginal'aote elears this, and so no part of our quevion; and it appears by Walsinghan, fo. (4) 8.5 , that the $k i n g$ was acrually then in Scotland, where he fell irom his hirse, and lost two of his ribs.

In the next place they say, Trin. Si E.d. 1, there is a refusal to go uuhont pay. The wardeas of the marches of Cumbeiland and Wentmorland writ to the barons, that wherens the Scota lay qear the marches with a yreat arny, that the pcople would not murch out of their counties without pay.-This is easily answerel; there were the Enst inarches, and the Wext marches of Scutland, several counties belongue to each: what reason had they to go put of their own marches, unless they had money, for the keeping of them in their absence ? Rot. Pat. 10, or 11 Ed. 2, pars 2, m. 26, for war of Scotiand, 9 Bd . 9, the same discharged in the county of Norfolk. 13 Ed. S, m. 38, the abbot of Rerosey, because he rensined in his own county, discharged; it was no absolute discharge, but dum sic intendit. 21 Ed . 3, Rot. Franc. Some are discharged from the custody of the sea, because they were prompti at home; some for finding hobelfers and archers, and this was by reason of a grant in parlinment; some were discharged, because of therr atay at home to guard the coasts. This proveth the right of the personal service, and of the contribation.

Another ohjection is that of 21 Ed .3 , Rot. Frano. that they should not be kept continually in array, but suffer them to stay in their nwn courities ; but they were to go ns soon as there was nny notice of an enemy.-This yas for the wars of France, and not for the defence of the kinglom. Oh! but $20 \mathrm{Ed} .3, \mathrm{in}, 6$, Rot Nicot. there were ' Exploratores et vigiles,' which were ' Incolarum;' but how 'de assensu et voluntate?' But this was nothug, for it was with assent in the Northern parts, and had hern done in former tumes aganst Scotland. Thea the 100 R ill of Fevershinm, which I concesc rather maketh for us, than agrume ne; for the cistle of Tunbridge is to levy 151 , for the hing, pro sulvatione of the caste, and to levy it by distress. 14 Ed. 4, cap 19, the king cruhd not compel the subject to sell victuals for wars, nor to provide for the cisple in the town, q9 Ed. 1. That uns for Scotland for pasment of vietuals, and who can command a pirieyance but the king? and that of the castle, it was the victualling of his own castlo, and subjects nere bound to victual their ciatles, 8 Eid. 2, rots. 99, the machee provided for victuals, and particular inen pud for vituals, bet they do r at shew from whence that moncy rane. The next in ha roag fir the ling's service marhed, that if hury vere lo-t they might he saticied for. 24 Ed 1 , lubett - loct a horse worth 20 marks, and received antiafaction in the Exchequen sonculiat ulso for wneses, in. 26, Ed. 1, rot. 105, 106. Tlat iz only a Concordat how men should he paily, what the horsemen should have, and what the fiotmen; for Yörkshire, Northumberliand, and Curulerland, were to have no wagcs from the Ling, but to
go against Scorlaud : yea, that is another thing, 'si contra Scotos ;' and that distinction, upon stating of the case, will go throughout 14 Ed .3 3, 34. for castles. 24 Ed. 2, 79, 78, dors. John le Saudell. Bersick wan taken from the Scots, and for furnislang of Berwick castle, the people are compelled to trust him. Oh ! but the prisoners taken in the war, and \%iostages, were at the hing's clmpre. 8 Ed. 3, allowances in the Excliequer for it. 5 Ric. 2, cap. 11, examined in the Fie iequer.-The hing, if he hath a prisoner taken in the war, be is to bave his ransom; shall not the kirg pay for lus clarge? 4 Rd. 2, Rot. 12. Rozer de Sulvage, a Scotish prisoner, the hung loore his charues; so becuuse the hing payeth the clarges of a prisoner for which he haih benefit thy his stusoul, therefore be is to defend the kingidum.
Dyer 162. A mana in execution cannot be forced to go to war.
Our writ doth not desire th, send men in esecution to go to "ar, fir there me pnungh besides them. Thin they olject the borrowng of money, and the kugg paid ngath for it, pro neg:tis wrgentinainis. The hing may have ociasion to borrow moncy, and reason he sho..ld pay it; nothing to the questom.
But the caserr, whether all the people, for their wives, and for their fumbies safety, nond for the satety of the whole l.mgom, moy not be compell d to conts, hate to at ' The abl ot of Glourcoter gase mom y to matran a dumel, the biae took his muncy for the presnt, and paid it back ac.tals. 'Tis wav ud'cronerand' conscient,awn tha for il mes tal.en awny without waraat, the now ter shopild nabwer for it, nut pay atd hepsy, har-luall haid thenselves sathfiect wath trism. Bith thy y object, that 26 Ed. 1, a consmotan went out to mquife, 'de "relbis capti-, sse po costoda marrs, Kec." and the hag ' ith, that 'il fira tuint gue se 'tendeant a passe pre reawn.' True, 'se 'tenderint a paycer, Nc.' nheh is not to be andestiont, wit tice hueg will puy or repay; laut that th'y shrold lowd themsetres satustied 'pur itusom,' that is, he would give them reason x liy they shuuld be saltsfied.

## The Thid Day's Atguyent of Sir EDWARD LIIJLETON.

M.sy it please your bordslup; I shall go on where I left; on'y mfiam you of one Record, 1 Hen. 4. The writ that went for the array of the bishop of Gauterbury, whick'duth recite, that whercas there wa, danger upon the sea, he rind all las ciergy should 'manos apponere 'audjutinces.' 1 speak of this, because it was at sil low on time as Ifen, 4, and it was, 'pro 'salut' pnpul..'
Coia' Y' 14 Jac. Between Wenver and Ward, cognmand came from the king and cooncil, that the Tram-hiands in London should be mustered for the training, and making of them rexuly to defend the kingdom. One in the training hart hiv companion, and he thereupon brought his action against him: and thin was pleaded in bar, that lie was mustered by
apecial command; and this was adjudged a good justification, bectuse it was for the preservation of the land: $\mathbf{2 8} \mathrm{Hen} .8$, which recised that the king did pay them in Lincoloshire; but for the other, he saith, that he shall remember tileir pains.

I shall now go on. It was objected, that by the statute of Whliam 1, the people should enjoy their lands freely, 'sine omni injusta ex-- actione sive tallagio. This was for money to be received for a general thag; but that it was not for thas thing appeareth in one chapter, that knight-sersice tenures should do what beIoniged to it. The rest 'sont fratres conjurati "ad monarchiam Anglizs defendend': 14 Fd 3 , chap. 1: 25 F.d. 3 : 1 Ed. 1, Wulsingham 184. All these are set aside by the statute, and concenl not our question. Ouis is rateable nad propotionable, according to old practice. And it is one thing to corapel a particular man, and another thing to set an equal rate upon the whole kıngdom.

Ult. Feb. s Car. A Commission of Loans for the Delence of the Kingdom. They mean the cournission, which was a consideration to levy monies, by reason of the uecessity of the times. It appeareth in the Journal Book to be tor impositions, or utherwise to mise inoties not concernmg this question. It appears by that, that the king gave a gracioos answer unto ti; and that it was dong for the prasent time only, a warrant for advire only. It was done to raise money in general; but no determination of right, and snid, nt your desires it shall be cancelled. Then there was a connmistion for Loans, 5 Frb, 2 Car. and this they say was for the defence of tho kingdom, It appears it was for the detence of the Pulatinate and Denmark; and for that a bishop was sentenced for Lis mistaking; Mr. I'ym brought up the Arucles against him.

I shall now crune so an objection, woithy the making lyy Mr. St. Jolun; and because it is a thing not truly understood, but luken at random, I shall ciave your lordslip's patience: and that is the statate De Tallagio non Concedendo, 25 Fd .1 , made afier the king went into Flauders, nad ngreed upoin here by the prince as viceroy, and afterwads sent into Flanders to be sealed by the king, as it is put in some books. The words are general: no Taillage to be taken without the consent of the lords in parhaments. 'For this I deare the liberty to inform you of the stary of the times, to make a better answer. This is not all, in some Statute-Boohs it is not mentioned; in others, no kug's reitn mentunned. And in Fal. 1, no year of it, and now they would npply it to the 25 Ed .1 , nad this they would groand upon the compelling of sid, 23 \& 31 Ed. 1. And the commission in $24 \mathrm{Ed}, 1$, did issue upon that, and consequently that this sid was the oid complained of, and then redresked.
Walsingham, a monk of St. Albnne, they rely upon for this, that wrote some seven-scoie years after the thing was done. Sir Edward

Coke saith, Cheonicle Law is not mach te be regarded. True, ho saith, Chrousicle histories may shew os the times, but if it tell as whet the law is, we are not to believe thens. No bintorinn, that I ever knew yet, ever read the Records, and therefore no true history; for the most part they are taken up in Papl's, or the street.-To tuke something in consideration, by way of stating the case, upon the statute Confirma. Char, and the other De Tallagio non. Concedendo, that is, that no wid shall be levied bat loy consent in parliument.
The first atatute 13, that no such aid shall be levied, the nature of it, and the acts them ${ }^{4}$ selves rightly compared, there remains no scraple against the case. Three things for the true understanding thereof must be considered. Fint, What kuud of aids had been in use before 25 Ed .1 , und for uliat they are taken. Secondif, How nud what rumedy was gisen by that statate And, Thirdly, How far thent statute De'Tallagio non Concedendo is in foree and authority in this cose.
For the first, it appearcth in the common story, that iu 21 Pd. 1, the king of France had seized all the dachy of Gascoigne, upon pretence that be did not appear at his parliament at Paris upon a summons. Thereupon the first took a course for the regaining of his duchy, and the war continued nith various success, till 25 Ed. 1. And he had also nar with Scotland and Waies. Fur though Thales was taken 12 Ed. 1, yet there was onc Madox who pretended himself to be of the blood of the prince, till 2s P4. 1, and till that year they were not quieted. And he having uil these uars against Flanders, Gascoigne, Scotland and Waler, some ut one tune, some at anuther, and most altogether, divers nids and supplies were taken upon these occusions of several natures; some were ly voluutary grants of the people, some were by inposituons and levies by the names of taullages or taxes to be paid to the ling, some by assessunent of landa, as the abbot of Robertsbridge, 25 Ed . 1. All this appeareth by the commission to enquire of extortion. And besides all these, some by prizes of goonds and money takeu from the possessors without any payneut, rates, or taves.. Nay, there was then ransacking of the monasteries, and taking of the monies found there; Mr. St. Jobn's case for money taken out of their treasury : and besictes all these, there were anme by way of ransom, ns Mr. St. John rightly observed, and sheved bis learning and industry, that the clengy upon their denial were put out of the king's protertion: and they did rausom themselves by giving a fith part of their goorls. Pat. 95 F.d. 1, m. 11, pers 2 . The people being thas grieved with such aid and priges so extrandinarily taken for the mainte nance of foreign war, ohtained that it might be enacted, thint such nid in a relative term may not be granted nor taken without Neieir convent, hy the stintate of 25 Fd. 1, and Confirma' (Mar. Observe this one thing, the markets of Eugland three years before had granted the king subsidium ppo
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guenna, upoa every shck of wool five marhs; three marks upon woolfells, upon every pach of skins five marhs and this was granted of therr own free-will, and this was to contmue for two or three yeirs $22 \mathrm{Ld} \mathrm{1}, \mathrm{m}. \mathrm{2}$, Roll where it is there relicted Next year, betore Coufirmi' Chata, all the Iuty, excepi cities, boroughs, ind ancient demesn, had granted to the hing in subasdium pro guerra ${ }_{5}$ the 11th part of their goode, 241 d 1, in 2 , Pat pars 2 Aud the sumaner beforc Confirma' Chur' in 8th granted by all the laty, except the citues and bornught, and thicy gianted a filth, and this was still for the $w u$, 251 ld 1 , mil 11, Pat The dpplication is this Ih ise many aids of these severil bincls hivug beut granted for the war, divers men of thit tume did doubs and fest, that howeset they meant it but for a ceitan tunc, yct being not so cleuly expressed, it muft bud thim tor the futute, and that they so doabted, appeareth by Confirmi' (hay' And lor smauch as disers of our realm nere in fean, th it the aid and taxes which they have gnell us belore tume towaids our war and other busmess, of then owu gfits and goodwills, might turu to a b indige to thern and therr heirs, and hilewise for the prics tiben, we do grant for us and our heis, that we shnill diaw mo such add, tuxes, nor prices, into a custom, for any thang done besewfure, be it by rule ot any othiet precedent that may be found

Then my loids, for no occatron such manoer ot add, tixes, or puzes shall be taken hut by common consent, but it endeth not so, saving the antient aids and prizes due and accustotned $T$ his being the state of the case, be pleased to observc the complant of the people, this hind of and, it is plan, was never neeant there, when ' saluヶ populi penclitabatur,' and nasy be livied notwithatunding the statute fot the act is only aganst sach kind of auds as had been voluntarrily grauted by the people, some by merchants, and some by others, that they should not be talen agaust their wills herctiter, bot by consent in parlament. Such is a relative, it is not general no aids, but ro such aids

Secondly, it refers to those that were voluntarily granted to the hing for scutland and Wales, and his othes wars And nuothes reason why these uds that had been for the custody of the sed, could nout be sand to beve come of their good-wills for observe the abbet of Robeijsbindge's case, it appears plannly it was done ug unst then wills, for the custody of the sca, by virtue of the hing's writ

August belore Confium' Clirr' thise thit had given an 8th part, they did obtam expressly letters patents, thit such their free gits, hereafter might not be in sei uitutim, 25 Fd 1 , that is the vely thang doubted in Confirm Chat'. Now those thut hud granted an 8th had noremson to do it, for thi $y$ liad $n$ cliarter to free them, but tic other hid qut and there fore the statute mught well sny some did 'ear So upon the whole matter, there ue no other aids bot these voluntanly granted at that nome, none ia the body of the uct but those granted
with a good-will, for those foreign wars, and the forty shilluags recelved by the king upon etery sach of wool, with thee marls gianted 22 Pd. 1, was by the merchants only ion the war in Gascoigue, and thencupon it was enacted, that the hing should not tathe such than,s but by cones nt

I hese is also in the stitutef ( onfinma' ( bar' an exception, that all antient addo due and accustoned wore excepted, thed therefinc what kud was meant in the body of the ict, the tsception makes cleal, mitutut alds e\copud, this was an ancient ad, and the rifore insee me unt theie to uttodare a mew liu, than no add should br taken, but no such wd So imposituan should be chiea, but the pestice at all uges shews thas fiath been levied cici suce, hom tune to time which is an metrifictation of the woils or the lesis lhus I do of (i1, to mabe wis to the st tute D. 1 illagio in in (on cedendo I buce in the statute in thas woid (such) but that they slould mithe use of thit st itute D2 1 allagn a in Conculeuito, st itcoit, without iclation id that, whicas it will app ear it sa meie extract ont of thar, aud no shi ate itselt for debate of thit, thi De 1 illions 14 the sime with thit ( onimu ( $\mathrm{ha}, \mathrm{H}$ is t phin catiact of it, or sonse otace thats it $\rightarrow$ ome wher tame Sometume in some prim (d) I, ih, ' nul'Ium Tallagrum,' n , uls shall be then iv the hmg somerimes without nutes of to nc whitell made, and at the bect it is no mint, hat it in sadd it was made in the umc of $f^{\prime}$ '
If we shall compirc (oniun: (hil and the artules that nere in this, we shill man it to be nothing but on extiact sut of thit st itite, and thit it wis no artent parhment lad to make it appeal it $w$ is an catract tahen out of it, olinerve the general heark, De Lall for non (oncedendo rirst the clsurer rinunt pios? burondls, Anothas ag unst wool 1 lan $1 / 2, \boldsymbol{A}$ general contruation of the 1 ma and libutheFouithly, A patdon to divers loids thicic These are the things in which the $y$ would a the it a statutc of itvelf all cxpreved in ( oninm' Char' they du not ditter m substance A snch head is the veny ycar of tie 25 Id 1 tor the pardon of thosc loid, uas mide $5 \mathrm{~N} \sim 2,1 \mathrm{~d}$ 1, this snme nas senled by the hing wond by nord, prosed bs the etatnite roll, the icly smie roll that hoth (onirm' (hn' the ncst fol The monh did mostabe thissatitute \o moln n'I brluie a monh, that wrote sevenvcure yeals fter, ag uhst 1 recoid
I shall shew whit was sent over mon II inders, and that was (ontirm' Chin and did be ir date the 10th of October, the hing be mig then n Flanders, and was there ac led by the hing unselt That it may appen, there in no mauner of question of it, licie 13 the vis copy $f$ the statute-roll, ind the whole (omimio. Chat' is iceited verbatum, in wituess, 10 Oc tnber 25, of our reign wheieis Wilsinghim ath, that this eers chari i, wond for word, vas seuled in Flandi is, unden the sieat seal of ${ }^{\text {ingland, }} 5$ Nor 25 ot our reigu, and sent ach into England 10 the slatute-soll
against that time, doth expressly say, that this was the chatter that wes sent over into Flas. ders, and hath the same teste, word for word and this was sent back into England to confirm it further. The next thing that followeth upon the same date and roll, is the pardon of the earls; this was dated 5 Nov. after this Confirm' Char. was sealed.

They have not yet shewed that this statute De Tallagio uon Concedendo was eier entered upon the roll; nay, it could not be entered : who would think that an extract of nn act of parlament should be entered upon the roll? If they can quit Mr. Walsingham, they are wise men : they must carry the pracuce of all times. Where did any man see that this act of parhament was ever shewed to discharge men of the defence of the Lingdom since 25 Ed. 1? Did no body know this mystery to plead, not to defend the sea and their land? Did no man hear of thes till now ? Shall this, against all the practice of the times ever since, take away buch $n$ flower of the crown, as to compel people to grant and for the defence of themselves? A harsh construction !-If this should be true, it would destroy even acts of parliament : to what purpose is that statute made of the 25 Ed. 3, if that were totally taken away before ? and if no and, those adds 'Pur fille marrier, \&cc.' are taken away also. Nny, if this be an act of parliament ${ }_{2}$ when was , it made, before the 25 Ed .1 , or siace? It appears not when : it is indrviguum vugum, I know not what, but in truth an extract. Nay, if this be an net of puilmument under these words, no taillage is cquivocal as wefl as aid; that is proper tailloge that is lad upon villages. 25 Ed. 3, 100 Avowry. Entries 406. h. 8. Ed. 2, Execution 15 Ele 3, 106 Avowry. A rent may be released by the name of Taillage: no mention of this in all ages.
Then it was objected, that $25 \mathrm{Ed} .1, \mathrm{~m} .7$. pars. 2, expressed in the hing's proclanation 12 Aug, before ever he was going into Flanders, that he was sofry for the aid demanded of his people.-Let him remember for what reason hie did demand those aids which he was sorry for; they were ads granted not for the defence of the kingdom alone, but for the detence of the kingrom mixed with foreign defence by reason of wars with Gascoigne, Wales, Scotland, and elsçwhere; for that it was for foreign wars. Upon this folloned the commission, 26 Ed., 1 , Pat. that went to all the kingdom, which makes for ds.
There are three parts in that commission: 1. To observe if the oticer did any thing without warrant, they should return it. 2. If done wrong, the king would answer it. And, $\mathbf{s}$. They should be satisfied with reason if the king did warrant it. The answer tbereonto given was upon another construction made of the words of the record in the parliament 9 Ric. 2. The cause was this: the chancellor declaring the cause of calling the parliament, he doth shew, that the king, in the end of the last parliament had assigned some lords to be of his continual
council for the year following; the namber was seven that were assigned, and they were swom to give grod counsel for the aid of the kingdom. These counsellors treating of the peril of enemies from all parts, and the matter requing dispatch, they durst not andertake the ordering of so perilous a thing, therefore ordered a grand council to be assembled. The grand council was assembled, to whom was shewn the great peril and mfischief of the kiogdon apart, by war, by land and sea'; nnd that nothing was remaining in the treasury for the maintenance of war : Yor a final conclusion, that they might renedy this mischief, they say, that it cannot be done without perhament; this was the English of it, and in the mean tume an army might be landed: And for the present supply of the charge of such an army, they lent the king great surns, which by great seeunity and other things, he did engage himself to pay; Upon this the lords did advise bow is mulnt be done with the least charge to them all, and how the king's right of his crown, and other inheritance beyond the seas might be safe, and the king, lingdom, and themselves defended, to the confusion of all their enemies : 'To this purpose the treasurer was directed to be ready to shew what wal expended the next parlanment, to be bestowed for the marches of Scotand, Wales and Ireland; and said in that great council, they could not remedy that mischief without charging of the commons, which cannot be done, say they, without parhmonent. -It is plain, by the story of that time, and the words of the chancellor, that it was for the maintenabce of the war in Yrance, Scotland nd lreland. And though the defence of the Lingdom be mentioned with these wars, yet the nain supply was for these foreign wars.
In the next place, a butte before in the pariament, the hike and for the like occasion was lesired by the king, 2 Ric. 2, m. 24, 25, Parl. Roll. What did the commons say now? They ught not to bear such forengn charges. The king ave them a fair answer, admonistied them, for iascoigne concerned the hingdom of England, or that is as a Bulwark to the kingdom of Eagand : Burbacon is an old Fort deHors; for the ad demanded in that parliament was with this elation as consonant ; boith together concerned he defence of the lingdom, that they mught be sulwarks for the kingdom of England. - In the sext place, who spake thicse words? The lords, said they, could not remedy the mischinef without a parlament. The lords assigned by the sarliament, they must not do it; it is dependnt upon the other part, the lords could not do $t$ that way.
But shall we come home, and speak plain aghat? We know this was 2 Ric. 4 , a young rince. It doth oppear expressly, that shese hings, were brought into the parlament tor Idvice, , which were fit for royal nuthority, or expence for yars, and for counsel, and fur overning of this realm. It appcars further, by the very chancellor's speech, that the council 'ad been heratofore ordained for the guarding

## 

of the kingdom, 2 Rich. 2, Rot, Parl. This parlianeat taking notuce of the king's teuder ege, they took much power unto themselvef. There was to be a council for the governing of the kingdom in general; but the counsellors should be chosen to govern the atate for two years, and these counsellois not to be removed from the hing without the parliament; and more than that, his treasuier was to be governed by the pailiamist, and bis counsellorb choven in parliament.

As the end of the Petition of Right, neither - Iordb nor connons, jountly nor severally, can make a new law without his consent; and that your londships, and none but you, are the inurpieters of the law, wise halg James did declare.

The nett thang cousiderable is, tempus belh to difend it. It is when the condation of the tume is asch, that the curient of jurtice and law is by such solence stopped, as judges to not at, noi sheritis dare evcute theu oftce, nor any court is open, especially the C'hauceny, whech is Oihcmad Justitis. It wns sadd theie was a tuese when the hing mighe conamand this livi, to which they dud agree: Phy dul agiee the king angit command this levy when lee hath proclanmed a war, though no stroke strucken, no danger, nor armes, nor opimion of any force coming; this doth put it into thie hing's powet by their uwn consent. And by all reason, 19 Ed.c. 6. Bran's Opmion. IIow many wars liave been prociamed in thus latter age? No war denounced in 1588, though consulted of in Spuin; and they did not think fit to denounce a war, sath ur Walter Ralelgh; those days are past. Now they begin by the sword, not by the trumpet or herald.

In the next place, they say, of the king be in the field with his banners displayed; this thay any was trmpus belli. Cannot the course of justice sit then, bat there must be a peace? 39 Bd .3, Rot. 10. Did not the court of justice sit then ? Our ordinary priated books shew what causes of law then were. And in Henry O's time, in all our civil wars, and in Henry the $7^{\prime}$ 't time, they sat then. But the true time, to make it tempus belli, is to make a war against the king.
Is the North, towards Scotend, when the enemy npprosches, is it necessary that it must be a time of peace, because the court of justice sits at Westnunster-hall? There may be then a strong wdr in the North and Went, is ever was in England, when the court of justice sits here. That fempus belli may be in some placies of the kuigdom, and in some places not, appeareth 93 Ed. 3, and who shall discern if tbero be any dauger ?-They agreed in general, the king may do it, aud that the power of discerning is is his mujesty : I shall leave it in the king, till Mr. St. Jaha fyads a thard person to do it. is Heo. 4, fur the murngr, they say thnt this doth onaly charge those thing, that are oimaliz, for mien are not emmpelled to go to market. How shall they then live? True, it is not compuleory to go thicher, bus it is compulsory to pay the menog.

1 Ria. s, m. 176, parn s. A petition froa the city of London, to heve all owners of lande to be rated with them in the danger of wers, not having lands in tenure to do it.-This doth wake for us; that not only merchants, but every man in the lien of tenure to do it. The lord St. Albans saith of Heory 7th, that kinge may fall from their absolute power when they sep cuuse, but not be compelied to do it. They sny supplies are called those things, sine qua non the kingdon may not be defended: And because there is a wuy by paliament, therefore no other way.
They say, it will not be affirmed that the king buth the saine power for an offensise, as delensive war; I aitirn, nor defend nothug; the pailiament is a great body, and the himgdom may be lost in the inteum. Then they ailicdige there is forty days for essoinase, and the like for paliment. Many tmes thugs are nupossible, und incoulemient to be done by parhatment.

Fust, nopossible; the necessty may be such thit it will not brook the delay of a pariminent. ${ }^{43}$ IIen 3 , sammans to the Cinque Ports. They come day and aght to the hims when any danger is. It hath Leen sadd, that there are serch months betneen the teste of the wint and the Jups beng at Portsmouth; in that time a parlament might have been summoned. The great one, and the tine answer 1s, that this iesteth still in the 'hrag's judgwent. If the kung hath ratelligence that foreign states will set out the next spring a fleet, and for consensency of his people sendeth seven monthe belore-hand to lase this nid; 'hath the people any cause to complain of this? Mr. Hampden haih not pad the money yet, bemg two years after.-
If they should have gone by parliament, see what rubs in this case might be. Forty days are spent bcfore the parliament sits: Then when they meet in parliament, there is $n$ ceremony in choosing of a Speaker, solemnities in these take up tune: Then the lords take thangs into consideration, then they nust have conferences and disposes with both houses; before they agree, the kingdom may be lost: In the mean time, suppose this comes in some reasonable time, to mnake assessments first in the cities, then in the burroughs, then particular sssemblies, then divimons ; and after all this, there must be coliectors sppointed to levy the money; what a great deal of time is apent in all this, every man may see.
Our county, say they, is an inland county, and they caunot find a ship suddenly; and therefure the greater the king's mercy and favour to lead theon his abipe instead thereof. When all this in done, and ships provided, ressomable tinve ment be allowed to sail from the North to Portswouth; but this doth solely ratik in the judgmeat of the king.

31 Hes. 8, cap. 8, an act of 'parliament no4 to take awny any of their inheritanet or lands. Here is mo jands or goods taken away; only len then contribate to the defingee of flues-
selves, and all-is done. Mr. Hampden hath / to pay. A writ directed to William Rassel, had none of his goods tonched. They say, for shippping it was done in king John's tiune at the sabjects charge; but they do not meddle with Jand-service at that time. 15 Johan. 13, dors. Rot. Claus. king John had business beyond the sea. That this was rather fur beyond sea, than the kingdem, sppearech thus: The writ saith, to have such ships as would carry eight or six horses, and that must needs be for some foreign service, and not for land-service at home. And it appeareth, Rot, Claus. m. 1f dors, the king was nell landed at Rochel.

For the terms of the law, which concerns lidage and other things, Bract. 37, and some services introduced by common consent, I will not trouble you with it. To conne to the case of the ablot of Robertsbridge, that arms was laid on by the statute of Winchester. That will not serie, arins was long before that time. They say the assess was in time of war; the Fiench had burnt Dover, 23 Ed, 1, and that the assessiment was not made by the king'b nuthonty: but it appears it was by the hing's nuthority, by vittue of his writ, 25 Ed. 1, Ln. Tress. Henemb. Leybourne was admiral of all the Lingiom; and was Custos Maris et Maritim' for sume parts: but that it was for land-sersice, and not for sea-rervice. Custos Maris et Martum' are terms convertible; he that doth one, defends the other. They have left no stone unturned to tahe awny the force of this case. They tell us now, the clergy was put out of the king's protection this year, and so it appears in the Exchequer; but they have not shewed any sucf1 writ delivered anto the com-mon-plens, only Spencer's word of mouth, thatthey should have no privilcge there. Nuppose it werg so in other courts: 25 Ed. 1, it appears plainly, that the clergy came all to be in the king'b protection, and gave masoms : und thib cause was nut itl Michaelmas after. And wherens it is satd, this abbot's case did only concern the land-service, it proveth both the one and the cther: money for the sea-service, horse and man for the land-service.-Oh? they say, 29 Ed. 1, Mag. Char. was not obserted; and instanced Jobí de Gray and Plalip's case. Whatsoever wis the practice of that time, is not material. And for that of the Cbarter of the Forest, they say, though it be there said, 'Nullus enittat vitam vel merobrum ' pro venatione notres,' yet one was bcheaded.
But what is all this to thie safe custody of the sea? Naf, they bave not shewed your lordships, that there was any thing in that great charter for the custody of the sea. 51 IIen. 3. fol, 84,-Britt. 117, Flet. et Fitz-Her. Lord Coke on Littleton. Perhaps question might be about this in the exchequer at this time, the case of Shoreham discbarged of land-service, because they found shipping. They that were nearest danger most fit fior that defance; as the king, in fhs fenth year of his reign, sent only to parritiun towns. 2 Ric. m .42 . They say Beverly was discharged, beçause rt was un inland town, therefore ao inland town ought

Vol. Im.
adiniral, cummendeth only the sea coasts.

13 Ed. is m. 35, Rot. Claus. Part. 1, uftermards discharged, hecause of other service. And for that of Beverly, a complaint that they were to contribute to such a town to find n siip; they say they have privileges, but the special rensun why it was treed, was for reverence the king did bear to St. John de Beverly. Mr. St. Sohn knowe it; 120 ships granted to the two admirals, North and West, for sernice beyond the sea. $31 \mathrm{Ed}$. 1, 'de inveniendo' horses, because of great business in his wars abroad, he bound them to make galleys for foreign service. True, the king ut this time was in Scotland, and kept his Christmas there. Then come to 51 Ed. 1, as though he should do it hy service of the Cinque Ports: Nencastla upon Tyne at their own charges : but when into Scotland at the king's pay, then they came to this. 10 y.d. $3, \mathrm{~m} .6$, Kot. (laus sent for slips into North-Wales and South-Waler; the writ did say, that wages used not to be pard for such service ; and did express in his wit, satisfaction should be given uato them, not of 1 ight, but de gratia: and recteth that clause, that the people were bound unto it. 2 Fd. 3, 16 dors. I hat there should be three hundred pounds to relere the fishermen, the king should not have it of the people of that town. They say all theme rocords being matur of fact, did occasion the making of many statutes of redres. 14 Ed. 3, cap. 1, great aid for Scotand, France, and Gascongue ; 15 Fil .3 , m. 9, contrary to Mag. Char. 22 Ed, 3, m. 4, 56 Ed. 3, m .9 , no goods to be taken without cousent ? thete are things comprebended within the Pe tution of Right. 37 Ed. 3, m. 2, spenketh only of the great aids. 23 Ed. 3. Ginlleys made; they say the king paid for them : that is, upon the king's own promise; but they say that is nudum pactum. Theu they say, is the king's word nothing? $13 \mathrm{Fd} .3, \mathrm{~m} .9$, called a partiament, propounded as on the hiog's part; they are not lable to the defence of the sen. If the commons have nothing to do with the guard of sea, why is it propuuader unte them?

20 Ed. S. m.- That the guard of the sea henceforward be made ut the charge of the king, as hath been promised, and there the ptople discharged. They do not affirm in their own case there was any right. 21 Ed .1 , rot. Frauc. m. 9. 2t. on merchants wools, and $6 d$. poundage for a certain time, and to cease tam guam, \&e. 22 Fa .3 , 2 s . upan a sack of wool noay crase. All these things were granted for forcign wars; and if the cause ghould cease, then the thing should cease. 2 Hen. 4, commission for building of galleys, the king would confur with the lords about it: then 19 hen. 4,17;21. touching the guard of the sea, not bound unto ir.

4 ITen, 4, 28 ma . Tonange and Poundage not o be takea wifinut common consent. A protestation of the commons doth not bind the king, and concluded with nallum tompue occurv rit ragi.
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The Firt Day's Argumest of Mr.' hoo BORNE, on the Bebalf of Mr. HaspDsx, before the Judges in , the Exche. quer-Clamber, in the great Case of ShipMonsy.
May it please your lordships; In obedience to your lordships commands, 1 am ready, though not as I desire, nor as the cause descrieth, to argue it ; it Leing impossible for one in so short a time to $b e$ fitted to make a reply $\omega$ the life of the cause of an argument, so loug, so learned, and so full of records, wherein neither h bour nor learning was wuntung. I nay say of thim, as one snid once, 'etiam hace defensa 'fuisset.'
I bhall now rather shew your lordships what I should do, than what I shall for the present. I shall proeeed nell, hoping the suliject will excuse, and your lordslups greater care supply, my defects, which hase been without any default.
My lords, the case upon the records stands thus: In May last there issued out of the Exchequer a Writ of Scir' Yac' to the sherif of Bucks, to wara my client to shew cause, why he should not pay 20a, assessed upon him by the late sherif of that county, for the fiading of a ship of war mentioned in the writ, 4 Auk. 11 Car. sent iuto that Inland country, nud the zos. certified into the Chancery to be unpaid, and sent over into the Exchequer by Mitinuus, to be levied there. Mr. Hampden hath appeared, and demanded oyer of the writ 4 Aug. of the Mittinus, \&c. and upon the reading of them all, hath demurred in law generally; and the king's counsel have joined in demurrer: and I humbly oonceire juigment ought to te 'given for my client.
My lords, I shall proceed to the stating of whe questions, which are three : the first, which io a chief one, is this ; whether, upon the whole record, the case do appear for the king; that 4 Aug. 11 Car. being the day of the date of the writ, the king could charge the county of Bucks to find a ship at their costs and charges? By way of admittance, if he could, yet whether the king can give power to the sherif to ussess the county as in this case? By a further admittanee, admit that the king have power to charge an aseess, whether he can levy the money unpaid Ly this coorse of Certiorari and Mittimus, as he might do if it were his own proper debt? Of these three' questions, whereof the two last remain untouched, and not argued by us, I chiefly intend to insist.
For the first question, though argued fally, yet 1 doubt, as yet, whether it otandeth rightly stated; not but that I conceive Mr. Solicitor had good colour to state it, as he made it, partly by the record, yet somewiat oat of our admittance, yet by admittunce only, aod wo expreseed. Agniin, another reason which I conceive, there was a neeessity on the king's pait, so to make it as Mr . Solicitor atated it, or alse to ware tho debate.
The first question is, whether or ao, upon
the whole record, the case so appeareth for the king, that 4 Aug. 11 Car. bellig the date of the writ, the king could by his writ charge the county of Bucks for the finding of a sbip of war? This, on his majesty's part, hath been stated in these records, whether the king finding in his jodgment the safety and preservation of the kingdom and people necessarily and unavoidably to require this aid commanded by this wrtt, might not command such an aid by the writ, for saving and preserving of the Lingdom and people; wherein I confess there is not one word but hath its weight.
As to this question, thus made, I shall take three exceptions, which are things tuken in to be granted, which I shall not argue if I can avoid then. That pt lenst, in the king's judgment, the safety and preservation of the kingdem was endaugered 4 Aug, that is, that the hingdom was in danger to belost. If it be so that the kingdom was in sucb danger, and that the danger was so instant and unavoidable, that it necessarily required this and by this writ ; that is, it required a present charge of Nhipping presently, 4 Aug. 11 Car. to be forthwilt comananded, and that occasion could not exp ect a parlianuentary cousideration and supply; these be things wherein we differ. And, hastly, for the truth of it, the certuficate mas sofficient in a legal way.
My lords, to tind out whether the record doth warrant these three things of great mportance. First, I shall seek for them in the writ 4 Aug. und next in the Mitimus; there is no colour elsewhere to look for them. To open the nrit rightly will clear these differences, as 1 humbly conceive, without any great argument. And first, for the writ dated'4 Aug. 11 Car. I shall read the words, wheen en the danger of the kingdom is expresed, aml then explain what wordg give that wwe that is tuken out of them.
' Quia datum est nobis intellig' quod pre'dones quidam pirati et huaris grassatores tam ' nominis Christani bostes MAumetami quam ' alij congregati, naves et loma et mercimonia, ' ion solum subditoram nost' verum etian sub'ditor' amicor' nost' in mari quod per gentent 'Anglicanann ab olim defendi consuevit nefarie (diripientes, et spoliantes : ac ad libitomn suum ' deportavere homines $q$; in eisd' in captivitatem ' miserrinam mancipantes.' Cunnq; ıpsos con'spicimus navig' indies praparantes ad mercn' tores nost' ulterius molesyalid' et ad regn' gra'vand' nisi citiuy remedium apponatur, eorumg; 'conatui virilids obvictur. Et' convideratis ' etiam periculis quare undique his guerrin' tem' poribus imminent, ita guod nobis et subditis ' nostris defensionem mafis et reg' omni festir ' natione quam poterimus accelerare convenit, ' nos volentes defensione reg' tuitione maris 'secariate subditor' nost' salva conductione ' navium, dec.' Here are the cavses and occar sions; oll that comes after is not material to the stating of the question.
My lurds, in the opening of this. writ, it is true, there was mention of loss by merchants of some particylef membery of tha kingdop. And
this loss by 'prredones pirati quam Mahume' tani et al';' and though ' aliii,' yet pirate stall and no more; then it saith 'ipsi,' stull those pirates daily prepared ships, but not armed with men. What to do ? To molest the merchants, and, 'ad giuvandum reg',' as pirates sull hitherto. I conceive there is not a word of danger fromí any empire, but froln purates; not a word of danger to the kuogrom, hat to merchants: however, allahis is quod intellerimus. The record goes on thus, "Consideratis 'etuam periculis, ike. umminen'? This part, dis I conceive, is not so positive, the dangeis are Lut 'consideratis,' winr the danger to the body of the kingdom. No word of that; or if to the kingdom, yet nothing in point of safety ouly, but in point of moléstation : none of all these appears. And besides, the clanse is too general, not expressing nny particular danger, trum whoin or how. Ilowever, be the danger to the one or to the other, he it to the kingdom or to the merchants, be it for tsouble or for sufety, hitherto I moy bay there is no mention of any soch instant danger, ns necessarily did require thas instant command in the writ, not so inuch as in the hing'bjudgment. For ought that appears, a parlimment, even in the king's judgmeat, might have been called, and consideration takeo tor a defence. Here be all the premuses upon which the conclusion mast, urise; and bitherto notlyng material to make $u$ dauger to the safety of the knigdom, and so olistant, thut a supply nunc aut numguum mast come in.

Although the premises, I roncuive, are only conssderable, yet the conclusion will be but this, convenit accelerare; bet it is fittung to hasten: but no such necessety, though it be 'convenit ' accelicrare pro defensione reg.'. If that were material, it cannot be construed, but mith relacion to the piemises on whichit a built. And whether in feat of tnouble, or danger, or loss, won constat : and though it be 'cum omni fes'tinatione,' yet it is ' 'qua potermus;', and that is 'possinus quod jure poterimus;' that cs, with all the haste that hy law you cm moke: which way this is, your lordships have heard. Now, my lords, it appears on the record, that there was no such instant necessity, but that a parliament might have been time enough; for that it was observed between the test of the writ and the rendeavous, there were 200 and odd days, wherens á partiament requireth but 40 ; in the remainder of these 200 days, the . parlinment might have considered of the means of defence. But I leave it to your lordships to judge, notwithatanding those expences of time cunningly reckoned up to your lordslips by Mr, Solicitor; and though it be true, that things are oftentimes long in del.beration, yet nature tells us they can be sooner. If theie he a necesaity, we know that will force.

I have but opened this writ 4 Aug. I num now come to the Mittimus: The ohily doubt which I conceive in the Mitimus is, that where the case stands but thus, in this writ is recited the tenor of the writ 4 Aug. and then the writ
goes on, and saith, 'Quia salis req' periclita'batur,' and that is all she clause in the record that gives colour to the ense so to be made. And to the whole record we have demurred.Here it hath been said, we have donfessed all by the demurrer; and if that bold not, the king, who is the judge of the danger, hath said so, he hath cerufied so under the great seal, and on these depends the weight of both these processes.
fo this I have many answers, but I shall sea lect a few fiom many others, on which I shall rcly. My first answer is this; here the words are, that 'Salus reg' periclitabatur.' These words in shew seem to be positive, but in substance but relative; and are rather but a comsment on the writ, or an abstract in point of those dangers mentioned in the writ, for the clause was brought in on the return of the writ ; and if we bave the writ itself, the comment thereupon, or further explanation thereof, is not material. My second answer is; I doubt, I say no more, if the ling put particular reasons into the writ 4 Aug. whether the law, I speak of legal course, doth permit any after wnt to put in further clauses of the same wature with the former, to the saine end. If the case be thus, then our demurrer will be no confession of any such danger.
In the next place, ardmit the words in the writ had been positive, and materzally expreased; yet, according to our rules of law, it casnut make use of that sease they are now apphed unto: For the best, the word 'salus,' being onlygproper to a physical and natural budy, 15 applied here to a body politic. It is but a metuphor, which the law will not endure in writs, for it would brug in great mischiefs. In writs and in pleadings, metophors are dangerous: We know not how to take issue upon it, and therefore it is not regulaily allowed; Lut I leave it to your lordships jougments.

There are no wrrds of the danger of the loss of the kingdom, that is, such instant danger ; for apply the words to a natural body, as relue J. S. is in danger, it doth not presently imply, that he is in such instant danger of death. A doctor will say a patient hath not his heulth, yet no danger of death, it is the common speeoh; the smme sense it most have in a borly politic. If the words were good, and did unply a danger, yet not such a danger na may hasard the loss of the kingdom; for the wordy ere only 'Salus reg' periclitabatur,' and the thing may be never in action, which twenty yeats hence may lose the kingdoro. A man may say, that the safery of the kingdom is in danger. At the best, the words will not make the case as it is put.
Mr. Solicitor, out of his great care, searching inte every hole where he thought we might peep out, doubting our demurrer would not be a confession volicient, he takes in another help, which is this, that if this be so declared by the king's opinion, ond under the great seal, that this alone had been stfficient. For this there hath boen urged, the legal weight of the ling'a
affirmation, and of a certificate under the great seal; andbboth be coucluded in this case.

My Lords, before I answer to this matter, I profess, for my client and myself, that ne make no doubt of the kug's word, and believe there was dauger, though not so apparent to us; but only loth to allow it as sufficient in a legal proceedng, lest what lus inajesty, in his own worth deserves, by after piaces unight turn to a disadvantage. -That which we urge is, how far in form of law this nuy be allowed, we shall argue, and that brielly, for the case needeth no help. For this point I take it for leave, under your lordships favour, that in legal proceedings, and regularly, his majesty's opinion, nud certificate in thuggs of fact, is not biading. Yea, but they say, it is matters of state and goverument. Por that, to ash the question, whether or no raising forces thas is lett to his majesty, that stands aud falls on the main cause.

My Lords, I do agree, in divers cases the king'b affirmative shall be conclusive in matters of fact, that is, when it is not so trialle clsewhere; as in a writ De Rege incensulto, to stay proceedings, when the king certifies matter of fact, the writ must be obeyed, but then, withal, the matter is triable elsewhere. But these cases will not instch ours. As for that great case 20 Ed .1 , concerning the lords marchers, that the king was 'Recordam superlativuin,' to say no more. it is bot an ullegation of the kng's counsel.

My Lords, the reasons whereon I shall most rely, to avoid the sense of the writ, 'Sulus reg' 'periclitabatur,' is thus, That though it doth now appear ly the Mittimus, that 4 Aug. the kiogdom was in danger of being lost, yer it is not sufficient in law, nor can our demurrer hurt us; because it must have so appeared in the writ, 4 Aug. itself; for the writ and declarstion in law must ever contuin precisely so much of matter is is necessarily true to warrant the demand.

In this to sec the mischief, if a danger now declared makes the case, how shall the subject hnow by the writ, 4 Aug. whether to obey or no? The law binds not a man to divine: And if this sabsequent declaration shall mend the case, then the sabject shall be a wrong doer, ex purte jucti, which is against the renson of our books. I shall remember the cases put by Mr. St. John to avother purpose. A cmumission sent forth without cause expressed, that commission is not good; and it is not denied by Mr. Solicitor, that a cause must be set to make it good in law. And if your lordships be pleased to look on the precedents, as I know you will, which the kings side shall bring nato you, your lordships will find the danger turned froin the first wit to the last. Nuy, in the writ of this year I am told it is so, out of their opiniou, feasing the writ 4 Aug, was not so good as they would have it. They put it into the Mittimus, which tbey knew coald not dogood; but they did it only to cavil.

But fastly, admit the king had said the kingdom was in sach instant dunger of loss, and
that there was an instant necessity of the command this way, and that this could not have expected constderation in parliament; yet af the contrary appeass in the record, then neither was the demurrer a confession, nor the certificate conclasive.- I could stand on many other things, as that the danger should be more particular, tor so are all the old precedents. To say, 'salus regni' is in danger, is too general; as in a protection, they must alledge, in what place the party protected is emiployed. 2udly, In the Mittumus it should not be that 'Salus ' reg' perichtabatur,' but bow 'Salus reg' peri' chtalatur,' I believe it is meant so; but we must now look to rules of law. True it might have beea in Janger before, but not tunc; as in the case of indictment upon the statute of 8 Hen. 6, for an entry upon Whiteaher, 'existens ' tenement.' J. S. ihe laws will not take motice of the time, without sajing, 'tunc existens,' at the time of the entry.

My lords, in the conclusion upon this discourse, it appears, I have so spaied the case, that in the writ, dated 4 Aug. there appears 100 danger of the hingdom Lemg then lust; and that in the Mittimus there ure no express words of dnnger to the kingdom instant of unavoidnble. If it were so, it cometh not tume enough; for it shonds llave been in the writ dated \& Aug. And of theie had been such expressing of such instant danger in the wrot 4 Aug, and in the Mittimus, yet not material, if otharwise on record. And lastly, thus certificate doth not concluile us.

Thus then to shew what the case is, and what it is not, I bave put ont of the considetation of the case, all consideretans of such danger to the hingdom, as a.e onav oidable.- I have left nothing in the case but consuderation of pootecting merchants against piastes, but for vidinary defence of the sea. If the case doth fall thui, I humbly conceive, that in thus place, I might, without further argounent, with some coutideute, senture my client's case apou your lordship's jodgunents, inotwithstaodiug nuy thiug objected on the king's part.

Then, by your lordship's command I shall pruceed: having laid aside the Mittumus ond 'Salus regni penclitalatur,' and tahing the case only on the writ \& Aug. which, ws I tahe it, is nothing of danger to the hingdom, but for protecting merchants, and for common defence. The case stands shas: That though there be 10 actual invasiońs no known or deciared enemy; yet the king out of his judgment, 4 Aug. 11 Cur. apprehenileth and fureseeth tanger to the kingdom in point to be lost; and that the danger is so instant and unavoidable, that it requireth this aid. Whether the king out of parlainent by bis royal power can command this supply ?
I have endeavoured not to mistake Mr. Sulicitor; it were as anjury to requite him so ill. In my urgument I shall desire leave to hold his course, because the two man questions ane both of one nature, though different in digree. Our question is, in case of counmon guod aguinst pirates. Upon the whole, my end is to blew,
that by the fundamental policy of Eagland, the king cannot out of parliament charge the subject, no not for common good, unless in special cases, and of a different nature, or upon different reason; nor for a necessary defence ${ }_{\text {, }}$ though in the king's judgment the danger be iostant and unavosdable.

My lords, in the debate of these two questions, I have learned of Mr. Solicitor not to say all that I could, but so much as is necessary, and as he hath chalked out the way. I shall inguire of thas power by arguments upou practice constant and allowed in tune of good government, when the liberty of the subject was not trampled upon; and shew it by acts of parlianent, reasou, and authorities in both.

My Lords, I am now conte close to the arguments on the main; before I begin, give me leave to profess that I ans in a dilemma. The question will be, what the king can do in these cases, by his royal power? it much concerns him. And I have learned out oita speech of his lato majesty, what it is to debate such questious. Not to argue it were to disobey the assignment of the court, and to desert my client and lus cause. For my part, as your lordships sec I bave laboured to decline the maiu question, I should be glad it night so sleep.-I shall not offer it, if happily the case falls off in the penning of the writ, aud not of the king's power. I doubt whether the way of argument shall do the crown a dirservice.-Out of my duty to his majesty, and service to your lordships, I humbly offer, whetuer your lordships inay not thank it fitting to deterimine the question upon the framing of the casc, before it be further argued; and leere 1 shall rest, or upon your command un ready to go on.
[Ifere the Lord Chief Jastice Finch said, ' We do not use to jadge of Cusce by fractions.']

My Lords, since if is your command I shall obey, and go gn, notwithstanding the bicorne urgumeutun, which on ench side threateneth, I hope his majesty will excuse us, for arguing of that which cannot else be determined. Aud as ho hath g.ven way to an argument, I hope his gooducss will cacuse us, whilo ne do our duty ior our chent. And if I err in my materials, or in the way of my arguing, it is from the defect of my wistom, I cannot be wiser than God hath made me, and nog out of any disaffection to the service,-My Lordspl hape geither hịs majesty nor your loydships will think, it a point of a, higher nature: yet thds far I assure your lordslups, that if any matter or consideration of state come in my way, I slafl tread us lighty as I can; yet I must crave liberty to pick out some to refer to your lordship's consideration, and sball forbear those thiogs that are unfit.
|IIere the Lord Chief Justice Fisch said, - Keep you within the boands of duty, as befits ' one of your nrofession at the Bar at Westmin-
' ster, and you shall bave no interruption.']
My Lords, I shall be very wary and tender. I elaill now open the division and parts of my argument.

My negative part is this, That the ling cannot out of parlament charge the sulject, not only for the guard of the sea against pirates; but also not for the ordinary defince of the kingrom, though the king judge the kingdom unavoidably in dunger to be lost. Aud un this I must take in the defence; as well the defence at land as sea.

My positive part is this, The king regularly is to be at the charge for guardivg the sea agaust pirates; and for the defence of land and sea aquinst enemies, so far as he is able: and that the king hath provision for both, especially for the sea service.
In the prosecution of these two general parts, I shall not only propose my own considerations, but join them with Mr. St. John's as I can further infer them, or jusufy them aguiust Mr. Solicitor's denial or evasion. And-this course will necessarily bring in many of his urguments, which 1 would be glad to spare, if the cause would bear it, breause your lordshipy sbould not think that I do nothing but repeat. In this way I shall humbly endeavour to clear each part, by giving a reply before I descend to other particulars. And where I conceive a new objection, which will not fall withun any fonner answer, I will raise it, and endeavour to lay it. Into these gencral questiuns will foll many others of great consequence.

Fist, such as not being the main, I will not draw upon particular debatcs. Whele there is any thing concerning state or reverence, I hope to admit such, and swe my client's cause. Having tpus unfolded my form of argument, I descend to my negative, that the king in none of these cases, without parliament, can charge the subject. I will prove it from reuson, which is the mastor of all authorities, as Mr . Solicitor said. And from reason drawn from the fundamental policy of the frame of this English government, in the necessiry attendance of the public advice in parliament upon the royal power. And secondly, from the absolute property the subject hath in his lands and goods. From these two things I shall draw my reason. For the political advice in parlament, I sball humbly decline all school-disputes. The spuier may mahe poison out of that which the bee mates honcy. I shall omit the consideration of some points.

I shall take my rise from the judgment of ling James 1619 , in his speech in porrliament; whercin his majesty agrees, that the king in concerto, can do no more than the fundamental laws of the kingdom alloweth: and I assure myself his majesty desireth not more.
Defore I enter into the argument further, Whether the law hath intrusted the king out of parliarsent in either of the cases put; I here profess for my client and myself, that while we speak of political advice, nid huw far a governor sabject to error and will may use a regal power, we do always with thankfulness to God acknowledge our present huppiness to be blessed with so just a princi; and we fetch it from our hearts. And were his majesty so
imosortal as he deserves, and sure that his succeasors may be heirs to his virtues as well as to his crowns, we should wish the royal poner milaht be free from political advice, and unlimited.
[Here the Chief-Justice Finch said, 'This - belongs not to the Bar to talk of future govern${ }^{6}$ ment ; it is not agreeable to duty, to have ${ }^{4}$ you bandy what is the hopes of succeeding - princes, when the king hath children of his - own that are like to succeed him in his crowns ' and virtues.']

My lords, for that whereof I spake; I speak ga looking tar off many nges, five haudred years bence.-My lords, because 1 might run into further errur, if I should not rake your advice, I shall slip over much; and the sum of all is, First, An argunent from the policy of England, in the necessary attendance in the particular advice in parlament. Secondly, It will be from the absolute property that the subject hath in his goods, taking that for granted, againt the book of Cuwel written in the time of ling James, who under the word l'arliament, speaking of the king's power out of parliament, saith, the powen in parliaments is but a pious policy. But this mas complained of, and by proclamation the book was denied. Your londships also know of another book that was sentenced upon the same occasion. The tuse that I make of it is this: if the frame of Englash goyermment stands in the royal power, and the subject hath property in his poods; then the adequate reason of both from these is, that therefore the king can without parlument charge the subject in his estate, though in pretence for common good, no more than a prince $\$ 00$ years hence, if subject to error or will, may if he will, upon any occasion or no occasion, at what rate he will, dharge the subject to the height. As to the advico political, if the king can do this alone, what is become of the policy for which the political advice was made attendant to the regal poner? ' Ne respublica, \&cc.'

Secondly, If the subjact hath a property in his goods, how is it in the power of any one slone to charge that? This reason I must not leave, for on this the case stands or falls; though there be many books and cases, yet all are from reason, but especially when these stand together. The reason seems so strong, that it evegr holds in ordinary pgwer. It holds 'pro hono publico et pro defensjone,' he cannot make a charge in ordiaary things; and Mr. Solicitor did not deny the force of this objection. The answer stands thust Admit it be aereed, that by the palicy of the kingdom the Fing cannot charge the salject, yet the king may, without edvice in parliament, in cusen entraordinary; where in his jodgment, the pefety of the kingdom is in instant danger, and that the business will not admit of thec oalling of a perliament. He fortified this part of his distinction, with strong reason; for in such ensen property must yield, for 'salus popali ${ }^{\prime}$ suprems lex; et aecessitas, lex temporis, et
' quod cogit defendit,' all are true; and to this, some home cases were put: as for building of bulwarks upon another man's land, and burning of cora in 1588 . And then foreseeing the incounter of a reply, he saith the subject must not say, that although the power be in the Ling, be will inlarge his power, for the king can do no wrong.

This prima facie hath a fair shew, and may go far; yet I hope to give it a full reply. By this distinction the whole frame of political advice, is, under favour, destroyed. I shall shew the contrary by reason and experience. For the distinction between danger, ordinary and extraordinary, where the king floth think a danger and a parliament cannat be called: that distinction, I'sny, must needs destroy the policy in the whole; Hor as I conceive, the end of that policy was but this; for else, what could it be? As it will ever be in the will and desire of a good prince to do all good fur the subject, to whoun this advice by parliament can do no hurt; so what case soever should happen many ages after for that posterity will look upon it, it shouid never be in the power of any goternor to become subject to will or error, if he would so do to hurt the kingdom. That policy was not made so much for a good king, but looking what might happen many ages after.
If you allow such, a prince power extrnordinary, and make him juige of the occusion; then in substance, though provision be made, yet after his declaration we mnst make further provision. Yet may some sny, here is n posse and esse; because he may, so he will. Truc, it is unmannerly to say so of any ordinary mun; but under favour, it is allowaile to say he may, if he will: then if we leave him that liberty, in such cases he hath no restraint, but his will. But it is said, the law will not presume any such thing. The law doth not presume a will, but the law looks on things that may be, as well as on things that will be. Truc, the law-boohs say, the king can do no wrong; which proves, that it is possible fur a governor in his inclinatiou to incline to wrong, and therffore the lav bath taken a care that he should do none; for he cannot make a disseism nor discontinuance. There may be an inclination to entry : bat the law, because he should do no wrong, hath made this act void: which is not a disability in the king, but a prerogntive, to make han come the searer to the divinity in the attribute.
I shall offer the judgments of several ages in England; they erer thought it a dangerous thing, when they thought any restraint fitting, to ellow any exception whatsoever, though cause for it, lest the party, that was meant to be restraineqd, shouid be judge, and then go out when he would. Thomas of Beckett, he would not swear to the laws of Hen, 2, unless he might put in this expression, 'Salyo honore Del.' The king never meant to violite any of these; bat if that had been allowed, the clergy had been judges of that, therefore thoy would
not be satisfied: at this day we have an experience of the opinion of lings themselves in this case.
I'shall proceed to the practice of our kings. In all acts of partiament, where they had ever n desire to declare the king linited or restrained, if they did admit of any exception, they would have it in words so punctuen, that they would not admit of any matter of evasion, for fear hereby his proceeding might be at lorge. In the Gradd Charter of king John, ' Nullum scutagiom imponatur,' there was n classe of exception; true, there was a reason to except how all (not as Ed. 1, would have done) saving the aid due and accustomed; bot the 'faire fitz chevalier, \&cc.' and so was Mag. Char. though not in the roll, so careful they sere to have no words that give any soch light.
I come to the statute of $25 \mathrm{Ed.1}$, against wid, saving the antient aid due und accustomed: no doubt but in these words there was no more saved than law must allow the king. and the parliament did so mean; yet when that same act came out, the subject was not sutisfied, and therefore the statute De Tallagio non Concedendo was made to take away the exception in that act. The statute 28 Ed .1 , afier the confirmation of two charters, nad divers additions, there comes at last a 'salvo ' jure corone.' Your Inrdships will find in history how all this was satisfied. And $29 \mathrm{Ed}$. 1, at a parlizment held at* Lincoln, the king made a confirmation nithout a salvo, and yet none will deny the right of the clown; the lords did intend to prescree that. Thus your lordships see the opinion of this hingdom, from time to time, how careful they ever were in all their acts, to leave any why whereby that which they diff intend for their good might be avoided. Now whether in this case there might not be an avoidance, I hunbly leave it to, your lordships' judyments. But before I go further, it may be demanded, how canne in those sarings into thuse acts, if the parhament did not like them, and if they were put -in here was a trust 1 shall give a double answer in the case; though a salro, yet it will differ from our cose : the king was not judge there, but, your lordships are judgea between the king and his people: but in this case the king is to be jodge of the necessity.
But to give you the true answer, the exception never came in*originally from both houses, but from the lords themselvel; this why seem strange. It was the difference of those thines and ours ip making acts of parliament; those were not times of granting ull, or denying all, bat to answer some as to some part, and sometimes nn exception. And this being read, the net drawn up upon the whole by the king's council; and this mischief was found out 5 Hep. 4, and from that time all petisions were wholly granted or denied. So your lordships see how these savings came in, net by the subjects, but by the penning of the acts by the king's coancit. The last example is in late fiomes in the late partiament, in the Petition
of Right now printed, which was long in debate in parliament against loans and billetting of soldiers. After the Petition liad passed the lower house, that those things were ngainst the law, there was a proposition in the upper house concerning the addition of a clause of saving. Upon the Journals it appears, that there were several conferences between both bouses, where the seasons are mentioned, and do appear. And in the sereral confereaces the comp mons did not yield, but the Petition-passed absolately; and the reason was, because to put in that Sxving was to endo the Petition.

To conclude this, to shew the experience of soch an exception, sine assensu, what it hath wrought in fumer ages, ns that of Normandy; thafgh foreign; yet to shew what such a thing. did nork there. It had the same privileges we clain, nad moch of their law came in here with William the Conqueror. Lewis the 11th taxed them high; they made complaint, he, on the complaint achnowiedgeth it, and would tat them no more but on grent occabions: what followed, those histories plainly declare.

Having, us I hope, taken of the bulk of that distinction, I shall further shew how it doth not stand with the practire of the cominon law. It is a fundaniental rule in our law, rather a miacluef thas an inconvenseucy: for when nothing can be so nbsolute in goverument, but that there may be one case or other wherein there is no provisiou made, all the care men take is to chuse the lenst. Nuw his rule is rather a uiscluef than an inconvenience. Now mischief is that which perhaps may fall out never; or if it doth fall-out, yet seldom; for if it were a thing that might commonly fall out, it were an inconvenience. On this fundamental rule, the law conceruing lands ond liberties in thos grounded. True, there might be a mischief for want of this power in a cave extraordinary; but the mischicf perhaps neter, or seldom falleth out. But to allow the other, would be an inconvenience daily. I desire your lordklips to cast your eye upion a lenrned writer, Conunes, fol. 10i, 131, 180, 181, where in the whole, putting them all together, speaking of the danger that might come to a state for want of power to raise supplies for revistance, giveth a commendation of the government of Ringluad: true, he doth go so far, saith be, "it is hard in a defensive war, that any preparatiou which must be great and long about, can be so acted, but that princes anay take a timely notice, to call together, and advise hy parliament. In the Iow-Couutries, where they have wars, though they bave in excise for ordinary, yet they do it not for extriordinary, withoat consent in parliament."
My lords, I po on: adenit an enemy ready to land, no possibility for a parliamıent ; see how the case will now stand. I shall leave it to your lordstips consideration, whether there be an absolute netessity, infra et estra, to command, and then to shew there is a command, and by what law ; and by that law that is mort stroug than the positive law of the kingdom;
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and doth work more in point of fear. I do pat this by way of admittance. In that case there goeth out a writ, a Mandatnus Rogantes; but it is in 'Articulis necessitatis et quatenus a mandamus rogantes,' not 'sub pana forisfact,' of all you can, but for your own preservation, and safety of the kingdom. These writs have gone forth in such times, when there hath been a near danger, and that hath served the tura; for that instincs of nature, that did make some part of the kingdom deare government for preservation, the same instinct of nature, doth infer to contribution for defence : nay, that is a stronger law than ours; for that law which ariseth from one's own breast, as it doth command, so it doth compel : there need no law without, when there is a judge witbin. Now in times of necessity, there is a law that doth counpel; nay, there is a atronger peaalty than our laws can imagine; for nur laws can make but $n$ penalty of all that you have; but how ? To the king. But when there is a danger from on encmy there is not only a danger of losing all that ouc hath, but of losmg lives und lands, and all that we bave; and all into the lunds of the enerny.

Put the case an enemy was landed, to shew what the powers are by our haws in that rase for defence; when there is parncular appearunce of instant and appatent dauger, in thnt case, particular property must yield much to necessity. Thene cabes our boohs warrant, as building of bulwarks on another man's ground, and borning corn. In 1588, there wasan actual danger, and then it was just to take curn or grass, or any thing to raise supplies. But where do any of nur books say, that upon fear of danger, though in the hing's cave, a mant can, without leave, make a bulwark in mother man's Jand ? I do not read. As your lordships may observe in this case, of apparent danger, the power of the kiag; olberve withal the power of the subject, and ont of what principle this doth grow; whether out of a form of law, or out of necessity. Ia these cases of instant danger, and nctual iuvasion, it is not only in the power of the king, bat a subject may do as inuch in divers cases.- For if there be an actual war, the sulject may, without any direction, do any act upon any man's land, and invade any property towarde defence: it is the law of necessity that doth it. Nay, in that case, the subject may prejudice the king hinself in print of property. If an enemy be landed, and a subject take awny the horses of the king, he may justify it iu any action; as in case of a custle or city, if they can justify there was a necessity, they may puill down the walls or blow up the city. In this, case there is no manner of mischicf if suljects' goods be taken by the king, or any mau; nud in that instant necessity be imployed to the public good. Lepis timfor will not serve; for then a man cainot enter firr foar of foree, but for such a fear as ariseth from an actual and apparent danger; then there can be no liss to the subject in that case. Sccundly, on the other side

I shall sbew, what goods were taken for pollic use, were taken by way of loan, and satisfaction was made for then.

## The Second Day's Argument of Mr. HOLBORNE.

May it plense your lordships; To remember the question whereupon I left of my argument the other day, whether the king of England can charge the subjects for finding of shyps at their own costs, only upon the king's judgment of an instant danger. First, whether for defence of merchants agriost pirates. Secondly, for ordmary defence of the sea : and, thirdly, for defence extruordinary against an cnemy, only out of the hiug's apprebension of an instant danger, which cannot, in his judgment, expect a supply elsewhere.
Not to repent, yet in a word or two, I shall open my prucceding on this question; and the rather, for that I find souno misappreheusion, as if 1 had granted more than I meant, which is fit to clear.
The sum of all was but this, that the king could not charge the subject in any of these cascs. The rensons I urged were but shortly this: that the subjects of England having an absolute property in their groods abd entates, and the policy of parliamentany advice boing to prevent charge mily, then on no nccasion one might err ly apaknest, by evasion or will: that therefore the king rould not claarge in any of these cases, witheut parlanent; for that so he might charge, if he would, at on occasion so on no ocension, as to 71. so to 17l. That If this held in ordinary chargets, you may not exert extraordinary occasions, though instant It the hing's opinion ; for so a king intening to do nothang by his policy without parljamentary assistance, he may, it he woold so declare, charge at pleagure, on no necessary orcasion, or beyond all proportion. This distinction I endeavnured to take off, shewing it did destroy the end of the policy. -
That there was no necessiry of such a distinction here, I shewed. There was one thing which I forgot, for destroying of the distinction frum 'necessity ${ }_{s}$ and leaving the king judge of the necessity; that in judgment so to do 1 , is all one as to leave it to him arbitrarily, if he will, which is that only which was intended to be prevented; if he will, was part of the Charge, if not the principal, in the lower house of parliament; against the Divine for his Sermon. I have seen the cliarge for holding the king had a power ip case of necessity, and leaving the kiag judge, and so at liberty and pleasure if he will. This I do hut touch here; for I must make use of it in the main; and under favour shall make the case somewhat like.

For the other two matters, that when danger is apparent, there was no need of positive laws, I urged it thus; not admitting any thing, wherein I desire not to be mistaken, . Admit no writ of positive command, yet the subject will be then under a atronger law, which as it doth command, 50 it doth compel, that is the livi of
necessity, which is the strongest of all laws; with which the judgment carrieth an execution, and that this law commandech under a greater penalty: for though not under pain of forfeiture to the king, which as to the cause of forfeiture, is hut ad terrorem; yet under the true pain of forferture of all to the enemy, from whom we must look for no mercy.-Lastly that of an actual invalion, and necessity withal, that not by any positice law of the kingdom, but of the general law of nceessity, which is above all lans, for the public good private good doth yicld on all parts. Of thebe too last I have but touched liere, to shew what I mcan; I shall speak futher of them both townrds my conclusion, in my answers to Mr. Solicitol's objections.

I shall now proceed to make good out of our books of law, that the law doth not leave it in the power of the king (in respect of such a king ns possibly may be) to lay uny charge upon the subject but only in such eases where the law hath mado such provision, thire if he would lie caunot miscarry.

In thus place, because it is taken for a maxim, that the king can do no wroug; and therefire the law doth repose this trust in him, of charging without nny danger at all: I shall shew, that the same lav doth take motice how, and in what cars the king eap, as much as in him lieth, do namss: and where the law is sparing to lease the king nay poyer to lay a clarge on the subject, even in small things, when the quantum rests in his judgment. It is true, the law doth allow the king to command payment of monies in sque cases; yet where the quantum or occasion is sulject to a trial; the giound of all this in, that the law sees the ling may incline to mistake, though as a king he cau do no wiong.

This may scem a nicety, hut under favour it is clear. This resteth in the distinction of a double capacity of of king, ns a natural man; and to say in, this respect he canuot err, is strange! human nature is not capable of that prerogative at the best; and they are sulject to hatural infirmities of the body, and most die: even so of the understanding and will. And so you see the law must take notice of possible mistake in government; and this possibility in another is no injury to a good prince, but sets off his merits with a greater lustre. This is not only true for amaller things, but even in the greatestr flow many acts of parliament have we in print (of, wfich your lord. ships are judges) declhring the bing's mistakes in the acts theinselves by way of complaint, and providing remedy for the future, yea, in their, own times. To instance, in oue long since, cast your eyes upou the begiuning of the acts of parliament of Edward Sd's time, where we fiud a statute for the governuent of the realin.

As the law saith, he may incline to mistake in his natural, so it hath taken care; that in his politic capacity he shall not. And therefore, lest possible errors of the natural body should
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reflect on the body politic, the law hath-provided ancient means to prevent it, which was a writ of ad quod damnum. Na. Br. saith, that if any dawage be to the king's subjeots, the patent is in law naught: As if the kiog gronts a fair, and there is an ad quod domintom bronght, to euquire what fairs were hept hy it; if found a damage, the patent is soid: The books are full of such cases. It is true, that in some casis, the books do ullow the hing to lay a charge upon the suliject, yet not in every cose for public good; but ouly in soune few, which indeed have bein antient, and indecd of the very essence of necessary trafic and intercourse, between one part and nuother of the kingiom; us murage, to hecy, the commod,tits sold the saber; toll, for a cair or marhit, trwards the maintaining of it: pontage mal pareafe, for the bettering of passages. Aud in all there cases the hing may giant a sum of money to .te paid: yet as it is in this common good, sonchoJy must lave the power to grant, and that cau be none but the hing. So if the hing should grant on no occasion, or howsoever, which is enough for me, n sum ton great, greater than the benefit the suliject shall recene, it is soid in law. If the sulject hath thot a quid pro quo, then no charge; 5 Report: and in this case there is $n$ juighe of the justness of the propertion, hesudes the hing: And this i- when a patent cometh to be quartioned, if the toll be land too high, then the snme patent is nought, Thas then you see how far it is that the law doth agree, that the king shall lay charges upon the subject, only out of common and ordmary neccssity, there muse the somebody to have poner. But then there is a forther rewedy of a mistahe.
Here, before I Icave this, I will make a double use thereof. First to shew, that if the law ioth not peranit the king any absolate power in this trifle, shall the king do it in so great a matter where you slall have no judge but himself of the occasion and proportion?I next observe, where the law pernits the king to charge in uny case arbitrarily, it is but whera this power doth arise by original'contract, and precedent consideration and agreement for land; and then not quatenus as a subject, but quatcnus as a tenant, only as 'ratione tenuras, in respect of the paiticular signory and dependanice, not in respect of the general siguory of the kingdom.

My lorde, it is true, at the common law the king had a power and liberty to charge, till he was restrained by statute, which was aids 'pur ( faire fitz cheralier, pur file marrier, pur ran'some,' and taxing of autient boroughs: and these aids, to0, were in respect of particular signory, 'quatenus tenentes.'

The next thing that I observe is, that the policy of the kingdoun so litile delights in these incertainties, though it oriseth of contract and considerntion that in case of theso aids, the law would not allow that inconvenience of leaving them to an arbitrary charge, but in some case settled a propotion. It is true, in
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case of ransom, because no man can tell the certainty of that, it is left at large.-This I further observe, by the common law, where the charge is in respect of the tenency; yet if the charge comes often, ns the king pleaseth, there the law did not leave it to the king's judgnent; as in escuage, which is a profit arisug to the king in sespect of the sugnory. Though the law, allowed the sald aids, 'pur taire fitz chevalier,' and 'pur file marrier,' because they could happen but once; but escuage thut might happen offent, the law would not allow that to be uncertnin; it must be assessed in parliament, as in the clarter of king John, which was always held to be no more than the cominon law.

Miy lords, upon the whole, I desire your bordships to consider how uawilling the law is to leave the ling a liberty to charge, even in the hing's case, at common law ; and how restrained by nct of pallainent, where the common lave befine didgre hberty. Neat olserse that the In", in none of the said cases, nor 1 helieve in any other, doth pesmat a power to lay an immediate charge upon the subject, but only in laying a charge to be paid in respect of the benefie which he hath recessed, which in fit to pay, and none are compelled to reaire it or pay it; for af he wall not have the beneht, he may refuse to pay it even in cases between king and sulyect. In the case of toll, prontage and paveare, it is mot lad so on the sutject, that he shaill pay it whe'her he will or no; but as there in a benefit by the pontage, \&cc. which cannot be maiutained nothout chatge, it is therefore just that those that hate the benefit should bear the chorge. Lastly, I shall offier, that even in cases where the king doth lay a charge gualenus rer, it is not so left unto him, either for the occasion or proportion, as that if he will lay never so much, he may; fir if it be uorensonalle, the law doth make it void, as in case of toll, if unreasonable.

My infercuce is this: of the law be thas careful in small things, as penny matuers, whether or no the law will make no provision m the main, but leave the subject to the absolute liberty of the king, to charge the suliject when he will say the hingdom is in danger, und where there is no judge at all? I will conclude with book-cases, ill the point, that the law doth not lenve a power in the king to charge, though it be iu the king's judgment? pro bono publico; as in the case of granting an office. The ling cannot at this day regularly create an office in itself with a fee, but in law it is void; though the office in itself hath a shew, nay, it may be pro bono publico. P. 11 Hen. 4, 15, 16 , and in 14. 3 grant of an ottice of measurage with a fee yoid; and that sery thing, iS IJen. 4 , wes complained of in parliament, that it was against the law, because it was in charge of the people; to which the king answers, let the laws and starates be performed. In the Roll, amongst the Adjudicatces, the reason is expreseef, 'quif sonat In prajudicium.pqpuli.' 16 Ric. s, the king grants to one a rate upon every barge that passed the bridge, in consideration that the pa-
tentee had taken upon hin the scouring of the river, in that case the patent was repealed. So in the case of rights to be kept for the benefit of seafariug-nien, this was'in charge of the people. This patent was complained of, and your lordships know the order upon it. I omit many cases, and conclude with that of Fortescue do Legibus Anglix, cap. 25, speaking of and commending the policy of the government of Eng: land, he prefers $1 t$ before that of France; and shews the good fruits and effects of it; and lays down this for one, That the king cannot charge without consent in parlianent. And he was a man allowed for extraoidmary judgment, who sheweth instactions for a primee for future government, beng trusted more with the government of the prince than any othier. I conclude these cases with this observition.-This denyuge of poner of laying clarge on the subject, is not ouly in the cast where the bing woold rase benefit to humedf, which a man may call - tal'lagium vel awvham;' but at cases of charge whit h lie on the sulyect, though not for the hing's own benefit, though also it be in cases pro bono publico, as in the cases put beture.
I shalt now conse from the books, by which I have shewed what is the common law, that by these grounds the hiag camaot charge the subject. I shall now offer the conaderation of some thungs, whach are acts of pashament, or hase the foice of nets of parinament.

1 shall legin with that of Willam 1, for a Conqueror I shall not call him, for that name came in ahout F.d. 3, bis tutie; for there bemg an Edward before, because they could unt tell how to give him a distunction fiom the Confessor, they called him Fduard 3, nfter the Conquest, by direction of sir lloger Owen, the great ant1quary. That which I shall uige is, that which lie granted annn 1, of his reign, that all freemen should boldetheir lands ' $a$ b omni mjusta exactione seu tallagio,' nothing to be demand ed but that which was by tenure, as in Badmerus by Selden. Now whether or no thas tee an act of parliament, I shall aot dispute; yet in those times whien a thing was granted between the kmg and the subject, uhough it had not all the fornialities that now it hath, yet it was bind-ing: however, this is called the Conqueror's laws, and I take it for a law.
Then it resteth to exaunine tho words, whether the words will serve the purpose, to ciear the subject in point of sess. It is said, they should bé free 'ab omni injusta exactione seu ' tallagio, ita quod, \&c.'. By this all charges, but such as were by tenure, are called exactions. The rule is, ' ubil lex non distinguit nec pos debemus.' 'This is a grant, if not of right, yet of grace, and must be taken largely, 'fa'vores amplificandi:' The aubject could not hnve denanded of him, eapecially that of 40 s ., if it had not been the law of the land before.
I shall humbly leaye it, whether this be not the law by which Edward the Confessor laid down the Danegelt ; for the Danegelt was not, only against pirates and sea-robhers, (they were indeed pirates and strong at seas) but alioo agyinst
all other enemies. These called the king of Man, Archipirata, that is, a powerful king at sen ; and that these pirates were only strange enemies ; and it was toraisemen, not obviare erup'tioni,' but 'irruptioni,' not so much to kerp them within their own kingdom, as to keep them from falling on the land. And by the history of thuse tunes when this was raised, it was by reason of the Danes landing in Northumberland and Essex; and so upon that irruption of the Danes, Danegelt was raised. It is called by Caunden, in his Brit' ' irruptione - hostivm;' and Lambert, in his Saxon laves: but let it he 'irruptione,' or 'eruptione,' ur be it Danegelt, to keep them from coming out, or landing here, both of them were for the public service. When this had been so mucl complained of in Edward the Confessor's time, it is clear he damned it. And Ingulphas is an author without exception, and Tilburiensis not to be compared to him. Ingulphus was a great conrtier and favourite of William the Conqueror's ; and to think that he had not a better hnowledge of what the Confessor did than Tilburiensis, who wrote many score years after, is much. And it is strange that Insulphus, who was so much bound to the Confessor, should carry a law down to Edwaid, so much to the prejudice of the Confessor if he had not been sure of it. Tilburiensis was urged, as if he spake, that it hisd been paid to the Conqueror; he wrote in Henry 2's tume. And, my lords, observe that he was an officer in the Exchequer, and for the rules of the exchequer he teacherh them well; but for bistory agunst Ingulphus I leave him.
If this were laid down by Edward the Confessor, then I conceive, this law of William 1, was, but the law of Edward the Confessor ; and there was no ground for hum to require a law to lay any charge but what was before. Tilburieush) makes this good, for he himself saith, that the Conquerof laid it down, and took it up ngnin. If it were laid down, I would know by what law or panticular direction it was laid down, it not by this; for nothing in all the laws of the Conlessor can cause to lay it down lut this ; and thus far he standefh with Ingulphus.
I shall further shew these aids rad taillages were meant here. It is a clear ground, that, ' exceptuo format regulnm in nou exceptis,' an exception ofien doth enlarge the meaning of the word beyoidd fhe ordingry sense. As if I do grant to J. S. all my trées, here" my appleIrees pass'not; but if 1 graht all my trecs except my pear-trees, there my apple-tres do puss, because this sheweth that meant nill my frut-trees. In the word trees I apply it, that here is a discharge of all taxes; except by tenure. Now I shall shew that teaures were for defence and service of the kingdom in the proper place, when I shall shew what provision and means the law hath allowed the king for detence.
My last observation is this, This was not a cbarter between the king and his tenants, but hetwixt the king aud kiagdon ; and so some-
thing must be laid down that was due to the king. There are two kinds of aids, one frona tenants, the other from the commons; one was ly comuand from the ling without any more, the other by act of parliament.

But here Mr. Solicitor hath taken that grounded argument, of which I have found a contray sense, that is, 'Sumus fratres conju' rati ad reg' defendend',' wherefore these aids not possibly meant here; but this is contradictory. By inference to overthrow a thing express is against the law. The nords are express, that there shall be no taillage; then by an inference to say, that the defence of the kingdoin is not meant, is hard. True, all by their allegiance are bound to defend the kingdbm, all we to fight for it. Acts of parliament tells us, where and how we do it, and when; but that we must give aid, is another thing.
It is one thang to supply with the body, another thug to gre or pay inoney; and if there be any invasion, ' pru posse suin,' evety man is bound to defence; but whether for every defence of the kuggom we must give an nid, is another thang. Acts of paritament will be the best expositors of things so long since; for as custont and use will make n rommon law, so likewise it will declare an antient act of parlin. ment.
Now I shall come to that of ling John, 'quod nullum scatagiam vel auxiliun ponatur.' The credit of this statute 1 shall first clear. It is not only in Matth. Puris ocr batim, who wrote in Hen. S's time; but the origiual was shewed under seal the last parlament by Mr. Selden, and these very words were read, ' nullum scu' tagiom, \&c.' And, my lords, though this be no where on the soll, yet that no ways lessens the authority of it It is no part of the essence of a statute to be found on ecord; if all should be burned by mischance, what would becom e * of the laws? Though the rolls are all burnt, yet the judges know what are nets, and what not, though they have nothang to make it good by, bnt their own manuscripis or printed books, or traditions. A man cannot plead against an act of parliament, nul' tiel record; and that is the Jadgment in the Ease, in 8ih Report, Prince's Case. The duchy of Cornwall stands supported by an nct of parliament, not upou record. That which I sball shew to make this an act of parhament, ejpeass out of the words: there are two things, Scutaguom and Auxilium; nad Scutagium riseth from the tenants, and Auxilium from the subject. To shew that Auviliam is hid down by this, it appearr, that he could not assess scutage without parhanent. I hope to shew that scutage was for, the defence of the kingdom, and is such a provisiou, that no king hath a better, and such an one as nill raise in England nbove 40,000 men.-Ay, but saith Mr. Solicitor, not nesessed but by porliement, that is, not meant to bind the fing, but the subject; the king can do no wrong. Doth any man think that the commons did come to the king to bind thewselves, and leave lie ling at liberty ?

I come now to argue from the exceptions, ' Exceptio format requlam in non exceptis.' This exception shews the latitude of the words to be a discharge of all aids, that there had not been care had of the particular aid, ' quatenus ' a tenentibus;' they were afiaid, even those were swallowed up. True, I do not conceive that was a thing of necessity; but as the king may have it in if he would, so the sulject had no reason to deny it him, for it was but just, and was never meant to be taken from litin. Nay, the lords themselves inad reason to take care themselves, that this was not discluarged; for in all these cases the bing hath no more than a common person, for he 'hath his aid 'pur file ' marrier,' and 'pur faire raisom,' and that appenreth, $21 \mathrm{Ed}$. . A release from a lord unto has tenunt: so that there was reason that the king and lords should have care of this ; yet they where afraid those words would be so strong against public auls, that they would take away privnte adds.

Last reasnn that this Ausilium must be sadd public; look in all the king's desires, when they did desire aids, still therr introduction is for the defence of the kingdom; which is a cause of calling the parlisment; which appeareth by specches there on record. Nothing can be intended of these private aide for bmasclf. I have done wth the charter of hing John.

I next come unto Mag. Char. 9 Hen. 3. For Magna Cliarta, I humbly conceive that this charter, at the first, when it "as granted, was no more than verbat im the charter of Ling John, and uriginally had in it this sery chuse of NulIum Scutugism: my reason forit is this.- First, upon nil histories, that after hing John bad grunted a clarter, the pope would have docharged him of it, as far as in hum lay, bot that still the lords nad commons made cldin. He died, Hen. 3, cometh in. A difference ariseth between the hing and the French. Then it was proposel, that this charter should be confirmed; histories sny, that at his coronation lie gave his oath to confiem the charter of king Joha. Then in the 9th year of his reign, the lords demanded it; he was unaillang, because it was gotten per duresse: But the haug said, we are anorn to do it, and therefore must confires it. And in Matt. Paris it is 5 uid expressly, that the lords did call for the charter of king John, and there it was read and coutirmed rerbatim. And Matt. Papis lived in the king's court, and was adeo faniliaris, that it is said, he eat with him at his table; and at that time be wrote this bonk, and sure he durst not have written it, bad it uot been true; but we find it not now upan record : how this might possibly, slip, I aball tell yon.
First, we have no original corolment of Mag' Char', no Mag' Char' but that of king John's. If is be true, which hatorics say, that Hen. S, did rovoke bine charter, it is possible those Rolls might periah in that time: the autbority of the king at Oxford did enforce them to bring in their records; doubtless they would not leave the earolments. The uest atep to look for it is

28 Ed. 1, confirmed there, and is exemplified; the original unay be lost in so long a time: what became of all Parliament Rolls, till 4 Ed. 3 ? All perished by fire or some other mischance ; things were aftei warls put together, and upon the roll. This was not the original eurolment of 8 Hen. 1, and written in the rull where acts of later time are written, and with the same hand. If it were once in king John's time, it must be left nat somewhere. Observe this one thing noore, that is, as this of Scutagium and Auxilium doth concern the subjects in their lands, thero is a clause also that concerneth the subjects in their pérsous, 'Nullus hiber hotio ' imprisunetur,' that might be taken for ordinary imprisoninent ${ }_{j}$ then there cometh a provision for the hing that he should not do it, which is ' non super eum mittimus,' such words as that á man knoweth not what to make of them: but in the charter of king John, it is ' nec eum in carcerein mittimus.' In this great thing we seé the mistake, aud how the other happened, I cannot tell.

I now come to Ed. 1.'s time, wherein I linpe to make good those acts of parliament that we have vourhed; and bere lieth a manin tudeavour. The first was of 25 Ed .1 , which is not denied to be an act, nor cmunot. The other iv De Tallagio non Concedendo, which is so fall, that it caanot be evaded, and therefore is denied to be nn act. First, for the act of 25 Ed. 1, which is against aids and tallages nut to be taten without consent of the hingdon, I humbly couceive, that by these words Aids and TailLages used in former acts, that these we:e meant of things for a public defence of the kitgdom, complamed of, and n\%. denied. I shadl bring home the roll of the 45 Ed .1 , that the hing doth not promise to pay them 'pur resonn,' to have words for their money; but thast they must hare a reusonable satisfaction: I will shew that was the sense guen upon the record.
First, for the practice of the times, that there were ship-writs went out, these wits sent forth in a more terrible term than any I ever s.iv, 'sub porna forisfacture vita et membror', 21 Ed. 1, was the writ. I an sure that such n writ, by the cominon law, would not have been mentioned, that if they did it mit, the king should lang them. This writ wns the grievance upon the subject, and this act relers to that. True, there were otber pievances, 4to. and 5to..but this was one; and that these aids, which were thensfor the defence of the kinglom, were included within the re:t, appears. The king, in reading the articles, spenheth, that what was done was for'dcfence; though true he had wars in Poictou, and in other places beyoud the scas, yet as true that it way $n$ war to be kept from hence by defence. That part was for defence cannot be deuied; and yct no distinction to be made between a foreign war and defence, and both.equally ágrievance to the cummions.
After this act of 95 Ed .1 , there cometh out a commiscion, and this was in pursuance of the promise that the king did make at his going
into Flanders, and that was to inquise of those grievances in the articles, apd among the rest there was 'de lanis et coriis' tuken away 'pro defensione maris;' and to that the Ling saith there, 'pay pour reason.' There hath an enswer been given unto this, and much stood upon, that the kjigg should say upon this commission to inquire of grievance 'pro costod' ' maris,' if it were so 'if ferra taunt ils teneront 'appayer pur reason.' [Veu le Parol del Record.] That this should be no more, than that the king would give a reason why he did it, I question. As if he should send forth commissions, and atterwards dispute it, or if he did do it, whether lawful or not, that is not the way of sonding out commissions. Suppose that the king should say be would give thenn a reason for it, this commission did go forth to enquire of those grievances. And if the king had not said he would have given any satisfaction, yet it is enough that it is inquired of as n grievance. It is a wrong upon the subject, prifices may lay taxes, yet the subject doth not call for satisfaction. A princely word that it should be doue. -But when the king doth say 'pais pur rea' son,' to think, that that is no more than that he would give some reason for it, is a very strange inference. In a bargain they uso to say, you shall hold yoursclf content with renson, you shall not have your own demand, but he is satisfied one wny or others so bere.
To begin with a record. 21 Ed. 1, Parliament Book. $\Lambda$ petition of the commons, and they did desire restorntion of all their monies. ${ }_{2} 5$ Ed. 1 , thero wcre two 'de lanis et victualibus' within that commissiong so the monies and the things taken were inquired upon by that commission, 26 Ed .1 , were for defence, and there 'Ordinatum est per concilium guod 'rex satisfaciet eis quam citius poterit.' Upon this petition they desired satisfaction. For goods taken upon the aforesaid commission, 26 ordered by parliament, that the king should satisfy them so soon ns he can, so that they should hold themselves enntent, 'Ita quod se 'contentos haberent.' So that you see, not satisfaction by reason, to justify them, but the king should satisfy them one way or other. It is that they should have something for it, and not that they should have reason shewn thern why they should have nothing.

But I rest not here, there is one parliamentroll remaining before' 4 Ed. 8 , and that was 8 Ed. 2. 'Pro priore eft fratribus Sti Johannis. 'Jerusalein.' It is there set forth, that Ed. 1, did command his treasurer and barons of his exchequer, to make satisfaction for wages taken in Scrutinio to the clergy and laity, ' veluti pro ' lanis et coriis;' nod that satisfaction should b3 part by money and part by releasing of debts; so as thus the king bad no meaning, 26 Ed. 1, to pay back money presently, but' would give them satisfaction one way or other, by payment of debts, or releasing of debts, as, whs explained by that of Ed. 2. Another record P. 27. Ed. 2, Rot. 36. Satisfaction was there given for an eighth and a fith.

Those things which were taken before 25 Ed . 1, complained off and that confessed by Mr. Solicitor; so as I conceive, though it had been enough that there had been an inquiry of these things as upon a complaint, though tbere had been no more answer. If any answef nake it better, it is no answer to say, that they should have satisfaction by words, but either in money or releasing debts; if nque at all, confession had been enough.

I shall now come to talk of Mr. Solicitor's exceptions to the 25 Ed. 1, where be endeavoured to shev that this money for shipping could not be intended within the body of the act; and if it was, yet it was excepted in the saving of the act.

The objection stands thus. No aids were charged but such as were granted, and we do not shew that these were granted; and there is a word beyoud that, (prizes) and how far that extends, I leave to your lordships judgments. But if in the body of the act, yet excepted in the saving all antient aids due and accustomed; for the saving such an aid due and accustomed surcly was meant there.-In this answer lieth this question, whether these were the antient aids due, or not, by the common law ? this will stand or fall on the body of the argument. I shall tell you what these aids were, and they cannot be these; there were other aids mentioned in the charter of king Jolin, as 'par ' faire fitz chevalier,' \&ec. That which takes off all, is, If these aids were part of the grievance, though for the defence, they cannot be meant in the saving, for that destroys the purpose of the act. And for that saving, it never came in hy the commons, nor the lords; but the form being so, to grant in part, and as the king would grant it so they must take it: Mistories do say they did not like it, and so they desired an absolute act. It was said, that aids and defences were meant of foreign ones. If the king and council were so wary as to put in such a saving as before was not in the act, it shews whet care they had to have that they could not have. If by the laws they might have them for foreign defence and not at home; they that put in the saving would have put in a distinction. I shall lenve the consideration of this act to your lordships, how far it shall extend to aids for the defence of the kingdom in that case.
I shall go on, aud conclude with the statute De Tallagio non Concedendo: That act of the 25 Ed. 1, was indeed so well penned, that it gave Mr. Solicitor a very probable colour to make those plausible unswers. The lords did desire a better act, not with these words, 'No such Aids;' for 'such' is a relative word, and those are dangerous words.
Next, if no more be mennt by the saving than 'pur faire fitz chevalier,' \&cc, and yet to have left these in the genernl, and not in the particular, hod left a way open to question what they had been. Aud in Welsingham it oppears the lords were not contented with it, though it was signed and had passed the great seal. It is true, that at this time a pardon tid
pass to those lords; the words are so strong, chat this was denied to be an act: and much said, and very colourable too, to that parpose. It is true; that this act is no where on record, that we find; but for that an answer hath been given before.-It is said, that is no act, but only penned as a charter; that exception was once made by the king's council on another occasion. Acts of parliament were then penned so; May. Charta, and Charta de Foresta, are but in form of Charters.-Yea, but we cannot tell when it was. How many acts of parliament are there which we know not when they were? Historians best tell that. It is hard to -find it when the records are lost But this will appear to be in the time of Ed . 1. There is the pardon to those earls in $25 \mathrm{Ed}$. We know that the rolls of those tincs miscar ried and were lost, and sure it must be after the statute of 25 Ed., 1 .

Hut then there is an exception from the diversity of the penning; sometimes 'nullum 'tallagiun ponetur,' sometimes 'ponatur.' We know, upon the entering of the rolls, there have heen divers mistakes in the entoring of ' pona'tur;' if it be with a dash, it may easily be mistaken, and so only vilium scriptaris, and nothing else.

Then let us enquire what it was if it be no act. It is said it is no nct, but an extract out of 25 Ed .1 , and that he urged several wnys upou several occasions. By the penning of it, it doth appear, that he that wrote it was a scholar, and not mistaken, to make $n$ thing alsolute that was relative ; for nullum to make it tale, and to make that without a saving fhat had a saving, is a strange kind of saving. Yea, this cannot be an act; for at that time there was a pardon granted to several great lords.-If that be true, which history saith, when this act was published, the lords were not satisfied with it; and these were the lords to whom the pardon was granted that were not satisfied. And to make their pardon the stronger, they did weave it into the very body of the act: and for Walsingham, he is of great credit among the historians. They say further, that this is no act, for this takes away those three nids, ' pur faire fitz ' chevalier, file marrier,' and 'pur corpus redi' mend': . This is nót so, acts of parliament speak of it, and practice speaks of it, and therefore nolaw; and practice of ship writs ever since, and for defence of the kingdom. For the aids, that is a good act; yet those were not intended nor included within that act, and therefore that' practice is not contrary, because it is not within the words of the act, nor in the meaning of the nct.

Por the aids therein questioned, the question was between the king and the commons, and not between the king and tenants; then that being the question, there must be a considerntion according to the occasion end the doubt made. 'But to take thus ' nulludn auxilium po' mamus,' these are not sids put on the tenants, but fruits of a signory, as the duty riseth not foper the king' commaud, but frow the laws,
and so not within these words ' nullum auxilium 'ponamus.' And so all the practice ever since will well stand with this act.

And to say shipping is not meant, because of the practice since, is nothing. Let ne establish once the laws, and no matter for the practice. Ifthe laws be once settled, we must reduce precedents to laws, and not lan5 to precedents.And for the practice yet, still the suhject make: a continual claim against them.

My Lords, to prove this is an nct, Walsingham entered it in his time, who did not write sery long after it. Though it hath been said that he was a monk; and what he wrote he took up in the street and market place; yet I will not think so of Walsingham, who was ever beld an historian of very great credit. And no historian whatsoever durst set down any thing for an act of parlinment, if he had not a sure warrant for it. It had been little less than forgery.

In the next place, it hath been said, histories are no good authoritics in law. True, they shail not tell me what the law is, yet they, are good to tell us of res gesta, whether or no there hath been such things done. IIe tells us, not that this was for shipping, or not for shipping, but tells us there was such a thing. I have searched after this act, and I have found in an antient manuscript in Hen. 4.'b time where it is, and it goes there under the name of Statutum deç Tallagio uon Concedendo; and I find it mentioned no where, but still find it mentioned as a statute. I have an abridgement of Ifen. 8.'s time, nat there it is put in us a statute. I will not urge positively, but probably, and that an act in Hen, 4.'s time. 13 IIen. 4, a complaint of laying taxes on the subject; the answer is, "Iet the laws and statutes 'beobserved:' this is that positive act that doth reach it inore thon any other.
But the main answer that I rely upon, is, that if they deny the tryth of an listorinn for res geste as this. If from time to time it hath been conceived an act, what more stroug? what makes our common law, bot general opiuions and allowances? And should we doubt of many things, whereof we fiad the acts of parlianent themselves, I am afraid we should shahe many things done by the common law. That which I rely upon is, the judgment of the late parliament, to which your lordstips will give all reverence. This, my lords, did not pass sub silentio, but was made a question, and something proposed on the king's part, whether au act or noi? in the conclusion it came into the Petition of Right. The very statute mentioned in that Petition was this, De Tellagio non Concedendo, made in Ed. 1As time. How far this question passed at the committee, it is better known to your lordships, and is the thing whereon it is built. If this had nót been an uct, it had been dangerousco bave put it into the upper house; but it being there read, your lordships know what was done upon it.
The Petition being thus debated in both houses, I shall leave it to your lordships consideration, how far you will make this question to
go in this case. And his majesty did not deny the same, but both king and council agreed it is a law.

## The Third Day's Argumemt of Mr. HOLBORNE.

My Lords; As the other day, so now again with your lordships' favour, I shall be bold to sum up my last day's argunent in a very few words; and by the way clear my meaning. wherein I was any whit obscure, as also add where I was defective, yet briefly in bnth.

My lords, the general question the other day, being enncerning the king's power to lay n charge of money upon the solject; and my general ground ugaimst it be ing but this, that though the laws did intrust the king in many great powers in government, and with the whole government, according to the laws; that yet by doing of acts, which clarge ur prejudice the sutiject in his estate, the law did see that it was possible that kings, as men, joight err, and therrfure did wike provision, that their acts, it mganst law, should be void, as in case of a disscisia or discontinuance, or where they were 10 past grants, that there should go hefore an ad gund daunninn; and also, that if they were pased, and were to the prejudice of another, that regulanly in that case, the law did make them naught, and that they were to be repeateal
And I shewed how this did hold, especiall $;$ in layng charges upon the subject. I thewed that ibe law was not willing to lonve the king power to charge in any case, notwithstanding where the ocension might be common, and did require, for the maint-nance of traffick and commerce between them, so to hold them still os withuy oue body. The law must leave in somelmoly a pawer to chage, which would be left in none but the king us supmeme goveruor. The cases for this were the monies to be paid for tuurage, pontage, paileage, ferriage, and the like. That in those cases, though the king was trusted with a power on lay a charge ; yet the law did nat leave the charge arbitrarily in the king's breast, so, but that if it were unreasonable, the grant was naught, and the proportion was to receive trial by another, upon whose judgment it must stand or fall, which were pither the judzes or a jury ; like to that case which I might have put, but diri not, of a fine uncertain upon a copyhold. I nlso shewed. that in some cases the law did dillow to demand arbitrarily upoin some subjects, suuns of money, yet that was not upon the subjects, quatenus subjects; but upon sope subjects, quatenus tenentes, which did rise upon the jus, rentulare, as to marry bis daughter, to make' his son a knight, or for ransom, or apon those who were little better than villains, the king's burgesses, whom the law did not so much regard; yet the policy of the kingdom, in those cases, did afterwards restrain the king to a certainty, by act of parliament.

Afterwarde I went to the cases which were in poinc, that tho.king could not lay a charge
upon the subject, though for a public good; and instanced in the case of the grant of the office of measurage, with a fee, adjudged void, ' quia sonat in oppressione populi:' 22 Ed .3 , Pat. s1. The king granted to one Pawley, an office of alneage of wortted; and because in clarge and barden of the people, and a new grant, adjudged void, and was repealed.
I bekn with that of 4 Will. 1, which I now find more clearly to be an act of parlisment, out of an anclent manuscript of the charch of Litchfield, mentioned to this parpose in Eadmerus. Here I endeavoured to answer to $\mathbf{M r}$. Solicitor, wien he said, that there was another lavv of the Conqueror's, which explained this; and shewed, that the charges for the pablic defench were not meaut, because it is said in another place of the same law, 'quod omines sunt 'fratres conjarati ad regnum defendendam.' To this my answer is, that they are so for their bodies, 'iratres coujurati,' to serve, hat not to be charged. Yet I must confess it is 'pro vi'ribus et facultatibus' to defend the realus; where 'pro facultatibas' may seem to imply, that they were boond to be subject to charges, 'secundum facultates.' Under favour, 'pro ' viribus et faceltatibus,' are but words of hke nature; viribus, that is facultates, natural powers, not substance; for it is not only that they should be 'Yratres conjurati ad regnum' 'defendend.' but also 'ad pacem dignitatem ' coronæ, \&cc. et ad judicium reg' et jostitiam 'fuciend.'
I went next to the charter of king John, which I observe to be but common law; it is inrolled, remaineth under seal, and is recited rerbatim in Matth. Paris.
I went next to the acts of parliament, 25 Ed. 1, against aids and taillages ; there I laboured to shew, that the act was made against nids and taillages, though for the public defence ; and that was out of the Articles, whereupon the statute was made, and upon the king's answer to the Articles: next out of the commons, which was after the statute, to enquire of the grievance mentioned in the articles, to the end there might be satisfaction, which was promised upon the Articles; where, in the commons, the whole inquiry was de gravaminibus.-It is enough for me that this commission was grounded upon the former articles, and that here this very thung, 'pro defenssone reg', was 'inter graya' mina.' It had been strange, when the king bad confessed upon the articles, that te mould fot legally justify them, and upon the cominission, in pursuance of the articles, bad called them Gravamina, and so to be inquired of, that now when they were found he would justify them in any point, and say, ' a pais pur reason,' give them a reason for what he did, as. Mr. Solicitor saith.

Next I did conclude with the atatute $\mathrm{De}_{\mathrm{e}}$ Tallagio non Concedendo; and there my labour was to prove this to be a statute: and I am sorry I spent upon it to much time, if that had not been denied by Mr. Solicitor to be a stin-. tato. But now I undertand, by the kingos
side, that this was no statute, but made and (oind at the sume time with that of the 25th, and meant to be no more than that of the 25th.-This seemeth strange: for why should they be beth at one time? Next, bow came they to much to differ, if made at the same time? Why are there some things in the statute De Tallagio non Concedendo, which are not in the statute of the 25 th ?-But the Teste will clear all. First for the Teste. of that of the 25 th, it was 'Tate $\mathrm{L} d^{\prime}$ principe, and sealed by no more than the king: 'To this the arche bishops and lords pirt their hands and seals. But, however, I am glad to hear it now confessed to be a statute; for then we have no more to do, but to see whether the charge of the defence were within the meaning of these wordy, wherein sure the words are general enough; and what reasons have been given, why by the expositious of these, charges of detence should be excepted, you have heard; and whot my answers have been uato them, I leave to your lordships judgments.

I come now to Ed. the 3 d 's time, $17 \mathrm{Ed}$. 3, Parl. Roll. Whereas commissions have been awarded to the people and shires, to prepare men at arnis for Scotland and Gascougne, or elsewhere, at the charges of the shires, contrary to law; the hing hath not, before this time, given wages, whercby the people have been at great charge, and much impoverished. The king wills, that it be done so no more. Ay, but it was said, this was to Scotland and to Guscoigne; and that this was forcign war; and that was denied, though not ndmitted.I answer, that in those times, Scotland held in fee of the seignory of England; and in those times the king of England was 'Domiaus di${ }^{6}$ rectus Scotia: and so Ed. 1, when he determined that quarrel between Bruce and Baliol, and gave jurgment by writ, settled Baliol king of Scotland, and did justify it; nad it is remaining in the rolls of Scotland, in Mr. Squire's office. When there was a treaty of peace between Daliol and Ed. the 1st, he refused to acknowledge the signory of England; and there the parliament resolves he should rather have a war thap lose this. So see Selden upon Forteecue. War in Scotland, not foreign war, but like to that in Wales : and so was it ever since held, since the conquest, to be within the signory of England. By the statute of $19 \mathrm{Ed}$. 1, and by the statute of Hen. 8, it appeareth to be witkin the fee of England. War against one another, cannot be called a foreign war; as in the war to Scotland, Wales, and elsewhere, which is not meant of foreign parts ; for then it would have been expressed where, as well at Gascoigne. And by the histories of those times, we shall find there were armies cartied to no other place. Nay, to shew this elaewhere is meant in England, see the 1 Ed. 3. Parliaunent-roll before this parliament. The Septs invided England, wo appears by a writ of Bd. S, where is mention of an invasion, and thereupon fequires aid. If there be wars i. Gascoignt, and if occasions to Spotland,
and in England too where the Scots are, and this a defensive war. Further for the wars of Scotland, if that should be called n foreigi war; if the king should go thither and make a wnr, yet by the rules of the king's council, if a war in foreign parts is but to prevent a war-at home, this war is not £3reign, bat for defence.

Another act made on this occasion, that the king wills, 1 Ed. 3, c. 5 , that no men henceforth shall be charged to arm themselves, utherwise than formerly in the kinglum of England ; and not to be compelied to go out of their coupties, unless upon the sudden coming of an enemy, and in case of necessity, and then to be done as in times past: this statute coming in the same year when the complaint was made for carrying men out of their counties at their odn charges.
Herc I observe that the suhject shall not go out of his county, not only at sudiden coming of enemies, but likewise on necessity, and both together. And when $1 t$ is said, it shall be done as formerly; it as an nillowance that they had formerly been paid. So then, if this be a stronger case, admit bere an actual coming of eneuies, nay, sudden, nay, here is a necessity, and the subject is to go out of his country, pro posse suo, yet he had his allowance.
Perhaps it may be asked, why should not the subject pay? Is not the kingdom in danger ? are they not to defend it, posse suo? They are so in their counties; and if they go out, the law hath provided a supply. Parlament-roll, is Ed. 3, there was a time when there were known enemies, nctual wars in France; they inteaded to divert the war by bringing it home to our own doors: the enemies threatened mucb, nay, did much hort; yet did nut Ed: 3, command these supplies this way, but called a parliansent, and there consideration was had in parliament for supply, and that the kingdom has ships enough, if they were willing; and this was in way of Defence.
From all this I conceive that it is strongly inferred that he could not force them : and when the lords and cominons did meet, to takn consideration for the wars against France and Scotland, the commons laid the whole charge on the Cinque Ports, they disclaimed to have any thing to do with it. And for the landservice, they said, let those of every county reside there, but no charge on the subject is. pursuance of this. Claus. $15 \mathrm{Ed.3}$, m. 11, et 14. dors. The town of Bodmin doth shew the execition of this judgment; it. being agreed, that the sea-towns, and bordering shores, should look unto it.
I shall agree that ${ }^{\text {s }}$ some inland towns are bound by ase and tenure, but no otherwise, 4 Ed. 3, c. 1, that the people are not compelled to make any aid out of parliament $;$, and that the aid granted shall not be drawn into example; end that the aid granted is for the defence of the sea. Butit bath been suid, that they are aids granted for foreign wars, for the wars in France. True, they were in part granted, tomp for the warg in France, and part also for
defence on thin side. Aud where there is no digtinction, why sot for the oue as well as the other? It must, under favout, be conceived for either or both. And beiwen this time of 14 Ed. 3, and 95 Ed. 3, your lordships have beard from Mr. St. John, some complaints in parliament, for charging the counties with Holbellers, and zoing out of their counties, which are not really complent, only for proportion in regard of their success, but also for the thing inself.

9Ed. 3, m. 21. The commons pray to be discharged of the guard of the seat; and that the king would keep it at his own charge. This shous the judgmeut of both houses, and the weight of it is very great; for when there is any diticult point concerning the fiberty of the subject, it is referred hy the judges to the parliameut, to be there decided: of that reverence is the parlimenent.
But it hath been said, this is rather a matter of prayer than riyht. Under farous the matter shews that they claim in pomt of ight: and it is to be supposed, that they woold not make such an unreasomable request, ns to lay that wholly on the king, if they of right orghit to do it. And if words "ere put in a fiir language, it was but a fit and humble lunguage lor so great a prince as Ed. the Jd was. But Ed, the Sd gave no reis f; yet that doth shew the judgment of the two houses; and as there was no granting, so there was no express demal. $\Lambda$ handsone prayer, and a handsome nastwer. 14 Ed. 3, Parliament-Roll, there it appears there was a charge of 2 s , on all woultells, and this for defence of the sea; and in the 15 th taken away in the parlaynent.
I slaill cooclude this "ith the 25 of Ed. 3. No Hoblellers were to go ont of their counties, unless by common consent. This statute is general for tlefience; there is no oxception: if an enemy do invale, the parlinment believes the kingdom is provided for. Yea, saith Mr. Solieitor, the subject is not clareged to go out of the county, that is, upon summons, ed erercitum; for sumuons is twofold. Yirst, a summois ad excreitum, aud then a general summons. By the summons ad exercitum, only those were to go that did hold by tenure; and they say it is cucounter droit, to be charged out of their conuties. It is trie, about this time there are some records of 16 and $18 \mathrm{Ed} . \mathrm{s}$, in the Exchequer, whers charges are laid on the subject for hobbellers, and such things: but you shall find in the Exclequer that the money came thence, which was before the statute; these things were the grierances complained of. So the practice there will not expound the statute, for the contrary practice did beget the statute. But the last of these, in $94 \mathrm{Ed.3}$, who was an active prince, and maintained wars; and so had great occasion fir moneys, and so charged the people. higher than they would eadure; for which he did afterwards repent, and desired to be prayed for, and therefore there were divers impositions on merchants; all which I phas over, only this out of the Roll, 50 Fd. S,
[1. 24. It is the lord Latimer's Case, a priyg: counsellor und chamberluia to the Ling; there was a complaint in parliatent "guinsthim for divers thiugs, whereof one wat for laying an imposition npon metchandize. In his justcfication he pleads the command of the king; and fur that particular he was sentenced, imprisoned, Gined and ransomed; so careful were thes ta reviie that low. And that sentence of his, 2 Itic. 2 , made the grent lords so unwilling to talk of the defence withnut parliament.
And so I come to that of 2 Ric. 2, upon which I must insist, for that it is of great weigbt. It doth appear, as well by the consultation itself, as by bisooy, that the realon was in great danuer from several parta; as from France, Scotland, Kc. und that the danger was so instant, that it could not stay for a parliamentary supply: therefore the-conacil of the king were to consider of it; they know not what to adfise; they meet trgether, they bad no time to cull a parliament ; but the lords, both temporal and spiritual, and sages of the realn, considered what to do, when the safety of the kingdom laid so at the stake. The resolution of these lords and sages, who were, as I conceive, the judges, propter racellintion, conclude that theie was no way but by parlianent, nud nill this wns for defence, and ngainst an instant danger, which could not expect summons of parliament. And the lords themselves rather lend money out of their sive purses, than adventure that which Latuner did; which indeed was the gronnd which made them wary.
To this there were many answers, yet all willfall off: It is irue, that it is no act of parliament ; yet such a resolution, that had it beea 300 years before, would hare doue much. The weight of this is thus: if this had been a parliumeat, there is little doubt what this resolution would have doae: for the matter we have the resolution of the upper house; and how tha commons would have reoolved in a point of. liberty, we may easily conceive. IIere we have the judges opinions in point of the legal power of the king, what the king would do, as well ns whut he should do: and in things of this nature, the jaiges are the king's council. And as in the great council, (the parliament) they sit there for counsel in things that helong to matters of lnw, so at this time in this assembly, which was instead of a parliament, these were not left out, being best able to declare the rule; and this was about two years after Etward the 'third's death: he could not then bave any other than the council of his grandfathef; and of their resolution was that the king could not charge the subject out of parliament: and thoogh it was no act of parliament, yet it had the hnnour to be so nccounted, else it had never been entered opon the parliament-roll.
But it is said, by way of objection, that Richand the second wis then nin infunt. Trua he was so, but tie bad a brave man for his protector, John of Gaunt ; nur he had doubthenier? select council, and they were ns fearfis as mighe: bo, that nothing ahould be done that mindes.
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wrong the king in his prerogative. It is true, they had a power of doing things by porlinment, yet that was no act to restrain the king; but an act of necessity: the king was an infant, and therefore it was requisite, that doring his minurity nothing should be done but in parliament, especially that concemed the kingdoun; which was not a usurping of the regal power, but a provident care of the kingdom and hum: and they do protest in that roll against incroachmenis ufoon the rayal power; and to say that is good, which is to an ill intent, is a strange constructiont.

They say that this consultation was for foreign wars; for that Brest and Calais were in danger, and to be provided for: an:l for foreigu wars it is agreed the kingtom could not be changed. To this 1 answer, adnit a mixture of was, yet every oue loobs home first: we hnse in care of ourselves first : but they would have made no such difference, if the debate had been for both. Howrier, the lords conclude the king cannot charge withuot parliament.

But I cannot teave this objection, but shall give a particular nnswer; though Brest and CaJais might be in danger, yet that was no part of this consulatiou ; tor it is said in that consultation, that in a former parliunent, sufficient provisiou was mado for them. True, they were in danger, but provided for in partianient before; and that which clears all, under favour, there is not a word in that consultation, but is merely for the defence, and no rclation to a foreign war. I end tins king's rign with the 8 and 9 Ric. 2 , rot. 10, where is a consultation with Jolin of Gaunt for foreign wars, and others mixed together. It appears the subject is not chargeable out of parlament.
And so I come to Heny the figurth's time. 2 Hen. 4, hath been nirged, and an unswer given to several cornmissions for Calais, and for the defence: there was then a complaint, and a desire that those commissions moght be recalled. It is true, we find not this granted exprestly ; but as no grant, so no denial; aud as we take it, it was granted. This time of Henry the foutth did yeeld many instances that the king canaot charge, thoogh for the publick defence. I shall remember a record which the other day I vouched. Par. Rol. 13 Hen. 4, m. 43. It was upon an action of the case which we. find in the books, 11 IIen, 4, which was pleaded in 13 Hen. 4. There was an office of measurape erected and granted, and a fee granted in it ; this was complained of in parliament : first, an action was begun at law 11, and in 13 Hen. 4, a complaint in parliament; and they complain that this was against the statute, that no taxes nor tuilliges should be laid upon the subject; auil the nuswer is, let the statute be observed. This use I make of it; this doth not only shew the conterseion of the thing, that this ought not be laid, hut that, this statote, De Tallagio non Concedendo, was to be a statute: and this statute was pot for aids that come to the king in particular, but against any charge laid by the king upon the aubject, though it be pro
bono publico; and upon the record, the judgment was delivered so, because sonat in prajwdicium populi.

I shall remember the grants of tonnage and poundage to Hen. 4, and the grents usually to him were temporary and upon occasion, and not for life, until afterwards; and in his time they were for the defence of tue sea and kingdom : and it was granted upon condition, that it should be confessed it was granted of loan, and not of right. 9 Hen, 4, m. 16, and 11 Hen. $4, \mathrm{~m} .45$, nnd 13 Hen. $4, \mathrm{~m} .10$, which is the fullest; they make a protestation it shall not be drawn into example; yet all that time were imminent dangers.-But it was said, we cannot shew that purcly, and simply, and solely, it was ever granted, but with in mixture of other things, as that of tenths and fifteentis.But the parliampnt was so wary, that they did sever them, and lay the tenths and fifteenths by themselves, nad tonnage and poundage by itself; for throgh they are the same acts, yet opon the matter they are several.

I am now come to Heary the Sth's time; and for hin there is not mucb, but like lienry 4, Parliament Roll, 11 IJen. 5, m. 17, a grant of tonnage and poundage for the defence of the realu, and sale-guad of the sea, with a protestation that they should not be charged for the time to cone. I think uftu, this tume we find no more protestationy or grants upon condition. But that whel I argoe from these grants made in this manner, is, sure it was the opinion of the partinment, that they nere unt bound; and the king by his acceptance doth acknowledge so much: no landlord accepts that from the tenant as a gift, which he myy command as a duty; and to take it on terms so advantageous for the subject, and not only give an acquittance for it, but put it on record as in point of right. But liere is an nffirbation of the commons; and though they cannot enake a law, yet that which they do, aud the king accepts, shall be of a strong proof. Again : It is true, you shall not charge the subject with tonnage and poundage without parlinment; but shall not the subject be charged another way ?
To what end would that protestation have served, that the king should do it another way? And it is all one, if the coinmons bear the charge of defence, whether they bear it by tonnage and poundage, or otherwise; for that which falls on the particular, fally on the other. To charge thein thus, were ps if the ling should say, though I cannot charge you this way by tounnge and poundage, yet I will charge you another way.
In the time of Ilenry the 6 th there is litile. -I come next to the time of Edv. 4, wherein, though there is not much upon statute, yet there is a speech of his that shews much of this. 7 Ed..4, Parl. Roll. 1, there was a speech made and cited. - The king first protests, which was not immediately upon his coming to the crown, that he will live of his own, and not change the commons but in casep extracrdinary, rand those burdens too should he secundum morem majorum ; and that be hopes they will be as tender
of, and kiad to him ns to his predecessors, saying that he would lay no charge upon them, but in extraordioary things.
This shews, that what was granted by the commóns, though upon extreordinary occasion, was not out of duty, but out of tenderness; and this was a good while after his victory, and could not upon the matter be called a brokage. And this falls not under the answer of Mr. Solicitor, that money was borrowed of the poor men, and reason that they should be paid again. -But thase loans were by way of commission, and not to some pour men, but they did concern the general. And though Rich. 3, had reason to bring in good laws, becaase of the defoct of his tirte, yet nuy lord of St . Alhans called it a kind of brokuge to get the people's good will; yet, however, this must be a declaration of both houses of parliament; and it was nut $s 0$ much offered by Rich. 3, but because Edw. 4 had made many horrowings.

I come now to Henry the 7th's dime. That which hath been pressed, is the $19 \mathrm{Hen}$.7 , c. 11. Provision that the king's servants that were to atteud-upon him in the wars out of the coumties, should be paid; then if they were to have it, then $\dot{d}$ fortiori, they that were not to autend. This shews that the king is to be at the charge, nuil not the subject. The answer is, this extends ro foreign wars, and then no reason but that they should be paid; and so will not serve our turu. If there be such a difference between forcign and bome wars, why do not the acts of parliament make a diflicrence? the words are general, and extend to wars out of the realn and in the realen; and where the laws de not distinguish, neither, I hope, will your lordshipy.

Now I come to Hen. 8, who was as unwilling to beg, as powerfut to command. 13 Hen, 8, cap. 20, the king desircs for some necessity of government, and against an instant occasion, to have power to make a proclamation for government, and to do it under penalties: $\Lambda$ law seasonable for that time, though it continued in Ed. 6.'s time. As he would have liberty, which he could not have without parliament, to lay these kind of penalties on mens so the parliament was as careful to give him no liberty to lay any cbarge upon their estates, lands, or goods. So me, though for the natural government they leave him a power to lay penalties upon others; yet to lay any thing upon their goods, that which is meum et tyum, he had no liberty; which is a declaration of the opinion of the parliament, that by the common law he could not do it.

Bat in the preamble of the act it is said, that there is some intimation of the power of the king, if lre will; -and upon that preamble indeed Cowel would hawe built the royal power of the king. But Cowel was mistaken, and had his rewurd. It is true, there is something in the act thint speaketh of the regal power in necessity, but not ahsolute; and that coo came in by the penning of it on the king's side. And 91 Hen. 8, your lordships know his
power, and how he was not to be resisted in small things. If he could pull down those abbeys, what could he not do? Therefore it was not for them to question with the hing apon the penning of his preamble, hut * dutifulness in thens to conform themselves. And when there was provision enough made against that in the act afterwards, it hud been a weakness of the house so to do.

Yea, the act was thatt the king could nat charge the lands nor goods; but there is no such thing in our case. It is true, there is no immediate charge laid upon the lands or goods, but in substance and consequence there is a charge. It is all one to me in substance, where ony life nud benefit is the same in either way. And if I am taved sectudum statum et facultatcs, I must pay the moncy out of ny estate; and in the penning of uy act ' non litigatur de 'verbis sed de intentione. And if I am charged and pay not, my goods are taker awny and sold; so it is all oue to me, as if it had been laid upon my goods.

For the umes of E. 6 , queen Mary and queen Elizabeth, und bis late majesty king James, I shall put my observation of ull these into one. I fud not much upon the Parliament Rolls for these four; few statutes were made, yet in every one of these is offered one act of parliament, whech is a stronger proof than any of those which have been urged, and such proof as doth only cone home to the defence of the kingdom, in case of extruordinary defence, in case of necessity, and in case of invasion. All this ariseth out of the consideration of the penning of the statute of tonnage and poundage, in the beginning of every of their reigns. The act that I inention, is 1 Jac. where it is said to this effect, that there may be times of necessity where treasure is not to be wanting; and it is unfit the treasury should lie unprovided at any time upou necessaty occasions; and therefore they grant unto the king, tonnage and pouadnge. But how? not for quid pro guo, not merely for defence, but toward's the defence of the kingdom. Then by the judgment of the parliament this being not granted formerly, but towards thus defence, and towards his great charges; therefore, by that, the charge by the laws ought to lie upon the king.-Now, iny lords, if the king were not bound to the defence of the kingdom, whether or no would be accept it on these terms; and whether it seems not more than probable, that in case of necessity whe charge may not be laid on the subject. $1 \mathrm{Ed} 6,. \mathrm{c} .13$. Marie cap. 18, 1 Eliz. cop. 19, 1 Jac. cap. 3s, are the seversl grants of tonnage and poundage.
I conclude this part with the times of his majesty that now is, which in the point of defence have been stronger and greater than before, both in point of laws, and in matter of example. I take my beginning in this upon that parliament 3 Car. upon the Petition of Right, aud hir'mejeety's Answer and Judgments to that are something home.
The Commission of Loen's and Benevolenee, the necessity of the time did require an instaps
supply; and it appears by the Commission, that there was a necessity which could not stay for a supply another way, and your lordships know what wap done in this. I his Coramission was not to horrow of a few, bat it was general, with an equal nud proportionable weight; and this, as it appears, was for the defence of the kingdom. It hath been said, that mention is made of smpply for the Palatinate, and to send aids to Denmark. True, it is so ; but that of the Palatinate, and hat of Demuark; do upou the matter concern us ; for that war being apon our resclutions, there was a kind of engageuent laid upon us.

In that Commission, there appears more tlian - possible danger to the kingilon; there was a necessity, yet this was laid down, it held not; and in pursuance thercof there was an Order, whereof we have a cruy ; it is in the Exchequer moved by the king's Automey for stayigg the proceedings for moucy spent about Ioans; which was by his majesty"s gracious command, whereiu his majesty did prevent the commons desire.

The Petition gnes on, that there were soldiers billeted in several parts, and there was a charge; and this was aftera late foreign war, an enemy then known and declared; there was a necessity for instant defence, and to stund upon our guard; the enemy might in a short time have been upon our coatts: yet yome lordships know what was said to that. Aud as the Petition looks back to those things that are taken off their hands, so it looks fornvart, nud provides, that no such things shoulh be taken hereafter by the power of the king alone, nlthough apon matter of necessity. And all this was a Petition not of favour merely, but in point of right, according to our laws and statutes, which are the statute 25 Ed .1 , the statute De Tallagio inon Concedendo, and Magna Charta, the ground of all. And to all these his majesty promiseth such things should be done no more. And they not conteut with this, his majesty gives this answer, 'Soit droit fait.'
I cannot leave this great strength thas, but bring it home to this very case. The substance of this Petition being for charging of the sulject out of parliament by the royal power, wheu this Petiion had passed the lower house, it came to the lords; and upon some motion, there was a proposition of a Saving to be put in the end of the Petition, saving the sovereign's power, which histnajesty is entrusted with for the defence of the kingdom. All this your lordships know, that after several conferences, in the conclusion the Petition passed without any Savlag.

My lords, upon what renson this Saving wos left out, your lorishipa may see by the record, which your lorilships nad the rest of the house best know, nul whether upou this reason or not. -That the laws the Petition went on, whether the Saving w. uld suand with those laws.

My lords, it appeais that the first Auswer was, that the laws stmuld be put in execution; yet in the close there is put in a saving of the
prerogative: but this Altswer did not sntisfy ; and therefore there was a gencral Answer, 'Soit droit fait.' But now what was granted bysthe last Answer more than by the formers only that the law was left more pbsolute ?

As to that comniss: on of advice for consideration of means to raise supplies, and it was for defence, and a necessary defifice, and that did not bear dclays, that commission was hidd down by his majesty; yet in that there was no more than this consideration, how supplies might instantly be raised, which could not endure delays hy impositions or otherwise, that is still lawful ways. If there had been any to lay a charge on the sabject by way of loan, then that conmuission had not been excepted against; yet his majesty was pleased to lay down thic upon the desire of the house.

I conclude with that which I conceive to be the jurgment of both houses in point. It is the judgnent of both houses ugainst the Serroon touched upea by Mr. St. John, which I shall press as far as it will be applicable to our case. -The Serinon was to shew the power of tha Ling in case of necessity to lay a charge on the subject withoat a parliament. When this cmane into the lower house, this was the main and princypal charge, I sny not the sole charge. When it came into the upper house, there it was pressed aguiust that divine by the king'山 counsel; and it appeqais by the journals of the upper house, that the crine was, that he should shew the king's power to change the sulject without parliament. It appears by the suid jouruals, that the Doctor's excpso was, that he meant nothing but to shew what kings night do in cxatreme necessity of danger. Aed your lordships may read in his Sermon, that he speaks of necessity, not attending the slaw mothon of parliamentary advice; so that it is pinched on extreme necessity : but neither one excuse aor the other did serve his turn. The offence is acknowledged, submission made in both houses, and the Sernon called in by proclamation. Ay, but, satth Mr. Solicitor, this Scutence was for other matters. I say not, but that the Scatence was for this thing alone: there were othor things, but they were only by the bye; only occasioned by this. Now how far this case comes to our case, I leave to your lordships jurgments.

## The Fourth Day's Ahoumest of Mr: HOLBÓRNE.

Mry it please your-lordships; I have thus far gone on in my proof from ceason, books, cases and autborities, all being of liighest nature, that is, by the laws of Eagland ; that the king cannot charge his subjects without their consent in parlianeunt, thrugh it be pro buno publico, or for case of necensity. It now remaineth that I offer, what either the practica hath ever been in the beat times, nud the contrary practice decried from tinte to time,-In this I shall do a work of supecerogntim. It is not inaterial what the practice is, if the lawe be ouce setiled. A law oncemade, over-ruleth
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all practice afterwards. And as a law is law before practice, so it is law against practice : yet because practice may be an expositor of law, especially where the words may seem general, I shall shew from age to age, that the subjects without their consent could not be charged.

From the practice of the kings themselves, even in all ages, that on extraordinary occasions they have resorted unto parliaments; and when they could not do good by that, they have made many burrowings, as appears by the Par-liament-Rolls. What other courses they have taken, your lordships have hedrd upon the former argument. And when the king received these supplies, it came voluntarily, and with protestation, that those things should not be drawn into example.

I shall gio now to the practice of the subjects part. First, I shall go ns high as the Saxons time. That of Danegelt did begin by a common consent : and in the very laws in Mr. Lambert, it is said slutulum est. Though it always did not signity $\mathfrak{a}$ ' statute, yet when it was written by one that knew the laws, nud writ of the laws, it must be so taken. Tilburiensis saith, ns it was the act of the king, so it was the petition of the commons; 'Statutum est a re'gibus,' still the king, It is strange in that time of Ethelred, when Danegelt was so great and common as it was, the sulject being easily drawn unto it, that the king should not usk it, when he might have it for asking. But this Danegelt being raised by Ethelred upon emergeut occusions, as it was not like to be always, so the provision was not for all times. After him came in some of the Danish kings, and they continued the Danegelt. And what becanne of those that weie the collectors of the Danegelt betwcen Ethelred and the Confessor, sloth appenr in Hluutingdon, atd how the people did decry it in general; then it was laid down ut grucisgimun, as appears in Ingulphus.
Edward the Confessor he laid it down. At the Conquest, still they go on with the Dapegelt. It was pant of the terms made by the people with several kings, that it should be be laid down: and king Steplen did promise to lay it down; though notwithstanding they did now and then take it up. In Hen, 2 's time yet still more complaints, and that was left out of the chaster of bing John.-The nse of all this is, That though there were a.practice under pretence for defence of the kingdom, yet the people did decry'it; it was not such a pracrice as could bring in a law.

When Danegelt was thus laid down for the time of king John, Hen. 3, and Ed. 1, in which times practice for shipping will not be material, for in all those times the very shipping itself was decried, parl' 25 Ed. 1, there the very charges of the suhjects for shipping were the complaint, the complaint is the thing I am upon. After the 25 Ed. 1, and the statute De'Thllagio nan Concedendo, the course of these proceedings did alter; for before in 34 he seut furth writs under a great penalty,
and $99 \mathrm{Ed} .1, \mathrm{~m} .10$, he contracts for his shipg; and they go at his charges. P. 76, Ed, 1, Rot. 35, Reginald de Grey, when the Scots entered the kingdom, he was commanded to bring seamen out of their counties, and he durst not without money; and thereupon he had money out of the exchequer.
.But the answer was, This was for Scotlend. -For that no doubt ihis war was at home, for in the $\$ 6$ the Scots had entered the kingdom. Br. Trin. 32 Ed. 1, Rot. 11.
I come now to Ed. 2's time; there is not much against us, but for us. This I shall observe, that the first writ that went out was 9 Ed. 2. It is true a Mandamus went out for slipping, and against an enemy, and for defence of the kingdom: but how? Not a ' Mandamus ' firmiter injungentes,' nor 'sub perua foris' ficturx,' but a 'Mandamus rogantes,' and the means of compelling 'quatenus honorem ' nostrum et salvationem vestrum diligitis.' So you see how the course of the law altered in that time.
I come to Ed. 3, for I will but touch apon every time, and offer but one thing to shew the practice in it. As I find astatate in the beginning of his reign, conceraing provision made for wars, so I find an execution, Rot. Scot. 1 Ed. m. 8, there went out two writs, and they were concerning raising of slipping, and in respect of the Scots entering that year. This is that I put it for, to shew that upon that occasion 1 Ed .3 , soldiers were paid, although for the wars in Scotland. It doth recite that the Scots had entered the land that year, and did make further preparation; and, if they could not have their peace on their own terms, they would proceed. 'Consideratis etinm periculis,' for it was in articulo necessitatis; he giveth a command that there should be ships, but it is a ' mandamus rogantes,' noiling at all by compulsion or furfeiture in the writ, 'sicut ' honorem nostrum.' It appears by the writ, that he said, he sent money at that time for the wictuals for the soldiers; and chis very writ was 'pro salvatione regni,' and that we could not be safe without shipping: and this was in a pure and innocent rime.
I will not say, that in all the actions of Ed 3, he never broke this rule: your lordship know what wars he had, and what necessities, and what those necessities brought him to; but he was so far from justifying of himself as it appears by Daniel's Mistory, that he sent to the archbi-hop of Canterbury, to pray for him, and desired the people not to think ill of him for laying those charges upon them in case of necessity. 12 Ed. 3, Rot' Alm'. Yoar lordships shail see upon that acknowledgment, how . he began to alter his course. Parliament Roll, is Ed. 3, though he laid charges before now, he calls a parliament, and desires supplies for shipping that way; and that acknowledgment 12, will answe? home that famous year of 10 Ed. 3.-Next, my lords, to shew the decrying of the people in this time of shipping itself in the rolls of 81 and $31 \mathrm{Ed}, 1$, there the peopla
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said they were not'to bear the charge: so it was no practice, for the commons did decry it. That for LI-blellers, they were at the charge of the county before 25 ; but that they were complained of in parliament, wherein the atatute of 25 Ed. 3, was rade. But all that I aim at in this is, whatever the contrary practice was, to out-balance it by the contrary opinion, and claim of the kingdian; and the practice 1 hope shall not be able to make it law.
come non to the time of Richard 2, for his time I shall renember but one, Tr. 7, Fich. 2, m, 15, 'de contribuendo ad castodiam maris,' there is a recital of Danegelt; and that the subsidies that the king had were not sufficient, and therefore cominatided an aid, bat to do it gratuicer. I do obserse, that all ship-writs do ead in the time of Ed. 3, one or two perhaps may be afier, and therelore I do'end with the practice of thuse times; but from those times downwards to Hen. 8, this was offcred, and not denied; That there are several records, how the kings of Eugland, both at sea and land, did bear the charge of defence; and all the answer was that it doth not appear how the king doth raise the monies.

For the time of Hen. 4. 2 IIen. 4, Parlia-ment-Itoll; n complaint of the commons, of a commission for buiding of galless for defence: And their complaint was, that it was done without consent of parliument, which ought not to be done; and this comunission is repealed. This shews the decrying of the subject, and that the practice hath been, that the defence was at the charge of the king,

I have thus finished the negative part of the argument, that the subject is not compelled to Gind shipping for defence at their own charge.

Next for the positive part, that the charge both in cases ordinary and extruordinary lies on the king, and that by the cominon-law; and that the king hath provision and consideration for it.

My lords, for provisions regularly, whatsoever estate is in the king in the politic capacity, is in him as Rex, nad not in him in his natural capacity; and what is in him so, is for the benefit of the kingdom: and that hath ever been the ground of the acts of resumption, and some of resumption made by Hen. 7 , where lands were aliened by him, he made a resumption; and those many privileges that the king hath in him, are as Rer in his politic capacity. All which cases are put togetber in Calvin's case in. the 4th report. And not only in England, but in all Christendom, all estates in princes are held for the benefit of the kingdom, as well as for themselves; and that is not denied by king James in his answer to Perron; be would have it absolutely to be to that purpose. All that is to the advantage and honour of the king, is for the bepefit of the kingdom.
My lords, from the consideration of the interest of the kingdom in the estate of the prince, the parliaments have so often offered their service to the king: And the parliament in former times did require a hand in the nam-
ing of a treasurer; they called it antiquius moo. And it was $5 \mathrm{Ed} . \mathrm{S}$, in a parliament, but repealed 15 Ed .3 . And for ancient land of the crown, they were not devisable out for that reason: and that none should buy the land of the crown, for it was not ulienable in that time.
My lords, in the form of our government, the king in the supposition of the laws had all these. By the books cited by Mr. St. John, all were in the crown, and being so, they were for the service of the kingdom; and that is the reason that all land is held immediately or mediately of the king. As a lord of a manor, when le hath a circuit of ground, he letts one part to one mau to plow in knights-service, and part he keeps for himself. So the king when all was in him, he dispused of some for the service of the bingdom. Hence ariseth the tenures originally. As they kept in their hands palaces and demesn, so for public service they made distribution of certain lands for public defence; some by Knights-Service, some by Scutage, some by Cornage, and some for Cas-tle-guard and Grand Serjeanty, all for the service of the hingdon ; and tenures per barnnagium, which was an cminent service, as appears by the books of the Knights Yee; Petty Serjennty for meaner offices, and Grand Serjeanty lor greater offices. Divers lands were given to find ships, us in Dopmsday-book, lesides the Cinque-Ports, which were to find a certuin number; and so some inland towns did find ships, but how? As by tenure, not generally as subjects. And though Mr. Si. Jolin did urge one or two precedents hy way of example, yet be said not there were,no inore.
Mr. Solicitor did-give an answer, that nll those came out of the estate, what was that charge to the public?-It is true, if the king had that for hinnself orignally, he said well; but if it was in hun origipally pro regno as well as for himself, then it is otherwise.

But it hath been said, what are a few men, or one ship? But it appeats in Doonsday-book, the king hath a great navy. There were 60,000 Knights Fees in the Conqueror's time : and though divers serjeanties are turned into rents, yet the revenue is the same. I shew it for this, that there was a provision made in the institution of our frame of govcrument, . but for the guarding of the,sea more particnlarly.

The king for the guarding of the seas hath *ll the natural profits' thereof; as all great fisbes, whales, sturgenn, \&c. and all ather profits of the sea, if the king would take them; and so letters of marque; and though some of them are got into the subjects. hands, yet nriginally they did belong to the king. So the old Customs by the common law, antiqua consuetudo: andtso holdeth sir John Davies in the costoms of Ireland. So Prizes and Impositions are for the defence of the ses. So Tonnage and Poundage, which was originally granted for ordinary, but oftentimes upon emergent occasions, it appears it was grauted
pro saloatione regni, and not granted amongst other things, but by itself. But in latter times, when it was settled upon the prince for life, then it was for extraordinary defence, and to have money in readiness for an instant occasion : for it is said, in the very grant to king Jumes, that the king must not be without muney; 'and therefore, towards his charges upon an instant he must have it. And though it was granted on particular occasions, yet that is enough that it was granted on great occasions ; and this was granted out bf their love to him. The words of the act 1 Jac . speak of a sudden invasion; now whether this is by sea or land, it is general.

My lords, I shall now upon the whole observe what I have made good, either upon those general statutes, that the king shall lay no charge, or rather by the books, that the king cannot charge for little things; or upon the practice of times, wherein every time the king hath been at the charge, and when it hath been on the subject they have decried it. How you, my lords, believe it in point of right, 1 leave it to your judgments.
My lords, I shall ga on to the answer of Mr. Solicitor; I have made a reply to all his answers to our positive part. It remains I should offer an answer to his positive part. First, I shall give a gencral answer to his whole argument; for if the case be, as we conceive it is, that the point of sulus regni is not now in question, the argument will fall off. How far salus regni is in the case notwithstanding, I shall argue over, and examine the nature of bis proofs.

I ana sure be had none from parliament, either act or declaration of both houses; what there is, is ngainst him. The answer of the king, with the judgment of both houses, is a main thing in point of right. I'did not receive any legal inaterial reoryd, but that of the abbot of Robertsbridge, nor any book-cases in point, where it is sad the king shall charge, but the books 13 Ed. 4. I sball answer these first, practice next, and reasons last.
To hegin with the case of the abbot of Robertsbridge, which was opened ty both sides. It was 25 Ed .1 , the abhot had land agisted ad custodiam maris; and in an action brought, the nbbot pleads that he had found a horse for the same land. Herre is an argument, that the abbot doth admit that the king might- agist ad custod' maris: now if that admittance in this case should be of any authority to alter your judgments, I shall leave it. The abbot's counsel did no more than a discreet counsel would bave done. If an action be lirought for words, and it appears the netion will not lie, what then ? If the abbot had a plea that he was ngisted to find a horse, what reason liad he to put himself on inatier of law with the king ? So the nuthority can be nothing against us ; and at the best the case did rest there, and went no further.

For the bonk-case, 13 Ed. 4, where it is said, that the king can lay a charge, that book is
with reference to toll ; and such thinge are nothing ta our main case; and that book will prove strongest against the king. The main case was concerning a new office of measurage erected with a fee: this was pro bono publico, yet an action brought ; it began in 11 Ed. 4. Then cometh the parliament in 15, and this was complained of io be against the statutes, that provide that no tares should be laid. The answer is, let the statute be obseried.
To the case of toll, which for common necessity to maintain traffic, and because there must be a poner in somebody, and withont a fee' not possible to maintain the charge, it is allowed it may bedone by the king: but in our case here is no common necessity, hero is a thiug that may seldom od never happen. The ground of granting toll is this, becuuse it is pro bono publico; yet if not for common necessity, the ling could not do it.-Next, the toll is not so much a charge, it is quid pro quo: in the 5th Report it is said there, that it is no charge; for the benefit in the thing itself will quit the charge. Aguin, toll is but inter mmimum, this of weight; and though an argument will hold a minori ad majus in the negative, because a man cannot do a lese, therefore not a greater; but not in attirmatices, bccause he can lay those, therefore greater, non srquitur. Next, for toll; no man is forced to pay toll, becsuse no man is compelled to come to the market ; if he will come voluntary and receive the benefit, then there is reason be should pay it; but this is not our case. Lastly, the law doth allow in this nccessity, in case of toll to the Ling a power to grant, yet the law dbth not leave the king alsolute judge of the quantum: for if the toll be nut proportionable to the benefit, the patent is to be avoided, as in case of a fine uncertain. Now, my lords, in our case here is no judge of the proportion but the king; so the argument is thus: If the law adimit not the king to charge but in comaon necessily, then not in cases that muy happen but seldom or never; if not in small things, then not in greater.
I come now to practice : and for practice, where there is no opinion, either for records or books to warrant it, it is sonething weak, especially when there is no urgent occasion. For the practice, I shall give this general answer ; if I can satisfy your lordships by authorities of parliament, how the law standigth; the contrary practice, either before or after, is noc. material; and for that, I must leave it to your lordships.
Your lordships have heard ns read the words of the acts of parliament, and explain our menning thereon, and we have brought them home to our case. It will be hard to make an exception if the ret be generat.-For, my lords, the practice, it consists of two parts. First, arrays of m . Secondly, of shipping, and for shipping; ' de navibus congregandis,' or 'muvieudis' and ' inveniendis.'
For practice of arrays, I shall lay them by, and give them a general answer: for there wors

1007] STATE TRAALSj13 Ce. L. 1657.-The King abainat Jolm Hampden, éq. [ 1005
very few, if any, that went from the beginning of Aich. \&, but only to see if they be armed, et. prompti, nnd that is made by the statute of Winchester. It is one thing to see that they be armed and in rearliness; alld nnother thing, at whose charge they shall go : that appears not out of those arrays. There is no doubt but the subject, on the statute of Winchester, ought to be ready with arms, nud in his county to make defence; and upon otcasion he ought to go out of his county, but at whose charge, that is the question. And if those writs of array were the same with the commissions now to the lieutenant, yet $I$ know not bow ; this is my argument in the case: and so your lordships see that a great number of the arrays falls off this wayBut if arrays had been, and at the subjects charge, yet against the statute; I leave it to your lordships to judge, how far practice shall be an argument.-But for shipping, for writs 'de navibus congregandis,' those are nothing; for the matter is, whether they shall be paid before they gin, and many of the ship-writs are of that nature. No doubt but the king may command 'ad congregandas naves,' to use them upon occasion; but the matter is, at whose charge they shall be. And for all the writs that are to find ships, I hope those writs are not concluding.
My lords, for those writs that are sumptibus propriis ; a writ, and no more, without execution, is nut a practice sufficient to make n law, no more than a common evidence, when to prove right by usage. Now whether or no they have shewed a general exccution, by obeying and doing it at their own charge, or money levied upon them, I leave it to your lordgghips; I see no proof. It may be, such writs might be; but that there was an exccution of them st their own charge, or money levicd on them, we see no such thing. And if writs were to find olipping in these times, it is like the monies were returned by the counties, and so the receipt might shew it, especially if inlend counties, where notbing could be lad from them but money.

But, my lords, to examine on those grounds, whereby a practice must make a law : if this charge be witbin the words of the law, no practice can take this out of the way of exception. The practice must either shew that was the common law, and so gencralis consuetudo, or must declare the meaning of a statute by constant'consent; which must be of those that could consent, and those which did not express a disassent.

We are now upon inquiry as on practice, though the king cannot generally lay a charge, yet whether he can do it in this case, to make an exception of law; it must be done by use and practice; ns to make a law practice doth not make common law, but ns it is a proof of common cousent : for all laws eremade two ways.
First, By express consent of parliament : or, secondly, hy use, from time to tirne, whereby it doth appear this was excepted, and the use
becpmeth a common law : so as atill, if an ose doth make a low, such use it must be an doth prove a tacit consent.-Next, as the use must bind the kingdom, so it must be general over all the kingdom; it is not enough to be at some times and seldom, but it must be semper cadem. And, lastly, it must be reasonable.
I shall examine on these rales, for these are undoubted rules to examine a law by, the writs of Hen. 3, Ed .1 , and Ed. 3 . In all these times the practice, as to this, will not make a law ; here will be no proof of a consent.-First, For IIen 3 , to 28 Ed . 1, here the subject, ns before the complaints, which begot the charter of king John, was upon the charges imposed on the subjects; so afterwards, until the 25 Ed. 1, the law of the liberty of the subject was not seuled : for though king John did grant his charter, yet the pope did dispense with him, and he broke it, and so it resied till 9 Ilen. S. So all this time the suljects of England were under powes; and what in that time he might do by duress, was not by consent. Then, 9 Hen .3 , he nade a charter, yet from time to tine he broke it, though he desired ton be excommunicated if he did it; and so it rested until 25 Ed .1 , and then with much ado was gotten a Confirmatio Chartarum : yet this satisfied not at all. Till 28 Ed. 1, Mag. Chart. not observed. I could shew divers cases pointblank against these.
The statute 28 Ed. 1, snith expressly, that this cbarter was not observed; and it was once a punishment fur those that were the breakers thereof, Now when acts of parliament declare that the lav of the liberty of England was not observed, I shall not peed to shew any record how it was broken : so that all the practice in Hen. 3's time, though much, yet that will not serve the turn; for that goverament was more of force than huw. But for that of Hen. S's time, I shall give a furher nnswer; the very courts of justice were slut up, then it was in fagrante bello.
And for Ed. 1's time, ull the main ones consitlemble were iminediately before the making of that statute; if rightly apprehended, they did particularly occasisn that statute; so the sabject did deny it, and it is a dis-assent.
After $28 \mathrm{Ed}$. . , little considerable; and Ed. 1, when he made $28 \mathrm{Ed}$. 1, when the chartors. were confirmed, yet he had his suloe jure corone, which did not please the subject; and afterwards, notwithstanding be made some grants in parliament, yet sometiucs he did revoke. Your lordships know what a great renunciation he made; but as some of our historians obserse, when be had occasions for moneys he did grant, but otherwise did not ; so that in all his time the sulyjecto did not consent, but as much as they could, did dis-assent ; and in the 25th, you see how the practice did alter for commanding of ships.

Next for Ed. 2, for his time; we see how he went. In the beginning of his reiga he sends but a mandamas ragantes. In the end of bil reign, whether his government weq more of lav
than power, I leave to your lordships; that litte practice that way, if it doth come horse, is not sutficient tq make a law, who was under will.
Next for Ed. 3, for him in his best times, you see how he went, he laid not the charge on the sutject pt the first; alterwards there is no age uherein there were so many complainis as in his time, from the first to the last; and not only in this of shipping, which, as often as it was, there was still complamt, but in impositiont on merchants, whereupon lord Latimer was imprisoned: so that in point of charee, the subject did inforce him upon it in time of necessity. So that in diose times the practice will not be any argument against us.

That of 10 Ed .3 , he copfessed that he had laid too heavy charges on the subject, and did usk forgiveness; so bere was no consent, but a several dissent by their several complaints. And if I tuke off these three king, reigns, I take off all the force of practice concerning shipping; for from that time afterwards you will find very litile, for what cometh afterwards is but for matters of arrays.

The next thang is, that every practice that must bring in a law, must be constant and continual, so long together as may bring it into a custom,- Now out of what your lordships have heard, if you conceive in the times of those thrce hings, that they had one way and the subject another, then there is no coustant practice to lay it on thie subjects: And for Arrays, I conceive them to be no part of the case.-And for the next ; if practice make a law, it must ve general thrbough the whole kingiom; fur that is our case, we arce in an iuland connty; and observe how few writs ne bave that neat over the whole kingdotn: Nay, have gou any that proveth it indced? That they went to some inland counties it is true, but that they went to all throughout the kingdom, yon sliew not.
Now if you will hate a practice to bring in a law, you must nott bring your prectice by pieces; at one time in one part, and at another tume in another part : for that in one part of the inland counties alone will not be justifialle, for that was to lay a charge on the one, for the maintemance of the whole ; and that is against reason, and the reason of this writ. So to charge the whole kingdom, you must shew they went over the whole kingdon, and were obeyed by the whole kingdom; for obedience in some parts, will not lind all, so once or twíce will not do it; for the wriss that have been produced, many of them went to the sea towns only.

Next, my lords, adnit that the practice had been constant from king John's tine down to Hen, s.'s, so to this day; under farour, as the case standeth, your lordships coald not find sach ar practice as could now introdoce a law. The custion, which must be of a manor, you must not shew'the beginning of it, that within time of memory the ching was not so. True, if the time hed been long, and I cannot shew Whent it huthrot been, that is time out of mind.

VOL. III.

To examine this upon the rule; it hath beek, snid, that from the time oi Hen. 2, Dauegels was taken: True, it wns taken, de fucto, but nut de jurre. The subject was not at that time charged both with Danezelt and shupping too. Then our course of charging the subject ta find shipping, must begin since that time.

But peradventure it will be said, as sir Henry Spelman in his Glossary, that when Dapegolt went down, this other ccame in. And peradventure it will be said, thas is enough to shew this begun, though but in memory; theo it is hut to see upon what wurrant of law this be-gun.-If Dauegelt had not been legnl, then this to coms in instead of thyt which was not legal is not sufficient. Now for Danegelt it was not legnl, and so fallit fundementum: if it had been legal, yet not so pursued in the course as is legal, so that there is 110 ground for it on right or wmag. That Danegelt, when it went, *it went over all the kingdom, and in a proportionable way to all; yet these writs for shipping were commonly to the sea-towns, and but sometimes to some inland towns. If Danegelt were on the land, and certain, this is on the persons and uncertain; this respects both lands and goods, the other nitt. There is no such assurance of equal charging in this, or in the other, if Dangett had been legal; yet whe ther this coming in lieu of Danegelt, bcing of a far different uature, be legal, I leave it to your lordslips judgrents.
Next to examine it upon another reason, upon the reason of the practice. If the practice went over the whole kingdom from time to time, thetre was the more equality ; but if the practice went over the kingdoun but by degrees, sométines to one part, soinetimes to another, though orer all the kinglom at times, yet this is not sufficient to make a law. Fur that act which is unreasonable in itself, and not agreeable to justice, will never make a law : for n law will never arise out of an act illegal. Now, my londs, when a charge is laid upon parts of the kingdon, which the whole should hear, it is unreasonable. I will not deny, but in manors, where you are to have a cusiom, sometimes on one piece, and sometinues on another; this may be good, though it goes not over the whole manor; because' in this act there is nothing against justice, for here one man doth not bear the charge for the whole; but it is otherwise in our case.
My Lords, I dhall go further; ns for the inland towns, so for the sea-towns, we do not find a general practice of all sea-towns rogether, sometimes to one, sometimes to another; if any to all, yet not to all oftentimes.
Ay Lords, admitting arrays would be material in this case, as I conceive they will not; yet under favour they will be no precedent for the defending of the sea, the case doth differ.For though the king be lord both of sea and land, and hatif in them both the sole dominion; yet in the sea he hath the whole property, and In a manner all the considerable profit and privilege; the subject bath but the pasage of the

3 x

## 1011] STATE TRIALS, 15 Cs. 1. 1697.-The King againut John Hampden, eqq. [1012

tea, and the minima to take fish, not considerable in point of benefit : But for the land, that is our own, and the land of the kingdom is the house of the kingdom. As for the charge of the land to find shipping, there will be a great deal of difference betwcen sen-towns and inland towns: As those that live in sea-towns are in more danger from the sea, so they have more profit and privileges; and that is the reason that in the parliament 13 Ed .3 , the sea-towns should do it in regurd to their profit and privileges.
And fur the command to find ships, the positive law is to make those to find ships which are chargeable, as your sen-towns, and for inland towns to find arms; because both are not fitted alike, there is no renson that they shotid be charged alike. Upon this reason is the case of Beverly put before, 2 Ric, 2 , where the compaint is, that they are charged for shipping, being a dry town; they say they were charged Endclitc. 10 Ed. 3, Shorehnm, they plead they never found urms but shipping, and a good discharge. And in Mat. Paris, upon wars with France, the sea-towns complain and desire help; so that the burden lies on them, if on nny. My lords, I have gone over in a general way, as well as 1 can, and endeavoured to answer the practice; to hare gone over all in par--ticular would have fequired longer time than your lordships can spare.

The rensons now only rest to be examined; for if no fall authority, nor sutficient practice, reason aloue will not argue against a fundameatal rule: for we are not now to examine on reason what is fit, and what not, bat to see what is the truth.

The tirst is, that 'salus populi supremar lex :' the question is not, what we are to do by neecssity, hut what is the positive law of the land? The question must now be as before; what power is in the king, and did our forefathers in that time of peace and government leave in the crown, not in cave of necessity and public danker; when, with them, 'salus populi' was ' su' prema les,' and upon that they did ground the rule of governnent? In this casc, whether or no, in their consideration, they did conceire for the public good, to leave the power in the king or uut, to lay a charge on the people; there the rule came in, 'salus reipuhlicat suprema lex :' and that which they looked on most, was the benefit of the multitude. So that now, my lords, it is not to dispute, whether it be betuer or worse, but that it was. And to * shew there was no such great necessity as can countervail the possibility of prejudice the other way: if there do conve such a danger, then the subject is at that time under a law of preservation of life; and all which makes the subject as willng to obey, as to subunit to government in the creation. This law is of an higher force than any pusitive law can be. But admit that this cease in this cass, andrall positive laws of properiy yield to the law of necessity; yet I admit nothing, though I might admit much, and not prejudice the cose.

Though no positive law doth charge, yet in case of imminent danger, if I should say my private property is become public, it is no mischief, for so it is in some cases for in this time of imminent danger, the king and subjecis are under a law of absolute necessity, and public safety. In all human reason, when the danger is in prosima potentia, we thay prevent it ; thus if another man's house be on fire, mine may be pulled down to stop it: so that we may see by what grounds we do go in case of absolute necessity, If the king doth command any thing concerning the property of gonds, in respect of daoger, the execution may not be by any positive lair merely, which in such cases do cease in furore belli; for those are acted by furmalities, and inter arma silent leges. And in these cases, ns the king mny command my property, so may the subject command the property of another: the books are so, a Ed. 4. For hindering the landing of an eneny, bulwarks may be built on my land without consent. So the power is not ouly in the Ving in these cases of necessity, but in the sulject: and the books say not that the power is only in the king, but I can do it, and the law of necessity is the warrant.

Theo, my lords, it resteth considerable in this case, what shall be said to be a time of necessity. I speak still by way of admittance, for I grait nothing.-It mast be in a danger now acting or in prosima potentia; as fire, though not.burning, yet reatly to burn: that is, there must be a war, furor belli. Note, That wheu the ling makes proclamation of war, or the king is in the field; and that indeed was not Mr. St. Jolm's meating, it was taken further than he meant it.

It must be in such addanger, when this power is of necessity to be used, ns in case of fire; there must not only be fear of fire, fir one house must be first actually on fire, betore the house can be pulled down, but withal such a danger, that if this be not pulled down, the other will be lost : and as in case of an enemy, a sulject, out of fear of an enemy, cannot build a bulwark on another mun's land, but when be is a-coming. So that none of these cases will match ours. The property yieldeth not in fear of danger; but such a danger, as help inust come in nunc aut nunquam. This time is not when the king will think there is occasion to evert this power, as in the case of ${ }^{*}$ 1588. Though the queen andstate did command the burning of ibuse guods and provisions, if au ereny londed;' which yas a lawful cornmand, and justifiable to be done, so they did land; but could not command them to burn their corn before an enemy did come.

Your lordships know the king may command in case of danger the destruction of all suburbs, rather than an enemy should come in them, But if thepe be a fear only of wars, if the king should command it, hou far that is jastifiable, I leave it to your lordships judgments. All this difference appesrs not of the case of the Gravesend barge, Duffeild's case, 12 Jac. If there be a storm, or a leak in a ship, that the
danger be actual, it is justifiable for the maste: to throw out the guods; but if he sees a clou arise, and out of fear of a storm he threw ou the kuods, I doubt on a jury which way this wil go with the bargeman; but if a turua do come, or a leuk spring in, in that case the bargenai may do it. So you see upon what law nry property yieldeth. That position generally taken, as it is said, may be of a great deal of consequence; for it doth wot rest there, solely upon yielding of the laws uf property : for all positive laws do cease in that danger : then the positive laws of my literty and person also do cease.

Now, whether or no you conceive all laws of liberty and person cease in this time of danger, when the danger was but conceised and not actual, that I leave to your lardships judgments. And if that rule be general, then why not the other? So we muy see the difference from our case; for in that case there is no manner of loss to the subject, for he shall hare allowance for his loss, or make suit to the paslisment, and they can recompense bim ; for what is takea for the public good is but borrowed, As in case of shipping, if my goods be cast out to save the ship, every one of the ship is to bear a share; so in our case, either the king must do it, or the parliament : so there is no prejadice.

So upon the whole, my answer is, Admit the rule of 'Salus populi suprema lex;' yet the law of practice doth not yield, till there be an nctual eneny, or flagrans bellum. It is not enough that there be but an apprehension.
There were divers other reasons urged, (but those two of 'Salus populi suprema lex;' and of private property must yield to public safety) were the tivo rationes cagentes; whe other were but a pari et a simili; and all those I shall pass cter which were only for convenience, as the grauting of toll, or a corporation to make ordinmes for the good of the corporation: all these will not come hpme in the manner. My lords, in all these coses 'a minore ad majos ' non valet, negativum valet.'
But there are only two reasons urged, which require an answer : The trust that the laws put in the king in greater matters, viz. the shutting of the ports; and the Droit Royal of wars and peace. For the shatting of the ports, there is more difference in point of prejudice of the bing tian the subject. The king cannot shut the ports but to his own prejudice. Again, the shutting of the ports कwithout cause of pecessity, the king lhath the loss as well as we; for by that he loseth his customs, and by shutting them he can gain nothing at all. And besides, there is no law at all-that hinders him from that. But there is a law suith, that he shall not tax the subject without consent in parliament.
The next is the Droit Royal of wars and peace. It is one thing to say, the king can make war and peace; another thiffg to say, he call charge. In war and peace the king is equally chargen with the subject, nay more; and for tiose things there are no great rensons, but that in the first form of government they might be well suffered. For that cause touch-

Ling the king's power orer coinage, there was a necessity to counterpoise the lise thing in another state; in that case the king loseth, and we lose. The king may dispense with penal statutes, and make them as unne. Doth any, laws say be shall not do it ? The reason differeth in that cave; there is a counmon necessity that there should be a poner in sonnebody, for acts of parliument are but leges temporis. It is one thing for the king to have power in point of favoor, and another thing in point of charge; so in case of pardon there is no hurt if he doth pardon; God forbid that he should not have power to shew mercy.
My lords, there are in the case two points mgre which I sball move. Whether or no, admit the king could command the suljects to find ships, he can give power to the sheriffs to make the assessment as in the writ? The ground is upon this, that in all cuses of politic charges the law takes an especial care to make an equality. In parliaments of old,'they were always carefal to make provision that way, as upon fifteenths and subsidies. And in Danegelt they went such a way, as there could be no inequality; they went by taxing of hides. Now if the law doth make this a legal way of charging, it allows the like way for assessment that is allowed in other cnses, such a way as wherein there can be no inconveniency. Now how a sheriff hath that knowledge to lay it on men's eatates and lands, I cannot tell.

My lords, not to leare a power in the king o lay an arbitrary charge, but in the sheriff to lay more of less on any man; though the law may trust the king, yet it is a question, whether it will trust the sheriff. Nay, I ask if the sheriff be an officer of law in this case; yet the king may command any man as well. Assessments are usually made by others, and not so much by the sberiff. So I do conceive that this is a thing that doth properly belong not ta the sheriff, be is not an officer sworn, and it esteth not only io the sheriff, but the underheriff. So that if the law doth trust the king, ret whether or no this be the way to charge it, leave it to your lordships judgments. If a rundred be charged, they have ways to lay it in themselves proportionably.
The next thing is this: Admit a levy may re well-made, whether the money thus paid. may be brought into the Exchequer; by a Sci. 7a. I do think that this is the first writ that :ver was of this kiad, I do nut find it'regularly.
My lords, I think it is hard to find where here is a writ that commands and prescribes be manner of levg. It not only gives you power to levy, but sets the way of levging, by imposition, by distress, by selling; for my part, know uo cuse can match it.
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May it please your lordships; There was a Sci. Fa. brought against Mr, İampden, and divers others, to shew cause why those suins of money assonsed upan them by the sheriff of Bucks should not be paid and nnswered; it beareth teste the 2vd May, 13 Cur, und a Scii Feci returned.
Mr. Hamplen demandeth Oyer of the original writ 4 th Aug. 11 Car. and of the Certiorari, and the Mittinnus, and of their sereral returns. The writ sth Aug, which went out to provide a ship of 450 tims, with victuals, men, ammunition, \&c. that writ giveth power to the sheriff to mahe an assessment upon the county, and giveth power of diatresx and imprisonment in cone of non-payment. He demnndeth Oyzr of the Certiorari, which consists of two parts; the one to certity the sums assessed, the other to cetify the names of the defaulters. And the nannes of thrse that made defaults were returned, and Mr. Hampdea amongst others. IIe doth demand Oyer of the Mittimus, which doth recite the tenor of the first writ.

Upou Oyer of all these, both of the writ 4th Aug. of the Certiorari, Mittinas and Sci. Fa. and their several returns, Mr. Isampien hath demurred in law.

The case that riseth upon the record is thus. The king is lord of the sea (fir that is part of the record) the seas are infcated by pirates and Turks, which commit depredations, and take goods and merchandizes, buth of the king's subjects and others that traffic here, and carry them away into captivity. There is preparation of ahipping and imminent danger, for so the writ reciteth: A danger that the hing's dominion of the sea should be lest, or at least diminished. There was a further danger, that ${ }^{6}$ salus reg. periclitabatur,' whether in this case the king ' pro defensione reg' tuitione maris, ' securitate sabditor' et salva conductione na-- vium,' may coumand his suhjects 'per totion 'Angliam,' by writ under the great seal, to provide ships at their own charge und cost; and this do, when the king in his own juignent conceiveth such a danger, as doth necessarily require that aid? That under favour is the question upon the Record.

There is in this Record, whereof your lordships are judges, four writs. First, 7 hat of 4 th Aug. 11 Cur. which goeth out of the Chancery, for setting farth this ship of 450 tons. Secondly, the Certiorari 9 Martij 12 Car. Thirdly, the Mittimus $5^{\circ}$ Maij 13 Car. And fourthly, that of the 22 Maij 13 Car. which is the Sci. Fa.
The second and the fourth writ, which is the Centiorari and Sci. Pa. they are returnable, the first and the third writ, which is the writ sth Aug, and the Mittimus, they have no returns; hut they give commuand, and reqaire execution shall be done, 'pmat de jure, et seccunduin ' consuetudinem reg' A nglim fieri consnevit.'
The ftrot writ, which is the ground of this basiness, it stelodeth opon twa parts: a preamble, and the body of the writ. The preamble that containeth; first, a direction; and secondIy, the canses and motires of the issuing of this
writ. The body containeth six parts. First, the direction that is to the sheriff of the county of Bucks, nec non unto the bailiffs and burgesses of the borough of Buckingham, and mayor and bungesses of Chipping-Wiccomb alias Wiccomb, and ' probis hominibus' of all the county. Secondly, the motives and rensons inducing this writ, which are nine in nuthber. 1. ${ }^{\text {Q }}$ Quia 'pirate et maris grassatores,' \&c. That these commit spoils and depredations by sea, and take the goods of the king's suljects. 2. Becanse they carry the king's subjects into miserable captivity. 3. Because of the preparation of shipping that is made undique to infest the consts. 4. 'Quia pericula imminent,' \&cc. 5. 'Quin pro defeasione reg. tuitione maris,' \&cc. 6. 'Quia pro debellatione quorund' hostium 'satugent,' \&c. 7. 'Quia progenitores nostri ' reges Angliz dig' marie ternporibus,' \&e. 8. ' (2uia onus defensiovir,' \&c. 9. The most prevalent, 'Quia hoc per degem et consuetu'dinem Anglia,' Kc. The Lody of the writ contains also several manilates to the sheritts und head officers, ' quod fide et legiancra, \&c. ' et sient nos et honorem nostrum dhigitis.' The mandates are six. 1. To provile a ship of 450 tons well manned and furnished with provision, and that was to be in readiuess hy the. 1st of March, to continue for the space of 26 week, 'ad proficiscendum cum navihus nostris, '\&cc. pro tuitione margs,' \&c. 2. That the sheriffs and head officers meet within 30 days, and set down what shill be taxed upon the incurporate towns. 3. $\Delta$ command on the head officers of those incorparate towgs, that withintheir lailiwick they nunke an nosessment upon partioular persons, and compel them to pay the same. 4. A power to the sherilfs to assess all the rest within the county, 'juxtur station ct 'facultates.' 3. A command for the levying of theses sums by distress, 'et quos relielles in' veneris' to imprison they permons. 6. That no part of this sum collected shal! be converted to nury private use; but if any money slall be remainiong, it should he paid anter soltendos.
My lords, the reasous expressed in this writ might justly satisfy any mau's juilguent without further urgument; but I shall clearly nanifest there is no clause or practice by this writ, but is verified by many records, and is ; secun'dum legem et consuetadinew Auglia.'
The question that is made, is of a high transcendeut uatare; it concet neth the king, both in his ordinary aud absolute power. Whetherthe king in those cases, where lie in his soyal judgment shell conceive a necessity for the defence of the realin, may command ships in this kind; whether by his royal power he may do it, or must require the aid per conmune concolium. in the parliament. And I conceive his majesty may do it, not only by his kingly prerogative, but jure majidtats.
This power is not only " inter prerogativa re'gis, sed inter jura suinmae majestatin.'. I find by many recorde, that these writs have issued out in all succession of times; in the times of. the Sasons befiore the Conquest: but I never.
find that this power was judicially questioned in any eourt at Westminster before now. I find questions made touching assessments, whether they have been equal; touching the levyiug, whether within the warrant of the office; touchiug the discharging of some, by reason of a grant of exemption: but to question the main power, whether the king Dy his royal power might command this for the defence of hiuself and the kingdom, was never disputed before now. But his gracious majesty, who hath declared himself, that he will rule his people nccording to his laws, fur the satisfaction of the people, and to clear his justice and judgment, does suffer these writs to ga forth, to which Mr. Hempden hath demurred, and to be questioned in this legal way to be deternined by your lofdshipe, to which I hope you will give a clear end.
My position shall be thus: that the king, as he is king of England, ' pro defenvione reg' tui'tioue marss,' \&ec, when his majesty in his royal judgraent conceiveth it a time of sech danger, as doth necessarily require the aid commanded in this writ, that hie may commund and compel his subjects 'per tutan Augliam' to set forth ships with men and nomutuition and double equapaze; nud this may be tone, as well by the kingswrit under the great seal, as by consent in parhament.

For the pronf of this position, I shall redace what I have to say to theso hends. First, that this puwer is ' inter jura sumume majestatis,' innate in the person of an absolute king, and in the persons of tic hings of England. That this power is 50 inherent in the hing's person, it is not any ways derived from the people, but reserved unto the king winen positive lars first began. And that in this case the king is sole judge orthe danger, and haw this danger is to be prevented and nooided: this is my hrst ground.

The second is this, that the regal power is not confined to the folum advice, that the king must be in cathedra sitting in parliament; but that it hath been alivays duar', cither ' per ip'sum regen, nut per regen et concilium, aut 'per dominos suns, aut jer regen,' when he shall please to call n consultation of merchants and portsinen experienced in the service.-My loids, I shall present unto your lordships, that this power is so inberent $n$, the king, that during the time of parliament, and in those years when parlaments were siming, these writs issucd out by a regal power, wifliout any aid or power from parliament; and that advice was not thought necessary in former times.
3. I shall also shew unto your lordships, that this power is impled out'of the sovereign's tities given unto him by the common law of England.
4. And also I shall insist upon precedents; and herein I shall desire your lordships to take notice that these writs have not issue put at the first any sudden advice; but that there was a great search made: first, by my predecessor, Mr. Noy, uman of great learning and profound judgment; other searches made by the king's counsel and some others; and a great number of records were considered of maturely before
these writs isssed: so nothing was done upon the sudden; and we thist are of the king's counsel did think it fit that most of these records should be cited in the first Argument, by Mr. Solicitor, to the end that the counsel at the bar inight give an answer to them in their reply: many more have been added by Mr. Solicitor, and many more I sliall cite which hav6* not been remembered.

My Lords, in the vouching of these record, I shall observe eight things. (1.) That the records we insist upoa, are not grounded upon any private custom, or upon any charter, or upon any covenants, but upou the laws of the land; and there is not in any of these records any recital that these writs went out upon any of these grounds. (2.) That in all ages before the Conquest, and in the time of William 1, that these writs have issued ' per ipsum regen, per 'regem et concilium,' nud did not issuetupon nny udvice of parliament. (3.) That these records and writs were sent out, not in case of Hannibul ad portas, or an enemy discovered, or sudden invasion; bat upon case of rumours, and in that a danger might happen; so not in approaching of an enemy, but in case of preparation to provide ngainat an enemy. (4.) That the king did command shipping to be set forth in tinse years wherein there were parliaments, and sitting parliaments, by his royal power, without advice of parlianent. (s.) That when great sulisidies and aids have been given unto the king by parliament ' pro defensione reg',' in the some year that writ went forth for the defence of the kingdom. (6) That these aids bave not been required only from the maritime parts, the pante, nor from the inland counties only, but 'per tutam Angliam.' (7.) That many tines when these writs issued, there have been no such causes declared, as hath been in this writ. I shall observe, that in many of these writs no cause at all is set forth in them, but only that they should repair to the place of rendezvous, and there receive further directinns. (8.) I shall verify every clause of this writ by many precedents. A Mandnmus, and not a Mandamus Rogantes, shipping at the charge of the county, and assessments made by the sherifts, as cummoners, and a-penalty_greater, not only distress and imprisonment, bat extent of Innts, seizing of goods, till the king was paid. These are the things 1 sball observe out of the precedents, when I shall come unto them.

- My Lords, in the fifth place, when I have laid these foundations, I shali then dispel those mists thot have been raised, remove those forces that have been mustered, and answer the objections of those gentlemen, that will not be satisfied by the king's writ under the great seal : And in the sixth give a particular answer to the acts of parliament that they have cited, to the records that they have insisted upon, and to the reasons and authorities they have alledged.
In the serenth place, I shall answer their exceptions that have been taken nuto the several. writs, recorde, nnd proceedings thereupon, that have been produced by us.
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And in the eighth place, I shall collect some conclusions and reasoms out of the premises, and cite unto your lordsbips some judicial recoris, that may satisfy your lordahips in point of judgrent. These are iny materials, I shall proceed to the building.

My lords, my first ground was, that this power is inuate in the person of an absolute king. All magistracy ${ }_{c}$ it is of nature, and obe dience, and subjection. It is of nature. And before nny municipal law was, people were governed by the law of nature, and practice did rule according to natural equity ; this appeareth in the Reports of sir Ed. Coke, written by him, when he was chief justice, 7 Rep. fol. 19. I will not take occasion to discourse either of the law of nature, which doth teach us to love our country, and to defend it, to expose the hand to danger, ruther than the head should suffer; nor of the law of God, which commandeth ohedience and subjection to the ordinance of our superiors; nor of the law of nations, which doth agree, that there must be protection from the king, nud obedience from the people; and without defence there can be $n 0$ protection: and without aid of the people there can be no defence: nor of the imperial law, which saith, that in cases pro communi utilitute the king may statuere alone.
My lodss, upon this silject I will confine nyself to the law of the land, and iusist upon such records, and such precedents, and such reasons, and such authorities, as I find buth by records of foriner times, and also in our books.
Frrst, In the origimal government of this nation, I do not find that it was a monaroly ; I find the contrixy, that there was a great number of petty regiments. And when Julius Cassar invaded this reatm, lie writeth there were four kings in Kent ; and Strabo saith the like, lib, 4, so those times will not be material. During the domination of the Romans, which continued 500 years, the Romans bad their prefects here in England. No man will doubt but that they might command what they pleased, Not $i$ tia niriusq; Imperii. fol. 161, that in their times there were special ufficers, called Comites, \&c. Oticery appointed by sea, and other oificers by land. Those that succeeded the Romans were the Saxons: and in their times, both by antient grants, and by edicts of the princes of those times, it appeare that this naval power was cummanded by them for the defence of the realm.

Firss, To begin with king Ina, A. D. 725, king of the West-Saxons. This king in that year made a grant to the abbor of Glastonbury, - Quod, kc. sint quieti ab umnibus regis exac' tivnibus et operibus quod indici solent,' except ' Expedit' arcium, et pontium construc'tionem sicut in antiquo, \&c.' which shews that these expeditions were accustomed to be done. Wuldredus, who was, king of Kent in the gear 742, granted unto lis churches ' quod 'sint liberi ab nomibus secalaribus servitis.' except 'espedit' pontium, \&cc.' 30 in that
grant these expeditions were excepterl. Ethelredus, king of the Mercians, anno 749, granted ' Monasteriis de, \&c.' except ut nupra. So as in those times these services were common, and were done by a 'dictu regin.' 'That the churches should be free from ull services, excapt these three, expedinions of building casiles, bridges and forts; ' a quibus halli unquatu las'ari possunt.' Egbert, an. 840, contamanded a great navy to be provided; and that for the defence of the realm, and safeguard of ships. Ethelwald, that was king of the West Saxons, anno 854, granted to the Church, that it should be free from all service temporal, except 'regalibus tributis.' In the time of king Alfred, who was the first monarch, qud kugg of all Encland; one who was a privy-counsellor in his time, and wrote the story of that time, he, in the niuth page of his book, saith, "(Juod 'rex Alfredus nuisat galleas longns usies et 'cymbas adificari;' and ugreeing with this, is the bistoryuof Asser Meuevensis, Florentius Wigoruensis, 316, and Iluntingdon 351, wherein your lordships may see by the record, it was done ' ex precepto regis per totum regnum.' Thit king made a haw, which is not remembered by Lambert in his Saxon laws, to thas effect: that no man, by summons, by the horn or word of mourh, should sit still in matter of theff, bloodshed, or going to war, whensoever his expedition should ranuire: and there he doth meation it to be upon paio of forfeiture of life.
King Edgar, who stiled hmself Auplia Basilicus, he in the year 959 provided a great mavy of 3,600 ships, ins saith Wigornuensis, and Math. of Westminster; and he gave a command, that every year, at Raster, annovy of 3 or 4000 shoald be set out, and divided into thrce parts, East, West, and North: the ships in those timbs were not so great as now they be. The same Edgar, in the year 973, granted to the abbey of Thorncy nll manner of immunitieg, and that it slowald be free from all services, except those three of building bridges, casties and forts. And the same king, in his charter to the church of Worcrster, granteth them to be free 'ab omnilus 'exactionibus,' except 'constructionem pou'tium, arcium, '\&c.'

My lords, by oll these several grauts, and what hath been done by those kiugs, it doth appear that these three fundamental services* were ever reserved unts the crown, saving tho rants te two or three ableys, whirh had some particular exeruption. In the year 1008, which was remembered by Mr. Solicitor, there was then a great navy provided by king Ethelred. The woid, are thas: ' ' Rex Fthelredus per totam Angliam ex 310 hides, navem unam. \&c. preparare, fecerat, \&cc.' that was for every 310 lides of land to build ane ship; and every eight hides of land to find a nian and a corsiet, and to meet at Saudwich for defence against the Danes. This appeareth in Huningdon " 860 . Matth. of Westuinster 387. Hoveden 420. and Malmabury 106.-In this record these things are observable, 'rex parare 'facit, et rex eos misit?' wen 'per totam
'Angl.' all Eogland was to be charged. By the Glossary of that learned and judicious antiquary, sir Heary Spelman, it appears that - virgata terras continet 24 acras, quatuor vir' gate continent unnm hidam, et quinq; hidas 'feod. unilitar.' Upon casting up of this, it doth appear, that there be in England 363,600 hides of land; agd every 310 hides being to set out one ship, the whole number aunounteth to divers thousunds, 11,072; and every eight hides, to set forth a soldier, amounts to $\mathbf{4 5 , 4 5 0}$ men; but it is not the number, but the matter, that is done by the king's command, 'per ' totan Angliam.'

In the 30th year of king Ethelred, he made an clict, which Mr. Sohcitor caused to be read in court, sayiug he had it oug of an old book ia Cuimbridge, 'Quod instauraut tiel nomber del ' naves jer singulos anuos.' 1 read it to this purpose, th shew that in the 30th year of his reign, there was a naval expedtion to be always ready at Easter, and theweth the peaalty of such ns did depart without licence.
King Canutas, Lanbert fol. 117, 118, ' ex 'sapientuinconclio, \&ke.' ordaised a cominand amongst his temporal laws, cap. 10, 'quod ' pressidin fiant,' \&ec. commandships tn be provided; und fol. 116, a penalty upon all those that refused to pay 120s, which was a great sum in those days.

That which I observe nut of these two were these: First, That they'were made by the king, by the alvice of his lords; that there were to be yearly preparations for shipping; and those that departed out of the service without license, were to incar the forfeiture of all their estates. If these edicts were acts of parliament, they stand unrepealed ; nad if no nets, then they stand by command from the king's power.
My lords, I have shewed you the practice, as it was before the time of William the 1st: He did not abrogate the former laws, but was sworn to perforth them. Nay, it was snid that he did confirm ' autiquas leges et consuetu'dines Anglix.' So then, if these nere the laws, and this the power that the antient lings of Eugland had before his time, he did ratity and confirm it, but not diminish it. This power of commanding of slipping. for the defence of the realm, it is a principal part of the power royal. This kingdom, it is a monarchy, it consists of head thig members, the king is the head of this politic body; it consists of clergy and laity : the huad, it is furnished with entire power and jurisdiction, not only to administer justice in cases criminal and temporal unto his pcople, but likewise for tlefence of both; and he may command the power both of the one and the other. This power I find to be mentioned in the register of original writs, written before the conquest, 197 b . It reaiteth, that - Nos considerantes quod ratione regia digni'tatis maxime ad proyidendum sulvationi reg' ' nosiri circumquaq; astringinur.' It appears hy Stamford, in his Prerogat. cap. 1, that as the bung is the most excellent and worthiest part of
the commonwealth, so is lie also the preserver, nourisher, and detender of his people. I find it in Fortescue, that they have cited; that a commonwealth without this head is but a trunk, as the natural body is a cadaver. I find it in Fitz. Her. Na. Br. fo. 73, or 173, that the king of right ought to save and defend the realm, as well against the sea as agninst the enemies, that it be not suriounded nor wasted.

How is this defence against the sea and enemies of the kingdom? Is the king bound to dofead the kingdom by sea walls at his own chargesi- No ; the power of defence is a superintendent power in his majesty, to aathorize sheriffs and commissioners to see it done, but by his power; yet at the charge of the peofle. Register 127 h . it appeareth there, where the king commandeth the people by his writs; the one directed to the sheriff, and the other to the commissioners, and in boih willeth and comonandeth, ' quod distringat A. B. et al';' to distrain the lands of all those that may receive damage to repair the sea-walls, ng well as the Ter' Tenn'. This writ was before any statute concerning that, for the Register was before the Conquest; and the first statute that concerneth commissions of sewers, was inade 6 H . 6. So it is by the power the king had ar common, law, and not upon any statute: And this was to the sberiff, as well as to conmmissioners ; and that it was done at the charge of the cruntry, and not at the king's charge, Pat: 33, Ed 1, m. 4, dors, agreeth with the Register: The king doth there recite, ' $Q u$ uod ratione dignita' tis regis, \&ec. et per juramentum sunius astricti ' ad provilendum salvationi reg';' and there he giveth power to commissioners to distrain the people to make defence ngainst the sea, at their own charges. Pat. 2 E.d. 2, pars 2, m. 5 , dors. in the case of Wiseman. Rep. 2, fol. 15, the king, ex officio, ought to govern his sobjects in peace and tranquillity. 7 Rep. fol, 9, protection of the king is general over all the kingdom; there is reason why it should be thus: For the king of England, he hath an entire empire, he is an absolute monarch; nothing can be given unto an absolute prince, but is iuherent in his person, as may appear by bouks, records, and nets of parliament: Bract. lib. 2, fol. 55, b. Sciendum, \&kc. *Dominus rex super 'oonues qui ad coronam pertinent.' This appears likewise in the statute 2.4 Hen. 8. There it is declared that this realon of England is.an empire, and hath been so accepted in the world. Stat. 25 Hen. 8, cap. 21, 1 Eliz. cap. 1, 1 Jac. cap. 1, the crown of England is affirmed to be an imperial crown; and acis of parliapment are proofs of the bighest nature. 16 Ric. 2, c. 5, that the king holdeth his empire immediately of the God of Heaven : And at his coronation, bis crown is clevated as a signification thereof. This is likewise acknowledged in the Irihb Reports, fol. 60, 'Rex Angliar est absolutus mo'narcha' in regno suo.' Fortescue snith, the king of England, as well as, any other king or eosperor, hath all the liberties withm this kingdoun 'in imperio suo.' The lav of England

## 

makei. the king of England, not as his subjects eve, a naturnal body, but a body politic, freeth bim from all inperfection and infiraity; he is itmasortal, and never dies; the king ever liveth, Com. 17T, $13 \mathrm{Kep}$. fol, 7, $21 \mathrm{Ed}$. 4, and other records.

My lords, as he is an absolute monarch, so all these, 'Jora summat majestatis,' are given unto his person by the common law.

First, He hath supreme dominion, both by sen and land, as is proved by the Mirror, the greatest part whereof was writ before the Conquest. Some things are added to it by H. Horne in the reign of Ed. 4. He holdeth, that all lands, and all jurisdiction, and all dominion, is derived from the crown: Thet whatsoever was not granted from the crown, remainetis in the person of the king. This Supremum Dominuum is so inherent in the king's person, that if the king grants away his lands, 'nbsq; aliquo ' reddendo,' yet the tenure must still reinain to the king; 8 Hen. 7, 12, 30 Hen. 8, 45 Dyer. This dominion is not only upon the land, but it is upon the sea. And so the king he hath not only a dominion at sea, hut he is 'dominus tra'ris Anglicani;' he is both owner of the sen, and of the soil under the sen. And so it was resolved lately, by my Lord Chicf Baron, and the rest of the barons in the Exchequer, in the case of Sutten Marh, Mich. 13 Car. That the soil of the land, so far ns the sea floweth, is the king's mind the king is seized thereof, jume corone. Mirror 8, Bract. fol. 8, temps Ldw. 1, Avowry, 46 E.t. 3, Corn. 3, b. That not on!', the dominion of the sea, but the sery soil belongeth unto the hing.
In the next place he hath, Desides his anpremun tominium, a sovereign jurisdiction, and that extends both by sea and land.
First, For creation of all his great officers and judges; creation of the Acmiralty, time out of mind. 20 Ilen. 7 , fol. $8,12 \mathrm{Hcn} .7$, foll. 17, power to make justices could not be granted; and all these powers resumed in the statute, as inherent in the crown. 12 Ifen. 7 , fol. 17, there it is said by Fineux, that at the beginoing all administration of justice was in one band, that is, in the crown. And sarely this jurisdiction did nat begin in the time of Ric. 1, when those laws were renewed by him at his return froin the Holy Land; but there were admirals in England, and the admiral law by sea long before. 27 Ed. 1, a famous record in the Tower, that the commissinners for the emperor, Spaim and France, did appear before the king's commissioners, and did ackuowledge the sovereignty of the kiug of England upon the sea did helong unto lim time out of mind. And for farther proof of this, it likewise appeareth in that learned book of Mr. Selden's called Mare Clausuma.
My lorda, the next inherent power of the crown are pardons of olfences and condemned persona, and restitutions, which noné can do but the king himsclf, 1 Hea. 4, fol, 5, 20, Hen. 7, 8.
The next in "jus nummi percutiendi,' a eet-
ting of a royal stamp upon his coin, the advancing of the value of his coin, and the debasing of it. 21 Ed. 9, 6. That the king only can pat a value upon it. 5 Rep. fol. 114. That the king, by his absolute prerogative, may make any foreign coin lawfol money of England, by his proclamation, Davies Reports, fol. 80.
The next, 'jus summer ruajestatis,' is that of concluding war and peace, which is absolutely inherent in the king's person, which he may do without calling his great council, 19 Ed. S, 6, and 7 Report 25. That all the subjects of England cannot make a war, bellam indicere belongs not to the subject. And to make aliens and denizens, is a high prerogative.

My lords, this trust that the king hath for mnking of war and peace, and for the defence of the realm, both by sea and land, it is a great trust, inherent in the person of the king; no man ought to mistrust where the law doth trust.
There is gn objection made, that if it should rest in the poser of the king, he might do it when there was no ground for it, and withous cause; and cause forces to he inustered, and ships proxided where there is no iominent danger, in such a manner, as that it might be grievous unto the people. There are objections clearly against presumption of law ; for in here the law trusteth, we ought not to distrust. The king, as appeareth by all our broks, is the fountain of justice ând piety, and will do justice unto ali his subject-, I Coin. 240. All justice is derived frum the king, 13 Ed. 4, 8. The king can do no wrong. Bract. lib, 3, cup. $9,8, H .6,20$. It is royal power, 'De aver 'corrcction de luy $m$ '' He is the sole judge, and we ought not to quession him, Bracinn, ' Rex non haber superiorem nisi Dens,' 11 Rep. f. 72. The king is the fountain of justice and common right : And the king, being God's lientenunt, cannot do wrong, 17 Ed. 3, 49. The Fing could not be made an instrument of covin and fraud, but the patent was'void, Litteton's Comment. 99, the 5th Report, fol. 14. That religion, justice and verity, are the sure supponters of crowns and diadems, $24 \mathrm{Ed} .3,42$. Stumford's Pleas of the Crown, fol. 72. At the connmon law, the law doth not distrust where the king duth commit one, but that is upon just cause, and so we are not to doubt it, Aud therefore at the common law,' West. 1, cap. 15, a man committed by the king was not rspleviable: Nay, if he was commirted by his council that was his representative borly, he was not repleviable. Shall we then, when the law hoth committed this power unto the king, whin is the fountaiu of jusice and equity, wha is mistrusted by the law of the renlm, and the coinmonwealth intrusts him; shall we think that ancceeding kings will do that which is not fit to ie dose? I say, if the law trust hin, we ought to trast him, At the common law, if the ling commic a mas per bouche, he is not repleviable.

But for a further reason, those that are his delegates or judges, are not to be mistrusted.

## 1025] ${ }^{17}$ STATE TRIALS, 13 Chanles I. 1057 ,-in the Case of SHip-Money.

That which a judge doth, ns in his offioe, shall not be assigned for error. If it be so in the delegate power, much more in the primitive and fiustain. 5 Mar. Dyer 163, the court of King's-bench did receive a rccord of niai prius, the Postea returoed uf the clerk, ind the death of the justice of assize ussiyned for error, and could not be recejied; and so, 1 Mar. Dyer 89, a writ of error to reverse a tine, proat in Dyer. That is the reasou of the hook, $\boldsymbol{T}$ IIen. 7, fol. 40, $10 \mathrm{Iicn} .7,28$. Fitz. IIcr, Na. Br. 196, saith, be caunot assign for error, nor shall be admitted to ulledge any thing coutrary to the office of a judge; as to say, the judge did not give right judgaent, or the clerk did not maku right entries, m. 7, F.l. 1, B. Rs. and that is the reason why a man of non compos ucut is in a fine, and sulf-ring of a eecenvery, it sh ill not he assigned for error agninst the acts of a judge, 8 Rep. Dr. Bonncr's case. Records Ly a judge, nor justice of peace, not traversable Good ny loris, then, if by the lows of this kingdom one slaill not be admitted to receive an averament against any acts done by gour lordships the judges, or agninst acts done by inferior judges ; sucely in this, where the king is absslute judge, it shall not be alluwed to say, there was no çause of danger, or that is done by the king which ought not to be doue. Dract. lib. 1, cap. 21, 'cst in corona regis fal'cere justitian ;' The hing is so alsolutely trusted wirh this defence, that a subject cannot make a fort or castle upou his onn freehold without the hink's license; that appears in the old Mag' 'Char' fo. 162. Inquiry made of those that do bould forts and castles without the king's liceuse, Rot' Parl' 45 Ed. 3, m. 34, 6 Hen. 4, 19, and a book of Long. 5 Ed. 4, fil. 129, that a solject cannot make a furt or castle without the king's license; not in his own ground.
My lords, the king hath so "discharged this trust, that though thece were no account unto the subject, you these ships that have been conmanded were 'ad proficiscend' cum navi' bus nostris.' 'The king hath been at greater charge with these ships going out, than any king of England ever was, as will appear by those vast sums of money the king hath spent in these vears, besides what hath been contributed to it by the subjects.

- My lords, 1 have done with my first position, that it is an inherext right in the person of the king of Eugland; and that the king is the sole judge, both of thee danger, and when and low it is to be avoided.
It hath becn objected, That the king of England may do it, bit how ? It must be according to the institution of the -laws of the realm : there must be a concurrent power, a politic advice in parliament, and so it ntay be done. But the king, either by his ordiuary power or absolute, without the assistance of The great council, he cannot do it, as hath been objected. And therefore in the second place, I shall comie to the secood thing I did propose, that was, That the king, as he is king of Eog: vot. III.
land, that he alone, for this common defence of the realin, witbout the aid of purlimment, may slatuere, ofc. That the king, by the advice of his council nhen he pleases, may do it; that he may ordaia seceral ways by the institution of the common laws, by his ordivance, ly hir procluanstim, by his pateuts, by his writs, und in legal unatters by his juiges. That this may be done by him.

First, It is arreable to reason; for kings were before parlinasents, and then surely they might have done it. As justuce duth dlow from the crown originally, as it was in Muses, so it is in the king of England, only in the kmg's person. But afterwards the sing did depate his deputies, and gave otheis power; thes is no corcent of mine. 12 Ilen. 7, fol. 17, b. pir Fineaus, there was a time when there wire no muncipal laws, when positive laws were ant establiblied, whan king did rule their people gecording to natural eyputy; aun then surely the king might ordain. No man will question it ; since there have hetn positive laws and nunicipal laws, the kings of England they have ordained, as ly thase several records cilud uppears. It appears by the practice siuce the time of Williann 1, that the hings of England in all those writs that they have ordained, have prescribed the timie for issuing of these writs, he numbers of the ships, the times of meetiny, lie manner of munition, and to stay for the defence quamdiu nobis placerct. I have nado a collection of what have gone out by the king bimself, what per regem et concilium, nud what of ravice of his council, and with the adoce of merchguts and portsmen; but they mie so infinite, and so many of them, that I will not troub'c your lordships with the repetition of them.
These ordinances for the defence, they are suitable and agrecable to , the ordinance that the king maketh in oiber casss, where the hing alone doth ordain, as ly bis proclamation. Claus. 24 Ed. 3, pars 2, m. 2, dors. The king by his proclamation commanded all carls, barons, knights, csquires, and other men at arms, that nune of them should depart into fureign parts. Fitz. Na. Br. fo. 85, he agreeth it; and saith the book, He that transgresseth this proclamation shall be fined for his disobedience. And this command may le under -the great seal, signature, or privy-seal; for saith the book, The subject is to take notice.of any of the king's seals; so $m$ all ages he hath commanded no rictuals shall be transported. Chus. 24 Ed. 3, m. 7, dors. 5, Dec. 4 Hen. 8, 11 Ilen. 7, 23. The king granted aproclamation for a Justung; and if one of the two that be fighting be killed, it is no felony. 5 Report 114. The king by his absolate power may make nuy kind of money current by his proclamation. In the next place, the king may ordain by his patent alone. 40 Ed .3 , fol. 17, 18. The king, did grant a privilege to the scholare of Oxford, that they shoold have the choice of the inns in Oxford, which was before there were any fair colleges is Oxford: anith
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the townsmarr, This is my freehold, the king cannot do it, say the judges, This is by the king's pateur, and is in favour of learning, and therefore $n$ good ordiunace. So the justice in eyre may take up the principal inn in n town. Is there any thing nobre usunt than for the king to give power to a corporation to make ordinance for a common gond? 49 Ed. 3, 162. Shall it be so in the crenture, and not in hin that makes the creature? A case or two upor every one of them. Tlie king may ordain by his writ, and that appears 9 Ed. 3, 16, a writ of Cessavit against the teuants of Northumberland. The tenants had heen mightily oppressed by the Scots; they petitioned the king, and said, they were not able to pay their landlords their rent, by reason of thase incursibus ugon them of the Scots, nad desired stay of surt ; and tiere it appearr th, that the king died ordain by his writ, that those uits upon those reasons should not proceed ngainst the temants for non-payment of their rents. Out of the stame reason are the writs of protection.
Then the hing and his council nuay ordain; for that I fiud, in. 4, Hen. 3, Fitz, Iler. N. Br. Dower 179, a writ of Dower there broaght ly a French woman. The tenant of the writ pleaderl, that there was an'ordinance of the king and conncil, ' Quod nullus de potestate ${ }^{6}$ regis Prancias ic.pondeatur de Auglia ante'quam Angl' resprond' de jure suo in Francia; ;' that is, We Paglishmen should not be compelled to ansucr any Freachoun or wonan in a legal way, till the English were answered in Frunce to their suits there. $39 \mathrm{Ed.3,7}$ per Thorp. The king and his lords may make an ordinanee, whech shall be as Lindarg ns a statute. Rot. Fianc. 72 Ed. 3, m. 6. The king ty the advice of his conncil did ordain 'quod 'omnes magnat. et al' qui hahent terras et 'tenementa continue morat', \&ce.' Upon this ordinance I can shew above 40 writs that have gone out to the nobility, clergy, archibhhops, und bishops, and to all the ling's suljects ton that hat houses in the marume parts. Rot. J'runc. 22 Ed. 3, m. 16, nul $50 \mathrm{Fd}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{m} .47$, dors. 24 Ed. 3. m .6 , that of 21 is to the inlands within 16 miles of the sea coasts. 40 Ed. $3, \mathrm{~m} .37$, the like writs awarded to most maritime countics, upon pain of sciaure of their lands and goods. So likewise for provision fur the army: the king aud his council have ordined, both for markets to be kept within such a distance of the aricy, and wine to be sold there, and no where else. Rot. Sco. 10, 12 Ed. 2, m. 13, dors. So they have set down the number of the men of arms that every town should be charged with, Claus. 19 Ed. 3 , pars 1, m. 1s, dors, with a command that they should distrain the connomaliy of that county for the wages -f those men at athes.

My Jords, if the king may at any time of danger, by his proclasaation, hy his patent, by his writ, by the advice of his conscil; surely in cuse of necesaity it is mach more lawful, for necessitas est lex temporia, where a defence by eas ápd land is required. $\$$ Ed. 4, 6. 14 Hen.

7, 29, jurors by law nre to hold together till they give up their verdict, yet otherwise, if the house be like to fall upon their heads. 38 Hen. 7, 11, upon a Precipe, the tevant may be excused, if he could not pass the waters.
My lorde, I find that in legal matters the king and his judges make certain explanations upon the statute of Glocester, as appears by Mag' Char.' And what was done theis by the judges advice, hath the force of a law at this day. So as you see by the Inws of Figland, as well in other cases, ns in cares of defence, the law hath given the king of England this power to ordain for the good and safety thereof.

I find that in all ages, and in all times, the incidents to a defence, as well as this principal part, hath been given to the king himedf, as he is king of England. First, For the Murage of Towns: That the king hath commanded the murage of towns to be done at the people's Tharge; the precedeats are so many, I will mention none of them; and shall he not command for the defence of the wooden walls of the kingdoun? Rot' $\mathrm{Mm}^{\prime} 12$ Ed. S, pars 2, in. 10. The king commands by writ a place to be fortified towards the war; zund every man having reat there to contribute, or to be comnpelled thereto by distress: that was commanded to be done by writ, Pat. 12 Ed. 3, pars 3, m. 5, it appeareth it was donc. The ling imposed a certain rate upon all goods and merchandize that cáme unto Kingston upon Ilull, and commanded thisshould be cmployed to the walling of the town; this was "de volun'tate regis;' this appearcth Rot. Pat. 19 Ed. 3 , pars $1, \mathrm{~m} .12$, there was the sume command for other towns, ns Dover, \&c. The said roll, m. 29, Pat. 12, Ed. 3, pars S, m. 14, dors. a writ fior the repairing of the walls of Wimchester nt the suhjects charge. Rot. ib. m. 15, the hing by special grant gave power to the mayor and burgesses to assess the inhabitants'towards the making of the wall, f.ald the defence of the town. Claus. 1 Ric. 2, m. 12, Oxford was commanded by the king do be fortified at the inhahitants charge. Claus. 12 Ed. S, pars 3, II. 32, the king commanded particular subjects to fortufy their castles at their own charges in time of danger, Pat. 18, Ed.3, m.9, the king taketh the castles of the subjects into his own hands in time of danger, ' ad evitantum damna 'et pericula quae nobis evenire possint.' Claus. 13 Ed. 3, pars 1, m. 36, dors. the king by advice of his council did ordain, that the town of Sorthampton, ' pro salvatione ejusd';' should build a wall.

My lords, if the king may command the walling of a town at the charge of the inhabitants, he máy likewise command the defence of the kingdom by sea ; so for other incidents of defence, as for erecting beacons upon the sea-coasts.cRot. Vas. 11,12 Ed. 3, m. 29, 'de cominunibus in singulis ;' Claus. 1, Ric. 2, m. 4, dors. 'de ordinatione per regem et con'cilium pro vigiliis faciend'.' Sol likewise the king in all ages hath commanded the imbarring of ships for the defence of the realin, and for all
public service; this appeareth Claus. 14 Hen. $3, \mathrm{~m} .17$, dors. all slips arrented that could carry 16 horses. Rot. Sco. 10 Ed. 3, m. 2, dors. ' omnes naves pra defeasione, dic.' Rot. Alm. 12 E.d. 3, m. 23, pars 1, and 12, for the imbarring of ships for the defence of the reahn. So likewise the king cominandeth and appointeth who shall be ooticers, who shall be adiniral of the flger, who shall be Custodes Maris, as appears ' 'at. EJ. 2, in. 7, dors. and in the same roll, m. 10, Pat. 15 Johannis m. 10, Put. 48 Hen. 3, m. 5, Claus. 23 Ed. 3, m. 5, dors. ан an infinite number more. Then that the county paid the charges of those who had Castod Maritim', that uppears, Hot. Fra. 21 Ed. 3 , m. 31, dors. Claus. 13 F.d. 3, pars 1, m. 14, dors. The king when there was cause he moderated the expence. Claus. $25 \mathrm{Ed} .3, \mathrm{~m} .16$ The kiug did order how much, and how long the county should pay for wuges; and commanded the stay of those that could have beer gone before their time : and thys appicareth Yat. 48 Ifen. 3, in. 4. Claus. 48 flen. 3, m. 2, 3. dors. Then it appeareth by many records; that this guard of the sea-coasts was to be according as the king should order and direct, sometimes per regom and sometimes per nos et conciliunn : and this appeareth Claus. 23 Ed. 1, m. 5, dors. Claus. 13 Ed. 3, pars 2, m. 14, dors. Pat. 29 Ed. 1, m. 1.

Sonetume the hing out of bis royal power hath been pleased to give discharges to particular men, to be discharged from this Custod" Maritim. This appearth Claus. 23 Dd. 1, m. 5, dors. Portsmouth discharged, bucause their ships weré in the king's service, Claus. 8 Ric. 2, m. A discharge for the abhot of St. Albans, Pat. 12 E.d. 3, pars 2, m. 8, Pat. 12, Fd. 3, pars 1, m. 11, discharges de Custod' Marit.
Then the power.of punishing dhose that should neglect those commands hath been always in the king, and to be ${ }^{6}$ punished by his commissioners, or by his writs, and that in a thigh manner.
That there have been commands by distress, by imprisonment, by seizure of lands, gouds, and forfciture of all that they had, this appenreth, Pat. 48 Hen. S, dors. Claus. 48 Hen. 3 , m .9 , and a great number in the times of Ed. 2, and Ed. 3. The king hath so far meddled in this business, that though it hath lieen the moncy of the country, yet the kiug hath appointed the pay-mester, Claus. $48^{\circ} 11 \mathrm{en} .3$, m .20 , Claus, $16 \mathrm{Ed} . \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{m} .13$. So nll arrays for mustering of men between 16 and 60, have been in all ages, and, by the king's command, to be in aurd continue in readinẹss so loug as the king shall please, Rot. Almi. 12 Ed .3 , pars $2, \mathrm{~m} .6$, dors. So, my lords, it doth appear by these preccdents that have been cited, by these recorls, and by these book-cnues, that the kings of England lave in all ages given command, and made ordinances by themselves, by their sounc̣il, by their judges, and by their peers; and these ordinances have been obeyed.

My lorie, I promise upion this head to make
it good, that in these times, and in these years, wherein there were parlianents, that though the parliaments did determine matters concerning the land forcts, and the going of the king's army into Scotland, and yet sitting the parliament, the king bath commanded the setting firth of ships ly his writ; this was ever lefi to the ruyal pouser. For the proof of this, there was, the 24 Ed .1 , a parliament, as appears in the printed books of that year; and in that yeur the king comuauded ships by his writs at the charge of ties subjects. Put. 24 Ed. 1, m. 17. Conumand to take up a hundred ships; and in Pat. 21 Ed. 1, es parte regis rem. Ex-chequer-Roll 22. Command pro Custod' marit'. Hil. 9 EA. 2, a parliament holden at Lincoln, anfl yet in the same year arits went out to provide shipping, as appears by Rot. Pat. 9 Ed. 2, pars $2, \ldots .26,1$ find there was a parliament lield 12 Eil. g. This appeareth in the book of statutes, Rot. Scot. 11, and 12 Fd. 2, in. 8, the king recites certuin moads made upon the men in Northumberland, 'et quod de comununi ' concilin,' heid at York, "ordinavimus, \&cc.' ' and assigas the earl of Pemlroke, nod bistop of Norwich, 'ad requirend' Noiff' it Suti' 'juxta discretiones vestras subsidium ficere ©per naves, \&ec. per tempus triam val quatuor 'inensiun.' At ihis time there was a pruvision by parliament for the bing's scrvice by land, and for his arnies to meet bim at Newcastle; and for two reasons why Navale Subsiditin should be necessary. First, to hinder the bringing of may netuals into Scotiand. Secondly, lor the free intercourse of trade. So as you nec, in this year wheicin a parliament was holden, this Navale Subsidium wás commanacd by the king's writ, without an act of parliament; though this writ was for Norfulk and Sufiolk, yet the like was for Dorset, Somersct, \&c. It appeareth likewise 10 and 11 ELC 2, which were those great years of sendmg out of nrits, that then parliaments were holden. And so it doth appear by the printed bouk of statutes; yet in that year of $10 \mathrm{Ed}$. 3. Claus. 10 Ed. $3, \mathrm{~m} .37$, dors. a writ directed to the mayor and bailiff of Bristol, with a command, that all ships of 40 tons ct ultra, should be seized. 10 Ed. 3, iv. 21, dors. command that the ships ,hould be set forth for the preventing of danter, and that no fureigners ships come in to aid he Scuts. M. 21, dors, the same roll ; comnand to the city of Londnn to set out ships at heir own charge. Scu. $10 \mathrm{Bd} .3, \mathrm{~m} .21$, dors. Writs to the gheriff of Bucks to send borsenen and footmen to the county. of Southampton: so there nere men drawn out of their county, and the refiusers there were called rebels. Rot. ib. writs ' Dc Navibus pro Defensione Regni.'
My loris, there was something more obseriable in this year of $10 \mathrm{Ed} . \mathrm{s}$, for some of the nrits that went out bear teste S Octobris 10 Ed. 3, and mention a purliameint, but did not go out By anyordinance of parliament; so that the awarding of these writs $10^{\circ} \mathrm{Ed}$. S , were sitting the parliament, and by the royal power : which is a strong argument, thare needuch mot
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aid, of parliament for the king to command his forces. 11 Ed. S, there was likewise a parlinment, ns appeareth in the printed bookss of statutes, yet writs dated 10 Janurrii 11 Ed. 3, per ipsum rigen, ships are commanded pro guerra super marc. Rot. Vis. 20 Ed. 3, m. 6, dors. proclamation to scveral counties, that all ships be in readiness. In the 12: h year of Ed. 3, there was a parliament at Northampton, Claus. 12 Fd. 3, pars 2, m. 1, the same roll pars $2, m, 32$, and yet the same year the king commanded shipping at the charge of the counties, as appeareth Rot. Parl. 12 Ed. 3, pars 1, m. 12. Claus. 12 Ed. 3, pars 3, m. 29. And in the 13th year of Ed. 3, there was likewise n parliament bolden, as appeareth 12th Ed, 3, $\mathrm{m} .9,10$, but printed statutes make no mention of a parliment then.

My lords, in this record these things are observable, cited, and wade use of by the defendant's counsel; a strong record as any can bé ngainst thern ! In that parlianent the king he did pray the advice of the commnons in parliament touching his war with France, and the guarding of the selt-consts; the commons they make answer, ' Prient les commons que ils ne - counsel doner al choses de quel te pass coun' zance, \&/c.' They say further, and they grant that the maritime towns ought to make the guard upon the sea without wages, and the inland towns upon the land.

Two things me olservable in this record. First, When the hing doth descend so low as to pray the adrice of his commons in parlinment, and ass-tance for the guarding of the sea; the commons disclaimed it, nad said, they have no connuzonce, dec. and yet the defadant's counsel did press, that now the king should nsk the advice of the commons iv parliament; a thing disclaimed by the commons in parlianent $13 \mathrm{Ed}$.3 , to have any cognizauce of. Secondly, That by this record, the maritune parts ought to guard the sea at their own charges: This, though it was granted in their petition, it was not granted by the king; for it appeareth in the same year, Rot. Alm. is Fid. $3, \mathrm{~m} .13$, dors, that the king that year hearing of some preparations in France, rommanded ships for theee months. Claus, 13 Ed .3 , pars 1. m .14 , that in severai counties men were distrained for not payment of wages for the archers and uthers that guarded the sea-coasts. It appeareth by these records, that both the guard of the sex, and the sea-coasts, was done jurta ordinationem nostram, order made by us and' our counci. Rot. Alm. 13 Ed. 3, m. 15 . Jors. the king appointed the archbishop of York, Hugh de Percy, et al', for that purpose, \&cc.

So, my lords, t have done with the second ground, that is, that the king is the sole judge af this without his parliament: That the commons in porlinment have disclaimed to have any cognizance of it: That in the same year, when parliaments were holden, the sidme year these writs have issued without advice of parliament.

- The third-thing I did propose was thove su-
preme tiles, which the common law of Engy land giveth unto the king, which may enforce this. Bract. lib. 2, cap. 24, saith, that the king be is Vicariug Dei; his power, as was ngreed, is jure divino. God is the God of loosts, and the king is a model of God himself. 40 Ed .3 , fol. 18, the king is the chief guardian of the commonwealth. The zheriff hath Posse Comitatus under the king, the king's vicegerent in the county: And be hath this power, not only for the execution of legal process, but for the defence of the realin. 12 IIen. 7, fol. 7, this delegate power of the sheriff is as well for defence, as for the execution of process. Shall the sheriff do it, and not the king? 10 Hen. 3 , fol. 1, B. Hen. 7 , fol. 1, the king is the conservator of the law. 40 Hen. 7, fol, 4. ' Rex est 'Capitalis Justiciarius totius Anglix;' he is not only to maintain justice in the courts of justice, but to protect and defend_his people. Stauforil's, Prerogat. cap 1. The kting is the most worthy part of the bouly of the commonwealth, the preserver, nourisher, und defender of it: And by this they enjoy their laws, goods and lands, 11 liep. fol. 70. b. Magdalen-College's case, ' Rex est Medicus Regni et Sponsua 'Reipublica.' It is the part of a gnod physician, as well to prevent dibenses, as to care them; and the office of a good king, as well to prevent danger, as to remedy it. Com, fol. 130. He is the soul that animates the body of the commonwealth; aud we oughe to move an he moves. 11 Rep. fol. 72. The king is the fountain of common right, therefore we bave no reason to stain the fountam.
I amn now come to my fourth proof, which is by precedents, whercin I shall be somewhat long.


## The Second Day's Angument of Sir JOIIN BANKS.

May it please your lordohips; To remeniber I shewed by chariers, auls, and a great number of precedents, that this royal jower nas in the king of England beforce the Conquest : And that though some were exempted from the setting lorth of ships hy grant unto some particular men, or, some particular churchee; yet these three fundanental services of expeditiun, repairing of castles, and making of bridges, were always exempted.
Then I shewed, by a great numler of precedents, that not only the principal, but all other necessaries that concern the deffuce of the realm, both by seavand land, hath been always commanded by the king's writ: fur the fortifying of towns and castles, and tle murnge of Tiwns, the appointment of adnurals of the fleet, and those that should be guardian by sea and land ; the imbarring of ships, and arrays of men, the erection of beacons, and discharging of some upon just cause, and by punishing of those that were refractory: And nill this, was done ly the kiog's command, ppr iprum regom, or per regem et concilitur, without any aid of parliament.
Likewise, I have made it appear to your lord-

* ohips, that the king is the sole judge of this defence: That the king is not to be mistrusted in the execution of his office, as king; nor your lordships as judges, are not to be mistrusted. 1 have then shewn out of precedents, that in thuse years, wherein there have been parliaments, und sometines sitting the parliaments, writs have issued per ipsum regem, and per regem et concilium.
I slall proceed to make good other particnlars, which I have opened unto your lordships. First, That these precedents that have been shewed, and which I shall shew unto your lordahips, have nut been grounded upon any particular covenant or charter of custom, but upon the law of the land, and upon such reasons as are irreversible, and bind all the king's subjects, as well clergy as laity. For this I shall remember Claus. 48 Hen. 3, m. 3. The writs do recite, 'quod tum milites ct liberi tenentes quam ' omnes aljj, \&cc. ad defensionem regni tenean' tur.' Claus. 9 Ed. 3, m. 11, ' pro defensione 'regni omnes teneantur.' Scot. $10 \mathrm{Ed}$. s, m. 12, ' quis consonans rationi, quod ounnes tangit - per omnes supportari dehct:' And the same roll, $m$. 20. dors.' ex legiantia ad defensionem ' contra hostiles ingressus inimicor' manus ex'ponere adjutrices, \&kc.' Rot. Mlm. 12 Ed. S, m. 1, dors. ' omnes et singuli tenentur, \&c. - Se et sua exponere,' the same roll, in. 12, dors. ' omnes et singuli pd defensionem regni 'astricti.' And I thak every man will acknowledge himself to be hound out of his allegiance. Rot. Alm. 13 Ed. 3, n. 13, dors. ' cs legiantia ' aid defensioneln regni et vestri et vestrinim.' Sane roll, in, i7. And there be writs unto all the bishops of Englagd, ' quod invenerint 'homines ad arma pro defensione.' Francke Almoigue Tenure was no plea against this sevice. Hot. Franc. 16 Id. 3, m. 34. There was a urit directed to the bshop of Canterbury, for the arming and arraying all eeclesiastical persons within fiis province: The like to the bishop of York in the same roll. So it extends to all the king's subjects, as well to the cleigy as the faity.

Nay, ports that were obliged to do particular service, yet in case of extraordinary defence, that theie the writs went out, not only to perform the ordinary services, but services ultra debitum. The Cinque Ports, by their clarter of Ed. 1, were to seg forth 52 ships at their own clarge for 15 days; yet we find by several writs, and in several kings jeigns, that the Cinque l'orts lave heen required to do further services. Arrests have been of these ships, 'Ultra servitium debitum.' Scot. Holl. 10 Y.d. $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{m}, 2,3$, dors. and 28 . dors. there is a command, that all their ships of 40 tons should be arrested for the king's service. And so likewise, m. 22, that all the ships of the Cinque Ports, ' tann majores quarn minores,' should be arrested. Rot, Alm. is Ed. 3, m. 13. 'Omaes ' naves que 'transire poterint,' arrested and brought to the Cinque Ports.

So then, to tell of particular rolls, that these and these towna were obliged to do these servi-
ces; this, under favoar, is no argumient. For al: though they beobliged to do the service, get upos other occasion, the king took all their ships, ultra seroitium debitnom. Claus. 16. Ed. 2, m, 13, dors. The king writeth to divers earls, barous and others in this manner, ' Qood sint ' tam citius quatenus poterint parati,' beyond your service, with hurse and arms, and come to our town of Newcnstle upon Tyne: so as this writ was directed to all the lords spiritual and temporal, and all the king's subjects; not only with their due service, but beyond their servicy to be at Newcastle. So your lordships see the motives, and grounds, and reasous of these writs are universal; they concern not a particular part and sobject, but all the king's sobjects; and they are 'Legiantia sua debita. So that is the first thing I would observe to your lordships, that these writs and precedents are grounded upon the law of the land, and not upon particular custom.

The second thing is this: that all these writs have issued by the king's mandate, either by the king only, or hy the king and his council, without advice in parliament; of which I have made n collection: and it is better for me to attend your lordships withal, than to cite them; because they are above 500, wherein I have distingoished what have been per regem, and which per regem et consilium, und where the advice of particular merchauts and portsmen were required, Scot. 11 Ed. 3, m. Q, dors. 19 Id. 3, pars 1, m. 26, dors. And in these, the advice of particular men were called to assizt the king aud his rouncil.
Now, my lords, if before tine of William 1, and siuce, and for so many hundred years together, this hath been done; shall not these precedcnts make a rule? That precedents that are not agniast the haw, nor contrary to the rales and reasons of the law, nake a law, this uppeareth by 4 Ell. 4, fol. 49. The lord-chancellor sent forth a writ of error. The judges took caceptions both to the matter, and the manner, saith the brok, because it hath been always so; the precedents make a law. 33 IIen. 6, fil. 20, an absned return made by a sheriff; yet because precedents to warrant it, a good return. 2 lic. 2, fol. 7 , where a duty was to be paid to a corporation of mayor and commonaliy, the duty to be paid to the body, and an acquittance to be had from them; but because it had been used the mayor alone to give the ncquittance, a good aequittance. 2 Rep. Ilaines's case; the king shall not part with his interest without the Great Seal; but yet a lease for years, under the seal of the Exchequer, is good by custom. 4 Rep' fol. 9 , that the precedents of the court are good ngainst the express words of a statute. Having so many precedents, I will not trouble you any longer, though I have reierved a special place for angwering of objections; yet such objections as fall materially in the way, I shall give an answer to, though I reserve the apawer to the main ubjection to the fifth place.

It bath bees said, Ly Mr. Holborb, that
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here hath been a discontinuance of time; and that since the time of 50 Ed . 3, none of these writs have issued. Shall discontinuance of time take away the king's right? If there have been no use within the time of the memory of man; yet if there have been an inherent right in the crown of England, thall the crown lose it by discontinuance of tune, contrary to the role of the law ? 10 Hen. 4, fol, 6. Where the king is the foundef of a bishopric or abbey, and is by common right to have a Corody, thuugh not used, and the king hath not demanded it in time of incmory, yet the ling shall not lose it. Fitz. Her. Na. Br, fol. 5, A writ of right lirought by the king, where you most alledge expleis et seisin, will not bind the king to alledge a Seisin in him and his progeuitors : for if once the king had a Seisin, protracts of time shall not discontinue it. 12 Hen. 7, ful. 20. The statute of Mortmaine confineth the lord to enter within a year and ad day; but it shall not biul the king, for lie may do it any time. 35 Hen. 6, fol. 26 . If a villein doth alien his lands, it barreth not the king. Plenarty after six months, no plea against the king. 6 Rep, no disenntinuance of time, if the king hath a right. 7 Ed. 4, $\mathbf{3 0}$. If an alien and nother man purclase lands together, and the ulien dies, the king shall not be prevented by survivorship : and in personal goods, you shall raise no prescription ngainst the king. 35 Hen. 6, fol. 27. There is nn man can pretend a tite to the king's goods, for waifs, estruys, or wrecks ; for no prescription can invade the king's profit.
But then they say the precedents are not in all times; for we have not shewn, nor cantot shew that in all times these writs have issued. -A struuge objection in all times; My lords, it is a casual service. In all times, God be thanked, not that occasion or necessity of this defence. Will you have us shew you precedents for a casual service done at all times? 4 Rep. fol. 10. If a mau hold to do service to his lord, to go with him 'to the war of the king, this is out of the statute of limitation; for it may happen not once in two or three hundred years : therefore the law doth not require we should have a Seisin, for this very reason, because it is casuhl. 33 Hen. $\mathbf{B r}$. Fealty 15. That for hotnage and fealty, casual service, they are out of the statute of limitations: so as now, by the same reason that they would tiefus to precedents where there was no occasion, by the same reason the tenants are to do homage, or go into the wars when there was no occusion. But besides, he is much mistakea; these precedents do not end with F.d. S, 7 Ric. 2, m. 18. 13 Hen. 6, m. 10, 14 Hen. $\boldsymbol{\theta}$, pars 1 , m. 14, a great number of ships commanded then in the king's service.

But it hath heen suid, that the people have always petitioned against it, and there hath been a decrying ly the people; and they bave petitioned in parliament againtt it. And these thin ${ }^{\text {b/ }}$, that nuast be made good by custona, mast gather strength by a convent. And fur-
ther, that when petitions have been preferred, the king hath iot denied the petition expressly.

My lords, I shall shew, when I come to give a particular answer to those records and petitious that they have' mentioned, that notwithstauding these petitions, this service hath been always continued : and for the answer that he speaketh of, that they have mot been denied; these are the very words of the answer, 'Le ' roy se avisera.' We know whether this be an express denial or no. So though the king took time to advise of the petition of his commons, this is no argument, but thut it is a mannerly kind of denial. Besides, in these very years of 10,11 et 19 Ed. 3, the writs went out for the shipping business, by the royal power.
Then it hath beas said, that we can make no precedeots of these; for though writs have gone ont, yet it doth not appear that these writs liave been put in practice, or that any execution of them have been done.-- But the service hath been done, pis doth nppear ly the monuments of those times. Then it doth nppear by other records, that the wages of murincrs have been paid by the country. These very years, Rot. Clans, 20 F.d. 3, m. 6, 7, it doth uppear that some particular men had particular discharges, cither because they were in the king's service, or in Gascoigne, or lived on the sea-coasts; that they pleaded their discharges, and had thein allowed for that reason, $23 \mathrm{Ed} 3,. \mathrm{~m} .14$.
So us, my lords, upon this second ground, that these writs have gone forth thus constanzly in several ages; that there being such a number of precedents, the discontinuance hath ever been when there was no nceasion. That the precedents of the courts of justice make a law, and discontinuance cannot take away the hing's title. This is the secoud thing Ldo insist upon, that these precedents make a law.
The third thing I shall observe npon these precedents is, That these writs have gone forth, not only in cases of an actual war, or in cases of an invasion, when the enemy's flet lath lieen upon the sea; but by way of preparation hefore-hand, when the encroy meant to cone; and in contingent cases, when the king might conceive nuy danger might issue ; but in these cases writs have issued out, will appear, Rot. Claus. 48 Hen, 3, m. 2. The writs are here in conit. 'Cum necrsse sit ad defensionem regni 'esse promptum, kce.' Claus. 23 Ed. 1, m. 5, there were several writs diected to divers earls, bíshops and others, de Custod. Marit. The words are thus," Quia volumus quad 'partes mait' in com' Essex, \&c. contra ini' micos diligenter custodiend. forsan si in partes 'illis veuire contingent.' 24 Ed. 1. Remembrancer in the Excliequer; upon information given, that there were 1,000 men in Flanders made preparation to come unto Yairmouth to burn the tilvo, writs sent forth by the tretsurer and barons ex pfficio, to be in readiness in case there was an ineasion. Pat. © Fd. 2, pars 2, m. 26, writs directed to all the portotowns between Southarnption and Thames, to set forth ships, at their own charge, for the better de-
fence of the kingdora; and against those that commit depretdations upon the sea, as well to men of this kingdom, as to others conning to this kingdom. Rot. Scot. 11 \& $12 \mathrm{Ed} 2,$.m . 8. The king, by several writs, directed to several commissioners in several counties, recited the provision made for his army at land at the last parliament, gnd saith, 'Nos considerantes ' nd expeditionem pred. tam ad impedend. ' Scotos, quam pro custodiend. maris, \&c.' and so commandeth for that purpose, that ships should be pent out of several counties for these two causes; the one to hinder victuals from going iuto Scotand, the other for free intercourse of trade. It appeareth, $10 \mathrm{Ed}$. 3, that the ships of France were not upon our sescoasts, hut were in Britain in France; and yet the king, upon relation that they had an intention to invade the realin, did send forth for the providing of slups in most parts of the realm; this was ouly upou information, Rot. Scot. 10 Ed. 3, m. S0. Vt audivinus; m. 23. Vt intellexmus ; m. 16, 22. (2uod nudit. m. 18 , dors. 19. don. 5 . diors. ' in partibus thans'marinis.' So by these records, this preparatioo of shipping was only upon information. Frauc. 26 Ed. 3, m. 5. 'Qui rulgaris opinin ' reqnum nostrum Anglize invadere,' therefore comuauideth shipf ing by sea, and forces by land. Rot. Franc. 10 Ric. 2, m. 2s, 24. 'Quia ${ }^{\text {' certi rumores quod Fianc. infru brese tempus }}$ ' com nagnis armat' hoc Agnum invadere, \&c.'. comiands the custody of the sea and ceaconsts. So as it doth appear, by the-e recorde, that upon na information, ir conjecture of the hing, be may send forth tliese writs, and cortmatad hiv subjecis to be in readmes, in case that danger misht happen; better so, than to receivg a blow, und then to make preparation for delence; we should buy that wit with repentace. 'Prulentissina ratio, quia timor 'belli, ©.e. preparavn?' And surely when the king secs those preparations aliruad, thinse great armios an adjare nt countrice, ' qui necere 'pasuant,' great itasan we should be in preparation.
This is not ouly consonant to precedents, wisdom of times, policy of statg, 'Venientioc'curere morbo,' but to the reason of our common law. If a man be in fear, that another man lieth in wair for him to do him a mischief, shall he stay till he receve a wound? 17 Ed. 4, 4. In this case" he may buve a writ to bind him to the peace, $13 \mathrm{Hen}$.7 , Tol. 17. If a man have a warranty for his land shall he stay till be be impleaded? No, a Warrantia Chartie lieth till he be impleaded. If lord nad tenant in antient demesn, and the lord shall raquire more service of the renant than the ought to perform; shall the tenant stay till there he a distress tuken? No, he shall have his Monstraverunt, Fitz Uerb. Nat. Br. 48 Fid. 3, fol. 45, 16, and this only upon verbal demand of service, - Shall then the common Inw of England secure the subject not to stay till a present danger, but he shall have his Warrantia Cbarta, and Monstraveryat before distress
taken; and shall not the common law provide for the king, that he in his expectation of danger, may make his preptration against it? So surely these precedents are according to reaton of law.
The next thing that I did observe out of these preccdents was, that in these very years, wherein theie have been uids granted to the crown 'pro defensione regni,' in those' vety years these writs have issued qut by the royal power. Claus. 48 Ilen. $3, \mathrm{~m} .2,3$, dors. There was theo a Icnth given by the clergy 'pro defensione reg' ni:' and yet in that year he did command the defence of the realm, both by sea and land; and that mpeareth, Pat. 48 IIen. 3, m, 6, dors. and Claus. 48 Hen. 3, m. 30. In the 23 Ed. 1, the king had given him in parliamen', 'pmo 'subsidio gueria,' a tenth of all moveable goods, which was to be collected in the 23d jear, as appears, Pat. 22 Ed. 1, m. 2. My lords, this very year, when this was paid, he commanded a great number of slipping for the defence of the coasts, mad thit appiareth, Pat. 23, Ed. 1, in, 6. Writs were directed to divers counties, us Sussex, Southampton, Dorset, \&cc. commanding them to be auling and assisting to Willism Thoraton, in the taking of all the ships in those counties, Pat. 23 Ed. 1, m. 7, ' a Com' Radolpho de Salwicen ad providend. 'de navibus, it:a quod promptisint quandocunq; 'mandanus.' So to be in readiness with all ships in thuse parts, that were of 40 tons. M. B, smue mili-writs divected to most of the shicufixis of Fingland to be assisting to John de Barwicke, to the chasing and cendiug forth of Archers, ad proficisrendum cum tleta nostra.: So ss they were not only brought out of their own couniies, but all the counties of England, 'all profir isco udum.' My lords, this 2931 year, when this greit and of tenths, and part of all the noveables wete gramed, P:ar. 23 Fd. 1, m. 7, the hing witeth to all the archbinkop, carls, asal others, reciting, that lie hath committed the custorly of the sca to William de Stoake, - Ita quod idetu Willielmus vos amnes,' nnoming the archbin-hops, bishops, earls, \&ce. 'prout ne'ccsse fiverit.' So as you see the greatest subject is not exempted from these commanels, but should be ' nuxiliantes, respondentes et inten'dentes.' Claus 28 Ed.. 1, m. 5, dors. The king commanded the bithups of Londou and Norwich, for the safeguard of the sea-cuasts. Pat. 23 Ed. 1, m. 1. A command to all archbishops, bishnqs, abbots, car's. barops, kniphtes and others, commanding them to be uiding to Adaun de G. 'Ita quod ideen Adam compel' lere posset quotics nece-sc, \&c.' So Clinus. 23 Ed. 1, in. 5, durs the like commands. So as, my lurds, in those times, which was is Ed. 1, wlien there was an aid granted by paliament, it doth appear, those great delences, buth by sea and land, were commanded.

My lurds, 10 Ed .3 , in a parliament holden at Notfingham, there was a Fifteenth grouted to the king for ibree gears, and so it was recited in the Record. And it appeaselh likewise, Pat. 12 Ed. 3, p. 2, pars 3, Claus. 12 Ed, 8,
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m. 28, pars 3, it appeareth, that a Tenth and Fifteenth were granted to the ling in parliament; and this was 'tam pro defensione quam 'arduis negotiis.' 12 Ed. 3 , The prelates, and lords and commens at a parliament holden at Westminster, gave the tiug 10,000 sacks of wool, said to the given 'pro defensione regui,' as appears Rot. Alm. 12 Ed. S, pars 1, in. 1. In the same yeur there was granted likewise 'pro sefensione regni medietatem lanarum,' the moiety of all their wool, m, 31, 32. In the same year the clergy, they gave the ling in parliament, 'medietatem lanarum usq; vigint. ' mill. saccar.' ns appeareth, Rot. Claus. 12 Ed. 3, pars 3, m. 13. Itot. Claus. 12 Ed. 3, pars ? mi. 1, dors. And in this yenr the king collected a Tenth and Fifteentb, that was granted to him by the laity in purliament for two years; as appeareth, Claus. 12 Ed. $3, \mathrm{~m} .30$. And besides all this, the clergy gave the king a Tenth, Claus. 12.Ed. 3, pars 3, m. 30. These I cito the more particularly, because no memory them in thie printed statutes. Were all these aids granted, $10,11,12$ Ed. 3 , ' pro defen'sione regni;' und shall the king in those very years send forth writs for the defence of the sea and kingdom? And nay not the kug do it now, when he seeth such great cause?
Now, my lorde, in this 12th year, when all these great aids werc granted, Rot. Alm. 12 Ed. 3 , pars $1, \mathrm{~m} .19$, Walter de M. was appointed admiral of the fleet towards the north, and pppointed commissioners 'nd assidenden villas 'bonis et catallis ad contribuendum, \&cc.' and commandeth all slecriffs and officerss to be aiding and ussisting. So in this leecord it doth appear, that in these 10,11 and 12 gears, ships and forces weie romwanded. Claus. 12 EA. 5, pars $1, \mathrm{~m} .17$, dors. Command by thie king, that the men of Surrey and Sussex should hare their goods seized, and persons imprisoned, if they refused to contrihute towards the charge of shipping. Itot. Alm. 12 Ed .3 , pars $1, \mathrm{~m} .2$. A commission to William de B. and others, 'ad assidendum ounnes homines juxta statum, and to seize their goods and chattels, if they refised to contribute for the wages of nariners for the ships.
So as your lordships see by these records, though there be Aij/s, Tenths, Subsidics and Fifteenths, granted by the clergy and lairy; yet in that very year, if an extraordinary occasjion comes, though ships not upon the sea, the king hath commanded the defence of the sea and land at the charge of the counties. I have. done with the fourth particular.
The firih particular is this. This aid, and these contributions, they have not been required only from the maritime towns, but from the inland counties, 'per totam Angliam.' And this is materially to be insisted apon, because We are now in an inland county, in the county
of Bucks. of Bucks:

My loids, That this was done before the Conquest, your lordships have heard. For Al. fred, the first monarch, anno.827, 'jussit Cim'bas, E.c. per totam Angliase.' Auser. Mene-
vensis fol. 9, Wigor. Floren. Si6, Huntingtoh, 351. That king Ethelred did the like, anno 1008, ' per totam Angliam,' every 310 hides of land to find one ship. Floren. fol. 9, Matth. of Weston. S87, Huntiugton, fol. S60. The decree or council which was held at H. about the Soib year of king Etheired, was, that slips shoold be prepared ngainst Egster. And those laws which are remembered in Lambert, were hefore the Conquest, cap. 10, fol. 10G, 'Quod 'presidii, \&ce.' So it was general tud universal throughout the realin, concurcing with those antient precerlents and council, since the time of William the 1st. Claus, 18 Ilen. $3, \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~g}$. For where a record is to be applied unto several purposes, I must mention that record again. It appeareth by that Record, that Bedfordshire, which is an inland county, was charged with the guard of the sea-coast, and paid for wages : Same Roll, m, 3, intus m. 2, dors. KutInnd, Oxfordshire, Dorsetshire, jnland countie: charged for the same servic. Pat. 48 Hen, 3, m. 7, Caifbridgeshire and IIautingtonshire charged for the like scrvice, and that they should do 'prout per cunciliurn nostrum ordi' tatum fuerit.' 24 Fd. 1, king's remembrancer in the Fixchequer, lot. 77, 78, 79. Title 'de ' ussuciando pro custod. maris;' and writs weut out for ships in divers counties; and amougst others to Bucks. Pat. 26 Edi. 1, m, 21. When there was a compluint that the subjects did suffer upon undue service, the commissions that are directed for enquiry thereof, are directed to all the counties of Eugland, as well inland as maritime. Pat 23 Ed, 1, m.

That men, to fornish a floet, were drawn and comm-nded from the most parts of the hingdon, Rot. Scot. 10 Ed, 3, m. 14. Inland counties charged with shippiug for the defence of the kingdom, as Cambridgeshire, Irüntingtomshite, Nottipghamshire, and Derbyshire. Clans. 13 Ed. S, pars 3, m. 14, dors. nind there Oxfordshire is charged with Custod' Maritin. Rot. Scot. 12 Ed. 3, m. 12, dors. Bedfordhhire, Bucks, your county, and Derbyshire charged there with the same defence. Claus. 1 Ric. 2, il. 18. There Cambridge and Ifuntington were to provide a barge at their own charge; and yet seafaring men there were none. Whits were then-also directed to Nottingham and Derby; thoosh they had no seamen, yet they had money and means to provide ticm. Rot.' Franc. 7 lic. 2, m. 18. The king sends his writs into most counties or Fingland, as well inland as maritise, reciting that the king of France was goue with an army into Flanders, and that Calais was in dauger; and commardeth all knights, esquires, and archers, and every of then, according to his estate and faculty, to be sufficiently arrayed and armed, and come to the port of Sandwich, 'ad prof' ciscend'. My lords, in this Record there was mentioned Bucks, Bedford, Hontington, Cambridge, Nottingham, Derby, Leicester, Rutland, Northampton, and Berks, all these inland counties. The words of the writ are, 'Quod omnes tenentur pro defensione regni, \&\%,
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 State trials, 15 Chables I. 16St.-in the Case of Slap-Moncy.Claus. $9 \mathrm{Ed} .3, \mathrm{~m} .12$, ' per omnes supportari.' Rot. Alm. 12 Ed. S, m. 12, vel 20, quod, sce. All and every of our kingdom, out of the ir allegiance, to be ready to defend the realm. 13 Ed. s, durs. a great number of ships.

By all which it doth appear, First, That the service was commanded from those juland counties. Secontlly, That the same reasoas which are given to bind the inland counties, are given to bind the maritine counties, Pat. ${ }_{28}$ Ed. 1, M. ©, for the taking of ships in Susacx, Dovon, Middleses, and other counties. If so be the maritime cuunties be in danger, surely the inland counties camoot be in safety. We are in an inkand county; and the entiy of an enemy upon any part of it, concerns the safety of us all.' And by the rulee of the law, every one that is to recerve a benclit, is to girea contribution. As the case of 16 II m. 7 , fol. 13, all feoffees, who-e lomds were liable to a statute, the one shall have contribution against aluther. If fuor or five cognizors in geognizanee, all sball have contribution one agaiust another. 10 Ed. 3 Parceners, upon whom a waranaly deocemieth, they shall be equally chargrd. It $a$ inan bind himself and his beirs on an obligation, having lands partly hy his futher, and partly by his mother, and they descend to several heirs, both shall be cqually charget, as it is 3 Rep. Fol. 13, Ilerbert's case.-So I go upon these reasons, that it is consmant to reasom of law, besides these precedents, that where a danger is to all, and all recense a benefit, a!! are to be equally charged.

My lords, to illusirate it by furthre reasons, that though the inland conuties and maritime counties be charged, I figd that the ports, by the eharter of Ed. 1, were to find 52 ships. I find that when the necessity of the service diad require it, then all their ships were seized in the king's service. I find likewise, what when there lath been a disability in the ports to perform the service, as now they are, for then the main part of the trafe was in the port towns, but nuw it is gone fiom thence, and gone to london ; and few poits have the trade, but London, Newcastle, Bristul, and Hull; and shall it not now be required of the island countics, since there is a disabulity in the ports? Rot. Franc. 21 Ed. 1, m. 23. I fud there, that Jlymouth, and some of the port-towns, did bear more than London; for Plymouth found 4 ships, Dartmouth' 6 , Bristol 4, New castle 3, Norwich and Yarmouth 4, London 2, MautlePoole 2, Sandwich 2, Dover 2, Rye 2, Shoreham and Arundel 2, and other places found but one. It appeareth, Rot. Alin. 13 Ed. 3, in. 3, dors. that Yurmouth Jurnished, at their ofvn costs, 4 ships, Kingston 2, Buston 2, Lynn 2, Harwich 2, and Tpswich 2.

My lords, nre these ports able to furnish the king with so many ships in these days to do their service? The wealth of one portsman in those days, was'worth the weatth of al whole town now..

Admit the maritime towns were bound to it : yet if there be $\alpha$ failing of their ability, that
vol. 11.
they canuot do it, sball it not $t$ lsewhere be requircd? That it is agreeable to the rule of the law, befure any commissions of sewers, where particular men are bound to defend the sea-- Lanhe, yct before any statute, in case the man was not able, the scrvice was required from the county: for hy it they might have either gain or hass. This appeareth by the rules of the common law, be fore nuy statute, in case Repistir iol. 123. 'QQod distringat omnes, Ac.' when one man was to mainuin the banks agairst the sea; if not able to do it, the rest that hand beucfit by it, were to be distraised to do it, 5 Rep. fol. 09. 10 Licp . fol. 140, 141, the case of the Isle of Ely ugreeable, that all thay have 'silkatienem et damuiticationem' slafl contritute,-To this purpese are those (wo records mentipurd by Mr. Solicitor, Rot. PaI' 7 Iten. 1s, th. 12, thial where there was a sulnsidy granted to the bing for the defence of the realui, it was assigned to merchants, yetwith a salvo, miless royal prower cane. Kot. Franc. 6 Ric. 2, m. 8, cettain merchants had the custady of the sea, except 'regrilem po' tertatem.'
So the conclusion is, if un extraordinary defeace, there may be no cuuse to go into the inland counties; but ifa ruy,l power, or extraurdinary danger, though not imanineut, the king may require un extraordinary fontributhon, 'per totam Auglian,' from all his sulb-jects.-Dut this hath bren oljected against, and sume record, vouchad; that is, say they, we will shew you many precedents, wheren 'navale sabsidfium' hath been required from ialand colmets, and they have been discharged thercol, as Pat. 2, Ric. 2, pars 2, m. 12, dors. the town of Bevcily petitioned, hecause they were to costribute, being an inland town, touards the fiudug of a ship with the town of ILell, mull were diecharged thereof.This is truth, but not the whole truth: for the town of Beverly was disclarged by renson of a clarer of exemptiongrantal unto them 'in bonor:m S. Johamais de Beserly,' the king's confensur; upon that charter they were disciarged.

They hare oljected likewise the town of Rodmin, an inland town in Cornwal, was discharged 'a custod' marns: For this, Clans. 13 Ed. 3, pars. 2. m. 1.1, was vouched fir it, that the towa was discharged of this contribution.For answer to that, it will appear, that ong Trussel was then actuiral of the fleet, wand was by his commission to be funnistied from the ports at their own charge for three months. My lords, this apppears, Rot. Claus. 19 Ed . S, pars $1, \mathrm{~m} .35$, and so that town, an inland town, was to be slisclarged. My lords, likewise there were other discharges; upon this reason Norwich was discharged from finding of men for manoing of ships, because the admiral's commission did not warrant it, Rot. Scot. $10 \mathrm{ld} .3, \mathrm{~m}, 15$, for it only extended to the ports; bue yet Norwich was charged to find ships, Claus. 13 Edes, pars 2, m. 15. So Colt chester was disclurged for finding of a ship, but
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it was because they vere not within the words of the writ, as appeareth, llot. Claus. $13 \mathrm{Ed}$. 3, pars $1, \mathrm{~m}$. So to tell your lordships a story of a great number of land tenures discharged 'de ' custod' maris,' and not to give your lordships the reason, it is nothing to the purpose.
So, my loris, having verified these five points by the piccedent, and justitied then by these reasons, and annwered these objections, I shall now come to the sisth matuer upon this record; and that is, though no chuse be declared in the writ, no danger maniftest, nor against what encmies; that yet the king by lis writs hath commanded shipping for defence of sea and land; and in the king's wisdom the danger hath been reserved in his breast, and not communicated to his people by his writs.

First, I find that anticnt precedents have been so, that it hath been reserved to the king hinself, and those that he did depate to take care thereof; this appeareth, Rot. Claus. 14 Johan, m. 2, the hing directed his writs to Herbert, with a Mandamus to make ready all ships for our service, when we shall command; not a word of the cause declared, or an enemy proclaimed. The same Roll, in. 6, the king by writ, directed to several parts, causeth all shipis that could carry six horses or more co be sent unto Portsmouih; and the like writs were directed to other ports. Rot. Put. 15, Johan. m. 1, the king oppoints a guardian upon the sea coasts, and commandeth all men than they should be intendentes; and other writs in the same Roll, directed into many counties with a Mandnmus, Claus. 17, Johan.m. 7, dors. Whits for taking of ships, and brunging them into the Thames nouth, without shewug any cause: all this was done in the time of king Julan. In fihe time of Hen. 3, Kot. Put. 13 Ifen. 3, m. 5, a writ commandiag the shariff of Kent and Sulssex to arrest all ships in those crounties to be at Portsmouth, to be ready to go into that service we shall command. And it sppeareth in the same Roll, that these ships were able to carry but six luarses. So Rot. Claus. 14 F.d. 1, n. 13. To our bailiff of Portsmouth, and keeper of our havy, to make ready one good ship, and to be ready to go in our service, whither and when wè shall command it. Rot. Claus, 23 Ed. $1, \mathrm{~m} .5$, dors. The king declares that he will have the sea consts in Essex guarded ngainst the enemy; and there commandeth them to be obedient to such an one, who had the cistody. Rut. Yat. 23 Ed. 1, in. 2. The king writeth to all the neshlishops, bishops, sheriffs, knights, and others, to be assisting unto William de S. who had the custody of the sen. So as by all these, it appeareth the king did give no account to his subjects, either of the scrvice, or the time nhen. Rot. Scot. 10 E.d. 1, m. 13. The king cominanded nll ships to be arrested, and mea and mariners to be sent to the admiral of the fleet, 'ad proficiscend.' The same Roll, m. 5, dors. a matter fit for the council, and not for the people to know. Same Roil, m. 20, that they should do ' prout uobis,' \&c. the king uweth po account to his subjects of these things.

24 Ed. 1, m. 19. The king having commanded E. S. to tuke up 100 slips to for his service, commandeth the sherilf of Northumberland and others to be assisting. Saned Roll, m. 17. A command to the same effect, that all ships should be taken between Lynn and Berwick. It was so likewise in the time of Ed. 2, Pat. 9 Ed. 2, pars. 2, m. 26, ships taken up at the charges of the inhalitants, to defend the sea against malefictors and pirates. Hot. Claus, 12 Ed. 2, m. 11, durs. Writs directed to the mayor and bailiff of Sandwich, to mahe ready all ships withio their port of 40 tons, ' Ita,' \&c. that they be ready within three days warning to go, as we shall more fully declare; but the service that was to be done, not meationed. So it appeared by other writs to other towns in the same Roll, 17 Ed. 2,'m. 11, Pat. 14 Hen. 6, m. 14. 'Rex quia quibusd' urduis causis,' \&cc. doth assign Johu Hoxham to take up all barges of 10 men and upwards. - So in all these times of king John, Hen. 3, E. 1, E 2, E. 3, and Hen. 0 , writs have gene out generally; that the service bath been conçealed; and for instruction, they were referred to the council.-It standeth with reason, for resolutions of war are not to be communicated; bis majesty hath a separate council of war from the booly of his privy council.

Now, my lords, for the oljection that hath been made against the first writ of 4 Aug. 11 Car. that is; that the king hath not declared sufficient cause for the issaing of this writ: The king hath not commnnicated to J. S. and J. N. what the employment must be; be must satisfy the counsel at the bar, which he ought not to communicate to his privy council, but is reseried for his council of war.-This is a writ to command obedicnce fien his subjects, and upou such reasous as may satisfy nny reasonable man; and if fewer reasons, it lad been the better agreeable to all former writs.- For the next matter out of the precedents, which is, that during the times of the sitping of parliaments, these writs have issued out by conmmund from the king, I have made it guod upon my former hiead. I
The last thing I olscrve upon the precedents was this, that there was no clause, no particular in the writ of 4 Aug. 11 Car , but was warranted by many precedents: and that in this thing the king dnth but ' jubere per legem.'

First, for the direction : It is, as in this writ, sminetiries upon one, or ' probis huminilus' of such a county, sometimes the direction is to couvnissioners; sometimes one way, and sometimes another: and of this of the precedents themselves, when your lordships come to see them, I shall speak. They would have the king descend so low, as to give them a reason why he doth it : some reasons are expressed in the writ; as 'quia periculum imminens, quia pro 'defensione reghi, tuitione maris, securitate 'subditorum,' salva conductiune navium,' \&c. My lords, all these are expressed ip the record, 9 Pd. 3, m; 12, Scot. 10 Ed, 5 m, 20. Rot. Alm' 12 Ed. 3, m. 1, Rot. Alm' is Ed. S, m. 13. I find in these writs the same matter, power of
assessment, sonctimes levies by distress and imprisonment ; nay, seizure of lands and tenements, goods and chattles, that are expressed in former writs: and that it was at the charecs of the counties, both inland and maritime, this appeareth, Rot. Scot 8 Ed. 2, m. 9. ' De Nat 'vigio providend.' Pat. 9 Ed. 2, in. 26, pars. 2. ' De Navigia provideudu pro Custod' Maris.' Many more of thicse, Scot, 10 Ed. 3. That the rages of the inen that went in the ships, and guarded thic coasts, were at the charge of the county; this nppeareth $10 \mathrm{Ed} .3, \mathrm{~m} .2$, dors, 60. Men appointed and sent to Portsmouth, nnd they refuse to go without wages; but n connmand came from the kiog, and commanded the cuuntien to pay them wages. $10 \mathrm{Ed} .3, \mathrm{~m}$. 21, dors. And lis predlcessots not to bear any charge whats eret, though ' prodefeasione.' Rut. Alm' 12 Ed. 3, pars 1, in. 2. Those of Lynn, who rchised to contribute towards the charge they were asses-ed by the commmsioners, 'juxta quantutateun,' were compelledto comtribute; sollot. Claus. 12 F.d. 3, m. 8, the like 'procustod' maritia.' I might Le infinite in these particulars, but I will not trouble your lordships.
Here they have made some oljoctions; though to unswer the main objection, I no not yet come. 'They say, this power of rassessing the people for sums uncertam, ought to he no more than escuage uncentain, nud mist be assessed in parhausent: and this nsscssment for defence, ought not to be by commission, or the king's writ. First, for the authority, which is Littleton, he saith, fol, ?0, ' (Que communiter 'dit que escuage screa assesse pur parlinm'.' -I do not find by the Register, where these writs are; neither do I fiod them trounded uporp any net of parliament. Some that are grounded upos acts of parlianent, din recitcthem. Bat what if it he by fict of palsument? A service that is to le doae l.g the tennut to bis lord; what if this be so, tinat it must be nssessed in parlimnent? Your loriships know that the tenants muist do according to the original duties of them. And if this br, thot the lord shall not assess them but in parliament, is that an argument from a tedmant to a lord in this case - - This is a service comtnanded not by tenure, but by a king from his subjects; this is suitable to the reason of law in other cases: for those ancient jids, which the law doth require fir the making of his eldest son a knight, or 'pur file marries;' are not those certain at the common law ? Must there he an act of parliament to assess those aids? The booky are otherwise.--But the king at the, common law might require an aid uncertin, and unight assess it as he pleaced. Glanvile lib. 9. cap. 8, Drit. fol. 57 , cap. 27, Brnct. lib. 4. cap. 16. So ns at thie common law they were uncertain. 11 Mep. fol. 68, D. It is said there, the statute of Wesiminster 1 , cap. 15 , which puts reasonnble nid in certain, doth not bind the king; a fortiopi we must not bind him to a certainty for the defence of the realm. No man can teil What the preparation wuat be, or the charge
thercof. If they can shew an net of parliament that limits the kirg tor the defence of the realm, they say something.

But they ssy the sheriff is no proper officer, not sworn to cxecute this writ. This is as wide as the cther : for, my lords, the sheriff is sworn to exccute all writs that shall be delivered to him for the king's service. And surely this writ, if it come to him, he must at his peril execute it.-First, The dircection of those writs have been many tumes as well to the obeitifs as the commissioners. Hot Scot. Wd. 3, m. 13, Claus. $15 \mathrm{Ed} .3, \mathrm{~m} .17$. The hing commandeth the sheriff of wany comities to funtish men with arms, victual, nind other uccessary procisions, looth for sea und I vid. 23 Ed .3 , m. 5, durs. 21 Fal. 1, rat. 7, 9. Fx' Remen' Regiv 11. The lands of the sherifis and o her officeis were extended, because of their nequence miloing of their duties concerning thorewrits, 25 Bid. 1 , Es' Rcmen' llegi, A coinmission went out to enquire of the execunou of the ollerers in the duty of their places.
Be-ides these sirits at the common law, this is seconded by the authority oi' the common Law. Hegivter i92, or 187 . The writs that go out to the sheriuf (for they go out to the sheriff as to commissioners) it is left to the discaetion of the sheriff or conmai-sioners, as occasion shall require, Register 101. Bie De Pattitione, before any statute was made concerning thu same, that writ went out geu-rally to the here riff; so that in all tines and ages it hath ever been in these cises, where no certainty, left to the discretion of the sheriff and commissioners.

My lorils, for the manner of the levging 'pcr districtiones,' and by imprisonment of thow hat do refive : is this new? It hath been so in all the precendents that have been vouched, both by distress and imprisonment. For the distress : if the king makes a corporation, und gives theso potver to ordain for the common good of the corporation; and if they make an order tior the payment of moncy, and that those that do not pay the sume, slatll be diotrained; is not this adjudged a good ordinance? 5 Rep. fol, 6f, Clark'y Case, Trin. 7 Hen. 7, rot. S. There is a benevolence granted to Ed. 4, for his voyage into France ; ople T. R. did deny payment, and he was distrained for lis proportion.

They except to the penalty of the writ. The penalties of former writs have gone higher. Inter Commun' in the Exclequer, there sas a Mandamus to assess those that weré employed in the provision for shrpping; and the Mandamus was, "sicut nos et honorem nostrum et 'sulvationem regni diligitis.' In that roll this is so often remembered, Rot. Scot. 10 Id. 3, m. 11, dors. 'quod, \&c.' their lands, goods, and chattels to remain seized in onr hands. And m .2 , under pain of forfeiture of life: 11 Ed. 3 , in. 2, to cast thove in prison that did refuse Rot. Claus. 12 E.d. 3, m. 18, dors. Writs directed to Heary Hussey, and others, to puaish those that refused to contrilunte; aud to imprison them, and to scize theif lands and goods into the king's halds. Claus. 13
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Ed. 3, par, 1, m. 36, dors. to seize into their hands the lands and tenements of the refusers. Rot. Fraic. ${ }^{2} 1 \mathrm{Ed} .3$, par, 1, m. 11, the kiug conumands stips, under pain to lose lite, and ail their estate. Rut Franc. 10 Rich. 2, m. 23, to imprion thase that are contrary, nuder foriciturè of all they had. So as your lordships see Mr. Holluoric was sery far nistuhen.
My lords, in the next place, they have laid hold on the distauce of tume; they say there were setern pontlis betwexn the Teste of the writ, nud the time of the crulle arour; that the king in that time nighe hase called a parliament, und there might lave been an sid granted, and the scrvice perfirned in a parliamentary way.-But they :ngy remember the 40 days teetwien the 'theste and the rethrurof the writ fir summmug i p parliament; then the time spent in primentag of a S ewher: the solemnity used belore thay lo ginathecr gnimal committe; ther rending of a bill thrice; the de-. bate about paring of i: in bath hauses leffire it be granted; and uffer all this be done, and the parlhanent ended, a time for the leveng of the money must be bad; and whenit is leanel, time for the return of it ; and when it as returned, time for the supesting of the money; and the preparation will go slowly on till the money be returnod. 48 I!en. 3, m. 4, dors, There was a command tor gaarding of the scaevarks. Claus. 23 Ed. 1, m. 3, dors. The port of Yarmouth commanted to tind ships for a certuin time. Rot. \$cot. 11, 12, 13 Ed. 2. They are put down in that roil, me a, that there was a command for a Navale Sulsidium for three or four montlis.
So an, my lords, for the time of preparation and for the nme of the contrmance, it 'sath ever been retiered to the wisthm of the king. My loril, for the Spanish inxnsion, that hati been so late in our manory, I fiut by the brohs that are kep: in the comucil-chamber, that the preparations were in October 1587, agaumst the coming of the Spauistr feet i. 1.1588, which did not ut f.rth till Inne : I find no parliament calted that ye.r. And li.y letter, mud orders from the conucil looard, tlope - hips. and defence that was maxic, uas ail sumuliwn of tiee saliject.- So, my loris, by this that hath been saill, it dotḥ cipprar to your lordslipp, that there is not any clanse in this writ, cither for the direetion, hootinss, maulates, or petmatics, but are warru,ted by firmer precedewts in a ligher deerre.
My loris, the-e are the preccdents that 1 have collerted, nod reducel to these several he:ids, I thall now remember ut your loridslips daers others. And in the first place observe, that Willian 1 , came not to abrogate any former law, hat was sworn to olscerve ' Al 'tiquas kever Anglicanas,' that appearech in Lanibert, fiol. 125, prout. so sery ama by this law, that nas bue a confinmution of former lavis, must proyde ' pro viribuis et faciltati'bus.'
I find by the grant that William 1, made to his abluey of Battel of his onn foundation, a
charter to be free from Danegelt 'et omnibus 'auxilis.' If they had not been freed, they had been subject. 1 find Pat. 7, Johan. m. 5, the hing authotized Walter Scot not others, 'quod oumcs naves, 8 c.' which they should find, to arrest, zudd command all to assist, as they love us and ourt peace in our realin. 14 Jolian. m. 6, as your lordships lave heard, all the ships were arrestell, that could carry six hones, nad to be at Portsmouth. M. n, nill tho stips ma the port were to go in has service, without expressing for what, and nulade. Clans. 12 Johan. m. 7 , dors. conmmanded all ships to be brought into the Thumes nuvth. So here was not a laying down, bat a contanuance of it. So in llen. 3 's time, Claus, it 1hen. $3, \mathrm{~m}$. 12, clmbs. all shipstaken that could carry sistcen hores. Clins. 15 Hen. 3, m. 17, dors. Command for the furnidlung of armis, men with netuals, and other provisions for forty days. And here was the lite command to sherifs in sceral cuanties. Clans. 20 IIen. 3, the king commandelf the men of Yarmouth to hove their ships ready with men and arms; the same roll, to find ten shipa to go to Picardy. Pat, 13 Ilen. $3, \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{s}$, dorn. Writs to the several porttuwns, that no ships should go beyound sen, but nll to stay at hone. M. 5, dors. Those that returned from guardine the sea-consts without leave, were ponished by seizure of yoods und chateds. M. 1, sanie eoli, tlars. Provision to be makie tull farther oriets be had. So it was not contined to time, but occasion, as need slouid require. And there be divers others in the time of Ilen. 3, upon other orcasimns, which I have reniemicred.' In the tinnt of E.d. 1, 21 E.d. 1, m. 23, it appeareth there, that nill the purc-towns neec appofited by the king and his council, how muny slips every one of them siould set forth. Röt. Vas. 22 Ed. 1, in. 11, durs. The hing of Fugland in that writ stileth himself Doninus Regni Scrtix, \&ec. and sends Lis writ to the king of Scothnd, to let him know, the king of France had tahen part of Gascoigne, an interity nce of the crown of Singlaud, that he should, 'in fiale et homagio,' be at London mith ho se and arms, \&cc. This writ is very observable, the king of Eneland is Superior Dominus Scotix. A part of Gascoigne was then lost. The king of Scotland was required by this writ, as well as requested, to gine hain aid for the recosery of those grounds taken from him in Gascoigne. My loris, this'power is not confined ouly-to England, but it reachecth, as Great Lun 1, into Scotliand. Also into Ireland, Vasc. 22 EA. 1, m. 5, dors. The king by his writ coumaumieth divers earls, and others in England ,and Ireland, to do the like, to send men to Iondon wirh horse and arnis. The same roll, $\mathrm{m}, 13$, dors. All that claim to lie of the liberty of the port so commanded. Pat, 25 Ed. 1, m. 1, 5. 7. All ships of 40 tons were to be furrisisied and provided for the king's service. (lans. 23 Ed. $1, \mathrm{~m} .5$, overy man is compelled to contribute. The same roll, m. 4, those that did not in bubit maritime towns, yet if they bad lands there, they nupt contribute,
resident or not resident; within or without the liberty, all must contribute.

My lords, in that writ, which is Clous. 23 Ed. 1, m. 5, dors. I will observe these things: 1. A comnand to all bishops, abbots, lords spiritual and temporal, 'quod sint intendentes * et respondentes ad custodiam maris.? 2. In contingent causess 'causa, "kc.' 3.' The writ saith, ' quod onves ad arma, \&c. secundumstatum, \&c. ad trauşfretandum cum nolus;' and possession of goods and Innds to be taken for the custody of the sea, as in former timen, they were accustomed: so it is to be done in this manner as in times past. 4. The writ was directed to several sheriffis, 'per corpora, bons, et terras,' to distrain.

Next, 24 Ed. 1, m. 15, The king commanded the unchbishops, bishops, barons, and all the commonalty, to defend the maritime parts. Cluus. 21 F.d. 1, m. 19, 'pro custodia marit.' There was ancther of Symon do Spencer, which I remembered before. 24 Ed, 1, rot, 76. Another ot the like, Pxt' Remem' Regis, (laus. 25 E.l. 1, m. 26, dors. The hing moderateth the expences of the country when the danger censeth. Claus. 25 F.d. 1, 13. 12. The king commandeth the sheriffs of several counties, and others, to bring all the ships to be ready for our service, when we command. M. 26, The like command, 'De custodia marit.' Pat. 31 1.d. 1, in. 20, power given to Thomas de B. to raise forecs in Cumberland to resist the Scots; and those that did refise, to seize their goods. In the time of Ed. 2, Claus. 2 Eil. 2, m .21 , the hing commadeth divers lowns to set out ships aginint the Scots; and after, by special writs, some of those were discharged. lot. Pat. 9. Fil. 2, pars'2, m. 6, 1'at. 16 Ed.2, mi. 11, a writ dirccteil to sir Thomas Westou and othess, to array all between 16 and 60 , or to take their landx and goads, if ahyy did refuse. Pars 1, m. 7, of the satne roll, Claus. so Fd. 2, m. -, the kilg dow there declare that those that stay at heAne aught to contribute to set forth slips, and for the wages of the men enployed. Claus, 20 F.d. 2, in. 6, writs directed to the scholars at Oxford, they were not exempted, but comuanded to beep Southeate safely. Rot. Vasc. 18 Ed. $\mathbf{g}, \mathrm{m} .18$, The king writeth to the archbinhop and others, command-- ing them to have horses and men in a readiness, as often as need shall require. For time of Ed. 3, Claus. 2 Ed. 3, m .13 , and m. 32, dors, to Southampton, and to several other towns for their shipping, above 40 tons. Par. 3 Ed. 3, pars 2, m. 6, the king commandeth the sheriff of Cornwal! to distrain knights and others, that abide not upon their lands in maritime parts, and to imprison. Those years of $10,11,12$ and 13 of E.d. 3, having been remembered, 21 Ed .3 , The king, concerning the defence of the sen and sea-coasts, gave special rules to be observed, both for the number of the ships and the men, and the quality of their persons, and for the proportion of their wages; as appeareth, Pat. 21 Ed. 3, pars 1, m. 26, 27, where there was special order takea for the
guarding of the sea and sea-cossts at the charee of the inhabitants. Rot. Frauc. 21 Ed. S, pais. $1, \mathrm{~m} .11$, command to the sheriff of London to arrest all ships in London to be yent to Calais, to resist the enemies ngainst us then about to come. Rot. Franc. 25 . Ed. 3, m. 9, the king reciteth, that France made a preparation to invade the realm, and gave a power to some to raise forces; and commandeth the sheriffs to raise the Posse Comitatus, to assist the cotnmissioners. Pat. 26 Ed .3 , pars 1, m. $\mathbf{7}_{z}$ the king, by his writs to sereral counties, come manded all men between 16 and 60 to be in readiness to resist the Scots. Rot. Franc, 25 Fil. 1, m. 31, comranading all officers and mimisters to assist Andrew de Gulpho, in the raising of forces for shipping. So as in that roll likewise, your lordships sce that the inland counties were connmanded for shipping. Hot. Franc. 28 Ed. S, in. 6, the king uppointed Ro. Co. and Ro. th. to a.rest all ships of 20 tons and upwards, between such a distance, and to bring them to southampton. Kot. Scot. 29 Fd. 3, m. 19, several writs were directed to the bihhops of Durhain and Carlisle, and others, for the arraying of men. Rot. Franc. 40 Ed. 3, m. 37, the kiog sent furth divers writs, con.manding 'quod, \&ce. with all their forces, they should nssist to the sofe keeping of the sea-consts, to resist the malice of the encmies. Rot. Franc. 50 Ed. 3, in. 47, dors. command to make pioclamation, that all that have land opon the sea-coasts should repair thather with their families. So in all ages, and at all times, writs have issued both forthe defence of the sea and land by the king's. command. It the time of Rich. 2, Rot, I'arl. © Rich. 2, m. 42, that was objected as a record against the king, but maketh clear for bin: 'Que dit que le roy persons assembles, ' en parlianuent, est desire de vivere del re' veneus del corone car escheats marriages et 'Torfietures sont pur le defence nostra royalms.' The king answers, "Le Roy volet de fairs in ' ceo case come per de advise des seigniors, \&cc.'

Your lordships see they desire of the king, that he would live of his revenues, that the profits of escheats, wards, \&c. might be kept for the defence of the realrt. The king giveth them this nuswer, That he will do in this case by the advice of his lords, as shall be most for his honour and profit: So no reason to make any enforcement out of this record, that the profits of escheats, wards, \&ce. should go for the defence, because the king makests no absolate denial unto it, saying, that he will do se he shall be advised by his lords. Rot. Franc. 7 Fich. 2, m. 18, that the lords beyond the seas be arrayed and armed according to their state and faculties. Pat. 8 Rich. 2, pars 2, m. 15 , a command that all between 16 and 60 be in a readiness. Rot. Franc. 10 Rich. 2, m. 23, arrays through all England. And so in the time of Hen. 4, Rot. Parl. 5 Hen. 4, m. 84, for the arraying of all men throughout England, and those that were impotent, and could not go, to contribute unto it. ${ }^{*}$ s Hen. 5, m. 36, dors, Pat. 13 Hen, 6, m, 10, general commit-
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sions for the arresting of ships, without deglaring'the cause. P'ut. i4 Hea. 6, assigned Job. de N. to arrest all shaps 10 the port of Southampton, to du service as the huig shall counmand, there was no cause declared. Pat. 28 Hen. $6, \mathrm{~m}$. g, 13, commingions to array, and thoso narayed to keep in array, with diligent watches into several counties. 1 Hen. 7, pars 1, the king writeth to sir Fitz Ilugh, to array archers and horsemen.

So that it appeareth by those precedents in all ages, that those defences which have been made by seq and land, are not confined to port towns, and maritime places, but 'per tutam 'Angliam.'

In the next place, I shall give a particular answer to some objections that have been made, as have not fallen in my way; and to the acts of parlianent, reasons, records und brok-cases, urged by the other side.

## The Third Day's Argumext of Sir JOIIN BANKS.

May it please your lordships; The matter that I did propose to imsibt upon this day, was the answering of the objections. I shail use no preamble, no repettion to introduce what I have to say: thet in the answering the oljecrions, I shall fist pive answer to the several acts of parliament invisted upon, then to the several records aud rensons that have been urged on the nther side. Ant in the last place, I shall answer the exceptions that have begn taken to the writ, 4th Aug. 11 Car. Mittimus, and form of proceedings.

The first act they lave insistel upun, is that of William 1, cull it what you will, an act or a charter. The words of it are verbatim in Mat. Paris, 'Vulumus et concedimus, quod ombies ' liberi homines sint quieti ab omni tallagio,' \&ec.-It doth no way trench upon the royal power: for as in the beginaing of my argument, I opened to your lordships, that this power was inherent in the kings of Eugland before the Conquest; lere is only a concession that they shall be free 'ab omm mjusta exac'trione.' Now this is no unjost exaction, for it is of common right. And then the other part of that law doth explain it; for it doth say, ' Quod sumus fratres conjurati,' \&c. so for the defence of the realm. By the same law they would urge to take away the power, by the same law it is reserved.
The nert thing they insisted upon, whas the charter 17 Johan. or on Magna Charts, as they* call it; which indeed is mentioned in Mat. Paris, and may be under the great seal. The words of that are, • Nullum scutagiun vel ${ }^{6}$ suriliun nisi per commune concilium, nisi ad 'primum filium militem faciend' et maritand' 'ili', dec.'-This charter, as it was acknowledged by themselves, was granted at Running Mead, where the banners were displayed, when there wes war or rebellion between the' berons, commonalty, and the king. It was not nssented uato, the king sitting in parliament : for patlimanots are aot called with arms, and ia the
field. It was, in truth, an inforced act from a distressed kiug. Shall this bind the crown? I shall remember the act of parliament made $15 \mathrm{R} . \mathrm{d} .3$, and there only were things that were in parliament enacted derogatory to the crown, as this 15: That no pecr should be questioned but in parhament: That nus great ollicer be reremoved but in parliament: That no cleregman shall come before temporal judges. These were things that were much detogatory to the prerogative of the king: 15 Ed .3 , That king the same year, whien lie was better advised, did nake a clarter which is in print, for the recalling of thes prejudicial act of parliament still in force. It appeareth by the parliament-rolls and priuted books, where the king declareth it was drawn from him with an unwillhg mind, and was prejudicial to the prerogaive of his crown; and therefore by that clarter it was repealed.

But, my lords, if ti, t Charter 17 Johan. should be in force, why hath there been no confirmation of it in so many parliaments since? The statute of Magoa Chart.1, 9 Hen. 3, hath been confirmed one and thrty t.mes; why to comfirmation of the charter 17 Johannis? And why have we not beard of it since that tume? The rensou for it is, that it trencheth too much upun the prerngative of the king and crown. But take the words as they are, what he they? 'Nullum scutagiums vel nuxilum nist per con'mane conciliom reg. nostri.' If these were an act, doth it extend to take any thing away that belongs of common right unto the crown? And that hath been the exposition of ryy lords the judges of nets of parliament: That aids Jue of cominon right ${ }_{3}$ are not taken away by geaeral words, 'Commune, \&c.' And therefore these aids due of common righ, as this is, are no way taken away.-Besides, for the sti. tute of Magna Charta, it is made 3 Hen. 3, c. 29, ' Nullus liber homo capietur, aut impri'sonetur nisi per legein,' \&ce. The gencral words of this act of parlament do no ways impeach the royal power, for this royal power is 'Icx terre.' Besides, in these precedents, is Hen. s, 15 IIen, 8, 26 Ilen. 3, 48 IIen. 3, and all the succeerling king, remembered in all of them, that these writs went out to provide shipping at the charge of the inhabitants; so, surely, if they had been taken away by Magna Charta, the writs after Magya Charta would not have used it.

But thicn there hath been objected, the statute De Tallagio.non Concedendo. If it be 25 Ed .1 , as it is printed, or $34 \mathrm{Ed}$.1 , or as the Petition of Right doth recite it ' temp' Ed: primi,' be it when they will, under fat our, there is nothing in that act doth take away this power: The words are thus, ${ }^{\text {' }}$ Nollum tallagium 'vel auxilium sine voluntate episcopor' bardh' ' butgens', \&ke.' Mr. Solicitor, in his argument, upon probahle grounds, did mike question whether this was an act of parliament yea or no: 1. In respect it was not ifrrolled atuongst other eets of that time. 2. Because by the penning of it, it many seers rathof to bé aid alo
stract. 3. Because when the other acts of those times weit sent over to Ed. 1 , to be scaled and confirmed, no such act was sent over.
My loris, I will not lay hold on this, but will adinit with them that it is recited in the Pettition of light to be an act of parliament: so I will admit, yet to wave nothing that hath been sald, but by way of admittance, 1 give this answer.
Fint, That it taketh nway no aids that are due by the laws of the realm; yet the words are general: ' Nullum tallagiem vel auxilium ' nisi assensu parliamenti, \&cc.' Here is not in this act of parliament so much as any exemption of an aid to knight the king's son, or to marry his daughter; yet in this the law is observed, that these aids are not taken away; and so it is declared, 25 Ed .1 , cap. 11, which doth reduce these aids unto certainty. So as your general words of 'nullum auxiliun' will not do it, if this be an aid duc by the laws of the land.
Then I say this is not properly an iid, but a contribution of king and people for the defence of the realus; it is 'ad proficiscendum cum na' vibus nostris:' then I say this power is 'inter 'jura summa majestatis, one of the highest prerogatives of the king, and shall never be tuken away from the king: 17 Hen. 7, statute 'Quia emptores terrar' doth not extend to the hing to take away his tenure. If you will have such a high prerogative taken away, you must shew it in the acts of parliament. Nay, my Lords, I say that in the times of EJ .1 , this royal power is expressly reserved by act of parliament to the crown ; and therefore in after-times never intended to be taken away.-Frrst, I shall inforce it out of the sitatute of 25 Ed .1 , c. 5,6 , ${ }^{*}$, hat doth recite, that nids and taxes that have been given unto us towards our wars, and other business of our own grant aud good will, howsoever they were made, might turn to a bondage, \&c. We have granted that we shall not draw these tafes into a custom, \&c. and do graut that for no bosiness henceforth, we shaill take such manner of aids, but by a common consent of the realm, \&c. saving the ancient aids due and accustomed. This aid is not to taken to be an aid, for this was never given the king of Yugland, bat taken by royal power: thę statute of 25 Ed .1 , speaketh of such uids ns have been given, and excepteth such aids as have been due and acicestomed. And by the precedents shewn, it appeareth these have been due and accustomed; It hath been desired in 'fide legiantie,' and with a Mandamus : 2 Ed. 1, cap. 1, this statute doth confirm the Great Charter, and the Charter of the Forests; but in the end of it in the Parliament-roll, that notnitmanding oll these things before-mentioned, both the king and the council, and all they present at the making of this ordinance, awill intend the prerogative of his crown to be saved unto bim,
A further answer to the statute De Tallagio

- Vide les parols del Statute.
non Concerendo ; the practice that hath been since the time of Ed. 1, in the time of Ed. \&, Ed. S, Rich. 2, and the practice since, doth shew plainly, that it was never intended by the statute to take away this royal power. But then there was a thing materially objected, if the records would have warranted what had heen said, and that was, Rot. Parl. 29 Ed. 1, and then it was said, That though there be a saving, $25 \mathrm{Ed}$.1 , and 28 Ex .1 , yet here is no saying in this act; sp then if not for the act 29 Ed. 1, all was lost. My lords, to this I say, - Nul tiel record.' And therefure I shall desire, that this which they call an act, $29 \mathrm{Ed}$. . I may attend your lordships with. By this record it doth appear, that it is only a record of the perambulation of the forest, and no repealing of any former law; neither is any thing enacted by that law derogatory to the crown.
The next s'atute objected, is 1 Ed. 3, cap. 5 . The words are these: That 'no man shall be clarged to arm himself otherwise than in the tine of the king's progenitors; and that none be compelled to go out of his shire, but where necessity requires, and the sudden coming of strange enenies within the realm.' So this statute is relative to what hath been formerly done: What hath been found done formerly, appeareth by the records of king John's and Ed. the first's time, that the suljects were to set forth shipping at their own charge; then those writs went out in king John's nud Ed, the first's time, as hath been shewed. And then this statute alloweth in two cases, one where necessity requireth, the other upon coming of strange enemies; and this writ requireth nu other, but where there is necessity, in the king'o judgment.
The next statute is 1 Ed. 3, cap. 7, which was objected, where men at arms were conveyed into Scotland and Gascoigne without wages ; the king saith, it shall be done so no more.The statute mentioneth Scotland and Gascoigne, foreign wars, and so foreign to this bosiness; for though Scotland was subject to the dominion of England, yet it was a divided kingdom. 8 Rich. 2. Continual cloim. Com. S76. That a fine shall be paid by a stranger, becnuse he wat in Scotland at the time of the fine levied. Bract. 436. An abjuration into Scotland is good. 6 Rich. 2, Protection. 46. That Scotland is out of the realm + therefore this statute that spenketh of Gascoigne and Scotland, speaketh of foreign war, not of defence.

The next statute is 18 Ed. S, cap. 7, imen of arios, hobellers and archers, shall be at the king's charges; the statute speaketh likewise of going out of Engtand. But he that is upon the defence at sea of the kingdom, it is no going out of Englend; for that see 6 Rich. 2, Protection, 40. The sending of men and ships.for the defence of the coasts, is no going out of England.

The nexy otatute is $25 \mathrm{Ed} . \mathrm{S}$, cap. 8, no man shall be compelied to find men of orms, hobellers or archers, otherwine than those that hold of suich service, without cunsent.-MI lords, this statute of 25 Ed .3 , doth not take
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away any former laws. These three formen statutes of $1 \mathrm{Ed} .3,18 \mathrm{Ed}$.3 , and $25 \mathrm{Ed}$.3 , are recited in the statute 4 Hen . 4, and it i enacted, they slaall be tirmly holden and kep in all points; so if these statutes must be kep firmly in all poiuts, then the statute of 25 Ed. y doth not repeal any of these. Now that of 1 Ed. 3, cap. 15, reserveth a power to the crowr where necessity requireth, aud where sudden enemies come. 26 Bd .3 . Those ships were sent forth, and commanded for the defence of the realin, at the charge of the subjects, Hot lranc. 26 Ed, 3, m. 4, 5, Rot. Frnne. 28 Ed. $3, \mathrm{mn} .6$. So as clearly there is no part of this power impeached by this statute of 25 Ed .3.

Then they have uljected, Rot. Parl. 2 Rich. 2, m. 3, That upou a cuuncil of a great many carts, barons, and sages of the realu, assembled by the king's privy-council, it was theic declared what danger the kingdote was then in, and that moncy was wanting; they declarod they could not remedy these miseliefs, without charging the commons, which could not be done but by a parlianent.-This is no act of parliament; it is but a parley, or discourse, or communication between the lords and commons; it was 2 Rich. 2, in the nou-age of a young prince, who did not ansent; for there was no royal assent unto it, so no proot in this case.

The next record they oljected was, Rot. Parl. 9 ltich. 2 , m. 10, there sas a tenth and a balf, and a fifteenth and a half granted to the king, upon condition containcd $n$ the schedule; which is, thut the kiug shiculd assent that the great officers of the hingdom should De named by parliament ; and servants appoiated for dispence of the money. If the king doth accept of the subsidies and aid upon condition, duth this take away his royal power? There is no more done in this than was in the parliament 21 Jac. for there the officers and treasurer were appointed by the houses of parliament. But then further it doth appear, that this was granted, ' pro viagin Johannis regis de Castile, \&cc.' so that it was not grauted for the custody of the sea, but for that voyage.

The next record they insisted upon was, Rot. Parl. 8 Hen. 4, m. 2, a tenth nud a half, and a fifteenth and a half, granted with a protestation, that this should not be brought into example. -This is nothing, no more than the uther. A parliament grants a subsidy, with condition it should be thus and thus employed; and the protestation can no ways prejudice the crown in this.

Aud 7 Ed. 4, Rot. Parl. m. 7 , hath been objected; there the king's speech is cutered upon the roll, that he will not charge bis subjects but upon great and weighty occasions-My lords, this is nothing but a graeious speech of the king to bis subjects, that lie would charge them hut in such cases us should concern the defence of the realm.
The statute next objected was 1 Rich. 3, cap. 20, that the subjects from henceforth sball in wo way be charged by any such exaction or
imposition. This is no benevoleyce, but a legul due.
Next they object, the statute of Tonnage and Poundage granted to the crown for the defence of the realm.-First, In answer to this, I saty, that there is no net for Tonnage and P'oundage that is now in force, neithor are any duties taken to the crown upen any net of parlament. Those ncts for Tonoage and Poundage that have been granted, make for the crown. And therefore, if your lordhips look the statute 1 Eliz, nud 1 Jac. it was given towards the king's charges, for the delence of the realm and saleguard of the sea: It was given towarde his charges, it was not intended of extraordinary defence. So my lords, these acts, when they were in force, dide give this but towards the charges. It is sonow ; for this which is done, and those contributions levied, are but towards his charges : u.t that will appear upon account, that his majesty tor these three or four years hath expeotid more upou the sea than any of his progemtors.-Besides an acknowledgment in these acts, that this defence could not be done without the intolerable expence of his majesty; these nids are of necessity, and aro not to be lacking at nuy time.

My lords, in the next place, they insisted upon the Peution of Right, 3 Car.-It was never intendeld, that any power of the king, by his prerogatioc, slould be tahen awny or lessened hy it. 1 diare be bold to affirm, for 1 was of that parliament, and was present at the debate, that there was never a word spoken in that debate of taking nwny any power of the king for the shipping-business.--Besides, it is declared, assented unto, and denied by noue, that there was no intention, by the Petition of Right, to take away the prerogative of tha king. The hing thereby did grant no new thing, bat did only confirm the antient and old libertien of the subject.
My lords, these were the arts of parliament that have been objected und insisted upon by the other side.
In the next place, for an answer to scandaous objections. It hath heen said by Mr. Holborne, of these statutes of $25 \mathrm{Id}$. . , and $28 \mathrm{Ed}$..1 , that at the times of muking these 'aws they were positive, no such Solvo was in hem of the king's prerogative: that the acts. before Hen. 4, were penned by the king's council, and those clauses of a Salvo crept in by the ting's council.-These were bold and precomptuous assertions of the acts of parliament mane in that time of Ed. 1, that there should be any clauses added by the king's council, that hodid not be added to the record. I have hera he l'arliament llecord, that these exceptions are ecorded as fully as àny part of the rest of the ecord, and those laws confirmed since : thereore to make any such assertions against reords, ought not to be done; be may object the ame agaiust Magna Charta, which is for the berty of the sulject.
In the next place they have oljected out of be Parliament Books, 33 Ed. 1, that upou a
petition made to the bing, to bave. restitution of noney taken, that the king did ordan the treasurer should give satisfaction. I shall desire it muy be read, and you shall sce, those moneys for which direction was given for satistat wot, were for goods taken for the hing's tise. Kot. Marl. 33 Ed. 1, fol. 105, dois. ' per 'scrutin' pro guegra, \&c. Re-pons. per regen, - Hex ordmavit per concilium quod -ntistactio ' fact' tum cito quam poterit.' Su tha record was for unneys taken for the king's use, therefore reas in satisficiom should be given, PernmLulat' Fonest' Hot, 20 Ed. 1, de Libertatilus Augl. 13 Febr. Lincoln. So here is no tahing away of any tinmer act of parlament; it referreth to whit shall be futurely amended ond icvoked.

The next they objected'was. Rot. Parl. a Ed. 2, m. 3. The fryars of St. John's at Jerusalem diul petition to have satisfaction of 2,3541 . takea by the king out of their treasory. Now, because thin record was vouched two days together, 1 desise it ualy be read; finl upon the reading it will appear, to be upon an ther purpose, 'Sur' le floy, \&c.'. there was cuuse and reason why the king shouid make satisfaction.
The nest record was, Pat. 26 Fal. 1, m. ?1, and that was hughly magnutiad by them: that there were several commissions went out to enyuire of gramminilhus, of wools, and of other patuculars, 'de custod' maris;' and in this it w.is affirmed, that, as nel the king's counsel tonk their notes, these claueco s erc onitted out of the writ that concerns the forfeiture of lands, foonls and chatitis, or seizues.-This commisbian matheth nething to this purpose, for like commbsions daly come, where there are taxes Luid upou men licazer than oughr to be. Then u commi-sion to euquire of grievances in this hind, wherein an answer of any thang unjustly taken shall be restired, but ngt a word to imjearh this royal pourer. Anil, my lords, for the penatios in thice writ, 21 lai. 1, on. 90 , power to seize she lands and poonds of the refincers, 20 E.d. 2. m. J0, nader forleiture of all their goods, 10 Ed. S, m. 5, turs. Claus. 12 E.d. $3, \mathrm{~m} .18$, dins. that the penalties and commands were as high in this commassion as before.
The next obyectuon was, thiat the kings of Eugland hase always consulted with their parhament concerning the defouce of the realn, und that the aids and sulnodics for defance have been grauted by prriament.- This is no argnment to impeach this royid power; for if in time of war the king will consent to ir, shall this take away his royal power? In the times of Edw. 8, and Rich. 2, did that take away the ling's royal power, that be may not ouflam standards of the muney himelff: He may by his royal power erect courts of justice; shail that take away this from his power, because the court of wards was erected by couse of parliament?

Next they ohjected, Rot. Alın. 12 Ed. 3, pars 3, m. 22, That Ed. 3, was so penitenit fur what he had done, that he sent to the urchbishop of Canterbury to pray for him; and that the poovol. H.
ple would forgive him for laying thove taxes upun thein, which his war compelled him unto, and he wuuld never do tire like egaip,- You shaull see, it ans unly to pray for him for his royage into foreign parts, (and he caused the record to be roed, beginuing thus; 'De excu'sando regen versus populum,' and ending, 'de graraaninilus') dated at Berwick upoo Twe.d. Your lordships see nothing hy this record, hut the desire of a prayer; first, to pray for the bing for his voyake lieyond sens; the other, concerniag the changes und impositions. Surcly thas coutribution commanded in the shipping husiness, was none of these charges, tailliges, or impositions. This his desire to the archishopp was not only in the 12th year of his reign, bnt the like in anno 25, 26, and 50; so surely those prayens of the archbishop were for other canses, and nut for this, which was for the dolence of the rcalm.
. Next they do ohject Rot. Franc. 7, Rich. 2. m .13 . That the king assipned Tonvage and l'oundage to Iitary earl of Northumberland for guard of the seas.-My lords, it doth appear by the very record itself, that this was only for na urdinary defence, and not for an extraordiaery define.

Theat ti.ry insisted upon the Parliament-Roll $15 \mathrm{Hcn} .4, \mathrm{~m} .43$, the office of measuring of limen-cloch, a half-penuy upon the buyer, and as much apon the seller, and other fees upon long-ctoth: the parliament, 13 Hen. 4, declares it to hee a void otice, and that accordingly judgnent was given, 13 IIen. 4. Out of this lie would conclude, that thercfore there should he no new oflice, and that an office granted with a fee is void in law.-For nnswer to this : Fust, The reason why that was a void grant, was this ; it appeareth, 4 Ed. 1, that the oftice of mestsurage of all woollen und linencloths, was one entire office. If the king will grant that to auother man which did intrench upon the frrmer office, a void'patent; therefore a strange conclusion, that becuuse this office was rold, thenefore no new office to be gianted. 22 Iicn. 6 , fol. 9 . The office of surveying the packing ot atl cloth, a good office. 97 Ilen. 8, iol. 28. The king granted to one to be his surreyor, a good ollice. Fitz-Her. sai:h, because it had no fee, therefore it was a voill oflice. And now at the bar it is said, because it hath a fce, it was a bad office: If this reason may hold, all antient offices may fall. 31 Ilen. 6 , ouice to be marslint of the King'sbench; 12 Ilen. 7, 15, to be warden of the flect. Nay, it taketh down all, offices that hare been erected for the public good, and upon jurt occasion, as the office of Subpcenas in Chancery, Star-Chamber, \&cc. All those within lime of memory must be shaken by this.
In the next place they object, that these contributions, they are in sabstance inpositions; and that the ling should not impose upon the subjectsby his charter, or by his writ; but it tuust be done by, common consent in parlia-ment.-Your lordships bave oliserved in all my discoorse, that I have not insisted any way
$3 \mathbf{Y}$
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upon any power of imposition, neither is it the question in the business: For no man's property is invaded, no seizure of any man's goods, unless they- incor it for contempt; antil by a wilful contempt, the subject may lose his property. Therefore, Dyer, fol. 16, and 15 Eliz, tol. 296, if the ling will conmand his solject tocome ipte the realm, and he will not, he shall forfeit for his contempt all hisgoods; or if he be attached to appear in the courts of justice, sud not appear, he shall forfeit his gootis, is Ilen. 6, 49, 9 Hen. 7, 6. If a man will wilfully coutenum the king's comman! by lus writ, be may be distrained; this he incurre th not by an anvasion of his property, but in respect of his contempt,
Then they alledged Rot. Parl. 50 Ed. 3 ,'m. 24, the loid Latiner, he was sentenced for persuading the king to lay impositions on the people. My lords, I have looked upon the record, and there the case of the sentence is drelared that he himself' laid the impositious, and did take upon him royal power; and thercfore he was justly sentenced.

And for the sentence of Dr. Manwaring, it is nothing to this purpose. 'I his writ denieth not the property to be in the subject, but sath, the subject hath the property; and therefore commandeth the sheriff to distran him if he will not pay.-And for the commission 2 Car. for the borrowing of money tor the Palatinate, this was for the recosery of the lialatinate, and not for the defence of tie resha; anl besides, it was called in by special onder.
In the next place, they objected and shewed divers recurds, that the kimg loth 'paid thie wages of divers mariners and soblders. And 1 do agree it. Is that an argument that he nay not conunand the matiners to be sent at the charges of the county to furnish the kiug's ships? This is against the records that T have rememhered. So hitenise they have citel 21 Ed, 3, rot. 77, Ex parte Remen' Rcgis. The king communded the constable of his cas:le of B. to build ships, and the king to pay fire them. So he doth at this day; he bath beaft the Sovercign of the Scas, and paid for it.

They have objected Dr. Conell's book, which was called in. I wish they hald road the proclamation: there are threc causes exprensed First, Because he had writ thans derogatory to the crovin. Secondly, For -- And Thirdly, speaking irreverently of the conumna law. Just like to thesmen who do not spare to wade into all the deep mysteries of Frinces, who are gods * upon carth.

For their objection, that the hing hath a rcvenue belnging to his crown, for the defraying of all ordinary and extraoudinary cliarges, and for the guard of the sea, as Tc nures by KnightService, Escuage, Wards, Marriages, antient Demesn, \&cc. Tonnage and Poundage, Service of the Ports, and IProfits of the Sea. My lords, it is not fur us that are lawyersto.lopk into thie secrets of the $k$ 'n 's's revenue; he hnth high officers, as tressurer, and under-treasurer, that look to the secrets of hin catate, and they
know well whether his ordinary or extraordinary revenues do answer more than his annual expence. The story of Actron might deter men from loching into the secrets of priaces.

For his zenures, that Knight-Service tenure was originally instituted for the scrvice of Scotland and Wales, 19 R ch.. , Fitz-IIcr. Guard. 165, and Old Tcume, fo. 16. The duties of Tounage and Poundage are not given now to the bing ly acts of pallament; and when they nere giren, it was for the great charges of this defence. And liesidss, those acte of Tonngge and I vundage only conerrn the ordinary defence: the sendmg forth of the 75 ships out of the Cinune-Ports, it was but for 15 days, at their own charges. And ior the Irrofits of the Sea by sturgeons, whales, \&ic. is it a proper defence for a kingion? And for the Service of the Ports, you may remember liy the records shewed, they weec several times commanded ' ultra scrvifium del,htron.'
But then they have grauted one rase, and, I think, hut one; that the king may ordain a Toll in a fair or market, or gramt pontage, or the lihe, because there is an 'ad yuod dam' nem,' and threnpon shall be an ingury, 'si 'patria gravetar.'- The hing may ermit a fair, without an 'ith quod damaum, 'it in his judyment, fe.

Hot. Siot. 1 E.t. 3, m, B, A wit directed to the treasurer ta, pay firr the shmpthy at Jarmonth. My lodd, 2 , loth particularly :ppear in the recoid, that J. S. nas atmural, ant geing inte Scothad; no the difene wis fira a foregna war.- It hath been mightily insist (! upon, that bere weedeth no command to flunioh singe, by the bere's whit; escry man, by the matinct of nature, will do it, where threre is a necescity; no need of a rnyal poncr to command it, Sur.ly the argunent is marle by the people, or to please the poople. Wiat will the consequence of at be, bet the introdicieg of a danocratical gouernuncat, what acry man shall be his uwn definder? 'Ite "touf of hants chane caj tans and leaters to so teome lis peopice, and commend them. Bit to give the perte this luberty, that every man thall do as lie plemacs, and nyibe a defence by an instinet of mature, is a strange positian.
But it hath been stinl in these rases, it is better to sustain a mischiel than tun inconseni-; ence: by this isconvencure ciery man's proparty is taken away fromb lim, as otun as the king pleascth, aur in what proportion he pleas-eth.-This, thenieh a mevim in law, yot it greth but to particutars: but the loss of a kingdom is both loss of tiberty aqde estate; this is not to be treckoned nonung the mischiefs, fur this mischief restroycth both head and members. Therefore I do marvel to hear the rule of Mr. IIolborne, Suffer a mischief rather than an inconsenicice.
The next objection was the Parliament-Roll, 2 Hen. 4, m, 22, 'Pur fuire des bnrges;' this was the petition of the commons, that the conumissions granted to burroughe, cities, and towns, for building of barges, should be re-
pealed. The king's answer for the present is, They should be repealed; but for the future. for case of necessity, he fuuld advise with the Jurds.-It doth not appear that these were granted for the building of any ships for the detience of the realin.

These are the objections that hare been made out of the acts of parliament, out of the records, and reasons they have insisted npon.Now I come to their exceptions und objections against the writs and pruceedings in this natter.

First, they say, there was no sufficient dauger represcated by the writ 4 Aug. 11 Ciar: They say a supply by the Mittimus coines too late; and that the words of the Mittimus are not a good aflirmative, ' quia salus regni perclitaba'tur.' And it doth not agpear there was any dauger, 4 Aug. 11 Car.-For this 1 have given it an answer, that it was fot necessary to represent the dauger in the writ. The hing has seciet intelligence, he bath his spics abroad, his ambassadors beyoud seus; he knows the danger, we buow not; nay, he hnows that which is not fit to be discorered, and those dangers by preparation perhaps diverted another way: it is not fit by a public writ to reveal the danger. Bat, my lords, for the salisfaction of his people, he bath expressed suflicient cause ennubh in the writ ; 'Quia salus regni 'perichabatur.' They say there was no danger represented at this time when the writ went out. 'Jlat is mistalien, for the writ of Mittunus doth recite the writ \& Aug, and that sath, 'gurnd yuitem pradones, pirats, \&es' which shems that danger nais the cause of the 1ssaing of thesewrits.
Then they pxcept at this word, salus ; it is a physical word, and sightieth leselth, and you must have no meraphors in writs. Suely the grammariaus tell us, that salus is tahen 'pro 'incolumitate,' as well for srifty as for lieath. Metaphous ure asual in writs; 1 dare he hold to speah, there ate nure an taphors on the liegister than m any book: Regster ol. Timla, sc.
Then they hate left no stone uurolletl in this case: now they say the hing's testimuny, by his writ, is insulticient for that. Unter favour, the teste meipsu is without exception; we are bound to gave credit to it. 1 Eliz. fol. 105. ' Ne exeat regno;' the king nflirms J. S. will go beyond the sea, saith the book, this averment of the king in his writ is not traverseable, you shall not aver against it. The-case remembered by Mr. Solycitor, wras mistaken by Mr. Holborue in the answer, IIil. 20 Ed .1 , coram rege, Rot. 14. He saith, theso word's vouched in the record were but the sayipg of the king's counsel, and not the opinion of the court. Clear utherwise, for it was the saying of the judges; and then agreed, 'Quod domi' nus rex est superlativun record' et proex' cellens.! Will your lordships give credit to the marshal of the king's host, to the certi)cate of the captain of a company, if the men be in the king's service, as 11 Hen. 7 , fo: 5 , to the certificate of a biahop, as in case of bas-
tardy; to the certificate of a mayor and atderman, by the recorder, as $5 \mathrm{Bl} 4,$.90 , and will you not admit of the certificate of the king by his Mittinus?
The next exception was taken to the Scir Fac' that this Scir' 'ac' ought not to go forth for this debt; nud gave two reasons for it. $\mathrm{M}_{\text {rst }}$, The writ of 4 Aug. doth direct a form of levying, which is by distress, or imprisoning those that are rebels. Seconally, It is ryi debt to the king, and therefore ought not to be levied by Scir' Yac',My lords, for this, this duty is a duty to the counmonwealth; it is 'pro defensione regni, the'sauras pablicas respicit regena' whosoever slall detain any public duty, he soay be questioned by the king, as the heend of the body polticic ; for that it appeareth, 37 Ass. PI. 17, it was declared that J, S. and J. D. had levied 100 marks ou the county for the array of certain arelicrs; which money did not come for the profit of the king. Out of which I observe two things.- First, This money that was for arcliers: the money was levied on the body of the county. Secondly, recovered by an indetment at the king's sut, 27 Ass. II. 17, 11 Hen. 4, fo. 2. The fees of the knights of the shire that serve in parhament, they are reckonal annong public duties; therefore the goods of a stranger may be taken within the town to pay thase fees, if the money be not paid; the distress may ber sold, for it is fur a public duty, 11 IIcn. 4, 2. So are the books: Regivt. 19, the king may contnand the sherillis to lery thene fers, as well within his liberty, as (xtra. 1h1) 23 Ed. 3 rot. 57 , coram rege. Jaratores Ifundred de S. they make a presentment thàt J. S. anrl J. D. chicl constables of E., puid wages to archers which went not beyoud sea. So as by this record it appeareth, that these public duties are rerowerable at the suil of the hing, 'qua: ad opus domims regis.' Pat. 14 Ed. $1, \mathrm{~m} .1,14$, the harg conmande. b an account to lee tahen of the murage, and how the sums levied lave lieen employed. P. 15 F.d. 1, corm rege i0, dors. Kippon was hesiegell, they gave hootages; promise made by the town that iese hostiges should be redeemed, they were not : complaint is made to the king, and it came to the King's-bench; and these moneys being 7001 . that was promised by the town for the bringing back those hostages, was ordered to he pand, becanse it was for the public serrice. So for other things that are 'pro com' muni utilitate, inter Communia.' IIII. 5. lib. 4, rot. 4, Aurum Reginit, due unto the queen, may be levied by procels out of the Exchequer in the king's name, nothing more usual. E' 'his Scir' Fac' is grounded upoy the whole matter, the writ 4 Aug. the Certiorari, and Mittimus; and commandeth that the defendants shall shew cause why they should not pay the moneys assessed upon them for the public service.

My lords, I have done with the Objections. I shall come to the judicial records, 21 Ed. 1, ' Ad çustodiam marin.' Berks, an inland county, refused to contribate the names of those that made default, were certified into the Ex-
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chequer; it appeareth by the records, that procesq went out of the Exchequer in the strictest manner, 'A capias in manas,' of their lands, tenements, grods and chanttls; and that their bodies, with horse and armour, be sent to i'orismouth; for besides the doing of their service, the seizure of their lands and goods, 34 the same year, Eac. Remem, Thesaur. Of the other side, J. de S. gises iaformation to the Chancellor of the Exsherguer, and barons, in nbsence of the Lord Treavaicr, of the preparation of men in Flanders (this being remembered before to another porpose). It appeateth that after consultation had, they did resolve to sead forth two writs, one was to the town, the othrr to the T. H. Custin Maris, to call all for defence of the maritime, \&sc. Exc. Henem' Kuyic, 24 Ed. 1, Rot. 80. Ilenry Hussey was seized of the manor of IV. in Berks, iee was assessed to find a horse 'pro custod' marit:' If complained in the Exchequer, that he land not the whole manor, and yet he was assessed to find a whole horse ; he chid not come and say, I ought not to be taxed, but submitted to the poucr, and desired a manorly contributi.a. 23 Vd. 1, Rot. 72, the abbot of Robeitshridge's case, remembered on hoth sides, divers thees : under favour, the joining of the issue-in the recond is a very full prout in the cause; be lormath a replevin ngaint J. S. for tahag hiv goods in an inland town ia Kent; be pleadeth the contestation between our king and tlie king ".: France, and Leighorne assigntd berper of the sea, that the plantiff was asse-sed unto $7 s .7 \mathrm{~d}$. anno 9 ?, to 13 s . unno 23 , to 15 s . and the defendaut being collector did dietrain; the plaintiff did unt say in bar of this, that he ought not to be tased, but that he was ass "ssell "and merentrod, Acr. for such luads: thie defendant suith, the plaintiff holds other Innds in the county, unel fior that land lie was assessed. Now this doth atmit the poner of taxing. Hil, $16 \mathrm{Fd} .3, \mathrm{Hot} .23$, coram reve: The jury of Sotfolk did present that J. Russel, and others, 8 Ell. 3, were hobbellers, clected in the humired of '1. and stand at home. They plead, Nut Guilty. The jury finds that J. Ilus eel did per form the service, Lut J. S. did not perlurm it, tierefore committal to prison, and paid a fine un:o the king. By this recoril it appe arch, the money paid to the archery und hobhellers was at the countr's charge. Methinhs that the disclaimer that in by the commons, 13 Ed. 3, Rot. Par. 9, © 11, is in natuge of a judgment in this case; for there they did disclaim they had no engnizance, and there likewise upon their owis cencession, that the maritime parts ought to defend at their own charges, as the inland parts, the inland counties. Tlis concession, 13 V.d. 3 , is a strong argument, Parl. 21 Ed. 3, rot. 20, when the commons did petition for a guard for the sea : The answer is, 'Suir guard fait,' and that was at the charge of the counties, as your lordships know. 20 Ed. 3 , divers ordinances made, which ordinances made had the force of a lav: The king and his council did ordain, 'Quod © onnes illi, \&c.' which have such a quantity of
land should be assessed to find one archer; one hobbeller 10l, two holbellers vigint. libr. unum hominem ad arna 25l. This appeareth Rot. Franc. 20 Ed. S, pars 1, m. 17, in the counties of Bedford and Buckg. In the sane year, another urdinance, that those that did reside with their fianilies, 'cum toto posse,' within six miles of maritinie farts, were excused from finding of mien withint.

My lords, upon the occasion of this service, there were divers refusals made, certificate by Mittimns of their names intu the Exchequer; as in this case, J. 'T, nad IV. G. were certilied for defaulters amonget oileis: upon this, the court of Eschequer award process agsinst those men and others, which was a 'Capins in manus,' Serzure of their layds and goods; they came in, nud pleaded, they rexided 's mira sex leucas,' with their fanulies and all their posers: issue joined; upon this, the jury impanuelled, and it appeared, those that were found within sis miles, judgment quad sine dic; bot for others, lisey were iniprosocd and lined; for so mach land as they had without the six miles, for that they were clarged. If I should number to your lordships all the judguents in this kiud, I might speak bere till to mortow morning. $P$. 22 Ed. 3, 'inter commumu,' in the Exchequer; P. 25 1.d. 3, m. 27, P. 27 , and 20 Ed. 3 , and there is a number more in other yeury, as 99 and 30 Ilen, 4. And, my lords, nccording to thuse judquents, 'T1A. J1 Ed. 3, rot. 3, the writ went iouth fir di-chereing of such as bate resided npm their lands withan six miles. "1 EJ. 1, P'pe-Rall; some discherged because they were in the homg's serrice-So as, my Luds, out of these recond thus mach naty be collected. Firsh, they aflum the hing's puwer in assessing and lerymg. And secondly, that. they are grouaded upran those ondanances made by the hing and his council. 'I hirilly, the proceas went out of the Exchequer, and it the king's name. M. 22, Wi.i, 3, pail. coram Buro. Isone joined, whetler J. S. Lad fuuds to the value of 401 . to find hobbelkers; if he had, then be was to do it,
My loids, I lave now done sith the Judicial P.ecedents; I have citod some few, amongst many others. It is now time, after so long premises, to draw to a conclusion: wherein your lordships have heard: First, that the king of England, he is an absolute monarch; and that by the common kiw of England, all thase 'jura suinmer inajestatis,' are iuhereut in his person. This 'Supreunum Dominium' for all th land that any subject holdeth, it is derived from the crown; and, as Plowden putteth it, 12 and 13, that there is a tacit condition in law annexed to his grant, that his officers may do justice to execote process surely upon his grant. This tacit condition may be subject to a common defence. Supreme jurisdiction, both by sen and land, was never yet innpeached, and from him lieth no appeal. And originally, by the institution of the laws of this realm, what was once in his hand, and was never granted from him, is still in him; be hath absolute
power of concluding war and peace : All these are in him as he is an absolute monarch, and holdeth his kingdom under none but God himself. It hath appeared also, that a principal part of this kingly office consists in the तefence of the realm ; that as his jurisdiction is by sea and laud, sos is his defence. And this hath been made appear to your lordships, both by precedents before William the 1 st , and siuce: 'pro communi utilitate,' and, in case of necessity, the kings of England may ordain, by their proclamation, writs or patents, by the advice of their council, or judges, in legal mat ters. That the king is the sole jodge of this danger, both for the prevention of it, and for the avoiding of it. Therefore for us to distrust that he will command too great a power or aid, it is a presumption against the presumption of law.-It hath appeared likewise that all the incidents of defence are likewise inherent in his majesty. We cannot build a fort or castie on our own ground, without license from him. Your lordshijs have heard the precedents, particular and geveral; piecedents which have universal reanons, 'quod omnes ex debito as'trictisunt;' Writs awarded by the king's royal power, in times of parliameut, when parliaments were sitting, sud in those years when great ads und subsidies were granted to the king, many timey no cause declared, nor the uccasion discovered.-There is no act of parliament made to take awiy this power: And the judicial precedents which your lordships have liearl, have athomed this power.

My loids, if there were no lave to compel to this dury, yet nature aur the inviolate law of preservituon ought to move us. These vapours that are exhaled from us, will again descend upon us in our safety, and in the honour of our nation. Therefore let us obey the hing's command by his writ, and not dispure it. He ithe first mover amongst these orbs of ours; and he is the circle of thit circumference; and he is the center of"us all, whercin we all, as the lines, should meet; he is the soul ol this hody, whose proper aet is to command.-But I shall need to use no persaasions to your lordshijs to do justice in this cause : and therefore I shall humblly desire Judgnent for the king.
"The Argument of Sir FRANCIS weston, Kit. one of the Barons of his Majesty's Court of Exchequer, ine the Great Cause of Ship-Moner.
In Easter Term last, there was a writ of Scir' Fac' went out of the Exchequer, directed to the sherif of Bucks, reciting, That whereas divers several sums of money, specified in a schedule annezed to the writ, by virtue of the writ 4 Aug. 11 Car. were assessed upon the several persons in the scheilale named, towsids the providing of a ship of war mentioned in the writ, which sums leing so assessed, and not paid, by writ of Certiorari 9 Martii 12 Car. under the great seal of England, the names of those several parsons and aums assessed are
certified into the Chancery, and by writ of Mit timus dated 5 Maii 15 Cer, seat into the Exchequer, and there to be proceeded upon according to the course of the law. The sherif of Bucks is commanded to warn the partica uamed to appear, and to shew cause why they should not be charged with those several sums nssessed upon them.-Hereupon Mr. Hampden appeareth, and demandeth Oyer of the writ 4 Aug. of the Certiorari and Mittimus, and their several returns; they being all read anto him, the ssith that these severnl writs, and the returns thereof, and the schedales thereunto annexed, do not contain any sufficient matter to charge hiun to pay the 20r. and thereupon demurred. Mr. Attorney General saith, that they do contain sufficient matter to charge him. And thereupon the demurrer is joined. The demurrer being joined, the record was read in the Excheyuer; and the cause appearing to be of great treight, it was adjourned unto this place in the Exchrquer Clianaber, to lave the advice of all the judges of England.

Upon this record, I am to deliver my opinion; and I take it there is sulticient matter to charge Mr. Hampden with thus 20s. And so I give Judgmeut for the king.

Here have been twelve days spent in the arguing of thes case at the bar: I will contine myself to two hours and less, though not tied unto any time. The way to be short, is shortly to find out the points.-But I must first oliserve, in what state this cause cometh in judgment $b_{c}$ fore us. There is a rule in law, that if a man stail demur generally to the writ, he doth con-fe-s all other matters in fact that are alledged. The reasons of it are upparent : because matters of fact are to be tried by jury, and matters of law by the judges. So in this cave all the danger alledged by the wit, is confessed: and the matter in law is that which we that are judges are to deliver our opinions upon.

It lath been objected, by Mr. Holborne, that ne are tied to the writ \& Aug. for that writ is the ground of all, und upon that doth all the reat depend. It is true, that if he had relied upon the writ, it had been sn. But his denarrer is this: That the writ, and the rest of the proceedings with the schedales, do not contain matter sufficient: so that now they have not put to us the writ 4 Aug. alone, but all the rest, to give judgment upon. For he writ of Mittimus, it is confessed, that in that there is an expression, that ' salus regni periclitabafur,' which is not in the writ 4 Aug.-To this he hath taken exception, that 'salus regni periclitabia' tur,' the danger is at the present time of the Mittimus, and doth not say, ' periclitatur,' 4 Aug. 11 Car. and therefore this expression now in the Mittimus cannot make grod the, defect thereof in the writ of 4 Aug.-To this I answer, that the demurring to all, hath confessed all, and yet the matter in the wfit is sufficient to express the danger.
Then he objected, that salus signifies health, and not safety; and that the plysicians term itso.-But almu signifies safety as well as health.

## 1067] STATE TRIALS, 15 Cr. I. 16is7.-The King againet John Hampden, esq. [106s

So it is Englished in Cooper's dictionary, and so it is taken by poets and historians for safety

The next objection was to the writ 4 Aug. That if there were a danger, it must be plainly expressed in the writ, \&ce. The words are 'da'tum est nobis inteligi,' \&c. How cometh the ling to understand it? the danger must be fally expressed.,-For this I hold it more fit fur a atatesman than myself to give an answer to, that the king should discover his intelligence; whether it is fit to make known to all the world the danger the kingdom is in. Bot yet I find that in the said writ 4 Aug. there is expressed both danger by pirates on the sea, and that the dominion of the sea is like to be lost: and that these are dangers to the whole kingdom. For the Certiorari, I find it is directed to the shire, and the writ of Mittimus to the court of Exchequer; and therefore be could not take exception to these writs; whatsoever I shall take advantage of it must be coutained in the writ \& Aug.
In this writ, three things, as dangers, are expressed. 1. The danger by pirates. 2. The dlanger of losing the dominion of the narrow scas. And, 3 . The great peril in this time of war.-For the pirates, 1 shall not meddle with them; they are but petty robbers, and still ruining away : the ports must defend themseltes against these; the inland countics are in un danger of them,- 1 will not insist upon the dominion of the narrow seas, though that is considerable; for in the defeace of that consists much the preservation of the kinglom. But I shall insist on the danger of the kingdom expressed in the writ + Arg, thus, ' Consideratis 'etiam periculis undiqualque,' \&k. There is danger, there is peril round about us; and it is by reason that there are now times of war, we sec danger on every side.

There are two things trouble this point. 1 The subject suspects tinat this is only a pretence, and that the kuygdom is not really in dargeir. 2. That there being great sums of money raised upon this occasion, this, in the cud, will be drawn to be annual and perpetual: but if they were satisfied that the kiagdom were really in danger, likely they would be content to puy the money till the danger be over.

For my part, I answer to these objections, that it is an unworthy supposition. I must be satisfied, and I am, that the kingdom was in danger for two things: one reason is, because it is so evpressed in the writ 4 Aug. It cannot be denied, but that thg kinglom may be in danz ger. . It hath been conquered, and so it may be again, therefore it is necessory it should be foreseen and prevented; and somebudy must do it, and who better than the ling, that hath the care and charge of the kingdom? he saith the kingdom is in danger, and hath so declared it by his writ; why I should not believe it, when the king hath declared it so by his writ, I know not.-My other reason that the kingiom is in danger is, that it is so de facto. It cannot be unknown to any man, that these three or four years last past, great navies have been at sea,
and great forces on land. If we should have but an ordinary defence at sea by shipping, no man can tell or suppose but that those navies, being so great, may land where they will, and in as many places as they will; what spotl would they make before such time as auy tesistance could be made agoinst them?
They objected bero, that these navies at sex, they are engaged in war one with anuther; we are safe enough, we need not fear them. I an-
er, they are, I think, eagaged in grood carnest; but who knoweth how soon these wars may end? they may end by the mediation of friends, or the death of sonie one persou. And when there is a great navy at sea, and forces at land, hour easy is it to remeinber an old quarrel, or to pick a new one?

These things do persuade me that the kingdom is in danger, and a very great nad just cause to make preparation for defence. And if every man would be so persuaded, they would not deny the payment of the money. An example of this nature hath happened in former times: in Henry 7th's time, it appeareth, by a record on the king's part, Pat. 1 Hen. 7, pars 3 , dao ; there were wars betwren the king of the Romans and the ling of France; they were both friends to Hen. 7, they owed him no illwill ; yet by reason of these grvat wars, great forces at sea, and great forees at land, the kang would not trust thew, bat sent forth has proclumation, to cbmmand that watch and ward bekept oner the sea-coasts; and command was to all his suljects, that upon short warning they bhould be really for defence of the kinglurn. So this may'well be an example for the course that is now taken, for defence of the kingdom:
I shall nosv conne to those reasons, and to the records that have been objected on enther side. I shall bergiu with the king's sule, because that layeth a chargena the defendant,-It hath laen ubjected, that seme of them are not warranted by the record. First, say they, there is a ship commanded to be provided, and moncy must be lesied: but in the eved, when this great sum of moncy is collected, it must bo disbursed, no ıagan kuoivs how. No such thing in the record.-To thas I answer, the record saith, a ship must be provided, and the sheriff is to rate the county, 'secundum facultates,' towards the same; net a word of any money to be paid unto the king. It is a ship that the shectiff is to btiild, and to assess money towards it.
They have said besides, here is in the writ a command for the imprisonment of the party; and that his liberty, dearer to him than his life, and his goods, wherein he hath an absolute property, shall be taken away. These things, they say, are not warranted by the writ, nor by any thing in the record.-I answer it is not warranted by the proceedinga in this case; for the Scir' Fac is not to shev cause why the party's goods should not be sold, or he imprisoned, but why he should not be charged with the money assowsed upon him.

They say, that the general defence of the sea lieth upon the king; because he hath wherewithal to do it. I would willingly disburden myself as much as I can of the objections : a general answer I shall give to these particulars.

They say, the king hath personal service, the service of tenants, by knights service, escuage, castle-guard, grand serjeanty, petty serjeanty. Is the king bound by these to the defence of the tingdom? IIe that doth look on their originals, will not say so; for thisse are tenures reserved upon the several grants made by the king; and no more reason is there that the king, by this, should be tied to defend the kingdom, than there is for the lords, that are subjects, and hare the like tenure, that they should be bound to the defence of the sea.
They say, he hath besides these, wards, marriages, reliefs, fines, issucs, amerciaments, primer seisiu, fines of ulieuation, respites of honage, all fruits of the tenured; which all munt go towards the defence of the kinglom.

I answer these profits are casual; besides, if he be not hound in respect of the tenures, ns uforesaid, he camot be bound by the fruits of them.
It inath been olyjected, that the king hath the profit of the sea, as royal fishes, whales, \&c. wrechs at sea, trensure trove, ruyal mines, dec.--I answer, these he fath by his prerogative, sud not for the ilefence; nether are they tit tior a sulject to have.

You say, he hath particular service from the Cinque Portsand other places, as from Malders, Colchest - $r$, and other places; and besides, he hath all manoer of cuslues, and in regard of these he is bound to provide fur the defence of the sene It is true, the king must, for on ordinary defence, use the means the law hath allowed lim; but that is not no the quistion : it is for an extraordinary defence. The question now ariseth, if it were asked any man, whether, they do' think in their couscience that the Ling is able of hunself, out of these, to prepare a royal navy, without help from the subject? None aue so sconselens as to think it.

There be sume other thinge, to which I shall give a general answer. It appeareth by many recorls, liat the king hath paid wages to soldiers, and sometimes hath hired ships; and unless there had been a consideration, the king would not have done it.-Tp this I ayswer, it was for ordinary defence, and he is hound to tho it; and if he engaged himself by proaise to repay unto them their charges, 1 can say no more but this, that every honest man that makes a promise will perform it, and so is the king bonnd to perform his pronnise: for that which houesty binds others to the performance of, honour binds the king.
I shall come to those things to which a more particular answer is to lie given. The charge lieth general upion the whole kingdom, which I shall divide into three parts. 1. The Ports. 2. The Maritime Cointies. And, 3. 'The Inland Counties: And to these three I will apply the
records. 1. For the Ports; they are of two kinds, the Cinque Ports, and the Ports at large. What services are due from the Cinque Ports, is expressed in Libr' Rabrico, in the Exchequer; that they were to find 58 ships, and 24 men in evcry ship, for tifteen days; which cometh to 1188 men. The ports at large are tied to no certain service; it will appear by mo-t of these recorls which I shall npply, that ${ }^{-}$ the Cingue Ports have boen charged with more than their duc, and the ports at large equally with the Cinque Ports. I'ut. 25 Joh. m. 6, the king sent his writ to the Cinque Ports, and thereby commanatcth, ', quod omnes naves parate, \&cc. et homines; he doth not here tie thiga to $n$ number, but all must go. Claus. 17 Joh. nu. 7. Here the king sent his writ Baronibus suis de Rye, a member of the Cinque Ports, ' Quod venirs faciatis omnes naves apud Quin'que Portus;' this was pencral, as the others; all the ships, not tied to the number of 52 . Clans. 14 Ifen. $3, \mathrm{~m} .13 .4$ writ went oat to Portsmouth, beging a port at large, to provide a ealley, ' et cain munire faciant cum hominibus, 'et quod promptio ot parati sint ad proticiscend' 'cuin uecesse fuerit.' So here is a particular claage upon Portsmouth, not bound unto it, to provide a galley with ull manner of muition. Claus, ${ }^{5} 5 \mathrm{Ed} .1, \mathrm{~m} .5$, dors. A writ went unto Guernsey, a port at large, to make a ship rendy as often as uced should require, ' de contribu'tione faciend' pro navibus quotics opus fuerit.' Pat. 9 EI. 2, pars 2, m. 96. 1 writ goeth forth, nul that was directed, ' Ballivis et probis ' hominilys conit'Southampton,' to make ' pro' visimem uas igii sumptibus propriis ;' no promisc from the king to pay this again. Claus. 20 Ed. 2, m. 7. $\Lambda$ writ goeth to the sherifl of Iondon, and that reciteth a charge formerly laid upon the city, and upon Kent, for finding of 12 ships; London to find 9 ships, and Kent 3, and forty men in every ship, "all sumptus 'illarum.' Chaus. 20 Ed. 2, m. 8. A writ directed to the bailiff of Yarmouth, which is none of the Cinque Ports, and they were charged with two ships at thicir own costs. And the same command, in the same roll, for oll ships of 50 tous to be reatiy. Rot. Scot. 10 Ed. 3, m. 19. That writ reciteth the general obligation that they are bound in to defend the kingdom, alnost in the same words mentioned in this writ; it reciteth, that every man should be assessed 'juxta staturn et facultates,' so there was a contribution ; then cometh in the clause ' nltra illam pecunix summam debit' pro 'servitils.' It is true, indeed, the king did pay towards this, but it is expressed to be of his mere grace nad favour. $10 \mathrm{Ed}$. 3, m. 2, dors. A writ to Winchelsea, a member of the Cinque Ports, and that was, ' Quod omnes nases sint parate,' both of the ports ' et aliarum villarum;' and the reason is expressed, because nithout their help the king was not able to defend the kingdom; and appointed them, by that writ, that the ships should be ready victualled for thirteen weeks; whereas the ordinary time was but for fifteen days. Vas. 12 Ed, s, m. 8 .
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There a writ goeth forth to the sheriff of Kent and to the barons of the Cinque Ports, whereby they were all commanded to look to the custody of the sea-coasts; bere are the maritinie town and port towos joined together. $25 \mathrm{Ed}$.3 , m 22, dors. $\Lambda$ writ to $S$ ruthampton, ${ }^{1}$ ad congre 'ganduan naves.' In the same roll, m. 8 , mort writs to other towns. Pat. 12 Ed. 3, pars 4 m. 3. There was a command that all that dwel within the isle of Thunet, from 16 to 60 , shoul be ready to defend the sea-coasts, and this way 'Juxta statum et facultates.' Rot. Alm. 13 E.f. 3, m. 12, Yarmouth charged with 4 sinips wad in each ship 24 men: whereas urually in was but 21 men in a slup, now I think they are come to sixsenre men in a ship. There are un infinite number of rolls to this purpose, to charge the port towns.

1 come now to my second division, which is maritime towns. Pat. $43 \mathrm{Hen}. \mathrm{3}, \mathrm{m}. \mathrm{4}, \mathrm{dors}$. A writ went to the sherilf of Norfolk, reciting, That slaps and soldiers had staid there long, and that they were intending to depart, because their forty days were past: Command was, that they should stay, 'donec alind inde mandatum 'Fuerit.' Claus. 23 Ed. 1, m. 5, dors. There were "rits directed to the sheriffs of Southampton, Dorset aud Wilts, these three are all man time counties; A command, that they shoulo rnise three thousand men tu defend the cossts. Pat. 2t Ed, 1, m. 17. A writ directed to $t l$ slierilis of Lucoln, York, and N'orthumberland, to assist cerian commissioners to take up an hundred ships, with a competent number of men, these are to maritime counties: The like writs go to the sheriffs of Sussex ahd Southnuspton, und these for the preparation of ships, and to take them wheresoever they are to be found. Hot. Pat. 25 Ed. 1, m. 6, writs weut to Southanpton, Devon, Cornwal, Dorset, and many other places that werc maritime town, for arrs sting of shipe, and raising of men. But the rolls I might tmost insist upon, are only these; I'll but name theur : Pat. 24 Eal. 1, n. 16, Ex parte Reaum. Regiv, et rot. 78, Claus. ${ }_{25} \mathrm{EJ} .1,. \mathrm{~m} .26$, Chuus. $13 \mathrm{Ed}, 3, \mathrm{~m} .14$, pars 1, dors. Scut. 10 Ed. 3, m. 22. By all which it appears, nut only the ports, but the unaritime counties have comtribured towards the charge of the defence of the kingstom. And the nther side do hardly deny $i$, hut that the mortune towns may be charged. -1 will open it phain, that it is for therr ease to bring in the inlaud rounties. © This cause is not of so great conseguence, ay is concerved; for if the port-towns aud maitime towns may be charged, then it bringeth hut in the inland countres. In England and Wales there are fifty-two counties, thirty-tirce of these are maritime counties; so the inland countics are but nineteen at the most, and they contribute but to a fourth part of the charge for the delence of the kingdoun. And so much to my second division of maritime towns.
3. To the third division, which is of Inland Counties, that they have been charged; I shall make that appear, that the greater part of them
have been cbarged formerly for this manner of defence. They oljected, that the county of Bucks is an inland coumy, und that Mr. \ampden dwells there; and therefire no reason he should contribute to the delience; no inland county ever did it, say theiv. There may be two reasens, why, in former times, the writs for the most part nent to the pyrts and maritime counties. 1. Becanse they have the be nefit of the seas by exprotation and importation of their goods. Antl, 2. Bucuuse they are continually in danger of pirates and robbeis; and far nearee for a sudden defence, than the filand counties are. But this cannot be held for a suticient reason, that they only that are near the danger should be put to defead the whole kingdom. I am sure the i.sland conaties receive gleat pains and profits by the commodities from the port-towns; and they are the more in saffay, the stronger the sea-consts are kept: and theietine no reason but that they should centribute towaris the clarge of the defence of the qea. For all the writs, save one commisvion, bive gone to be for the general defence of the kingdom; theen no reason lint inland counties should be charged. If they say they never did it, it is a strange preseription, that because they never did it, they never will do it. $\Lambda$ man cunnt excuce himedf, that because lie neser paid tithe to such a ricar, or such n pars.jn, that therefore he will never pay it.
I shall go to the records that charge the inland counties. Clans. 48 II.n. 3, m. 2, a writ directed to the mayor and bailiff of Bedford, an inland county; it doth rectec, that divers of that town were called to gos with the king towards the sea-coasts;' 'contrat huttilem inva'sluncm, et nunc heritse est, et causas fantuil' ut levari fac' et expensas;' and appoint at Alat rate thry glopuld lexy it, the borsemen were to have ewhit pence per day, and the foutment finur pance. Claus. 78 Id en. 3, m. 7. A writ diected to the sherif of Duncinglon, whicerely the men of that county were commaniled to go to Loutun aud from thence to the sca-coants, for the detence of the lingdom. Rut. Scot. Mix. Remein. Regis 24 Ed. $1, \mathrm{~m} .78$, du15. $\Lambda$ wht is directed io the shacriff of Dechz, and this is to strain men to make pood tic ru, tody of the scu-coa-ts. Rot. 26 Ed, 1, m. 5. The like writs were difacted to the sheriffs of Ilertiord, Fs-ex, Nuttingham, Detey, Hantiogton, Cauridge, "ikc. and stmost tuall the inland counies, 'pro custopia naraitima,' all to come to Losdon, and to go from thence to the seacoasts, for the defence thereof. Claus. 13 I.d. 3 , pars $1, m .14$, dors." A writ goeth out to Usford, 'ad distringehdum,' for waces, 'pro , entodia maritima; ; tone mon was distrained, nd he pleaded be had beeu charged in Wilts, and oughtenot to be charged in another county, and for this there went a Supersedens. Rot. Viagii 1 Hen. 4, m. 10. A writ was directed to lie sheriffs of Nottinglam and Derby, two inand counties, and this was to procluim 'quod omnes homines, inter $16 \& 60$, parati sint, $k c$.'
to go with the king, within the kingdom, when be pleased. Claus. 1 Kic. 2, m. 18. Writs were directed to the mayor and bailiffs of Huntingron and Cumbridge. This roll is cited b; the counsel for the defendant; and in part i maketh for the defendant, and in part agains him. The effect of it is this: the unt is directer to the bailiff of Ifuntugton, und this recites a fonmer writ to provide barges, called Balii) gers, with forty and fifty oars a-piere, like in galley, ut the charge of the most rich men, and this was 'ad custod, maris? And the like writs weut to the towns of Nottingham, Glou cester, and Warwick, and divers oiher places these vessels ware not devised then, I find then used before in Edward the third's time. I the P'arliament Rolls, 2 Ed. 4, m. 22, the commons did complain that a commission was gone forth for the making of these barges. True upon a petition of the commons, the king saith, he will advise with his lords: there is no wore done; but upon this they cease. I have now done with the precedents on the hing's side.

I shall now come to that which h.sth been said on the defeudant's side for their discharge. And, first, for the acts and petitions m parliament, which are weighty und considerable.First, for the statute De Tallagio nonConcedendo, which was in the time of Eilw. 1, it hath been doubted, whether this be a statute or no, I see no colour of doubt, by that this is a statate; it is printed amongst the statutes, and ever accounted for a statutc : and in the Petition of light, it is recited for a statute. And to say it is no stytute, beciuse the ParliamentRoll is wanting; if it should be disallowed, it would draw a great incouvenience with it: for pravate men might embezzle the records, and then if ahe records nere wanting, the act of parliament should be void.
It is an net of parliament, nof question; but the question is, whether the provision made by this writ, be within the meaning of this statute. -And I conceive it is not; for there are two words in this statute tbservable, Taillage and Aid. By 'no aid' here, will you take away the aid 'pur fils inarrier, or' purfuire fitz chavalier?' By 'so tallage,' will you have it so, the king shall demaud no sum of money? Then if you will give it this large construction, you will take away all fines and amerciaments that are due to the king, all lawful impositions; and surely this was not the.intent and mequing of this statute: but it was only to, take away all taxes and trillages that were unlawful. If they were lawful, this statute meddled not with them. -Now tbat no taillage is to be taken, it appegrs in the Parliament-Roils, 13 Heno t, m. 42, where an office was granted by the king, with a fee, for the measuriag of linnen-cloth, that the subject should pay hisa a certain sum of money for every piece measured; thereapon at the parliament, the coommons coomplain, that this was an unjust imposition, and they desire that they thight not be charged with this kind of taillage, which, as was apparent, was unjubt, and to they had present relief agaiast it.
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The next act of parliament is 14 Edw . 3, the second parlisment of that year, three parlisments being lield that year. The commons grant the king a certain sum of money, for the great business he had as well on this side the sea, nt beyond; but after a cessation of the troutles, then the king is to be at the charge of the future defence; thereupon the king granteth this shall not be had in aremplum, and that they shall not afterwhrds be taxed without parliament: and this is the strongest thing that I hase beird objected.-It requireth a good auswer ; the words are plain, no charge no question; but this is a charge. I looked into the Petition of Hight, and it is not there mentioued, nnr amongst those acts of parliament that ure in the margin; but the reason why it was onitted, I know not,-I observe in this act of parliament a sabsequent clause, that will go far to the answer of this objection; for neither in the acts of parliament, nor in the Petition of Hight, is there any mention made of the defence of the kingdom; if the king had been bound to defend the kingdom, could the parliament have accepted this as a kindness at his hands? 14 Ldiv. 3. This act was made, yet the aids coutinued, none of these writs found till 24 Ed. 3, Rot. Franc. 24. Ed. S, m. 9, \& 26, there went out commissions to orray men, to the counties of Suffolk, Dorset and Somerset, for the defence of the maritime parts; within eleven years after the making of the statute, Rot. Franc. 26 Ed. S, m. 5, the like writs to the earl of IIuntington, 'Considerantes quod omnes ' incola tepuntur de jure ad defendend' in periculo;" and that they shall array the men in, his cqunty, and to bring them ' ad castodiend' mare;' aod by this it is recommended for the recting of beacons, which is the first direction f that nature; so here is a new charge, and within eleven years after the making of the statute. The same year likewise there went writs to the sheriffs of Nottingham, Derby, jalop, Berks, Middlesex, Bucks, Northampton, sc. Su though thete writs go almost into every aunty, and divers other writs of the like nac re, us Rot. Franc. 28 Edw. 3 , m. 34, yet as I aid in no act of parliament extant, nor in any krit that ever went forth that I can find, there $s$ any thing appears to charge the king with the efence of the kingdom, and in all of them, no istinction made between the port-towns, ma-itime-towns, counties, and inland-counties; ut that all of them are generally ctiargeable. Ind for the rest of the acta of parliament, they II mentioned in the Pecition of Right, and herefore I pass them over. There is in those icts, provision against loans and grieyances; ut this clause, for the defence of the kingdom, find it mentioned in no act of parliament but jis of 14 Ed .3 , beföre mentioned.
I will now come to the petitions in parliaient: Rpt. Parl. $15 \mathrm{Ed} . \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{m} .9,11$. It was here declared to the commons, that the French ad invironed the island of Guernsey, and all his was for default of a nary upon the sees; and herefure it was needful to consider bow this:
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might be regained. It was answered by the comnons, That concerning the right avd guurd of the sea, they desire to give no advice, saying, they have no cognizance of things conceruing the sen; but if there be occasion, the cinque-ports are to be charged; and said further, that in the marches of Scotland, they were to defend the kingdom against the Scots: but that this kind of defence should lie upon them, was never heard of.-1 will give you an answer to your acts of parliament, and petitions of parliament, by putting of a cuse. I will adinit you have an act of parlinment as strong as yout can make it, that the whole charge of the defence of the kingdom should lie upon the king, and not upon the subject, in cuse, of any sudden invayion. Admit there was a greater power at sen, than the king was able to make defence against; then, I pray, whether should this not give wny to the present necessity, or the kingdom should be losti Is it not better to endure a mischicf, than an inconvenience?

If you say, the acts of parliameut should give way to necessity, then you have ruswered ull you have objccted:-This is not the only case of necessity. I shall put you another case, when acts of parliament must give way to necessity; that if a man be attainted of treason, he is disenalbled to inherit by act of parliament; but if the kingdonn should descend to such a man, then the act of parliament should give way to it. And shall not the acts of parliament give way to necessity for defence of the kingdom? What though there have been petitions in parliament to have it decreed, that this kind of charge should not be laid upon thè subject? Admit it had been so decreed in purlinguent, yet by the law of equity they ouglit to be charged; and in all reaton they nught to be charged towards the defence of the kingdom; and that for three respects. 1. For the reason given in the writ, 'Quod omnes tangit per ' ommes dehet supportari :' which is but equity. 2. The king is trusted with the defence of the kingdom, and therefore it is fit he should have means whicrewith to do it.

But you say he may call a parliament, and they will give him means wherewith to do it. It is true, this thing in question, if it had been done by parliament,' it had been done by the happicst menns; but because he might have it by parlianent, must he therefore have it no other ways? The question now is, Whether what is dine, may be done without a parliament or no? What. is done, is done by the great seal, which is the next authority to a parliament. What if an encrny had come before the parliament had met, or before they had granted any aid, should the safety of the kingdom depend upon such contingencies? God firbid. Will you have forces on both sides, and restrain the king to his power by parliament, which may be so dilatory, that the kingdom ingy be lost in the mean time?
3. Many inconveniences might happen both to the king and subject, if this should be suffered, if the king should be restruined of his royal
power, it would turn to his coutempt, both at home and abroad: and all this while the matter is not so great, it is but parting with a little money 'secundun statum et facultates.'-It is true, as Mr. Holborne hath baid, That in former. times they have been careful not to leave too much power to the king; but you would leave so litule as would bring him is contempt both at home and abroad. The worst that comes to the subject, is but to yield tbicir help to the king, in such times of danger, with a small part of their estate; and then it would make foreign nations that know of it afraid of us, which now by this occasion have encouragement to attempt that which otherwise they would not.

It hath heen oljected, That if the king may raise monies is this manner, many inconve. niences would follow, and it would be a means to keep back parliumeuts.-To this objection I answer, It is no means to keep back a parlament; for there are many other causes of calling a parpument, besides for the defence of the kingdom: as, for making good laws, redressing of grievances, \&cc. The king may be engaged is a foreign war, and the subject most help him. But to call a parliament alvays is not necessary; for when the kingdom wss in the greatest danger that ever it was, as in 1588, and the rebellion in the North, yet mo parbament was called in either of these daugers.

Next they object, That if this course be admitted, the king may pretend a danger when there is none; or a great dauger, when it is but small: and so may raise a great sum of money, and the subject shall have but litule benefit therehy.-I shall give three ausuers to this oljection: 1. If this power be in the king, and that power be just and equal, then it is not to he taken from him, because he may misemploy his power. If he misure his power, the fault is his. 2. This objection caunot be made, unless you suppose injustice in the king: make what laws you will, if the king be unruly, be will break through them. S. If it so falls out, that the writ going out upfon this pretence, and that great sums of money are levied, and the monies employed to another ase, it were a great inconvenience; but in this case there is no such fear, for the writ is expressly to make a ship; and if they would have taken any adventage upon that, the counsel ought to have, pleaded it, and the judgment ought to appear there upon record. There appears no money in this case to be coming to his majesty's hands; but it is said in the writ, 'volumus ' nutern,' \&c. we will that no part of the money be converted to another use than to the building of a ship.
Then they object, That by the same reason the king commandeth his subjects to provide one ship now, he unay command two ships the next year. ${ }^{*}$ To this I answer, If the danger ha greater, the defence mut be greater, and then the supply must be greater; and no man oan suppose that tle king will impose that on his subjects when there is no need.
I shall now come to the two lant exceptions,

First, That the power cannot be given to th sherif by the writ 4 Aug. to tax every man - secundum statum et facultates;' that this is ton great a power to be committed to the sherif. To that I answer, That I conveire the siieriff to be the fittest man, and most indiferent for that purpose; for if there were commissioners, or nainy tuen appointed for doing thereof, they might perchance be partial to their friends: And the sheriff having all the freeholders names, and the bailiffs for his ministers, thut know the estutes of most men; therefore out of nll doubt he is the fittest person. For the exception to the Scir' Fac' it hath been objected, That the king cannot liy that course levy moneys, because the ling having no interest in the money, he cannot levy it by Scir' Fac'; neither doth it appear in the writ, to whom this money is to be. paid. I confess this point, though not spoken to by the defendant, is of most difficulty. Thoogh no person certain is uaneed, to whom this mgrey is to be paid, and the shoriff is only to levy it according to the writ, and the king providing a ship, I suppose that Scir' Fac' may issue for it ; for if a common persou clnim any thing, or be wrongel, or debarred from his rigbt, he hath by the law a writ for his remedy : and shall not the king have the like remedy for this ship, being for the defence of the realm in generul, for which he is intrusted, to prevent a wrong to be done to this common-wealth; But in this case, the Scir' Fuc' is not for Mr. Hampden to shew cause, why he doth not pay the money to the sherifi, but why he doth not pay the moniey he was nssessed towards the making of the ship; which for aught, I snow, when it hath done the service, is the subjects again, at whose cost it twas provided, for they might either have hired a slip or bought a ship. In Fitz-Her. $\mathrm{Na} . \mathrm{Br}$. it is held, the king ntay, for the good of his peopie, send forth writs for removing common grievances, ahd for repairing of bridges, and the like: And why may not the king send forth writs, for so netessary a service as to."defead the kingdom ? Claus. 1 Rich. 9, m. 7 , a writ went out to the mayor and hailiff of $\mathbf{O x}$ ford to repair the walls and dikches about the town ; and why not as well to repair the wooden walls of the whole kingdom, as the walls and ditches of a town? The king hath charge nad puwer over all, to see all done.

But it hath been said, When this money is gathered, we know not what becomes of it. I answer them with the common rolt in Scacc' 24 et 25 Ed . 3, where a commission went forth to levy money for maritime defence, but what was done thereupon is not expressed. But at that time there was a cause adjudged in the exchequer, it is a Norfolk cause, where clivers being commandid to go to the wars ngaingt the Seots, and had thereupon armour ${ }^{\circ}$ gnd wages aillowed them; afterwards comes a countercommand to some of them not to go, and two men that had wages went not. Whereupon a writ went out against them; and the jury fouod the one guilty, and be wạs ordered to pay back
the money; but the other going to the wars, afterwards, by a second direction, wes quit: And the first gave necurity for the repayment of his wages, being $80 t$. and also for the armour.

It hath, lastly, been objected, That this taxation ought to be 'secuadom legem et consue'tudinem Angliw:' and that ought not to be by writ, but by parlizment.-To this I answer, That from king Jolm's tn Henry 4's tinse, there bath been un usage and custom to send forth writs of this nature, and since that time till now not the like command. About Heary 4's time, began your tonnage and poundage: so long as he had that, the defence was at his own charge. There is no act for taking this charge by writ nyay; it is become a general custom, and the general custom makes the law of England; and we are to examine nud try new causes by the old law, and now compare this with what hath been done in former times.
1 shall make an end: For my own part, I am persuaded in my conscience that there is inminent dnnger : I man satisfied in it, both by the king's writ, and that which is apparent to every one; mud there is n necessity this danger should be prevented. I do conceive this writ to be grounded upon this danger of necessity ; and that the danger appears sutuciently in the writ,-Therefore I conceive that the proccediugs are legal, and that there is good and sufficient cause to charge Mr. Hunpden, and that be ought to pay the 20s, nssessed upou hinn.

The Angcuest of Sir EDYZARD CRAW. LEY, Kint. one of the Jutuices of Com-mon-Pleas, in the Exchequer-Chamber, in the Great Cause of Suip Money.
The record hath been opened, therefore I shall spare that labour. I conccive the Case in fuestion to be this: Whether the king, by his ught of sovereiguty, may cliarge the subject, in case of necessity, to contribute with lim to the necessary defence of the kingdom, without the aubject's consent iu parlinment? Mr. St. Johs, vhom I take to be the muth of the defendant's counsel, confesseth, That this question is not o much de re, of necessity, but de modo, if done without parliament.

This is oue of the gricatest cases that ever came in judgment before the judges of the law. The king's right and sovereiguty, in a high point, is concerned, and the bonour and safety of the ingdom, on the one sid); and the liberty of the sabject, in the property of his goods, on the other side. -This is the first cause that ever came to judgroent of this kind, that I know of. Kings bave not suffered their rights of sovereignty to be debated at the bar, as now it is; for these are Arcana Hegni, not fit for public debate. The use of law was to have causes debated? as saith one, no man knows what metal the bell is of, until he hears it ring. This bell hath been rung very roundly and labotiously on both sides.
The subjects have objected, that they may bring actions agninst officers of the ling for
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asseasments by virtue of this writ. But for that 1 find no precedents, save only one, which is 25 Ed .1 , the abbot of Robertsbridge's case; and he whs taxed double for this matter of defence of sea and land, in two several places: and therefore he brought his action to be dis-charged in onc place. But in cases of this nature, they petitioned in parliament to the king for redress, as appears by many precedents.

In Bracton, who wrote after Hen. S's time, and inclined to those times when the liberty of the subject was strongly maintnined, he saith, 'totum regnum petatur, \&c.' They used to petition the king; but now you have actions brought against the king's officers in the King's Bench, Common-Pleas, and here in this court ; and it pleaseth the king to bring this Scir' Hac' to the end that the right of this cause may be tried by the judges of the law.-ln 11 Rep. and Coke's Comment. on Littleton, fol. 10, it is said, That the laws and customs of the part liament are obscure: 'Lex est consuetudo - parhamenti, quarenda est ab omnibus, ignota ' a multis, et cognita a paucis.'- As Tully saud of one that would define anima, and stid it was musica harmona, that was, a musician, - Homo non multem recessit ab arte sua: : I for my own part, will keep myself to my own art of the books of the law and statutes. And if I use the help of others, I hope you will pardon the for that.
I will bricfly propound my order and metlod throughout the case. 1.I will remove some few inpertinent discourses, which are not in the record, as heing out of the ring of this bell. 2. I shall propound, that the sole chre of defence, at sea and hand, 'Jure Regio,' appertains to the king, and none other; and that he is the sole judge of this 3. That the sole charge of defence, in ordinary cases, regularly and legally sppertaineth to the king. 4. That the extraordinary charges of defence ought to be supplied by the parliament, and opoa this rule, 'Quod umpes tangit ab omnibus debet 'supportari.' 5. If the defence be of necessity and the danger great, and so great as the hing's revenue is not sufficient to supply the occasion, then the rule comes to be in use, 'Qui sentit ' commodum sentire debet et onus; 'and if it be general, ' Quod onnes tangit, ab omnibus 'debet supportari.' 6. That in the defence, where all ought to join, the sea and land ought to assist and contribute the one to the other. 7. I say, there are pome particular cases, in which this charge of defence cannot he imposed" by parliament. 8 . That the king solely is intrusted, by the law, to impose this charge upon the subject. And, 9 . These being my generals, I shall come to my minor, and conclude that this charge is justly inposed by the king, without parliament.

As to the first impertinency, you speak of tomage and poundage : is there any such grant on record? Shall we take notice of a thing that is not in rerum natura 9 I say, I wish it had been granted, for 'Qui adimit medium 'demit finem:' be that taketh away the ordi-
nary means of preservation, is the author of ruin and destruction. You see it is taken, but you cannet tell by whit right. If this were material, you wronged your chient, you pleaded it not : and if it is not material, you wronged us, and your auditurs, and yourselves, to talk of it.

Your say, this Ship-Money hath been charged for these three yenrs together ; is this discourse within the record? If not, you speak without book. You say, the king hath imposed great sums of money upou merchandizes: but what is this to the business now in question?-Then you talk of a property the subject loseth thereby; but this rather to abuse the people without either colour or shadow. It was 'ad ' faciendum,' or rather 'inficiendum populum.' If you at the bar badd not spoke it 'argumenti ' gratia,' it cuuld not but have proceeded out of the depth of malice, or ignorauce, or both. If one be found guily of nuurder, and the jadge knoweth the contrary, what shall be done? He ought to acquaint the king therewith; for it is the hiug's right of sovereignty to pardon, but the juige hath no such power. I say, the whole care appertaineth to the king only, and he is the sole judge both of the defence at sea anderand. Fitz. Na. Br. fol. 113. 'Le roy de - droit saver et defender son realme al bien 'vers la mere come vers les pnennis.' Regist. fol. 127. Itex, \&cc; pro eo quod nos dignitatis ' nosture regni ad próvidend' salvationem regni ' nostri circumquaq; sumus astrictu.' Fortesque cap. 37. 'Onnes potestat' regis deferre, \&c. 'in defensione et tuitione regni.' I think no auan can well oppose this.

But we will come to the third. The sole charge of the defence regularly and legally appertains to the kiug. Bract. fol. 1. 'In rege, ' qui recte regit, bæec duo sunt necessaria, arma 'et leges, Kec.' with which words accords Justinian, in his Proamium, from whence that is taken in Plowden, fol. '315, in the Case of Mines. One reason why be slith royal mines belong to the king, is, because he is the head, and the people his members. And be is to preserve the subject two ways: by arms to defend them agaipst all hostility; and by law, to preserve them from injuries. 3 Rep. fol. 11. The body, lands, and gouds of the king's debtor were liable to execution; ' qui thesaurus regis, ${ }^{t}$ est pacis vinculum et bellorum nervi,' Rep. 11. The bing's treasure is the ligament of peace, the preserver of the honour and safety of the realm, and the sinews of war; and is of high estimation in law, in respect of the necessity thereof; that the embezzling of treasure trove, though not in the king's chest, is treason. And treasure, and other valuable tbings, are so incident to the crown, that they cannot' go from the crown. He hath on the land, wardships, escleats, amerciaments, \&c. for the maintenance of bis honour and dignities royal. For the sea he hath whales, \&cc. These do litule towards an army to defend the sea. The reason why the king hath the customs, is for the protection of merchants apon the ses, ageinat
pirates and enemies of the realm. So I shall conclude this point, That the ordinary defence, both for care and charge, of sea and land, doth appertain to the bing.

The next is this, which is my fourth head; That the extraordinary charge of defence reguIarly ought to be supplied by parliament, and cannot be done without it. Albeit subsidies be of gift and grant, yet this is of right and reason; the king is pater patric. If the son gire to the father when he wants, it is his duty. 19 Hen. 6, the rector of Cheddington's case, whether the king may grant a discharge of a fifteenth? If the king noay grant a discharge to one, so he may do to all. It is ngainst law the king should not have subsidies of his people, in case of necessity and danger; the same law that willeth the king should defend the people, tells us we should grant to the king nids for the defence. This is to be done in parliament regularly; and that this extraordimary charge caunot be imposed but in parliarneyt, these are their objections. I come now to the statute De Tallagio non Concedendo, which without question is a statute, being in our printed buoks; and in the Petition of light, 9 Car. it is recited as a statute, and established: the words of that are, 'Nullum Tallagium sine ' asseusu Parliamenti.' And 14 Ed. 3, cap. 1, there the king expresses himself, he will not impose any charge or aid $\mathrm{g}^{2}$ the subjects, but in parhament. Fortescue reciteth this to be the law, ' Nu charge without paliament.' And Bodinus, lib. 1, fol. 97, saith, "That the statutes of linglang are as a buckler to defend the subject aganst the king, for- laying any charge upon therin but by parjiament." And in his sixth book he magnifieth this kingdoun for the due observing this law. Other kings, in this pont, have no more power than the king of England, for that it is not in tite power of any prince in the world, and his pleasure, to raise taxes on the people, no mure than to take another man's goods from him. And yer, nevertheless, if the necessity and danger of the commonwealth be such, ns it canuot stay for the calling of a parliament, the king in his wistom and foresight may lay a charge without their consent; aud this is by the law of jus gentium, the rule of law and reason holdeth ' quod -' ompes tangit ab ommibus debet supportari.'

And so I come to nay fifth head, If the defence be of necessityt and the king's, treasure doth not suffice to defray the charge, then, instead of the rule 'quodromnes tangit, \&c.' this rule succeeds, 'qui sentit commodum, sentire ' debet et onus.' If the treasure of the ling will not defray the charge, I doanot conceive he is bound to sell or pawn hie crown, or his lands, though sone princes have been so courteous to do it, and paid it aguin.

Yon say at the bar, he must spefd all, and more if he had it. I will put this case in the 10th Rep. One is bound at the common law by prescription to repair a wall against the sea, yet in case of necessity, in avoidance of public minchief, the prescription cesseth; yet in this
case, if reparation must be done, then corieth this rule, 'quando impotentia excusat, tunc ' qui sentit commodum sentire debet et onus.' And if he ke not able to do it, the charge being so extraordinary, shall he not have contribntion? The law comptlleth not inapossibilities. So the king is bound tos defend the kingdom by land and by sea: but if the defence be so great, and the danger tends to the subversion of the kingdom, and the king not able to make defence, the king and his subject ought to contribute to this charge, in due proportion. 'Vbi ' est endem ratio, ibi est eadem lex.' If the law shall make this provivion for a small level of ground, à fortiori for the commonwealth, in the time of extraordinary danger and necessity.

Sixthly, In this joint charge of defence, the land ought to assist the sea: nay, it is not poosible that any island should be defended without wooden walls of the navy at sea. Canutus the Dane entired the Thames mouth with an army, and afterwads went and landed in Dorsetshire : and agam shipped his men, and entered the Severn: then he went iuto Woreestershire, then he satled back rgan to other parts of the kagion: so he that is master of the sea, may make great spoil upon the land at pleasure. The Netherlanders having a great navy, the Spaniards fortitied strongly; as soon ns the wind scrved they set sail, aud were so miles uff before the Spaniauds could march with their forces to make resistance; the Netherlanders prescutly got a strong place, and afterwards sailed to another place, and took that also. These are no new examples, for islands to be masters of the sea. Our grand ariny in 1588, at Tilbury, what good had they dones, ii the Spaniards had been masters at sea? It is not porsible fur an island to he safe, without a nary at sea, as appeareth io sir Walter Raleigh's History of the Vorld: and if the sea mast defend the land, why should not the land be contributory for the defence of the sea and land? There are several precedents where writs have gone to inland counties, to charge thein to go to the custody of the sea. Claus. 18 Hen. 3,24 Ed. 1, 26 Ed. 3, \&e. Writs have gone into Berks, Oxon, \&cc. inland counties, to command them to contribute towards the defence of the sea.
Tu the seventh, That in case of instant danger, the imposition cannot be by parliament, I will here consider the naty) re of the Ø̂anger, as Mr. Solicitor readily pursues it; if it concerns the cssence, subversion, destruction, and rain of the kingdom, or the dishonour of the kingdom, 'Quando Hannibal ad portas,' for the senators thea to sit down in their robes, is rather a charge to the commonwealth, than aught else. It is no time then to call a parlinment, no well-advised man will think it fit; here are ' pcricula visa,' the danger is certain, none will say it is fit to call n purliament.This kingdom of Evgland liath been four times conquered, and therefore we hare reason to foresse the danger; first, by the Romans, then
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by the Sexons, then by the Danes, and last by the Normans.
The moralists do make three parts of Provideace. 1. 'Memoria prateritorum.' \&. 'Per'spicientia presentiom.' And, 3. 'Providen'tia futurorum.' It much concerns the king, the bead of the commonwealth, to be circumspect in the prevention of public danger ; conjectures and probabilities are to be regarded. Now put the case upon a probable and violent presumption; a potent enemy is prepared and ready to come. Is it not fit there should be a defence prepared instantly : Besides, there many be just reason of state, why aul enemy is pot it to be revealed in parliament; for if great, preparations be, and very probably against us, theu to discover them to be an enemy, is to give them occasion to become a challenger. No man can know the certuin event of things. One may be a friend, in shew, to the kingdom, or a neuter, not yet openly discovered; yet we may be mistaken in our opinjon of them. I leave this to your cousideration, whether it be fit, or no, to discover our thoughts, in parliament, of an enemy?
Tbe eighth thing is, That in these cases, of necessity and danger, the king, jure gentium, may charge the subject, without his consent in parliament, by bis regal prerogutive ; for in the king there are two kinds of prerogatives, regale et legale, which concern his person, lands and goods.
Now for the prerogatives royal of a monarch, they may be resembled to a sphere; the prmus motor is the king. It is observed, fhat every planet bat one hath a little orb by itself, that moveth in its petty compuss: So the center is the commonwealth, the king is the first mover. 1 will repeat soine of these prerogatives, for they are by all laws, and by our laws.-The first regal prerogative is this, that containeth all the rest, That the king may give laws to his subjects : and this doth not detract from him, when he doth it in parliament. 2. To make peace and war, 19 Ed. 4, 6. S. To create supreme magistrates. 4. That the last appeal be to the king. 5. To pardon offeuces. 6. To coin money. 7. To have allegiance, fealty, and homage. And, 8. To impose taxes, without common consent in parliament. These are the principal, and there are many more of them, and allowed by law. Comines, fol. 179, suith, That if the cloud be seen bot afar off, the king, without the conse (it of the subjects, cannot, tax them ; but if the cloud be over-head, the king may call certain wise persons to him, and tax his subjects.' You say, That if the king doth move a war offensive, there's time enough to call a parliament; if defensire, the cluod is seen long before. But, oh, good sir ! is this always true? Is not the cloud sometimes even oyer the head, before descried f If you read Coopines, he will tell you, That in times of peace we ought to forcify. 'Bot in these 'cases, where the danger is imminent,' saith Budinus, Lil. 1, cap. 47, 'the king ought not - to expect i partimment, but is to raise monies

4 suddenly, and such impositions laid upon the ' subjects are just and necessary.' This is the opioion of those writers, who wrote not according to the law of any one kingdom, but according to the law of reason. I could rouch these two authors, concerning the right of sovereignty which they gave to kings, to impose charges on the subjects, witbout consent of parliament, in time of necessity.
But what if the king will levy money, upon pretence of defence, in sime of danger, und dispose of it otherwise, and the danger not so apparent? I say, so pious and just a king will never pretend a danger, if it were not re vera. And if nay man will think the king will charge himself and his subjects to no purpose, far be it from my thoughts to think so. This money, thus taxed, is employed accordingly, for the defence of the kingdorn, together with the king's own money; which he would not do upon pretence.

Again, the king is pater patria, therefore, by the law of nature he is entrusted with the defence of the kingdom : and this power to tar his people, is tut a consequence of that.

To say, in time of extraordivary danger and necessity, 'Boni viri sunt sibi leges,' I say, for every man to be his own judge, is for every man to do what he listeth. Mr. Holborne tells you, That if it rests in the king's poner thus to tax the subjects, whpreas Mr. Ilampden is now taxed 20 s, be may the next year be taxed at gol. for, saith be, 'If the king may tax when 'he will, then he may what he will.' It is an ill consequence you make of it; you maguify parliaments, great reason we have for it; let us do so of kings: let none think dishonourably of kings; no question they will regard the lnws of God; and to make such objections, is not handsonely haudled.

Now we cofse to Precedents, and Acts of Parliament. For precedents, my brother Weston hath taken pains to repeat them, therefore I shall not.-Two precedents the defendant's counsel have much relied ton, Rot. Parl. 2 Rich. 2, pars 1. I hare here the Record; and in truth, it were a great ease to the judges, and to the cause, to avouch them truly. This of Richard 2, was in his minority; and no order being taken for the defence of the kingdom against the next summer, nothing was fonid in the king's Exchequer: a council was called, and fur conclusion, they bay, They cannot remedy this mischief without a parliament: whereupon a parlianeht was called; and in the mean time the king having monies lent him, be gave security to repay it.--Consider this, the king was-at this time but an infant; it was in a very troublesome time, many of those that were parliement-men made default to attend, excusing themselves, that they had other business. This then that was done was but a resolution in troublesome times; this is no suoh binding buainess, that it should be made se much of.-And Rot. Pari, 2 Hen. 6; m. 28, a commission went forth for the providing of barget; and the commons petitioned to arvid
this charge, and prayed that the commiasiot might be repealed. And the king upon thi calls in the commission. Strall this be a dis clainer of the king's right ? He saith, he wil speak with his lords. This is only a satisfac tory answer. Bcsides, the king was but an usurper. Now to say this answer of the king's is a resolution in the case, is a great mistake.IIe that will go through this load of precedents that have been vouched on loth sides, be had need to have more time than Mr. Holborme who spent fuur days.

You have alledged precedents both before the Conquest and since: that of the Danegelt, though it was a heary yoke, yet it was necessary to be borne: whether it was granted by parliament or no, now constal. I say, it is a good precedent, and I isuld it good without parlin-ment.-Some distressed kings, as king John, Henry 2, and*tichard 2, they did indeed do that hy borrowing, which they night have took of right.

Nuw, to give an answer to the sthtutes of 25 Ed. 1, and 14 Ed. 3, and the Petition of Ilight of 3 Car. Admut, I say, there were an express act, That the king, were the realm in never so much danger, should not liave aid from his subjects, hut in parliement, it is a void act; will any man say such an act shall bind? This power is as inseparable from the crown, as the pronouncing of war and peace is : such on act is manifestly unreasonable, and unt to be suffered; saith Doctor and Student, To foliow the words of the law, were, in some cases, injustice, and against the good of the commonwealth; wheretiore, in some cases, it is necessary to leave the words of the law, and to fullow that which renson and jostice requireth: and to that intent equity is ordained, which is no other hut an exception of the law of God, or law of renson, from the general rulese of the law of man: Which exception is tacitly underotood, in every general law. This inposisition without parliament appertains to the king originally, and to the successor ipso. facto, if he be a sovereign in right of his sovereignty frum the crown. You cannot have a king without these royal rights, no, not by act of parliament.

Agan, these acts bind not, for that favonrable construction, in case of the king, is to be dad in ull casens, Doctor and Student, fol, 27. It is not possible to make any general rule in law, but it shall fail is some particular case: if a lav were made in a city, that no man, under pain of death, should open the gates of the city before sum-rising, yet if the citizens, before that hour, flying before their enemies, came to the gates of the city, and one, for saving the citizens, open the gates before the hour appointed, yet he offendeth not the lav, for that case of necrssity is excepted from the said general law by equity. So for the statute in Edward the third's time, not to gire any relief to a aturdy heagar, upon pain of imprisonment; yet if onerreliges bim with clothes in the extremity of cold winter, to mave his life, be shall be excused by the same statute. By moeh as
exception of the law of reason and equity, as aloresaid, is this power reserved to the king.Impossibilities are to be excepted out of all laws: ' Nemo tenetur ad impossibilia.' Po verty and impossibilities, as one answered, were more mighty goddesses than either force or love.

But now you will say, where is this danger ? How doth this necessity appear? If you would find it, you need not to egquire for it either by sea or land; but in this very record, the writ sheweth, and the post favournble constraction is to be had for the king; as in Plowden's Comment. 386, the Case of Mines of gold and copper.-Now all this while I have heen in the generul, and in a manner in propositions; I conte now to application. Before f descend to it, I shall shew upon what part of the reeord I shall ground myself. Though in the Mittimus it be 'salus regni periclitabatur,' which is said to be metaphorical; for that it asketh no great answer ; it is good enough, as in the writ of Oyer and Terminer, 'omnes qui habent dam'num vel salvationem,' are bound to contribute. Will you bind the king to the language of J. S.? May he not express himself in what legul manner he pleaseth?

You sny, that this phrase of snlus regni is too general: if it be ailedged, and you demur upon it, you confess this for the most advnntage for the king; ns in the Case of Mines. It is not alledged in the Scir' Fac'; this might have been inade a good question,-But without all these, I conceive the writ 4 Aug, contuineth the causes for this great preparation, and expresseth therp in particular. What if it were no more but this, lest we should lose the dominion of the sens? What is it to be called Dominus Maris, and not to maintain it, but to uffer this princely honour to perish, and others to become masters of it? What havoc and-conusion would follow? And this is the true intention of the issuing forth of this writ.
Next, 'Consideratis etiam periculis, \&c.'. hat is, the danger is so evident, and so great, n these warlihe times, thipt of necessity defence must be made, both by sea and land.Next is great oppression used at this time, Datum est nohis intelligi quod preedones, \&c.' hat the pirates do take, and spoil our merhants, carry our men into captivity: what will ou say to this? Let them take our men, and let us have a parliament, aud we will bring hem home again : the land was nevesr witbout hieves, nor the seas withoyt robbers.
Next, 'paratum periculum et preparans, scc.' Now these ships go for the defence of the sea rgainst this danger, 'et vestrum et vestroum. 'The writ saith the whole kingdom is in anger, both by sea and land; and you bave sonfessed this by your demurrer,-But you omplain before you are hurt, hecause you lave seven monthy liberty, a parliament might ve called in that time: now in this time of imminent danger, it is no time to call a parlia sent.
You tay it bath continued for chree years,'
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Put the case the danger continueth far three years, and then ceaseth, and then the king ceaseth to lay a charge, and the danger begins zgan the next year; nhat! Shall not the king require aid ns the danger increaseth?-And now to conclufe, without repettion : it doth appear by this recurd, that the whole kingdom is in dinger, both by sea and land, of ruin and destruction, dishonour and oppression; and that the danger is present, imurinent and instant, and greater than the king can, without the aid of his subjects, well reist : whether mitist che king resort to purfiathents? No. We see the danger is instant, and adiuits of no delay. Shall we go hoine, und sit together in careless security ? Not so. But let us resort to our pious and just-king, whose prerogative lind right of sovereignty is to defend the realin, and to maintain his subjects liberties. And so I give Judgment for the king.

The Argument of Sir robert berkley, Knt. one of the Justices of the Court of King's-hench, Feb. 10, is Car. 1657, in the Exchequer-Chamber.

The Cise.
In Aug. 11, of the king's reign, there issued wut of the conrt of C'hancery his mujesty's writ, directed to the sherifl of the county of Bucks, and the head otticers of villages and buroughs in that county, ' et probis hominihus,' that is, to all the king's good subjects, 'in ommibas ' villis, burgis, et aliis locis in com' Buchs.'I may call this writ, a special writ, or a commission upon the case. It is not a sí volo; it beginneth with divers weighty reasons or causes, pro rutione, of the issuing of it; as, 1. His majesty had intelligence that certain pirates, 'et roaris grassatores,' as well Mahometans as others, were congregoti upon the sea, ' quod 'ab olim per gentem Anglicanain defendi con' suevit;' and did daily rub and spoil the ships and the goods of the subjects of the ling, and of his confederates, and did captivate the persous of those whom they took.-2. His majesty did conspicere, that those men did navi"gia indies preparare, ud mercatores ulterius - mulestandos, et ud reguungravand' nisi citios 'remedium ponatur.'-3. His majesty did consider the perils, ' qua undique illis guerrinis - temporibus imminebant, ita quod regi et sub-- ditis suis defensioni maris et regni, ounni fes' tinatione qual poteric, conveniebat accelerare.' -4. His majesty's ryal resolution was, ' De-p ${ }^{-}$fensioni regni, tuitiohi maris, sècuritati subdi-

- torum, et salve conductioni navium et mer'chandizarum providere.' 'Maxime pro eo ' quad' the king and his progenitors 'domiai ' marris predict' semper hactenus extiteruut, et ${ }^{6}$ plurimum tederet regem, si hooor iste regius - Euis temporibus dispereat, nut in aliquo uninu' atur.'-5. Lasily, his majenty caller to mind a : Regula juris et rationis; Onus isturd defenssionis quod omnes tangit, per omnes debet - supportari, proot per legem et consuetudinem 'regai Anglim ficri consuetit.'

Upoo these solid rensons, as upon a firm foundation, the Mandnmus of the writ is grounded, and followeth in the nelt place.
The Mandamus is, 1. That all they to whom the writ is directed, should among them, 'pro' videre unam navem de guerra,' of sach a burden, and with so many men, and other particulars, as are mentioned ablarge in the writ. -2 . That this ship, so farnished, be ready at Portsinouth by the first of March then following, and from that time, for 25 weeks, to go with his majesty's and other subjects slips, and to attend the direction of those to whom his mujesty should then commit the custody of the scas, for tuition of the sea, and defence of the realm. -3 . That all this be perforined, 'ad 'custagia' of theipselves 'tam in victualibns 'quam hominum salariis, et aliis ad guerram 'necessariis.'
After the Mandamus, an Assignavimus, ot commission to the sheriff and the head otticers cometh, and then directions to them.
The comflission to the sheriff is inter alia, That he shall make an assessinent 'secundum ' facultates cujusque,' for contribution to the expence of the provisions aforesaid, shall appoiut collectors, shall levy the money to be assessed (if it be denied) 'per districtiones ' aliosve modos delitos,' and shall 'carcei ' mancipure' those who shall be 'contrarii ot 'rebelles.'-The directions to the sheriff begin with a clause of ' Nolumus.' The king forbids that the sheriff shall levy more tham is necessary for the expenccs : that any money levied shall be appropriate to any other use, 'quovis quasito colore:' and 'then, lisuly, in case that more be collected than shall be useful, the king comunands that restitution he made of it.
After this bill, 9 Martii 12 of the king (which is above a year after the ship should have been ready at Portsmouth) a writ of Certioran issued out of the Chancety, directed to the several sheriffs pro tempore of Bthekingliaushiure. -That Certiorari recites the writ of $\Lambda$ ugust 11. And for that the king was informed, that soure had not pairl the soms assessed upon them, but refused to do the same; the . King commands the said sherifls respectively to certify into the Chancery the names of such refusers, and the sums asscesed upon them. The. sherifts accordingly make setorns in a schedule aunexed to the Certiorari. In one of the schedoles there is, 'inter alia, 'Stoke Mande'vile, Mr. Johr' Hampden, 16.'.
After this, by writ of Mittimus out of the Chancery, tested in May last, the tenure of the wriv of Aug. 11, with these words, ' quod qui' dem brev' pro eo quod regn' nostr' Anglize et. popul' nost' ejusden periclitabatur emuniri curavimus inter alia breyia ad hujusmodi provisionem, et assensarsent' faciend per singulas connitatus Anglis,' \&c. And also this record of the writ of Certiorari, with the return of it, and achedule aunexed, are sent into the court of Exchequer.-By that Mittinus the bing comraanda the lord treasurer and barons, guod its-
spectis those records, they should 'facere ulte-
' rius inde pro levatione, collectione et' recep-
' tione' of the sums unpaid, ' prout de jure, $t t$
'secundum legem et consuetudinem regni An-
'glive fuerit faciend' et non sliter.'-By which
(prout) but especially by the (non aliler) the hing's honour and care of justice are singularly eminent; for the levying the money auew is unt cominanded, nay, it is forbidden, unless, 'Jus, ' Lex, et Consuctudo Angliw. 'do warrant it.

After this, and in the same month of May lant, the barons nwanded a writ of Scir' Fac' into Buckiughanshire, against thoye whose names are in the schedule afuresaid, thereby commanding the sheriff to warn them to appear in the Eschequer by a day, to shew canse, if they can, why they should not be charged with the payment of the sums of money assensed upon them and unpaid. - 'The Scir' Fac' is always a judicial writ; and certanly the barons lave proceeded very judicially aud gravely, in awarding of ir. In weighty cascs, especinlly, if they be not of common inpression, proceediug elento pede is truly judicial,-Upou that Scir' ' 'ac' Mr. IIanpdon is returned gurnished.- IE appeuring, and having heard the several writs and records ho-fore-mentioned, without tuking the commun or any cther protestation, huth dimurred genc-rally.-The words of his demurrer are, Tliat materia contenta in the same rccorls, "minus 'snficiens in lege exstit ad ipsum oneranduen.' -lle doth not say, that faterit is minus vera; but, acknowledgng the matter contained in the writ tu be true, he patteth the caise de bono et malo, upon suthcieacy or iosuliciency, in point of the law, for claugang him.-Mr. Athorincy for the king hath joined in demurrer,-Upon this demurrer, one main or grand qucstion, and some wher inferior question, heve bcen startel.

Because I hare time hitle enough for the grand question, I will nut trouble you with arguing, or so muchas singling out the other inferior questions. My lifther Weeton hath spoken to some of tich, I concur with bim.
'T he grand quation is shorily this: Whether, at this case is, of in this sperial case, (as it is upon the pleading) the changes imposed by the king upon lis suljects, for proyision of stipping, without common conseat in parliament, begood in law, yea or no?

This is a question of extrourdinary weight, of infinite conseqpience, the greatest that ever came before judgese of ordinary courts of jus-tice.- : Qui ad pnuca resjicit, facile pronun'ciat;' but he that will determine in this ques-" tion, uust ' respicere ad multa, eaque magaa et 'ardua., Upon the debate of this question at the har; elahorate, learned and strong targuments have been made on either side. And truly, for my part, I have laid the question to my heart. All the arguments a lich have been made in it, I have been present ar, and specially beeded. All the records which have been brought to the judges, un cirlier side, I bave read aver as seriously as I could. I bave likewise cousidered of the reasons and authorities in law, pertinent to this case. And upou my
vol. III.
ppins, deliberation and study, I have concluded with myself, and in mine own understanding am saristiel, and think I bluall satisfy others, that as this case standeth, upon the records in the plending, or in thus special conse; the charge inpused is good in lavs, and con-equently that Judgment ought to be givell against Mr. Hampden, quod oneretur.

Fur my clear delivery and expression of myself, I divide all that I suill soy into these four hearls.-1. I wall state the care, and will settle the proper question of it, as the pleadings are. (The true stating and setsling of a case conduceth much to the right ansucr of it.) -11. I will consider the policy and fundamental rules of the comnoon law, upjlicable unto that which upon statung the case shall uppear to be the proper question.-III. I will consider the acts of prorlament, the susnct to petitone in pas liament, and the seicral Ningum Clartn's of the dibertues of England, whels concern the king's procceling in this cuse.-14 I will answer the maternal objections, which lave been made on the other side.

Upon my Finst Generalllead.- Ihope that none toth imagine, that it either is, or can be drawn by cuncquence, to he any part of the question in this case, whether the king may at all tinues, and upon all occasions, impore chargea upon his subjects in general, withut common courcut in parlament? If that, were made the question, it is questinsless, That he mny not.The people of the himedom are subjects, notslavss, freenien, not villaius, to be taxed de alce. et busso.

Though the king of England hath a monarcliad power, and hath ' jura sumtua majesta-
tis, and hath nu absolute trust settled in his crown and persun, fur eovernment of his subjects; yet his goterument is to be 'secundum - leger regni,-It is nue of the quertions in the - juramentam "'gis,' at his coromation, (see the old Magna Chata, fol. 1Gb.) 'Concedis justa 'leges et consuctudines regni csse tuendus? And the hing is to unswer, Concedo.-By those laws the suliects are not torints at the ting's will, of what they have.- They have in their lands 'Feodum sumplex,' which by Littleton's deacraption is, "hareditas legitima, vel pura.They have in their goods a propenty, a peculiar interest, a 'meon it tumm.' They have a birtuight in the laws of the hiugdoin. No new lans can he put upon them; urne of their laws can be altered or abrogated yithout common consent in parlinungat.

Thus much I speak to avoid misapprehensions and misreports upon that which I shall say in this case; not as if there were cause of saying so wuch upon any thing challenged on the biug's side. We have in primt his majtsty'w onn must gracious Declaration, that $k$ is his maxiu, that the people's liberies streogthen the hing's prerogetive, and that the hing's prerogatifer is to defend the people's litieitits.

Secopdly, 'Though Mr. Hamprlen's coupeel hare spent all their powder in citing n multitude of records, lagepuige wif que in ibing
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John's time, and so downwards, to prove, That the king's ministers bave paid, that the barons have been by writs commanded sometianes to pay, sometines to muke allowances, out of the king's moneys or dues,-in casty of toreign auxiliary, and voluntary wars: in cases of particuiar or ordinary defence of the reulm, us upon rehellion of suljects, or inroads by encmies, into parts, marches, or maritime ; such enemies I mean, as are not greatly formitable, us are apt to run away when they hear of uny force coming ngninst them: in cases of setting forth ships, for scouring the seas from petty pirates, so that merchants may bave sate passage : in cases where victuals, or other provisions, were taken from particular persons, by way of purveyance, for soldiers, or for the king'b army : in cases of borrowing of money by the king's officens, for war, or ordmary or extraordinary defence: in cases of taking money or goods against the owner'* consent, by warrant for the king's use, for war, or other manner of defence: in cases where particular men's slipy, horses, or armnor, were lost in the wars: in cases where private men's houses werc used in the king's service: lastly, il cases of general and extraondinary defence, where the king had sufficient aids for that purpose granted to him in parliament. Although I confess it be true, thut the king in all these cited cases, mus: pay of his nan, whont imposing upon the subjeet; yet I say that those cases come not close to nur case : for every of thuse cases hath a manifent, particular, and just reason; but none of these reasons are applicable to the cuse now in question, hs is casy to demmustrate, if a unan would cuter into every of these particolars; which 1 furbear, fir saving of time.--And these records being taken away, the multitude of the vouchers on Mr. Hampden's side will be greatly nbated.
Thirdly, The case of the ancient tribute called Danegelt, of which Mr. Hampricn's counsel hath spoken, though it come nearer than any of the former mentioned caste, yet it much differs from the charge imposed in our case.

It hath been said on Mr. Hampden's side. 1. That Danegelt was not iroposed, but by cominon assent of pasliament. 2. That after it was so imposed, it was released hy Edward the Confessor. 3. That it hath been now so long uncollected, that it is scarce known what it was.
To the first I answer, That the proof nrped that it was created by parliament, is at thic best but a conjecture. It hath been said, that the words of Leges Edw. Confessoris, e. 12, are in one place, 'statutum est Danegeldaun annua'tim reddi, \&c.' and in another place, ' Dane' geldi redditio primitus instituta est, $\& \mathrm{cc}$.' And statutum is a proper word for an act of parliament, a statute. But in my understanding it is apparent, that it had not creation by common essent in parliement, but only by regal nuthority; or at the most by the king, with his great lords issents, which in thone, and after times,
was frequent. My reasons are, 1st. In Tilburiensis, or the Black Book of the Exchequer, 1. 1, c. 23, the wards are express,' A reqibus ' Anglicis stntutum est, \&c.' no mention of any others who joined in that statutum. Qdly, It appears by the snid Leges Edw. Confess. c. 12, that at the beginning of Danexelt, ' ommis 'ecclesia libera erat;' the rewon given, ' quia ' magis confidebant ecclesiz orationibus, quam ' armorum defensionibus:' and yet in the addition to the said Leges, it appears that William Rufus imposed that tribute upon the church also, and that without common assent. For the words are, 'Dunegeldum concessum est ei ' $a$ barombus, non lege statutum neque finnu' tura;' and certainly those barons by whom it was concessum, were not all the baronage, for it is plain, that the bishops nad mitred abbots did not consent. Sdly, In that clanse where ' statutum est' is used, in Leges Edw. Confess. the Danegelt is said to be $12 d$. 'ex unaquaque ' hida;' und afterwas! it toth appear that it was made 4s,'by William Rufus, 'ex unaqua'que lide, ecclcsiz non exceptn;' which increase was most unjust, if no more but 12d. was linited by common assent at first.

To the secoud, I naswer, with sir Henry Spelman's distmetion, there were two kinds of Dancyelt ; one, 'and pacandos Danos;' another, ' ad arecidos Danos,' mad other pirates. It may loe, that the Coufessor released that 'ad pacandos,' fir the Danes troubled not this kingdom in bis time, they had cnough, to do then at loome, and so there was no canse of collection of any taxes ' nd pacandos Danos :' and thongh it nas de facto exacteel by tho Dunish hings lelore Biduard the Confessor, viz. Cannte, Harold Ilarefoot, nad Hardicamite, it was unjustly tahen by them, the cause of grant of it ceasing in their time of sovercignty here; nud that neght be the cause of the Confessor's dream, that he saw the devil dancing upon the money collected'rn his time for Danegelt : which supposition of $a^{-}$vision occasoned him to release it, as it is written. But ceatainly the Danege•lt 'ad arcendos,' \&c, was not released by Edward the confessor : for it appears in Tillburzensis, before cited, that ( Danegeldum sub indirenis regibus solvebatur 'usque ad tempus Wilhelmi primi;' if 'sub ' indigenis regibus,' then under the Confessor. -Again, it appears in Leges II. 1, c. 16, that Dancgeli was in that king'e time a duty to the king: firr' the words are, 'Janegeldum si ad ' teriainum non reddatar recta emendetur;' crgo, not released by his predecessor Edward the Confessor. I further fiad in Ranulphus Cestfensis, that ' Stephanus rex, regnum iniens, ' Danegeldum, i. e. 2s. ad hidam, quos anteces' sores sui singulis annis accipiehant, in aternum ' condonavit:' which 'condonavit' shens that he as a kingale facto, had a right to it, ergo, not formerly released. But for the validity of such a release by k. Stephen, a manifest usurper, tending to the diminution of the crown, eapecially if it were of a tribute granted to the crown by act of parliament, see 9. E. 4, f. g.

To the third I answer, That it is true, it is obscure what the Danegelt was; you have heard by what has been cited, mention of is. 25. and 4s. to be the sum of it; and truly, I think, it was more or less, according to the occasion of the money for the defence: the tribute ' ad pacandos Danos,' I believe at first was but 12 d . outoof every hide, yet afterwards increased by the three successive kings, Dancs themselves, for I find it was at first but abuut 10,0001 , per ann. it after was raised to 30,000 l. then to $40,000 l$, and lastly to near 50,0001 . which huge sum was in these times a burden insupportable to the people. But however, the uncertainty of the sum, especially if you uuderstand that which was collected, ' ad ar' cendos Dninos,' is a clear proof, that it nas not created by act of parliaunent, for then the sumn therehy certain could not be mounted.-All historians do agree, that the original time of Danegelt was in k. Ethelred's reign. I olsserve, that $k$. Etheleed shewrd hme-ell wenk nud improvident, in that he looked not to rais? means for detence of his realin against the D.anes in time; but when the Danes were nasters, then he beg.sn to proside agninst them. And for that cause divers of our historistis write, that he was called hy a nick-nanc, Ehelred the Unready. Rut, on the other side, we the subjects of England, who enjoy ourselves and what we have in peace, through hi, majisty's royal care and providence, have cause to yield to sur sovereign $k$. Charles, the honourable name of Charles the Ready, or Charles the Provident.

Hut, to return, the differences between the antient Danegelt and ${ }^{\text {e }}$ the charge in our casc are apparent and raany ; for the Danegelt was,

1. Annual : ours is due only in case of necossity. 2. It was collected out of hides of land, and thence called Inihge: ours is collected out of personal as well as real e-tates. 3. It was a tribute of money : ours a provision of shipping and armour in kind. 4. It was ngaust pirates: outs is for common defence of era and land. 5. It was not general : clergy and clergymen were originally exempt : ours is gencral, upon all without exception.
Lege the wurds of the authorities under written, from whence many observations and good conclusious may be drawa touching Danegelt.
Leges Edw. Coufess. $c_{2} 12$, 'Dançeldi reil-- ditio, propter piratos primitus instituta est, ' patrian enim infestantes' vestationi ejus pro [ posse suo insistebant; ad quorum insolentiam - reprimendam statutum est Danegeldam an-- nuutim reddi sc. 12 densrios ex unaquaque - hidn totios patrix, ad conducendum eos qui 6 piratarum írruptioni resistendo obviarunt. De

- hoc quoque Danegeldo libera erat omnis ec-
- clesia, quia magis confidebant êclesim orati-
' onibus, quam armorum defensionibus.-Hanc
- libertatem tenuit Anglorum ecclesin, usque ' ad tethpus Willielmi junioris. Danegeldum - concessum est ei baronibus, non lege statet tum, neque firmatum; sed haboit necessitatis
' eausa ex unaquaque hidn 4 soiidos, ecclctia ' n n excepta.'
The Black Book of the Exchequer, writea in king lienry 9 's time, in that part which is 'Tilburiensis's work, or the Magister ad Discipulas, it is lib. c. 28, not c. 11, ns it is misprinted in learned sir Heury Spelinan's Glossa-ry.-' Ad Danos arcendos, a regibus Anglicis statutum est, ut de singulis hidis jure quodum perpetuo duo wolidi frgentei solverentur in usus cirorum fortium, qui perlustrantes maritima impetam hostium reprimerent.-Qui igitur principaliter pro Danis institutus est hic redditas, Dunegeldum dicitur, hic sab indigenis regibus sohebotur usque nd tenpera rggis Willielmi priani. Ipso onam; regnante, tarn Inan' quam cater' terre marisq; pradon' hostiles cuibihetur incursus. Cam ergo dia solvisect terra, sub ejusdem regis imperio, noluit buc ut annmatim solscretur, quod fuerat urgeate necessitate bellicat tropestatis exaclum, nec tames onanino propiter inopinatos casus dinurti,-laro igitur temporibus ejus vel snecersorum ipsias solutunu est, hoc est cam ah exteris gentibas bella vel opininnes belloruni insurgebant.-Veram quocunq; tempore solvarur ab ipso, liberi suat qui assident ad Scuccarium, ut dicitur, et vicer'omites, \&cc.
Jucrs II. 1, e, 16. 'Danegeldum, i. e. $12 d$. ' ex unaquaq; hida per mnnum, si ad terminum ' non redatatur, wits emendetur,'

Fourthly, I nffirm, with some clearness, under favoar, That the clarge now demanded is not withion the aracicnt acceptation or siguification of the words, Aids, Mises, Prizss, Tases, or Talliages', which it is to he agreed cannot be exneted by the hing, without consent in parliament. Neither is it within the compuss of the word Subsidy, which may not be levied, but upon grant of it in parlament. Aids, if you take the word in a general sense, they were of two kinds: 1. Such as were aids and services too, as 'pur faire fitz chevalier, pur file marier.' That kind of aid, common persons, who had seigniories, had right unto, as well as the king. No colour of comprelending this kind of aids, within the worl, Aids, pertinent to this ques-tion-To ther gd hind of aids, were sums of money from the suljict to the king, by way of belp, 'anl agendo regis'? as for making of castles, building of bridgec, helps for voluntary or nuxiliary war-, or for the king to do his pleasure with, and the like.-See Parl. Roll 11 Hen. 4, 1. $45,20,000$ uarhs gennted to the king by the name of in sid, 'ent a fair son 'pleasure.' And Rut. Perl. 25 Ed. 3, n. 12, where the application of the word Aid to such a purpose, is distinguished from other payment to the king.-Mises were presentations in kind of a benevolence, upon a king's first coming to his crown; tuch are yielded at this day in Waler, to a prince of Wales.- Prises are taking of payy of the subjects goods from them to the king's use, without pay; hence prisage of wines at this day.
${ }^{-}$Taxes et Tallages, in Qainxim. B. 9, 34 H . - 8, Nota par exposition de ccax del Eseliecq;
'que tax et tallage n'st auter, mes dismes, ' quinzim, ou auter sulsidie, graut' per parlia-

- mpat. Et le quimzin est des layes, et le disme
* eat de clérgic et est d'estre levy de leur terr' et
- le disme et le quimzim de lais est del biens, sc.
- decimam parten bouonm in civitatibas et
© burgis, et 1.5 partem bonorum des laies in
${ }^{6}$ priain que fuit levy in aucuns temps sur lour
- liens, viz. del aids sur luar terres que fuit
- vald troublous, mes sons cest levy, secandun
- ratum terrarum snarum per verges de terr' et
${ }^{6}$ nuter quantites, issuit que ore, tout science
' Jour. certenty in chun vill et pais par tout le
${ }^{6}$ realine mes it est encore levy in ascuns lieux
t sur lors biens, mes inplusiors lieux, sur lors
'ters.-Subnidiey quid chum conust, Bc. eer-
'taine some sur le pmund del rat' de terr' ou
' biens, come app' in ies Acto de P'arhanent de
' grant del subsidie.'
Fifthly, It camant be said, that the present case is to be stated so, as unless the charge co:mmanded be obeytd, an asoured infallible ruin and subrersima oithishongdous will happen, and that mstauly. In such a care, ' gquid non' is lawfil; and happy be whe by dong any exploit, can save the ship from smhing, the body from falling.

Sixihly, It is to te ubarred, That the principal conmand in the whpping- 'rit, is not to levy money, it is ta provide a ship; which slip being to be provited at the charge of a molidtuide, in repard the theg camnot be shone any manner of way, lut ty the mesus of that whace is ' mensura rerum,' mamely, money, therefore the instuctions in the shipp.ag-writ are not only apt, but necessury ; thit an assestinent he made, wherelyy propertonalice smo of musey may be collected, for the piorizion of the tiang commanded: And theretijou it may be sand, that the sum asscsed upou every oie, and in our case upon Mr. Hampden, is not a debt vi termint, but is ratier a duty to be performel, as a monns conduciag to the principal end: The efusal of perfirmance of which duty, is a refusal to obey the primeipal thang commanted, 'Qui veeat miedinm, deatruit nnem.' And the principal thing commatuled, being of a kind conce:ning the emmonnealth; the hing, who is the heari, the soxereign of the connmonec calth, and who bath as incrice.t to lus regal office, power of coescion, is hy law the execiece such his puner ot crercion, tio iufince such as rafuse to join with others in performance of that which is commanded for the commonsemth.--And this being the true stite and way of the proceedings, in the preseut cave; it is apparent, that though the Seir' Fse' agnintt Mr, 1lampdenu be in the king's nane, yet it is not to have execution as for the hing's money, or as for a debt Jue to the king from Mr. Mampden: But as is monifist, if the whole contexture of the writ of Scir' Fac' be wherved, it is nothing else, but to bring on a declaratory payment. That Mr. Hnmpden oupht onrrari to the payinent of the 20s, nssessed upou bin. So that, with his 20a., together witb the other money of Bucking-hamsbire-med, assessed also upon every of
them particalarly, the ship commanded from the county of Buckingham may be provided.

Seventhly, and lastly, Having declared of what mature pur case is not, I come now to tell you what the state of it is. The true state of our question must be made out of the whole recurd, or pleading of the case, the matter of fact wherein the defendant hath confessed, (as I noted in the beginaing:) In the Writ of Aug. 11 Car. and in the Wit of Mittimus, there are causes "xpressed, of the issuing of the Writ of Aug. 11, or the Slippung-Writ; those caused are several, bat not to be severd dill of them are to be laid together into the balance.

1. 'Piratie congregati,' upon the English seas.-2. ' Pirate nasiprum indies preparantes, - ad mercatores utrarius malestandos, et ad reg'num gramandam.'-3. 'Periculn' are 'Un'dique regno Anglise, in has gnerrinis tempori-'bus."-Those 'pericula' do ' maminere regno, ' nits ritius remedem ponator;' where the word 'citius' is a couppustive word, relative to slow ways of remedy, minonget which parlhuments is one,-5. ' Megi et subdatis convenat, ' unini quas poteci,t festinatione acerlerare, ad - regni defensionew, man is tutionem, et scui' tatcon subditorma.'
Out of all those positions it appears, That there is in the case real nad manilist peril; not ' panicus terror,' fear wnhout cause; ' 'Temprara' are 'de facto guerrina,' there is 'du thacto


Again, we must observe, That in this case, 1. Thic command is, : ad proticsceadum cum ' navilus reyis:' mo the hag himself in to join with the suiject in the common telence: liere is not a 'quad thi fierr nou vis:' llere is rather a coatributio, than a tributio.-2. The -lips and arms to loe providid are to coutinue the sulijecs own in propetty: The knie doth not assume the qroperty of them to hmself; he ouly commands them to be made and used fir the common defrace. 'This appears liy the woris (' ad proficiacendum cum havibus nos' tris.') S, the writ stis andismetion between 'nased nootre,' (that is, the kmg's) and the ships to be provided. See the like of this, m . 29 is 29 Fd .1 , Communia, wha the King's Remembrancer, for gallies commanded upoa the like nccasion ; and P. 5, E. 2, and P. 13, E. y, with the King's Renucmbrancer, 'inter - brectia directa barmibus.'- 3 . The sulyects are commanded, in this case, to lie at the expences, ' tam in sictualibus, quam buminum 'salarns ad guerram neacsoariis.' This I shall pruve clearly anon, to be consonaut to lav, and warrumied by nainy precedents, in the like cises:-4. All the counties of the kingdom, that is, till the kingdon in gencral, 15 clarged, not any spared; the clergy, the kiug hinnself, are to join in the provisions.-5. The final end and scope of.alt this prepamtion is s Deleusio regni, ' tutio maris, reluntio dominii maris, securitas 'sulditorum, salus reipublica.'
But Mr. Holborue hathobjected, That 'salus 'reipublicas periclitabatur' is not to be taken as purt of this case, becsuse it is not in the writ
of Aug. 11 Car. but is inserted into the Mittimus, above two years after; and he saith, That Mr. Hampden could not know 11 Car, that at that time 'salus reipublice periclitaba'tur;' and therefure he is not to be blamed for refusing to pay bis assessment, which was before the Mittimus, and grounded only upon the writ of Amg. 11 Car.

IIc further observed, That in the salisequent shippiog-writ, that clause is expressly now put out. To this 1 answer. 1. It is true, that 's salas regni' is not in express terms, or in thase identical nords in the writ of Aug. 11, but it is expressed in that writ in words equipollent. 2. If it were not contained in that writin words equipolicat, yet it enforces the woris th that writ in matier pursuant, or ngt ne $\kappa$, or difiereat from it; and so is out of the rules of departure, wherein if it were, it were a good execption in strictuess of pleadiag. 3. That chause in the Mittams by way of declaranon or signticution to the hison, what the reasom was, that moved tha king to rosue lae fist wift ; and the barous are to take notice of i , as well as of the elause in the Mitunus, whereby the hing signolieth to them, that he had sent the hae whits as that of Aug. 11 to all the cotaties of England: And this is a declaration of that meaning whacin the king had in the begiming, By Downan's Care, Cu. 9. after assesment execused, or a tine levest, a di claraton may be made, to what ase that tine or asse-sment was.

In a word, the state of our Cone is thers; ${ }^{6}$ Dommium inarts, et salus reppublica periel'tabatur, convenit rege et sublisis, oman gua ' poternit fistuatsone atcennate adi defill' sionecm regni, taitionem: in. ris, el securitatem.'
Now wheller to set the commanwealth frse and in whery firom tion peril of rain and destruction, the knig may nut, oi hio own royal nuthority, and withut cummen menent on pariament, mopose a chasige upatu has sulysts in general, tis provide sueh. shippmg, as is neecssary, in his royitl juignem, 1 , jom whth his majerty's onn ships, ane tor attend thems for such tunce as his majesty in his royal wodom shall think tit, and aloo to eujoin thean to be themselves at the expencos, 'tya m victualh-- bus quam hominum salarus, et alis ad guei-- rain necessariis ?'

- 1 nould be loth to irritate any, differing in opnnion fonm me, "uith prowhing or ofitous terms; but I canuot unore fully cxpricss myself; (and so I deaire it may be fahen as an expression, mod not as a coupparisum) iban ia sayins, That it is a dangerous tenet, a kind of judaising opinion, tor lueld, That the weal public must be exposed to peril of utter guia aud stibvcrion, rather than such a clarge is this, which may secure the conmonncalth, may be mpused hy the king upon the subject, without common cousent in parliament. So that the secarity of the commonwedid, for the vary subsistence of it, wiust stay ond expect untul a purliament pruvide for it; in which interim of tinse, it is possible, nay, apparently probable, yea, in a manner to be presumed, that all may
be, yen, will be brought to a final period of destruction and desolation.

All know, that the Jens were so strict, that they would not ure means for defence of themselves, and their cunutry, upon their Sabbath. Their enemier took the advantage, and rained their state.
The Szcond General Head.-I now come to my Second general bead, wherein I proposed to consider of the fundumental policy, and maxinss, and rules of law, for the government of this realu, and of the ressons of law pertinent to our case, which are very many. I will t.rielly and severally point mu thuse which make nupression in nie.-1. It is plan, that as origually, evcn befire the llommans time, the frame of this kugd im was a monarchical state, sis tor dives lonidereds of ycary past, upon the Romans denstron of it, and af er the heptarchy eade i, it was, nad contruicd, and still conthoueth monarclucal. And whr gracious sovereynn is a monarch, and the rigits of free mumarchy appertan uptu han; and yet still with thas, that he must 'lagen aid cimsuctudines - "egni servare, et pascipue legis et consuetu'thue et hertatus a gluncos, rege Edwardo,' (that is, belward the Contiosor) 'clero populio'gue concessas ;' ns appea + m the old Mngn. Chart. tol. 164, tit. 'juramentuan regis quando 'coronatur.' \&. Where Mr. Mailbune suppused a fundancental policy.in the creatinn of the frame of this knggon, that in case the monarch of England shatald be inclined to exact from his sulijects at lat- pleasure, be slaueld le rentained, for that he could have mothing fram u-en, but upan a commun consent iu partanent.
He'is unterly mistaken herein.-I aqree the parinanent to be a most aveient and supreme court, where the bing and peerb, us jurges, aro
person, sud the whale body of the commons represcntatively. There peets and commons may, in a fittuy way, 'parler lour ment,' and shew the estate of etery part of the kingdom; atal anousst other thangs, nake huown their grewances (if there be aul) to their sovereign, and humbly petition him for redress.

But the foriner fancied pulicy I utterly deny. The law hoous no such king-yoking policy. The law is of it ell an uldeand trusty servant of the bing's; $t$ is his instrunent or means which he usr th to giveru his penple by, -1 never read nor herord, that Lex was Rex; bet it is commont and nonst true, that liex is Lavg for he is 'lex lopmens,' a living, a speakiug, an acting lan : man because the king is 'lex loquens,' therefore it is said, that ' rex censetur habere 'omnia jura un scimio pectoris sui.'
Thele are two maxims of the law of England, which plainly disprove Mr. Holborness supposed policy. The first is, 'That the king - is a person trusted with the state of the com' monwealth.' The second of these maximus is, ' That the king cannot do wmong? Upon these two maxims, the 'jura sunymar mujessatis' are grounded, with which none but the king hina. self (not his high court of parliunent vithput
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leave) bath to meddle, as, namely, war and peace, value of coin, parliament at pleasure, power to dispense with penal laws, and divers others; anongst which 1 range these also, of regal power to commaud provision (in case of necessity) of me us from the subjects, to be adjoined to the king's own means lor the defence of the commonwealth, for the preservation of the 'salus reip.' Otherwise I do not understand how the kingh majesty may be said to have the majesticnl right, and power of a free monarch.

It is agreed, that the king is, by bis regal office, bound to delend his people against foreign enemies ; purbooks are so, I. Nn. fol. 118, ' ${ }^{\text {Est }}$ ${ }^{4}$ a inteudre que le roy doit de droit; snver et - defendre son realme conn' vers le meere, ' com' vers enemics.'-Juramentum Regis, cited before, 'servabis ecclexia Dei, clero, et po"pulo, pacem ex integro sccundom vires tuas;" if 'ex integro,' ticta aguinst all disturbers bf the general peace amongst them, most eliefly, in my judgment, agrinst danzerous foreiguers.

Bracton and Glanvill, in the frout of their books, pullished, Thrt the king must bnve arms as well as laws; arms and streusth tyainst foreign enemies, laus for doing justice at home. (ertainly if he must have th se two necessarics, he must be emabled with means for them, und that of himerif, not depomient 'ex ' ulorum arbitio ; ' for it is 'regula juris, lex * est, quando quis aliquid olicui concedit, con' cedit, et id sine quu res ipsat esse non potest.'
3. Thougb I tave gone aheady very high, I shall $g^{\prime \prime}$ yet to a ligher contemplation of the findamental policy of our laws : which is this, That the king of mere right ought to have, and the people of in re duty are boand in yield unto the king, supply for the defence of the king-dom,-And when the parliament itself doth grant supply in that case, it is not merely a benevolence of the prople, but therein they do an act of justice and duty to the king.-I know the most solemn form of parliament, and of the humble expression of the commons, of their hearty affecton, nad good will to their king, in tendering to him their bills of subsidic? or fil teentis.

Rot. Parl. 9 Hen. 4, n. 7. There is a nothble record of the very right of the commons, in the form of grant by parlinment of supply to the king: Archbishop, Áruidel, then Lord Cbancellor, in his speerh ti, the houses, took for his theme 'Regen bonorificate,' it beiug then a time of iustont necessity.-The commons, in their grievances, complained of the default of safeguard of the sen, towards which they had granted a subsidy before; 'Et pour ' tant,' (Note this for the renson, it was not spoken simply, as Mr. St. John urges) but ' pur - tant que ills ne sant obliges a cel guerre sus'teyner, mes sont discharg' de re exant;' nad they petitioned, That accordingly, it would please the king to discharge the commons, but the king did not discharge them, quod nota. After this, the Record goeth, that there was is *onference between the ling and lords, of the
state of the realn, and of the defence of it. And in that conference, the king askugg the lords advice, they answered, That a tenth and half a tenth was necessary from the boroughs, and a fifteenth and half from the rest of the people. This conference and advice being reported to the house of commons, the record is, ' Ils fuer grandernent distorbe en dist' ceos des'tre en grand derogation de lour liberties.' The disturbance was so great, that the king himself took pains to pacify them. Upon this Record it appears, and I confess, that the commons offering up of the Lill of fifieenths, and so of subsidies, to the king in parliament, is a nost material form, and serves to make good and happy expressions of love and unity between the head and memelicrs, the king and his subjects. But still I sny, that it is the kiug's right to have supply; that supply is a duty, not merely a bebprolence from thie people, in case of necessary defence of the kungdom.-And this is not my single opiniou

15 II. 6, b4 B. Hody Ch. Baron, 'Le roye est 'inheritc,' that is, hath right of imbertance to have fifieenths in his court of parlament : for the same law which wills that the king defend his people, wills also, that the people grant to him of the ir goods, ill nid of their detence.-Bcsides, I prove mine opinion, if nny man deny it, unanswerably, out of the very writ of summons of jparhament : in iţ, Ardua et urgeutia ngo' tin, regem statum, et detensionem regni An'ghat et ecclesix concernentin,' are meutoned to be the cause of parliminent.
Now 1 pray you observe.- In the writ of summons to the peers of the kingdom, the words are, ${ }^{\text {, Super diçtis negotiis, tractaturi ve- }}$ ' rump; concil' impensuni;' but in the writ for clanice of kuyghts end burgesses to serve for the commons, the words are, " Lta quod milites pro 'se et commuintate comitatus predicti, ac dicti ${ }^{\text {a }}$ cives et burgenses pro se et communtate ci' sitatum et borgorum plenam potestatem ha'beant ' (whint to do?) 'ad facienduns et con'senticudum hin quee thate ibiden de communi 'concilio regni nostri cuntigerint ordmari super ' negotiis antedictis.'--So the words are, 'fa' cere et consentire,' to matters apreed on conceruing the defence of the kingdom; there are ho other inatters mentioned in the writ for sammons of their representative borly: no sucb v.ouris as ate in the peers, writs me in theirs: jet I cannit say, nand zo d desire to le conceivect, but that according to the Record of 9 Hen . b, the communs mny olpo humbly oifer their advice to the king; they may shew their grievances, and the state of the commons : but it is plain, that the principal duty belonging to the cominons is, 'fficere ct consentire,' otherwise there would have beep in their writ, ns well as in the peers, 'super dictis negotiis tractuturi, 'veramquet consifium impensuri.'-Upout this I put the case, and argue thus: the kingdom wants present provision, necessary for present defence, to be in readiness; this provision, the case so falling out, mist be so speedily made, as that it would be dangerous, in regard of what
may happen, to stay for an assent in parliamont. Weil, in this case there is a duty from the subject, and a necessity that the thing must be done, but the necessary form for the subjects assent in parliament cannot be pursued; I demand what must be done, or what may be done in this case, without breach of law i- In the duty lost for want of time to olserve the torm? For my part, I understand not any reason that the duty, in such case, should be lost; but I should agree, that were not this a duty, vi termini, which is to come from the subject, in such a case, but only a mere benevolence, then that such benevolence conld not by law be exacted without the essential part of it, viz. the subjects assent in parlinment.
4. I confess, that by the fuadamental law of Englnud, the pailiament is 'commune conci' lium regis et regni,' that it is the greatest, the most honourable and supreme court in the kingdorn ; that no man ought to think any dishonourable thing of it: yet give me leave to say, that it is but a Concilimm ; to say so is no dishonour to it: the kiug may call it, prorogue it, dissolve it, at his pleasure; nad whatsoever the king doth therein, is altvays to be taken for just and necessary.-We must consider, that it is a great hody, moves slowly; sudden dispatches cannot be expected in it.Besides, though the pau liunent cannot err, par-lianent-men may de fucl $a_{0}$ : every particular nember of the house hath lis free voice; some of them may chance to make scruples, where there is no cause; it is possible that sone of them may bave sinister ends; these things breed delnys, so they may disturbances. (I would to God, the late zvoful experience of this kingdom bad not verified these speculstions.) Yea, there have bcen, in former times, censures of parliaments themselves: the good purliament, temp. Ed. 3, ' parhiamentum in'docturum,' temp. 1len. 4, and in the same king's time, if we believe my lord Coke, 1t, fo. 113. Brangwit, id rst, the White-C'row act. These matters are consłderable in such cases as ours is.-Wherein npparently 'Mora trahit 'periculum,' and to follow the rule, 'Festina ' lente,' is most dangerous.
5. The point of 'retentio dotninii maris' (which is in the case) is not of an ordinary consideration; for, besides the antient inheritance and right which the crown of Eugland hath in it, it is olvious to every judgment, that, in the continuance or not continuance, of it to the crown, not only the bene tsse, but eren the ease itself of the commonwealth doth consist; and therefore it behoveth the suhjects accelerage to the tuition of it : slowness is an argument of stupidity, or want of that sensibleness of the diminution of that right which enery swliject ought of right, and hath a concerniug reason, to propose to himself.

Notable are the words in the Scotch rolls, 10 Ed. S, numb. 3. In a writ by the king to a great part of all the kingdom; ${ }^{\text {' }}$ Considerato 'guod progenitores nostri reges Aoglias duminii - maris es tranmarini passagii, totin prateritis
' temporibus extiterunt, et plurimum nos tade${ }^{6}$ ret, si honor uoster rcgius nustris temponbus ${ }^{4}$ in aliquo lederetur. Quodque omnes humines ' de regno pro defensione fjusdem, contra hos"tium invasiones, tenentur exponere se et sua." - I'he writ wherein these words are, was a command or charge laid upon the subject, sithout any warrant of pailmment for it.- It was a $w$ rit directed to all earls, harons, knights, and others, ${ }^{\text {' ab }}$ ore aqute Thamesis versus ' partes occidentales,' which included divers inland counties.-It issued upun occasion of David de Bruse's having a great navy aflont, and therewith having entered Jersey and Guernsey. The writ is a command to those to whorp it is directed, 'Tantis et tam gravibus ' perieulis imminentibus debite ponderatis', to treat with the archbishop of Cunterbury, and other great men assigned by the king, 'super 'defensione regni et popufi,' The writ concludes thus, "Scire vos volumus, quod si re${ }^{6}$ belles nut difficiles fueritis - in pramissis in 'tanto et tam grandi necessitatis articuln,' the king will repute those 'rebelles, aut difficiles, ' tanquam suos et regni inimicos.'
6. Not to speak of necessity in general, which is of itself a reluxation of law s, and sorves for a dispensation, even by the equity of the law itself; in our case there is a necessity in point of government.-I slall put you a case, where an express clause in an act of parlinment hath been doomed void, because it was ogainst a matter of necessity in point of goverument, 2 II. 6, 6, The earl of Northumberland's cuse. Nota, 28 Ed. 3, and 42 Fd . 3. peunl acts wera made, that' none should exercise the office of sheriff jabove a year, although that be have a non obstantc; that clause of ' although' is void, and a non obstantemay be of that non obstante: no reason can be for this, but because it takes a necessary part of gorernment out of the kiag's hands.
7. 'Salus reipublice,' by all laws, is 'su'prema lex, ct summè necessaria.' It is, where it interpuseth, ' lex legis.' It takes away particular interests, before itself give placefor that cause.

3 Ed. 4, 36 Hen. 8. Dyer. A bulwark for defence may be built upon another man's ground, ' anvito doraino,'-No dower or thirding to a woman of a castle of. defence; it may indanger 'salus republice,' by dividing such a piece.-An alien merchunt takes a lease for yequrs, of a house fur lis trude : this is a good lease, so long as he tradeth, and there is no eninity between his king and ours; but wher he ceaseth trading, or it war happen, the king shall have the interest of the lease. The reason, it is possible, that 'salus reipublica' may be concerned, if the alien's interest in it should continue.
8. If there were not 'salus reipublice' in our case, yet there is in it at lenst 'bonum pub' licum' intended. I will put a case, where subjects are bound without their aseent, for the 'bonuin pulilicum' sake. 44 Ed. 3, 19. Chame berlain of Londou's case, Coke $5,4,69$. Inbes
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bitants of a town, withnut any custom, may make ordinances or hy-laws of any thing, pro bono publico; and in such case, those who are absent, and so uncomsenting, are bound, the ' bonum pullicum' is the cause.
9. Prevention of further general mischief, which may ensue, treacheth, even by construction of law isself, upon other men's rights. For that cause, pullung down a house which is on fire, to save other men's houses, is lawful. Highley's case, Co. 10, 139. One is bound by prescription, to make or repair walls, damms, or such like nganst waters : this man is not alue to do it; a smnll breach happens, which either mast specdily he made up, or a general mischief will happen. In this casp by exposition of the statute of sewers, and by an equity out of the letter of the laws, grounded upon 'salus pop.' all those who are within the level are to be taxed, and to contribute for present; the ability of him, whose the right of the burden is, non expectata.
10. I find a writ in the register 'de repn' ratione facienda,' which is citen in Bowles's Case, Coke 11, f. 82, b. whereby, if two jointtenants be of a house, the one shall hase a writ of 'de reparatione ficiendn' aguant. the other; and the words of the writ are, 'ad re' parationem et sustentationem ejuxrem domus 'tenetur;' where the word ' tenetur' is ohseryable. Every man hath an interest in the commonwealih, but the king'h interest is incounparably beyond other men's ; therefore the king may, by a like reason of law, call mpon his sulijects to join in contribution with him, towards the rejacation and sustentation of the fabrick of the commonwealth.
11. In the great and common vouchee's case, 1s II. 4, 14, in the debite of this cause of the new-erected office of the mpasuring of cloths in London, which was brought to parliament ; it is a memorable syying of Gascoigne the chief justice, 'The Eing may charge the perple of this realno without special assent of the com' mons, to a thing which may be profit to the 'commun people.' This saying is cited and allowed in the Case of Monopolies, Coke 11, f. $\mathbf{8 6}, \mathrm{b}$, and so it is very commonly, upon arguments conceruing, such questions.
12. I obserre, that thongh the presedents of writs and execution of them, for assessing the subject by the king's command, without warrant of parliament, are very many in scyeral lings 'efgns; yet there is not any precedent of any cisil action lorought for any thing done in fonmer ageq, upon stuch rommands of the bing as is in our case, hat only that one of the abbot of Robertsbridge's casc, which hath been often cited; and in the pleading thereof it is acknowledged, that the agisting of men's lands and tenenents to contribute ' nd custorliam 'maris' by the king's commands, withnut tax by consent in parliauent, was gord in law. And I note, that that case happened nid was in ingitation, and gave fair cause of demurrer, in an opportune time indenorring, if the law boul been otherwise; for it lopponed at that
very time, when the statute De Tallagio non Concedendo was made, or in hammering. If only one action brought heretofore, wna hirundo, it were nnt to be regarded, though it had been against the king's power; but when that one is assertive of the regal power, it is to le respected more than as a single, I mean, as a singularis probutio of it?

Lastly, I observe, that upon grievances, or complaints in parliament, which have heen alnost infinite, and upon all occesionsin former times, no one record hath been, or I think can be cited, that in case when charges have been imposed without common assent, for the necessary delence of the kingdoms in an instant article of necessity, ony king hath ever answered, or assented, that such charge hath been ngainst the laws or liberties of the subject.Neither the ieclamation of the subject alone on bis side, nor tie single commanding rescripts of the sovereign alune on his stde, nre of nuihority to presgrve the law, but if there be a concurrence of hing aud sul.ject, that is it whereby a judge may gruand his resolution.

As for that one of 2 IL. 2, which cometh nearest in that kmo, hat hath not the king's no:knowledgrent; Inote, that it was npon a delLeration, before the charge inposed: and troly I think that if the charge in that case hail been first imposed nud collected, upon complaint against it atherwards, it woold never have been adjudged for unjust. Nany things are questioned, and sonictimes denied to le lawfin hefore they are done, which furfor vulent, which being dous, are grood and valid in law. If a question be made of that which of itself is lawful, the very making the question mankes it questionable, and may draw on an opiniun that it is not lawful.

Rot. Parl. 4 II. 4, nuin. 28, et Rot. Parl. 6, H. 4, num. 9 , you shall find, that the conmons having considered of the wars of Scotland, the rebellion of Wales, the safeguard of the sea, et eqpecialanent the defence of the realin, they granted a subsidy, but with protestation that it should not be an example to charge the commons hereafter with any manner of subsidics for the wars of Sentland or Wales, or the safuzuard of the sea, or the marines of Calais or Ireland, without consent in pariament. I observe, that there is not a word in this protestation, that the subjects should not be charged without conscnt in parliament for the defence of the realn, thongh, there were a little before an express mention of it, mhd that with an respecialment. On the other side, there is a cloud of precedeuts of . . . . isibarking of private mens ships, in case of necessity of defence of the realm, and saffguard of the seas, command of making gandeys and ballingers nunptilws propriis.
A rraying and spparelling of soldiers, and victuolling and conductuig them in this case of necessary dofence, ' propriiy sumptibus,' of soveral towns and counties, us well ioland as maritime; the express words of the king z commands in such cases, by his arits dirceted to the respective sburiffs and head officers, are, That
they should 'Ievari facere expensas de comita'tibus,' sometiunes 'comitatuum,' sornetimes 'villarum,' as the case was : wherein ante the words, 'levare facere;' and in what manner the sherittis levies are, viz anessment by bimseli; and collection by hmself and ministers, I think few are ugaorant.-Atnongst which kinds of writs, some of 48 IIenry 3 , are renarkable tor these words in them, 'Cum'que adhuc nece-se sit propter. casus fortui' tos ad securitatem et defensionem regni, de-- fensionem halere promptam, comtra alieni'genaram adventom,' \&ec. Inier alia sic Rot. Claus. 48 II. 3, m. 2. $\Lambda$ writ to the town of Bedford. So still the pressure is according to the occasion, instant provision raised whereby a prompturde may be, not waying a provision by parliament, which cunctation might be opposite to promptitudie. Also whe French Roll, 21 Ed. 3, pars 2, n. 9, Co. 11, shews, that whereas a subsidy out of the wools had been grasted to eudure for a certain tijue only, yet the king, ' necessitate compulsus, de consitio - prasatorun, magnatuin, et aliorum de concilio ' suo,' (not ' per commune concilium') did ordain 'quad subsidium pradictuan levetur usque' a further time.

Close Roll, 1 R. 2, m. 18, many writs were directed to the balinis of the sereral towns of Cambridge, Huntingdon, Nottinghan, Derby, Jincoln, Gloucester, Worgester, St. Fdmond'sbury, and Thetford, reciting a former command of the king 'o these several towns, to provide sevcral ballingers, ${ }^{\text {' }}$ ad custas validiorum et ma'gis divitum hophinum' of those towns. Now by those writs the king declared to them, thatt ridebatur to the king and his council, that they who had 10l, and upwards in goods, should contribute, pand not others; and commands those bailifs to compel men of that ability to contribute, ' per districtionem si ntecesec foret, et ${ }^{6}$ aliis vins et modis, quibus melius viderint expe' dire.'

I spare iterations; I conclude my second general head with mysubscription again proved by my judgment, by what 1 bave said before: That when the good and safety of the kingdom in general is concerned, and the whole kingdom in danger, the king may, by writ under the great seal, command all the suhjects of the kingdom at their charges to provide and furnish ships for the defence and safeguard of the kingdom, and may by law compelahe doing thereof. And that in such case the king is the sole judge of the danger, and when mud how the same is to be prevented. And how many more have subscribed to this tenet it is not unknown; the records of the general courts of justice of the kingdom, manifest to such as will Iook into them.

The Third Gencral Head.-I have done with my second general hend, and cone now to my third; which is, to consider the acts of parliament, anywers to petitions in parliament, Magna Charta laves, which concern the kivg's proceedings in this'cuse.

1. St. Edward's laws have Danegelt'mentioned in them ; see cap, 18, but not anotber vol. IIf.
sylleble pertinent to this case, saving that the church and people are free, have liberties and customs belonging to them of right, which is not by any denied.
2. I fiud that there was a Magna Charta Libertatum Regui made by Henry 1, the BeauClerhe, in wluch is this clause, That ' Milites ${ }^{\text {a }}$ possiderent terras doninicarum suarumi quic-- tas ab omnibus geldis' ('guelt' signifieth a sum of noney.) And yefanongst the luws of hiv time, as appeareth by Leges Hen, 1, c. 16, this wone which I cited before, 'Danegeldum, ' $t$. r. $12 d$. de unaquaque hida per amnum, si ad 'terminum non reddatur wita emendetur' (which significth an amerciament.)

3s The Magna ('larta of king John, made at Ronuing-mead, hath been cited by Mr. Hampden's counsel, and urged to be an act of parliament; the words inferred out of it are, ${ }^{\text {' }}$ Nul' lam scutagiom vel auxilium capiatur in regno 'nostro, nisi ad corpus nostrum redimendum, et 'prinogenitum filum militem ficicudum, et ' ad primogenitam filinen nostran semel mari' tandam; st ad hoe non fiat nisi rationabile 'auxiliam,' \&c.-The words pitched upon are ' nullum auxilium' a general negative; I bave touched before the signification of the words, 'Aid, auxiliom;' I will answer the words farther unon, togetber with other statutes, which have as general and further negative words.Observe, But there is no question but Runningmead Mag. Ch. was no statute, nor ever was taken for one, saving in those parts whercin it and Mag. Ch. of 9 IIfen. 3, do concur: to give but one reason, tcll me when, after king John's time, weré 25 barons appointed, according to that which is contained in Running-mead Magna Charta. If there were any grear matter in ' nollaru suxilium,' it is observable that those words are not in Magna Charta of 9 H. 3, and that is the Magna Charta which bath the frequent confirmations. In Confirmatio Chartarum 25 Ed . 1, there is mention of that Magna Charts of Henry 3, by name, and none of that king Jobn's Magoa Charta. Certainly there were some 'iniqua' in the Magna Charta of king John ; the barons did in that king's time 'iniquum petere ut equaum ferrent,' otherwiso that Magna Charta would have been also conGirmed, as well as his succtessor Henry 3.-And I pray you note, that after the ' nullum auxi' lium 'there follows on, ' nisi ad corpus nos'trum redimendum', If for thast, then certainly much more for the redeeming of the whole body of the commonwcalth, which is our case.
4. The Magna Charta of 9 H. S, which is the often-confirmed Magna Charta, though it allow all the liberties of the subjects then claimed, hath no special words pertiuent to our question, which is a matter observable; for charges for the defence of the kingdom commanded by the king out of parliament, were frequent both a and befpre that time. In it there are only go neral words of ' habeant libertates suas;; out of which words 'suas' I do observe, First, A right of the subject in his liberties, they are 'suas.' Secondly, Those liberties which the
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Gubjects must 'habere,' must be 'sua,' tha is, such liberties as are fit for a sulject, as are compatible with the relation between a king and a subject. The words are not omnes liberthetes, all manuer of liberties, but 'suas,' that fs, liberties proper for them, or such liberties as they are, in good construction, capable of. And indeed 'Quicquid recipitur, ad modum ' recipientis recipitur,

14 II. 7, f. 11. The abbot of St. Bartholomew's had a charter from king Henry 2 , that he should be as free in his laods, as the king was in his crown; yet these general words pass for no more thun a subject is capable of; he must notwithstanding those swelling words, pay fines for alienation without licence, admis the king's valect to a cororly, and such like. But in that Magna Charta of 9 Hew. 3, cap. 20, there is this clanse, ' Et' si nos adduxerimus ' vel miserimus euin in exercitum, \&ic.' which proves the king's right, even by that statute, to dispose of the boties of his subjects for his army. Also cap. So, there is a claose, that 'omnes mercatores' shall have safe conduct, and liberty 'ad emendum vel rendendum, sine ' omnibus malis tolnetis, per antiquas et rectas 'consuetudines, praterquais tempore belli;' which shews, that in 'tempore guerra mala 'tolneta' might be set up, they wore not then 'mala tolneta.' 'Dominus opus habet,' made them 'tolerabilin et toleranda; 'in our case, we have 'tempora guerrina.'
5. Confirmatio Chartarum, which was 25 Ed. 1, is the next statute whereof there is any colvur for Mr. Hampden; the words thereof are, 'Que pur nul besoigne tielx maners de ' nydes, mises, ne prises, ne prenderome: fors' que de com' assent de tout le realine, saves les 'anc' aydes et prises dues et accustomes.' But this staute hath not been stood upon, because of the 'saves les anc' aydes, \&c.'. That which is saved or excepted is clearly out of the body of the law.
6. But then comes the statute De Tallagio non Concerlendo; which of what time it was, non constat. It was between 25 and 34 Ed . 1. I do agree that to be a statute or an act of parliament: The recital in the Petition of Right, 3 Car. binds up my judgment to affirm otherwise. The words of that statute are general, without any saving or exception, ' Nullum tal-- lagium vel auxilium, per nos vel haredes nos' tros in reggo nostro ponatur seu levetur sine 'voluntate et assensu archiepiscoporum, epis 'coporum, comitums barnnuin, militum, bur-- gensium et aliorum liberorum communia de 'regno uostro.' These words indeed are general; but for a true and just exposition of them, 'the occasion of the hard presung to have that general statute is to be considered. King Ed. i, had right to dukedoms and earldotas in France, and great wars he had with the French Ling about them. Great troubles also he had out of Wales and Scutland. He was in Flanders about duxiliary wars against the Freach kiog, both at the inaking of Corifirmatio Chartarum, and of Tallagio noh Concedendo.

He had a little before, in the 22d of bis reign, caused scrutinies to be made throughout the kingdom, to raise moneys for supply of his great and pressing occusions for these wars, which in truth tid not immediately concern the defence of his kingdom; for if he would have let those wars alone, he might have had quiet enough for his kingdom of England. Opon the said scrutiny search was made, where and in thase treasuries or hands monies were, whereby the king might be furnished; nud indeed, the king's ministers took the moneys they. foand upon the scratiny as borrowed for the king, though it were against the owner's wills to lend them; And amongst others, for the most part, they lighted upon the treasurers of religious houses, many of which had coffers well stored. The religious men being thereupon oppressed themselves, incensed the great men against the king; and by that means, and the palpableness of the injory, the great lords, especially the then constalle and marshal of England, Bohun and Bigott, stood out against the king with a great deal of stiffiess; and at last the ling being in a streight, and to pacify one extremity with yielding to another, passed the act De Tallagio non Concedendo, without the exception or the saving of the antient aids which was

Confirmatio Chartarum. But it is plain, that these general words were never meant, either on the king'sp or on the great lords and other subjects sides, to be absolutely general for all cases: for notwithstanding those worde, the aids ' pur faire fitz chrvalier et pur file marier,' continued, and so did the king's power to array and send soldiers, ' sumptilus villarum et co' mitatuum,' into renfote parts of the kingdom, out of their proper counties, for the defence of the realm, as appeareth in the continual"practice in that king's qand his successors times; as, if I had time, I could make good by a loug succession of precedents, appearing upon records. See a notable apology or remonstrance publicly made by king Ed. 1, m. 23, a nrollerl, concerning his proceedings at that time in this business, whereby that is made good, which I have before alledged. But besides this answer, I shall give a further inswer to this and the other statutes, when I shall have perused all of them.
7. The next statute urged is 14 Ed .3 , in the second parliament of that year; in which sta: tute there is a recital of í grant in the same parliament, of the sinth part of the goods of the comtnons for two years; the king willing to provide for the indemuity of the commons, willeth and granteth to the same prelates, \&c. wherein note the word the sanne) that the said bjent which'is so chargeable, shall not be nnoher time had in example, nor that they (which must be construed the same prelates, \&c.) ho from hencoforth charged, nor grieved, to make up any aid, or to sustain any charge, if it be not by common assent, and that in parliument: And that all the profits rising of the said nid, and of all wards, nuarriages, customs and othrr profite rising out of the realm of England, shall be spent upon the maintenance of the
realm, and of the wars in Scotland, and France, and in no place elsewbere, during, the said wars.
Note, that the general claase which is urged to be in this statute, cometh in the middle part of the statute, and is coupled with other matter, which was but temporary ; and therefore in iny judgmont that general clause was meant to bo but teinporary, viz. during the continuauce of the wars which were then on foot; and was never meant to be a perpetual discharge for ever of all manner of charges and aids, as appeareth for that, notwithstanding that clause, king Ed. 3, did shortly afterwards, and during all his reign, as frequently charge the subjects fir defence of the kinglom, as ever he had done before: He liadalso his aids 'pur ' faire fitz chevalier et pur file narier,' after that; which if the words uire to be expounded generally and perperually, nenther he nor his successors could have had. And it is worth the observition, that this statute is uever mentioned in the Petition of Right, as Tallagio non Concedendo, and 25 Ed .3 , by names are: and yet if this had been a perpetual statute, there wav us great reason to have mentioned it as nny other statute.
8. The next statute urged is the Petition of Right, 3d of the kung's reign.-This petition reciteth the statute De Tallagio non Concedendo, and the statute of $25^{\circ} \mathrm{Ed.3}$ 3, against loans and other things: Then cometh the petition itself, which is an humble prayer to his majesty, by his subjects, that no man hereafter be coupelled toq make or yield nay gitt, loan, beuevolence, tax, or such like charge, wirhout common consent by aç of parliament: And his majesty's gracious answer in parliament is, ' Soit droit fait comme est desire.' After this, his majesty, that knows his own heart and sinccre meaning best, in his second speeches to both houses, amongst other things, saith, and that most justly and trally, "That it must needs be conceived that he had granted no new, but only confirmed the antient liberties."

Iobserve, there is no express clause in any of those statutes which I have before cited, that no charge shall be imposed without common consent, no not for necessary defence of the kingdom: And if such a clause had been offered to have been exprest, besides that I doubt of what validity it had been, I certainly believe, that neither hang Ed. 1, nor king Ed. 3, nor our sovereign, would ever have yielded to so dishonourable and unjust an expression.But all these several statutes being general, and having no particular expressions, I conceive that according to all rules of law for exposition of statutes, those three statutes, De Tallagio non Concedendo, 25 Ed. 3, anil the Petition of Right, must have a reasonable intendment, and that by a gommon and just eqtity, for exposition of those three statutes, aids and charges, for so necessary a purpose as the defence of the kingdom; and 'salus reipublice' will be clean out of the law, as fully as if they had been precisely ascepted: and if other ex-
position be made according to the letter only, it might truly be said of such a literal exposition, That litera accidit, that lawis made fur the good of the commonwealth, will prove thebane and ruin of it.

1 will give you a taste of some expositions of statutes, with restrictions of the generality of the words of them, though they be general negatives. The cases I could put are very many, but I will cite only a few, and those such as are appliable to the reason of our case.

Dycr 361. The statuté of Glicester saith, That tenants for lives op years, ' nullum facient vastum ;' yet a waste, whereby the land leased ' melioratur,' is no waste withiu that statute.The statute of Westminster the second saith, That tenant in tail sball not ' per fuctum, vel ' feoffiunentum,' do any act to the prejudice of his issue: Yet 43 Ed. 3, Octavian Lambert's case is, tenant in tail of lands whercto a stranger lath title of entry; to gain a release of this title, and for defence of his estate, by his deed granteth a rent charge out of the lands intailed; this graut bindeth his issue, so that he shall hold the land charged, notwitbstanding tho general words of the statute.-There was a statate made $14 \mathrm{Ed}, 3$. That for every sack of wool carried out of the realm, the merchant shall find surety to bring into the kingdom bullion, that is, silver to the value of 2 marks, and to take for it 2 marks in coin. $36 \mathrm{Ed}, 3$, an act was made, That whereas the commons had granted a great subsidy out of their wools to the king for three years; the ling granted, that after those three years, nothing shall be taken of the commons, but the ancient custom of 1 mark for a sack of wool. And 45 Ed .3 , another act was made, That no unposition or charge shall be put upon wouls, others than the subsidy and custom granted to the king, sanu parliament.- Upon long debate adjudged, That notwithstanding these two latter general statotes, yet the finding of sureties for bringing in of bullion, enjoined by 14 Ed .3 , was not taken away by either of those two latter statutes. And in that case, besides the former rule of equity put by me for exposition of general statutes, another reason is given, applicable aiso to our case, namely, That every statute shall bo taken the most beneficially for the king.
Pasch. 13 Jac. In the Star-Chamber, whereas the statute of 1 Rich. 3, c. 2, saith, That the subjects shall not he charjed, hy any charge, executions or impositions, called a Benevolence, nor by such like charge; yet one Mr. Oliver St. Jobn, a Wiltshire gentleman, being brought to the bar, protenus, for writing a letter to the mayor of Marlborough, against a course then holden, for trying what money rich and able men would give unto king James, of their volantary free will; it was resolved by the whole court of Star-Chamber, with the then chiefjustice's, advice, that a commistion to treat what men would give voluntarily to the king, was not within the statute of 1 R. 3, though the words were general; and Mr. St. John wns grievously censured for his inveighing by his
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letter agninst the awarding of the commissioh.
I conclude this matter with an answer hy Mr. Solicitor, aptly given to Mr. St. John, who urged this clause out of the laws of king W. 1, c. 55. 'Volumus ut omnes liberi homines regui ${ }^{6}$ nostri habeant terras suas, bene et in pace, - liberi abomni exactione injusta et ab omui ${ }^{6}$ tallagio, ita quod nihil ab eis capiatur vel exi${ }^{6}$ gatur nisi servitiam suum liberum, quod de ${ }^{6}$ jure nobis facere debent, et prout statutum ' est et a nobis cis datam et concessum jure - hereditario per communi coucilium totins ' regni.'

You see here are general words referring to a general act of parliament; yet ofterwards, $c$. 59 , are these words, 'Statuimus ut omnes liberi homines totius regni sint fratres conjurati ad monarchiam nostram et ad regnum nustrum, pry viribus suis et facultatibus, contra inimicos pro posse suo defendendum et viriliter sery vandum.' This latter clause shews the intention of the act of parlimment formerly set down, that notwithstanding the general words of the act, it extendeth not to cases of common defence of the kingdom, or where ${ }^{\text {' }}$ Salus monar'chis regis' or 'reipublicar periclitatur.'

I have now done with the general negative statutes, strongly urged ; and I think I have exempted the question of our case from the purview or intention of those statutes. But besides those statutes, Mr. Mampden's counsel hath urged some statutes that no soldiers, or men at arms, should be enforced to go out of their proper counties, without wages from the king. I will not let those, becuuse urged, though pertinent in the generality only of the people's liberties, pass unexamined.

The statute of Winchester 13 Edv. 1, c. 6, was cited for that purpose. The words are, - Fvery man shall have in his house harness to s keep the peace, ufter the antient assize,' And sheweth what the antient assize was. And then there is a clause for fresh suits after felons, from country to cquistry; and indeed, in case of fresh suit after a felon, none is bound to go out of his county. But as to the point of going without wages out of the counties for delence of the kingdom, not a word in tuy book in that statute.

Then cometh $1 \mathrm{lid}$. S, c. 5 , the second parliament; the words are, the king wills that no man be charged to arm himself, otherwise than he was wont, it the time of former kings of England; and that no man be compelled to go out of his county, but where necessity requireth, and sudden coming of strong encmies into the realm; and then it shall be done as hath been used in times past, for the defence of the realm.' -Nota. That hefore this statute, the use was for men to go in such case, 'propriis sumptibus,' as appeareth by many precedents.

In the same year, 1 Ed. S, c. 7, the commons complained of commissions to prepare men at army, and to convey them to the king into Scotland, Gascoine, or elsewhere, at the charge of the shires; and that the king hath not before this time given any wages to the
preparers and conveyers, nor soldiers, whereby the commons have been at great charge. To this the kiug's answer 15, The king wills that shall be so done no more.-Nota. But note by the very complaint, that neither the complaint nor answer are applicable to case of necessity, for safeguird of the kingdom.

Then 18 Ed. 3, c. 7, That inen of arms chosen to go in the king's service out of England, sHall be at the king's wages, from that day that they depart out of the counties where they were chosen, till they return. This statute extendeth not to case of necessary defence. Besides, the provision is against going out of England, whinch is not in our case.

Then $25 \mathrm{ld} .3,$.8 . No man shall be constrained to find meh of arms, other than those that hold by such services, if it be not by common assent and grant in parliament.-This extendeth not to defence of the kingdom. Besides, it is a provision for particular men specially required. Likewse it is only against finding the bodies of men of arms. But pressing of soldiers or men of arms, to serve in all manner of wars, hath been always so frequent, both in old, litte and modern times, that it were a needless labour to prove that which every man knoweth.

All these statates of 1,18 , and 95 Ed. 3 , are confirined by parliument, $4 \mathrm{H} .4, \mathrm{c}, 13$, and yet Rot. Parl. 5 II. 4, numb. 24, (which is observable for the time, being presently after 4 Hen. 4,) it nppenreth, that there had been commissions directed to gentlemen of the country for arraying, arming and conducting of soldiers to the coasts of the sea, and elstwhere, in divers countics; and that there wore many forfeitures and clauses comprised in those commissions : the observation of which was perilous to the commissioners.-The commission were brought into the commons house, and by them entertained as grievances. The commons upon deliberation, did obliterate certain clauses in those commissions, and prayed the king, that from thenceforth no commissions of arrayage should issue otherwise than was contained in an amended copy; which they humbly offered ready drawn. And that copy was ugreed to by the king in parliament, after conference with the judges, and advice with the lords thereupon; and the tenor of the said copy was enrolled. And in the precedent thereof appearing in the Parliamcpt-Ron, and being as for the county of Beclos, fifteen gentlemen of the couhtry are made commissioners : amongst them I find the nause of Hampden, I believe an ancestor of Mr. Hampdent, the party in our great cause.

But to return ; in that commission there is a recital of invasion and burnings, which had been by eniemies : and that to resist them if they should again invade, "ac pro salvatione et de'fensione regni et ligeorum,' the king assigneth commissioners 'ad araiandum etc triandum ' omnes homines ad arma, et ad nrmari faci' endum' omnes illos qui de corpore sunt po${ }^{4}$ tentes, qui de suo proprio non habent, unde

- seipsos armare possint, viz. quilibet eorum ' juxta statum et facultates suas, et ad distrin-- gendum omnes illos qui in terris et bonis sunt ( potentes, et pro debilitate corporis impotenter, ' ad inveniendum armatos pro illis qui noo sunt ' habiles,' (where, by the way, I note, that in case of common defence, thie people, not the king, are to be af the costs.) And the commission directs further, That the commissioners shall train and divide the soldiers, and shall ' conducere eos tam ad costeram maris, quam ' alia loca, ubi et quoties necesse fuerts,' (here is sending out of the county of Bucks, I am sure) and shall moster thein; and that the armed men shall be armed with their own proper arms, and not with the arms of others, upon pain of furfeitare of them, fnote the clause of forfeiture) 'et ad arrestandum et capiendum ' omnes qui fuerint rebtlles sen contrarii, et - prisune conmittendun, abidem moraturi quo' usq; pro enrum panitione aliter duserimus or'dinandum' (bere is power of irgprisoument.) That commissiun conmands likewise the commissioners to array themselves, ' et insuper' to make beacons, whereby 'gentes patrixe de ad${ }^{\text {' }}$ ventu inmicorum poterint congruis tempo'ribus pramunire;' and a further clause, that the commissioners shall 'ducere' the soldiers ' cum periculum advencrit, ad costeram maris - et alia loca, in defensionem regni et patrix; ${ }^{4}$ ita quad pro defectu armationis et ductionis ' damna pati,e nou adveniat ullo modo.'- The cominission I have taken, nend now 1 epeat at large, because offered by the conmons themselves in parliament, instantly after the confirmntion of Fdw. the thard's laws, 1,18 , and 25 of his reign before-mentioned, and all by the judges advice.

All powers of command imply the duty of obedicnce. I say no more, byt as arms and travelling by land are necessary' for the defence of land, so ships and sailing, ordnuace and tackling, and the necessaries mentioned in the shipping-writ, are most requisite for defence at sea. And thus I have passed all the acts of parliament cited or pertinent to our case; I confess they are legcs ligantes, and I think that in my answers to them, I have'not broken the bonds of them; with the which I acknowledge both my conscience as a judge, and roy estate -as a subject obliged.

The Fourth General Head.-I come now, in the last place, to lny Fquith General Head, which is, to answer the objeations made by the counsel on Mr. Halupden's side.-The objections were of three sorts; 'some grounded upon reasons of law; some upon authorities and iuferences upon records; some upon mischiefs and inconveniences pretended.
Object. 1-2 R. 3, f. $10 \& 11$, was objected; where, upon the distinctions of ' pojestas in cu'ria, et potestas in canera,' concerning the atsessing of fines, it is said that ' justiciarii regis ' per eorym discretionem assideb' finem, et non - dominus rex per se in camera sua, nec aliter - coram se, nisi perjusticiarios suos ; et hæc est * valuntas regis, viz. per justiciarios suos et
' legem suasm unum est dicere.' And it wa ${ }^{\text {a }}$ said, that in the present case, the king hath not proceeded ' per justiciarios,' but 'per se' or ' in camera.'

Answ,-I answer, That in our case there is not any thing done in 'Cemera,' the shippingwrit issueth out of the court of Chancery; besides, we are not now in the case of assessing a fine. It is true that, if a presentment, indictment, or information; be depending in the king's court, and so far procceded $m$, as that judgainent of a fine is to be given, this is not to be assessed by the king "in camera, but by the king's justices ' in curia,' How soever, if we, go to distinctions, there is 'potentia absoluta,' and 'potestas ordinaria:' I hope noue will deny, but that the king hath 'putestatem ab'solutam, in many cuses. Stat. Weasm, 1. It appears a man may be'committed 'per spe'ciale praceptum domini regis,' and is not in that case bailable. -20 Hen. 7. The king is Capitalis Justiciarius Angliá.
I put you the case of Hil. 2 E. 3, p. 6. One having money of the king's wherewith to pay soldiers, misused it, and committed many votrages in Lancashire; a writ issued to the shenff of Lancaster to attach him; being by virtue thereof attachied, and brought to the King'sBench, he was there discharged : the renson given hy Scroope the clnef-juntice was, because the attachment heing grounded upon a saggestion, was aeainst the law: no such writ nught to have issued, unless there had been some indictinent, presentinent, or information depending. Buyg doubt not, if the king had by his alisolute power made a sperial precept in his chamber for commitment of this than, he could not have been discharged. - The truth is, the objection upon the distuction of 'curia et ca' mera,' is not rightly applied to this case; it might as well have bcen urged against a counmission of sewess issued at common law, out of the chancery. The matter is, what the lnw is concerning the king's power, for provision towards necessary defence.
Object. 2.-It hath been said, that divers payments and promises of payments have been made by the king in all ages, upon occasions of his wars and provisions for the defence of the realm and sea: and mańy records have been vouched to that purpose.
Answ.-I nuswer, First, It is true: but more payments have been made by the subjects also in the sane cases; as wid appear, if we go to rie by records a multitudes have, to that purpose, been cited on the king's side. Secondly, In some cuses, ns of borrowing, purveyance, or the like, payment by the king wals of right; but in the cases merely for necessary defence, his payment, or promise of payment, was of courtesy and grace, and is not binding in precedent, no more than in the case of mines royal. at appears by many precedents, cited in the case of mines common, That many subjects, owners of land, wherein were mines of silver, shared with the king: some hard a twentieth, some a grenter, some a lesser part,
and this was objected against the king's sole interest, which notwithstanding was adjudged; and the auswer made to those records was, Thut it was of the king's courtesy and grace, not of right: one may do with his own what he pleaseth.-But I will put you at large one of the records, which hath been cited, and let it be considered for whom it naketh. 23 Ed. 1, rot. 77, Ex parte Rememorator' Regis. There writs issued to divers mayors and bailiff to make gallies, ordained by the king, and 'con' cilium suam:' It doth not say, ' commune 'concilium,' to be made ' pro defensione regni 'et securitate maris;' and in the record there is a clause, 'custuin quod ad hoc posueritis, ' cam illud sciverimus, rubis in exitubus ballive 'vestias alloc:ari facicmus.' But note, that bere is a command they shall first lay out the moncy: and note, that there is this further clause in the same writs, 'Volumus auteun quod bordas et - merenium, quas ad boc competunt, ubit * cunque ea invenire contigerent, et cajus'cunque fuerist in villa predicta vel extra 'pro galess illss faciendes, capiatis.' I pray you note that clause, for the express words of Magna Charta are, 'nos non capuemus hoscum ' alicujus ad castra, vel ad alia agenda nostra, ' sine voluntate ejus cujus boscus fuerit:' and yet it i, communded, that they should take ' boscum alienuun' in this case, and I think warrantably ; for the words in Magna Charta are, ' ad agenda nostra,' but the making the galleys commanded, was not 'agendun regis' withn, but 'agendum regni,' without the meaning of Magna Charta.

Obj. 3.-Disusnge, or no precedent for many years of this course now attempted, bath been objected.
Ausw.-I answer, as it is said 11 II. 4, 7, et 38, upon that objection against the force of the statute of $14 \mathrm{Ed}$. , about the king's presentations to lapsed clurches, that an act of parliament disused may be put in use, and so that law disused may be put in use, especially in the king's case, for 'sullum tempus, \&cc.'Also, the thing bath been done, though not this particular way, supplies have been made otherways; sometimes by collection of moneys, und means without warrant of parliameut; sometimes by liberal provisions and grants in parliament, in late king's reigus by benevolences, before Richard 3's time, in the manner cominanded, and after treated.
Object. $\%$.-The several means and incomes, which the crown hath, have been distributed; as that it hath tenures and escuage for wars, customs and tenures for defence at sea, fines in the hanaper for the charge of his justice; and thereupon it hath been said, there is no cause, if these incomes were well imploged, to raise moneys through new ways.
Answ.-Utinam thove great means and incomes could serve the tarn. My, brother Weston made a computation what the five ports service cometh to, and thereby it falleth short to be to any purpose. As for escuage, it is altendance out of the realm but for forty
days, and that in case of mean tenures, if the teuants proper londs attend the king, for therein every one is to defend his own seignor for that time. Alas! What is that for a kingdom? Besides, are we sure the occasion of defence will press but for forty days? Again, what if the mean lords themselves go not in person?But since I have uccasion of speech of escuage, I mast put you in mind of two sorts of escuage, by the law. The first is that before touched, and is comnonly called 'forinsecuin servitium:' And it is only for Walcs, Scotland, and other the king's foreign territories. The second is of another kind, and is applicable to this case, in regard of charging the subjects, without their parlinunent-consent. It hath not been calied fir these mauy ages; but in the Black-Book of Tilluriensis, L. 1, c. 26, you shall fiud concerning it in the se word: ' Fit interdum, ut in imtuinente vel insurgente io regnum hostium machinatione, decermat rex de singulis feodis militem sunmam ahquan solvi, murcham scilifet vel fibram unam, sude militibus stipendia, vel donat va succedant: mavult enim prince;'s stipendianios $q u \cdot \mathrm{~m}$ domesticos, belheis apponere casilus. Hasc itaque summa, que nomine scutorum solvtur scatagiuin nuncupatur; ab, hac autem quieti suit ad scaccarium residentes.'
Object. 5.-This is a general charge; it appears by the Mittimys, that every county in the realu lath the like writs, umongst, which many, as this of Bucks, are merely inland counties, they have no places to make ships in, no means to convey their ships (if they çould make any) to the sea : they have no mariners, nor tacklings, \&cc, and su an jnpossibility, or at least, an improper clarge is put apon them: the Cinque-Ports, the maritime towns and eounties are furnished, and are aptest to be put to this service.

Answ.-I answer several ways.-The inlund counties may provide all those things which they bave not of their own, with their money; ' Pecunia omnia obediunt, nummus' is 'men' sura rerum.' Also there is great reason they should join, by the rule of 'qui sentit commo'dum, kcc.' I zm sure if defence be not made, they may 'sentire incommodum.' So by the rule, 'quod omnes tangit, \&cc.'

11 H. 7, Sir Wm. Herbert's Case.-The rearson in law of charging heirs in gavel-kind, and of contribution to charges upon land, equally liable, cometh, to this case. Also the whole cealm is but one body; the division of it into counties, was by king Alfred. The king may make a county de novo, by taking out of another; may make two counties of one, or one of two, if he please. Then take the whole as one body, the several members center in it; if one member suffer, every member of the same body sufferfth with it. But methinks there is more reason to excuse, than to charge the ports and maritime parts; in this case they stand between the enemy and the inland parta, they are the next door to danger; and it is fit they obould not be let blood, but should keep :
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all, to serve themselves and the inlands, and not have means taken frum them, whereby they may be disabled.

Besides, I rofer myself to the neveral precedents, single and at large, cited by my brother Weston ; by which it appears, that the inland places bave heretpfore been charged with provisions of gallies, ballingers, \&cc. for the seas. By the cormmistion of sewers, it appears, that this course agrees with proceedings in like case, by the common law. F. Nat. Register. All who are within the level of an inundation, rich or poor, without respect of persons, are to be proportionably aasessed, upon that commission. -P. 15 Ed .2 , rot. 70, in bk. w. The Case of Rippon, in Yorkshire, is notable upon this reason; bv it, it appears, that the lav was, that all that had salvation by the plaintiffs being hostages to the Scoty, were by law compellable to bear their ratable shares, to raise monies for the plaintiffs' ransom.
23 Ed. 1, cl, rot. 1, memb. 4, dors. In a writ to the archbishop of Canterbury, the words and matter are notable also to this point: - Sicut lex justissima, provida circumspectione © sacrorum principum stabilite, hortatur, et ' statuit ut quod omnes tangit ab omnibus ap${ }^{4}$ probetur : sic et iunait evidenter, ut in com' munibus periculis per remedia provisa com' muniter olvietur.' As to the objection out of the liecords, 'per remeffia prorisa cominu' niter,' that slonuld be by parliament; I think the contrary is apparent out of the writ : for the writ requires the archbishop, with the clergy of his diucese (not province) by their proctirs, inasmuch as the king of France, "Classe maxima et bellatorun' copiosa multitudine congregatis, proponens linguam Anglicanam onnino de terra Anglicana delere,' to come, by a short day ensuing, to Westrminster, 'tunc ibidem ad tractanda, ordinanda et facienda nobiecum, et cum caxeris pralatis et aljis incolis regni qualiter sit periculis hujusmodi obviaudun.' Note, hege is no mention of 'proceres;' and besides, clergymen have no capacity of knights or burgesses places in parliament, thercfore this was uot a treaty appointed or intended in parliament; which is further enforced upon the words (' ad tractand', \&c. - nobiscuus ct cateris prexlatis et aliis incolis ${ }^{-}$regui'). If a treaty in parliament shouid hare been, it had been readjer to have expressed ' in parlianent,' or in 'commuri concilio,' and not to have used the other improper expression.Besides, I do not find that any parliament was holden at that time, nor at any time between 21 Ed .1 , and $24 \mathrm{Ed} . \mathrm{i}$, whatsoeyer was stid by Mr. St. John to the contrary. But if this treaty liad been, or were intended to have been in parliament, it is not concluding; for it could not be but in parlimment, ns hath boen urged.
Object. 6.-This way draweth to many ill consequences; for it stirs murmuring and gradging of the people, by reason of the burthens upon them.
Answ.-The consequence would be worse, if the kingdom should be lost, (which I cronot
mention witbout a quod abrit) and de malir minimum. Besides, popular grudyings are many, if not most times canseless; they are not ta hinder doing of right.
Object. 7.-This is to become, an annaal charge upon the people; there is canse of thinking so, because since 11 Car, we have had every year new shipping-writs.
Ausw,-If the necessity continues, the charge must continue. The same reason serves for the continuance as was fur the beginning of it. Yet I deny that of itself it may be annual.' Cessante causa, cessare debet effectus;' bat 'continuante causa, continunndus effectua.' This must be left to his majesty's justice, which Goid forbid that any should think he will abuse.
Object 8.-It hath been aqreed, that if there were ' flagraus bellum,' if wo had ('quad absit) 'a Hanuibal ad portns,' then this course withnot provision in parlianent, were not against the law. But it hath been said, that we have neither ' flingraus bellum,' nor a Hannibal, in our case.

Answ.-Let us consider what the reason is, why it is not against the law in case of ' Angrang 'bellum,' or 'Hannibal 'ad portas.' It can be no other but to avoid a further mischief. The same reason holdeth in our case, wherein there is apparently an 'initium malorum; ;' and ì such times ns we now live in, or 'rebus sia 'stantibus,' no man of understanding, but must acknowledge that security is dangerous.

Object. 9.-Tonnage and Poundage, which was osed in former kings' times to be granted by parliasaent, for a provision of a stock, for those purposes for which the shipping-writ now issueth, is taken de facto by the king's majesty, thoughi it be not yet granted him.
Answ-Read the words of the statute 1 Jac. et ult, at large. In them observe, 1 st . a confession by the commons, That Tonnage and Poundage hath been paid to the kings of England time out of miad: I say, it is so confessed; I do not say, that in truth it was so. 2dly. Observe the word 'towards.' Sdly. A confession that the Tonnage and Poundage are not sufficient for those purposes, for which it was commonly granted. The occasions are now for vaster expences than were requisite at that time; and what Tonnage and Poundage will not now suffice to perform, must be raised some other way. Also it is to be known, for an answer to the objection against the taking of it, as if it,were not taken de $j$ jure, that Tonnage and Poundage hath been always taken, with a continuando upon the change of a king, before such time as a grant came of it by parliament; upon the demise of the king, the payment or taking it never ceased, of was discontinued, until it came to be due by grant of parliament.
Object. 10:-It appears that a parliament might have been bolden; there are about six months between the teate of the shipping-writ, and the 1st of Narch ensuing.
Answ.-This receiveth on answer in itself; or if the king had been pleased to have callod
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a parliament, to have had provisions granted, and by or before the Ist of March 11 Car. provision had been granted, yet the thing commanded by the shipping-writ in August, to be ready in March, could have bot begun in March to have been theu prepared, and so a whole yeur apparemily lost; in which time, God knows what might have become of this state.

Object. 11.-But, shat if the king surmise only, that there is such danger as must be prevented, when in truth there is no such matter?
Answ.-Hath not the king a conscience? The law believeth his afirruation, and for that cause they are not traveisable, as appeared by my lord I)yer upon the Nr exeas regno. 'Ilex 'est recordum superexcellens.' 'Teste maipso, is lus linguage ; it is agumos the duty of a subject to contest with him. Again, it is a rule of law, ' Cuillbet in arte sua credendum est;' it is the king's pr-jer art, to have intelligence of foreign intentions, to foresee public dangers, to conclude and put in execution what is necessary for the preservation of his estate and people.
' 'Tu regere imperio populos, rex summe, memento :
'Hze tili erunt artes,' \&c.
Also Mr. Haunpden, by his demurrer in this ease, hath confessed nul the matters in fact, which moned the king to issuc this writ, nud are mentioned in the writ.
Object. 12-26 E. 1, Pat. Roll. m. 21, hath been urged: there it appearth that the king, desirous to amend 'gravamina populo nomine 'suof facta,' sent cormissioners to hrar and determine what takings had been fron the subjects made in the hing's name, but without his wanant; and to pumish it presently, and to do right to the parties: but as for that which should be found to have been taken by the king's warrant; 'Le roi voil que soit certifie, ' et il eut ferra tant que ils se tiendr' apaies per ' reason.'
Ausw.-Note the distiuctinn in this case between the repaymeut and satisfaction by the parties for that which was taken without warrant, and the repayment, if it were taken by warrant of the king. For in case that which was taken hy colvur of the king's warrant was aguiust the law, it was as tortious to the gubject, as that which was taken without the king's warrant ; and in all justice, the subject ought to have keen restored to his right, with es nuch expedition in ono case as the other. Also, zs hath been already dnswered, the words are not 'they slall be paid' but 'le roi ferra tant que 'ila se tiendre apaies per reason;' that is, as I conceive it, The king will give them a reasonable answer.

Object. 19.-Upon the words 'requirimus et rogamus,' in writs to the bailiffs of diverse towns, when they were sent unto to array and read men at the expences of the towns, it hath been urged, ergo the thing required is a matter of good-will, and not of right; in which case it would rather bave been a Mandamus, or a Precipimus, than a Rogamus.

Answ.-Note the Requirimus precedes. Also the word Roganuss sigaifies as properly a connmandment, as a prayer.' Linwood. Also the words are, 'effectuose requirimus et rogamus,' Also, "Cum princeps orut, precibus precepta ' colorat.'
But since those writs are arged, let them be read; and it will appear, that in the matter of them, pertinent to this question, they make directly for the king. Eid. for that purpose, Rot, Sco. 12 F. 2, m. 7, dorso, but chiefly Rot. Sco. of the same year, m. 13, dors. In the writ to Loodon there, the king reciting that the Scots 'fines regni Anglixe cum ingenti ar' natorum multitudine ingressi,' had taken the castles of the king, and of his subjects, and did still hold them, autd had besieged more castles; and that the king, by the counsel of the prelates, earls, barons, and the peers of the realm, had orlained (not a word of the commons) to be at York sucha day, with all army; und they bad promised to de there with, him 'sumptibus suis ' cum toto posse suo; nos considerantes quod 'pro tanta necessitate, fideles et sulditos nos'tros, ut in pramissis manus apponant ndju'trices decet requirere et rogare, ac de vobis - specialiter confidentes, vos effectuose requiri' mus et rogamus quatenus ad premissa consi'derationem debitam habentes,' they should instantly array 500 fuotunen, and send them to the hing, 'sumptibus suis.' Nota, All this done without warrant of parliament ; and more court-like words, certainly, were of purpose used in such a time as that was, of instunt necessity, 'ad faciendum popukum,' than cither needed, or might have been used, if it had been so thought convenient.

Object. 14.-Out of Pas. 26 Ed. 1, rot. 35, Commun' ex parte Remenoratoris Regis. Reginal Gray being commanded by the king to levy and conduct to the king 1,060 men out of Bromfield and Yale; he, by his letter to the king, answered, that he durst, not chuse 1,000 men there, without warrant; nud that he would not mewer, that is, move, in those parts without pay.

Answ:-llis writing that he durst not, is not to the right, but in his judgment it was not safe, or might be dangerous. Also, be durst not without warrant, it may be, be tbought the king's letter, without his great or privy-seals, no sufficient warrant. Also, it appears in the record, that the king had sent him word before, that the treasxrer should ordain payment; but it reems pay came not; and then it is likely soldiers would not stir without moncy : They conmonly cry Guelt, 'and if they have it not, are apt to đhsliand.

Object. 15.-Repayments commanded by the king 'ut conscientia regis exoneretur' ergo, the king could not take of right in these cases.

Answ.-The record is 29 Ed. 1,commun' ex parte Rememorator' Regis; there is a command for repayment. 'Quia pro urgentissimis ' negotiis et pro utilitate et defensione totius ' regni;' the king had received of the abbess and convent of Canonleighe 612l. and had pro-
mised rispeyment ; note those words; And also, for that the abbess had petitioned the king in parliament for repayment. So bere wasa promise originally for repayment also in this case; a very. great spm of money it was which was taken from one single corporation more than was proportionable for them; and therefore just and consciouable, that repayment . should be: this great sum was taken upon a scrutiny, 22 Ed. 1, in places where it was thought that money saight be had. And upon that the foresaid sum was borrowed (unwillingly, God knows, as to the leader) of this abbess, and of divers other religious. The liku scrutiny was made, temp. E. 2, nud the like course for repayment, as appears Rot. Parl, 8 E. 2. And indeed, it had beea before those times, and so continued, a usual thing, for our kings to look into the treasure of the religious, when they had occasion of rooney; and sometimes to take their silver-plate, and rich offerings, for supply of instant wants. And the religious would not fail to press upon the king's couscience, until they bad restitution.

Object. 16.-12 E. 3, Ro. Aliman. in. 2?, dors. A letter to the archbishop to move all the people to pray and give alins fir the king.

Answ,-I say no more, but will read what the record is itself loquitur. 'Pater, \&c. Com *populis regni, variis oncribus, tallugiis, et im-
©positionibus hactenas gryvetur, quod dolentes 'referimus, sed,' note this but, ' inevitabili ne'cessitate compulsi, de eisdem oneribus ipsam - relevare non valemus' so no whong confessed; necessity excuyed it, and continvance of a wrong cannot be justified. The king desires the archbishop to move the people, 'ut tan' tam necesfitatem humiliter, benigne, patien' ter etacharitative sustineant;' note those adverbs, especially "humiliter.' And they would have a gind opmion of the kingt and would pray and give indulgences, to the end he might prosper in his wars for recovely of his right in France. (Note, 'to the end, Ne.') 'Oneribus predictis - (qua non ex malitia vel prasumptione volun' taria, ipsos gravant) non obstantibos.'

Object. 17.-Oat of the Parl. Roll is E. S, m. 9, © 11. The remembranees of the pardiameut. One of the points to be considered and proposed by the king, was for course to be -taken for a navy at sea, and for recovery of Jersey, wlich the French then had conquered.

Answ.-In this preposition the words of tite king are, ' Er per tant sêrr' les conions dis' charges del guard del mere;' by which words 'it is evident, the king conceived, that the conimons were hy law charged with the guard of the sea-- It is true, the commonsanswer, They pray ' in drt. del guard del mere,' that they be mot charged to give counsel, it being a thing whereof they have no cognizances but they give their advice, that they think tile barons of the ports should do it, nind therein they confess, that the guarding of the land belongs to the commons, 'sans gages demnunder ou prender.' They could not deny tut that the sea muit be rguarded. Thay pat noc the charge of that
gaard upon the king, but would place it upon the ports. Of what strength or power the porta were in those tines, I know not; but in out present age, it is apparent, they are not by many degrees, near able to defend the' sees, which must notwithstanding be defended, and that defence can fall upon none but the whole realm.
Object. 18. ${ }^{\text {OU Upon the Parl. } 15 \text { Pas. 2.R. 2, }}$ pars 1, where the speech of Scroope; thep chancellor, is set down; he therein declared the cause of the summons of that parliament, whercly it appenreth, that a litule before there bad been a parliament at Glocester, und no provision for common defence was there ngreed on; that after the departure of that parlinment the king had assigned some prelates mid lords to be of his continual council, for the year following; the said council treating and having before their cyes, the great mischuefs and perils with which the realen was on all parts enviroine.l, and the summer approaching, nad no ordinance made in parliament for salvation of the realm, and resistance of the cuemies. And the said council durst not take upon themselves alone the ordinance of so perilous and high an act; hut it was advised by them, after Christmas to assemble a great council of all the great lords of the realn, prelates and others ; and upon a second warning there aame well near nil the prelates, as well abbots as others, the earls, barons, bannerets, and other snges ${ }^{\circ}$ of the realn; and then there the great perils, and miscliefs to the realm being disclosed, by reason of the great apparent wars by land and sea, whereof no ordinarice was provided ; and moreover, it being declared before them, by the officers of tine king, and treasurers of the war, as to the state of the king, and of the realim, that nothing remained in the treasury for the war; it was said, in the same council, ' Pur cunclu'sion final, que ils ne poient cet miscluefe re' medier, sans charger le common del realme, ' que clarge ne puit eatre fuit ne grans sans ' parliament; et per tant per assent de eux le 'parliament ore este smon' et in le meane 'temps que suffic' army ser' ordeine al mere in 'defence, et salvation del realme et del navie, ' et del consts del mere a quel costages touts les - seignors apprompterant voluntarement al roy, - divers grand sommes del money. Et issint font bon gepts de London, et d'auters vills, as quod le rny per assent fuit in dit grand coun' sell, ürit euvay pur ce cause. Et ad done a 'eax son royall grt. pur, repayment.' It hath been said, that the present question is fully answered.
Answ.-I confess that this Record hath a great shew of proof, that though there be an apparent and instant time of danger to the very 'saldis reipublicer," yet no charge opon the eotitipons maky be mitrle, or granted, withogit parliampnt., And indeed, this is the stronget proof apon any record, that hath been urged on
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Mr. Hampdea's side. But I think it will reeeiqe an unswer with iudifferent affections, if these thuing be observed. 1: That this consultution and drawing in question of the using of means, was before any charge actually imposed : Which now I insist not upon, because I have formerly touched upon it.-2. The king was then in minority, the law was not then clear and settled conceruing in infant king's power. You see it was debated, and not resolved, until the time of Ed. 6 . Vide the case of the duchy of Lancaster. Plow. Com.-3. The example of Latimer was then fresh; and the lords, it may be, were over-wary, upon his precedent, though it could not parallel with theirs, if they had undertaken, upon so urgent occusions, to have charged the commons, without their consent in parlianent.-4. Note the words of the Record, That the lords, appointed counsellors, couid not advise or find any means ; and it is certain, that no counselors', noue but the king himself, could comuand so high a matter. The king then was not there; he was at that time scarce out of his nurse's care, -5 . The people at that time were wavering, and fall of discontents ; they had 'withdrawn themselves from parliament,-Alice Peers had a litte before played her pranks; and the young king was not fortunate in his then governing servants.-Lastly. The thing necessary, viz. Security of the kingdom,'was done by another wuy, viz, by lending of money, as in the Record: But put the case it bad not been done one way or other, then of necessity the people inust have been charged, though without, yea, though against their consent; for the kingdom must not be lost, an ultininm refugium must be found out, rather than so fatal and final a mischicf and nisery must be endured.

Object. 19.-Rot. Parl. 2 II. 4, n. 22. Concerning barges and ballingers, commanded to be made without assent of parliament. The cominons petitiou saith, That this had not been done 'avant ceux heurs,' nud prayed that the connwissions might be repealed. The king's answer was, That the commisyions should be repealcd.

Answ.-It is plain that those commissions, before that time, ceased of thenselves; for they were made in Richard 2 's time, and died with hina. All commissions from the king are but authorities which end with that king fom whom they issue. Also note, that the said Henry 4's enswer in parliament goeth further, viz. But for the great necessity which the king hath of such vessels for defence of the realm, in case the wars should bold, the king would commune with the lords of this matter, and after shew to the commong for their advice. Which worda are notable to this question. It cannot be denied, but this answer to the comschonst asid peticion io parliament is, in effect, a ©Roy soi avisera.'- I note that Rot. "Parl, 1, \$2. 2, m. 58, there is a gratification by the king. in confirning of franchises to those cities and Ewng, 'que sont ore' (that is, 20w in this
time of parliament) charged with the making of ballingers in defence of the reaku. Here in this parliament just occasions were given to the cominons to have complained of this charge, with the making of ballingers, charged opon them before the parliament, if it had been a wrong : but they complained not of it, for sught appears; and the king's gratification is no proof that it was as by way of recompence for a wrong ; but it is plainly an argument of tho king's grace to them, by way of encouragement of them in their services for the commonwealth. The like appears, m. 3, 2, Cheqr. K. Rem. 'inter brevia directa baronibus'-Also it is to be known, that in all king's times, some matters hare been preferred in parliament, from the commons to the king, as grievances, which in themselves have not been wrongs, or against the law: We find in our books, there may be 'damnum absque injuria.'

Olject. 20.-Pail. 7 Ed, 4, n. 7. In the beginning of the parliamest, the king himself spake to thé commons, aud, amongst other things, promised the commons, be would live of his own.

Answ.-The king's spẹech stayeth not there, but goeth further, the words are these; 'I pur-- pose to live of mine own, and not to chargo ' my suljects but in great and urgent causes, 'concerning mnre the weal of themselves, and ' the defence of therp and the realm, than mine ' own pleasures; as heretofore by the commons ' of this land hath been done, and borne, to my ' progenitors, in time of need.' Which words are remarkable: not a syllable in them of doing this only by comison assent in parliament, but relativcly, as heretofore, \&c. which how it hath been de focto, you have heard ; namely, sometimes in parliament, and sometimes out of parliament.

The last matarinl objection to be answered by nry memory, is the authority of Fortescue in his De Laudibus Legum'Anglie, where he saith, cap. 13, 'That the king of England is Rex po-- latice regens; and regısariter, to do what he 'please.' This needs no answer, it is agreed. Bot he farther saith, cap. 9, That the king may not ' populi substantias proprias subtrahere, re'clamantibus eis vel invitis;', that he may not ' Tallagia et catera onera eis imponere ipsis in' consultis,' That he may not ' subjectum pon ${ }^{6}$ ' pulum renitentem onerarg impositionibus.pe' regrinis.'-I answer, that 'tis ropst tuve ' regu${ }^{6}$ lariter, et regula rion facit jus, et nulla regula 'quin fallit'-Cases of necessity, cases of 'bo' num publicum,' cases of 'snlus reipublices,' are not to be comprised within ordinary rules. I hive spoken so much hereof already, that now I will say no more, bat conclude, that in cabet of necessity, 'pro salate reip.' every subjeqt nust (even by rules of law) beitir hinnelf; muts contributer bis best abilities: must set-to both his holping hands.-Rich men must expoee their treascries. Able men of body must pat on arms. Great counsellors must give their bets advice. Women must not be idje. Old men tod clogganes (if they have no other powert)
must attend their prayers. And Judges must press and enforce the laws upon the subjects to couapel them to contribute.

And so I have done at this time: and what I have said, I have spoken to the best of my understanding, and in discharge of my conscience in a case of 'salus reipublice.'-And it being high time now forme to give over, I conclude upon all my reasons and authurities cited, That 35 this case is upon the pleadiug of it, the charge of 20 s . imposed on Mr. Hampden, towards the provision of a ship, commanded by the writ of $\$$ Aug. 11 Car. regis, is consonant to law, and consequently, that Judgment ought to be given ogainst him, Quod oneretur.

The Opinion of Sir GEORGE VERNON, knt. one of the Justices of his Majesty's Court of Common-Plens at Westminster, delivered in the Exchequer-Chanaber, in the Great Case of Ship-Moner.
This is a cause of great conserfuénce, and is one of the greatest that ever came in question in this kingdom, and the records are infinite that have been cired ou both sides; but by reasun of want of health, and disability of body, I have not been able to peruse the records as I intended, and to have prepared myself, in which I am to argue; and therefore 1 would desire tine until this day seven-night, to peruse the records and compare my notes, wherein, ns you may see, I hate taken great puins, [producing his Notes to the Court] that I may be the better prepared to deliyer my opinimn in this weighty matter : and then, God willing, I will not fail.
[But it was answered by the Court, That in regard certain days have been peremptorily appointed at first for their Arguments, it could not be altered now, nor could they give him any further time.]

Whereupon he said, ' Secing I may not have 6 any further time, I inust therefore deliver my - Opinion in brief, according as I bave conceived - it in my couscience to be, which is as follow' eth, viz.

- That the king, pro bono publico, may charge * his subjects, for the safety and defence of the - kingdom, notwithstanding any act of parlia-- ment; and that it is warrantable by Gascoigne, ${ }^{6} 13 \mathrm{Ed} .4$, 14. and moreover, that a statute "derogatory from the prerogative doth not bind ' the king; and the ling may dispense with any ' law in cases of necessity, ${ }^{2}$ Hen. 7, 11.'-And so concluded for the king.


## A few Notes of the AsG̛UMENT of Sir THOMAS TREVOR, Knt. one of the Barons of his Majesty's Court of Exchequer, in the great Case of Skip-Money.

Aftor be had opened the record he snid, the question upon. it was, Whether Mr. Hampden ahould be charged with the 900, imposed upon him, as this croce is ? This case, by reason of the weightiness of it, is adjourned from the Exelequer hither to be argued, and the advice of
allabe judges is required herein; many days have been spent in the arguing of this case, at it well deserves; six days by the defendant, and six dnys on the king's side.

It is some labour, in a case of this extent, to contract myself, according to my conscience and best knowledge: 1 shall seriously ponder the weight of this case, and digest it, as by tho law is warrantable, and to grounding my judg: ment accordingly. And the judgment which I shail give, in fine, is, That Mr. Hanapden ought to be charged with this 20s. and is to give atatist faction for the same. My lord Coke saith of a short case in his 11th Report, that though it be as short a case as ever was argued, yet the weightiest in any court for consequence: so it may be affirmed of this questious, for the sum is but 20s. but the weight thereof is of far greater extent: it concerneth the whole kingdom.
Mr. Haunpden hath demurred, and thereby thath granted ull matters of fact to he true. Tha defendant's counsel have taken much puins for their client; and, without flattery, so have the king's counsel,-I acknowledge the laying of a charge upon the people by parliament is a safe way, if tine and occasion will pernit. Anno 1538, when the Invincible Armadn, so termed, came into England, the provident care for the prevention thereof was ont of parliament. Alas! it is not parliaments can keep us safe. Was not that detcstable Gunpowder Treason, 3Jac. devised to have been executed in the parliament tine? the wisdom of the parliament did not discover this utter ruin and destruction, that had like to have happened to the king and kingdom, and 'to the overthrow of religion; but it was the great mercy of God that did it.
This kingdom hath been always yuonarchical: A democratical government was never in this kingdon. In the time of the Britons, 500 years before the birth of our Saviour, when Brute came from Troy into Britain (as one writes) it had a politic and regnl goverament; this is confirmed by the Letter from the Popeto king Lucius. And our king hath as much power and prerogative belonging to him, as any prince in Christendom hatb. It is the king's prerogative to appoint the beginning and ending of parliaments. So great a body can move but sluwly. A great part of the seven months would be spent, or the parliament ended; and then we were bu. to begin to rig and trim our ships, to provide powder, shot, cables, \&cc. many of these, perhaps, to be had in foreign parts; masters and gunners, \&c. to be got: ready money nust be had for the providing of all these; this will require convenient time before this cas be done. What hazard mey the kingdom run all this while? what policy is there to make state affairs known to the people? They may thank themselves, they would not make a ship for the service, and then they mightit bave had it for their own use afterwards. When the kingdom is ip' danger, ${ }^{\text {the }}$ king may command a sopply for prevention thereof; and whe con tell betber then the king how to prevent thir danger? 'No'cessitas nos habet legem.' The kipg thme
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must foxt forbear. a The commort law doth regard the common good.above particular; as in pontage, murage and paveage.
The provision of shippiug hath prevented us from danger hitherto, aud $I$ bope it will still. It hath increascd the honour of the kingdom. It is known nut only to ourseives, but to other princes, that our ships are of far greater burthen, strengli, and better furnislied, than ever was before. All which redoundeth to the hing and king dom's honour. The ship, called the Sovereign of the Sea, may be terined, the Sovereign of all Ships.
To concluite: the sum assessed for this tua:ness, I wish it may be paid by all chearfully, for it is fur a general good, for the safect of the whole king inon: the sultyects are not prejudiced by it, either in their dignities, or propertirs in their goods : the king', prerogatives protect the penples liberties, and the subjects liberty the king's prerogative: it is proper for kirrgs to conomand and subjects to ubey. We that are the judues of the kingdom have paid it, and therefore it is fit our opiviouns concur with our actions in this case. And so my advice is, that Judgnent ought to be given that Mr. Hampden ougbt to be churged with the 20s. assessed on him.

The Anuminent of Sir GFORGE Crooke, kt. one of the Jostices ofliss Majesty'; Court of King's. Bench at Westminater, in the Exchequer-Chamber, in the great Case of Suif-Monty.
This case of Mr. Hnmpden's stands upon record, and what judgneent may be upon this record is the question. 1 find no party in this case but Mr. Hamplen. A Scir Fac' is brought against him, to shew cause why he should not be charged with the 20s. assessed upon him, towards the findung of a ship. The occasion of that was the writ of 4 Aug, which is the foundation of all; aud that is directed to the sheriff of Bucks, as to other sherifis, to prepare a slif of such a burthen, for the reasoms mentioned io the writ, 'Quia predones, \&cc.' because the kingdom is infested with pirates, \&c. 'Quod' datum est notis iurelligi, ' \&e.' for that they do seek to draw men into captivity; and also lest we shoold lose the dominion of the sen; and for these reasons these writs are sent forth. For the time that they were to coustanue abroad, it is twenty-six weehs; *o long the payment of the men to be at their charge : ' et quos rebeleles, \&c.' and if any do rebel, that they should be imprisoned. And so the record settech forth further, that opon this writ a Certiorari went forth, and Mr. Hampden was certsified not to have poid it.-Now upon all Mr. Hampden hath demanded Oyer. of all the writs, and hatio demurred.
I must confess, this cause is a very grent couse, and the greantest cause that ever 'omene in question before auy. judges. And for my own part, I am sorry it should conve in question in this place, more requisite it was to hare it de-
bated in a public assembly of the whole atate; for on the oue pide, it coucerns the king in hip, prerogative and power royal; and on the other wide, ule subject, in his lands, goods, and liberty, in all that he hath, besides his life.
For my own part, I am sorry that I ame enfrred to dissent from my brothers that bave argued before me a fitter couse it were for mb to have argued with them privately, who have argued so learnedly: and well. I have studied :th that I could to have concurred, yith them ; but speaking, according to my own heart, (for we are to give judgment upon our oaths) in re-spect of my reason and conscience, I caunut concur with them; it mukes me at a atand with myself, because of the argunents of so many learneel men, before me, and to suspect my judgnent, whether it be erroneous or no. Yet I must set down my own reasons, and upon them leave them to my lords that come after me to judge vill.
Judginent is of the Lord; the hearts of men, and also the久久 judgments, arc in the hands of God; and when judgment is ouce past, we have done. For my own purt, 1 know in this case we cannot do so well as we should, but to satisfy oursclves int our consciences and our understandings; and in this case we are to give counsed to the king accoarting to our onths, whether this elaurge be legal or not. If legal, the snliject ought yot to complain: if not legal, then not in the king's power thas to eliarge the satject.-The king'x counsel hava maintaiued this writ to be good; and the judges that have argued, in their judgments bave maintuined to. Thea the king is adrised by his judges, Whether this be legal or no ? If legal, it is well; if not, theu the burden lies upon us. For the king doth nothing byt what he is alvised.-The case liath been exccllently. learnedly, and well argued on both sides; for that which was pressed by counsel on eether side, did not much nuife, for they aggue as conusel : avd we are to give 'jodgneent upon our oaths, on what they beve sadd.
But the judges opinions already delivered dos much troible me. When I have been of counsel, I have argued one way. und have thought myself very clear; when I have coume as a judge, and argued, I lane thought clear otherwise of the case. I desire to give jaikg, ment in this case according to God's direction, aud iny own conscience, and that is the best. And so I do not doubt but all my brothers have gone according to. their conscience, which makes me suspect myself. But every man standeth or faileth to bis own ninster. I desire God to guide me to a true judgment; and though, for the ressons aforesaid, 1 dotbt my self, yet I wn not of the same opinion with my. hrothets: but according to my conscience, I think that $\begin{aligned} & \text { odgment ought to be given for the }\end{aligned}$ Defendant; for which my argument shall stand upou these points.

1. I hold that this writ is not allowable by the conmon law, bot is a writ absolutely against the common haw, 2. Admit 'it was
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good at common law, yet it is against' divers statutes. 3. I hold, that no necessity, nor no pretence of danger, can give this cause for the writ: for if the writ be against the common law, no preteoce of danger can warrant it, 4. There is no warranty by prerogative of the crown, nor power royal, for this writ. 5. That this writ is the first writ thut ever was devised in this kind, and first put in practice, either in inland counties or maritime parts. 6. That there is not any one precedent, nor any one record judicial, or judgment in point of law, for the writ; it not, then I hold it not fit to be maintained.

I come nuv to the writ itself. 1. I hold the motives of this writ to be insufficient to warrant the same. 2. The commands of the writ are merely against the law ; because the commands of the writ are, to charge the subject to find a ship with men, munitıon, \&cc. against the words of the common and statute law. 3. If they were, yet the assessments of the writ are not warrantable by the law, and so no assessment: and if no good writ, then the 'Scir' Fac' will not lie. 4. I come to the Certiorari: and whether it be well-grounded, or no, is the question. And I bold, it doth not well issue, as this case is.

1. For the point of law. We that are judges are bound, according to the law, not accurdutg to our own maginations, hoth to judge accordmig to the law, and the law of this land, enther of the common law or of the statute-law ; and I see no book, nor know of any nuthority that doth inaintain this writ; but contrurwise, there are books and nuthorities in law, that say, this writ ought not tg be maintamed. It is a rule in Lituleton, that 'That which was never 'done defure, cannot now be done.' I say, there cannot be produced an example of the like writ, I mean the writ 4 Auts. 11 Car. that ever went unto the whole kmgdom, to make ships, \&ic. nor unto ah the maritipne counties, at one time; but it is the first precedent, enther for inland counties, of maritime parts ; and if no precedent before, then not by the law to be maintained.
2. It is against the common law of the land, which gives a man a freedom and property in his goods and eatate, that it cannot be taken from him, but by his consent in specie, as in parhament, or by his particular assent : for the law puts a difference between a freeman and bondman. A bondman's goudş may be taken without his consent; bat not so of a freemsn.

Then thus stands the case, and the question ariseth, Whether this verit to commanit ihe splbjects in any inland county, 10 pare a slup, and pruvide men, munition, \&c. went out by their consent? And whether allowuble or no, if without their comsent, for this is a charge upon the subject. And I say, no common charge upon the sobject ought to be but by a common consent; or in a parliamentary course. Mr. Lambert anith, that in' the Couqueror's time the king could charge the subject with no unjuat taxationy mor tailinger' sed per commune
'concilium.' .And that was a law not given by the Conqueror, but allowed by bim, as to be the law of the kingdom.
In the Charta in king John's time, it is plain, the liberty of the subject is there confirmed, ${ }^{6}$ Nullum scutagium, nullum ausilium nisi per 'commune concilium.' It appeareth plainly by the books, that this canuot be done but by consent in parliament. Fortescue chief justice setteth down what the law of England is in that kind, as instructions for the young prince. Saith he, "The kiug governeth his people by ' power, not only royal but also politic.' If this power over them were royal only, then he might change the laws of his realm, and charyo his subjects with taillage, and other burdens, without their consent. Thus the king can chunge no laws, nor yet charge thern with strange impositions against their wills. He setteth down, as the head is the chief of the Body, so the king is the head of his people : He cannot take any thing from them, witbout their urdinary consent; the common consent it is in parliament. Cap. S, an express clause there, hoc individuo. Shew me any book of law against this, That the king shafl take no man's goods, but be shall pay for it, though it be for his own pruvision; or lay any hurden upou lus subjects, but he must do it by their consent in parlament. If this be the privilege of the subject, then it is express, the subjects sught not to hare this charge inposed npon thein, but by their common consent. Though it be said, a statute is the act of the king, the lords and the commons only give their consents ; 1 say, it is the act and grant of the com-
ons, as well as of the lords; for what conseat is given there, is given by every man of the bingdon, by the power of ihe soice which they gave in chusjing the knights of the shires and burgesses. There is a book case $13 \mathrm{II} \mathrm{en}, 4$, fol. 14, expressiy, That no man shall be charged without his consent in patliament. Gascoigne, clinef jostice, gave it as a rule. Coke's Reports, Clark's case, No man tu he charged in St. Albans, but with their consent.- In the Chamberlain of London's case, to put a small sum upon a merchandize, \&xc. when it is for the good and benefit of the people, is a thing to be allowed through a common ordinance to be good, so they have no loss by it; as in case of niurage, pontage, paveage, and tolls of markets: Taxes upon the peuple for these are allowed; becnuse they are maticrs for use of the people, and are not as a matter of charge imposed upon the people.

Fitz. Herb. Na. Br. The ling hath the goverament of the whole kiagdom, boih in time of war and peace. None witl deny but that he may command, that no man chan doubr of; and therefore in that kind, in point of inundation, the king, hy his writ, commandech, That that shall be atipped, and le dorw by thove thas have benefit or loss by the inundation; and. these only are to be çanged, as come unta Kent : If an inundation be in Kent mas, wis shall the county of Middlesex be chargedrinargis
with? No; but those that have proft by it, or have loss by it. Vid. the 10th Report.
Then here standeth the case; if that be so, the question is not now, Whether a common charge may be levied upon the subject, without consent: No question bat a common charge may, if occasion, and every man ought to obey it.
But the question is, Whether, upon the allegations in this writ, there shall be a charge to impuse money upon them in the mean time, without their assent? I say not, but by a parliamentary course: in that hind the common law doth not allow it. A notable case in 14 E. s, Banc. R. rot. 60. Heh and Lever's case in Durham. An action of trespass was brought ayainst Lever for taking away the chest of $\mathrm{Heb}^{\text {b/'s}}$, with goods and mosey in it, he pleaded not guilty; the jary gave a special verdict, That the defendant took ti.e money; but it was upon this occasion : The Scots had invaded Durham, and burnt divers houses about Durham; upon wlich the inhubitants of Durham assembled togetier to consult about their defence; and took an oath to obey the ordinance to be made by consent amongot them; whereof the plaintiff was one that snore, and gave his consent. Whereupon they made an order to give the Scois a sum of unoney to depurt, but they would not he gone without ready money; whereopon they made a secoud ordnance, That every main's house should be searched, and where they found money, to take it: Thereapon the defendant took the money out of the plaintiffs bouse. The juiges asked the jury, if this last order was dime with the plaintif'r's consent. They $\mathrm{s}^{\circ} \mathrm{d}$ it was done by reason of the.occagiom. Tiereupon judgment was given for the plaintiff: That because it was not with his conseot, that therefore they ought not to be charged. It cune into the Kins's Bench, and they seeing of this apecial verdict, the judges of the King's Bench reversed the judguent; for why ? What was done, was done by his own consent and prover act, because of lus consent upon his oath; and therefore (said the judges) he had means to help himself ngainst the commonality of Durham, and they to pay him again to his proporionable part. This proveth, That no man ought to part with his goods, but with his consent.
That of Rich. 2, which is not a statute, yet doth shew, that the law was at that time, as it is the samt at this day. Advice was taken in a great assembly how to charge the commons : And it was answered, That they could not be charged, butby common consent in pariament. And it was the declaration of Scroope in the parli unent-husse, That without consent in parliament, the comanons ought not to be charged; because the commons have a consent in pparting with their goods. It is said in Doctor and Sldent, That the sabject hath such a property in his goods, that no man shall meddle wilh them, but by his consent; which is shat rosson they recover daunges when they meddip with thair goods, not by their consent.

Another reason why the common law look. eth into it is, because of the inconveniences that might insue, if this should be allowed. To charge one ship, by the same reason there might be ten ships charged. We have a pious king, and though he will not do it, yet the law looketh into this inconvenience. That of Danegelt began in the year 991. The first composition was 10,000 l; the second $16,000 /$; the next 24,000/; the next 36,0001 ; and in 10 or 11 years, by five several risings, it came to 48,0001 . And so, for aught that I do know, this may come to forty handred thousand pounds. Therefore the law looketh to make certain the charges. The first double subsidy that ever was, was S1 Eliz. and the Chancellor of the Exchequer said, it did make his heart to quake to move for a double subaidy, one subsidy being granted so lately. The reason of it was, because the Spanish invasion was foreseen.After the Spanish invesion was past, then came the second grant of a duwble subsidy; and he said, he hoped not to live to see a subsidy granted again. 33 and 39 Eliz, it came to three subsidies, and four subsidies, but if there had been ten subsidies, what was done, was dono by parliament; and the law alloweth it because of the greater inconvenience. Then it is in the judgment of the parliament for tho appointing of those subsidies, as the occasson requires.

Tue statutes of Tonnage and Poundage, as appears by all the statutes made in luch. 2, and continued tillHen. 4, 5, 6, 7, and so downwards to king James's time, are to the end the bog might have money in his coffers for the defence of the realm, and for the safe-guard of the sea, that he might not, upon a sudden occasion, be unprovided; because it is reason and fitting that kings should pever hase money ready against any occasion. But now it is not granted, get it is taken, the same profit is made still: and I do not doubt but the king doth imploy it for the defence of the kingdom, and safeguard of the sea. The difference between a charge and defence is mucl; for the first there is no law to compel the subject unto it, but by parlimment: for the second, which 19 the defence, every man's person is bound in defence, 'exponere se et vitam ipsam,' upon peril thereof; but he is not bound to any. charge without his consent, $S 0$ in this kind I hold, as the law standethythat no charge ought to be imposed. but by their common consent; for you will make it all one to take away the property of the goods, which you do quodam modo, though not in specie. Power is given to distrain the ods, and to sell them ; and every man is lisble to the discretion of the sherif.

But admit this charge might be imposed by the common lew, yet I do conceive it is prohibited by the statute ; for I hold, as now my brothers the judges have held, that the atatute De Tallagio non Concedendo, in a otatute; notwithstanding what hath been argued at the bar to the contrary. It is appareat in our printed books; and in one of our haoks, tho
express time is mentioned when it should be made, viz. 25 Ed. 1, then it is said to begin. Taillage is an antient aid, and so is, 'pur file 'marier,' and 'pur faire fitz chevalier;' but no taillage without a coumon consent in parliament ; so I agree with wy brothers, that it is a statute.

Next this statule of 95 Ed .1 , which is said to be no statute, the kingdom of England hath ever held it for a buckler for them, that no charge (without cormmon consent) should be laid upon them. And the reason wherefore this statute was made, was in respect of the .great taxes imposed on the subject without consent, in time of war.

The next statute is 14 Ed .3 , cap. 1. A great subsidy was then granted, What was then done f The king doth grant for hira and his heirs not to put them to any charge hereafier, unless it be by common cousent in parlianent.Oh ! but this is but for that king himself slone! -I answer, it is perpetual. If fhe ling doth grant for him and lis heirs, it doth go to all his pooterity, and is a good act of parliament; so that is the second act of parliament in the negutive, that no charge shall be laid on the subject, but by common consent in parliament.
That which is atood upon by my brothers, is 21 Ed. J. That statute was made to grant a subsidy upon every sack of wool; and also taxes upon merchants goods transported, 6d, in the pound. This statute thus made, the Ling afterwards, because few of the sacks of wool were carried over before Michaelmas, sent forth a procjamation, that $6 d$. in the pound should continue till Easter, and no longer : but halif a year after this was,complained of to the king, and the king (by proclamation out of parliamenty did take nway the $6 d$. in the pround. $22 \mathrm{Ed} . \mathrm{S}$, in the February then next following, an express act of parhament (this sabsidy so granted) to continue tull Michacimas, and by proclamation to conđ̂nue till Easter:-They confirm all thll Enster, and no further continuance of it to be-By this appeareth, that for $s o$ small a thing as $6 d$. in the pound for such a tume ns from Michaelmas to Easter, that it was a charge not to be borne but-by consent in parliament.

4 Hen. 4, m. 28. A subsidy granted, that this should not be drawn into example to charge the people, bot by conumou consent, and that in parliameat.- 13 Hea, 4, m. 10. There it is said, where a sodbsidy is granted, it shall not be granted heaceforward for defence of the kingdom, or safeguard of the sea, but in parliament.-Then. came a parliament. What did they complain of? The patedt of the office of Alnerage, though it was bat a mall charge, yet they pet down that chis wns contrary to the law, that no tazes, nor no aid should be imposed on the people, without coneent if parliament, the commons were then very zealous in small matters,
\$ Hen, 4, m. 9e. Hoc inditiduro. At that time-2 commiasion went forth to divers towns in the kingidep, to provide, dre. Whes there
came a parliannent, 2 Hen. 4, they complain of those commuissions that inforced them to do thit which by the law they ought not to do; and prayed those commissions might be repealed : the engwer is absolote, 'Let it be done.' 'Soit frict.'
The next is 1 Ruc. 3. True, the king was an usurper. Benevolences were granted; but that was no cliarge, us ours is, and therein the commons claimed their Kiberties,--Lavtly; the conclading law is that of 3 Car. the Petition of Right, that no person shall be taxed without consent of parliament : and when the king was informed of the former statutes how they were; thereopon this statute 3 Car, was made, which reciteth the statute De Tallagio, and divers other statutes; and it was referred to my lurds the judges (most whereof are here) whetber this law doth give inore than formerly froun the king. And we were all of opimon, that this haw did give no moie than what was formerly, aod was only bot a revsing of the ancient phvileges of the subject; it added no more, but only revived what was formerly granted.

I do conclude, that no charge can be imposed upon the commons, without theur consent in parliament. We that are judges, must $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{n}}$ according to the intention aud meaning of those laws. The meaning of the laws in this kund was, that no manner of charge, aid, or tar should be lard upon the subject, but by consent in parliamcnt. The judges arc to expound them according to therr utention. But they say, the practice hath been otherwise. We say not now yhat de facto, but what de jure was done; and me, as judges, must not allow de facto, 'sed quid de jure factum fuerit.'
To answer the great objection, it is for the defence of the kingdom: here is such a necessity and danger, as will not admit the delay of a parlianent--I hold, for my part, that no necessity nor donger can allow a charge, which is a breach of the laws. I hold it absolutely, that for a general charge of money opon the people, is cannot be upon any pretence of danger or necessity. Mens persons may be used In the case of necessity or danger; for every man is bound to defend the kingdom, but no necessity can procure this charge without a parliament. The law provideth a remedy, in case of necessity and danger; for then the king may command his subjects, without parliament, to defend the kiagdom. How ? By all men of arros whatsoever, for the land ; and by all ships whatsoever, for the sea, which he may take from all parts of the kingdoun end join them with his own navy; which hath been the practice of all. former fings: in their necessity they have taken ships from all parts of the kingdom. 10 Ed. S, m. 2, Scot. 10 Ed. 3, m. 16, when there was a great nevy of Scots and French appeared, and intended to come and invade the ingdom, the king appointed tho admirals, ons towards the North, tbe other towards the West; and to meet together at what place he pleased; and mi 16, seent into North-Wales and Soub Waley to mavintuia ope ship, ailher of thans
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upon their own coasts of the nea, for the Ho fence of the kiogdom. And in Rot. Alm, $18 \mathrm{Ed} . \mathrm{s}$, writs went for the arresting of ships in all parts of the kiogdom. Rot. Viag. 1 H. 4, m. 12, writs issued to all archbishopa and bishopas, shewing imminent danger, that they ahould be ready in arms, to come ted assist ${ }^{n}$ nd custodiendum mare,' whithersoever he shoold appoint them. But in that time, when the danger was such, 'yet no ships nupointed to be prepared throughiout the land. And 5 Hen 4, that all the men of all parts should come toge ther ia such a place: this was only an arraying of men to be in readiness. 3 H. 5 , wo the tane purpose. And 1 Hen. 7, which was much atood upon, of a runour of wars hetweenthe king of the Komuns and the Freach king, which might, perhaps, in the end tend to an invasiun of this kingdom, there was an arraying of men, from 16 to 60 , and gathering of ships, and taking order for watch and ward upour the seacoasts, bat no coummand to make ships. 4 Hen. $\mathbf{8}$, pars 2, there the king by prociamation saith, that ' the enieny is ready to enter, s ships are furnished with men of war to invade 'the kingdon.' What then? What was done then? It is no more, but that every county in England have men in readiness to assist, from 16 to 60 , to delenud the kingdom, and to have good wutches and wards upon the sen-coasts.
But, I práy you, in all tiese tines of Iėen. 8, Hen. 7, Hen. 5, Hen. 4, were there ever any writs went forth for slips into any county ? It doth not appear that any county was to prepare or make eny hips; but only men in arms: so the law makes provision, in time of danger, by help of their persons, and with shipd, not with-a pecuniary charge; for that cannot hold for any, nor ean be done without parliament. And if new ships must be made, it must be made by parliamient. If so be the writs be to make ships, then let the sherifis make them, and shew for their discharge opon record, that they are made and prepared. But to appoint by writs ships to be marle, and by their directions appoint the , herififs to lery monty to pay of some of the ships, was never yet dune, this being a precefent of the first impression. The law did elways wcouqn the parliament able to provide and to give sufficient aid, and most fit to consult de arduit regni; and there is a consent of and grant of the commoons to what is done, they gre actors in it.
By the odd law of Alfred, parliaments were to be held twice aryear; and by express statute made 4 Edw. 8, 14, an express lat was made, that every year a parimment shoold be beild, especially if need required. And by another statate, for avoiding of grieynices that deily happened, a parlument should be held once a year Then it is to be conceived, a patiament may be cal ed, und things may be charged that way.-And for the objection, that a parlinment iv not the sppedieat way to provveat the dunger; 'tie immquination of man cannot inveat a danger, but course may be tikn n for defence, till a parliament be had. So, for
my part, I hold this point of neceenty; br danger, cannox be held a wafficient ground for thin writ.
The next thing is this; yen, but this is maintained by prerogative mad roynl power. I say for that, hy my oath I am bound to maintain all the true prerogatives of the king; and we that are sefvants to the Eting muot maintain bis prerogatives, and, to the best of our skill, not suffer then to be diminished. But I hold there is no such prerogtrive in this kind,

The prerogative is, that which the Inw prezumeth, ' That the king can do no wrong.' and so it is in Bractun, ' Rex potest facerc quad de 'jure potest facere.' 11 Rep. Mnadalen-College Case, 246. Plowden's Comment. The kiug can do no wrong, nor any act to wrong the sobject. Bracton, 'Hoc nan potest agere 'quod non potest agere juste.' Therefore if this charge be ogainst the law, so much to the prejudice of the ultijects, as I conceive it to be, the king will never do it, for it is done by misinformation that it hath been usoally done, and may be justly done. 21 Edw . 3, a patent is made, which is a wrong to the subject: the kink, de jurè regi, ought to revoke the paleat; fir the law hath that honourable conceit of the king, 'That he can do no wrong.' A king, therefore, to have a royal power or prerog ative to do that by his writs, to command any thing. to be done thut is against the express laws of the kinedom, to the infringing of the liberties of his subjects, is net admitted by the law : the royul power isto be taken away; for as it is before snid by Forrescue, he can chenge no law, nor charge his yeople, but by common consent in parbiament. So, for my part, I hold that this same charge upon the subjects, by his royal authority, it is not allowable.
The king, we know, is a most just'and pious king, that he will do nothing ugainst his laws; if he did know it to be against lew, he would never desire it. "Whep a judge of the land was called in question, in queen Elizabeth's tiine, about denythg some loan, delivering bis opiaion againat the same, he said, it was agnuinst his oath, and agginst the law, to advise her mujety to it. With which she rested satisfied. If the jodges say, by law the king may do this, he may do it: if they say no, but by act of parlinment, he will never do it.-But it 3 said, the king taketh the course, $/$ more majorum.' There is pot affy procedent especially maiusuaned, by any jadicial record, tho warreiteeth this course : ahd if there were any precedents, we are to jadge sccording. to the law, and not according to precedents; not to judge whia hath been done, bot what of riglt hath been done. 11 Rep. Magmalen-College Case, huagh there be many procedente, that mainsint th niq $s$ right; the question is still, Whetier a rightit ar not?
Bur admie that precedents coold make it to be lawfil, yet I hold there is not eny one preeefent to maintain this case.
For, firs, I say there it no one precedent gueth to indand seocoties all over Ingiltard foeffes
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now. I say, to maritime counties io prepare, as my brother Berkley confessed, that he lnew none for any inland counties, but 1 Ric. 2, 11, 52, their writs weat out to divers inland towns, but not to counties, to make ships; and besides these, were not any to inland counties.-To this I say, those writs that went out at that time were done by conyeyance in parliament: for aul order was made in parliament, that all that had any charters, the antient citics, boroughs, sud towns, that had any cliarters of liberties, should there be examined; and appoints how, and by whom; and have their liberties confirmed without fine, if they would produce slips for the defence of the kingdom. But yet in this record not oue inland county or martime county is charged, nor no inland town, but those that would have their fiberties confirmed.

Now to look upon the precedents of king Joln's time, 6 Joh. 9 Joh. 14 Joh. \&c. here be the six precedents in court; nud I bave looked imoevery precedent on the king's side, to satisfy myself; and all those precedente are ooly for neresting of ships, that they should not go forth of the realm; and 15 Johan. all ships to be ready as the king shall have occasion.-Then we come to Hen, Sd's time; $18 \mathrm{Hen}, 3$, m. 5 , 13, 太ic. there are six of these records, I have read them all; they are no more, but only to poit-towns, to arrest ships, and the rest to have men ut arms, in readiness upon the sea-coasts, and that lut for forty days?

I hien for the precedents of Fiviv, 1.'s time, all of them being examined, not any one of them go to the counthes. 13 Ed. 1,77 , diven ships are nppointed to he made, but it is all sumptum regis, and only unto sea-towns; the record sbews, that by the barons of the exchequer they haye an allowance for it. 23 Ed .1 , 11.5 , same roll, a writ to the sheriff of Noriolk, to compel them to maintain Jeir sea-consty. 14 Ed. 1, a wit 'ad congregandas centum - uaves paratas,' and anised men to he put in them. So to command in that kind the king may, and we must oley; he commends ships ready made, not to make them. Afterwards, 14 Ed. 1, rot. 17, several writs th the archbishops and bishops, to attend with their arm, in readincss, to maintain the coasts. 14 Ed .1 , rot. 78, a writ to the county of Berks, a thing much stood on; it is only for mater of array, If it be well looked into, and no matter of making or finding of ships; and divers other writs in this king's reign, for maintmining of armies in their proper counties: and no man can deny but that every man in his proper county is to go to defend the himgdom. Aud also for having of all ships of above 40 tope in readiness: but to make new ones, in any inland county, ie not warranted by any precedent, that I can see; though I have looked over all the records that have been brought unto me; no, not in maritime countios, to make ships.
For the precedents of Ed. $s^{\prime}$ 's time, 0 Fd. 2, *cc. to put then all together, they are only to congregate ships to be in readiness, but not to wake new shipe.-Te come to $\mathrm{Ed} . \mathrm{J}^{\prime} \mathrm{y}$ time. 7

E4 $3, \mathrm{~m} .9$, command is to assist the adminal with their ships, as occasion shall require. 10 Ed. 3, 11, n precept to port-towns only, to bring their ships to l'ortsmouth, for 13 weeks, furnished with victuals, \&c. 2 Ed .3 , 10, not to depart withont liceace. $10 \mathrm{Ed} .3,12 \mathrm{Ed} .3$, Rot. Alm. writs only to port-towns, ' ad custo' diend' mart.' 12 Ed. $3, \mathrm{~m} .12$, a commeand to Henry Hussey, \&c. 'ad congregand. hu' mines,' and to attend un the soa-coasts. But these were the catyos of making the law, 1 I Fd. 3, that there slivuld be no further charge Inid on the sulject: so that nill before that statute do not prove cur casc. $15 \mathrm{Ed}$. 3, a custuily of the ports cmamanded, mad warrants to arrest ships. $16 \mathrm{Ed}$. 3, command to the earl of ©over, \&e. to preparu ships against an enemy that intends to come to sufvert tho kingdom, and to set up heacons; which is tha first urginal of beacoms that 1 observe. All these preceremts in Ed. S's time, were hut to keep men and ships in readirees, and to bring them to the sen-cuasts. $46 \mathrm{Ed} .3, \mathrm{~m} .3$, that the French made great picpanation, whereupon they uie commanded iill to urray, both clergy and laity, to guard the sea-coasts. Anil in those times, when there was more likelihood of danger thm now, no writs came ont then, hat only to array men, and keep t.en in readiness. 30 Eil. 3, to urray men in Noifolk to deliend the goasts. 29 lid. 3, command to the bishop of Durhan, and into Cuaiverland and Northumberland, to have their men in readiness. A number of these precedents in that king's reign.-For Rich. $\mathrm{\Sigma}^{\prime}$ 's time, it dath not appear by uny one record there is nny thing for sliips, bint only for the custody of tie sca.-And for IIm. 4, Hen. 5, Hen. 6's time until 2 Eliz. they are all concerni-2 waters of amens, not to ruake ships. And wien the rebellion in the Nurth was in the $\eta$ necn's time, then by writs wen were conmandel to be in readiness, for defence of the hingglom.
The next thing we cone to is the writ itself. For my part, I huld it to le illegal; mark the ricital of the writ, $t$ is no m re but 'quod da' tan est nobis intelligi,' \&c. not a plain affirosation, as apparcacy in it. Then the motives are, because the pirates do infest the seas : Such motices as never weçe in any writ before. All former writs were not to provide great navies in respect of pirntes: there in no such greal danger of theni. ${ }^{15} \mathrm{E.L}$. 1, it is there ret down, whep pirates infisted tho :eens, they took order that therc should be ouly id ships to scour the cossts. 10 F.l. 3, $i c e$ éommand that men shoold the arrayed. West the eneny should invade the kingdom ; but no mention made of pirates, for they will he removed with a few ships. Mah the tuocs when grent pirates were upon the stio, they would he clad to sculk away when. the hing's navy came towatds them. Now that ${ }^{\text {' }}$ this shmuld bring the kiug's navy to bea, is against the law of tic land, and are not motives sufficient to induce a charge of this kind.
Secondly, Tiue very coninaads of the writ itself ire unlawful, ia respect of tho inconvesi-
4.
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ences to an inland county; wherens there was never any ipland county charged in that kfad before, as coast-towns ihat have beea herctorfore charged with suldiers, and had none, were discharged. When Boduin in Cormwal was charged with findiug of a ship, they shewed they never had ship nor mariuers there, and that divers of them were imprisuned for not finding such a ship; whereupon commission issued to the admiral to examine the truth thereof: Aud becaust it was found they had no mainers, they were discharged. But Mr. Solicitor nuswered, this was done by the admiral, beyond his commission; but is Ed. 3, the same year, there was a writ awarded to Chichester in the county of Sussex, to find a ship, and they complained they had not any ships usty to arrive there, nor mariners thercin inhabiting; and thereupon they were diacharged, apon a writ out of the Chancery : SoI say, inland counties that are not wont to have ships, the law doth not appoint them to do that which they cilnnot do, nor will not expect from them that which is imp"ssuble.

The pursuance of this wit is ngainst law; it appoints them to provide a ship, hine men, $t$ and provide victuals and wapes for them, 20 weeks, \&e. I say, this is againct law plainly, and against divers statutes, nul no law doils warraut it ; for soldiers, which are the king's servants, oaght to have their pay from the hing, the general rendezwut. 15 Johan. m. 3, ship, commanderd ts be at the port, upon the king's pay. Tcuats by hnights service, afler forty days, wele to lie at the kitg's charge. 17 Ed . 1, 16 Er. 3, it appenreth there, the king, upon the inrasion of the Scots, many men being lost, nppointed suldiers, and their wages paid, and what tu Durlion, and what to Neweaste, \&ic. 31 Ed, J, in the Fxchequer, writs went out to lery men to resist the Sctats, and they would not stir without their wages. $16 \mathrm{Ed}$. 3, to pay soldiers wages. 2 Ed. 3 , rot. 16 , there it is set dowa in parliament what soldicrs have received tor their wages. 46 stat. 18 Eid. 3, cap. 7, soldiens are nut to go out of their countics without pay. $10 \mathrm{Ed}$. 3, the men of Bucks stood upon it, and would not go out of their county to the coasts of Southanpton wihhont wages. Rut. Alm. 12 F.d. 3, m. 12. A writ to compel all men to make munition for slips, for the town; and thereupon II. and B. they weae commanded to maintain the men of the same town. Claus. $13 \mathrm{Ed} 3,. \mathrm{~m} .14$, men of anns for the defnce of the sea-consts complained their wages were not paid them: ordered, the* town from whence they came should pay them. The statute of 11 II. 7, cap. 1, provides, that soliliers that go out of their nisn counties to attend the hing in his war, shall hare their wages from the time they go from their bousen, to be paid by the king's own oflicers.

I hold that this assessment is not lawful and nllowable; then if the assessment thus mude falls to the ground, the power to the sherifit to nsaess doth; and he may do it as he lists, put more upon one than another, therefore an as-
sessuent in that kind is not legal. Then the clause, 'Si rubelles fuerint, Kkc' to imprison them, then to give power of imprisonusent to the sheritf: Clauses have been in former writs, in cases of arrays, to distrain, if they relase to [ny; never in case of lerying inoney, to imprison for it ; it is clearly against Magna Charta to be imprisoned, unless he be indicted, or by due process of lnw.

The next thing is the last clause of the writ, - If more be gathered than shall be weediul, to "be returned amongst those that have paid it ${ }^{\text {? }}$ That sheweth the ship must be done: we are now upon the record, anil by this record it doth not appear that a ship is made. It nppeara Mr. Ifampden was assegsed 20s. towards tha making of a ship. It is sa:d, If the money he paid, others can provide ships. This is not according to lav, to commaud a ship of war of 450 tons, and to turn it into money ; for if a ship were made by a county, the county should have it again, but in thas rase it is othernise; this Scir' Fac'is brought to have the money.

For the C'ertiorari, it is directed to at sheriff wit of ollice 'que del residue de cen,' and ought not क. to be, for all writs ace directed to the present sheriff; so for the whd sherifts to shew cause what they have donc, and the new sheriff, to mahe ther riturn, he is the immediate officer of the court, Adnit the Sur' Fac' should go ferth, it would do something, 'oue'rare, Eve.' to whous, or how, nothing here; but 'Scir' Fac' quare onerari nom debet;' but tu whotu ' onerari'' it doth not uppear.

Besides, if the sherift lery monsy in that kind and bestow if on a ship, it $1 s_{\mathrm{p}}$ nell. If not so bestowed, then those that received the mamey are accountable to those of whom they had it. In Ed. 3's tiane, soldiers received money to go to service in war, yet staid at home, last were compelled to icpuy it to the county where they eccived their tiages. Also two high constables having received inoncy for soldiers, were indicted for not emplaying it' necordingly, and adjuiged to restore it to the county where they receired it, and to finl 'sureties. So it doth not appear that this ship was builded, there is no preparation for a ship; the sum assessed is not legal; then the writ is not legal, because it varieth from nll the precedents formerly; it raieth in the time, of 26 wecks, before that but for 13 weeks; in the manner, for soldiers wages to inland countics, which was never before. I say, itevarieth from all the precedents in ghat kind. And so I hold this pari oular writ is not stfficient, nor warranted by the law, and that Judgment in this case ought to be given for Mr. Hampdeu.

## The Arcumixy of Sir GEORGE CROOKE, knight, one of the Justices of his Maji sty's Court of King's-Bench at Westminsicr, is the great Case of Ship-Moniry, as it was presented to the King's Majesty.

The Case is this upon the Record.-The ling hy writ upder the'great senl, duted 4 Aug.
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anno 11 of his reign, directed to the shetif of
anno 11 of his reign, directed to the sherif of
the county of Backs, nud to all the men in that county, commandeth them in these words:

Motives of this Wit, which are five.-' Quin 'datum est nolis intelligi, quod preadones qui-

- dampirati ac maiis grassatores tam noninis
- Christiani hostes Maluunitani quasa alii con-
'gregati naves et bona et uercimonia non so-
' lum subditosum nostrorum, veram etian sub-
'ditorum amicorum nostrorum in mari quod
'per gentem Anelicanam ab olim defendi con-
- sucvit nefarie diripientes et spoliautes et ad li-
${ }^{6}$ bitum suan deportavere hominesque in tis.
- dem in captivitat' miserrimam mancipantes.
'2. Cumque ipsos couspicimus navigum in
- dies preparantes al mercatores nostoos ulte-
'rius molestand' et ad regunin gravasad' nisi
- citins remedium upponatur corumque conatoi
- virilus obvietur. 3. Consideratis ctiam peri-
' culis qua undique his querrinis temporibas im-
- minent, ita quad nubis et subditis nostris de-
- feusionena regni omini festinatione qua poteri-
( mus accelerare convenit. 4. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{Nos}$ volentes
'defensione rcgni, tutione maris, securitate
- subslitorum nostiorum salia romductione na-
'viun et merchandiaarom rul regn' nostrum
- Anglise venient' et de codem regao ad partes
' exteras transeunt' (ausilatate Deo) maxite
${ }^{4}$ providere ; cum nos et progenitures nustri re-
- ges Angliae domin maris puedicti semper hac-
'tenus extiterunt, et plurmum nos taderet si
- honor iste regius nostris temporibus depereat
' aut in aliquo minuatur. 5. Cumique onus
' istud defensionis quod omnes tangit per oames
${ }^{4}$ debet supportari prout per legern et consuetu-
${ }^{\text {' }}$ dinem regni Alighis fieri consuevit.
Charges of this Wijt, which are three.-
- Vehis prefat' vicecoin' balliv' burgensibus
'majordbusque probis hominib' ct omnib' aliis,
' quibuscunque supra nientionat' in brrgis ril-
( his, villatis, haulettis et locissupradictis eor-
- unque menhiris. 1. In fide et legiantia res-
- tra quibis nobis tenemini. 2. Et sicut nos et
- honorem nostrum diligitis. S. Nec non sab
' forisfactur' omniumıqua nobis forisfacere po-
- teritis firmiter injungend' mandamus.

Conmands of the Writ, which are five.-' 1 .

- Quod unam navem de gaeita, portagii 450
- dolurum. 2. Cum hominib' tam magistris
${ }^{4}$ peritis quam marinariis valentioribus et ex-
- pertis, centum et octogiuta ad minus. 3. Ae
- toruentis tam majioribus quam minoribus pul-
- vere tormentario is hastis et telis aliisque ar-
' maturis pro bello suticientibus. 4: Et cum
'duplici eskippamento, nec noh victualibus us-
' que ad primum diem Martii jam proxim', se-
' quen' ad tot buniges competen'. 5. Et
- abinde in riginti et sex septimungas ad cuseagia
'vestra, tam in victualibus quam hominum sa-
- lariis et alis ad guerram necessaris ner tem${ }^{\text {' }}$ pus illad super defensionem matis is obsequio
- nostro, in comitiva custodis maris, cui custo-
- diam maris ante pradict' primum diem Martii
- committernus et prout ipre ex parte nostra
- dictaverit moratur parari, et ad portum de
- Portsanuth circa decimum primum diem Mar-
' tiii duci facins. Ita quod wint ib' in eod' die
'ad ultimom ad proficiscend" ex inde cumna' vibus nostris et navibus aliorum.subditorum ' nestrorum. 1. Pro tuitione maris. 2. Ft 'defensione restrum et restror. 3. Repul'sioneque et debellatione quorumcung' merch'tores nostrus et alios subditos et fideles prie-
' dict's in duminia nostra ex causa mercaturmo se
- divertentes rel ab inde ad proprium declinan-
'tes super mare gravare seu molestare satagen'tium.'

Clauses of the Assecs.-- 1. Assignavinus 'autem ie pricfat' vicecom' Bucks ad assidend' ' omm' hom' in willis de Agmondeshnm, Wen'dover et Marlow Mngua et in ounibus aliis 'villis villat' burgis hamkettis et aliis locis in ' com' Bucks prid' et terre tentes in iisd' na' vem vel partom navis pradd' non habentes vel ' in ead' non deservicutes ad contribuend! ex'pensia circa provisionem pramissorum neçes'sar'. 2. Ft saper' pred" vill' Larg' hamlett' - et locor' meanbris eorumq' sic ut prafeitur ad 'assidend' at ponend' viz, quemhb' corum juxta 'statuin suam et facultales suas. 3. Et por'tinnes super ipros. assessat' per districtiones 'adasve modas debitos leviud. 4. Et collec' tures ia lase parte nominand' ct constituend'. (3. Ac nomes eos quos relalles at contrarios ' imeneris in pretms-is in earccre mancipand' ' in cod' moratur' quonsque pro cor' delitcra' tame nlttrias daxerimus ordinand'.'

Jisecluse of the Writ for the Ease of the Sub-ject.- Et ulterius mandaraus quod circa pre' missa diligenter intendali, et faciutis et exc' queaini cam effecta sub periculo iucumbente. Volunus auten quod non colore prad' mandati notri. 1. Plus de iisd' hominibus levari - fac': quaum ad prxemi-s' sufficien' ad expensas 'nccissar'. 2. Ant quod quisquam qui peca' niam de contribuentibus ad prisd' custag' fa' ciend' levaverit ead' vel partem inde penes se - detineat. 3. Vel ad alios usus quovis quasito colore appropriare prasumat. 4. Volentes - quod si plasquarn suficiat collectom fuerit hoc ' inter solventes pro ratu portionis ipsis contingen' exsolvatur.'

By sirtue of hiss Writ, Mr. Hempden is assessed to 20s. for his lands in Stoake Mandevile in that county, which, not being paid, is certified amongst others into the Chancery, upon a writ of Certiorari, dated 9 Mar. 12 Car. by a scheciule thereunto anncsed. And by a writ of Mittinus, teste 5 Mar. 15 Car. this writ of 4 Aug . 11 Car , and the urit of Certiorari, and the schedule annexed, is sent into the Exchequer, with a commaniti there to do, for the levyng of sums so ns-cesed and unpaid, 'proqt de jure et secundum legem regni nostri Angliax fuerit faciend';' whereupon a Sci' Fa ' issued out of the Exchequer, reciting the said writ, to warn Mr. Hempden annogst others, io thew cause why he sloupld nut be charged with this money. Upon this he bring sumnmoned, appeared, and demandeth the hearing of those writs and schetule, which loing read unto him, thereupon he demurreth in law. And whether judguent upon this whole Record buto be given
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against John Hampulen, that be is to be chafg. ed or no, that is the question; for he is the only party in this casc. And there is no cause Why any unan should say that the questiou is, Whether judgment should be given for the king, or the drfendant? for as this cusc is, the king is no part's to the Reoord, but only it is a judicial procesy out of the Exchequer, yinunded upon the former liecord, for the detendant to shew eas:se why he should not be changed: whech hath been very elaborately argued thy the defendant's counsel, who demurred, that he should not be charged; and by the king's counsel, cery learuedly and claborately argued, that he should be charge..
This cayc is a crase of great weight, and the grealest case of wright that ever we read, argued by iudges in this plice: rand therelore, adjourned into this, thee for ushice of all the juiges: For of ih ir sole it is allideded, That it caucerneth the king in his precrogative and power ruyal: and on the other side, That it concernetia all the king's sabjerts in their bilerties, thenr persuns, and their entates; for whel it hath made some of is to wish nud mone amoug ourelves, that it mielhe hase heess hy bos majesty's favour, heard und deternined in unuWher pluce hy has myje-t?, and hispreat coancit of bis realon, whe re all copvenicace and neensenicoce meght have tee ne ca-jid-red of, pro.
 times, and not to be aryuted oidy by ne, r . . Sate acconated his mijesty's comusef at las; wherein if any thing be dine aniss, th. f.ent mut light opon us, is mi, adriaisg the kang thervin. Bat seeme it hath ple ased thin agerof, that the same she uld ber agest and de wr nined in this place, whone plenture wo nost ohoy, I mest gue iny bost adrice mep my o ch to tiee best of my shilt; whersin I hepe not th trearh upon his majesty's prerogatives, whir ho we are all bound liy our eatho, in the best of sur shike, to maintain, and nut tosultir thear to l.c tuainisbel; nor upon his reyal prowes; lent truly to deliver what I conceise the law is be, concerning the case in quastion.
Wherein 1 must con ess I lave been much distracted, having beard so lsurned argmatats ou both sides at the far, mul so many records and precedents citel on cither side; but they did not so tuich move me, for the rounsel lhave on cithcr side propase. 1 such reasons, as they thought convcnie.t fir the maintaining of thein opinions, and perlaps with a prejulicate opinion; as I nivself by my own experience. when I was at the bar, bave argned confidently, and as I then thought the laws to he on that side for wham I argued. But affer being on the bench, and indifferstitly weighing all reasous und authorities, have heen of a contrary opinion; and sut the law hat, been adjurlged Cumtrary to that opialoa which I first confidently
conceived.

But that which hath moved see must, and maketh me distrust my own iudgnent int this case is, that nyy Drothers that Laso argued heforg me, who luave argued upon their oaths,
and I presume have seev the recoris and precedents cited on exther side, hase all argued one way; with whose opinions I ahould willingly have concurred, if I could have satisfied my own judgnent with their reusons; but not being satisficd, I have learned that I must not come with a multitude against mine own conscience, for I must stand or fall with my own master. And therefore I shall shew reasone, nad leave myself to the judmenent of nyy lords and others my brethren. Aud what soever shath he adjudged I must submit unto, and so do with all others, and do now declare my opinion to be, that as this case is, judgment ought to be given for the defendant. My reasons and ground that I shall insist upan are these:

1. That the command by this writ of 4 Aug. 11 Car. lor to liave ships at the charge of the inhalitatats of the county leing the groand of this suit, and cituse of this charge, is illegal and ctucrayy to the comamon laws, unt lieing hy sut.crity of parlinment. ?. That if at the common last, th had been tawnil, yet now this writ is illcgal, being expresoly contrary to dis ers statutes prohibitug a ge neral clasge to be laid upon the emomons in general, without consent in parliancut. 3. That it is not to be main$t$ maed liy say prerogative or power royal, nor aliegstion of neecssity or danger. 4. Admittiag it were legil to lay such a charge upon waritine prots, yel to charene any inland county, as the county of Buths is, with mahug shins, ant furmbing them with masten, mammero and soldiers at their charge, whech are far remets frum the seat, is ilecal, nad not warramvd by any former precodent. 5. I slall esataine tic precedents and ricords cited to warramt this wrot, which liase been all the principal gromis of the argonents to mant an the same: And l cmacere there is'the chef groundwork buing in uy mates, but I forgit it.
That belore I proced to the argunent, I desice to renouve 'wo di'ticulties: First, That, by the demarrer the dauger of the kingdom is confissed, and sm it is to, Le allowed for a case of necessty. To this I answer, That the demprrer confesseth not matters iir fact, but where the matter is legally set down; but if it be not a legal proceeding, then the demurrer is no confessing of the watter of fact. This appeareth in the book-case, 5 Hen. 7, fol. 1, and Cole lib. 5, fol. 96, in Burton's case, That a demerrer is no confessing of matters of fict, but where the matter precedent is sufficiently ple ded or laid down; and so it is held in all our beoks.
The Second difficulty is, That this case is so réselyed by all our opininn's under our hands, that this writ iwes legal ; which was much pressell by Mr. Solicitor. To this I answer, That it is true that I have set down my opinion under my band unto two cases, to the first voluntarily in Dec. 1635, which was thus ' 'I : am of opiaion that where the good and safety ${ }^{\text {a }}$ of the kingdon in peneral is concesurd, and ' the whole kingdom is in danger, of which his ' majesty is the only judge, tlure the charge of
'defence ought to be borne by all in general.' 'This I hold to be agreeable to law and reason; this opinion I do still and shall ulways maintain; for where the kingdom is in danger, the king may eommand every person of his kingdom with all his force to come and defend it at all times and in all places of his kingdom where he pleaseth; andelie king is the sole judge of the danger, and of war and pence; and if any do not perfurm his commands thercin, he is fineable and punishable in a decp mamer.

The second was in Peb. 1636, which is thus, 'That whea the good end salcty of the hingdon in peneral is concerned, and the whule kingdom in danger, his majesty may by writ
his subje cts' of this kinedoen at tho ir charges to provide and furnish such namiser of shaps with men, victuals and anmumuon, aud for such time as his majesty bhall thenk tit, for the defence and safeguaral of the kingdioni fiom buch danger. Aud that hif m gesty may coutpel the doing thercof, in case of relisal and reiracronness. Aud that in this case bis majesty is sule ju.lege of tue slaner, ant whe a mad 'how the sance is wh procen'ed nud avonded.'

To this opinion, I confess, I then nith the rest of the judgess sulscribed wy band; tout I thear dianeuted to that upinsen, and theit -agnitied my option tio les, that puch a chage coudd not be liud by any meh yrit, lut ly parlitmont; and so alisol. tely in that finite one other did agiee wi h me, nut slosentid frous that opinon ; and for otl ers, it: mone uther particulars, footu that which wast suisertibed. But tie pronter pare seembing absolacely to be resolval upon that npgom, senne of thrm aftirming that they hat seen dovers reoords and preverleas sof sich writ-, b-tisfyug them to he of that judgrawt ; I was pacestal to subscrile with them, for that the negor pint must involve the rest, as it wan sind to be usnal in cases of differences, and for that the $I$ 'seer wumber must submit to the niajor, althungh they saied in opinion; as it is im one court, if thiee judges agree in opinion anatast one, or two whe, e there are five judges, judgment is to be entored per curiun, if the major part aeree, and the other are to suburt to it: and in caves of conference, and certiticate of their opinions, if the greater part did agree and subscrihe, the rest were to submit their opiniong. And this by more antient judges than miself was atifmed to be the contiuual practice: And that it wes not fit, espec ially in a case of this nature so much concerding the service of the king, for some to subscribe, and sume to forbear thgir subscriptions: And that although we did subscribe, it did not bind us, but that in point of judguent, if the cave came in question judiciully hefore us, we should "give our judginents as we should see cavse after the arguments on both sides, and we were not bound by this sudden resulution.

Hereupon I consented to subscrike; but I then said, that in the mean time the king might be misinforned, by our ccrtificate under our hands, concciving us all to agree together and
to give him this advice under our hands, and not know there was any dis-assented or was doubtful. but it was then saud, the king should be truly informed thereof; and thereupon we that dis-ussent, did pubscribe our hands with such protestations as aforessid, ouly for confurinty, alluough contrary to the opinion I theu cuncerved.
But this being before arguments heard on cither side, or any precedents seen, I hold that none is bound by that opinion. And if I had been of that opinion ubsolutely, now having heard will the agoments on buth sides, and the reasuns of the king's cuunsel to maintain this writ, and why the defendant is to be charged; and tho arguments of the detendant's counsel ayrinst the wrir, and their reasons why the deLindant shoul not be charged to pay the money usocssed latn; and having duly considered of records and precedents cited and shewed unto nfe, evicrially those of the king's side, 1 am nins of :in aboolute opinion that this writ is illegal, whad rie lare my opinion to be coutrary to tibat which is sobscribed by us all. And if I lad been of the same opinion that was aubscribed, yet upon better aisisement being absolutely settled in my gritgment and conscience in a cootrary opintun, I think it no slame to declare that I do retract that opinion, for humenum eat crrarr, ratber than to argue against ing own rubrience. And therefore none having, as I co-ceive, removed those dalicultics, I shall proc. ed to my ngument, and shew the reasone of iny ofarmin, and leave the same to my lords and brothors. Nut one precedent nor record in any preciedont time, that huth betn produced or shicyed unto me, that doth mantain any writ, to lay such a charge upon any county inland or matitume.

I have exammed this particular writ, and the severnl parts thereof; and do conceive it is illegal, and not sufticient to ground this charge upon the defindant.

1. The motives of this writ are not кufficient to cause such a writ to be sent forth. 2. The comaband of the writ to prepare a ship at the clarge of the inlabitants, which mentions victuals and men, is against the cummon laws and stotutes of this kingdoun. S. That to lay a churge of finding rictuals; and wages of soldiers and nariners, is illegal, and contrary to the common laws and divers statutes. 4. The power of assessment given to the sheriff alone, and to distrain for this, is illegal, and not wasrinted by any precedent. 5. The power of imprisoning is illegnl, and contrary to divers atatutes, and not warranted by the precedents. 6. That the preclose of the writ, and the practure of it, is contrary to itself, and oppositum in objecto. 7. If this writ were legal, yet the manner of the assesmant by the sheriff as it is certified, is not warranted by this, writ; conseguently the sums cannot be deinanded of the de fendant by virtue of this writ. 8. That the Cese tiorari and Sci' Fac' issued not legally, and consequently no judgment can be given against the defendant thereupon,
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For the first point, that this writ, 4 Aug. ${ }^{(11}$ Car. is against the common law, my reasuns are these:

1. Because this is the first writ since the Conquest that went out to nny inland county to prepare a ship with men and anmunitiou, for aught appeareth by any iecord that hath been shewn. And where there was never uny precerdent, by the rules of Mr. Littleton, fol. 23, the law is couctived not to allots any such writ. And sir Edw. Coke in his Cominent upon Littleton, fol. 81, suith, That where there is no example, it is a great intendment the laus will not bear it.So I camceive here, there never having been a precedent hefure of any such writ to the sheriffs und riahahitants of a connty, to prepare a ship with men and anmunition upon any occasion whatsoever, that it is against the cominon haw to award such a writ.
2. For that the common law of England settleth a freciluon in the subjects in respect of their persims, und giveth them a true property in their goods and extates; so that without their consent, or impliculy by an ordinance which they consented unto by a common assent in parhament, it canoot be taken from them, bor their estates charged; and for the porpose the law distinguinhetil between bondmen, whose estates are at their lords will and disposition, and freemen, whose property none may mvade, charge, or majurly take nway but by their owif free consent, und therefore not warranted by law ; which is proved by these nuthorities.
Coke in lis Reports, lib. 8, fisl, 92, in Franeis' Case, sets down this rule, 'Quod nostrum - est, sine facto seu defectu nostro amitti, seu ' in :lien' transferri non potest.'

Mr. Lambert, fol. 94, setteth down the laws of England which were confirmed by Willian the Conquerur, hath these words: 'Iuter alia volumus et concedimus, quod onines monarchas rega' sui prad' habeant ef tencant terris suas'et possessiones suns bene et in pace, liberas ab omni exactione injusta et ab omni mhlakio' (ndt mentioning their injusta) 'ita quend nihid ab eis exigatur prister servitium suun - juste debitum.' IIcreby it appears there is an absolute freedom from all tallage.

17 of king John, in Mat. Paris, fol. 246, the king doth grant and confirm unto his barons and commons, inter al' these liberties following: - Nullum scutagium vel auxilium ponamus in - regno nostro nisi per commune concilium reg' ni nostrí, nisi ad redimend' corpus nostrum. 'filium notrum primogenitum militem faciend' 'vel ad primogenitan filiam maritand'.' By this it appears what was then conceived to be amongst others their liberties, and then confirmed; which was, that no aid should be leid upon them but by parliament, for the parliament was then called Commune Concilium.

That the lav is so, appearech by the treatige written by Portescue, who had been Chief Justice of England in king Ilenry t's time, and after Chancillor of England, when be wrote the book, intituled, De Iatulibus Legum Anglia. fil. 2j, cap. 9; be saiph thus; That the king of

Eugland cannot alter nor change the laws of England at his pleasure, for' 'principatu regali ' sed et politico ipse popalo suo dominatur.' If bis power were loyal only, then he might change the laws, "Tallagio quoq; et catera onera eis ' iinponere ipsis incousultis;' but adds, that the king of England 'sine subditor' assensu leges ' mutare non potest, bec stbljectum populum ' renitentem ouerari inupositionibus peregrinis.' And cap. 1s, fol. 31, he compares the king and subjects of England to the head and body natural: 'Ut non potest corpus plyyicuin nervus 'suos commutare neq; wembris suis proprias ' virts et propria sanguinis alineuta denegare ' sua, nec res qui capur corporis politici mutare 'potest leges corpois illius, nec kjusd' populi - substautias proprie subtrabere, ieclamautibus 'cis nut invitis.' Thus he in thas place; but in fol. 84, cap. : 3 , he sceureth to say, ' In loo in-- duduo, rex Anglie neq; per se uce ministros 'sugs tallagia, sulsidic, nut quevis onera alia ' imponit, leges suas, mut hgis eor' mutat, aut - nova condulit sine consesmiunc vel assensu to'tius regni sui i.t parbsmento suo expresso." Which words seem so general, that in no case he can duit.
So it apprareth hy the book case, 13 Hen. 4, fol. 14, Thube the grant of the king, whel tendeth to the charge and preyudice of his people in general, is nut good, viless it be by partiament. But it is ngreed there, thit grauts of toils, of fairs, of pontage, pickage, inurnge, ferrying, or such like, which are for the protit, yoot, and ease of the people, and protit of them that will tahe benrfit thereof, and unt compulsory to any to pay, but to them that sill tike the bencfit; and bicing very sinall and reasonable sums, the law doth give allowance to them: but if they were great sums, that tend to the chavge of the people, the law will judge them wid.

This appeartth in sir Ed. Coke's Reports, lib. 5 , fol. 63, in the Case of the Chamburlain of London, that an ofdinance made by the common-council of Iondon, where they have n custom by their common-cimuncil to make reasonable ordinances to bind all withun the city, concerning cloths to be brought to Blackwellhall, there to be viewed, medsured and searched, before they were sold, nad a penny upon a broad-cloth appointed for the officer that did that service; that such a charge was reanonable ; for that it was for the public benefit of the city, and the commonweulth; and a pecuniary penalty laid for not performance of that ordinance was allowed.
Ibid. fol. 64, in Clark's case it is resolved, that an ordinance made by the assent, of the plaitutiff himself, and other burgesses of the town of St. Albans, for a small tax upon the inhabitants of the town, towards the erection of the courts, and other necessaries, for the term to be kept there, was nllowed to be good, and did bind the plaintiff, being by the plaintiffs own consent, and for the public good of the town.
Also Coke, Lib. 11, fol. 86, in Darcie's case citelh this out of Fitr-Her. Na. B. Sul, 128,
that every grant of the king hath this considerution in it, tacit or express, 'Quod parria per 'donationes illius; magis solito nou oneretur.' And as by grant the king cannot charge his people, so neither can he by writ lay any charge upon his people, but by their consent, or where they have upparent benefit thereby. And that is the reason of the writ in the Reg. 127, and Fttz-Iler. Na. B. 113. Where by breach of the sen-wails any inuadation is of the countiy, the ling, who is paler palria, and taketh care for the good and safery of his people, sendeth out his commiss on to enquire by whose default any snch breach happened, and to caure all that had lands or commons to be contributory to the making up of the sea-walls; and this is done by a jury : but this charge cannot be laid upon a county or town in general, but upun particular men that have loss or benefit, or may have loss or benefit thereby : and this is done ly inquiry of a jury, before the sherifs, or commissioners appointed. So it is at this day, upon commissions of sewers, as appearedh ly Coke, lib. 10 , fol. 142, in the case of the isle of Kly. The taxntion by the commissioners of seners must be upon every particular man that hath or may have loss or bencfit by such inundations, and making up of tho walls; and caunot be laid upon any remote parts, which are out of the level of such loss or Lenefit: and it must be certuin anil particular upan persons: certain, by reason of lass or protit, and cannot be luid in general upon a town: but in thnse cascs there is a particular loss or Venefit, und in par-ticular places, ayd lut in petty charge. And then where the law alloweth that which in reason is to be done, that may be done without a specinl statute: for, 'De minimis mon curat ' Jex.' But in this case there is a general charge through the whole kingdom, which the luw doth not permit, wilhout colnnon consent is parliament.
But it hath been alfedged, that this charge buth been impoved for the public sofety, and defence of the kugdom : and may not this be done when every one hath advantage ly it ? To this I say, when imminent danger and cause of defence is, there must be defence made by every man (when the king shall command)with his person: in such a case every man, as it is mid in the precedents, is bound per se et sua to defend the kingdem. And I think no man will be unwise lut that ${ }^{\text {the }}$ will expoxerc sfet sua for the defence of the kingdom, when there is danger; for ntherwise, he is in dauger to look to se et nua: but to lay a charge in genelal upon the kingdom, either for making or preparing of ships, or money in lieu thereof, is not to be done but by parliament, where the charge is to be borue in general by all the subjects.

To prove further, that no man may have his goods taken, from him but by his consent, sppeareth by a record, Mich. 14 Ed. 2, rot. 60 , in the King's-bench, in a writ of error brought upon a judgment given at Durhaum; where in an action of trespass, by William Heybornu,
ngitnst William Keylowe, for entering his hodse, and breaking his chest, and taking away 70L, in money, the defendaut pleaded Not Guilty ; the jury found a special verdict, that the Scots having entered the bishopric of Dorhain with an army, and making gieat burning and spoils, the conmonalty of Durham met together at Durhan, whercof the plaintiff was one, and agreed to send some to compound with the Scots for money to depart, and were all sworn to perform what compositipn should be made, and to perform what ordinance they should make in that behalf: and thereupon they compounded "with the Scots for 1,600 marks. But becnuse that was to be paid immediately, they all consented that William Keqlowe the defendant, and others, should go int ${ }^{\prime}$ every man's house, to search what ready moneys were there, nad to take it for the making up of that sutn; and that it should be repand by the commonalty of Durham ; and thereupon the defendant did enter inio the plaintif's house, and did break open the chest, and took the 70l. which was puid accordingly towards the fine. The jury was demanded, whether the plaintiff was present, and did consent to the taking of the money? They said no: whereupon the plaintiff had judgnent to recover the ssid 701 . and damages, for that otherwise he had no remedy for his money; and the defendant was committed in execution for that sum. And theseupon the rlefendant, Keylowe, brought a writ of error in the King'sbench, and assigned his crror in point of judgment; and there the jodgnent was reversed, and the reasons set down in the record were, first, because the plaintiff, Heyborne, had his sufficient remedy against the commonalty of Durham for his money: secondly, because he himself had agreed to this ordinance, and was sworn to perform it; and that the defendant did nothing but what the plaintiff had assented to by his oath, and therefore is accounted to do nothing but by his consent, and as servant unto him, therefore he was therein no trespasser: and therefore the judgwent given in Durham was reversed, because he had assented to that ordinance, though afterwards he was unwilling; yet having once consented, his goods were lawfully taken. By which it appeareth, that if he had not particularly conseated, such an ordinance would not have been good to bind him ; although this wes in a case of great danger, and for defence.
2 Ric. \&, pars 1. The Parliament-Roll proveth this directly ; slthoughoit be no act of parlinment, yet the record is much to be regarded, for it sheweth what the law was then conceired to be: for Scroop, the lord chancellor, then shewed to all lords and commons assembled in parliaroent, that all the lords and anges had met together since the lest parliament, and having conferred of the great danger the kingdom was in, and bow money might be reised in case of imminent danger, which could not stay the delay of a parlinmeht, and the king's coffers had not sufficient therein; the record
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is, they all agreed, moneys sufficient could pot be had without laying a charge upon the commonalty, which, say they, cannot be done without aparliament; and the lorids ihemselves, for the time, did supply the said necessity with money they lent: which record proveth directiy, that this clarge without an nct of parliament is illegsal.
So upori these reatons I concluile, that this writ, compulsorily to charge the subjects against their wills, is not warranted by any book, and therefore illegal.-If this writ should be allowed, great inconveniences would enste, which the law will always avoid, and not fermit any inconveniences.

1. If any such charge may le laid upon the counties by writ, without assent in parliantent, then no man knoweth what his charge may be; for they may be charged as ofien as the king pleaseth, and with mahing of as many ships, and of what burdens, and with wh:t charge of ammunition, men aul victuals, as shall be set down. Wherein I doubt not, but if the law were so, the bing being a very pious and a just king, would use lis power rery moderately; bat judges in their jougments are not to look to present timies only, bat also to all future times, what may follow upon their judgments,-Tbat this inconveniency may le, appeareth by the Daneget, first apponited in times of necessity, "to redeen thin from the cruelty of the Danes, which often changed, and still increased : for A. D. 991 , when it began, it was but $10,000 /$; in 991 , it was increased to 16,000l; and in 1002, it was increased to 24,000l; and in 1007, it was increased to $36,000 l$; and in 1012, to $48,000 \mathrm{l}$. So if this writ be well awarded, it may be at pieasure what bounds it shall have. Also there was never but one sin_le subsidy and two fifteenths ased to be granted in parliament, until 31 Eliz. and then a double subsidy, and four fifteenths were granted : sir Walter Mildinay, then Chancellor of the Exchequer, moving for it, and raying, "his heart did quake to move it, not ' knowing the inconvenience that should grow upon it;': he shewed great reasons for his moving it, it being aboot the time of the Spanish invasion, and so it was granted. Afterwards, 35 Eliz. treble subsidies and fifteenths were qranted. And 43 Eliz. four subsidies and eight fifteenths were granted; and yet these were not accounted grievous, weitber would it have been, if it bad been ten subsidies because in parliament, and convenient tines and mearts appointed for the levying of them. Tonnage mend poturdage were granted to this ond in 13 Bich. 2, and have continued ever since by everal grants until this fing's time, wherein it Whas unhappily questioned in parlinment: but thie end thereof was, that the kings might have money in their purses against times of need, for extraondinary occasions, especially for the defence of the renim, and guarding of the sea, as it is especially declared by the statute 1 Jic. and former statutes, and for other necessary now, as the king pleasord.

Object. But it is said, that tounage and poundage is not now granted to the king, and therefore the king is cnforced to these extraordinary courses.

Thuugh it be ant granted, yet $I$ think it in taken; and I doubt not but to the same intent, and for the same purposes employed for which it was first granted; which yas, for the defence of the kingdom, und guard of the sea. Thercfore in case of danger unif necessity, every subject, for the defence of the hingdom, is bound for 'legianciaz debito,' as some records say, and 'legiancise suae vinculo astricti,' as others speak ; ' se et sua totis viribus et potestate ex'ponere,' \&ic. And in such a case, the king may demand the persons of his subjects, and arrest their ships to wait on his to defend the scas; yet with this also, when they go out of their conaties, to be at the bing's charges: but to command thr subject by writ, to build new slips, or to prepare ships at their charges, or to lay a common charge un the suljects $m$ general, for ins-ter of defénce, or avoidance of danger, is not warrautable by the common law.
a. Another inconvenience is, That it is Ich in the power of the sberiff to charge any man's estate at his pleasure, laxing some, and sparing others, as his affectuons I cad lim; and sometimes, by colour therenf, lasying more than he need, and euriching bmiself; which power the law never alloweth ${ }^{\text {b }} \mathrm{hm}$, although it were in lesser matters: as to mahe un assessment for breach of sen salls; Lut to do it by a jury, and not by himsif alone. So for these reasons, I conclude, this writ is against the common law, and so illegal.-I conceive, if the common law were doubtful in this; whether such a charga might be imposed by writ; yet now it, is made elear hy divers express statutes, That the kiug is not to lay any charge upon his suljects, but by their consent in parliament; and that is, by many acts of parliamens: in force, and not repeales: and there is no dobbt but that the Ling by parliament may, bind them and their successors, every king by oath being bound to perform the statutes of his realin.

The statute of 25 Ed. 1, cap. 5, which is in these words: 'Forasmuch as divers people of - our realins are in fear, that the aids and taxes - which they have given us befire-time towards ' oor wars, and other busincsses of their own ' grant and good-will, hoyevever they were made, ' mightturn to a bondage of them and their ' heirs; because they, might be at other lime ' fyund on the Roll; and likewise for the prizes ' taken throoghout our realm by our ministers; ' We have granted for us and our heirs, That ' we shall not draw any such aids, taxes or ' prizes into a custom, for any thing that hath - been done heretofore, by any roll, or any other ' precedeat that may be found.'-Ibid. cap. 6. ${ }^{\text {' }}$ Mureover, we have granted for us and our ' lieirs, as well to urchbishops, lishops, priors, ' and other foll of the holy church;; ns niso to ' earls, barons, and all the cumnonalty of the ' land; that for no business from hencefartir
' we shall take any aids, taxes, nor prizes, but - by the common assent of the realm, and for 'the common profit thereof, (saving the ancient ' aids and prizes due and accustomed)' which are the express words of that statute. Now, what those anc $t$ aids were, is well known, that they were 'ad rediuendum coryas, ad ' filium primogenfum mulitem 'faciend' et ad 'filian primogenitam maritand': 'Which aid concerns not the subject in general, but particular men were linble thereunto by their tenures. So this saving need not to have been; for the body of the act extended not to them, bat to the general and of the kingdom.
However, if this sulvo, as it hath been objected, would-preserve this aid now in queation, yet the statute made afterwards, De Tallagio non Concedeado, being without any salno, takes it away: which statute, Rastal in his Abridginent, fol. 441, in his btile of Taxes, ubridgeth in this manner: 'Anno 25 Ed .1 , it - is orduineal, that the tuxes takefn, shall not be ' taken in chstom, nor but by the assent of this ' realm, except the antent ands and taxes : and ' there the tux of 40 s. upon the sack of wool is ' relcased.-Dbid. 'That no tailloge, by us or ' our heirs in our realin, be put or levied, with' out the assent of the archbishops, bishops, * earls, barons, kughts, burgesses, and other ' free comouns of our realin; that nothing be 'taken from henceforth, in the name, or by 'rea`on of Male tout' of a sack of wool. Sta'tute De Tallagio non Concedendo.'

Object,-Mr. Sulheitor laboured much to prowe, that there was no such statute, De Tallagio non Concedendo: 1. For that it was not to be found on the Rollsof Parliament. 2. For that it was not set down when it was made. 3. Thatit was but an abstract out of Confirmatio Chartarum Libertatum. Mr. Attorney suid, he would not deny it to be a statute, neither would he athirgu it; but that yet it did not extend to tuke away the nid demanded, by prerogntive or power royal for the defence of the kmgdm.

Respons.-To this I answer, This was never doubted to be a statute until this argument; und that it is a statute, appeureth, 1. For that it is priated in the Book of Statutes, for a statute. 2. It is recited in the Petition of Right, to be a statute. To that it is not fuond on the llolls, I answer, That many statutes that are known statutes are nit found on the Rolls, as Mag' Char' is not. And ás tooching the time, I conceive it to be made $24 \mathrm{Ed}$. 1, cap. 1, for so it is set down in the great Book of Stestutes, printed 1618, to be the first statute therein made, viz. in these words: 'No Taillage nor ' Aid shall be taken or levied by us or our ' heirs, in our realn, without the good will and ' assent of the archbishops, bishops, earls, be' rons, knights, burgesses, nad other freemen of 'the land.'-And that it is a statute, all my brothers have ngreed.
The only doubt then is, whether this atatate extendeth to nid for the defence of the kingdom; which I thiak it doth: for it is the pre-
dxe words of it, That no Taillage or Aid shall be imposed but by grant in parliament, which extends to ell mauner of aids: and by this law the subjects of England have defended themselves ever sioce, as with a bucklier, as saith Hodinus, fol. 97, whereby it appeareth, that norice was takea of this law in foreign parts, and so held still to be a statute in force.
The next statate is 14 Ed .3 , cap. 1, which recites the grant of the kreat subsidy of the ninth fleece, the ninth lamb; \&c. formerly granted; whereupon these wurds follow' : We, : willing to provife for the indenuity of the said ' prelates, carls, haron-, and ohers the com' monalty of the realm, and also of the citizens, ' burgesses, und merchants aforesaid, will and ' grant for us and our heirs, to the same pre${ }^{4}$ lates, earls, baruns, and commons, citizens, ' burgesses, and merchants, that the same grant : shall not be had forth in example, nor fall to their prejulice in time to come, nor that they 'be from henceforth charged or granted to ' make any aid, or sustain any charge, if it be ' not by the common assent of the said prelates, ${ }^{\text {' }}$ earls, barons, and other grent men and come' mons of the said realm of England, and that ' in the parliament; and that all the profit ' arising of the said nid, and of wards, mar' riages, customs, and escheats, nnd other pro' fits, arising of our said Im of England, shall ' bé set and dispended upon the maintenance ' of the safe-guard of this realm of England, and ' of our war in Scotland, France, and Gas' coigne, and in no place elsewhere during our ' war.'- By this statute it appeareth that it is expressly provided, that the subjects should not be from thenceforth charged nor grieved to make any aid, nor sustain any charge but by comuton assent, and that in parliament ; which is as express as may be, and exclusive to any charge otherwise ; which I cenceive was made against the appointment of making, or preparing and sending oot of ships at the charge of the towns wherein they were, or sending men out of their own counties at the charge of the county.

Object.-Now whereas it is alleged by my brother Weaton, and my brother Berkley, That this was but a temporary statute, and ended when the war ended, which appeareth by the last clanse for employment of those profits iowards those wars; I conceive it appeareth to be an absolute and peryetual statute, fon it is granted for him and his heirs iff perpetuity. And sloo it appeareth by Plowden in his Comment. fol. 457, in sir Thomas Wortb's case, where a grant is by the rame of the king, which is in his politic capacity; this extendeth against him, his heirs, and successors, although they be not named. Also the intendment of this law appearech to be for the security of the sub:jects, from thencoforih for all future ages. And then the office of judges, as appeary by sir Pdien ward Conke's Reports, lib. 5, fol. 7, and Plow $\alpha$ den's Comuneut, in Aston and Stad'a case, is to condrue statutes sccording to the true intent of the makers thereof, which was in thin
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statute, that it should be a perpetual securit for the subjects. And to little purpose it had been, to mnke a statate to continue but during the time of the war, or during the king's life.

Object-Also where it is alledged that the statute of 14 Ed .3 , is not mentioned in the Petition of Right, which is some argument that it was not conceised to be a continuing statute.
-Respons.-To that I'an<wer, That in the Fe tion of Right it is said, That by the statate , there recited, and other the good statutes of this realm, the subjects shall not be compelled to pay any taxes, taillage, aid, nor other like charge not set by parliament; in which this statute is as well intended as other statutes, and as far na if it had been expicssly recited. Also it appeareth by all the books of statutes, that this statute is granted as a statute continuing, whereas others expired, are set down as expired.
21 Ed. 3, pars 2, m. 1t. A subsidy being granted by parlisment, viz. 40s. on every snck of wool transported before Michaehnas following, nnd 6d. on every 20s. of merchandize, for the safe-guarding of the merchants and defence of the coast, \&c. After Michaelmins, viz. 31 Octob. $21^{\prime} \mathrm{Ed}, 3$, by writ the collectors were commanded to continue the collection of those subsidies until Easte. Dut 26 Nov. $21 \mathrm{Ed}_{6}$ 3, the king by n rit commander the stay of the $6 d$. in the 20s. and to contifue the collection of the cubsidies upon the sacks of wool until Easter.

22 Ed. 3, Rot. Parl. m. 16. The parlinment being holden in Lent, the commens complain of the continuance of this collection of the subsidies upen the sacks of wool longer chan the parliament had granted il, and provided that it should not be continued longer than Eayter, at the procurement of any person. Dy this it appeareth, that the parlinment being careful that the time for levging of a sobsidy granted, should not Le enlarged by any power, mach less would they admit of a writ to lay a charge without grant by parliazient.
$25 \mathrm{Ed} . \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{m} .1$. It was enactird that no man should be compelled to find men at arms, other than such as hold by such service, except it be by common assent in parliauent. By this it appeareth, that if nuen be not compellable to furf a man at arms, unless it bo by common assent in parliament; much less is any bound to be contribatory to the preparing of a ship with 180 inen at arns, and victuals, and wages of soldiery for ed weeks, unless it be by common nssent in parliament.
Hot. Parl. 21 Hen. 4, num. 22. An act of parliament, as I cout it, in the very point, is in these words: ' For that of late, divers com${ }^{\prime}$ missions were inade to divers cities and bor rougha within the realm, to make barges and ${ }^{4}$ buiringers, withont assent of parlinment, and ${ }^{4}$ otherwise than hath leen done before these; - however the conmmons do pray the king that ' these commissions may be repealed, and that 'they may not he of any force or effect.' To which it is answered; 'That the king willeth
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' that the said commissions be repealed;' which is an absolute and perfect statute.

But then there are added these words: 'But - for the grent necessity be hath of such vessels - for the defence of the realm in case that the 'war shall happen, he will tr with his lords ' of this matter, and afterwards will shew it to - the commons to have their counsel and ad'vice in this point.' So by the record it appeareth that the commons did conceive that no cities, boroughs, nor towns, without consent in parliament, were to be charged with the making of such vessels; to which the king agreeth. And from that day to this, until the making of theso writs, in no nge, although the kingdom hath heen many times in danger of invasion, and hath been invaded, 'there do not nppear any records that ever I have seen of writs directed to any towns or rities at their charges, to make or prepare any shaps ar vessels whatsoever.

Object.-And whereas it hath been objected, and especially insisted upon by my brother Berkley, that this latter part, that the hing will trent with his lords concerning them, and nfter confer with the cominons, is a geutle denial of that act; as the experience is at ihis day. 'Le ' Roy se avisera' in a denial of an act.

Respons.- Hercupon 1 answer, It is en absolute act, for it is an absolute assent to the petition. And that which came afrer was but a plausible excuse, for that such commissions had gone out; sud this farther consultation never appeared to be made, nor ever any such writ or commission for such vessels to be mude went out since, until this writ. -

13 IIen. 4, m. 10, A grant is of a subsidy of wools, woolfels, hides, and other things there meationed, and of Tounage and Poundage for one year, for the defence of the marches of Calais, \&.c. and for the defence of the realn, und the safe-guard of the sea. And themen is this express Proviso, 'Provided that this grant ' of a $>\mathrm{b}$ bsidy of wools, Ke. and 'Tomnage and ' Youndage, in time to come, shail not lee taken ' in example to charge the lords and commons ' nf this realm with nuy mamer of subsidy for - the safeguard of Calais, \&c. nor for the de' fence of the reflam, nor the safe-guard of the 'seas; unless it be by the will of the lords and 'commons of the realm, and that by a new 'grant to be made, and that in full parliament' 'to come.' By this appearth that it was then provided, that no charge should be laid on the loeds or commoss, no not for the defence of the realm, but by grant in full parliament.

13 Hen. 4, m. 43. A petition was in parliament reciting, that therd was an office granted of Alnager wlthun London and the suburbs of the same, with fees to that apperiaining, where nay such office never was, nor any such fees appertaining therevinto; pnd that by coloar thereof, they levy one balf-penuy of the buyer and a hulf-penny of the seller, and upon sile of every hundred ells of canvass a penny of the seller, and a penny of the buyyer, wrougfally ageinst the statutes in the times of your highnest': progeniturs made to the contrary, by
whickrit is ordained that no taillage nor aid shall be granted nor levied without assent and consent of the lords and commons of your realin, as by the statates is fully declared; wherefore they praycd that such letters patents made thereof a'll be void and holden for none. And this was granted; whereby it appeareth that it is declafed then in parliament, that those statutes were and did continue; that no taillage or aid shall be levied without grant in purliament.

1 Ric. q, c. 1. It is enacted in these words: ${ }^{\prime}$ Our sovereign lord the king reaseunbering how - the connnous of this realin, by new and un-- lawful inventions, and inoruinste cosetize, ' have, agziast the laws of this realn, been put ${ }^{6}$ to great servitude and mimotunate charges ${ }^{4}$ and exactions, and expecially by a new un'post, salled a Benevilence, whercby divers - subjects oí this land, aganat their wills and - liberties, have paid grent sums of money, \&cc. - It is enacted and ordained, that the suljects ' and comenons of this realm from liencetorth © shailin $n 0$ wise be charged by such chaiges - or ingositions called a benevolence, or such - like charge: and that sach exactions called a - benevoleace, befire that tiae taken, shall be ' taken for no example to make any such, or ${ }^{6}$ any like charge, front any of his subjects of - this realm hereafter, but shall be daunned and ' nulled for ever.' By this it uppearcth that it is expressly provided that the subjects shall not be charged by way of benevolence, which is in mature of a free gift, nor Such like charge; that is, nu chage of money shall be laid upon the subjects upon any pretence whatsoever, be it lor defence in time of danger or guarding of the sea.

Thelast and conclading statute is the Petition of Rught, made in the third year of his majesty's reign, reciting, that fit was enacted hy n statute made in the the of Vdward 1, commonly called Sitatutdin de Tullagio non Coucedendo, that no taillage or aid shall be laid or levied by the king or his heirs in this realm, without the good-will and assent of the archbishops, bishops, carls, barons, knights, and others the freemen of the commonalty of this realm. And by a statute of 25 Ed .3 , That none shall be compelled to make any loans to the king, because such loans were against reason, and franchise of the land. And by another statute, thrt none shall be charged by any impositions called 'a benevolence. By which statutes, and cther the good statutes of this realm, your subjects have inherited the freedom that they shall not be compelled to contribute to any taxes, taillages aid, of other like charge not set by parliament.-And then they pray, that none bereafter be corupelled to make or yield any gift, loan, benevolence, tax, or such tike charge, without combion consent by act of parliament. And after five other thingg there mentioned, the conclusion in; ' all which they pray as their rights and liberties,' Unto which the king answers, 'Let rip't be 'done as is-desired.' Which is a fall and per-
fect starute, shewing in this point the liberty If the kingdom prayed, and allowed ; which was not done without the advice of the judges, whereof I was one, whose opinions were chein demauded, and resolved that the same did not give auy new liberty, but declared what the liberty of the subject was in this amongst others, that they shoold not be compelled to be contributory to any tax, taillage or aid, nor arfy like charge not set by parliainent. All which statotes, thnse of 25 Rdw. 1,34 Edw. 1, and 14 Fd. s , being in the negative and in force, I conclude that these writs to lay such a charye is ogainst the law, and so the assessment by colour thercof unlaw ful.
.Object.-Now whereas the precedent arguments have been that the kingdom being in danger, therefore these writs went forth for the making of ships, because there could not be so suddenly any parliament called, and the parliament is a slow body, and the kingrom may be lost whilst there is a consuitation, and the danger is conceived to le sery great, because that the writ \& Aug. sis mentions, that the pirates provided a great navy to infest the kingdom, and it is fit with speed to provide a remedy: and that the writ of Mittimus mentioneth, That 'salus reipublice periclitabatur:' and ne must believe these suggetions to be true, for the kiug's centificate by this writ is 'recordum superlativum,' as Mr. Solicitor and my thother Berkley termed it, and must leave it upon the king's conscience if it be not true, to lay such a charge upon in untrue suggestion. And the defendant also by his demurrer hath conufessed all the suggestions in the writ to be true; therefore it must be concluded the kingdom was in great danger, and present remedy must be had by making of these shijpa, and must be commanded by these writs, and not to stay for a parlianent; and my brother Crawley said, it may be if a parliament were called, thicy will not yield to the going forth of such writs, nlthough the kingdon was never so much in danger. And this charge in respect of the making of the defence is not within the intention of therse statutes; and if it had been expressly mentioned within a statute, that such a clarge should not be imposed, it had been a void sratute, and comtrary to the laws, that the kingdonn shoald not be defended.
llespons. 1.-To all these I answer, That the matter now in question is upon the writ 4 Aug. Whether that be legal or not; and the sugyestions therein be sonficient or not for the writ of Mittinns, mentioning that ssalus reipublice ' periclitabatur' st the day of the issuing of the writ \& Aug. which is a year and an half after the first writ, doth not help it; and this not notified to the sheriff and inhabitants of the county to make them the more careful, nad in a greater contempt if a ship were not prorided, bat it js only a notification to the barons of the Exchequer, that the same was the reason that the same issued forth.

Hespons. 2-The suggestions are not absolute, fhat any such danger was, of tach maint
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was prepared by pirates ; but only mentioned ' quia datum nobis intelligi,' that the pira had done such mischief.

- Respons. 3.-If such suggestions had been ubsolutely set down, yet we are not always bound nbsolutely to believe them; because many times untrue suggestions are put into writs and patents; and yet it doth not lie upon the king's couscience, neither doth the law impute any fact to the hing, that any such be: for the law doth always conceire hunourably of the king, that he cannot, nor will not, signify nny untruth under the Great Seal; but he is abused therein, and the law imputeth it to them that so misinformed the king, and thrust in such saggestions into the Writ or Patent. Apd therefore all patents grounded upon untrue suggestions, are accounted void.
Respons. 4.-That the demurrer confesseth nothing but that which is legally and well spt down; but if it be illegal the demurrer con-' fesseth it not, but is well offered for that cause.
Respons. 5.-If the kinglom were in danger, yet a charge must not be laid in general upon the subjects, witbout their consent in parliament ; for either the danger is near, and then the present provisiou naust be made by men's persons, and the prescut ships of the'kingdom, which the king may command frum all parts of the kingdom, as need shall require; but cannot command money out of men's purses, by distraining of their goodsy or imprisoning of their persons. But if the danger be further off, by reason of ony foreign coinbinations, (as it is conceived it may be here) that provision must be made of ships by all the kingdomí for defence; then, as Plilip Comunines, fol. 179, saith, That cloud is seen afar off, before that the tempest falla, especially by a foreign war; and such invasions cannot happen so soon, but that the king may call bis sages together, and by consent make provision for such defence.
So I say here, If there be time to make ships, or prepare ships at the charge of the counties, then is there time enough for his majesty, if he pleases to call his parliament, to charge his commons, by consent in parliament, and to have a subsidiary aid, as always hath been done in such cases. And they are not so long coming or meeting, but they will make provision for defence, it being for all their safetics: For it appeareth by Coke, lib. 9, fol. 1, in his epistle, that king Alfred made a law, That a parliament should be held twice every year, and oftner, if need required, in times of pence: So that it was then conceived, that it was necessary to have parliaments to redress inconveniences.-Also by a statute made 4 Ed . 3, cap. 14, it is enacted, a parliament shall be held once every year, and oftuer if need be. And also by a statute made 36 Ed . S, cap. 10 . it is enacted, for the redress of mischiefs and grievances that daily happen, a parliament shall be bolden every year, as another time was ordained by a statute, which, I think, referreth to 4 Ed. S. Also it appeareth by the speed that was in the parlizment held in the third year of
/ his majesty's reign, when fiver subsidies were granted, two of them to be paid within few days afier the sesslon of parliament ended; and therefore mighos, as this case is, been ordered and provided for by parliament within 7 months, as the time was befieen the teste of the writ, and the time prefixed for ships to be prepared and sent.

Object.-And whereas it is objected, That perhaps the parliament would not have consented, and so the kingdom might have been lost.
Respons.-It is answered, That it is not to be presumed, that the parlioment would deny to do that which is fit for the sufety and defence of the kingdom, their own estates and lives being in danger, if the kingdom were not sufficiently defended: For it is in rule, 'Nihil ' iniquiarn est prasumend' in lege.' So of the high court of parliament, That they would not deny that which is fitting. But 1 confess, 1 think that if it had been moved in parliament, they would nevgr have consented to these writs, such never having been awarded before sinco the Conquest. And if they had consented, they nould have taken a rourse how the same should have been made, with the most conveniency, and not to leave it to a sheriff to tax them how he would.
Object. To that which hath been said, That this charge is not within the statute, nad that a statute to inhibit such a charge, for defence were void,
Respons.-I answer, that it is true, That if a statute were, thiat the king should not defend the kingdom, it were void, bejng against law und reason. Rut a statute that money shall not be charged or levyed, nor that men shall be clarged to make or prepare ships at their own charges, without common consent "in parhasneut, I conceive were a yood law, and agree able to law and reason. And the king may by parliament, restrain humself from laying such a charge, hut by consent in padinment. And then the king being a just and pious king, as ever goverued the kingdom, which we that serve in his courts of justice have daily experience of, would not assent uuto, or suffer any such charge, if he were truly informed the imposing of this charge Avere aguinst any one lnw of his kingdom, as this is against so'nany; but would'sey, as it is said of the statute made 35 Ed, 1. That the pope should not be permitted to present to benefices; That be was bound by his oath io see that, and other laws in force, and not repealen, to be perforned: That he would not suffer such charges to be laid, contraty to the laws and ssatutes of his renlm: And "would do as the late famous queen Eliz. did, when baving required a charge upon divera of her subjects, by particular letters from the lords of hef council, of several sums of money for present fielp towards ber wars in Ireland, hearing that one of her judges, being convented before her lords for the payment of it, thereby discouraging othern to pay it, answered it was ngainst the laws, that the same should be imposed, there being an express atatate agalast it,
which he being a jodge, was bound by his oeth to signify; he being; as much as in him was, to be a conservator of the queen's oath in that behalf. The queen, I say, was very angry that such an imposition had been lnid ugginst law, and commanded it should be stopped from farther gathering; and to some that had paid their moneys, the sume was restored. And Herefore the principal and only fault in the charging of his subjecis by these writs, if they be unlawful, as I conceive they are, is in thise that devised them, and informed him that they were lawful, and sucla as his progenitors had from time to time used to send forth; and in his judges who have afifirmed it to be lawiul: Thereffure upon this point I conclude, That this charge, by this writ, is illegal ; and is no sulticient canse to charge the deiendaut.
Object. - Whereas it hath been much urged and argued by Mr. Sollicitor, and Mr. Attorney, Thut this writ is warrauted by the king's prem.gative end power-royul to send fyth such writs for defence and safety of the king dom in time of dunger.
To this I naswer, That I do not conccive there is any such prerogative ; for if it were a prerogative, I should not offer to spenk ngninst it: for it is part of our uaths, that are judges, to maintain the king's prerogative to the best of our skill, and not to suffer the same to be dimoished. But if it be as I have nrgued, That is against the coumon law, and ngainst so nany statutes, that the satjects should be inforced to sustain, or to contribute to any charge, without the special consent, or coummon assent in parlinment, then there is no such prerogntive; for ulatsoever is sloue to the hurt or wrong of the subjects, and against the laws of the lana; the law imputeth that honour and justice to the king, whose diroue is establisled by justice, that it is accounted int done by the king, but by some untrye and unjust informatiolls. This nppeareth' by the nuthorities of our books; for Bracton, lib. 3, fol. 107, who is an ancient writer in obr law, said ' Nitila alind ' potest rex in terris, cum sit Dei minister et vi'carius, quam de jure potest;' and there a little after, ' Itnq; potestas juris suarest, et non in-- juria, cum sit author juris, non debet inde 'injuriam nasci occasio, unde jura nascuntur.' Sir Edw. Coke, in the 1 th book of his Reports, in the case of Magialen College, where the question was, whether•queen Elizabeth having taken a long lease of a college, being conceived to be against the statute' 1 S Eliz. it was sought to be maintained by ber prerogative, but fesolved it could not, it being against a statute, by which she was bound, though not named, and there fol. 78 , it is said, 4 hoc solum rex non 'potest ficere, quod non potest injuste ngere.' Plowden's Comment. fol. 246, 247, ip the lord Berkley's cuse it is said, That the prengative of the king cannot do wrong, and his prerogative cannot be any warrant to do any wrong to any. Plowden's Comment. fol. 487, in. Mitchell's casee, it is said by justice Harper, although the common law doth allow many prerogatives
to fhe king, yet it doth not allow any, that be shall hurt or wrong any by his prerogative. 21 Ed. 3, fol. 47 , the earl of Kent's case, it is said, That if tie kink, under his great seal, do make any grat to the hurt of any other, be shall repenl and avoid it, jure regis ; for the king is docounted to be abused byuitrue suggescions, when he is drawn to do auy wrong to the hurt of any other; much more I say, when he is drawn to do any thing to the hurt of hia subjects in general. Sir Ed. Cote, lib. 11, fol. 86, in Darcie's case, it is seid, That every grant of the king hath this conditinn annexed unto it, ' tacite aut expresse, ' ita quod patria per donatiunem illam magio 'solito non oneretur, seu gravetur.' The book called Doctor and Student, fol. 8, setting down, That the law doth vest lie absolute property of every man's goods in him, and that they caunot be taken fiom lim but by bis consent, saith, That is the reason that if they he taken frmm him, the party shall answer the foll value thereof in damages. And sure 1 cunceive, that the party that doth this wrong to another, shall besides the damages to the party, be inprisoned, and pay a fine to the king; which, in the King': Bench, is the tenth part of as much as he payeth to the party. So then, if the king will punish the wrong of taking of goods, without consent, hetween party and party; much more will be not by nuy prerogative take away nay mau's good, without his consent, particular or general.
So I conclude, that I conceive, that there is not nny such prerogntive tos award buch writs to commavid men to sustain such charge, as to be contributory to it ; and to be distrained and imprismed for not payment thereof.-Also I conceive, That this is not an act of royal poser; for if it be illegal to impose such a charge, then it is not accounted ns a matter of royal power, but as a matter done upon an untrue sucgstion, and a matter of wrong done : and wrong is not imputed to the king, for he can do no wrong; but it is imputed to them that advised him to this course.
Royal power, 1 account, is to be used in cases of necessity, and inmininent dauger, when ordinary courses will not arail; for it is a rule, 'Non occurrendum est ad extrnorlinarin, ' quando fieri potest per ordinaria;' as in cases of rebellion, sudden invasion, and some other cases, where martial law may be used, nnd may not stay for legal proceedings. But in a time if peace, and no extreme necessity, legal courses must be used, and not royal power. Therefore, whereas in the statutc of $\$ 1$ Hen. 8 , cap. 8 , which was made upon the suppression of abbeys, when rebellions were begun to be stirred, it is recited, That sudden occasions happening, which do require speedy remedies, and for lack of a statute, the king was enforred to use royal power; it was enacted for the rear sons thercin mentioned, Thai the kiug, by the advice of his counsel therein nnmed, two bishops, two chief jastices, ant divers others, or the maxjor part of them, by his proclamation, may make ordinance for punisbing of offencet,
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and lay penalties, which should have the frce of a law, with a promise that thereby no min'? life, lands or goods, should be touched or in peached, so that therein royal power was fortified by a statute: yet that statute tookccart that no man's life, laads or goods, should be taken or prejudiced; and that statute was thought inconvenient, and therefore the sams by a statute of 1 Ed .6 , was repealed.-Sc Bracton, lib, \&, cap.'24, fol. 55. And the same is cited in Coke, lib. 7, fol. 11, in Calvin: Cose, ${ }^{4}$ Regis corona est facere justitiam et ju. dicium, et tenere pacem; sine quibus corone © consistera non potest, nec tenere.'-Coke lib. 7, fol. 5, in Calvin's Case, cited out of Fortescue, 'Rex ad tutelam corporum et bonprum ' erectus est;' which being so, he cannot take away mens goods, or charge them without thei consent, by any prerogntive or royal power.
Also there can be no such necessity, or dan ger conceived, that may cause these writs to be awarded to all counties of England, to prepare ships at sucb a charge, and with such men and ammunition, without tonsent in parliament for the laws have provided means for defenc in times of dauger, without taking this course for that the king hath power to command all or any 'persons of his kingdom, to attend witt arms at the sen-coasts, to defend the coasts, or eny other parts of the kingilum; and also by his officers, to make stay or arrest, sll or any the ships of merchanits, and uthers having ships or as many as he pleaseth to go with his navy to any parts of his kingdoun, tor defence thereof $;$ and to attend those to whom he appointed the guard of the seas, or the sea-coasts, at suck times and places as they should appoint. And this has been always taken and conceived to be sufficient for defence, against any prince whatsoarer ; and yet the same was in times, when the navy of England was not so strong, as now by the blessing of God and the good providence of bis majesty it is.-That this course was then so taken, appeareth by divers records, viz. 23 Ed. 1, m. 4, the Recort reciteth, That the French king had prepared a great nary upon the sea, and purposed to invade the kingdom, ' et linguam Anglicanaun de terra delere; and thereupon the king commanded all the ships, and men with arms, to be in readiness to defend the kingdom.

Rut. Scot. 10 Ed. 3, m. 16, reciteth, That certain galleys in parts beyond the seas, were prepared "with provisions of men, and arms, and other necessaries of war, and ready to invade the land: Command wus, that divers ships thould be in readivess to defend the same; and the ships of the ports of Ireland to be sent into England to help to defend the kingiom.
.8 cot. $10 \mathrm{Ed} .8, \mathrm{~m} .92$. A mrit was to the bniliff of South Wales, (reciting, That the Scots and dipers othera confederating together, prepare thanselves to arms und shipa in a great number, and intend to invade the kungdom) to command them to have one ship ready upon thes sea to dofead their coasts. Tha like writ was then to North Weles.

Alm' 12 Ed. 3, m. 10. A writ to the mayor of Loadon: 'Quia hostes nostri in galleis cum multitudine non modica congregati in diversis ' partilus regni hostiliter ingressi' sunt, et civi' tatem predict' celeritor si possunt invadere 'proponunt.'. The king commandeth them to shut up the city towards the water, and to pus all their men in arms readyto defend, \&c.
Alm' 13 Ed. S, m. 13. A writ to the bailiff of Great Yarmouth: 'Quia pro certe didici' mus quod hostes nostri Franc' et adharentes 'eisd' galleas et naves guerrinas in copiosa - multitudme in partibus exteris congregarunt, ${ }^{\prime}$ et lis homines ad arma parari faciunt, et pro' ponunt se movere versus regnom nostruan et 'navig' regui'nostri et portus prope ware si'tuat' pro viribus destruere, et id' regnum inva'dere, \&cc.' command the same town to prepare four shipe with 140 men, \&cc.-At the same time writs went forth to twenty other towns upon the sea-cuasts, Franc, 26 Ed .3 , m. 5. Wrif to the earl of Huntingdon and others, 'Quaa adversarii nostri Francie nos et regnum uostrun invadere machinantes, magnum navigium praparari fecer' et nrmari, ne dum ad regnom nostrum Angliae subito attrahead' sed ad nos et dominium nostrum et totam nationen Anglicanam pro viribus snbvertend' \&c.' cmmmanding thèm to guard all the sea-coasts of Kent, and to array all inen to be ready with arms to delend the sen-cousts.
5 IIen. 4, m. 28. A comuission is to Thomas Morley and others: 'Quod cum inimici ' nostri Francia Britan' Scot' et nl' sibi adharentes inter se obligati magua potentia armat' super mare in astat. prox' futur' ordinavelunt reguum nostr' Angliæ invadere, \&c.' commanding them to array men with arms to defend, \&c.
4 Hen, 8, par. 2. The king by proclaunation to the county \&f Kent, sheweth, that it is come to his kuowledge of certain, that his ancient enemy, the French king, hath, prepared and put in readiness a great and strong navy, furnished with men of war, to invade the hingdom of England; the king appoints the lord of Abergavenny and others, to put men in array, and to be ready todefend that county.
Anno 1588, when the great invasion was by the navy, terned The Invincible Navy, which was foreseen long before, this course of preparing ships by every county of the kingdom was not appointed; yet in all these times, when there appeared so great danger of invasion, there never went any such writ into any of the colanties of England, to provide ships: But the nyry of Eogland, and army of England, was atwafe accounted sufficient for the defence of the kingdom.

So I conclude this point, that I conceive this course capnot be taken by any prerogative or royal power, nor any allegation of necessity or danger.

For the fourth point, I conceive, that if it were.legat to lay such charge upon maritimeparts; yet to charge any inland county with aking of ahipt, and furnahing them with more
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mariners and soldiers at their charges, which are far remote from the seu, is not legal, nor warranted by any former precedent; for it commandeth an unreasonable and impossible thing by them to be done: and then a writ, counmanding such a thing as is unreasonoble and irapossible for the parties of themselves to perfurm, without help of other counties, is always illegal; for it is a rule, that *Lex non cogit ad impossi' bilia:' If one by covenant bind himself to do n thing ippossible, the covennnt is void. -This appeareth by the book case 40 Ed .5 , fol. 6 , where the case is expressly, that if a man do covenant to do a thing impossible, the envenamt is void, and the deed is void in that respect: also the book, m. 2 Ed. 4, fol. 2 . If a fcoffinent be made upon condtion to be roid, if the feoffee did not a thing which is impossible, the feoflinent is good, and the condition void: for it was the fault of the feoffer to annex such a condition. And this appeareth by the case of mn arbitrement. If the arbigrator award, that one shall enter into bond, with such'n one as his surety, to pay a sum of money, or to do any other nct, it is void, as to the finding of a sorety nt the least; for it is not in his power to compel him to be his surety; therefore the law necounteth it unreasonable, and so void. And this nppeareth by the book-case $17 \mathrm{Ed} 4,$. fo. 5 , where it is so resolved.
So this writ commanding the sheriff and inhabitants of an iuland county to find a ship with masters and marmers; whereas dhere are not nuy shipwrights that have skill to make slips, nor any masters or mariners ever there to guide a ship, for they are still conversant about matters of the plough, and feeding entele, and lusbandry, apd are uriuned up by musters to skill of arms to defend the country, but not with sea affairs; for must of the county neyer saw a ship, nor know what belongs to musters or mariffers; and the county is not lopund to seek out of the county for suchomen; and perliaps if they should, they cannot tell where to have them: therefore, when such writs to inland towns have teen awarded to find ships with masters and mariners, it being conceived by information that they were martime towns, and had ships and mariners dwelling with them; the truth thereof being made nppear to the contrary, they have teen discharged, as appears by a record, 13 Ed. S, part 2. m. 12, where a writ weot to the anmiral of the fleet : Upon complaint to the king by the men of Bodmin in the ccunty of Cornwall, that they were unjustly charged to fing a ship with masters and mariners wherens that town was no port town; nor adjoining to she sen, but far within the land, nor efer had ships lying there, nor mariners, nor seamen, nor ever used to find any such for sea service, and that their major and officers were imprisoged for not finding a ship; thereupon the king appointed to have it enquired whether their allegnitions were true, and if it were true, signified that he would not have them be unjustly, charged, bot that they should be discharged thereof. Which sleweth, that it was then accounted unjurat to lay
sucp a charge upon at town that was an indind town, and had no mariner inhabiting in it; much more when such a charge is laid upop art inland county, which is muchfaritier remote from the sea, and cannot perform by themselves that which the writ conatnanded.

Object.-But this record being objected by the defendant's counsel, Mr, Solicitor gave antswer, that the same was because the adaniral of his own authority had charged them, which was not according to his commission; for he was only to charge the port towns and sea towns: but that the same unay not be done by the king's writ, the record doth not prove.
lespons.-But to this I auswer, that I concrive it is all one when such a charge is laid upon a town by writ, which is an inlond town, for so it appeareth by another record of the same year, viz. 13 Ed. 3, part 1, m. 14, where a writ was directed to the ndairal of the fleet, " Ab ore Thamesis versus partes occidentales; reciting, that where the king by bis writ to the town of Chichester, commanded the mayor and commonalty there, that'they should make' unam ' navem et duos escularios de guerra parari,' with mariners and men at arms, to be at Portsmouth such a day, to go with the king's ships : and that they had complained that they had not, nor ever had any ships arriving in that town ${ }_{4}$ nor had any seamen or marisers dwelling there; and that it appeared to the king, by inquisition of a jury returned into his Chancery, this their allegation to be true; therefore, because the king wonld not have them indebit? gravari, (for so be the words of the record) the king commandeth the admiral that they shall not be troabled nor distrained for not performance of such service. Whe reby it appeareth, that if they being within a few males of the sea, should not be charged to find such a ship, much lese more inland counties that are farther remote from the scas, are justly to he charged with finding ships and mariners. Therefore I conclade this point, that I conceive this writ ini that respect is not legal, nor warranted by any former precedent.

The fifth and great point hath been, and indeed the chief argurtent hath been, a multitude of records and precedents, which have been citer, that shoold warrant these writs; and that the king hath done nothing but what his former progenitora have done, and bave law; fully dnne; and that he doth now but more sugorum, and that which always in ancient tiunes hath been done and-allowed, and therefore ought to be done.-I confess this allogetion minch troubled me, when I heard these records cited, and so learnedly and earnestly pressed by Mr. Solicitor, and after by Mr. Attorney, to he so clear, that they might not. be gainsaid: but thet they prayed a' clear prerogntive, or at least a roynl power, that the king might do so, especinily wher tiy 'brothet Weston, and my brother Berkley (who have teen the records) pressed some of them, and relied upon them for the reasons of their junty. mentr: I say, I was muctr doubtfal theretapoak
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until I had perused all these records sent, me by the king's counsel, and sutisfied my julgment therein.

But now I answer, that if there were any such precerdent (as I shall shew there was not one shewed to me) to prove this writ to be usual, yet it were not material; for now we are not to argue what hath been done de facto, for many thung have been done, which were never allowed; but our question is, what hath been done, and may be, de jure. And then, us it is said in Coke, lib. 4, fol. 13, in Witton's Case, it is said 'Multituddo errantium non parit errori patrocinium :' and lib. 4, fol. 94 , in Slade's Case; multitude of precedents, unless they be confirmed by judicial proceedings, in courts of recoril, are not to be regarded; and none of these were ever contirmed by judicial record, but complained of.

But to give a more clear answer unto them, I say that in my opinion, upon view and scrious reading of all the records that have been sent me on the king's' part; for I have read them all over verbatim, und I presume they sent all they conceived to be material, and I have taken nutes of every one of them, and diligently considered of them, I conceive that there is not any pricedent or record of any such writ sent to any sheriff of any inland county to command the making of ships at the charge of the county; but this is the first precedent that ever was since the Conquest that is produced in this kinid.
But it is true, that before 25 Ed .1 , there have been souse writs to maritime fowns and ports, and other towns, as London, \& \&c. where they have had ships and mariners, "to provide and prepare ships, and to send them to such places as the king pleased to appoint, upon any just cause of fear of uny danger, for the defence of the sea and kingdom: and great reason, that they having ships and masters of ahips and mariners, should be at the king's command, to bring all or as many as he pleaseth for the defence of the sea and kingdum, being those that had the most benefit of the seas, and likely to have the greatest loss if the sea and coast were not daily guarded; and those were appointed most connmonly to be at the king's charge, butt sonetimes upon necessity they were appointed to be at the charges of the towns and ports adjoining: which I think was the true cause of the complaint in parliament in $25 \mathrm{EC}$..1 , and of the making that stas tute for the staying. of that coorse; for there is no record of any such writs afterwards in Edward 1's time, after that statute to maritime towns, to prepare or send ships at the charge of the towns.

But in the time of Ed. 3, then the war being between him and the French king in annis 10, 11, 12, et 13 of that king, where the plost wriss awarded to maritime towns, to end ships at their oharges sufficiently farnished; and those I think wers the principal cause of the making of the statute of 14 Ed .3 , cap. 1 . And after that statate no such writs, nor any
commissions for that purpose were awarded to any maritime towns, or inland towns, for the making of shipe, but one; which record was much pressed by Mc, Attorney, and atterwardo by my brother Weston, and my hrother Berkley, to prove, that this course was, and miybt be practised ufter the statute of $14 \mathrm{Ed}$.3 , for sending forth such wits, ${ }^{\text {* }}$ and allowed; but that record is fully satisfied, for it was grounded upon an ordinance of parliament in 1 Rich. 2, m .52 , that all sutient citics, burroughs, and towny, that would then, should have their charters confrised without any clarge of fine, save only to make a ship of war for defence of the realin : so this was not compulsory to any, but voluntary to thoses that would have their liberties coutirnedt And afterwards, in 1 Hen. 4, commissions were awarded for making such vessels of war; but those issuing forth without any ordinauce of parliament, were complained of iu puliament 2 IIen. 4, and no such writs issued forth ip any age, to any maritime towns, to make ships, or prepare slips at their owa charge for the king's service, until these late writs.
This general answer I give to all the records; and now I shall take a short view of all the records that have been cited and sent to me, and leare them to the juigment of my lords nad others, if any of them prove these writs usaal and legal. - .
6 Joh. m. 1. 3 Joh, m. 3, 14 Jch. m. 2, 17 Joh. m. 7. Three of these ure to arrest and make stay of ships, that they should not go out of the kinglom, liut to "lie ready for the king's service; and the other was to bring shipy of particular towns to the mouth of the Thanes, for the kiug's service.
.19 Joh. m. 4. A commission to guard the seas to Joh. dp Marlul, and to the sheriff of the county of Liacoln, and to all others to attend his commands. .

15 Joh. Writ to the barots of the cinqueports, und divers other towns, to have their ships ready for the hing's service.

14 Hen. S, m. 14, 14 IIen. 3, m. 5, A writ to the bailiff of Portsmouth, to prepnre one galley. 'A commission to the sheriff of Rochester, and another to the sheriff of Kent, to cause all sien to be in arms in that county, and to assess them what arms key should find.

48 Hen. $3, \mathrm{~m} .4$. A 'writ to the sheriff of Norfolk, compranding him to cause them appointed to attend all the coasts in that county, who having served 40 days intended to depart, that they should stay eight daja longer by reas $\delta \mathrm{n}$ of the danger, and longer, if neel required. The like were sent to the sheriffs of Suffolk and Essex.
$48 \mathrm{Hen}, 3, \mathrm{~m} .2$. A writ to the mayor of Bedford, commanding him to provide fior tho expences of them that were sent from thence for the guarding of the seas; yet it is but for eight days more after the date of the urit.
48 Hen. $5, \mathrm{~m}$. S. A writ to the men of Esesoz, Norfolk, and Suffolk, appointed to ato
tend for the guarding of the sen-coasts, reciting, that the king had appointed T. de M. © Custod "maris et part' maritim" within their counties, commanding them to assist him, and to perform therein what he required.

48 Hen. 3, m. 7. . A writ to the sheriff of Cambridge and Huntingdon, to command all men of those counties, able to bear arms, to come to the king to london.

25 Ed. 1, m. 5. A writ to those of Essex, Norfolk, and Suffolk, reciting, that such persons were appointed ' ad castod' maritim' in those parts, coinmanding them to attend them. Another to the sheriffs of Norfolk and Suffolk, reciting, that certail constables were appointed to assess men at arms, sufficignt for the guarding of the sea-coasts, commauding them to distruin and compel them assessed to go.
$24 \mathrm{Ed}$. 1, m. 17. Writs to the sheriffs of Lincoln, York, and Northumberland, reciting, that he had commanded ' $\Lambda$. de B.ad congregand' - et capiend' centum paves,' between Leigh and Berwick, 'et ad homines potentes in cisd' pouend', commanding them to assist hin therein.

24 Ed. 1, rot. 69. A writ out of the Exchequer to Adan de Guerdo 'et aliis gardianis' of the sea-consts in the county of Southampton, to distrain the abbot of Reading, to find horses, which he was assessed at for that service.

24 Ed. $1, \mathrm{~m} .16$. Writs to nill archbishops, bishops, earls, \&cc. in the coonties of Someryet, Devon. and Cornwall, to autcad with their borsemen und footinen, for defence of the seacoasts in those parts, when they shall be required by the guardian of those coasts.

24 Ed. 1, in. 71. A wht out of the Exchequer, directed to all archlishops, bishops, earls, Kcc. in the county of Norfilk, reciting, that Peter de Rutlin was appuinted 'ndd custodiend' 'partium maritimar' illarum,' conmanding them to assist him.

24 Ed .1 , rut.98. A writ out of the Eschequer to the sheeiff of Berks, reciting, that the king was informed by Alam de Griden, guardian of the sea-coasis in the county of Southampton, that those men ip the county of Berks, who were assigned to come to the defending of the sea-coasts in those parts, came not as they were warned, commauding to disthain them, and compel them to come and to do the service.-Thie like writs were then awarded to the sheriffs of IYiles and Southaurpton, \&sc.

24 Ed. 1, rot. 81. A writ to the bailiffs of Great Yarmouth, reciting, that the king was informed, that certain ii Flanders and Frapte, in a great nultitude, uppareled like fishermen, intended to insnde their town, warning them to gather their ships together, and all their arms, to defend thenselves against anch an attempt.

24 Ed. 1, inter com'. A writ to nll sheriffs and bailift \&ce. reciting, that he had appointed some therein named, "ad congregand' numterum ' navium et galliurum majorum, \&c,' command-
ing the sheriffs in their several counties to be asskting to them therein.
$24 \mathrm{Ed} .1, \mathrm{~m} .9$. A writ of Supersedeas to the guardian of the seas in the county of Southampton, to discharge Hugh de Plessis to find arms for his lands in that county, for guarding of the seas, because he was in service with the king.
Nota, All these Records are for arrays, and congregating ships, bat none to make or prepare ships at the charges of the counties.
24 Ed. 1, m. 26: A writ to the sheriff of Fssex to discharge for the winter time those that stay at the sea-coast, with their arms to defepd the coast ; but commanding them to be in a readiness when they should be again commanded. The like writs were theu awarded to divers sheriffs of maritime counties to the same purpose.
' 25 Ed. 1, m. 19. A writ to the sherif of Lancaster, reciting, that whereas the king had formerly commanded him to go to all the ports and towns where shipy were, commanding the bailifs of the ports to bnve all the ships of burden of 40 tons at Winchelsea, by such a day; now commandeth the sheriff to see them made ready, and sent thither accordingly.
Ibid. m. 13. The like writs directed to the sherifis of Lincoln, York, Northumberland, and Cumberlane.
lbid. in, 14. The like strits directed to nineteen other purts and towns in other counties.
$21 \mathrm{EL} .1, \mathrm{~m} .20$. A commission to send away men at arms in the county of Westinord land,

21 Ed. 1, rot. 77. In the exchequer, shewed by the defendant's counsel, writs weat to several maritime towns upon the sca-coasts, and other towns where ships were usually made, to make ships and gallies; and that the king will allow and pay for them, when he knoweth the charge thereof.

Pat. 9 Ed. 2, part 2. A writ to all men in the torns upon the sea-coasts, and ports of the sea, between Southampton and Falmouth, reciting, that the king had appointed John de Norton to make provision for a navy in those towns and ports, "at their cbarges, be commandeth them to perform what he in that behalf shall require.

Claus. 20 Ed. 2, m. 8. A writ to the bailiff of Yarmouth, reciting, that whercy the king Ind commanded all the ships of the barden of fifty tons, from the Thames mouth towards the West parts, to be at Portsmouth such a day, \&cc. and they had sent two ships: that the masters and mariners complained, that they could not serve without wages, and therefore appointed them to send them wages.
$20 \mathrm{Ed.2}, \mathrm{~m} .10$. A writ to the bailiffs of ${ }^{\circ}$ Yarmonth, commanding them to send all their ships of "the burdea of thirty tons and aboye, to Orewell in Saffolk, witp double tacking victuals, and other thinge necemary for 'op' month.
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The like writg at the same time to other towns, to the number of hin and thirty.
$20 \mathrm{Ed} .2, \mathrm{~m} .10$. 4 writ to the mayor of Londen, to provide three ships with men and amnunition to go with nine ships of Kent to guard the sea-coasts.

15 Ed. $\stackrel{2}{2}, \mathrm{~m} .15$. A writ to the sheriff of Norfill, coumanding him to warn all barous, baunerets, kuights, and uthers of that county, ti) altend the king at Coventry, at sucb a day to no with the king.

15 E.d. 2, m. 15. Writs to the sheriffs of Nurfolk and Suffolk, cominanding them to arrest all barons, bannerets, knights and esquires, who were commanded to nttend the king at Coventry, such a day thercih vamed, and caine not, to be before the king and his council to answer it.
The record saith, like writs were then awarded to divers shesiffs of other counties.
$16 \mathrm{Ed} .2, \mathrm{~m} .15$. A commission to array all persons between the ages of sixteen and sixty, with arms convenient, to come to the king, when they shall be required.
$19 \mathrm{Ed} .2, \mathrm{~m}, 6$. $\Lambda$ writ to the archbishop of Canterbury, commanding him to array all his servants and families, to be ready to defend the kingdom, if any iurasion should be.

The like writs at that time to all the bishops.
2 Ed. 3, m. 92. A writ to the mayor, and bailiff of Sonthamptgn, commanding them to cause all their ships of the burden of forty tone, and above, to be furnished with men of arms, und netuals, ready to defend the land, if any invation shall happen.

Scut. 7 Fil. ©, m. 19. A comnuission to IIugh Courtacy, to guard the seas in the counties of Devon and Cornwall, and commanding all others to assist him.
$10 \mathrm{Ed}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{m} .25$. The like commission to IIugh Courtncy, for guarding the seas in the same counties.

Ibid. A writ to Bartholomen de Insula, for custody of the sea-coast in the county if Southempton ; and therein is a command to John Tichiborne, and others for the connty of South-

- ampton, and to Will. de Parshire, and others for the crunty of Berks; and to Joh. Mareditt, and others, for the ouunty of Wilts, to array men with aros; and to have them in readiness to defend the coasts of Southampton.

Scoi. 10 Ed. 3, m. 2. $A$ wit to Will. Clinton, gnardian of the Cinque ports and others, to turvey all tie slips of the Cinque ports, and ollier ports from the invuth of the Thames to Portmouth, and to cause them to be furmished with arms and sietuals for 13 weeks, from the time thi $y$,hall go from Porismouth.

Scot. $10 \mathrm{Ed} .3, \mathrm{~m} .2$. A writ to the manyor of Winchelses, to cause ships appointed for that town to le furni-hed with men and arms, and victuals, and other necessaries for 13 weeks.

Scot. 10 Ed. 3, m. 16. A writ to the adminal of the fieet from the mouth of the 'Thanes ts the west parts, to keep upun the seas the ships of che Cinque-ports, and other ships arrested to
defend the kingdoun against attempt of any it-vasion.-The like writ was then to the admiral of the fleet, from the mouth of the Thames to the north parts, with the like command to hold the ships together upon the sea.

Scot. 10 Edv. 3, 16. A writ commanding the ships of the ports of Ireland to be sent bither to guard the scas here.
$10 \mathrm{Ed} .3, \mathrm{~m}$ 12. A writ to the bailjf of Yarmouth, to cause the men of that town to contribute to the charges of the ships and men, and victuals, sent from thence for the defence of the kiugdom.

Scut 10 Ediw, 5, m. 22. A writ to all the bnilifis of hberties, and men of South-Wales, to have one ship riding upon the seas for delence of those parts.-The like to the men of NorthWales,

Scot. 10 Edw. 3, m. 21. A writ to the arrayers of men fur the county of Berks, to compel thein of that courty, assigned and assessed for the keeping of the spa-conast in the county of Southamptong to go to l'ortsmouth by at day thereil appointed.

Alın' 12 Ed. 3, m. 12. A commission reciting, that the king had appointed all the ships from the mouth of the Thames northwards, to be arrested, and to cause them to be furnishied with ammunition, men and victuals, and to be brought to Yarmouth; and that the men of Lyno refused to contribute to the expence of the charge of the men sent in the slip from that town, and the furnishing of that shap; and therefore combiands the commirsioners therein named, to assess them that refuse to contribute and distrain them.

Aln' 12 Edy. 3, m. 13. The like to rompel the men of Bardeseyto contribute fur the expences of the men of that town.

Claus. 12 Edw. 3, m. 17. The like to monpel the men of the counties of Surrey nud Sussex, to contribute to the expences of the men of those counties, that did jittend for the guarding of the sca-coasts in those parts.
Vasc. 12 Eriw, 3, m. B. A writ to nill archbishops, bishops, \&c. and to the slicriff of Kent, end the barons of the Cinque-Ports, and all others in that county, commanding them to be essisting to J. Ve Cobham, to whom the custody of the sea in those parts is committed; and to defend those coasts against any foreign mvasion that shall bappen.
Alin' 12 Elvr. 3, in. 10. ' A writ to the mayor of London, reciting the danger of invusion, and c.mmanding to shut up the gates at the waterside, if the enemies approach.
Nlm' 13 E.dw. 3, m. 12. $\Lambda$ wit to the bailif of Yarmouth, reciting that he had by his writ commaided four ships of war of that town to be made ready with men, ammunition, and victuals for three months, at the charges of the town, to Lp b brought to Orewell, and that they failed to come at the day, to the great peril of the land; therefore commandeth the bailiff to compel them at another day therein prefixed, to be at the same place.-There it is set down, that the like writs were awarded to the bailiff
of seventeen other towns, for sending their ships, being charged some of them for one ship, and some for two ships.
Claus. 13 Edw. 3, m. 38. A Superserleas for the abbot of Rausey, for being chargel with arms for guarding the coasts in Norfolk, for his Jands in Norfölk, hecause be was hy cornmand attending with alb his forces in the comaty of IInutingdon, for the safety of these parts.

Claus. 13 Edw. 3, in. 14. A writ ot sugersedeas to the arrayers of anns in the connty of Oxon, to discharge John Maudits to serve there, because he served in Wilts.

Claus, 13 Fd. 3, in. 14. A writ to the arrayers of arms in the county of Wilts, which is only concermung the payment of soldicrs wages, who then attended to guard the sca-coasts.
These being all the records shewed me, it appeareth that there were no writs issuing yut in those tines to any sherifts of inkand counties, or maritime counties, to make or prepare ships upon any occasion whatsocveg but only to maritime towns, to send their shiss, or prepare therr ships, at their own elarges.

The records shewed mee since 11 Ed. 3, do not slew any writs to be awarded to any mariture town to prepare ships at the charge of the towns, except the records of 1 Rich. 2, and 1 Hen. 4, which I have before answered; and they since that tume shewed unto me, except such as I have mentioned in my argument, are these:

Scot. 10 Edw, 3, m. 14. A commission to Nicholas de Curtope, to array men to resist the Scots.

Ibid. A writ to the magor of York, to array all their men to be ready when they shall be required

20 Edw. 3, m. 15. A commission concerning the arrays of men in the comaties of Derby and Nottingham, and to punish them that come not when they ate warned.
Rot' Pranc' 21 Vd. 3, m. 31. A writ to the arrayers of men in the county of Southampton, to disclarge the abbnt of Battel, for finding arms for tlefence of the sea-coasts there.
Franc' 25 Ed. 3, m. 20. A, commission to Jobn Bodingham, for the custody of the port and maritime parts in Cornwall, and to array all men to be in readiness. There is set down, that the like commigsion is to others in several other counties.
Franc' 26 Edw. 3, m. 5. A commission to the earl of Huntingdon and others, to have the custody of the ports in Kent, and to array men, and to set up beacons; which is the first I obscrve of this kind.

Franc' 46 Ed. 3, m. s4. The 'like connmissioa then to several other persons, to arroy snen in several counties, as Warwick, Oson, Berks, and Bucks.

A writ to the archbishop of Canterbury, rociting the danger of invasion by the French, to hart the church and kingdom, commanding him to arrny all his clergy in his diocels, and to be ready to go with the king's forces, \&cc.-

The like writs to all other bishops in the kingdqti.

Pranc' 50. Ediv. 3, m. 47. $\Lambda$ writ to the arrayers of men in the county of Norfolk, and to the sheriff of Norfolk, commanding them to command all great men, and others that have mansions upon or near the sen-coasts, to resort to them with all their families, for the defenca of the coasts.-Thu like to the arrayers and sherills of ten other mantime coonties.
Scot. 99 Fd. 3, in. 13. A commission to the bishop of Durbam and others, to array men in Durhain, Camberland, and Northumberland, to resist the Scots.

Franc' 40 Edw. S, m. 31. A writ to William Zouch and others, to remove with all their fanflies to their houses upon the sea-coasts.
Nota, That all the records nre foriarraying men, and none for preparing ships.
1 Rich. 2, m. 7. A writ to the bailifts of Scarborough, because their, town was upon the coasts of the sea, and in danger of invasion, carefully to look to the custody thereof.
Eod' rot'm. 12. A writ to the mayor and bailiffs of Oxford, to repair the walls of the town, and to compel those that lad lauds there, to contribute to thie expeaces thercof.
This record hath been much urged by Mr. Solicitor and Mr. Attorney, that if the king bave such a power to command the walls of a town to bè repaired, muchi mure to command ships to be nade, which are the walls of the sea, and consequently the walls of the kingdom.

But this is clearly answered; for that it is but a private town, and that which hath been formerly so walled, and for defence and safety of the town; and none were to be charged but those that had benefit thereby; and so it proveth nothing to the case in question.

Eod' rot. m. 42. One writ to the sheriff of Kent, and another to the sheriff of Essex, commanding thein to perform an ordinance made by the king and his council, for setting up of beacons, and keeping watch about thein.

Scot. 7 Ric. 2, m. 8. A writ to the archbishop of Canterbury to commend all his clergy, between sixteen and sixty, to be arrayed and put in arms, both borse and foot, according to their qualities, to defend the kinglon.

Franc' 11 Ric. 2, in, 13. A writ to serjeants at arms, to arrest all ships of war in the porto of Plymouth, or Dartmouth, and other ports, in the county of Coruwall; and to bring them to Hunkshooke, to go with the kirg's majesty's ships.

In the same roll, divers other writs to divers other sheriffs at arms, to arrest the ships in divers other ports.

Scot. 21 Rich. 2, m. S. A commission to the duke of Albemarle, to array men in tha West-Marches, towards Scutland, to resist the Scots.

Rot. Viagii, 1 Hen, 4, m. 11. A writ to the sheriffs of Derby and Nottingham, reciting, That the king certainly vóderstood that the Scots inteuded with a great power to invade
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the kingdom; commanding them to proclaim in all parts in their counties, That all men tetween sizteen and sixty, should put themselres into arms, competent according to their degrees and qualitics, to be ready upon two days warning at any time, to defend the kingdom. The like writs were then directed to the sheriffs of Lincoln, York and Lancaster.

Claus, 1 Hes. 4, m. 19. A A writ to the archbishop of Cunterbury: 'Satis informati estis ${ }^{4}$ qualiter inimici me1 Francia et alin sibi ad6 harentes, cum magna classe navium, cum ' magna multitudine armator' super mare con'gregator' diversas villus per costeruin regn' ' mei invadére, et nos et regnum meurs des${ }^{6}$ truere, et ecclesiam Anglicanam subvertere ' intendunt et proponunt; thereupon commands, That the clergy in that dincess be arrayed and armed, and to be ready to go agaiost the enemy.-The lihe writs to all other bishous in England.

Notu, Although this great ranger be mentioned, yet no command to prepare ships.
Pat. 5 Hen. 4, part 2, m. 28. A commissiou to Thomas de Morley, and others, and to the sheriffs of Norfolk and Suffolk, and to the bailiffs of Great Yarinouth, reciting, 'Quod ${ }^{4}$ cum inimici Francie, Scotis, et alit sibi ad'harentes se oblignt' magna potentia armat' "super mare in astat' proxim' futur' ordinaver' ${ }^{6}$ et intendunt reg' invadere, ${ }^{\prime} \&<c$. Command to survey the town of Yarmouth, and fortify it.

Nota, Here also, though such great danger and distance of time, yet no writs ibsued to any countics to prepare shaps.
Pat, 3 Hen, 5, part 2, m. 37. A cominission to array all men at arins in the West Riding in Yorkshire, to be ready to defend those parts.-The like commissions to others, in nineteen other several counties.

Pat. 13 Hen. 6, m. 10, Yat. 39 Hen, 6, m. 11. Pat. 99 Hen. 6, m. 12. 1'at. 39 Hen. 6, m .1 . Commissions for arraying of men for the defence of the kingdom, if invasion shall be; and for repressing of rebels.

Pat. 10 Ed. 4, m. 12. Commissions to Geo. duke of Clarence, et al' to array men for defence.

Pat. 10 Ed, 4, m. 13. A commission to Johp lord Howard, to be captnin of all the forces.

Pat. 49 Hen. 6, w. 22. A commistion to marquess Mountague, to array and put in arms all men beytind 'Trent.

Pat. 1 IIen. 7, part 1. A commission to Richard Fitz-Hugh, and others, and to the sheriff of Yorkshire, to array and cause to be armed, all able persons, abbots and others, to be ready to defend the kingdom.

1 Hen. 7, part 1. A writ to the sheriffs of Norfolk and Suffolk, to proclaitn in all parts in those counties, fon that there was likely to be open war hetween Charles king of Frince and the king of the Romans, and great navies are prepared on either side; commands. That watch and ward be kept, and beacoss kept to
give warning; and that every man be readys if need be, to come and defend the kingdom.

4 Hen, 8, part 2. A writ to the sheriff of Keat, commanding him to proclaim. in that county, That the king being certainly informed, that the French king had picpared a great and strong navy, furnished with jnen of war, to invade the kingdom; therelore commandeth all men between the age of sixteen and sixty, to put themselves in arms, to be ready to defend the kingdom at an hour's warning.

11 Eliz. Commissioners went to take s view of all the horses in England fit for service, and to survey all the arms, to have them all put in readiness, as necessity should require.

Now it appeareth upon view and examination of all these Records, most of them being cited by Mr. Sulicitor and Mr. Attorney, in their several argumens, there are none of them to prove the sending of any such writs to inland or maritime counties to prepare ships; although there lave been many times great danger; nor yet any writs to anaritime towns, after the statute of 14 Ed. 3, to charge them to find any ships at their charges.- So then I conclude this point, That I conceive this writ is not warrnnted by any forner precedent.

Now I come to examine the point of this writ, Whether the same be Icgal and warranted by any former precedent : and I conceive it is not.

1. The motives mentioned in the urit are, 'Quia datum est nobis intelligi,' which is no certain information: 'Quorl quidan pradones ${ }^{6}$ et maris grassatores, , did take the king's subjects, merchants, and others, and carried them into miserabie captivity. 'Cumqque ipsos coth'spicimus navig' udies proparantes ad merca' tores nostros anolestand' et rep' nust' gravan' dum.'-All these, and those following, I conceive are not sufficient nfotives, and were never in any precedent before to have a royal navy prepared. lor the fermer precedents are, that great princes in open time of hostility had proviled great navies with ammunition and soldiery, with intept to invade the hingdom, as appearcth hy the former precedents : and against such provitions it was necessary to provide the royal navy, the king's ships, and all the ships, of the kingdom, to be gathered together to withstand them. But to make such preparations agtinst pirates, it was never put in any writ before; for when pirntes infested the seas, they came as it wers by stealth, to rob and to do mischief; and they yever dared appear but whis they may do mischief, and escape away by their swiftness. But agaiust them, the usual course hath been, that the adrairal or his deputy with some few ships have secured the coast, and fot to employ the whole navy. And this sppeareth by a record, 25 Ed. 1, m. 9 , William Leighbourn the admiral was appointed upon such an occasion with ten sbips to lie upon the seas, for the asfeguard of the mero chantif: and the usual practice hath been, whea
they hover upon the sea, by sending a few ships of war to scatter them, and make them fly away. And there is no fear of the loss of the dominion of the sea, by any act pirates can do; noither is it convenient that every county of the kingdom should provide ships againat them.
2. The command of this writ is to provide a ship of 450 tons nt the charges of the county, furnished with masters and mariners; which is inapossible for them to do for the reasons before alledged, and therefore is illegal, and not warrantable by any former precedent.
3. The command of this writ to find wages for soldiery for 26 weeks after they came to Portsmouth, when they are out of their county, and in the king's scrvice, is illegal; being against the course of precedepts in divers times, and against divers express statutes, and this appeareth by divers records.

15 Johan. In the writs of summons of the tenants by knights service, it is expressly mentioned, that after forty days service, for so many days they were to do service by their tenure, they should be satisfied ad denarius regis.

Pasch. 26 Edw. 1. Amongst the writs of the exchequer it is there set down, that the footmen of Cheshire being 1000, who were appointed to go for the defeace of the borders of Scotland, would not stir out of their counties without wages ; and there it is set down, that one therein named was sent down with money to pay the said footmen.
Mich, 26 Fd. 1, inter Bria' irrot' in the exchequer, by reason of the invasion of the Scots, many thousands, of soldiers wcre taken from divers parts of the kingdum ad radia regus. And there it is mentioned, that clerks were sent down with moncy to pay the soldiers of several countiestheir wages.

30 Vdw. 1. In the exchequer in account, the wages for land soldiers for several counties, and the wages for mariners, are set down, what the wages that were paid came to by the day, and by the week, both by sea and by land.
Trin' 31 Ed. 1, inter Brevia in the exchequer, the wardens of the marches of Scotland signified to the barons, that the men of Cumberland and Westmoreland, appointed for the defence of the marches, would notestir out of their counties without wages ; whereupon order was given for wimes for them.

19 Edw. 2. Corpmissions went out to pay soldiers, who served out of the several counties, for defence agaunst Scothasd.
Hil' 2 Fdw. 3, rot. 16. In the exchequer; it was ordered in parlimment, That wheseas some soldiers had receiyed of some of the king's officers, money for their wages, they were thin to give bonds for repayment, and that thoee bonds should be all-redelivered.
1 Edw. 3, cap. 5. That no man shall be compelled to go out of his countyt but where necessity required by suddea coming of atrange enemies into the county; and thea shall be done, as hath been done in times past : which, I conceive, is to be at the kiag's wages, when any are out of their cowaties.

## But to clear all doubts, the express atatute of

 if Edw. 3, cap. 7, is, That no men of arins, hobbellers and archers, chosen to go in the king's service out of England, thall be in the king's wages from the time they go out of the counties where they were chosen, until they come again.19 Hen. 7, cap. 1. Those that had any grants of lands from the Ling; and 11 Hen. 7 , cap. 1, those that had any offices of the grant of the king, are to serve the king in his wars: but in both it is appointed, they shall have wages from the tinae they shall come from their houses, until they retarn.

2 \& 3 Edw. 6, cap. 2. It is narrated, That no captain receiving soldiers serving by sea or land, shall receive any wages for more soldiers, or more time than they shall serve; and shall enter thedays of their entering into wages, upon pain, \&c.

- All which records and statutes do prove, that the soldiers should be at the king's wages; therefore the command for soldiers wagev fou twenty-six wceks, when they go from Portsmouth, is illegal, and expressly against these statates: and so the assessinent being entire, as well for the waqes, as the other churges, i hold it to be clearly illegal, and not to be demanded.

4. That the command of this writ to the sheriff, to assess men at his own discretion, is not legal, nor warranted by the precedents: for the precedents are commonly, that assessmente for contribution, for making or setting out of ships, have been by commissioners, which by'presumption had bnowledge of such watters, as commonly sheriffis have not. Also, this leaveth to the sheriff too great a power to value men's estates, as to inlauce whom he will, and to favour whom he will.
5. That the power to the sheriff and mayors of towis, \&sc, to imprison, especially as it is used, is illegal', and expressly agaiust divers statutes, for it is provided by Mag' Char' cap. 29, - Quod nullus capiatar vel imprisonetur, nec 'super eum mittimus, nisi per judicium parium 'suorum, vel per legem terix.'.

Also, 5 Edw. S, cap. 9, That no man shall be attached, or his goods setzed, contrury to the form of 'Mag' Chart'.-Also, by thę statute made 37 Edw. 3, cap. 18, it is recited, That by that Great Charter, none should be taken or imprisoned, bat by due process of law; yet by colour of this writ, the sheriff may amprison any person, yea, any peer of the realin : for although peers are not to be arrested upon ordinary pro cess between party and party, as it is resolved in the countess of Rutland's Case, in Coke lib. 6, fol. 3e, yet upon contempt, and upon process of contempt, which is always for the king, any peer may be imprisoned, as it is resolved by ail the lords, and all the jodges, in the Star Chamber, in the earl of Lipcoln's case; and so the sberiff, ly colour of this writ, may arrest any peer, as for a coatempt in not paying. But by the book-case, 2 Edw. 3, fol. 2, it is resolved, That a writ to imprison one upon suggen-
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tion, before he be indicted, or without due pro cess of law, was illegal. So for this clause I hold this writ to be lllegal.
6. The last clause of this writ is, That by colour of this writ, no more should be gathered than will be sufficient fur the necessary expence of the premises, and that none who shall levy any money towards these contributions, shall detain the same with then, or employ the same to other use; ; and if more than did suffice were collected, it should le repaid amongst those that paid, atice a rateable proportion. Bat as the çurse is taken, it is not <u be performed : for no slip, nor tackling, nor ammunition, nor men, nor wages, nor victuals being provided, it is not to be known, whether more be gathered, or less than would sntice : and there being inoney gathered, it is of necessity cither detained with the collector, or the sheriff, or employed to other uses than are appointed by the writ; so the writ is not performed; and the mones assessed and collected, is not duly paid nar collected ; aud the money assessed and unpaid, cannut be duly demaided.
7. Admitting the writs were legal, and the commands therein legal, yet the assessment, as is certified, is not sufficient to charge the detendant ; for it is uot certified, that any ships with anmunition, and men, were prepared: and this is a year after the time it should have been prepared and sent to Portsmouth. And if it were not prepared, there is no cause to charge the defeudant; and that not appearing to be done, it shall be concoived not to he done.-Fir if one be clarged, in consideration of a thing to be done, befire a certain time to pay a sun of moncy, if the thing be not perfiorned according to the tine, none can be clarged for not payment of the money after the time is past: for it is in nature of a condition precedent, to have a duty or sum of money to te paid after the condition performed; and there, he that will have the duty, must shew that the condition is performed.-This appearcth in the case of 15 Hen .7 , and Coke, lib. 7, fol 9, Ughtred's cise. And therefore, if the shups be not prepared according to the writ, nor moncy employed for preparing a ship for and in the name of the county: then every one that paid any money, either voluntarily as in obedience to the writ, or compulsorily upon distress, may demand their money again of the sheriff, or of them that received it : for as they paid their meney, so it must be disposed of, and cannot be disposed of otherwise by any com-* mand whatsoever, although it be under the great-seal: for the command being under the greut-seal, to prepare and furnish a ship to soch a purpose as in the writ is mentioned, and they paying it to that purpose, it cannot be otherwise disposed, although it be more for their advantage; for private men having ioterest therein, that cannot be taken from them, nor dirpensed withal. Therefore, in Coke, lib. 7, fol. 37 , in the Case of Penul Laws, it is resolved, That if the penalty appointed to be forfeited upon a penal statute, be given to the poor of
the parish where the offence is committed, the king cannot dispense with the penalty for that offence, because the poor have 'an iuterest therein; but if the peaalty be given part to the king, and part to the poor, the king may dispense with his own part, but not with the part of the poor.

Object.-And where it hirh been said, That it is by way of accommodation, because the cowbtry cannot well know how to provide to content, and perhaps with more charge,

Respons.-To this it is answered, They must do it at their peril, if the writ be legnl; and then if it be done, they shall bave the benefit thereof. For as my brothers Weston and Berkley have both agreed, if the ship were made when the service was done, the county for which it was made shall have the bencfit of the ship, ammunitinn, and vietuals, and of the service of the men, leing made more expert against another time; and the ship may with sone ensy charge serve again, and nothing lost, but thc expen $\mathcal{K}^{6}$ of the victuals; and the kingdom shall be so much the more strengthened by baving so many ships made or prepared; and they may have account of their moncy how it was bestowed; and if any surplusnge be gathered, to have it restored. And that the lavr is so, that if the money be receired of the county, and not employed accordingly, the party so receiving it, and detaiaing it, or misemploying it, is to pay a line to the kiug for the same, and is accuuntable for the money, appears by two reteords.
The one in Hill. 16 Edw . $\underset{q}{ }$, rot. 29, B. lk . where two soldiers were indicted, for that they taking 3l. a-piece towards their arms, and the bringing of thein to the place where they weroappointed to scrve the king in England in his wars, they went not, but tarried still in their houses, and retained the armour and the money which they had received for that purpose. They thereupon being convented, pleaded Not Guilty; and the one was found to go in the service according to the appointment, so he was discharged: and the other was found, that he received the money, and went not to do the service, nor restored the arms nor money; and thereuponde was committed to the prison, and paid to the ling a fine, and found sureties to pay the money to the hundred from whom he. had received it.-The other was Ilill. 20 Ed. 3, rot. 37, B. R. There two high-constables were indicted; for that they, 5 Edw. 3, had received 6 marks of the towns in their huadreds, to sct forth soldiers, and had not set them forth, but detained the money; which they denying, it was'found that they had received the money for thint purpose, and had disbursed 10s. $6 d$. thereof towards the setting forth of soldiers, but had retained $38 s, 6 d$. and not disbursed it : thereupon kiey were fined and imprisoned, and sfterwards enlarged upon sureties to pay the money they had retained undisbursed, at the next time the king commanded soldiers from those parts. By both which records, being for offences done so loug before, it appeareth, that
those that have received money, nf the country to prepare ships, and not eroployed it accordiogly, are aaswerable to the king and his successors, to pay a fine for mis-employment of it, and are chargeable to those of the county of whom they received it for payment thereof.
8. For the last point, I conceive, that this Certiorari directed to the two that were late sheriffis at the time of the assessnent, and not to the sheriff that was at the time of the Certiorari awarded, who is the only inmediate officer to return the writs, is not legal; for it is the firet that hath been seen of that sind; for all u rits are directed to some immediate sheriff, requiring him to demand of the former sherifs, what they did upon the former writ; and they are to return to him what hagth been done, and he to return the same to the court, whereunto he is an inmediate officer; and the former are not any otficers. So the 'Sci' $\mathbf{I a}^{\prime}$ ' thereupon grounded, I conceive is not good: Also the Sci Fi' to warn Mr. Hampden ' ad ostendendum ' si quid pro se habeat, et quarevele preedict' vi'gint' nolid' ouerar' non debet,' not shewing to whom, is uncertain, and is insufficient. Thereupon I conclude upen the whole matter, that no Judguent can be given to clange the Defendant.*

The Angument of Sit WiLliam JONFS, knight, one of the Justices of his Majesty's Court of King's-Bench at Westuninster, in the Exchequer-Chanber, in the great Case of Suip-Muxtr.
In Faster Teitio there issued forth a Sci' Fac' and this doth rehearse divers sums of money assessed upon divers peftoms in the county of Bucks, for provilug a ship of 430 tons, with men, ammuntion, \&c. to attend the king's navy fior defence of the kingdom. And afterwards upon a Certiorari out of Chancery, directed to the sherith, to certify thpse ussessments, and the names of those that inude default of pnyment, Mr. Hanpridan was returned to be assessed at 20s, and hath marde default. Upon this return the hing by Mittimus out of the Chancery sent the writ, the Certiorari, and the return, to the barons of the Exchequer, to do as the court shall think fit. Thercupon a Sci' $\mathrm{Fa}^{\prime}$ went forth to the sherif to summon Mr. Hampden to shew cause uhy he should not pay the 20 s. assessed upon him:" He was returned warned, and appears nud demands, Oyer of the several writs and their returns, and of the Sci' $\mathrm{Fa}^{\prime}$ : and upon all thig he denuurreth in law, and Mr. Attorney hath joined in demurrer with him. And my Lord Chief Bdron and the rest of the barons have adjourned this hither, to desire the advice of all their brothers of the law ; and indeed it requires advice, for it is as great a case as ever cane to be advised on befoue judges.

[^40]I say it is a great case; it concerns the king in his royal prerogntive, and the subject in his interest, in his land and goods, and liberty of this person. They that haie spoken already, and they that shall speak after me, shall hardly escape the censure of the people, of some that have some understanding, of some peradventure that bave less, and of some that have none at all, but speak according to their opinions, affections, or wills. "Fsolices essent artifices, si ' per solns artifices judicarenter:' we should be happy to be judged by them that are learned; but when it is by them that understand not, then it is turned into calumny and reproach.
Sume have taxed them that have gone, or will go with the king, as though they were fearful; and went about to captivate the liberty of the people, and take away their goods. Some are taxed on the other side, if on the contrary, that they are given to popularity: so ns I may say as the Psalmist, 'Domine, me posuisti in 'Jubrico loco;' for it is inpossible to escape their tongues, and between those two decks of censure I am like to fall. And however I may fall with my sentence, with God's grace I shall make no shipwreck of my conscience.
I an trusted by the king to display his justice equally to all, and sworn to dispense bis just prerogative, as well as the sulject's liberty; and if we do otherwise than as judges, we do as false men. If any mau offend contrary to his oath, he doth forfeit his lands, goods and tenements. I shall not therefure for any respect do against my own conscience; but descend to give jurgment, not regardug the watry mouths of others.

The king's counsel, and the counsel at the bar, have spoken so largely to this business, and it is spoken to by my brothers so fully, that I can hardly say nuy thing but what haih been said before; so I will select some few things, to satisfy my own conscience, though I cannot satisfy'any man's else; which I will do as plainly as I can, and as I ought to do. And if there had not been a variety of contestation, I should bave spoken very little; but now necessity requireth that I must enlarge myself a little more.

1. I will state the question, and in it put many things objected out of doors. The question is, whether the king of Eugland, when he perceiveth danger to be imminent to the kingdom, and a necessity of defence, may not by his writ send to all counties as well inland as maritime, to require them, at the charge of the county, for a convenient time to provide shipping, with men and ammunition, \&cc. but nomoney to cone to his purse, but the ships to go to defend the kingdom. The question stands not, whether the ling may draw it to be a perpetual charge upon the subject, which undes favour he connot; for this goeth upon a fear of danger, which continueth but for a time, and thetefore, this caunot be perpetuals for when the occnsion ceaseth, the caxes must likewise cease. There is a case to this purpose, 33 Hen. 6, fol. 39, Proteetion. Brookc. A pro-
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tection granted to one for three years, and th question was, whether a good protection: the rule is, the king may grant a protection for ole year, and at the year's end, renew it for another year if the occasion require it, and so fo: a third year; yet he cannot at the beginaing give a protection for three years together. Sc in this case, though the king may, upon an emergent occasion; command ships, yet by reason of that occasion he cannot make it perpetual, for the occusion may cease.
2. In this case, I will not exempt the king't majesty himself, to bear a part of the burden : the head und body. must go together, he musi join with his subjects in the defence of the kingdom.
S. The question is not, whether for a foreign war be may command this charge ; it must be only in defeuce of the kingdom in case of imminent danger.
4. It is not whether the king may lay thic to draw a sum of money into his own purse, for the king sends to have no money; but to provide a ship: and if the sheriff accordingly provides a ship, there is an end of the business; all this is out of the cuse.

As Catlyn chief-justice compared a fine to Janus Bifrons having two faces; the one looking backwards, the orher forwards; so may I of ray argoment : I shall first look backwards, and tell you quid fecimus, what we bave done; and then forwards, and tell you quid faciemus, what we shall do.-The quid fecimus reats in the advice we have given to his majesty in the case, and the opininn of the jodges subscribed with their hands delivered over to his majesty, (which was read at large by him.) The advice we gave cunsists of four assertione.

1. That when the kiugdom is in danger, all the kingdom is to join in the charge of defince. -2. What shall be adjudged a danger, and what not, his majesty is the sole judge thereof, and of the means how to prevent and avoid it. -3. That in case of danger he hath power to send to inland counties, as well as to maritime, to assist to defend against invasion. 4. That the king hath a power of compulsion, to punish those who refuse to contribute to this charge.

This opinion being jointly and severally delivered by us, declared,by my lord keeper in the Star-Chamber, in the presence of us the judges, before the lords of the council, with an intimation as if it were the full consent of all the lords of the council before land, and there commanded to be inrolled in all the courts at Westminster; yet we so delivered our opinons, that if better reason was shown to alter them; we might recede from them; for we had better recurrere, than male currere.

Now to the second point, quid faciemus, whether to stand to this opinion or not, snd then whether this book or record will warrant it, and how far it differeth from what we have done I aball apeak my conscience. I am an old man and ready, for my grave, my tongue and aby heart shall go together. I am of the gameopinion I was then; and conceive what
we than delivered was according to law; with all modesty submitting to those that have beem or shall be of a contrary opinion, for the grounds of law and nature support it.

1. 'Salus populi est suprema lex. Qui sentit ${ }^{\text {s }}$ commodurn, sentire debet et onus. Quod ' ounnes tangit, ab omnibus debet supportari.' What do these rules intimate else, but that when a danger is imminent, the charge must lie upon the whole kingdom, and the burden must be borue by all? And that is not denied by them that were of counsel on the other side. It must not be every kind of foar and rumour that must draw this kind of burden upon the subjects; but such a danger as the king in his understanding perceiveth doth require a speedy defence.
2. That the king is sole judge of this danger, and how to prevent and avoid it, is not to be literally underatood, for we are his judges deputed, but our judgment flows from him. Judgment is settled in the king, he is the fountain of justice, efrom whence all other proceeds. Bracton saith ' Rex vicarius Dei est in terra sua.' We are judges cumulative, not primitive ; so he is the supreme judge. In the parlinment the king is the sole judge, the rest are but advisers. $22 \mathrm{Ed}, \mathbf{3}$, fol. $\mathbf{3}$. Here it is that the old fashion of penning of statutes was 'Rex statuit.' 7 Hen, 7. Afterwards it came to he with the advice of the lords and commons. Trin. 6 Hen. 6, rot. 41, Banc. Reg. There was a prior brought a writ of annuity against one in Ireland, there was judgment in the Common-Pleas ; then at length a writ of ermor n parliament ; the judgmeut 'effirmed; afterwards a writ of error in the Kiog's-Bench here, and both judgments reversed. And in the entry of the judgment the record saith, Nos cum nseensu et ad requisition' communitat' do reverse the judgment. Where note, he king is the man that is the sole judge thereof. (By the way obserye, out of this record, he power of the king's-Bench in England; for upon this record it appeass a writ of error was brought in the King's-bench in Eugland to reverse a writ of error in parliament in Ireland.) This sheweth the king in parliament is the sole judge, the rest but advisers. So, as I said before, he is the only supreme judge of the danger himself, and of the way of prevention, whether by his council or by his parliament.

The third assertion is, that the king withont parliament, in case of imminent danger, hath sower to send to inland and maritime counties o provide ships. And I think he may do so y the fundamental laws, common laws, and tatute laws, and by the precedents.
First of all, for the common laws, (hera I eave the divines to talk of the king's $\mu$ bwer, ho cuder fivour take more liverty than is fiting to saypin a pulpit; for he that will have he stature $\mathrm{De}_{\mathrm{e}}$ Tullagio non Concedendo, if it e a statute, to biud the king, such a man is not 'resar's triend, but spenks without his book) Bractof swith, that by the general low of monar'hy, the subject's goods are at the king's ples-
sure: but a king ruling by politic advice, is to rule according to his fondamental laws, which yet in England takenot eway, bist preserve those 'jura supreram majestatis,' as to pardon all offences, to stamp moncy, and infinite others more declared in parlimment, 1 Jac . which court is that ' tres hault court,' of which none ought to think dishonowably. I leave dirines to talk their pleasure: We are to judge according to the fuidamental laws and customs of the realm. There is a book which Mr. Atorney remembered well, that the king of England hath more power than any other king. If the king must by the law defend the kingdom, he must lay a charge to provide for the same. The common law owns the king as sovereign and head of the kingdoin, that should deleag and protect it. 1 Sum. 8, 19, 20! They would have a king to be adjudged by, as other nations had, and to go in and out before them; that was the fashion of kings before, to judge their people by laws, and to defead them with arins. It is an incident quality inherent in the king. It standeth with nature and reasun, that the king should have the charge of the defence. If this inherent quaJity should be eaken away, how can he defend his people? If the be no more than a common persm, he camnot be a king, unless he take the defence and protection of his penple upon him. Mr. Attorney shewed learnedly, the king is a monarch and sovereign, the people his subjects: he is the head of the body, and therefore may command it. Fitz. Herb. Na. Br. and Stamford Prerogntive, that the king protects the bodies and lands of his subjects: he is Vicarius Dei, appounted to protect the kingrom; so chere is a tie of allegrance that binds every mau. Stamford, cap. 2, of Prerogative, the king by Inw is the protector of the body, lands, and koods of Lis subjects: so he hath a hiberty and premgative for this end, (not for his own profit) in the bodics, Innds, and goods of lis subjects, in time of danger. 14 Hen. 7. Every man in his own persun ${ }^{\text {bis }}$ is bound to serve the king for the delence of the realm; and gires a reason, and that is the reason of prutcetions, because they are bound to it; therefore they should have no harm done unto them, The king hinself cannot free any man from his allegiance, without act of parliament; neither can the subject free himself, as in Dr. Storie's cose. So you see the king's miajesty hath interest pro bono publico, in the person.

He hath also an interest in our estates, if it be pro bone publico; ag in the case of L. it was sdjudged he hà powerto come over mens lanils. Now what prerogutive hath the king concerning that? and yet, according to Popham, the' two chief justices and chief baron agreed, that where a man hath an inheritance in lands and woods, the king cannot cut his woods for his private use, unless it be pro bono peblico; nor dig grarel in another man's lands: but yet in care where it is pro bono publico, he may do it, and maike bulwarks on their groands for dofence of the kingdom,- So for pontage Ind muraty, the king cannot compel the subject to
vole III.
make the walls of his own house, or a bridge for his own private use; but where it in comdanded to be done, where the subject hath a benefit, there it is good: so thele is the difference of the case, where the king commande for his private use, or pro bono publico.

My brother Crooke saith, the king may press ships for his service, in the defence of the kingdom, hut not command inland counties to furnish ships: jet there is,a precedent in Oxford to the contrary.-By the fundamental laws of the kingdon, lie is the defender of his subjects, of their bodies, lands, and goods; and where it is pro bona pullico, they nre to pay towards it. If there had not been objections that dnzzled me , I should have done before this.-The case of the abbot of Robertsbridge is an allowance of this charge, a double charge of lands there in two several places. I remember in a parliament, where I learned a grent denl of good, 1 Jac. the king, without consent in parliament, laid an imposition on merchnndize, but was in case of neccssity, pro bono publico.
Now to answer objections, and those were many, my brother Crooke did double and redouble them. Brother, we sit one next nnother, antient judges, though different in opinion. I speak out of my conscience, as you have spoke out of yours; so, though there be variety of opinions, yet conscience is the same.
bist, saith he, the fundamental laws of the kingdoun bave settled a property in the goods in the subject, that, without their consents, this cannot be taken from them.-This doth not trench upon the property of the subject, if you take the case right: - If this be a lnwful prerogun tive in the hing to lay this charge, then how can it be said, that the subject's property is invaided? For if the property ab initio be in the king, then the law annexed this to the lauds and goods of the subject in the begioning, and made them liable to it by a secret tacit condition. If a man do enter for a condition liroken, this is no divesting of the property out of the subject. If goods be given to one till such a thing happen, or upon such a condition, there is a property in the donee, yet it is clogged with a limitation and condition; and when the one or the other happens, the property may be reduced or transferred, os in all assessments and rates, goods are lisble to the payment thereof: on for the payment of thnse things necessary for the defence of the kingdom without tbeir consent; for if legal, what needs this condition? I yould wish no man to clamour, that this is to divest the subject of the property in his goods; for it is $\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{J}$ thing but what is for the defence of the kingdom.
The next authorities objected by my brother Crooke, are the laws of William the Conqueror, and Charter of king John, then the statates, then Fortescue ; and therefrom he saith veny much, that the king of Eogland cannot lay taxes upon his subjects, without their consent in parliment. And where he speaks of, taxez and charges that cannot be imposed without consent, some other places of the author do shew, that it is where the king imposeth it for
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his own private use, and not in case of public defence.

The next is 13 IJen, 4, the charges of 14 . upon a cloth for measuring, adjudged void. I conceive it was not adjudged void upon that point. True, in parliament it was complained of as a grievance to the subject; but every petition in parliament doth not argue a right : it may be it was udl dumnum, yet absgue injuria; that case differs much from this, for there was a charge to a private benefit, and no regard to the public, which perhaps the law will not allow, but where there is a quid pro quo; nor of the case of dice, cards, monopolies, thuse cases nothing like this: so a commission of sewers may lay a charge for the repair of a bank; when the lanis nie overflown, and the owners be not able, the neighbourhood must be taxed; so in case of a bridge.

Then the statute of 2 Rich. 2, was ohjected; nothing must coine to the king's purse, nor to the king's coffer, lut it must be for the defence of the kingdom.-This was no lawful charge, becausc the intention was to fill the king's coffers, which wene empty, and that could not be done but hy parlimuent; so it is not in ou: case, no money is to cous to the king's private use.-That of Hct, 4, for repealing of cummissions that were awaded to provide barringers, the record saith only that the king's answer to the complaint was, "Le roy se aviscra quec ' ses signores.'
Then he cometh to the statute of tonnage and poundage only for ordinary defence of the kingdom.- Why there should be any difference between an inland county and an maritime I know not, since to the conmun defence all are equally engased as one intire body; and the inand countics have the benefit by sending their wools by sea, and yet they must not help to the defence of the sea. As in the natural body one member he!ps another, so when the martime counties are not sulficient to male defence (as in casc of extraordinary defence they cannot be) the inlaud connty must contribute, Besides, the king may unite an inland county to a maritime, and make them but one coumty; is not he lord of the land as well as of the sca? What was the law before the division of counties? Surely it was equal in charging the whole kingdom; for I see no reason but an inland county ahould be chargeable by law, as well as a maritime. In antient times, things done upon the sea, were tried upon the land in the King'sBench, as' by many records appeareth. Oue is of a Norman rubber upon the sea.

Object.-But if this be so, the law suffereth a greater inconveniency, viz. that the king may by his writ charge nhat and when he pleaseth.
Respons.-This the law trusteth the king's goodness with, that he will not require it of his suljocts but when there is occasion; and he may do it, so long as he continues it-11o longer than there is occasion.

OBject,-But were not Dr. Cowel and Dr. Manwaring seatouced in parliement for such tanets ?

Respons.-They were sentenced, and deservedly, but different from our case: I was a nember in the parliament, and was in the luwer house when Cowel was sentenced. I will tell you what Dr. Cowel did: he wrote a book, and uader the words Prerogative, Subsidies, and Kings, he inferred as if the ling might make laws without consent inparliament; and wrote against the common law, which the king is sworn to maintain: thereupou lie was sentenced, and his sentence was just, and I gave my soice for it. The other was Dr. Manwaring, he preached two Sermons that the king was not bound to observe his laws, that the righs and liberty of the subject are at the king's will and pleasure without parliament, and that this doth bind the conscience of the sulgects, nand that they are bound to pay Loan-Money upon pain of eteraal damnation; and that they that did refuse to pay the Lonn-Money, did offend against the laws of Gor, and were guilty of disloyalty and disobedience; and that the authority of parliaments was not necessary to the grenting of may subsidy. For this be was senicncell, and nade bis subnission. That was for ruising of moncy for his own use, bat this is to require lis subjects to provide ships for tha defence of the kiugdom.

Object.-The next objection was Coinage, that by that tenure great profits arise to the king for defence.
lespons.-Shall the defence of the kingdom be laid only upon those who have their maintenance out of لie public revenue? What will the king have left to maintain himself, his que en, his children, intelligences abroad?' Will you strip him of all? It is true, I hold that the biag, with the subject,, must join together in the defence of the kingdom. If the king be rich, you should have pleaded that the king hal suticient in his purse.

Olject.-Then it is ohjected, that there is no apparent danger, and, that this charge is not allowable neither by the common law, statute law, or custom.
I say, it is due by the common law ; but will you have danger so apparent, us Hamibal ad portas? Will you suffer an enemy to come in before you prepsire to resist? If once he geto in, you will hardly get him out. Is not that ns much to be commended, that doth prevent a danger before it cometh, as when it comes? Is not the care to prevent fire'from a house bcfore the fire takes hold of ir, as great as when it is tis fire to quench it $f$ Therefore the king, like a good physician, seeing á disease growing, before it gets too much strength prevents it.
Object.-In six weeks time, a parliament may be called.

Hespons.-Though it may be, yet after they meet, a lung tive they spend in cousultation before they, can do any thing : which would be too mischievous in a sudden invasion: and therefore king Ed. 3, in his 10th, 11tb, and 12th years, whilst the parliament was sitting, sent forth his writs for aid.

Object.-It wrs alledged by Mr. Holborae,
that the law of nature teacheth every man to defend himself.
Respons-What, I pray you, will you then have done, on a sudden invasion, when forces must be raised in Cornwall, some in other parts of the kingdom as remote, and all must meet together? If the king must expect such an actual invasion, hefore such time as he sends forth his writs to have them all in readiness, how poorly would the kingdom be defended a that time? Our forces would be scattered, nnd cannot be brought together, which thas divided cannot withstand a foreign power.
Object.-The last objection was, that the king, at his pleasure, may draw when he pleaseth this charge upon the subjects, if he say he is of opinion that there is danger.

Why may not the law allow this, and trust the king's judgment here as well as in the case of a Ne ereat regno, in which if the king commands his subjects to stay at home, for such and such a cause, the case is not traversable ? Fitz-IIer. Na. B. 165, 85, 7 Hien. 7, saith, if the king doth rectify an act of parliament, you cannot speak against the king's certificate unde the grent seal; ' Nuill tiel record' is no plea.Again, God Almighty bless the king; it is agaiust presumption of Inw, that the king, whose heart is in the haud of the Lord, should tell a lye. God gives wisdom to givern aright. Lying lyps do not become a prince. Truth to God Alwighty he owe.. The law says, the king mary nescire ocrum, but not dicere falsum. The king may not know a trukh, but cannot speak falsely. Next jurumentostrictus, be is bound to administer justice, and not to grieve his subjects. Is he so unwise to clarge them and himself without caluse, with providing of ships? What benefit conses to him by it? Surcly to tell a lye will he no advantage to him; he were a king of wickedness to lay a charge on the subject to no purpose; thus lie shall charge hinself and bis subjeass about nothing. Does any man think the will put a burden upon his subjects withuut cause? We have a good king, and our imaginations ought to be good of him.

The fourth assertion is, that the king hath power to compel them to the contributing to this charge. This power of the king is a special prerogative, and if good at counmon law, it taketh awny the statute, when it is pro bono publico, to defend the kiugdom.

The general words of a statute shall never be construed to extend to it , the chartet of king John shall never take away the king's prerogative, neither the statute De Tallagio non Concedendo, which I agrees to be a statute, and so my lord Coke allows it to be. Now this power of the king, of which I argue, is a spucial prerogative in point of government; it is a propriuin to a sceptre guarto modo, therefore the general words of a statute shall neter be construed to extend unto it; as if the king hath a special interest in land by the prerogatire, it doth not pass away without precise words, as the books are infinite in it. If the king' grants away land by his letters patents, parcel of a
forest, without special words, this shall remain subject to the forest-laws still : so many cases thay be put, when general words of a statupe extend not, to a particular prerogative. If general words of the statate should take away these aids, why do they not take away the aids of 'pur faire fitz chevalier, et pur file-marier.' since that general words may include them as well as this? But you all grant that these aids ure nut taken away, and by the same reason I conceive this remains.

My brotber Crawley held that special words in an act of parlianent cpuld not tuke awny his prerogative, because it would have been an act aguinst reasun. I will tell you what I have heard adjudged in this case. In the parlianent held 1 Joc. there were two things expressly moved: One, That there might be no wardship or tenure of the king: The other, that the king might not allow surveyors. To these questions, after long disputes, it was answered by the whole parliument, that such an act of parliament to top the prerogative of tenures would be void, because it is juherent in the crown, for every man holds immediately or mediately of the king. And 2 IIen. 7 , nin net of parliament to restrain the king's non obstante to dispense with penal laws, as not to pardon murder, is void ; his person and royal prerogative cannot he restruined by parliament. Thus I havedone with the furmer and larger part of my argument.

Now I come to sec if the record will maintain that which we hare here, and I think it will bear it hoth for mntter and form. First, it us see whetier there be substance enough, to shew that there is danger sufficient for this prerogative to require aid and assistance; and I think there is sufficient, the French king, the Spaniard, the Low Countries, all up in arms; who knows what danger this kingdom may be in? ana if the king sny it is in danger, it is not traversable; if the king had suid no more but this, pro defenxione e egni, without any more saying, it lad been sufficient. It also recites that there were predones, pirale, that took away buth men and ships; and that foreign provision was making to take away the domiaion of the sea; and that all this was 'ad gravandum regnain nostrum :' and therefore commands a slip to be provided 'ad defensionem 'regni.' Hicre the danger is general, and therefore the defence must be general.-An indiffereut and equal assessment is first to be made, and then the overplus of the provision, to be restored according to the writ; for until the money be had, how can the provision be mado; Though it has been said, let the ships be first built, and then make the assessinent; thpt cunnot be, for with what shall the provision be made? The money must do it.

For matter of precedents, ns Danegelt, \&c. I do not mach stand upon, because I bad not ime to peruse them, but conclude of my orner reasons.
My Lord Chief Justice asked him what his adrice was; he answered,
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My advica is upon the whole ranter, that the barons finding the other process of court and Sci' $\mathrm{Fa}^{\prime}$ to be according to the Exchequ\&f, (for that I leave to them) Judgment shail be given that Mr. Ilampden shall be charged with the 20s. with this limitation and condtion, that none of it comes to the king's purse, for if it do, my opinion is against it.

The Angument of Sit RICIIARD HUTTON, knt. one of the Justices of his Majesty's court of Coumoo-Pleas at Westuinsticr, in the Exchequer-Cbaiuber, in the great Case of Shtr-Nones.
The king by his Writ 4 Agg, informs us that there were gathered 'pirate ac mars grasso'tores,' and that they were gathered tugether in hossile manner to hunder our merchauts frum brnging their goods into our p.ort; ; and reciteth, that there are wans abroan, nid that coursidering these peris and danges, and that the defence of tive kiuxdon, conssats in the defence of the sea, which at all tynes belonged to this kingdon, and that the charge of delence is to be borne by all; and die hinen is loth that in his time surh an honour as the dominiun of the sea should fall away or be dumimsleed, und not Le defended, hath therefore sent a Writ th the sheriff of Bucks (as to other countee) to provide a Slip of such a burdea agaust the $1 x$ of March, and to came to Portimouti, and there to remain for 26 week, and to do as shall be directed them for the refernce of this singdom. And the Writ directed, that all that nie inhabitants shall be assessed for the protriding of this ship with men and anmunuition.-By force of this writ, Mr. Hamp,den being assessed at 20a, there went forth a Certiorari a ye.ur and a half after, directed to the sheriff of the county of Bucks, to certify shat sumt they had a:sesed by virtue of the said Writ 4 Aus, and there are tno several certificates rcturned into Chancery; one, that Mr. Hannpien was nssessed at 200 . the other, that he hath not paid it. 5 Maii 15 Car. the hing, hy mittinus out of Chancery, recites that when he awarded the Writ 4 Aug. 'Salus regni periclitabatur,' and that it was fur the defence of the kingdom and security of his subjects; and doth send this Writ 4 Aug. the Certiorari, and Mituinus, to the barons of the excliequer, and commands the barons to do that which appertains to justice to be done. Whereupon a Sci' $\mathrm{Fa}^{\prime}$ ' is nwarded; whereunto Mr. Hanpden hath ap' Feared, and demanded Oyer of the Sci' $\mathbf{F a}^{\prime}$ Mirtimas, Certionari, and the Writ 4 Avg. and hath deuuured generally; and Mr. Attorney hath joined in demurrer: and how his Sci' $\mathrm{Fa}^{\prime}$ lieth, is the question.

- And I am of opinion that this Sci" $\mathrm{Fa}^{\prime}$ doth not lie, and that Judgment in thin case ooght to be given against the king. Yur the better noderstanding of the coort, I shall observe in the metbod of my proceedings,

1. Whether a charge of this nature may, by the king, be imposed, by orizionl writ only ust
der the great seal, without a parliament. Wherein I hold it cannot be proved by any authority or reason, unless in time of actual war and invasion. 2. I wiff answer those objections ouly made formerly ty them that have argued, that these satatues do not extend to this kund of prerogative, and that this prerogative is not taken away by any of these statotes. 3. I will answer the precedente, both by precedents of equal natucic, and by some rensons, whereupon. I will couclure, that this prerogative and power, which' is monarchical, is included and taken from the kiag, and that must be done by parlianent. 4. 1 will answer some ohjictions that now have been ransed, and were before made by Mr. Solicitor. 5. 1 will just open the writ, that it neither containeth matter sufficient in the writ tself, nor is there matucr to warrant niny such levy as is pretended; neither is the samelauful, nor can it be imended by Mitinuns, nor can be comunauled by those sheriffs that are so sherifs in this cape upoufithe matter.
Nowi, us iny Lrother Jones hath taken a yreat deal of pains and time, I will not he drawn fion' my oun order by what be hath suid; hut answer hium in bis argunent.
2. I say, that this power of nosessing of money, lecing a great charye, cannot by the low at this day, woless in tume of actual war, be unproed upon the people liy act of parliament.The acts of parlianient ihat have been mentionell, the Lirt was Mag' Clar', which is an antieut nad great statule; it cometh unto us with an insperimms from Ed. 1, routirnoed thirty times; the words are, ' Dedinus et coucedi' mus has lihertaten subscriptas in perpenum. ' Nullus liber hono càpiatur vel imprisonetar, ' aut disscssietur de liters tenementor suo vel ' libertatibus, \&c. aut aliquo modo distinggatur, ' aut in carceren mitatur, nii- per leczale judi'cium parium suorum, vel per legeus terree.' King William the Conqueror made these laws, and swore men to those laws." And then king Edward, in the last chapter, commands them to be kept, and he will keep them so long as concerned him and all this people for ever. Avd for this they granted tiin a fifteenth part of all their goods, and it is a slatute here to this day, Stamforl, fol. 172, to be tried per purcs, as the barons at this day have for their triul the privilege of this statute.
The next statute is $25 \mathrm{Ed} . \mathbf{3}$, chap. 5 , reciting, "And forasmuob as divers persons, dec. we ' have granted for us and our heira, that we shall ( not draw such aid and pride iuto castom for ( any thing done heretofore, by any other rule ' of precedent that may be found.' So there is now not only for taxes for mar, but for any other basiness whatooever, 'forsque de com' mune consent de toots la reulum,' saving the antient sid and prizen due and accustomed. And this saving is nothing, for this statute exteuds to no parricalar; for if any exteed to nid by tesure, all Evgland is not bevind to this, but some fem. The statutes extend to suek aide as the whole kinglom is subject unto; none
will say that all the kingdom holds of the king 'pur fils marier, \&ec.'

The atatute of $94 \mathrm{Ed}$. 1, concerning certain liberties granted by the king to his commons, this is printed anno 15si, 25 Hen. 8. No taillage to be taken or levied to us, our beirs or successors, without the good-will and asseat of the archbishops, bishops, barons, and other burgesses and ireemen of the realm. This statute hath been quarrelled withal, but the words are very effectual.

The statate of $14 \mathrm{Ed}$. 2, agreed to be perpetual by my brother Jones, for my part, I can see no reason why it should be so. The otatute reciteth, that whereas the barons and commons of the realm have granted of their good free-will the king an ajd towards his wars as well on this side the sea as beyond, of the ninth sheep, the ninth sheaf, \&cc. and the ninth part of all their goods, we will and grant for us and our heirs, that the same so charged suall not be brought ioto example to makc any aids, be doth not say such aids, bueby consent in parliament. No man can say against these words, they are so full and absolute. The statate 25 Fd. 3, enacts, that none shall be compelled to find hobbellers; 'St il ne soit per commune 'consent in parliament.' The reason given in the parliament-roll, is very observable; 'Car ' ceo est incounter le droyt del royalue.' These words are in the roll, get left out in the printed statutes, but the reason I know not. This statute of 25 Ed .3 , is confinned by the statute 4 Men. 4.

The statute 1 Rich. 2, a rery good statute, though in a yofug king's time, enacted nad done by the lords and commons: There have beeu many inventions to churge the subject. Nuw Hesn , 4, invented many benevolences, and that is recited, that whereas divers inventions, \&cc. (all the world I think is falloof inventions) it is emacted from benceforth, that the subject shall uo ways be chaggel with any such like charge. They gave it the name of benevolence, but indeed they were impositions, and great charges were collected with that naine.
I conclude with that statute of this king, the Petition of Right, which reciteth the statate De Tallagio. Very many particular things are mentioned there, men are not to be mpelled to lend money without common assent in parliament: which is a confirmation of these statutes. I have done vith the statntes.

For the authority of the year-books; I will confirm those two authorities cited by my brother Crooke, though my brother Jones slight the authority, 13 Hen., 4, the principal case being then a grant of an ofice of measuring of cloth and pat in practice, and being granted out of parliainent condemned to be void; for the king cannot grast any corncoon charge on his people but in parliamsent. And though my brother Jones said, that perhaps such a charge I wae domonsm, yet not injuria; sarely had not there been more in it, it had not been-domned us illegal.

The otbes suthority is that of Fortescue;
though my brether Jones, in that book, doth omit that which is material; for that man he \#ps sworn chief justice of England, and aftierwards made chancellor, who saith exprewly in his 9 th chapter, that the king of England cannot alter any law; That he governeth his people, not only by royal, but by politic power, and can iay no charge upon them but by parliament, The king can change no law, nor make land gavel-kind which is not, nor muke land divisible which is not; which he might do if it were to be done by power royal. And Fortescue concludeth with this excellent sayiog, fol. 26. 6. Rejoice therefore, sovereign prince, and ' be glad; for the law of your realm admi-- nistereth to you and to your people no amall ' comfort and security, \&c. Prerogative 'strengtheneth the subjects liberty, and their ' liberty strengtheneth the king's prerogative.' Cap. S8, is full nad strong against the king, which wy brother Jones was pleased to omit. The king may by his officers take necessaries for his house, nevertheless he is bound to pay for them; for by the, law he ought not to take away any of the subjects goods without making satisfaction for the same; neither can he luy any taillage, subsidy or other burthen, or make new laws, or alter old, without express agrecment of his people in parlinment.

1 lave done with the positive part of my argument. I will not trouble you long; I will answer some objections now made, and heretofore inade ngainst these statutos.

First, For the statute De Tallagio non Concodendo. True, it is very probable that it was no statute, but an extract out of the statutes of $25 \mathrm{Ed}$. 1, which is upon record, the other not being to be found upon the roll. It was averred una doce, it was a statute, though not without probability it was nostatute, as it was learnedly observed by Mr. Solicitor, in respect of the Ling's absence beyoud the seas. Only I collect this out of his arguinent that he thougbt that that statute did reach very far against the king, which he could answer no way, but to take it awny; therefure he thought it a statute of some force.-Next, my brother Berkley would have the statute of 14 Ed .3 , to he but a temporary statute, and but during the continuance of the wars. The first part of the statute is absolute, but the latcer part is but a temporary statute, and but during the continuance of those wars. But it must (the former part thereof) needs be perpetual, for it is granted for the king and his heirs.

The next objection is "by ray brother Jones and my brother Crawley, That this powier royal is part of the prerogative appertaining to his person, and inberent to the crown, n proprium quarto modo, so inseparable, that an act of parliament canant take it away.

I confess there are some inseparable prerogatives belonging to the crown, such as the parliament cannot sever from it. And $J$ will prove to you out of books, casces and statutes, that the king cannot release his tenure in capile. It was endearoured that a law should bo
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made that the court of wards should be shu up, it was resolved it had been a void law such is the care for the defence of the kingdonn which belongeth inseparably to the crown, as head and suprene protector of the kingdon So that if an act of parliament should enact that he should not defend the kiugutom, or tha the king should have no aid from his subjects to defend the kingdom, these acts would not bind because they would be against natural reason. But in our case here, there is no such thing; for there is no act that restrains the king to lay any charge at all, but only ties him to one means, by which he would come by it, to wit, by parliament. If before the statute a man alien land beld of the king without licence, the king shall scize the land, and have it forfeited to him and his heirs for ever. Now by that atatute the prerogative is restored to a reasonable fine only; this was as inherent in his person as any thing could be, and yet it is restrained by parliament.

Before Mag. Char, the king might take any man's goods for his provi,ion, and cut any man's woods down, to build or repair his castles : yet since that statute it is enacted, ' NulI lus vicecomes nec ballivus noster capiet - equos, \&cc. nisi reddat liberationem. Nec ca' piemus boscum alien' ad castra vel ad alia - agenda nostra, nisi per voluntatem illius cujus ' boscus ille fuerit.' And to this day this,statute is of force, that the ling cannot take these things, nor use his prerogative.-The prerogative of 'Nulluin tempus occurrit regi,' is a great one; yet in some case of lapse of churches, this prerogative is tahen away by the statute of 25 Ed. 3, sap. 1, where the king granteth for him and his heiss, not to present but in his own time: and this being pleaded 11 Hen. 4, fol. 7, is adjuriged against the king, notwithstanding the rule of 'Nullum tempus ' occurrit regi.'

The statute of 7 Men, 8, c. 3, concerning restraint of informations, and that of 21 Jac. whereby the king excludeth bimself to make a title to any land, whereof he had not been in possession within 60 years before this time, be was tied to no time, but unlimited; yet this great prerogative is thus bound. 30 Ed .3 , cap. 10, parliaments to be holden every year one, or oftner if need be, because of divers mischances that may happen. It is to be acknowledged as a gracious favour from his majesty to his subjects, that he would admit of this case to be argued in ány ordinary court of justice, and not refer it to the perliament, to which place all such weighty causes are most fit to be referred. I am satisfied in my conscience he would do nothing in this case, if he were justly informed, or may be informed he ought not to do it by law.

The laws of England mutari non polerunt, without consent of counsel gathered together: 'Si inasitatum emerserit,' baith Fortestue (as the case of ours is) it is referred to the next parliament; 'ai aliquid inconsuetum,' then it is to be put to the parliameat.

2 Ed. 3, fol. 7. There ariseth a new question concerning the statute of Winchester, about recovery by actions against the county where robberies were committed; there the case.in respect of the difficulty was referred tn the parliament, and there the sheriff was warned to have his money.-You shall see a notable case in the Register fol. 224, among the writs, of two that were at York, and served by a clerk in the Chancery there to appear at Rome; and because of this contempt they were committed to prison, and a writ came to bail them, returnable coram nobis in parliemento: so matters of difficulty were adjourned into parliament.

Westminster 2 cap. 28. ${ }^{2}$ In nova causa fiat ' novum remedium in parliamento.' To resolve cases of difficulty ${ }_{5}$ statutes have enacted that there should be two parliaments every year, viz. 4 Ed. 3, c. 4, which was a great confirmation of the liberties of this realm. Littleton 110,180 , parliaments ought to be frequent. I know not how it comes alout, that this kingdom which hakh thus long flourished by parliaments, should now forget her frequent kind of government by parliament, whether by reason of something past, or some disaster now fallen out, that this' which is the antient way (I do not say that parliameuts is the govermment, but kings have governed by them) is sa much out of use now-a-days.-I do not prescribe power to the puliament to govern the reaim, but the public have been governed by the parliament. There was seen tou much of the ambitious humour of some in the last parlimment, that stirred up nothing but confusion and discontentment, as we now feel it to our great prejudice.
Now I come to procedents. First, that of Danegelt hath been offjccted; of which there were two kinds, as sir Henry Spelapan in his Glossary observes; the one ad pacandum, the other ad coercendum Danos; great sums of moncy they had to go home again, from 12,000l. to 48,000 l. peg annum; and it was raised in three years : it was-continued until king Stephen's time ; at $\boldsymbol{v}^{2}$ hich time it is said it was released. For my part, I see not but that $t$ might now be put in use as formerly, had it not been for those statutes of Ed. 1, and Ed. 3, before-mentioned: for it was not laid down when danger ceascd, but was continued and taken up by princes when they had a mind to it, as by Willinm the Conqueror, and William Rufus; but since these statutes it was never taken (and here he read the words of the statutes.) So ii these sfatutes took that away, why do they aot bind in our case? Which is a fulf answer, in my opinion, to that and all other precedents before these statutes: there have been shewed 200 on each side; but I say, it had been better they had never made use of hem. So to all the precedents made before he statute De Tallagio non Concedendo, I give this general answer, to be of no force.

For the precedents in Hen. S's time, which were many; yet in those commissions for preparing of galleys, after they were made they were at the king's coct: This may be done at

## 1197] STATE TRIALS, 13 Casales I. 1637.-in the Case of Ship-Moncy. [1198

this time'-And with the statute of $14 \mathrm{Ed} . \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{I}$ nnswer those precedents of the $10,11,12 \mathrm{Ed}$. 3, and by the way observe the times that were then, that statutes were forced to be made to remedy those evils; and surely those were the burdens and unreasonable taxes which the king, in the 13th year of his reign, coafessed he had oppressed lis subjects with, and desired they might be forgotten, because he was urged to it by his necessities, and not for any ill end of bis own, (and so he crused the record itself to be read openly before all the judges.)
2 Hen. 4, 2 Rich 2. A general nssembly called and resolved, That money could not be raised but by parliament. Since this time, all the precedents that have been vouched were for arraying men, and putting them in readiness.
28 Hen. 8. There were some forced upon their own charges to go to suppress some rebels in Lincolnslire, Lut afterwards were recompensed for their charges ; saith the Record, Our pleasure is to send a messenger, and on a bill of charges he shall satisfy them. I do agree, and there are many statutes that men shoull he arrayed, as the statute of Winchester, which are only preparations to make men ready.

Now for that which hath been urged by Mr. Attorney excollently well, that the king by the law of the land, hath a prerogutive in the lands and goods of Lis subjects; so that in some cases, the sherifl may for him break open a man's house, and the like, because otherwise he cannot execute justice.-True, the king lath such a prerogative, and fit it should be used ; for otherwise justice could not be administered, as it is in many cases, the sheriff, though a veidict by default, hnih power by prerogative of the king to hreak into the bonse, and give possession; fur otherwise justice could not be adminissered, if all laws were contemned: for which contempt the king may use his power.- Again, tho ${ }^{2}$ king of his own chatge mautains his courts of justice, and is bound so to do, 39 Hen. 6, $35^{\circ}$ IJen. 6. And in lien of these charges the law givos him those fines and other duties; so there is upon the matter a quid pro quo: but where there ts an interest in a subject, be cannot take it awsy without his consent, as he may do it in murage and pontage, and the like ; for there is a particular benefit to the subject." So I think I am almost at an end of answering the first and second part of the precedents; the antient time was one way, and the modern time another way.
In Ed. 4, Ric. 3, Hen. 7's times, they are all for wages of the ratariners, certain allowances they had; what a week, what a day is set down.-But you say, here in this case appears no monay to be paid by the subject, but only for a ship to be provided by fhe sheriff, and not any money to come into the king's purse. -1 must conclade this part with what is agreed hy, all, that if this writ had been to levy money, it had been void.-As I do takevit, the writ is to prepares askip of such a burdes; 80
the ship is the matter: then give me leave to say this, and I say, as it appears plainly by tha record, there was no ship prepared at all; then if no ship, no writ can be had against himh for disobedience. It is known to all the world, it is not ships, but Ship-Money : Ship-Money is in every man's mouth. It hath a name of preparing slips, but the end of it is to prepare woney, as in Yorkshire 12,000l.-If the provision of a ship had been expressly alledged, it might bave been traversèd, and therefore Mr. Hampden's counsel could do nothing but demur ; and by demurring, they confessed nothing but what is ${ }^{*}$ materially and sufficiently alledged, so that it might have been denyed by a traverse.-But you will ubject, that I'did subscribe to a contrary opinion, and set my hand unto it,-To this, far iny own part, I must, say, and I can truly say it, 1. My private opinion was ever against is. I did subscribe, but it was but for conformity; for it is known to all, when a great number meet together, the judgment is that which the greater number suith : besides these words to which we subscribed are no wise putsued.
2. Our opinions were very suddenly required; for the King's letter bears date Yeb. 2, and our opinions upon it bear date Feb. 7, following; and it was in a case whercin we never heard any argument : and we usually do, and God forbid but we may dissent from our private opinions upon a betuer reasun heard. But I am of the same opinion now that I was then.-But it will be said, we might have done it more advisedly. No man of us but sonuetimes delivers his opiniog, and yet after we have heard an argument, have changed our opinions, and gone contrary to our furmer judgment.
3. If after any arguments heard I had been of the same opinion that was delivered, yet this writ doth not pursue the direction thereof; for though we agreed, that the king might charge in case of a general danger, yet this was, and is intended, not a danger of pirates, but an inmuinent necessity, and apparent danger, which could not be avoided. For I do agree in the time of war, when there is an enemy in the field, the king may take goods from the subject ; such a danger, and such a necessity, ought to be in this case, as in case of a fire like to consume all nithout speedy help, such a danger as tends to the overthrow of the kingdom. Gire me leave to say, that kings of England lave exercised great power in taking this to themselves. 17 Hen. 8 , in tive Cardinal's time, it was counted lawfyl to send forth commissions throughout Eagland; to take a sixth part of the sabjects gnods; whereupon many upon refusal were sent to prison; the lord Cobham among the rest sent to prison from Huntiogdon to London : at length Norfolk and Suffolk grew to such a heat for taking away their goods in that undue manner, that the king was forced to call a great coupcil, who suppressed those kind of writs ; and the king laid the fault upon the cardinul; and the cardinal said is was the advice of the king's spupcil, and
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they denied it; to he bore the shame.-So in the time of queen Elizabert, who was a gracious and a plorious queen, yet in the endjof her reign, whether through covetousness, or by reason of the wars that came upon her, I- know not by what counsel, she desired benevolence; the statule of a Ric. 2, was pressed, yet it went su far, that hy commission and direction money was цathered in every inn of court; and I myself, for my part, paid 20s. But when the queen was informed ly her judgee, that this kind of proceeding was against law, she gave directions to pay all such sums, as were collected, hack; and so I (as all the rest of our house, and us I think of other houses too) had my 20s. repaid me again: and privy counsellons wére seat down to all part, to tell them that it wis for the defence of the realin, and it should be repaid then agan.
Now for the exceptions to the writ itself, I must answer my brother Berkley, that no at legntion afterwards (if the writ be not good) will help it. The writ is said to contain matter sulticient, ' Qura datum est nubis intelligi quod ' quidam piratie nares et bona sabditor' nostror? - \&cc.' and lead our men into niserable captivity, and provide ships, mariners,' \&c. ' ad gra'vandum reguom nostrum.' Now here is nothing for the defence of the realm, no 'cogoos'cimus hostiunl udventus;' as the writs did antiently ran. Again, pirates are to be withstood with ordinary defence, which appertaineth to the king himself; but for extraordinary defence against invasion, when the kingdom is like to be overthrown, there indeed the whole kingdom is to contribute to the defeace. And our resolution was, when such a danger was apparent, the whole kingdom in danger, then the detence to be extraordinary.

But you object, that though there be no danger set forth in the writ, yet in the mittimus it is certified, 'Quod salus regni periclitabatur.' -The writ issued 4 Aug. 11 Car, the mittiraus came not out till near two years after: now the counsel perceiving the first writ was not sufficient, they politicly add to the mittimus this clause of ' salus regni periclitabatur :' so this coming so long after, cannot make that which was not legal ab initio, to becone good by matter er post factum; this could not be helped by any subsequent matter, as in case of a fine, \&c--This was much stood upon by my brother Berkley; but I sball answer him with two cases not to be denyell; the first, Vernon's Case in the 4 th -Report. A man conveys land to the use of himselfifor life, the remaioder to I. S. for life, the remainder to bis wife for ber jointure, though in this case I. S. die before her husband, so that now it falls out to be as adrantageous to the wife, as if it hed been limited her immediately after the death of the hasband: yet it is resolved, because $\hat{u}$ is not so limited in the beginoing, no good jointure to bur her of her claim to her dower. -Aho in Chenie's Case, 5 Report. A will uncertain (and sio not good) shalt not be holpen by an after-avermens subsequent to alter the
estate : so it is in our case, if the writ were hot logal when it first issuet, no subsequent matter shall make it good.
The writ commands the sheriff 'et ques 'rebelles invenerit' to imprison, and to distrtin all such as refuse to pay. This is directly against the statute of Mag' Char' mone ought to be distrained or imprisoned, but by the lawful judgment of bis peers, and nccording to the laws of the land; it was nevet contained in any writ before, nor can anty such writ be maintained.-Besides, the words of the writ are to rate every man 'secundum statum et 'facultates,' shall the sherifi be a judge and. party? If the assessment be done according to the writ, he must be judge and party: never such a writ before. All sheriffs must pay nothing themselves, "or every sheriff must assess himself, 8 IIen. 6, Dyer 320. So, for the reasons aloresaid, I hold the writ to be against law.

Again, no ship was prepared: if it had been prepared, it hed been their own grods; if not, it might have been pleaded that there was never a ship; and then the sheriffs might have been punished for not obeying the king's com-mands.- It hath been snid, be hath confessed all matters contained in the writ; whereas in a demurrer he confesseth no matter of fact, byt what is sufficiently set down, 30 Eliz. Coke 23 , resolves the snine.

But to the writ of $\mathrm{Sci}^{\prime} \mathrm{Fa}^{\prime}$ I conceive it not legal; no such writ can go forth to two sheriffs of one claunty, they being neither of them shieriff at this time; for it went not after they wers out of their sheriff-wick': therefore some return should have been made by imquisition. I never did see or heder of any writ that went to two sherifis of one county, as $j t$ was to Bucks; and so two sherifis made two several returns. Again, this money cannot be levied by Sci' Fa', because the writ directs other means, either ta distraig or to imprison; therefore not by Sci' Fa', firt it isecontrary to the words of the writ. Ald,seeing the sheriff hath not followed that direction, he must answer the contempt.

But here to answer my brother Trevor; I do agree in some cases of a certificate, or presentment, that a bridge was out of repar, or a high-way stopt, there shall go a Sci' Fa' upon that; but that tells to whom the money shall be paid. But here the w writ doth not demand the money to be pajd to the king for not preparing a ship;'that nust be by office or inquisition on record, if a legal certificate, as it is 2 Ed. 3 , fol. 2. The king commands the sheriffog Leicester to sumimon I. S. \&c. to come and meet him with nid, to go into Scotland; he spent the money to n great value: there went $n$ writ out of the exchequer to attach this man tayet after long debates it was held fit, the king must be informed by matter of recond.
I agree, that the king, as he is lord of the sea, nhay lay impositions; but then he ought to defend the merchants goods from pifates. That
 on currants, one Bates atood ,ont, and woold not pay it ; edjodged that that Imposition was jawful, for the king anay lay an Imposition; for he hath the rule of the sea, and hath power to hinder merchants to traffic; and if they tralfic, he seoures their gyods.-To conclude with that which ray brother Berkley said, that the subjects of Bngland are free men not slaves, free men not villaims. Here is no apparent necessity of any iavasion; therefore by lew, they cannot be thus compelled to part with their iaterest in their goods. If there were any apparent necessity, they were without limit or stint. Thus have I, with as mueh perspicuity as thoee imperfections which attend my age, would give me leave, set you forth my reasons; and without any farther protestation I conclude, both for matter and form, that you are Not to give Judgment for the King.

The Opinion of Sir JOHN DENHAM, knt. one of the Barous of his Majesty's Court of Exchequer, in the great Case of SaxrMoner, presented in Writing.
May it please your Lordship; I- bad provided myelf to have made a short argument, and to have delarered my opinion, with my reasons : but by reason of want of rest the last night, (my old disense being upon me) my sickness and weakness are grently increased, insomuch that I cannot attend the business, as I desired. And if my Opinion be required $\mathrm{d}_{2}$ it is for the Plaintiff.
Serjeant's-inn, Fleet-street, Mny 90, 1638.
Sir Johy Desinam's second Certipicate, directed to the Lord Chief Justice Brampston, 28 Maii 1688.
My Lordso Understanding that some misconstruction was taken by mome, of the declaration of my opfinion, which I desired your lordship upon the last Saturday to deliver in my name; for further safisfaction thercin I have sent again, alṭhough I was most desirous tohave passed my vote in silence in this work of weight, by reason I heard not the four last arguments: yet I delivered ray opiaion for the: plaintiff, which I took to be Mr. Hampden, by reason it appeareth by the Record that the coming in upnn process, 'Queritur de colore' -premissorum graviter vexaturn et hoc minos 'juste;' which satisfied me that' be was plaíntift; and therefore I now deelare my Opinisn! for Mr. Hampden whe did demaur.

I shall only deliver these two reasoas fitt the maintaining of my opinion.: Thie 1st is, that the king's mejenty is 'sola et maprotes juttitia 'regni,' and the rule of the law is and hath atways been, that his majesty can dona wrong; and thereupon ariseth another rule of our law; which I gave for my second reason.

The Kóng'a majesty being of a corporate os a pacity, ena neither take any lands or goodd from any of. his aubjectu, but by and upod tif
vol. 1 H.



 coptio thie laidfs or coude of a subjeor; ${ }^{2}$ cetily where seixture of goods is made for his magesty either upon outlestrien, attainiders, or matuers of the like natures abins caserof of wizares in the court of Exchequry wiflefe spizath are given-by atatutes; yet withoet an judmedt in that coust upon a crial for the $u$ ing, 2 the gnode ape not to be recovered to the nodot ith fivix in forfeited
Upon consideration mbereof, and coumpheting the arame with hiy inajesty's roynd writ, +1, sta no judgoneat thereupon had norgiven; wher werc the chiefest reasons of ny Opinion'fife Mr. Humplen.

The Aroumeyr of Sir UUMPHEX DAYDN: PORT, knt. Lord Chief Bation of the ikizchequer, in the great Case of Susp-Mos ix: in the Exchequer-Chauber.
My Lords; There have appeared uinity wo upon this Recond many severnt argumients, and excellently made; it comes now ta my course, ta express my own opinion: It appeareth upon this record, tbat Pasch. 13 Car , $4 \mathrm{Soc}^{\prime} \mathrm{Fn}^{\prime}$ issued out of the Exchequer to the sheriff of Bucks, reciting, Whereas several sonas of money mentioned in a schedule to that writ annexed, by virtue of the Writ 4 Aug. assessed upon several persons for providing of a ship $j$-were riot paid, whereby he was commanded, "quod selik 'facerety' to those several pergons in the schiedule annexed named, to appear in the Exchequerr; Octab ${ }^{2}$ Trin' 13 Car. to shew cause why they sbould not pay those same of money ans: sessed upon them. Thereupon a Certiotari 9 Mar. is Car. wns directed to the sheriffs of Bueks; to certify the sams, and the several persons upon whom they were assessed; and of the wraning given unto them to pay the seme: The Certiorari being returned, and in conks in April 18 Car. Then on 5 May there carne a writ of Mittinay out of the Chsmcery, by which the said fonmer writs were sent to the barons of the Fixchequer; which Mittimus recites tho Writ \& Aag. and not the Recond itself: ahd the bmrons are commanded, thar they shouldtherempon proceed, as by the Mittimas is wozuired. Upon these Records, thur oenified, there inaed out of the court of Exelifiuter Sci' $\mathrm{Fa}^{7}$ that' is now in debate, whick whe nwarded againet the parties mentioned the the schediule; and Mr: 'Ifompiten bifing retained,
 Writ eangs It Carl ofthe ektiorari 9 Mir .




 and the cmatters thintho contained nre wiotwa: ficiens to charge lim with che gum of:
thins churgeli: ciad thereapon
ment, if the ling will be ploused any forther to proceesi upon this writ. To this demarrer, thus teudered by Mr. Hampden, Mr. Atturney bath joiued, alledging, That the writs mentioned, und will of thens, sud the matters therein contaned, ure good and suticient in law to charge the defeudant with the sum of 20 a . and demandeth judgronent thereupon for the knug; and that the detendant Hampdes slovild be charged with the sum of 20a. And thereupoin make satisfaction; but to whom is not expressed upun the record. Tius demurrer briug thus warily joined on both sides, there have been seseral anguments tbereupon ht the bar and bencl, excelleatly, no doubt, aigued, and very filly. There hath been introduced and pressed tw ihe court (whereof there have been several notes deli'vered) a number of records appertaiving to the question; fo far forth, that in one of the arguments at the bar, there were excellently well remembered, at the least above $s 00$ revcords, and greac authorities.

Upon this record, the demurrer being thus joined, my purpose is, after my meanness, (not being able to give au account of every particular) to make a summary collection of what I shall say, and with that shorthess and brevity that appertains to be (tbe weight of the capse pot deserted) upon the duty of my place, and upon asy oath, which I have learned and hold to be 'li乡anentum tidei mter Deum et animam,' to declare unto this court what I do conceive to be just upou the question arising upon the records, whirem my meaning is to retan myself unto the purts of the record. Judgrent is not here to be given, but a judicial advice ; and atcording to the number of roices here, judyment must be given in the exclequer, without respect to any of our particular apinious who sit in this court. I shifll do my best endeuvour to open unto you such questions, as do appear to me upon the record to be aptly and Bity debated before us.

The state of the question out of the record will appear to be this, Thut 4 Aug. 11 Car. there issued out of chancery a Writ, not returnable uato the sheriff of Bucks: This Writ wap 'inter breva irretornabilia,' according to the atile in that court, and in the court of exelbequer. By this Writ 4 Aug, whick I do conceive to be the original masis ground of this record, it appears what wes the oecusion and ground thut writ was awarded. It wns touch ing and in respect of oertain gricyous ineursions by the pirates upon the sens, who coinamit cepredations, and trake the poods and merchandize, both of the kinn'o malujectas sod others that trafici bere, and carry themuinto enptivity; and this is asid to be to thie great darage of the Hinglom.-Thut the times merodangivons, and hortio tivnes, 'tempors bonitis ;', and therefore, in was fit there chould he a convenient -..- yipoorided by the kinadom for dafence ff and thereupon, in that writ, tno se1 Imandates or epencainds are insposed.
The firat Fee a command and direction to -dhe sberifif of the county, of Buaks, and to the
mayor of Buckinghan; and to the bailiffe and burgesser of Clipping-Wiccombe, and parishes of the county of Buchs, 'et pro opnib' homi' nib" of those towns, and all others dwelling in that county; these are the persons who are charged. And by that writ, 4 Aug. they were charged with this particular, that they should before the 1st of March theupfollowing at their own costs, prepare and provide a thip of war of 450 tons, furnished and fitted with men, amaunition, and victumle, to be brought to Portsmoath at their charge, at or before the said 1st day of March; and from thence, to be maintained at their own proper costs and charges, for the space of 26 weeks then next following, to attend such noble persons, to whom the king should be pleased to commit the cuatody of the'sea, and to pursue their di rections.

The Second sort of those two mandates descends from the penona to whom the writ was directed unto some few, and that is upon the matter to thesheriff of Bucks, and to the mayor of Buchinghum, and the bailfffs nnd burgesses of Chipping-Wiccombe: to these is given and limited a power by the writ, distributively, as therein is appointed, respectively to tax and assess the whole county, 'secundum 'statum et facultates.' And those that they should find to be rebels, they should distrain them, or by any dae meuns cotnmit them to prison, there to remaun uutil his majesty sends forth an order for their deliverance. This I do conceive to de the end of those two mandates mentioned and comprised in the Wit 4 Aug. 11 Car.

After this Writ 4 Aug. 11 Car. almost a year and an half, then comieth the Certiorari out of chancery, dated 9 Mar . 12 Car. directed to the sherif of Bucks, who, with the other referees, should certify unto the king the names of such persons as were assessed, and चhat they were assessed, and who have,performed the assessment, and who not. That writ was returnable ge April then next following. And therein Mr . Hampden appears as a defendant to the Sci' Fa'; therein was be certified to have been taxed to the sum of 90 , for his lands in the town of Stoke Mandeville, and that he did reGuse to pay it, and did not pay it unto him, nor say of the collectors that nere appointed. This being retarned inta chancery, and no order there made, or ary rule, that the sum jpposed'on Mr. Hampden should be paid, 5 Maii thes following, is the same term cometh a Mittimas, reciting the effect of those writs, which is directed to the, lord treasurer and baroul of the Excbequer; berein the tenor of the writ (and not the writ itself) is certified into the Exchequera and withat it certifieth the rest of the recond, together with the schedules annexed tof theve writs; and by that it is commended to the court that they should proceed to do for the further receipt and collection of the suaps belhind, as by the lave and custom of the kingdons of England should be required,Apd upon this cerificate hare conueth a writ
of Sci' Fa' djrected to the sheriff of Backe, to give notice to the persons that were defaulters, that they should appear and shew cavec, if they could any any thung, why they shoold not be charged thenewith according toythe laws and cubtoms of the realon; and the writ is so returned : and upon that return Mr. Hampdea uppears upon thediay iu person, and after Oyer of the proceeding, hath demurred.

Upon thas record, this being the case, and the demurrer thereupon joined, we are to see what is the law and custom of England upon the matter extant in the record; for I intend not to expatiate beyond the reoort, but to stick close to it, as it is in the case now depending in court, upon this recordi and therein 1 shall confue myself to, sume few general heads, I shall not be loug in any thing.

The first thang is, Whether these two powers and mandates meutioned in the Writ 4 Aug. 11 Car . (the origunal ground of thas suit) the one for preparation of a ship and furnitore, and of the residue thorein inentoned; and the other, for taxation at time plensure of the sheriffis, und other persons to whom it is referred, and that expressed upon the motures of the Writ 4 Aug. whether, I say, that these same mandates were and are good in law, according to the law and custoni of the Liagdom of England, upon the matter of this record; that is che first question: If that do fail, then the Ser' Fu' is at an end. If there be no legal charge imposed upon the country, then he ought to be disclarged.

The recond question is upon the principal head; admitung these were legal in theroselves, according to the tenor of the writ, to see then Low it is reduced by the record. Therein I shall offer to consideration, whether on this certificate on the Writ 0 Mar. out of Chaocery, after the time so past for exeoution of the first writ which is irrectarable, that upon that it be so legal, and according to the course of law conveyed over" by the record to be a sufficient ground and warraat of the Sci' $\mathrm{Fa}^{\prime}$ here brought, is the second question.

The third question: this writ of Sci' $\mathrm{Fa}^{\prime}$ being issued out, and the defendant having appeared, and dernurred in law, whether bereupon there be such matter thereis, that they may charge tho defendant with the sum imposed upon hum, so that the king may have a judgineat and execution upon it I that I conceive to be the last question.
 doth nearly concern every one of us to hare an especial eye unto it. It is an usual question in our books, whereof we have much wilw,However it be in the record, to which we are now tied, it concarns the prarogative of tho king, and the estate of the sulbject. In my conscience I think, for the act that was done was a grecious, honourable, and royal sct, and proceeding upon junt cause, that there should be a present remedy for avoiding the juconvenience that did appear, no dopbt for iur good. Herein, thoogh if he known to esery one that
knoweth mpe, but especially to myself, if I partake of the role that every mun is bound unto, posce teipasm, I know withal, that no man is moiebbouad, nur oweth a inore tender care to preserre the king's prerogative, and to do that Which may advance the same, as we are all bound to do by the great oath that we bnve. taken upon our promation: and in that particular, 1 profess vone mone bound than myzelf. -Upon this I have betp told, and I huve truly looked into the recurds, so far forth as my meanuens will give leave; and accordiag to what I underatapi of the law and the egatom of the hinglom of England, to be upon thie record, I must needs say, thnuph I do conksty for any own particular vnuillingly, that opon thens recoid Judginent ought to be giren for the Defecilant, 'Quod Johanues Mumpden at 'quieus, \&c.' however with subunission to the greater vute of uny brothers.
For first, I do conceive, that this chargr, thus commanded, and thus taxed, is not warranted ly the laws and custons of the kingdom of England: and shall therefore offor to the cafoideration of the court, the several diacussions upon the writ.

First, For the Writ 4 Aug. 11 Car. dirsected to the sheriff of Bucks, to the mayor and burgesses of Buchingham, and bailiffs and Lurgesses of Chipping-Wiccombe, 'et probis homi'rubus com' Bucks;' hereby are they charged apon their alleginace, that they should, befure March ist following, prepare ut their own costs and charges, 'per probos homines,' throughont all the cosaty, a ehip of war well furnisbed, and thap the sasse ship of war they shall ndaintain at their own costs and charges, for the space of 26 weeks, to attend the King's nary, for the custody of the sen, as the king shall appoint and direct.
This first point I take is not warranteble by the lair and custom of England; in respect, being a matter of so great a charger and by thero, being an inland county, impossible to be performed to prepare a ship betuie that time, being no mariume cosnty, but an inland county : this I do tale in itcelf, that this is not a charge to be inpposed upon a county, by the name of ' probos homines,' or of the perticular men there named, except it were by their own consent and approbation. And with their easusent I agree, a charge upon 'prubos bowises,' so they receive nothing to their own uses, is good enongh; whereuf I find one excelliaty necond, 94 Ed . 1. A writ that insued ont of the Exchequer, and whereof there is the vecord remaining to this day inrolled and conified ta be a true copy: there it is threeted in cave of necesuity, when the ling is atnent hegond the sea, upon information of the discorery of a present and-iontant isvaion of the enomics, in Flanders and Ywnopes under colour of cossing as finbermen to serprize the town of Yermonth, and sit the parte of the consts therespouttr; the lond treasarer that then was (the ling heing beyond the mea) the under-treasurer who find the castocy of the sen, and the batom of IT


Exchequery caused a writ to he directed to the baihffs 'probis homimbus' of that town: and no doubt of it, in the judgment of our predecesson himself, was good law, and the writ legalify execatad upon the instant necesaity appearing. But Fortescue speals not so much of the necessity, as hath been observed:- therefore it is not good to conclude upon some general words in him, that in no case of necessity the chatge can be laid; for the scope of the whole hook, considered well, will not warrant it: the intent of it $\{\mathrm{S}$ not ngainst cases of extrenity. You see not in the case, but that therein the regal course must be observed, aceording to the linw and cpstom of the, kiugdond of Englanid.-But when I do consider of the firt charge of preparing a ship at their own charges, and of the consderation of the next charge in the sane writ for taxation, I do not see, nor I cannot perceive, how the same do agree, but that the one is repugnant unto the other; for that the former part commands the charge to be generally by sll; and by this last power it is limited to be done by a particular, person, and that, to be done at his will, and as he shall think whereby the sheriff, mayor, and bailiff, thus employed, are excluded from the charge; for they can do no net-upon themselves. It should have been done 'per sacramentum proborum ' hoininum,' convidenug these two different powers. I hold the law so to be clear in this point. It appears notupon this record that they were nasentung unto it, or agreed upon nny ordinance herem.

Now the power of the preparation, upon the whole it is on the sheriff humself, mayort, beilifts, 'probos homines' and all; but when you come to the latter ipro facto, by the laws and customs of the realro, a great part of the forner charge is removed directly from thoso that were chargeable: power of tavation is appointed, to whon? to the sberiff, and how can he tax pimself? he and all his estate within the county of Bucks ander the first charge, and all discharged by the power of taxation limited in the secoud rlanse: and therein I take it, that this same is not legal according to the castoms and laws of England, the one doth not agree with the other. If you ask me the reason of it, my brother Hutton hath given it. The clarge is upon the aheriff, and 'us not possible for the sherif to tax himself, he cannot find limself iater rebelles, he cannot commit himself to prison, there to rempiu tull spch time es the king'a majesty shall deliver him ; that he canaot do. So for the other referees of Backinghano And Chipping Wiccoinbe, exempted liketise; if they can do this then clearly the-former charge, imposed by the first and said to be doae by thedif dil, isout of doors.
Now it cannot he done, according to the law of England upon the sheriff or upon his land. The point IT Think is very evident in otr books. 18 Hes. B. If a defendnant that is sherif be to be surnmoned, and he retura that he omnnot do it, jostice H- said that return was pot good, Cir that to might sumapon bimuels $8 \mathrm{Ed}, \mathrm{S}$.

But if it come to a further question that if there be auy execution to be done where another may be prejudiced, he cannot do it, as to impannel a juy upon a writ, where he is a parts, which may prove a prejudice to another; for if he do, it is not lawfully done, as it is in Dyer, fol. 8, 9. Of the shenfl in a common recovery. So upon these euthoritiek, for the first question, I hold it manifest, that this act which the sheriff is charged to do, is afterwards by this second power discharged : these two powers do not cohere, and therefore are not warranted hy the laws and customs of the realm of Rugland.
Concerning the first question my opinion is clear, that there is a material and legal exception appearing on the self-same writ. My reason is, the sheriff is the great officer of the county; but when he must do it, 1 tahe at legally, he ought to do it accorlang to the dury of his place by the law of England; that I do not take to be at his will and pleasure to hay ane thing noou one, and anothef thtog upon another ; for my part, I conceive this nust be done 'per sacri' mentum;' he is not to be judge in case of uncertaintics, when a divivion of charge and taxes is to be made; where thags are put in certainty in any of the king'b courts, there he may expcute, as take a man's goods, minprison, \&c, but in case of uncertainty, the law hath onnexed to his officen way and menns how to reduce the thang to a certainty, aud that is, ' per sacrament' pro'borum hominum :' ns in the case of parceners, if they heve a mind to make partition of their iokeritance, they may do it by, agreement between them if they will, or by making of lots by a third person, and the elder shall chuse; bat if she herself make the partition, she shall not both dipide and chuse; that alters the case for extcution, when it is in propria persona. But adunit they cannot agree; the judgment of the law is, that the sheriff shall go in his proper person ipto the ground; sball he at his discretion make the partition as he pleaseth? No: The Judgonent is, the sheriff shall go in person unto the land, and that there ' per sacramentum, per inquisitionem,' to be taken ly chosed jurors, they must consider of it; upon such a thing so done ' per sacramen' tum,' then indeed it is in the sheriff's power, he may now chuse whether he will prefer the, elder or the younger. But for our case, that this should be done by a sheriff, by his discretign, whilst the interest of several persons in concerned, is as it were to make a rape. 1 do not find that in any book of law, I must confesw. In that act, which is done by the law, there can be soterror orpartiality; so in Fitz. $\mathrm{Na}^{\prime} \mathrm{Br}^{3}$ in his writ De Onerando pro rata Portione, see 'whet thers is to be done: it goth to the sheriff, he is trusted with the doing of this, but he is trusted by legal means; what shall he thers do ? where 20 ncres of land held of the king in chief, they are sold to teveral hands, there must be a wit $\nu \mathrm{De}$ Overanda pro Rata for Xischarg: ing of the rent; but this must be done ' per san' ciamentam',' not by the sole power of the the-
riff. IIereof I fiad a notable precedent; it it true, it is not in our books of law, but in an historian; yet he doth set it down in that manner, that a man may trust him so far. Mattb. Par. he saich, and sheweth us that $17 \mathrm{Heon}, 3$, eigbt years after the making of Mag' Char' nuthorized by parliament, that the king hiimself in his parlimment was plensed (according to the institutions of parlament) to require ' concilum et ' auxilum,' for the king's wnrs; where, by the way, let me observe. that ' nuxilia' froni the bubject granted the king in purlinment are not merely voluntary, but doties, 10 give monies for supply of necessties of state; and in that I agree with the opinion of my prederestor, Hlo. Clisef Baron, 19 Hen. 6. The king is bound to defend the hingdoin. Tho sagoe lavy that binds him to the delience of the whole realin, gives the king a ryglit of inheritance to claim subsidies for thedefience thereof. But to retara to Mat. Paris: It appeareth there that tho king in partiament did demnnd nud of his subjects, concilium et auxhlum,' theit coungel as they wene bound, theor help as they were able. It is sald, that they made chaire of the earl of Chester to give therr answer, who at firt held it not fit to do 1t, alledging their estutcs were but weak, that by the laws of the hingdom they that had been there in person, they for their escuage might not be troubled. The prelates they were more courtrous in their answer, they desired tume to asscmble themselves tugeticr, many being far distant: thereupon was a time assigned, tull Nens' Pasch'. Ip the mean tume they all considered, the lords on the one side, and the bords spritual on the other side; and being demanded n hitteenth of their goods, they answered, so ms they umbebt have their ancient laws establishied to then, for which they bad been so much troubled, they would willing'y assent unto it. The king consented unto it, and thereupon Magna Char' was there poafrned upon grant of a filteenth to his majesty. But when they came afterwards, they did all agree, that for necessity, and for the king's charges in his wars which did require a speedy supply, they were contented to yield to him a fourth part of their goods, to be levied in this manner, that is, (for so it is ruentioned expresoly in Matth. Paris, mand the form is there set down de verbo in verbum.) I have it here to read it: that every sheriff within his county should return a juror io every town: that to four gentidemen of good value, and accounted prthcipal men in every town, power is given, that they shall do (as a jury, to set forth upon their ouths) assessmepts reasonable nccording to their estate and power; and because it appeared then there, (the very exception now taken) that the assessors coald not tax theinselves, though they had ppwer to tax the residue, therefure a power was given to two others of overy town, and they should be sworn, and upon ther oathe tax the four former amest sors. Thtrs tells unto me, what the antieat and usual manner was of taxes and nssesses by the custom of England. The maanser wes pot at
the volontary pleasure of the great officer of the county; bot they that did inx otbers, shoold befaxed by others. , And this opinion is directly in Math. Paris, thapt the laws of Eogland were so. And therempe for ny own part, for the alieriff to tax at his discretion, 1 know no law for it.
Stat. 1 Ed. 3. By the true recond, ncoording to the menusciptof the Exchequer Boak here, an Freoch it is chap. 7 , apd so it appenrech in the Tower there iurolied, it is expreasly there upon a complaint made, that they held themselves aggrieved with an assessonent; their grief was, that whereas sid was granted to the crown, mort than formerly was used, \&ce. and taxes not righty laid upou them by the sherift and ${ }^{\circ}$ commasioners: this grievance thus complained of in parliament, tad the manner of their taxing disiliked, the king saith that from thenceforth, the taxations and ramesements stould be made as io pncient manner, and not otherwise. Here is an express negative, no taxes to be done but by jury; which excloden in $m$ mppinion this same tax thus done, that is, by hown power and discretion is not warrautable by the law of the land. And lierein give me leave, as I think in my conscience, and as I think the trath is, if this inconveniency had not tended to a grievance in very many particulers, we should nerer have heard of this question ; but by this means it cometh in questioh, both the one and the other: for the act itself, it is a gracious and a royal not. It is requisite and necessary, as the state and condition, if it so appears upon this record, of the kioggdom may be in;"that there be a supply accordiog to the necessity: for the king is lord of the seas; as it was argued at bar, in a cause brought, before us the barons of the Exchequer, where we did unapimonaly agree, and adjudge that the king was, and is in my conscience, rightly true lord of the very propriety and ownershyp of the sens. The oocasion apon which this grew a question was as I conceive, upon the writing of two books: the one called Mare Lb berum, that no ownersbip of the sea should belong to the king; whereas it is the sea of our sovereign, and deteence by sen, undor Almighty God, that is our protection ; and if we thould suffer any else to have an interest in it, it woold hazard the whole kingdom. But in answer to this book, Mr. Sedden hath wrote very leamedly s notable book called Nare Clausum, approved of by his majesty, and inrolled inpthe Excheguer by command from his majeaty, there to remain. But since there is another book, written, which'I had at my argument, by one Pontanus, directed to the greent chancellior of the king of Denmark; and he undertaketh therein to make an asower to every particular chapter. in Mr. Selderd" book; and truly ass I think in my opinion therth wivk, Mr. Selden hath a

Of how great consequence the dominion of the wes is to this kingdom, who knows not ; Ba. thap without question, the tax whe very fit te 4 . be doue, if the power given to the shoriff had
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been as warrantable. But this some seconi power, to free humself and layiti upon the residoe, is not gond, nor warrantable by the law. Thus much for the fist question concerning the powers contained in the writ 4 Aug. which doth not resort to the rule and custom of the kingdom of Eaglund.

In the next pluce, let us see whether the -writ unentions causes sufficient for the issuing of it. For the incursions of pirates I conceive it no just cause : but the expressions in the writ, as ' quia datum est nobis intelligi, at informa' tur, vulgaris opinio est et rumor eat, \&cc.' If the king undertake it upoo this, he is not to shew how he discovereth it. I am'satisfied in my opinion, upon view of the precedents, it is sufficient if the kiog do alledge, 'quia diftum ' est nobis intelligi,' or ' quia iatelleximus, \&c.' it is enough, for it is so in these precedents, ' quod vulgaris opinio, \&e.' All these, or any of these coutaning such a matter that the kingHoin is in danger, I agree the saune doth conclude the party, and that the king is the sole judge of it. The case is not traversable the writ must be nbeyed, agreeing with the la, and customs of Fugland.-That this may be done by the king'y writ, excellent' and strong argements have been made. That this imperial power belongeth to the crown, I heartily acEnowledge it ; his power declareth it so; reason it should be so: not voluntary at his plensure, but it is according to his politic capacity, not excluding his natural imperiality. 'Quin 'rex,' he doth it not; but 'Quin rex Asglis' be doth it : so I find iv in Fortescue.

The grounds premised in this writ, that the kingdom was in prosent danger; trath in my conscience it was 30, and if that had not been done so, England had heard of it before this day. Therefore there must be an expression of the kingdom to be then instantly ig danger, or such a preparation in such a convenient time, or else it will be in a great danger; but that must be expressed, for I hold the law to be so. Doctor and Student snith, it is the old costom of the land, the king shall defend the sea: it is true, against whom ? Against pirates and petty robbers, but not against as sudden iovesion at his own charge. These 'pradonee' who were spoken of in the laws of king Edward, when Danegelt was given, they were of that condition that they endangered the whole kingrom. They did occasion the tax of Deingelt, to mise frome twelve to forty-eight thouspend pousids; and William Rufus raited it to 4a. a bide, imposed upon a just ground to repal the Danpes, being common enemies: and this was continued until Hen. 2 's tine, but since talten amay by several statuies, as 25 Ed m .1 , \&cc. That sempe Dapegelt which was heretofore imposed on the kiop - dom by the tarror of the Danoses continued still, though the name be alterady y ies mentioned in the fled Book in the Bricheques to to asod in Hicf. 1'h. time; but after the time of Hen. 2 , I bold that it is taken away by the statutes beforementioned of Edw. 1, cce. and therefore if for private danger this assessoment be imposed, it
is not according to the laws and customs of this realin.
I am over troublesome, and I fear shall hardly hold out; give me leave to proceed to the second consideration. And admatting those charges in the first writ to be legal, the next consideration to be had is, whether the Certlomari nod the Mittimus do legally revive the first writ, it being a writ irretumuble, and not exe cuted according to the tenure of it within the time limited and prefixed to be done.-This Certiorari issued long after the first writ, dated a year and half after. And in my opin on this eannot be; for the nature of a writ not returnable, is to command a positive thing to be done within anch a time ; if it be not done accordingly, there is an pad of the force of that writ : so that here in our case, the power given to the sheriff being not executed in due time by him, but done oat of time, cannot be renewed now ; for otherwise you will make a man an offender by a relation, which being a fiction in law, cannot sp operate, nor be made penal to him for not-performance: and though afterwards by the Mittimus these words 'salus regni perich' tabatur' come in, yet will they not revive or make good the commands of the first wit. If the first writ had been returnable, and a return made, and a defnult nccordang to the return, the wril had been atill continued, and must have been referred by another court, and the party presented by a jury upon defuult; and upon presentation and indictment, the king shall have hit remedy: but not being so, it is bot a suggestion, upon which a Sci' $\mathbf{F r}^{\prime}$ cannot issue, as in Butler and Baker's case, report 3, and being not returnable, is but dead in law; and being certified upon the second writ, it is not good, it cannot be to relate to make him a wrong doer; to that purpose is the case cited, 26 Ed. S, Leieestershire Case, reciting; whereas one sir John de Lamston, that be had delivered certain monies cto Robert de, \&cc. und that he had wasted such a hanor, and taken away coods to the value of $2,000 \mathrm{l}$. and thereupon it was commanded he should be attached ly his body, he appeared at this day, and because, \&ce. he was diacharged of it; and according to that I find it, 7 Edw. 3, there it was suggested to be made in one king's time; and whereas it was not, and there abated, then the gucstion, whether the king may do it or no? He giveth the answer, thet where it concerneth the king in his own right, there be may do it ; but when the title canre to the $\begin{gathered}\text { ing } \\ \text { from another party, }\end{gathered}$ there it was othorwise.
Upon this I do conceive this Brev' irretorn' fallech shors of making hirn punishable, as if it had been a writ returasble; let the writ 4 Aug. be never so good, the writ thereupon is not

The netat thing is upon the Sci' $\mathrm{Fa}^{\prime}$; the question upen this record is, Whether this doth oppertaip to the king? and I conceive, it doth not appertain to the kiag: My reason is this, because in the very writ \& Aug, it is exprestly provided, that it shall be employed to
no other use, but the preparation of the abip therein mentioned, and by no means to any other purpose. It doth not now appear, who were collectors therein appointed to receive the money, whereby to becone chargeable over to the king. It doth not appear upon this record, that any ship was provided, or that any fault was in themathat were eniployed, or of surplusage in the collecton hands: though it wus a worthy and gracious act in his majesty, yet this is not so legally executed, as the ling may have a writ of 'Sci' Fa'. If judgment be for the king, it mest be with this limitation, that it must not go to the proper coffer of the hing, as my brother Jones observed. And in my conscience, if it were pard to him, be would be a loser by it. You see what the wnt is, you should be charged upon ' oncrare er sausfacere' one ship; non constat there was any ship, or any collector, or any act concerning it: This money was preordained only to prepare a ship, and to be employed merely upon that, and for no other purpose; and this appeareth not at all, whether any ship was made, yea or no: now how shall we give judgment? The Sci' Fa' is, That Mr. Ifampden should shew cause why he should not satisfy the sum imposed upon him ; but whom he ahould satisfy, or to whom the money should be paid, non conttat, as was well opened upon the demurrer ; for it is not ' $s$ dominus rex valet aut debeat onerare' the defeudant, but that the defendant ' noere' tur et inde satisfac':' Nothing is put into the record to bring this to the king: therefore ' quod oneretur' cannot be executed at all; and accordung to the books of 39 Edw .3 , and 49 Edw. 3 , if judgment be to be given, and it cannot be executed, there it shall not be given at all: So the judgment required on this demarrer, is upou the matter ' oneretur,' and shall by no colour come to the ling. God knows it belongs to bin, and that deservedly; bat in a legal course non constat; therefore to give judgment, ' yuod' onerectur,' and not know to whom (for to the king if cannot) would be wrong; for this reason I cannot see bow judgment can be given, ' quod oneretur.'
But hereupon another thing, troubleth me very much, and which, in my opision, makes it clear, that execution cannot be made upon this $\mathrm{S}_{\text {c1 }} \mathrm{Fn}^{\prime}$; and that is the Mittimus to us in the Exchequer, which by recordum ippom is not certified, but only the tenor of the record. I do concerve the law to be so upon this difference, as it is taken in ocase excollently well argued, 33 Ifen. 6, where it is said, if the recond be in any other court, whence evecution may be awarded, and the tenor of thatrecord il by Mittinus sent into naother court, where execution may be likewise awarded; in such o cuse a $\mathrm{Sc}^{\prime}$ ' $\mathrm{Fa}^{\prime}$ cannot issue upou sach a recond; for this is but an extract of a record. So if a man should sue out execution upoe a judgment given before the justrees of assize, what will you do with that And if he have no grods vithin the precinct, you must remove this. How do you remove il? Not by certifying the tenor of
the record, but ipuwn recordum : But if the record itself be certifed iuto the Chancery, and sept by Mittimns into the Commoan Pleas, that is good, and *eq are judges of the recoid: Na other court can give judgment upon the record, but we.

Here is sent unto us the tenor of the writ, and not the record itself that I cun find; and so two executions miny be opon one judgment., 87 Hen. 6. A transcript, of tenor of a zeeo\% nizance came out of the Chancory ioto the Common-Plens, to have executed, et now allocafur : and so it is Dyer 4, \& 5, \& 20.9 , of the queen, there was a transeript there of a recognizance, to the intent that they might have a Sci' $\mathrm{Fa}^{\prime}$ upon it, and held clearly by the court! that'upon the tenor of a record no $8 \mathrm{ci}^{\prime} \mathrm{Fa}^{\text {' }}$ could tie. So all this nppearing upon the very first branch of the record, that this was merely a tenor of the record, and not recordum ipsem, I do not know how upon this record there can be execution. Thus have I dune with the several discussions of the wnt and record, which upon my rensons befone alledged, I conceive it not © ficient in law to charge Mr. Himupden.
I come now to the great question concerning the danger of the kingdom, and our certificate to his majesty. Give me leave, nccording to our former resolation made in answer to his majeaty's question proposed, to spent of what we did certufy: and in my conscience truly, and I hold it rebl, that when any part of the kingdom is in danger, actually in danger, or in expectancy of danger, and the same expressed by his writ; I agree, the king may charge the subjecta without parliament, to wardo the defence thereof; for ' necessitas est lex temporis,' in vain to call for help when the enemy is landed. Clearly I hold the king to be the sole judge of the daager: And the danger being certified by his majgaty, I bold it not traversable; and in soch a case be may charge the suhject witbout parliament, so that the very cause be effectually expressed upon the records, that the 'kingdom was in danger. But if a parliamentary advice may be called, tand the denger not so imminent, thea regularly no such charge can be laid out of pariainent : legally and rightly, I hold, things doae by the advice of parhiament it were the best way: But if it berso, the necessity will not admit the deley of a parlinment, when the enemy is in view, and expectant; that is such a danger as we did of tify to the king in our opinion to be the time when be migit so olvarge the subject. In Edw. S's time writs imoned, sitting the parliatheat. To say, that there eannot be incursions, bat thet they way be known withio sevea months time, whertin a parliament might be had, is a great hazard to the kingdom. It is possible the danger many be discovered befare it conses ; but is is ponible it maty come ${ }^{*}$ unexpected. In 1588, when that great invasion was, at which uime if the queen should not heve used ber royal power, withoot colling a palliament, perhays the kingdoas might have been lost by delnys; and yet then great expectation was of a parliement. So William 1, (rot Wil:
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liam the Coíqaeror, for he did not conguer the kingdom, be conquercd the king of the kingdom) bis coming was sudden, he landed at Hastings ; and was not the hing advised of this at Yofk ? Did he not then make all haste by post, raised a sudden arny, and bad him battie? And William the Conqueror had the victory, not of the kingdem, but ngeiast the king. Lambert saith, That he came not in per conquestum, but per acquiationem. After he was crewned, and received by the Londoners, he sent forth commissions to all the counties of England, to enquire, per sacrumentum, what the astient laws of England were; and of the atate of the kingdom; and certificutes being made thereof, that of, Danegelt was certified to be a tribute inforced. 1 say in times of necessity, the king may command this aid by liss writ under the great seal, when the danger is instant; nay, the expectation of it is not traversable.
Object.-Then upon every certificate that the king makes, that he is of opition that the danger is instant or expectant, this charge may come to be annual.
Solut.-No: we need not fear, that tile king will require it but upon just occasion, the law presunics $x$; and legally it canoot be laid upon the subject, but in such cases of necessity, as aforesad. By the charters of Willium 1, king John, Henry 3, no charge without parliament: by the statute of 10 Edw .3 , none is forved to go out of his countyg except it be in case of necessity. 14 Edw, 3, I hold to be a general statute, and it doth bind, but doth not bind in case of necessity; for they are not to be understood to be binding in all cases. The cbiarter of king John, ns it is inrolled, not as it is printed, according to Mag' Char', weving two oleases that are not now in Mag' Char', hath this exemption in it to the subject of these, and these immunities ; no tax nor taillage, but by parliad ment; but he excepts three cpses; 1 Nisi ad , redimenduns corpus nostrum. 2. Pur faire fitz chevalier. 3. Pur fille marier. These prerogatives of the king are not bound up by the parliaments; the very commons themselves did agree to these three cases. As for the statate De Tallagio non Concerdendo, I hold it to be a giod statute, and much fur the liberty of the subject. But if you come to a case of necessity, they will not stand in force. There is one omission in the printed statute, 25 Edw. S, which is in the records at the Tower, as it was observed by my brother Hutton, 'Car ceo est 'encounter le droit del royalme:' How this comes to pass, I know not. I cassed it to be mearched, and 1 fod these words only in the articles upon the roll, where they do complain for the finding of bobbellers, and are atgrieved for $i$, and give this as er reason, 'Car ceo est - 'encounter le droit del royalone: Aad the an.swer which the king gave anto it, was $n$ royal anames to the thing proposed; bat tpose words are left out of it. But if it were the right of the 'surbject, ' lo drait del royalone,' as Littieton saith, that cannot die.

- And certaioly, in case of necensity thera is a
right belonging to the king to prevent danger; for legally, when the safety of the kingdom is in danger, in danger apparent, in,that case the king hath a power of prerogative to compel aid. And if smact of parliament should be made to restrain such a charge on the subjects in case of necessity, it would be Felo de se, and so void; for it would destroy that regalejus. So this great question of imposing this charge, I am of opinion it may be done without parliment, as it was in 1588, so long as the present and apparent danger continueth. And I am of opinion, (as I was when we gave in our certificates to his unjesty) that the king is the sole judge of the danger, und how to provide against it.

But however, I io conceive upon this record,' upon which I ap to give judgment, that the mandates in the writ $\$$ Aug. are not good in law, nor according to the haws and cusioms of the hingdom of Eugland, nor well grounded upon the certificate; and that the information aiferwards in the Mittunus cannot make a former writ food, which was first defective: And the sherifis who were, their return is not effectual, upon which jodgment may be given.

J cannot see bow judgment can be giveu quod oneretyr, und not tell to whom, and nothuge visible to whous we may find it: And therefore, in my Opinion, judguent is to be given for Mr. Hampden.
Tae Angunent of Sir JOHN FINCH, Kt. Lord Chief-Justice of the Court of Com-mon-Plets, in the Exchequer-Chamber, in the great Cuse of Suip-Moscr.*
A writ under the great seal of England, dated 1 Ang. 11 Car. whin to the sheriff of Bucks (sir Peter Temple) commanding a ship of 450 tons and 180 men to be ready furmshed with all emmunition and tackling at Portsmouth for 26 weeks, to po with other of his majesty's ships, and of the subjects, to defend the dominion of the sea, the fealm being in danger; and to charge and assess all his innjesty's subjects, and all the inholbitants within the said county, and all occupiers, tenants, and terr-tenants there that hare not part in the ship, nor serve in the same, to contribute for, and towards the preparation and setting forth of the ship accorting to their abilities.
The record of Certiorsri saith, that StoheManderile is within the said county, and was
*Lird Clarenton says," Undoobtedly my lord Finch's speech in the exchequer-chamber, made Ship-Mones moch more abborred, and fqrmidable, than all the commitments by the cottocirtable, and all the distresses taken by the sheriff in Engiand : the major part of men (besider the common unconcernedness in other mens suffering:) looking apon those proceedings with a kifh of applause to themoelven, to see other men puniahed, for not doing as they bad done; which delight was quickly determined, when they found their own interest; by the unsecessary logic of that Argument, no loss cencluded thed Mr. Haunpden's."
sontessed at a remsonable rate; and that the sum of 80r. was assessed upon the laids of John Hauripden, eug.; as by a schedule of 9 Mar. 19 Car. amnexed to the Cettionari, maty appear.

Whereupon a Mirtimus s Main 13 Car. with this Certiorari and 8chedule, was divected to the barons of the Exchequer, to do there for the sum unpaid, I prout de jure et per legem 'et consoetadinem, regai nostri Anglio fuer' ' faciend'.

A Sci' ${ }^{\prime} \mathbf{c}^{\prime}$ upon this went forth of the exchequer, to warn Mr. Hampden to ahew ceuse why ho should not puy the 20a. Upon the return of which Mr. Hampilen appeared, and demanded Oyer of the writ, Certionur, Schedele, Mittimus, and $\mathrm{Scr}^{\prime} \mathrm{Fa}^{\prime}$; and upon hearigr' of then read, he demuired, and $\mathrm{Mr}_{2}$ Attorney joined in the demurrer; then my lurd chref-baron, and the court of exchequer, adjourned it to the court of Exchequer-Chamber, desiring the advice of all his majeay's judges: and look what advice ne or the greatest number of us give, that court ought and must gin juigneat accordingly.
In the debating of this aase, there bath been great variety of opinions among the judger, a thang usoal and frequent in all great cases and consultentions; which shews commonly the difficulty of the thing, and argueth a eandor and clearness in the juiges, between whom combination and conspiracy would be most odions. All that lisve gone befure me, have in one dhing agreed, that it is the grentcst case that ever came in any of our memories, or the memory ot any man.

As the sun nitising in the horizon shews not the figire so clear, ns, when it is beholden in the merdian; so by maing many impertinences with the case in judguent, it hath been apprehended to be of a fir tenderer consequence than adeed it is : yet tender and weighty it is, If equally werghed, in the one balence we may put the regal power, ${ }^{\text {or }}$ rather the regality itself; in the other the prisleges and liberties of the subject, in his person and estate.
To look upon either of thene, or both, through the multiplying glass of affection, is to behold neitber of them truly; neithers can they be so truly discerned, mach less to multiply by the gless of fancy: and therefore juutice noeda to hold the beam straight.

I cannot fear 1 yyself, whea vulgar censure bath exercised itselfoupon every one that hath delivered himeelf apon this matter: yet I will not say, ' Domine, posuisti med in labrico loco;' for we that do wit bere, do move in a appere, and shoald be like the primum noobile, acoonding to whom all othere ate to steer their edorse; and judges iheuselves nust move stendily ween their right poles, as I hope this cosert' will. What judge soever he be that is elivvated by popular applause, or animated byebe contrary, to necumalate bonour, is fitter to live 'in free - Romuli quam in politia Anglise. Ner wifl 1 lose tirse in rennembering the first opth of a judus, who whould expel all by-reupeets, and spent hir esmeciesco. I hapo nome of in for-
vol. III,
get the duty we ove to God, to the ling aod to the commonweolih, and to ourselven, I shall eadeavour to satusfy my conscienos in all that I can say: And they forget their duay to the first, and humenity towarde os, that say or think the contrary of sny one of us. Sosme of us have fortunes and posteritien, and therein have given bostages to the commenwesith, ind hase as thuch interest in this ense Mr. Hampden. Those that want those blewiogs, want those temptationa that make thear dream of, or huit for honour or richet, to parpetuate their uanses and femsties; to them nothing ean be more precious than the balm of integrity, which will preserve their names aad memontes. It cannot be presumed, but we will apeak our cofsciences; since we well how shortly, as the Psalmist says, Corruption shall say, I ' am thy father, and the worm, I mm thy mos 'ther.'

- In bandling this case, no man can think I shall do otiler than right: and berein I am rather troubled for a method than for manter, rather how to disposp of what I Gnd, than to find what to diapose. I shall endeavour shortly and clearly (considering the time I have to spend, and the weightipess of the matters I am to speak of) to deliver my opinion with the reasons of it; and iny endeavour shall be rather to contract than omit.-I have, with the best care I could, taken notes of nll that hath been sadd for or ngainet Mr. Hampden; and have aceording to the measure of my underatanding, weighed and pondered all that hatb been spokerr, both at the bar, and by my brothers, and hestoved many hours in meditation ahout then, which the time of rest and ropose might have challenged.

Befaro I enter into the case, I shall spenk of the steps and degrees by which this causo has come to judgment; whereby it will clearly appea?', with what clemency, wisdom, jastice and goodnesb, bis majesty bath proceeded in this basiness.

The fint writ went out to the port-towas and maritime parts of the land, 20 Oct. 10 regis, uppo advice taken between his majetty and bis council. Before then, of these writs 1 can' say nothing; for I wascemmanded at that time to attend another service, about another fanploymeob, the forest of Deane: But it is ${ }^{2}$ well known, the resolation taken by his ninjenty therein, was groanded, and relied upon tionifg. ment aud learning of M r . Noy, Ationtey dine: ral, a man of great learaing, and ode dagk hedt great insight into records, by whow the ntatete was firot preparted, collected and digestell, und aferwards imparted to qome of min fateiettris leapted oouncel, and sfiprimards'to dome ofyer eminent persona, of the demperiuntreatith of no lese jourgenta and knotiodge in the laws of this rogim. AAlly wpos consoltation with my Lond Chiaraatio, pyd his virjenty's barnns of
 wits to be wal popth ofgimet the leg fiky of whieh, nothing bath been truly alled ede ' - IV is trae they ert moe in jodguest projerybitive
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us : and if method' did hot press it, I should not have mentuoned thein.
Biimo Octoh. anno 10, of his majesty's reign, bis majesty was- pleased to command me to serve in the place that now I do; and those records, witts, commissions, and other precedents, were brought to me, as they had been formerly to my Lord Chief Justice, and my Lord Chref Baron; and we three did confer together, and did delives our opinions in wnting, uader our hands, upon view and diligent perusal of a mullitude of antient records, writs, and other precedents of Ed. 1, Ed. 9, Ed 3, their times, and other records of other king's reigns; which opinions were in these words, 'That the 'dominion of the sen belongeth to the king; 4 and that he is sole lord and proprietor of the f saine. In which respect his excellent majesty 'these regalities and royal powers is to defend ' against all hostule actions, intrusions, and in'vasions, as well for the good of his suljects, ${ }^{6}$ as strangers, importing and exporting their ${ }^{4}$ commodities, and for the defence of the king${ }^{4}$ dom: And for the better performing whereof, - the Cinque-Ports have been required to pre-- pare such a number of ships of divers burdens, t and men of arms, and at sucb times, at their ' own charges, from time to time, as the same - writs and the present occasion required. Aud ' for the time, and place, and residence of ${ }^{6}$ their attendance, his majesty was the sole ap' pointer and only judge; and this was the con-- stant use in the rengns of those kings; and this ${ }^{4}$ was agreeable to the common law of the king'dom.'

And 15 Nov . 1034 , before the next summer, his m.jesty finding the danger to grow geueral, nnd conceiving, that there was little reason these maritine parts should bear the whole charge, for that the whole realon was interested therem; afterwards he requured our opinions, vir, my Lord Chicf Justice, my Loid Chief Baron, and myself, Junc 1635: After conference together, ne delivered our opinions. And we upon consultation conceiving the reason of the precedents before, and the rule of the lave, and reason requiring, that wheu the whole kingdom w:is in danger that the defence that concerned the whole kinguorn should be borne by all the subjects of the kingdom; this was first verbally deliscred to his majesty, and afterwards we put in writing under our hands, in these words: - Whereas the charge of defending the sea had - heen imposed upon the Cinque-Ports; so 'where the whole kingdom is in danger, the - whole charge ought' to be maintained by all 'the subjects of the realm.' And amengst other writs, thoge to the sheriff of Bucks weut forth at the tine aforesaid. Ater which; this majesty finding some question made of the legality of it, he called all his judges, not singly, nor any one in a comer, hut'because be woold have every onc of them truly infurmed, required them, ta advise together, and every one of them - 'by sbembelres to give his opinion ; sccording to which, wa weverally, and every enan by himself, witd all of us together, delivered our opinions
ender out hands, in this manner, viz.- 'Thot ' when the good and safety of the kingdom in ' general is concerned, and the whale kingdom © in danger, of which your majesty is the sole " Jodge, your majesty may, by writ onder the 'great seal of Fagland, cominand all the sub'jects of this your kingdom, nt their own 'charge, to pravide such aenumber of ships, - with men, ammunition, and victuals, and for ' such a time as your majesty shall thank fit, for ' the defence and safeguard of the kingdom, 'from such danger and peril: And that, by 'the law your majesty may compel the doing ' thereof in case of refusal.' In which this clause 'his majesty is the sole judge,' was only put in by ten of us; my brother Liutton having not seen nor weighed the precedents, took time to advise, and gave no opinion, till conference between us: And my brother Crooke had the same reason, being not acquainted with those writs, but yet subscribed his opinion singly by himself, Dec. 1635 , viz. ' That where the good 'and safety of the kingdom is in danger, of 'which bis majesty is the sole judge, his ma'jesty may command all his subjects at their charge, to provide and furnish such ships to ' sea, with men and ammunitiou, ns shall be 'necessary for the defence thercof.' And this I hold to be agreeable to law and reason; and though I perceived nothing of this his opinion in his argument, yet be stll holdeth it.

Wherein I observe, 1. That the king is sole judge of the danger, and whether it be imminent. 2. Not'only that the king may in such danger command his subjects to defend the kingdom it case of necessity, but that the charge of the defence quight to be borne by all the realm in general. Which opimon was more indepeudent than the rest, for that out opinion before it had relation to the precedent of maritime parts: butthis was, that the silyject mught be charged absolutely; and this was delivered by hum readily, chearfully, and without hesitation ; he will not deny ji.-I speak not of thu as of a thung whereby ho ought to have been concluded, but that all the world should hnow, that his majesty's regal and legal power go hand in hand togeties, and that his princely love and affection to his subjects are surli, that he is willing to prevent all mistakes: And I spenk it also to this end, that when judges singly delivet. their opinions to the king not examining the reasons that moved them to it; we ought to see very good and pregnant reasons to vary from that opinion, thoogh it be not binding.
This his majesty required for his own private satisfaction; and this I dare boldly say was so delivered by $n s$, that no one judge knew the opinion of the rest. When his majesty found slackness in some of his subjects in contributing to this charge, and thinking that it proceeded rather from ${ }^{2}$ misunderatanding of the law, than for want of daty, as desimos, out of his princely love, to avoid all inistates, he did upon 9 Feb. 1680, yend a letter to all his majeaty's judges and barons of the Exchequer, thereby requiring our several opinions ! about which we ad gou-
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ferrod; and the particulins, wherein our opinions were required, had been considered of befiore, or else we were much to blame; for we bad time enough to think uponit. And though our answers were returaed the 7th of the same month, yet we had it in our consideration from June 163J, which was 15 months before the answcr returned; go there was ao surprize. I will spare to name our opinion then delivered; for it hath been repeated before. Whan we came to the debate and voting of this, we brake the wit into several parts.

1. When the good and safety of the kingdow in general is concerned, and the whole lingdoin is in danger, Whether it ought not to le defended at the charge of the whole hing. dom ? And agiced it was, una voce, nullo contradicente, that it ought. * 2. Whether the charge of the defence might not be commanded by the king? Which was also agreed, that it mught. 3. Whether the bing was not the sole judge, both of the danger, and when and how it was to be prevented? Whesein my brothers Hutton and Croohé did agree it likewise, that he uns sole judge of the danger. What their opimonv ure now, nad whesein they differ, with their good leave I shall examine, and their reasons and differences; (though indeed of the king's heing the solc judge, in their arguments, my boother Crooke spake nothing of his npimon therem, nor my brother Hutton nothing against 1t.) But we delisered not our opmion upon the bye; nor was it so required of his majesty.

It wus then also declared by all of us, that we did not deliver our opimons as lunding, nor were they so required ly his mujesty; of all whbuh I dare boldly say, his majesty was truly informeg. And this was aloo soon after published hy his command, and seconded by my Loud Keeper and Lord Privy ${ }_{2}$ Seal, the first of them asing muny arguments and sound collecr. trons, delivered it in charge to his majesty's judges, to delwer it in their circuits, which might have satiotied any that did not respect their own private heacfit. And Mr. Hacopden, I think, of all hath the least cause to complam, being assessed but 90s. a contemptible sum, in respect of his annual fevenues, to bring this case to judgment: yet his majesty's clemency appears to be great heieid, in that he

- would not debar any to question the lawfulness of it, though he hath, pernuitted arcana imperii, nay, imperium ipsum, (I would to' God I could not say eveu too licentiously) 'o be debated at this bar; yet I syeak il not by way of reprehention, but admonition to the counsel, who ate to be commended, in thitt they have done otheir duty faithfully for their client: yet I may say, such a ravelling and dising into the king'o revenue, and secrex estate of princes, and what succeeding kings may be, or may dof it doth not well becone these plesent times: it would not have been eudured in the bert preceding times. It was yot well done to duubt succeeding posterity, that promise ns much as anyoof their predecessors have doue for the good- of the
commonwealth. It is not well to clog the case. with so many precedents, impossible to be tho'roughly observed; but aur example, I hope, stail be a bar heceafter, and our care shall be to prevent it, being a great hindracee of justice, and cause of greit expence to the subjects loug atteudance about their causes here ; which may prove a greater charge than in providting shups for the realm.

I come to the case now, as it stands in judg. ment before us; wherefn my method shall be,

1. To examine what the case is,-1I. I will give my opinion of the case, with the reasons thereof,-III. I will answer the objections made against it.-Iv. I will speak to the legnlity and form of the writ 4 Ang. the Certiorari, the Mittimus, and Sci' Fa' out of the Pxchequer. And to all these, with what brevity I can, I will speak according to the weight of the ca9e, where vuriety of opinions gives just causp to balance them ; the cuse must arise out of the Record, and must stund or fall upon that.
I. For the case itself; and therein, 1. I will shew what danger there is, that is the ground of the charge. 2. What things there are to maintain it as for other tlungb, they tend to the destruction of the case.
2. I am of opinion, that the danger of the whole kingdom ought to be expressed clearly; for else the ground-work faileth; for if no danger, no reason of the charge. And I am, of opinion, that in the बैrit 4 Aug. it ought to be expressed; andnot in the Mutamus; though as my brother Jonep observed, the Mittimus comes tipe enough to Mr. Ilampden to give him notice, yet he was not liable to the charge, but by the writ $\$$ Aug. (1.) It is objected, the danger is not clearly expressed; for it is not upon words of certainty, but by way of uncertainty, 'Quod datum cst nobss intelligi.' (2.) For the causes of the writ, that it hath not relation to the danger of the kingdom, but to dofend the sen-coasts against pirates, dxc. And they are not woriby of a royal navy, as browther Croohe also' observed.-But I hold first, that the danger is sufficiently expressed, 'Cer' tum est sicut res habet; datuu nobis est in' telligi:' A thing very ordiuary with us; and in all former writs Ex relatione, \&e. 'Quod 'valgaris opinio est, \&ke.' Altbough my lord chiel baron paralleled this to the Case of Pa tents, 'ex certa scientia, \&cc.' which is nothing alike: for there before the king pass awny land, be may be informed if he enay do it: but 1 hold, as this case is, the dunger will not permit it to be exsmiped, whether there be just cause of fears ; for then it night receive delay, which is daugerous, and the kingdom be lons whist we are disputing. And then for the phrase itself, 'Datum est nobis intelligi.' It is sufficient that the king knows there is a dauget; add therefore, if it bad been ouly 'intellyi' mus,', none can deny but it had been sulificient. Aud what difference is there botween 'intelligimus' aad "datura eat nobis intelligi ${ }^{\text {C }}$ That sets forth the lnowledge of ihe dapgets,
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and this shews the means whereby he doth know it : 'Ut dacum ext noluis intelligi.' This goes firther than ' ex suditu, runor eat, \&cc.' Tharefore unless the king shoull go out of the kingdom to see the danger, can it be utherwise expressed?
(s.) I hold, that the danger itself, with the motives in the writ, are sufficient.-The motives are, great depredatwas of the suljects good, and lives: but it in not upon this 1 rest, for this hath relation to pirates leading many Christians into captuvity. These are goud motives, zud (as one of my brothers ssid well) thaugh these have relation to pratps, yet bellum piraticum points at as much terror ais Hannibal ad portas. -I shall not rely much upon that, that the enemies of Chriatendenn and of this nation did prepare ' ad mercatores uosirva ulteriuk mo'lestand';' nor 'ad regnum gravand' pisi citios 'remedium apponatur, \&ce.' But thas 'Con'sideratis periculis que undique bis guerrinis ${ }^{6}$ temporibus innmentibus hat quod nobia et ${ }^{4}$ subditis nostris defenstoncm maris et regni ${ }^{6}$ nostri nmni festinatione qua poterimus con' venit, \&cc.' shews otherwise than for the pirate, this defence was requisite. Therefore the next clause is ' Nos volentes defensionem ${ }^{6}$ regni, tuitionem maris, securitatem subdito'rum nostrorum, \&c.' And therefore that f alva conductione navium et merchandizatum ' quas ad regnum nostrum Angigiz venerint, et - de eodem rezno ad partes exteras transeunt, "\&cc.' takes not away the fonuer works, nor limita them.-As for the chense in the Miltimus, I stand not upon it, nor that 'Salus ${ }^{-}$regni et populi nostri Anglive periditabatur, '\&c.'
(4.) Adenit there had bern no preamble nor expressment of danger, 1 hold the command itself is sutticient for selting forth the danger, which is, that the ship be with other his majesty's ships, and the ships of other his majesty's subjects at Portsmonth the 1st dny of Marich next following; the words of the recond be, - Exinde cum navibus nostris et navibos aliof rum fidelium subditorum nostrorom pro tui'tione maris et'defensione nostrotum et ves'trorum, \&c.' And particularly to express the dauger is not necessary ; for the king, the sole arbiter both of peace uad war, best knows it ; and it was the practice in former times: and so no wisdon for the king to express the danger in particular, when arms usually go befure heralds; nor is it the use of princes to compliment, io tell Foe enemy they will, or intend to invade their lands. And therefore I bold, though it might be more clear, yet satin at guod saffictit $I$ in my own conscience am safisfied that the danger is eertion enough expressed in the urit. And sorI have done with the first partivular, the denget, which was the gtowad of this writ.
(9.) As to the second particulur, what is alledged to be for the preventing of that danger; my brocher Huaton, and my brothé Crook, would have it to be rtising of neoney, by reasoix of that clause in the writ, for the distribution
of the surplasage. But the record is, 4 ad as' sideadum ornnes hounines et ad conitribuen'dum navem vel partem navis nap habentes, ' koc.' which shews it cannot be for money, neither is there any colour of mouny; for it is to find a ship: and if they huve not of their own, they must build, or buy oue with their meacy. But there is a grent deal of difference between payment of money and finding of a ship., As if my brother Crooke be required to find a light horse and arms, he must buy one, or hire with his money, if he hath none: but yet the charge is not for maney, but that he flads a light horse.-But my brother Crooke's objection is, if any surplusage remain, it shall be divided; and so the sheriff is to detain no part of it, but employ it fur the public good, and not convert it to his own proper beaefit. To this I answer, that this shews the equality of the charges nhich is fittent to be by payment of money.-My brother Crooke hath farther objected, that an inland county cannot huild a blip: a great erouble for the county of Bucks, so far from the sea, to build a ship. To this I answer, that those of Buckunghamshire may hire a ship, if they cannot build one; and the words are but parare, not for the buildng but preparing a ship; and it is not meant that they should build it there, but that they should contribute to the building of a ship in a most fit and convenient place.
II. I shall now give my opinion of the case, with the reasuns thereof. 'I he king hnowing and declaring the whole kingdom to be in danger, and necessatily requiring'his subjects to dafend and provide for this danger at sea, he may thereupon comainand all his subjects to prepare ships to join with his navy royw agoinst the enenies of the whole realm, to defend the whole reulun: and it is clear in the case, and it was the meaning of us all, that the king must join in the charge, it being far from us to excuse the king from bus fatable part.
My rensons that the king may thus charge his subjects to join with him in the defence of the kingdom, are these.- 1 . The defence of the kingdom mut be at the charge of the whole kingdom in general. 2. The power of laying this charge is, by the policy and fundamental laws of this kiagdom, solely invéted in the king. 3. The law that hath given this power to the king to do these things, hath given him means to, put these things in execattion,
Agd as to all these I shall ground myself upon authorities in law, and precedents in all ages;

1. That the ${ }^{\text {" }}$ defence of the lingdom must be at the charge of the kingdom, I shall prove, (1.) From the law of nature, which is, that every thing in nature ought to defend itself. (a.) Proin the rale of resson : for 'Quod ' omnes rangit, ab omnibus supportari debet.' (3.) From the true use of ell that we enjoy which nfast be abused, if not employed to and for the good also of those that come after us;
and neceessary it ia for our posterity to have all sure and safe. A good pauent will spare some blood to preserve his owa bealth; and a grod husband will spare some of his beot ground for ditches and fencef to preserve the roest; and he in an ill husband that finde not mafety in that he dotb. (4.) From the las of property : as every one hatia a particular property in his own goode, so every one hath a property in general in another man's goods, for tho common good. For the commonwealth hath a property in every man's goods, not only in time of wrr, but also in tume of nesessity in time of peace. Therefore if any man take amay my goods without my consent, I have my action, and recover damage. Doctor and Student sath, Both a trespass of lands and goods is punishabble ly indictment, and trospass, at the king's suit as well as at the sabject's: and this is hy reason of the public interest the king hath in every subject's goods for the common good.-Now the rule and maxima before so clearly and fully put and agreed by all, $i$, that in cale of necessity that is apparent, the subject ought to defend the kingdom. And my brother Crooke agrees in cnase of danger, so it be eminent, all muen are bound in thir perrons and estates to defend the kingdom ? and he says then they must esponere se et ous : I thank he means a man that takes a journay miy carry hus money with him, se et sua : or else he neens the king cannot commnnd their money withnut their consent: of which I will speak in its proper place.
2. I come now to the second part of my peneral head, which is the power of laying thin charge. By the faudamenental laws and policy of this kiagdom, the sole interest and property of the sea, dcc. is in the king. I will not speak of this mouarchy, this is ratber fit for civilians, historians, or the pen of a divive, than a judge at Wesminster-hill : nor will I speak of the division of monarchiey: the poots say that Saturn was the fittst foumder of kingdoms. Ooly this I will say, that for the exceilency of the government of this kingdom, throogh God's blcusing, none are more happy than ne. Look and see in other nations, sand tell me if you can find out any place where they can and do enjoy those mercies of peace and plenty which yedo; so as we may justly say, 'O fortunatos 'nimium bona ai suan noriat Britannos!' Nor will I perplex myself with the origital of the nation and monarchy; some stories are fabblous, others doubfful, not any to dear as to set it forth certninly, though they apeek truly what is sufficient for as to knowis norit he the pooreyi, ' Qui non potest namerare pecus,' aor he bas of the worst geatlemen that cannot show the original of his pedigree. The excellency of this monarchy is, that it is nfficient $h$ is a monarchy; and that it is most true wharPorrescese saith of our laws. I agree that Portesoue wns a lord chief justice in Hen. 6's time, but not chanoellor of Bugland. Soe and kend make but one kingdom, and the king is sponnes regni; Magdalea College Cese, bir Joli. Der
vieo' Roports, stat. as Hee. 8, 1 Elia, and 1 Jee. Thei soil of the rea belongs to the king apa is lond and sale proprietor of theon; and good reason why he slooold, as is well manimtained by Mr. Selden, that woahy and hearoed anthor of Mare Clasusum ; and I hope aball le by his ranjexty maintained, with the iovereigory of the rea: and withouts a pary this mutbonisy can do bat lintle guod. - The king holda tha diaden of God unly, all sthers hold stheir hando of him, and he of none but of God, but thie is but to ligbt a candle for others. From bence only I will abserve, that none other case thare with him in his absolute poner.
A parliament is an bonourable court ; and I confess it an excellent means of charging the subfect, and defending the kingdom; but yot it in not the only means. An honour the last partinment mas. plosesed to bestow on me, which mever any shall with mone respect rosuember than myself, when they were pleased to cluse me for their Speakef. And as my brother Hotton said, I conceive it a fit way to clarge the whbject ; avd I wish that some, for their privale bumour, had not sowed the tares of discontent in that feeld of the commoowealik, then might we have expecced and found good froit. But now the beat way to redeem this lost privilege (for which we may give those thanks only) is to give all opportune appearance of obedience aud dutifilleese 10 his najesty's sommanad. The two housces of parlianent withoutyohe king cannot make a haw, por without hit royal assent dechare in: he is not bound to call it hut when he pleasecth, nor to corthnue it but at his pleasure. Cer tainly there was a king belore $n$ parfisment, for how elce could there be an assembly of ling, borde and commanss? And then what sorereignty was there in the kingdom but this? His power then was limited by the positive lan: ; then it cgnuot' be denied bot originally the king had the sorereiguty of the whole kingdom hoti by sea and land, whe hath a power of charging the wbole kingdom.
3. The law that hath given that pomer, hathgiven means to the king by this authority to put it in execution. It in a very true rules, the law commmnds nothing to be done, bot in pernits the ways and means how it may be done; olse the law shoold be iapperfect, lame and unjust : therefore the law that hath give⿻ the interest and soveroignty of defending and governimg the tingdtbm to the kiop doth also give the king power to charge his subjoetp for the necossery defence aid good thereof. Apd so the ting is boond to defend, so the sulfectis are bosed to obey, and to compe owt of their owa country, if occasion be, and to prorida horse and erras in foreiga war! and such are oompeliable now to find guas isstead of bows' and antowe, so ammunitioes, as powder, shot, gec. Theifif seas mod hind be but one entire kingdom, and the king lord of both, the subjece. is bound ses well to the defences of the see un of the land; sed then aff are boand to provica abips, men, ammenialion, rictunals, and necer
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.saries for that defence. And for us islanders it is pasost necessary for ua to defend ourselves at sea; therefore if was the great argument in 1588, whether it was best to fight with the royal and invincible navy or Armada of Spain at sea, or sutfer them to land; end it was resolved clearly, that it was better to fight with them at sea, though we lost the battle and our ships, than to suffer them to land.-But then there nas Hannibal ad portaz. To this I shall ansser afterwards. But heie the maritume towns shall not help the inland, nor the inland the maritime, but each of them bear their own charge, and defend themselves. Bat of this I shall likewise speak hereafter; yet nodoubtedly it is rensonable that both should join to delend the kingdom in case of aecessity. "

Now I shall endeavour to prove this clearly by authority in law, and precedents in all ages. And, (1.) It is a great authority in law, that there is no express authority against it: though there have been some books cited by my brother Hutton and my brother Crooke, (which I ahall answer in their due place, amongst other objections) yet there is not one authority or opuion, much less resolution or judgment, in necessary time of danger, that says, the king may not charge the subjects for defence of the kinguum. (2.) All thepe authorities that prove the king is trusted with the defence of the kingdom, and in divers cases give hims aid, caxes, subsidies, \&cc, prove that the sulject is bound in case of dangerapd necessity, to pay them to the hing for deferte of the kiogdom. (3.) All the authorities of murage, pontage, salt-petre, \&c. shew that for the good of the public the king is interested in the estates of the subject, and may charge them much more, If for the well-being, than where the being itself of the commonwealth is at stake and in danger. (4.) The authority of commanding the persons of the subjects ta come ouk of their own countries proves it. The power of commanding the person of the subject into foreign parts is in the king; much more the state of men should be at his command, in case of necessary defence of the kingdom. (5.) All the commissions of arraying men in Ed. 1, Ed. 2, Ed. 3, Ed. 4, Hen. 7, and Hen. '8's times, Kc. are grounded upon the same reason, and went out for' the necessary defence of the kingdom. These writs are not to command the person, but a ahip only, 'juxta facultates suas ; which are answereble in season to the antient precedents.
From authorities I come to precedents; though they be not judgments, yet they shew the practice of the law : and what better book have we in the law, thap the book of precedents, or what is there of more eutbority than that, for wo have not the twelve tables for our comsmon laws? The common law is but the common usage of thaland; and therefore the precedeats alledged by the king's counsel are of good muthority to prove the laws in this case: wherein I thall not name the particulars, they huve beea well remembered by Mr. Attorney and

Mr. Solicitor: but 1 will mention thé substance of them.

The first surt of precedents wape before the Conquest, in the times of Edgar, Alfred, Etheldred, \&ca the use was to defend the kingdom at the charge of the whole kingdom, by the edict of the king: A strong inference from the precedent of the graut to the clergy and church of divers privileges, with these exceptions of Pontrum, dc. in the times of Edgar, Alfred, and Etheldred, \&sc.

The council of Enoch in Edgar's time, about 606 , mentioned by the learned antiquary sir Lenry Spelman, fo. 510. And after those follows 'he sunt constitutiones, \&cc.' fo. 523, in which arc excellent things, good for church and common-wealth, cap. 23. Navales Expeditiones, if it be no act of parliament, yet nothing is more like an act of parliament: take the phrase of those times, and certaunly it was either an act of parliament, or a proof of the king's power, that without parliament, he might charge fie subject for the defence of the kingdow in case of danger. And the word expedition is used for war, and sometimes for an army, as Cassiodorus giving the reason of the name says. In the third place, it shows the practice of the kings of Eingland to charge their subjects for the defence of the kingdou in case of danger.-Now if this charge of Danegelt be not takca away by any of the acts of parhament, it remains still, saith my brother Ifutton. And so I think it doth, or somethang in heu of it; for it is not taken away by any act of parliament.
In these precedents, observe, (1.) That they are all upon the sume common reason that this is. (2.) These writs are not lunited for their number or tinse; so they prove the power was in the king to charge his subjects. (3) In these precedents, some were to inland counties, as Bucks, Iuntingdon, Bedford, Leicester, Oxford, Berks, \&cc. And, though they went not generally to all counties at one time, yet they went to them es occasion was. And if the danger had required it, the king might, if he pleased, have sent to all as well as to some.
But because there was never any time, when all the ammunition in the kingdom was drawn at one time to one' 'place, may it not therefore be done? the commanding sometimes of one, sometimes of another, is ą argument they may be all commanded as occasion requires. I do not bứld my opiaion upon confused notious, but on matters digested, on precedents of weight, the chiefest in respect of time: and afier the making of Magna Charta, 9 Hen. 3, 13 II, s, ${ }^{\prime}$ m. 48, 18 Hen. 3 , m. 7, 13 Ed. 3, m. 77, 23 Ed. $3, \mathrm{~m} .4,28 \mathrm{Ed} .1, \mathrm{~m} .93$, and many others in Ed. 1's time, there is proving contribution towards the maintenapce of the sea-cosasts from inland tufros, as 95 Ed. 1, m. 13, the abbot of Robertsbridge's case is a full precedent, notwithstanding all that hath been said against it. So 9 Ed. 9, pars 1, 20 Ed. 2, m. 7, 2 Ed. 3, Scot. Roll. 7 Ed. S, m. 9, 10 Ed. S, m. 16, 17. $11 \mathrm{Fd} . \mathrm{S}, 12 \mathrm{Ed} .3,15,15,10,18 \mathrm{Ed.3}, 46 \mathrm{Ed}$.

3, m. 54, 25 Ed. 3, Rot. Franc. m. 9, 25 Ed. S, 1 Kd. 2, 1 Hen. 4. Yet Hen, 4 had as much reason to please the people as any king of Englund soin Hen, 5 's time, though busied in the glorious conquests of France, or rather recovery of France; God forbid we should see such times. so in the times of Ed. 4, Hen. 6, Hen. 7, and Hen, 8, by way of offensave war, writs and comumssions to their saljects to contribute toward it. So in queen Eliz.'s time, commistions cowards the mamtenance of the kingdon. 11 Elu. 41 Eliz. a commission to the earl of Nottughaum. In 1588, letters fiom the lords of the council, which letters bad the queen's writs in them.

But $m y$ brother Crooke answered all these with this rule of law, 'Judieaodum est legibus ' non exemplis.' To this I answer, that examples and precedents are good law ; they are authorities out of the law, and what of more certanty? Digest of writs, these are inter oracula legus, precedents drawn up by clerhs; though they pass sometimes sub silentio, yet are they good authoritues in the law. The abbot of RoLerts bridge's case is a precedent of great authority. But it is alleuged, no precedent goes to inland counties. I answer, in truth the precedents are quite otherwisc ; for ordnary defence chey go to maritume counties only, but when the danger is general, to inland counties also, and after another manner. Por this I rufer yout to my brother Weston's argument: These could not be so frequent; for first, such danger was but *eldom: Secondly, We had theni double hostihty, oue from France by sea, another from Scothand by laud. Examine the precedenta therefore.

Another observation that my brother Crooke made, is this, that we are compellable by our persons and aims, but not with any sum of money. I answer with my brofher Jones, that bona corpnis areabose bona, fortune; but this poser of biberty to commiad the persons of his subjects, be agrees is in the ling; then I any, moie reason that their cstates should be in lus power in this cave of defence. Resides, the precedents warrant the quite contrary, and nages have bern paid the soldiers by the subject in the case.

- IIf. The third thing I shall do in this case, is the answering of all the objections which have been made aganet it , which were three:

1. That thus writ was athanst the common law. 2. That it was nguinst the stature law. 3. That many inconveniences will grow thereby,
2. It is against the common lam, because ify is without precedent: this is the first of this kiod since the Conquest; and where there is no precedent, the law will not hear it; Intileton, ful. 32. Jord Coke's comment upon it: and they put divers cases to the same purpose. I noswer, that there are precedents for it, and the law is so, that the king may charge his subjects towards the defence of the kingdom in this case. - 9. The second objection is, that it is ageinst che freadom of the subject, who hath a trae
property in his poods, which camnot be tekerv away whthout his actual or implied oonsent. Lambert, fol. 294. Mag. Char. 17 ting John, Mat. Parss, fol. 242. Fortescue, fol. 9, cap. 18, \&c. 13 Hen. t, the Chamberiain of Londan's case, Reg' fol. 127, Fitz-herb. Ns, Br. \&ect I answer, that the authority of Lambert rehearsung the laws of the Conqueror, is, ' Volvmas et - concedimus ut omnes liberi hotaines totias mosarchas regni nostrie habeant et teneant terras suas et possessiones suas bene et in pace; hberas ab omni exactione injusta, et ab ommitallagio, ita quod nihil exigatur vel capiatur nisi per conimune concilium,' \&ce. It' cannot bo coastrued that they should not bo charged, but that they should be free from all onjuat tayes. The king is not coneluded by the subsequent words 'omne tallagium;' this cannot be so general, but the king may inapose just charges towards the necessary defence of the whole Lingdom. For this is meant, as by the word tarllage plainly appeareth, 'Tallagnm' is derived from a French word, and is andeed a cutung word, and therefore ' injusta exactio;' which shews that for the most part ir in taken in the worst sense, and as my brother Creoke said it, the memner of expounding it must be trom the law. But my brother Crooke quite left out these words following that declare and expound the former, viz. "Statumas et firmuer procipi${ }^{\prime}$ muf ut omnes iberi homines totus regni pried' ' sunt fintres conjurati ad tnonarchiam nostram ' pro virbus suis et ficultatubus contra inimicos 'pro posse suo defendend' et vinliter servand'; \&c. whereby $x$ is apparent, (1.) That the kingdom is to be defended by the whole kingdom 'profacultatibas' with their goods; as well at 'viribus' with their persons. (2.) It comes after the chapter of renare and services, by which they are bound to defend, ' terjas et honores 'suos,' \&ce. which slrews that he meant not to discharge any jrom the general charge of dofending the kingdom in case of necessity.
The next objection is che charter of king John, ' Nullum tallagium mponatur nisi per com' mune concilum.'
I ansner, the words are concerning the defence of his own person, and not the kingdom; and therefore $t$ is excepted, ${ }^{\text {' }}$ nisi ad redimen'rum corpus nostrum;' and in the original act these words are left out. Scutage, murage, and other sids there mentioned, shews that only those were meant, that were of private benefit: They were not to be imposed by tke king upon ary subject, without parliament, but not to bir biniself from laying such as were for the publio good.
The next authority that was objected, was. Portescue, which was most preswed and insisted on by niy brother Crooke. Befnre I come to the words theraselves, note (1.) The time when he wrote that book, it wes after all the acts of parliament that took mivay the royal power; yet it did not mention them, so as mast nededo relate to the common law. 'It was writ when the civil wars were between the two houses of York and Lancaster, and be himself was in
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exile; no time then to displease the people. (a.) It shews the difference letween kingdoms, when a monareh rules, that challeogeth pil power over bis subjects, and a monarch that governs according to the positive laws. The words that seemed to be against this charge are, fol. 9, cap. 26, ' Rex Angliz politice imperans 'genta sue arc legent ipse sine suflditorom at-- sensu mutare potert, nec subjectum popalum
' rententem anerares supositionibus percigrinis.' cap. 13, fol 32, 'llex caput corporis politici - mutare son potest leges corporis illius nec ' ejusd' popali substantias proprias subtrahere 'reclamautubus cis nut invitis.' And cap. 36, fol. 84, which my brother Crooke says is the express nathority in hoc individuo; the words arte, - Rex regni Anglive ibidem per se aut ministros ' taillggig subsidia aut quovis oners alia imponit

- legibus sais nut leges eorum mutat vel novas
${ }^{6}$ condit sne concessione vel assentu botius reg.
- ni sui in purliamento sno expresso,' \&c.

From them all, I take the true meaning of him to be; and I hold, (1.) That the kingdom ought to be governed by*he positive laws of the land; and that the king ennnot chnage or make new lawa without a parliament. (a.) That the subject hath an absolute property in his soods and estate, and that the king canonot take them to his own ulc. (3.) That for his own uso he cannot lay nny burden upon his snbjects, without the subject's consent in parlinhent. (4.) That for tha beriefit of trade, the king may lay fitting uspositions, and may command that which is for the necessary defepce of the kingdom ; which is no commend of charge, but command of employing. (5.) I answer therefore to the great objection, that the liberty of the aubject is lost, and the property is drowaed which they have in their estates.

Fint, I say, nll privete property must give way to the pablic; and therefore a trespass to private men may be punished dhy indictraent, hecause it is an offence of the public weal: and thoogh every man hath a property in bia goods, yet he must not use them in detriment of the commonwealth. A man may give hingrass or corn away in the field, or when it is in his barn: but if he will cut it unusally, or barn or destroy his corn, or if he ctrow bis goods into the sea, that they may perish, these are crimes ponisbable by the common taw : so is trunpporting of goode, commodities, againat the public good: therefore the directivns of the istatutes, for the restrinat hereof, are from the conmaion layr. And the rcason of this in, becanse the public property murt take place: and if in petty besiness it may be, then much more in time of pebkic; nad groat neceasity and danger. And it is rectiver averment' of the sutjects eroperty, that in case of neesesity only they may be taken awas, than contrary to it. $\rightarrow$ My broderer Hattou and my brather Crooke ngriee, that thin art bonad in cnet of neceminty asponere ag ef nut, to defied the kingdom; nod many not the kieg conmand a pert, with more reson than all?
In the peat phice, I shall remore a acanded that hath teen pos of one the king, bow maik dik
majesty hath meant to make a private personal profit of it. - What he hath done is well known; and I dare confidently eay, all hath been spent, without any account to himself, and that his majenty hath been at grest charge beandes towands the same: and I heard it from his own royal mouth, he spake it to me, and my lord Brampsion can testify as mueli, that he said, it never entered into his thoughts to mike wuch use of it; and therefore said, he was bound in conscience to convert it to the ose it was received for;' and none other; and that he would sooner eat the money, than convert it to his use. Therefore, he that thinks the king made a revenue of 1 , doth bigbly slander his majesty. But let kiogs be as David wes, men after God's own heart, yet they will not want a Shimei to rail on them.
But though (blessed be God) his majesty is so gracious and loving to his subjects, and so just, that we need not fear he will charge them but upon urgent necessity; yet we know not what succeeding nges will do.-It is not well to blast succeeding ages; and if they should hereafter charge unreasonebly without cause, yet this judgment warrants no such thing. Again, it is no argument to condeunn the true use of a thing, becanse it may be abused. And again, the law reposes as great trost in the king as this. The king roay pardon all offences; but if he should, then none shouid be safe. The ling may make peace and war at his pleasure: but yet thould he make pence, when peace would ruin us; or war, when war would undo us; it would be worse than this. Therefore it cannot be guspected, that the king will do any thing against law and the public good of the kingdom: therefore the law says, the king can do no wrong; for be is spontus regni, as irpMagdalen College case.

Then they object Clark', end the chamberlain of London's case. These cases ere nothing against this, bat rathes for $i t$.-The record of 14 Ric. 2, rot. 60, B. R. Lever's case, in an notion of trespass, for trking away his goods, withmut his consent, had judgment to recover in Darhas.-But the rase was this tone Lever of Durham brought his action apainst another for entering into his house, and taling away his goods and 601 , in money; the defendant pleaded Not Guilty, and the jury upon a specinl verdict found that the defendant took away his money, but upos this occusiun: the Scots had invaded the roekm; and were in Durham, and conld noty be gone without a certain sum of unoney: whersupon the inhebitants assembled, and amongst the resti the plaintif was one; and they made an order to alide the ordinance of the grester part, whieh was to give the Senta the monney devired; and beciuse the money was to be peid prosently, ready down, therefore they made another order, to sewrot in all men's honsen, ard take away what money they foumd ; end aetooding to whioh the defeadant seerchod the plaintifict house, snd 'took away sot, and becames it was withoot cooment the phaiatir had jadgmeat in Durhamis but upen
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the special verdipt it wis reversiedrin the King's-Bench, bectuse it was wish his conspat. Indeed the reasons were, 1st, because be had sufficient remedy ageinat the commonalty of Durham, and zdily, bectuse be did it as a vervant.

But I answer, Ist, Through the ordinanca was good by conseat, yet it followed not chat it was void withnut consent ; the question in these only, whether good by consent. sdly, (izifol. lowa not but that all men without conself arer, bound to contribute towards a general charge for uecessary defence.

Another objection made by my brother Crooke was 2 Hic. 2, pars 1, where all thie lords and sages met togethemftor parliament, and it was agreed by thern," that they could not charge the commons withnot pailiament; that this was a declaration of the lan in parliament, and alenost equivalent to an net of parliament. I answer, ( 1 ) that this was bo act, but a declaration in pariament of the law, and indeed no deolaration, but a relation by the cbapeellor. (q) If it had been a decieration, yet it had not been bindng wathout the king. (3.) It is no precedent of a good look, it was when the king was young, and the parliament had the regency: counseliors, theasurers, and all his officers nibout hus person, were chosen by the parliament; and therefore, no wonder if they endeqsoused to please the parhament. (4.) It is a precelent that they, i. e. the lordb, could not charge the commons by themselves.-Again, the case was not for the defence of the realm, but for wars in Yrance, Sootland, and Irelend; these were the thany wars. Though subjects may be charged for necessary defonce of the kingdom, yet af foreiga wais be together with them, it s otherwise. And therefore in the pariament beforc, they ssid such charge batoog not to them; and therefore they bold, they ought not to bear it; and so that rule of Getcoigne; 24 Hen. 4 , fol., 4 . That no man shall be charged withoot parliament, where bulwarks were bailt, \&ec. it proves not, though it implies, that if it had concerned the hingdom, it had been otherwise.
3. The next general ohjection was the great minconveniency that would herespon easue; if such a charge might be, then none knows what his charge will be, for the king reay copmand if as often is he plpases, an example hereof they put in Danegelt, that in eleven years they grew from twelve to forty-eight chonsand pounds: therefore the law hath proviled ageinot that uncertainty, and liasited it to a parliament. -

1 answer to this, (1.) That if daoger increape, so must tha charge; agnin, the king.nagy cevar mand all persons when there is neoevits, and as often as he pleases he may do ih. If mot thas as grast ma iacosvenieary at in this cate, and yet that abates not the writ? Mg beother Crooke sbawed bow sabsiaies uncrested, and yet no inconveniency in that be concefivedi, end radend the showscite provicion of charget must be acconding to the denger. Besides, no abtaie
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fol swe thereok. Bot we cianpot mppeot stet there viil be swoh alvens "Ebi oonciditDous ief ' lex, et nos etiant conedompus.' God and th Itw hach trusted bive enajoeky sod weshould topt distrast hiar. In time of finminent dagget tempore belli, say thing, nad by say matigh be done, murder cannot be panianed, yeth fider my brother Orooke, the king cannot oharget his subjects in any case withont parhament 5'Do, not when the kingdom is actually iavadod by the eopmy. Bat truly I think, as he was tide first, so be mill br the last of that opisign, et. pecially having delivered bin opinion, that the king 15 wole judge of the danger before, ans indeed be is; and that the king js sole judge of the danger, not any have demied it, nud therefort else it should be no danger, but n hên every one shall sरy, you shall judge that the kingdom is in danger.:
(9.) There bath been, and may he, as great danger when the enemy is not dincerneif, as when in aims and on the lan.t. In the tume of war when the course of haw is attopped, whee judgos have no puweror place, when the coarts of juatice can send out no process, in this case the hing may cbarge his subjects, you grant. Mark what jou grant; when there is such *confusion as no laid, then the hing may da it. 'Dato uno absurdo, infintta sequantur.' Thea there may be a time of war in one part of the kingdem, and the courts of justice many sit ; as un 14 IIen. S, Rich. 2 , und Hen. T's time, wart were in some parts ;of the land, yet the judges sat in Westunnaterdiflll.
(i.) Now, whether a danger be to all fhe kingdom, or to a part, they are alike perilous, and all oughts to be charged. (9.) The king may chaige the subjecte for the defence of the land. Now the lood and the sea make bot one jntare kiogdom, aod there is but one lord of both, ayd the king is bound to defend bath. (8.) Espectancy of danger, $\mathbf{F}$ hold, is sulficient ground for the king to charge his sthjects ; for if we stay thl the dunger comes, it will be them ton late, it may be. And (4.) His avermenz of the danger is not traverable, it must bo bunding when he perceives and says there is a danger; as in 1588 , the enemy had been upon us, if it had not been foreseen, and provided for, beforo ut came.

But I will not determine the danger now. Do not we see our potent neighbours, and our great enemies herstofore, wers they not-pus, pared for wer; wed was there not amothor pavy Afrated upon the sea? and was not the gramianien of the see threatened to be talien sumpy Pi, fit long as this danger remainas t thelk binet God for auch a king as will provila for the defence of the kingdom timely, asd.erjuice ta see such a navy as other netions nemetreit to ; and vo
 lose gur glory lowiden.

The neat objeotiser 3 any brother Crooke, was, that thapa is a arehe provided by pafitio. mady yhiok wil aot witb-hold sid for the the fanco of the hiogdows, and itwere a sin tad dowy it in erve of quoterity. And is Pi tracime 45
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Ed, s's time, aod 4 Ed. $3^{\prime}$ ', a parliament was to be held every year for the defence of the kivgdoom, ' et propter ardua regan.'
I. aneaver, tbat mught well be, bot then, in the timpe of Ed. 1, Ed. 2, Ed. 3, there were pleas in parbament, but those are now lad wude; and that the suljerects ought to give tpe bing sobsdies ; I will not say that, inferring they will not do ji, nor an 1 apt to believe it; but I bold parlaments are the excellent oreans to raise aud for the defesce of the kingdons, and yet they are not the o.ly means, for then the parkament, and not the Ling, sheald be the only judge, and have the defence of the realm; or else it sbould give the king a charge of defence, nuthout poweror means.-The objection of the kug's retenues, tenuics and prerogative, they hate heen uufily remeabered, and they bave been fully ansavered.
The statute of tounage and poundage given to the hing, for and towads the defence of the sea, and the other acts of parlaments, tbat restrain the hulg's power, bu that be cannot now charge the sulject nithout his consent in parlaiment, 1 thali answer in the next place; and before I come to the pauucular acts, I will show what in my opinion, they may do.

1. Acts of purlament may take away fowers nad ornarneuts of the clown, but not the crown utself; they cannot bar a succession, nor can they be attuunted by them, and acts thath bar them ot possession arevoid. 2. No act of paiJaiment c.an bar a himg of his icgahty, ns that no lands should hold of him; or bar him of thic allegnauce of tho subjects; or the relative on his part, as trust and poner to Nefend his people: therciore acts of parijunent to tale anay lus royal poucr in the defence of his hingdom, are voill (as my Lord Cheef Baron said ;) they ne woid acts of parlament, to bind the kang not to crmmand the subjects, their persons and goods, and I say fheer moriey too: for no acts of parthanent mate any differeace. Now to the paiticular statute objected.
(1.) 25 Ed. 1, Chap. 5. Confumatio Chartaram, the words are these, saids or taxees, ' granted wo the bing, shall not be takeo for a ' costom or precedenty' and cap. 6. 'More-- over, we have graniled for us apd our herrs, - that for no business from lenceforth, we shali ' take such mhyner of aids, taxes, nor prizes, 'due and accuttoned.' And cap. 7, a ralease of toll upon every sack of wool: ‘And graut, ' that we will not tale such thinga without their ' common assent and good liking, saving to to ' and our herrs, the castoras granted by the 'comumons aforessid.'.
As to the other statute, De Tallogio non Concedendo, cap. 1. 'Nollup rallepiwm iop' ponetur ousi per commane conkilium ragni ${ }^{4}$ доянi.' cap. 2, 3, 4, 5, \&ce.-First, Theso words most have reataing to che side befors, and there he divers pids; so sonae by tail. - lages nonain by way of pree upon goods, and ransom 'of cis majecty's person, \&se. the kupe thereapon makees this grant, which hach reler. stan to much aids as were greoted ioluatarily.

Secondly, antient alds are tbere reserved, as redeemugg the king's hody, 'pur faire fitz che' valier, é pur marier son file eigue:' aod noull other ancient aids, which are to be Indertood muh un 'ad redimendurn corpus, \&ce.'
And to the atatute De Talligio non Concedendo, in some books it is not in prist, but mentoned in Mag' Cliar' ' Rastal, and the Petition of Right 3 Car. 1628, to be in 24 or 25 Edw. 2. And therefore I answer, It is not in the parliament-roll, and there is variauce about it; and theefore it a bat an abstract, and no substuntalal statute,-But since it hath passed for a statute, and possbly may be one, I pgree with all the rest of my brothers, that it is a statute : And then I enswer, (1.) That ' nullum ' tallagium mapouetur, Ac .' that 1s, no uulawful taillage shall be imposed upon the subject w wht out his consent; or else the ands ' pur furre fitz 'chevalier et pur file marier,' had not been excepted. (2.) No aids shall be moposed but by contribution of the kung auil people ; and here the kung is taxa' at well as they. (3.) An uct of palliament can by no means take it away, nuch less by those gencial word.
Obj.-In $14 \mathrm{Ed}, 3$, cap. 1. No man from hencelorth shall he chargeable, but by common consent m parihainent.
To this I auswer, That though it be but termporary in some parts, yet it is budang ouly secuudumi zuljectum mata lam : And the ynjlg are general, as in the other statute De Tallagio, \&cc bendes, the practice in that hug's ume, and affer, best inteceprets it.
0 obj.-23 Ed. 3, cap. 8. No finding of men at arns, unless by consent, wuch less findipg of slups.

Answ.-This takes not away any former law; and theeefore the precedents followng, 4 Hen. 4, shew that is does not reach to this case.
Obj. -2 Hen 4, $m$, 2 , which is absolute in the point, sailh my brother Crooke, where a commision weat forth for the defence of tha see, whereof complaint thus maste in parlasinent with dease that it mggty be repealed, and it was done.
Ausw.-I am of the contray opinion: for the petation nap that $2 t$ mighe be released; and the apswer was but this, thast it should, but the king would treat with the councll about it ; aod it was bat a repeal of his commission then only.
©bj.-1 Ric. s, cap. 2, whbere the ling grants, that he-would not bereafter charge them by banaegolence, or any sych charge, but that they shoold be dampped by the law, by no such charge or imposition, i, e. by no wuch charge of honey.
Answ.-That tatate wns only against benevolences, pod mede by a ling that had mearon, as wa all know, to please the people for his owa eads.
Obj. 2.-The atatute of Tonnage and Poundage, ernoted for the dafence of the nea, the Tords me, Thit po ceillage or sid shall be wiahoat not of periienment. 2. That the king hath meass to defend the kingdom, with :
protestation not to drav it into example, 4 Fien. \$, 15 Hen. 4, Parl. roll, tin. 10.
Answ. I mill not argue whether Torinage and Poundage was before this act of partiantent, nor that time out of mind they were granted to the king: But my answer is, They are only for the ordinary defence of the sea. And the protestation of 4 Her, 4, is at protestation of the commons only : and this chiargie is not taleh away thereby, and Tonnage and Poundage is fur and towards the defence of the sea: to all the acts are, and so I agree. But for extraordinaries, nad but solely in case of danger of the whole kingdom, that they should not be granted cannot be collected out of these grants.
The last Objection is the Petiton of Rught 3 Car. That nu charge shall be imposed upon the subject, but by parliament.
Answ.-I was then Speaker of the lower house, and I have reason to remember what then was made. And I say, 1. There is no mention of this case. 2. There was no new thung ganted, but only the natient liberties confirnied, tuking notice of the commons protestation, not to bind the king from his ancient rughts. 9. Look upon the prayer, what is desired: and the main scope was, (1.) Generally aganst loans, and this could not be incladed in these words. (8.) Impnsonment without shewing cause. (3,) Billeung of soldiers. And (6.) mariners lying withun the land.
IV. I have now done with my third general head, I cone to the last, touching the form and legality of the writ.

Virst, For the legality of the writ, and the objections tonching the necessity, I have answered before; the masn objection is to the booly of the writ.
It is alid, [1.] The command to charge the sheriff to levy and ussess money according to his discretion, is not legal; for that the sheriff should make it per sacramentum, by the ouths of a jury, as in the writs of partition, distribution pro rala, \&c. This assessment is not warrantell by the precedents, (say my Lord Chuct Baron and my biother Crooke) they do it not upon ther knonlerige but presumption of mens estates; and from thence they speak against the too vast power given to the sheriff, to enlangec it as he pleases. [8.] The incotapaience is great hercby; for by this means there is a great inequality in the assessment.

1 answer, first, to the assessment per mucramentum. No reason ahy it should be bere; fur it is not done in the enultaisions to lery subsidies, much less ahould it be done here for a matter of great haste. And bedsides, the skytriff is trusted with more; for he haish the trist of the whole county, and takes an cath to ereoate his office justly, whereof thin is one pald.-As to what they tay, that there is nt pfrecedeat for it. (1.) I may, That there is no precedent that it hath been done by jury, bot alwayi by the' shenff, or tuch wliom the lidel wins plemed to trast; and sinet one mast be truibes, ribrie more fit than he. (9.) Ey examile, we dee,

say; the wit leads not the mesesmment, it cons( mandes the abip, to be provided to if that bd done, there is no itceffity of akessntent: and If the towne and couvitites sny they will provide a ship, and do it, Ghen no nospemment is requiste; but if they do it inot, then tha sheriff is to levy it, that the defence rinay be stasonthle: so that the clause of the assessmeat shews the manner of it. When a hultitude fs to jom, none more fit than he to to it; ant no way better, than to write to lim to do it necording to mens abilities. (4.) The clause of the assedment is not only to the sheriff, but to the head ofticer of the town and borough; apd though the discretion of the clause be to the sherif, yer it appears not, that it is lamuted to him only.

And whereas it wassund, That the sherifi cuarnot assess humself, and the precedents watrant not this assessment by the sherff: I answer, all the precedents are not aganst it, bat commonly it is not so; and yet there have been 4 maltittode of precedents thus. As in the inequality of it, Mr. Hiampden had the lènst cause of any man in England to complain, considering bow be was rated.

Again, all that the writ commands, is bat on assesmment ' juxta facultates suas, ita quod 'omnes, \&ce.' and if the sheriff do otherwise, und wrong the subjects, he is answerable. By divers antient precedents it appears, where the sheriff have been faulty this way, they have been punshed; and sir Walter Norton's case, now depending in the Star-Chamber, cencerns chis, for an abnse in levying this charge, when high sherff of Lincolnshire.
TruIy, I think, as my lord chief baron said, if there had not been an nequality by the abuse of the assestes, the charge bad not been complained of; yet the like mequalitues is in subsidies: And this is no jast cause of exception against it, bot of accusation against the sheriff who' is to answer it. And I can say truly, his mnjesty hath been very careful to prevent and remedy the abuses therelu, und bath oftep sat daily in the Council-Chamber to give his advice hetein himself; and apon bis command reformatlon hath been in divers parts; and it hath been given in command to mill his jadges in their circuits, to endeavour the same in all parts: And I myself, by this commend, have reetified rates in thit kind, that have been onequal. And I donbt not, if the necetaity of deanger shall still require it, or again, berelfitit it masy be done with all equity.

The seoond objection is, That the sherifis ctanott tax humself; for chen he gloouff be jurtge and party in ofte case; nor cutit he consint himself: and if be be omited ovit bfthe dssessmient, theh it cannot Se egotaf, nor caanhe be according to the writ, itif commands all ahould be nssested acconding to their thblities rateably. I anikes, Thill phity wic carike some shof tith it; boit evauthe caoses of less codist quation the it it chaily answered. The junto


their own Jands; and so if by jorors it were done, it would be the like. There must be eitber now nasessors appointed, or they themestres mase do it: 'That would bring delay, and this requires haste and expedition; and therefore the sheriff is fittest for this busincss. Authorities in law there are divers berein, as the writs for the levying expences for knights of the thire, direction is to the sheriff to do it, who ansesses htroself, and yes be is to execute it: So in a writ of recovery 'de bonis habitantium,' the sheriff is chargeable with his part; yet he is to erecute it. If a fine pe laid upoo the whole county, he levies it, yet is chargeable with his part towardsig.
Obj.-The writ is directed 'probis homini'bus,' and these cannot be cbarged in an inland county.

Answ.-What difference there is ' inter pro' bos hominer,' between inland counties and maritime counties, I know not. 24 Ed . 3 , a; writ whereby they were charged in case of necessity; as to Yarmouth it was 'probisdomi' nibus, \&c.' True, a grapt by the king ' pro'bis hominibus' generally is void. 1 Hen. 6, Dyer Phil \& Mar. 7 Edw, 4, 14. But a commission or writ to assess then good onough. ' I'robi bomines,' that they 'know not, nur see nob, it is not material, for thint would make them sole judges of tho danger, when as the king only is, and this not traverseable neiker.

Obj. - The writ comusands an inland conaty to find a ship and mariners, which is inpossible ; and 'lex not cogit ad impossibilia; and for this my brother Crooke puts the common eases, that in general return, $49 \mathrm{Ed} . \mathrm{E}, 6$, and impossibilities are vwid; a cosenant for impossible things is void, but a bond may be good.

I answer now to the point of impossibility. And possibly the ship nuy be builtin an inland county, though to carry it to Portsmouth is impossible; but it is possible to provide' m ship and marivers, as the writ commands, which may very well be done with money.
Obj.-But we have none bot trained soldiers, no niariaers; our country consiats jis tillage, and our men are trained up to the plough and husbandry.

I answer, We have the like occasion of plóaghs and husbandey in Kent, and we have in many places no mapritime towns; some lie 20 miles from the rea, and yet we art jastly charyed to find a thip. Precedents we have as well as you in Oxan and Bucke, or, elre gage should-be ckarged but port towns, asd fia particular no law or statute to exempt them, Jotil Alired's time, there was no dintitiction of matif time or inland comsties, for then nil Eaglagd was but one maritime couoty.
Thes they objected, the paymeat of eoldians Wijer for 26 weeks, to be ial ha kingo sericti, is againtt masy precedents: ac $10 \mathrm{Ed} .2,10$ Edw. $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{a}}$ nad/gntirely footwagen to tee peid by, the copnety is ogrinat atithe precededs: anid tenants, by tuights. wryice after 40 days, neo to be paid by the king Aed orber precedaptang broftier, Crooke, ersed, when divers rafined to
go out of their own county till paid, and order taken for their pay by the king. And whereas the county had given bond for payruent of aoldiers wages, they were cancelled, and order made ir parlimment, that soldiers should be at the liog's pay, 2 Edw .9 , 16. $18 \mathrm{Edw} . \mathrm{s}$, cap. 7.

Thase are ensily answered, for these precedents prove no more. than payment of wages de facto, and so the king may pay it where it is not due; and for their refusal, I have nothing to do with that now : but 10 Ediw. $3, \mathrm{~m} .2$, there is mention made of Berkahise men, commanded to carry their soldiers forth of their county at their own costs; and when the suldiers refused to go thence, no charge or payment; for soldiers used to be pard by their county, as in that case; and they were furced to go, and did go, and stay there three yeurs: so 13 Edw. 3, m. 8 .

Obj, - $1 \mathrm{Ed} . \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{m} .14$. None compelled to go out of therr county withuut wages paid. 18 Ed. 3, m. 6, 7, that none should go out of their counties: And not only those that had offices and patents to serve the king, but all with this proviso, that the king should pay them their wages.

Answ.-1 Ed. 3. It is clear, and hath in it the exception in case of necessity, and to be done as in times past.
18 Ed .3 . It is expressed in the act, when they go to the kiug's wars out of the kingdom : so 19 Hen. 7,8 s. These are nll but declarative to the conmon law, Corbet's case; the reason is, becnase the allegiance of the subject is not nstural, but local. But that the king shall give wages within his kiugdow, there is no net of parliament for it. Now it was resolved in the Exchequer, that the sea and land made but one entire kingdom, and so no, going out of the kingdom shere; and consequently the payment of the noldiers wages within the kingdom is not aqainst law.
The last objection is, that the writ is illegal, because contrary to Mag'.Char', 'nullus lifer ' homo imprisonetar.'
Answ,-As touching the objection of the nobility, that they, are privileged from imprisonmeat, it might well have been spared; and I koov not wherefore it ass spoken of, unless to make them think they were more interester, than the rest-of his majesty's subjects in this case.

Obs-But yeí, I say, noblemen may be imprisonpd apon Contompt; as my brother Crooke knows well; and it was resolved in the earl of Linicola's case, in the Strar-Chamber. It is urue, thipt dopon ordinary procese, they are not to be brought to trial or imprisoped.
Now I answes, chere is no imprisonment in quevtion but the aptepmentonly, why he should not pay the mopiey assewed, or ahew cause to the contrycy

Secondty, Whet the writ illegal for form and cipcumpanot, joet bis makes nat the command itulfillogel for wubatatice.
The efoppioarto the Certiorari are these: :

1. The direction of it to two sberiff, one out of his oftice, when as the sheriff in being ought oaly to retara it.

Answ.-Of this there is little doubt; nothing more frequent than for a Certiorari to issue out of the Chancery to two parties, as to the executors, or the judge that took the fine, and in removed. And so upon cunnmission to take a fine by 'dedimus potestatenn;' in this case the writ is 'inter brevia irretorasbil';' and this must reman with the old sheriff, and are néver delivered over by the jury to the new sherif. In Hobart's case, io the King'w-Beuch, being convicted of heresy before our Julies Cesar judge of the Admiralty, certificate to him after Master of the lools, and directed to him : so in the case of my lord Paget.

Obj.-The writ is witboot return, saith my Iord Chief Baron ; and the Certiorarl, which is a year and a hulf after, cannot renew it.
I angwer, That shall not be the deteranining of it only; for the tume limited expiring, shall not deprive one of his just debe
It ib not sufficient, because it appears not, that Mr. Hampden was tenant or ter-tenant, or that Stoke Mandeville is within the county of Buchs.
2. It appears not that there was any emmunition or slup prepared.

I answer, It doth sufficiently appear, that Mr. Hampden was ter-tenant, for the Certiorari was to the sheriff, who certifies that he was tenaut; for it was in parsuarice of the writ : the words are, 'Virtute brevis domini regis huic - schedul' nonexat. certufico quod virtute et se' cundum exigeñinam ipsius, \&cc.' 'Assestavi,' Anglice ' have assessed,' 'super seyarales homs' nes et terra tenentes com' Bucks pred' quo' rum nomina subscibuntur, \&cc.' It relates to the place there, tenants in the county of Bucks, and makes Mr. Ilempden ones And thus the business of kuighthood was done, and in no other manner.
Then it appelars not'that the ship was prepared. To this I answer, 13s, That the ship was done according to the command of the writ. 2dly. It was prepared. 3dly, If none had been prepared, the fualt was in them, for that they paid not in their money. For the excepuons to this Mittimus I say nothing, because I told you the case rests not upon these words, 'Salus regqi pericitabatar,' which is only to bring it to issue.

Then they except againat the Sci' $\mathrm{Fa}^{\prime}$, 1st, That the king is not intitied to bring the Sci ${ }^{2}$ Fa'. - So there is no cui oneretwr, to whom be ahould pay the money, for whose good or benefit Mr. Hampden shoold satinfy the monef assessed. I answer, the king is interested in all actions for poblic good, and shall recover mocordingly as in case of Highways, Ponarge, Murage, cce. much more when it if for the ge neral dofence of the realm. In a quare impedit betwoen two commen parsons; thiocigh the king be aeitber plaintiff nor defvodant, phe king aball recover therein. Many times in case of a common informer, the kiog recovers the one
moioty, though no party; so it wat in the case of kaighthood, thorgh suit wes depending. Again, all writs in the kingtoma are the king's rits, though no fine, moch more bere for the. defence of the realm. And it is usual for the king's attorney to compel men to perfionm charitable pses; end the kiog may question'any one for them, jn the cate of Aurum Regiane, by process out of the Exclequer.

Again, where it is said, 'Quare ipss de pfedd' 'sumain apecificat' onerari et inde astisfaé' de'beat, proat wlterius tihi pracept', \&ac. for though the writ bif in the king's nampe, yot it in but for the performance of the work and chargey. and though it appears ac who were coliectors or naseswors, yet it appears it was done. Upon public service, procems goes forth in the king's name; but then it is not so fit it sbould be expressed in particular for the king, when it is for the general good only. Was not this objection made by my brother Denham ? thouigh nons more chearfully did subscribe to his majesty's. letter, neither was the Sci' $\mathrm{Fa}^{\prime}$ without his advice, being the aptey course, and better than trespass: but the objection that be mide was, That the king canuot do any wrong, nor take whthout record, as in eeizure upon outhawry, attainder, or the like; and in this case there is no Recond upon the writ \& Avg. no judgment, \&c.

L answer, This Sci' Fac' is not annexed to the writ, and is a new action, that Mr. Hampden onerelur et inde atioffaciet, and after that judgment upon the writ, and upon his saying nothing, why reoocetsr, there shall be a good Record whereupon he shall be charged. 3 Eliz. Dyer 156. Ignoramua is sufficient title for the king, and ground for a 'Melius inqui' readum.'
No Sci' Fa' lies upon the teoor of a writ, 姓 they : 39 Hen. 6, fol. 34, 91 Eliz, Dyer fol. 205. I answer, a' Sci' $\mathrm{Fa}^{\prime}$ upon a recognizanot will not lie in Chancery, but upon the Record there; yet in debate, an action of debt lies upon the tenor of the Record. Sp Hep. 6, the doubt wat because the-party might be niblject to a double axecution, one upon the Record there, and the other upon the tenor of the Record in another court. $83 \mathrm{Edw}, \mathrm{s}$. Title Tenure by Transcript 8 Hen. 5, Fits-Hier' Brrot Sci' Pa' Reg' fol. 51 . The Record was before the justices of the King'r-Bench ${ }_{2}$ the tenure wis of the Treatery to the barons of the Exche quer; and it is the usual order, ifa recognizanea be forfeitad, to certify the tenor of a rementizanct; so of a fine of amercimgonts, 80 , to oter tify the truaseript thereof. 80 othe triteveript wes teant from Irsland of ín ect of parlianmett; a Sci' $\mathrm{Fa}^{\prime}$ thereopon wets curbity a batron in Eogland: so in debe, spose et transeript of a Recond from Iroleand, it ger $\mathrm{Fs}^{2}$ bere west farth.
'Objected it was ingrolat place by my Land Chief Baron, that jac poent is this caseswould be fruitless, and nope shoold take benefit thits by; upoth this Recond he put ceveral eatery
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I answer my Lord Chief Baren with a judg ment of his own, in case of knghthood, resolvec bere in this court; the case was this, Thaking by writ Jan. 1, of his reigo, commanded the arierif of Berkshire, that all that had 401 . should $\mathrm{b}_{0}$ in the Chancery 31 January following, to take upon them the order of knighthood. Si John Dayxel, shernf of Berkshire, made his re torn, (as the sherifiof Bucks here) all that are not knights under the pame of lllorum, and sets down their names. A Mrttimus thereapon went out of the Chancery, reciting the stub stanee of the forner writ, " Vobis Murtimus ' prasentibus, \&ec.' with a clause to enquire after such ns were opt returned, and to five them. And upon this a writ of distringas to the sheriff. My Lord Chuef Baron and my brother Denham know what judgment was gaven ; when I observed, 1st, Not the Record, but the tenor of the Record, was sent into the Exchequer, yet returnable in the Chancera sdly, For the returning of the names of the defaulters as here. Sdly, Upon the distringas thereupon was had execuflion, much more than here, upon the $\mathrm{Scl}^{\prime}$ Fa'. 4thly, There was no more judgment ot Record to warrant than here in this.
Now I come to conclude. I have been somewhat ton bold, in tahing more time than is usual, but I did it to satisfy my own heart, according to which I must give tny juigment. What I have omitted I refer to the rest of moy brothers that went before me, and to my lord chief justice that comes after me. The reasons 1 shewed whereupon I conceive by the common law, and the fondamental policy of the kingdoth, that the king mny charge his subjects for the defence of the kingdom, and that the king may charge his subjects towards the defence thereof when it is in danger; and I hold that the king is sole judge of the clanger; and ought to direct the means of defence. And tberefore this writ of $\mathrm{Scl}^{\prime} \mathrm{Fa}^{\prime}$, and all the proceedings in this case, are well grounsled decordigg to law.
My Opinion therefore is; That Mr. Hampdent shall be charged with 203. assessed, and that my Lord Chief Baron ought to give Jutgment accordingly.

The Azounemt of Sirjohin brampston, knt. Lord Chief Justice of his Majesty's - Cogrt of King's-Bench, io the grent Case of Smip-Momey.
Quarto Aug. 11 Car. a writ issued out of the Chancery, being direoted to all coonties of the realm of Bogland, both irtand and matitime; and among the rest it was directed to the sheriff of Bucks, for the makidy and build: ing of a ship of 450 toms, atid to provide $E$ ebrthinnumber of men with ummunituan and viotuals, to be brought to Portsminuth, and from theribe to be employed ior his majesty's pervice, for deftace of the realimy and of the sed. Mr. Hantpdets in the coupty of Bucks, wis ansened it © 0 on. for this menor of 8toké Manderille, who rofosed to pay tion' mane ; wheroupon \& "Ner-
tiorari issued to the stieriff of Bucks, to retarn the defaulters; numongst whom Mr. Hampden was returned to make default of payment of the 208. assessed upon him. Whereupon it was by Mittimus sent into the Exchequer, and a Sci' Fa' thereapon issued out of the Exchequer against Mr. Hampten, to shew cause why he made default of payment of the said 20 . Whereupon Mr. Hatnpden appeared int person, and demanded oyer of the writs, and returns thereof, and demurred in lew, with whom Mr. Attorney joined in demurrer.

Now three points have been debated already at large in this matter, viz. 1. Whether the ling may command this general charge of his suhjects by law, or no, without their consent in parlianent? 2. Whether this kind of assessment be warrantable by law, or no? and, 3. Whether the 'Sci' $\mathrm{Fa}^{\prime}$ did well issue or not? In all these matters, so much hath been already spoken, that if I should not sny what hath been already spoken, I should say Jittle to purpose. I will not be lofg; for if I had mtended it, my lord Finch hath prevented me in it; for he hath taken from ine rery much that I should have said, nnd usisted upon.
That which this case resteth opon, in my opinion, the vote of the court hath passed already by the greater number of voices, that mane will do nothung which way soever I go: yet being to deliver my opinion, I shall shew my reaxons; and that I shall do without any ocher defence. Concerning the first point, Whether his majesty may impose that general charge upon his subjects by law or no? I am of opinion, that whensoever the whole kingdom is in danger, his majesty may command all his subjects to jom with hin in this case for the deferice of the kingdom. My brother Finch bath insisted so fully opon this matter, that I shall need to say but little: but yet something I most say, as well as ripy brothe1s that have spoken before me, to dircharge my conscience: and for that which $I$ shall say, my intent is to insist upoat some few of the principal statutes, which have been already rected.
For this point, in my opinion, will rest upon the several statuzes and acts of parliament that concerth this case; and I take these statutes to be merely decletrationat in affirmance of tho comenon law. And I shall begin with the sta-* tute 1 Edw, S, elnp. 5, and I shall not go far From the intent of the statote; I shall scaice agke use of an precedents, though many have been oused, but orly so far ha they may seem to expound and declere the trie meaning of those tatytes.
Antl wheteas it is objected from the statute 1 Edw, 3, That no math shall be compelled to go out of his coundry wheftils he liteth, except In catc of in resion, whd necemity requireth, and then it haft be done ats in tumes past: I answer, That this is metely declardutive, atid poleken in affiruiases of thes cotpmon lant, atild his uabi is dediater ty this statute to bo the untient linw of the reata. Now whtt that dise
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Indeed it hath been much insitted upnn, both by Mr. IIampden's coupsel and my brother Crooke, that the subjects going forth of the share shall be at the ling's charge, which they have affirmed by divers statates: but thut which will go far in this case, as wy brother Berbley well observed, is out of the precedents of Ed. . , Ed. 2, and H. Sul's times $;$ and in them you shall find it to befor foresgn wars, or else for ordinary defence, as for pilloging of boroughs or private towns by pirates, when the soljjects have not given their aids in such cases. And there is no doubt but the Ling hath paid the charge in such a case, for the defence of the realin; but the subjects gave the king subsidies to do at withal.
But the question is, What the subject in this case, 'secundum legem ADglap,' may be compelled to, in case of aecemily, for defonce of the kiogdom? I answer, They may be compelled to this charge, 'sumptitus propriis,' for the soldiers wages; but to go out of the realm, or their shire, must be ut the dewg's pay, sccurdag to the common law of England: bat in unes of sudden defence, there is no time to stand upon wages. It appenrs both in FitzHierb. Na. Br. fol. 28, and also in my lord Coke in Calvin's case, the king may comasend lus subjects upon their allegiance, to go with him; as well in wars without the rcelm, as in his wars withur, nad with him, aod without him, in the king's service. By the statate of 12 Ed. 3, and 11 Ed. 3, men of arms, ns hobbellers, areliens, \&ce. are to go iy the hing's service, us un Eugland, so out of England, was the matter of these laws. And my lord Finch said, This was the very common law of Englend; so that it is clear, these two statutes are declarttory lans in attirnanice of the common law. In 7 lien. 4, ule Tenure 43, there it is said, a man is not to go with the king in his wars, out of the , ealm, without wages. And so 7 Hen. 4, tutle Tenure 73, the subjects of Eagland are not to go with the king beyond the seas, without their wages: but in the realm they are at his conmand, and there is ao wages to be given. So it 15 in going out of England, when they are at the hang's charges; but within, at their own. And if the going Dut of the county be at their own charges, I knuw not put that should put in end to the case, that the defence 'of the realm must be at the sabjects' charge.

It is of dangerous consequence for jadges, in their judgments, to rely too mach upon precedents, that perhaps, went forth brough the necessity of the present tunes. Bat that is not our case here; we are here directed to kinow what was used in timps past, in thin caypf before the maling of this statute: 8o that in this case we take the hasge not to declare er prove a law, but that use is declared by this ataturte to be a law. Now therefore, wa must knew what the use was: nour that the use was, chat the sabjects of this realm ought to be charged in time of commpa danger, appears by a anstitude of precendeats applied rightly to the atatute of Edv. 3, which do declare the law upon the
statute, Apd to shew what the vse nes, I shall rely chiefy uppen those precebdents that are most joqicial, Fiftrt, that of 25 Rdw. 1, Term' Njich Hot. 79, Banc' Begis, in the abbot of Hobertabridge's case; compare that and this together, and I know not what mora caa be answered, than that this use for the subject to maintein their pance, was an usage, law, anid custom of the realm. $10 \mathrm{Ed} .3 ; \mathrm{m}_{0} 2,2,18$, the king by his writ sent a' command to send to Portsmouth one huindred foot and twenty horsemen to guard the sea-consts. They refisoed to do that service, and would not go without wagas. The king sent answer in these very wards, 'That no wages were due, for it was a 'public danger.' And se' 25 Ed. 3, cap. 8, it is generally assented to by parliament.

But there we have a general law in the first statute 1 Ed. S, which was grounded merely ou the compon law, and so was the statute 25 Ed. 5 , and the reat to the same purpose, because It was against the right of, the realm. And this statute of 25 Edu. 3, was merely grounded upon the petition of the commons; then castainly this finding of aims was intended by that statate to be against the right of the realm. Besides all this, to keep ourselves to that which is legal aud autheotical, so the parliament roll 18 Ed. 3, m. 9, and 11, it is chere apparent, that it is not ngainst the right of the land to charge the subject; then how comes it to be against the rigit of the realm 25 Edw. 3, for thes there was no statute?
Now to bring it down to our times. In 26 Ed . $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{m} .44$, every maritime town wat charged to keep a petty watch, there being some imminent danger ; therefore they pruy, not to be discharged, but that it might be reduced to a lesser charge, being it was but petty watch to guard the sea-const; much less then is there cause for the subject to scek to be discharged when the enemy is approaching. 5 Ed .3 , there was a commission issued ont, to distrain every one 'secundom potestatem,' in matters of array; here is now the judgment of the whole house of parliament, that men according to their abilities are to be charged to join in charge with the king, to defend the reulm 'sumptibus 'propriis.' Thus much for defence upon the land; now for defeace upon the nea. In the otatute 18 Ed. S, cap. 7, that they who serve tha king out of the kingdom, oerre for wiges; but in cust of necestity, withouk the realm, in times past, by mo precedents, weikh my brothef Crooke, cap it be proved it was tone before.I angwer, that the sea is, within the Lingilom;
 fol. S65, there the osa is made part of the kingdom. Doctor and Student, cap, 51. It is the anoient custom of England, that the king is lond of the aarrow seen. 飳 that which $I$ moas rely upon is, fropp the statutes of 1 and 18 Ed. 3, for chay both 'reat ig one, which is epcondingto the comman law; for all the differy ease thereof is, the subject to go out of hio oning. county whether to defoad the land or the yoe.
In ithe statute of $1 \mathrm{Ed}, \mathrm{s}$, it is objected, ting
there is nio precedent for inland counties. Bu I answer, if not from inland counties, yet there is for maritime, as in 14 Ed. 3, Term. Nich Bat I rely not upon precedents for either, bel only apon those precedeuts that went out to the ports and maritime towns : for it was well observed by the kiag's counsel, that they were not grouuded upon any precedents or charters, but only upon ancient customs. But if the precedents to the maritime towns were directed in case of necessity, then I see no reason but that it may be done now ; which was indeed intended by the statute of 1 Ed .3 , as the precedents do plainly declare; and they were then more common than wnts of this nature issuing for in this case, and the commons were then bound to land-service, and the mariners to sea-service; and they were compelled to it at their own charge, merely upon their allegiance, Both in Bedford, Buchs, Lincoln, with many other counties. -If thell they may bo compelled to go out of their own proper connties, to defend that part of the realui that they live near unto, why may they not also be compelled to go to defend the sea-coast? The sea-men were willing to bear some part of the charge for the defence of the sea, because the inland counties did bear their charge of the land-service and of the ports; And if they nay be compelled in the inland counties to defend their inland conaties, and the sea-men to defend the seacoast; then I know no reason but that they may be compelled all is general to bear a public charge in case of necessity.

I am still upon the statute 1 Ed.3, wherein I find Mr. Selden, in his Mare Cluusum, says it was an ancient use to charge the inland and maritime counties in care of necessity; and, therefore in my understanding, I hold it to be 'se' cundum legem Anglio.' But here my brother Crooke objects, there is no statate or precedent to shem that auy inland counties were charged. I answer, that statutes and precedents do not extend to our case, for this was in use many years before the making of any statute. See the statutes of 1 Ed. 1, and 1 Ed. 3. They cannot cross one another, for then could uot the statute of Ed. 1, be confirmed by the statute of Ed. 3 .
Again, concerning the statute of finding of men and arms, it is true, it is merely the common law of England, and that merely without common consent in parliament, as my bmther Ferkley saith, that the statute of 9 Hen. 3, and 25 Ed. 3, cap. 11) are the great charters rather than statutes ; and in king John's time it was not taken for a statute, but only for a decleration. And so it was taken in the time of Hen. 4.
But now if concerning the charging of the ecunty, the suid statutes were nothing but accotding to the common law of England, I cannot see how they should cross another now; for there is no difference, but only is such things ass are given as a benevolence to the kings as in 1 Ed. $3, \mathrm{cap} .5,6$.

As for the statute De Tallagio non Conce-
dendo, we deny it not ; but the difference is in the occasiou of the statute of 14 Ed .3. There was a pretty case put by Mr. Holborne about the office of alnage, where there was but a fee to be paid out of it, and held a taillage: but there is great difference between the tuilage and thas service, which every subject is bound to do by his allegience to his sovereign lord, Fita' Her', Na. Br, 103. The king may impose this charge upon the subject in case of necessity, pro bono publico; and it is nothing but what every subject owes to the commonwealth in a time of common danger. And after king Edward the Confessor, it was ordered by several statutes, let every ons have their own grods and lands free from taillage, and let notling be taken from them. But in this case of necessity in common danger is another thing, the king may then compel his subjects to this charge; and I may add the reasons strongly imisted upon by the king's counsel. My lord Cohe said, it could never be the meaning of the great charter of the 1 berty of the subjects by this statate to take away the power of the king's pierogative, and so to excmpt themselves from this charge of defence: for there is a difference between a taillage upon the prople, and a service in a case of necessity, which they, may be compelled unto. My brother Jones cited a most excellent case 4 Jac . upon the opinion of Coke and Popham, that the taillage-statute taketh not away: and shall it take away this royal power of the kjing, so inhereut in the crown, the protection and preservatipn of his hingdom?
From this statute 1 Ed . 3, Mr, St. John raised this objection. IIere is seven months frow the date of the witt, tn the time the ship was to be brought to Portsmouth, in which time there might have been a parhament, and therefore it ought to have been done in a parliamentary way. But this will not admit the calling of a parliament; but if the danger is not sudden, you muat hafe it is a parkmentary way,-My lord Finch gave a fall answer to thia : there must be a preparation before the enemy come, else the defence is too late ; therc is a necessity to prevent a necessity, nod who shall give warning in such a case but the bing? Saith Littleton, who gives waraing? Not the tenant by castle-guard, but the lord; and so consequently in this case our sovereign lord the king: and therefore in such'a case the subject is bound by his allegiance to the king, to assist in case of publi- danger, My lord Coke tells us the reason of the warning: he saith, there must in that case be a preparation before hand, lest yupr defence come too late: enemies are more easily kept out than overcome when they are got in. $-\mathbf{B y}$ the statute of 8 Ed . कs there bulwarks may be made in nnother man's ground; bat ahis preparation cantuot be without warning, and none can give the warning but the king, and the subjects are to be at his command, and nopa pther; for there must be a prepartion of the enhejects in the realm, to meet the enemy before he enter the land. No
subjects can take upon them tó build bulwarks: \&cc. It is an assuaning of the royal power, for it must be done 'juxta preceptum domin: 'regis.'

Now I come to the second part, whether this assessinent be warrauted by law or not. The writ was dated 4 Aug, to prepare a ship ngainst the 1st of March.- Therefore we see it is not against the great laws concerning the subjects liberty, becanse it is so taulage but a service: for howsouver it must be granted, it must be n generul danger that causeth a genemil defince; and there munt be matter in the body of the record to satisfy therein ; there must be, I say, a public danger, and then it is 'secundun legem et consuetudinem regni An'glie,' us appenrs 20 Ed. 3, m. 21. Aud also in Doctor and Student, citetd befure, that when necessity doth requirc, the king may compel his suljects to this public seivice and charge. Though the king be the solc judge, and his certificate is not traversable and cannot be denied, yet theie must be matteropparent within the record to satisfy the conscience of the court, or else we cannot be juilges of the case at all. If the danger be general, then the dofence must be genern; but if ordinary danger as robbing of merchants by pirates, \&xc. it most be nt the king's charge. And we do see by the petutions of the commons in many parliaments, that they never conceived themselves suhject to the charge of ordinary defence.-Now upon all that which hath been observed by may brothers, there is enough in the record to satisfy them fully (as if the king were not sole judge) that it was a publie danger, being 'pro defen'sione regni et tuitione maris, \&cc.' It did issue to all the king's 'subjects, as a general charge, and not to the county of Bucks alone: therefore I may conclode, when the whole kingdom is in danger, the king raay compel his subjects to asbist in such public danger.

Then for the assessynent; many exceptions have been taken to if, nad to the record and Sci' Fa'; I had prowided myself to have given a full answer thereunto, but my lord Finch hath prevented $m e$, and hath cited the very authorities, that I myself did rely upon. But for the assessment itself to the sheriff, I do not ray that I do find he hach like power in any other case of law : commissions of sewert may be directed to the shgriff, but not to give power to assess men's goodo. I answer, that this is in case of necessity: for the very main case is but a case of necesaity, the orthoary and unal way is 'persacramentum.' My lord Finch gave an excellent answer to that, and warrantel it by law, that the sherifi hath no suclp inulimited power granted him; he is not made judge of the estates of men, but only to pursue the direction of the writ, to assess them as he is commanded, nad not ${ }^{4}$ spcundution discretio' nem suan;' but as my lord Coke 5 Rep. 99, aaith, be muxt do it 'sccundum legem et se'cuadun arbitrium;' that is to say, acgeording to law and reason. But it is impossible, in auch a case of neceswity, to put it into such an
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equality to make it without exception; but in es much ns in bim lieth, he ought to order it proportionably, his power is unlimited; for by dis discretion he is to discern between right and wrong, between suhstance and shadow; and he must go withn the bounds of law and right. In the chamlerlnin of London's case, they might rute and asvess inpbono ptiblico, at in making a high-way to a, church, and the like, wherem the subject is loronght to no distress or inconsenience, so hs the greater part, in such a case as this, shall erer bind the lesser, it being pro bono publico. Yet this assessment cannot make a law a debt or a duty, but is only a means to bring this duty to a certainty, and so make it a duty, so that he be rated in an equal proportion. Itath the sheriff rated Mr. Harapden disproportionably, according to bis estate and degree? If he hath, let him tell. If the sherif hath followed his own will, and -done corruptly, then be hath done contrary to the intent of the writ; it turneth upon the sheriff himself; and a greut offence it io for a pablic minister of justice to abuse himself in such a place of justice. The sheriff returns, he halh assessed 20s. which is no great sum; and also confesseth upon the record, that it is an equil assessment. When Mr. Hampden appeared upon the $\mathrm{Sc}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{Fa}^{\prime}$ he demanded Oyer of the writs, and so demurred in law : which uppn the matter, being a general demurer, is a confession,-And as $\rho \mathrm{pr}$ the $\mathrm{Sci}^{i} \mathrm{Fa}^{\prime}$, my lord Finch hath handled it fully, and hath cited the sane books and authorities that 1 intended to have cited; and so hath prevented me in that. And alse in Bodmm's case in Cornwall, and upon the exception super tenorrm ricord' in 9 Hen. ©, fol. 23. And the reason why he should not have execution stiper tenorcm recon do is, because othernise the subject might be charged double. And divers cases were put upon suing forth exccstion upon the tenor of the record; fand yet no execution can go out of the chancery at the first, because it is not returnable by the sheriff, but it is sent out of the clancery, by Mittimus iuto the Fachequer, 24 Hen. 6, 4 Hen. 6. But it 15 true, it doth concern every one to be satisfied in the truth of the case; for if the sheriff shoukl not assest 'per sncrameutum,' it might he misde another way.-And as for the Certimari, my lord Finch hath likewise cited the same books and authorities, which I also intended; therefore I forbear to insist upon that.
There is another exception to the record, quod oueretur, and not know to whom it should be, no maney demnanded to the king hy he first wit, no, nor by the second writ; herefore can give no judgment 'quad satisfaceret domino reai :' then if judgment shall not be given for the king, then for $u$ hom? non cowtat, it doth not appear to whom it is due, for any thing I can see ia this record.- Truly for my owil part, of all the exceptions that $d$ havd heard, none sticketh with the but this excepjion ; for I do not know any precedent, that a udgment wes given, and not say to whom.
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This scruple, I confess, still reroaineth withme. I must needs say, that in my opinion, I do rather incline, as far us I am well satisfied, that this is a gool exception, according and upon those reasons u hich my Lord Chief Baron gave; and yet $I^{\prime}$ aun not so far satisfied, that it is law. -I must rather melme as my opinion inclines, than go againt che inclunation of my own opinion : as I have gonf through all tine rest with the warraut of my own cinscience, 1 cannot go upon any string fin $n$ thug of the least weight, but I must deliser nay opinion as it inclines; and therefore, upon those reasons that I have heard, and upon consideratioh taken with myself, I do rather incline to the opinion of iny Lord Chief Baron, and upou his reasoug, which I think was in that with the lesser nomher : but for my opinion in all other points, I agree with the geneal pote of the court.

Upon the 12th of June, 14 Car. Mr. Attorney moved the Court of Exclequer for Judg: ment against Mr. Hampden; and after he had opened the Record he said, "Your lordship. and the court, in reupect of the greatness of the cause, did adjourn it into the Exchequer Chamber, that your Inrdship and the court might reccive advice of all the Judges; whose Advice and Opinions your lordship hath already received, and the plutality of their voices is, that Judgment should be given against Mr. Ilampden; and uccordingly I do pray Jadgment."
To which my Lord Chief Baron answered : "It is very true, it was referred from hence to the Exchequer Chamber, to receive, the advice of all the judges of the land. We do not take thein to asist only by way of advice, but for a judhcial direston : for admitting we four were of one opmon, and the rest of the juiges of another, (though the cuuse properly depend in this couit) yct we mast apply ounselves कo their resolution, ond our fiour voices afe involved in theirs; and therefore accordingly, sconndum legem, \&c. onerctur Jobaunes Hampden,"

The Copy of the Ordrir, as it was drawn npon the Motion of Mr. Attorney-(ieneral, and now remians enteied in the Eachequer.

Termin' Sta' Trin' anno
Remem' Regis. 14 Car. 12 die Junii.
Bucks.-Wheieas several sams of maney by virtue of the King's uaj, sty's mrit under the Great Seal of 'England, bearing date the 4th day of August in the $\mathbf{1 1}$ th year of his majesty's reign, wele asscssed and charged upon several persous, fur and towards the provision of a Ship of War, together with the furniture and other things thereunto belanging, in the said writ particularly mentioned; which said neveral Sums of Mon̨ey, so nosessed and charged, and not being artisfied and paid, the names of the said spyeral persons, together with theseveral sums charged upon then, were returned into the Chancery, whereby his majesty's writ of Certiorari, bearing date the 9th day of March,
in the 12th year of his majesty's reign, certified into his court of Chancery, and by his majesty's writ of Mittimus, under the same zenl, bearing date the 5th day of May in the 1sth year of his majesty's reign, were sent into the court of Exchequer for further process to be bad thereupon, as by the said several writs may appear: and whereas process of $\mathrm{Sci}^{\prime} \mathrm{Fa}$ ' was, the 20th day of May, in the said 1sth year of his jnajesty's reign, awarded to the sheriff of the county of Bucks, directing to garnish the several persons, in a schedule to the said Sci' $\mathrm{Fa}^{\prime}$ anuexed contained, to shew cause the octaves of the Holy Triaity then onsuing, why they shoold not be charged, and satusf the said sums of money assessed upon them; in which schedule it was contained, amongst divers others, that John Hampden, esq. was assessed at 20 s. as by the said $\mathrm{Sci}^{\prime} \mathrm{Fa}^{\prime}$ and schedules thereunto annexed may also more fully nppear: whereupon the said John Hampden, esq, being garnished by sir Antbony Chester, baronet, then sheriff of the suld county of Bucks, hppeared, and demanded Oyer of all the nforestid writs; which being read unto him, he thereupon demurred in law. And thereupon sir John Banks, knight, his majesty's attorney-general, joined in the said demurrer: and the record thereof being made up, it pleased the barons of this court (the same matter being a matter of great consequence and weight) to adjourn the argming of the same matter into the Exchequer-Chamber, and to desire the assistance and judgment of all the judges of England, touching the same. Now upon the motion of his majesty's nttorneygeneral this day, informing this court, that seeing the said matter hath been so solemnly debated and argued, as well by the ceunsel of the suid defendant, and ly some of his mnjesty's learned rounsel, and also liy all the judges of England, and by the barons of the Exchequer, and that the major part of the said judges and baroms bave tlelivered their opinions and judgments that the said John Hnmpden ought to be charged with, and to satisly the said sum of 20 s. and therefore the said Mr. Attorney moved the court, that judginent might be entered accordingly : it is thereupon ordered by this court, that judgment shall be forthwith entered, that the aforesaid John. Uampien ought to be charged with, and satisfy the aforessid sum of ROs.

A Copy of the Jydgmpkr (in English), as it is entered upqn record, in pursuance of the osid motion; and uecording to the major votes."
And because the barons here till advise themselves of and upon the premises, before they give Judkment thereupon, a day is given to the aforesaid John Hampden, in the same state ss now hare upon the octave of St. Michael, that the said barons in the mean while of

[^41]the asid premises may advise, and with the justices of both benches may thereupon deliberate: for the said barons bere, not yet thereupon, tirc. And upon this it is agreed between the Burous here, as whll with couvent of the sadd attorney-general of our said lord the hiog, us of the satd attorney of the aforesaud J. Hampden, and the counsel learned in the law of the sadd J. Hanupden, that some persous leanned in the law as wall of cequasel, and on the behalf of nur suid lond the kng, ns of counsel and on the behali' of the sald J. Hamprieli, of the aforesad matter in Jan and the other premises, in the Chamber of thi, Rachequer, commonly called the Exche-quer-Chamber, before the sald barons, together sttting with the aforesaid justices of both benches, siould in the mean ume be heard publucly to argue: at which said octave of Sr . Michivel, caine the aforesand J. Hampden here as before. And because the barons bere further will advise themselves of aud upon the premince, before they give Judgment ihereupon, a day is further given to the aforcsud J. Hampden in the same state na now bere, unul the octave of St. Ifilary, that some persons leanned in the law, as well of counsel and on the behalf of our said lord the king, as of counsel and on the behalf of the said $J$. Ifampden, of the uforesaid matter in law, and the other piemises, in the Chamber of this Exchequer, commonly called the ExchequerChamber, before the said barons together sitting with the aturesaid justices of both benches, should in the inean time be heard pablicly to argue, and the suid barons with the said justices deliberate thereupon; so that no person learned In the law, either of the counsel of oor said lord the king, or of the counsel of the said J. Hampden, is yat heard, and the barons here thereupon are not advised, \&cc, And afterwards in the tume between the aforesaid octave of St . Michgel, and the aforesaid octave of St. Hilary, is welb the Attornay and Solicitor of our said lord the king, as two learned in the law of the counsel of the aforesaid $J$. Hampden in the premises, being on the part of the seid J. Hanspden, twelve several days in the aforesaid Exchequer-Chamber, before the barons of this Exchequer, sitting with them then there the aforesand justices of both benches, wereopenly and singly heard to argue at large, and particularly of the said pootter in lam, and other the premises (the aforesaid recoid.being recited) and what thereypon they could or would cay. And the aforesaid attorney, and soli-citor-general, divers and very many records, writs, commissions and precedents, as well of this Exchequer, as of the court of Chancery, the court of King's-bench and Common Plens, the matter in law, and other precpisen in the several wirits, returas, and schedmlas aforesaid contained, on the part of our said lurd the king, to prove, confirm and maintain, then and there produced, shewed and expounded. And on the aforesaid octave of St. Hilary, ${ }^{\text {'t }}$ the said J. Hampdea came bere an before; and because
the barons here further will advise themselve of and upon the premises before they give judgment thereupon, a day is fuither given to the atores.ud J. Hampdeu, in the same state as now bere, untul from the day of Easter, on fifteen days, that the sand barois in the mean while, with the aforesald justices of both benches, may further thereupqn delaberute, for that the sand baious hare not yet thereupon, Lec. At which day, the snid J. Humpden came here as before; and becuuce the burons here faither will advise theosselves of and uporthe preinisps, beloie they give juilgment thereupon, a day is further gisen to the aforesaid J. Hampden, in the same state ay now here, antil upon the morrow of the Holy Trinity, that the said barons in the mean while, with tho aforesaid justices of both benches, may further thereapon deliberate, for that the said barons have not yet thercupon, \&cc. At which day the aforesaid J. Hampden came here as be'fore; and upon this, the premises leing seen, and by the barons here plamly understood, and mature deliberation thereupon being had with the aforesaid justites of both benches, and after the arguments, ws woll by the sald justices, as by the aforesuid barons singly, in the aforesaid Exchequer-Chamber, publicly therespan unade, it appearath thereupon to the barons, by advice of the justices aforesnid, that the segeral writs aforesaid, and their returns, and the schodales aforessaid to the sanse annexed, and the matter therein 'contained, are sufficient' in the law to charge the aforesaid J. Hampden with the aforesaid 90a, assessed upon him in the form and for the cause aforesaid; it is therefore agreed by the said barons, that the aforesaid J. Hempden be charged with the said 203. and thereof make satisfiction, \&cc."

Tens Judgrent in the Case of Ship-Money gave much offence to the nation, and ocessioned greas heart-buraings in the house of commons :* It was particularly taken notice

- "And bere I cannot but agam take the liberty to say, that the circumstances, and proceedings in those new extraordingry casta, stratagems, and impositions, were very unpolitic, and even destractive to the services intended. And if the blsiness of Ship-Money, being an imposition by the state, under the notion of necessity, upon a prospect of danger, which private persons could not modestly think themselves qualified to discerus had been managed in the same extrsordinary way as the Royal Loan (which was the imposing the Five Subsidies after the second parliament spoken of before) was ; men would much easier have submitted to it; as it is notoriously known, that pressure was born with much more chearfulness before the Judgment for the king, thain ever it was after; then before pleasing themselves with doing somewhat for the kug's service, ss a testimony of their affection,' which they were not bognd to ${ }^{\circ}$ do; many really believing the necessity, and therefore thinking the
of in Mr.'Waller's Speech in that house, April 28, 1640 , which was as follons:

Mr. Speaher; I will ane no prefac; as they do who prepare men tor somethang in whick they have a partucular interest. I will only propose whit I conceve fit for the house to eonsider; and shall be no more concerned in the event shan they that shall hesr me.-Two thug, 1 oliscree iq bis majeaty's demands. Fist, the supply. Secondly, our speedy dir patch thereof.

Touching the first; his majesty's occarions for money ure but too evident. For to say nothing how we are neglected "abroad, and dis-
burtheu rensonable; others observing, that the advantige to the king was of imporvance, when the dumage tò them was not coussderable; and all assuring themselvcs, that when they should be weary, or unwilling to contioue the payment, they might resort to the law for relief, and find it. But when they heard this demanded in a court of law, as a right, and found it, by sworn judges of the law, adjadged so, upon such grounds and reasons as every stander-by was able to swear way not law, and so had loat the plensure and delight of being siod, and dutiful to the king; and, instead of giving, were required to pay, and by a logic that left uo man any thing which he might call his onn, they no more lonked upon it as the case of que man, but the case of the kingdom, nor as aim inposition ladd apon thén by the king, but by the judges; which they thought themselves Bound in conscicnce to the public justice not to subhut to. It was un observation long ago by Thucydides, "That men are mach more passionate for injastice, than for violences; becauce, says be, the one conuing as from an equal, secass rapire; when the other proceeding from one stronger, is but the effect of necessity. So, when Ship-Money was transacted at the council-buard, they looked upon it as a work of that poser they were all obliged to - trust, and an effect of that foresight they were naturally to rcly upon. Imminent necessity, and public safery, were convincing persuasions; and it might not seen of apparent ill conse-. quence to them, that upon en emergent occamon the regal power should fill up aphiafus, or supply an impotency in the law. But whea they s.aw in n court of lnw (that law, that gove them tule to, and posecssion of all that they had) reason of state urged as elements of haw, judges as shatpesighted as sccretaries of state, und in the mysteries of:state; jucterment of lem grounded upon matter of fact, of which there was neither enquiry, nor proof; and no reason given for the payment of the 20s. in queation, but what included the estates of all the standers $\mathrm{by}_{1}$ they had no reason to hope that doctrine, or the promoters of ir , would be contained within any bounds; and $t$ is no wonder that they whp had so little reasou to be pleased with their own condition, were no less solicitous for,

- or apprehensive of, the inconveniencies that suighs attend any alteration." Clarendos.
tracted at home; the calling of the parlingent, and our sitting here (an effect wheh no lighs cause could bave in those times proluced) is enough to make any reasonable mAu behere, that the Exchequer abounds not so much in moncy, as the state does in occasions to use it: and 1 hupe we shall all appear willing to disprove those who have thought to dissuade his mojesty from this way of parlinments, as uncertaig; and to let him see it is as ready, nnd. more for the advancement of his nffairs, thaw any now or preteaded old way whatever.

Yor the apeedy daspatch required, which was the second thing, not only his majesty, but res ipsa loguilur; the occasion seems to importune no less; necessity is come upon us like an armed man.-Yet the use of purliaments heretofore (as appeurs "by the writs that call us hither) was to advise with his majesty, of all things concerang the church and oommonwealit. And it hath ever been the custom of parliaments, by good and vholesome laws, to refresh the commenwenith in general, yea and to descend into remedies of paiticular grievancer, before any mention made of a supply. Look back upon the best parliaments, and still you shall find, that the last nets are for the free gift of subsidies on the people's part, and general pardons on the king's part. Even the wisest kings have lirst acquainted the pariaments $n$ ith their designs, and the reasons thereof: and then demanded the assistance both of their counsel and purses. But physcians, though they be aalled of the latcot, must not stounach it, or talk what they mught have been, but apply theroselves roundly to the cure. Let us not stand too nicely upon carcumatances, nor too rigidly postpone the matter of supply, to the healing of our lighter wounds. Let us do what possibly may be done with resoon and honesty on our purts, to comply with his majesty's desires end to prevent the imminent illo which threaten us.

Bat consider, Mr. Spéaker, that they who think theropelves already undone can never apprehend themselves in danger: And they thast bave nothing left can never give freely. Nor shall we ever, discharge the trust of those that seat us hither, or make them believe that they contribute to their own defence and safety, upless his majesty be pleased, first to restore them to the property of their, goods and lawful liberties, whereof they estecm themselves now togt of pussesion. Ope need not tell you that the proptrty of ghous is the mother of courage, and the nurse of industry; makes us valiant in war, and good husbaodsin peace. The experi'ence $\$$ have of former parliaments, and my present observation of the care the country has had to cluse persons of worth and coorage, makes me thiak this house like the Spartans, whose formand valour required some softer music to allay and quiet their spirits, too much moved with the sound of martial instruments. It is uot the fear of imprisoriment, or if need be, of death itself, that keeps a truehearted Eoglishman from the cate to leave
this part of bus mhentance an entirely to postenty, as he recenved it from bis ancestors

This therefore let us first do, and the more speedily, that we may come to the matter of supply, let us give new force to the unany lawt which have becn hitherto made for the maintaning of our iggta and privileges, and endeavour to 1 estore this nation to its fundamental and vital hibenties, the property of our goods, and the fieedon of our pervons no way doubtnag, but we shall find his in $ŋ$ psty as gracious and raliy, as wy of hat roy il pruzeutors have been, to grant our just desircs thicien For not only the people do thinh but the wisest do know, thit whit we have suffired in this long vac incy of puil iments, we have suffered froun his manstirs, that the person of no hing was eser better beloved ot his people, and that no people were ever more unsatustied with the ways of the levying momes, are two thuths which may serve, onc to demonstrate the other for such is therr neision to the prescnt courses, that neither the admiration they have of ths trajesty's native inclin ttions to jusuce aud clemency, nor the pietended consent of the jodges, could mabe Wem willingly sabmit themselves to the late tix of Slup-mones And such is the natoral Ine mid just estecin of has myesty's goodness, thu no late pressule could provole them, nor any example invite them, to disloyalty or disobidience
But whet is it then, that hath bred thes misundcritanding hetinixt the ling and his people? Low io it, thist having ao gond a.hing we have so much to complain of? Why, we are told of the son ot bolonhou, that he was a piance of a te ider heart; and yet we see, by the advice of violent counsellors, how rough an answer he give to las people 'That his hnger should 'be as heavy as his fathen's loins,' whe not his own, but the vurce of soine :persons sbout hm, thit wanted the grasity and moderation requisite lor the counsellors of a young hing. I love not to pitess allegoises too far; bot the resemblauce of Job's.story with oars holds so well, thit I cannot but observe st to you. It pieased God to give his enemy leave to afflict hum more than once or twice, esod to take all he bad from him, and yet be was not provoked to rehel so much as with his tongae; though he had no very good example of one that lay very near hum, and felt not half that which be suffired I hope his majesty will unputate God in the benigner parts to $0^{\circ}$ and as he wal severe to Job only while he ducoursed with enother concerang hum, hut when he vouchsafed to speel hamself to him, began to rebule thpes who hid mistaken and mis-judged diss rase, and to restore the $p$ tuent man to his former prosperity, 50 now, that has majeoty hath admitted us to his presence, and spoken fivee to face with us, I doubt not but we shill see fairel days, and be as noh in the possession of oar own es ever we wore.

I wonder at thoss that seem to doubt the juccess of this pariament, or that the mmunderatanding between the Lung and bis people
should last any longer, now they are so lappedy met. His majesty's wants are not so great but that we may find means to supply hum; nor our desires so unireasonable, or so incompatible with governineat, but that his majesty may wcII sanisty them For our late experience, I hope, will teach us what rocks to shon and how necessary the use of moderation is- and for hus majesty, he has had experince enough, how that prospers which is gotten without the concurient good-will of his ן eople. Never more money taken from the salject; never more went into the exchequel If jou look apon what his been paid, it is moie than ever the people of Fuglanil were wont to piy in such a ume If we looh upon what has been effected thetennth, it shews as at neier houg had been worse supplied So that we seem to hate enr dezsoured the filling a sicve wath water Whosoever gave advice lor these courses, has made good the saying of the wise man, 'qua contur'bat domata sunm, possidebit ventuan.' By new ways they thanh to accomplach wonders; but ia truth they gragp the wind, and are at the same tume cruel to us, and to the hang too. For af the commonwealth flourish, then he that hach the sovereigaty can never want, nor do amise ; so at he govern not necording to the interest of others, but go the shortest and the safest ways to hus own, and the common good.
The kroge of this nation hate always governed by parhaments; and of wo lool upon the success of thangs since pailaments wers laid by, it reeembles that of the Grecians,

- Ex illo fluere et retio sublapsa refern
- Res ${ }^{-1}$ Danaum'
especisily on the subject's part. For though the hoog hath gotten little, they have lost all Buthis majesty shell hear the truth fiom us, and we shall make appear the errors of those divines, who would persuade us, that a monarch must be'ebsolque, and that he may do all thags ad hintump; receding not only from their text (though that be a wandering too) but from the way their own profession might tench them, 'state saper viss antiquas,' and 'remove not ' the antimat bounds and land-maris wheh our 'fathers have set.' If to be absolute were to be restraned by no laws, then can no king ta Chnstendom be so; for they all stand obliged to the lawi Christana, and wo ash no more: for to this pallar are our privieges fixed, our kugga at ther coronation teking a aspred oath not to imfinge them.
- I am norry these men tale no more oore to gam uur belief of thangs, which they tell as for our soul', health; while we know them so mentestly in the wrong, is that. whech concerns the hberties and privileges of the subjects of Bagland- but they gain proferment, and then 'fis mo matter, though they neither' belirve themselves, nor are believed by others. But annce they are so ready to let loose the conscience of therr lings, we are the thore. careful to provide for our protection aganst ther pulpit law, by declaring and renforetig the mes. nujpal tows of thas hiogiom.
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It is worth observing, how new this opinion is, or rather this way of ruling, even among themselves. For Mr. Hooker, who sure was no. at fractory nuav, (as they term it) thinks that the first guvernuent was arbitrary, ${ }^{\text {' }}$ till it was 'fuund, that to live hy one man's will, became
'the cause of all men's misery' (these are his words): concluding, that this was the original of intenting lans. sud if we look farther back, our hastunts will tell us, that the prelares of this kingdon bave often been the mediators hetween the hing and his suhjects, to present and to pray redress of their grievances; and had rocaprocally theu as much love and reverence from the people.

But these preachers, inore active than their predecessors, and wiser than the laws, have fouad vut a letter form of government. The Ling must be a more absolute monarch than any of his predecessors; and to them he pust owe it, thergh in the mean time they hazald the hearts of lus people, and involve him in a thousand difficulces; for suppose this form of government were incouvenuient, and yet this is Lut a supposition; for-these 500 years, it hath not only maintained us in safety, but made us victorivus over other nationa : but, I say, suppose they have another idea of one more cunvenient, we all know bow dangerous innovations are, though to the better: and what hazard those princes must run, that enterprize, the change of a long establshed government. Now, of all our hings that have kone before, and of all that are to surceed in this happy race, why should so prous and so good a king be exposed to this trouble and hazard? besides that, kings so diverted can never do any great matter abroad.
But while these men have thas bent their wits against the laws of their country, whether they lave neglected their own province, and what tares are grown up in the field wheh they should have tilled, I leave to a second consideration; not but that religion ought to be the first thing in our purposes and desires; but that which is first in dignity, is not always to precede in order of time, for well-being purports a beiog. And the first inupedineat which men naturally endeavour to renove, is the want of chese things, without which they cannot subsist. God firgt assigned to Adam maiusenance of life, and gave him a title to the rest of the creatures, before he appointed a law to observe. And let me tell you, if $\varphi u r$ adversaries have any such design, as there is nothing more easj than to im ${ }^{\prime}$ pose religion on a people'deprived of their liberties; so there is notbing more hard than to do the same upon freemen.

And therefore, Mr. Speaker, I conclude with this motion, that there may be an order prescatIy made, that the first thing this house will consider of, shall be the restoring this nation in gemesal to its fundamental and vital liberties, the property of our goods, and freedom of our percons; and that then we will further consider of the supply desired-And thus we shall disgharge the trust ruppsed in us by those that sent
us hither: his majesty will see, that we make more than ordinary haste tosatisfy his demands; and we shall let all those know, that seek to hasten the matter of supply, that they will so far delay it, as they gise interruption to the former.

Afterwards the House of Commons took the affair directly uider cousideration, in calling those Judges to an account, who had given their Opintons for the Ship-Money; at which tume Lord Falkland delivered hamsclf in the following manner:
Mr. Speaker; The constitution of this commonwealth hath established, or rather endeuvoured to establists to us the security of our goods, and the security of those laws which would secure us ahd our goods, by appointing for us Judges, so settled, so swori, that there can be no oppression, but they of necessity must be aecessary; since if they neither deny nor delay us justice, which neither for the greut nor little seal thry ought to do, the greatest person in this kingdon coanot continue the least violence upun the meanest. But this security hath been almost our ruin; for it hath been turned, or rather turned itself into a battery against us : and those persons who should have been us dogs to defend the slieep, have been as wolves to worry them.

There Judges, to instance not them only, but their greatest crime, have delivered an opinion, nud judgment in an extra-judicial manner, that is, such as came not withut their cognizance, they being judges, and neither philosophers, nor politicians. $\ln$ which when that is so absolute and evident, the law of the land ceases; and of general reason and equity, by which particular 'lawsrat first were framed, returns to bis throne and government, where yalus populi becomes not only supremn, bot sola ler; at which, and to which end, whatsoever should dispense with the ling, to make use of any money, dispenses with us to make use of his, ard one another's. In this jadgment they contradicted both many and learned acts and declarations of parliaments; and those in this very case, in this very reign: so that,for them they needed to have consulted with no other record, but with their memories. 2. They have contradicted apparept evidences, by supposing mighty and imminent dangerts, in the most serehe, quiet, and halcion days that could possibly be magined, a few contemprible pirates being our most formidable enemies, and there being neither prince nor state, with whom we had not either allance or amity, or both. S. They contradict the writ itself, by supppsing that supposed danger to be so sudden, that it would not stay for a parliament, which required but forty daya stay, and the writ being in no such haste, but being content to stageseren times over.
It seemed generally strange, that they saw not the law which all men else saw but themselves., Yet though this begot the more general wonder, throe other paticulars begot the more general indignation. When they had allowed
to the kiog, the sole power in necessity, the sole jadgment of necessity, and by that enabled him to tate both from us, what he would, when he would, and how he would, they yet continued to persuade os, that they bad left us our lilbertics and our properties. And, which I confess inored most, that by the transfurmation of us from the state of free sobjects (a good phrase under Dr. Heglin's favour) unto that of villains, they disable us by legal and voluntary supplies to express our affectous to his majesty, and by that to cherish his to us, that is, by parliaments.
The cause of all the miseries ne have suffered, and the cause of all the jealousies we lave hail, that ne should yet suffer, is, That a most excelleot prince bath lepen most infinitely aloused hy lis' judges telling him, that, By policy he misit do "hat he plensed.
We nust now be forceed to think of abolishing of our ereevances, and of taking away this judgment nud these judges together, und of rexulatmg their successor by their exemplary punishment.
Hercupon the Opinions of the Judges, the Shap-Writs, and the Judgment aganst Mr. Hainplen bengs read openily in the house on Mouday, Dec. 7,1640 , atter long dabate these four seceral sotes nere agicell to by the bonse, nem. con.

1. That the clarge imposed upon the subjects for the piovidmeng and funnisting of ships, tand the assersment for raisng, of money for that purpose, commonly called Shup-Money, are agaust the lan 3 of the realm, the sutjects uglit of pioperty, and coutrary to former resolutions in parlament, a.d to the Pettion of light.
2. Thit the extrajudicial Opinions of the pudges, published in the Star.Clyamber, and in; oolled in the Courts at Westumster, in hac zelba:

- Thecenar.
'C. R.;-When the good and safety of the ' kingdom in general is concerned, and the ' whole kingdom in danger, whether may not ' the king by writ dunder the great seal of Eng-- land, command all the subjects in this kingdom 'at their charge to pravide and furnish such ' number of Ships, with men, victual, and nuuni"tion, and for such a tume as be shall think fit, ' for the defence and sqfe-guard of the king dom ' from such danger and peril, and by law com'pel the doing thereof, in casee of refiual or ' refractorime ©; and whether in such case is ' no: the king, the solc julgo both of the dangir, ' and when and how the 'oame is to be prevented ' and avoided i-C. R.'


## - Their Opiniona.

- May it please your most excellent majesty, 'We have according to your majetty's com' mand, severally, and every man by hinself, ${ }^{\prime}$ and all of us together, taken into serious conr'sideration the case and questions signed by - your majesty, and inclosed in your letter: 'And we are of opmion, that when the good
${ }^{s}$ and safety of the kingdom in general is con-- cerned, and the whole kinglom in danger, ' your majesty may by writ under the great senl of England, conmand all the subjects of this ' your kurgdomn, at their charge to provide and 'furnish such number of Ships, with men, - victual, and munition, and for such time as ' your majesty ,hall thank fit for the defence and 'safcguard of the kingloh from such danger ' and peril; and that by, law your mojesty may ' compel the doing thercof in case of refusal or ' refractoriness. And we are also of opmon, 'that in such case'your majesty is the sole " judge both of the danger, and when and how 'the same is to be prevented and avoided?
In the whole, and in every part of them, are agatinst the laws of the realm, the right of property and the liberty of the salijects, and contrary to former resolutiois in paliament, and to the Petition of IIrght.

3. That the Writ following in hace verba, viz. Charles by the grice of Goil, kmg of Eugland, Scotiand, Fraice and Ireland, ilefender of the - futh, \&c. To ous right trusty and well'belored counsellor, Thomns lurd Corntry, - keeper of our great seal of Eiyland, greeting. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ These me to will and sequme you, that fir the 'safeguard of the sens, and defence ot the ' realm, you assue forth, or cauce to he issued - forth of our l gh corrs of chance ry these ensu" ing writs in the form following, with duplicates 'or theni, under our great seal ol Fighland, unto ' the counties, caties, towns, and phace hereafter ensung, and for so dong this shall be 'your warrant:' And the other Wits commonly cafled the Shup-Wuts, are ng unst the laws of the rcaln, the ightit of property, and the liberty of the subjects, aud contrary to former recolutions in parlament, and to the Pection of Rughr.
4. That the Judgment in the Exclequer in Mr. Hanpdry's case, a transcript whel eof followeth in hac reiba: (vic. 'Quod separalia - brevia prad.cta et retorna corundem, ac 'schedul. pradict. essden annexat. ac materia s in eisdem content. sulticien, in lege exist. ad 'praf. Joh. Hampder de pixdictis vigintu sol© dis super ipsum m fornis et ex causa priad. ' assessis, onerand. Ideo convideratum est per ' eosdem barones, quod pred. Johannes Hamp-- den de cistem rigint solidis onerctur, et inde 'satisfaciat.)' in the matter and substance therrof, and in that it wns conceived that Mr. Hampden was any way chargeable, is against the laws of the realm, the right of pioperty, the liberty of the subjects, and contrary to former resolutions in parliaincot, and to the Petition of Right.
These votes were nfterwards transmitted by he house of counmons to the lords, and deliver-ad by Mr. St. Juhn (aftertwards bis majesty's solicitor-gencral) at a Couference of both houses, of parliament, beld 16 Car. 1610.
Mr. St. John addressed himself to the lords as follows:

My lords ; The knights, citizens, and bur-
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gesses of the commons house have entrusted me with a message to your lordships of a general and very high cuncerument; so general, that the whole body of the kingdom, both peers ant people, are interested in it; of so high a consequence, as that there is nothang that can concern us nearer.-It is one of the grandia regni; so great, as that I shall not need to present it to your lordshups iur a magnifying glass ; it will appear too big in its, own dimensions. It is not that Ship-money lath been levied upon us; but it is that right whereby Ship-money is claimed, which, if it he true, is such as that makes the payment of Ship-money the gift and cearnest peany of all we have. It is not that our persons have been imprisoned for payment of Ship-money, but that our persons, and ( $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ it is conceived) our lives too, are, upon the same grounds of law, delisered up to bare will and pleasure. It is that our birch-right, our ancestral right, our condition of continaing free sub jects, is lost; that of late there hath been an endeavour to reduce us to the state of villainage, may to a lower. It is true, the lord might tax his villain de haute et de lasse, might take all his lands and goods ; the villain had no property against the lord, the villain he could not ire quo voluit, he had no liberty of person, the lord might insprison him at his pleasure: but the villain's life was his own, and not his lord's, the law secured him that. But, my lords, ne the law' stands now declnred, it is disputable whether it doth so much for us.

My lords, the subject of this messnge, is to present the sense of the commona to your lordships; that the laws of the realm inktinted at first, and freely rassented unto, and chosen by their ancostors for the preservation of themselves and us their descendants, in our persons, lives and estater, have been of late entrusted unto such hands, as have endenvoured to force upon them a contrary end to that für which they were ordained; from defensive to turn them to offi-nsive; and instead of protecting us, to make the laws the instrument of tuking from us all we have. Those carriages which hare produced this sense of the commons, I am commanded at this present to declare to your lordships. They are certain extra-judicial opinions delivered by the judges at several times ; the one in November 1635, the other a year after, in February 1636. The Ship-Writs, that have issaed to all the counties of England for these many years. last past without intermission : the privtipal thing in these writs which 1 am to present to your londships, is not the charge and barden which hath been thereby imposed upon the sabjects, though that be great, but the declarations in them of the law, add of the right whereby this burden may be Imposed.-The last is, the Judgment in Master Harmpden's case in the exchequer ajon these Blup-Writs.

My lords, the two lest, that is, the Ship-Writ and the Judgrent, because they are very long, I am only to open them withnut reading, and to deliver then to your londehip; the other tom,

I am to read them, and then to deliver to your lordships.

The first Opision in November 1635, wat read as followeth :

I am of opinion, that as where the benefit doth more particularly redound to the good of the ports or maritime parts, as in case of piracy or depredntiohs upon the seas, there the charge hath been, and may be lawfully imposed upon them according to precedents of former times; so where the good and safety of the kingdom in general is concerned, and the whole kingdom in dimger, (of which his majesty is the only judge) there the charge of the defence ought to be born by all the realin. This I bold agreeable both to law and reason.
My loids, these opinions were delivered by the judges severally und apart, they were procured by the solicitation of my lord Finch, The judges, us he severolly procured their handy, were by him enjoinea secrecy : nccordingly these opinions evalked in the dark for a year. and upiwards. Aiterwards the procurer of thrm. my lord Finch, liked them so well, as that : 0 presumed to deliver them to bis majesty. Fiy his procurement, a detter was directed frum his majesty to the jadges, for the delivery of their opinions in these and some cther aciditionals. The former that hath leen read is more modest ; it is only that his :ajesty is the sole judgo of the danger, and this the imland as whll as the maritime towns ore chargealle to the defence of the kiogdom,-It is not deciared in these, that this charge may be imposed by his majesty alone; for the expression is only; that the charge may huvfully be imposed; say uot by whom.-In the ottler opinions they procted a malo od pejus, and spesk plrin Euglash, whic h followeth in hac verla:

## - Tre Case.

C. R. When the good, and the ease and saffety of the kingdom fin genegal is concerned, and the whole kingdom in danger, whether may not the king, by writ usides the grent seal of England, coummend all the subjects in this kingdom, at their charge, to provide and furnish such number of ships with men, victuals and agnmunition, and for such time as ho should think fit, for the defence and safeguard of the kingdom from such danger and peril; and by lew. compel the doing therteof, in case of refusal or-refractorinest? and whether in such case, is not the king the sole judge, both of the danger, and when and how thé same is to be prevented and avoided?

## '. Tife Judgés Answer.

Moy it please your excellent majesty, wo have, eccording to your majesty's command, severally, and every man by himself, and all of us togetherf takea fato serious consideration the case aid questions signed by your majesty, and inclosed in your royad letter; and we are of opiniop, thec wheo she good and minety of the kiagdom in general is concerned, and the whole kiogdoun is ia dapper, your majesty may by
writ under the great seal of England, command all the subjects of this your hingdon at their charge to provide and furnish such number of ships, with.men, victuals and anmunition, and for such time as your majesty shall think fir, for the defence and safeguard of the kingdom from such danger and peril; and that by law your majesty may compel the doing thersof, in case of refiusal or refractofiness: and we are nlso of opiniun, that in such case your majesty is the sole judge, hoth of the danget, and when and how the same is to be prevented and avoided.
These Opinions were subscribed by all the Judges in Serjeant-Inn-IIall; they were afterwards published in the Star-Cthamber, that the subjects might take notice of them ; and that they might never be fongotipu, they are enrolled in all the cuarts of Westminster-Hall, in 'per' petuan rei memoriam.' Your lorddhps will be pleased to give me leave to repeat them in ther plain and legal sense, which 1 conceire to be thus: That his majesty, aq often as himself plenseth, may declare that the kingdpm is in danger ; that so often, for prevention of such danger, his majesty, by his writ under the great scal of Eugland, may alter the property of the suljects gooda, without their consent in parliament, anit that in such proportion as his majesty shall think fit; and besiles, the alrering of the property of thetr goods, for the prevention of such dauger, may deprive them of the liberty of their persons, aud of their lives, nad that in such manner as himself sholl please.

1. The first of these, viz, That his majesty may declare the danger as ofton as he pleaseth, is inade good in these words, That the king isthe sole judge of the dangs, and when the same is to be presented and avoided. 2. The second, that so fiten he may alter the property of the suljects goods, without consent in parlimment, an these word, That bis majesty may, by writ under the seal of Eogland, command and compel all the subjects of we realm, at their charge, to provide and furni-h ships. 3. That this may be in what propertion his majesty shall please, in these words; that his majety may command them to proride and furnish such number of chips, with men, victuals, andammunitiou, and for such tune as his majesty shall think fit. 4. The last, viz. that which concerus our persons, -in these two clauses: 1. That his majesty in case of refractoriness may compel the doing of jt. This compulsion in case of refractoriness includes the person as well as the estate; nay, it sounds more in the persotiality thau otherwise. For the other, viz, whetber this personal coonpuision may extend sofar as to life, I hymbly leave it to your lordships convideration, upon the other clause ; that is, that his inajesty is the sole judge of soech danger, and when and how the sume is to be presented: whether the words, 'how it is to be premented', in this case of personal compulsion, doth not leave the manner of it wholly in his majesty's breast.

My Tords, if these Opinions extend only to 6hip-Money, it is enough; his majesty takes
vol. 1H.
what he will, and when he will. If all be taken to day, and afterwards by descent or my own lakour I got a new stock or liveliliood, that is no more mine than the former, so that chere is ho property left nuto the subject, though the Opimons go ma turther. Bert, my lords, shipMoney is not the whole extent of them; ShipMoney, lyy these Opinions, is unt due hy any pecuharity in Ship-Noney; bat Slip-Novey is therefure due, because hil majesty is the sole juike of the danger of the bingdum, ahd when and how the saine is to be prevented ; because his majesty, for the defeuce of the binglom, may at his will and pleasure charge the people. This is the ground, und upon the same reasorn the compulsion may be as well for the making and maintaming custles, foits and bulwarks, matime of brilgres, tor trunsporting his armies, for piorision of wages aud victuats, for soldiery, for horses and rariages: it may le multiplied in infinitum.- It may be done when the good rand safety of the kingdom is concerned; this extends to all things, and at all times: 'Quia ' jacet in terra, non habet unde codet.'
If these opinions be law, I humbly leave it to your lordships considerations, whether the government bo not 'inperium legibus solutum.' The next thing I shall offer to your lordships is the Ship-Writs : a transcript of one of them directed to the sheriff of Dorsetshire, I shall deliver; all the rest being of the same form. Becasse the writ is long, I shall open it briefly; it is to this effect. There is a declaration in it, that 'salus regui periclitabatur:' That the safety of the kingdom was in danger. Therefure the inhabitants of the several counties are cominanded, for the dclence of the kingrom, for the custody of the seas, for the safeguard of the mirchants from piracy inward and outward, that they shoold provide a ship of war, furnished with guns, gumpowder, double tackle, and all othegr uecessaries; and this shy thus furnished at a day set, fo be brought to Portemouth, to be provided for 26 wecks of mariners wages, victuals and nther necessaries: and for the doing of this, nuthority is given to the sheriffs of the several counties, to assess every one of the inhabitants 'secundum statum et facultates suas,' according to their estates and means; and further power given hin, by distraining and belling of the distress, to levy these monies; 'si cun' tratios invenerit,' then to imprison their persons: And further declares, that all this may be done, 'secundum legem et consuetadinem ' regni.' The sense I conceive is buefly thats: That by the laws of the kingdom, when his musjesty shall declare that the $k$ inglorn is in danger, be may alter the property of the sulijects goods, and imprason therr persons ; nay, that not only his majesty, but the sherifs may inuprison their persons: By the law the lord mizht imprison his villain, but could not transfer that powertod the bailiff, or to any other; it was personal. That the execution of this power over the persons of the subjects hath gone no farther thau their imprisonmeat, whether thercin we be aot beholden to his majerty's grace aud yooduews,
$4 \times$
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and nothing at all to the opinions of the judges, 1 leuve it to your Inrdhhips considerations.

The last thing 15, the Judgucut in the Exchequer, in the 13th yanr of his now majesty's reign, aguinst Mr. Haupaten. The Record is very long: I shall bricfly open it to your loidshigpy. Quarto Ang. 11 Ciar, there issued SlipWints to the several cuuntics; monongst the rest to the county of Buckx. The shernff assessed the inhabitants; sume of them made default, and did not pray. -Upon a C'ertiorari out of the Chancery, directed to the shecirit, be certifies the persons that made default, together with the sums asse;sed upon them. From the Chancery, by Mittinus, thase certificates were sent into the Exchequer, to the intent processes might issue agniust the defaulters. A scire Fg cus issued to the sheriff of Bucks, who therenown, anongst other returns, returns thot Mr. Jinmpitan hat beea ussersed gos. for sonne lands in Stohe- Manderille m that county, which be had not paid. Mr. IIampden appeared, and upon lus nppearance derands $O_{\text {ger }}$ of the Ship-Wito, and the other proccedings. After his hearing thercof, and undertanding the contents, he domuss in lan, that is, demands the Judpment and Opinion of the Judges, whether this writ was softicient in law, and to force him to pay the said 20 .

This heing a great and general case, the Bamons of the Fxchequer de ored the hassutance of the rest of the Julkea, who did join accordingly. The case came to be argond; there were tour arguinents, two on Mr. Hampden's side, and tivo on the other side: The first was in Michaelunas Term, after All-IInlloutide; and all the fuur arguments were speeded before Chistmas dy, two of them in the term; apd no longer time could be procured for the rest, but the short vacation between Michaeluas Terin and Christmas. It was a case of so little concermment, that whercas in Westminster-Ilall teriu after term is usaully given to argue auy demurrer, this must be argued betwist All-Hallontide and Christmas throughout. After the arenments, the counsel on bothsides were commander to bring hefore the Judges the records nnd authorities cited: They were brought; and tor the ease of the Jurges, many of them on Mr. Hatrpden's part were abbreviated on the back sides: those abbreviations were wommandef to be expounded. Afterwards the case caine to argument at the bench; thele the case was judged, and by the greater part of the Judges Judgueft was given agonst Mr. Hampden. When the Judges lad delivered their opmions, it was the Barony puit to pive Judgment; the Joilginent was, '(Quort separaha - brevia piadici' et 1 etarn' eoruuden ac sche' dula pradict' eiqlem anvex' ać materia in eis-- dem content' suffic en' in legre evistunt ard prow.
${ }^{4}$ fatum Johannem Hampden de prediet, viginti

- sullidis super ipsum in torna et ex causu pra-
- dict. aqeessis oneranil' Ideo consideratuin eat - per cosdens baron' quod predictus Johnmics
- Hampdea de eiedeíh vignuli voldis uneratum
"eximede satibgat.'

My lords, this Judgment is a full and plenary execution of the former opinions of the Judges, and of the Ship-Writs, for so much as it conceıns our propnety: It asagiven in Mr. Hampden's case only, but binds all the suljects; for so bindiug it is, as that an honourable person, now in my eye, in a case depending in the King's-Bench, was denicd any argument or debate concerning the right of Ship-Money, for no other reason but that it had been by the former Judgnint adjudged already in the Excheguer. My loids, these extra-judicial Opinions of the Judges, these Ship-Writs, and this Judgment, are those carriages which bave miroluced this sense of the commons, That the fundannental laws of the realm enncerning our property and our persuns atc shaken.

My lords, the conminons have taken the extrnjudieral Opinions published and enrolled, and the rest, seve, ally into consideration; they have been read openly in the house; and after loak debate, and long hather in consideration of the greatuess of the matter than of the difficulty of it, they came to vote; four several Votes passed upon them; the Votct passed without so mueh as one nequtive roice to any of them. - The Votes were m suhatance, That they were agninst the lawa of the realm, the rigl,t of the property, the libertics of the sulsject, contrary to the fornier resolutions of the parliument, and to the Petrion of light. The extra-judici.l Opiaions entolled, they soted in the whinle, and every part of them, $t$ be contray to all thess; for they did concene, that in these opinions there was not any one clatuse that was agreeable to the law, het that througliout they sere contrary to the lans.

My lorde, the thapgs which the commons rook into their consideration, before they prowceeded to their Votea, were the proreceling, it the parlinment ligld 3 Car. When the Petation of ttight was framed. The commons went no higher; the reasons inducing them thereto nefe, brcanse in .that pafiament all those tirree had been debated, property of goods, liberty of persons, and securty of our lises. Two of them, that is, property of goods and liberty of persona, by the occosion of the Commissons for the Loan, afd the intruction wherewith these commissions were accompanied; that concerning our lives, by occasion of the commiscions that had issued for the executing of * Martial Law. They conçite, that if any thing concerning these bad passed both houses and sis majenty, orothe judgment of both houses without his majesty, it nould be in vain to lonk funtiler, that it mould be actum agers : Nav, my lefds, they had firthet consideration, that in those were already settled in that pulimenen', it would not only be derogatory to the jurisdiction of parliament, but *iangerons to look higher, as tpat they woult infer a defect in those procredinge, and cast an nspersion upon that parliament. I nen commauded buu to present to your lordbbips consideration those things which setisfy the comentons, which ale these three:

1. The Commissions for the Loans, with the in-tructions. 2. A Commisson called The Commission of Excise. 3. An addition of savmag, which' was desirell by your lordahips to have been aldsed to the Pelution of lught, at the time of the franing of it.
The case upon the Comminsion for the Loans standeth thus: 13 Octob. \& Car, divers Commistions were difected to suadty commissoners, to the number of 60 or 70 lords and gendemen, in the several counties issucd, whierehy a compulsury aid, ly way of Loan, was requied of the sulject: The rausch and grounds of this command are in the commassions expressed to Le thise, The king found the crown engaged m a war, by the advice of buth houscs in parhament; that not ouly the hing and the suhject, but aloo las allis beiyond sea were in dauter. The puts beyoud seu, where our cloth is vented, and from whenee we have most of our pron sion tirs slupping, were endangered; his majes!'s's teasures were exlumsted, and his collens empty. A parlumeno had been summond, but no supply. Eanoodlable ierecolty both at home mad abroad motitiphed the caemiles. (ireat and mighty prepauations, buth at sea and land, lhaceatened the haugdom staily.

Not only the hing's honour, but the salety and sey cubsstence of the hang and pouple, and of the tue religion aliroad, arcin apparent Alanger of suffinung irrepaably, unlcss not only a specdy, but also a preenent stop lie made; ntach cunot admit ou lous delay as the calling of a parhament. The bing assared on the ronal noid of a hag, that not one peony should be beatuwed, but upon those public services ouly wherein wery one of them, and the wbole body of the kngdom, their wies, chaldren, and posterity, have ther personal and common interests. The comnisstuners diligence commanded, as they tendered the hing's honour and safety of the realm. Here 'sslus regui 'periclutabatur,' the ${ }_{*}$ whole kingdom wat in amager, as in the julgers opinions; and ns in the shi, $p$-writs, and judguents in the Excliequer. Nay, my lord, funther, the safety and very subsistence of the king, people, and true religion, were in danger oi sullering. inseparably; the dangerous instance, not a speedy, but present stop must be made; the supply could not stay for a parlaruent ; at this time lis majesty's coffers were expausted; the king found the crown eugaged in this wary lefore the access of it to himself, and that by advice in parlizuent ; all this expressed, only lending of monies fur pre-vention required; but it was a compuliory thing, and became compulsory, by the fagsructions to bind over to the board, aud taprisonment for refusal. There commossions were, in the parliament 3 Car, first resolved in the coinmons bouse to be ayainst the lavw, afterwards by your lordships, and consented untu by bis majenty ; and are declared to be so in the Po tion of llight; and the imprisonment of the aubjects for refusal, declared in the Pptition of Right to be agaiast law.

My lords; The uext is the Commission call-
ed, The Commission of Excise. This was dated ulumis l'eb. it was dated after the suiamons to that partament: This commission issued to 33 bord,, nnd others of his majesty's privy-connul; the commissioners are therelly conmuanded to raive momes ly impocitions, or wherwbe; us in thicir j.adgueits they shall find to be most convensent.
The cuuscs wherefore the se monies ore to he raisent, are expressed to to tho se: The defenca and a iety of the king und peuple, which withvit entit niest hazard oithe hug, bingdom, and prople, and of the kang's friends and allies beyoud sens, cannof admit any longer delay; incvitable neressity, sherein form and circumstance mu-t sather be dispeused withed, than the sulatente last. The roromissioners not to fall ticeem, as thry tendeted lis majesty's bomoner, und tiee salety of the hinghinn and piopile. Itire 'salas regni perichabatur,' the onho'e kingdon declared to he in danger, in greater und nearer than in the opinion of the ship-Writs, or Judgnent in the Cnequer.

In the palianeent S Car, Lhis Connaission was adjudged by the cubumoss to be against the lans of this realm, and coutrary to the Jargacat given in the l'etition of Right; and nfter a conference with your lordohips, de-ired his majesty, that it might be cancerled. The then Iord-Keeper thortly after brought it caucelled to your lordsbips in the house, and there said, it whs cancellell, in his manjety's presence: You sent it caticelled to the commons to be riewed, who afurwards sent it back to your lordships,
My loves, The last is the addition of saving, desired ta be added to the Petition of 14ight, whichewns in these nurds:

- We humbly present this Petition nuto your ' majesty, not only with a care of presering ' our owa liberties, but with due rigard to leave ' eutite the sovereign power, wherewth your ' majesty is thusted, for the protection, balety, 'nod happiness of your people.' Your lordships desire of this addinion to the Petition of Right, was tnken iuto connideration by the commons; and after debate, it was thanght fit by thens to be rejected. A conference was had with your lordships, and MIr. Noy appointed by the commons to declare, the reasons of their resolution. Your lordships not receiting satisfaction at that couference, whether thes addition should be rejected or not; it was again debated in the connmons house, they ventured upon the same buttom aguin: It was theleupon resolved to be rejected; the reasons of their rejection were these in sum :
First, They confess, that if these mords were taken as a bare proposition only, without any further reference to the Pecition of Right, that it was a true proposition. That is, that the law hath trusted the king with sovereiga power for the protection, safecty, und happinest of the people. But if it should be added to the Petition or Rlight, as was desired, then wns it mmt true, but would make thé Petition of Tlight ficto de se, and wholly destructive to iteclf ta wll the
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parts of it ; that it would proceed ' a lene di'visis ad inula crnjuincta:' for then the Pettrion of Right, as they resolved, nould have this sense. Whereas in the Petition of Reghtit is suid, That no nid, t.as, taillage, or other charge whatwener, may be miposed upon the people withont therr irce consent in parlianient; it would hate thas construction. It is true, it camnot be doue by the king's ordinary power, hut it may be done loy that soverelgn power whereviith the law lath entrusted his mojesty for the protcction, suffety, and happiness of the people. So likewise for kapprisonment, that they ought not to be inprisoned without due process of law. It is true ordinarily, bat the king muy imprison by lis sovereign power, wherevisith the law hath intrusted him for the protection, safety, and happiness of the people. So that, tor that martial lave, that the subjects haes unght not to he taken away, unless by due process of han. It is true ordiuarily, but the ${ }^{*}$ kme may do it hy hit sovereign power, wherewith the law bath introsted him fior the protection, sulfety, and luappiness of the people. Whereby they couceised that it would not only make the l'ettion of Rught to be wholly destructive of itself, but likewise this Petition of Right would leave the subjects in a far worse condition than it found them; for it would necessarity infer, that which is ngainst the law, vir. That the hing, by his sovereign poiker, when he pleased to didlare that, it was for the Evod of the people, might do all this.
Your lordships, at a conference of both houses, engared on the part of the commions ly serjeant Bilanvile and sir Heary Martin, receiveci satitifaction from these reasons, and conzented to the leaving out this addtition; and nccorlingly the Pectriun of lleght passed, and is printed whtiout it.
My lonls, ticse were the things I was commauded to presnt nuto jour lordships; other things thrre wete, as the sentence agaiust brshop Mainvariug: but these weighed $\gg$ much with the commons, as that they conceived they needed no mure. Ny lords, These precedents of that pailrunent, and thicse opinions of the judgcs, the Ship-Writs, and the julgments in the Exchepuer; they are like the two buckers of a well, if oue go up the other mu-t go down: ' non benc contreniunt.' My' lorde, we have nut rited these preredents out of dififidence that your lordhhips had forgotten them, but benuye vithers bave; or that we distrust your lorishipls justice, if you bad forgotten them: for before these were your lordsthips concurred in opinion with your worthy ancestons, that first gave thew. Their noble blood runs in your veins. It is now to confirm your own judgnent as well ns theirs; in your lordships hitensts, there are the same magazines and fountains of hounar and juastice ns were then ; these jurdgments and proceedings were the artions of both houses ; the danger by the ridetion is cqual. The commone see nothing in the judges. opmions or judgment, why they should recede hom their former judgments; they hope the
snme from your lordships. Besides, my lorde, that the case is now much varied from "hat it was then ; not only in the matter, but as it concerns the honcur and jarisdiction of this great Judicatory, the parlinment.
The breach of pivivileges in the members is tenderly rescnted, because that without this freedon they caonot advise and consult concerving the ardua regni. But when they have done all, to have their judgments and their acts of parl. ovent throvus by the judges anterwards, this makes parliaments to be nothing ; this sets up the judges above the parlianent, this puts us out of hope of redress ; if they may orerthrow the proceedings of that parliament of 3 Car. they may by the sime reason overthrow the accions of this, and of all fature pariminents.
My lords, this was not the practice of their predecessors, though Lut in prisate causes; if difticulty of lav at.use, they ulvays consulted this orncle, and thence reccived their unswer how to give jadeppent. Juignenty in the highest court of Westninster-IInil, I mean in the King's-bench, where the proccelings nre coram rege, are here reversable hy writ of error. In causes of great and generul concerument, they ever adjourned them hittier, as things tou ling for them.-'Quia consolta patruin, qui legcs 'juraque servat,' doth nelll; they have tahen that in their hauds they had not to do nuthal ; and how they have handided the matter, your lordhbys have head.
The judges, as is declared in the Parlianent Roll of Rich. 2 ; are the executois of the statutes, and of the judgnents ayli ordinances of parliament; they bave here made themselves the executioners of tlem; they bave endenvoured the destruction of the fandamentals of our laws and liberties. Jolland in the LowCountrics lics ander the sea, the superficies of the land is lower'than the saperficies of the sea : it is capital therefore for any man to cut the bamks, le eause they desind the country. Besides our own, even forcign nullors, as Commines, observe, that the statute DC Tallagio, and other old laws, are the sea-wulls null banhs which kcep the commons from the inundation of the prerogative. These pioneers, they have not only undermined these banks, but they have levelled them even with the ground. If one that was known to be hootis patrie haddone this, though the damage be the same, yet. the guilt is less. But the conscrontores ri;arum, thie ovesseers thtruted with the defence of these banks, for thein to dectroy then, the breitel of trust nggragates, nay, alters the natureat the offence. Bieach of trust, though if a privatc person, nnd in the lenst things, is udious nmonget all men; much more in a public person, and in things of great and public concernment, because great tuust binds the party trusted to greatest care and fidelity. It is treason in the constable of Dover caste to deliver the keys to the known enemies of the kingdonn; becnuse that castle is the key of the bungdom; wherens if the house-keeper of a private person delivered possession to his adr
versary, it is a crime scarce punishable by law.

The judges under his majesty are the persons trusted with the laws ; and in them, with the lives, liberties, and estates of the whole kongdon: this trust of all ne have is prumurily in his majesty, and from him delegated to his judger,-His majesty, at his coronation, is bound by bis oath to execute justice to his people, according to the luws; thereby to absutc the people of the faithful performance of this great trust. His majesty ngain, as he trusts the judges with the performance of this purt of the oath, so doth he hkewise exact another oath of them, for their due execution of justice to the people, accurding to the laws: hereby the judges stand entrusted with this part of his majenty's onth. If therefore the jnidges shall do nittingly agzinot lav, they do not only break their oatbs, and therein the common fasth and trast of the whole hinglon, but do as much as in them lies smear and blemish the sacred person of ho majesty with the odious aud hatefinl sin of perjury.
My lork, The hemousness of this offence is most legible in the severe puushments which formet ages have inflicted upon those judges who have brohen any part of their oaths wittingly, though in things not so dangerous to the snbject as in this case ul question. Sir Thomas Wayland, cluef justice of the Common Plens, Ediw. 1, was atruintell of felony for taking bubes, aud his lauds and guods forlcited, as appears in the Plcas of Parliament, 18 Edw. 1, nud be was bayslicd the kingdom as unworthy to live in that state, against which be had so nuch otleuded. Nir tyilliam Thorpe, chief justice of the King's-Bench, in Edw. 3's tine, baving of tive pronnus received five several brikes, which in all muounted to 100 l , was for this ulone ndjusiged to bo harged, und all his lands and gouds forfeited. The reason of this Judgment is entererl in the roll in these worde; ${ }^{\text {E }}$ Quia pradiet' Willielmus Thorpe, qui sacra' mentum domni rects crga populum sumu ha-

- but ad custodiendam, fregit malitiose, false
' et rebelliter, quantum in ipso fuit;' because that he, as much as in him layg had broken the l.ng's oath made unto the people, which the hing had intrusted him withal.
- There is this notable declaration in that jougment; that is, that this judgnent was not to be drawn into evample againss any other ufficers who should break 'their, oaths,' but only ugainst those 'qui predictum sacmmentum - fecerunt et fregerunt, et habent leges Ansliax 'ad custodiendum ;' that is, only to the jydges oaths, who hase the laws entrowted to thein. This judgment was given 24 Ed. 3. The next year in the parliament $25 \mathrm{Ed}$. S, it was debated In pail linment, whether this judgineut was legal; et uullo contradicente, it was dectared to be just and according to the law; and that the same judgment may be given in time to come upon the like occasion. This case is in point; that it is death for nny judge wittingly to break fus outh, or any part of is. This oath of

Thorpe is entered in the roll, nnd is the same ver batin with the jurges oath in $18 \mathrm{Ed.3}$, and the snme which the judges now take.
-Your lordships will give me leave to observe the differences between that and the case in question.

Fist, that of Thorpe was only a selling of the law by retal to those five persons; for he had only Give sercral bribes of those five persons: the passage of the law $t p$ the rest of the subjects, for aught appears, was frec and open.But these Opinions are a conveyance of the law by wholesale, and that not to, but from the subject.

In that of Thorpe, as to those five persons, it was not an at.solute denial of justice; it was not a deraming ap, but a streightening only of the chanuel. For whereas the jutge ought judirium reddere, that is, the law being the birth-right and inhertance of the subject, the jodge, when the parties in suit demaud judgment, should redare, freely restore this right unto them; now he doth not dare, but rendere, with the hazard only of perverting justice: for the party that buys the judgment may have a good and hunest cause. Bat these Opinions, besides that they have cost the subject very dear, dearer than any; may, I think I may truly say, than all the unjust judgments that ever yet have been given: witnebs the many hundired thousand pounds which under colour of them have beeu lewed upon the subject, amounting to 700,000 , and upwards in money paid unto the treasarer of the navy; besidet what the subjects bave been forced to phy to sherifis, blailitis, and others, which altogether, as is conceived, amounts not to much less than a million; besides the infinite vexation of the subjects by suits in law, binding them over to nttendance at the counci-buad, taking of thern from their necessary employments in making of assesses angl collections, und imprironuent of their persons : 1 saypmy lords, licsudes what is past, to make our miseries complete, they hare as much as in them lies made them endless; for by these opinions they have put upon themselves and their succeasors an impoesibility of ever doing us right again, and au incupacity upnn us of demanding it so long as they continue.
My lords, In that sore farrine in the land of Exypt, when the inhabitants were reduced to the next door to death, fir there they say, 'Why should we die for bread?' First, they gave their moner; next, therr flocks and cattle; and last of ail, thetir persuns and their lands, for bread; and all became Pliaraoh's. Bat by this les regio, there is a transnction made, not only of our persons, but of our bread likewise, wherewith our persons should be sustained. That was for bread, this is of out brend. For, my lords, since these opinions (if we havȩ any thing at all) we are not at all beholdeo to the law for it, but gre wholly cast upon the mercy and goodaess of the king.-. Again, There the Ekyptians themselves wold themselven and all they had wo the king; if
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ours had been so done, if it had been done by our own free consent in parliasent, we had the less cause to complain: but it was done against our wills, and by those who were tiuthed, and that upou oath, with the preservation of those things for us.

My lords, The laws are our forts and bulwarks of defence. If the captrin of a castle, ouly through fear and cowardice, and not from any complinace with the enemy, surrender it; this is treason, as was adjudged in the parliament, 1 Ric. 8, in the two caces of Grymes and Weston, nad in the case of the lord Gray, for surreudering of Berwick Castle to the Scots in Edw. Od's tume, though good riefence had been inade by him, and that he lost his eldest son in mainteunce of the siege; and yet the loss of a castle only loseth not a kingdom, but the place and adjacent parts, without trouble to the whole. But hy these opinions, there is a surrender made of all legal defence of propriety; that which hath been preached is now jndged, that there is no meum et tuum between the king and the people, besides that which concerns our persons.

My lords, 'The lair, it is the temple, the sanctuary whither the subject is to run for shelter aud reluge. IIereby it is beccome 'tem'plam sine numine,' ns was the temple built by the Romun ennperor, who, after he had built it, put $n o$ gurls into it. We have the letter of the law atill, but not che sense. We have the fabric of the temple still; but the gods, the ' dii tutelares,' are gone.

But, my lords, this is not all the cose, that is, that the law now reaseth to aid and defend us in our rights, for then possessinn alone were a good title, if there were no law to take it away; 'occupanti concederetur, et melior esset 'possidentus conditio.' But this, though too bad, is not the worst; for besides thgt which is privative in these opinions, there is somewhat positive: for now the law doth not only not defend us, but the law itself is made the instrument of taking all away. For whensoever his majesty or his successors shall be pleased to say, That the good and safety of the king. dom is concerned, and that the whole kingdom is in danger, then when and how the same is to be prevented, makes our persons and all we have liable to bare nill and plensure. By this means, the sauctuary is turned into a shambles: the forts are not slighted, that so they night neither do usgood or hurt; but they are held against us by those who ought to have held them for us; the mbuth of our own canoon is turnerl upon ourvelves.

My lords, in these expressions there is no reGection upon his majenty: It is only thst those judges would have forced upon the law an unhatural and contrary motion; his majesty's carrigge in the business clears his justice.-The first Opinion of the judges under their hands was proosred by my lord Finch's solicitation only, and by' hior brought to his majenty. Theee Opipions procared the letter from his majesty for the Opioions iarolled, wherein, as
likewise in the case in the Exchequer, the judges were left free, as was acknowledged by two of the judges in the exchequer-chamber, wha argued against thuse Opinions, with this protentation, that if there were any miscarriages in that business, it must fall wholly upon themselves; that the king was blameles.:

My lords, we know his majesty'b justice is the fairest, the richest diamond in his crown; the dhast which these men would have blown and forcerd upon it, is fallen short; and with your lordships helping hands, it will, we hope, be cast upon their own fuces, a hiter place for it than the other. My lorde, the ouths of the judges, as they bind them th the due administration of jusuce to the sobjects, according to the lans, so as they be of the king's council by their oaths, they are bound lawfully to counsel him; that is when their opinions ate demunded, they are to dreliver them accordng to the law.
I shall therefore put your lordships in mind of the menorfile proceedings agniast those jodges who bave broken this part of tircir oath, in that notable parliment held the eleventh oi Richard the Second. In this parlinuent, jodgment of hiph treason wus given ngainst 18 scveral persons, ${ }^{*}$ and all (saie one of them) of eminent sank; three privy counsellors; tha arcbbishop of York, the duke of Irelani, the earl of Suffolk; the bishop of Dxeter the hing', confessor; five knights, sone whercof had berin servants to Edward the Srd, and all, save ontr, servants to the then kiug;- und some of then of noble descent; six judges, Ipehton the king's serjeant at law, Blahe of the king's counsel at law, nad Uske, the uyder sheriff of Murdlest x. Or these eightcen, eight were executed; that is, sir Robert Tresilian the chief justice, five knights, Blake of the hing's counsel at law, and Uske the under sheriff. Three, that 1 , the archbishop of York, duke of lreland, and eal of Suffolk, fled. The aest had their lives pardoned, but were banihled; their hauds and zoods forfeited, and httile pensions allowedthera dunng their hres. It was made felouy fur any one to procure their pardons, and they to be dealt with as fraiwrs, if they returned from their bunishment: and of those 18 perkons all save three were inpeached by the commons.
The offences which procured these exemplary punishmeats, although their proceedings bu long, and comprebended all that was done in this parfiament, I'll briefly open them to your lordships. During the minority of that king, byoill counsel of sone near his person these wewf wiscarriages in gowernment. In the tenth year of his reign, and the twentieth of his nge, a parliament was holden: in that parliumem, in aid of good goverament, and of due execution of the laws a commiasion was awarded to 12 several peofs, and others of greatest wivdom and fidelity. The commissioners had power in all things concerning the houshold, courts of justices and the revenues; in a word, in all

- Vide No. 18 in tbis Collection.
things concerning the good of the realm; with full poner finally to determine and put in execution such determination for the honour of the king, the better guvernance of the peace ani laws of the realn, and relef of the peo-ple.-This commission was to endure one year; at the year's end the hing would be of foll age.

My lords, the endcavouring to overthrow this commission issued by authority of parliament for the welfare of the realm, upon pretence that it trenched upou the royal power, tended to the disherison of the king, and derogation of the crown, together with the destruction of the commissioners who procured $2 t$, and pat the same in execution, upon pretence that they and some others had in parliament forced the royal assent. My lords, the conspiring to overthoow thus comorission and the procurers of it, is the casc in brief: for although there be divers other articles against many of them, yet this was the ground-work of all; and this singly and alone is declared in all the, proceedings in pailu,unent to be treason. Of these 18 persons condemned, 5 of them were plotters, viz, the archbishop, duke of Ireland, earl of Suffolk, Trestian the clief justice, and sir Nicholas Brambre: these insinuated into the king, That this comnnission was in diminution of his kingly power, that the procurers of it had extorted his royal ussent; and that this was treason. Thereupon Blake one of the king's cooneel at law nas advised withal, who declared his opinion, that it was treaton: he was eptumanded to prepare an indictment of trenson against the commissioners, and some of the procurers of it, nho had been active therein.
The indictment was d awn by him, which is sutered in the roll, and is to this effect: That they hand trateroubly conspired amongat themsclves in the parliament, to male this commirsion by nuthority of parliament ngainst the regality of the king, to his disherison, and derogatun of the crown; that they compelled the hug's consent, and that they confedernted and bound themselves to maintain one another in so doine.-It was intended that they should be tried upon this indictment in Middlesex or in London. Ubke the under-sherifi of Middlesex was acquainted with the business, who was to prepare things for the effecting of this design, stme of the parties to be indicted not being peers: which he peffiprming accordingly, was therefore executed.
The five plotters, that the king might the more confide in their colusels, (for so are the word, of the record) and that under the colour of law they might cover their malice from the hing and the singrom, before the trial was to le had, they advise the king to demand the upinion of some of the judges, that is of the two chief justices, and chref baron,ethe judpes of the Comonon Plens, six in number, and of Lucton the king's serjeant. Blake of the $\mathrm{King}^{\prime}$ 's counsi lat law was commanded to draw ap these questınns for the judges opinions, who did it eccoidugly. For the drawing up of thase ques-
tions; and the indictment, Blahe was condemned and executed.
The question being drawn into writing, the judges wete sent for to Notungham Castle; where, in the king's presence, they were consmanded upon their allegiance to deliver their opininis.- $\mathbf{1}$. The first question was, Whether the comaission mas in derogation of the crown? They aoswered, It was. 2, The second question was, Whether the persuading and urging the king's consent in proliament thereto was treasou Y They answered, That it was. Though there were some other questions asked, wll copcorning parliamentary proceedings, yet these were the main, and those for which they were condemned; as appenrs by the replication of the gommoas to the judges answer, and by the words of the judgment, ria. That they knew that this commisson was awarded in parliament, that it was for the public good; that they knew of the traitorous intents to destroy the procurers of this commission; that they knew the law, nad that it wns not treason; and had delivered their opinions thereby under colour of law, to cover their treasomable intent : and therefore judgroent of reenson was given against them, and against Locton the king's serm jeant at law, who had subscribed the opinions with the judges.-Sir Simon Burley, one of the five knights that were axecuted, was condemned only for conspiring the death of the procurefs of this commisaion: and although there be other articles against the rest, yet this alone is adjadged treason in the several judgmente against every one of the eighteen.

1. My lords, it is observable in all these judgments, that they are adjudged traitors, nat woll against the person of the king as agaust the commonwealth 9. It is there declared upon great advice taken, that in trensons which concern the king and kingdom, they are not bound te proceed aocording to the rules of the common law end inferior courts, bat according to the course of parliaments, so as may be for the common good. S. Judgment was given in parliament, and execution awarded, and afterwards a bill of confirmation passed, in respect of their lapds, to give them from a day past; and for declaration that this should be no precedent to inferior courts to adjudge the sagse cases trenson, save only in parliament.

These judgments were not huddied up in haste, but they were given upon long and mature deliheration. These judgmenta were the whole work of that parliament; had the proreedings against the five iNotters were begum the fourteenth of November, and the judgments were not given till the thitevench of Eebruary following, which was a quarter of a year. And it is declared in the roll, that they spent a long time, and took great pains to examine the evis. dences, the betier thwreby to satisfy their una consciemces and the world.
I insatp the more upon this, to take away all blemith from these proceediags. It is true, my lords, these judgments were afterwards in the parliament of \&1 Rish. 9, revokod and made
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void. But, may lords, that pailiament of 21 Ruch. 2, of revocation, was held by force, as it is dectared in the Parliament Rolls of 1 IIen. 4, n. 21, 23, that it was held 'viris armatis, et 'sagittaris mmuensis.' The knights of pariiament were not clected by the commons, ${ }^{\text {' prout }}$ ' mos exigit, ced per regram soluntatem :' And to the lordy, ' summonuri fecit rex dominos sibi 'allharentes.'

My lords, by these precedents it doth appear, that this parhament of revocation was no free parhament, if at all it deserves the name of a parliament. But to put all nut of doubt, in the parhament of 1 Hen. 4, n. 48, these jurgments of revocation are declared to be wherea, 'iniqua, ' et omai juri et rationi repugnantia,' erroneeut, wicked, and contrury to all right gnd reason. In the parliament of 1 Hen. 4 , in priot, these attainders are confirmed: So that these judgments of attaiader have the authority of two acts of parliament, both of them of furce at this day.
Your lordshipg will give me leave to observe the differences and pgreements between the offences of those and of the present juiges, and as well in the way and manaer of procurement, us in the matter of them. For the maumer of procurement, those judges in Rich. 2'b time were in the kng's presence: and as it is in the Parliament Roll of 1 Hen. 4, n. 18, they were 'violenter altracti,' violeutly drawn to deliver their opinions, and that ' metu mortis ' et cruciatu corporis,' for the fear of death and tortures of their bodies : and at their trials severally they say, That in part violence had been offered to their persons, because they had differed in the delsery of their opinions. My lords, this was surh a miscarriage in tha judges, these circumstances considered as might ' cn'dere in virum fortem et constantem.' But, my lords, fear or cowardice is no plea for delivering up of ihe forts and bulwarks of the kingdom.

But in the present business there is none of all this; it cane from within; there is no outward firce. In those of Rich. 2, it was ' actus 'unicus,' once done at Nottingham castle: if the judges had been put to it the second lime. perlan. the rest, as well as some of them, had repented, and would not have done-it over again; for Belknape, the chief justice of the Common-Pleas, the same day declared his sorrow, and said, That now there remained nothing but a horse, a hurdle, and a halter; and Fulthorp, another of them, the next day declared his gitief for what he had done. But here, after the opimipn in November 1685, a year after, riz. 1636, they proceed 'a pessimo ' ad pejus pessimo;' for that was with addjtions: most of them declared their opinions in their circuits, and a year after confirmed it ,egain by the judgment in the exchequer. Here it was done yeur after year in cold blood: One murderous blow, whereupon death follows, is felony; bat to maltuply wounds upon the dead body, and to come again in cool blood to do ir, it shews the heiglit of malice. In these two thinga they agree.

1. That which the judges did in Rich. 2's time, they did against their own knowledge; they knew the commission was done by act of parliament; so here these knew the Petinon of Right damned the Consmisooners of Loans, a stronger case than that; they subscribed many of them, knew that the Comnmission of Excive was damned in parlinment; they knew the other proceedings in .parliament, and if they had forgotter them, they were afterwards put in mind of thens; they needed not to have consulted with books and journals of parliuments, ssving only with their own memones.
2. They agree in this, That therr opinions tended to the subversion of the laws and statutes of the kingdoun: for in that of Rich. 2, the pretence whs, the endeavouring to overthrgw parlinmenta and parli,mentary proceedinge; the conspiracy of the death of the procurers was conly an aggravation. It was not trenson to conspare the death of a privy counsellor, or to kill a judge, uiffess be be upon the bench; and in that cuse it is treason, because of the-malice, not of the person, hut to the law: so that there the treason lay in this, not that they conspired barely aganst their persons, but with reference to their proceedings in parhament; and thereby to overihrow the acts of parliament, wherein these posons bad been principal actors. But in thas ngain they disagree; for in that case there was only a conspirncy; no denth followed to the procurers of the commission, nor nas the comminsiou overthrown; all that was done was only this, Tha: a warrint was directed to the lord mayor of Londou, for apprebending their persons to bring them to trial, which yet was not done. But here (after the rpinions dehvered) judgment was afterwards given by them in the exchequer, and execution awarded thereupon, for so anuch as concerns our property.
And likewise in the King's-Bench, where the judges after the Judgments in the Exchequer refised to hear any more pebate of the matter; and so for the liberty of our persons, by heeping divers of the subjects in prison, terin afier term, for not paying Ship-money, and other thiogs depending upon those opiniont, when they had been "brought before them upon their Habens Curpus. In that of Ricli. 2, it whs for overthrowing but one act of parhanent, which was likew ise introductive of a new law; for tife commission had no rise frura the common law; for in truth it was derogatory to the crown: It had only theatrength of the palliamest to sopport it, which was sufficient; it was for the coinmon gpod. But here the endeavour was atspuce, not to blow up one act of pariament, but all; antl these not introductive, but dis claratory of the conimon lew ; as was the Petition of Ripht, the statutes there mestioned, and the resolutions. That of Rich. 9, was but the blowing up of the upper deck; this of the cominon luw, and the statutes too, and the old foundations, and the atructures built ppon them, all tokether. In that of Rich. 2, it was ouly to overithrow a temporary act of perliamody,
that was to continue no longer than one year but this, to make an eterial durastation, for (tolies quotges) to the world's cod, as lus majesty or his successors shall say that the bingdomin is danger, inaly these opiutions be put in execution, and hikemse they ate inrulled in alf the cuurts of Westminster-Hall, 'in perpe'tuam rei inemoriain'

This sin agaunst the Holy Ghost is therefore unpardonable, because it takes foum the eparty repeotance, the meaus of pardon To put us therelure mito a case of dexperation, some of them have publich, and upou the beath, declaned, That this prerogative is no inherrat in the Luwn, as that it c annot be lahen anay by act of paritment $-A$, they lave put an unpossibility upun themclives, so would they put an impusibility upon his in yjest!, y ui loudshaps, and the whole pahtiancit, for ever aghtung us ag un

My londs, ' contiaria juxtz se posita magis 'elucescant' I have priseuted your londshaps, with the obliquity of the ill Judies in flieh 2's tume give nieleave to present your loidslups with one eximple of a contriry natiue and thu $w$ as in queen Eliahieth's tume in the 29th ycut of lice majesty's reign she erects a acw othce in the ( unimon Pless, for the making of hupeist leas in exirent, thit issued there, she grants it to Rachud (sendigh, hen stilant, sends to have bim admitied liut the jud,es delay the domg ot it, for this ieason, beciuse the puothunotanks and plalazer, clamed the making of those wits. Ihe "quecn bends i shaip littCi, syd conamands thein foithwith to admut hin vet tle judges toilical. The quen n seads a shaunu Ietier, cumm undug thern to shew the resous of thear contempt and disobedience to the then I ond heeper and the earl of Leiccotei, no mean men m those days the judges delinet then ic ishlas whoy the; bud relused, that it $w$ is becouse otners clanued th making of thọse wris-The quien venils at fouth percmpiony wess ige for then adnuting lim, with this rearon, that if the others nere put out, thicy were nich and able men and that her coints of justice wue open whete they m, hit denando then inghts Ihis was not to the nuzy the ight, but to put thein to therraction-Tbe Judges hum-- hly retuind thas Answer, I hat the queen had taken her outh tor the execution of justice accoiding to the law; that they did not doubt, but that when her mwesty was, informed that at was agaunt liw, she would do what befitted hei for then paits, they had taken an oath to God, to hen, and the commonwealth, end it thicy should do it without process of law before thein, and only upon het comm und put the other out of possesmon, thuugh the night remaned to them, it wero a bieach of thear ouths; and theicfore it the fear of God were not sofficient, they told her the punishunent that was infictcd upon ther prodecesors for breach of their oaths, (citang these of Thorpe in Buch. 8 's name) that they might be suthcient warming to them. The queen hearing of these reasons was satisfied;
vor. $\mathrm{HI}_{\mathrm{t}}$
and the Judges heard no more of the business.* - 1 hese Judges have had examples of both hinds before them; they might havi chosen the good, and refure d the harl.

My Lards, bcsides these judgments and opimons, the commons will in due tame bring up these judges with their'other judgunents, 'cor'porac cunc ausis,' ion soui lordshipb will easily concuse, that they who fave done thas, have done mole the pimcipal of them, I mean my Lord hepper, stands accused before youi lordslins of il gh lieason he is nothere Justice goes 'pedc lento, sed ceito' it will overtale hara ne the last

The ucat stp thit is suakmg af er humano the Artules of has Isupe iclument, whoh, with yober Iondslup's patience, are nuw icady to be opened and delivciad to you fordshaps.

Mr Hud + spahe afterwards in the following mannes -

Ny I ords, There cannot be a gienter instance of at wh and I wginthug conmon wenlth than the busine us of fiasd iy Good Gud' how hase the Luilty these I ite years been $f$ unslied, wheu the Jadges thimselies base been such delanquents! 'I is no niarvel that an iriegulai, evtravagant, irbitasy puwer, like a torreut, hath biohe in upon us, when our banks and oui bulwaihs, the leas s, weic in the custody of such persoms Mcn wh, bud lost then innorence could not prtsente then coursge, not could we look that they whon had 50 visbly ondune us themselves, should hive the nitue or credit to rescue $u \geqslant$ fom the oppiession of other men. It nads said "by ouc whu always spohe excellently, thit the twalie Jndees aeje line the twelve lions inder the thione of Solomon "Unden the 'throne in obedtence, but ict hons' Your londships slonil thes das hean ot sus, who (be they what they will he clse) wete to hone, who upon vulgat ${ }^{\circ}$ fe ars deliveicd up the precnus forts they were trusted with, almost without asbault; and in a tame cisy t incl of flattery and cervitude, lost and furteited (shame fully torfented) that reputation, anc, and ievcrence, which the wisdom, courage, and gravity of then senerable predecessors hid contiacted and fastened to the places they now hold, and esen rendered that study and grotession, which in all ages hath been, and I hope now shall be, of an honourable estumition, so coutemptible and vile, that hiad not this blesoed day conse, all men would hare Had that quariel to the law itus, if, which Marcus har to the Greeh tongue, who thought if a mocbay to learu thnt-language, the masters whet eof lised in bondage under athect. And 1 appeal to these uuhappy gentlemen themselves, nath what a stiange mgligence, scorn, and indignation, the faccs of all men, even of the ufanest, have been duected towards them,

[^42]1288] STATE TRIALS, 13 Ch. I. 1637.-The King against Joln Hampden, esq. [18s4 since (to call it no worse) that fatal ieclension tjraty by licences and dispensations for selling of their understandinge, in thooe jorlgments of. which they stand here chased befure your lond. ships.-But, my londe, the work of this day is the grentest mastance of a growing and thivaug conmonwenth too; and is as the Jawning of a fair and lasting day of happiness to thas banddom.

It is in your lordslips power (and I am sure it is in your lordships, will) to restore the dejected broken jeople of this island to thete former joy and wecualy, the successors of these men to their own prinilcee andweueration: ${ }^{5} \mathrm{Et}$ ©sepultas prope leges revocare.'-So these Jufges enter thenvelves, and harden their hearts by more particular trespasses upion the law; by mupasinons and taxes upon the mérchants in trade; by burdens and pressures upon the gentry in kuighahood; before they cuald nrrise at that unvelad destruction of the ki.g by Shipr-Moncy, which promeded reward and sec* curnty for all them fontas sempers, by doine the nork of a parhainent to his majesty an supples; and seemed to delude justice, in leavimg none to judge them, by making the whole kingion party to their oppressicu.-My lords, the commons assembled in parliament bope that your lordships will call these Judges speedily before you to answer these Articles lad to their charge, that the nation may be satisfied in your londship's justice upon them, as their cromes ilenctit.

Then Mr. Pierpoint delisered in the following Articles ngainst sir Robert Berkley, one of the Justices of the Kiug's-Bench:
Ahticies of Ivpeachient of Sir Ronetit Bieriley, lent. one of the Justices of the Court of King's-Beuch, by the Conamons in thi, present Parlinment assembled, in their own name, nadin the name of all the Cunsmons of England, in maintenance of their Accusation, whereby he stauleth changed with IIigh 'Treason, nud other great Misdemeanours.
-Insprinia, That the said sir Intiert BerMey, than being one of the justices of the sail court of King's-Bénch, hath trutorously and wackedly cudi avoured to subieit the fundamental laws aiad establisheil government of the rcalm of England; and instead thereof, to introduce an pithtary and tyranuical gaternment agningt law, which he hath declared l.y traitorous and wicked words, «pinions, judgments, prachires, and actions appcaring in the several Artucles en4 sung.
2. Whereas by the statute minde in the 25th year of the reign of Heury 3 , prices of victuals ure appointed to be rated in soch manner, as in the sald statute is declared; but it is mnnifest by the said statute, Con is none of the victuals thereby intended: neveitheless some ill-affected persons endeavouring to bring a charge upon the subfects contrary to law, did sormise, that the piices of Corn aight be ruted, and set accorling to the direction of that statete; and theseupron great gain mught be raised to bus ma-
('on at other prices ; and a conmand from his majesty beme procured to the Judkes, and sent to them by Willam Noy, esq. his majesty's then Attonney General, to deliver ther Opimons touchung the question, Whether Corn was such victuals as was intended to have the price rated withu the selid statute: In apswer to which, the said sir Robert Berhley then being one of his majesty's justices of the cnurt of Kug's-Bench, in firtherance of the said unlawful charge endcavoured to be unposed as aforesaid, the Suth day of November, in the Bth ycar of his now majesty's reign, did deliver list Optnion, That Cora was sucli vetual as was mended to have the price mated withun the sad statute; whech sad Opinion was contrary tw law, and to the plam sease nond meamng ot the said stotute, and a atrary to his own knowledge, and was given and detre ured by hum, with a purpose and sutcntion that the and unlawful charge might be mupused upon the subject.
3. That nu information bemg preferred in the couit of Star-Chamber by the said William Noy, his majesty's then Attoruey-General, aguust John Overman, and fifteen other soapmakers, defendants, chargme them with several pretended officuces, contrary to divers letters patents and proclanations touchang the nationg and uttering Soap and unge the trade of bapinakers, and other offences $m$ the sual mionmathon mentioned; whereanto the delienulants did plead, and demur as to part, and answer to other parts of the sad mformation: and the sad plea and demurrer bemg. ovev-ruled, fior that the particulars theiein insisted opoil nould appear more full after noswer and proof; thercfore the defendants were ordered to answer without prejudice, and were to be culmitied to such exceptions to the said mffurmations, and advantuges of the matter of thie plea and icmarrer upon the hearmg so shall be material; and accordungly the defendants did put in there Answers, and set forth several ncts of pariisment, letters patents, chhrters, cu tom-, and acts of common-cnuncil of the city if London, and other matteramaterially conducing to thenr defence ; and, in Fonclusiou, pleaded Not Gualty. The said sir Hobert Belaley then hemg one of the justices of the court of King's-Bench. upon the 30th day of March, in the elghth jear* of his majesty's relgn, unpti an oricer of reference to h m and others, by the sand court of Iar-Chaniber, to onnsider of the impertinency of the said $\Lambda$ nswers, dif certify the said court of Star-Chainber, That the whole Answere, exreptheg the four words uutd ten last lince, shonlid be expunged; leaving thereby no more substance of the said Answers than the plea of Not Guilty. And after, upon a refercnce to him and othprs, by orifer of the said conrt, of the impertinency of the Interrngntories, and Depositions of witnesses taken on the defendants part in the same case, sir Robert, Berkley, upon the second day of May, in the eigbth year of his now majesty's reign, certified, That nineand thirty of the sald Interrogatories, and the
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Depositions upon them taken, should be suppressed, with the Answers, except es aforesaid, and Deposinons: although the same did contain the said defendants most material defence, yet were they expunged and suppressed, according to the said certuicate; both which said certuficates were contrary to law and jastice, and contrary to his the sain Rubeit Derkley's own knowledge, and contrary to the said former oider, whereby the advantakes were sived to the defondants, as aforesald: and by reabna thereof the sad John Overman and the said other fifteen defeudants were sentenced in the sanl court of Star-Chamber to be comnitted pusoners to the Flent, and disabled from using therr trade of soap-makers; and one of them fined in 1500 l , two of thent in 1000 l , a-piece, lisur of them in 1000 marks a-piece; which tues were estreated into the Exchequer without any mitgation : mid the said defendants, accordang to the said Sentence, were imprisoned, and deprised of their trad ${ }^{6}$ sud livelhhood, tending to the utter ruin of the said defendants, sud to the overthrow of frce trade, and couLary to the liberty of the subject.

1. Thit he the said ar Kobert Borbley, then beug one of the justicts of the King's-Bench, and baving taken an oath for the due admianstration of jusuce, according to the laws and statutes of the rendu, to bis majesty's liego people, on or nboat the last of Deceuber sulsscribed an Opurou, in hac ver bu: ' 1 an of 'opiniou, that is whese the begnefit doth more 'particulatily redsund to the good of the ports ' or marituse parts, (as in case of piracy or depredations upon the seas) there the charge harh been, and may be law silly mponed npon than, accordurg to precedents of former tuner; so where the safety and good of the kmgdom in general is concerncd, anal the whole hingdon in danger, (of which bus majesty is the only judge) there the charge of the detence ought to
be borne by all the runlua in general: thas I lold agrecable both to law and reason.'
2. That he the said ar Robert Beikley, then be ing one of the justices of the rourt of King'sJench, and duly sworn as aturesaid, in Feb. 1636 subscribed an extra-juflicial Opaninn, in nusner to Questious in a letter from lis unajesty, on hac verba:
'C. $\boldsymbol{R}$. When the good and safety of the ' kingulom in general is concorned, and the - wh.le king doun in danger; wheiter nay vot 'the king, by writ undur the queat seal of Engs - hand, comumand all the sabjects of this kingtom - at their charge to provide and furvish such - number of ablps with meu, victuals andhouni-- tion, and for such time as he sholl think lit, - for the defence and safeguard of the kingdoro - from such danger and peril? and by law com${ }_{4}{ }^{4}$ pel the doing thereof in cose of refiusal or re4 fractorinese ? And whether in such case, is
' not the king sole judge both of the dnager, 'and when and haw the same is to be prevent'ed aud avoided?'
C. $\boldsymbol{R}$.
"May it please your most excellant mas. 'jesty, we bave, qucording to your manjesty's
'command, severally every man by binself, ' and all of us together, taken into serious ' consideration the case and question signed by y your majesty, and inclosed in your royal let'ter: and we are of opinion, thect when the 'good and safety of the kingdom in general is 'concerned, and the whole kivgdom in danger, ' your majesty may, by writ under the great - seal of England, command all your subjects ' of this your hmadous, uz their charge to provide ' and furnsh such number of ships with men, ' vetnals, and muaition, and for such time as ' your majesty shall thinh fit for the defence and bsafeguaid of the hmigdom fiom such danger ' and penl; and that by law your majesty may 'gompel the doung thereof in case of refusal 'and refractormess: and we are ulso of opi' nion, that in such cuse your inajesty is the sole ' judge, both of dauger, and when and how the satine is to be prevented and avoided. John © Bicunston, Sohn Finch, Ilumphry Davenport, 'John Denhan, Rlechand Hutton, Wallam © Jones, George Crooke, Thowas Trevor, - George Veinon, Rubert Beililey, Francis 'Crawley, Rechard Veiton.'
3. That he the saidnar loolert Berkley, then 15 ing one of the justires of the court of Kug'sBeuch, and duly sworu as aforessid, did on the deliver his opunion in the Exche querChamber against Jolm 1 Jampuleo, esq. in the cille of Slinj-Money. That he the sand Johan IIampden, upon the mitter and substance of the casc, whe thargeable widh the moncy then in question; a cojy of which proceading and judgsenent the commons of this present parliament have deliverch to jour lordshings.
4. Thas l.e the said sir Robert Berhley, theu beage one of the justices of the count of King'oBench, and one of the justices of Assue for the county of York, dad, at the assizes held at York in lent 10356 , deliyer hon charge tos the graudjury, 'Tlust it was a lantul and inseparable flower of the crown for the hing to command, ( not only the maritime counties, but also thone ' that were mhand, to find thips for the defeoce 'of the hungdom.' And then libewise falsly and maliciously afiumed, That it was net has single Jodguent, bat the Judgraent of all his brelhren, witnessed hy their subaciptions. And then also suid, That there was a rumour, that sume of bis brethren that had subscribed were of a contrary jodginent' lout it was a base and unworthy thang, for any to give his hand cuatuay to his heart; and then wished for his own part, that his hand mught rot from his arm, timt was guily of any surh crime ; when as lie hnew that Mir. Justice Ifution and Mr. Justice Crooke, who had nulscribed, were of a contrary upiaion, and was present when they wcre peasuaded wo subscribe; and did subscribe fur confurmity, oaly because the majar number of the judges had subscribed. And he the suid sir Kolueit Berkley then aloo said, That in some cases the Judges were above an act of parliament; which said false maliciuus woids werp uttered, as aforessid, with antent and purpose to comitenaice and mantsin the anid unjoot
opinions, and to terrify his majesty's suhjects that should refuse to pay Slip-Monry, or serk any remedy by law agamst the sald unjuat and illegal taxation.
5. That whereas Richard Chambers merchant, having commenced a sult for tre passo, mad fale umprisonment, sgamat sur Kdiv. Brumfield hat. for improwning him the said Chambers for reluang to pay Sbip-Money, in the time that the-sand sur E. Bronticld was lored mayor of the cery of Loation : in wheh snit the sud sir E, Bormfield did inake a special jus-tificanon- the sadem K . Refkley, then bemg one of the justices of the court ol hing's bench, in Truity tern last, then sistug on the Bench in the said cours, upon debate of the sard case between the sail Chunkers and sur $\mathbf{E}$. Broanfield, said openly in the conrt, "Thit there ' nas a iule of law, nid a rule of government ; and that ' naang things whirh might not be, * done by the rule of lnow, migit he doue by - the rule of anvernme nt:" and would not soffer the pount of legality of Ship-Moncy to be argued liy Chinbest' coansel. All whirh opi-mon-, declarations, a.nds, aad specches, coustanned in the $5 \mathrm{rl}, 4 \mathrm{th}, 5 \mathrm{th}, 6 \mathrm{th}, 7$ th and 8 th , articles, are destructice to the tundamputal laiss of this realm, the subpects nuph of prospetty, and contrary to fonmer resolutions ia pathament, nud to the Petition of Rught; nhinh resolution in parhameng, nud Petition of light, were wall bnown to him, and vesolved and $\mathbf{t a}$ ncted when he was the hing's sergieast at law, and attendant in tho lords house of parhament.
6. That the snid sir R. Berklyy, then being one of the Judsts of the court of King's-bench, nnd bemg in commastion of the peace, and duly sworn to exerate the office of a juatice of the poace in the county of Hertiond, on or about the 7 th of Janu ry 1633, at which thue the general seasions of the peacesfor the sand county wele there bolden; the sald sir R. Berkley, then and the.e suttung ou the beach, did revile and threaten the grand-jury soturned to serve at the saud sestione, for preveating the removal of the communion table in AllSaistn Church in Ilertford aforesaid, out of the place $\mathbf{n}$ here it anciently and usually stourd, and setting it altar-w.ays, nganst the laws of this realn in that case made and provided, as an mnovatina in matters enncerning the churrh; the said grand-jury having delivered to thera in charge at the said sessions, by Mr Serj. Athans, a justice of the peace for the atind county of Hertford, thite by the oath they had taken, they were bound to present all innovations conceruing churel-matters. And be the said sir Robert Berkley compelled the foreman of the juiy to tell him who gave him any iuch information; and thereby knowing it to be ope Heary Brown, one of the said g ! jurv, he asked the said Brown, how he durst medule with church-mpotters? Who affirmung, that in the said charge foon Mr. sergeant Atkins the said jury was charged bo to do, he the sand sir Robert Berkloy told the said

Broan, he should therefore find sureties for hus pood behaviour; and that he the sald $\operatorname{sir} \mathbf{R}$. Berkley would set a great fine on his head, to make him an example to others: and theteupon the said Brown offerel stofticient bail ; but he the sard or K. Berkley beng incened against hum, refused the snud bail, and commitud the said Brown to prison, whire be lay in wrons till the next morning; and ued to the said Brown, and the rest of the jurors, many other revilug and ternfying speecher; and soid, he knew-no law for the suid presentmeut; and told the said Brown, that he had anned in the vaid presentuent ; and he compelles the suid grand-jurois to say, they were sorry tor what they hart tlone in that presentinent, and did bid them to trample the said preseatment under their teet; and caused Bown to thar the sudd prosentment in his seght. And he the said sir It. Berkley, whea as Johu H ntand and Ralph Pembierton, late nayors of ht . Alb us, cuine to decire his oprmon on several indictments ngainst Jobn B:oun, parsom of St. Allaus, and Antiony Simi'th, vicar of St. Peter's in St. Albans, at the quater-se-smans held at the satd mown of St. Albuns, on the 2 Ith of Ju.e 16s9, for the removal of the Communion Table out of the moal place, and not admanitering the Stetament "iccording to law in that case provided; he the sand in R. Berhley then told them, that such nu medictaneut was before hum at Herffurd, and that he quisheid the same, and anprsoned the prumotis: by which threateming and reving specthes, unjut actious and declarations, he oo territied the jurors in those parts, thit ticy durst not present any innovations in church-tnatters, to their greit grief, and trouble of their conscipuces.a

And wheress ses cral indictments were preferred against Mptthew Brooh, passon of Yarmouth, by Joln Ingram and John Carter, for refusing scyetal tines to admanister the Sucranrent of the Iord's Supper to them without any Inwful cause, at the assizes, held at Norwich in the year 1633; be the said sir R. Berkley, then being oue of the judises of the assize, proceeded then to the trial on the saud indictments ; where the matter in isque being, that the said Brook refused to administer the said Sacrament, because the snid Ingram and C'arter, would not receive tichers with their sir-names before therr Christan-naxnes; which wns a course nexef used aniongst them, but by the said Brook : ank the sayd sir R. Berkley did then much dissourage the sand Ingram's counsel, and over-rule the cauve for mutter of law, 50 as the jury never went from the bar, bui there found for the said Bronk: and the said sir H. Berkley bound the said Ingram to the good behavipur for the prosecutug the said indictments, and ordered him to pay costs to the snid Brook for wrangfully indicting him:And whereas the said Carter, not expecting the tial ot the same assizes be preferred this indictunent, whe then absent; wheredpon the said sir R. Berkley did cause to bo entered upon the said indictment a Vacort, 'quia non
'sufficiens in lege,' and orderd an attachment agannst the satd Carter : which sad procecdimgs ngran-t the sadd Ingram and C'niter, by the sadd sir R. Berhley, were contrary tu law and justice, and to his own knowledge.
10 That the suid sir K . Berbley, beng on of the justices of the couts of Kiug's bench and duly sworn as aforcsond, in Thany Terir 1637, deleired to discharge or benl Alexpudet Jewurns prisoner im the Fleet, (brought by Ilabeas Corpus to the har if the sad court ; the icturu of bis commininent being, that lie was co umitted by two several warrants from the loris of the eumel, dated the 5th of Nor vember 1636, the hot being only read in court. expresing no cause; the wither, for not paying messengers fees, mentil he otbuld buthg a s eru ficate tiont he had pud is a a mesment for ShapMonev in the counts of Bucks, trut remitted him. And in Michuclouas terin after, the said Jemangs beng br sught by another 11 , bel, C'orpus betore him as aforesa all, and the same icturnal; jet he, the said ur R. Berkicy, re fused to discharge or bull bun, but renatter him. And in Eister-terin, atter sevenal iuleweie given for his maje-ty's coun el to thew cause why the sad Jennimgs should not be buled, in fourth sule was maile for the sand Jeumugs to let his majesty's attorney-genesa lave notice thereof, and notier was given accordmuly, and the said Jrumugs by another $r$ Habea- Corpua beng brought to the bar in Trimity tein after, and the sme return made. with this adduon, of a new commetment of the 4th if May, aulgesting the sad Jenmings had used divess scandalous nords in derogation and dhparakenent of his majesty's govenment : IIe the sud Jennings after sevcral rules, in the end of the sadd Irmay reim, was again remmtted to pism. And he the sald sisill. Berhley did, on the 5th of Juine last, detul to grant his maje,tr's urits of Hobeas Compus for Willinm Purgiter and Samud $D$ invers esquitres, prisoneis in the Gate-Huuse, and yu the Fleet: and afterwards laving graitel the satid wht of Habens Corpus, the san.I Pargter ond Danvers were on the 18th of June list brought to the har of the sad court, where the returns of their commitments nere several warrants Irom the lords of the council not expressing any cause : yet he the said sir Robert Henklev, then sitting in the said court, deferred to bail the said Pargiter and Danvers, and the 18th of Juve lagt made a rale for a new return to be recelved, which was returned the 25th of June last in hee purba :
' Whereas his mujesty finding that his jub" jects of Scotland hase in rebelhous and hostile * manner assembled themselves togetherf and ' intend not only to shabe off their obedience ' unto his majesty, but also as enemies to in© vade and minfest thas his kingdom af England, 'to the danger of his royal person, \&ce.

6 Por prevention whereof his majesty hath by 'the advice of his council-board, piven apecin 'commandment to all the Lord-Lieutegants ${ }^{4}$ of the counties of this realm, to assemble the ' multua at the places appoiated for their ren-
'derrous in their several and respective coun' tues, there to be conducted and drawn together 'Jutua body for this service. And whereas his raxjesty, according to the lans and atatutes of 'this realm, and the constant custonn of his pre-
${ }^{4}$ dece-sors kngs and queeas of this realin, hath 'power for the deleuce of thas kingdom and re-- sisting the force of the enemies thereof, to 'graut finth coummassions nuder his great seal ' to anch tir persons as le shall mabe choice of, ' to array and aim the subjects of this kingdow, ' and to cumpel thoee who are of able bodies 'and alile e taces, to arm themselves; and 'such as should not be of able todies, bat of ' abiluy in estate, to assess them according to ' tweur estates to contubute towards the charge ' of arraying otrers be ang able of hody and not 'able min estatt in arm themselves; and sach 'persons in al nuld be contuarient to commit T" $1 p^{p}$ wou, there to remann until the ling should : tah, firtuer orten therem: And whereas the ' eal of Esetcr, by virtuc of his majecty's core-- inossion to brm dircteff tor the arruying and ' arming of a crit nif number of persous in the ' county of North umpton, hath assessed Willam ' Pargiter, beng a naia nufit of body tor that ser-
'vice, but beng of estate and ability to contri-
'bute amp ngst o'liers to pay the sum of Ss. to-
' wards the artaying and arming of others of able
' bghec, and watiting ability to array and mum
'them nelves. And whereas we have reeerved ' informationn from thic said eifrl, that tice suld

- William Pargiter hath not only in a walful dis-
' obedicut manner refused to pny the said money
a ascsseb upon hun tuwardo so uipartant a ; service, to the distumbance and hindrance of the ifecessary defence of this hingdom; but - also by his ill exanple hath misled many ' others; and, as ne have just cause to beliele, 'hath practised to seduce others fiom that 'ready'obe diance mlinch they owe, and would 'otherwise have yielded to his najerty's just - comusand ior the public defence of his person ' and bingionn, which we purpose with all con' venient speed to enquire further of and exa' mine: These are therefore to will and require ' you to take into your custody the persons of 'the said William Pargiter and Sumuel Dan' vers, and them salely, to leep prisoners thl 'furtier order from this board, or ontal by due 'course of law they shall be delsered.'
Yet he the said sar R. Berkley beng desired to basl the said Paigiter and Dguvers, remitted them, where they remamed prisoneistull the 9th of November last, or thereabouts; although the sand Jeamngs, Pargiter and Danvers, on ail nad every the said returns, were rlearly bailable by law; and the councel of the staid Jeuningr, Pargiter and Danvers, offered in court very sufficient baul. And be the said sir R. Berkley, being one of the jastices of the court of Kingso Bench, denied to grant his majesty's writs of Ihbeas'Corpus to very many others his sajetty's sobjects; and when , be had granted the said writs of Habeas Corpus to very many thers his majesty's subjects, and on the re-. ara no cause aopeared, or such only as was
clearly bailed by law; yet be remanded them, where they remained prisoners very long: which said deferring to grant the suid writs of Habeas Corpus, ond refiusals and delars to discheirge prisoucrs, or to sufler thern to be builed, contained in this article, are destructive to the fundamental laws of this realm, and contrary to former resolutions is parliament, and to tho Petition of Right; which said resolutious and Petition of light were well known to him the said sir R. Berkley, and were resolved on and enacied when he was the king's serjeant at law, and attentant in the lords house of parliament.

11. That whereas there was a cause depead. iog in the Court-Claristian at Norwich, between Samuel Booty clerk and Collard for 2 s . in ${ }^{\text {de }}$ pound, for tithes for rents aud houses in Norwich, and the said Collard uoved by his counsel in the court of King's-Bench for a prohbiv tion to stay proceedings in the Court-Cbristian at Norwich, and delivered into the said court of King's-Bench his suggestions, that the said cquse in the said Court-Christion was only for tithes for rents of bouses in Norwich, which was determinable by the common-law only; yet he the suid sir R. Berkley, being one of the justices of the said court of King'r-Bench, and vitting in the said court, deferred to grant a prohubition to the said C'out-Christam in the said cause, although the counsel did move in the said court maay several times, and several terms, for a probatitiof. And he the said sir I. Berbley deferred to grant his majesty's writ of protubition to several other courts, on the motions of divers others of his nuigsty's suljects, where the same by the laws of this realin ouglt to have been granted, coatrary, to the laws of this realm and his own knowledge.

All which words, opimions, and actions, were so spolen and done by him the said sir R. Berkiey tratorously and wickedly, to alienate the hearts of his majesty's liege people from his majesty, and to set a division betwist them, and to subvert the fundaneatal laws and established government of his majesty's realm of England: For which they do impeach hion the said sir R. Berkley, one of the Justices of the court of Kiug'r-Beach, of Higl-Treason against our bovereign lord the king, lis crown and dignity, and of the misdemesnors above-mention-ed.-And the said Coammons by protestation, saving to theraselves only the liberty of exhibiting at any ting, hereafter any other Accusation or Impenclmantr against the said sir R. Berkley, and also of replying to the Answer that he the said sir R. Berkley shall make to the said Articles, or kuy of them, or of offoring proof of the premises, or any other Impeachments or Accusations that shall be exhitited by them, as the case shall, accurding to the course of parkaments, require; do pray that the suid vir R. Berkley, one of the justices of the court of King't-Bench, may be put to answer th all and every the pfemises; and that such proceedingh exarainations, triale, juclgouents and eqecutiang may be upos every of there bad and used, as is agreeuble to lawe and jowice.

At the presenting these Articles, Mr. Pierpoiut delivered himself as follows:
My lords; Inm commanded to present your lordships these Articles, with which the knights, cuizens, and burgesses of the Cominons honse of parliament, in their own nume and in the name of all the commons of England, inipeach sir K. Berkley, kt. one of the jusuces of his majesty's coait of King's-Benclh, in mainttuance of their Arculsation of Iligh-Treason, and other great misdemeanors; the Articles they desire many be reád.

Whereupon the Articles were read by Mr. Francis Newport, a wediber of the house of commuas.
Then Mr. Pierpoint proceeded aud said,
The high-trequon is in the first article, in his endeavours to suliert the fundanental laws of this realm, and to inticuluce an arbitrary and tyranuical guvequment, which hath been lutely adjudgod treason in the cause of the earl of Strufford. The other articles prove the first by his opinious, certificates, jadgraents, by his denials of the benefit of our laws, which have been read by your lordshipa. No fundamental law to the subjects is left; our goods, our lands, our bodics, the peace of a good conscieuce, aro by bing given up to arbhtary tyrannical governmient. Nuthing trith been oniltud to make a judge know the laws, to make ham just, or frubt fun from being evil: We havo inns of court peculiar to that study, judges from thence ouly clusen; seldom any lut what have been 20 years there; honours and revenues are giren to judges, elkouragetnent to do well; this judge had these : judges auc swogy urcording to law to sente the kiug, and his people; accurding to lay to comensel the hing; bund tor not so doung, to be at his will for body, lands, and goods. This judge took that oath; the laws (the judges tuify) impuse the gicate-1 pumshnuent upon unjust judges; they slew: that these punishments have been inflicted, and more could not be done to persunde or fright is judge.

His offences slew in him great ambition, yet he was most tusurous of displeasing the great in poser; he dud not ouly forbear doing what he was swora to do, hut was most aclise against our laws, and in opposing and punishing any that, did maintain thear. To have only recowed bribes, foboagh they blind the eycs, and though the desire to get money incriasech with ase) that heinous crime io a judge had bcen, in ठemparison with liis offences, a tolcrable vice; for from such a judge justice is alsa to be Lad for maney. Ambition is violent, and ruins whilst covetouspess is making a bargain.

The words of his Opinion aud Jurlgment are for the king's power. It is pleasing to the nav ture of man, that others should obey his will; and well framed dispositions of princes may assily be persuaded their power is unlimited, when they are also put in mind, that therefore they have mpore cause to do well, and for doing
well are more renowned : for in the most oppressive designss (which we bave onfiered under) the pretences of his inajesty hare cver been the good of his subjects; bid is the $\sin$, that is to judge by the laws, and knows the lans are to the contrary, yet puts and confirms sheh thoughts in his prince. He that incites nuother to abbitrary goverument, when his self-eads are thereby compassed, lantes him for taking that power he persuaded him unto. The IVits, those monisters of necesaity, to provide Ships to avoid inmintent danger, that could not stay 40 dags tor the callug of a parlament, were therefore to go out in Keptember, to have ships ready in March. This lasth bein adjudged hy your lord-lapa to be destructive to the fund minental laws of this realm, and to tie subjects righe of property nud liberty, \&c. that I shall say but this concerning them; that this jodge poblished them to be inseparable flowers of the crown; and that we have lived to see for tive years together imminent danger, and ghus to be prevented.

This judge did advice to snch n goternment, ns future kings here miphe exercise the highest tyranny, and the saljects want the benefit of restrants, known to the most slavish castern mations : where, if their prince do unjastly, he latio batred for 14 , and the dangers that follow that. Thisjadge wall bave that hatred to go to our good liws: No such bondage, as when the luws of ficedotn are mis-interpreted by judges to muke men slaves - What can be considered of in a judge of law, to give his opimon nnd alvire to his prince, how the laws (the mutanl covenants of kiogs and subjects) ere to be brohen, but that his iuteations are to have his prince do ill, by making his exil kervants to turly, and to he pleared with thcir wacked demgns; because they aee means, to put them in e vecution, by mehing them to persuade their punce, because in innsinent dauger his snbjects goouls are at hisanill, thitt there is such danger whin there is mot, and that they have only some hy-eurts of ther own?
'This judge will have the lnw to be what to tum seems reason: the reason limited in lim to juige of, is what the common law saith is s.), whont a statute hath so enacted. For him tw judge this or that is law, else a mischief shall Allow, because the lavy in such a thing is imjerfect, thereiore be pill make a law in supply it ; or berause that the law writteh in such particulars is agniest hiss rensony therefore his reasons to be luw ; then fnust follow, ns ofteqsas a judge's rcasor changes, or judgos change, pur laws change also. Our Hiberties are in our laws, which a subject may read, or hear, read; Uhis is his, this he may do and be safe: and that thits the judge ought to give judzment, and then he is tree. The excessive growih of courts of reason and conscience came from great and (unning persons; and though not the moat sudden, yet the most dungerous, nnd sure ways to eat out our laws, our libertues. Unlimited power must be in some to meke and repeal faws, to fit the dieposicions of tirnes and per-
sons: Nature placeth this in common consent only ; aid where all caunot conveniently meet, instructeth them to give therr consents to some they know or believe so well of, as to be bound to what they agree on. His majesty, your lordships, and the communs, are thus met in parlinment; and so long as we atc often reduced to this maia foundation, our, king and we shan Irnaper.

This judge will not allow us our knowledge, or any resson; he will have our minds, omr sonis, slavis. A grand-jury-man gave his fel. luven true informition; they present an innovation in rharch, are threatened and reviler for it ; he that told thas truth is charged, I shail uset this judge's own words, to sin in that, and that he made others forswear themselves; this judge sent him to the common gnol, where he is Inid in irnns; anil all chis, because he and they durst meddle with church mattors. Ho is forced to tenr the presentment in pueres in open court. Our laws provide for the pence of our cousciences, many acts of parliament are for it, and the trust by those ucts set to juries : this judge well knew all this: your lordships have heard what he did to the jury at Hertford; he would have us know no more divinity than to obey what the chief of the clorgy directed, no more law than what he said was so. Judges in former times, except only such ns' were examples of punishment, as of injubtioe in coses of great and public concerument, forbear proceedings till the next parliament. This neceasitnted the calling of parliaments. This judge had as mnny such causes before him, as ever any had; yet he never desired the resolution of pailiament in any one; for the ways he went, the necessity was never to have a parlizment; he would pall up that root of our snfeties and hiberties, which whilst ye enjor, the malice and injastice of all other courts and persons can never ruin; And when near to ruin, (as most near of late) this only sure remedy will help us; nothing can ruin a parlament, but itself. The erils which we batve.suffered under, they wert conunitted by the judges: or by them ought to have been, and might have been prevented.

This judgo assisted in causing the miseries we suffered in the Star-Chnmber, and at the cousion-table: he devied the known rights, which be ought to bate granted us, to stop our grievances in the ecclesinstical couts: be wis the cnaser of unr sufferings ic, other conpts. The best lovers of their laws and liberties, the most honevt, suffer most by an unjast judge; they thost oppose bis vices ; dishonest petson fard such n juidge to fit their purposes; the judgb finds them for lis; the band of ininguity confe. derates them. He that will do no wrotig, wilt suffer none which he can belp: The mann that thows himself born free, will do hie uthost to Inve so, and to leave freedoth to his posterity : were be in siavety, when by outward gastart thought to be most delighted, were his mind then known, there would be found vekation, tand Wis biby thoughty entiployed to redeent hilmens ated bin ponterity from thraldem. Bat to lisy,
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could this judge iatend to make himself nnd bis uwn posterity slaves? Whau he did was througl error of judgment only. No, my londs; what his aims and endeavours were, is apparent. To consider man in the general, we shall find in every age be will be a slave to some few, that many may be slaves to him, be looks to himself only; this be would do, or forbear doing, to be great, to he rieh, had he children or kindred, or bad he oone. This highly unjuat judge, by continuing sins, maintained his actions to preserve himseif; he knows, to be found guilty in one of his offences, the penflty of the law for it, therefore covers the offences comnitted with inventing and acting other. For a judge to be unjust, more hurts the public than any other; he is not surpecter. What a judge doth, is looked on as a thing that ought to be done. The most pernicions great man, that by cunning hath got to himself the beart and tongue of his prince, his ill ucts have died with himi, if not taken up by others, and then they walk in darkness; no man will justify what he doth, loy saying such a favoufite did it: But the unjust judgments of this judge were given in noou-day, were done in the face of the whole kingdon, in the hearing of such as might carry the news to all parts of the realm, and was therefore done ; his unjust judgruents were our recurds. We bave seen wicked grent men most craftily politic; they hated our luws, yet not neeeting with active judges moulded to their purposes, they and their acts have died, the renim floarished; but of late, others less politic, meeting with most unjust jadges,every way as ill as they could wish them to be, then did the kingdom faint, under the load of its misery did loug struggle: Now it is rising, I assure myself gour lordships will assist to take off the burden.

If the designs of some would not have such a inan to be at liberty, a warritht from some lords of the council would soun have laid hinn in prison, and. given no cause; had he moved this judge to be discharged or bailed, he could have oltained neither. If their ways would not have endured that inan to live, a judge reviling the prisoner, and the counsel that moved for his discharge or bgil, joined with the hate of some great man, might soon have mured a gaoter for unwholesome rooms and lodging, and ill diet for his prisoner, and they may soon take life away, Offenders in prisons are looked after to be'safe only; such as are brought in by power against law, are abused. Had a great man desired the estates of others, the breach of a proclamation might readily have been charged ngainst them in star-Chamber; but they, it may be, could have answered and cletred themselves, and proved their answer by testimsomes: had they been referred to this judge, be would have expunget the one, suppressod the other. Then followel fites to the value of their estates, or more; theu imprisonment of course, till they paid auch fines; your lordships have heard what this judge did to the Soap-boilery. The countryman followed the
plough, and to his thinking he was assured of his right, property, and liberty, which gnie him ablity to do it. He believed his neighhour, his landlord, his king, could not take bis goods from tim without his consent. He knew the usual payments by luw; and in extraordinary causes thought to have that care to chuse such for the knights of his shire, or for bis burgesses, as unight be mindful of the cause of payment, and of, his estute. This countryman haih heard the opinions and judgment of this judge, hath seen bis goods taken from him withnat his, or his knights of the sbire, or burgeases consent or adrice. These have made him, lis wife and childreh, to j min in tears, to wish they had never been born; they hava marle thein think on many ways to kerp safo that estate which was yet left them, lave made them desire tu sell all their goods, and hide the mohey: but then he remembers this judge, how that he shall be curried to prison, and remain there, if he pay not what it pleases others to assess him. Then they think idle "persons (the drones and moths of the commonwealth) to be a wisc people, whilst the couutrymen expect, and can think of nothing lut being beggars. Where public and enormous offences bave been conmitted, eminent and notorious punishment, must be: such will make your lordships proceedings bighly esteemed; elee there will be so many offeaders, and none without danger can be puni,hed.
This judge subvertugg our laws, took away the hearts of many; he subscribes for the king's power, but so as he put him on taking ths subjects goods; and of all other, such wayy be mot dangerous: for we know his majesty is not the last that suffers, and 1s nut the king worth many thousands? The place of this udge was to hage given and preserved to the king the hearts of his subjects; the due execution of the laws had done this; and when such notice is taken. of a phincr, ngue will conspire ugainst him, who cannot feign to themselies afety before or after any facl committed; foeign enemies will not invade his hingdoms. Tbus hath his majesty now got our hearts, nad will for ever late them. This judge is to anwer for what his majesty, and for what we ave suffered.
I ary commanded by the house of commots u desire of your lordshiys, that the proceediugs yeninst pif Rolert Berkley, kt. one of the justices of his majesty's court of King's-bench, may he put in as speeddy a why of crial, as the course of parliament will allow.
At the same time Mr. Hollis inade a Speech in behalf of sir Randolph Crew, formerly Lord Chief Justice of the King'r-bench, hut removed for giviug his Opinion against Loan-Money.
My lords; these gentlemen bave presented unto yuur lordships the sad object of justice perverted, liberty oppressed, of judgupant turned into wormwood, the lans, which should be the bars of our gates to protect us, keep us, and all that is ours in safety, made weak and impo-
tent, to betray us unto the bands of violence; instead of props to support as, become broken reeds to deceive us, and run into our sides when we lean upon them; even so many snares to entrap and entangle us. And all this by the perfidiousness of those who are intruated with our laws, who call thenselves the guardians and the iptergreters bf the law; but by their accursed glosicy have confounded the text, and mado it speak another jenguaife, and another sense, than ever our ancpations the lavimakers intended.
Our ancestors made lawas to keep themselves, and their posterity after them, in the possension of their estatos: there jadges could make the law itself rob us, and despitil us of our estates, Were we invaded or prosecuted at any time for pretended crimes, or rather becalize we were frete from crimes? And did we put ourk selves upon a legal defenice, and-shelter varselves under the buckler of the law, use those lawful wenpons which justice, and truth, and the common right of the subject did putinto our hands, would this avail us? No; these judges would make the law wrest our weapons from us, disarm us, take away all our defence; oxpunge our answicrs, even binid us band and foot, and so expose us naked and bound to the mercilessness of our oppressors. Were our persons forced, and imprisoned by an act of power, would the law relieve us when we appealed unto it? No; it would join hands with violence, 'and add bitterness to our sorrow. These judges would not hear us when we did cry; no importunity could get a Habeas Corpus; nay, our crics would displease them, and they would beat us for crying; and ayer-do the unjust judge in the gospel, with whoun get importunity could prevail. *

My lords, the coinmons of England Anding themselves in this lamentable condition, by the wickedness of these judges, it is no wonder that we complain of tiwna. It, is no wonder if the knights, citizens, and burgesses assembled in parliament, have sent up some of their members to stand upon mount Ebal to curse these judges; to deuounce a curse upon thern who have removed our land-marks, have taken away the bound-stones of the propriety of the subject, have left us no meum et tukn; but be that had most might had most right, and the law was sure to be of his side. - It fath been the part of these gentlemen who have spoken befure me, to pray for justice upon thiose men who would not do justice to others. My lords, I cone upon another errand, and yet for justice too; for there is justice upon moont Gerifím, as well as upon mount Ebial. it is a great point of justice to give' a blessing, a reward where it is due, as punishment where punishment is due: for reberd and punishiment, prempium et pena, be the two legs that justice welks on ; and reward is her right leg, the more noble and the. most glorious suppofture of that sacred and divint body, that which God himitif, the foundation of justice, doth ruose delight jo. - Tardior ad panas Deus ext; ind pramia velos?

Punishinent is as good as physic in the coysfqueaces reward as wholesome and nourisbing food io the essence, the one we do, because we muat do it as necessary; the other, because we love to do it, as being plesaing and delightful.

Your lordships, then, I doubt not, will as willingly join with the cominong in doing good to $\&$ good judge, as in publisbing of the bad.My lordsy We honour ahem, and reckon tbein martyn for fbe commonwealith, who- auffer any thing by defending the common right of the oubject, when they will not part with their own goods contrary to law ; when indeed their private interest goes along with it, or rather be-foge it; aud the public concernmeat seems to come but in a second place. Such were thore many whom these jucyes bave oppretted; yet theie men we magnfly, and judge worthy of prase and reward. But what honour, then, is be worthy of, who merely for the publib Bath noffered hitmoolf to be divested and deprived of his particular? soch a judge as would lose his place, rather than to do that which his conacience told him yas prejudicjal to the commonwealth? Is not he worthy of double honoar?

And this did that worthy reverend jodge, the Chief Judge of, England at that time, air Randal Crew. Because he would not, by subscribing, countenance the Loan in she fint yeitr of the king, contrary to his oath and conscience, he drew upon himself the displeasure of some great persons about his majesty, who put on that project, which wat afterwarda condemned by the Petition of Right, in the porliament of tertia, as unjust and unlawfol; gad by that means he lost his place of Chief Justice of the King'-bench; and hath these, 14 years, by keeping his insocency, lost the profit of that office, which upon a just calculation, ${ }^{\text {in }}$ so longa revolution of time, amounts to $26,000 l_{\text {, }}$ or thereaboun He kept him innocency when others let theira go; when himself and the commonwealth were alike deserted: which raises his merit to a higher pitch. For to ba honest when every body else is honest, whem bonesty is in fasbion, aand is trump, as. I may say, is nothing so meritorious; but to stand alone in the breach, to own honepty when othera dare not do it, caphot be sufficiently applapded, nor sufficiently rewarded. And that did this good old man do; in a time of genoril desertion he preserved himself pure Apdi nak-fainted- 'Teinporibusque matilagsas is eve bonis?
My lords, the house of comerogns ate ther fore suitors unto your lordahipg, to jain mith them in the reppesentation of tifa geod map!s case unto his majests/ and baynabis to beveech his majesty to bu so geed 4nd. gracious watc him , an to give him suoh heoppar (the quality of this case convidered) , man me noble mank of soveroigh, grace gad favour, to remajn to fim and his posterity; and may be in many
 mat log ha hath widh to much patience ana revolution sostained.

Afier the Conference the Lords came to the following Resolutions :

Die Mercur. 20 die J. Ju. 1640.
It was resolved by the lords upon the ques: tion, nem. con. 1. That the Ship-Writs, the extra-judicial Opiaiuns of the Judges therein, both first and last, und the Jodgment given in Mr. Ilampden's Case, and the proceedings thereupon in the Exclsequer-Chamber, are all illegal, and contrary to dhe laws and statutes of this realm, contrary to the rights and properties of the suljects of this realm, contrary to former judgments in parliaments, and coutrary to the petition of Right.
2. That the extra-judicial Opinions enrolled in the Exchequer-Chamber, and in other Couyts concerning Ship-Muney, and all the proceedings thereupon, are illegal in part ond in whole, and contrury to the luws and statutes of this realm, and contrary to the rights and properties of the subjects of this realing-and contrary $10^{*}$ former judgments in parliaments, and coutrary to the 「etition of Right.

## Die Veneris, 28 die Februarii, 1640.

Upon the report of the right honourable the lords committees appointed to congider of the way of vacating of the Jodgment in the Exchequer concerning Ship-Money, it was ordered by the Lords spiritual and temporal in the high court of parliament assembled, That the lord keeper or the master of the rolls, the two lord chief justices, and the lord chief baron, and likewise the chief clerk of the Star-chamber, shall bring into the upper house of parliament the record in the Exchequer of the Judgment in Mr. Hampden's Case concerning Ship-Money ; and also the several rolls in each several court of King's-Bench, Common-Pleas, Exchequer, Stan-Chamber, and Chancery, wherein the Judges extra-judicial Opinions in the cases made touching Ship-Money be enteret; and that a Vacat shall be made in the upper house of parliament of the said several records: and likewise the Judgment of parliament touching the illegality of the said Judgments in the Exchequer, and the proceeding thereupon; and touching the illegality of the extra-judicial Opinions of the Judpes in the said several courts concerning Spip-Money, be annexed and apostiled onto the same. And that a copy of the Judyment of the parliament conceraing the illegality of the snid Judgment in the Exchequer, and the said extra-jodicial Opinions of the said Judges concernting Ship-Mo-1 ney, be delivered to the several Judges of Azsize; and that they be required to publish the same at the Assizes in ench several connty within their circuits, and to trike care that the same be entered and enrolted by the several elerks of Assizes: and if ang entry be made by uny Custos Rotulorum, or clerk of Asarze, of the said Judgment in the Exchequer, or of the neid extru-jadicial Opinions of the Judges, that seyeral Vacata be made thereofs ' per judicium in parliamento:' and that en act of parlimment be prepered against the said

Judgment and extra-judicial Opinions, and against the proceedings touching Ship-Money.
Memorandum quod vicesimo septimo die Febr. 1640 Annoque regni regis domini nostri Caroli Auglia decimo sexto;
-Vacatur istud recordum et judicium inde habitum per considergtionem et judicium dominorum spiritual, et temporal. in curia parlian. et irrqtulamentum ejusdem cancellatur.

## Memorand' 'quod' vicesino septimo die Febr. pried.

Istad irrotul. et opnia et singula in eodem contenta et expressa vacantur per judicium dominorum spiritaaliuge et kenporalium in coria parlianent.

And that all the rolls be rased cross with a pen, and subscribed with the Clerk of the Purliameut's hand. All which was accordingly done in open court.

After this it wes Resolved upon the question, nem. con. That the Itesolutions of the Judges touching the Shipping-Money, and the Judgment given agaiost Mr. Hampden in the Exchequer, and all the proceedings thereopon, are against the Great Charter, and therefore void in lay.

That Vacats and cancellations shall be made of the Resolutions of the Judges tonching the Shipping-Money; and of the earolments thereof in the several courts, and of the wairauts for SlipWrits, and proceedings therein ; and the Judgment given against Mr. Hampden, nud procendingy thereupon; and that entries be made of those Vacats upon the several rolls, according to the form read in the house.

The same session an Act of Parliargent passed for that purpose, viz. That the clarge inposed upon the,subject for providing and furnishing of ships, commonly called Ship-Money, and the extra-judicial Opnions of the justices and barons, and the wits, and every of them, and the ngreement or opinion of the greater part of the justices and barons, and the Judgment given sgaiust John IIampden, esq. for the paymeat of Sbip-Money, were and are contrary to, and eggainst the laws and statutes of the realim, the right of property, the liberty of the subjects, former resolutions of parliament, and the Retition of Right made in the third year of his majesty that now is.

That all and every the particulars prayed and desired inelhe Petition of Right, shall from henceforth be put in execution, and shall bo firmily and strictly holden and observed, as in the same Petition they dre prayed and expressed; and that'all and every the records and remembrances of all and every the said Judgments against the said John Hampden, and all and every the proccedings whatsoever, upon or by collour of any of the said writs, called Ship-Writs, and all and every the dependants gn every of them, shall be adjndged to all intents, constructions and purposes, to be utterly void; and that all and every the said Judg-
ment, inrolment, entries, proceedings, and depanduntsoof what kind soever shall be vacated and cancelled, in sucb manner and form as records use to be that are vacated.

Afterwards Articies were exhibited aguinst the other Judges. Those against Mr. Justice Crawley were delivered by Mr. Waller, July 6, 1611, who spoke as follows.
My Lords ; I am commanded by the house of commons to present you with these Articles agaiust Mr. Juatice Crawley, which when your lordships shall have beea pleased to hear read, I shall take leave, according to custom, to sily something of what I- have collected from the seuse of that house, collcerning the crimes therein contained.

Then the Charge was read, containing his extra judicial Opinions subscribed, and Judgment given for Ship-Money : and after a declaration in lis Charge at an Assize, That ShipMoney was so inherent a right in the crown, that it would not be in the power of a parliament to take it nway.

My Lords; Not oilly my wants, hut my affections, reader me less fit for this employment; for though it has not been my happiness to lave the lav a part of my breeding, there is no man honours that profession more, or has a greater reverence tuwards the grare jodges, the oracles thercof. Out of purliament, all our courts of justice are governed or directed by thein; and when a parliament is called, if your lordships were not assisted by them, and the house of commons by other gentlemen of that robe, experience tells us, it might run a hazurd of being styled Purliamentum indoctorum. But as all professions are obnoxious to the malice of the prifiessors, and by theni most easily betray ed; su, my lords, these articles have told-you, buw these brothens of the coifare become fratrcs in malo; how these sons of the lawhavetorn out the bowels of theis mother + but the judge, whose charge you last heard, in one expression of his, excels no ksy his fellows, than they Dave done the worst of their predecessors, in this conspiracy against the commonwealth. Of the judgment for Ship-Money, aod those extra-judicial opinions preceding the same, (wherein they are jointly concerned) you have already: - how unjust and pernicious a proceeding that was, in so public a-cause, has been" sufficiently expressed to your loidships : but this man adding despair to our mistry, tolls us from the bencl, That Ship-Money was a right so inherent in the cruwn, that it would pot be in the power of any act of parlinment to take it tway. Herein, my londs, he did not only give as teep a wound to the commonwealth es any of the rest, but dipped his dart in such a poison, that, so far as in him lay, it might neyer receive a cure. As by those abortive opinions, sùbscribing to the subversion of out property, before he heard what could be suid for it, he pres vented his own; so by this declaration of his, be endeavours to prevent the judgment of your lordships too, and to confine the power of a
parlimunent, the only place where this mischief might be redressed. Sure he is more wise and learned, than to believe himself in this opinion, or not to know how ridiculous it would uppear to a parliament, and how dangerous to himself: and therefore, no doubt, bat by saying no parliament coold abolish this judgunent, bis meaning was, That this judgment had abolished parliaments.

This imposition of Ship-Mohey springing from a pretended necessity, was it not enough that it was now growa annual, but he must eutail it upon the state for ever; making neccssity iuherent to the crown, and slavery to the subjeer? Necessity, which, dissolving all law, is so mych more prejudicial to bis majesty than to any of us, by how much the law has invested the royal state with a greater power and ample fortune: For so undoubted a truth it has ever becn, that kiggs as well as subjects are involved -in the confusion which necessity produces, that. the heathen thougbt their gods ulso obliged thy the same: 'Pareamus necessitati, qoam nec 'homines nee dii sxperant.' This judge then having in his charge at the asize declared the dissolution of the law, by this supposed necessity; with what couscience could he, at the same assize, proceed to coudemn and punish men, unless, perhaps, he meaut the haw was still in force for our destruction, and not for our priservation? that it should have power to kill, and nove to protect us P A thing no less horrid, than if the sun should barn without lighting us, or the eatth serve only to bury, nnd not to feed and nourish us. But, my lords, to demonstrate that it whas a supposititious, iunposed necessity, aud şuch as they could remove when they pleased; at the last conveation in parliament, a price was set upon it, for twelve subsidies you naay reverse this sentence. It may be said, that so much money would have removed the present necessity; for twelve subsidies you shall never suffer necessity again, you shail for ever abolish that jodgment. Ilcre this mystery is revealed, this vizor of necessity is pulled off; and now it appears, that this parliament of judges hath very fraukly and bountifully presented his majesty with twelve subsidies, to be levyed on your lordships and the conmons. Certainly there is no privilege, which more properly belongs to us, thinn to open the purse of a subject; and yet these judges, who are neither capable of sitting amongst us in the house of commons, nor with your loNships otherwise than your assistants, have not only assumed to themselves the privilege of parliament, but presumed at once to make a prelent to the crown of all that either your lordships or the commons of Eogland do or shall hereafter"possets.

Aud because this man has had the holdness to put the power of parliament in bulance whth the opinion of the judges, I shall intreat your lordships to obserre, by way of comparison, the solemn and safe proceeding of the oufe, with the precipitate dispatch of the nther. In par-a linment (as your lerdships know well) no new law cap pass, or old be abrogated, till it ha
been thrice read with your lordships, thrice in । the commons house, then it receives the royal assent; so that it is like gold seven times purified: Whereas these judges, by this one resolution of theirs, would persuade his majesty, that by naming necessity, he might at once dissolve (at least suspend) the Great Charter, thirty-two time's confirmied by his royal progenitors, the Petition of Right, and alt other laws provided for the maintepance of the right and property of the subject. A strange force, my lords, in the sound of this word necessity, that like a oharm it should silence the laws, while we are despoiled of all we have; for that but in part of our goods was taken, was owing to the grace and goodness of the king: for su much as concerns these judges, we liave no more left than they perhaps may deserve to have, when your lordships shall ha:e passed jurgment upon them for this neglect of their oaths, and betraying that public trust, which, for the comerration of our laws, was reposed in them.

Now, for the cruelty and unmercifalness of this judgment, you may please to remeruber, that in the old law they are forbid to seethe a kid in his nother's milk; of which the received interpretation is, That we should not use that to the destruction of any creature, which was intended for its preservation. Now, my lords, God and nature have given us the sea, as our best gyard against our cnemies; and our shigs, as our greatest glory above other nations: And how barbarously would these inci have let in the sea upon us at once, to wash a way our liberties; and to overwhelm, if not our land, all the property we have therein, making the supply of our navy a pretence for the ruin of our nation! For observe, I beseech you, the fruic and consequence of this judgment, how this money has prospered, how contrary an effect it has had to the end for which they pretended to take it. On every county a ship is annually imposed; and who would not expect, but ourseas by this time should be covered by the number of our ships? Alas ! my lords, the daily cotaplaints of the decay of our navy tell us, how ill ShipMoney has maintained the sovereignty of the sea; and by the many petitions which we receive from the wives of those miserable captives at Algiers (being between four and five thousand of our conntrymen) it does too evidently appear, that to make us slaves at bome is not the way to keep us from being made elaves abtuad. So far has this judgment beef from relieving the present, or preventing the future necessity, that as it changed our real property into a shadow of a property, so, of a feigned it is made a real necessity.

A litule before the approach of the Gauls to Rorhe, while the Romans had yet no apprehensiop of that danger, there wns heard a woice in the air, louder than ordinary, The Gaula irte cone; which cry, niter they bad sacked the city und hesieged, the capitol, was held so ominous, that Livy * relates it as a prodigy. This
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anticipation of necessity seems to have been no less ouninous to us: These Judges, like illboding birds, have crdled necessity upon the state, in a time which, I daro say, they thought themselves in greatent security. But if it seem superstitious to take this as au omen, sure I am we masy look on it as a cause of the unfeigaed necessity we now suffer: For what regret and discontent had this Judgment bred among us ? Antl as when the noise and tumolt in a private house grows so loud as to be heard in the streets, it calls in the next dwellers, either kindly to appease, or to make their own use of domestic strife; so in all likelhhood, our known discontentments at home have been a concurrent cause to invite our peightours to visit us, so much to the expence and trouble of buth these kingdoms.
And here, my Lords, I cannot but take notice of the must sad effect of this Oppression, the ifl infivence it has had upon the antient reputation and vialour of the English nation: And no wonder; for if it be true, that oppression makes a wise man mad, it may well suspend the courage of the valiant. The same happened to the Romans, when, for renown in arms, they most excelled the rest of the world; the story is but short. It was in the time of the Decenviri (and I think the chief troublers of our state may make up that number.) The Decemviri, my lords, had subverted the Laws, suspended the Courts of Justice, and (which was the greatest Grievance both to the nobility and people) had, for some time, omitted to assemble the Senate, which was their Parliament: This, says the Historian, did not only deject the Iomans, and make them despair of their liberty, but caused them to be less valued by their neighbours. The Sabines take the allyantage, and invade them; and now the Decemviri are forced to call a long-desired Senate, whereof the people were soglad, that 'Hostibus belloque gra'tiam hatuerunt:' This Assembly breaks up in discontent, nevertheress the ewar proceeds; Forç̧s are raised, led by sumpe of the Decemviri; and with the Sabines they meet in the field. I know your lordships expect the event: My Author's words of his countrymen are these: ' Ne ${ }^{4}$ quid dactu aut anspicio decernvirorum prospere ' gereretur, vinci se patiebantur?' they chose rather to suffer a present diminution of their honour, than by viccory to confirm the tyranny of their new masters. At their return from their unfótuaate 'Expeditiog, after some distempers and expostalations of the people, another $\mathrm{Se}_{e}$ nate, that is, a second parliaruent, is called; and there the Dicemsiri are questioned, deprived of their authority, ilmprisoned, banished, and some lose their liven: And soon after this vindication of their Liberties, the Romans, by their better success, made it appear to the world, that liberty and courage dwell almays in the aame breast, und jere never to be divorced. Na. doubt my Jords, bot your justice shall have. thd life fiect upon this dispirited people. It is not the restitntion of our antient lavia alone, bat thin reftiotition of opr anticpt courages
which is expected from your londahips. I meed not say any thing to move your juss, indignation, that this map should to ocheaply give away that which your noble anceston, with so much couruge and industry, had as long maintuined. You have often been told how carefiul they wese, though with the pazard of their lives and for tunes, to derive those Righte and Liberties no entire to posterity os they received them froma their fathers ; what they did with labour, you may do with ease; what they did with danger, you may do securely. The foundation of our laws is not shakep with the engioe of War ; they are only blasted with the breath of these men, aud by your breath they may be restered.
What Judyment your predecassors have given, und what panishments their predosessors liave suffered for offences of 'this nature, your lordships have.already been so well informed, I shall not trouble you with a repetition of thgse precedents. Ouly, nyy lords, something I whall take leave to observe of the person with whose charge I have presentod you, time you may tho lest doubt of the wilfaluess of thit offence.His edlucation in the Inus of Coưrt, his constant practice us a Counsellor, and experience ns a Judge, cousidered with the mischief be has dane, makes it appear that this progreas of his through the law has been like that of a diligent spy through a country iuto which he meant to conduct an euemy.
'To let you see he did not offend for compnny. there is one crine so peculiar to himself, and of 'such malignity, that if makes birs at once uncapable of your lordstipa favoor, and his own subsistence inculnpatible with the right and property of the Subject. Yor if you lewve him in a capacity of interprefing the laws; has he not declased his Opinion, That your Votes and Resolutions agoinst Ship-Money are void, and that it is not in the power of parliament to abolish that Judguent? To him, my lords, that laus thus played with the power of parliament, we mny well apply whint was once said to a goat browsing on a vine :
> ' Rode, caper, vitem, tamen hinc cum stabis ad aras,
> ' In tua quod fundi cornuspossit, erit.'.

IIe has crapt and infringed the Privileges of is banished purliament; but now it is returned, he may find it has pogrer saough to make a sacrifice of bim, to therbetter establighment of our laws : and in truth, what other salisfaction can he make his injused, countryf than to confirm, by bis example, those Rigbits and Likerties which he had ruined by his opision i for the Proofi, my lords, they wee sa panifent, that they will give you litule tronble in the desquisjtion ; bis crimes are alrendy upan record; the. delinquent and the wituess ia the same; having from several scats of judicature proctaimed himself an eniemy to our laws and nation, ' os ore 'suo judicabifur.' To which purposeI ame cosm manded by the knighth, citimens, asd burgesses of the, house of commons, to devize your lorduliph that in apeedy proceeding magy be hat
agtivst Mr. Justice Crawley, as the comrse of parliement will permit.
The following is a Iatia Copy of the Writ given at $p$. 846.:
Rex dersus Johansizc Hampden, in the Case of Suip-Monky.
Trin' is Car' 1, io Scacc'.
Memorand', quod brev' domini regis nane sub sigillo hujus 8caocaf per concess' baron' hic emanarit in hac verba :
a. Cazolvs Dei gratia Anglie, Scotize, Francix, et Hibernix rex, fidei defensor, \&sc. Vie' Bucks salutem. Cum diverse et saparal' depar' sumas in schedul' huic brevi annex' sped, virtute brevis noatri sub magno sigillo nostro Anglime geren' da?' quarto die Augusti, anno regni nostri undecimo, assessat' et onerat' fuer' super separal' person' in ead' schedul' nominat', in et versus provision' navis pro guerra, una cuun apparat' et al' eid' pertin', in eod' brevi particular'mentionat'; quibus quid' separal' denat' summis sic asgessat' et onerat', et noil solut' et satisfact' existen', per breve nostrum de certiorar' geren' 故' nono die Maotii, anno regai nostri duodecimo, sub magnosigilló nostro' pred' emunat', nonuina earuad' separal' person' una cum separal' denar' summis super ipsos onerat', in cur' Cancellar' nostrow certificat' facr'. Ac per breve nostrum de Mittimus sub eodem sigillo, geren' dat' quinto dio instant' mensir Maii in Scaccar' nostr' misg' fuer' pro ulterior' process' super inde hahend', prout per tenorem predicti brevis geren' dat' quarto die Auqusti, mno regni nostri undecimo, nc per pradict' breve de Certiorar' et certificat' super node fuct' in dictum Scascar' nostrum miss', et ibid' de recuid' in custodia Rememorat' nostri remanen' plenius apparet, tibi precipinus, quod non omitt' propter aliqu' libertat' quin ea ingr', et per prolos et legales homines de bal' tua scir' fac' prefart' separal' person' in dict' schedul' nominat' et spec', quad sint coram Baron' de Scaccar' nostro apud 'West' in octab' sanctao TAnic' proxim' futur, ad ostend' et proponend', siquid pro se babeant, vel dicere sciant, quare jpsi de pred' sepural' denar' somumis super ipsos modo el forma pradict' assessat', et non solut', in schedol' il' spec', onerari et inde satisfacere non deb' et ad ulterius faciend' et recipiend' in peamissis, quod cur' nostra tune ibidem doxerit ordinand' et habeas ibi tune hoc breve, et nomina eor' per yoos és sciri \$eceris. Teste fiumfr. Davenport' mil, apyd Westm', wicessimo secundo die Miaii, anno regni nostri decimo tertio. Per rotulums.

Memorandurn de eodem anno regis in recerdor' rotule termisi Paschas, renor schedul" pred' in brevi predict' mentionat'; quond Johansem Hampden, zequiter in hec verba: an." Schedul' do nominibuy ceritar' personar' in com' Bucks, et cerr' dehar' somman' super ipsos assessan': et onerat' in et versus provision'havis 'do guerra, vaza cum apparat et al' eid' pertin', virtate cujasd brevis sub magno sigillo Anglie, gecen' dat' quarto die Augusti, auno regni do-
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mini reg' nnne Caroli undecimo, et in cur' Cancellar' dom' regis, virtute brevis de Certiorar' sub sigillo preed' eman', geren' dat' nono die Martii, unno regni sui duodecino, certificat' esse insolut', ac per breve de Mittimas sub eodem sigillo in Scaccar' dict' dom' regis nụnc missis pro ulterior' process' super inde faciead', prout per tenor' pradict' hrevis geren' dat' quarto dio Augasti,-anno regni dicti domini regis nunc undecimo supradict', ac per breve de Certiorur', et certificatt' superinde fact' in dictum Scacca' nostr' miss', et ibidera de record' in custod' Rememorat' dom' regis reman' plenias apparet.
Stoake Mandivile, ss. Johann' Hampden esq; Ad quem diem Anthouius Chester baronct', vic' $^{\prime}$ com' pradict', quoad prafat' Johanfterm Hampden retorn', quod per Nicolaum Aris, Robertum Alexander, Richardum Harrison, et Will'um Heyborne, probos et legales homines de ball' sua, sciri fecit, prifat' Johann' Inmp. den, inter al', quod sit coram baron' infra script' ad diem et locum infra content', ad ostendend' et proponend'si quird pro se habeat, vel dicere scint, quare ipse de pradict' summa super ipsum assessat', et non solter', in schedul' presdict' spec', onerari et inde satisfacere non debeat, prout ulterius sihi precipitur : Et modo, xcilicet a die sancta Trinitatis in tres septiman' venit hic pred' Johannes Hampden, in schedul' pradd' nominat', in propria persona sua, et petit nudit' brevis de Sciri Facins predd', retorn' ejusdem, ac preid' schedul' eid' annexat', et ei leguntur; petit etiam audil' prad' brevis, geren' dat' quarto die Augusti, anuo regni dicti domini regis nunc Camoli undecimo, ins brevi de Sciri Facias mentionat', et ci legitar in hac verha:
as. Carolus Dei gratin Angliss, Scotire, Francire, et Hibermas rex, fidei defehsor, \&sc. vic' com' nostri Buciss, bull' et burgens' burgi et paroch' de Buckiogham, majori, ball' et Burgens' burgi de Chipping-Wıccombe, alits Wicconbe, ac probis hominibus in eisdem burgis et paroeh', et membris eorund', et in villis de Aymondeshain, Wendover, et Marlow magna, ac in ontnibus aliis burgis, villat', handet' et al' locis in dicto com' Bucks, salutem. Quia dat' est nobis intelligi, quod pradones quid', pirati, et $\mathrm{mar}^{\prime}$ grassatores, tarn nomifis Christieni bostes Mahumetani, quam alii congregati, naves et bona ac 新隹im' non solum subfitor' nostr', verum etiam suditor' amicor' nostr' in mari, quod per gent' Anglican' $\mu b$ olim defend'. consuevit, nefarie diripientes et spoliantes, ad libitum suum deportavere, homines in eisdeun in captivitate miserrima mancipantes: Cumque ipsos conspicimus navigium andies praparantes ad mercatores nostros alterius molestand', et ad regaum gravand', nipl citius remedium apponatur, eoruaque, coratai virilius obvietar: Consideratis etiam periculis, qua undique his guerrinis temporibus imminent, ita quod nobis et subditis nostris, defeasion' maris ot regni omni 'festinatione, qua poterimas, accelerare convenit : Nos volentes defersioni regni, tuitioni maris, securitati subditor' vostr', selva condactione nuvium
et merchandizar' ad regnom nostrum Anglia venien', et de eod' regno à partes exteras transean', auxiliante Deo, providere; maxime cumque nos et progenitores nostri reges Anglia domini maris pred' setnper hactenus extiter', et plurimuin nos taderet, si hoior iste regios nostris temporibus deperear, sut in aliơuo minuntur ; cumque onus metud defensionis, quod omnetangat; per oinnes debeat suppoitari, prout per legens et consuetodinem regii Anglia fieri consuevit, vobis' prafat' vicecomiti, ball' burgens', majori, probis bominibus, et omnibus aliiis quibuscunq; supra mentionat' in burgis, villis, villat' hamlet' et locis supratict', eorumque membris, in fidę et ligeantia, quibus nobis tenemini, et sicut nos at homorem nostrum diligitis, pecnon sub fiorisfactur' omnium quee nolis forisfacere poteritis, finniter injungend' mandamus, quod unam navem de guerra, portagii quadringint' et quinquagint' dolior', cum hominibus tan magistris perius, quen marinar' valentioribus et expertis cent' et octogint' ad minus, ac etiam tormentis ${ }^{\text {tan m majoribus quam minori- }}$ bus, pulvere tormentario, ac hastis et telis, aliisque armaturis necessar' pro guerra sulticien', et cum daplici eskippanento, necnoa curn victualibns usque ad primum diem Martii jam proxime sequent', ad tot homines competen', et abinde in vigift' et sex septiman' nd custag' vestra, tam in victualibus, quan in homioum salariis, et al' ad gner' necrssar' per tempus illad, super defensionem maris in obsequio nostro in comitiva custodis maris (cui custod' maris tante pred' primum diem Martii committemns) et proatipse ex parte nostra dictaverit moratar', parari, et ad portuun de Purtsmouth citra dictum primun diem Martii duci faciatis, ita quod sint ibid' eod' die ad ultinum, ad proficiend' exinde cum uavibus fostriy, et atavibus alior' fidelium subditor' nustr', pro toitione maris, defenstone nustrum et nostrormu, repulsioneque et debellatione quorumсии; mercatores nostros, of alios subditos fideles predict' in dominia nostra ex causa mercaturx se djversantes, vel ahinde ead propria declinantes super mare gravare seu molestare sutagentioxa. Assignavimus autem vos vic' conte' noste' Bucks, ball' et major' burgor' et paroch' prazdiet', aut aliquns duos vel plures vestrum, quorum te prefut' vic' com' nostri Bucks unum csse volumes, iafra trigint' dies post receptionem hujus brevis, ad assidend'नुuuntum de custag' preadiet' super pred' burgbs de Buckingham et Chipping-Wiccombe, alias Wiccombe, cum membris eoruńd', separatim poni aut assideri debeat. Et si hujusmodi assessament' infra predict' triginta dies per vos duos, vel plures restrum fieri qon contigerint, tunc assignavimus te prefat' vic'com' nostri Bucks ad assessamen' bujbamodi super pradict' burgns et paroch', et membr' corund', faciend' prout rationabiliter vides faciens'; et volumes, quod de toto facto to profat' vic' Bucks, sub sigillo tuo predict' majorem et bullivos reddas certiores. Assig: navimus etiaps te prefur' ball' burgi et paroch' de Buckingham, ad assidend' omnes homines in eodem burge et paroch', et membris ejusd', et
terr' tenentes, in eisdern navem vel partem navis proedict' non babentes, vel in eadem uon deservientés, ad contribuend' expensis circa provision' premissor' necessar'; et super prowdict' burgum et paroch', cum membris ejusd' (sicut prefertur) assidend' et ponend'; viz. quemlibet eor' juxta statum suum et facultates suas et portiones suas ipsis ussessat' per districtiones, aliosve modos debitos levand' et conlectores in hac parte nominand' et constituend', et ounes cos, quos rebelles et contrarios inveneris in pramissis carcere mancipand', in coden moratur' quousque pro eod' deliberatione ulterius duxerimus ordinand". Assignavimus etiam te prafat' major' burgi de Clipping-Wiccombe, alias Wiccombe, ud assidepd' oranes homines in end' hurgo et membris ejusdum, et ter' tenentes, in eisd' navem vel partem navis pred' non habeutes, vel in eadem non deservientes, ad contribacud' expensis circa provision' pramissor ${ }^{\prime}$ necessar'; et super predict' burg', ctum menbris ejusd' (sicut pratertur) esssidend' et ponend', viz. quemlibet eorum juxta statum suum et facultates suas, et portiones super ipsos assess' per districtiones, aliosve modos debitos levand', et collectores in hac parte nominand' et constituend' et omnes eos, quos rebelles et contrarios inveneris in pramissis in carcere mahcipand', in eod' moratur', quousque pro eor' deliberatione ulterius duxerimus or linaud'. Et ulterius assignavimus te prefat' vicecomitens com' nostr' Bucks ad assidend' omnes howines in prad' villis de Agmondesham, Wenduver, et Marlow magna, et in membris eoruad', et in omnibus aliis villis, villat', burgis, lammlet', +2t aliis locis in predict' com' Bucks, et terr ${ }^{\prime}$ tenentes in eisdem, navern vel partem navis pred' non dabentes, vel in eadem non desegvientes, ad contribuend' expensis circa provisionem pramissor' necessar', et super predict' villas, villat', burgos, bamlet' et locos,s, cum membris eorund' (sicut prefertur) assidend' et pouend', viz. quemilibet eor' juxta statum suum, ec'facultates suas, et portiones super ipsos assessat', per districtiones, aliosve modos debitos levand' et collectores in hac parte noninand' et constituend', et omnes ques rebelles et contrarios ioveneris in pramissis carcere mancipand', in eod' moratu', quousque pro eor' deliberatione ulterius duserimus ordinand". Et ulterius volis mandamus, guod circa premissa diligenter intendstis, et ea faciatis, et exequamini cunu eflectu sub periculo incumbente. Nolumus autem quod colofors predict' mandat' nostr', plus de eisd,', hotminibus levari faciatıs, quam ad premissor' sufficiet expegsas necessar', aut quod quisquam, qui pecuniang de contribationibus ad predict' custag' faciend' levaverit, eam, vel partem inde dliquam penen se detineat, vel ad al' usus quovis quafito colore appropriare prasumat, volenves, quod si plus quam sufficiat collect' fuesit, hoc inter solventes pro rata portionis ipsis contingen'exsolvatur. Teste me ipso apud Westm' quarto die Augusti, anno regni nostri undeciong. Petit etiam audit' prudict' brevis geren' dat' nono die Martii, anno regui dicti domini regis xii, in
predict' brevi de sciri facias mentionat', et ei similiter legtur.
Carolus Dei gracia Anglis, Scotix, Francire, ef Hibernia rex, fidei defensor, \&cc. vic' com ${ }^{\prime}$ nostri Bucks, qui fiver' juter' quartum diem Augusti anno regni nostri undecimo, et primum diem Martii tunc proxime sequent,' ball' burg', et paroch' de Buckingham, $\in t$ major et balr burg' 'de Chipping-Wiccombe, alias Wiccombe, in dicto con' Bucks, qui fuer' juter tempus predict', salutem. Per breve nostrum sub magno vigillo nostro Angliz confect' geren' dat' predict' guarto die Augusti, anuo undecimo supradict pro defensione regni, tuitione maris, securitate subditor' nostr', ac salva conductione navium et merchandizarum ad regn' nostr' Anglia venien', et de eodem ad partes exteras transeun', vic' com' nostri Bucks, ball' burgi et paroch' de Buckinglam, necrion Burgens' ejusd' burgi, major' et ball' de Chippinp-Wiccombe, alias Wiccombe, necnon burgens ejusd' burgi, et probis hominibus in eisdem burgis et paroch' et membris eorund' et in villis de $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{g}}$ mondeshum, Wendover, et Marlow magna, ne in omnibus aliis villis, burgis, villat,' hamlet', et aliis locis in dicto com' Bucks, mandavimus, quod unam navem de guerra portagii quadringent' et quinquagirt' dolior', cum hominibus tam ragistris peritis, quam marinar' valentioribus et expertis centum ét octogint' ad miuus, ac etiam tormentis tam mejoribus quam minoribus, pulvere tormentario, ac hastis et telis, aliisque armaturis necessau? pro guerra, sufficien', et cum duplici eskippamento, necnon cum victualibus ad certum diem (in eod' brevi content') gd tot homines competen', ot ab inde in vigint' et sex septiman', ad castag homjnum es terr' tenen 'burg', paroch', vill', villat', et nl' locor' supra mentionst' in dicto com' Backs, tam in victaalibus, quain in hominum salaris, et al' ad guerr' necessar' per tempus illud, saper defensionem maris moratur' parari, et ad portuar de Portsmouth citra tempus in eod' brevi limitat' duci faceretis. Cumque etiam per idem breve assignaverimus vic, com' nostri Backs pred', ball' burgi et paroch' de Buckingham prad', et major' burgi de Chip-ping-Wiccombe pred', aut aliquos duon vel plures eorum, quor' vic' dicti conf nostri Backs unum esse volumus, iufra certum terminum praxim' post receptionem brevis illius, ad assidend' quantum de custag' pred' super pred' burg' et paroch' de Buckingham, et super prad' burg' de Cbipping-Wiccombe, glias Wiccombe, cum membris eorund', separatim poni seu assideri deberet. Cumque efiam per prad' breve nostrum assignaverimus ball' burgi et paroch' de Bucking, et Majorem de butgo de Chip-ping-Wiccombe, alins Wiccombe, separatim et respective, ad assidend' omnes homines in cisd' separal' burg' et parochr', et membr' corund, et terr' tenentes in eisdem, navem vel partem navis.pred' non habentes, vel in eadem non deservientes; ad contribuead' ad expens' circa provisionem premissbr' necessar'; et super pred' barg' et paroch' de Buckinghaen, et supor prad' burg' deClippiog-Wiccombe, alias Wic.
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combe, cuas membris eorund', sicat prafertur, ad tunc separatim et distinctive ponend', viz. quemalibet eorum juxts statuan suum et facultates suas, et portiones super ipsos assensal, ppe districtiones alinsve modos debitos levund, et collectores in ea parte nominand' et conatibuend, modo et formas prout in eorlen brevi procept' fuit. Cumque per idem breve nos: trum ulterias assignaverimus vic' dicti com' nostri Bucks ad essidend' omnes homines in pred' villis de Agmondesham, Wendover, Marfow magna, et in uembris eorund', ac in omnibus alisis villis, villat', burgis, hamlet', et aliis locis in preed' cbm' Bucks, et terr' tenentes in eisd', ad contribueud' expensis circa provisionem praunissor' necessar et ad cattera faoiend' et exequend' modo et furma, quibusqer breve illud praceptum fait. Et quia nonnulli homines et terr' teneates in prodicl' com' burg' paroch', vill', villat' hamlet', et aliis locis, per separal' taxationes.et denar' summas, per vos super ipsos respective erga contribotion oneris pried, juxta exigen' brevis'prad' posit' et assessat', nondom solveriat neo satisfacerint, sed eas solvero recusavef, et adhuc contre: dicunt, prout ioformamur: Cump; nos noper voluimus certiofari, tam de nominibus bormmum et terr' tenent,' qui 'ed contribuend' expensis supradice' assess fuissent, no depar' sic assess' non solvisteut, quam de separal' portionibus vel denar' su:manis super ipstes impogit', vos tamen nihil in retura! ejusdou brevis nostri ministis, in nostrum contemptum: Vobis igitur prafat' nuper vic' com' noutri Backs mandamus, sicut ul' mandaverimus, quod tann de nominibus hominum. of ter' tenen' in cona' prod' per vos respective virtute dicti brevis-nestri assessar', quas denar' summis super ipean sic essessat', quane nondum solver' nec satiofec', sed eas solvere recosant, quas de separal! portiosibus et denar' summis per vos prefat' nuper vic' com' nostri Bucks super ipsos saseesat', in script' reduct' aum omnibus ill' tingen,' nos in cancellar' noskram sub sigilis vettris distinete et aperte, sine delatione, vel sdi ultimum'ante vicesinuen sextum dien April prosime futarb, obicuaque tunc -fverit', reddat' certiones, unat cum hoc brevi. Ac vobis prefat' nuper bell' bargi' et' paroch' de 'Backing' et raejor' biang' de Chipping-Wiecomber, al Wiecombey mitrdamus, sicot aliis mandaverimes, qued tam del nominibus pred' hontinum et tert tevend in barg' et paroch' de Buckingtara, at bungt de Chipping-Wicogabe, atias.Wiccombe, por voy respective virtute dicti brevis noutri superius primo raentionat' asseso', quam de separell deinar' summis nuper ipsos acsens', quas nondum: solver' nec satisficer, sed eas solvert recustat, quam do separal' portiocribas er deoart summis per vos super ipsos respective ameest. issariptis fideliter reduct', cumim omaibus illis: tangen', nos in cancellariam nontr' pred' sub sigillo nostro distincte et aperte; sine:delatione, cel ad ultim' ante predict' victsimum diem Aprilie proxime fotur', ubicunque tanc. foeric', separatiol reddacis certiores, uns cum hoc brevi. ${ }^{*}$ Teste- meipso eqped Westan notio.
die Martii, anao regai nortri duodecimo,Eras.
Ad quem diem Petrus Tetnple et 'Fleneesins Proby return' bred prad' dor's sio: st. Bxecutio hujas brevis patet in quibusd' schedul' huic 'brevi annexat', quarum quiden' schedul' touor, quoad prefat' Johan' Hampden per ${ }^{\prime}$ prefat' Petrum Temple retornat', sequitur in hec verba: ss. Eyo Retrus Temple mill' et bnrones' qui fui vic' com' Bucks, viz. inter quartuan diem Augusti, anno regni domini nostri Caroli nunc regis Anglis, \&ec. undecimo, et vicesimum secundum diem Feb, tunc proxime requen', quo die exivi ah officio meo sic' com' prid', dicto donuino regi in canceller' saam, virtate brevis ejusden domini regis buic schedul' anex', certifico, quod virtute et secondum exigent brevis ipsius "domini Pegis e cancellar sna emanat', et ibidem de record' irrotulat', et vic' dicti com' Buroke inter al' direct', geren' diat' quárto die Augusti, aono undecimo supradict', assosenvi, Anglice 'have assessed,'super separal' hotnines et ter' Exaentes com'Bucks prisd', quorum nomina subscribuntur, sepsrial' portiones et 'dodnur' summas ad eor' particular inferius posit' ad contribuend' expensis circa provisioneen natigit in coll' brevi méntionat'; quas quidem portiones et denar' summes, sive aliquem inde parcell', nnte exitum ab officio med vic' comn' prediet'; ad manus meas, vel ad manot follector' in ea parte, virtute brevis'ult' mentionat' per me conatitre', pred' homines ct ter' teneotes, nuit' eor' aliquis; guor' nomina subscribunter, non solverint, sed ens' solvere recussver', vizi Stoake Mandivile, ss, John Hampden esq. et tenor ath schédul' per prafat Heneag' Proby fidelit' return', ot eid brevi annes, sowuitor etiam in beec verba: viz. "There is'to be accounted opon by the as'seasors, high coastables, perty constables ' within the sad county in general, which ' cannot be aeeourted for by sir Peter Temple, ' being, an it-is coniceived, short four pounds.'

Bgo Henengiua Proby arm', qui fui vic' com' Becke inter vicesimintis aecundum diem $\mathrm{Pe}-$ bevar', athoo regai dom' nostri Catoli nunc reg' Auglie; \&cc. undeeimo, et ab eodem die et anuo woque primam diem Martiitunc proxime, sequerr', dioto dôminì regè in 'Cancellar' suarn virtate Unevis ejusden domino reg' haic schedul'. asties', certifito, quod homines' et ter. tenentets cont' Buth pred', att eor' aliquis, quer' noming in quibedr sebedul' huic brevi cuaier' exprimentur, quii mstess' faer' per Petrums Temple inhil' et baronet' nuper vic' com' Bocke preef, dom in efficio vic ejusd' coin' stetyit, in separa"' deng'? portionibus, et denar' suianis ad eor' eeparal nomina superias possit, ad contributend expensis circa provisionfla' narigil, virtate et secundum exigen" brevis iptios dominti regis e cancell' sua enuinnt', et ibident derecord' irrof', vie' dieti com' Bucks inter allos divect' gerth ${ }^{n}$ dat ${ }^{\prime}$ quarto die Augeist, anato unidecimo supradict', Henesa' Proby' exiven'vice prediet' cóm Bocks prosime post exitum 'dicï Petri Temple mil' et baronet' ab' officio-vic-ajest' corm', vel ad'manus collector?
in ea parte virtute brevis ultimo mentionat' constitut', non solver', sed eas solvere,' recussverunt. Et ulterius prafine' Joliannes Hampden petit sinilifer audie' pred' brevis de Mitumus, de quo in hrevi de Sciri Facins pred' bt mentio, et ei legitur in hec verba.
u. Carolus Dei gratia Anglír, Scotier, Francia, et Hiberniæ Rex, fidei defensor, \&ce. Thesaur' et baronibus de Scaccario suo, saluten, Tenore cujusdam brevis notrti sab magno sigillo nostro A"plie confect', kerert' dat' quario die Angasti, nnno regni nostri undecimo, in rotulis Cancellar' nostre irrotulat', per quod vic' comn' nostri Bucks, ball' et burgen' bargi et paroch' de Buckingham, majjw', ball', et burgens' burgi de Chiipping Wiccombe, slins Wiccombe, ac probis hominibus in eisd' burgis et paroch', et seembris eoruad', et in villis' de Agmondrsham, Wendover, et Marlow magna, ei in omnibus nliis burgis, villis, villatis, hamle', et aliis lecis in dicto con' Bucks, mandavimus, quad prodefensioue regni, tuitione maris, securiate subditoruin nostrorum, ac salva contluctione navium et merchandizaruin ad reguam nostrumí Angliz venien', et de eod' ad partes exteras traiseun', pararent unami navem de guerra portagii quadringent' et quinquagint' dolior', cum hominibus tanm magitris pertis, quam marinaris valentioribus et exjertis centum et octugint' ad minus, nc ectian tornentis tnm majoribas quam minorilus, pulvere tornentario, ac hasiis et welis, alisque armatu is necre, ssur' pro guerra suticieien', et cum duplici eskippamento, uecuon cum victualibus oud certum \$iem, in coddbrevi content', ad tot homines competen', ct abinde in vigine' et sex septimunf, nd catag' hoominam est terr? tenen' burgor', vill', villat', haulect', et il' locor' supra mentionat' in dicto con' Bucks, tam in victualibes, quanu in hominum ssarr', et al ad guerran necessur' per tenupus illud super defensiontem maris in obsequio nustro, in cominitixa custod' maris snoratur', et ad portum de Portsm', circa tempus in eod' 'breri limitat', duci facereat. Quodque respritive nssiderent ounnes homines in prisd' buyg' et paroch' de Backingbaun, ei burg' de Chipping Wicenmbe, alias Wicconbe, et ceteris burgis, villis, villar', hamlet' et alis locis in dicto com' Bucks, et membris corund', et ter' tenentes in eisd'; ad contribuend' expensis circa provisionem premissor', et ad catera faciend' et exequend', modo et for ina prout per idem preve procepi' fuit ; robis mittinus prasentibus inter claus' breve, pro en quod sulus regni nosiri Aogliie, et poppuii nostri ejusdem pericitiabatur, guorl e-dicta cancellar nostra emanasi narravimus, inter al' brevią af hujusuodi provisionem et assesssament' facignd' per singulos conn', civitaci', barg', vill 'villa', hamlet', et locos regni nostri Anglie' et Wallie, ot memhris eorund', e cancellhn' nostr', peed' naper emanat', et ibidem siniliter irrot'; nc etiam quod dictum al' breve nostrum adocertifionad' Dobis in eand' cancellar', tanm de nominibus tominum 'et terr' tenend' in pread' burg' et paroch' de Buckinghaw, et burg' de Chipping Wiecombe, alins Wiccombe, et in cateris hurgis, villis, villat', hamblet' et locis dieti com' Bucks,
qui de mandato nostro prad' ad contribuend ${ }^{\circ}$ erga prorision' prismissor' 'assess' fuerint, ac denar' super ipsos sicic nssess' non solver', quam de separal' purtionibus et denar' sumnnis super homines et terrax tenen' illos sic onerat' at imposit', neenon certificationes quasdan! in scriptis, virtute brevis illias rednct,' et in dictan cancellna' nourram miss! Votis etiam nuttidus presentibus interclau', maiddantes, quod inspectis breribus et certificat' prad', ulterius inde pro leratione, collectione, et'receptione omnium et singalarum prad' denar' summar' de prad', contributionibas adhyc non solut', fieri fac', prout de jure et sccundum legem et consuetudinemi regni nostr' Anglia fuerit focirnd'. Teste meipso apud Westm' quinto die Maii, anno reghi noteri tertio decimo. Eyng. Sicut in eisdem brevibus, et schedul' cisd' annez' in Scaccap dicti dom' regis certifcat', et ibidem in custod ${ }^{\circ}$ Remeonrotor' ejusd' dom' regis de record' remanen' plenius continctur. Quibus lectis, auditis, et per ipsum intellectis, idem Johannes queritur, se colore sepanal' brev', return' eorund', et scicedul' cisd' annexat', graviter vexat' fore et inquiecul', et hoc múnu jaste; ; quin dicit, quad prasd'separal' brexia superius mentional', returu' eurund', et schedul' cibdem annexat'; masteria in eispl' 'ontent,' minus sufficien' im lege existunt all ouerand' 'ipsum Johannem Hampden de aut cum solutione prrd' sunam' vigint' solid' super ipsum in sclededul' prad, modo et forma pried', taxat' ct asspssnt', unt alicyijus inde parcell, ad qua ipse necesse non bater, nee per legen terr' tenctur respoondere. Uode ob insutificien' prad' separol' brevium superius mentiomal', rexum' emrund', sclicdul' eisdem nnnex', ac materios in eisdcta brevitus ac schedul' content', ipse idenn Johamnes Humpden petir judisiun, i dictus tominus rex nunc ipsum de predd' vigut' solid', aut aliqua iade parcell', ulterius impetere, seu onerare debeat nut velit.

повzkt Hol.borme.
Et Johannes Banks mil' Attorn' dom' regis nuoc getueral', qui pro codem dominu rege sequitur, pra-ens hic in cur' ad eund' diem iu, propria persona sua, dicit, quod pradd' separal' hrevis, et return' eurund', ac scherlul' pred' s isdem annex', matcria ${ }^{\text {; }}$; in eisdem comtent', sufficien' in lege existunt ad pred.' Johan' Hampilcu de prad' vigint' solid' super ipsum in forma et ex causs prret' assessnt' onerund': quam quidem materiain ipse dictus Attornal' dictı dom regis general', pro eodem dum' rege yaratus est veridicare; qusinq; materinm priad' Johanner Hampden uon didicit, nsc ad eam aliqualiter respondit. sed verificationem illam adnuittere omnino recusat : pro eodem doun' rege idcirco petit judieium, et quad prad' Johanies Hampden de eisd' sigint' solid' oneretur, et inde sa' tisfaciat; \&c.

Johammes Banis. .
A Copy of the Judgmest, as it is entered upon Hecord, in porsuance of the snid mption, and according to the major votes.
Et quia barones hic se odrisare volant de et : super premissis, priusq' judicium inter reddant
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dies dat' est' prafat' Johanni Haropden eod' stato quo nunc bic in octab' sancti Michaelis, ut dicti harones se interim de iivdem premissis advisare possint, ac cum justiciar' de utrog' bancp inde deliberent, eo quod iiden barones hic inde nondum, \&c. Et super hoc concordat' est inter barones hic, tam ex assensu dict' Attornat' dict' domini 'reg' gencral', quod dict' Attornat' prad' Johnanes Hanndeq, et cousiliarior' in lege peritor' ejusdem Johannis Haıspden, quod aliqui legis periti, taun de consilio et parte dict' douini rek', quarm de consilio et parte dict' Johanvis Hampden, de prued' materia in lege, et cateris promissis ia cancra hujus Scuccarii, vulgariter nuncupat' The Excliequer Chamber, coram iisd' barouibus, assidentibus cis justiciar' de atroque banco, argumentari interim pablice audiantur. Ad quas quid' octabas sancti Michaelis prad' Johunnes Ilaropden venit hic ut pius. Et quia baroncs hic ulterius se advisare volunt de et super pramissis, priusq' judiciam inde reddant, dies utterius dat' est' preffat' Johanni Humpden, eod' statu quo nunc hic usq' in octab' suacti 1 lilarii, ut aliqui leges periti, tam de conslio et parte dret' domini reg', quam de consiho et parte dict' Johnnnis Mampuen, de pred' materna in lege, et cateris prienissis, in prad' camer' bujus scaccarii, corom baronibus prad', nssilentibus eis pradu' justiciar' de utroque banco, argunentari juterim publice andiantur; ac sid' barones, cum iisd' justiciar' inde deliberent, eo quod nollas in lege peritus, vel de consilio ipsius dontini reg', vel de consilio dict' Johanms Hampden adhuc nuditur, et baroues hic inde non advisantur, K.c. Posteaq $q^{\prime}$ medio tempore in preed'uctab' sancti Michachs, et pred' octab' sancti Hilarii, tum Attornet' et Solicitator ipsius domini reg', quam duo legis periti de consiiio pried' Johaunis Hampden, in promissis existun' ex parte ejusd' Johannis Hampden, duodecim separal' diebus in pred' camer' Scacearii, coram baronibus hujus Seaccarii, nssidentibus eis tunc ibid' ptad' justiciar' de utroq' banco, de pred' inater' in lige et ceteris pramissis (recitato tunc ibid' record' prad')
ad largum et summat' argumentari quidq' inde ex utraq' parte dicere poturisent auf volver', palam et singulatim audit' fuer'. Et pred' Attornat' et Solicitator general' diversa et quamplurima record', brevih, comnnission', et praceden' tam bujus Scaccarii, quam cur' Cancellar', cur' de Banco Reg', ao de Commu' Basco', mater' is lege, et cetera premissa in separal brevilus return', et scheduls prad' content', ex parte dict' dominu reg' proban', confirman', et mauntenen', 'ad tunc et ibid' produxer', ostenser', et exposuer'; et ad pred' octabas sancti IIlar' prred' Johannes Hampden venit hic ut prius, et quia barones hic ulteriuy se advisare volunt de et super premissis priusquam judicium inde reddant, dies ulterius dat' cst prafat' Jobanni Hampden, eod' stata quo nunc hic, usq' a die Puschos in quihdecinn dies, ut dicti barones interim, cum prafias' justiciar' de utroq' banco olterius deliberent, eo quod iidem barones hic indê nondun, \&c. Ad quem diem pred' Johannes Hampden venit bic ut prius, et quia barones hic ulterius se advisare volunt de et super premissis priusquam judicium inde reddant, dies ulterius dat' est eid' Johanni, cod' statu quo nunc hic, $u s q^{\prime}$ in cro' sancta 'Trin', et dict' barones interim cum prafat' justiciu' de ntroq' banco ulterius iade deliherent, eo quod iidem justiriar' hic inde nomduin, \&c. Ad ynein diem prad' Johannes Hanapden venit hic at prius, et super hoc visis pramissis, et per barones bic plene intellectis, babitayue inde matura deliberatione cum prad' justiciar' de utrogne banco, ac post arguaseyta tam per çosd' justiciar', quam per pred' barones singulatim in praed' camer' Scaccarii publice inde fact', videtur inde baronibus, ex advisamento justiciar' prad', quod separal' brevia prsd', et return' eorund', uc schedula pred' eisd' annex', ac mnter' in aised' content', suticien' in lege existunt ad prafat' Johunnemn'Hanupden de prad' vigiut, solid,'super ipsum in forma et ex causa pred' assessat' onerand'. Iden considerat' cst per eosd' baroncs, quod prad' Jobanines Ifampriet de eisd' vigint' solid' oneretur, et exinde sutisfaciet, \&c.

## 148. The Trial of John Limburn and John Wharton, for Printing

 and Publishing Seditions Books. In the Star-Chamber: 13 Charies I. a. d. 1637. [Written by Johñ Lilburn]BEFORE the L.ord Archbishop of Canterbíry; the Lord-Keeper, lord Coventry; the LordTreasurer, biloop of London; the lord PrivySeal, earl of Manchester; the Earl-Marshal, earl of Arundel; the earl of Salislury; the earl of Durser ; lord Cottington; lond Newburgh; Lord Chief. Justice Bramston; sir.Henry Vane; Mr. Secretary Cooke; Mr. Specetay Windebank; Judge Jones, and others.

Upon Tuesday the 1 tth or 12th Dec. 1637, I was treacherously and Judnsly betriyed (by one tuht I supponed to lie n y frieud) into the - bands of the pursuivant, with fiour of his assist--ants, as I was walking in Sopcrlane with one

John Chịlburne, servant ${ }^{\circ}$ to old Nr. Jolin Wharton, in Bow-lane, a hot-prisser And ubout twelve of the clfock the next day. I was confuitted to the Gate-house, by sif John Laufb, the prelate of Canterbury's chaucellor, with others, without any exmmination at all, for sepding of hactious und scaudalous Books nut of Holland into England. And having not been at the foressid prion at ove three days, I was, rehwyed, by a warraut from the Lords of the Council, to the Flet, where I uow remain. And after my being there rome timac, I drow a Petitiof tw'the Lord, of the Councal for : my liberty; and their Answer to it was, that I
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should be examined before sir Joha Banks, the king's Attorney: The copy of which Examination thus follows.
Upon Tuesday the 14th Jan. 1697, I was had to sir Jobn Banks the Attorney-(ieneral's chamber, (now Lord Chief-Justice of the Court of Pleas) und was referred to be examiued by Mr. Cockshey his chief clerk; and at our first coming together, be did kindly intrent me, and made ne sit dowa by him, and put on my hat, and began with me after this unanner; Mr. Lilburn, what is your Christian name? I said

- John.-Did you live in London before you went into IIolland? Yex, that I did.-Where? Near London-stothe.- With whora there? With Mr. Thomas Hewson.- What trade is he? A dealer in cluth, I told him,-How long difl you servehim? About five yearb.-How came you to part? After this mamuer: I perceiving iny master had an iutention to leave off bis trade, I oftea nowed hius that I migbt have my liberty, to provide for nuyself, and at the last he condescended unto it : and soal went into the country, to have the consent of -my friends; and after that went into Holland.-Where were you there? At Rotterdan.-And from thence you went to Amsterdam? Yes, I wais at Ansterdium, - What books did you see in Holland? Great store of Looks, for in every Lookseller's shop as I came in, there were great store of books.-1 know that, but I ask yum, if you did see Dr. Bastwick's Answer to my muster's Iuformation, and a Book called his litany? Yes, 1 saw them there; and if.ynu please to go thither, you may buy an huudrod of them at the bouksellers', if you have a mind to them.Have you seen the "Unbishoping of Timothy and Titus," the "Looking-rlass," and a "Breviate ofthe Bishop's late Proceedings"? Yes, I have, and those atso yon may have there, if yuu please to send $f, r$ them. T- Wha printed oll those books? I do not know.- Who was at the charges of printing them? Of that I am igno-rant.- But did you nvt send over spme of these books? I seut not any of them over,-Do you know one llargust there? Yes, I did sqe such a nuan,-Where did you see him? I thet with himi one day accidentally at Ainsterdam.-How oft did you see him there? "Twice upon one day.-But did not he send over booka? If he did, it is nothing to me, for his doings are unknown to me,-But he wrote a letter, by your directions, did be aot? What he writ over I know no mure than you.-Bat did you see hin no where else there? Yes, I saw hinn as Hotterdam.-What conference had you with hin? V'ery littie: but why do you ask gee all thesequestions? these mre beside the marter of iny inprisonment; I pray ofme to the thing for which I am accused, and imprisosed.-No, these are not beside the basiness, buc do belong to the thing for which you are intprisoned.
But do you know of any that sent over any books? What other men did, doth not belong te me-to know or search into; sufficient it is for me to look well to my own occarions, Well, here is the Examination of one Edmund

Chillington, do you know such a oue'? Yes.-. How long' have you been acquainted with him? A little before I went awny, but how long, 1 do yot certainly know.-Do you know one John Wharton? No.-Do you nut? he is a tiot-presser.-I know bim, but I do not well reinember his other name.-How long have you been acquainted with him, and how came you acquainted? I cannot well, well you.-How long do you think? I do not know,--What apecebes had you with Cbillington since you came to town? I am not bound to tell you: but sir (ede I said before) why do you ask me all these quettions? these are "nothing pertinent to my imn prisonment, for I am not imprisoued for knowing and talking with such and such men, but fos sending over Buols; and therefore I am not willing to answer you to any more of these questions, because I sce you go about by this Examiantion to ensuare me; for seeing the things for which I am imprisoned cannot be proved against me, you will get other mattet out of my examination : und therefore if you will not nsk ine about the thing laid to niny charge, I shall answer no more : but if you wifl ask of that, I shall then answer you, and do answer that for the thing for which 1 am imprisoned, which is for sending over books, I ant clear, for I sent none; nad of any other matter that you have to accuse me of, I know it is warrantuble by the law of God, aud I think by the law of the land, that I may stand upon my just defeace, and not ansiver to your interrogatories; and that my accusers ought to be brought face to face, to justify what they accuse me of. And this is all the answer that for the present I am willing to make: and if you ask ure of any more things, I shall answer you with silence.-At this he was exceeding angrys and said, there would be a course takea with tne to make me answer. I told him, I. did not regarc what course they would take with me; only this I desire yun to take notice of, that I do not refase to answer out of any cuntempt, but only because I am ignorant of what belonge to an Examination, (for this is the first time that ever 1 was exnminel;) and therefore Iam unwilling to answer to any impertiuent questhons, for fear shat with my answer I may do myselfhurt.-This is pot the way to gct liberty : I had thought yon would have answered punctually, that so you might have been dispatched as shortly as might be. I have answered pundtually to the thing for which I aiì imprisoned and more I am not bound $t ?$ answe $r$, and for my liberty I must wait God's time.- Yóu had better aaswer, for I have tivo Examinations wherein you are accused. Of what am I accused ?-Chillington hath accused you for printing ten or twelve thoussand of books in Halland, and that they stand you in about $80 \%$, and that you liad a chamber at Mr. John Foot's at Delft, where he thinks the books were kept, and that you wauld hare printed the "Unmaskigg of the Mystery of "Iniquity, if you could have got a trae copy of it. I do not believe that Chillingt. toin suid any such things; and if be did I't
and an surre, that they are all of them lies.You received money of Mr. Wharton since yon came to town, did you nut? What if I did?-It was for books? I do nut sny so.-For what sort of books was it? I du nut sey it wus for any, and I have alreatly anowered youi all that for the present I hate to answer; and if that will give you content, well and good; if not, do what you please.-If you nill not adswer no more (here I wold hing, if I had thought be would bave insisted apou such impertinent questions, I would not have given him 90 many answers) we bave power to send you to the place from whence you came. You may do your pleasure, said 1.-So he called in anger for my keeper, and gave him astrict charge to look well to me. I sadd, they should not fear my running away. And so I was sent down to sir John Banks himself. And after he had read over what his man had writ, he called me in, and sad, I eiceive you are unailling to couless the rruth.

Lilburn. No, sir, I have spoken the truth. Sir L.hn Sanks. This is your Examination is it not? What your man lathowrit, I do not know. -Come uear, tund sec that I read it right. Sir, I do not own it for my Examination, fir your man bath writ what it pleased him, and hath not writ my answer; for my ausiver was to him, and so it is to you, that for the thing for which I an imprisuned ( $n$ latch is for seading over Books) I um clear, for I did not send any, wad for any other matief that is laid to my sharge, I know it is warrantable by the latv of God, and I think by the law of the land, for mid to stand upon my just defence, gud that my accusers ought to be brought face to face, to justify what they accuse me of: and this i, all that I have to say for the present.- You must set your hand to this your Examination. 1 beseech you, sir, pardon me, I will set my hand to nothing but nhat I have now saich-So he took the pen and writ, 'The examined is - unwilling to answer to any thing lout that fur ' which he is imprisoued.' Now you will set your hand to it; I ams not willing, in regard I do not own that wlich your man hath writ; but if it pleasc you to lend me the pen, I will write my answer, and set my hand to it. So he gave ine the pen, and I begun to nrite thus: 'The Answer of me, John'Lilburn, is,' and here he took the pea from me, nad said he could nut stay, that was suficient. Then one of my keepers asked him if they might have me back. ugain? And he stid yea; for he had no order for my inlargement.

And shout ten or thelve days after, $I$ was had forth to Grays-Inn again ; and when I came there, I was had to the Star-Chamber office; and being there, as the order is, I must enter my appearnice, they told me. I adit, 'To what? For I was never served with any subpana; neither was there any bill preferred against me, that I did hear of. Ope of the clerks tuld me, I must first be examined, end then sir John would make the bill. It pems they had no grounded matter against me
for to write a bill, and therefure they weat ubout to make ine betray my own innocency, that so they might ground the bill upon my own words: and at the entrunce of my wppearance, the clirk and I had a deal of discourse, (the particulass whereof for brevity suke I now omit ;) but in the conclusion he demanded money of me, for enteging of my appeurance: and I told him I was but a young innn, and a prisoner, and inoney was not very pleutiful with me, and therefore. I would not part with any money upon such teras. Well (ssid he) if you will not pay your fee, I will desh out your nama again. Do what you please.(said I) I cure not if you do; so he made compleint to Mr. Goad, the mister of the office, that I refased to encier my appearance. And then I was brought liefore hum, and he dehuanded of me what iny business was? I told him, I had no business with lim, but I was a prisoner in the Fieet, and was sentfor, but to whorn and to what end I do not bnow, und therefore if he tad nothing to suy to me, 1 had no business with hom. And theu one of the clerks said, I was to be examined. Then Mr. Goad said, tender him the book: so I hooked noother way, as though I did not give car to what he said; and then le bid ine pull off noy glove, and liny my hand upon the book. What to do, sir? sind I. You anutt swear, said he. To what? 'That you shall nake true 'answir to all things that are asked you.' Must I so, sir? but betion I swear, I will know t.) what I must swear. As som as you have swora, yuu shalb but not before.-10 that I answered, sir, I am but a young man, and do not nell know what belongs to the nature of an oath, and therefore helore I swear, 1 will be hetter advisel.-Saith bie, how old are you? About 20 years old, I told him.- You have recrised the Sacrament, bave you not? Yes, that I have.- And yop have heard the ministers deliver God's word, have you not? I bave heard sermicns. Well then, you know the holy Evangelists ? Yes, that I dit.-Dut sir, though I have received the Sacrament, and have heard vernons yet it doth not therefore follow that 1 am bound to take an vath, which I doubt of the lawfolness of.-Look you here, said he (and wilh that he"opened the book) ${ }^{\text {ww }}$ we desire you to sivear by no foreign thing, but to swear by the boly Evaugelists.-- Sir, I'du not dgubt or question that; 1 question how lawful it is for me to swear to I do not kuow what.-So some of the clerks hegan to reason with me, and told soe agery pue tork that oath: and would I be wiser than all other ment? I told them, it made no mitter to me what otber men do; but before I sweur, I will, know better grounds and reasong than otber men's practices, to convince me of the lawfulness of such nu oath, tu swear I do not know to what.-So Mr. Goad bid them hold their peace, he was not to convince any man'l conscience of the lawfulness of it, but only to offer and tender it. Will you take it or no, gaith he? Sir, I will be better advised first: Whereupon there wes a messenger sent to sir Johe Banks, to certify him, that I would
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not take the Star-Chamber oath; and also to know of him what should be done with me. So I looked I should be conmitted close prisoner, or worse. And about an hour after came Mr. Cockshey, sir John's chief clerk; What, said he, Mr. Lilburn, it seems you wrill not take your Onth, to make true ninswer? I told him, I would be better advised before F took such an oath. Well then, saith he, you must go from wheace you came.

Upon Friday the 9th of Febrônry, in the morning, one of the officers of the Fleet came to my chamber, and bid, me get up and mabe me ready to go to the Star-Chamber-Bar forthwith. I having no time to fit myself, made me ready in all haste to goe And being at the bar, sir John Banks laid a verbal accusation against me; which was, that I refused to answer, and also to enter my appearance, and that I refused to take the Star-Chamber oash : and then was read the affidavit of one Fidmond Chillington, button-seller, made against Mr. John Wharton and myself: the sum of which was, that he and I had printed at Rotterism, in Holland, Dr. Bastwick's Ansaer, and his Litany, and divers other scandalous Books. And then after I obtained leave to speak, I said, My noble lords, as for that affidavit, it is a most talse lye and untrue.

Lord-Keeper. Why will you not ans:ser?
Lilburn. My honourable lord, I have answered fully before sir John Banks to all things that belong to me to auswer unto: and for other things, which concern other men, I have nothing to do with them.- But why do you refuse to take the Star-Chamber oath? Most noble lord, I refused upou this ground, because that when I was examined, though I had fully unswered mall things that belonged to me to answer unto, and had cleared myself of the thing for which I am imprisoned, wlikh was for sending Books out of Holland, yet that would not sitisfy and give content, by other things were put unto me, concerting other men, to insnare me, and get further matter against me; which I perceiving refused, being not bount to answer to such things as do not belong unto me. And withal I perceived the oath to be an oath of inquiry; mad forthe lawfulsets of which oath, I have no warrant; and upon these grounds I did and do still refase the oath.-Upon this some of the king's counsel and some of the lords spoke; Would I'condemn and contradict the laws of the land, and be wiser than all other men to refuse that which is the onth of the court, administered unto all that come there?
Lord Keeper. Well; tender him the bobk. -I standing against the prelate of,Canterbury's back, he looked over his shoulder at me, and bid une pull off my glove, and lay my hand upon the book. Unto whom I replied, Sir, I will not swear; and then directing my speettr nito the lords, I said, Most honourable and noble lords, with all reverence and submission anto your honours, submitting my body unto your lordshipe pleasure, and whatsoever you please to infiet upon it, yet nuat I refuse the eath.

My Lords, said the Arch Preluce, (in a deriding manher) do you hear bm? the suath. with all reverence and'submission he refuseth the outh.

Well, come, come, (said my Lord Kecper), submit yourself onto the court.

Lilburn. Most noble lords, with all willing-: ness, I submit my body unto your honours pleasure; but for any other sutmission, most honourable lords, I am consctuus unto myself, that I have done nothing that doth deserve a convention belore this illustrious as embly; and therefure fur pe to submit is , to sabmit I do not know wherefore.
Earl of Darset. My lords, this is one of their private spirits; do you hear hum, how he stands in his own justification? Well, my lords, said the great prelate, this fellow (meahing we) hath been one of the notoiiousest dispersers of libellous Books that is in the kugdom; and that is the father of them ull (pointing to old Mr. Wharton).

Lilburn. Sir, I know you are not able to prove, and to make that good which you have said.-I have testimony of it, said be. Theo, said I, produce them in the fuce of the open court, that we may see what they have to accuse me of; and I am ready liere to answer for myself, and to make my just defence.-With this he was silent, nnd said not one word more to me; and then they asked my fellow suldier, old Mr. Wharton, whether he would tuke the Oath; which he refused, and began to tell them of the Bishop's cruelty towards him; and that they had had him in five several prisous within these two 'years, for refusing the Oath.-And then there was silence; nfict which was read how the court had proceeded against some that had harboured Jesuits and Seminary-priests (those traitors) who refused to be exomined upen oath; and in regard that we refused like-. wise to be exaynined upon oath, it was fit, they said, that we should be proceeded agaiust, ns they were. So they were the precedent by which we were censured, though their cause and ours be much unlike, in regard theirs were little better than treasen; but our crime was so far from treason that it was neither against the glory of God, the honour of the king, the laws of the land, nor the good of the commonweulth; but rather for the maintaining of the honour of them, all, as all those that read the books without partial affections and prejuditate hearts can witness and declare; and if the books had had any treason, or any thing against the law of the land in them, yet we were but stopposedly guilty; for the things were never fully proved agnainst us. Indeed there were two Oaths read in court, which they said were sworn against us by one man, but he was never irought face tn face, und in both his oaths he hath forsworn linuself, as in many particulars thereof we are both able to make gogd.-In the conclusion, my Lord Keeper stood up, and said, My Lords, I hold it fit, that they should be buth for their contempt coronitted close prisoners till Tuesday next; and if they do not conform themselves betwixt
this aud then to take the Oath, and yield to be examined before Mr. Goud, then that they shall be brought hither again,and censured, and made all exauple. Unto which they all agreeds; and so we were comnuited close prisoners, and no friends admitted to coine unto us.
In Camera Stellat' coram Concilio ibidem 9. die Felir. anno 13 Car, regis.
${ }^{\text {E }}$-Upon Infornation this day to this honoor-'
© able c.,utt, hy sir John Banks, knight, his ma-
'jesty's Attorney General, That John Lilburn

- and John Whaton, who are now at the bar
- of this court, were the 24th of January last
- ordered to be examined upon Interiogatories
${ }^{6}$ touching their unlawfol printing, publishing,
' and dispersing of libellous and seditious Books,
'contrarg to the decree of this Court, which'
- was verified by aflidavit: and being brought

E up to the office to appear and be exnmined

- accordingly, the said Lilhurn refused to ap.
' pear, and buth of them denied to take an Oath
${ }^{4}$ to make answer to Interrogatories, as appears
'by Certificate of Mr. Ggad: it was humbly
- prayed tiat their nppearance may be recorded,
they being now present in court, and that they
' may now hnve their oaths tendered unto them;
- which if they sball refure to take, that then
- this court will proceed to a censure against
' them for their luigh contempt therein, ns hath
- been used in like cases, which the court jeld
- fit: and hith therefow ordered, That thejir ap-
- pearauce shail tee recorded, ns is desired.' And
- for that the said Delinquents do now again
' most contemptuoosly refuse to take their Oath
' now tendered to them in open court, their
- lordsbips have farther ordered, That the said
'Lilbura and Wharton shall be remanided to
${ }^{\text {t }}$ the prison of the Fleet, there to remain close
'prisoners until they conform thenselves in
-obedience to take their oaths, and be exa-
- mined; aud that unless they do talae their
'oaths, and yield to be examined by Monday
' night next, therr lordships will, on the last sit-
- tiug of this term, pruceed to censure agains
'them for their contempts therein, as-is de-
' siled.'
' And upon Monday after we were had to Gray's-Inn, and I being the first there, Mr. Goad snid to me, according to the lords order opon Friday last, I bave sent for you to tender the Oath unto you.-Sir, I beseech you, let me hear the lords order. So he caused it to be read unti, me, and then tendered me the tiook. Well, sir, said I, I em of the same mind I mas; and ${ }^{4}$ withal I understand, that this Outh is one and the saine with the Hixh Comraimion Oath, which Outh I know to be both against the law of God, and the law of the land; sind therefore in brief 1 dare not take the onth, though 1 soffer death fir the refu al of it. Well, seid he, I did not send for you to dispate with you ahout the la ufuluess of $u$, but uniy uccording to yay plince to tentier it unto you--Sir, I dare not take it, - though I lose my life for the refuash of it. $\mathrm{S}_{0}$, he suid he had no more to sav to ine; and $\mathbf{F}$ took my leaye of him, and came away. And
after that, came the old mgan, Mr. Wharton, and it was tendered unto him, which he refused to take: and, as he hath told me, he declared unto him how the bishops had him eight umes in prison for the refusal of it, and be had suffered the bishops merciless cruelty for many years together, and he would now never take it as loug as he lived; and withal told him, thut if there were a eart ready at the door to carry,him to Tyburn, he would be hanged, before ever he-would tuke it. And this was that day's business.
Upon the next morning, Feb. 13, abouk seven A-clock, we were had to the Stur-Chaniber Bur again, 10 receive our Cepsare; and stuod at the bar about two hours before sir John Banks came: but at lagt he began his accusation against us, that we did still continue in our former stubbornness. And also there was anothey Affidavit of the foressaid Edmund Chillington's read against us; the sum of which wos, that I had confessed to han, that I had printed Dr. Bastwick's *Answer to sir John Banks's Information," and his "Litany;" and another Book, "An Answer unto certain Objections;" and another Book of bis called, "The Vanity and Impiety of the old Litany;" and that I had divers other books of Dr. Bastwick's a-printing. And that Mr. John Wharton had been at the charges of priating a Book called, "A Breviate "of the Bishops late Proceedings;" and noother Book, called "Sisteen new Queries," und divers other fuctious Bowks: and that one Jnmes Ouldarn, a turner in Wexuminster-bull, had dispersed divers of these Books. Then I said after this manoer: Mast noble lords, I beseech your honours, that you would be pleased to give me leave to speak for mysielf, and to make my just defence; and I shall dabour so to order iny sjueeches, that I shall not give your honours any just distaste; and withal shali do it with as much brevity as I can. Sa having obtrined miy desire, I began and said, My lords, it seems there were divers Books sent out of Holland, which came to the hands of one Ednnund Chillington, who made this Attidavit ugainst us; nad, as I underatond, he delivered divers of these Books unty one John Chilburne, servant to this old man Mr. Wharton; and his unaster being in prison, he dispersed divere of them for the foressid Chithugton's use; whereup, a the Books were taken in lis custody : he boing foupd dispersing of them, goes to one Scuith, a taylor, in Bridewell, (as I am informed) and destres him tooget his peace made with the bistops. Whereupon be covenants with sorite of the bishops creatures to betray me into their hauds, being newly come out of Holland, which, (us he suid) did send over these Books. So, nyy lords, he having purchased his own liberiy, lags the plot for betraying me, and I was taken by as pursoivant and four others of his ussintants, walking in the streets with the fore-said-Johas Chilburne, who bad laid and contrived the plot befure (as I aun ablet to mike kood;) and the pext moruing I whe committed by sir Joha Lamb to the Gate-houser. Now, mif
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lords, I do protest before your honours on the word of a Cbristian, that I did not send over these Books, neither did I know the ship that brought them, nor any that belongs to the ship, nor to my knowledge did never see with my eyes, either the ship, or any that belongs unto it. -And weing at the Gatt-bouse, I was removed (by six of your honours) to ghe Flect, at which time the sald Chillington was removed from Bridewell to Newgate; and being kept, close here, hid, by their threats and permusionos, and the procuring of his own liberty, goes and accuses me for priating ten or twelve thoussand Books in Holland. And at my exnmination before sir John Bauks, , cleared myself of that; and upon Friday last be juade an Affidarit aguinst me, in which he hath most falsly forsivorn himself, and to-day Ne hath made another, which is also a most false untruth: and withal, my lords, he is known to be a notorious lying fellow, and hath accused me for the furchusing of his own liberty, which he hath got. And therefire, I lessech your honours, to take it into yuur serious consideration, whetier I nm to be censured upon such a fellow's Alliduvit or no.
Then said the Lord-Keeper, Thou art a mad fellow, seeing things are thus, that thou milt not take thine Oath, nad answer truly.
My tumourable lord, I have sleclared unto you the real truth; bur for the outh, it is an vath of inquiry, and of the sane nature as the ligho-Cunumisson Oath; which osth I kuow to be unhawful ; and wittlud I findano warrant in the W'ord of God fori nu oath of inquiry, aud it ought to be the director of me in all things that I du: und therefore, my lords, at no hand, I dare not tuke the o.sth. ' (When I numed the Word of, God, the court began to laugh, as though they had had nothing to do with it.)' My lord (said Mr. Goad) he towl me yesterduy, be dustst not tuke the oath, th wubh he suffered denth for the refusal of it. And with that my Lord Pris y-Sealsopoke: TVill you (said lie) take your oath, that that which you have said is true? My lord (said I) I am tut a young man, and do not well know what helongs to the nature of an oath, (i,ut that which I have said, is a real truth) but thos much; by God's appointment, I hnow an oath oulg:t to be the end of all contte.versy and strife, Heb. 6, 16. and if it night be so in this py prespat ciiuse, I would aafely take my oath, that what I have said is true. So thry spoke to the old wan, myy fellowpartner, and asked him whetherthe would take the uath? So le desired thean to give himl 1 pre to peak; and he le gean to thander it out nequast the Bislopps, alnd told fhem, they required three oaths of the king's subjects; namiely, the oath of Churchwardenship, and the outh of Canooni cal Obedence, and the oath er officon; wbich (saia he) are all ngaiust the law of the land, and by which they dereive and perjure thousands of the knux' subjecta in a year. And withal, my lords, (ssuid be) there is a maxim in divinity, that we should prefer the klory of God, and the good of our kieg and country, before our
own lives. But the Lords wondering to hear the old man begin to telk after this manner, commanded hin to bold hir peace, and to an syer than, whether he would take the oath or no? To which be replied, and dosired theen to let hin talk a litcte, und he would tell thein by and bye. At which all the court burst out alaugling; but they would not jet him go on, but conamanded silence (which if they would have let him proceed, he would have so peppered the Bishops, as they were never in their lives in an open court of judicature.) So they asked us again, wheiber we would take the oath? Which we Both again reflheed; and mithal I told them, that for the reasoms before I duast not take it. Then they said, they would proceed to censure. I bid thein do us they pleated, for I knew myself innocent of the thing for which I was imprisuned and accosed; but yet, nutwithstanding, did subnit my body to their ponours pleasure. So they en nsured us 500 l . --piece; and then stood up jadge Jones, and said, It was fit, that 1 being a young inau, for exumple sake, should hate sonie corporal puusbiment inflicted upon me. So my Ceassore wis to be whipt, but neither tune nor place. alloted. And for the old man, at regard of his nge, being 85 years old, they would spare his corpporal punistiment, though (said they) he deserves it as well as the other (meaning nee,) yet he should stund upon the pillory : but I could not hudentand or perceige by my ceasure, that I was to stand upou the pillory. And when I came from the Lar, I spuke in an nadible vuice, und said, My lords, I beseech Gud to bless your hoonsurs, and to discover and make known unto you the rickedness and cruelty of the prelats.
In Camera Stellata coram Concilio ibidem 15 die Febr, anno decimo tertio Car. regis.
(Wisereas, upon Information to this Court the uinth of this instant February, by sic Joln Banks kuight, Lis majesty's Attorney Geseral, that John Lilburn and John Wharton, then present at the bar, were the $q$ wh of Jauuary last ordered to be exumined upon Interrogatories touching their unlawfial printing, innporting, publis'iung, and dispersing of libellous and seditious Bouks, cquatrary to the Decree of this court, which wns writied by Afidarit; and Leing brought op to the ultice to appeat and he exanined, the said Litborn refoesed to. appear, aud both of them depped to talie in - oath to make some answer to interrogatories, ' $a=$ apperearrd by the certificate of Mr. Coond, ' deputy-clerk of this court : the court did on - that day order, that their appearabces should ‘be recorded, they being prewent in court as ' aforessid ; and thant in respect the uid de'linquents did then axain" contemptuously ro4 'f fase to tuke therr oast bs tendered to them in 'apen coort, they should the remanded to the ' prison to the Bleet, there to remain close pri'souers, until they conformed thensisflves in ' whedieace to take their oaths and be examin' ed ; and that anloses they did take their ontion:
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6 and gisid to be examined by Monday-night
' next then next following, and now last past,

* thecir boruships would on this sitting-day pro-
- ceed to a censure agninst them for their coo-
' tempt, herell. Now this day the said Lil-
- bura aud Wharton being again brought to the
- har, his majesty's snid attorney ioformed this
- honourabie court, that they still continued in
- their fiomer ofistuaty, and contemptaously
- refurd to tine therr naths, to make trye ap-
( swer to the meerrngatories, alithough they had
6 been ent for, and their oathv sssented to be
' given unto them by Mr. Goad, deputy clerk
- of this cuurt, who now cerritied the same in
' court': and theiefire his majesty's said Atton-
' ney humbly pleaded on bis majesty's hehalf,
'that their lordships would now proceed to
- censure against the ssid delinquents, for their

- Whereupon their lordshaps cndeavoured, hy
'fair persuasions, to draw them to conformity
' and ohedience, and withal offered, that if
' they vet would submit and take their oaths,
'the ir lordships would accept thereof, and not
'pruceed to censure agailst, them. But such
- was the insufferable disobedience and con-
- tempt of the said delinquents, that they still
- persisted in their tormer obstinacy, und wil-

6 folly retused to take their outhis. In respect

- wherenf the whole coutt dul with unanimou-
- consent, declare and adjodge the said Lillyurn
- and Whartoo guilty of a very high conterupt
- and offence, of dangerous consequence and
' evil exumple, and wiriby to undergo very
' siaurp, severe, and exemplary censure, which
' might deter whers from the likee presump-
'tuous bolduess in refusing to take a f gal
4 onth; without whech, many great and exorbi-
- tant offinces, to the prejudice and dang. r of
- his majesty, liis king dums, and loving sulyects,
' micht go anny und scovered, and unpunished.
- And thenefiore their lordships have now order-
'ed, adjud,ed, and decreel, That the said
- Lilburn and Wharton shall be remanded to
' the Fleet, there to remain until they conform
- 'hernselves in obedience to the orders of this
court, and that they shall pay 500 l . a-piece
- for their sereral Gine- to his majesty's use;
f and before their enlargements out of the
- Fleet, become bound with good sureties for
- their grod behaviour. And to the end that

4 others may be the more deterred from daring
' to offend in the like kind hereatter, the court
' hath further grdered and decreed, That the
'said Jobn Lifbura-shall be whipt through the'
6 streets, trom the prizon of the Fleet unto the
"pillory," to he erected in such time, and

- place, as this court shall hold fit and direct;
( and that buth be nad the inid Wharton shall
'be both of the in set in the said pillory, and Sfrom thence be returned to the Fleet, there ' to remaia sccordng to this decree.'

After our Censuie, we had the liherty of the prisors for a few days; but the old wan, my

[^43]fellow-partner, went to the warden of the Fleet, and told him the sum of that which he intended in the Star-Chamber, to have spoken against the Bishops, if the lords would have let him. IIe told the warden, how the Bishops were the greatest tyrunts that ever were since Adam's creation; and that they were more cruel than the Cannibals, those men-eaters; for, said he, they presently devour men, and put an end to their pain, but the Bishops do it by degrees, and are many years in exercising their cruelty and tyranny upon those that stand nut ngainst theim; and therefore are worse than the very Cannibals, \&c.
This came to the Lord $\boldsymbol{q}$ of the Council's ears, whercupon we wefe the next Monday after brought both togncher, and locked up close prisoners in one claamber, without any order or warrant at all, but only Warden Ingram's hare comamand and plensure. But the old man, abont thrce weeky atter, foade a I'ctition to the Lords of the Conncil, that he might have some libcrty; and being very weik, more likely to die than to live, he had his liberty granted till the Term: but I do still remsin close prisoner.
Upon Wednesday the 18th of April, 1658, I was cruelly whipped through the streets to Westminster, ${ }^{*}$ and at the last came to the Pilhory, where I wns unloosed from the cart, and having put on some of my clothes, went to the tavern, where I staid a pretty while waiting for my surgeon, who was not yet come to dress me; where were many of my friends, who exceedingly rejoiced to see my courage, that the Lord had enabled me to undergo my punishment so willingly.
I hasing a desire to retire into a private room from the multitude of people that were about me, wlich made me like to faint; I had hot been there long, but Mr. Ligh! bouroe, the tijustaff of the Star-Chamber, came onto me, saying, the lords sent lim to me, to know if I would ach nowledge myself to te in a fault, and then he knew what to sny, unto me. To whom I replied, Have their honours caused me to be whipped from the Fleet to Westminster, and do they now send to know if I will acknowledge a fault? They should have done this before I hed been whipped'; for now, seeing I have undergone the greatest part of my punishment, I hope the Lord vill assist me to go through it all : and besidee if I would have done this at the first, I-needed not to have come to this: but as I zold the, Lords when I was before them at the bar, so I desire you to tell thew ngain, that I nm not conscious to myself of doing any thing that'deserves a submission, but yet I do willingly submit to their lordships pleasures in my censure. He told me, if I woald confess my fault, it would save me a standing in che Pillory : otherwise, I must undergo the barthen of it.

And $\frac{1}{6}$ the cart drew him along he repeated several texts of Scripture, and talked enthusiatically to the people.

## 

Well, suid I, I regard not a little oatward disgrace fir the cause of my God; I bave found already that sweetness in him, in whomi I have believed, that through lis strength, I am able to undergo any thing that shall be inflicted on me: but methinks that I had vẹry hard meessure, that I should be cqudemned and tlus puniphed upon two Oaths, in which the party has inost falsely forsworn himself; and beccause I would not take an outh to betray mine own innocence. Why, Paul found more mercy from the heathen Loman Governors, for they woald not put hinn to an oath to accuse himself, 晍t suffered Liin to make the beat dofence he could for himself: neither would they condemn him, before his accuscrs and tie were brought face to face, to justafy, and fully to prove their accusation: but the Lords have not dealt so with me, for my accusers and I were never brought face to face, to justify their accusation agfinst ine. It is true, two filse ouths were sworn against me, and I was thereuyon condemned; and because I would not accuse myself. And so be went away, and I prepared inyself for the Pillary, to ulich I went with a joytul courage; and when I was upon it, I made obeisance to the lords, some of them, as 1 suppose, lookin. out at the Star-Chamber window towards me. And so I put my ne $k$ into the hole, which being a great doul ton low fir we, it was very punful to sac, in regard of continuance of the time that I stond un the pillory, which wa aloyut two hours: my bach being also very sore, au; Hie sill thining'so eveecthig hot, and the "ijpstaff man tut sutfiring me to heep on my hat to deiend my bead fiom the heat of the sun, so that I stood there in great pain: yet through the shicogth of my God I andernent it with courage, th the very last minute; and lifing up my heart and spúit monto my God, I begaif to speask ufter this manarer :
". My Christian Brethren; To all you that love the Lord Jesuy Cbitst, and desire that he shonld reign aud rale in your hearts and lises, to you especially, and to as uany as hear me this day, I direct my speech: I stand here in the place of ignominy and shame; yet to me it is not so, but 1 own and embrace $i t$, as the welcome Cross of Christ, and as a badge of my Chri-tian Profession: I have been already Rhipped from the Fleet to this place, by virtie of a Censure from tha honourable Lords of the Star-( hamber ; the cause of my Centwe 1 shall declare unto you as briefly as $I$ ean.
" The Lord, by his special hand of Providence, so ordered it, that not long age I feas in Holland, where I tas like to have settled myself in the cous se of teading, that might have brooght me io a pretty large portion of earthly things, (afier which my heart did too much ruin): but the Lord having a better portion in store for me, and mod durable riches to bestow upon iny soul, by the same hand of Providence, brought we back agnin, and cast mee into easy affliction, that thereby I might be weaned from the world, and see the vanity and, emptiness of all things therein. And he bath now pitched
vol. Hi .
my soul upon sich an object of beauty, amiobleness, and excellency, as is as permanent and endurable, as etornity itself; namely, the personal excellency of the Lord Jesus Curist, the sweetness of whose presence no affliction can ever be able to wrest out of wy suil.
"Now, while I was in Holland, it seems there were divers Books of that poble and renuwued Dr. John Bastwick sent into England, which came into the hands of one Edmund Chillington; for the seading over of which I was takea and apprehended, the plot being before laid by one Jobn Chilbitre (whom I supposed, and took to be my friend), servant to my old fel-low-soldier, Mr. John Wharton, living in Bowlape, after this manner. I walkagg in the atreet with the said John Chilburne, was tulen by the pursuivant and his men; the said John, as I verily lielieve, haring given direction to them where to stand, and he himself was the thurd man that laid hands on me to hold me.
" Now, at my censure before the Lords, I there declared upon the word of a Christian that I rent not ovemthose Books, peither did $\mathbf{I}$ know the ship that brought them, nor any of the men that belonged to the ship, nor to my knowlerge did I ever see either ship, or any appertaining to it in all my days. Besides this, I was accused at my examination before the king's Attorney, at his chambier, by the said Editund Chillington, buthon-scller, livivg in Cannon-street, near Abchurch-lane, and lato prisoner in Bridewell and Nen gate, for printing 10 or 12,000 Bouks in Ilolland; and that I would haye printed the 'Unmasking the Mystery of Iniquity;' if I could have gonen a urue copy of it; and that I had a chamber in Mr. John Foot's house at Delft, where he thinks the books were kept.
"Now, here I declare beföre you all, uprn the wond of a suffering Christian, that he migi.t as well haver accused me of printing $\mathbf{1 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ books, and the one been as true as the other. And for the printing the 'Unmasking the Mys' tery of Iniquity,' apon the word of an honest mav, I nèer sew, nor to my knonledge beard of the book, till I canse back again into Eng. land. And for my having a chamber at Mr. John Foot's house at Delf, where he thinks the books were kept; I was so far from having a chamber there, as I never lay in his house but twice or thrice at the most : and upon the last Friday of the last term, I was brought to the Star-Chamber Boy where before pene was read the said Edmund Chillington's APdiavit, upon oath against Mr. John Wharton and myself; the sum of which oath was, Thatt he and I had printed, at Rotterdam in Holland, $\mathrm{Dr}_{\mathrm{r}}$. Bastwick's 'Answer,' and his 'Litany,' with divers other scandalonis books.-Now, here again I speak it in the presence of God, and-al! you that hear me, that Mr. Wharton sud I never joined together in priuting either these, or any other books whisooever; neither did 1 receive any money fromi hinn toward the priating any.
" Withal, in his first oath, he peremptorily
40
awore, that we bad printed them at Rotterdam : unto which I lifewise say, That he hath in this particular forswore hinself: for mine own part, I never in all my dnys eitber printed, or caused to be printed, either for myself, or for Mr. Wharton, any books at Rotterdam; neither did I cotne into any printing house there, all the time that I was in the city.
"And then uponthe' Tuesday after, he swore against both of us ogain. The sun of which oaths was, that I hud conlessed to him (whicb is must folse) that I had printed Dr. Bestwick'v Answer to sir Jopn Banks's 'Information,' and his ' Litany ;' and auother bank, called, 'Cer'tain Ansivers to certain Objections:' and another book, called, ${ }^{\text {'The Thaity and Impiety }}$

* "of the Old Litany." And that I had divers other bodes of the ssid Dr. Bastwick's in printing, and that Mr. Wharton laud been nit the charges of printing a Book, called, 'A Brevinte 'of the Bistoops late Pruceedings'; and anothes book, called, 'Sixteen New Queries ;' and in this his oath huth sworn they were printed at Rotterdum, or sonewhere else in IInlland; and that one James Oldham, a tituuer, keeping shop at Westminster-hall gate, dispersed divers of these books. Now, in this oath he lath again forsworn himself in a light degree ; for whereas he'took his oath that I had printed the book, called, 'The Vanity and Impiety of the Old ${ }^{6}$ Lituny; I here speak it before you all, thyt I never in all my daysadid see one of them in print: but I must confess, I have seen and read it in written hand, before the Doctor sas censured. And as for other books, for which he saith I have divers in printing; tothat I anawer, that for my owa particular, I never read nor saw any of the Doctor's Books, Bot the forenamed four in English ; and one little thing more of about two sheets of paper, which is nunexed to the 'Vanhy of the Old Litany.' And as for his Latin books, I never saw any but two; namely, his ' Flogellum,' Tor whith be was first censured in the High-Conmiassion court, and his 'Apologeticus,' which were both in priait long before I knew the Doctor. But it is true, there is a second edition of bis ' Flagellum,' but that wns at the press abiere two years ago ; namely, anuo 1634, nud some - of this impression wasin England befure I came out of Holland.
" And these are the main things for which I was censured and condeunned, bcing two oaths in which the said Chillington hath palyably forsworn himiself; and if he had not forswotn himself, yet by the law (as I am given to understand) I might have excepted agnainst him, being a guilty person bimself, and a prisoner, and did diat which he did against me for pur chaoing his own liberty, which he bath by suct 'Jadasly means got aud obtained; who is also known to be a lying fellow, as I told the lords 1 was able to prove and make good.
" Bat besides all this, there was an" inquisition Oath tendered uuto the (which I refused to take) on four sevéral days; the stum of which onth is thus much: 'You shall swear that you
'shall make true answer to all thinge that shall Ghe asked of you: so help you Goll.' Now ibis oath I refused as a sinful and unlawful oath: it being the High-Commissioh oath, with which the prelates ever have, and still do, so butcherly torment, afflict and undo, the dear saints and servants of God. It is an outh against the law of the land (as Mr. Nicholas Fuller in his Argument doth prove): And also it is expressly against the Petition of Right, an sct of parliament cnacted in the 3d and 4th year of ourking. Again, it is absolutely against the law of God; fur that law requires no man to accuse himself; but if any thing be laid to his charge, there must cune two or three witnesses at least to prove it. It is also against the practice of Cbrist himself, who, in all his examinations before the high priest, would not accuse himself, but upon their demands, returyed this answer, "Why ask you me? Go ' to them that beard me'
" Withal, this Onth is ngeinst the very law of onture; for thature is always a preserver of itself, and not a destroyer: But if a man takes this wicked oath, he destroys nad undoes himself, as daily experience doth witness. Nay, it is worse thmin the law of the heathen Romans, as we niny read, Acts xxv. 16. For when Paul stood befure the pagnn governors, nnd the Jews required judgment agnanst him, the governor replied, 'It is not the manner of the - Romans to condemn any man, before he and - his accosers be brooght face to face, to justify 'their accusation.' But for my own part, if I had been procreded ngainst by a Bill, I nould have answered and justified all that they could bave proved against me; and by the strength of my God, would have sealed whatsoever I lave done will any blood: for I aut privy to puine own nctions, and my conscience bears me Witness, that I-have laboured, ever since the Lord in mercy made the riches of his grace known to my soul, to keep a good conscience, and to walk inioffensincly both towards God aud man. But as for that Oath that was put upon me, I did refuse to take it os a siuful and uulawful outh, and by the strengli of my Gind enabling we, I sill never take it, though I be pulled in pieces by wild borses, as the antient Christians were by the bloody tyrants in the Primitive Church; neither shall I think that man a faithful' subject of Christ's kingdon, that shot at apy time hereafter take it, secing the wickeduess of it hath been so apparently loid open by so mahy, for the refusal whereof many do saffer cruel perpecution to this day.
ca Thus hare I, as briefly as I could, declared unto you, the whole cause of my standing here this day ; I being opon these grounds censured by the Lords at the Star-Chamber on the last court-day of the last termi, to pay 500l, to the king, and to receive thepunishiment, which with rejoicing I have undergone, unto whose censure I do with willingnest and cherrflulness submit mayself, But sceing I now sthnd bere at this present, I inteud, the Lord assisting me with his powtr, and guiding me by his spicit, to
declare my mind anto you. I have nothing to say to any man's person, and therefore will not meddle wifh that; only the things that I have to say, in she first place are concerning the Bishops and their calling: They challenge their callings to be Jure Divino; and for the oppugning of whicl, those three renowned living martyrs of the Lord, Dr. Bastwick, Mr. Burton, and Mr. Pryun, did suffer in this , place, (Sce No. 145) and they have sufficiently proved, that their calling is not from God : which inen I love and honour, and do persuade myself that their souls are dear and precious -in the sight of God, though they were so cinelly and butcherly dealt ivith by the prelates. And as for Mr. Barton and Mr. Prynn, they are worthy and leurned men, tut yet did not in many things write so fully as the Doctor did, who hath sufficiently and plentifully set forth the wickellucss, both of the Prelutes, themselves, nad of their callings (as you may read in his Books), that they are yot Jure Divino; which noble and revervend doctor I lave with all my soul: and as he is a man that stands for the truth and plory of God, my very life and heart-blood I will lay down for hishoonour, and the maintaining of his cause for which he suffered, it loeing God's cause. As for the Bishops, they ased in former times to challenge their jursdiction, callings and power from the king; but they have now openly, in the High-Conmission-Court, renounced that, as was heard by many, nt the Censure of that noble Doctor: and as you may fully read in his - Apologeticus;' and in his 'Answer to sir ' John Bânks's Inforination.' Now I will here maintain it before thest all, that their calling is so far from being Jbie Divino (as theysay they are) that they are rather Jure Diabolico; which if I am not able to prove, let me pe hanged up at the hall-gate. But, my brethren, for your better satisfaction, read the 9th nad 13 th chaptersof the Revelation, and there you shail see, that there caune locusts out of the Bottomless Pit, part of whom they are, and they are there lively described. Also you shall there find, that the Beast (which is the Pope, or Roman' State and Govertment) hath given to him by the Dragon (Hie Devil) his power, seat, and great authority. So that the Pope's - uuthority comes from the Devil; and the prelates, and their grestures, in their printed Books, do challenge their authority, jurisdiction and power (that they exercise. over all sorts of people) is from Rome:
"Aud for proving the Church of Englignd to be a true Church, their best and strongest art gument is, that the Bishops sare lineally descended from his Holiness (or Impiousaess) of Nome, ns you may read in Pocklington's book, called 'Sunday no Sabhath.' So that by their own confension thly stand by that same power and authority, that they have received frota the pope. So that their calling is not from God, but from the devil. For the pope cannot give a better nuthority or, calling to them than he himuelf hath; but his authority and calling
is from the devil, therefore the prelate's calling nad authority is from the derif ulso, Revel ix. 3. 'And there came out of the smoke, locusts ' upon the earlb, and unto them was given ' power, as the scorpions of the earth have 'powcr to hurt and undo men?', as the prelates daily do : and also, Revel. xili. 2. "And the 'Beast which I saw' (saith St. John) ' was like 'unto a leopard, find his feet were as the feet ' of a bear, and his minuth us the mouth of a ' lion; aud the dragon,' (that is to say, the devil) 'gave him his power, his seat and great 'authority:' andeverse 15,$16 ; 17$. And whether the Prelates, us well as the pope, do not daily the same things, let every man that hath byt common reason judge.
"For do not their daily practices and cruel * burthens imposed un' all sorts of people, ligh and low, rich and poor, witness that their descent is from the Beast, part of his state and kinglom: so also Hevel . xvi. 13, 14, all which plices do declare, that their power and authority being from the pope (as they themselves confess), therefore it must originally come from the devil, For their power and callings must of necessity proceed cither from God, or else from the deril; but it proceeds not from God, as the Scriptures sufficiently declare; therefore their calliag and power proceeds from the devil, na both Scripture and their own dnily practices do desmonstrate and prove. And as for that last place cited, Rev, xvi. 28, 14. if you please to read the second and third parts of Dr. Bastwick's Litany, you shall find, he titere proves, that the Prelates practices do every way suit with, and make good that portion of Scripture to the ntmost. For in their sermons that they preach before his majesty, how do they incense the king and nobles against the people of God ${ }_{f}$ labouring to make thein odious in his sight, and stirring him up to execute vengeance upon them, thougl they be the most harmless getriration of all others?
"And as for all these officers that are under. them, and made by then, for mine own particular, 1 cannot see but that their callinga are as unlawful as the Bishops themselies; and in particular, for the callings of the tuivisters, I. do not, nor will not speak ngainst their persons, for I know some of them to be very able men, and men of excellent gifts and qualifications; and I persunde niyseff, their souls are very dear and precious in the sight of God.
"Yet notwithstanding, this proves not their callings to he ever the better, as it-in it civil government: If the king (whoum Oidd hoth made a lawful magistrate) make a wicked man. an officer, he is as true an officer, fitd as well to be obeyed, coming in the kiog' inamie, as tho best man in the world coring with the sume authority; for in such a case, be that is a wicked man, hath his calling froun as good anthority as the godliest man hath; and thered fore his calling is as good as the other's. But on the other side, if he that hath no authority make officers, though the men themselves io ever to grod and holy, yet their boliness makes
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their calling never a whit the traer; but still is a false calling, in reqard his nuthority was not good nor lawful that madethem. And even ao the ministers, be they ever so holy men, yet they have ohe and the same calling with the wickedest that is amongst them; their holines proves not their callings to he ever the truer, seeing therr authority that made them nainisters is fal-e: and tharefore they have more to answer for than any of the rest, by how inuch the more God hath bestowed greater gifts upon them than upon others, and yet they detain the truth in uurighteuusn ss from Ged's people, ando not matk kawinn of then, as they ought, the wholn will and counsel of God.
"And agan, the grea'er is their sin, if their - callings be unian ful (as I verily believe the ary), in that thy $y$ st $l l$ hold them, and do not widlim;ly Lay down nust renounce them; wo the! do but fieceive the perp.e, and laghly dishonseui God; and sin ajg.unst $\mathrm{t}^{\prime}$ er own soul, white they preith unto the people ly sittue of an Anticlurs ran and unifanful calling. And the moie godly and able the minister is, that still preatbes hy virtue of this ballong, the more hurt lue stoth; tor the prople that have such a minister will not be persuadert of the truth of chings, theugh one s, eak, and intorm them in the nume of the Lord; but will be ready to repiy, our ministec that preacher still by vritue of this culling, is so holy a man, that were nyt his calling righte and gougl, I do asoure mysalf he would o longer preach by sirtue theicof. And ti us the hotines- of the minister is a cloak to cuvir the unlawfulness of bis calling, and make the people cor tinue re',els nguinso Chist, his scepuce and kingdon, which is an aggratation of his sin: for by this means the petople are kept off from rectiving the whole tuth into their sululs, and rest in being but almost Cliristians, or but Chistians in part. But, 0 my btethren, it hecomes all you that fear God; ard tender the salvation of your owa so0ls, to look about you, and to shake off that long security and formality in religion that you have hain in: for God, of all things, caniot endure lukewarmuess, Rev. iii. 16, and search out diligently the truth of things, nnd ury them in the bulanice of the sanctuary. I beseech you take things po more upou trusf, as hitherto you have doue, but take pains to search and find out those sppiritual and hidden truths that God hath enwrapped in his sacred Book, and find out a botwan for' your pwn souls: for if you will have the comfurts of them, you must bestow some labour for the getving of them, and you moust search diligenily befure you find them, Prov. ii. Labour also to withdraw your necks from under that spiritual and Antichristian bonidage (anto which you have for a long time dubjected your souls), lest the Lord cause his plagues, and the fierceness of his wrath, to seize both upon your bodies and sools; sseeing
you are now warned of the danger of these you are now warned of the danger of these things.
"F For he himself hath said, Rev, xiv, 9, 10, 11. 'That if any map worthip the Beast and
' his Image, and receive his mark in his fore' head, or in his land; the same shali drink of 'the wine of his wrath, which is poured out ' withuut mixture, into the cup of his indigaa'tion, and he shall be tormented with fire and 'brimstone, in the presence of the holy An 'gels, and in the presence of the Lamb: and 'the smoke of theif torment ascended up for ' ever and ever, aud they bave no rest, day nor ' nighe, who worship the Beast and his Image, ' and whosoever receive.h the mark of his ' bame.' Therefore as you love your own souls, and look for that innortal crown of happiness in the world to come, look that you wi-hdaw yourselves froms that Antichristian power and slaicry Hint you are now under, even as God thimself hath commaduded and en: joised you, in Rer, aviii. 4, 'Come out of her, t my prople, that you be not patakets of ${ }^{t}$ her, sins, and that you receive not of her 'plagues; for her sin, have reactied unto - heaven, and God hath remembered her ini'quines.' Here is the voice' of (Gind lum-elf, commanding all his cho-en onss, though they, baice lived under this Autichrottan slavish power and state a long thene, yet at tast to wihdraw their ohedunce and suljection from it. My brethren, ne are all at the preeent, in a very dangerou, and feartul condation, muder the idolatrous and spmitual bondige of the prelates, in regarl we have cursed trators uato our God, in secing his almighty kreat nane, and his heav enly truth, trodilen under fioot, and so highly dishuntured by them; oud yet wo not only let them athne in bolding our peace, but most slavishly and wickedly subject ourselves unto them, fearing the fice of a piece of slirt, more than the Aluighty great God of heaven and earth, who is able to cust hoth body and soul into ereilasting daunation.

* Oh repent, I besecch you therefore repent, for that great dishonour you hase sutiered to be done unts God by your tiearfulnesy and cowardliness; and for the time to cone put on courageous resolotious the valiant soldiers of Jesus Cbrist, and fight manfully in this his spiritual battle, in which battle some of his soldiers have already dost part of their blood; and withal, study this Boof of the Revelation, and there you shall find the mystery of iniquity fully unfolded and explained; and also you shall see what great sprituab Lardes have bren foughybetwixt the 1 anmband his seivants, and the Drugor, the Devil; and his vassals; and some are to fight."
"Therefore gird on your spiritual arnoour, spoked of, Ephes. 6, that, you may quit yourselves like good and faithful soldiers, and fear ${ }^{n} 0$ colours, the victory and conquest is ours already; for we are sure to have it, I do not speak of any bodily and temparal battle, has only of a spiritoal one, and he not discrouraged and knocked off from the stanly of it, because of the obscurity and darkness of it; for the Lord hath promised his enlightening Spiril unto all his people that are labourous and studioun to know him e-right, aid also he bath promised
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a blessing, and pronounced a blesserness unto all that read and labour to keep the things contained in this Book, Rev. 1, S. My Cbristian Brechren, in the bowels of Jesuis Christ, I beseech you, do not contemn the things that are delivered to you, in regard of the meannessa and weakuess of me the instrument, being but one of the meanest and unworthiest of the servants of Jesus Christ, for the Lord many times doth great thinge by weak means, that his power may be more seen : for we are too rendy to cast our eye upon the means and instrument, not looking up unto that Alruighty power that is in God, who is able to do the greatest things by the weakest meand, and therefore ' out of - the mouths of babes and sooklings he hath ' ardained strength,' Psal. niii, 2. And be hath chosen the foolish things of the world to confound the wise, and God hath chosen the weak things of the world to confound the things, which are mighty, and base things of the world, and things which are degpised, hath God closen; yea, hhing's which are not, to bring to nought the thines that are, 1 Cor, i. 27, 28. And he gies the renoon wherefore he is pleased so to do; 'That no flesh should glory in his 'presence.'- So you see God is not tied to any iustrument and meaus to effect his own glory, but lie by the least instrument is able to bring to pass the greatest things.
"It is true, 1 am a young man, and no SchoJar, according to that which the world counts sclogiarship, yet I bave obtained mercy of the Lord to be faithfut, ahd he, by a Divine Providence, hath browht me hither thes day ; and I speak to yoa in the name of the Lord, being assisted with the sprit andspower of the God of heaven and earth: and I speak not the words of rashness or inconsiderateness, bat the wirds of soberness, and mature deliheratiou; for I dide consult with my God, before I came hither, and deared hinn that be would direct and enable me to speak that, which migit be for his glory and the good of his people. And as I am a soldier, fighting'under the banner of the great and mighry Captain the Lord Jesus Clarist ; and as I look for that Crown of imanortality, which one day I know stall be set upon nny iemples, being in the condition that I am in, I dare not holit my peace, bat speak uuto you with boldness in the might and strength of my God, the things which the Lord in mercy. hath made known tato my soul, come life, come death."
[When I was hereabdut, there came a fut lawyer, I do not know his name, and cofnmapded me to hold my' peace, ond leave my preaching. To whom I replied apd said, Sir , I will not hold my peace, but speak my mind freely, though I be hanked at Yyburn lor my pains. It seems he himself was galled and touched, as the Lawyens were in Cbrist's time, when be apuke against the Scribes apd Parisees, whichimade them say, 'Muster, in gaying 'thas thou revilest us also.' So he went his way, and, I think, complained to the lords, but I wept on with wy ppeces, and said:]
"My Brethren, be nat discouraged at the ways of God for the affliction and cross that doth accompany them, for it is sweet and cotorfórtable drawing in the yoke of Clirint for all that, and I have found it so by experience; for my soul is filled so full of spiritual and heavenly joy, that with my tougue I am not able to express it, neither are any capable, I think; to partake of so greak a degrce of consolution, but anly those upon whon the Lord's gracions afficung hand is. And for mine own part, I stand this day in the place of an evil sloer, but my conscience withenseth that 1 am not so." [And hereabout I put my hand into niy pocket, and pulled out three of worthy Dr. Bastwick's Books, and threw then nmong the people, and said, " There is part of the Books for which I suffer, take them among you, and read them, and see if you find any thing in them against the law of God, the law of the land, the glory of God, the honour of the hing or state.
"I am the son of a genteman, and my friends are of rank and quality in the country where they live, which is 200 miles from this place, and I am in my present coudition deserted of them all; for I kuon, not one of them dare meddle with me in my pre-ent estute, bemg I am stang by the Scorpuns, (the Prehates) and for any thing that I know, it may be I shall never have a favomrahle countenance froth any of them agnin: aud withal, aws a young man, and likely' to have lived well, and in plenty, uccording to the faphion of the world; yet notwithstanding, for the cause of Christ, gnd to do him service, I have aud do bid adicu to father, friends, and riches, pleasares, case, contented life and blond, nad lay all down at the foot-stool of Jesus Christ, being willing to part with all, rather than 1 wil' dishornour him, or iu the least measure part with the peace of a pood conscience, sud that sweetuess and joy which I have found ip thum. For in naked Christ is the quintes-ence of sweetness, and I au so far from thinking my affliction and punishment, which I have this day endured, and still do cndure aud groan upiler, a disgrace, that I receive it as the welcome Cross of Christ, do think myself this day more honoured by my sufferings, than if a crown of gold had been set upon my head: for I have insome part been wade contormable to my Lord and Master, and hare in soine measure drank of the saine cup, which he himself drank of, shile he was in this sinful world? For he ahed his most precious blnoal for the salvation-of my. poor soul, thnt so I might).e reconpiled to his Father ; therelore ath I willing to maderigo any thing for bis sake, and that ioward joy and consollation within me, that carrieg ree bigh above all uy pains and tormems. And you, (my bre-; thren) if you be willing to have Christ, you must own him, snd take him, upon his ownterms, und know that Clarist and the Cnpas is inseparable; for he that will live godly in in. Christ Jesos, must suffer persccution and affic* tion ; it is the lot and portion of all his chosen ques, through many wflictions and urials we

## 1839]. STATE TRIALS, 13 Cnanlzs 1. 1657.-Trial of Lilbwand Wharton, [1340

must enter into glory; and the Apostle saith 'That if ye be without affiction, whereof al 'are partakers, then are ye bastards, and not ' sous.' And therefore, if you will have Christ, sit down and reckon before ever you make profession of him, what he will cost you; lest when you conie to the trial, you dishonour him; and If you be not willing and contented withal, and let all go for his sake, you are not worthy of him. If pareats, husbands, 'wife or children, lends or Jivings, riches or houours, pleasure or eave, life or blood, stand in the way, you must be willing to part with all these, and lo entertain Christ naked and alone, though yoa have nothing but the Cross, or else you are not worithy of him; Math. 10, 37, 38.
"Oh my brethren, there is such sweetness and contentedness in enjoying the Lord Jesus sloue, that it is able, where it is felt, to make a man go through all difficulties, and endure ul hardships that may possibly come upon hin. Therefore, if he call you to it, do not deny hins, nor his truth in the least manner; for he hath said, 'He that denies hinrisefors men, him will - Ee deny betore his Father, which is in Hear ' ven.' Aad now is the time that we must shew ourselves good soldiers of Sesus Christ, for his trath, his cause and glory lies at stake in a high degree; therefore put on courageous resolutions, and withdraw your necks and souls from all false power and worghip, and fight with tcouriage and boldness in this spiritual battle, in which baitle, the Lord before your eyes hath raised up some valiunt champions that fought up to the ears in hlood: thercfore be courageous soldiers, and fightjt out bravely, that your God may be glorified by you, and let bim only have the service, both of your inward and outward man, and stand to his cause, and love your owu souls, and fear not the face of any mortal man; for Gorl hath promised to be with you, and uphold you, that, they shall not prevail against you, Isa. xli. 10, 11. But alas, how few are there that dare shew any courage for God and lis cause, though his glory lies at the stake, but think themselves bappy and well, and count themselves wise men, if they can sleep in a whole skin; when Clirist hath snid, 'IIe "that will save his life shall lose it; and be that - will lose his life for his sake, shall find it. - What shall it profit a man, if he gain the 'whole world and lose his own soul ?'
"Therefore $j t$ is better for a man to be willing and contented to let all go for the enjoying of Christ, and doing him service, than to sit down and sleep in a whole skin, though in so doing he gain all the world, and see-him dishonoured, his glory and truth trodden under foot, sird she blood of his servants shed and spilt.Yes, without doubt, it is; but many are in these times, to far from suffering valiantly for Christ, that they rather dissoade men from it, and count it a point of siagularity and pride, and self-enda, for a man to put himself forward to do God service; asking, what calling and warrant any private man bath thereunto, reeing it belongs to the rainisters to speak of these
things? Yes, so it doth; but alas, they are so cowardly and fearful, that they dare not speak. -And therefore it belongs also to thee, or me, or any other man, if thou be'st a soldier of Jesus Christ, whatsoever by place or calling thy raak or degree be, be it higher or lower, yet if he call for thy segrice, thou ait bound, though others stand itill, to maintain his power and glory to the utmost of thy power and strength, yea, to the shedding the lant drop of thy blood; forbe bath not loved his life unto the death for thy sake, but shed his precious blood for the redemption of thy soul. Hath be done this for thiee; and darest thou gee him dishonvared, and his glory lie at the stase, and not speak in his Leelalf, of do him the beat service thou canst ?-If vut of a base and cowardly spirit thus thou doest, let me tell thee here, aud that fruly to thy face, thou hast n Dalilah in thy heIrt, which thou luvest move than Giod, and that thou shatt one day certainly find by noful experience. Nas, if men should hold their peace in such times as these, the Lard would cause the very stonts to speab, to convince man of his cuwardly baseness."

Having proceeded in a matuner thus far by the strength of my God, with boldness and cotirage in my speech, the Warden of the Flect came with the fat Lawyer, and commanded me o hold my peace. To whom I rephed, I wopld ipeak and declare my cause and mind, though iwere to be hanged at the gnte for my spapkug. And he coused proclamation to he imade pon the pillory, for bringing to him the Books: so then he commanded me to be gogged, and if I spake any more, that' then I should be whipt again upon the pillory.-So I remaised nhout an linur and a half gafged, being intercepted of much matter, which by God's assistance I inended to have spoken; but yet with their cru:ly I was nothing at all daunted, for I was full sf comfort and courag $\%$, being mightily strengthened with the power of,the Almighty, which made me with chearfulness triumph over all my nfferings, not shewing one sad countenance of discontented heart.
And when I was to come down, having taken out my head out of the Pillory, I looked about me upon the people, and said, 'I am more than 'a conqueror through himg that hath loved me.' Vivat Rex,' Let the king live for evet; and oI came'down and yas had back again to the Tayern, where I, togefther with Mr. Wharton, staid a while, till one went to the Warden to kndw what should be done with me, who gave order we should be cairied back again to the Fleet.-After' I carne hack to the prison, none were saffered to come to me, but the surgeon to dress me- - Here Lilburn gives an account of his cruel whipping, \&ec. but-as it is afterwards mentioned in the depositions before the lorde, it is here omitted. - The rest that I intended, by the atrength of my God to have spoken (if I had not been prevented by the gag), I now forbear to set down, in regard I bear Iam to come into the field rgain to fight a second
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battle, unto which time I reserve it: if the Lord so arder it that I may hare liberty to speak, I doubt not but by the might and power of ny God, m whous I rest end trust, valiantly to display the weapons of a good soldier of J sus Christ, corne life, come death: and in the mean time, to what I have bere said and written, I set-to my name, by sene, John Lilburn, beiog written with part of mine own blood.

> Jorg Lilatax.

At the Inner Star-Cliamber, the 18th of April, 4. D. 1638.

Present ; Lord Arclibishop of Canterbary, Lord-Keeper, Lord-Teeasurer, Lord-Privy-Seal, Earl-Marshal, Earl of Sulisboury, Lord Cottiugton, Lord Newhurgh, Mr. Secretary Cooke, Mr. Secretary Windebank.

- Wherens John Lilburn, prisoner in the - Flect, by sentence in the Star-Chaumber, did -this duy suffer condign punidliment for hio - severil Offences, by whipping at a cart, and 'standing in the pultory ; and do their lordships ; were thas day informed, duning the tiuse that - his budy way under the said exsecution, su-- daciously aud wickedly did not only utter san-- Jry scanidaluns speeches, tuut likewise scat-- tered duers copies of seditious Books among - the people, that beheld the said execution; - for which very thing, unnong othet otfences of - hike nature, he hath leen censured in the suid
- Court Ly the aforrssid Sentence: It is there-
- fare, by their lordstips ordered, That the said
- Whhn Lillurrn showld he laid uthone, with irous
- on tis liunds and lees, in the Wardy of the
- Fleet, where the bascot and weanest sort of
- prisonery are used to be put; and that the
- Warden of the Fleet take special care to
- linder the resort of ony perons whatsuescr
- unto him. And particulanly, that he be not supplied with money fiou muy friend, an
- that he take special inotice of ull leters, writ-
' ings, nad books brought onto hiiw, and serze
' and deliver the same unto 'their lordships;
' and take notice fron time to nume, who they
- be thate resort untu the said prison to visit the
fsaid Lilburn, or to speak with hiu, and in-
- form the Buarrl thereof. And it was lastly
- ordered, that ull persons that shall be hers-
- after prodaced to receive curporal punishment
- accurding to sentence of that court, or by
- order of the Boart shall have their garmeots
- scarched before they be brought forth, and
- neither writing, nor other thing soffered to
- be about them ; and dheir baids 'likenise to
'be bound, daring the time they are suder 'punishment. Whereof, together with the - other premises, the said Warden of the Fleet 'is hereby required to take notices, and to heve : special care, that this their lordahips order be a accordingly observed.

Etamined per Dudlep Carlatok."
And on the said 18th of April, it was farther ordered by the ssid Court of Stan-Ohamber,
'That his maje.ty's Attorney und Solicitor-

- General abould be hereby prayed and re-
' quired, to take strict examination of Joba ' Lilburn prisoner in the Fleet, touching the - Derneanour and Speeches of him the said Lil'burn, during the time of his whipping and 'standing in the Pillory this day, according to 'the Seutence of his majesty's Coart of Star'Chamber ; particui.urly, whether the snid ' Lilburn did at that time utter any speeches ' tendingto Sedition, or to the dishonour of the
' ssiid Court of Star-Chaniber, or any member
' of the said Court'? and'whether he did throw ' about and disperse nt the sume time any 'seditious Pamphlets and Books, either of that ' sort for whirh he was formenty ceasured, or ' any other of like nature ? What the Speeches - were, and who heard then ? What the said ' Books were, and whence and of whom the ( said Lilhurn had them ?. And what oher ma'terial circumstunces they should think fit to ' exaunine, either the said Lilburn upon, or any sotber person by whom they sbull think good ' 10 inform themselves for the better finding ' out the truth : and thereupon to make certi'ficate to the board what they find, together ' with their opiniowa!
The 3 rd of Noveniber, 1040, being the frst day the lite dissolved parliament sate, I according to law and justice preferred my Petition and Complaint to them; who upon the reading of my Petituon, immediately ordered meamy liberty being, as I remember, the first prisoner in England set tat liberty by wiem] to follow my Petition, and according to the legal custon of parlinments make it good by pmof, liefore a select Conmittee appointed by them to that porpose, Mr. Prancie Rouse haring the Clinir; beiore whom many particular days one ntter another I appeared with my Counsel and my Withesers, nad fully proved all my Petition. Upon the report of all which by Mr. House dhe Chairman, the Hoose of Cornmons. upou the 4th of May, 1641, [being ihe very some day that the bing himself caused me to be nrraigned for Iligh Treason at the bar of the house of peecr] roted and resolved upon the question,
"That the Sentence of the Star-Chumber given agniist John Lilburn is illegal, and agpinst the Liberiy of the subject; and also bloody, cruel, wicked, barbarous, and tyrannical.
" Resulred upon the question, That reparation ought to be given to. Mr. Lilburn for bis impritonncent, sulierings; and $\oplus$ losses sustained by that illegal sentence."
"Ordered, That the "committee shall prepare this case of Mr. Lilburn'g to be transmitted to the lords, with those other of Dr . Bestwick, Dr. Leighton, Mr. Burtion, end Mr. Prynpe.
"(Signel) H. Elsrsoz, Cli. Parl. Dom. ConL"
Atter which Votes (being in a full, free, unravifted, or unforced, legni, and anquese tionable Parliament, afier a full, open, fres $\%_{\%}$ and fair hearing, and examining of all my afires said sufferings and complainte) troubles and
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the wars came on, and being in my own conscience fally satisficd of the juutness of the Parlimment's ${ }^{*}$ then cause, in the height of zeal, nccothpanied with judgment and couscienct, - Upors the principles 1 have largely laid down 'in the $26,27,75,7$ th pages of iny book of - the 8th of June, 16.9 , intitied Eugland's ' legal, fundamental, \&ce' I took up aims for them, and frugh heartily amal fathfaily in their quarrel, (ior maintainjig of which I had like to have been hanged at Uxford, while daring my'inipismment there, I lont 5 or $600 \%$. out of riny estate at bondon,) till she present earl of Mauchester had like to have h.inged me, for beiug a hette tion quick in akking in Tuckell Castle whicli spoiled a soldier of nie evcr since: Affer which; in the year 1645, I followe t the Ilouse of Comunous close, to transmit thy foresaid Votes io the Lords, as appears by the fullowing Petition :
"To the Honnurable the House of Commons now assemilded in the Migh-Court of Parliament. The humble Perition of Johs Lis,burn, Lieut. Col.*
" In all humility sheweth; That your Pctitioner having suffered abuadunce of inhuinan, harbarous cruelty, by virtue of an illegal Decree unade against him, in the Star-Chamber, 1637, as by the copy of his Petition bereunto annexed, formerly presented to this honoprable hinase, and by your own Votes made the 4th of May, 16.41, (upon the examination of the Petition) will nppear: Which Votes are as followeth; First, That the Senterice of the Star-Chamber given against him if illegal, against the Liberty of the Subject, and also bloody, wicked, cruel, barbarous, and tyrannical. Secondly, That reparation ought to be

[^44]given to him for his imprisonment, sufferings and losses, sustained by that illegal'Sentence. And then also it was ordered, Thay care should be taken to draw ap his Cabe, and rransmit it to the lords : but by retasou of multinale of business in this ionourable huose, there hath been no furiher procectings in it since. And these distractions "cotuing on, your Petitioner took cominand 'under the ripht hon. Robert lurd Firook, with whose regiment he adventured his hife freely and resolutely, both at Kenton Fh.ld, and Brentiond, where he was tuken prisoner and carried away to Oxford; where, within a short time after his comsing, the king sent to the Castle to yout petitioner, the buw earl a. Kingston, the ford Duasmore, the lord Malisriveis, and be lird Andonet, to woo your Peltioner with large profiers of the hinour and pory of cwut-priferinent, to fogseike the Paritatum's party, and to engige on his party. I fop the vigilting und conteaning of ivioch, vuir petiti er was wahin few nays afier loud ai wous, and kept an exceesug chove prismet, and lireed several times to im ir. hi into (ivtent in mins. to Jurge llea:h, leto e whon he was arragided for iligh-Treavin, or drawing his sword in the canse of the Cummonsturth, and stlici titude of anise iers, in las moment tache months cupticity there : in whach thee lie hast abbe 600\%, in his extute that te left botind him at London, (as be is clealy able to in a,$\ldots$ appear). And immediacely after lus co whe from the nee, be took command in the earl of aha, ha teie's army, his commistion as Major of four bearing dute the 7th Oct. 1643, nluch lased otl the 10th May, 1614, at whech tue lie was anthorised by commission as lieat.col. to cugumand a Regiment of Drago us; in which services laving been in many engugements, he hop,cs it will easily appear, that he hath not unly behavod bimself honestly and faithfully, but also valiantly aad stoutiy, in tie mulst of many discouragements, God crowmug some of his endeayours with success ; and esprcially at the taking of Tickell-Castle, and sir Francis Worthley's garrison, at which place your petitioner was shot through hir pran. The premises comsidered, he humbly beseccleth this Ilow-urablie Assembly to perfect that justice, which ywu si happily, began for your petitioncr, and to gi,e * hira reparation for his larges ind redinus imprisonateit, and heavy sufferings by the tarChamber Decree; he having waited fomr years with patience for that efid, though he lout by his imprisonment all that be had, and was deprived of a profitable. call ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{ng}$, heing then in the way of a factor in the L.w Counties; and ulso to take off the king' fine, an. 1 to consider his service with rhe earl of Mariclusster, wherein hednaithfully giventured his lite- spei t a great deal of his own mion $y$. and lost at Newark, ben prince Rupert rai-ed the sieve, ulnost 100l. heing sripitd troun the cri,un ot the bead to the sole of the foot, I esid s lis former lossen at Kenton and Bientford: nad that you will be pleased, for his present sùbsistence, to
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appoint the payment of so much of his present arrears, as you in your great wisdons shall think fit, to supply, his urgent and pressing necessities, thefe being now duc to theni 600 l . and upwirds., Ahad that Col. King may be commanded to account with the petitioner, which formerly he hath refuped to do (though commanded by his generals apd to give hin debentures for what is due-by the state in his service, and to pay him what he hath regeived for the petitioner, and detained fiom him. And he shall pray, \&c. Joen Lillibun."

## The annexed Petition thus followeth:

" To the House of Commons now assembled in
the High Court of Parliament; The humble
petition of Јонк Lalstivin, prisoner in the Fleet:
". In all humility sheweth; 'That in December next will be three years, your Petifiuner, upon supposal of sendag over certain Books of Dr. Bastwick's, from Holland into England, was by Dr. Lamb's warrant without, any Exsmination at all sent to the Gatehonse prison, nad from thence withiu three days rearoved to the Fleet, where he abiding prysurer, in Can-dlemas-Term following, was proceeded against in the honourable court of Star-Chamber: where your Petitioner appearing (und entering of his name, for want of money his name was struck out again), and he refusing to take an Oath to answer to all things that should be denaanded of hym (for that your petitioner conccjived that oath to be dangerpus and illegal) without any interrogatory teadered him, for his refusing the saty oath, he was prosecuted and censured in the said court most beavily, being fined 500 l . to the king, and sent prisoner to the Fleet. ,And in Easter Term following, was whipped from the Fleet to Westainster, with $n$ three-fold knotted cord, receying at least 200 stripes; and then at Westminster, he was set on the pillory the space of two hours, and (over and above the sensure of the court) at the warden of the Flect's command, was gagged shout an hour and a half; after which most cruel sufferings, was again retarned to the Fleet close prisoner. When through his said sufferings, the next morning he being sitk of an extreme fever, could not have admittance for his surgeon to let him blood, or dress his sores, till the afteruoon of the said day; though the surgeon, in pity to the prisoner, devent to Westminster to the warden himself; ond your petitioner, hath been cluse prisoner in the Fleet ever sinoe, where in. a most cruel mamner bè hath been put into iron fetters, both hands and logs, which cauled a most dqugerous sickness, that continued six months; and after somp sman recovery, was. again laid in irons, which eqused at lempe five months sickness, more dangerous than the fotmer. During which time of sicif nows, they meat inhumanly denied his friends to come arid 'see him, until they would give-them voney for thedr udmittance, and they have denied many to come at all; and have beaten and kicked, and otherwise most shamefully'qbosed, suctr his
vol. nI.
friends as catpe to see lipu in his great distress, and to bring him food and necessaries to sustafin his life, nud also have kept his servant from him, and his fopd. So that if he had not been refieved by stealth of his fellow prisoners, he had been kept from any food at all, for above the space of 10 days togetler; and the prisoners that out of pity have relieved bin, have been most cruely punisbed, angl the lasepers havo not forborn tw colnfess themseltes, that they should have starped bins long ago, liod hat this prisumers reliered hin. And besidey sill this, they have mast cruelly beaten und wounded him, to the hawnd of his ligies, and dainger of his life, had-he not been rescued and savediby the prisoners of tha same huvises lu whichmost miperable condition,'your poor petitioner hath continued a prisoner for the space of ahout two ${ }^{*}$ years and a half, and is fike still to continue in the same, tuder the merciless hands" of the warden of the Fleet, who hath deenied layful "liberty to his prisoner, for that he hath spid, he mast observethe man that has so great a sway in the kingdom, intimuting the Archbishop-All which his dejilored condition and Inosentable miserics ${ }_{r}$ he most bumbly presenteth to this most IIonourable Aisembly, beseeghing them to be pleased to cast an aye of compassion towards him, and to afford bifin such.relief Trom the Censure and hard imprisonment, ets , pay seem good to your widoms, who. otherwise is likit to perish under the hands of merciless men. And your Petitioner sithll ever-pray, as in daty he is bound, to the Lord to bless and prosper this Honourable Assembly. Jous Lilsurn."
At the debate of which, there was not a little opposition by some, who, as I conceive, thought I was not capable of enjuying justice, although to tay knowledge I never diti an act in all my life that put me out of the protection of the law, or that tended to the disifranchising me of being" a denizen and a freeman of Eugland: and therefore ought to enjoy as great a privilege in the enjogment of the benefil of the law of England, as any fiee denizen of England whatsoever, by what name or title soever he be called. The issue of which debate, so rauch as I have under the Clerk's hand, thus followeth:
" Die Lune, 10 Nov. 1645.
"Ordered, \&c. That the. Vote formerly passen in this house, conccrning the proceedjugs against lieat. col. Lilburn in the StairChamber, be forthwith trensngitted to thelords."
"Ordered, \&c. That it be refferred to the Commitree of Accoounts, to cast up and state the accounts of lieut. col. Lilburn, and to sertify what is due to hing, to this house.
"H. Risymoes, Clet. Patl. D. Com."
After passing these Yotes. I found quick dis-: patch to the Lords ; and upon the 1st of Dec: 1645, by apecial decree, they took off the Fine set uppu me by the Star-Chamber; apd afterwards at theis open bar judicially, opon the 1sith of Feb. 1645, appointed me a solema hearing de novo of the whule matter; nad an-
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signed Mr. John Bradshaw and Mr. John Cook for my counsel.
Lieut. Cal. John Lithuax's'Sufferings, as they were represeuted and proved before the rizhe honourable the House of Peers, in Purliament assembled, the 13th day of February, 16 $\div 5$.
Mr. Bradshaw and Mr. Crook being assigned for Cumsel with the said Mr. Lilburn; Mr. Bradshaw having succinetly, aud so-truly opened the Case, as if he had been an eyewiness of his client's sufferings, nequainted their lordships, that the same had received a full and solemp hearing before a committec of the bonourable house of commons $:$ upou whove report it was, by that honourable house, May 4, 16.51, resolved upon the question, "That the *Sentence of the Star-Chamher given against - John Lilburn is illegal, and against the laberty ' of the Subject; and also bloody, wicked, cruel, ' barbarous, and tyramicul;' and likewise further resolved upon the question, 'That repa'ratiou ought to be given to Mr. Lilbucn, for ' his imprisonment, sufferices and losses, sus'tained by that illegal Sentence.' Aud now, my lords, they have transonitted them to your loriships, by whose noble fayour and justice we inte now before your honours to lay open the illegality of tbat Sentence, and all the proccedings thercupon, and to crave your lordships justice for reparations, proportionalle to our cliont's sufferings.

And in the first place he presented an Order, whereby Mr. Lilburn was first illegally attached, and commited to the prison of the Gatehouse, which was read in these words:
'Sexto Dec. A. d. 1637 . Fmanarit 6ttach' - direct. Wramge, et Flamsteed, pro corporis, ' capt. Johannis !,illurn de civitute London, ad - immerliate admittend, \&ce. Signat. per Lambe, - Giwynn, el Aylitt. Fix directione Baker cler. ' cappeliani Lood. Exam. Edw $\mathfrak{a}$ Jus Latham, 'Reg. lig gi Deput.'
The English of which thus followeth:
'The 6 ch Dec. 1637. There issued an at' tachanent directed to Wragge and Flamsteed, ${ }^{6}$ for the talling of the borly of John Lilburn, of 'the ciry of Loudon, and to bring imanediately, - \&c. Sigued by Lamhe, Owyun, and Aylett. - By direction of the court, Baker, clerk chap${ }^{6}$ Inin. Exaniined by Edward Lathem, Deputy ' of the Kecister.'
Which Order being read, Mr. Bradshaw obseried, that the oiginal inprispnment itself was illegal, because they never convented Mr. Liburn to speak for himself, nor exnowined him opon any crime. But, my lorrds, it is no maryel thast sthch kind of injostice ns this proceeded from those high commissiuners, becnuse it was thteir 'usual practice to be attachers, judges, gaolerts: nod exccutioners themelves, witiout reyard yf any legal way of proceedings. He then desired their lordships, that the Sentence sgginst Mr. Lilhura in the Star-Chamher mighat also be read, which was accordingly done, viz,
${ }^{4}$ In Cam. Stel. coram Canc. ibidem 13 die Feb. ' aono decimo tertia Car, reg. Lúd Coven-'try,Lord-Keeper, \&c.

- Whereas upon information to this Court, ' the Dth of Feb., by sir John Banks knt. his ' majesty's Attorney-Geveral, that John Lil'bura and John Wbarton, then present at the ' bar, were the 24th of Jan. lest, ordered to be ' exaynined uppn interrogatories, touching their ' unlawfil printing, importing, publisbing and - dispersing of libellous and seditions Books, ' controry to the decree of this court, which was ' verified by Alfidavit; and being brought up to
'the office to appenr and be examined, the said 'Lilburn refused to *appear; and both of ' them denied to take an Oath to make true ' answer to Interrofatories, as appeared by the ' Certificate of Mr. Goad, deputy-clerk of this ' court : the court did on that day order, That 'their appearances should then be recorded,
'they being present in court as aforesaid; and 'that in respectatie said deliaquents did then ' again contemptuously refuse to take their ' oaths, tendered unto them in open conrt, they
' should be remanded to the prison of the lleet,
' there to remainclose prisoners, until they con-
- form thenselves in obedience to take their 'oaths, and be examined; and that unless they 'did take their ouths, and yield to be examined 'by Nonday night then next following, and ' now last past, their lordships would on this 'sitting day proceed to a Censure against them ' for their coutempts therein.
- Now this day the said Lidburn and Wharton 'being again brought unto the thar, hils raajesty's 'said Attorney informed this Honourible C'ourt, ' that they still continued in their former ob-- timacy, und contemptuously refused to take - their Uaths, to make true answer to the + In' tertogatories, although they had been sent for, ' and their Oaths offered to be given unto them ' by Mr. Goad, deputy-clerk of this court, who ' now certified the sames in court. And there' fore his majesty's said Attorney humbly praved 'on his mejesty's behalf, That their lordships 'wnuild now proceed to Censure against the ' said delinquents for their great contemptg and ' disobedience therein. Whereupon their lord'ships endearoured by fair persuasions to draw 'thera to conformity and obedience; and withal, ' ofered, that if yet they would submit and take ' thejir Oaths, their lordsnifs would accept there' of, and oft proceed to censure ngainst them. - Butsoch was the insuffirable disobedience and ' cninterapt of the suid deliuquents, that they - anil persisted iut their former obstinacy, and ' wilfully refused to take their oaths. In re'spect whereof the whole Court did, with an 'unonimoos consent, declare and adjuclge the ' alaid Lilburn and Wharton guilty of a very bigh
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'contempt, and offence of: dangerous conse-
6 quence and evil example, and worthy to un; dergo n very sharp, exemplary and sevcre Cen-
'sure, which may deter others from the like
'presumptuons botdness, in- refusing to take a
" legal Oath; * without which, many great and
' exorbitant offences to the prejudice and danger
' of his majesty, his kingdoyss, and loving sub${ }^{4}$ jects, might go away-undiscovered and nn'punished. And therefore the ir lorrlships have
' now ordered, adjudged nind decreed, that the
' said Lilburn and Whatton shall be remanded
'to the Fleer, there to remain until they cun-
' form themselves in obedience to the orders of
'this court, and that they shall pay 500l. a-
'picce for their several fines to his niajesty's
' use; and lefore their enlargements out of the

- Fleet, hecome bound with good sureties for
"their gnod behaviour. And to the end that
${ }^{6}$ others may be more deterred from daring to
' offend in the like kind hereafter, the court
' hath furthcr ordered and decreed, That the
' said John Lillurra shall be whipped through
' the street, from the prison of the Fileet unto
' the pillory, to be erected at such tine and in
' such place as this court shall hold fit and di-
' rect; and that hoth he and the said Wharton
- shall be both then set in the said pillory, and
- fron thence be retarmed to the Fleet, there to
' remain according to this decree.
'Johm Artuur, Dep.'
At the concluding of which, Mr. Bradshaw obserred, that this Sentence was frlo de se, guilty of its own death; the ground whercof being, becouse, Mr. Lilburn refused to take an Oatb, to answer all such questions as should be demanded of him, it beimg contrary to the laws of God, pature, and the kingdam, for any man to be his own accuser: the execution of which cruel and bloody Senterice was proved by several Witnesses of quality mid prod repute upon oath at their locdships bar, the substance oi whose testimpoy wase
In the first place, Mr. Thomas Smith, merchant, upon his oath declared to their lordslips, That he saw Mr. Lilburn tied to a cart nt Fleetlridge, being stripped from the waist upward, and whipped from thence to Westminster; and that so uear as he was able to judge, every two ${ }_{m}$ three or four steps he had a lash, with a whip that he was sure had two or three cords tied full of knots; azd for the number he did not judge them so few as 500 , and be thpught that if he should say 500 and 500 , bs should not say nmiss : but 500 he was confident was the least. And that he saw him set upon the pilloryf \&ec: the officers being vely cruel towarts him, or any that spoke unto him.

The next nituess was Mrs. Mary Dorman; the sulstance of whose testizsony upon oath wad, that she saw Mr. Lilburn whipped from Fleet-bridge to Westminster, in such a barbir-
*The sum of which wos, 'You shall swear to make true anawer to all things that are asked you, so help you God.'
ous and cruel manner, that she was not able to express its and that she did believe that both his shoulders wero awelled almoat as, big as a penny-loaf, with the bruises of the knotied cords; and that she did see him set upon the pillory immediately, above the ypace of two hours, bare-hearded, the sun shiniug very hot, nad he took occasion to declare the unjustness of his Sentence, upon which the warden of the Fleet caused him to be gagged abore na honr, and did it with such creelty, that he made his month to bleed.
Mr. Higgs, his surgeon, testified upoy onth to this effect; That he did not sfe his patient Mrr, Lillara wlipped, but being desired to perform the office of a surgeon to lim, he miat day dreat hisback, which was one of the miserablest that ever he did see; for the wales in this back, made by his cruel whipping, were higzer than thbnecopipes, and that he saw him set in the pillory, and kugged.

And Nr.-Thomas Himes upon oath testified to this effect; That he diul see Mr. Lilburn att upon the pillory, above (as he judgcil) the space of two hours, the sya shining very hot, and they would not tufier fim to lave uny cover upon his head; and he taking occasion to speak of the bishops cruelty towards him, and how unjustly they had caused him to le dealt with, the warden of the Fleet caused him to be gagged in such a cruel mauser, as if hefwould have tow his jaws in pieces, insomuch that the hlood came out of his moth."

In the next place, a second Sentence made in the Inner Star-Chamber was read, which thus followeth:
'At the Inner Star-Chamber, the 18th of Aprid, A. D. 1638.
' Present Lord Arclibishop of Canterbury, LordKeeper, Lord-Treasurer, \&c.
Whereas John Lilburn, priponer in the Fleet ' by Sentence in the Star-CLItmber, did thia ${ }^{6}$ day suffer condign punishunent for his several 'ontences, by whipping at a cart, ond standing - in the pillory; and as their lordships were this day informed, during the time that his ' body was under the snid execution, nudacifously and wickedly did not only utter sundry ' scandalous Speeches,' but likewise scattered ${ }^{\text {c }}$ divers copies of seditious Books amongst the ${ }^{6}$ 'people that beheld the ssid execution; for which very thing, smongst other offences inf - like nature, he hath been ceftsured in the said - court, by the aforesseid Senterice: it is there-- fore by their lordsbips ordered, that the said John Lilbum should be luid alone with ' irons on his hands' and legs, in the wards of - the Fleet, where the basent ind meanest sort 's of prisoners are used to be put; and that the 'warien of the Fleet yeke sipecial care to hin-- der the resort of any persons whatsoever unto ' kim; and particularly, that he be got iupo ' plied with money from anv friend; aud that - he take'special notice of it I letiers, writingob
'and books brought unto lim, and setie hand
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"deliver the same unto their lordships, and take * hotice from time to tiane who they be that re-
${ }^{6}$ sort unto the said prison, to visit the said Lil'burn, or to speak with lim, and infurm the - basard thereof. And it was lastly orderod, - that all persons that shall be hereafter pro* duced to receive corporal punishmeat, accord*ing to sentence of that court, or by order of
'the board, shall have their garments searched

* before they le brought forth, and neither
swriting nor other thing suffered to bequout
sthem; and their hands likewise to be bound
'daring the tine they are under punshmuent.
- Wherouf, togewier with the other premises,
* the snid warden of the Fleet is hereby required
' to take notice, and to have expecial care,
'that this their lordships order be accurdugly
${ }^{+}$' observed
'Examined pcr Depley Carleton.'
And the execuiou of this latter Sentence in a most barbarous and imbuman faanner, was' punctually proved by sufficient withesses, as followeth; viz. Mr. Higgs his surgeon again testified, That that night Mr. Lilburn suffered, he was had back to the Flect, and he repairing to Dr. Grunt, to crave his advice, be advised him to let his patient blood, to prevent a fever; and he accordingly catme the next morning to the Flect to let his pationt blesed, and dress his sores, but be found him locked op cluse in a roum, and was by the ollisers of the Fleet derfied access untib him. Whereupon he imonediately went to the warden, being then at Westminster, who denied him access to the said Mr. Lilburn, till the afternoon that he came bome: which was a great act of cruclty, and 'much to the danger of Mr. L.ilburu's bealth, null welfare: and the nevt diy they removed hin down to the common gaol, where they laid him in irons, and severnl tinecs wounded him, to the extreme hazard of his lite, and several, tiones denied menccas to him in his extremity.

Dr. Hubbards Justice of the Peace, made oath to this effect: 'That when Mr. Lilburn was prisoner in the Fleet, he was desired as a physician to visit him: and going so to du, he was again and again denied access to hin : but upon much importunity to the warden, he was admitted to hiw, whom he found in an extreme violent fever, lying in -irons upon both hands and legs, to the extreme hazard of his life.

Mrs. Mary Dorman further declared, That after Mr. Lilburn had ouffcred, she went often th visit him, who was laid in irons, and his fiiends deyied access to him; and that the Otficers of the Flect atrongly endeavoured to stal ve him: so that many times his friends were forced to bring his meat to the poor men's bag, and give them uoucy to convey it to lim through a hole in a wall lietwixt them and him. Mr. Thomas Hiswes further declnred, that after Mr. Lilburn suffered, he often went to visit him, and was beat by the Gaolers, and was in danger to have lost his life for so doing; and that they so strongly laboured to starre Mr. Lilburn, hat they were forced to convey his diet to him
by the poor men that begged at the grate: but the Gaolers finding out'that Mr. Lilburn got his dict that way, they dealt so cruelly with the poor men, that Mr. Lillurn was deprived of that way of relief, and then his friends got the son of one Archer that was prisoner in the next ruom to him, for accusing the deputy of Ireland for murdering one Essyond, to convey his victuals to hion : which wis done by stealth, through a hole where a board was pulled up in his floor, when the rest of the prisoners wereat the chapel at service; and, my lords, divers times the conveying of his meat to him, cost him and his frionds, upon the prisoners, \&c. more than the meat itself.

Robert Ellis, sonne time a fellow-prisoner with Mr. Lilburn in the Fleet, upon oath declared hefore their lordships, that the officers of the Fleet, after they brought Mr. Jilburn into the common wards, used him very barharoushy and cruclly, laying him for a long titne in irons, keepicg bis frientis from him, and his victuals, and seqeral times hed like to have slau, and murilered him, and he verily believes bad effected ir, if he had not helped him; fir which they took his bed from liin, and put hims ofl the charity, and kept him five weeks in a dungeon, ant lad like to have murilered him, and afterwards removed him to the King'sBench, that so they might the more casily havo their wills of Mr. Lilburn, \&c.

Their Iordships being satisfied of the injustice und illegality of the proceedings, Mr, Bradshaw suid, Thathe conceived no man's sufferisgs in the kingdom had been so great as his client's were: for a gentleman to be so cruclly tortured and sihipped, pilloried, gagged, close imprisoned, ironed, beat and wounded, and that contrary to law, is a cruelty unheard of, atd therefore hoped that for such uuparalleled suficrings, and oppressions, the fair hand of their lordships honourable justice would give and reach him forth unparalleled damages; and though many of his Judges that did him injostice be dead, yet he hoped the hand of justice of their honours, joined with the honourable house of commons, will be so long, as to reach their living and surviving estates, and out of them, \&cc. make him speedy, large and unparalleled reparations.

Mr. Cook then spoke in the behalf of Mr. Jilburn (and afterwards prifted his Argument), and baid the punishment inflicted upon him uny be reduced to four heads :
(1.) Imprisonment, whereby a man is buried ajive, loses the comfort and benefit of his five senses, and is made 'Corpus immobile legis,' the unmoveable subject of the law, or as a tead carcase, It is true, that in itself it is the easiest of all corporal punishments: but the continuance of it, makes it such a lingering coustmption, that it is better to be upon the rack an tuoyr, than to be imprisoned a year: as it is better to be once wet to the skin, than to be subject to a perpetual dropping: especially for an active spirit, therẹ is no such torment as to
deprive him of liberty; for active Theseas was condemned only to sit still: there is no end of such a misery, as the heathen persecuting tyrant said, 'Nondum tibi redii in gratiam;' to put a man out of his pain, was always counted a favour. But Mr. Lilburn's imprisonment was aggravated by three steps or gradations. 1. A close lmprisonment, not the degrest friend to come to him; we do not find that any of the primitive Christians were used so py the tyifints, for thẹn that heavy charge might be answered in the scripture, 'I was in prison and ye visited ' me not ;' extraordinary inatters of state and high concernments always excepted; bot the surgeon was not permitted some time to cone to Mr. Lilburu to dress hipm, nor the plysician when he was in a fever; a cruclty unheard of amongst the Turks: for tìef are careful of their slaves in their sickness, and fatten them, that they may endure their blows; but it is too probable that those which were Mr. Lilburnhyinalicious enemies, did too much thirst after his biood. 2. The keepers wese ordered to take care that no money be conveyed to him, which argues that they had a desire wo starve him: the Prophet saith, 'It is better to die by the sword, ' than famine,' which is the greatest of all torments; for all punishments may be undergone by patience, but only hunger: which the more any man thinks hy patience to overcome, the more violent it is. Undoubtedly, had it not been for the pity of some poor resolate fellowprisoners, Mr. Lilbum had been starved to dgath; far worse than any of the four Roman punishments, which were lapidatio, combustio, decollatic, stringalatio, stoning, burning, beheading, and strangling. How severely, yet noost justly, did the sause jodges several times punish, plic intent to poison or destroy ąnother man? Thegoing nbout to morder, nay, the giving of the lye, bccause it is preparafory to inurder, by provoking quarrels, was censurable in that coart; à multo fortiori, much more from the stronger, then in this case used, \#here there was so much cruelty ioflicted, that death might probably have consued, had not God by his extranrdinary mercy supported him in those sad affictions, those uyjust judges for transgressing not only the bonds of humanity, but all the rules of their own ordinary justice, ought to make Mr. Lilburn answerable satisfaction. S. This Imprisonment was for about three years, until he petitioued the parliament. Many times the first motions of anger aire not in $k$ man's own power; because he would not sccuse himself as they lesired, they might have in a passion committed lim, and the sun might havefgone down upon their wrath; nay, the moon might linve made her peragration, or the summer season might have melted their fruzen conaciences, or the winter cold have allayed the heat of their malice, or the sum might have made his revolution, but their malice continued three years, and had not be been delivered ty the juptice of the parliument, in ppobability might have continued for ever.
(0.) Whipping, a most ppinful and shameful
pounishment; flagellations and scourgings being for slaves and incorrigible rogues, and hedgerobbers. In 11. Elizabeth one Cartwright brought a slave from Rossia, and would scourge him cruelly, for which he was questioned; and it was resolved, that England was too pare nn air fur slaves to breathe in; and it was often resolved in the Star-Chamber, that no gentleman was to be whipped for any offence whatsoever : it being well known that John Lilburn's ancestors have been ancient gentlemen, and . that which these Judges could not be ignorant of, especially the Earl-Marshal, who is presumed to know thl the ancient gentry in the kingdom. But the like whipping was never read of amongst the Assyrians, Persians, Greciens, or Romans. For, 1. It was from the Fleet to Westminster (ubove a mile distance) a great concourse and confluence of people looking upon him, as if he had been some miscrable slave. 2. He received every tw $\rho$ or three steps a blow, 500 strokes at the least; for one Mr. Smith, a merchant, that went along with him, testified that so far as he was able to judge, he seceived 500 or 1000 , but of the first he was most certain; and this was with a treble-corded whip, with at lenst twenty knots upon it.

Amongst the Romans no malefactor had ever above 40 stripes, and every stroke stood for three stripes, for the whip was of three thongs: and but one knot at the end of every thong. St. Paul received thirty-nine stripes, which was but thirteen blows. Not long sinee in Orieans, a priest was sentenced to be whippecf for fornication, having abused a poor maid, telling ber that their popish St. Francis would come and lie with ber soch n niphit, at which timo he personated and feigned himself to be St. Francis, and was taken in bed with her; and it was earnestly préssed by the king's Adrocates, that he might receive 14 blows with a three-corded whip, which is constantly used for such castigations, because it was an abominable wickedness: but the Judges would not suffer him to have above 13 blows, because ampliandi sunt favores, favours are to be inlarged. And in douhtful matters it must nlways be presumed for clemency and gentleness; according to which account, Mr. Lilburn received 10,000 stripes': for in every blow there was 20 stripes, by reason of the 20 knots, which being multiplied is 10,000 , and in every stripe there was shame and pain, compression of the flesh, bruisings and effasion of blood.
(9.) The Pillory, a punishment something painful, but exceeding shameful, and most terrible to a generous nature, to stand tivo hours in open view of all men, as if he had been unworthy ta tread upon the earth, the sun shiaing very hot upou him, and not suffered to keep, on his hat; and this immediately after his cruel whipping, that so thay.might put thim to all the torture and pain that they could, argues abundance of wrath and malice. This punishtment of atapding upon the pillory, was first invented for Mounfebanks and Cbeats, that having
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gotten upon bavks and forms, to wrong and abuse the people, were exalted in the same kiod, to stand conspicaons to the view and open shame of the people; hat for a gentleman to be so zerved, was never heard of, ualess by that cruel court.
(4.) Gagging, an unmanly and barbarous cruelty, to be exercised upon beasts, not men, for man differs from brotes both ratione et oratione, in reason and speech; a punisbment never heord of in any age; autting out of tongues, and perforation, in cases of blasphemy have been heard of, bat never in a matter of such a nature ; and this to continue for above an hour, till the blood gushed out of his mouth, as if they would have plucked his jaws in piecce, and all this for nothing; $\mathbf{O}$ insufferable torments \&

So that by his Imprisonment he was made a stock, a dead trunk, or picture of a man, that hath cyes aud sees not, hands nad handles not, \&c. By Whipping they cndeavoured to make him a rogue, or a slave; by the third punisbment of the lillory, to make him a cheater, guilty of forgery and perjury; and by Gaggine, to make him a behst, and mapon the whole matter to deprive hin of his reasonable soul, such cruelties that were never invented but by tygers and wolves in human shapes 'ferocitas 'loporum, in humana figura.' But then the persons that were so cruel and tyrnanical aggravates the offence. 1. This cruelty was commanded to be executed by an eminoht court of justice, professing cliristianity, ${ }^{\text {' Pes- }}$ ${ }^{4}$ sima est injustitin quae fit sub colore justitiz: it is the greatest injustice to oppress and do injury, under a pretence of justice. How often was it resolved in that court, that for a justice of peace or constable to commita riot, was ten times nore severely punisbable than in a common person, because it is to wse, or rather ahuse that sword of nuthority; to commit or countenance an unlawful action, which was ordained and put into their hands to suppress it.
9. The eminency of the persons augments the offence, 'qualitas personie auget peccatum; ;' for a gentleman to act against the rule of the law and gentility, is more reprehensible than in volgar persons. It was called the Coort of Star-Chamber, from the eminency of the persons, which were Judges : stars of the greater magnitudes, as being the highest court of ordinary jastice; but Mr. Lilburn'3 Judges, instead of putting on the garment of jostice, were cloathed from head to feet, and their coniscience oiled and "moistened, with cruelty and injustice mixed with the most poisoned malice that ever entered into the hearts of any judges. And though some of them be dead, yet Justice lives though the party be dead : whatsoever becomes of them, their eatates ooght to maké st. inspaction according to their own rales, 'qui ' Mion luet in corpore, solvit in burse;' he that solfers not in bis body, naust saffer in his purso.
A priacipal actor in this bloody tragedy, wat the Lord-Keoper Coventry, not less eminent in cirelty than in place, judge of the highest seat ©f enercy, the Chasoory, which nbated the edge
of the law, when it is too keen; for the chief Judge of mercy to degenerate into a savage cruelty, not heard of amongst the Barbarians, how heinous is it? Not to speak any thing of the decapitated archbishop, that monater of cruelty, and subtlety, whose estate we fear is dead with him, and therefore little can be expected from it.

The bishop of I'Ondon, then Lord-Treasurer, was a principal sentencer of Mr. Lilburn: by their own cononsy no bishop ought to have, a hand in blood, because they pretend to le mild shepherda, but cruelty was their genius.

The earl of Arundel was of an imbittered spirit against Mr. Lilburn, nothing but corporal punishment woold allay the heat of his malice ; who being Earl-Marshal, could not be ignorant that Joha Lilburn 'was a gentleman: for him that by his place was to protect all gentiemen from injuries, and should scorn to be active in the inflicting such corporal, ignominious, cruel punishoments upon a gentitman, is a transcendent trangression acainst the laws of state and honour. - It hath been censurable in that court, to speak contemptuous words of a gentleman; and how often had he ordered satisfaction, for saying such a one is no gentleman? And yet the same court and persons not ouly to say a gentleman is a roguc, but so to ase him, as Mr. Lilburn was, is the greatest scandal to the pollic justice of the kingdon, that bath been heard of.

The Judges assistants, that are called the ' Fathers of the. Law,' and, are said to carry luw iu their breasts, for them to begin and promote such an unjust and illegal Sentence, for them that are set as centinels to watch over and preserve the people's liberties, to betray a poor gentleman into the hands of meerciless men, was an offence of an exceeding high nature: for had they declared the illegality of those proceedings, and protested against it, as by virtue of their places (in duty) they ought to have done, it ruight thave prevented the sentence. If the proceeding had been regular by Informations, and Examinations, or ore tenus, as it was not, unless there had been some direct proof or speaking circumstance of very probable presumption, that Mr. Lilburn had been guilty of some high crime; it had been a gricvous thing in thein to have assisted in so cuuel. punishments. But when the pretence was no other, but concerning some of Dr. Bastwick's, Mr. Burtorts or Mr. Prynne's Books, which they knew coukd not be any brench of the peace, and that in the'Star-Chamber, where theres was no information, as in Mr. Lilburn's case, to admidister an Oalh, was all one with the High-Comndission, and directly contrary to the Perition of Right, in 3 Car, andjustice Jones had no reason for inflicting the corporal py; nishement. -
Bat because Mt. Lilhurn was a young man, thertfore it wis fit he should be punished: Is not this it tum Justice into wormwood/ Sech jodges have ever been the most darigernus pesta to a frate and liogdom, and in formet times,
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for less offence, most reverely punished in their persons and estates. The lord Cottington thirated exceedingly after the blood of this poor gentioman, and the high-commistioners had their hands as deep as any of the reat, in regard that by their warrant he was first committed: the most tipjost and tyrannical that ever was heard of, to copmand a poor gentleman to be sent to prison, without conventing bim before them, or asking him whether he was guilty of any misdemennor : is mere usurpation of autherity, taking the sword of justice into their own hands, not caring to destroy a poor gentleman, so as they might eurry favour with the prelates their grand patrons ; those high-conmissioners making themselves judgee, gaolers, and executioners, gad what not, to destroy the innocent.

It is considerable what puoishment the Gaolers and Executioners of this cruel Sentence have deserved: for however if a writ be directed to a sheriff, commanding him to take the body of one who is a peef of the realm, or a priviloged person, the officer is excused by his warrant; yet when punishments are clearly against the law of God, nature, and nations, which probibit all such cruelties and inhumanities; to make them bleed for the blood of Mr, Lilburn, would be an Lonourable piece of justice, and a procedent of much safety to the suljects in after-times, and officers would not dare to be so unmercifully cruel; as the sheriffs smarted for the Ship-Money, though they had process from the Fxchequer. -

Mr . Lilburn's sufferings are beyond expression, and $\mu \mathrm{o}$ honest heart but is feelingly sensible of every blow that was given him; in his Imprisoniment, Whipping, Yillory, Gagging, Beating, Hunger-bitings, and the Irons laid upon him, every true-bearted Englishman, that scunds for the laws and liberties of the subject, was so used, and abused; for it might have been any such man's csse, as well as his. His estate quite ethnuster by thieir cruelties, his trade lost, whereby he gained his livelihood, being before that ume in a hopeful way of a merchant, and well known to be very industrious in bis calling; a man active for the public, and by his merits hath procured the title of lieutenant-colonel in the present wars : what damages the parliament will be pleased to adjudge and order lum, he humbly submits to their great wisdom! and honourable justice: certainly not any of them would hate suffered so much for ten thousapd pounde.

It is the Lord's greint mercy that he if yet alive, having confictedt with, and gonethrough such a sea of punishments and priseries. True is is, that in point of reparations, there is no proportion between money and such corporal panishments, to a generous spirit: yet as there was never more indignity and a greater dishonour to the justice of the kingdow, than b) this wicked Sentence, and the cruel execution thereof; thereby proclaiming it to all the world that an English gentieman must be mide a
slave, to atiafy the malicious and virolent hue mours of a tyramical court of justice:
So it will be a very groat honour apd reparation to the Public Justice of this land, to give and adjudge Mr. Lilbura exemplary and proportionable damoges, to be levied out of the estates of his unjust and malicious Jydges, through whose iajustiçe he not only suffered such cruelties for three years, that not one of them would have suffered the like, nor received one of his stripes for many thousands; but lost his trade and livelihood.
The judicial lay was blood for blood, an eye for an eye, tooth for tooth, \&cc. Daniel's ace cusers were cast into the den of lions, with their wives and children, though Daniel had no huft by a miracle of mercy; by the equity and ${ }^{*}$ morality whereof, Mr. Lilburn ought to have good and proportionable reparation out of the estates"of his unjust judges and tormentors, who sought for his blood; but that God preserved him by his estraordinary love and favour.
That all drooping spirits may chear up and be encouraged, wat Justice will run down like a mighty stream, when it shall be executed upon the greatest offenders: as now there is good hopes that Mr. Lilburn shall by ordinance of parliament have apeedily good damages, answerable to his great sufferings, ordered and djadged him, to be raised out of the eatates of his unjust judges, shat may be paid unto him without further expence, who bath beem at such extraordinary charges about the same, that no his reparation may be not only just, but seasonatif, by which he shall be obliged to venturg his life, and all that is dear to him, as formerly he hath done, for his honourable judges in parliament assembled.

Whereupon the Lords mande the following Order:

- Die Veneris, 13 Feb. 1645.
- Whereds the cause of John Lilburn, gent, ' came this day to a hearing at the bar by his ' counsel, being transmitted from the bouse of ' commons, concersing a Sentence pronounced 'against him in the Star-Chamber, 15 Feb . ' anno is Car. reg. and after an examination ' of the whole proceediogs, and a due consi' deration of the said Sentence; it is this day ' adjudged, ordered, and determined by the c lords in parliament assembled, That the said. © Sentence, zod all proceedings thereupor, shail ' forthwith be for ever totally vacated, obiliter' ated, and taken of the file in all courts ' where they are jet remaining, as iltiogal, and ' most unjust, amailut the. Biberty of the;sub" ject, and law of the land, and Magna Chartn, sand unfit to "continue upon record: Apd 'that the asid Lilburn ahill be for ever ab'solotely freed, and totally discharged from ' the syid Sentence, and all proceediage there. ' upon, es fally and nmply, ss though never any 'such thing bad been. And that all eprreap ' and process in the court of Ezchequer, for - levying of any Fine, (if any sach be) shigh be
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'wholly cancelled and made void; any thing
' to the contrary in any wise notwithstandiog. ' Joh. Browse, Cl. Parl,'
But not assigning ine any reparations in tha' Decree (the doing of which the house of commons left unto them, and the lords according to former custom looked upon to be their right in law to do), I prayed their nssigning me particular reparations according to law and justice, out of the estates of my unjost judges, that had done me so much wrong; upon which new address to them, they did upon the 5th of March, 1645, order and•decree, ank assigned to be paid onto the said John Lilborn, the sum of 2,000l. for his reparations, which for many reasons (as their being aiding in the wars to the king, \&cc.), they fixed upon the eatates real and personal of Francis lord Cottington, sir Francis Windebank, and James Ingram, Jate DeputyWarden of the Fleet; asd afterwards by another Decree for the present levying thereof, out of their lands, at eight years purchase (as they were before the wars), with the allowance of interest at $8 l$. per cent. pea ann. in case of obatruction; for all or any part of it: and to this purpose caused an Ordinance to be drawn up, which fully passed their house the 15,20 , and 27th of Xpril, 1646, and afterwards transmitted it to the house of commons, where by reason of my bloody adversary old sir liediry Vane's interests, and of my imprisonment phy Manchester's means inf the Tuwer of Jondon, it lay asleep till the 1st of August, 1648, at which time 7 or 8,000 of my true friends in London, signed and caused to be delivered a Pecition to the House of Compions for my liberty, and the passing of the said Ordigance. Whereupon the house made this Order :

- Die Martis, 1 Augusti 1648. - Sir John May' nard, sir Peter Wentworth, lord Carre, col.
${ }^{4}$ Boswel, col. Ludlow, Mr. Hollanf, Mr.
${ }^{-}$Copley.
' It is referred to this committee, or any 'five of them, to consider how colonel John Lilburn may have such satisfaction and allow' ance for his sufferings and losses, as was for'merly intended him by this house.
'IIenry Elsynge, Cl, Parl. Dom. Com.' Upon which Order I got the Committee to meet, and preferred a Petition, to them. Upon which Petition, the Parliament having disposed of all 'that part of the lord Cottingtoy's Estate that I should have had, unto the lord Say, and also compounded with sir Prancis Windehank's heir; the said committee wera pleased to fix it entirely upon the Lord-Keeper's estate, as the principal guilty man; of which, when the young lord Coventry his sou and heir, heard theteof in' France, he came posting to England' as in amaze, fearing what such a precedent might bring upon him, if his father's Estgte (then dead) should be compelled to make me satisfactions; be being so capital in injustite, that if that course should he taken, his estate left him by his father (if it were trebled) would not satisfy for his father's palpable injustiee som-
mitted in his life-time. And Manchester being in the said Bryers with hisfather, being as unjust as the other, and having a brother, via. George Montague, and other considerable interests in the house of commons, so plied their friends there, that they put a stop to the second reading of the aforesaid Ordinance. Which I first fully understogd by the Speaker's means, then toy great pretended friend, who one day began to reason with a member of the housa (and my special friend) about the unreasonableness to fix my reparations upon the Estate of the deceased lord Coventry ; nny, or to give me any reparutions at rill out of the estates of those persons that did me, wrong, for fear the precedents in time might reach to themselves : 'For sir,' said the Speaker, as the member told me, ' if my son and heir should be liable ' in law, tu make satisfaction to all those men ' (out of that estate I shoold leave himi) that I ' have in the eye of the law wroogel (by signing ( Warrants, Orders, and Decrees, by the con' mand of my sufueriors) be would soon be a ' beggar', althuugh I should leave hing 5 or ' 6,0001 . per annum;' and therefore desired the said member's concurrence with hin. And for the clamorous importunity (as they called it) of me and my friends, to give me reparations : but yet to do it in such a way, that the precedent uight not in fotare make thenselies simart for their iujustice to particular men. Of which, when the said member told me, he said, they were resolved to make the commonwealth my pay-master out of the public Treasury, and solour over the justness of it with this pretence, 'That Cottington's estate, \&cc. formerly assigned me, they had since disposed of for 'the commonwealth's use to the lord Say; and therefore now it would be no injustice to the commonwealth (although in the Star-Chamber it never wrenged me) to pay me my repa' ration.' And so finding I was like to be baffled, I delivered the under-written to every individual member of the honotrable house of commons.


## *The Humhle Remembranee of Lientenans " Colonel John Lilburp, Sept. 4, 1648.

"Honoured sir; Vouchinfe, to take notice, and seriously to considery this present parliancut swambigh is now almost. full 8 years ago, I presen!effay humble Petition to the hougc of commoossfor justice and right against the cruel Jodges of tho. 1jigh-Commis-sion-Court, and the Star-Chamber; and I had the ponour (the sane:day it wes presented) to be one of the first prisoners in England that was set at liberty by tbis parliament, and niso received a speedy, full, fair, and candid proceeding, in the hearing and examining my tyrannical suifferings: But by reason of multiplicity of poblic busiuess, and other great obitructions, I bave not as yet been able to atain to the fall end of my legal and just expectation ond right, viz. reparations for my long. sad, and tompeating sufferings, by the foresaid unjost and unrighteons Juujges.-Be pleased
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also favourably to take notice, That upon the 1st August last, there was an humble Petition presented to the hoaourable house of commons, subscribed by many thousands of honest citi. zens, \&cc. humbly to desire you to put me in full possession of all your by-past just Votes about my foresaid sufferinga. Upon reading and debuting of which'Petingn, as in auswer to that particular of it, your house were pleased to make this ensuing order :
' Die Martis, 1 Augusti, 1648. Lord Carre, *Sir John Maynard, Sir Peter Wentworth, ${ }^{4}$ Col. Boswel, Col. Ladlow, Mr. Copley, ${ }^{6}$ Mr. Holland.
6 It is referred to this Committee, or any five 6 of them, to consider how, Colonel John Lil-
e burn may havé satisfaction and allowance fir
' his sufferings and losses, as wus formerly in-
' tended him by his house.
'Menar Elsyyge, Clet, Dom. Com.' Unto which said Committee at their first sitting, I presented a Petition; the Copy of which thus followeth :
${ }^{6}$ To the Honourable the Committee of the © House of Commons, appointed to consider ' of Lieut, Col. Lilburn's Business, in refer' ence to the Stur-Chamber; The Humble e Petition of Lieut. Cul. Joix Lilaues.
'Sheweth; That besides your Petitioner's ${ }^{6}$ sufferings by reason of his banishment into the

- Low-Countries, he was first committed by
' Dr. Iamb, Gwyn, Aylet, 1637; and afterwards
"had three years imprisonment, in the common
'gaol of the Fleet, being whipped from Fleet-
* Bridge to Westmingter, and enduring the
- cruel torment of above 500 stripes with knot-
'tedicoids. Afterwards being set in the pil-
- lory for the space of two hoars, and ly James
${ }^{4}$ Ingram, deputy-warden of the Fleet, gagged,
"tearing his jaws almost in piecrs, without or-
'der; which Scetencs, was given by lord-
* keeper Coventry, earl of Manchester, lord-
${ }^{4}$ privy-seal, lord Newburgh, sir Henry Vane,
'seu. lord chicf-justice Braunston, and judge
- Joncs. And ufter the barbarous execution
' of this Sentence, being April 18, 1638, the
s said lord Coveutry, archbishop of Canterbary,
'bishop' of London, earl of Manchester, earl
\& of Arundel, earl of Salisbury, lord Cottington,
${ }^{*}$ lord Newburgh, secretary Cook, and Wiade-
${ }^{6}$ bank, passed another Sentence, ip effect for
' the starving of your petixiouer, and for the Lor-
' meriting of bim with oirons upon 'hands and
${ }^{6}$ legs both dight and day; and by keeping him
© close in the common grol of the Fleet, from the
'speech of any of his friends: All which was ex-
' ecuted with the ureateat cruelty that could be
- for the space of almost 3 years tugether, to the
"apparent hazard of his life, both by starving
"hin (which was with 1 ll art and ihduatry seve-
'ral ways attempted); and also by several as)
'saults made upon him by the said Warden's
'man (fisstigated thereunto. by the. Raid De-
${ }^{5}$ puty-W arden, to the maiming and wougdiog
'him, whereby to this day he is totally depriv-
${ }^{2}$ ed of the use of two.of his fingers) : Alliwhich, with tiuch moore, too tedious to be here ins 'serted, was fully proved by,sufficient Witnessel, S before a Comnittee of your house, whereof ${ }^{5} \mathrm{Mr}$. Francis Rlouse had the chair; upon ${ }^{6}$ whose, Repont made, May. 4, 1641, your house 'voted, "Wet the Sentence ia the Star-Cham( ${ }^{4}$ ber given against the said John Lilbura, and ' ' all the proceedings thereupon, was illega), ' ' and against the Liberty of the subject, and ${ }^{\prime}$ ' also, bloody, wicked, cruel, barbarous, and i ' tyrannical; and that be ought to have good ' 'reparations therefore.' Which Votes, by ${ }^{6}$ reaggn of multiplicity of buainess in your
" houre, cost your Petitioner some years of ime -
${ }_{5}$ portunate and chargenble attendance to get
'them transmitted to the Lords; which wape.
' obtained in February, 1645, the 13th day of
'which month, your Petitioner's whole cause
' was effectually opened at the lords bar, by his
flearned counsel, Mr. John Bradshaw and Mr. s John Cook; and there every particular again ${ }^{\text {a }}$ proved upon oath, by testimony of people of * very good quality, whereupon they concurred ${ }^{6}$ in all things with the house of counmons, sav${ }^{6}$ ing in the, matter of Reparation; but upon 'the delivery of a true Narrative, (which your 'petitioner with his own bands in the same bonth delivered unto every individual lord) $r$ made a forther Decree, that your Peicer should have 2,000l. reparations out of - the estates of the said lord Cottington, sir ${ }^{6}$ Francis Wiadebank, and James Ingram, for ' the reasous alledged in an Ordinance which 'they passed in April, 16.6, snd transmitted ' to your "house; whore ir hath lain dormant 'ever since, and is now referred to the consi' deration of this honourable Committee.
${ }^{4}$ Nuw, forasmuch as by the judicial laws of Gud, which are the pure luws of right reason, he that wilfully burtech his neighhour is bound ' to the performance of these five things: 1 . If it be a blemish or wound, like for like, or to redeem it with moncy, thereby to satisfy him for his wound. 2. For his pain and. torunent. 3. For the bealipg. 4. For his loss of time in his calling, 5. For the shame. and disgrace : All which are to be consi-. ' dered according to the quality of the person${ }^{\text {I }}$ daunnified: Which reparations are to be paid, ' out of the best of the goods of him thet daye-s * nified him, and that without delay.
* And as the law of God, so the laws of thys. ( nation do alhor, and have ssverely punished, 'above all persons, Judges, many times with tho. - loss of their lives and estates, who under c colour of láw bave violated their oaths, and ${ }^{6}$ destroyed the livesyliherties and properties of . the people, whom by law they should have 'preserved; as may be ingtancied by the 44. - Judges and Juatices hanged in one year by ${ }^{4}$ king Alfred; djvers of them far less crimge. ' than hath been done in the case of your Pation "tioner: ts may be read in the Low-Boge, 'called, "The Rirror of Jastice,' p $29,8 \%$, :951, \&cc. transleted and reprinted this yent. 'porliament: And by Joxtice Thiprp, ia Pdel.


## 

( 3's time, who wis condemned to death for the - violation of his oath, for taking small sums of s money in causes depending before him; as 4 appears in the third Part of Coke's Institutes, -folv 155, 156. And by the Lord Chief Justice - Tresilian, \&ce. who in full parliament in Rich. 6 9 'f time, was attached as a Traitor in the - forenoon, and had his tbroat cut at Tyburn in
' the nfternoon, ' becaule he had given it under
© ' his hand, that the king might create unto him-
© s self, at his pleasure; another role to walk by,
" than the law of the lend prescribes him;' as

- appears by the Parlimneat Becords in the
- Tower, by mnny of your own Declarations,
${ }^{6}$ thind also by the Chronicles of England.
- Now, for as much as your Petitioner's
${ }^{1}$ sofferings have been unparalleled, and his
- prejudice sustained thereby altogether unre-
- parable, hä̈ing lost his limbs, \&cc. And for-
- asnuiuch as by the law of God, nature, and
a nations, reparations for harts and damages
${ }^{4}$ received, ought to be satisfied as fur as may
- be in all persons, though done by accident,
- and not intentionally, and ahough through ig-

4 norauce: much more when the persons of-

- fending did it knowingly, and on parpose, in
- the face, nay, in apite of the fundamented
- Inws of the land, which they were sworn to
' preserve: And for that the reparations in the
(3xid ordinance assigned do scarce amour
' what your Yetitioner spent in his three yens
- sad captivity, and his now almost eight years
' chargeable attendance, in suing for it, besides
${ }^{4}$ the loss of a rich and profitable trade for ele-
${ }^{6}$ ven years together, and his wounde, torments,
${ }^{\prime}$ smart and disgrace, sustained by his' said ty-
${ }^{4}$ rannical sentences.
- He therefore humbly prayeth the favour ' and justice of this honourable Committee for
'some considerable augmentation of his said
4 Reparations ; and the rather, because bis fel-
- low-sufferer, Dr. Bastwick, had,4,000l, le-
- parations nllotted hiro, whose sufferings, be
sabmissirely conceivetb, was nothing nigh so
'great, in torment, pain, and shame, is your
- Petitioner's. And forasmuch as the now lord
- Coventry, son and heir to the nforesaid lord
- Corentry, hath walked in his father's steps, in
"enmity to the liaws, liberties, and freedom of
- the nation : By being fin trios at the beginning
- of the wars against the parhiament, end made

4 his pence with the earl of Essex for a small
${ }^{6}$ minter, and hath sinee deserted the kingdom,
${ }^{4}$ living in Frande privately, receiving the pro-
4 fits of d vast ebtate which his father left him:

- And forasmach as lfis said father (the late
-Tord Coventry\%was the activest man in infring-
- ing the laws and liberties of the nation; ni-
- through a lawyer and jadge, sietting on the tor-
- premie teat of justice; ind ${ }^{\circ}$ a person, as is
"groindedly conceived, who got a great extate'
- by corruptinh, and particularly ha man that
'princlipally'paseed, as chief judge of the' court,'
- both the afiressid gentences tgaitst your'Pe-
rexitioner: And in 'regard the estantes of the'
"suit ford Cortindion, ind sir Fraticis Wiade-'

' upon argent occasions, afe math entarigled ' and altered from the condition they were in ' in 1646, when the lords ordered your Peth - tioner $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{q} 00$ marks oot of them; und for that 'the estate of James-Ingram cmnemb be found, ' oor at present come by: Yoar Petitioner, ' therefore, most humbly prayetb, that the 'greatest part, if nat ell your Petitioners Ro-- parations, mas be fixed upon the said now 'lord ${ }^{\circ}$ Coventry's estate, to be iminediately ' paid your Pecitioner; or else that his rents, a and the protits of his woods and goods, may be ' seized in the respective counties where they ' lie, for the satisfying thereof; that your Peti'tioner may no longer rurr the hazard of ruin ' to hitm and his, by zedions delays, having al' ready contracted the debts of many hundred 'poonds, occosioned by the chargeable prose'cution hereof. And that if you shall think fit ' to eonjoin any other with him, that it may be ' principelly the judges of the law ; who ought ' to have been pilpts and gaides unto the rest of the judges of that court; who were lords, ' and persons not knowing the law. Aud your ${ }^{\text {' Petitioner shall ever pray, \&c. }}$
John Lilauas."
"After the reading of which, they eutered into a serious debate of the whole basiness, and thereupon passed several Votes to be heads of an Ordinance, to be drawn up and repoited to ,the house, by the right hon, the lord Carr, chairman to the snid committee; who accordingly reported the Proceedings and Votes of the said committce to your house, who approfed of the said Votes, and ordered an 乌rdinance to be presented to the house consonant thereunto, which was accordingly done by the lord Carr; which Ordinance hath beeo once read in your house: The copy of which thas follows:
' Au Ordinance of the Lords and Commons as'sembled in parlimpent, for the raising of ${ }^{\prime} 3,0001$. out of the real estate of the lato - Thomay lord Coventry, late Lord Keeper ' of the Great Seal of Eugland, for and to' wards the reparation and damayes of John - Lilburn, gent. which he suatained by virtue ' and colour of two Sentences given and
${ }^{\prime}$ 'made against him, in the late Courtof Star-
${ }^{4}$ Chamber, the nne the 13th Feb. 1657, the ' other the 18th April, 1698.
- Whereas the cause of John Lilburn gent. - concerning twa Senténces pronounced against ( him in the late court of Star-Chmmber, 13 th ' of Webruary, is Car. regis, and 18th of April, © 14 Ear. regis, were voted the tith of May (1641, by the house of conmmons, to be illegil, ' and agninitt the fiiberty of the subject, and 'also bloodty, wicked, cruel, barbaruus, and tyrandical, which were transmitted from the vald hoose of cominons unto the house of Porisf: Wbo thereupoh, by an order or decree, by thejn made '13th' of Peb. 1615, ndijadged and deelared the said procieedings of the zuid Star-Cbiamber, against the taid Jobn -Liblouri,


- liberty of the subject, and Magns Charta,
's and unatit to continue upon record, \&c. And - by anothpr arder or decree, made by them 'the said lords the 5th of March 1645, they as' signed tọ be paid unto the said John Lilbujn
'the sum, of 2,0001 . for his reparations; and
'the said house of peers then fixed that sum
${ }^{6}$ upon the estates reel and personal of Francis
${ }^{4}$ lord Cottington, sir Francis Windebank, and
${ }^{6}$ James Iogram ${ }^{\text {c }}$, late depoty-warden of the
- Fleet: and aftervards for the present larying
${ }^{4}$ theroif, with allowance of intereat, in case of
'obstructions, while the same should be in
- levying, and of such parts as should not be
${ }^{\prime}$ forthwith levied; the said house of peers did
' cause an ordinance to be drawa up, and pass-
${ }^{\text {a }}$ 'ed the sane in their houte, the 27th of April
${ }^{6}$ 1646, and afterwards transmitted the sume to
' the house of comlons for their concurrence;
' with whom it yet dependech. And forasmuch
bas since that transmission, all, or the greatest,
' of the estates of the said lerd Cottington, and
'sir Francis Windebank, is since. by both
' houses disposed of to other uses; and the
' estate of the snid James. Ingram is so small
'and weak, and so entungled with former in-
' cumbrances, that it can afford little or no
' part unto the said John Lilharn of the said
'reparations: And for that the said late lord
'Coventry was the priacipal judge, nad chief
'actur, in giviug of both the said illegal Sentences
- in the said court of Star-Chamber; and for
delle barbaroos inflicting of punjshments there-
' upon. Therefure, and for satisfaction of the said
- 2,0001. 'and for the increase of reparation unto
the said John Lilburn for his extraordianary
' wrongs, sufferings, afd losses thereby sustain-
${ }^{4} \mathrm{ed}$, uad the long time hitherto elapsed without
${ }^{6}$ any satisfaction; the lords and commons
'assembled in parliament dó ordain, and be it hereby ordained by the said lords and commons, and by authority of the same; That the said Jobn Miburifblinli.receive the sum of
* 3,000l. out of all, or any the macors, mes-
${ }^{4}$ suages, hands, tenements, and hereditaments,
' whereof he, the said late Tbomas lord Coren-
© try, or any other person or persons to or for
' his use, or in trust for him, was or were seized
- in fee-simple, or fee-tail, or otherwise, at the
* time of the said sentences or deorees, or of
${ }^{6}$ 'either of them, in the said lite court of Star-
- Ghamber, or since within the kingdom of Eng-
- land, or dominion of Wales, any ordef or or-
- dinance heretofore made by either or both
chouses of partiamedt for the emplayment of
' the estate of the said late Thomas lord Coven-


## - But the Jord Roberts, the lord Wharton,

 -scc. told pae sereral times, if their estates had 6 not been tandet; sequestration, by, ordinanea of Aparliament, they would pevgr bave gone G,about to fix my reparations by ordianace, " (which they sugst needs, then do, to. tal't off ' the sequesuration), but have issued, out a de-- csee and extent under the Grgat Seal, immedi4, ately, to have pur meis present posemion of 4 myseapol, whighothegseid was thoiz right by thaw to do.'' try to the contrary bereof, in any wise not'withstanding. And for the more mpeedy levy:' ing of the said sum of 3,000 l. it is further ons'\% dered and ordained, that the several and re ' spective sberifis, of the several and respectiva 'counties within England and Wales, whereia
' any of the said lands, tenemeata; or lyeredita-
'meats do lie, shall forthwith upon aight, and ${ }^{4}$ by virtue of this ordinunce, cause ar-ingaini' tion to be made and taken, by the onthas.of ' 1s or more lawfal men, where the same lands ' do lie, and what the same are and ro contain,
' and of the clear yearly value thereof, over and ${ }^{6}$ nhove all charges and reprises: and after auch ' iaquisition so made and taken, the several and 'respective sheriffs shall deliver unto the said - John Lilburn true copies in parchment of tille ' same iaquisitions by them taken, and shall 'then also deliver unto the snid John Lilbarn' ' the suid lands, tenements, and hereditaments, ' which shall be. so comprised or mentic.ned in 'the said inquisitions, to have and to hold, to ' him the said John Lilbuin, and his assigns, ' without impeaclunent of waste : and until he ' shall have reclived out of the issues and pro'fits thereof (to be estimnted accordizs to the ' yearly values contained in the said inquisttions) the snid sum of 8,0001 . ; together with
reasonable charges and expences to be sus-
ed from henceforth for obtaiuing the srid
I of 3,000 l. And all and every the said several and respective sheriffs, and all otber person and persons whatsoever, that shall any ways act or assist in obedience to this ordinance, according to the true intent and meaning thereof, shall be therefore defended and kept harmiess, by the auibority of both houses of parliament.'
Be pleased to take further notice that after the foressid Ordinance was once read, it came to a debate in your house for to be read the secoad time which was carried in the negative by a majority of voices; and I cannot but spprehend that there were divers in the house unsetisfied in the ordinance itself, in regard the house was divided upon the debäte and sote, which I cannot but apprebend must flow from one of these two considerations: First, either because that the whole reparations is fixed upon the lord Coventry's estate singly, who bad many co-partners in the sentences, aad who also'it masy be sapposed bath expiated bis crinse by his death. Or else, secondly, because in wothe men's thoughts, some of mylete actiona,ate, br have been so evil in themselves, that fhey many, seem to. them cosver-balance the merits of ail my ancieat sufferiags.

However, onimy presenting my Rensons to the bouse fonrending it, may Ordinaace was cailed for to bermend the second time, which Elayage the clerk preteaded he had laid ready. upon the table:before him; but what hetwint his knavery, ald Thanry Vane's, the Speaker's, and -youog Mantague's my Ordinance'ivas itolen, and could never after be found: socthat In was sent to out of the houve to get aumither fair copy writ over presendy? which. being/lorgin doping, my friends went, way, not expentiog it
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wonld any more be meddled with thnt day, so that when mast of them were, gone, my adveirsaries took the adyuntage to call for it, sud in * tbie house read it the second time, and upon' debate threw it out of doors; and at present to stop tiny mouth, voted me $300 \%$. ready inoney (esthey pretended) out of sir Charles Kemisse's Composition, to enuble me tor present aubsistence, aud to follow my business; and ulso inade this further Order:

- Die Martis, Sept. 5, 1648. Ordered by the commons assembled in parliament, that the 'suan of 5,000 l, be allowed and paid unto litut.
' col. John Lilburn, for reparation of lis da-
${ }^{4}$ magea sustained by colour of the ${ }^{-}$Sentences
- given agaisst hisn in the late court of Stan-

T Chamber, where lord Carr had the chair, with
${ }^{4}$ the addition of sir John Danvera, and coloael

- Rigby, to consider of, rund present to this house ${ }^{6}$ an Ordmance for settling of lands to him and 6 his heirs, to the value of $5,000 l$. at 12 years ${ }^{4}$ purchase, out of the estates of new delinquents in the insurrections, not yet sequesiered.
'H. El,rnce, Clen Parl. Com. Dom.' Of which when I fully unifertood, I was troubled, hut knew not how to help myself; and having already met with so many difticulties, and received so many baffles as I had done, I thoukbt it was better (being almost wearico with struggling) to take half' a loaf, than away without any bread at all. So nfier inny petitions'and letters to the sequestmitors, Ke. the committee caused an Ordinance to bedrawn up.

But when my Ordinance came to the lords, they disabled me to cut down any more timber trees, than what wero alieady felled, which 1 judged titter for met tu content myself' with, than to struugle any longer to get it pase, as the house of cominons had'sent it up. So the lords in two or three days dispatched it, and gent it down to the house of commons for their concurrence, according to those abridguents they had anade in it: and taking my opportunity to speak to those in the house of commons I had interest in, $\mathbf{N}$ intrented them to dispute it no more, but pass it as the lords hard gelded it; and accordinitly they did; the Copy of which thus followeth:
'Die Jovis, 21 Dec.'1648. An Ordinance ${ }^{4}$ of the lords and commons assenibled in par-- liament, for raising of $5,000 l$. out of the se${ }^{6}$ questered estates and compositions of sir ${ }^{4}$ Henry Gibb, kright; and sir Henry Belling-- hun, knight and baronet; and Thomas Bowes, ' esq., lying and being within the couricy of * Durham; to be paid unto lienti col. John Lil c burn, by the Committee of. Sequantentions of cthe said county, for and towerda the Repste. ctien and Damages of the said.Johre- Hibsirs, - Which he sustained by vistue and colear of two 4 upjust Sentences, or Decrees, sinen and ${ }^{4}$ made ngaiept hica in the late Court of 8 tar: 4 Chamber, the ase the 13th Rebn 1037, the tother the 18th April. 1038.
$\because 4$ Wherese the canite of lieut. col. John Lil-- bum, concerning two Sentences promounced *againet him in the dofe court of Star -Clamber,
'the 13th Feb. decino 18 Car. regis, and 'the 18th April, 14 Car. regis, (which were ${ }^{6}$ roved the 4 th of Mny, 1641, by the huuse of ' commons, to be illegal, and against the liberty f of the subject, and also bluody, wicked, cruel, - barbarons and tyrannical) were transmitted 'from the said huyse of commons unto the 'house of lords; inf which the house of peers ${ }^{6}$ conçarred in judgment; and the 13th Feb. '-1645, declared the said proceedings of the 'said Star-Chumber, ngainst the said John 'Lilburn, to be illegnl, most unjost, and' mgainst * the liveriy of the subjects and law of the land, 'and Magus Clasta, and unfit to continue "upun record, \&sc. The said lurils and com" unons taking ipto their serious cunsideration, 'the eftraordinary's sufferings nud barburous 'tyranhy, that by colour of the said unjust ' decrees were infficted upon the said lieut, col. ${ }^{4}$ Juibn Lilburn; and the long time hitherto 'elapsed without any satisfaction, do conceire c it most just, eqritable and reasonable, to te${ }^{\text {t }}$ pair him in some considerable manuer: and ' therefore, in pursuasce of two orders of the 'hou-e of commous, one of the 22d Aug. ' 1648, and the other of the 5 th Sept. 1648, ' have orduined; and be it hereby ordained by 'the lirds and commons assembled in par' linment, and by the authority of the same; 'That the said Jobn Lilburn shall have and ' receive the sum of $3,000 \mathrm{l}$. to be paid unto ' Lim or his assigns, by the committee of se'questrations for the county of Durham, outeof 'the first profits of the sequestered estates, - both lands and goods of sir Ifetiry Gibb, ${ }^{6}$ knt. ; sir Heary Bellingham, knight and ba${ }^{4}$ ronet; and Thomes Bowes, ejq. lying and ${ }^{4}$ being in the county of Durham, hasing all ' been active in the late Northern iusurrections, ${ }^{6}$ and aiding and pssisting to the most wicked ${ }^{6}$ invasion of duke Inamiton. And the said ' committee are hereby uuthorized to fell all 'such woods (escept thnbeinrees now stand${ }^{t}$ ing) as may conveniently be spared, and now 'stauding upon the said lands (or already felled), tor any of them. And if the said sir Hebry 'Gibb, sir llenry Hellinghain, anid Thomas - Bowes, or any of them shall compound for
" their estate, so much of the said $3,000 l$. as then shall remair unsatisfied shall be paid ' unto the said John Lilburn, or his assignees, ' out of their, or the first ef their composjaions. 'An'rthis, ordinance deopy thereof, attested 6 under the hand or harids of the clerk, or clerks, " of one or both houses' of parliement, thall be ${ }^{6}$ a shfficient warrant to the said committee of ${ }^{6}$ sequestrations in the atid county of Durham 'to pay the saiti 3,000 . as is before expressed, 'unto the said John Lilbern or-his assigns; cand likewise to indemnify and save harmiess, ${ }^{2}$ all and every person or persans, that shall ${ }^{6}$ any way act in the patformance of the trua intont and meaning of this Ordinance.

## - Joir. Beown, Cler. Parliamientor.

4HんDusimge Cler. Parl. Dom. Com.'
However; bieat. col. Lilbarpe, afer great trouble and much expence, got but little of the money ordered him.

## 149. The Trial of Thomas Harrison, Clerk,* at the King's-Bench, for a Misdemeanor in speaking reflecting Words of Judge Hutton: 14 Charles I. a. d. 1638. [Cro. Car. 503. Hutt, 131. 3 Rushw. Appendix, 268. Tanner's MSS. in bib. Bod? $]$

- Middx' ${ }^{\text {sse. }}$.
- Berore this time, that is to say, upog
- Thursday next after the Octaves of the Holy
${ }^{\text {- Thinity in }}$ ine said terio, befure our sovereign
6 lord the king at Wescaninster, upon the onth
' of twelve Jurors, it is pregented, That whereas
- the court of our lord the king of Common
- Plens is, and from the tine to the contrary of
- which there is no memory of man, hath been
f an antient court of record of our said now
- lord the king and his progenitors and ances-

5 sors, kings and queens of Eagland, for the ad-

- ministration of justuce to bie subjects of this
- kiugdoun of England, and others in Conmon
- Pleas, moved and arising throngh all the
ckingdum of Eagland : And whereas it is
sagainst the crown and dignity of the king's
- majexty, and nguinst, the law and custoin of
- this kiugdom of Englaud, for any person or
- persous to disturb the court aforesaid, or any
- Justices of the said court, the said court
- being open, and the Judjes of the said caurt
- being present, and judicially sitting: And
' yhereas Richard Iluton knight is, and for
- divers yoars now last past hath been, and yet
f is one of the Justices of our said now lord the
- king of this court: nevertheless one Thomas
- Harrisoh of Creeke in the county of North-
( ampton, clerk, not having God before his
- eyes, but by the instigation of the Devil
- moved and seduced, malicignsly with himself
- imagining, and in his mind compassing by
t what means he, night, the aforesaid Richard
- Hutton knigats, there the then, and yet being

4 one of the Justices of our said now lord the

- king of the Common Pleas aforesaid, many
' ways to defane and scandalize, aad contriv-
- ing and maliciously intending, as much as was
- in his power, to bring the said Richard Hutton
- into scandal, ignominy, contempt, and vile
' character, and the said Richard Hatton of his
- life and goods and chattels, lands and tene-
- mepts, wickedly ind maliciously to deprive;
' as also the displeasurs and indigdation of our
' said now lord the king againstuthe said Richard
- Hutton to stir up and provoke, and using his
- utmost endeavour to make the said Rathard
- Hutton be beld atld esteemed a Traitor as
- well by our said lord the kintg and the pearsd
- of this kinglom of England, as by all the loyal
d subjects of our said- lord the king. And the
- aforesaid court of our said hoys lord the king
- of Common Pleas, and the justices of our sejid
- lord the king of the said court in the gand
${ }^{6}$ court being present, and judicially, sitting to

[^46]' disturb, and the administiation of jusice in ' the said ciurt to hiuder, the silh day of May,
6 in the 14th year of the reign of our lord "Charies by the Grace of Goi, of Euglands ' Scotland, Frunict, and Ireland, kim, defender ' of the faith, \&cc. at the city of Westmusuer in ' the county of Middx', viz.' in the great hall of
' Pleas there, the court of our shid lord the ' king, that is to say, the court of our said lord' ' the king before bim the king, the court of ' Chancery, and the court of our anid lord the ' king of Common Pleas, in the aforessid great *Hall of Pleas aforesaid open, and the Justices ' of our said lord the king in the court aforesnid 'then there present, und judicially siting, in ' assiduousiy aitending and heariay the matters ' and causes of our said lord the king, his peo* ple and kingdom, of England, and iu, minis'tering the haws of the kingrom aforesaid to 'the subjectsof our said lord the kiag; the ' foresaid Thomas Harrison to the bar of the lesaid court of oar said lord the king of The Common Pleas, then and there volently ' and by force and arhs, \$c. came, 'the simid ' court of Common Pleas then and there in the ' aforesaid great Hull being open as aforesuid, ' and the aforesaid Richard Hutton knight, and ' the other Justices of our said turd the kuig of ' the court of Common Pleas aforesaid in that ' court, then there as aforessid being present, ' and judicially sitting; and the aforesaid Tho' mas Harrison, then tod there out of his mere ' malise, evil mind, and wicked intention, in ' the presenee and hearing of the aforesaid jub' tices of the aforessid court of Common Pless, ' and divers serjeants at law, and many vene' rable men, and other faithful subjects of our 'said now lord the king, falsely, wickedly, and maliciously accused the aforessid Richard Hutton kaight, of bigh treason, and then and there falsely, wiokedly, and maticiously, these scandalous, venomots, defamatory English wosds, opeuly, publicly, and with a loud voice said, publiohed and spoke, viz. 'I (hipm tho ' 'said Thomas Harrison meaning) do accuie ( Mr. Justice Hutton (the Aforesaid Righard " Hutton knight, one of the justices of our seid " lord the king of the Common Pleas, meaning) ' 'of high treason ?' to the great hurt and deroftion of the crown and digatity of our said -nd the king, and of his royal power, and the ' manifest contempt and scandal of his courts 'aforeneid, and of the juatice and laws of our 'said lord the Kipg, his kingdom aforesaid, and 'the court of Common Plens aforesyid, and ' the juatices of our said lord the king, and administration of justics in the said coort, to the most evil example of all other offenderi G hereafier is the like case, and to the more
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- grievous acandal, infanny, di-grace, and fiual - deotruction of the afuresaid Richard Hutton "knight, wad against the peace of our snid nom "ford the king, his crown and dignity, \&cc."
To this the said Thomas Harrson hath pleaded Not Guitty, and hath put hiusself upon the coun'ry, and the king's Attorney of this court likewise. Yau ate now to enguire whether cthe suid Thrmas Harrison be guilty of this crinse, Yee or $N o$.
Mr. Serjeant Healh. Mny it please your lordship, and you gentlemen of che jury do hear, that by reAting of the record, that there is ma Indictment preferied on the behalf of the king againat Thomes Harrison who is now at ethe har, and that it is for a notable and imeolear Contempt is this hall against justice Uutton and the laws of this kinglom, The Indictment sets it out thus : That the court of Common Plets is an ancient court, and that it is against the crown and dignity of the king, and the Courts of Justice, that a hen the said eourts were sitting, they or any of the ministers of the waid court shall be distutbecd. It is further asid, that Mr. Justice Ilutton is, and for many gears last past hath been one of the Judges of the Court of Conmon Plens; and that the Defendant who is now at the bar (Mr. Har-
- The uriginal Record is as follown:

Hex dertus Haraysay for a Misdemeanouth

> Trin' is Cur' 1.B, R.

[^47]rison, a clerk) being moved with malice against the person of $\mathrm{Mr}_{\text {, Jatice Hutton, and intend- }}^{\text {. }}$ ing to bring Mr. Justice Hutton into the king's high displessure, and to hasard the losing of his life and his estate, and the forfeiture of bis goods, and to disturb the pence of the king, und the court of jyatice sutting, did falstly and maliciously the 4th of May last in Westminaterhall in the city of Wentminster, the court being sitting, this court and the coart of Chancery, and the court of Common Plens, this defendant, boldly, audaciously, and maliciously, did rash to the bar of the said court of Common Pleas, Mr. Juatice Iturton and Mr. Justice Crawley then and there sitting, there attending to the service of the said court, there with $n$ loud nice spose its Mr. Justice Huttion sitting as a judge : ' I do necuse Mr. Justice Hitton ' of high tresson.' This offence being committed in this manner and in this place, and with such a boldness, is laid to be of a bigh natare, and to the contempt of the crown, and dignity of all the courts of justice, where the king is wholly iaterested. Whetber this offence may be punished, that is the force and intent of this Iudictment. My lords, to -this the Defendant hath pleaded Not Guilty : we that be of the king's counsel shall make it appear, that
' miniam, contempt', et vilipend' indnoere, ip'sumg' Kicardum Hutton de vita sua, nc de 'bonis et catallis, terris, et tenementis stris ' praviter et malitiose deprivare, neenon ad dis' placeotian et indignationem dict' domint regis ' nanc erga prefat' Ricard' Hutton tmcitand' et 'provocand, ac ipsum Ricardam Hutton pro ' proditore tam apud iflct' dominumr regem, et ' magnates hujus regni Anglia, quam apud om' nes ligeos subditos ejusd' dommi regis haberi et ' existimari satagens, ac ad pred' cur', dict' do' mini nunc de communi banco, et justiciar' ' dict' domini regis, ejudd' cur,' in cur' ill' pra' sent', existent' et juticialit' sedentibus, distur' band', et administrationẹn justitiox in cur' ill' ' impediead' quarto die Maii, anno regni domini ' nostri Caroli, Dei gratia Angliæ, Scutix, ' Franc', et Hibern' regis, fidei defensores, \&c. ' decimo quarto, apud civitat' Westm' in com' ' Midd', viz. in magae aulapl'itorum ibidem, cur' ' ipsias domini regis coram ipso regecur' cancel-- lar',et pred' cur communi banco in pred'mag'As aule plitorum pred' wpert', ac juntic' ejasd' 'dorsini regis in curspred' tuac ibidem pres' seotibus, et jadicialiter sedentibus, materias ' at couses domini regis, populi sui, ac regni sui ' Alglizs assidue attendent' et zudient', legesq' ' regni pred'o sabdit'iplus decaini regis minis'trant'; 'pred' Thomes Harrison ud bayram ''pond' ear' 'dieti domini regis de communi ban${ }^{6} \cdot \mathrm{co}$, adtunco ot ibidem sioloater, vi et arnis, dec. ' abeestit pred': cur' de communi beaco adume 'et Ibidern in prod' magna nola, ut prafertar, 'nperta esisten', so Ricardo Hutton milit';'et 'stais josticiat' dieti dominiorgis cur'. de oom'mưh banco pred', in cui' .illa tunc ibidem "(út prefertur) prosentibas, et judicialif' se'dentibas, et prod' Thomas Harrisqu-mivenc

## 

this Defendant did da this, and is this manser as it is seb forth.

Mr. Acsorncy: (Sir Joha Banks.) I desire that this Eramination may be read; but let him see it, whether it be his hand, yea or no.

Harrison. It is my hand.
"The Examination of Thornas Harrison, of Creeke, in the county of Noythampton, clerk; being examined hefore my lord chief jastice Brampston, saith, that it is true, that wheress Mr. Justice Hutton und Mr. Justice Crawley sitting in the court of Cominon Pleas, he came to the bar, and there did publicly charge Mr.

4 et ibidem, ex sua mera malitin, malo avimo, et ' malevola intentione, in presentia et audit' ' prafat' justiciar' pred' cur', de commutri ban'co, ac diversorum servien' hd legem, multor' ' viror' venerabilium, et alior' dicti domini regis ' fidelium subditor', falso, nequit', et malitiose ' prafat' Ricardum Hutton milit' de alta pro-- hitione accusarit, et ndtune ot ibidem falso, ' nequit', et malitiose hrec scafldulosa, venenosa, - defamatori Anglicana verba, palarn, 'publice, 4 et alta voce dixit, 'I (ipsum prefat' 7 'hotuam " Harrison innuendo) do accuse Mr. Justice "' Ilutton (prefat' Ricardum Huaton milit', ' ' un' justiciar' dicti domini regis de communi " 'banco prad' inuuendo) of High Treason,' in ' dicti domini regis nunc, coron', dignitat', et ${ }^{6}$ regia potestatis sua lasionem et derogn' tionem, et cur' sua prad' cohtempt', et scan-- dalum manifestum jurisque, et legum ipsius ' domini regis regry sui pried'; ae cur' de com' muni bayco pred', et justiciar' dieti domini ' regis, cur' illus, et administration' justitiap in ' ead' cur', in nequissimum exemplam omnium ' aliorum malefacturum tali casu delinquent', et - ad gratissimum scandalum, infamiam, dede' cus, et final' destructionem prad' Ricardi Hut' tou mili', et contra pacem dieti domini regis - nunc, coronam, et dignitates suas, \&cc. Cum ' per quand priscept' fuit vic', qood non omittat ' \&tc. quin senire fac' eum ad respondend', \&cc. - Cum et modo, scilicet die Veneris prox' post ' octabas sanctia Trin' isto eod' terimino, coram ' domino rege apud Westm' ven' prad' Thomas - Harrison clericus, custod' Henrici Hopkins ${ }^{\text {a }}$ arn', guardian' prisouve dicti regis de la Fleete,
'virtuté hrevis dicti regis de habend' corpus and

- ' subjic', Kkc. ei inde direct', tid barr' hic duct' - is: proppria persona sua, qui committ' prafat' ' marr', \&c. Et stâtu' premissis eo alloguunt' ' qualiter se inde acquietari, dicir,' quod jpse - noh est inde culpabil, et de hoc ponit se supet 'parriam. Et Jobannes Keeling ar', cleric' ' corous, et attornat', domini regis in cur' ipsius ${ }^{4}$ regis, corau ipso rege, qui plo eod' domino ${ }^{6}$ rege in hac parte sequitur, similiter, \&c. Jop ' ven' inde jur' coram dumina rege apad Weatm'' ' die Lunam prox' post quindennio asnote Triu', ${ }^{\prime}$ et quia nec, \&sc, ud recogn', \&ec? quist tem, \&ec. "Idenn dies dat' est tam prafat' Johanni Key' ing, qui sequitur, \&se. quam prad' Thomre - Harrfaon clerico, sub custod' prad' "marr' in'terim commisse salvo custodiend', quousq', ' \&c.,'

Jastice Hatton with High-Trewoon, Hécobarged him first with denying the king's supremecy, next with nooving the people to Sedition; and these be the points on which hecharged him siti High-Treasos, as aforesaid. Farther, he was asked why be chargct him with the first, and how he doth deny the king'a Suppremacy: $\mathrm{H}_{9}$ anfwers, For that by common fame upon Bipturday last in the Exchequer-Chamber he did deliver his opiaion, that the king had no lawfil powet to levy the Slipe Money. Being anked whetber be heard the Argument; he anawered, He heard it not, but received it from the common report of others. Being further amked why he charged bim with stirring ap the people to Sedition; he answered, That wea became by the report of divers near to the place where. this Exsminant dwells, the people go on suore and more in their atubbornness, refusing the paying of Ship-Money; the which is coutrary to the opinion of all the Orthodox divines of this kingdom; and in that Mr. Justice Hutton riding that circuit, hath givea the people pach an encouragement to their disobedience. Boing farther nsked, whether any other person did know of this bis intent; he answered, that there were two other persons with him, but they did not know any thing of bis intentions, till they heard it spokes at the bur. Being Ched why be made choice of this public way: tis renson be saith was, because he deliverad his opinion publicly, therefore he thought that to be the best way; and if it had been done in a private way, he thought it 'fit to acquaipf. him with it in a private way.

Tromas Harnisom."
Mr. Attorncy. Nay it please your lordsbip, and you of the jury, the prisoner at the bar, Mr. Horrison, stands indicted of a very $\mathbf{~ f a m l}$ and horrible offence, of a forged accusption framed and contrived out of his own brain. It should seem it was out of some rotten apal
 colour nor appearance of trutb, and he cogfesseth it was upon the ground of common fume. Now you know what comipon fame is, a mendar: he charged this reverend judge, as you have beard, that he did deny the king? Suprecasoy; and the reason was, because be heard by common fame, that the Judge hind delivered his opinina, that the kiog hod po pewer to lery Ship-Money. Secoudly, bucanye he stirreth up the king'i subjects to Sedition; and be giveth that for a regeon, in that the people of Northampton do go on in "the cheaial of the payment of Ship-Money.

My lord, it is a heavy thing to ecomen may man of Treason, whersby he stind Confeit his dgade and goods, and lose his lifet sand sarely by the old lams this false Accuser aboald undergo ilie same poniabnuent as be should, vigt is nccused, if foupd gnilis.

My lord, the place of a Jodge is a place of great honour and trust: of Honour, for they be reckaned in the old statutes among the magnatet regni, 9 Ric. $\mathbf{q}_{\text {, \&cc. And these }}$ peoplo that bo fhe anthors asd publishers pf these
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base Scandals, they are reckoned to be the sowers of discord, and are subverters of the peace of the commonn éalth.' And surely if Mr. Harrison had lnoked upon these Statules, he would haveheen better advised: Of Trust, for he is trusted with the administration of equal justice between the king and his subjects, ynd the lives, firtunis, and estates of men. Therefore being a place of so great honour and trast, the scand.al is the greater; and offences and crimes against them have been punished not with ordinary puaishment.
$25 \mathrm{Ed} .3,1 \mathrm{pr}_{6} \mathrm{It}$ is declaged to be Treason to kill a Judge in execution of his office. Our books say, That if one draw a weapon npon a Judge sitting in judicature, though he strike thin not, he sball be imprisoned during life, alhd forfeit his goods anid lands, and lo-e his right hand.-Tlough the offence be not dooe to the Jadge, yet being in the judges presence (the courts sittink), as if one strike a juror, or any. other person in Westminster-hall, sitting in the courts, it hath bieen punished with tije loss of hanit, koods, and lanils during life; this applareth, 19 Ed, Y Judgment 174, 22 Ed. S, 13 Mich, 6 Ed. 8. Coran rege, rot. 55. Stamford's Pleas of the Crown, 38.

The Offence of Mr flarrison is not for pecusing judge Huitou, or any other, of Treason, for God forbid bot that should be lawful white there i- jast cause; but to do it without any gilour of ground, and to dorge a false accusation out of his own hrain, and to act iu such an insolent and mad way against a reverend judge, sitting in tue seat of judguent, this is the offence.

37 IIen. 6, 3. If pne call another Traitor, an appeal lieth beforr the constalle and marshal ; and if the appellant be killed in battle, it is jus ifinble.
so lib. Ass. One called justice Seaton Traitor, and answered well in damnges, as uppearieth more at large in the Record than in the Printed Book.
Mich. 5 Car. in Banco Regis, Nich. Jeoffes was indicted and fined in the King' $\Leftrightarrow$ Bench, for wriking a Petition, wherein he said the lord chief justice Cole was a Traitor.

Treasons are declared by the statate 25 En . 8, and thei this geatieman may expound a Text, he caunot expound Statutes, for this is proper for the judges of the realm. He is not to judge what is treason, and what not: "Trae'tent fabrilia fahci;' let him keep himself within the compass of his own profession.
This Offrnce is 'contra Coronam et Digui' tatem,' and the scandals against the king's Judges and Ministers trench upon the king hinsulff and therefore his raval majosty, detolling this odious and foul fact in the prisoner as the bor, buth commanded us his counsel to give Zvidence. The person of the party accased is
bett known to your lordobips to be a most greve, bonest, learned, and reverend Juige, and I presume, free from any thought of Treason.
Mjch' 33.34 Ed. 1. In this court, rot. 15, there was Roger de Heigham gave Judgment against one De Bruce in the Exchequer-Chamber. This De Brace was of a noble faunily: He asked this Roger, whether he would avow the Jódgment, and he told him Yes. Now, says De Bruoe, thou hast thy will, which of long titne thou hast sought: The Judge asked him what was that? He said, My Bhame and loss, and this I will think on. For this offence, in a kind of implicit way taxing the Judge of injustice, he was indicted, and confessed the Indictmént, gr. Mr. Ilarrison doth: The judgment was, Tint he should be committed, and there to remain during the king's pleasure, besides a great fine. The Record saith, ' Et quia sicut honor, et reverentia qui ministris domini regis ratione officii soi faciuntur, ipsi regi attribuuntur, sic dedecus et contemptus ministris suis fact. eidem domino regi inferuntur, consideratum est quod predictus Willielmus de Bruce districtos in corpore, eapite nudo, toga deposits eat è Banco domini regis ubi placita tenentur in Aula Weatnonasterii per medium Aula pradictex cum curin pleua fuerit, usque ad Scnc. ubi deliquit et ibidem veniain petatà prefato Rogero, \&c. Et postes cominittatur Turri London. ibidem moretar ad voluntatem regis.'
My Lords, This Offence, which was offergd to the person of a most reverend, learned, and boiest Juilge, by the rules of ohr Bboks, is a scandal done to the king himself, if there be no colour vor ground why the should take upon him to make this bold and impudent asseation. I doubt not but gou will maintain the honour of a Judge, and panjsh this Delinquent according to his demerits. His offence contained in the Indictment, is confessed in bis Exaunination, and by himself ore ients; thierefore you of the Jury need not depart from the bar.

Whereupon the Jary immediately gave in a Verdict, that he was guilty of the Indictment.
Mr. Attorney General. Now, my lord, I dcsire Judgment.
Upon which the Court pronounced the following Sentence : 'That be should pay a Fine 'to the king of 50001 , and be inprisoned dur' ing the bling's plegsure, and should have a © Paper upon Eis head, shewing his Offerice, ' and go therevith to all the courts of West' minster, and make his Subimission in every 'court in Wexminster-Hall, and in the Exche'eluer:' For it is an offerice to every court.

## Afterwards Justice Heittoa brought an action

 for these Words agninst Harrison, in whioh he recovered 10,0col, Demages.
## Andther Account of the above trial from tannerts mss. in the bodleian' Libraky.


#### Abstract

The following Account of the above Case, in the hand-writing of Archbishop Sancroft, is taken from a volume among Tanner's Mis. in the Bodleian Libraryet Oxford.


## Procredings uponthe Information abatinst TronasHaraison, Cleke, àt the Kino'sBemce Ban. 4 Junii, 1638.

Upon the Indictment it was declared, that the Common-Pleas is an antient court, that it is against the crowne and laws of this kingdom to diaturb the court, the Jodges judiciglly \#iting; that, notwithstianding. Thomas Filrrison, clerk, did wickedly end malicionaly defane sir Richard Hutcon, knight, one of the Judges of that Court, and then judicially sitting, there, seeking to deprive him of his life, goods, and chattels, and to procure the high displeasure of the king, and cause him to be apcounted Traitor both by our sovereign lord the king, his peers, and all his subjects. That upon the 4th day of May, anno 14 R. the sRid Thouns Harrison did by force ñd arms violently rush to the har of the said court of Cotamon Plens, and there accused the said sir Richard futton of Iligh-Treason, openly, publicly, aod with a high vaice pronouncing these words: "I do "accuse Mr. Justice Hution of High-Treason ;", to the manifest scandal of all the courts of justice, and to the grievous scaidal and damage of the said sin Richard Hutton.

Mr. *Atorncy declared, that Mr. Harrison had committed a notable and insolent contompt, to the disturbance of the court of Com-mon-Pleas, an antient and a high court, for the administration of justice between suhject and subject, with a wicked and nfalicious intent to bring Mr. Jastice Hutton into the king's high displeasure, and into danger of his life and estates, un offence of a high onture against the crown, and againse the dignity of all courts of justice.

His Examinatinn was here read, wherein he did confess the words. The points of treason wherewith he did charge Justice Hutton, were two: 1. For denyiug the King's Supremacy. 2. For seduciug the king's subjects to Sedition. The ground of his frst Charge was, that he had heard by common fame, that Mr. Justice Hutton did as a Judge deliter his gpinionn, that the king could not lawfuly levy the Ship-Mpney: The ground of his second Charge was, that by report near his dwelling, and upon his own knowledge the people of the county of Nocthamptou do deny to pay the Ship-Monoys be-1 ing moved thereuinto by some trensonable words, which Judge Hutton did deliver in his Charge at the Assizes there aguinat the linwfol levging thereof, ahich is contrary to the orth : dox opihion of all the loyal and well-learifed preaciers of this kingdom. Being nsked by the Lord Chief Juatice at his examination, why. he made choioe of 50 public a place to charge

Vul. HI.
the Judge, he answered, because the Judge had committed a puiblic treaton.

Mr. Solicitor told the lords, that Mr. Hartison being demanded in prison, why be took no other ministers with him; when he spoke the words, he boastingly replied, he did it, that they might tate an exaraple of courage.

Mr. Attorney iuforised the court, that Mr. Harrison had forged a most wicked and malicious slander against Mr. Justice Hattom, wherein wall neither colour, nor evidence. That by the old law, filse accusers were to suffer the sume punishment that the party accuse ed should have suffered, if he had been found guilty; so bateful was this offence to our forefnthers. But Mr. Harrison's offence was moch aggravated, if we consider the person ogainst whom it was committed, the high place of honour and trust wherein be is, his majesty having given him the trust of life and member, of the persons and eatates, of lis subjecis. Therefore a scandal against a person in such high honour and trust mast needs deserve a most exemplary punishment; and then to do it in so barbarous and uncivil a way. But Mt. Hirrison was much out of his trade to meddle with the laws of the kingdow, 'Tractent fo' brilia fubri,' he unay expound the scripture, but the cominon law hath given him no power to expound statater, and ncts of parliament. This offence doth concern the king himself. For thatlicandals cast upon the king's ministers are cast upon the king's justice. I shall now only desire your lordahips to hold in mind, that this scandn! was cnst upon a most reverend and most learned, most honest and sage judge, and accordingly give yoor censares.
Mr: Hargison spake thus in his own defence. The reason why I pleaded Not Guilty, was not because I meant to deny the speaking of the words, but because no man can be guilty, that goeth about to defend the king. I confess that Judges are to be honoured and relered an sacred persons, but this is to be granted only so long is they hold thenselves within the tenor of judges. They are then to bie accounted but as other sobjects. Indeed, I do not underatand the common laws, nor do I go about to ex poand them; therefore; the charge of iaterget cation is leid a little too deeply. The oath of Supremacy I have divers times saked, and find myself bound to maintain it. Auid whete any of the king's sobjects have laboured to ovetthrow his royalty and suprematcy, it is high cinfo for any logal subject to strike in, and to appeal the offender. Our nsual phrase for the kiog's Supretnacy is in all cases over all perm wons, and this is a cata of:Ship-Money.

Mr. Attority. We phall not need go leám of yoo what the Eidgha \$ipremacy is.
Mr. Harrisom. - 4 Civine understands the Supremacy no well es a lanyer, and a grat djvine as well as a gleat lawyer.
L. C. J. Then we must observe, that the denying of Sbip-Mousy is against the king's Supremácy.

Mr. Harrison. As a loyal sobject, I did laboor the defence of has majesty; and in the point of sedition, I and there is treason committed in that. For the people of the country where I live, do nuw reluse to pax the ShipMuncy, ujo,n Justiec llitton's Charge in that circuit. Our daties are to tell the people their dutiey. We find that toe kugg may do it. The reason why I did so publicly charge the Judge, way, becnuse thegre tore snehedelays and such windings in the procreding, of all courts, and matters carried by favour and affetion, that I thought a private insinuation would do litile egrod, and beades the ottence being opebly commited, I conceived it not aniky to make a public und open accusation.

Mr. Attorney. This is a scandal to all the courts of justice.
L. C. J. Mr. Harrisnn, if you haye any thing to say in your own defence, you ghall be heard: but this raving must not be sufficred.

Mr. Harrion. 1 nai net ignorant that somebody ${ }^{*}$ in this place is not a favourer, but rather a disfivourer, of my opinion, and that ins the person of a Judge. As for Mr. Justice Hutton, though he be a man in great account, yet all his actions have not been approvable. Concerning the Judges arguing in the matyer of Slij-Money, it wnsathe king's gracious clemency, to have his posver manifested by streugth of arguments, and to that end $\cdot$ wns ple.ised to perinit counsel on the adverse party, who urge urguments agninst if, anil that the Judges shall he maderators. And the analuzy holds very aptly, between this kind of argung, and the public disputations apon points of divinity in the schools, where we have a morlerator, whose ollice is, if the opponeut urge any argument weakly, to urge it horne, yet in the end he mast deternine for the truth. So here the Judges being moderators may urge arguments against the king, but yot in the end to conclude for the king's prerogative. And as neither king nor God will suffer any divine to conclude in heresy, so neither doth his najesty give his Judges leave to conclode in. Sedition, nor have the Judges ppwer to make or phonounce laws ngainst the king's premgative, but are our moderators in the case of arguing.
Judge Bartleit. As we are moderators of cases, so we are moderators of persons too, and therefore will moderate your lavish libesty of spesch. You have slagdered one Juige already, and in all our apprehensions you bave flown in the face of two more,
M Mr. Harrison. If I. had not hadristenta apeak, I had been silent: nop do I think $\boldsymbol{I}$ baye committed auy offence against justice or reison. For It ial atill we arg. not tó question the king's actions ; they are only hety een God and his own eonicience, 'Sufticit requ, qood

[^48]© Deus est.' And although the outward action might seem not to be altogether withiut some rashness, yet there tras some unutter in it of moment, and which every loyal subject ought to maintaiu. Ihis thessis I will stand to, that whatsoever the bing in bis conscience thinketh he many require, we ought to yield.
I. C. J. Do yau not think that the king may $\mathrm{h}^{4}$ veru his people by the common laws ?

Alrs-Harrison. Yes, and by something else too.

Judge Bartlett. W'hat do you think of this then, 合 the king shall be persuadell in his conscience, that he must present another man to your living; would younot maintain your right by law?
Mr. LIarrison. .No, with all my heart I would subunit unto his majesty.
L. C. J.- But you mistake my brother Bartlett's question; he tneaneth thas, that if the king sliould be persuaded that he had right and title to your parsonnge, and did desire that the title shuuld be tried, whecther in this case would you stanid to a trial?
Mr. Harrison. . I will nnswer your lordship. There is a difference between a demand that concerns some petty right, or the title of this or that particulur matter, and a demand, which concerns the great royalty of the king; you unking him, if you deny his roynlty.
Mr. Alturney. This Defeace is à very inpudent justification, which you may be questioned for in another place: as they are bold and audacious nisertions, sa they proceed from a distempered brain. For the wattoc of SbipMoney, or whatever else concerneth dis majesty's royal prerogative, we, that are the king's counsel, have and will upon all occusions.be ready to speak and do as becones the duty of our places, and we shall not crave the aid of Mr. Harrison. 1 must let your lurdsiips know that he protests a detestation of the fact, and willeth that your lordslips give a serere Censure.

Verdict. Then the Jury'withnut going from the lar presently found him Guilty.

Whereupon the Lord Chicf Justice asked him what he could say for himself that judgment should not pass against him. He answered, If I have offended his majenty in this, I do submit to his majesty and crave his par-* don.

I~C. J. . Your if will he very ill taken by Wis napjesty, noc can this, be takea for a suli"pistion.

Ms Harvison. That I apake the words, I confess, but whether well or not, kit every loyal subject jutdge.
3)Mr. Altortey. My lords, this concerns Mr. Jostice Hutton of miore than your lordshipn. Therefore I cgave juigeaent und such a censure as rang beconie, the beinousness of the offence. Ho dumages, but a large fine.
The Cexyung. 1. Fiue 5,000l. g. Imprisonmeint during tho king's pleasure. 's. To soberit rive rypere in the Exchequer-Chamber. 4. T'o le carried foim thence to all the courts
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in Westminster with * Paper upon his head contanisg his offence. 5. And to be left open to Mr. Justice Hutton to take his remedy agaiust him by his action.

And, in purguance of the leave given to Jostice Ilutton in the 5th Article, he thd in Trin. 14 Car. R. 10S8, bring a special action of the case in the Common-Pleas against Mr. Harrison $>$ sir Robert Heath one of the king's scrjeants, and Mr. Lance the prince's Atporney, being of counsel for the Plaintiff. Mr. Bear and Mr. Maymard for the Defendunt. The

Declarntion itself is upon record. The With nesses to prove the wurds were Mr. Williant Sunithson, Mr. Clove and Mr. Turner, ofi Clerks of the Common-Pleas. To the Dechasration the Defendant Thomas Harriaon pleaded Not Guity. Whereuptor issue was juined, Term. Mich. 14 Car. R: And a Jury of Mid ${ }^{\text {² }}$ dle-ex consisting of knights and enguires, nt the King's-Bench bar found for the Plaintiff Mr: Justice IIutton, and assessed darnages to $10,000 l$, and the Defendont Harrison brought a writ of error.

# 150. The Trial * of Thomas Earl of Strafford, $\dagger$ Lord Lieutenant of Ireland, for High Treason: ${ }^{\circ} 16$ Charles I. a.d. $1640 \ddagger$ • 

## November 11, 1640.

TIIIS day a Mensage from the Conmons to the Lords was delivered by Mr. Pym, to thas effect:
" My Lords; The knights, citizens, nad burgesses, now assembled for the Cominons in par-

[^49] coedings concerting the earl of Straffurd rasat be taken to be intended outly to the'act af.attainder." Which report being ageeed to by'the jouse; it was ordcred, "That there he a aots or memorandum, of the aforesaid Ondin in the margin of the Joornals, where any soch proceedings have boen obliterated/2-Buc an nuthentic copy of all the proceedingi was after-
liament, have received information of divers tratorons designs and practices of a gteat peer of this house ; and, by virtuc of a commind from them, 1 do here, in the name of the Commons now ussembled in parliament, and in the name of ${ }^{*}$ all the Commons of England, accuse Thomas earl of Strafford, Lord Lieutenant of
warts interleaved in the original Journal by an order of the house, 15th Feb, 1768, and has since appeared in the printed edicions of that work." W'obb. Pall. Mist. 784.
+1 Clar. Iist. 118, 15e, \&c. 1 May's Hist.' of the Parliament, b. $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{d}}$ c. 8. See tha larger Trial, which heing an eatire volome in Rushworth's Collection, is purposely omitted, and this inserted is the stead thercof.
$\ddagger$ Whitlock, whom Hume fillows and quoters" says: "The time of the parliament's meeting drawing near, it was considered at York, whether the Earl of Straffiord shovill repair to the house, or contiune in the North with the army: The king was earuest for lis going up to the parliawent,' as one, of whose service he should have great occasion, and placid much confidence in his fuithfolness and abilities. The Barl tousbly desired the king, to excoce his goiug to tho parliament, alledging, ${ }^{4}$ That he 'should not be able to do his majesty auy "er'' vice there; but should rabler be a means to ' hinder his affairs; in regosd lie foresaw that ' the great enry, und ill-will of the parliament, ${ }^{6}$ and of the Scots, would be bent ngainst him; - whereas, if be lept out of sight, he would adt ' be ao much in their aind as be should be, by ${ }^{r}$ shewing himself in parliament; and if they should fall apon him, he being at-a distance, thatsocver they should conclude againgt thim, ' he might the better avoid, and retire from' any ' danger, having the liberty of bieirt ont of their Hands ind to go- over'to Ireland if or 30 sume 'olider place, wjere he uight be thust service-
${ }^{\prime}$ nble to his majestly, bot if he should put hip-:
4. self into their power, by coining up to the par.

6 limmont, it was eviderit that the louse of cost-: monegand the Seots, with all their proty, espe-
${ }^{6}$ efilly being prorioked by this coming amongst.
s,them, would presently fall upon' him, anid prio-
c-aure his destrucioo.' The king, notwith-
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Ireland, of High Treason. And they have commanded me further to desire your lordships, that be may be sequestered ffrom Parliament, and forthwith coupmited to prison. They havę ciuther coumanded me to let your lordships know, that they will , within a few days, resort to your lordshys, with the particular Articles and Grumbls of his Accusation; and they do further desire that your hordships will think upon some convenient and fit way, that the passage between Ireland nad England, for his majesty's subjects of both'kingdouns, may be free, notwithstanding uny restraint to the contrary."

After this, the Commons withdrew ; and the Lord Keeper reported the effect of it th the house. And, after their lordships had considered of the Message, they resolved to give this Answer for the present, by the Lord Keeper : viz.
"Tbat the lords do let them know, that they hnve been made acquainted with the Charge of High Treason, which the Comusons have made'
standing these reasons, continued very earnest for Strafford'sconing up turthe parliament; for which he laid his commands"upon hina: and told hisn, ' That as he was king of Englaod, be was able to secure him frow any dunger; and that the parliament should not tonch one hair of his head,' The Earl thanked rig majesty, but replied, "That if there should fin out a difference between his majesty and his parlinment, concerning hitn,"that it would be a great disturbance to his majesty's affairs; and that he had rather suffer himself, than that the
' king's affairs should in any ineasure sofier, by
'reason of his particular.' 'The king remained onalterable in his resolution concerning Strafford's coming up to the parliament, saying, -That he could not want his advice in the great - transactions, which were like to be in this par© liament,' and in obedience to his commands, the Earl came up to London." Memorials, $p$. 36, fol. ed 1682. See also. 2 Strafford's Letters, 416. It is impussible to censider this, and the subsequent conduct of king Charles towards Strafford, without perceiving that either the liead or heart of the king was very much in fault in these transactions. Nr. Seward, from Baillie's Journal, has introduced into bis "Anecdotes," the following interestirg account of the commencement of this Impeachment against StrafSord:
' All things go here as we could wish. The tieutenant of Irfland, lord Strafford, came but ${ }^{4}$ on Mouday to town, late; on Taeeday risted: ${ }^{6}$ and on Whadnesday chme to parlianent; but ${ }^{4}$ ere night he was caged. Intolerable pride
${ }^{6}$ and oppression call to heaven for vilueppe.

- The lower house closed their deors; the
${ }^{-}$Spenker kept the keys till his accusation was
'concluded. Thereafter Mr. Pym went up with
a number at his back to the higher house, aud,
A in a pretty short speech, did, in the name of
'the commons of all England accuse Thomas © lord Strafford of High Treason, and required
${ }^{6}$ his person to be aqreated till probqation snight
against the Earl of Strafford; and their lordships do not doubt but that the Compnows did take great consideration in it before they came hither; and their lordships will take $j$ t into their consideration, and will send thom a further Answer, by messengers of their own."

Whereupon the Commpns went to their owa house; and the earl of Strafford, coming into the house, was comfmanded to withdraw. Then their lprdships, falling into a serious debate of the Message, concloded, and ordered, "That the Earl of Strafford, for this Accusation of High Treason by the Commons, shall be presently committed to the safe custody of tho Gentleman Usher of this house; and to be sequestered from coming to this bouse of parliament, until he hath cleared himself of this Accusation."
The Earl of Strafford being called to the bar as a delinquent, kneeling, the Lord Keeper, by direstion of the huuse, signified to him as followeth:
'be made: so Mr. Pyin and his back were re' moved. The lords began to consalt on that 'strange and unpremeditated motion. The ' word goes in haste to the lord lieutenant, ' where he was with the king: with speed he ' comes to the house of peers, and calls rudely
' at the dour. Jumes Muxwell, keej'er of the 'black rod, opens. His lordship, with a prood ' kl looming countenance, makes towydy his 'place at the board head, but at once many ' bid him void the house. So be is forced in 'confusion to go to the door till he is called. " After consultation he stands," hac "is told to ' kneel, and on his knees, to heur the sentence.
'Being ou his knees, Be is delivered to the 'black rod to be prisoner, till he is cleared of 'the crimes he is charged with. He offered to 'spenk, but was commanded to be gone with'out a word. In the outer room, James Max'well required of him, es prisoner, to deliver ' him his sword. When he had got it, with a ' loud voice be told his magn to carry the lord 'lieutenant's sword. This done, be makes 'through a number of people towards his 'coach, all gazing, no man capping to him, ' before whon that morning the greatest in ' England would have stood discovered; all 'crying, What is the matter? He said, $A$ small. 'matter, I warrant you. They replied, Yes 'indeed, high treason is a imall matter! Com'ing to the place where he expected his coach, 'it was not thewe; so lie behoved to return the ' same way through a wbrld of gaxing people. ' When at last he bad found his coach, and was ' eptering it, James Maxwell told him, my lord, jog are my prisoner, and must go in my coach; so he behoved to do. For some days too "manky went to see him; but since, the parliam 'ment has cqenmanded his keepers to be atraiter. 'PPoursuivants are dispatched to Ireland, to open all porta, and to proclaim, that all who ' had grievancet might come over.' Baillie gives a not ineuriöus or uniataresting account of this Trial.
" My lord of Strafford; The House of Commons, in their name, and io the name of the whole Comuons of the kiagdom of Fagland, have this day accused your lordship, to the lords spirituad und tomporal in this high court of perliament assembled, of High Treason, The Ar ticles they will within few days produce. In the mean tione, they have deaired of my lords, and the lords have accordingly resolved, Thit your lordyhip shall be committed to safe custody to the gentleman usher, and 'sequistered from this house, until your lordship have cleared yourself of the Accusation that shall be laid against you."

After this, he oficging to speak, was not permitted, but immediately sent away. And so the earl of Strafford went out of the house to the gentleman usher. Afterwards, the lords thought it'fit to send a Message to the Commons, to let them know huw far they had proceeded for the present; and their lordships did astree, that the two Lords Chief Justices should deliver a Message to the Commons, to this effect : "That the lords of the high court of parliament have taken into consideration the Charge of High Treason, which the Conumons have made uguinst the earl of Strafford; and do let them know that their lordships have committed him to safe custody; and have sequestered him from coming to the house; and do desire that the Articles and Accusation ngainst him may be brought in speedily; and further to let them know, that their lordships will take it into consideration how to free and open the passage between Ireland and England, notwithstanding iny festraint; and to that purpose will move his majesty in it."
November 25. A Conference took place betwieen both Houses; and the Lord Keeper reported the effect of it; viz. "That the Hoose of Commons have delivered sheir Articles of High Treason against the Earl of Strafford, consisting of divers Charges; and that the Commons desired, ${ }^{-1}$. That the Earl may be called to answer the said Articles. 2. That they mary be made acquainted with the Earl's Answer. 3. That they may be made acquainted with the Depositions. 4. They required further, they might add to their Accusation, ns occasion should serve. After this, the Articles were read publicly, in haec verba:
Aaticters of the Commons assembled in Parliament agejnst Tromas Parl of gfraviord, in maintenance of the Accppasiox, whereby he stands charged of High Treason.

1. That he the seid Thomes Earl of Strafford hath craitorously endeavoused to suluvers* the fundamental laws and government of the realns of England and Ireland, and, inslead thereof, to introdnce an arbitrary and tyrannical governneat against law; whide be bath declared by traitorous words, counsels, and attions; and by giving his majesty advice, by

[^50]force of armes to compel his logal subjects tos. submit thereunto.
II. That he hath traitoroasly assumed to himeself regal power over the lives, liberties, persone, lands, and goods of his majesty's subjects in England and Ireland; aldd hath exericised the same tyrannically, to the subversion and undoing of many, both of peers, and others of his majesty's liege people.
in. That the better to enrich and easable
 signs, be hath detained a greus part of his man jesty's revenue, without ing legal accountz and hath takea great sums oft of the Exchef. quer, converuing tbem to his own use, when hif. majesty wanted money, for his own urgent 006 casions, and his army had been i loug time un $\sim$ paid.

1v. That he bath traitorously abused the power and auchority of his governiment, to the iucreasing, countenancing, and encouraging of papists; that so he might settle a mutual dependence and coufidence betwixt himself and that party, and, by their help, prosecute and accumplisb his malycious and tyrannical designs.
$v$. That he hoth malicinusly endearoured to stir up eamity and hostility between his majesty's subjects of England and those of Scotland.
vi. That he hath traitorously broke the grest trost repoigd in him by his majesty, of lieutenapt general of his army, by wilfol betraying divers of his majesty's, subjects to death, his army to a dishonourable defeat by the Scots at Newborne, and the town of Newcastle into their hands; to the end, that by the effusion of blood, by dishonuar, and so great a loss as that of Newcastie, his majesty's.realm of Englaud mighebe engnged in a national and irreconcileable quarrel with the Scots.
vir. That to preserve himself from being questioned for those, and other his traitorous coursts, he laboured to subvert the right of parliaments, ant the antient course of parliamentary proceedings; and, by false and malicious slanders, to incense his majesty against parlinments. By which words, counsels, and actions, be hath traitorously, and contrary to his allegiance, laboured to alienate the hearts of the king's liege people from his, majesty, to set a division between them, and to rain and destroy his majesty's kinedoms: for which they impeach him of High Treason against our soivereign lord the king, his crown and dignity.
viri. And he the said earl of Strafford was lord depoty of Ireland, and fieutenatit gepieral of the army of his most excellent imajest, for his kingdoms both of England and Irefind, and the lord president of the nortb; during the time thakell und every the crimes and offences before set forth were, dons and committed; and he the aid carl was ieutemant general of all his majesty's'may in the north parts of England, during the time hiar the crimes and offences in thie fifth and sixitharicies set forth were doins and enmmitted.
Ix. That the atid Commons, by protestations saring to themselves the liberty of exhibiting of
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aay time lereafter any other Accucation or Im peachment ngainst the said Earl; and also of replying to the Answers that he the said Ear shall make unto ghe said Articles, or to any 9 them, and of olfering proois; tulso of the pre mises or-any of thein; or any other lmpeach ment or Accusation that shall he extribited b: them, us the cause shall, according to the course of parlinuent, require: do pray that the sair earl may be put tos answer lor all and every o: the premises, tho euclr proceedinge, exainipa tions, trials, and ydgguents may be upon every of them had and usce as is agyecable to lav and justice.
Artictes of the Commons nstembled in Parhament against Trowas Farl of Sta nfroze $\boldsymbol{p}_{1}$

- in maintenance of their Accusation, wheteby he stands charged with High.'Treason.
Whereas the said Countions have already exhibited Articles agninst the said Earl, in hac verba, now the said Conimons do further impeach the suid Earl as followeth: (that is to say)

4. That the saill earl of Strafford, the 21st day of Murch, in the aighth year of his majesty's reign, was I'resideut of the king's council in th northern parts of England.

That the snid earl being President of the said council, on the q1st of March a Commiswion under the grent seal of Fagland, with certain schedules of instructions thereonta annexed, was dirccred to the said earl, and others the conumissioners therein yamed, whereby, among other things, power and authority is homited to the, svid earl, and uthers the commissioners therell named, to hear and determine all offences and misdemeanoun, sants, dehares, controversies and dcmands, causes, things and matters whatsoever therein contained, and within certain precincts in the snid northern parts thercin specified, and in such manner as by the said schedule is limited and appoinced.

- That, amongst other things in the s:Ad Instructions, it is directed, that the said President, and others thercin appointed, shall hear and determine according to the course of proceedings in the Court of Stan-Chamber, divers offences, deceits and falsitics, therein meutioned, whether the same be provided for by acts of parliament or not; so that the Fines imposed be not less than by the act or acts of parliament provided against those offences is appointed.
That also, amongst other things in the snid Instructions, it is directed, that the said President, and others thercin appointed, have power to examinte, henr, and deternine, according to the course of proceedings in the Court of Chancery, all manner of Complaints for any matter. within the suid precincts; as well concerning. lanids, tenements, and hereditnmenti, eidher free-hold, customary, or copy-hold, is lenses, and other things therein mentinsed; sad to stay proceedinga in the court of Commien Law by Injunction, or otherwise, by all ways and means, has is used in the Court of Chaneery.
And although the former Previdents of the anid Council had nevei pot in practice such Insuructions, not had they any such Instructions;
yot the said Earl, in the month of May, in the said 8th year, and divers years following, did put in practice, exercise and use, and caused to be used and put in practice the said Comreistion and Instructions; and did dirtet and exercise an exorbitant and uniawful power and jurisliction over the Persons and Estates of his majesty's subjects in those parts, and did disinhẹrit divers of his' ingjesty's subjects, in those parts, of their inheritances, sequestered their possessious, and trid fiae, ransoin, punish and imprison theni; and causcd them to be fined, ransonenl, punished, and imprisoned, to their ruin and destruction; and namely, sir Coniers Darcy, tir Jolm Bourches, and divers others, against the laws, and in subversion of the same. And the said commission and instructions were procured nad issued by advice of the suid Farl.

And he the said Earl, to the intent that such illegal and unjust power might be exercised with the greater licunce und will, did advise, counsel, and procure further Directions, in and by tha said lustructions to be given, that no Prolitition be grented at all, but in cases where the said Council shall exceed the limits of the said Instructions: And that if any Writ of Habeay Corpus be granted, the party he not discharged till the party perforin the Decree and Order of the saidcouncil.

And the suid Earl, in the tsth year of his majesty's reign, did procure a new Commiscion to himself, ohd others therein uppointed, with the said Instructions, and other unlawfol Additions.
That the said Commission and Instructions were procural by the solicitation and advice of the said earl of Straftord.
11. That shortly aftet the obtainuing, of the said Commission, dated the 21st of March,"itt the 8th year of his majesty's reigu, to wit, the last day of Auguse thea next following, he the said 'Fart (to bring his majesty's liege peuple into n dislike of his majesty, and of his government, and to terrify the Justices of the P'ence from executing of the laws; he the said Earl heing then President, as aforevaid, und a Justice of Peace) did publicly, nt the $A$-sizes held for the cousty of York, in the city of York, in and upon the said last dny of August, declare and publish before the people there akending for the administration of justice according to. law, (and in the presence of Justices sitting) that otng of the Justices wereall for lnw, nnd noSing woull please them bot taw; but they hootd find that the King's Little-finger should be heavier than the Ioins of the Lawz:
nf. That the realin of Ireland -fitying been time out of mind annexed to the lmperial Csomu of this Bis majesty's realin of. England, and governed by the same laws; the said Earl being Lord-Deputy of that realm, to bring his majesty's liegs subjects of that kingdom likewiso iato dislike of his majesty's government, and inz ending the subrersion of the fundamentad lans and settled government of that realin, and the lestruction of-his majesty's liege people there, Did upon the soth day of September, in: the

9th yenr of his now mejesty's reign, in the city of Dubliff (the chief city of that reahn, where his'majesty's.privy-council and courts of justicè do ordinariky reside, and whither the mubility and gentry of that realin do usually resort for justice), in a public speech, befure divers of the nobility and gentry of that kingdom, and befure the mayor, aldermen, nad recorder, und many citizens of Dublin, and other lis majesty's liege peaple, declare und publish, That Ireland was a conquered nation, and that the king might do with them what he pleased. And speaking of the Cluaters of former kiugs of Englund made to that city, he further then said, That their Charters were nothint worth, and did bind the king no further than he pleAsed.
Iv. That Kichard earl of Cork having sued out process.in course of lav, for recovery of his possensions, from which he was put by colour of an Order made by the snill eurl of Strafford, and the Council-Table of the said realn of Ircland, upon a Paper-Petition, yithout legal proceeding, did the 20th day of February, in the 11th year of his now majesty's reign, threaten the said Farl (being then a peer of the said realin) to imprison hinn, unless tre would surcease his suit; and said, That he would bave neither law nor lawyers dispute or question his Orders. And the \%Oth day of March, in the naid 11 th year, the said carl of Straford, speaking of an Order of the said Council-Table of that realm, made in the time of king James, which concerned a Lease which the said earl of Cơok claimed in certain recturies or tythes, which the said searl of Cork alledged to be of no force, said, That he woald make the said Earl and all Ireland byow, that so long as he had the government there, any Act of State there mude, or to be made, shall be asbinding to the subjects of that kinglom, as an Aet of Parliament: And did question the said earl of Cork, in the Castle-Chumber there, upon pretence of breach of the sed Order of CouncilTable: and did smadry other times, and upon sumitry other occasions, by his words und speec'ies, arrognte to humself a power above the fundamental laws and established goremnment of that kingrom; and scorned, the said laws and established government.
v. That according to such his Declarations ynal Speeches, the said Farl of Strafford did nse and esercise a power, above, and agaiast, and to the subversion of the said fiudansental havs and established government of the said realm of Irelund; extending such his power to the goods, freebolds, inheritances, liherties, and lives of his majetty's subjects of the saiid realm: and qamely, the snid earl of Strnforrd, the 18th day 群 December, $\mathbf{1 6 3 5}$, in the time of fir peace, did in the said realm of Treland give, and procure to be given, against thejord Mountnorrs, (then and yet n peer of the said realm. of Ireland, and then Vice-Treasurer and Re-ceiver-General of the realm of Ireland, and Treasurér at War, and one of the Principal Secretaries of State, and Keeper of the PrivySignet of the said kingdom) a Sentence of Death
by a Conncil of War, called together by the sith earl of Straffird, without may warruut or authority of taw, or offence deserving any such punikiment. And he'the said Farl did alos at Dühlin, within the snid realm of Trcland, in tha month of Mtarch, in the, 1ith year of his innjesty's reigu, witbont any legal of due proceedinga or trial, givo, and causeto be given, a Sentence of Deuth against one uther óf his majesty's subjects, zhooé mame is yet, unkwown; and caused tim to be put to death in exeootion of the sume Sentence.
vi. That the said eurl of Strafford, without ony legal proceedipge, and upon a Paper Petitiou of Richard Rolston, did cause the said lord Myuntoneris to he disseized, and put out of possession of his freehold and inheritance of his manuor of Tymore in the caunty of Armagh, in the kingdoun of Ireland, the said lord Mountnorris having been 18 years before in quiet possession thereof.
vir. That the said Varl of Sirafliord, in the Term of Holy Trinity, in tho 1sth year of his now majesty's reign, dirt cause a Case, commonly called ' Tite Cave of Tenures upon defective Titles,' to be made and drawn up without noy jury or trial, or other legal process, and without the consent of parties; and did then procure the Judges of the said realm of Ircland to delirer their Opinions and Resolutions to that case; und hy colour of such opision did, without any Irgal proceodngs, cause Thomas lowd Dilloo, a peer of the said realm of Ireland, to be put out of the possession of divers lands and tenements, being his freehold, in the counties of Mayo and Roscommon, in the said kingdom : And divers other of his majesty's suljects to be put out of passession, and clisseized of their freehold, by culour of the same resolution, without legal proreedings; whereby many hundreds of his masjesty's subjects were undone, . and their fargilies utterly ruinated.
virt. That the said Earl of Strafford, upon a Petition of sir John Giffird knt. the Ist dny of February, in the said 13Lh year of his majesty's reign, without nay legal process, made a Decree or Order aguast Adam visconnt Loftus of Ely, a peer of the stid realm of Ireland, and lord chancellos of Ireland; did cause the said riscount to be imprionned, and kept close prisoner, on pretence of disobedience to the said decree or wrder.

And the said Earl, without any puthority, and contrary to his commiscion, required, and 'commsoded the said Lord Viscount to yield up' unto him the Great Seak of the realm of Ireland, which was thien in his cusgody by his maJesty cornmand, and imprisoned the said Chancellor for not obeying such bis command.

And without Kuy legal proceeding did, in the same 13th year, ;imprisom George earl of Kildnre, a peor of Ireland, against law, thereby to enforge him to submit his title to themanar nad: tordship of Casteleigh in the Queen', cowity, (being of great yearly value) to the saide Eath of Strafford's will and pleasure, and liept. him a year prisoner for the said cause; two.
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months whereof he kept him close prisoner, and refused to enlarge hipn, notwithstaoding his majesty's Letters for his enlargement, to thé said earl of Straford directed.

And upon a Petition exhibited in October, 1635, by Thomas Hibbots, against dame Mary Hibbots widow, to him the said earl of Stralford; the,seid Earl of, Strafford recommended the said Petition to the Council-Table of Ireland, where the most part of the Council gave their vote and opininn for the said hady : but the said Earl finding fault berewith caused an Order to be entered against the said lady, and tbreatened her, that if she refused to submit thereanto, he would imprison her, and fine her s00l.: that if she continued obstinate, he wquid

- continue her imprisonment, and double her fine every month. By means whereof she was enforced to relinquish her estato in the lands questioned in the said Petition, which shortly after were conveyed to sir Robert Meredith, to the, use of the said Earl of Strafford.

And the said Earl in like manner did imprison divers others of his majesty's subjects, upon preteace of disobedience to his Orders, Decrees, and other illegna commands by him made for pretended debts, titles of lands, and other causes, in an arbitrary and extra.judicial course, upon Yaper Petitions to him preferred, and no cause legally depending.

1x. Tuat the said Enfl of Strafford the 10th dny of February, in the 19th ycar of his majesty's reign, asquming to himself a power above and aguiost law, rook upon him, by a General Warrant under his band, to give power to the lórd bishop of Downe and Connor,"his chancellor or chancellors, and their several officers thereto to be appointed, to attach and arrest the bodies of.all such of the meuner nad poorer sort, who, after citation should either refuse to appear before them, or appearing shoyld omit or deny to perforin or undergn all luwful Decrees, Sentences, and orders, issued, imposed, or given out against them, and them to cotamit, and keep in the nexit gaol until they should either perform sach Seutences, or put in sufficient bail to shew some reason before the Council,Table of such their contempt and neglect. And the suid Earl, the day and year last mentioned, signed and isowed a warrant to that effect; and made the like warrants to several other bishops, and their chancellors, in the said realm of Ireland, to the same effict.
x. That the estid Earl of Strafford, being Lord-Lieutenant or Deputy of Irelesid, procured the Customs of the Merchandizes exported out and imported into that realys to be carmed to his own use.-And in the gth yeft of his now majeay's reign, be having then interest in the said customs, (to advance his Own gria and lucre) did canse and procure the native comriodities of Ireland to be rater in the Epols of Rates for this Customs (according to which the customs were usullly, gatiered) at - far greater vuluem and prices, than in truth they vere worth; tiot is tho tis, every hide at ${ }^{2} 0 \mathrm{~s}$., which in truth wate worth but 5s.; every otone
of wool at 18s. 4d., though the same were really worth but 58. , at the utuforst 98. : $8 y^{\prime}$ Which means the Custom, which before was bilf a twentieth part of the true value of the commodity, was enhanced sometimes a Gifth part, autd sometimes to a fourth, and sometimes to a third part of the true value, to the great oppression of the suhjects, and decay of merchandize.
xI. That the said Earl, in the 9ih year of his Anjesty's reign, did by his own will and pleasure, and for his awn lucre, restrain the exportation of the commodities of that kingdum without bis licence; us namely, pipestaves, and pther commodities; and then raised great suins of money for ${ }^{-}$Licences of exportation of those commbdities, and dispensation of the said restraints imposed on them: by which means the pipe-staves were raised from $4 l .10 \mathrm{~s}$, or $5 l$. per 1000 , to $10 l$. and sometimes $11 l$. per 10 g 0 . And other conmmodities were euhanced in the like proportion, and by the same meags, by bin the said Earl.
XII. That the said Earl, being Lard Depoty of Ircland, on the 9th day of Jannary, in the 13th year of his now majesty's reign, did then, under colour to regulate the importation of Tobacco into the said realm of Ireland, issue a Proclamation in his inejesty's name, prohibiting the importation of ToLacco, without licence of him and his council there, from and ufier the 1st day of May, 1638. After which restraint, the said Earl, notwithstandingthe said restraint, caused divers great quantities of Tobacco to be imported to his own use, and freighted difers ships with Tobucco, which heiruperted to his own use: and that if any ship brought Tobacco into any port there, the said Earl and his agents used to bay the same to his own usc, at their owt price; and if that the owners refused to let him have the same at under-values, then they were not permitted to vent the same there. By which undue means the said Earl having gotten the whole trade, of 'Tobaçco into his own bunds, he sold it at great and excessive prices, such as he list to impose for his own profit.

And the more to assure the said monopoly of Tobacco, be the said Earl, on the 23rd day of February in the 13th year aforesaid, did issue another Proclamation, commanding that none ahould put to sale any Tobacco by wholesale from and after the last day of May then next following, but what shophd be made upintorolls, and othe same sealed with two seals by hinself eppoipted; one at each end of the roll. And such as 'was not osealed to be seized, ippointing 6d, the pound for a reward to such persons so should seize the same; and the perFon in whose cuatody the unsealed Tobaceo Thould be found, ta be comenitred to trol ; which last Proclamation was coloured by a preteace for the restraining of the sale of wnwholesomef tobaceo, but it was truly to advance the said Monopoly.
Whiçh Proclanation the said Earl did rigorously put ingexecution, by seiring the-goods, fining imprieosing, wijpping, and putting the effenders agdinst the same Proclemation of tho
pillory ; as nimely, Barnaby HuLbard, Biward Cavena, Nohe Tapien, and divers others; and made the officers of state, and justices of peace, and other uficers to serve him in the compassing and executing these unjust and undue coarses. By which cruelties, and unjout Monopolies, the suid Karl raised 100,000 L. per annuin gain to himself, And yet the said Earl. though he enhanced the Custons nhere it concerned the meichauts in general, yet dreps down the impost formerly taken on Yobacco from od. the pound to 3d. the pound; it leing for his own profit so to do.

And the said. Eurl, by the same and other rigoruus and undue peans, raised several other Monopolies and unlawful exactions for his own gain, viz, ou starch, iron pots, glasses, tobaccupipes, and several other coinmodities.
xill. That Flax being oue of the principal and native commodities of that kingdom of Ireland, the said Earl hiving gotten. grent quantities thereof into his hand, and growing on his own lands, did issue Aut several Proclamations, viz. the one dated the S1st of May, in the 12 th of his mujesty's reign; and the other dated the 31st of January, in the same year ; thereby prescribing and enjoining the worhing of Flax into yarn and thread, and ibe ordering of the sanue in such ways, whurein the natives of that kingdom werc uupractised and unskilful. Which Proclamations so issued, were Ly his commands and warrants to bis ingjesty's jostices of peace, and other oflicers, and liy other rigorous mesnis, put in exceution: and the Flax wrougit or ordered in other manner than as the said proclamation prescribed, wus seized, and employ, d to the use of hinn and his agents: and thercby the said earl endeavoured to gam, pand did gain in effect, the sole sale of that native commudity.
xIv. That the said Earl, by proclamation dated the 16th of October, in the 14th year of his majcsty's reign, did unpuse upon the owners, niasters, pursters, and hoatswains of every ship, a new and unlawfiul oath, viz. That they, or two or more of thenn, immediately after the arrival of any slijp within any port or creek in the stud kingdom of Irelanil, should give in a true invoice of the outward bulk, of wares and merchandues first laden aboard -them, together with the several marks and number of goonds, and the qualities and condition of the siid goods as fiar as to them should be known; the names of the severaitmerchants propriptors of the said goodsy and the placefroun whence they were fraughted, and whither they were hound to discharee: which Prociamation was accordingly pat in execution, and sundry persons enforced to take the said unlawful oath.
xv. That the said Earl traitorocsly and wickedly devised and contrived, by force of arms, and in a warlike manner, to sobdue the subjects of the noid realm of lreland, and to bring shem ander bis tyraunical powver and will; sad in pursuance of his wrteed and traitorous'purposes aforesaid, the said Earl in the
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8th year of his majesty's reign, did by his nwn authority, without any whrrant or colour of lan, tax and impodse great sums of money ypon the tow: s of Bultimore, Boulenbridee, Talowic, and divers other towns aud places in the snid realm of Ireland; and did cause the same to he lovied upon the inhabitants of those towns by troops of soldiers, with force and arms, in marlike unanner. AuA ou the 9 th of Murch, in the 12th year of his now minjesty's reign, tmiltrously did give authority unto Robert Savile, a scrjeunt at arms, and to the captains of the cumpanies of soldiers in several parts of that realm, to send surl numbers of soldiers to lie on the lands and houses of such os would not conform ta his orders, untal they should retider obedience to his said urders and wasrants ; and after such submission, and not before, the said soldiers to return to theil garrisons: And did also issue the like warrunts unto divers others, which warnants were in warlike manner, with force and armx, pot in execution accordingly ; and by such wallike means did force dirers of his majesty's subjects of thint realm to submit therselves to his unlawfut commands.

And in the said 19th year of his mnjesty's reign, the said Earl did trailorously cause certain troops of horse and foot, armed in warlike manner nod in warlike array, with force and arms, to expel Richard Butler from the possessida of the manor of C'gatle-Camber, in the territury of Idough, in the said realen of Ireland; and did likewise, and in like warlike manuer, expel divers of his majesty's suljects from their houses, families, and possessions; ns namely, Edward Obreninan, Owen Obermav, Jolin BrenAaan, Patrick Oberman, sir Cyprian Horsefield, and divers others, to the nuniber of nbout an 100 fanilies; and took and imprisoned then and their wives, and carried them prisonerg to Dublin, and there detained, until they did yield upt, surrender or relcase their respective estates nad rights.
And the said Earl in like warlike mannerhath, doring his government of the said kingdom of Ireland, subdued divers others of his mejesty's subjects there to his will ; and thereby, and by the means aforesaid, bath levied war within the said realm against bis majesty and his liege people of that kingdom.
xvs. That the Earl, the 22nd of Feb. in the' 7th year of his majesty's reign, intending to oppress the said subjects of Ireland, did make a. Proposition, and obtained from his majesty;an allowance tbereof, That no comiplaiit of injustice or appression done in Ireland, should bereceived in England against nny unless it appeared thet the party naade first bis address to him the said Eerl: and' the vaid Farl having hy such uiurped, tyrannical, and exorbitant power, expressed is the former Articles, destroyed and oppressed the peers, and othes. subject of that kiugdom of 1reland, in their lives, cousciepces, lands, libertics and estates; the said Eart, to the intent the better to maintain and afrengthea his said power, tied 50:
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bring the people into a disaffection of his majesty, as aforesaid, did use his majesty's name in the execution of the said power.

And to preyent the subjects of that renlap of all meany of complaints tor his majesty, and of redress against himesnd his agents, did issue a Proclamation, hearing date the 17th day of September, in the Itih year of lis majesty's reign, thereby e monuading all the nohility, undertakers, and others who held estates and offices in the suid kugghorn, (except such as weré anployed in his majenty's servicu, or attending in Ensland by his special corpmand) to make their personal restilence in the said kingdon of Ireland, nad not to depart thence without hcence of himself.
And the said Farl hath since issued other Proclamations to the same purperse, by means whereff the suljects of the said realm are restrained from seeking Relief against the oppressious of the saill Earl, without his lirence; which Proclamations the said Barl hath by several rigorous ways, as by fine, insprisonment, and otherwise, put in execution on his onajesty's suljects; as nalucly, one Parry, and others, who came orer only to complain of the exorbitances and oppresoions of the snid Earl.
xvir. That the sail Farl having, by such means as nfore-ad, subverted the government and laws of the kingdon of Ireluad, did, in March, in the 16 Lh yeat of his majcsty's remg, iu scandul of his majesty's zuvernment of all his kingdnms, and in further execution of his wicked parposes afuresnid, speaking of the Army in Ireland, declare, Thint bis majesty was so well pleased with the Artay of Ireland, and the consequences therrof, that his matjesty would certainly make the same a patteru for all his three limgdoms.
xviIf. That the said Barl, for the better ef. fecting of his triiturnus designs and wickell purposes, did endeavour to draw a Dependency upon hituself of the Papists in both kingdoms of England and lieland; and to that end, during the time of his government in Ireland, be restored divers Frgeries and Mass-houses (which had been furmerly suppressed by the precedent.Deputies of that kingdom; two of which houses are in the city of Dublia, and had been assigned to the use of the Unil ersity there) to the pretended owners thereof, who have since employed the same to the exercise of the popish religiop.
And in the months of May and June last the said Eart did raise an Ariny in the said realm, consisting of 8,000 foot, all of which, except 1,000, or thereabnats, were Papists; and the said 1,000 were drawn out of the old Army there, consisting of 2,000 foot, and in their places there were a 1,000 Papists, or thereabouts, put into the said old Araiy by the maid Earl.
And the more' to engage and tio the said mew Army of Papiststs to hinself, and to encos. rage them, and to Uliscournge and weary out Whe suid old Army 5 the tait'Epil dide-so pro-
vide, that the said new Army of Papists were duly paid, and had all necessaries pravided for thens, and pernitted the exercise of their religion ; but the said old Arny were for the space of one whole year and upwurds unpaid:

And the said Earl being appointed a Commissioner within eleven several counties of the northern parts of Bagland, for compounding with Recusants for their Forfeitures due to his majesty, which commission beareth date the 8ih of July, in tlie 5th year of bis majesty's reigo that now is; rad being also receiver of the Composition-Money therely arising, and of other debts, datics, and penalties, by reason of recusancy within the spid counties, for his majesty's use, hy lettery patents dated the 9th day of the sume July; be, to engnge the said Recusants to him, did compound with them at low and under a ates, and provided that they should be discharged of all proceedings agalnst thent in all his majesty's courts, both temporal and ecclesiastical, in manifest breach of, affd contrary to, the latys and statutes of this realm, in that liehalf established.
xix. That the said Earl having taxed and lovied the said Impositions, and raised the said Monopolies nud committed the said other Oppressions in bis majesty's name, and as by his irajesty's royal commund; the the said Eairl, in May, the 15th year of his mnjesty's reign, did of hits uwn authority contrive aud frame a new and unusual oath, by the purpart whereof, among many other things, the party takiog the said oath was to swefr, That hee should not protest againt any his majesty's royal comunands, but submit himself in all duc obedience thercanto. Which Onth he so contrived, to inforce the same on the suljects of the Scontish nation inhnlating in Ireland; and ont of a hatreh in the said nation, and to put thein to a discontent with his majestyeand his government there; and compelled divers of his majesty's said subjects there to take the said onth against their wills; aud of such as refused to rake the suid oath, soune he grievously fined and inurisone.l, and others he destroyed and exiled; and namely, the 10th Oct., A. p. 1639, he fived Henry Steward nad his wifc, who refused to take the said Oath, $5,000 l$. a-piece, und their two danghters and James Gray 9,0601 , at-piece, and imprisoned them for not paying the saidFines : the said Henry Steward, his wife and daughters, and James Gray, being the king's liège people of the Scotish nation. And divers bethers he used th like wanner. And the'said Earl ypon that occasion did declare, That the said Oath did not only oblige them in phint of allegiatuce to htitmesty, and ackuowlengment of his supremacy only, but to the ceremonies and government of the church establishel, und to be established by his majesty's royal authority; and safh, that the refusers to obey he whild prosecute to the hinod.
xx. That the said Earl huth in the 15th and 16th yeahs of his majesty's reign, and ${ }^{\text {D }}$ divers years past, laböared nod endeavoured to breed it his majeaty on ill opinion of his subjects,
namely, of those of the Scotish nation; and divers and sundry timen, und enpecially siace the Pacification mede by his majesty with bis said subjects of Scotland is smmmer, in the 15th vear of his inajeaty's reigo, he the said Earl did Labour and endeavour to persuade, incite, and provoke his majesty to ant offensire war ngainst hiss said subjects of the Scotish nation. And the said Earl, by his counseht, actions, and endeavours, hath been and is a principal and chief incendiary of the war and discord between his majesty and his subjects of Eugland, nud the said satjects of Scotland; and hath declared und advised his majesty, that the demands made ly the Scots, in their parliament, ware a sufficient cause of war quainst then.
The said Darl having formerly expressed the height and rancour of hä mind towards his maje,ty's subjects of the Scotish nation, viz. the 10th day of October, in the 15th year of his mnjesty's revign, be snid, That the nation of the Seoss were rebels and traitors; and he being' then about to come to Eupland, he then farther said, That if it pleased his master meaning his majesty, to send him back again, he would root out of the suid kiingdonn, meaning the said kingdon of Ireland, the Scotish nation both root and branch, some lords and others, who had taken the said oath in the precedent artiCele, only oxcepted: And the said Earl hath caused divers of the ships and gonds of the scots to be stayed, soized, and molested, to the intent to set on the said war.
cosxr. That the ,said Earl, -shortly after his Speeches mentioned in the last precedent Articles, to "wit, in the 15th year of his majesty's reign, came into this realm of England, and was made Lord Lieutenast of Ireland, and continuedolus Government of that kingdom by a deputy: at his nrrival here, finding that his mujesty with much windom and groxiness had composed the Troubles in the North, and had n Pacification with hus subjects of Scotland, he laboured ly atl means 6 procure his najesty us brenk that Pacilication, meensing his majesty against his subjects of that kingdom, and the proceedings of the parliament there.-And havang incited his majesty to an offensive war against his sulject, of Scotland by sea and land; and the pretext thereof to raise forces for the waintenuice of that war; he counselled his toajesty to call a parliament in Eugland: yet the said Farl imtended, that if the sqid proceedings of that parfinment, sfould not be sueh as would stand with elle said Earl of Stralford's mischiesous designs, he would then procure his majesty to break the samic, and by ways of force and power to raise monies upon the subjects of this kingdom.Aid for the encouragement of his majesty to hearken to his advice, he did before his majesty and his privy-council, then sitting in council, make large declaration, That be would serve his majesty in any other way, in case the parliament should not supply him.
XXII. That in the mooth of March, before the begioning of the last parliament, the said

Earl went into Ireland, and procured the part limruent of that kingdom to declare their ataistance in a War againat the Scots, and gave directions for the raising of on Army there, coop sisting of 8,000 foot, and 4000 horve; being for the most part papists. And conlederating wh one sir Geotge Radeliffe, did, together with-him the said sir George, truitorously conspire to employ the snid army for the ruin and destruetion of the kiugdom of England, and of his majesty's subjects, and of altering and iubrerting of the fundamental laws and established goveryment of this kingdom.
And shortly iftier the said Earl retorned into England, sod to sundry persons declared hig opinion to be, That his minjesty should first try the parlioment here; and if that did not supply him according to his occasions, he might use then his Prcrugative as he pleased, to levy what he needed; and that he should be aco quited both of God and man, if he took some other courses to supply himself, though it were ngainst the wills of his suljects.
xxint. That upou the 13th day of April last the Parliainent of $¢$ England met, and the Commons Ilouse (then being the representative bory of all the commons in the kingdom) did, according to the trust reposed in then, enter into debate and consideration of the great Grievances of this kingdom, both in respect of Religion and the public Liberty of the kingdom; and his Gujesty referring chiefly to the said earl of Strafiord and the arckisishop of Canterbury the ordering and disposing of all matters concerning the parliament; he the said Earl, with the assistapce of the said Archbishop, did procurs his majesty by sundry Speeches and Messages, to urge the said Commons House to enter into some Resolution fur his majesty's Supply for maintesance of his war against his subjects of Scotland, before any course tuken for the relief of the great and pressing Grievances wherewith this kingdem was then attlicted. Whereupon a demand was then made fiom his rajesty of twelve Subsidies, for the release of Ship-money only. And while the said Comasons then assembled (with expression of great affection to his majesty and lis service) were in debate and consideration concerning sonie Supply, before any resolation by them made; he the said, Eafl, with the help and nssistance of the said Archbishop, did procure his majesty to dissolvo the said Parliament upon the Sth day of May lapt. And upon the some day the said Barl did trescherously, falsly, and malitiously endearour to incence his majesty against his loving and faithful subjects, who had been members of the said house of commons, by telling his majesty they had deaied to supply him: And afterwards, upon the sampe day, din trailarously and wickedly counsel and advise his majesty to this effoct, viz. That having tried the affections of his people, he was loose and absolved from all rules of goverament, aud that he was to do every thing that power would admit; and that bis majesty had tried all ways, nad was refured, and sbould be aequitted towards God and man; and thiat
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he had an army in Irelaod, (meaning the Army sbove-mentioned, consisting of Papists, his dependants, as is aforesaid) which he inight inploy to reduce this kingdom.

XxIY: That in the ssid month of May, he; thie said Earl, falsely, traitorously, and maliciously published and declared beliore others of his majesty's privy-council, that the Patliament of Eugland had forsaken the king; and that in denying to supply the king, they bad given hira advautnge to supply hinnself by other mays. Aud several other times he did unaliciously, wickedly, and falsely publish and declare, That seeng the parlianent had refused to supply his myjesty in the urdinary and usual way, the king saight provide for the kingdom in such ways as he should hold fit; and that he was not to sulifyr Min-elf to be mastered by the frowardness and undutfiilness of the people. And baving so maliciously slantlered the said late house of commons, he did, with the help and advice of the said archbishop of Cauterbury, and the lord Finch, late Lord-Keeper of the great-seal of England, cause to be printed and publiyhed in his majesty's name a falsc and scanduluss Book, entitled, 'His majesty's Decharatiou of the - cnuses that mored linu to dissolve the hast

- Parlament,' full of bitter and inaliciuus invective, and filse and scandalous aspersions against the sad house of commons.
xxv. That $n$ it long afier the dissolution of the sand last P.erlianient isiz. in the monthis of Muy and June) he, the stid Earl, did advise the king to po on vigornosly in levging the ShipMloney, and tid procure the shenffis of sevend countion to be sent for, for not levying the ShipMoney, divers of which were threatened by hin tis be sued in the Star-Chamber; aud aficrwards, by biv advice, they were sued in the Star-Chamber for not levying the same; nud divers of his mujesty's loving subjects were sent for and imprisoned, by his advice, for that and other illegal payments.
Aind a great Loan of 100,000 l. was demanded of the city of Iondon; and the lord unayor, and sheriffs, und aldermen of the ssid city were often sent fur, by lis advice, to the CuancilTable, to give an account of their proceedings in raising of Ship-Moncy, and furthering of that Loap; and' were required to certify the name, of such nhabitants of the said city as were fit to lend: which they with mach humility refusing to do, be the snid Earl did use thesc and the like speeches, viz. That they deserved to be put to fine andranasom; and that no good would lie done with them till an example were made of them, and that'shey were laid by the heels, and sonne of the alilermen hanged up.
xIvs. That the sail Earl by his wieked counsels having brought his majesty into excessive Charge, without any jist cause, he did in the month of July last (for the support of the said great Charges) counsel and approve two dangerous and wicked projects, viz. To seize,ppon the Bullion and the money in the Mint, And tocimbuse his majesty's Coin with the mixtures of brass,-And accordingly be procured

130,000l. which was then in the Mint, and belonged to divers merchants, strangers, and others, to be seized on and stayed to his majesty's use. And when divers merchants of Loudon, owners of the said bullion fand money, carne to his house to let him undentand the great mischief that course would produce bere and in other parts, and what prejudice it would be to the kinglonf, by discrediting the Mint, and lijudering the importation of Bullion; be, the said Earl, told thein that the city of London dealt undutifully and unthankfully with his majesty; and that they were more ready to help the rebels than to belp his majesty'; and that if any hurt cume to then, they may thank themselves; and that it was the course of other princes to make use of such momes to serve their occasions.
And when in the same month of July the officers of his majesty', Dint cane to him, and gaveshim divers rensons aquinst the imbusing the snid noney, be told them, That the Frendi ting did use to sene' cqumissaries of horse with cominissiun to search into men's estates, and to peruse their accounts, that so they may know what to lery of them by force, which they did accordingly levy. And turuing to the loid Cottington, then present, ssial, That this was a point worthy of his lerdship's comisideration: Meaning, this coure of the Frunch king to raise Monies by force who u point wurthy of bis lordsbip's constderation.
axvir. That in er abont thr moi th of Aug. last, be was mąda Dieutenant Geaeral of all lus majesty's liness in the North, pre pared againss the Scots; mind leing at Yorh, wd then ha the monli of Septender, ly hiv awn au lónty, and without any lawtul warftur, impose a Tux on his magesty's subjects in the county of $\mathcal{K}_{\text {Irl }}$ of 3I. per diem for matrtenance of isery woldier of the trained-bangls of that connty, whach sumus of money he cansed to be levied t.y force. And to the end to courpel lins majesty's subjects qut of fear and teftor to giedt to the payment of the same, he dil declare. That he wonld conmit them that refursd the payment thereof, and the soldiers should be satisfied out of their estates; and they that refused it were in very little better conditiou than of hightreasou.
xxviII. That in the monthy of September and October last, he the said Fal being certified of the Scotish army cotiuiug into the kingden, and lie the said Earl beng LieatenantGeneral of his nugesty's army, he did not provide for the defence of the town ol Newcastle, as he fught to have done, but suffered thesaine to be lust, thas so he might the more incense the English agairst the Scots.
And for the same wicked purpose, and out of a malicious desire to engage the kingdons of England and Seotland in a national and bloody was, he did write to the lord Conway, he general of the horse, and under the suid Earl's command, That he should fight with the Seotish army at the passage over the Tine, whatsoever should follow; notwithatandingthat the said lord

Coaway had formerly by letters informed the said Earl, that his majesty's Army, then under his command, was not of force sufficient to encounter the Scots: by which advice of his be did, contrary to the duty of his place, betray his majesty's army, then under his command, to apparent danger and loss.

All and every which words, coonsels, and actions of the said Earl of Stratford were spoken, given, and done by him, the said Earl, tanitorously, and contrary to his 'allegiance to our sovereign lorid the king, and with an intention and endeavour to alienate and withdraw the hearts ond affections of the king's liege people of all his realms frojn his ruajesty, and to set division betwcen them, and to ruin and destroy his majesty, and his majesty's said kingdoms: fire which they do further inmpeach him the said Thomas Farl of Strafford of High-Treasou nguinst our sovereign lord the king, his crown and dignity. And he, the said Earl of Straffowl, was lord deputy of Ireland, or lord lieutenant of Ireland, und lieqtesant-general of the army there under his most excellent majesty, and a sworn privy-counsellor to his majesty for his kinglouns both of England and Irelaud, and lord president of the North, during the time that ull and every the crimes and offences, before set forth, were done and committed; and le, the said Earl, was lieutenant-ceneral of his mujesty's anny in the North parts of England during the tine that the criaies and offences, in the 27 th and 28th Articles set forth, were dose and comanitted.

## Asswer ta the Twenty-cight Specíal Aaticles.

To the First Article, he snith, He conceives that the Commission "and Instructions differ not frone those formerly granted, but refers to them; and that such Alterations and Additions us were made, were (for aught he knoweth) rather for the explanation, than for the enlarging of the jurisdiction: the care whereof was left to the iscretary of that Council, and to the king's learnod cpunsrl, to be passed for the good of the king's service, and the pablic welfarę of that province. For the legality of the proceedings, divers eminent law yers were joined with the President, who, for the legal parts, was by them to be directed. He did not art eise or procure the Enlargement of the Commission and Instructions, and he believeth nothing hath been prattised sipce, tpat was not in former timet contained in former commissions, under general wgrds. Me believeth sir Conyers Darcy was lawfully fined for Misdemeanors, as a Justice of Peace; and hath heard, he being in Ireland, that gir John Bourcher whs fined for some great abuse at the king's being at York, going into Scotland to be crowned: to the Procetdiugs he refers himself. He denies that he bath done any thing by that Commission or Instructions, other thain he cont ceived he inight by virtue thereof lawfolly do.
To the Second Article, He denieth tite speaking of those Wurds: liot saith, That Sol., 40l., or mare, being returaged as Lssues out of the

Exchequer, against some that had compounded for Knightbood for 10l. or 301 ., so as the Issues far exceeded the Composition, and yet would pext time have been increased; the said Earl upon this oceasion said, That now they might see, that the Litule-finger of the Law was heavier than the King's Loins; which he spake t? nourish good affections in them towurds his majesty, and not to tlireaten or terrify any, as the Article supposed.

To the Third Article; be saith, Ireland is not governed by the same laws that this kingdom 13, unless it be peant by the common laws; their customs, statutes, execution of martial laws, proceedings at council-board very much differ : the words in the Article were not spoke tof any such intent. He saith, It might be fit enough for him to remember thein of the great olligation they had to the king and his progenitors, fiat suffered them, being a conquered nation, to enjoy freedom und laws, ws their own people of this kingdom: sod it might be, that upon some such occasion be said to those of Dublin, That some of their Clartery were void and nothing worth, anel did not bind his majesty farther thon he pleased; which he believes to be true, having been formeily so informed by bis majesty's learued counsel upon suindry occa-ions.

To the Fourth, he saith, That the legal and ordinary proceedings at Council-Table are, and time out of mind have been, by Petition, Apswers, Examiation of Witnrsses, ns in other cuurts of justice concerning British Plantations, the Church, and cases hence recommended by the king for the tine being, and in Appeals from other courts there; and the Council-Board have alivay's punisbed Contempts to Orders there made, to Proclamations, nind Acts of State, by Fine and Impisonment. Le saith, That 16 might be, he told the eall of Cork; that he would ${ }^{\text {Fimprison him if he disobeyed the Orders }}$ of the Contici-Table, and that he would not have lawyers तrspute or question those Orders, and that they should biand; but remembereth not the comparison of acts of parliament : and he hath been so far from scorning the laws, that he hath endeavoured to maintain them. The Suit ngainst the Earl in the Cinste-Chamber was concerthing the pogescions of the callege of Youghall, worth 6 ur 700l. which he hath endeavoured to get, by causing of unlawful naths to be taken, and very undue means: the matter proceeded to Examingtion and Publication of Witnesses; and after, upon the earl of Cork's humble suit, and payment of $15,000 \mathrm{l}$. to his majesty, and his acknowledgment of his Misdemetrnors, obtained a Pardon, and the Bill and Proceedings were taken off the file: and he remembers not any suit for breach of any Order isade at Council-Tuble
To the Fifth, he saith, The Deputies and Generals of the Army hare aluays cyecuted. martial'law, which is necessary there; ond the Army, and the members thereof, have beens long time governed by priuted Orders, according to whiah, divers, by sentence of the council
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of war, have formerly been put to death, as well in the time of pence as war. The lord Mountnorris being a captair of a company in the Army, for mutinous words against the said Earl, general of that army, and upon two of those ancient Orders, was proceeded against by a Council of War, being the principal oficers of the arny, aboat twenty in number, and by thern, apon clear Evidence, sentenced to death: wherein the said Earl was no judge, but laboured so effectifally with his majesty, that he oblained the lord Mountnorris's pardon; who by that Seatence suffered no personal burt or damage, save ábiut two days imprisonment. And as to the other persons, he can make no Answer thereunto, no particulars being deacribed.

To the Sixth, he saith, The Suit had depended many years in Chancery; and the Plaintiff compluining of that delay, the said Earl upon a Pctition, (us in such cases bath heen usual) calling to him the then Master of the Rolls, the now Lord Chancellor, and the Chief Justice of the Common Pleas, upon the Proofs in the Chancery, decreed for the Plaintiff; to which he refers himself: nad it may be the lord Mountnorris was thereupon put out of his possession.

To the Seventh, be saith, His majesty being intitled to divers lands, upon an Inquisition found, Proclamation was inade, That such as clạimed by patent shonid come in by a dify, and have their patents allowed, as if they had been found in the Inquisition; and accordingly divers were allowed. The lord Dillon produced his patent, which being questionable, he consented, and desired that a Case might bo drawn; which was drawn by counsel, afd argued, and the Jurges delivered their opinions: but the lord Dilloin nor any other were bound thereby, or put out of possession; but might have traversed the office, or othernise legally have proceeded, that case or opinion notwithstanding.
To the Eighth, he saith, That upon sir John Gifford's Petition to the king, his majesty referred it to the deputy and couucil of Ireland, where the matter proceeded legally to a Decree against the lord Loftus; and upon his Appeal, that Decree by bis majesty and his coancil of Eagland was confirmed: in which Decree and Order he refers himself, believing the lord Loftus wus committed for disobeying that Decree, and for continuance in contempt committed close prisoner. He saith, That the lord Loftas having committed divers Contenpts, the Council by warrant required hint to appear at the Board, and to bring the great seal with him ${ }^{3}$, which order he disobeyed, and was shortly ${ }^{*}$ after committed, and the great seal was delivered np by his majesty's express command, and not otherwise. And on Information was exhibitgd in' the Star-Chamher, for grievous Oppressions done by the lord Loftus of Chancellog; whertof be was so fur from justifying, as that he subuitted, -desiring to be an object of his majesty's mercy, and not of his justice.

The Barl of Kildare, for not performing of an Award made by king James, ayd of an Award made in pursuance thereof by the said Earl of Scrafford, upon a reference from hia majesty, was by the Deputy and Ćouncil consmitted: and a Letter bèing unduly obtained, he did not thereapgn enlarje him: but upon another letter, and submis-iun to the orders, as by the king was difrected, he was enlarged.
The, lady Hibbots, and one Hoy her son, having upon n Petition, Answer, Examination of Witaesses, and other Proceedings at Coun-cil-Board, been found to have committed foul sibuses by fruod and circuaivention, to have made a bargain with theq petituner Hibbots, for lands of a greut value, for a sinall sum of money; was ordered to deliver up the writing, no assurances being' perfected, or money paid: and it is like he threateued her with commitment if she obeyed unt that order; but deuieth that the linds were after sold to sir Robert Meredith to his use, or that, by Any order by himself made, any qpe hath been imprisoned concerning freehplds, but for debts und personal things, as some bave been used by all his predecessors in like causes.
To the Ninth, he saith, Werrants to such effects lave been usually granted to the bishops in Ireland, in the times of will former deputies; but the Earl not satisfied with the conveniency thereof, refused to give any such Warrauts in general to the bishops as had been formerly done: but being informed that divers in the diocese of Downe gave ngt fitting obedience, he granted a Warrant to that bisloop, whereto he referreth, which was the ninly yyarrant he granted of that nature; and hearing of some Complaints of the execution thereof, he recalled it.
To the Tenih, he saith, The Lord Treasurer Portland offeredthe Farm of the Customs for 13,000 l. per nnnum, in some particular species, but the earl of Strafford adsanced the same Custons to 15,500 . Der annum, and 8,000 . Fine; and by his majesty's, command became a Farmer at those Rates proposed, withont addition to those Rates, ss by the printed bogok 7. Car. regis may appear. He dissuaded the advance of Rates lately proposed by sir Abruham Dawes, so as it was declined: The Rates of Hides and Wool are moderate, consideration being had of their true value, and of the places whereto they are t $\$$ be transported, and of the statute made in the time of queen Elizzheth, and there in forcs, prohibiting the exportation of Wool, unless they pay to the crown 5 . the stone. The Trade and Shipping of that kiugdom are exceedingly increased.
To the Eleventh, he saith, Pipe-staves were prohibited in king James's time, and not exported but by licence from the Lord Treasarer of Eugland, or lord deputy of Ireland, who had 8s. 8d. per 1000, and his secretary 3 s. 4d. for the Licence: but to restrain that destruction of timbter, by command of his majesty and advice of his council for his revenue in Ireland, first 30 a, then 3 l. was charged, The asoney
was paid to his majesty, who hath therehy about 1,500l. per amunn; and his lordsbip lost about 4 or 5001 . per annum, which his predecessors had for sugh Licences. This is paid by the transporter, not hy the natives, whose commodity nevertheless appears by the Article to be very much increased.

To the Twelfth, he saith, The Subsidies there are an inheritance in the crown by act of parliument; $6 d$. was paid for sabsidy, and ${ }^{\prime \prime} s$. $6 d$. for impost upon every pound of Tobacco, and furmer at 10 or 200 . per annum. The Commons in parliament, 10 Car. regis, finding the revenue to be short of the expence of that kingdom 24,000l. per annuu, petitioned those Grants might be applied to increase his majesty's Revenue, without calling upon the subject but upon urgent occasious. Hereapon, upon the advice of the Committee of the Revenue, and in consideration of a Proclamption made in England, several Proclamations were marre, and this settled in a way, till it could bed confirmed by parliament ; for which purpose a Bill is transmitted, accurding to the desire of the conmons, and the impnst of Tobacco is lett to contractors fur 11 years, at $5,000 \mathrm{l}$, per annum for the first five years, and $10,000 \mathrm{l}$. per nnnum for the other six yenrs. And the Earl hath leut money to forward the business, and by his mnjesty's allowance is a partner ; but hath not ns yet, in two years last past, had any Accounts thereof, or made henefit thereby. He knoweth of no Whlipping, or other Punishment. The Farms of the Custoins are better than furmerly $8,000 \mathrm{l}$. per annum, five 8 th parts whereof is vearly paid unto his majesty : the prices of.Tobacco excoed not 2 s . or 2 ss . $4 d$, the pound; the settling of that Revenue is according ton the Petition of the Commons; he hath not raised or countenauced any Monopolies, but opposed the same.
To the Thirteenth, he saith, IIc endeavoured to advance the manufqumre of Linen rather than of Woollen-Cloth, which might prejudice that trade here: he bought Flax-seed in the Low-Countries, and sold it at the same rate to such as desired it; they making their cloths not above a foot broad, and winding eight or ten threads from several bottoms together; the contrary was twined: their flax, formerly not Thove a font, became a yard in length : and that snil is fit to begr it, and the people love such easy works. He hath aet up reany lioms, made much cloth, ando ${ }^{5}$ old is, to the loss of , some thousands of pousds; but when the state saw the natives would not change theit old courses for new and better, the Proclamation was declined. What he did was for the public good, and lasd nothing from them that was not folly paid for.

To the Fourteenth, he saith, He refers to the Onth and Proclamation, which was set fortb By the said Earl and Council of State there, at the instance of the Farners of the Custous, to prevent the defrauding of theaking's Duties, whereof his majesty had five eight parts. He never peard any complaint of the Oath, or of
any that refused to take it; and conceived it to be lawful, divers of the Council approving it, being learned judges of the law, to whose judgoment for the legality he subinitted, as well ia that, as to other matters of the like nature:
To the Fifteenth, He denieth what is in the Article objected; but saith, That about the year 1626, certain agents autborized in Ireland were sent into Englaud, and offered and agreed to pay to his majesty 120,000 . in six years, towards the maintenance of his Army; and a like payment of $90,000 \mathrm{l}$. per annum, was nfter agreed, and contioued for three years longer, The Assessneents were made, and it was shorily nfter, by them and the lord Faulkland, then Depury, agreed in Ireland, that the money should not be charged upon record, but levied by captains, by Paper-nssignments, upon Warrants from the Lord Deputy : and this course wns held four years in the lórd Faulkland's tiane, and the four years wherein the lord Loftus and the earl of Cork were lords justices there ; and it held for the remaining ycer only, after the earl of Straffind came thither. But the earl of Cork hasing spared those towns, for the benefit of hiuself and tenants, during the time of his leing justice; the earl of Straflord reduced the assessment to what it was made by the lord Faulkland, and gave way to sir William St. Lieger, lord president of Munster, slould take the same arrearages, in satisfaction of a debt due unto Hm by bis majesty : and he is confident no force was used in levying the same. It hath been usual to lay soldiers to levy that contribution, to send soldiers to appreliend coutemners of Orders made, at Courcil-Board, and the like; and when outlaws and rebels have been in the woots, no soidiers have in his time been laid, but by tle advice of the council there. Touching the CastleCumber, it is a parcel of the territory of Idough, whereto the king was intitled by inquisition, and the possession established in a legal way, when the said Earl was in Fagland ; and no soldiers were sent, but only 14, at the intreaty of Mr. Wanesford, for the security of his houses and plantations against rebels that then' were out, and burned and spoiled houses thereabouts : and neither Richard Butler's nor any other family, were thence elpelled by the said earl from their estates.
Tq the Sixteenth, he saìh, There was such a Proposition, which was just, to prevent clamorous complaints here, whioh there might he redressed ; but conceives, that by the laws there, and the Articles known'since, (by the namie of the Articles of Grace, made about 14 yenra since) none ougbt to depart that kingdom without Lisence. Thereupon, by the adrice of the State, the Proclamations were set forth, but not with such intent as in the article.- He denied licence only to three, tho eurl of Cork, the lord Mountnorris, and Sir Frederì Hamilton : to the twa former, in refurd of criminal suits then pgainat them in the Castle-Ghamber; to the other, by special command from his mejesty. But so soon as sir Frederia said
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be would complain of the Ear? he made suil to his majesty that sir Frederic might come over; which'was granted. Hie conceives suct restraigt to be necessary, and if that be no coqtiaued, it will prove of evil consequence to that kingdom.-Parry was questioned at the Council-Board for Misdemeanors, and, to avoid Sentence, secretly went, out of the kingdom and at his return, for that and other offences, was fined und inprisoned; to the Sentence thereof he refers, and lnows of no other that were imprisoned, as by the Article is charged.
To the Seventeenth, he spith, It is like he minht say, (for the better encooragement of the Officers and Soldiers of the old Irish Array, in discharge of their several duties) that his majesty was so well satisfied in the way and paits they took in using and practising of their arms, that, in that point, he would set them as a pattern to be imitated : and conceives $\pi$ would not be ill if they were so; they being, in the opinion of those that have seen them exercise very able and expert soldiers. He spake not other words, or tu other purpose.

To the Eighteenth, be saith, When the earl of Cork was one of the Lords Justices, he seized some houses in Dublin, pretending they belonged to Jesaits und Friars, without legal proceedings; which upon suits prosecuted at Council-Board, were, according to justice, restored to the owners: but how since employed, the Earl of Strafiord knoweth not, Dhet endeavoured the utmost he could to maintain that seizure. Touching the 8,000 men, he saith, They were raised accurding to the king's warrant, and that the said Earl left wie care thertof to the earl of Ormond and otbers; and what number are Protestants, what papisa, he knoweth not, but believeth such a body cannot be there raised, withoot many papists: the greatest nuinber of the captuins and officers are Protestants, chosea by the said Earl. "The thousand men were drapn out of the old, to muke officers for the new Ariny; and believeth the thougand put to the old Army are Protestants, in regurd, by his cxpress order, no Papist is to be adunited there a common soldier. He never prelerred any captain, lieutenant, or ensign, to be of that Army that was a Papist, and conceives they are duly paid; and believes those newly raised exercise religion no otherwise than was practised before the Earl's coming thither. He was a Compuissioner to compound with the Recusants for their Forfeitures, and endenfvoured to be informed of the utmost value of their Estates : in four years he brought that Revenue from 2,300l, to between 11 and 12,000l. per anuum, more than ever was raised forimerly in so short a time; by which faithful dealinge for his majesty, he precured the hard opinion af 'the Recusants throughout the kingdom : Tbat out of those Compositions he hath paid negr. 100,000l, into the Exchequer; and they had no other Privilegea thmn what were exerciped in the Commission, and in former like Comunissions, and as are in the present commission to the Lord Treasurer, and others,

To the Nineteenth, he saith, The last summer was twelve months, when ethe English and Scorch lay in the fielde near Berwick, the Earl and Council of Ireland having a geperal notion thereof, were in fear that the Scots in Ulster (being almost 100,000 in number) might be drawn to side with the Covenanters; and. advising how to secure that kingdom; the principal of the nation of Scotland, living in Ireland, came to Dublin, and petitioned, that they might have an Oath whereby they might give testimony of futore obedience to his majesty. Whereupon an Oath was by the advice of the Council of State framed, and chearfully taken by those Scotch gentlemep, and generally by all the nation in Ireland, as the Earl conceives, to their advantage, apd the sativfaction of otbers. He believes that some were sentenced for refusing it, but none were otherwise exiled. The Earl, in his Vote, snid. That he would endeavour that nll of that nation should take that Oath, or leave the kingdom. All which iths doue by his majefy's direction and approbation: And it twas not contrived to the intents of the Article charged, but to prevent their adhering to the Covenanters then in openarms, and not concerning the ceremony or government of the Church.
To the Twentieth he saith, That in the year 1688, the Earl was in lreland, when preparatious were made for war, and sumenons sent to the nobility of shis kingdom. In the year 1639, a General was appointed, and an Army drawn to the field, and encounped near Borwick; whereby it appears he was not acquainted that the Article of Pacification, had been brokea on both sides, and so distempered, that it was held fit an aruny'in England should be raised to suppress the Covenainterff if the busiucss could not with honour and safety be otherwise cotaposed. The said Enrl hambly advised his majcaty to call a Parliament and used many motixcs thereunto. After the Parliament was called, and before the sitting tbercof, ten of the lords, aud other of the Council for foreign affairs being nssembled, his najesty then prusent, an honourable persin, teated the Covenanters demands. It was then roted by all, That they were such tix might not n honour and safety be condescended unto by his majesty; and if they could not be otherwisa reduced, his majesty must, be constrained to bringthem fo it by force. "The like liesolution was yoted gfter at the Council-Table by twenty of the council." Whergupon his majesty appointed a Council of War: and it was beld zecessary to borrow $200, p 00 l$. upon grod secuity, till the Sapplies by the Parliament might cuine in. He nerer said the Scurch mation nere Kebels, but wes ever persuaded that many of them were most loyal subjects. - Those that aisedarms, Ahen they were at stech a distance foin his inajesty, he miuht say they were no ess than Rebels and Truitors. By Wannant rom the ${ }^{*}$ Lord Admiral be caused divers ships and goods to be seized, but not with an intent :o set on the wer, but, as much as in him lay,
to bring all to fair accommodations without expesoe of blood.

To the Twenly-first, he saith, The Pacificntion was broken befire he came over, ay in the Answbr to the farmer Article: he nuoved his majesty for a parliament in England, but not withsuch intent as in the Article, but out of a desire to have settled a right, understanding between the king and this people. It-may be said, (though he remembereth it not) That if the parliament woald not supply his majesty, he would serve his majesty in nny other lawful way ; being well nseured that his mejesty soould not employ him, nor any man else, in any other kind.

To the Twenty-second, be eaith, According to his majesty's Instructions, he did wet forth to the Parliament of Ireland the State of the Affairs, as they then stood; and they freely gave four Subsidies, us an noknowledginent of his gooduess and happy government, as by the act nnd remonstrance appears in print. He hy his' mnjesty's direction, then gave order for the raising of 8,000 mars who still reunain in the king's pay, and were sent into Ulister to seçure those parts, or to land in Scotland, to divert the carl of $\boldsymbol{\Lambda r g y l e}$, in casc he joined with the Covenanters afrny against the king. But it was mentioned in the king's Letter, 2 Martii 1639, be had purposely given out, That they should join with the king's army at Berwiek, to colour other designs: Fyt the true cause of their levying was naade known to be as aforespid unto the earl of Ormond, sir Jolin Burlace, and the nuarquis of IIamilton, and the earl of Nortiftumberland, at the time of the writing the Lettér. And he denies the Words charged in the Articles, or any other words to such intent and purpose.

To the Twenty-third, he saith, The matters of the Parliament were no otherwise referred ti) him than to the rest of the Council : That eoming sick from Irelund about ten days after the Parliament were set, and after the Treaty with the enrl of Dunfermline and lord Lowden, Scotch Commistioners, was broken off, and the army preparing, and the parliament not suppfying monies is his majesty desired, bia majesty advised what might move them to prefer his supply. In debate whereof, lie hienbly advised his majesty, by a Message to the house, to lay down Ship-money and promise never to demand it, and give way to reverse the Judgment by a Writ of Error in partistnent, and to promise a Hedress of Grievanices when they should be prepared. And secondly, Thir they would presently agree upon such Supply as should mairtain his Artiy for reducing the Sonts to tlieir obedience, wherien their safety and bis honour wes oonderfided. Hes marjesty Assented conditionally, thet he might have swelve subsidies: The Farl besoughe him that - it might not pass as a condition, but to rellfquish Ship-Money, and put himpolf upon- their affections: nad drew ap the Mespage in writing, and-defireted it to Mr. Secvetary Vine, to senver to the Houve of Cominkis: II desirted
to know if his majesty woold not take leas than twelve. His majesty answered, He feared leas would not serve his occasiuns. The earl of Strafford besought his majesty to accept of eight. So his majesty assented, and desired Mr. Secretary in signity so much, as occlasion should be offered: But whether he did so or not, the said Rarl kuoweth not. The House of Commons being $m$ vebate two days, and not resolving, his majeaty about the Sth of May lasberallal a Council at 7 o'clock in the moning: The said Ear! being sick, came late, and Whs told, as he remembereth, by the earl of Berkshire, the Eing had deelured his resolution to dissolve the parlinonent. The earl of Strafford beseught his majesty to hear the advice of kis Council, and first of those that were mejnbers of the house of commons, hy whom the rest might the better be guided. Mr. Secretary Wiudcbank suid, Ile fenred the house would first be answered of their Grievances, and voted for a brench of the parliament, Mr. Secretary Vane, in opposite terms, said, That there was no bope that they would give the king a penny, and therefore nbsolutely voted for a bretach. And the earl of Strafford conceiving lis mejesty's plonsure to have nccepted eight subsidies had been delivered to the house of commons by Mr. Secretary Vanc, did in his turn deliver his Vote for breach of the parliament, which otherwise he would not have tone, it being contrgry to what he resolved when he came thither; and the like opinion was delivered by the rest of the lords, being about twenty, except two or three at the most. The Porliament beng dissolved, his majesty desired Advice of his Council how Money mighit be maised, aflirning that the Scotch army was ready to enter into the kingdom: The said Earl, in presence of others in the Council, delivered his opinion, 'That in a case of absolute and anavoidable necessity, which neither wbuld nor could to prevented by ordinary remedies provided by the laws; nor all his majesty's other means sufficient to defend the commonwealth, himself, or their lives and estates from an enemy, without force of arms, either nctually entered, or daily expected to invade the realm: he conceived that his majesty was absolved from ordinary rules, and might use (in a moderate way, as the necebsity of the cruse would pernit) 能 wiays and means for defence of hims self and kingdan; for that he conceived in ench extremity, 'Salus Popuh' was 'Supremu 'Lex,' provided it were not colorable, not sany thing demanded employed to iether use, nor drawn into example, when law atud justice mighe take place: and that when peace was settled, reparation was to beigiven to particules men, offiegwise it woold be unjust. This Whas not officiously declitred, but in coupcil, forted by the duty of the oath of a counseilior, which is, Thiat be shall in all things to be unoved; trented, wad debated 'in council, faithfully and truly declare hín mind mad opinion aceording 'to'hls heart and conscience: Whice Oalh theintil: Ean took, sind butably graty theit

Iordships consideration thereof. He denies the Words in the Article, or any words to the intent thereby expressed.
To the Twenty-fourth, he saith, He delirered his opinion with such cautions and restrictions, as in the Answer to the procedent Artirle; and is well assured his discourse ut all times hath been without ill inteutions to either of the huuses of parliumest, which he ceer did and shall speak of with all revercuce. He denies that he kuew of tho publishing or priuting of the Buok, or alio caused it to be printed or published; for at that time he was sick in his bed, more like to die than to live.
To the Twenty-fifth, he saith, Ship-Money was levicd, and adjudged to be due, before his cuming over. Sheniffs were then called up as hefíre, and not otherwise. If any were sued in Star-Chambere, it was without any pasticular endenvour of his. It appearng at tha Board that the mayor and slieriffs of Lundon bad been slow in collectine Stip-MDeney, he saith, They were bot ministecial, and ought to exact, and not dispute the king's writs; and that if through their remissness the hing should be less able to provide for the police safety, when uny foreign nrmy was ready to cutcr the kingthom, they might deserve to be fincd and ransoned: which lie spake nore to hasten them, than of purpose to adribe any such proserution. But drnies the other Word, being, under fivour, such expicsigias as he is not accuaz tonicd unth.

To the Tirenty-sirth, he sith, He advised not either of those Projects, being then sich in bed: But it being debracd at the ChuacilJhble whether it were better for the komg to raise Gold and Silver, or coin hase Alunery, be, for the reasous then given, delivered lais npmion lior the latter. Sundry merchonts adventurers couning to his hottag, desired laus to mone his nugiesty, then at Uatlands, to release tha hulImit, or money: He told them he hrew of no such thing, and wonld not medde with it ; nor would his hevelth pernit him to po ahroad : and said, That if by their denying the hing in such a public danger the loan of 100,000 l. npon good security, the hing were constrained, for the presersatiou of the land, to, stay the bullion, they 'might thank themselves; and the city receiving so great a benefit by residing amangst them, they made but an unthankful acknowledgment in such a strait, to refuse the loan of that sunn. The officers of the Mint came to the Countil-Board, and the Earl then shewed a Letter he received from the earl of Leicester, wherein was feloted, That the cardinal had appointed conmiosiuners to go into the merchants houses at I'aris, to pervse their shop-books and accompts, and cess every man accerding to his ability, towards thé payment of the king's army ; and then suid, That it was but just for us here in England to bless God for beingfunder a king, which could not think upon such in pressing upon the people, Bet the Words in the Article, or worde to any such intent $_{\boldsymbol{p}}$ be did not speak; and caunot suffici-
ently bemoan himself to have been in all his words so ill understood, or so untruly reported as he hath bcen.

To the Twenty-seventh, he saith, He persuaded the gentry of that county tof allow the Trained-Baudy a month's pay; which they vieided, and his majesty graciously accepted. It was hy Council of War, his majcsty being present, thought fir the Trained-Bands should retura, save the two regiments under the comnuand of sir Walliam Pennyman and sir Thomas Danly. It was assented unto by his majesty, and the great council of peers then assenbled, That those spared should contribute; und the said Earl was comunnded by them to see it done. Which was done actordingly by Warrants from him, und from his Deputy-Lientenants, which was muth less charge to the counties than othernise. And denies the.other particular in the Atticle mentioned.

To, the Twenty-cightit, be saith, He was "licutenaut geueral to the earl of Northumbean land, abont the 2+1k of August, of 10 or 12,000 foot, and 2,000 horse, being at Newcastle, onder the command of the lord Consay and sir Jacoh Asth g , and the rest oi the army at Yurk. The said Farl went from Lontonion the suilh of August, notwathtanding his extrence wcalness, mind canue to Yorh. And haviag acecired a Iatta from sir Jacob Astley that Xencastle was furtitien!, and that thicy mast be infanous qeat, to loen it, and tlat it was fully secured; and being acquainted with seworl İspatches sent Ly Mr. Secretary Vane, by lus majesty's directions, fo the lord Conway, penenal of the horse, to opluse abe passige: of the *ots over the river 'Tyne; the one dated $\$ 2$ Aug., the other 23 Ang., another $2 \cdot \mathrm{k}$ Aus., another 20 Aug., the substance oc' which Letters are particularly mentuoned in the Ansirer to this Article: and to the same Lettery the said Earl referreth himself.

The snid Larl, upon sigitt of this and sir Jncoh Astley's letter, haf whatom to iclieve that all fitting preparation was made; and then und.etanding that if the Suotish Army should pass the river, not only Newcastle, altogether unforified on the sontin prits, would be lobs, but the said army of 11,000 font and 2,060 forse endangesed ; and hearing that the Scotish army was distiessed for want of victuals, aind knowing the advantage that was in opposing the pabsage of such a river $*$ 'Ifcreupon the said Errl, "by a.t.stter dated the 97th of August, ailvised the lorid Conway, with all tho horse, and at least 8,000 foot, and all the camuon, to matclf and fight with them upon the prasiyge of the river: At which time ©ie said Earl had no pharge of the artiy. But the truth is, the lord Couway having not with him all the borse, and nat above 1,500 foot, and only some part of the cannon, wes in a posture to fight for the passuge befure the said letter of advice came, which he received not half an hour before the ${ }^{*}$ fight began, and proceeded according to bia own judgrent, and his majesty's snid gencral direction. And afierwerds, that is, about the

30th of August, and not befure, the said Earl took upon him the charge of the Army at Darlington, and brought it to York to be supplied with necessaries that they wanted, and purposed to have staid where they were quartered. But hearing from many bands that there was a purpose to question him in parlankent, and his majesty having given hin liberty of staying there, or coming nway, he weft the charge of the arny with the lord Conway, and other officers, as his najesty bad directed, nut cane to London on Monday the 9 th ; and the 11 lb of November was pir onder restraint, nul su hath ever since remaiued. And saith, That the town of Newcastle was no way under his care. And as to other matter, whereto by law he ought to unswer, nad hath not answerell, he saith, IIe is not guflty of them, or any of thon, in suth manner and forsa as in the said Artucle is espressed ; and hambly prayeth a convenient tome ior amking his I'ronfa, gid to jwotify and maintain his actions in Ireland by "ghth of his majesty's Wargants, Records, and witherses in that hingripm; and tyat is any uistake be in his Answer, it may tommended.
And this the sad Earl hopeth, that, upon equal construction of his words and actions, he shall appear fice fiom any great and beinous wiffenecs wherewith he is charged: And housoeser it thall piease God to dispone of him, he shall ever pray, that by their lordships great wistom and pruience, thee affictu,ns of his mạjcaty, and daty of his snljects, may this paribiament be so surely knit tagether, wis may loy Gold's blessing lastingly tend to the prosperity and Adturining eshate both of hing and people. *

## Thidintal.*

- The place appointed fir the Trial was the grent Ifall in Westminster, where there was a
\& c, Much time," says lord tharendon, " was spent in consideration of the menner of the trinl; fortheyoculd furd na precedept woald fit their case: Whether it should Le in the house of peers? which room was thaught too little, for the accusers, witnesses, judges, and spectators: Who should prosecute? 'Viecther members chosen of the commons, or the king's council? Whether the bishops, which were 24 , n number, and like to be too teader-hearted in matter of blond, and so either to convert many or increase a dissefting party too much, should have voices in the trial! Whethot those who had been created peets since the accusation was c.arried up, shotirt be admitted to be juibgrs? And lastly, Whether the conthoners who were to be present at the trial, should sit uncovered ? and, whether any-members of the house of commons should be exanived at the trial on the Lehalf of the Earl $?$ who had sent a list of names, and desired anoorder to that purpose,-After much debate it wus agread, that the Trial should be in Westininster-hall, where seats should be built, for the, reception of the whole Ilouse of Commons, which together with the Speaker should be present:
throne crected for the king, on each side theteof a cabinet enclosed about with boards, and before with in terras, Before that were the seats for the Lords of the upper house, and sacks of wool for the Judges; before them, ten stunges of seats, extending farther than the midbt of the Hall, for the gentlemen of the hoosc of comnons; at the end of all was a desk closed about, and set apart. for the Lord Lieutenant and his counsel.


## Margh 22.

Mond.ay morning about seven of the clock he came froin the Tower, accompanied with six bargee, whercin nere 100 soldiers of the Tower, all with partizans, for his guard, and 50 pair of oars. At his lauding at Westuninster, there he was atteaded with 200 of the Trained-Bands; and sent guarden by them, mits the Han. The entrice at Whitc-hall, King-trept, and Westminter, were gramided by the coanables and whtclunen, from four of ilie clock in the móruing, to ktep away all trise aud idic persons.
The king, queen, aul prince, canne to the home aloout nine of the clack, but hept themselves prinate whbin their closets, ouly the Prince came oun ouce or twice to the Cloth of State ; so that the king saw mad heurd all that passed, but was seen of some. Some give the Reason of this, from the receisel practice of Fangland in such cases: others say, that whe lords did intreat the hang cither to be nhsem, It to be there privately, lest pretensions anght be nade lareafter, that his being there was either to threatev, or some otherwise to interrupt the conrse of jontice: a third sont, That the kiag was not willing to be accessary to the Process ill it came to hispart, but rather choce to lye present, thant lie miglot note and understand what violeace, rigour, or mjustice bappened.
for tley then fonesaw, that they night be putt to anothergkind of proceeding than that they pretended; and, though with much ado, they conscuted to sit uncovered, lest such a litule circumstance might disturb the whole design. -Fer the prosecation, they had no mind to trust the bung's conscil; who nciller knew therr sccret evidence, nor being juformed, were like to apply and press it so vigordusly as the basiness would requive: and therefare, they appointed that Cotnmittee which lad prepared the Charge, to give in the Evidence, and in the name of all the Commons of England, to prosecute the Impeachnent.-Fopthe Bishops; afier many bitter invectiyes; and remenbering the faults of particilar persons; and the cnnons which seemed to involve the whole body; with sharpiess and threats: They took the case to Le so cleqr upon an old canon (the only one they acknowledged for Orthodox) that 'Clerr' cus non debet interesse sauguini,' that they were content 'to refer that to the house of 'peers, ins proper only Sor their deter申ination.' And this they did, not upon any confidence they bad in the matter itself, whatever law, or reason, or canon they pritended; or in the lords,
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When the Lientenant entered the Hall, ${ }^{-1}$ the porter of the Hell (whose office it is) asked
the major part of whom, when any difference of opinion was, almays dissented from their desigas: but that they had a trick of daing their business by intimation; and had a sure friend amongst the bishops, who had promised them reasonably to free them of that trouble.-They wönde not trust their furdships own inclinationg with the other poiut, of the new barons, which they knew would be cuntroverted; but in plaia terms demnuded, ' that no peers, created since - the day upon which the earl of Strafiord was ' impeached of High ${ }^{*}$ Treasous, because they ' were involved ns Commoners in the making ' that accusation, should sit anjudges athethial,
*For the Bant's demand, 'of an oader to - cramine some members on lis belhalf, upon ' matuers of fact, at bis trial;' after a long deInte, they left it only in the power of the perrons themselves who were nominated, to be examined if they would, not without some smart animadversions, that they should take heed what they did, and refused to enjoin them; though the same had been donest their desire, for the lorids of the councal : but that was against the Fal, end so the less to be considered.
"The Iurds, in the absence of the Inrd Keeper, who was very sick, mate choice of the carl of Arondel to preside and govern the Court: being a person notoriously disaffected to the eard of Strafford. And for the great bosiness of the Bishops, they were sared the labour of giving any rule, which it may be would have aroubled them, by the bishop of Lincoln's standing up, and tooving, on the behali of himself, and his brethren, 'that they might be excused from -being present at the Trial, being ecclesiasti' cal persons, and so not to have their hamis ' in blood; and such other reasons, as, when - they are examined, will not be found of, very 'great weight.'-The exaunple of the Bishops, prevailed with some lords, who had been created since the Accusation, to quit their right of judging; and amongst them, the lord Littleton, who had been made a baron upon the desire of the earl of Strafford, for that only reason, that he proffssed, 'If be were a peer, he ' would, and indeed be could, do him notable ' serrice,' was the first who quiticed his right to judge, because be had been a conmoner when the Accusation was first brought up: bat they who insisted upon their right, as the lord Seymour, and, others, and demandel the judgment of the house, were po more disuarbed, but exercised the saine 'power to the end, ns any of the nther lords did; and so, no doubt, might the Bisbops too, if they would: for, though thete might be some reason for their ab, sonce, when the Trinl wus acedrding to law, liefore and by his peers only ; yct, when that Judgruent was weved, aud a Bill of Attainder brought sgainst him, their vates in that Bill were as necessary and ensential, as of any other otuthe lords. And it may be, their anuessonable, voluntary, unjust quisting it then, made

Mr. Maxwell, whether the axe should be carried before him or no? Who did answer, That many men less solicitous for the defence of their right afterwards.
"On Mouday, the 22nd of March, the Earl of Strafford was brought to the bar in Weat-minster-hall ; the-lords sitting in the middle of the Hall in their rphes ; and the commoners, and some strangers of quality, with the Spottish commistioners, and, the cunmittee of ireland, on either side; there being a close box mado at one end, at a very convenient distance for hoaring, in which the ling and queen sate urtahen notice of: lus majesty, ont of kindnows and curiosity, desiring to hear nill that could be alledged: of which, I believe, te ntierwardy repentel hiaself; when his'laving beed present at the trina, was alledged and urged to him, as an argoment for the passing the Bill of Attaijder."
Tha followingare Mr. Laiog's reficctions opon this transaction: "While the crealy was thuy protracted, the fate of Straffiond vas determined in parliment. An codeawour to subvert the fundamental hows was a species of constructive treason till then unhnown, nud was estnblished on facts which were either andillerent in themselves, or insuiticient separately to constitute such crime. Whether from the uoselty of the accusation, or from the defectice mature of the eridenace, u bill of attainder was necessary to reach his devoted bead. Charles, who, according to the ordinary dispensatidis of jastice, might have remained a kilcht spectator of his\&ate, was reduced, by the bill of attainder, to the cruel alteruative of becoming ancessary to the death of a favourite minister, endeared by his services, or of iuvolving himself in a ruptare with parlinment, and in a civil war, while lie was muprepared for the eveuk. As a constitutional precedent, the attainder of Strafford is surrounded with dittigulties. Were an act, declaratory of treason, to be restrained, on the one hand, within the limits of the establisfied law, a statesman, secure from the stroke of justice, might conspire, with impouity, to subveit the fundhmental laws of the constitation, which implies no treasonable design nguinst the crown; yet; on the other hand, an act declaratory of new crimes might ultimatcly recoil on the people themselves; and a servile pilliament might indinge the anptition or the resentinent of a minjster, by the nttijuder ofevery opponent whoin he hated or feared. The evidence against Strafford whis defective, however, as it indicated rather kis advice and opinion, Lhan a concerted devigu to render Charles independent of parliament: but, as mercy is not the attribute of colIcetive bodies, he suffered, without legal evidence, from the violence of his nccosers, and the secret oonviction or the fears of his juiges. The upprehensions of his escape if the trial were interrupted, seem to have linst soggested the bill for prepeating the dissolution of parliament without ics own consent."
the king lad expressly forbialden it : sor was it the custom of England to use that ceremony, but only 'when the party accused way to be put upon his jury. Those of the upper house did ait with otheir heads covered, those of the Juwer house uncovered. 'The Bishopo upon the Saturday before did voluntarily decline the giving of their sulfrages in matters crimiunl, und of that nature, according to the provision of the Capon Law, and pructice of the kingdom to this Jay; and therefore would. not be present ; get withal they gave in a Protestation, that their alsence should not prejudice them of that or any other privilege competent to themp as the lords spiritual in parliament; which was accepted.

The earl of Arundel, ns Lurd High Steward of Eogland, sat apart by linnself, and at the Jieutengnt's entry onmmanded the house to proceed. Mr. Pym being Speaker of the Committee for his nccusation, gave in the sque Argicles which were presented at his last being before the Upper House; which heing reard, his Replics were subjoined and read ylso, the very same which were presented befofe in the ITpler House. Some give the reason of this, becuuse the Lover IIouse har not heard those Kecusations in public before ; others, that the formality of the protess required no less; however, that day was spent in that exercise.
The Queen went from the house about eleven of the clock; the King and Prince staid till the meeting was dissolved, which was after tiro. The Lieutenant was seis to the Tower Ly flis guard, and 'appointed to' return upon Tucsday nthine of the clock in the mornug. The crouct of people was neither great nor troublosome ; nil of them saluted him, and he them, wid great hụmility end courtesy, both at hats entrance and at his return : therefore let fause pretend what it please about the inalice tand discontent of the multitude, "That if he ${ }^{4}$ pass the Strole of Justice, they will tear him 'in pieces; ; yet.I sec the ere is. inore ingumour than in sight and appearance; and in this report, ns in all others of this nature, more is thrust upon the vulgar (who peem as well feartul of ponishment, as exempt from it, for all their great number) than they do jostly deserve.

## March 23.

On Tuesday in the morning he came accompanied ns before to Westminster; and baving staid in the Exchequer-Chauber till gine of the clock, the king, queen, ahd prinne came as before upon the first day.
Then. Master Pym being called for, affrevated the Charge, wllich was given the day before, by a very ample Speech. It is impossille to cull to mind all the byperboles, the llashes, and superlative expressions that he nsed; ; the main pointe were, That it was Treasog far beyond the rench of words, thas be add Lieutenant, a native sabject gad a peer of Bogland, the prime goveraer of Ireland, the coesmander of hin majeaty's forces, tod a Protestant in religion, should hare in such an impismen
and grosa manner recompensed his majeny's favours, abused his goodness, and drawn all his douninions into hazard and peril of their religion, lives, goods, and privileges: That one of these faulis alone had been enough, and too much, for the fulfilling of the exorbitancy and wickedness of any one man; and that no punishment could be thought upon, sufficient to expiate crimes of such $\frac{x}{}$ transcendent uature. .

The Lieutenant, with no less moderation and wieddon than the ofher with heat and passion, spake to his own defence; and that with such a measure of eloquence and livelihood, that his very enemies were affected with it, and do marvellously report of it. He modestly re* counted his services done to the king and crown of Finglaad, his endeavours for advancement af well of the honour as commodity of both kingdoms in gencral, but in particular that of Ireland; how ho had engreatened and advanced the King's revenues there, restored the Church's maiutenance, suppressed the Outlaws, established obedience to royal authority, and impedited the tyranny and usurpation of greater ones orer the Commons. And for the effecting of all these detions, he mentioned himself the most weak and meanest instrument, with a wonderful prudence, in a middle way, betwixt the affectation of baseness, or dejecteduess, and arrogance.

Master Pym, after the close of his Speech, to him that there were three new Articles adjoined (by an after-search) to his Charge; and desired that he might presently reply to the same.

Wherepunto the Lirutenant answered, It was very strange, that after the close of the process, and when matters were come to be scanned, and examined by proof, that any new charge should be given in ; yet, lest he should seem to decline the maintenance of his own innocency, and the just defence of his honour, he was most willing to heter them, and have them allerged, provided that a convenient time snight be assigued him to make his Replies against them, as he had done to the other given in before.
But Master Pym excepted against this, and told him, that the house din conceive it to be dangerous to grant any farther prorogation.

Upon this, the Lords of the Uppor House (who did not think it fit hus yet to voice any particular in the audience of the house of comamons) did retíre themeelves, and after a pretty. time of stay they returned and declered, that they had found the Ejeuterfint's suit to be equitable, in desiriagsof further time for answering: yet soving the Articles thernelves, neitber for number nor weight, searifed to be of that inportance, but that he might formish out a present Answey, they thought it fitting to grant no delay.
The Lieulenant then, entreating them 'to pass by and pardion the weaknesses of hio er-: tewsporary Anewerty, desired to hear the Artiẹles real, which mere these:

- Firat, That he had within theise two yours ' withdrawn 40,000l. sterling from the Exche-
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${ }^{\text {e }}$ quer in 'Ireland, and employed it to his own 'private uses,'
'Secondly, That in the beginuing of his go'vernment, the Garrisons in Ireland had been 'maintained by the English Treasury.'

- Thirdly, That he had advanced popish and ${ }^{6}$ idfamous persons, ns the bishop on' Wateriord ' nad others, to the prime rooms in the cluurch ' of Ireland.'

To the First he answered, That $30,000 l$. were set apart for the hing's dnte service, at his own most apecial and most peremptory commands; for which he produced the king's uwn Jetter, already approredong his acquatance at the Exxchequer board in Ireland.

To the Second, That at the beginning of that Charge against him, as ever before his sume, the Garrisons had been burdensoin to the kingdom of England ; but that he had so improved it, and settled the king's Revenue there, that the like is not to be heard in all the tunes that are by-past: for which, if the best endenvours' of a sabject may justly expect any teward from his king and country, he craved leave to think that he rather deserved many than's, than the least ponishment.

To the Third, IIe appealed to all the Clergy in Ircland, if ever be bad taken upon him any particular meddling in advancing thear Churchmen, or whether he had done any thing concerning soch affairs, but open the spevial advice and desire of the best and wisest of their number. For his part,'when he befrended the bishop of Waterfurd, he couceived of him as a man of integrity and learning, fit for such an employment; nor was there then the least suspicion of those monstrous Impietios, wherewith he was atherwards charged; that he liad now justly sufficred for the saune, and that he hoped they would not lay a necessity upon hima to prophesy and divine of the future conditions and deportasents of men. For others of the Ghurch, suspected of Popery, he knew name such, but should answer to the particulars so far as they concerned him, when they should happen to be alledged.
After this the House dissolvel for that night, the king's majesty and the prince having staid all the time: and the Lord Licutenant was appointed to come thither again on Wednesday zaorning; at which tinte they are to proceed to the first Article, to give an oath to the Witnesses, and to examine all the Proofs whereon the process was builded.
It will be a very hard matter for him to expect every man's testimony, and to give his Answers, cither for full satisfaction or diminution of all objections; which way of proceeding will spend at least a fortnight, if not a greater space, of time; yet it is thought the Jower Honse are impatient of delays. The expectations are exceeding various and different about the event of this great action :, some think it will be impossible to fescape the many and great Acersations laid to his Charge; others, and that the greqter number too, are of opinion that he will be in no hazard of his life, and that it will not be
possible to bring bim into the compass of treason ('quod tam miscre cupio ut non credann).' Ilis adverse party is so great, and so far interested both in point of salfty and honour against him, that 'flectere si nequennt stperos,' \&c. nothing will be left unessayed, that may accelerate his ruia,

IIe hath all ulfis time carried limself courageously, to the admiration, and withal so moderately, that it is to the great satisfaction of his very effemiess so that he seenis neither dejected with fear, nor to affect boldness with confidence, but to carry himself with that constancy and resolution, which his innocency and brave parts do promise.

The Irish Commissionets here, have hitherto abotained from giving in any Remonstrance against the Lieutehant, and do still plead to have an immerliate dependance from the king, and not from dee parliament of Euglaud. Thege was a teport that the paliament of Ircland had sent a Protestation agatinst the act made the last yeage for the hang's Supply in his Fxpedition against the Scots, as a thing which was violsatly in part, aud in part +urreptitiously obtnined from them: but I have learned this to be an untruth. I had almost forgotten one passige of Mr. Jyin, who in the negravation of the Lieutenant's Faults, had this expression, That he was like the Whore in the I'roverths. - He wiped his mouth, and with a brazen face 'said he had donç no evil.'
To this the noble lord replied, That he wistied his innocence might not be token for impndence; that he hoped shortly to clear himself of all those foul aspersions which lis malicious onemies had cast upon him; and lie was rery confident that he shoukl give the honouralle houses full satisfaction concerning hiw life thitherto, and thought of nothiug more hereafter than to retire hipself from all public employments.

Mr. Pym gave at this a great shout, and desired the house to trke notico what an injury he had done to the bonourable house of conimons, in calling them bis 'malicions cnemies.'
Whereupon the Lieutenant falling down upon his knees, humbly besought them that they would not mistake him; and withal gave a large panegyric of their most just and moderate proceedings, protesting that if he hifis self had been one of the house of commons, (as he ligd the honour once to be) he would not 1-we advifed them to have doue otherwise against his dearest frifend: but withal, told them, that he might justly say he had his own un-friends, which be hoped in time to make known. Nor, did he all this time speak one litter word against Mr. Pym, though justly incensed; which hath infinitely advanced lis rcputation.
I have been a daily bearer-of these Proccedlogs against this great personage now upou the stage, therefore do presume I can give a ieasonable nccount thereof. The Book of his, Cbarge is extant in pifint; so it shall be needful for me only to name the Articles, as they were canvan-
sed, and those designed by the house of Commons to be his Accuscrs: which were these that follow :

## The Nawnes of his Accusers.

Tým, Glyn, Maynard, Whitlock, lord Digby, St. John, Palmers, sir Walter Earle, Stroud, Selden, Lampden, \&c.
One of these began the Speech; the rest, after their collengue hath done, follow in,their. turn: so that be hath all of them to wrestle against, and yet sufficiently able for them all; though by his agitation his spirits are much exhausted.
Mr. Glyn, after a large flourish, on Wednesday, told the lords, That the lord Strafford was impeached, not with simple, but accumulative Treason : for though, in eath particular Article, such a monstrous crime could not be deprehended : yet when all was conceived in the mass, and under one view, he should be andordtedly found the most wicked and exorbjbant traitor that ever was arieigned at the bar. He addell, That his Charge was for intending to subvert and clange the fundamendal laws, literties, and privileges of both kingdoms, an to introduce an arbitrary and tyrannical form of government. This, he said, could not nppear but by the fruits, which were either in expression or action. The expressions were four:
First, That bcfore several Witnesses he had said at York, 'That the king's little-finger ' slwuld be heavier to them than the loins of the 'law.'
'To this the Leeutenant replied, That having spoken sufficiently before to his jastification in general, lie would moreover add these few wofls, by their favgurs: That it did strike him to the heart to be attacher, of such a wicked crime, by such honourable pessons; yea, that it wounded him deeper, in regard that such persons who were the companions of his youth, and with whom he hads spent the best of his d:ays, should now rise up in judgment against him: yet he thanked God for it, it was not guilh, but grief, that so much troubled him. IIe added, That it was a wonder how he had gotten strength sufficient in such infirnity of body, and such anguish of mind, to collect his thoughts, ay' say any thing at all for himself; but the nimighty God, who knows him to be innocent, had furnished hinn with some abilitiegs to give testimony to the trutb, and to a good conscience :. He therefore intreated, that if cither injudgment or in memory he should at any time fail, it might be inputed to his great weakness. And although the gentlemen his Accusers should seem more rendy in their Accusations than himself in his Defence, yet that miglt not prejudice his cause; who, in very unequal terms, had to do with learned and eioquent layyers, bred up a long time and inured to such judiciary pleadings, and whose rhetorick, be doubted vot, might present many things to their view in a multiplying.glass. He told them farther, That for these many years he had been
weary of public service, and that now it was his resolution, after he had vindicated his honour, to retire hinnself, and enjoy his much longed-for privacy : and yet he could not but tell them so mucl, that it had been his hearty wish and desire, rather voluntarily to bave resigned his places of honour, like a ripe fruit fallen from the tree, than to be violently pulled from thence, as a fruitless and unprofitable withered branch.
To the Charge of Trenson be said, That under favour he conceived, that although all the Articles contaiaed in his Impenchment were verified ugainst him, yet they would not all umount. to Treason; neither simple nor accumblative: For (said he) I do not understand by what interpretation of law the diversion of justice cant be called a subversion of the sume; or the exceeding of a commission, the usurpution of a new poiver. To the particular he replied, That his words were clearly inverted, for that his expression was, 'That the little-finger of the law (if uot supported by the regal power, ${ }^{6}$ in granting Pardous for Penalties of the same) 'was heavier thagn tife king's loins.' That this was his expression, he verified, 1. By the Oc casion: for he spake the words a long time since, to some men who had lsin innprisoned at York, and were then by the king's favour set at liberty; whom he incited to thanlfulness (by this expression) towards his majesty. 2. By Witnestes pruduced by hirs In the Examination of their witnesses he convinced one of them of untruth, by interrogating him where he was when the Speech was heard, and how far divtant frombhim: when the man replied, that he was 12 yards from him; he answered, that it was inpossible for him to hear a man three yards oft, by reason of a deafness that had held him 14 years: which being found true, the Witness was rejected.

Anotice Witness (sir David Foulis*) was' brougbt agafnst him; against whom he excepted, as hiy known and professed enemy : it was told him, that he himself did not use to admit of exceptions against Witnesses, and therefore was to expect the same ineasure.

He replied, That Master Pym might one day perhaps be attached, for persuading the house of commons to commit the same crime that was laid upon him as a charge of Treason. But for all this, the Witness was received, because in matter of Treason a man's enemy may witness against him ' pro domino nostro rege:' 'though I suppose, the king's advice was never asked for the present. This was all that was done for that time.

On Thursday he was charged with the second Expression: 'That he said Ireland was a con' quered kingdom, and that the king might pre'scribe them what law he pleased.'

This they aggravated as a prime Note of his tyrannical will and affection, that wouldpernit
$\bullet$.See his Case No. 143, vol. ii. p. 586 ; nndo note the part talken egainst him by Lord Strafford on that occasion.
no law to bound the subject, but what himself, and such as he, might draw up by sinistrous infurmatious from a gracious and well-meaning prince; and if this were admitted, the whole power aod liberty of the Republic would bed utteriy lost.
To this he replied, That neither was the Expression in those words, nor in that sense spoken or meant by hhmi. The first part' of it (suid he) cannot be denied: to the secuand, that he had suid ouly, 'That the king was the law' giver,' which he hoped none could deny without incurring the crime of Tgeuson; and that ' the king's Sentehoe was a low in matters not ' determined by acts of parliament,' which all but disloyul sobjects would grant. And that ik had ever heen his endeavour to have the Liberty of the Subject and the Royal Prerogutive follow both in one channel; if either of then crossed other, we could expect nothing but a subversion of the Commonwealth, either, by tyrauny or rebellion: That the prerogatire was like the first, the liberty of the subject like the secoud Table; either both or neither can be preserved. That in his duty he stood obliged first to the king, us God's Anointed; then in the second place to his country, if it did not cross the regal power. And therefore hopell, that what he lad spoken was so far from leing treason, that he thought a thousand such expressions would not make up one felony.

## March 26.

On Friday the two other Expressions were followed: That he said, 'IIe would not suffer - his Ordinances to be disputed by lawyers, be-- fore inferior judicatories, and that he would 'make an Act of State equivalent to an Act 'of Parliament.'
To the first he said, That he had often snid (more than once) that he would not suffer his Ordinance to be coutemned; because, in bim, his master's honour was woundede-To the second, He thought a proportionable obedience was due to Acts of State, as well as to Acts of Purliament; otherwise they were made in vuin, If that both did not bind in one kind.
The Lord Cork, (though his mortal enemy) was now examined, and admitted as a witness ; whom in his Deposition he convinced of two shanefal oversights: for Cork had deolared upon his oath, that the Lieutenant had caused to be interlined an Ordinance against himeelf, and had caused some words to be scraped out; which words wefe notwithetanding still found. to be in the Sentence, by an sutbentic Copy under the hand of sir Paul Davison, olerk to the Council-Board of Ireland.-Thea Cork alledged, That he had advanced a groom of bia to be a Preacher; who hy q testivony from the University of Dublin he verified to have beien a Master of Arts ten or twelve yeara before hig advancemest : addiug withal, that my lord of Cork was an eacellent scholar, who was able to breed such grooms.

Upon Saturday, beving done with his Expressions, they canvassed the first Article about
his sctions : Agninst the lives of the king's soljects, both in the Case of the loryl Mommtnorris, and also of another of the king's subjects, both of whom he had sentenced to death by Martiad Law, contrary to nll 'law, and to the manifest sabversion of the privileges of subjects, Magna Charta, apd the Petition of Right.
To the lord Mountnorris's Case he replied, 1. Tbat though that Sentence had been unjustly given and tigorously prosectuted against him, yet the greatest crime that he could be charged withal, would bus nmount to mennSlaughter, or felony at the most. 2. That he hoped, though this were pruc, to obtain a pardon from his gracious master the king's innjesty, as well as Copway qidd sir Jacob Antley had lately done, for exercising tusrtial law in the northern urmy.
Then he replised to all the parts of the Charge, which wers four :

1. That he had exercised Martial Lave in time of peace. -
To this he answered, 1. That all armies have been, and must be, governed ever by martinl haw. 2. That there is n standing Army in Irelund, and therefore the case is all one iu time of peace or war; and that the nemy might be undone, if they should not nexe Martial lnw, but were to expect renedy for the settling of a mutiny, or nssurance of obedience, from the common law. S. That it had ever been the practice of the Deputics, particularly of Wilmot, Fallhand, "Chichester, yea Cork himsolf; and therefore was no new thing brought in hy him. This he proved, both by thé production of the milithry Ordinances, and by divers Witnesscs who knew Senténces given in that kiod by them. 4. That he hath u purtictlar Warrant in his Commission for this poiver. 5. That in the lord Mauntnorris's Case, he was communded to excreite tho shine by the king's particular Letler: both which he caused to be read.

The second Charge was, That he was both Party and Judge in the lord Mountnorris's Cause.

To this he replied, That he had sitten in Jodgment, becanse he was one sine guo non, the Judgment could not proceed without him: but that he was not judge, but party, appeaver, 1. Becasse he sat uncowered all the time. 2. Becnuse de refused to give his own opinion. s. Bedause be difl ngt give his suffrage one way or other? 4. Becanse he remoted his brother sir Georete from having a hund in the process, in regard of interest of hlood.
The third Charge was, That be procceded summarity in the matter of the lord Mountnorrii.
He replied, 1. That he wat not Judge in it, and that the Comncil of War was to be answerable in the justification of their own proceedings. \& That after a long reatoning he had heard them say, that no delay could suffity be granted in Marial Courts.
The fourth Charge wns, That be tad not
heard the. Exceptions made by Mountnorrin ugainst hia Wituesses.
To this he answered, as before, That he was not judje in the case, and that he rememben no exceptions made against any witnesses. Tr which be added, That as he had been regulates in his proceedings, so he had been moderate in the execution of that Sentence : for though thi lord Mountnorris justly desesved to die, yet bi hall obtnined him the king's Pardon, for thi saving of lis life; and protested, that he inteaded nothing by that Sentence, bat in sotne measure to repair his own honour, and to give Mountnorris fair reproof, whe was known to he of an exorbitant and licentious tongue and spirit. Adding, that if the House of Commons "ould go on the same way with him, and assure him that the issuc of his Charge should be nothing else but to admonish him for the time to conue. he would thank them hearily for it, and study mmendment in all pretended oversights. And wheress Mountnorris complained that he had jeeringly told him when the Sentence was passed and pronounced ngainst him, That ere be lost his head, himself woulc luse his hanil ; be answered, That he had been thoughe to be very iusolent and haughty, yet be was never 30 impertineat to use this expression :'If any fault were, it was for undervaluing biniself, in saying. That ere a hair of Muuatuorrio's should perish, be would lote his hand. And truly (saud he), if Mountnorri would say so to me now, even in the worst seyme that can be conceivel, ,That cre I died he would lose his hand, I would take it very hindly froms binn.

For the other man, he arouched that he himself had voiced to chang bim, both because lee was gn arrant thief, and also had fled from his colours, whicli by the common law (aud to this effiect he cited a Statute 20 IIen. 6, and 7 Hen. 7,) is felony. He concludded, That seeing he was not accessary to the Sentence against Mountnorris, bad not, at there as, Judge, had n power to heep Martial Courts by his contraistion, had not exerciscd the same till a now command came from his majesty, bad dufe no more than ever was pructised in Ireland before his time, aud had at last oltained Mountyorriv's Pardon; he hoped there was yothing accusnile in him, hat, his too remiss and too moderate proceedings.

Master Glyn bitterly replifed, Tlopt he knew the !ine when the Eart of Straford was no less. active and stirring to enlarge the Liberty of the Siflyject, and advance the Petition of Right, than now he is for extending his own arbitrary and tyraunical government. .-
To this he replied, witbost the least semsblance of passion, That if at any time be had done the least service to the hause of commons, he thought his whole life well spent; nor could they ever so graciously reward him, is to give eqramission to that gentleman, $\varphi$ express to much before that honourable asseably: but withal, if ever any such thing was done by hist,
vol. IIf.
he intreated -it might now be remembered, and might now serve to overbalunce some slight qnd mean oversights committed by bim; which he hoped should never make him guilty of Trea-sun, unless it were treason for a man to have no more wit and prudence thau God and pature had bestowed upon him.-And sQ much for Saturday.

## March'20, 1641.

Upon Monday he wass charged with the sixith Aricle: That he hall used a tyrannical Government, not only over the lives (as appeared by the last), but alsh over the lends and goods of the king's subjects, as appeared by this Article; wherein be was charged to have disposseased the lord Mountnorris of a tenure of lands, by a summary proce.s. hefore hirnself, contrary to all law : and therefore had fuled, 1. Agayst the act of 7 Hen. 6 , which provides all matters to be deternined by the ordinaty jodges. 2. Against the Cautiuns sent to Irelund by king James, expressly forbodring sivich power liereatter to be exencised. 3. Agninst the king's late Prodamation. 4. Against the prartice of all Beputies before that time.
Withal they ndded, That' it wąs n tyrunny that could not be expressed, to exercise this power over the persons of the peers of the land, and their goods.
To this he replied, That for his part, in mattog of Justice (under favour he spole it) he thought there wns no dfscinction to be made betwixt a Peer of the land und one of the Commons, except they did think that either fear or faction should do sometbing, which had no place in lim,-To the Particulars :

1. That the act of Hen, 6, answered itself sufficiently, both because it excepted the Court of Requests (and that his proceedings nere nowing else in Ireland), nad also makes an express , reservation of the king's prerogative; hich he spid nas his strength, becuuse he derived his Commission froun the king, and that he act was the most express warrant in the rorld for him.
2. That he had not failed against the Csujons given by king James, 1. Because they кere not charged upon him. 2. Because they were never observed, nor could be by the Deputies to whom they were given; which he roved both by witnesses and writings. S, $\mathrm{Be}-$ aase the Caution made rather for him than gainst him, in that it contained the word ' hereifter;' which manifestly implied that the power had been sometimes before exercised in Ireland, and not only by himself; and therefore thanked hem for that testimony and hint. 4. That hough the Cautions had been given to him, yet be had received un express command from the king his maserer to put that power in use: causing the kiog's Letter, for that purpose, to. \%/ end; and professing withal, That be was tenler to exarcise that power, till the king finduced. $y$ the humble Remonstrance of the menaer soit of people) had most peremptorily, and ipon mont just reasons, commanded him. .
3. That he could not obey the kiag's Proclamation five years before it come out; and that be wibhed Irom his heart, that they would but rappect the king's commands und commistions with that tenderue s of affertion and obedience, as he dial hus Pioclamations.
4. He proved it to be the comstant-practice of all $D_{\text {c }}$ puties that went lefure lim.

It was ohjected, That ither Deputies had indeed u; on suits of equity determued thenielves, as to matters of $a_{i}$ bt, bat never of land.

He replicd. 1. That the sunue nuthority reachecth as well to the one as to thę other. 2. That peither he nor they harl ever given Sentence, or deterninged any thing concerving matters of inbéritance; bui only concerning violent iutruson, which fell directly within a suit of equily. To which he added, 1. The Equity of that Coort; that it prorceds upon the same grounds aid evidences as that of the Commof Pleas, apd that he had the aasistance of two of the , learped Judges in deciding the controversy. The Profit of that Court, which dispatcheth the poor in a day or two; wherens the common law would keepp them so many ygars, which they are not rible to sustain. 9. The Necessity of that Court In that kingdom, which ever hath been governed by that way, and therefore impossible to deluar the natives from it, without great inconvenience; for it would utterly undo them, and none is prejudiced by it but the lywyers. And therefore seeing that he had dohe nothing but what was customary, necessary, and equitable, being commanded to it, and the sentence just; he boped rather for thanks from the state, than a charge for his ill deportment. Withny, he shewed with what extortion atal violence the lord Mountnorris had taken seizure of that picce of land, and made the playing of his game to be very foul. And at last he added, That he had done no more in Ireland, thin the Court of Request in England Usually doth, and that the Chancery Court in Lieland doth the same daily ; and the last Chancellor was never clanged (said he) for such proceedjogs, though this his power and authority was Jess than mine: but the difference of the person and bis authority (it seeweth) differeth the mut-ber.-And this was the business on Monday.

## Mareh so.

On Tuesday they passed by the 7il Article, wind the two first parts of the 8ah, and iusisted pn that part abput the lady Ifibbot's Land, That he had violently thrust her from ber posCession by this summery way of Justice, sud afterwards purchased the Land to his own used by borrowing the-name of sir Robest Meredith. Ip this prubation, the testimony of the gentlewomnin's own son was used, of the Joid Cork, ind the, lard Mountnorris, all his back-friends, or professed' paemies : and yet they' prove yery fitule, byt what they took upon hearsayg. Their prime allegation was, 1. Tbat though ifie major part of the Council Board had voted for the lady, yet the Lord Lieutenant had given Decrees ngninst her, \%. That all was done to his own behoof,

To the First, He produced the Sentence onder the band of the Clisk of the Council Board, subscrilied by the mejor part. To the Secund, He attested that he had no, under denling with Mcredith; for the lndy had got ber own lands back from the said sir Robert Meredith. He aiso declared, at lenyth, with what fraud and deceit the lady had conne to her lands, and upon what relsons they nere restored.

After this Article they iell upon the $9 i h$, about the giving of Comnissign to the bishop of Downe and Connor, for uppreheuding all such persons, and presenting them before the Council Boand, as contemued the Fcele-jastical Ordinmaces. This was aggravated as a point mainly ngainst the lalerty of the Sulject. To this he replled : -

1. Ile produced the primate of Ireland's teetirsony under his hand, (he teing himbelf sich) that the same course had be en used in Ireland befote; pnd that bishop Mountesomery, lis predecessor in the bishopric of Meathe, had lfad the same.
2 Hesliewed the equiry that stech ascistance should be given to chríchmen, who othernise, becaure of Papists and Schismatichs, cither us God or the king, would have no respect or obedience given them in that kingdm.'.
2. He proved by tivo wituesses, that such warrants were in use before lis time.
3. Ife said, he harl never granted nuy hut that one, nud had prosenily, nithin some fews months, called the same in again. Whar (said hic) was the hish $\delta$ p of Downes's rurriage in it, he had no reason to answer for: Lut he presuined the bishop could give a satisfactory 1 nswer for bimeelf, when he should be called in,question. And to he concluded, that, a matter so just, so neressary, so customary and practical before, he lopped should not be charged upon him as an introduction of a new and tyrannical form of government; and therefore submittel himseff to the mercy-of God, and the equity of his peers inhistrial. - This was the work onTuesday.

The ability of this bravergentlemnn ravished his bearers with admiration, though be be infinitely spent hoth in body and mind by the oontinued and alnost oninterrupted agitation.

## March 51.

After the 9th Article was passed ngainst int Commission issued in fyeour of the bishop of Down and Chonor, upon Wednesday Mr. Glyn proceeded to the 10 h Article. The Charge was, That the Earl of Streflord haviug established an arbitrary and tymaznical government over the lives, lands, and Diberies of the king's subjects, his next desire was to make intrusign upon the crown itself; that by applying to his own use the public revenues, he unghit be the more enubled to accomplish hia disloral and Craitorous intentions. To which end, baving ly a new Book of llates, enhancef the Customs, he had gotien by advaitage of his Lease above 86,0001 . yenrly. This (Lhey added) was a crime of a higher nature, han those contained in the preceding Arcicles, becaise in
those thare was some cellour or pretext of justice, here none; those in particulars, this in "general; those agsiust the subjett only, this ugainst the king himself. -

For the Proof of the Charge, they produced the lease of the dake of Buckwigham. Which was read and compared with that lease to the duclipss of Buckingham, (whidh the Lieutenant hath pow by assignment) and soope' differences shewn, arising to the sum of $\$, 000 l$. in the duke's Jcase; only the moiety of concealed and forfeited goods were due to hins, but the whole goods to the duchess in her Lease. Aguin, the King's Elips of Prizes did not pay custom in the duky's 1.cease; in the duchess's they did. Agnin, the Impost of the Wines (then belonging to the carl of Carlisle) was not inf the duke's Lease; in the duchess's it was. Lasly;', Whereas the earl of Suraftiond puid but 14,0001 . per anuиm for the Cuastom; it was worth to lime, ns was npparent by the Rouks of the Rxchequer, 40,0001.
Wituertes were exiumined.
*1. Sir Jumes Hay, who deposed, That the corl of Carlisle had an advantage of $1,600 \mathrm{l}$. per ann. by his Lense of Wines.
2. The lord Ranelagh; who deposed, That by the imppectinn of the Books of Accourpts, he had found the Costonis to be uano 1636,36,000l. tuno 1637, 39,000l, anno 1638, 54,000l, anno 1639, 59, 0001 .

With the 1 'roof they concluded the Charge, That notwibstanding the Lord Struford pretended a great mearure of Zeal and honesty in his majesty's service, yet it is evident he had thinsed the trust put upon bím; and by withdrawing so great suns of money from the crown, had neukeured the king, prejadiced the subjects of the proteration they were to expect From him, and had been the cause that the extraordmary way iff Impost and Monopolies had been undertaken for sopplying of the royal necessicy. And that this act, therefore, ought to be enough to make the Charge and Iropeachment of. 1 inghr,Tgexon lpid ngainst hir.

The Licutenant's Reply was, That be conreived he had fiven full satisfaction to al hitherto brought against him about that pretended arbitrary government: nor would her spend tine in vain repetitious: For the pre sent Article, though in all its parts it wert griunted to be true, yet be could uot perceiv hy what interpretation of law it could impl. the least pct of Theason; and when it shoul he directly charged upon-him to a poiot c Misdemeanour, Uppression, of Felony, he madi no'doubt but he shoudd' be very gible to clea himaself mbundantly in that point also ; yet, lea any prejudice mightstick to his honour by thest bold assertions, be whs cuntegt to step so fay out of the way, g̣s to give ausker:

1. That it concerned him nothing what par ticalips is the lease had pasped betwixt the King pad the Duchets of Buckingham, or whe-- ther ahp hind uhtained a more capy condition Than the duke her busbend, especjally seein that, anme was granted some syears hefore hi ecuning to that governupgat : yet thys $r^{\cdots i t}$
could say, That the duches had paid 39,0002 . Fine ; end therefore no marvel her yearly reant was the less.
2. For the Book of Rates (wherein the chief Juatter of $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{p}}$ pression and Grievance seepped to rest), the saase was there entalinghed by the deputy Falkland, anno 1628, three yeary batiod his going into Ireland; and therelave it was exceeding struige iu his, apprehension, how that could rise up in judgnent Tgainst hin.
3. That he had his interesta in the Custopps by assigination of a Leuse from the duches, which was given her hefore his government: nor "qid ie eier henr it alledged as a crime of Treason ir a man to-make a good bargain for himself,
4. That not of his own accord, hut at alith cing's special comusaud, be bad underigone that tharge, in hopes that, upon the inquify iuta che vorth thereof, the Castous might be inproved or the benetit of the crown, mad the true valion hereof discovered. This lie proved by the ard Cottingtou and sir Arthur Ingram.
5. That when a new Book of Rates was resommended to him by the Council-Board of Rogland, in the ting of his Leage, he so fur pre⿻ erred a fear he had that the trade of Irefand might thereby be discouraged before his owg zonmodity, as he presumed, in all humility, to refuse the said Book of Ratet, and tendered hip ensons thereof to the king.lom and council? coard of Eaglinnd.
6. That he never understood that the Custons could urise to those great sums nlledged; but though they should, get his advantage wap but small. For, frst dividing the 14,0001 , he paid to the king; then fise parts of cight, which wus yetily given in upon oath (and that procured first by hinsell) at the Exchequer-Board; the other lliree parts divided ainougst four of then, which were equal tbarers in the Lepse, would not anount to any great sum of mpney. And therefore except it were treison for him to have inproved the king's Revenue, encoibraged the lrade, and refused the new Book'of Ratcs, he could, in his own weak judgment, discern none there; nor could he thing it a crine for him to take an nssignation of a leds granted before his time, and to irtsint on the Book of Rates used before his coming overi. And therefure was confident the Eordo would rather take his Accusation as an exercise of rhetoric in the gentlemen his adversaries, thap as a thing spokea in good earnest by thén.
The same day the 11 th $\dot{\text { rticle}}$, concemplitg Tobacco, was clarged un him by thé samemina, Mr . Glyn, after this inauner: That for the farther advancemeut of lifs tyrannical' and avaricious devigns, he had of himself establitithed a Moiopoly for the restruint of Tubaceo in that kingdom: where they offered five Purticulare' to the Proaf; 1. That he had restrained the linportation of Tobpcco: 2. That in the qiegn time pe bad brougbt in a great quiphity Niowself, and sold the sniue nt exorbinamt prige. 3. That of Tobacco already iupprted, he fidd fogbildep ing ta be sold but what whe firft
sealed by his officers. 4. That opon a pretended disobedience he had pumsbed a great number of people by seiruie, imprisoninents, fining; whipping, pillory, and such-lhe cruel and inhuman usuges. 5. That by these means he had gamed $100,000 \%$, jearly.

For Pruot heievf, 1. The Proclamation for restraung Tobacco was read. \&. 'The I'roclamation about the seding of the grme: 3 . Son e Witnesce, who declared that Ships had been restrained froin landing Tohaccos 4 Otbers, who had bnown some Tubacco seszed on as forl ited. 5. The Remonstiance of the house of commone in Iieland, declanng that the Eh,rl thad sold 500 tun of 1ubacco, whech, sold at 2، od. per pouni, amounts to 100,000 .
They concluded the Clasige, 1hat he had sucked up the blood, and eaten up the hang's lege people; and had, by this one point of Upprestion, Idined greater sums to bumelt than .ali the hing's Revenue an thet kinglum extended unto. Aud theiefore was hable to the c-me of Jreason, for tioubling the peace, and bereanng the people of their goods, who nere intuusted to his care and govetnment.

The Lieutenut's Iteply was;' That his most secret thoughts nere consciuus of nothing but a sincere mituntion and endenavour to prominte and ads,ance the welfare of that hingdom. nud withal, he concersed (by ther leases) that noth ag in that Charge could hose the least reference to 1 reason; yet as be sud before, $1 a_{1}$ removing of all prejudice, he was contented to nasiver: 1. That long before his comng to Ireland the same restadint had beea of Tubacco and the same impost of 18 d . per poind enjoined by hug James. 2. That at that time the tradesmen for this commodity pald but 201. a yeas to the crown for the impost, but now 400l. 3. That the Parlininent in Ireland, 1628, had petitiotied to have tius linpost scettled by an Act of State for ever afierivards, as $\varepsilon$, p.at of the revenue of the crown. 4. 'That he had express command fiom the hing for issung those Proclamations: nid theiefore could not magine mpre danger in'them, than in others for Monopolies in Eugland, in the worsisense. 5. That the Proclamations were not put forth by hnnself alone, but by the whole Council-Board of Ircland. 6. That for the Contract of Tobacco, he was so tender of 1 r; that it was sent oser hither, and seen and approved of by the Coun-cul-Board of England belore it was condescended to in Ireland.

For tie, Pioclathations, he told them it was bis own opinion, (and if he falled in it, he humbly eraved pardon, and hoped that it should not be Treasou to linve na more judgrnent than God had br stowed on hum), that the kiog was eadued by God with a power to inake temporary laws, and cause the same to be pronnulgated for the good of his people, upon sudden emergent occossions; to which laws obedience is due, tif they be abrogated ly ensoing parlumeet ts. "I hat he restranned no man from itbpoitng Tobacco, who was willung to pay the appointed unpost. That for his part, he had
never trafficked in all his time is that kind, nor had any part with the contractore: Apd it any Tobncco was seized on, it was upun contempt of the Proclamutions. And if any person were censured to the pillory or whipping, it nas for hnown potjury, the ordmary and u-ual punsishment in sach a case. Conceruing the Tobacco mported, (he sefd) no consideration was taken of the prace giventor the Tobicco beyond seas, of the hing' Revense of $4,000 \mathrm{l}$. of the nerchante' pans and danger in bruging the same home. For his part, it any advatutage were made, surely it was not his; nor could he unrol every contact or lease made by the hing. And therefore, seeing his uitierst was none, he had done nothug, but at the bug's duections, and at the adrice of the Counci-Boand; seeng the sane Intport wis in hiag James's tries, and petitioned tor by thembelics in lieland, he hoped his carnage in the busmebs shoull be so far from a crime of treason, that it should rather be thought so crune at all,-\$o much fur Weduesdry.

$$
\text { April } 1 .
$$

Upon Thursday he was charged with the 12 th drtich, concetung Flax, by muster Moynurd, on this manner. That the Flax benge the unave and pruespal commodity of that hingdooi, was by lime (the Leatenisut) icstanned, and the subjects pat to that which was nahnown, yea, impussible, for the lirh to mine the same into rarn. Here they somplamed of three tiangs: 1. That hy Pieclamatuon he had restramed selnug of 1 lix. ${ }^{2}$ That he had ordered the makng of yarn of sluche and anch lengihs and uumber of threads 3. That the native Irish being unacqfanted with such cutons, upen presended disubpdience, had all their Flax and Yarn seied ou to bis use; uhereby a gieat quany famiacs were reduced to such penury, that they died by great numbers in the fields for want of frod.
For Proof heieal, tificy brought, 1. The Pioclamation about the Restiapnt. 2. The Wai1nut for seizng the furferted goods. 3. The Execution of the Warrant prosed by sir John Clotworthy, and lord Ranelagh. 4. The Remoustrance from the house of commons in Ireland, That upou the rigorous course und-executon of this Warrant many persons died fon want of fond. They concluded the Chago therefore, Though the Article did not nudividunalfy imply treason, yet it did make very nuch for the acrumulation of Treason.

The Lieutenant's Réply was, That, as hefora, he would, and mute ever repent it, that nothing was in the Chargo that contaned treacon. To the matter itself he enswered, 1. That the Proclamations issued forth were grounded not only upon conveniénce, but apon necessity; because that, axcept some way had been taken fot orderiug of Yara, the merchants had abso-. lotely given over the linen-trade in that kingdom. 2. That the Council-Board was as liable to the charge as himself, amongst whom were at that time the primate of Ireland, the arch-
bishop of Dublin, chancellor Loftus, and the lord Mouptnorris, all subecribers to the proclamation, men, to them, of known integrity and jodgrent. S. That nothing wha mate cowmon than for the Council-Board of Irelinal to give orders for reducing the natives_ to the Eughogh Customs, and to fine them for draming their horses by the tails, daring their corn, anil such like; and he conceived thatoto be a thing of the same nature. 4. That the special thing inducing him to it wes, because he perceived the trade of Wool to increase moch in that kiug. dorm. He dissuaded by all means the making of Woollen-Cloth, because of the infinite detrot meat that might happen thereby to the kingdom of England; and thgrefore thought this the best way to encourage the wear of linencloth. For the Warrane to seize upon the gurds, be atfirmed the same to be necessary, because there should be no contempt to Proclamations: Bue that nay part thereof did accise to hin, be flatly denied. If niny rigour "as used in the precution, lee said, not he, but liss olfictin were to answer for it: for thas might honpeat in the most just and neceanary coinmands; nor was there ever any complant presenterl to him ofiony such matter. For has part, he had lost 3,0001 , in the manufactore, established by humself for the encouragement of others.

To that, Thit persons died by that means, he rephics, That it was more than ever he hencl, of could think possible; yea, that he was deared by the Allegation itself, which suith, that the same happened sincolys coming from Ireland.

To the 7 (cinonstrance of Ireland, he conceiverl, That a Charge was hut a slender proof of a Clharge; and that espectally upon Interrogatories, not upvin Oath, seeng that privilege was not due to the bouse of communs neither here nor there; that he might say it in trath and modesty, he deserved nuch better of that people, and might tahe up that' in the Gospel, 'For ' wluch of all ny grod deris,'.\&ec. yet he hoper: to be better undertond sboitly both here anc there. And for his part, though his pursuit hati leęn rery hut, yet Gui was his witness, he never intended to take the lenst impression of revenge for those discontents and affronts which had been eagerly put upon him; or to carry sefly thing hence with him from that bar, where so many foul aspgrsions had been unjustly thrown upon him, bat only grutuitas cicatrices.
The same day a fresh man (nester Pälocr) entored the lists ngaflust himi; who having passed. Wy, for want of Proof, the 13th, 14 th, nad past of the 15 th Articleq, insisted only upon the second part, for giving Wargant to serjeant Savil for seizing nad laying solddiers upon the subjects. He charged thus: That the lord Strafford, having by a tyramical power inverted the ordinary course of justice, and giving inmediate Sentence upon the lands und goods of the king's subjects, under preteuce of disobedience, had used a military way for redressing of the contenpt, and laid soldiers upot the lands and goods of the king's subjects, to their atter ruin,
this Artiele (he said) of itself did contain an individual Treason; so that if there were no more than thus, it were raure than sufficient to convince him of his Impeachment.

- Here be offered two things: 1. The proof of the point. 2. By what 8 tatute this act of tyranny directly, and by itseff, implied Treason. For the first, serjeant Savil was called, who produced the copy of the Warrant upon which he had settled the soldiers,

Atothis the Lieuteuapt rose, and hambly intreated the lords no evidence should be received against him upou an Article of such importance, but wiut ${ }^{*}$ might be thought authentic; and such a onef, under favour, he conceived that copy not to be: 1. Decause no transcript, but the original only, can make faith before the King's-Bench in a matter of Deht; therbfure far be it from them to recesce a most alender testimony in matier of life and deatib, before the suprease judicatory of the bingdom. 2. If Copies be at any tume received, they are such as are given in upon wath to have been compared with the Orikinols, which are upots record: nuch an ona was not that copy.

It was rephed by Master Gilyn, (ior all of them spake as occuston sprved) Thint the hoase had tut the day before admitted Copies as Evidences; much more should they do this, when it wns proluced by the officer hinself, who best knew it, haring executed the same.
LTo this the Leutenant answered, That all other Copics ought tó be received upon oath, to have been compared with the ori,inal, as nght reason requireth; but that thiy was not so: and for the otficer humself producing it, That was the best argument he could use, why it sheuld not be ndmitted. For, sand he, muster Saval may he clarged with Treason, for cesoing men of war upon the king's subjects; he hath mothong for his de ence; but a pretended wariant fruin me. Now, whit hiv swears to my prejudisc, $i$, to las onn adrantupe; mor can n tua, by any rquity in the world, be admitted to testily uganst another in suame justificationern.
The point seemed exceeding weighty, and, in effect was the ground-work of the whole Artiele ; which not proved, nothing could evince bim to have been accessary to the cronsequence. The Upper Ilouse therefore adjourned themselves, and went up to their own court ;' and affer a very hot contestation betn een the Factions, and alove an hour's stay, they returped, and declared that the Jords, ${ }^{2}$ ater mature delfberation, had resolved that the Copy shoold not be admitted, and "esired them to proceed to other Proofs: which after a litte pause they did.

First, the ford Ranelagh afirms, that he heard of such i Warrant, and knew sometipes three, snmetimes five soldiers billeted by it. 9. Master Clare declares the very same. S. Ap- , other deposeth, be hath seen such a WWarrant. upder the depaty's hand und seal. And so much for the Proof.

For the Statute, they alledged ont of Ed. S,
6. That-whosoever should carry about with them English enemies, Irish rebels, or hoodedmen, and cess them upon the oubject, should be punished as a traitor. Abother of Hea. $\delta$, 7. That whosoever should cess men of war in lis majesty's duminions, should he thought to make war agaiust the king, and punisbed as a traitor. They concluded, It was evident the lord Strafford had incurred the penalty, and breach of both the Statutes, and therefore doaired the lords should give out jadgruent ngainst him as a Traitor.
The Lord Lieutenant's Reply was, That ia all the course of his life he hid intended nothing more than tho preservakion of the lives, goods, and welfare of the king's subjects; and that he dared profess, that uuder no deputy, usore than under himself, had there been a more free and uninterrupted course of justice.
To the Cbarge he answered, 1. That the Customs of Ireland differed exceedingly fiom 'the Customs of England, as was clear by Cook's Brok ; and therefore thought cessing of men migits seem strauge here, jet not so there. a. That even in England Je had known Soldiers pressel upon men by the Piesidento of York and Wales, in case in known and open contempts; and that both in pont of outlasvry and reliellion, and aloo even for soms of debr betwecn party und paity, therc is nothing more ordmary than these cessugs to thin day in Fcotland, whereby the cluef house of the owner ${ }_{8}$, seiced upon. 3. That to ths day there buh been mothing more oudiuary in Ircl.gnd, than for the gusernors to appoint soldieis w, pat all manner of Seotences in execotion; which he proved plamly to haie been done frequently, and familarly eacrcised in Grandison's, Falkland's, Chichester's, Wilmot's, Corh's, Evers's, and all preceding depuries tumes; and had even for Outlawres, lor the king's Debts in the Exchequer, of Collection, of Contribution-Maury, and, which connes hoine to the puint; for pent suins of moncy letween party und party: so that he.marvelled, qua fronte, or with what boldness it could be called nn Arbitrary Goverament lately brought in by hino. To this the . Iord Dillon, sir Adan Loftus, and sir Arthur Teringham, deposed; the last of phoce told, that io Falklaod's time he knew 20 soldiers cessed upon a man for refusing to pay 16s, sterling. 4. That in his Instructions for execiting his Commissions, he hath express Warrant for the eavie, as were in the Instructions to the lord Ealklaud before him; both of which were producad and read. 5. That al"phough these Precedents mere not, yet it whre pot possible to govern the kingdop of Inplapd othorwise, which had been from all timet aceystoped to such spmmary procenedinge. 6. Thpe mo.testirnony brouglit apriont hip cap prove thot arer he gave warrapt to that effect; and for the depde of the Serjennt at $\Delta$ rmas, he did nok I copocsive bimpelf to be ansyerably for it.
tAs for the Acts of Parliament, be bad tieserxad themp to the iligpute, of his Inwergers pet
was content to say thus much for the prespat; 1. That it is a gropod in the Civil Law, that where the ling if not' mentioned, thery hẹ capnot be included: but, with all deference so his sucred pprige be is spoken, be copoesved himself to be ip ha mayter the king's place, for to bis Compquasion dida Tun , in that kingdota, of Ireland. 2. The words of the Statute are not applicable to tion; for God knows, he never went about in persou to lay soldiers upon any of the king's subjects. S. That the king's own soldiers, requiring in a customary way obedience to his orderi, could ul no construction be called ' Irish Rebels,', 'Engliph Euemies,' or 'booded-men.' 4. That the use and custom of the law was the best interpreter thereof; and for that he had ralready spoken enough. 5 . That it savoured incre of prejudice than equity, to start out such au old Statute against him, and none others, though culpable of the s.me fact, to the overthrow und ruin of himu and his posterity. 6. That, unde, favom, he couccived, for any frish custom, or upon any Irish Statute, he was to be judged by the peers of Ireland. 7. That Statute, of what lirce seter, was repealed. 1st, By the 10th of IIei. 7, where it is exprisoly declared, nothug shall te repuied Treason liereafter, but ufat is no declued liy the present statute: now, nut a woid there of any such Treasan. 2illy, By the 11th of queen Eliu, where espressly paner is eivela to the Deputy of Irelans to ciss and lay soldiers, ntthough ihe same be reputed iredow in auy other.
To the Statute of Heury 6 , be replicd, I hat a slender Answer migit seite: He hoped that no snan wuold thmk him so miconorderate, to wor againt the Ling of Bitan and Irchad, thy the cessug of he soldiers; that he.had been clarged liy many for taking arms for the king, but to that tune nerer for thing anus agamst him; and that he beartily wished, that no man in all his maycsty'b domimons had more practice, with rebels, apd rebellious designs ngamst the king than binusel $\sigma_{\text {- }}$ So nuuch for Thursduy.

At the close he desired the intermission of a day, that he might lecollect bis spirits and strenghs against the next quarrel; and with some diticulty oblained rest till Saturday.

## April 3.

Upun Saturday Mr. Palmer proceeded to the Sixteenth Article, and charged thus : That the lord Strafford having eatablished a tyranajcal end iadependent authority, by giving sumjuary DRcrges ond 'Sentences, fand deprived the subjeat of sill just reasedy; for in that Eing. dopa cthere was none suppenie to himgelf, to whom they might appeal: and lest thefir jusp Grierances mil ht be made known to bis ingjenty, he hed obtaiged I restraint, that $A P$ pomplaiut mould be made of injustice or oppremion dope there, till the first address had heep mado to himself, and that no perron thould come out of that kingdom pot upoad licence qbatuined frop birmelf.

For Proof of this, 1. The Instructions wefp read, whereby thap restryipt wis jernivesed.
2. The Proclamation, That all noblemen, gethtleinen, andertaiatrs, officers or other subjects that should resurt into that kingdom, should not come from thance without a licepce from him. \$. That he had restruined the earl of Desmond, bechuse of a suit in law depending between the Earl and binnse 5 , till publicntion of the same was passed. 4 . Thit the lond Ituch being informed against before the StarChauber, he would not license him toe come into this kingdom, ull the 8énte nce was passed sgaiust him. 5. That one Marchatee having pietended a mind to tinvel, was denicd a $L_{4}$ cence. 6. That the whole Commitee for the Palament was restganeĭ this last year by depoty Wansford, which they seid might be interpreted to he his fact, bothobecause they hitd buch mitelligence the one from the otler, ns also by the l'ioclamation issued by him helore. 7. That one Pary, servant to chancellor Loftus, was fined 3001 at his seturn, for depating Ire1sild wabout Jucnes 8 That the Irish Hemonatrance complamed of ©lus, as the greatest inuovation and thraldom put upon them since the time of the Conquest.

They concluded the Cliarge, That by this means having tahes of that mitelligence which कhould be between the ling and his people, and having deprised them of that remedy which in renson they mught expect fiom so just and so gracious a pusce, he bad tuhen upon hin a royal and independent poner, and had faulted lighly both against hang and state.

The Lieutenant's aeply was, That he hoped to mabe it cloa, that hie bad done nothing in that $\mu$ articula, but what was asual, necessasy. and just; and that he should be very well able (by the grace of God) not only of that, but of all othei has public acions, to give a reasonable nccount, though not tree fiom unuch weakness yet cettaiply from all malice und treason.

To the I'articulara : 1. For Iastrucsions land upon him, he was not so, much chargeable as those of the Councul of Englànd, whereof there was a great mony apresent who could witness ther commgnds; but lest any thing should seem unjustly enjoined by them, or embraced b) hin, he desired that the reasons of their Instructions mught be read: which were, "That 4, th were injustice to complain of injuries, of oppression done un that kingdom, till first the 'deputy's judgment was informed, and trial - made of lis integrity: That it would mugh diz${ }^{4}$ coarage the ministery of state there, and ex'pend the monies of that khgdom, if upon ${ }^{5}$ every tuiling business complaints shonid be A admitted in England: and that if justice were ' there denied by the depaty, is shoold bo law"ful for any man to come over? 2. For the" Proclamation, that the same was builded upon the Scatute of that kingdom, the 25 th Hen. 6 , which contained the samo restraint verbstign. is. That anno 1628, the Agents fur the Irrh nation had petitioned for the same from the kung. ' 4. That the Deputy Fglhland bad set forth thé some Proclamation. 5. That be had the king's exprese warrant for it, anno '1634,
hith was read. 6. That he had received the Warrant in Janunry, yet the Proclamntion issued pot ont ull Septimber after. 7.-That the whole Council-Buad of Ireland had not only condescended, but also pressed hm to it, 8. The necessiry of the hingdom required the same; for if the gentlemen had the.Poits open tas fo to Spanin, and tbeir scholars to Donay. Rhitins or St. Omers, to were likely that at their seturn they wonld put fire both in Charch and State, and produte vety sad events, by practising to distemper both. 9. He stoncened the the hyg, as gicat master of the famuly, mught restmau whow hé plessed from depaiting his bingdom nuthout his pisity : and here it was not fantul for any to go froin Englafid without licence; how much mare necisary was this fiom Ireland!

To the Proofs he answered, 1st, For Deqmond, fle grunted he was 1 entiamed indeed, bat not for any sut of law betwixt them, but because at that tune lie stuod clarged with Trenson before the Council in Ireland, for practising against the life of oge sir Vulentine Cooke. 2. Fur the lord Ifgch, he had offentines marvelled with what reason the man at that tume coutd seeh a Iacence, seeing he was a prisoner for debt in the castle of Dublin; and if he bad granted a horace to $\lim$, then st had been a far more just charge of Treason than now. $\mathbf{S}$. Tor Muschatee, be uns ofratd of his going to Spain; and if he had antended to go for England, and complan of himself, he nould not have refosed ham hibeity, as he never did to any. 4. That the Committee of Irish was not restrained by hins, and therefore did not concern him at ull. 3. That for Parry, he was finedindead; but that it is expressiy said in his Seutence, that it was not for coming over without hcence, (as is sugrested) but for sundry Contempts against the Council-Board in Irelaud." 5. That he had replied in the last Aro ticle, a Iemonstrance was no Proof at all. He concluded, that he boped the least suspicion of Treason could not accrue to him froth the Article: for Oppression or Misdementouk, when it was laid to his Charge, he made no doubt but he should be able to answer it.

The same day a new man was humied out egainst bin (Nr. Whillork); who having passed over the 17 th and $18 t \mathrm{th}$ Articlet, rested on the 19th, sthout the Oath administerlyd to the Scots in Ircland, and charged thus:

That it was the height of his tyranoy, tuot only to domineer over the bodies, hut atsar over the consciences of men; to which,purpose, he had eqjoined an Oath to the Scots in Ireland: and because some out 'of refoddherens of conscience did refuse to take the satere, be hod fined thein in treat sums of money, binnished a great number fiom that kingdom, called. all that nation Traitoris and Rebels; and said, If ever be returued home from England, fresyoth tont them out both stock and branch.

- For Proof of this, 1. Sir Jamay Mowlegomery was produced; who declared xt lange how that oath was contnived. 2. Sir Robert
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Maxwell of Orchiardon, who spoke to the same purpose. 3. Sir John Clotwortliy, who declared, that a great number had flied the kingdom for far of that oath. 4. One Mr, Samuel, who deposed, that upon the 10th Oct. 1638; he heard tife Deputy say theie words, "That ' if he returued, he woold root thrma out stock "and branch.'- They conctuded, That this was a point of the most tyrannical and arbitrary governonent that before this time whs ever heard of, not only to lord it over the fortunes, but also over the souls of men: and that it reited only in the parliament, which hath the legislative power, io enjoin oaths. And that therefore this wis one of the chief points he had done agaiast the privileges and liberty of the subject.

The Lieutenadt replied, That every new Article acquainted him with a new Treasou; that if he had doue any thing in all his life ac-- ceptable to the king and coúntry, he conceived it to be this.

To these Particulars; 1. He desired the lorits would call to mind the condition of those times; no mao (pointing to my Lord Steward) knows better than your lordship, whys had then the cliefest place in his majesy's service. I would be very sorry to rob (said he) old sores, eupecially seeng I hope things ate in a fair way to a firm peace, and I wish that I inay not be deceived, that is, that it may be so; only thos much I may say, we had then greater feais ard apprehensions in Ireland, lest the Scots in the king dom (who were aboue 100,000 souls) might have joined with their countrymen at home, for the disturbance of our peace : mpan time we detected a Treason of betraying of the Castle ,of Konelfergus to a great monn ik that kingdom, (whose name I now spare) by une Freeman, who upon the discovery was execat-
ed. The Council-Board therefare in Ireland resnlved to prescribe the Scuss an oath, whereby they might declare their disconseut at their countrymen's proceedings, and oblige themselves to the king's service: But while we were about this, they of theic own accord conme to Dublin to petition for it, and took it with a wonderful alacrity and herrtiness ; so that it is a marrellops falsehood for any man to say it was invented, or violently enjoined by me.
2. About the same.tine the same Oath, verbum perbo, was by the Council of England prescribed to the Scots at London, and cleewhere; which wis no small encouragement to as in Ireland.
3. I had (said he) which I never shewed, becquse I hied no need before this time, a special Warrant from the king, all written with his own hand, to that effect; and when the king commands a matter not contrary to law, truly I (eaid he) do conceive it hoth codtrary to law and canseieace nut to yueld him yill due oboliance. Fgr the Proof boughit ngainat hifm, there was hrotuing heemed to be of eny moment but the Wards.
${ }^{*}$ For the Grat Woris, 'That he had called 'all - the nation Rebels asd Traitora,' be said there
was no Proof at all, nor indeed could there be soy: for if I had paid it, (guoth he) I hard beeu perfectly ont of my wits; ${ }^{\circ}$ and, he thanked God, such irrational speeches used not to escapo him. He bonoured that kiogdom very much, because it was the native soil of our dread sovereign, his gracious master; and because be knew ${ }^{*}$ a part, yea, (be hoped) the greatest part of them had been; and ever will be, ns loyal and dutiful to the king as any other of his 'subjectre: and of those too who bad subscribed that unhappy combination, be knew a great manythad done it ngainst their hearts atad wills, and would be ever ready upon ocetsion to remonstraty the same, by adhering to the king's rervice. Su that this Accusation was nothing but $p$ wresting and perverting his words and aneaning, of purprise to make him vdiose, and irntace a whole nation against him.

For the otier Wordi, they were proved only by gne Wimess, which could make no sufficifnt faith; and that wuness tuo he would evince, if not of pujury, yet of a notable mistake; for he had sworn positively thar he had spoken these words the 10th, of Ociolier, whereas be was coune out of Lieland inta Kugland the 12 th of Scpt. before, and wis at London the 21 st .

For those thet had ficd the kingdom because of that Oath, he knew none such; and if they did, they fled into Scotland; whir h misht sufficiently argue thegr intentions and resolutions. For his part, if they were not whlling to give that tcutimnoy of their loyally to their pringe, although he lad known of their departure, he would have been very loth to have ${ }^{\text {ak }}$ kept then against their wills, hat should have been gladly rid of them, and have wade them a bridge to he gonc, rather than stay.

$$
\text { April } 5
$$

Upon Monday; Master Whitlock proceeded to the 20th Article, and told him, That because the matter wastintervenient, et conximilis natura, they had resolved to join the five next Articles together, because nill of them tended to one point or period; that is, to shew what had design be had, to have subdued the kifgdoms hoth of England and Scothand by force of arnus, and to reduce them to that Arbitrary Government he had lately introduced into It/s. fand.

The Lieutenant intreated that they would proited actording to the Order prescribed by ahe: house, which was Article by Article; he said, five Articies wehe many, the matter weigity, his memory treacherous, his judgmont weak.
It wigs bitterfy replied by Master Ghyn; That it did ner. becomer thie prisoner at the bar to preteribe them in what way they should give in their Bridehots.
-The Licutenant modealy nnswered, That if he stond in bis place, he would perhaps cravé the like favour, unless his abilitien did furnish trim with more strength than be could find in himoelf: for bir part, he wes contented they
should proceed any way, alwiys provided they would grant him a competent time for replying.

Then Whitlock'weat on, and told tbe lords, That something in those Articles concerned the Scotish something the Euglish nation. That which concerned the Scotish he reduced to five Heals :

1. Thar the Deputy had said at the CouncilBoard, 'That the Scuts Depmends' contajped ${ }^{6}$ sufficient mutter to persuade to an offersive ' war.'
2. 'That the, mame Dempands' did strike at ' the root and life of monarchical government, 'and were only to be aposwered by the sword.'
3. That he caused sppee Scoutsligoods and ships to be seized ou in Freland.
4. That he had engagted the Irish parliament, hy their Declarationg, ift that war ngamst the Scots.
5. That by all possible means he had put bad thoughts and suspicions into his majesty agniust lis Scotish subjects, and laboured to make a national quarrel between them and England; which; if the king's picty and the prudeoce of petter-affected statesmen had not prevented, could not have bren soddered up again without much blood.

Concerning England, his Speeches were either before or after the Parliament ;

1. Before his creature and bosom-friend sir George Ratcliff, he had suid to sir Robert King, when be was doubtiug how the king might have Monies to pay his Armics, 'That the 'king hed $400,000 \mathrm{~h}$. in his parse, 30,000 men ' In the field, and his sword by bis side; and if ' he waut d inoney afterwards, who would pity 'him?'
2. That his brother sir George Wentworth had snif to sir Robert Barington, upon the dissolution of the has Parlinment, 'That seeing ' the English would not grant Supply to the ' king, it seems they were wetry of their peace, ' and desired to be conquared a secoud time.'
3. That he, himself, upon a discourse with the primate of Ireland, had siaid, 'That he was ' much of the mind of those English Divines, ' who maintained it lawful for a king, having t tried the affection and benevolence of his ' people, and then denied their help, upon an - inevitable necessity and present danger of ithe kingdom, that he might use bis Preroga' tive for his own Supply, and the Defence of ' his subjects.' 'A
4. To the lord Conway, in a digcounse, he had said, 'That if the Pirliament' (meaning the last Porliament) 'should bot grant a cont'peteot Sopply, that then the king was ac' quitted before God and man, and might use ' the authority put iuto his hasds.'
5. That he did say at the ©ouncil Boaird, ' If ' the Parlianent should deny, to help the king, ' he would take any other way pe could for his ' majesty's service and asgistance.'
His Expressions after the Parliament were two:
6. ' That the Parliament hid farsaken the ' king, pind that the king should not suffer him-
vOL. 111.
' self to be over raustered by the frowardnesy. ' obstinacy, and stubbornpess of his people. ${ }^{2}$.
7. 'That if his majesty pleased to employ' (Forces, he had'some in Ireland that might iscre to reduce this kingdom.'

The Proofs for the Scots Particulars were these:

1. The lord Traquair, who was indeed very faxourable to the Lird Lieutemant,' and spake nothing to his disadeaitage but what was screwed from him: with much difficulty fee told them, That whea be gave inthe Deinands, he heard him say, ${ }^{\text {T That }}$ it was high time for the king to 'put himself inte a posture of war ;' but that first all the council of Englafd said the same na well as he. 2udly, That it was a double supposition: 1. That the Demands were truly given id. ₹. That there was no other remedy left but arma, to reduce them.
2. The earl of Morton's testimoby (being sick himself) was produced, and it was one and the sanie with the Article.
3. Sir Henry Vane was examined, who, declared, That he had heard the Lirutenaut to advise the king to an Offensive War, when his own judgunent, mess for a Defensive.
4. The Testimony of the earl of Northumberland was produced, which was the very same with sir Henry Vane's.
5. The Treasurer of England deposed the same with Traquair.
6. One Beane froin Ireland told, That he hod known slips seized onathere; but by whose procurement or warrant, he knew not.

To the Articles about England:

1. Sir Robert King and the lord Ranelagh deposed the same, That sir Robert King and the lord linuelagh had heard sir George Ratcliffspenk those words in the Article.
2. Sir Rolert Baringtou, of sir George Wentworth.

3: The Primate's Testimiony (who is sick) was the same with the Article.
4. The lord Cooway deposed the same with the Article.
5. Sir IIenry Vane deposed, he had heard those words spoken at the Council Bonrd.

For the IVords spoken after the Parliament :
To the first, sir Tho. Jermyae, lord Newburg, earl of Bristol, earl of Holland, werg examined. Bristol did mince the matter, but Holland's testimouy, was express, tiecause of the exceeding great love be carried to the man.

For fhe last, which were thie most dangeroas Speeches, (about redocing of this' kingdoiì) there was only sir Henry 'obne's testimony;

- " The ruin that laist ait broodglif co the king was irreparable; for bepides that it werved their turn (which no question they had discovered before) to prova those Words ageinst the earl of Strafford, whichair Herry Vane so ponclianlly remembered; abd bejides, that is was manter of horror to the counsellors, to find that they migtit be arraigned for every rash, every ineniosiderate, every imperions expreasion or wand they had used there; and so made then flors
who declared only thus, That he had heard either those words, or the like.

Here some of the Lieatenant's friends shewed themstives :

1. The lord Savil, who desired of sir Ueury* Vane to know whet her he said ' their,' or 'this,' or 'that kingdonn;' and withal said, It was very haril to condetme a man for Treason upon snch petit circumstauces.
2. The carl of Somthampton desiren to know, whether sir Ienry Vane would swear those words positively or not. "Sir Heury Vane said,
engaged th servile epplicationsr it hanished for ever all future freedigm frum 'iht board, and those persons, from whoun his majesty was to expect advice in his grentest streights; all men snfisfying themsclves, 'that they were no more ' obliged to delirer their opinions there freely, 'when they might be impeached in gnother 'place, for so doing;' and the evincing this so useful doctrine,was without doult more the design of those grand maungers, iban any hope they had, of recciving further information thercby, than they had hefore.-And for my part I must ask leave of those noble lurds, who efter the king's consent gave theniselves liberty to be examined, to say, that if they had well considered the oath they liad taken when they were admitted to that society, which was ' to keep secret all matters ' committed nad revealed to them, or that 'shonld be treated of secretly in council,' the: would not have believed,'that the king himseff conld have dispensed with that part of their outh. It is true, there is another clause in their oath, that allows them with the king's consent to reven a matter of council; but that is, only what shall touch another counsellor; which they are not to do without the leave of the king, or the cosncil." Lord Clarendon.
The noble historian relates in on lively manner, the scene which took place in the Clouse of Cómmons on young sir Mlarry Vano'g disclosure of bis having purloined his father's Papers; (see p. 1457) and assigus the following causes of old sir H. Vane's enmity against Straiford: "Sir H. Vane had not far to look thack to the time that the Earl had with great earnestness opposed his being made Secretary, and prevailed for above a month's delay; which, though it was done with grent renson and justice by the Earl, on the behalf of an old fellow servant, and his very good ffiend sir John Coke (who was to be, and sfterwards, wus, removed to let him in) yet the justice $t$ the one, logsened not the sense of unkindness to the other: afiet which, or about the same time (which it may be made the other to be the more virulently remembered) being to be made earl of Strafford, he would needs in that pateat have a new creation of a baruny, and wale marle baron of Kaby, a qiouse belonging tif sir Henry Vane, mnd an honour be made necount should belong to himsclf, which was an act of the postunnccessary provocation (though he contemned the man with marvellous scom) that I have known, and I believe was the cliei occasion of the loss of his head."

Positively either them or the like. The Earl replied, That under favour 't those or the like' could unt be positive.
S. The earl of Clare desired to know what conld be meant hy 'this' kingdom; ofor his part (he said), lie thought it meant of the kingdom of Scotland, to which the word 'this' might very well he relafive, that kingdom heing only mentimed in the peeceding discourse: and that he was the more ready to be of that opinion, becaust he could not sce by what grammatical construction it could he gathered from his words, that he ineant to reduce England, which nkither then was, neither is now (God be thanked) out of the way of sobedience, nor upon rebellious cuarses.
They at lost concluded the Charge, That the Words wete so monstrous, that to aggravate then was to allay them; and therefore they would simply leare tacia to the Judgnent of the Jords.
The Lientcuant'sdreply was,
That though the heaping up of those Articles had put hime to a great contusion, yet he would endeavonr to lring his Answer into the best method he could; and first he would reply to the Proof, thes ald something in qeneral for linself, in what a hard taking and Inwentable condition he was, to have his private discourses, his most intimate and bosom friends, searcher und sifted to the least circumstance, that he might secm guilty of that which, by. God's assisliance, be should never be.
To the lord Traquair's and the deputy's Depositions, be thought their Proofv did"thot much stick upon him. For upon the Suppositions, 1. That the Demands wee true; 2 . That they were not justifiable; 3. That no other conrse could prevail: He could not see what other adsire he could posiilly give the king, than to put hiniself into ai posture of war, especially secing then there wcre frequent reports of the Scots invading or enteming into Fingland; nor wns he of any other mond than all the rest of the Council-Board.
Fur that of Morton's, he doth not positively remember the Words, but if the Demands weie read, perhaps they would imply nothing less; and if so, how othetwise to be answered but by the sword, and other'means heing first essayed, which is ever to be supposed?

For sir Henry Vaue's and 'Northumberland's Testimfony, §bout persuading of an offensive war, he said, He'remewbered it very well, and thought it ns free for limito give lis opinion for an offessive, as they for a defensive War;:Opinions, suid he, if they be attended with obstinacy or pertinney, may make an beretic, but that they erer made a traitor, he never heard ut till now : nor, under farnar, should I be an heretic either (oaid he); for us I was then, so umb I now, most willing to acknowledge my wrakness, and correct my errors, whereof no man bath more, or is more sensible of them, than 1 myself; yet, if that opinion of mine had been followed, it might peiliaps have spared us
some Money, said he, and some reputation too, of which we have been prodigal enough.
For the last, about the Sbips, it proves nothing: but he would willingly confess, that some ships were there detained, apd that by himself and his own direction, as Vice-Admiral of Cannaught, but it was at the command of the Lord Admiral the entl of Northumberland; and produced his Letter to that porpose.
To the English Proof: IIf marvelled much how sir Gcorge Ratclif's words could be put upon hins; Sir George, though alledged to be his bosom-friend, yet, had thoughts of his own, and he, the carl, might lave other thoughts in ऐjis bosom, and use other , expressions than sir George llatcliff: No man, ssid he, can contmit Treason by his Attortey; and should I, by my friend air George, as by \& proxy?
For his Brother, he never knew lim before so rash; but that was nothing to him, except they could prove a nearer identity than nature had instituted, and that his brother's Wordsol ald his were all one : yet withal he conceived, that his brothicr's words might be very well understood of the Scots conquering Epgland, but not at all of the Irish; and so he wished with all his heast, that he had not spoken something which is like a prophecy.
To the Primate's' Testiunony (with all reverence to his integrity be it spoken), be is hat one witness, and in law can prove nothing: Add to this (said hee), that it was a private discourse between himn and nee, and perhaps spoken by me tentandi gratiu; and how far this should De laid to a man's clarge, Ret your lordships judge.
Yea, slis scems to me Against humanity itself, aum will make tbe society of men so dangerous, and lontlisome to us, that our dwellinghouses' will be tarned to cells, and our towns to desarts: That which God and nature, our Tongues, have bestowed upom ns, for the greater comfort of venting our own cunceptions, or craving the alvice of wiser and learueder men, should becouic snares and hurdens to us, by a curious and ueedess fear; yet if my Words be taken, said be, with all that went before and followed after, I see no danger in it.
To the lord Conway I may reply the same, with this Addition, That it is a very natufal motion for a man to prescrve himself; every creature hath this privilege, and shall we deny it to monarchy, provided this be done in a lawful, though in. ain extraordinary way? This grain of salt must by added to teason all my discourse.
To that of sir Henry Vane, of offering my Service to the king, I thank hiies for the Testimony, and think be hath dgoe me much honour thereby'; but if he or any body else do suspect that his 口uyiesty will euoploy me in unlawful enterprizes, I shall think them more liable to the charge of Treasop than myself.
To the subsequent Testimonies, $I$ shalf not need to wrestle about them much, only the last of sit Ilenry Vane's pinctes, and lies spre upon me; But to that which the earl of Clare, and I
thank him for it, hath said already, give me leave to add this, That the Testimuny of ona man is not a sufficient Witness, nor can a man be accused, much less condemued, of Treason apoon this; and for that read the Stat. Ien, 7, 12, and of Edw. 6, 5.
Now, ny lords, (said he) to give you further satisfuctian, I thall desire all the Lords of the Coupcil rwich were then present, ouly to the number of eight, may be examined whether they peard these-Words or not; for the Archhisflop aud sir YrancisWindebank, they canuot be had; sir Heury Vane gives the Testimony, I deny it ; four puly remain.

1. The earl of Norrthuatérland's Testimony, which was read, had declared expressly, that be had never heard those Words, nor any like inem, from the lord Strafford, but ho spake mikh great hooour and regard ta the kingdom of England.
2. The marquis Hamilton, who declared upon his onth, bath he bad never heard such words, but that he hid lieard the Lieutennnt offeu say, that the king was to rule his royal power candide et catte; that it would never be well for this kijggdom till the prerogative of the Crown and the Privilege of the Subject went in one place together; and that Parliaments were the happiest way to keep a correspondence betwecn the king ond people.
The very same was delivered by the Lord Treasurer, and the lord Cottington.
Noiv, my lords, you may marvel bow these Words rested only on the ears of sin Henry Vane: but, ny lords, (said he) that I may remove all scruple from you, I will make it evident, that there was not the lenst intention that the Irish Army sbould set a foot in England; and then, I hope, you will conceive that I had no menning to reduce this kingdom.
This he unde clear by the Testimony of Northutmberlaod, the Oaths of marquis Haumiton, lord ${ }^{\circ}$ Cottington, Lord Treasurer, sir Thomas Lacas, witto ouly were privy to that matter.
For other of my Words, my dords, (said he) I desire you would not take theq by balves; if so, who should be free from treasion; Certainly, if sucha a precedent take footing, Westminster hall shall be naure troubled with trear; son than with common-law; look therefore to the autecedents and consequents of my Speeches, and you shall fiud the state of the question clearly altered: the entecedents were upon au absolute or inevitable necessity, upon a preseatinvasion, when the Remedy of a parliament carnot be eapected, Pre consequents, for the Defence of the k:pgdom, which accouns afierward to the parliament. The qualifications too in a lanfuul, convenient, ind ordinary way, so far as the present necessity can permit. Add but thes, and which of you are not of my mind? Is the king endowed with no pores from the Lord? Is be not ' publicus iaspector regni ?' Stands it not bim iv band to do sopuething on propent necessities?
And that these were his words, be often proved, over and over agaiu, by the maftiais,
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by the Lord Treasurer, Cottiugton, sir Tho. Jermyne.

My lords, what I have kept to the last (said he) is. this, and 1 would intreat you seriously to think of it: If a man's table, his bed, his house, his brother, his friends (and that too after they have given an oath of secrecy) are to be racked to find out Treason against him, who never knew what it meant, what efthly man shall pass free froun treason ? Let my misfortune, my lords, be your advertisement :, your wise ancestors were glid to put bauds and limits to this lion, Treason ; if you give him the large scope of 'Words to rangeinto, he will at last pull you or yours all to pieces.

But, my lorrls, I did never think till now, that matter of Opinion should be objected as matter of-Treason.
For, 1. Opinions are free, and men may nrgue both Pro and Con, in all faculties, without any stain of reputation; otherwise all consultations would be vain.
2. I may be of another Judgment than I declare myself, to be, of opinion, perhaps, to gain better arguments for the maintenance of my own grounds.
3. Many, and myself oftentimes, have propounded my Opinion; yet upon hearing better Judgments, have presently changed it.
4. We ase to strain our Opiniens too high sometimes, that we may meet in a just moderation with those whom we conative in the other extremity to be toorlow.
5. It is expressly commanded by the Stat. Hen. 6, 9. That thongh a inan ihould say, 'the king is not lawful beir to the crown, 'and may be deposed,' yet he is tot to be charged with Treason, lut ooly with Felopy : and I hope, my lords, those Words are ot a more transcendent and superlative nature, than any alledged by me to be spoken. But, my lords, (said he) lay it to your hearts, it fnust come to you; you and your posterity are they whors God and nature, birth and education have fitted to beautify the royal throne, and to sustain the weighty affairs of the kingdom; if to give your Opininns in political agitations should be accounted Treason, wha will be willing to scrve the king, or what a dilemma are you in? If, being sworn counsellors, you speak not your ninds freely, yon are convict of Perjury; if you do, perhaps' of Treason. What detriment, what incommodity shall fall to king and kiugdom, if this be perunitted ! which of you hereater will, pdventure, yea dare adventure so much an to help by yivur Advice, unless you be weary of your liyes, your estates, your posterity, yea your very honour? Let me never live longer than to see this confusion-yea, I maay any it, this inhumanity in England. For my part (my loris), there confess myself, I ever paye, and ever shall speak'my opinion freely in any thing thet may concern the honour and safets either of my gracious king or any dear country, though the sword be two-edged; feaing rather Hirh that killeth the sool, thad him whose power reaches only to the body.

Nor do I see how I am culpable of Treason, unless it be treason for not being ipfallible; and if it be so, my lords, you have this rag of mortality before you, loaden with many infirmities; though you pull this into shreds, yet there is no great loss; yen, there may be a grent gain, if by the same I may seem to have dared too far, to, give a testimony to the world of an innocent couscience towards God, and a resolute loyalty towards my prince (which have ever then my only pole-stars in the whole course of my life): and if by spilling of mine, there be not a way found how to trace nut the blood of the nobility (wihich I hope your lordships will look to), there is no disadvantoge at all suffered by the loss of the. (You have his very words as negr as I could recollect.)
Tuesday was a day of resi.

## April 7.

Upon Wednesday Whitlock charged thus: That the preceding Articles were of so high n consequence, und of so transcendent 'a natuie, that nothing wanted to make up the perfect measure of the most horrid treuson and monstrous attéupt that ever by a nâtive was intended against his king and country, by putting these designed projects into execution; which had undoubtedly happened to the ruin and subversion both of Church and State, had not the clemency and goodness of the prince, and the piety and carefulness of the well-affected peers, timously foreseen and prevcuted the same: that still the principles of tyranny and oppreysion had lodged within his bicom, and therelon'e bad burst'iorth into these Exprespions and Advices contained in the following Articles; where fint in the Twenty-Fifth they sharged him with three things:

1. That he had advised the king to"a rigorous aad unlawful esxaction of Ship-Money.
2. That he hav given counscl, That if the Sheriffs should deny sheir lest endeavours and assistances to that etfiect, they should be sent for, and be fiued atod initisponell by the Star. Chanber.
3. That when the aldermen of Iondon had in all humility represented the cuuses why the Ship-Money could nut be collected amongst then, and had given in the Reasons why they refused to give in a list of their Names, withire, their city, who were able to afford the Loan- . Money; he in a contemptuous and tyrannical manuef, in the face of the Council-Board, had staid to the king: 'Sir, these men, because of 'their obstinacf and frowardness, deserved ' very feil to be fined, ransomed, zud laid by 'the heels; and it will never go ,well with your 'service, until sepme of them be hanged up for 'iexamples to ochers.'

## The Proofs were these :

1. The bithop of London Locl Treasurer, who declared, That he remembered the Words very well, that the Lord-Lieutenant had advised the kiog to cause the Ship-Money to be gathered in; butohe remembered withal, that both himself and all the Council hard done the
like; and that it was upoo a preseat necessity, and defect of Money for eatertainiog the Army, which (the coadition of the times consilitered) they all conceived was by any meass to bekept on foot.
2. Aldermen Wiseman declured, that upon an humble Remonstrance made to the CouncilBoard, the City would take it tll, if a Tua-Roll should be delivered of their estates whoowere thought able for the Loan-Moaey; the lord Stratiord said, They ought to be fined, ransomed, and laid by the heels: but for words of banging them up, he heard not at sll.
3. The earl of Berlahire declared, That the lord Strafford had said, That upon the refusal of such a Service enjoined by the king's peremptory commánd, it wis phs opinion they might be fined.
4. Alderman Garsay attested the preceding Words ; and withal added, that the Lord Lieutenant, to his best remembrance, had said, 'It ' ware well for the king's setvice if some of thers ' were hanged up.'

They closed the Cbarge, That by such undutiful Expressions he had injured the propriety of the Subject, and had put such discontent upon the City, that they were the less willing upon any occasion to concur for the udvantage of the king's service.

The Lientenant replied,

1. That though all the Charge were in the most strict and rigid way, or sense verified against hin, yet he could not ennceive by what anterpretation of lạw it could be reached home to High-Treason: and to that common objection (that the'Treason was not individual, but accumulative), be replied, That under favour, he thought, talking hi that manner were as mturh av to say, po Treason at all. Because, 1. That neither in Statute Lew, Common Law, uor Pructice, there was everfoll this time heard of such a matter as accumulative Treason, or a Treason by way of consequence; but that it is a word newly coined to attend a chase newly inrented, such an one as never was before. 2. That Treason was a thing of a simple and specificative nature, and therefore could not be so byaccumulation: but eitber must be so in some or cither of the Arcicles, or elat could pot be so at all. 3. He did conceive that it was against the first principles of nature, and false, That an heap or accumulation should be, and not be, of homogenieous things; snd therefore that which in its first being is not treasobable, caß never confer to mfake up,in accumulative treason. Cumulus; an"Heap of Grain, so calldd , bepause every, or at. least some of tule individuals, are grein'; if otperways, an beap it may be, but not an her, of grain. Just so, perbaps, these Articles may -make up an herp of felonies, oppressions, crrors, misdeuneanors, and such like (nudo to the thing -itself I shall give an answer, whien under that nume they shall be charged ngainst me); bat they can no ways confer to the making up of Treason, unless some, at the least, be Treasonin the individual.
2. That the Teatimonies brought agtinast him were all of them single, not two one way; and therefore could not make faith in matter of debt, mach less. in matter of life and denth; yea, that it was aguinat the statute expressly, to lmpeach a man of High Treason under the evidence of two famous Wimesses, much less to adjudge and convince him upon attestation of ose.
3. To the Lord Treasurer's Testimony, he did with all his heart gondescend unto it; but upon these grounds ooiy, That there wat a present necessity of money; that all the Cour-cil-Board had sovvoiced with him, yea, before himself, and he always thbught it presomption in a roan not to follow the wiser and more judicious: and that there was then a Sentence of the Star-Chamber for the right of paying ShipMoneg. For his part, he woold never be more prudens than his teachers, nor givo judgruentagainst the Jodges. And therefore he thought, it not far amiss to advise the king for the collecting of that, which by law was his own, in such a present and urgent necessity. And olthough his opinion (and it was no more) bed been arniss, he hoped that though in case of Religion, Leing attended with stubbormess and pertiancy, it might come home to Heresy, yet in his case opinion could not rench so far as Treason; unless it be Treason for a man to speak his judgment freely, when he is upon his oath to do the same.
4. For the Words about fining, he had already acknowledged them in his general Answers to be true; but with these qualifications, that it was his Opinion only; that it was upon the refusal (as be conceived) of a just service that he had spoken thero, by no means to prejudice the citizens, but to make them the more quick and active in the king's service; that no ill consequence happened upon themt that they were words night have been spared indeed, but innocently, though suddenly spoketh, which he hoped might proceed from a man of such a hasty and incircumspect humour ns himself, (made so both by nature and his much infirmity of borly) without any mind at all to treason; and that if all choleric expressions of that nature should be accounted treasonable, there would be more suits of that lind fly up and dowd Weatmintser-Hall than com-mon-law.
5. To those Words attested by the Alderman, he positively denied them; nad hoped they should never rise up aguinst him in fudgment, because the testimony' was single, and not positive, but ouly.to his best remememberance; and that it was exceeding strange that no man, neither of the oouncil or any other alderman, were so quick to observe them, but only alderman Garway; which he thought sufficient to nullify that single testhony, except he could demonstrate himself to hale boune rare and siugahar
facuity of hearing.

In the close be devired the Lordo, from his misfortune, to provide for their own safety, and seriously to consider what a way was chalked
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out to ruin them both in their lives ond estates, if for every Opinion given in Council, or Words snddenly or hastily spoken, they (wioo are born to wield the great affirs of the kingdom) should be arraigned and sentenced as traitors.

Then they went to the 26th Article and charged thus:

That the lord Strafford, having by his wicked Advices exhausted the king's Treasury did ulse counsel him. ' 1. To ininase the Coin by an allay of Copper-woney. 2. To seize upon wll the Bullion in the Mlint. 3. That in discourse with snine of the Aldermen about that business, he has said, The ciky was more ready to countenance and'relieve the rebels than the king; and that the king of France did use to marnge such businesses, not by entreaties or requests, but by sending forth his commissariea to take account of inens estates, accompanied with troops of horses.

## The Proofs were:

1. Sir Thomas Edpoards, who declared, That in discourse with the lord Strufford, having remonstrated unto him that their goods were scized on beyond seas, because of the money taken out of the Mint, he told him, ${ }^{6}$ That if ' the Londoners suffered it, it was deservedly, "hecause they had refused the king a small - Loan of Money upon good security; and that ' he thought then more ready to help the rebels 'than the king.'
2. Mr. Palmer declared that he spake something about the king of France; but whether with relation to England, or not, he did nót remember.
3. Sir William Purkise attested in the same words; and withal, that the lord Coutifgton was then present, and could declare the whole business.
4. Sir Ralph Freeman declared, that in a discourse with the lord Strafford he had said, that the servants in the Mint-House wopld refuse to work the Copper-Money; and he replied, "That thea it were well to send those 'Servants to the House of Correction.'
They closed the Charge, That by such undutiful Counsel and Words, he had given more than sufficient proof of his design and purpose to subdue this kingdom, and subvert the fuadamental law and privileges of the same.

The Lieutenant's Reply:

1. That he expected some Proofs about the two first particulars, but did bear of none; and that it was no small disadvantage to him to be charged with a preat many odious crimes by a Book, priuted and flying from hand to hand through the whole kingdom, yet when they came to prove, there should be no such thing laid ggainst hun.

4 About the Specches : He ingenuously confessed, that some such thing mughit perhaps have escappd the door of his lips, when he saw their backwardness to hís najesty's service, and as the simps were then condition-d, he did not think it much amiss to call that Faction by the pqme of Rebels; but he thought ho bad abondantly satiofied for that over-sight (if it was any)
at York: For baving understood there, that the city of Loadon were willing to make a Loan of money, he there, before the great council of the peers, expressed himselfto this sense, 'That 'the Londoners had sufficiently made up all 'their delays liitberto by their., act; that the ' king was obliged to their forwardnéss; and ' that he himself should be as ready to servo 'them as any poor gentleman in England.' About the other Words, he said, That being in conference vith spme of the Londoners, there came at that time to his hands a Letter from the earl of Leicester, then at Paris, wherein sere the Gazettes inclosed, reportiug that the Cardinal had given some such Order, as to levy Money by forces. This the said, he only told the lord Cotiington standing by, without the least applicfition or intention concerning the English alluirs. Cottington being examined upon this, declared the same ia the same manner.
3. To sir Ralph Freeman, he said, That his testunony did not concern the Cbarge at all; nor did he think any thing umiss in it, tholigh he had said it: If the servants of the Mint refased to work according to direçtions, they did deserve the Ilouse of Correction; nor was it treasonable tn say, the hing might use that house for the correction of his servauts, as well as any man in the city for theirs.
4. He said that there was no great likelihood that he lad committed real acts of Treason, uhen his adverse party was content to trilie away so much tirfe about Wordsc neither was there any treason in them, though they had Leen fully verified: and therefore in that ( a S in all other Articles) be reserved a pasver for hiscounsel to dispute in matter of law. .
They went to the 274 Article, and charged thus: That immedintely after his appgintment to be Lord-Lieutenpat of the Army here in Finglaud, he shewed shat principles of Arbitrary Governuent lurked within his bosum ; for by his own immediate authority, without and agninst law, he had, lajd un ialpost of money upon the king's suibjects. Where they mention three Particulars:

1. That he had imposed $8 d$. per dicm upon the county of York, for entertaining the TrainedBands there oue whole month.-2. That he had sent out Warrants for collecting the sume, nad thrẹatened to imprison such as should xifuse to pay.-3. That he said, That it was id crime nigh to the crime $q$ IIInh--Sreason, not to pay the came.-4. They added, That in his general Replies he had, brougbt two things for his Defence: 1. Thatothis money was fieely and voluntarily offered by those in Yorkshire. 2. That the Great Council of the peets had notick of the simpe.

To the first they answered, That a Petition was indeed preferred by the Yorkslire men, and a month's pay offered; but that the lord Sqrafford bad refused to present the same, upon this exception only, Because in the satne they daad petityioned for a Parliament: whereby he evidently declared what little inclination"he bad to that way.

To the second, They appealed to all the lords present, whether any such order did pass befiore the conncil of the peers at York.

The Proofs were; 1. A Warrant issued by col. Pennyuns for this money, and nnother by sir Fidwaid Ósborne.-2. Sir John Bürrows, who declared that he was Clerk to the Great Council, but did remember of no Osder; and withal ndded, that it night hare pansed at that time when he attended ut Rippon.- $\mathbf{S}_{2}$ Mr. Duiston, who declaren that be thad known that money alevied by some nusqueteers,-4.

- By sir Willian Ingram, who declared that he had lyeard the Lieutenant say, 'That to refuse ' the sume, came nigh to the crime of High' 'Treason.'
They concluded the Charge, That by these Particulars it wis more thinn evident what onhappy purpose and traitorous designs he had to cubtue this kinglom, and sulvert the fuadamental laws and privileges.
Whe Earl replied, First, to the Petition, That it was true, a Petition wnsodrawn up by the Yorkshire Gentiemen; and as true, that he had refiused to priment the same, heranse of that clause ahont the parliament. Wut the matter was thus: At lus wariesty's coming to York, it was thought necessary for the Delence of that Conatiy, to keep the Trained-Brads on foot, because the enemy was upon the Borders; and thereiore thenhing durected him to write to all the freeholders in Yorkshire, to see what they watd do for their own defence.
Whe time and phace were desjaned by the k ing; but the night before the meeting, n small itumber contetied, und in a private and factious way did draw up that Petition. Upon Ne morrow, it their nppointed Dyet, in presence of the wiole number, the Petition was presented to him; where he did adyive them to leare out that Clause, and that beoguse he knew the hinc, out of his own gracions disposition, had intended to call a Parliomicht, which he desired should rather be freely ibno, than upon the constrains and importunity of Petitions: Moreorer, it would seen a merceunry thing in them, at one and the same time to offer a' BenevoIcnce, nad withal to petition for his fusour. Upon this Remonstrance, they werc all willing. to recal the P'etition, aud directed him by word cf inouth to offer unto the king the inonth's pay in their names; which he did accordingly in the presence of forty of them, to their no sinall adrantage.
This he proved by*sir Willion Pennyman, sir Paul Neale, sir George Wentworth, sir Willian Navile, sir Thomas Danby, who all of them declared as múch in muple terras; and withal ndded, That nothing ons done upon, better grounds of uecessity and nliedience than the ofler of that Moncy, and that they never had heard any man gradge ngahst 'it to this time.

For the Second, ahout the Conncil of Peers, he alledyed, that he never made mention of any Order nf theirs; but he remembered rery well it was twice propounded before them, that the
king had approved it at tbat time a just and necessary act, and none of the Cunncil had cgntradicted ir ; which he conceised as a tacit. approbation, and an order in equivalence.But though that had not been, yet there whsnothing done in the business, but at the special desires of the gentlemen thenselves, and for their necessary defence and protection; yea, though he had done it by luinself aloue, yet he conceived he had so moch power by his commission (causing the commission to that effect to be read.) That albeit he should mistake his commission, and do some inferior act beyond it, (because militify prucegdings are not always warranted by the coinmon law) jet it ohould not be impoted as an act of treason to him. (Aned to this effect be read a Etatute 7 Hien, 2)
To the Pronfs: 1. Col. Pennyman's Warrant: or sir Edward Osborue.;, it nothing concerned him; aurl be doubted not bat these worthy gentlemen could justify their own act, and that he had pnough to do to answer his own misde-mentiours.-2. For sir Jolin Burrowes, he was at Rippon when the proposition was made,-3. That ns the Warrant, so neither the execution, troubleil him at All.-4. For sir William Ingram, he was but a single testimony, and that such an one loo, as be could produce an Evidence to testify he had miitaken hims If in his testimony upon ontb, if at were not to disadvantage the gentlemas.
Ho concluded, That he had done nothing in laat bosiness but upoo the l'etition of that countr, the king's special commani, the connivanceat least of the Grcat Council, and upon a presenç necersity, for the defence and safety of the county, -Atud so much fur Wednesday.

$$
\text { April } 8 .
$$

Upou Thursday the Committee for the Charge declared that they had done with all the Articles, and were content to wave the last, for rensons best known to themselves: only sir Walter Earle ndded, That he hnd some observations to bring forth upon the 22d. Article, which he cougcied might de much to prove the earl of Straford's designs for landing the Irish forces in Fingland.* And they were,

* "The seven last Articles,"" eays Whitlock, Memorials, P. 40, "anon the which Whitlock was appointed to manage the Evidence, were matters of very high nature; and some of them, particularly the 24th Article, relating to the design of bringing over thearmyin Ireland into Scotlaud, and so to England to reduce this kingdum. Whitlock having spoken with sir Henry Vane the elder, and with the other witnesses to the 2.sth Article, and finding that their testimuny would not make good the mat-. ter of that Article, thought it not honourable for the House of Commons to proceed uphrian Article whiereof they could not make a cleak. Proof, and thereapon proposed it to the Committere to omit that Article in his proceediapt The Committee were of the same opinion, Wet upon sir Walter Earle's undertaking to mannige
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1. That in his connmission he had power to land them in Wales, or in any part of England, or in Scotland; which vereq altogether superfuuus, unless there had been some purpose for the same. 2. That within two days before the date of the Commission, letters were sent to the lord Bridgewater and Pembroke from sir Francis Windebank, to assist the earl of Worcester in levying forces for the king's service; and these nuight be supposed to have intended a joining with the Iribh. 3. That the lord Ranelogh, at the raising of the Irish Army, did fear anch a design as this. 1. That the town of-Ayre in Scutland, where the lord Strafford pretended he would 7and those forces, was fortified with a bulwark, a garrison, and blockhouse, which would probibit landing these; and the earl of Argyle's Bounds were divided thence by the sen, and that the bar or eutry into the towa was very dangerous and shallow.
The Proofs were only the reading of the Coramission granted to the lord Strafford.

The Licutenant replied;' 1, That his Commission was the same verbatiot with Northumberland's for England, and that it was drawn up by the Council-Board bere, eand sent over unto hien; so no more design in him than in the gentlemen of the English army, nor no Iarger than that was put upon him. 2. That this was the first time he beard of any-such
it, they left that Article to him, upon whifl Whitlock, after he had'enforced the Evidence upon the 23 rd Article, sate down: and sir Walter Earle, with much gravity and confidence, began to aggravate the matters in the 24th Article, and the daugerous consequence and high crimie in it, and calfed forth the, Witnessea to prove the particulars which he liad at lengith opened. Some of the Witnesses were not in Eugland; those of them who capge in peing sworn, spake little to the purpose, and did not prove the nintter at all of that Article, upou which the knight was very blank and out of countenance. But the earl of Strafiord, who lost no ndvantage of his Defence, rising up from his seat made a low obeysance (ns he was used to do) to the Lords, and spake to this effect: - My lords; I am a poor gentleman, a prisoner ' at the bar, ngainst whom several persons - learned in the laws, apqd of great ability for ' pleading, and strength of reason, and other - noble persons of great parts and eloquence,
' have enforced the particular matters of my
'Charge; and bked well hoped they had been

- drawing towards an end. But now, my lords,
- a new and great pleader sets upon me, tired
'out before, and this noble knight bath laid a
- hesvy barden indeed upon my sboulders: he
- thath apened more heinous crimes against me
' then all those geutlemen wht have gune be-
'foret The learned gentleman who urged
'the mattern of the last Article mgninst me,
4 when. 1 昆 casme to this 24 th Article, sate down
s and seemed to decline it, and yot he left
${ }^{4}$ sothing material which was not urged botne
- by him. But this noble kuight goes begond

Letters, nor did they concern him nore than any of the house., 3. That he was not bound to purge the lord Ranelagh from all his fears, and that be had his own fears too; which God forbid sbould be evidence of Trenson against any man whatsoever! 4. That-it seemed the gentleman had better information from that kingdom than timself; yet he would not be contident io say, at Ayro there was aever such a thing as a block-house or garrison. But to remofe all scruples, (for indeed the road or landing-place is not there safe) he declared that it was his intention to have laoded some miles above Ayre, and made only his magazine of that town.-To the earl of Argyle's Bounds, he hof dithe genuleman knew chey came not on foot out of Ireland, but bad ships to waft and transport themselves, ind that one of his prime houses (Rosneth) was within some few miles of the same Frith.

The lord Digby finding sir Walter Earle on ground, did bandsonely Lring him off, and told the lords, That alletheir Proofs for that Article were not yet ready, aud that this was a superfetation only of the Charge; and that in such a business as the plotting of Treasou, they must be content sonetimes with derk probabilitics.
Then Mr. Glyn desired the Lieutenant to resume his Defence, that they anght give a repe-
'all, and, indced, beyond the Article itself, ol'serving thingy not contained in it, and impos-. 'sible to be: and could he have proved this, 'it had been'truly a miracle. But I liumf́ly 'beg your lordships parion, I umscot willing, ' to spend any of your time inpertineatly; $\hat{\mathbf{I}}$ 'shall only. say this, that where nuthing is © proved agninst me, I know yonr prodships 'great wisiom and justice will expect no de'fence from me,' After the Earl was sate down, the lord Digby stood up, and in a very witty rhetorical speech took off sir Walter Earle, desired their Verdships to puss by a aistake, that this Article was not intended for prosecution, as might appear by the gentleman's declining of it who managed the former. He moved that this 2 tth Article might be omitted, and their lordships not to receive any further trouble in the urging of it or defence to it, nud that they would be pleased to look upon what, that noble knight had ssid bot as a superfa:totion. After the lord Dighy had spoken, Whinlock presently rose up, and (after the lords hadidone smiling) he proceeded with the 25 th Article. The queen mas present at the trial \& enquired who that knight was whom the lord Digby relieved? And being told his nafie was sir Walter Earh, she said, "that water-dog did ' bark but not bite, but the reat did bite close.' The earl of Strafford speaking of the Comunittee who managed the Evidence against him, and particolarly of the lawyers, said to a private frieud, that Glyune and Mayoard used him like advocates, but Palmer and Whillock used him like gentlemen, and yet left out nothing material to be urged ngainat him."
tition of their Charge, and so close the process so far as concerned the Matter of Yact.

He replied, That in this case all slackness is opeed enough; the matter touched himn nairowly, event in his life and eatate, yea, in that which he esteemed above them both, his honour and posterity; and therefore he confessed he had no desire to ride pook in such a business. That he knew the Gentlemen of the Bar, if they, were in his case", would think the tine littig'yough, except their more able jud\%ments 0 . Ssooner dispaich the matter in hand; apdetherefore he humbly jatreated, that that day might be granped to hipe for strength ening himself, and orecollegieg his thoinghts and spirits, gnd to-morronsmerseady with his last Replies for po itis, after a little ceremony and contostitrion, wai condescended uito by the house of conmens.

## April 9.

Upon Friday moruing, nhout eight'o'clock, th: Lieutenant of the Tower and my*-lord's chamber-grotin came to the Hall, and gave information to the house upon oath, Thiat the lord Strafford was taken with an :exceeding great pain, and fit of the stone, and coald not upon any condition stir out of this bed.

Mr. Glyn replied, That it was a token of his wilfuluess, not his weakness, that he had not sent a doctor to testify the same.

The Lord Steward made answer, That a doctur could, not be bad, pechaps, so soon in a morning; nor was it pussible for any physician to wive a cortnin judgment coaceraing a man's disubjijity by the stone, because there is no outward wisptocis that appear.

MM Glyn excepted, That if he did not appeatr upon Saturday morning, he should foas tis ptivilege to spenk in lis own Defence afterwards, and they permitted to proceed.

The Lord Steward replief, That the lords had appointed four of their number to go to the Touer, and learn the jast cause of his stay; aud if by any omeans he were sble, be should be obliged to coues then; if not, humanity eind cumann equity would excuse him.
In the afternoon it was reported that he wat iccad; of which there can be no better reason given thav the humour nad genius of the timey that dally with nothing ofteter than unitruths aind calunaies: and certainly there ape many men of shallow understandings and weak affec tions, who either will not, or cannot onderstand the gcutleman's worth; buf out offfearfat and needless apprehensionts are so desirons tethear of his ruin any way, that their basy tongaes will dare to anticipate the stroke of justhe?.
Mr. Glyn profiers hew Proofy concerning the two-and-twentieth Article.
April' 10. "je "

Upon Saturday raorniag, he pretedied Jum-

-     - This day an pffair of the utpatos consequence to the Earl of Strafford, singe it post him bis liff, came before the Cpromonis, Preparttory to it, the doors of the houin nersi ordered VOL III.
self at the bar, where he expected nothing but repetitions of Charges and Defences; but niead while Mr.'Glyn proffers' some new Proofh eonit cerning 'the 2ed - rticle, which the notle lord refuted, alleging the prgcess was closed. Mrf Glyn answered, The process is not closed, at long as the business stands inrepealed; and
to be shut, the key brought up, and fione to go out without Jeave: then Mr. Glynn reported from the commnituee if the Earl of Strifford's Cause, That they had some further evidende to corroberate the latter part of the 23sd Articte ngainst him: thereapon sir Henry. Vand, the younger, and M. Pym, wefe enjoined by the house to déclaro tlicir whole knowledgo conicerning the entters contained in that Article ngainst the Earl, and how and by whist meeaif they came bj it. Whien they had done this, a Paper was produced by Mr. Pym; and so moveb of it reatd by him as concerned the Earl of Stifif furd, bat afterwards he wis ordered to read ith all. Notice beep then given that a the eninge from the lords thited at the dior, they were ordered to he c g in ; dbut all the members tokeep their senti; 筑t nome to stir out without lcave. - The firtiter Examination of this busifness is left short in th $\varphi$ Journala; it in only snid there, That the Trcasurer, sir Ilenry Vane, wits enjojoed by the bouse to nnswer, Whether he did chke any Notef to the effect of chose Notes already readsat ingteterte, side upan what oio cission? The Answer fomitted; ant this is nil which is snid, therein, , this time.-In a pinigi, nal note of the printer Journals, we are'tod ${ }^{4}$ That this Paper was a Copy of Notesi tilion \& at a Giunto of the priviq yomecil, for gherots
 Jourhais, vol. 8, p. 1 is.
April 12. Heado of a Conflumien to 泡 gired with the lo the



 conceive it sery material; buts in regard of thy danger and distractions of the present ufinel and that puch time may be apent in the debatd touching the admitting of this Evidence, they resiolve to come to a genaral reply, setting usida that evidence for this time, \&cc. Srdly, That upon egcasion of discovery of this Evindence, a " Paper ing read in the house wheteby it did ap: pear, that et the same time the dangerqus wordy. were spolen by the earl of Strafford, tonatifis the bripging the Irish armifito England, other words were then spoken by two otheth, then gresent, decyphered by these letterts, $\mathbf{Z}$, Arch. 2. Cott. (by which we conceive is tueart the Tord apccibishop of Centerbury and lord Cobetiogton) very foll of pernicious couninels of the king, and slander to the cominois aisemt thd in the last parliament, as woüld appear by thé Phper, if their lordshigs would please yo have it rend, which the committee is comuly ta read, and leare ie to their considentition tanit to

that it did not become a prisoner at the bar to prescribe a method of pioceeding to the house of commons in England.

It was answered ty the Rord Lieutenant, That he thought it stood hind in hand us nearly to maintain his life, as it did any to pursue hin for it; yet he was willing they should bring in new Proofy, provided thint he might have time to make new Replies, antrl withal use some new It itnesses in some Arvicles that concern his justufication.
The lord Newark, upon thece motions, desired the house might be adjounned : after two hours stay, and a hot conflict fmong the Lords, they returued, and the Lord Steward commanded the Order to be read, which consisted of two Articles :

1. "That as it was granted unto thera to bring in Proofis concerming the 22d Article, so it was to the lord Struffiord to make, his Replies, and use his Witness concerning the same.
2. "SThat if they went to no more Articles,
ju may be duly examined by whom these words were spoken, that there might be some further pruceedings to prevent the dangers that may ensue thereupon; and that these counsels may be looked into, and searched to the bottom."

The Conference being hell, as was desired, Mr. Glyon reported to the house,' Thas the

- Lord Steward dill speak fir the, rest of the

Hords; and told them, that the lords had
: agreed this house may priceed as formerly was

- intended, befure the olfer of firther Evidence
- was proposed: the lord Strallord to recollect
- his, Evidence first, and, that being done,
- the menbers of the house of commons to
- state theirs. Alf this to be ended to-mgrrow
- morpjing ; of swich they nould give the earl 'of, Straflord notice.'
"i Mr. Whitlock iaforms ư, 'That the next, day, Apri4 13, fhe Earl being brought to Weptruinster, and both houses'being meg, the Notes were ppeuly'read: the tifle of fliem, was, "N No Danfiker of a War with Scotland, if offensive, not "defensive." Then followed the Opinions interlocutory.

K, C. [King Charles.] ${ }^{\text {C }}$ How can we un' dertake an offensive war, if we have no more ' money ${ }^{p}$ "
L. L. Ir. [Lord Lieutepant of Ireland], Earl of Straford.] 'Borrow of the city 100,000l.; 'go on vigorously to levy Slip Money; your - majesty having tried the nffection of your peo' ple, you aqre absolved and loose frum all rule ' of government, and to do what power will ad' mit. Yuar majesty having tried all whys, and - being refused, shall be acquitted before God ' and man : and you have an artyy in Irelaud,', - thakyou may employ to reduce this kingdom ${ }^{4}$ to obedience: for I atn confitent the Scots - cañinos hold out five months."
'I. Arch. [Daud.] 'You have tried all, ' waysh pod have always been denied, it is now - Iawfol to inke it hy force.'
b. Cot. [Cottington.] LLeagues abroad "there inay le made for the deftuce of the king-
no more should the lord Strafird; but if they did, that he inight pitch upon any one Article as he pleased" "

The House of Commons presently declined all other Articles, and conceived the Order expressly for them, restraining him frum all other Articles, except that only.

IIe conceived the Order was for him, and said, That seeingathey had picked out their Article, it was ngainst all common equity to tie up his hands, und not adinit of a pammon rula for them both. Tbey replied, Thity' when the Article was canvessed, ihey reserved Witacssea tall anotber time. He answered, That he had done the same upon ever户 Article. They re-
 vation. Fe abi, vered, Nor had they passed añ arder for theirs. -
Upon this new contestation the House rose again, and was adjourned. It is sopposed that the jlouse of Commons had the better ground, because they had particuiarly named their Wit-
'dom: the lower house are weary of the bing ' and church: all ways shall be, just to ruist ' money by, in this inevitable necessity, and are ' to be used, being lawful.'
L. Arch. ' For an offensive, not any defen'sive war.'
L. L. Ir. 'The town is full $n$ f lords, put the 'Cotnmission of Array on font, and it any of 'them stir we will make them smart.'
Mr. Whitlock proceeds to tell ut, "That the foregoing Paper, of so great consequence, was niasing at the Committee; "nud, hy the Esif's Ansiver, it was supposed he had seen it, and that it wus conveyed to Lim (Mr. W.) by pume of the Comanittee: that ie, bing in the Chair, and having the charge and custody of all the Papers, was suspected more thim others to have acted this piece of treachery." IIe adds, "That great inquiry aniPsearch was made for the Pa per, but it could not then be found. He told them when it was mpasing; anḍ that anoug: such a multitude of Papers as loc had in his custody, it was not easy to see that he had them all again, when they were brouglt forth, or any of them called for: that he never shewed this Paper to any but the Conmittec, and knew not who had it, or whitt was becume of it; nor did convey it, or know of any that had conveyed it. away. But this would not serve; the liouse was acquainted with the missing of the Paper, and they ordered, "That every one of the Commitue shoald make a solemn protestation in ? the house, that they did not onnvey it away, 4 nor knew what wus becone of it.' All of them made this protestation, and the lond Dig. by, with more efrnestness and deeper imprecations than, any of the rest; yet afterwards, at the Battle of Naseby, the King's Cabinet being taken, amoog the pupers in it was ecopy of theso Netes, under the lord Digby's hand; whereby Whitlock was cleared, and the conveyer of thg " Paper to the king, and from him to the Earl of Strafford, wats folly discovered." See $\rho$ Cobb. Parl. Hist. 744.
nesses in their Reservation, the lord Syrfford not so; they pressed but one Apticle, he many. But such was the pleasure of the Lords, that though the fingtter did not deserve to be much stood upop, Ygospfer two hours vehement agitation of the bly returned, and tiee Order was in favour to this effect:

1. That both of ite should wave their new Proofs, and go inmpectiately to that whicif fot lows. 2. If they would not do so, tha Lords conceived themselves commion Jụlges to both, and therelore 'would not deny Strufford the liberty of pitching upon what Articte he pleased, as they had done. 3. That hoik of them stiould name their Wituenses ait thè bar instantly.
The Commons wele muself grieved at this, yet desired hin to-nuppingte his Witriesses, if he would make uny benefit of the Order. He answered, That he would nominate bfter them, because they were first in Order. They replied, That he knew therr Article, they not his? Ho sidd, IIe was to briug Proofs about the 2d, 5th, 13th, and the 15 th Artiches, and did desine then that they nould aow proceed to nomiattion.
But they told him, they could not embrace the Order without udvice of the whole house. Then on a sudden a mighty noise followed of the whole house, 'Withdraw! Withdraw !' and was in so uncouth and tumoltunus a confosion, that it produced both admiration and fear in the behalders, wherein we might ensily fecl the pulse of a distempered state. Both the IIouses 1,eak up, not appointing so fuch as the next

- Dyet; exeb, man's countenance spake anger and discontent, and nothang somunded in our ears all,Sunday, but; terrors and affrightments of a present division aud breach between the two hofises: Timt the house of commons would declare him a Traitor, and- all such Lords too as were his adherents; that he should be no mure beard in public, that (though parties, nad not his peers) they nouild vote in his Sentence: That a bill of Attainuer should presently be drawn tap against dim, and that nothing should content them but present Execution.


## April 18.

Big words flew up and down all Mondery. That whole day was spent in a Conference le'twist the houses, without any mecifing in tho . Hall; but the Losds (who trad learned, as it seems, to foresee their own ruin hy his misfortune, and now conceive-that Monarchy and Nobility are of such ideatity, that one and the same is the diminution of both) kept fast to their Couclusion, and would not pass from their Order, notwithistanding gll the many dangers represented: so that the Hfouse of Cominons were constrained at last to give with, and embrace the firt part of the Order, by waving Witncsses on both sides.
This hath been no small discourngement to his enemies; for a more real demonstration of his party, amongst the Lords could not have been shewn.

Upoil Monday, some of the lords went so. high in their hent, as to tell the house of conemonts, That it was an unnatural mbtion, for the head to be goverued by the tail; That they hated Rebellion as bad as Treason; That the same blood that ennobled their nucestors, did move'alsg in their veins, and therefore they would never suffer themselves to be Kuppressed by a popular faction.
After a great deal of aborio, all was'(l) well as might be) sodderef up ayoin, and Tuesday appointed to tie the day for the Liedienaint to resume his Defencrs, und they their C'harge, without any more Proof to be used on either side:

$$
\text { April } 18 .
$$

Upon Tuesdiky, the Lord Steward at the enAty told them, the Lords had ordered that both; their testimunies should be waved, that they shoulld proceed iminédiately to whit followen, so thak that day might put arrend to what concerned the matter of fuct.
The Lord Lieutenment replied, That in all * humility aur obe dicoce be would sulmit him: self' to that, or any other their Decreca whutsoever, * though it'should reach us far home unts, him as his own life; but withal humbly begged, That if herenfier he should be troubled (for they were to spenk last) with new matter, or with supplemental Prouf, he might have leave to speak somerhing in his own Defence.
The Lord Stexard answcred, It was all the Pca soin in the world. .
The Lieutenant went on thus :
My Lurds; This day I stand before you charged with High-Treason: the burden is heavy, yet far the more, in that it hath borrowed the patrocitiy of the hoose of commons; if they were not interested, I might express a no less easy, than I do a safe issue and good success to the busiacss: But let neither my. werkness plead my innocente, nof their power miy guilt. If your lordships will conceive of my Deferte:s, as they are in themselves, without reference to either, (and I shall endeavour so to present themi) (' bope to gr away from" hence as clearly justified, os I am now in the testimony of a good conscience hy myself. My Lords, 1 have all along my Charge watched to see that poisoned arrow of Treason, that some tnen would fain have to be feattiered in my heart, and that deadly Cup of Wine, that hathe so intoxicnted some petty mis-alledged errors, as to put them in the elevation of High-Trea. son; luat in truth it bnith not been wy quickness to discern any sucifimonstes yef within my breast, though now, perhaps, by $\&$ ininitrous information, sticking to my clothes. They tell me of a twofold Treason, one against the Statate, another by the Common-Luw; this direct, that consecutive; this individual, that. accumulative; this in inselff that by way or construction.

For the first, I mut and do acknowiedst, that if I had the least suspicion of ony own guilt, I would spare your lordshíps the pritio) cast the first stone at myself, ond payy

## 

tence of condemiation aghanat myself: and whether it be so or not, I refer myself to your lerdhhipp Judgment and Deqlaration. Yoe; fad only y you, (under the favout ugol protection If my gracious master) are ny Judges: uader: Ovoiar, none of the Commons wre my Peers, popr cas they bo my Judges. I shall evericeleBrate the providence and wisdon of your nothe ancespert, who have put the Keys of Life ead. Denth (so, far as conceras you and your postdrity) imo your own bands, not into tho farids of your inferiors; none bat your own selves know the rate of your noble blood, none hut yourselves must hold the balande in dispensing the same.
I shall proceed in repeating my Defeaces, as they are reducible to these two main pointa of Treasan i: and for Treason against the Statute, (which is the only Treason in effect) nothing is salledged for that pot the 15 th , 22d, and 27 th , Articlrsi. [Here hio brought the Sum of all his - Replies made to these tpree Articles before, and aluavst in the same words as before ; only that instimnony of sir Henry Vane's, because it seemed pressing, he stond upon it, and alledged five Reasons for the nullifying thereof.]

1. That is was but a aingle Teatimony, and would not make faith in a matter of Debt, much less in a matter of Life and Death; yea, that it was expresaly against the-Statute to impeach, much less to condemn, him upon IlighTreason, under the testimony of two famous Witnesses.
2. That he was dubious in it, and expressel if with an 'As I do remember,' and 'such or 'such like words.'
3. That all the Council of Eight, 'exeept himself, disclaim the Worls; ast if by a siagular providence they had taken hold of his ears only.
f: That at "the time the king hiad foried no Forces in Irelaid, and therefore he could not be possibly so impodent as to say to fbe tiong, ©That he bed- thray there, which he might - employ for the repencing this kingdom.'
4. That he had prifued by Witnesses begood all exceptions (margus) fiamilton, the Eord Treagurer, the 'earl of Nortbumberland, lord Cottington, sir William Pennyman and sir Arthur Terringham), that there was never the least intention to land those forces in, England.
[He went on:]
So much for the Articles that concern Individual Treason.

To make ${ }_{k} u p$ theeConstyuctive Treason, or Treasop by way of Accumulation many Articles are brouglit agninst me, as if in a heap of Felpnies or Mislemeanors, for in their conceit they reateh no higber, some prolifical seodyapt to profloce what is treasonable, could lurk. Heref-ama chagigd to have designed the ruio sad /overthrow both of Religion and State. Thetars agendip ratbey to have been used to ramke/mpebdiopathan guiley, for there if not dimibast Pruof idladged conceraing my donfedimersitht Popinh, Faction, wir could theré beangugutit nower a servant in authority
beneath the king my master whe ever moro
 and that for in impartial and ein ofecuting of the langothint them.
Here yedr Lordships thay greates patinter of the Wite ghed Mgainst mof ecthei from Ireland, Yorkshire, were men of that Religid ce ctifor my own,

 Chatect of Rome with mif dearest blown: Chy Lords, give me leai shereilo pour foptran grief of my soul be cuatrast these Probiotiofe agtiok me seem rigoiduy thd thave mote d wiff thai equity, that upon a supposed chant of my bypocring or errors ijp keligion, I'should be made 30 menstrously odiotes to ithree kingdomin; : greati many thousan eyes have seen my, accu sations, whose eirts thull never hear, that when it caqe to the upshot was never gecused of 'them. Is this fair dealing wmongst Cbristiany? Rut I have lost nowhing by thatt: Popular applause was ever nothing in my. conceit; the uprightness and integrity of a goed conscience was, and ever shall be, my continual feart; and if I can be jastified in your lordships judgments from this grand imputation, (as I hope 1 now am, seeing thesc gentlemen have thrown down the backlers) I shall account inyself justified by the whole kingdom, because bjoyou, who are the epitome, the beter part, gea, the very soul and life of the kingdom.

As for my design ugainst the S:ate, I dage plead as much innocency here, as in matter of my Religion; I bave ever admired the wisdom of our ancestors, who haye so fixed the pillars of this monarchy, that eath of them keep a due proportion and measure with the other; nud have so handsomely tied up the nerces and sinews of the state, that the straining of any one may bring danger and sarrow to the whole ceconomy. The Prerbgative of the Crown, and the Propsiety of the Sabject, have such mutuel relations, this takes protection from that phat foundation and nourishment' from thisy y 'didd as on thê lute, if any one string be too high ofr too lowly wound up, you have lost the harnony; 20. here the excess of Prerogative is oppreasion; of pretended Liberty is the subject, disorder and anatohy. The Prerogative nust be nsed the God doth his ommipotency, upon exiraordinary occasions; the laws. (answasible to that ${ }^{-1}$ Pol 'tentis liget in Creaturis') must have place ai othertimesc Agd yet there must be a Prepo gative; if there must be extraordinary occasions ; ethe propriety of the subjects is ever ta he maintaipeds if it go in equal pace with thins They are Alows and coelpapions, thet are and ever must he inseparalile in a well-goverucd kidgolom; and no way so fitting, so pintural to mourible and entertain both, as the froquent use q $q$ parliaruents; hy those a commerco and acquaintance is kept betwixt the ling and subject. These thoughts have gone along with mế these 14 yenes of my public employments, and shall, Gpd willing, to my grave: God, this.
majety, and my own coukerof kitnd all



 of the king' Prerogative and the Sufjedetrax berty : and that things would nater go welty they went hand io hand together.
1 thank God for it, by my master's then and the providence of niy ancestors, I havis $\frac{1}{8}$ estate, wbich so interestech me in the Cosfingip wealth, thet I have no great mind to be LTro - but a subject ; nor could I wish the $\mathrm{e}_{\text {, }}$; 2. Mo shuffled over ngzin, upon hnpest to tox. yoz better set; nor did I puer nounis $\geqslant x, \ldots$
 the tyranny and umbifion of ghe grent man living. No ; I' have, and ever shatheflanata fair, but a bounded tiberty; remeriberina always that I ari a freeman, yet a subject; that $t$ liave a right, but under a monarel. But it hyth been my misfortane how, when I am greyheauded, to be chasrged by she mistakers of the times, who ant now wo highty bent, that all appears to thees to be in the extreme for monarchy, which is not for themselyes. Hence it is, that designe words, yea ioteations, are brought out for renl dennenstrations of my misdestes.nours; sacha multiplying-glas is a.prejudicate opinion.
The Articlế contain Expressions and Actions; my Exprestions either in Ireland or England, my Actions either before or after these late stirs.

- [In this "order he went, through the whole Cliarge, from the first Article to the last, in an excellent method, and repeated all the aums ahd heads of whot was sposen by thin before; only added in the 28th Article, If that one Article bad been proved against him, it conseined more weighty matter thav all the Charge besides ; and it had not onlyboen Treason in hith but also villaing, to havebetruyed the trust of his majesty's Arma. Yet because the gentle men bed been sparing, by reason of the times, to insist upon that Article, thoughit might concern him much, he resolved to keep the same method, and not utter the least expression that might seein to distorb the happy agreement in*Finded, though he wished the saine minght deceive his expectation: Only thus muth he admired, how fipmelldbeing an incendiary against the Scots in the 23 nd Anticle, is slow become their confederate in the 2tth Article; or how he could be charged mat bettgying Newcastle, and fir fighting wifh seots a Newbiorte tod, seeing fighting with thew was pó possible mens. for berraying the town, Buts\% hipiler theis passage thither.

That he never advised war farthe that, in his poor jadgront, concerned the wery life of the . Fing's authority, and the safety and, hoioortof hin kinedous. Nor saw he what midvantage could,be made by a war in Scotimen, where nothing.cǒuld be gained bat usany hard blows. For bis part, he honoured the uapion, bat he
wisheif tiey inigbse be ever uader thelr owa ifto mon and had to ltaire they whid be too wh
 B. ISi hougbt that 'titicle had beeor Eidded Fob br as a superinurseraty / anid bevertury

 vergeglishman were po fret hom obitime ( x n'ery has himsilf; clowigg his Defertion with Thengeeh.]

- 2 . Wi.with ' see, what may be alledged 4iviour setive, rather destructive Trea-
 to 'conctrve that, such a treason is ngreenble either with thedandame $f$ tal grounds of reasoh or law: not of reasou, for how can that be treason in the lump or mass, which is not so in any of the parto? Or how can that make a tting treasonable, which in itself is not so? Not oflaw, since neither statyte, common-law, nor practice, hath from the begintring of thin government ever mentioped suiph a thing; and where, my lords, hath this fifis; withous the least appearance of any moiote, Tien RA "sp many hundred yeass, and now breikes forth into a violent flame to destroy we and thy posterity from the enrthis 3ty forits, do we not live by laves, and mast ede punished by laws before they be midat? Par fetter were it to live by no lams at aily; int ta be governed by those characters wiferietion nend virtue that nature hath stampedion us, than to put this necessity of divination upon á man, and to accuse him of the bretich of law, before it be a law at all. If a waterman upon the Thames split bis boat by grating upon an anchor, and the dime have ather appent ins to it, he is to oharge. his own inolfincance? ©t if it hath noue, the owner of the anchor is to pay the loss.

My le $\times$ If this crime, which oncy call Anbitrant ation, had been mad by any dis-

 bow't demin me? Beware yex Sint alwake thete sleeping lions, by the 敦 lected moth-eaten recoritystay may one day tear you and your posterity in pieces: it wha your ancestors care to shain theny up withih the barticadocs of statutes; be not you ambitious to be more skilful and curious than your, forefathers in the ort of killing.

My' lords, It is my prefent misfortune, for ever yours; and it is not the smallest petfof my grief, that unt she cintre of Treaton, but my other sfos, (which are exceeding many) have preserfed me before 'this bar; find except your lordstips wisdoms provide for $k$, it many be, the shedding of my btood thay make way for the traciog of yoursiz your estates, your posterities, tib at the that.. If such leatned gentleinen as these, whowe tongues are well sequeinted with such phticeedingo, shaf be sauked ory agatust you; if your friends youndofoth sel dehied access unto you'; if Your proftre th
 every word, intention or cirtumstiderorypuin,
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be sifted and alledged as tregaonable, not because of a statute, but because of a consequence, or construction of lawyers pieced up in a high'rhetorical strain tud a number of supposed probabilities; I leazve it to your lords shups ec asideration, to foresee what may be the issan of such dangerous and recent Precedents.
These Gentlemen tell me they speak in defence of the Commbnwealth, against my Arbitrary Laws; give me leave to say it, I tpeak in defence of the Commonwealth, aguinst their Arbitrary Treason: for if this hatityde be ad7 mitted, what prejudice shall follow to king and country, if you and gour polserity be by the same disenabled from the greatest affairs of the kinglow? For my poor self, were it not for your lordslips interest, and the interest of a Siunt in lleaven, who hath left me here two pledges on earth, [At this his brenth stopp'd, and he shed tears abundantly in meationing 'his Wife, which moved his very enemies to componsion.] - I should' never take the pains to keep_up this ruinous cothge of mine; it is loaden! with such infirmitiys, that in trath I have no great pleasure to carry it about with me any longer: nor could I ever leave it in a better time than this, whea I hope the better part of the world nould perlaps think, that py this my mistortune 1 bad given a teņimony of my integrity to God, my king, and country. 1 th.nk (God, I coum nut the efflictions of this present life compurable to that glory, which is to be reacaled in the tinne to come.

My Lurds! my Loris! my Lords!. Something more I had to say, but my voice and spirits fuil me: tolly 1 do in all bocaility sad submission cayt myyelf dan a liefoepe your lordships feet, und de-ire that I might be a Pharos to keep you tiga sh.pwrick; do nop put such mocks in yoor Qw 4 way, which no pradence, no circumspections, can esclievt or saidsy; but by your utter ruaf. And whether your, Judgepents in my case, I.wi,h it were not the case of you all, be either for life or death, it shall be riglteous in my eyes, uind reccived with a Te Deum luadumus: (and thea he tifted up his eyes, and said) In te, Domine, confido, ne conjundar in еternим! $\dagger$

[^51]This be-spake with an inimitable life and grace. You lyeve his very words as near as I can remeuber, oply with so much loss and detrimeat as hemh perished by transcribing the Copy frpm his own mouth. Bet, you desire inpartiality, sud indeed you have it, and with some groins too of allowance; for I was so afraid of my one uffection to the gentleman, that I rather bowed to the other extremity, and therefore bave set down his Defences rather to his disadvanutage by my rude pun, than in the native colour, to his cterual glory, and the confuyion of his enemies.
-The yeperition of the Charge did not spend muefitioge; they, proceeded urderly Article by Artiele, in the very same words und matter as before; pnily there whas sojne remarkable flashics that pasoed from "Ms. Glyn, who wasthe man, in the time of their handling.
He told thein, That he should represent the lord Strafford as conning in his Replies, at he had been crafty in his acions ; that he waved all that was mategial, and insisted unly upou recondary Proofs; that it was more than evident throughout all his Cliarge, ligw he had endeacouredto bring in an artitrary and tylannical form of govermment over the lives, lands and liberties of the king's snlyects; yen, had exercised a tyranny over their cousciepces too, by the oath administered in Ireland: And though his malicious designs had taken $\eta \rho$ effect, yet uo thanbs to him, but to the gooiness of the king, and the vigilancy' of the pecrs, Had they pleased, it had heen tso late to have puni-hed him; for no rale of law had been lett whicreng to censure him, aftur the death audexpiration . of the laws. And "if the iutention of Guido Faux wight be thought. Tieason, though the house wap not Llown up, then this integtion of his may adnuit the same censffe.
IIe closed; That throughout all his Defences he had pretended either Warrants from the ding, or else the hing's Prerogative: and what was this else but to dravy up a cloud, and exhale the vapour for the celipsing of the bright sun, by the jealousies or repinings of this suljecls, if the strength of bis piety and justice should not dispel all these mists, and scind them down to their origioal? That the very standing tadd falling of these three kingdorus stood upoad this I'rocess; all of which do conceive theis. safety so far interested in his just punishment; that no setiling of their peafe gr quiet could he expeoted wirhout this: That they hoped the law should 'never' protect him, who had gone about to subver afl lawes nor the nobility (who had the same blood ungring in their veins), by submiuting themselves to his base tyranty, lase that privilege and liberty, which their ancestors hiad bought with their dearest lives. Though there was no Statute for this treason, was it the less monstrous? For there were none for
to remorie and pity; ${ }^{n}$ are the expressions of ${ }^{*}$ Whitlock, Mem. p. 43, who, us he himself tello us, bad been in the chair of the cominittee of the houng of commons against the Earl.
many hundreds of yenrs that dorgt ever venture upon suçh insoleuces, to occastion ruch a Statute. And were not the fundimental grounds and rules of goverument sufficient to rise tp in judgment aeninst him, without the making a paricular strtute? This, he said, he left to the dippule of the law ; and concluded, that seeing they lisd found out the Jonatf, who these many years had tossed and hazardeg the Ship oof the Commonwealth with continual storms and tempesta, there could be no calrus capected; tout by casting him out into the sens; which, in all justice, they must, and do expect from their hauds, who are inturted by the bods of the kingion to do the same. The Aggrevation of the Offence, he sain, he had left to Mr. Pym, who here spake that Speech which is now in print.

It was a sport to sec how Mr. Pym in his Speech was feaffully out, and constrained to pull mut his Papers and real with a great deal of cuntusion and disorder, before be could recollect himself; whish fitiling of his memory Wूns no small adrantage to the Lieutemant: beconsc, by shis meaus, the house perceived it was a premeditated flash, not grounded upon the Lientenam's last Answer, but' resolved on before, whatsueser he should say for his own justificatien. But the Iieutenant was not sofiered to reply a word, either to Glyo or Pym, hecanse the last word must he theirs. And so with 'Tucsday ended the mapter of fact.

On'Thursany the dispute in law is expected.
*April 14. * .

* Upon TFeimexday we were big with experta-
tion tor the Matter of Law, having done before tion for the Matter of Law, having done before with Matter of Fact; but it seenss the House of Consmons hady perceised a great defection of their party, nad a great increase of the lord Strafford's friends in both thichouses, nccavioned hy his insinuating, honest, and witty Defences, nind therefore resolved of mo mone hearing public: thercfore it wasothought upgn by his accusers to draw up a Bill of Attainder ${ }^{*}$ and present the saue fo the lords; whereby, First, the Matter of Fact should be declared to have beten sulficiently proved; aud shen in the Natter of Law, that he had incurred the censure of Treasan, for intending to subsert the funda-- whental laws of the kingdom: for though (ssid they) he caunot be charged by the letter of the Statite of 2.5 Edifs. 3rd, yet he is within the compnis of the Salvo, whereby ispis provided, That the king and parliament hath power to determine what is treazonable, and what not; and that thiey were cqnaitent the lordswould ratify 'and approve'of this Bill- of theirs, und give Judguent aecordingly.

The' motion was stoutly opposed by three great lawyers, (nll members of the honse) Selden, Holborne and Bridgeman, whe made it

[^52]manifegt, that the saloo of $25 \mathrm{Ealw} / 8$, was re: pealed, ayd that porman could now be convict ed of treason, but by the letter of that statute: But being put to voice, it was carritd for the Bill, and a Committee appointed for to draw it up:
This gave nccesion of much talk abroad, apit they who were otherwise the lord Strafiord's enemies could nut find equity enough in the Bill of Attaiader. Some could not conceive nhat difference innaghable was betwixt the Bill and the Chagge presented before; for in the Charge be was uccused of Treason, and the Bill (though they had not the legislative power) scemed nothing byt an affrination of the same. Others (who wofid have the Bill understood of a definitise Sentence, because it wals consecutive tg the Proofi) were not salisfied, but that it wns ngainst all practice, that the Commons should give Sentence opon the death of a peer; and thgt it was against common equity too, that the complainers shouldspe admitted to be. Judges, and unat the party accusant should give the Judgment. A third sort give it out, That this was uo Sentence against the lord Straflord, but only a passing of a new act of parlianent; about a matter not hitherto declared treasonable: But yet these doubted, thint by declariug the matter of fact to be approved, and applying the Censure to it, in reference to the lord Straffordeit would ever be thought a Sentence against him, to blemish his own fame, and the Wood of bis posterity. Moreover, that if they were about to make of new act, it were strínge to punish a man for the breach of such a Statute as was not yet extant in rerum natura; which should in reason refer only to fature obedience: And (wiat is more strauge) though there were a ney statute, yet by what authority can the parliament declare nay individual or accumulative net to be treasonable, which was not so befogei fine it must be Treason by virtue of a statule, or clse nu treason at all. Now thero is ampe carg be brought, except the 25 th of Ed . S, whereof the letter of that Statute cannot, by their own confession, nor was not so muç as ouce nilledged against the lord Sirafford: And for the salio or provito (which they mainly insistedon), the same stands repealed by two poisterior acts of parliument.

You have the mutteriuds of ell sorts of people.
The Lords fearing, the Proceedings, as is beaten path trodden out to the ruin of their own lives and estates, told the honse of commous in their conference upon Thursday, April 15, That they would go cibthe sucae way they did already; and, uccording to the Order of the house, give full sudience to the lord Straf-' ford's coumsel in matter of law, and that they themselves, as comprtent Jurges, would by themselves only give Sentence in the Cause; nor was there uny other course suitable to the practice and Statutes of the kingdom, the safety of the nobility, or to eqpity or common justice.
It yas replied by ihem of the Lowir 1 loases. That they were resolved to'gu in with their btill; and if the tame should be rejected by dhe lords, they feared a ruptufe und division mighy
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follow, to the utter ruin and desolation of the whole kingdom; that no pontent yould be given to the subject, (and this was a strong argument 'iadeed, yet better beseeming partinity and violence, than the pretended justice and piety of the tiines) unless the man who had so zipch intruded upon their right, and discontehted the people, might be punishied as a Traitor; and for the practice of the kingdon, that no man lind ever found stoch a favourable hearing; and that the process pgainst Fasex, Norfolk, Somerset, were all of them closed up in one day.

$$
A_{\text {Bril }} 16 .
$$

Upon Friday, the Inrds gave Answer, That they could efpect nothing from the Honse of Commons, but what should tend to the peage apd preservation of the kingdon: nor was there a more forcealle way than to preserve the laws and custotns thereof, lest innovation, ap much complained of by them, mighy uohappily be found aunong themselveg: That the subjects should have all that justice coold afford, belt that andict of injustice would never give satisfaction to the world, nor ssfety to themselves; the eyes of all foreigrstates being fixed upon the businesy now in agitation, and the wisdom of our natimu either to be moch advaniced or depressed by their jurggueuts in this case: Thut the process against Norfolk and Fesex (for Somerset was convict ouly of Felony, and had not so much animadrersion to save himself ty lis book) were for direct'aud formal Treasone, conprised in one or two individual nets; but this against the lord Strefford ouly arbitrary and accumulative, to be pickt ont of 28 , Articles: And therefore that it was impossible to have : full examiaation of them all to give Sentence agnainst him. And those noblemen were charged with sume actual breach of statutes, formerly made; but here a new statute was to be unade, or else he to be found guilticss. ,They concluded, that they had given order for his appeorance op Saturday, and that in the great Hall at Westninster, where the House of Commoas wight if they plessed be present.
After sume deliberation with the house, th Conferrers answered, That since the lords had so resolved, they would not deay to be there present, and to hear? what his coupsel could say for him ; bat to reply any more in public, they ieither could nor would, becapse of thr bill already past: only if the lords should tah, any scruple in the matrefof law, they would b ready to give then snefficaction-by a private conference. (So they willingly declined to do what indeed they could dnot possibly do, that is, to give public satisfaction in the matter of lain?

## April 17.

Upoin Saturday, they conventd in the great Hall ; bat they that were of the Counniefoe for the great,Chircop did not, atand at the bat, ap before, hot att promiecuousis) with the peet of thair followat so that, mavih was not openec in the behalf of the Hiouse of Commona all thic diay. After thay wire sat, the Lond Stawerd
sold the Lientepart, That the lords had resolved to give him a fair hearing in the Matter of Iaw, and thereforo desired that the' counsel might keep that distance, moderation and respect to the Jadicatory that wns, siiting, and 1ot at all to meddle with the Matter of Fact.
The Lieutenant replied, That in all humulity he did acknowledge that favour from the lords; od that it iwas suç an one too, as he could not out expect from such honourable peers and just persons in whose integrity and goodness under that which he hard placed ahove) be had reposed his chiefest confidence ; for his Coun$s \Phi$, they knew much better than himself what concerued the point of discretion and reverence ; and that he doubted not Mat, that they would give all satisfaction and oberience.
Theu his Counslel were culleed to the bar, Mr. Lane, the Prince's Attorney; Mr Gardiner, Recorder of Lutudon; Mr. Lue, and Mr. Lightfoot.
Mri. Lene spake, and much to this sense and
urpose a urpose 4 .
My Lords, there is a heavy Charge lieth on me and iny fellows, nothing less tpan to defend the life, the cstate, tie reputation, yea the posterity of this honourable person nt the lar: If therefore we shall be more presing, we hople your lordslijs will interpret this our furnardness to be fur honour and conscience-salke, in a matter that concerpeth both so neariy: But it shall be our endeavour to carry ourselves with out best respects to your lordslinss, and wilh all content and satisfaction to the honourable House of Commons. And because your lofdships mentioned the Matter of Fact our thing . I dare be bold to say, That all the tiume of this noble lord's Defences, he did not so nouch us crave any one of our Opinious; yea, or nequainted us with any thing that lended that way. And tor the Matter of Law, those Statutes cited by hiaftelf were none of our stock, Pr taken up at his own adventure : anor do fi speak this to derogny from the pertinency of those Statutes (for they shall be the aubject of moy discourne), but that the nobleman be not dinappointed of your right conceptions, and his own duepraise.
My Lords, it is your pleasure mie meddle not Wzh Matter of Heots sad indced we "need not meddle at all with it, becpuse we hope it is isty, ready done, and that fouficicently to our hands ; yet the Metter of Lav doft so naturnilly rise put" of the Mattor. of. Fact, that of necessity (umber your londstijpe fayoor)ave must somewhat frate on, thes, wespent on that ; nor do
 ouply siough 3 . itigibl theafford's just Defa, unle ctat aple Miter of Faet be de-
 jejat some nitives of riguéstinns agreed upon, Where-y. may An mof settle our arguments. Wind thereore it'ts, my Lords, that I have choten, Bid $^{2}$ all to touch the Matter of Law* (uatil gour lordahips shall be pleased to chalk (anta a may), pnless it be to clear your judg. meats is opo matate only, vix, 85 Ed. S. Bev
cause when the sume was alledged by the lord Strafford in his own Defence, that not being convicted of the letter thereof, he could not bo convicted of Treason; I remember the alaso of that statute was much insisted upon by thore from the Hoqse of Commons, as mach conducing to their own ends. My Lords, I will first speak of the Statute itself, and then of its salvo, or provision. The atatute is, 'That if any man 'shall intend the death of the king, his queen, (their childrea; kill the Chancellor, or.Judge 'upon the bench ' iribase the ling's coin, or 'counterfeit the broad-seal, \&cc. he thall be 'convicted yad puaished ass a traitor.' That the lord 8 triflord cones within the letier of this Statute, is noh so much na once alledged, nor indeed can it be with any rensoa: All that can be said is, That Ly rejation, or by argument á minori ad majus, he may be dmawn thither; yet that this cannot be, I huritet offer these considerations: 1. This is a Declarative Law, and sach are not to be taken. by way of tonseelence, equity, or construction, hut by the letGer only; otherwise they should imply a contradiction to themselves, and be no more declarative laws, but laws of construction, or constitutive. 2. This is a penal law, and such (if our grounds, hitherto anquestioned, hold good) can admit of no constructions or inferences; for penalties are to persuade the keeping of known laws, not of laws conjectural, ambiguous, and by consequence, which perbnps the most learned may not in their disputes question; much less the suhject (who is not obliged to interpret the Statute) doubs of in the point of ohedience; yea, rather without may doubt, he is to obey the letter of the statute, and conceive (and that truly) tpat he is not diuble to the penalty. 3. We have a notable law, 13 Eliz. cap. 2, whereby it if declared, that the brioging in of Bulls from Kome to'stir up the subject to mutiny and rebellion shall be punished as Treason. Now if by interpretation, or by consequence, this sense might have been thrust upon the preceding statutts, the malling of this bad been superfauus; yea, the persons then charged with that crime might have been impeached of treason, even before the making of this act.

Anno 21 Ed. 3. We have a Statute declaring, That for a servant to kill his master, is an - act of Treason: And in the 23rd year of the same king, a procest of Treason was framed against a man for killing , his father, grounded upon the same argument, a minori od majus : But it was found (andoche' Sertence isyet in the Records), that although in the 2 (st of Edward the 8 Id, that argumeet might bigve been admitted; yet in the 97th it could hipt, by reason of the declarative law interveling in , the; 45 th year: And this Case comen very home to the point in law.

My Lords, I will not demafd what 'kind of Onace it may be, for a man to subvert the Fundemental Laws of a kingdom; the crime doubeless is unnatural and monstrolas, and the punislament must keop the terie propertion:
only I presume to offer these few thinge to your lordstrips considerations :
185. That one or more acts of injustice, whether maticionsly or ignorantly done, can, in no sense of law be called the subversion of the Fundamental laws; if so, as many judgey (perhaps) so many traitors. It is very incident to man's nature to err ; nor doth the lord Seraf ford plead his innocepoy is over-tights, but in treapon.

2dly. I do remember the case of Joth de In Puor, duke of Saffollen this man, in the ssth of Henry 6, was charged by the house of consmons with Articles of Tpeason, and those too very like to the ogninst my lord Straffund. 1. That he had given the king bad Advices, 2. That he had embased his Coin. 3. That he fiad cessed Men of War. 4. That he had given out summary Decrees, 5. That he had imposed Taxes. 6. That he had corrupted the Fountnin of Juntice. 7. Tbat he had persuaded the king to nunecessiry war, and to the giving over of Anjou in France, ovium oov.And for all these, though he was charged with High-Treason, for wronging the Rigite of the Subject, and subverting the fundamental laws of the kingdohn $;$ yet, after a long agitation, the matter was found by the lords of parliament, not to imply Treasoti, but only felony. Add to this another, who in the 23rd of Heary the 8th was charged for subverting the English laws, and yet na Treason charged upon him. Add to both the Charge of Richard Larks, pleaded at the Common-Pleas, who was charged with Treason for subverting the law, but convicted only of Pelony: by whicls you may see, my lords, what to this time hath been subverting the laws.

Sdly. It is very considerable, that the lord Strafford is not charged to bave subverted, but only to have iutended to subvert the Fundamental Laws: and this 1 'eonceive, if there were no utore, might keep hin free from that Statute of the 25 Edw. 3. For nilthough, as touching the kiog, his queea and children, intention is treasonable: yet in all other things there mentioned, there must be action beside intention; for it is not said, If a mana do intend to kill a chancellor, it shall be Treason; bat only, if he dn kill hipp; and if he doth actaally counterfait the Broad-Seal. And alihough a man should preparta furbace, make retdy his stanup, 'melt his butlion; yet if he gives not the King's impression upon the eavia, will his intentions, yea, his'\$veparations will zot terve to make up a Treason.

Avd this (under favour) may siove to answer the Case of Guido Pauz, lately objected: onless it be alloged, thet the lont strafford had as real an intention aqainat the king's life es Faux hadi: Por though the intention ia that case be Treaion by the statute, yet in allother thinge chere is no unemenn without the action ; so itumense and vastis difference both is, and oughe to be, betwixt a project aguintifthe royal blood, and ali thirgs alse of a lower and tevilior nature.
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You see therefore, my lords, that the body of the statute capnot stick against the lurd Strafford, neither in letter, thor in consequetice; this is:not, that must not be; All that can be said is, That this fict may be 'Ireason by the common-law. For my part, I profess my ignorance, who crer thought the conmon law might declare, but never make a Treason; that is, it might be presupposed that there is a statute whereupon to build a declaration: 'and therefore to say there is no statute for $i t$, is to say it is uo Treason at all. The statute ever makes the Trenson ; and to be declared $t u$ be Treason, either by cgmmon law, or by parliament, are but two *digerent neys of proceedings, and mast both resolve into one principle: yea, which comes home to the point, in the 21st of Edw. 3 , to kill a iman imployed in the kings war, was Treason; and the 43 d , to kill the king's messenger, was treason by declaration of the common law, but always by reason of the tatute: yet none of these are now treasons, but felonies only, by reason of the interveuing statute, the 25th of Edw. S. Such hath ever been thought the force of its letter and declaration ; and so I will leave it, and spenk a word or two of the salvo, whicif is thas : that because all particulars could not be then defined, therefore what the parlinment should declare to be treasonable in time to come, shoold be punished as Treason.
And according to this reservation, in the 8th of $R$. 2d, one who wat charged before the King's-Bench was afterwards referred to the parinment; and there, though the fact was not contained in the Body of the statute, yet because of the Proviso afore-mentioned it wus adjuiged Treason.
In the 11th of the same king, the dulve of Ireland and Nevil archbishop of York were impenched of Hizlr-Treaspn by Gloucester, Araadel, and Warwick; and notwithitanding the Statute, were convicted thereof by the saloo. But in the 91st of the saunt Richard the 2 d , the tide turned, and the king had such a haud with the parliament, that the Sentence was recalled, and those three noblemen themelves adjudged traitors. Again, in the 1st of Heury 4, his successor, that e evocution of the 2 lst of R . 2d, was repealed, and the Sentence of the 1 t th of lis reign established. Such were the tossings to and - fro of treason; and all because of that uncertain Proviso.
Therefore iṭ was, that in she same parliament the 1st of Henry 4th, a Patition was preferred by the nobidity to Sove Treason limited with some Statute; because they knew not what to speak, or what to do, for fear thereof. And in the juth chapler an Act way made upon this Petition, that the salvo should be holden repealed in all times to come, and nothing esteenugd treason but what was litefilly contained in the Statutenf the 23th of Vdward 3: And therefore if is asid in the Records, that there wus great goy at the rapaking of this nct, is that. the, drawn sword banging over every man's head, by this slender thread of a consequence, or illa-
tion, was mored by that act. Add to this, That in the 1st of queen Mary, the first chapter, the same is repeated, 'That an man shall be 'punished in life or estate as a traitor, bui for 'the crime contained in the Statute $25 \mathrm{Ed.3}$, ' without the least mention of a' pretended ' salvo.'

The earl of Northumberland's Case comes nigh to the-point; he was charged with T'reason, the 1st of Henry 4; and if the statute of the, 5 th of IIenry 4, the first chapter, whereby this Proviso is répealed, mat not intervened, no doubt he had been condemned of Treason : but he was only convict.of Felony, and that because he could not be drawn eninh the letter of the statute of the $25 \mathrm{th} \propto$ Eivi. 3. And I dare confidently say ix, That since that Act was made, the lst of.if eniry 4 , the first chapter, whereby the Proviso is repealed, wo man hath everbeen deglired a Traitor, either by king or parliament, exeept it were upon that, or some otherastatute, literally and declaratively taken. These two things I do offer to your lordships considerations ; Thit the lord Strafford caunos be impeached of Treason by the statute of the 25th Edw; 3, and that the saloo contained in the same atands repenled almost 200 ycars ago : and this is all I conceive to be necessary for that statute which was alleged by the lord Strafford in his defence for Matter of Fact.

Then the Recorder spake some few words to this purpose; That what was spoken upon the Statute, was because it seemed *inscparable from the Matter of Fact, that they could prgceed no farther', till a state wère afforded then; that to do otherwise, they conceived might be very prejudicial unto my lord Strafforts 1. In that they should suppose vat to be done, which is not proved to be. 2. That the Matter of law ariseth so naturally from the Matter of Fact, that it will be impossible to separate one from the other. 3. That it is the course of all jodicetories, first to settie the Verdict, and upon that to fix the Argurgents, othergise he could conceive no possible way of proceeding; and thercfore, in the lord Straffort's name, he most humbly iutrented, that the Lords would either wholly determine the Matter of Fact (not whether it was Treason or no, for then all other proceedings in the law were unnecessary, but whether done or not done) or else to gire therf, some states of the question whereunto they might confine themselves. **
"Jpon this motion' the bouse was adjourned for that day, nor, hath if'met since; for the House of Commphs are returned to their old biass, And will hear of notping but the Bill of Attainder ; but the lords scem to be more resolute than before, because they find that they have no authority to declare a Treason in in fact already past, the Salvo of the 25th of Eriw. the Srd being rtpenled; withal, that if the Bill of ${ }^{4}$ Attainder should proceed, the king hath as great paner to hinder that at the last blow, as any other "statute: but I hope the lords will disburden bing of that envy.

All they phich mand obliged to the lord Strafford in bloed, finetion or deserving; and all who have been dityested with him the king's service, and toing tou, who both hate his person, and dialike his proceerliags; will doubtless look' upon it, and tender their own safety, all of them in likelitiood being subject to the charge of Treason, if ever they chance to be called to do the king's service in any place of importance,

I cannot exposen bow much the roice of the multitude is nop watied from what it was - lately, nuthing now talked of what should be done, but ouly bf what must be done ; so thet if the lord Strafford dies, his very enemies will confess, that it is done more for necessity, than for justice; and rather for the satisfactoon of rancorous apprehensions, than for any guiliness in the cabse.

> April-29.

Thursday last, viz, April 29, was designed for the agitation of the longointernitted business concerning the Lieutenanf; sud the way was this: Thedords did neet at the great Hall at Westminster ahont nine of the clock, not in their robes, nor did the Lord Steward sit upon his sack, but with the rest promiscuously; nor did the committee for the house of commons stand at the bar, but sat with the rest of their fellows; and the earl of Strafford sat behind the place where he used to sit before; the reason of thest cliapges wus, because the dyet was, appointed not for a meeting, but for a Confefence; so curious are we (and that is all) about formuitits. The king, queen, and prince were there, nccording to their costom : not a man spake a word in the house all the time, but only Mr. St. Jghan, the king's Solicitor, one of the Committee ; whose drift and purpose was to furnish the Lords with Reasons, why the House of Commons had proceeded with a Bill of Attainder: and withal, to reply to what the lord Strafford had spekee, either by himself or his counsel, in matter of law.

The Speech, containing a learned Argument on the Law of Treason, is here inserted from 8 Rashiworth, 675.
Mr. ST. JOHN'S ARGUMENT of LAW ; concieraing tar Bill of Attainder, April 29th, 1641.
My Lords; The Knights, citizens, and burgesses of the Commons Houge of Parliantent, have passed a Bill, for the attainfing of Thomas earl of Strafford of Highe Treason. The Bill hath beep wansmitted from thech to your fordships; it concerns nof bim alone, but your lordships and the comraons too, though in dif. ferent respects.- It concerns his lordship the highest that can be in the Penal part; so it doth, on the other side, us highly eoncera your Iordships and the Commons, in that which ought to be the tenderest, the Judicatory within that, that judge not them who jodge bim, and in that which is most sacred amongat men, the Public Justice of the kingdom.

The King is to be accounted unto, for the loss of the meanest nember, much more of oino so near the Head The Comowns are concerned in their atccount for what is done, your Iordships in that which is to be done. The bow siness therefore of the present Conference, is to acquaint your lordships with those things, thant satisfied the Commons in passing of this Bill; such of thenu as have come within my capacity, and that I can reurember, I am commanded from the Cummons ab this time, to present unto your lordships.,
My Lords, in judgment of grentest moment, there are but twoy, tays for satisfying those, that are to give thed ; either the lex lata, the law already established, or else the use of the same potver for making new laws, whereby the old at first received life.- In the first conssderation of the settled laws, inthe degrees of Ponishment, the positive ditw, received by general consent, and for the cominon good, is sufficient, to satisfy the conscience of the Judge, ia giving Jadgment according to them.-In several countries, there is not the same measure of panishnfebt, for one and the same offence; wilful murder in Ireland it is Treason, nnd so is the wilful Eurning of a house, or a stack of corn: in the Isle of Man it is Felony to steal ' a hen, but not to steal a horse; and yet the judge in Ireland, hath as just a ground togive Judgment of HighTreason in those cases there, os, here to give Judgment only of Felony; and in the Isle of Mian of Felony for the hen, as here of Petty-Larceny.

My Lords, in the other consideration of using the Supreme Power, the same law gives power to the parliapment to make new laws, that enables the inferior court, to judge according to the old. The rule that guides the conseience of the inferior court is from without, the presicripta of the parliarnent, and of the cormmon-law ; in the other, the rule is from within, that salus populi he coucerned, tpat there be, no wilful oppression of any of the fellow-members, that no more blood be taken than what is necessary for the cure, the laws and custons of the realin: as well enable the exercise of this, as of the ordinary and judicial power.

My Lords, what hath been said, is because that this proceerling of the Commong by way of Bill, implies the use of the inere legislative power, in respect new. iaws are for the most part past by bill.

This, my Lords, thoigh just and. legal, and therefore not wholly saciluded; yet it was not the ouly ground that put the Counmais upon the Bill, they did not intend to make a new Treason, and to condemut my lord of Sicrafford for it; they had in it other comaideoftions likewise, which were to this effegt:

1. The Contmoas knew, diat in all former ages, if doubts oflaw srose of great and general concernments, the Parliament was aunaily cribsulted withal for resolation, which is the resson that many acts of parliament are ohily declarative of the old law, not introductive of a new', as the Great Charter of our Libertient the Statute of 95 Ed. S, of Treasons ; the Ste.
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tute of the Prerogative, and of late the Petities of Right ; if hic law tere doabefel in this case, ibley perceived the Parlianent (where the old way is altered, and new laws made) the fiment jurdge to clear this dusbt.
-2. Hy Lords, they proceeded this way, to obviate those scruples and delays, which through disuse of proceedings of this nature, might hnve risen in the manner and way of proceetling, since the Statute of the I Heu. 4, c. 17, and mure fully in the Roll, No. 144. The proceedings of parliament have usuatly been upon an lotictment first found, thoughjo eases of Treenson particalarily thensioned \$e the Statute of 2s Edw. 3, wlich had not been dove in this case ; doubts likewise s.ighit rise, for Tressons, not particularly mentioned in the Statute bf 25 Edw. 3, whetber the Decharatory power of parliament be tuken uway, in what manner they were to be made, and by whons, th.i.ey find 'mot any Attainder of Treason in parliannent, for sear this 200 yeary, but by this way of Bill; and again, they know that whatsoever could $\bar{F}$ done any other may, it might be done by this.
3. In respect of the Proofs ahd Depositions, that have been made agninst hinn ; for frot, slehough they knew not, hut that the whole Evidence .which bath been given at the bar, in every part of it, is sufficiently comprehended within the clarge, pat if therevin they phoald be mittaken, if it shonld preve olhéwise, ose inhy justly be inade of such Esidence in this way of Bill ; wherein to as Evidence he given in, it is no wny requisite that there stoold have been any Articles or Charge nt all; and an in the case of dorable tostiinony upon the Sentute of the iat Edw. $\mathbf{0}$, whether que direct Wirness, with others, to circumstrnnces, had been single or dochle testinesty? An although single testimony, might be sufficient to satásiy एrivate, consciences, yet haw for it would hato been mtiffactory th a judicinl why, (where farms of haw are move to be atoof upon) was not so clear; whercas in their way of Bill, private satisfaction to each unar's conscienoe is oufficient, ulthough no Evidence had been giveu in at all.
My Jords, The proceeding by way of Bill, it was not to declime yoor lordships juatice in the judicial way, in these erigendso of the state and kingdiom; it was to busband time, by sileecing those doubses, they conceived it the speediest and smest way. My londs, thene are in effeet, the things the Cofinauos cook into their conflideration, in respect of the manuer aud way of Proceeding against the Earl.
In the next place, 1 am to declare unto gour loriships, the things they took into their considefationas, ia respect of the matter and menits of. the Canse, und they are compreheaded within these slas beadx.

1. Trat there is a Tresson within the atstate of 95 सdw. 8, by Levying of War upon the matter of the 13seli Aricte.
-2. If not by metral kerying of war, yet by. advising, and declaring Lis invention of wer,
and that by Savil's Warnite, and advice of bringing over the Irish Ation upon the matter in the q3rdArticle; then "upending of a War, if not within the clause of tevying of a War, in the statute of 25 th 魰w. 8, yet winhin the first Treason, of compasting the death of the king.
2. If either of these two single mets is withia the stitute of 95 Edw .3 , yet topon puting altogetheg, which hath been proved against him, that there is a Treason widtho the first clause, of corapassing the death of The king. ' Et si ' noa prosunt singala juneth juvant.'
3. That he hath sessed, tand laid soldiers upon the subjects of relanj, against their will, and at their charge, within the Irish statate of the 18th of Hen. 6, that bath person und thing are within the statute; That the statute remains in forre to this day, that the parlinment here hath cognizapce of it, and that even in the ordinary way of jodicature, that if there be a Trenson and a Traitor, that the wank of jurisdiction in the Gudicial wuy, may justly be supplied by Bill.
4. That his eadeavouring to subvert the fundamental laws and government of the realms of England and Ireland; and instear thereof, to introduce a tyrannical government against daw, is Treason by the common-law ; that Treasons at the oommon-lav are not taken away by the statute of $25 \mathrm{Edw} .3,1$ Hen, 4, dec. nor any of them. .
5. That as this Case stawh, it is just and necessary to resort to the, supreme powey in parlinment, in case all the rest should fail.
(f) these six, five of them are 'Trêson, within' the compess of the laws alrendy eitablished, three within the statuté of 95th Ediw. 3, and one within the Irish statute ${ }^{\text {p }}$ the other by the common law of England.-If but any one of those six considerations bold, the commons conceive, that upon the whole mmter, they had good cause to pass the Bill.
My Lords, For the first, of Sevying War, I shall make bold to read the case to your lordstips before I speak to it, it is thus. The Earl did by warrant under his band and seal, give autharity to Robert Savil, a serjeant at anms, and his depaties, to seas such numbers of solđiers, borse and foor, of the army in Ireland, together with an officer, as the serjeant shoikd think fit, upon his mnjesty's subjects of Ireland, ugeinst their will ; this witrant was graated by the larl to the end, to compel the subjects of Ireland to subenit to the unlawfol sampons and orders made by the Earl upon paper petitiens, exhitited to him in case of private interest betweeg party mad party; this warrant was executed by sthil and his depaties, by sessing of soldiets, both horse and foot, upon divers of the subjects of Ireland ugainge their wills, in warlike manner; and at divers times the soldiens Continued upua the pirties, upon whom they were sessed, nod wnsted their goods, until moch tithe as they had submitted themselves unto those summons end orders.

My Lofls, This is a Lerying War within the
statute of 95th Bdm. 3. The wint af iha ats tute are, 'If any man do lovy werrap innt our - Iord the king in his realm, this is flectared 'Treason.'
I shall eedeavour in this to make clear to your lordships, 1. What shall be a levying of war, in respect of the motive or cause of it. 2. What shall be said a levying of war, in respect of the action or thing done. 3. And in the third place, I shall apply them to the present cuse.
It will be granted fn this levying of war, that forces may be raised, and likewise used in warlike manner, and yet no levying of war within the statute, that is, when the forces are raised and employed upoil private ends, either of revenge or interest.

Before this statuto in Edward the 1st's time, the title of a Castie was in difference between the earls of Hereford and Gloucester, for the maintaining of the possession on the ong side, spd gaining of it on the other; forces were gaisod on either side of many hundred men; they marched with banners displayed, one ngainst another. In the parliament, in the qoth yoar of Edward 1, this wasadjudged only Trespass, and either of the earls fined 1,000 marks apiece.

After the Statate in Hilary Term, in the 15th of Edw. 3, in the King's-Bench Rot. Nicholas Huntercome in warlike manner with 40 men, armed amongst other weapons, with guns, (so matient, as hppears by that Record, they were) did much spoil in the roanos of the Abbey of Dorchester in gthe eounty of Oxford, this was accountef'nb Treason, and so it hath been held by the Judges, That if one or more Township, upon pretence of sating their conmons, do in a forcible and warflike manner throw in inclosures, this is only a Riot, no Treason.

The words of the Statuie 25 Edw. 3 , clear this point, that if any mant ride armed openly or secretly with men at arms, against any other, to kill and rob, or to dassin hin until he hath made fine and raniom for his deliverance, this is declared not to be Treason, but Felony or Trespass, us the case shall require : all the printed Sintutes which have it eovertly or secret, are migprinted, for the words in the Purliument Roll, as appoars in the 17th, are, Diseoviertment ou secretement, open or secretly.

So that, my lords, in this of Levying War, -the act is not soofauch to be considered, bot as in all other 'Treasons anḍ' Felonies, qub animo, with what intent and purpoie? My Loris, If the end be considerable in levying war, it may be sigidf that it cannot be a thar, unlesa agringt the king, for the words of the atatute are, 'If ' mny man levy war against wo king?

That these words extend forther than to the person of the kiog, nppears by the words of the Statute, which in the beginniug declares it to be Treaton, to compass and imagios ghe death of the king; and after other treasons, this is to be decjared to be treason, to levy was againat the king; If levying of war exteod no further than to the persoa of the king, thesefwords of the
statute are to no purpose, for then the first treason of compassing the kung' death, had fally included it before, becuuse that be which jevies wiar against the person of the king, doth necessarily compass his death,"

- u Boi does he so? Where is the truth, or the logic, of this necessity? In our Law writers, and ' Treason trials, I grant. They have passed this construction upon the atatute: yes not till they add to is the words " his persos, and moke moral reflections on the deaths of Richard 9 , and Edward. 2. Let thenı consider on the otber hand, the case of Henry 6 ; he was twice imprisoned for the express purpose of preserving his life, and wns very carefully preserved alive for years; nor is it yet known haw be came by his death. The Resolution of the Judges on the Earl of Espex's Trial in the year 1600, carries this necessity farther still. It is there declered to be the consequence of 'every rebel' lion,' and not confined to war agalast thes king's person ; and Ethis is as just a conclusion as the other.-Examine the words of this Lew as in Edward Srd's reign, as if it were the law of Polund, and make out the conclusion there; not under the prejudices and habits of thinking, to which our minds are accustomed.-I believe the Statute bad in view a case of War and Rebellion, which might not be directed against the kiag's life: and this opinion will be found most agreeable to the state dithat age, by those who Fill take the pains to examine its history attentively, and the condition of this'and the neighbouring kingtoms. There is a grent authority in our linw on my side in this point. Chief Justice, Btooke, who compiled mote of his Abridgemeptein the reigns of fied. 8, and his son, when Treasons were readily strained to any construction, doabts ubout the case der design to deprive the king of his crown, whether it is within the statpre of Treasons. Becease, be says, one may deprive, \&sc. withgatflesigning the death; theirefure, he ndds, a statute was had for this Case under Hen. 8, and Ed. 6. See Bro. Abr. Treason pi, 24. What need have we of more? The doubt of a Judge uides a Tudor prince, upon' such a point and against the crown, may afford a satinfactory clearance of the doutt to subjects of a Brunswick prince. The argument apon the two cases of Legring War and depriving of the crown, is the same. And this learned Jodge did certainly not encourage the meparation of the king's authority front bis pervon, 'is this branch of the Law of Tresuon,-It would be equally just to take the converse bf the above argament, and to any, the levying wir must bo clesr of design agaiost the ling'g life, because if it were othorwise, there whe no need to provide for it by the first clause of sompasting, $\Delta c$. Mony of therargumentsin the State Trinal lead to this inferenoe, unknown to their authors. It is the conseguence of lord Bacon's otatement of the Judger' Opinios, in his Tressons of the Earl of Lusex, and in many other examples.- Again, the incomsintency of the doctriae will Jyther appear, from the following view of iti. If it he


## 

It is a war against the king, when intended - for alterution of the laws or government in any part of them, or to destroy any of the great officers of the kingdom. This' is a levging war against the king :

1. Because the king doth protect and maintaiathe Lnws in every parp of them, and the great otticers, to whose care he hath, in his own stead, delegated the execucinn of thern.
2. Hecuuse they are' the king's laws, he is the fountain from whence, in their several chanpels, they are derived to the subject; all our Indictments run thas, trespasses laid to be done, ' contra pacem doryini regis,' the king's pence, for exorbitant offences, thought not iatended against the king's person, against the king, his crown and dignity.

My lords, this construction is made good, by divers Authorities of great weight, ever since the Statute of 25th of Fidw. 5, downwards.

In Rd: 2's time, sir Tho. Talbot conspired the death of the dukes of Gloucester and Lancenster, and some nther of the peers; for the effecting of it, he had caused several people in the county of Chester, to be armed in warlike manner in assemblies in the parliameny, held in the 17th R. 9, No. 20. sir Thomas Talbot being accused of High Treason for this : It is there declored, insornuch ns one of then was Lord Iligh Steward of England, and the othe High Constable, that this was done in destruction of the estates of the realm, sund of the laws of the - kingdom, and therefore adfudged Treason, and the 'Judgment sent down into the King't Bench, as appears, Easter term, in the 17 th R. 2, in the King's Beach rot. 16. These two lords had appeared in the 14 R. 2, in maintenance' of the act of parliament made in the year before, que of them was of the Comrnissioners appointed by Parliament, and of the Appealors of those who would have orerthegwn it.
The duke of Lameaster likewise was one of the lords, that was to hatve, been indicted of Treason, for endeavouring the maintenance of it; and therefore conspiring of their deaths is said to be in destruction of their laws ; This there is declared to be Trensou, that concerned the person of the king and common-wealth.
In that grent Insurrection of the villains, and meaner queople, in Rd. 2's time, they took an oath, ' Quod regi et communibus fidelitatem

[^53]'sergyndis) 'tie' true to the king and commoos, ecilat they would take nothing but ruather peff for, punished alttheft with death; berecis no intendment agtinst the person of the king; The intent was, to establish the laws of Villanage and Servitude, to burn: all the Records, to kill the Judges: This in the Parliament of the 5th Pd. 2, No. 31, 32, the first part, isdeclarred to pe Treason ugainst the kiug, and against the law.

In the 11ih R. 2, in parliament, the raising of Forces againast the Coinniessioners, appointed by act of Parliament the year before, adjudged Transoa by-all the judges.

The statute 1 Mary cap. 12, enacts, That if 12 or more shall endeavour by force, to alter any of the laws or stalates of the kingdom, he shall from such a time there limited, be adjudged ouly as a Felon. This act was to continue but to the next parliament, it is expired, it shews by the words only, that the offence was higher before the making it.

My lords, In queeq Elizabeth's time, Grant, and divers apprentices of London, to the num-" ber of 200 , rose, and assembled attTower-hill, carried a cloak upon a pole instead of a bariner, their intent was to deliver divers Apprentices out of prison, that had been committed upon a Sentence iu the Star-Chamber for riots, to kill the lord mayor of London, and for setting prizes on Victuals. In Trinity term, 37 Eliz. divers of the Judges were consulted withal, and resolved, That this was a levying of war against the queen, being intended against the government and officers of the queeil, and therefore Grant and others were executed as Traitors.

Afterwards, in that queen's time, divers of the county of Oxford consulted, to go together from house to house in that county, and bence to London and other parts, to excite them to take up arms, for the throwing in of all jinclosures throughout Entland. Nothing was done, nor no assembly. Yed the statute of 13 Eliz. c. 1, during the queen's, life, made it Treason, to intend, or advise to levy war against the queen.
In Easter term S9 Eliz. all the Judges of Epgland met about the case, it was resolved by" them, that this was a war intended against the quéen; they agreed, That if it had been of one twwaship or more, upon private interest, and is claim of right of Common, it bad not beea Treason, but this was to throw in all Inclosures. throaghathe hingdom, wherevnto these partics should pretend no claim. That it was aganst the law, in rogard that-the Statute of Merton gave power of Inclosares in many cases; upon this resolution Bradsaw and Barton were executed at Ayacataw hill in Oxfordshire, the place whitre they iutended the first rendezvous.
So that my lords, if the end of it be to overthrow any of the Statuten, any part of the law and stetuled governinent, or any of the great officers intristed with the execution of them, this in a war against the king.
My lords. It wil! be further considerable, what shall beaccounted a Lerying of War, in
respect of the actions and things doper there is a design to alter some part of the lams, and present 'goverument, for the effecting thertof, people be provided of arms, gathered together iato troops, but afterwards march not oith banners displayed, nor do bellum fercutere, whether the army themselves, and gathering tonether upun this design, be a war, or such prosecution of the design with force, is makes it treason within the statate?

1. If this be nut a war, in, respect thateit necessarily occusions hastile preparations on the other side.
2. From the words of the statute, aball ley war, and be thereof probably attainted of open deed, by people os therr condition; althuigh the bare conspiring bé pac an open deed, yet whether the arming and deawing of men together, be not an open declaration of war?

In sir Thmmas Tulbot's Case before cited, in the 17 h R. 2, the acts of force are expressed in the Parlimuent Roll; That he caused divers of the people of the councy of Chester, to be ahned in a warlike manner in assemblies, here is no marching, no bnuuers displayed,

In the 8th Hen, 8, William Bell and Thomnas Iacy in Com. Kanc. couspired with Thomas Cheyney, called the 'Hernite of the queen of 'Furies,' to overthrow the lans and custons of the realan; and for the effecting of it, they with 200 inore, inet tugether, and concluded upon a course of ruising greater forces in the county of Kent, ond the adjacent shires; This adjudged Trguson ; these nege open acts.

My Lords, Fof the Application of both these, to the Cive in quastion:

1. In cespect of thegend of it: here was a War ragannt the king, it was to subvert the laws; this being the destign for the cffectung of it, be assamed to his own person im nrbitraly posser vicr the lives, liberties, and astates of his majesty's subjects, aind deteryined Casses upon Paper-Pctitions, at his own will and pleasure; Obedience most Le furced by the army, this is declared by the Winrrant.
My lords, If it be said that the Warrant exprosseth not any intent of subverting the laws; It expresseth fully one of the principal means, whereby this was to he done, that is, obedienct: to, his arbitrary orders upou Paper-Petituons; this was done in reference to the main design.
In the Cases of the town of Cambridge and sir William Cogan, thay have forgerly been cited to your lordshing upon other occomions, the things in themselves were not Treason, they ${ }^{*}$ were not a levying of war. In that of Cambridge, the'towa met tugether, and in a forcible manuer broke up the University-Tyeasary, and took out of it the Records, and Evidences of the Liberties of the Uniwersity over, the Liown. In the other, they of Bridgewater mpyrched to the Hospital, and comprilled the master of the Hos pital to deliver unto them certain Evidences, that concerned the town, and forced him to enter into a bond of 8001 .
These, if done upon these privite fods alone,
had not been a Treason, as appeats by' the very words of the statute of 25 Edw . 3, befforementioned, of matching openly or secrelly.

But my loids, these of Cumbridge and Bridgewater, they were of the conspirncy with the Villains, ns appears in the Parliament-Roll of 1 Rich. 2, n. 31 Ntiand 32, where the towns of Cambridge and Bridgewater are expressly excepted out of the general Pardon made to the Villains; this heing done in reference to that design of the Villains, of altering the laws; this was that which made it Treason.

If the design went no forther, than the enforcing obedience, to these Baper Orders made by himself, it zas sufficfent it was to subvert oue foudanental part of the law ; nay, in effect the whole law ; what use of law, if he might order and deternine of men's + states at his $\mathbf{~} \mathrm{wn}$ pleasare? This was against the law notoriously declared in Ireland.
Iu the close Holl in the Tower, in the 25th. of Ed. 1, a Writ went to the Justices in Ireland, that kingdotn at that time was governed by Justices, declaring. Thnt apon Eletitions they were not to desermine any litiles between party and party, upon any pretence of profit whatsoever to the king.

In 28 Hen, 6, c. 2. Suits in equity not hefore the Deputy, but in Chancery; suiss at common-law, not before hiin, but in cases of ' life in the Kiug's-Beach ; for title of lands or ggods in the proper cuults of the ki.,g's-Bench, or Conumon-Pleas.
This declared in the Instructions for I drland, in the latier end of kuy Jame's time, und by the Proclamation in his majesty's time; my lord took notice of them; called the commissionens narrow-hearted cunmissioners.
The law said, He sbould not thus proceed in the sobversion of it, he saith he will, and will enforce obedience by the arnay, thit is as suuch, in respect of the end, as to, endeavour the overthrow of the Slatutes of Labourens, of Victuals, or of Merton for liclossures; here is a Warrant against the king, in respect of the end ${ }^{*}$.

* "The same arbitrary confusion of right and wrong, encouraged the pariamentary leaders in Charles the 1 st's time, to clarge lord Strafford with havine sybverted the laws, and thereby committed [figh-Treason. It was the Article which they laboured the most, and perhaps they judged rigbtly; because, by being general and indistinct, it ryas capabje of embracing any offence that malice and faction could find no other namp for. They coold not have attempted this with effect, if the Law of Treasou had been properly defined; or if the minds of men, the learned as well as the vulgar, had not been obscured or perverted by the doc: trines which had been long current, on the supposed nuthority of adjudged Caseg. It is curious, but lamentable too, to find $\leqslant, J 0 b n$, with whon the maintennnce of this. Article lay, uiging them all and relying upon them, io prove lord Strafford guilty. Thus did the is-
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- \&. In respect of the Actions, whetlier there beceirber a Levying of $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{F}}$, of au qpen deed, or both.

My lords, There was an'army in Ireland at that time, of 2,000 horse and foot; by this Warrant there is a full designation of this whole army, and an assigameut of it over to Saville for this parpose. The Wabrant gives him power from sime to time, to take mo many zoldiers, horse and foot, with an officer throughout the whole army, of himself shall please; bere is the terror and awe of the whole army to enforce obedience. My lords, If the Earl hed armed 2,00 a borse and efoot, and formed them into cqupanies to this rend, your lordships would have conceived, that this had been 4 war. It is as inuch as in the case of sir Thounas Talbot, who armed them in assemblies.

This is the banse with a breach of trust added to it.

- That Army which was first raived, anid aftes wards committed to his truat, for the defente of the people, is now doatined by him to their destrestion. This-assignation of the army by his warrant, under his hand and seal, is an open act. My lords, Here is sot only an open act done, but a levying of war, soldiers, both horse and foot, with an officer in warlike man-
justice of crown prosecutions meett with a retaliation here, in the injustice of a popular prosecution. So Thred it, too, with Lapd. Such men as he canadt coanplain, when they receive the same mensure as they mete. Mr. St . John seems not more unjust than his predecossors, and to have as good reason as they, when he concludes, 'This is as muth, in re-- spect of the end, as to endeavour the over-- throw of the Statutes of Lahourers, of Vic'tuals, or of Mertou for Inclosures.'-Jadye Jenkins, who was imprisoned by the Parliament for his enmity to theny, employed his hours of confinement if collecting, together the various acts of Law-Tyranny which they had practised. Ile chiefly drew his poiots of conatructive treason from St. John's Argument, published by their' authority, aud retorted them upon this enemies, in order to prove thein, by their ofra oracle, guilty of High-Treason.Some of the Articles against Cardinal Woisey contain this Charge of subverting the laws, enforced in the same loose manner, but though there are $\$ 4$ of them altogether, they do not strain the point to the conclision of HighTreason, against him. These Articles were the acts of the Privy-Council, and probably druwn by sir Thomas Moresthe Chancelior. Of the same kind are the Articles (of High-Trenson mas they are called) against Seymour lord Dudley, which exhilit a curious mistore. At the end of 9\& Charges of Schemes of Armbition, as like Adukery as Treason, thore is an argo be sopired to the dignity royal. The Articles of Accuattion ageinst his brother the Protectof, though more treaposable in their nature, ate vot callied by that name." Laders ou the Lew of Treason is Lerying War, 83.
ner assagsed apon she subject, which killed their cattie, consumed and wasted their goods.

Your lordships olserve 5 great differenge, where six men go upon a design nlone, and when sent from an army of 600 , all engaged in the same service, so many were.sent ss were sufficient to execute the command, if upon a poor man fewer, more apoa a rich; if the six had not béen able, the whole army must make it good. The reason that the Sheriff directed alonef" or but with one bailiff to do execution, is, because be hath the sommand of the law, the kiug's writ, and the pousn comitatut in case of resistance. Here is the Warraut of a General of an Army; Hepre is the posie arevcitus, the Power of the Army, urider the awe of the whole Army six may force mare, than sixty without it; and allhough never above six in one place, yet in several parts of the kingdom at the same time, might be above sixty; for sessing of Soldiers was frequent, it was the ordinaly course for execution of his orders.
The Lord-Lieutgnant of a county in EFg. land hath a design to alter the laws and government; nay, admit the design goes not so high, he ónly declares thus much, he will order the froeholders and estates of the inhabitants of the county, at his own will nnd pleasure, and doth accordingly proceed upon Paper-Pctitious; foreseeing there will be disobedience, he grants out Warrants under his hand and seal, to the deputy-lieutenants and captains of the TrainedBands, that opou refusal, they will take such number of the Trained-Bands through the county, with - oficers, as they shall think giod, and lay them upon the lands: agd houses of. the refusers, soldiers in a warlike mamner are frequently sessed upon them accordingly ; your lordships do conceive, that this is a Gvying. of war within the statute.
The Case in queation goes further in these two respects: That it is mure against the declaged law in Ireland, not oaly against the Common-law, but 引jkegrise against the Statute of 28 Hen. 6 , aguinst the Acts of the Commisdoners; aguinst Proclamstions in pursuance of the law ; against that hinself took notice of narrow-hearted commissioners.-In this, that bere wha an Army, the soldiers by profession, acts of hovility from them of greater terror, than from freeholders of the same countys, My lords, I have now dope with the first of Levjing of War.
The Seepond is the Machination, the advising of a War. The casc in $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{is}$, rests upon a Warrant to Savilief and the dedrice in the 23d Article. : The Werrant shems a resolation. of emploging the old Army of Jreland, fo the oppression offle grinjesty's, subjects, and the laws, In the qosd Artiele, baving told his mejeaty, that be was loosed and aboolved from rules of government, and might do divery thing which power might eidmit; be proceeded further in spesch to hin majenty in these words; 'Yot 'have sa Arrmy in Ireland, you may employ 'to reduge thjajkingdomas.'

I being put together, there is
a Machination, a practice, an advice to Levy War, apd by force to oppress and desuroy his Hajesty'y subjecti.
It hath beerr said, the Statute of 25 Edw. $\mathbf{S}$, is a papul law, und cannot be talen by equity and construction, there mast be an actual war; the Sentute makes it Treason to counterfeit the king's Coin, the conspiring, the raising of Furasces is no Jreason, urfess he doth Nummum perculcre, actually coio.
My Lords, This is only said, not proved; the law is otherwise, $19^{\circ}$ Hen. 6 , fol. 49, there adjudged, That the conspiring and aiding to counterfeit Coin was' 'Ireason, and Justice Stamford, ful. 331, and44, is of opinion, that this, or the couspinhg to counterfett the Great Seal, is Treason. The Statyte is, If nuy shall counterfeit the Great Seat, conspiring to do it by the Book is Treason; if a man tale the Irroad Seal fiom one patent, and pat it to unother, here is no countérfeiting, it is tantngount, and therefore Treason, as is adjurged in 2 II : 4. fol. 25, and by the opision of Scamford. If Machination or Plotting a war be not within that clause of the Statute of Levying of War, yet it is within the first, of compassing the teath of the king, as that which necessarily rends to the destruction both of king and people, upun whose sufety and protection he is to engoge himself. That this is Trenson, hath Leen adjudged, both ufter the Statutes of 1 Hen. 4, c. 10, and 1 queen Mary; so much insisted upon on the other side. In the 3d year of kyg Hen. 4, one Balshal consing fron London, found one Bervard at plough, in the parish of Olley, in the county of Ilerttord, Bernurd nsked Balshal twhat news? he told him, that the news was, That king Re:chard the 2 ul was alive in Scotlaral (which,wns false, for he was dead), and that by Mulsummer next, he would conue into England; Bernard asked Lhu, What were best to be done? Balsfial answered, Get men, and gn to king Ricimard. In Michachuas Term, in the 3 d year of Yen. 4, in the King'sBench rot. 4, this advice of War adjadged Treason.

In Queen Mary's time, sir Nicholas Throckmorton * conspired with sir Thomas Wyat, to levy war within this realm for alteration, in Heligion, he joined not with hiun in the cxecuelinn. This couspiracy alone declared to be Treason by all the Judges; this was after the Statute of qucen Mary, so much iosisted upon. That Parliament ended in Octoberg this Opinion was delivered the Easter term following, and is reported by justice Dyer, fol, 98 . It is true, sir Tijumas Wyut nfterwards did lety whr; sis Nicholas Throckmorton he only conspired. This adjuidged Treason,

One Story tin-queen Elizabeth's time practised with fureigners, to levy War within this kiugdorn, nothing done in pursuance of the apractice. The intent, without any gdhering to euexies of the qucen, or other cause, adjudged Treaspn, aud he executed therẹupon. It is

[^54]true, ny lords, that year 13 Eliz. by act of par-. liament it is madd 1 reason, to intend the Levying of war; this cease was sujudged before the parliament: The case was adjudged in Hillary term; the partiament begun not till the April following. This, uy lords, is a Case judged in point, that the prictising to levy War, though sothing be done is esecotion of it, is Trenson.

Otject.-It may te ofjected, That in Ulese Cases, the conspiring heiitg ngainst the wholu kingdom, included the queen, and was ai courpassing ber destruction, as well an of the kingdoms, here the adrice was to the king.

Ausw.-Thethoswer is fint, That the Warront was unknownoto his mijesty, that was a Muchination of Wer ngaiast the people nod laws, pherein his majesty's persou was cugaged for protection.
Secondly ${ }^{\boldsymbol{T}}$ That the Advice was to his majesty, aggravates the offence, it was an attempt Which was the offence; it was un attempt pof onty upou the kingdon, but apou the Sacred Person and his Oilice too; himself was hos/is patric, be would have made the father of it so to: Nothing morerunnatural nor more dangerous, than towoffor the king poison to drink; telling him that it is a cordial is a passing of his death: the poison was repelled, there who an antidote within; the malice of the giver beyond expression. The persuadiug of Foreigness to invade the kingdom, hold no pruportion with this Machnnation of WArr; aguinst the lav or kingdom, is agninst the king, they cannut be severed.

My Lords, If no actual War whebin the Statote, if the counsell ing of war, if neither of these single aicts be Treason within the statute, The Coramons, in the next place, hace taken it inta consideration, what the addition of his other woids, counsels, nad actions do operate in the casy, and have conceived, that with this addition, all being put tugether, that he is brought withint thestatute of 45 E . S.
The words of the Statute are; If any man 'shall compass, or inagine the death of the ' king;' the words afe not, 'If any man shall ' plot, or counsel the death of the king;' No, my lords, they go further than to such things as are intended immediately, directly ${ }^{\prime}$ and determinatively against the life and person of the king, they nre of a larger extent; to compass, is to do by circuit, to consult or practice another thing directly, whichr being done, may neccssarily profuce this effect.

Howerer it he in the sther Tremsons within this Statute, yet 潧 this, by the very words, there is room left for constructions, fotnecesgary inferences and cousequences.

What hath been the judgroent and practice of former times, concerning these words, of compassing the king's death, will nppear toyour lordships, by some Cnses of Attainders upon these words.
One Owen, in king Jninex's time, hothe 18th year of his reiga, at Saudwich iu Kent, spake these words, PThat king Janes being examimunicated by the Pope, way be killed by tuy: 5 s
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- 'mana whicf killing is no murder:' Being askerl ly those he spake to, how lie durst, maintain to blopdy an assertion? Answered, "That the - matter was not so heinuus as was supposed; 'for the kiog, who is the lesser, is concluded by - the Pope, who is the greater; and, as a male-- factor, being condemned 'before a temporal " judge, may be delivered over to be executed; ${ }^{\text {so }}$ the kng, standing conivicted by the Pope's - Sentence of Excommunication, may justly be © slaughtered without favle; for, the killing of ' the king is the execution of the Pope's supreme - Sentence, as the other is the execution of the ' law.' For this Judgment of High Treason was given against him, and exectition done.
My Lords, there is no clear intent Appearing, that Owen desired the thing should be done only Arguments that it might be done, this is a compassing, there is a clear endeavour to corrupt the judgment, to take off the bonds of conscience, the greatest security of the king's lifid - God forbid,' saith one of better judgment than he, ' that I should stretch out my hand ngeinst 'the Lort's anointed!' No saith he, the Lord doth not forbid it, you may, for these rensons, lawfully kill the king.

He that denies the Title to the Crown, and plots the means of setting it upon another's head, may do this without any direct, or inmediate desiring the death of him that wears it ; yet this is Treason, as wras adjudged in the 10th; of Hen. 7 , in these of Buyton, and in the dult of Nurfolk's case, 13 Eliz.

This, in a compassing of his Death; for there can no more be two kings in one kingrom, then two suns in the tirmament: He that cpnceives a title, counts it worth venturing for, though it cost him bis life: he that is in possession thinks it' as well worth the keeping. John Spurhauk, in hing Henry the 4th's tine, meeting tevo men upon the way, amiongst other talk, snid, Ghat the king was not rightful king, but the earl of March; and that the pope fiould ernat intolgences to all, \&hat could assist the Karl's Title, nid that within half a year there would be no Liverics nor Cognizancesoof the king; that the king had not kept promise with the people, but had liaid Tixes upon thero.
In Easter-Term, in the Srd year of IIenry the sth, in the King's Bench, rot. 12, this adjudged Treason, this denying the Title with motires, though not implyedly of action against it, adjudged Treason; this is a compaying the ling's death.

How this was $8^{\circ}$ teompassing of the kiog's Death, is declared in the lasons of the Judg. ment; that the words were spoken with an intent, to withdraw the affections of the people from the king, and to excite them againist him, that in the end they might rise pp ngaingt him in stortem et destructionem of the king.
My Lords, in this Jadgment, and others, which I gyall cite to your lordships, it appears, that it is a compassing the $k$ ing's death bywords, to endeavour to draw the people's hearts from thé king, to set discord between the king and chem, whereby the people slould leave the
king, should rise up against him, to the death and destruction of the king.
The Cases that I shall cite, prove not only that it is Treason, but what is sufficient Evidence to make this good.

Upon a Conmiasion held the 18th Ed. 4, in Kent, before the marquis of Dorset, and others, an Indictupent was preferred ngainst Johm Awatef, of High Treason, in the form beforementioned, for Words, which are entered in the Indictüient mub hao forma : That he had been servant to the eanl of Whrwict; that though he were dead, the earl of Oxfurd was alive, and sifould bave the goveriment of part of that couatry; 'That Edward, whom you call king of Eagland, was a false,man, find had, by art and sabtilty, slain the parl of Warwick, and the duke of Clarence his brother, withoat any cause, who before had been both of them attaisted of High-Treason.
Ms. Lords, This Indictment was returned into the King's Beuch in Trinity-term, in the 18th Edw. the 4thy and, in Easter-terun, the 92d of Edw. the 4th, he was outlawed, by the stay of the cutlinwry, so long aseit soens the Judges luad well advised before whether it were treason or ant.

At the same session Thomas IIeher was indicted of 'Treason for these words, 'That the - last Parliament was the most simple and in'sulficient Parliament that erer had bean in - England; That the king was gone to live m
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Kent, becanse that for the present he had not ' the love of llye esitizens of London, nor should ' bee linve it for the future: Thar if the bishop of ' Rath and Wells were dead, the "arthbishop of - ('anterbury being rardinal of Fuglaid, would ' immediately lose his lnêd.' This Indictment was returned into the King's Bench in Frinityterin, in the 18ih of. Edw, 4th: afternards there came a privy-segl to the Judge to respite the Praceedings, which, as it should seem, wHs to the intent the Juiges night nulvise of the Case, fur afterwards he in suthwed of High-Treason upon this Indictunent.

These words are thought suficient evidence, to prure these several Indictments, that they were poken to withdraw the people's Affecxiens from the king to excite theon against him, to cause risings against him hy the people, is mortem rt destructionem of the king.

Your lordships are piensed to consider, That in wll these Cases, the Treason was for words orly, words by private persons, and in a more private manner, but onge spoken, and no more, only anongst the people, to excite them against the kinig.
My Lords, bere are Words, Counsels, more than Words asd Actions too, not anly to disaffect the people to the king, but the ling likewise towards the people ; not once, bat often; ngt in private, bot in places most public; not by a private person, but by a counsellor as state, a lord lieutenant, a kord-president, a londdeputy of Ireland.

1. To kis miflesty that the parliament had denied to quply him: a slandor upon all the
coramons of England, in their affections to the king and kingdom, in refusing to yield timely supply for the nexessities of the king and kingdom.
2. From thence, that the king was loose, and absolved from rules of government, and was to do every thing, that power would admit. My lords, wore caunot be aaid, they capnot be aggravated; whatever I should say would be in diminution.
3. Thence you have an Ariay in Iteland, you may employ to feduce this kingdon.

To counsel a king, not to love his people, is very unnatural, it gues' ligher to hate them,elo malice them in lis beart, the highest expressions of malice to destroy, them by war. These couls, they were cast upon his majesty, they were blown, ihey could not kinde in that breas.

Thence, my lords, linving done the utmost to the King, he goes tio the I'eople. At York, thf country being met together for Justice, ut the open Assizes upon thesbench, be tells them, speaking of the Justices of the Peace, that thay were afl fon law, nothing but law, but they should find that the king's litte finger should be heavier than the. loines of the law, as they shall find. My lords, Whe speaks this to the people, a priyy-counsellor? this must be either to traduce his majesty to the pcople, us spoken from him, or from himself, who was Lord-Licuteuant of the cuunty, nad. President, intrusted with the forcess and justice of those pairts, that hif would employ both this way. Add, my lords, to his Words theie the exercising of an arbitrary and vast jurisdiction, before he had so much as instructions, or colour of warrant.
Therice we carry tim into Ireland; there he represinted, by his place, the Sacred Person of his majesty.

1. There at Dublin, the principal city of that kingdom, whither thê subjects of that country came for justice in on Assembly of Peers, and others of grestest rank, won pecasion of a Speech of the Recorder of that city, toucbing their franclises and regal rights; he tells them, That Ireland was a conquered nation, and that the king might do with them what be pleased.
2. Not long after, in the Parlinment 10 Gar. .in the chair of state, in full parliament again, That they were a conquered nation ; and that they were to expest laws as from a conqueror, before the king might do. with laten, what he weuld, now they were to eispect it that be would put this power of a Cohqueror in executionjachlue circumstances ate very onnsiderable; in full patidiment; from himself in Cathedra, to the repregentative body of the whgle kingdom.

The occasion, addempeh, when they desired the benefit of the laves, and that their causes and suits might be determined apcordiof to lawn and not by himself, at his will and pleawore, upon Paper Petitions.
3. Upon like occasion, 005 presoing the laws and statutes, that he would mofic an aft of

Council-Board in that kingdum, an binding as an act of parliampot.
4. He made his words good by, his actions, assumed aud exercised a boundless and hawlesa ,jurisdiction over the lives, persons nnd cstatea of his majesty's subjects, procured judgmens of death aguinst a peer of that realin; command, ed aoother to be banged, this whs accordingly executed, both in tirses of high peace, without apy process or colour of lawi.
5.t By force, of a long time, he seized the Yarn aud Flax of the subjecte, to the starving and undoing of many thousands; besides the Tobacon businey, and miyy inowopolies aud unlawful tancos; furced a new Outh not to dispute his majesty's royal comunnads; deter thined pren's cotates at his own will and pleasure, uph Taper-Petilions to hinself; forced obedience to these, not only by fines and inprisonment, hat likenise by the army; sezsent ooldiers upoth zhe réfosers in an hortile manner.
6. Was an Incendiary of ibe war between the two king dums of 'Engiand and Scotland.
My lords, We shall leave it to yogrLordsbips judgments, whetLer these Words, Counsels, nud Actions, would not have been a sufficient Evidence, to have, prored an Indictment drawn up nyairst him, as those tefure meationed, and uany others are? That they were spoica and done to the intent, to draw the king's heart from the people, and the affections of the people from the kug; that they might leave the king, and afterwards rise $\triangle \mathrm{p}$ ugaint him, to the debtraction of the king: If $\mathrm{s} \%$, here is a compassing of the king's death, witlin the wonls of the Stotute of 25 Edw. 3, and that warranted by many former jurgmeuts.
My Lords, I have now done with the, three Treasons within the Statute of 25 Edw. 3. . I proceed unto the fourth, upon the Stakute of 18 IIen. 6, c. 3, in Ireland, and I thall make. bold to read the words to your lordships: - That noylord, ner ahysotber of what condition 'soever he be, shall bring, or lead Hoblers, ${ }^{\text {' Kernes, or Hooded men, nor' any other peo- }}$ 'ple, nor horses, tp lie on horseback, or on - foot, upon the 'king's subjects, without their 'good wills and consent, but upon their own -costs, and withvut hurt doing to the commous;
'and if any so do, be shall be edjudged as a 'Traitor.'

1. The Argument that hath been made concerning the Person, that it extends not to the king, and therefore not to him, ireighs nothing with your lordshing, 'Bgx non habet in regno "parem; from' greatness of his Office, to rgue himself into the same imposoibility with his sacred majesty, of being incapable of HighTreason, it is an Qffence, no Treason; The words in the Statute, 'No lord, nor any other, ' of what coutdition soever he.be,' include every subject.

Ia Trinity Term, in 3s Hen. 8, in the King'Bench, Leonerd lord Gray ${ }^{\text {c }}$, having iivmediately before been Lord Deputy of Ireland, is

[^55]. attainted of Ritgh-Treasón, and Judgment given ngainst him, for lettiog divers Rebels opt of the castle of Dublin, und dischargigg Irish Uostages and Pledges, that had been gireu for securing the peace; for not punishug one that said, Tbat the king was an Heretic: 1 have read the whole Record, there is not one thing laid to his charge, but was done by bin as Lord Lieutenant; Hle had the sqme plea with my Lord of Strafford; That these things were no adhering to the king's enenies, but were done for reasons of state, that he was not within those words of the Statute of 25 Edw. 3, himself being Lord Licuteuns there. "*

Object.-It hath heen said, That the Soldiers sessed upon the subjects by bim, were not such persons as are intended by that statute, Hub-1 lers, Kernes, and Hoqded men, those rascally pcople.
Answ.-My Lords, they were the mames giwen to the soldiery of those tinnes, Hoblers, Horsemen; the ther the Foot. But the word of the Statute go further, Nor any cther people, neither hone nor foot. His loidshyp sessed upon them both horse and foot.

Object.-The Stature exiends ouly to those, that lead or bring; Savil lec' them; my Iord only gave the Whrrant.

İnsw,-To this I shall only sary thus, 'plus ' peccat nuthor, quam actor,' hy the rule of the law' ngentes et consegtientes pari plectuntur - prena;' if consent, much more a cok mand to da it, makes the commander a Traitor; If there be any treasun within this statute, my lord of Straftortal is guilty.

It hath been therefore said, That this Statote, like Goliah's sword, hath been wrapt up in a cloth and loid behind the door, that it heth uever beeu put in executon.
My Lords; if the Clerk of the Crown in Ireland, bad certified your lordships upon seasch of the Judgnents of Atinipders in freland, he could not find that any fian hatd beentattainted upon this statute, your lordships had bad some ground to believe it; Yet it is only my lord of Strafford's affirmation ; , besides, your lordships know, that an act of parliament binds upcil it be repeuled.
It trath baen therefore said; That this Statute is repealed by the statute of $8 \mathrm{Ed.4}$, cap. 1 , and 10 lien. 7, c. 24 , because by these two statutces, the English statutes are brought into lreland.
The Argunent (if I mistrok it not) stood thus; That the Statufe of Hen. 4, c. 10, saith, That in no time to Ce, Treason shall be adjudged otherwise, then it was ordained by the statute of 25 Ed . 3 , that the reason mentioned in 18 Hen, 6 , in the Irish statute, is not contaihed in 25 Edw. 3, and therefore contrary to thesatatute of 1 Hen, 4 , it nust needs be void.

If this wore law, then all the Statutes that made any dew Treason after 1 Hen. 4, were void ift the' very fabrick, and at the time when they were made; hence likewise it would, follow, that the parlizment now, upon what poccasion woever, hath no power to make any
thing Treason, not declared to be so' in the statute 25 Ed .3 . This, your lordships easily see, would make mach for the Iord of Strafford's advantage, but wily the law should be so, your lordships have only as yet heard aneafirmation of it; no reason.

But some touch was given, that the Statate of 10 Hen. 7 , in words, make all the Irish statutes vBid, which are contrary to the English. The Answer to this is a denal that there are any such word in the Statute. The Statute declares, That the Englisit Statutes shall be effecrual, and contirmed, in Irelond, and that all the statates made before time to the contrary, shall be revoked.

This repeals only the Irinh Sitatutes of 10 Hen. 4, nud 29 Hen. 6; which say, that the Faglish'Statatess shall not be in force in Irelund, unless parti-ularly recesed in parliament, it makes all the linsin Statutes void, which say, that the English Statutes sull not be in force there.- It is usual when "I Statute says, tlyat such a thing shall Leedione, or not done, to nud luriher, that all Statates th the contrary shall be void. No likelihoor that this Statute intended to take away any statute of Treason, but when in the chapter nest be fore this, Murder there is inale Ircasou, ay if done upon the king's person.
That this Statute of 18 Hen. 6, remaius on foot, and not repealed either by the statute of 8 Edw. 4, or this of 10 Il eo. 7, appears expressly by two several acts of parlimeot, unde at the same parliament of 10 Hen. 7.

By au net of purliament of Beory 6th's time in Ireloud, it was made Treason 'lot any man whatsovever, to procure a Priry-Senl, or any other command whatsoever, for apprehending any person in Ireland for Treysou done without that kingiom, apd to put any such coinmatid in execution, divers had been ataunted of Treasun for execuling such commands: There is a Treason, so madé, by act of parliament, in Henry 6uids time. In llte 3rd chnpter of this Parliament of 10 Hen. 7 , an act is passed for no other end than to repeal this Statute of Hen. 6, of Trenson.
"If this Statute of Hen, 6, of Treason, had been fommerly repealed by the Statute of 8 Pd .4 , or theń by the 22nd chapter of this Parliament of 10 Hen. 7, by bringing in the English Statutes,' the law-makers were much mistaken now to make a particular açt of pafliament to, rcpeal it, is being thtewise so unreasonable an act as it wnas.

In the sth chapter of this Parliament of 10 Hen. 7 , it is enacted, That the statut $\&$ at Kilkenny aud all other atatutes made in Ireland (two only esceptell, whereof this of 18 Hen. 6 , is none) for the common-weal, shall be enquired of, nnd executed: My lord of Straffurd snith, thatg the bringing in of the English Statute hath repealed this Statute; the aot of parlinment made the same time, soith no; it saith, that nll the Irish Statutes, excepting two, whereoß this is none, shalhatillbes in force.

Object, -4 b, but however it was iq 10 IIert

7, yet it appears by Judgment in Parliamen afterwards, that this Statute of 18 H. 6, is re pealed, and that is by the parkiement' of 11 Eliz. c. 7 ; that by this parliafient it in enaoted That if anyoman, without licence from the Lord Deputy, lay any soldiers upon the king's sabjects, if he be a peer of the realm, he shall forfeit 100 l. if under the degree of a peer, 100 marks.- This Statute, ns iss alledged, declares the penalty of laying soldiers on the subjects to be ouly 100 l . and therefore it is not Treason.

Answ.-My lords, if the offence for which this peusalty of 100 /, is laid upon the offenders, be for laying Soldiers, or leading them to do any fel offensive or invasive upon the king's people, the argument hath some force; but that the offence is not for laying soldiens upop the true subjects, that this is not the Ofende intended in the Stntute, will uppear to your lordships er absurdo, from the words of it., The words are, 'That - if any man shall asvemble the people of the ' younty together, to conclade of peace or war, Sor shall carry those pebple to do mny acts © oficnsive or invasive, then he shall forfeit ' 100l.'

If concluding of wnr, and carrying the people to acts invarive, be against the king's subjects, thus is IIIgh-Ireason, which are the words of the Statute of 25 E. 3, for if any subject shall nysemble the people, and conclude a war, and accordingly shall lead then to invade the sut: ject, this is $\eta$ levying of War within the words of the statute ; and then the statutes of the 25 F. 3, 1 II, 4, 1 of Q. Marypwhich the earl of Stralliord, in, his Answers, desires to be tryed by, are ps will repealed, in this point, as the SLitute of the 18th Hen. 6, he might then, without fear of Treagon, have done what he jleased with thy Irish army; for all the statutes of levying of War by this, statnte of 11 Eliz. werc tahen out of his way.

In Ireland a sulject gathers Forces, roncludes a war against the E'ing's people, actually invades them: bluodfliees, burning of houses, clepredations, ensue; tivo of those, that is, murder and buraing of houses, are Treason; sud there the other Felony by the constructiou, the punishment 'of Treason and Felony is turned only into a fine of 100l.; from loss of life; lands, and all his goods, only to loss of - part ot his goods.

The third absurdity, a War is concladed, three severil inrodids are pade agon the suliect; in the first 1001 . damage; fit the second, $5,000 \mathrm{l}$, damnge; in the third, $10,000 \mathrm{l}$. damake, is done to the sulbjects; thig penalty for the last incuid is uquyre than for the first, only 100l. This statute, by this construction, tell's any man, how to get bis living without long labour.
Two parts of the 100l. is piven to the ling. a third part unto the Informer; Here is, no - damuge to the'suliject, that is robbed and destroyted.

My Lords, The Statute win free itself and the makers from those absurdites. The meaning of tpe Statute is, That if any cafteise shall, of
his own head, conclade of peace of war aguigit, the king's enemief or rebels, or shall, upoo hia own bead, invade them without warrapt frobs the king, or lord deputy of Ireland, that thien 'he shall forfeit 1002.

The Offence is not for laying of soldiers upon' the king's peopie, but making war against the. Irish Rebels without Warrent; the offence is notin the matter, buit in, the manner, for doing a thing lawful but without mission. 1. This will sppear by the geperal scope of the Statute, all the parts being pat together.s, 2. By particular Clausés in the Statute. S. By the Condition of that ${ }^{\circ}$ Hingdom, at the time of the. making of thal Sentute .
For the 1st, The Preamble recites, That in pime of declination of justice, under pretert of defending the country and themselves, diverse preat men arrogated to thenselves regal autho rity, under the namen of Captains; that they acquired to thenselves that government, which belonged to the Crown; for preventing of this, it is enacted, That no man dwelling within the Sbire-grounds, shall thenceforth asstame, or take to himself the authority or name of a Captain, within these Shire-grounds, without let-ters-patents from the Crown, nor shall, under colour of his Captainship, make any demand of the people of any exaction, nor as a Captain, assemble the people of the Shire-grounds; nor as a Captain' shall Jead those people to do myy ncts offensive or invasive, without Warrant under the Great Seal of England, or of the Lord Depary, upou penalty, that if he do any thing contrary to that act, that then the offender shall forfeit a hundred pounds.

My Lords, The Rebels had been out ; the Courts of Justice scarce sate; for defence of the country divers usurped the place of Captains, concluded of war against the Rebols, and invaded them without Warrant: invading the Rebels without aythority, is a crime.
This appears fatther by particular clauses in the statute, none shall exercise any Captainship within the Shire-grounds, nor assemble the men of the Shire-grounds, to conclude war, or lead them to any invasion.
That that had antiently been so continued to this time, that is the Jrish, and the English pale, they within the Shire-grounds were within the English pale; aad ad fodim et legem Anglic. The Irish without the pale were enemien always, either in open act of hostility, or upon leagues and hostages given for, secoring the peace; and therefore as here in Englatid we had our Marches' . pon the frontiers in Scothand and Wates, so were there marches betiwcen the Irish and English Pale, where the inhabitants held their lands by this teaure, to defend the country againgt the Irish, as appears in the close Roll of the Tower, in the 20th Edw, 3, metmtruan 15, on the backside, and in an Irish pariament, held the 42nd Edw. 3, it is declared, That the Eogtinh pale was almost dienroyed by the Irish enemies, and that there, wds no way to prevent the danger, but only, that the owners reside upon their lande for defence, and that
abeeace sllwald be a forfeiture. This act of partiament ir a great council here was affirmed, as appears in the close Roll, the 28nd Edw. 3, membtana 20 dorso.

Afierwards, ns appears in the Statute 28 Hen. 6 , in Ireland, this hostility continued betanten the English marches hod the Irish enezaies, who by reason there was no difference between the English Marches and thers in their upparel, did daily (not being known to the Engljab) destroy the English wighin the pale: Therefore it is enacted, that every Englisb-man shall have the hair of his nppér lip for distinction sake. This hostility continusd until the 10th Hen. 7, 煦 appears by the Statulue of 10 H. 7 . and 17 th, so succespively downwards, till the making of this very Statute of 11 Elini as apf pears fully in the 9th ehap.

Nay, immediately befpre, and at the time of the making of this Statute, there was nots only entaity betwean those of the Shire-ground, that is, the Euglish and Irish pale, buc open war, and acts of hostility, as appears by bistory of no less amehority than thet Statute itself; for in the first Cbapter of that Statute, is the Attainder of Shane Oneale, who had made open war, was slain in open war; it is there declared, That he bad gotten by force, all the North of Ireland, for 190 miles in length, and aboat 100 in brearth; that he had mastered divers places mithin the Bagligh pale; when the fincme of this way by his death, innme cliately before this Statote, was spent, yet the firebrands were not all queached, for the Rebellion continued by John Fits-Gerard, called the White Knight, and Thomns Gueyerford, this appears by the Statute of the 1sth queen Eliz, in Ireland, but two years after this of the 11th queen Eliz. where they are attainted of Eligh Treason, for levging of war this 11th year, whercin this Statute was made.

So that my lords, immediately before, and at the time of the making of this Stafate, there being war betpeen those of the shiregrounds, mentioned in this Statute, and the Irish, the concluding of war, and acts offensive and invasive there mentioned, can be intended against no others, hut the Trish enemies.

Again, The words of the Statate are, ${ }^{\text {© }}$ No - Captain shatl assemble the people of the 'shire-grounds,' to conchude of peace or war; is to prejume, that those of the shire-grounds will conclade of war against themselves. Nor (with the S(atute) 'shall carry those of the shire-grounds to do fany acts invasive; by the construction which is mader on the other side, they must be carried to fight against themp selves.

Lapdy, The words are, That as Captain, none shed aswame the name, or autbority of a Captain ; of at a Captain shall gether the people together; or as a Captain lead them; the offence is fot in ther matiker, but in the megner; If the acti offensive were agnimat the ting's good subjects, thosetinit ywre under command wert punishable, wselisa the corromanders; bat in respect the nollions ksem the service to
be good in itself, being against the enenies, and that it was not for them to dispute the authority of their conmmanders, the ponalty of 100 L is teifd only upon him, that as Captain, shalk ascume this power withoyt warrant, the people commanded are not within this statute.

My Lords, The ${ }^{4}$ logic whereupon this Argument is framed, atandsthus, because thic statute of the 1tth queen Eliz, inficts a penalty of 100l. Hhd no more, upon any man, that as a Captain without warrant, ${ }^{*}$ and upon his own head, shall conclyde of, or make war against the king's enemies: Therefore the Statute of the 18 th Hen. 6 is repealed, which makes it Treason to lay soldięrs updi, or to levy wur against the king's good people.
Bat, my lords, Oiostrvation hath been made upon other words of this Statute, that is, that without Liceuce of the Deputy, these things cannof be done; this shews that the Deputy is within none of the statates.

My Lords, This Argument stands upon the same reason with the tormer, because he hath the ordering of the Army of Irekand, for the defence of the poople, and may give warrant to the officers of the army, upon eminent occasions of invasion, to resist or prosecute the enemy, because of the danger that else might ensue fiorthwith, by staying for a warrant from his majesty out of England.

My Lords, The statute of the 10th Hen. 7, c. 17, touched upon for this purpose, clears the businest in, both points; for there is dyclared, That noue ought to ruake War upon the Irish rebels, and-enemies, withoft Warrant from the Lieutenant, the forfeiture 1001 , as here the Statute is the Same with this, and might as well have been cited, for ropealing the Statute of the 18th Hen. 6, as this of the 11th queen Fliz. But if this had been insisted upon, it would have expounded the other two clear against him.
Object-My Lords, tit hatlp been further said, alchough the Statute ,be in force, nod thero be a Trcason within it, yet the parlament hath no jurisdiction, the treasons are consmittẹd in Ireland, therefore not triable here.

- Answ,-My Lords, sir John Parrot,* his predecessor, 24 Edw. was tryed in the King'tbench for Treason done in Ireland, when hé, was depuly; and Orache in, the 34 queen Bliz. adjudged here for Treason tione in lreland.

Object:-But it will be said, these Trials were after the Stgtate of the 34th Hen. 8, which enacts, thast Treasons beyond sea may be tried ia England.

Kin
Answ.-My Lords, his predecessor my lord Grayt was tried, and adjudged here in the King's Benth: that was in Trinity Term, in the ssed Hes. 8th, this was before the making of that Stentute?
Object--To this again will we say, That ite was for Treasen by the laws and statutes of Eagleod; that thity is not for any thing that is

[^56]Treasoa by the law of England, but an Irish statute.

So that the question is only, Whether your lordships here in parliament, have cognizanee of an offerece, made Trenson by an Irish atatute, in the ordinary way of judicature, without bill? for so is the present question.
For the clearing of this, 1 shall propouad two things to your lordships consjderation. Whether the rule for expounding the Irish Statute and Customs, be one snd the same in England as in Ireland? Thatebcing admitted, Whether the parliament in England have cognizance or jurisdiction of things thiere done, in respectoof the place, because the king's writ runs not there?

For the first, in respect of the pliace, the parliament here hath cognizance there. And secondly, If thè rules for expounding the Irish statutes and custons, be the same here as there, this excaption (as I humbly conceire) must fall sway.
In England there is the Common Law, the' Şututes, the Acts of Parlimenent, and Customs, peculiar to certain places, differing from the cominon laws If any question arise concerning either a custom or an act of parliament, the common law of England, the first, the primitive nud the general law, that is the rule and expositor of them, and of their several extents; it is so here, it is so in Ireland, the common law of England, is the common law of Ireland likewise; the same here' and there in all the parts of it.
It was introduced inte Ireland by king John, and nfterwards by king Heary $\dot{\text { s. }}$, by act of parliament heid in Engiand, as appears by the Prtent Rolls of the 30th Hen. 3, the first Membrana, the words are, ' Quia pro communi utilitatelterre Hiperais et unitate terrarum re-- gis, res vult, et de communi concilio regis pro${ }^{t}$ visum est, quod omues leges et consuetudines ' quar in regno Angliz tenentur, in Hibernia te' neantur, et eadem terra 'eisdem legibas subja'ceat, et per-cesdenz regatur, sicut dominus Johannes rex cum ultimo esset in Hibernia statuit et fieri mandarit, quia, \&c. Rex vult 'guod omnia brevia de communi jure gue cur'runt in Anglia, similiter currant in Hibernia, ' sub novo sigillo regis mandatum est archiepis;copis, \&cc. quod pro pace et tranquilitate ejusdem terre, per easdem leges eos regi et deduci : permittant, et eas in omnibus sequantur in ' cujus, \&cc. Teste rege appod Woodstock, de' cimo nono die Septembris.'

Here is an union of poth kipgdoms, and that by act of parliament, and the tame lawe to be asedierge as there, in omnibai.

My Lords, That nothingemight be left here for an exception, that is, That in Treasons, -3 lonies, and other capital offences- coneerning life, the Irish laws are not the same ns here, therefore it is enacted by a pafimenent beld in Englamd, in the 14th Ediv. \&, (it is pot in print aeither, but in the Parlimment Book) That the Laws conceraigg life and member thall be the tame in Ireland, es in Eoglatd.

And that 20 oxeoption gighit yf remaior; is
a parliament hold in England, the sih Bdre. 3 , it is eancted, ' Quyd una et eadem lex fiat kim 'Hibernitis quand Anglicis.' This act is eosrolied in the Patent Bolle of the 5th Edw. 9\% .Parl. membr, \&5. The Irish therefore receiving their Laws from heace, they eend their 8 ks dents at Law to the Inns of Court in England, where they receive their degroe, and of themi, and of the common-lawyers of this kingdoar, are the Judges made,

The Petiuons have been many from Ireland, to send from hence Come judges, more learned in the lawe, than those they had there. It hath been frequent is cases of difficulty there, to send sometimes to jhe parliament, sometimes to the king, by advice from the Jadges here, to send them resolutions of their doubts, Amopget many, I'll cite your lordshipe only one, because it is in a case of Treayon upon an Iriah statute, and therefore foll to this point.

By'a Statute there made the 5th Ed. 4, there is a provision made for such es upon auggestions are committed to prisos for Treason, that the party comrnitted, if he can procure 24 compurgators, shall be beiled and let out of prison.
-Two citizens of Dublin were by a grand jury presented to have committed Traston, they desired benefit of this statute, that they' might be let out of prisob, upon tender of their compurgators: the words of the Statuts of the 5th Ed. 4, in Ireland, being obscure, the Jadgee therd being not satisfied what to do, sent the Caise over to the queen, Cesired the opinion of the Judges here, which was done accortingly. The Judges bere sent. over their opinion, which.I bave out of the Book of Justice Anderson, one of the Judges consulted withal. The Judges delivered their opinion upon an Irish Statutg, in case of Treasoo.
If it be objected, That in this Case, the Judges here did not judge upon the party ; their opjnions were only ad informandam conscientipm, of the Judges in Ireland, that the Jodgrent belonged to the Jadges there. My lordo, (with submisuion) this and the other Authorities, prove, that for which they were cited, that is, that no absurdity, no failure of juatice would ensue, if this great judicatory should judge of Treason, so made by an Irisi statute.

The Common Law rules of judging upon an Irish Statute; the PJeas of the Crown for thinge of life and death, are the same here and there, this is all that yet hath been offered,

For the second point, That England hath nopower of judicatare, for thinga done in Ireland. My lords, the constant practice of all age proves the contrary. Writs of Error in Plens of the Crown, as well as in civil causes, bavein all king's reigns been brought here, even in the inferior courts of Westaningter-hall upon Jud\%ment given in the coarts of Ireland, the prictice is so frequeat, and so well koonn; tis that I almall cite none af thpm to your lerdeltips, no procedent will, I bolieve, be produbed toryours lordshipe, thate ever the onse wis tumanded buck again into Ireland, because the quentien arime upea ar Ifind Sintute, or Cultom.

Object.-But it will be said, that Writs o

- Ertor, are only upon failurd of justice in Ire land, and that suits cannot brignally' be commenced bere for things done in? reland, because the king's Writ ruus not in Ireland,

Answ,-This might be a good:Plea in the King's Bench, and inferior courts at Westmin-ster-hall; the question is, Whether it be so in Parliament? the king's Writ runs not within, the county palatine of Chester and Durham, nor within the Five Ports; neither did it in Wales, before the Union of Henry the 8th's time, after the laws of England were brought into Wales, in king. Edward the 1st's time, asuits were not originally commenced st Westminster-hall for things done in them; yet this never excluded the parlisixuent suits; for life, lands, and gooda within these jurisdictions, are determinable in parhament, as wellas in any other parts of the realm.
Ireland, as appears by the statute of the'soth Ilen. 3, before mentioned, is united to the crown of Fingland. By the Statate of the 23th Iten. $G$, in Irelaod, it is declared in these words, That Ireland is the proper dominion of Fugland, and united to the crown of Eugland, wiich crown of England is of itself, and by itself, wholly and entirely endowed with all power and authority sufficient to yield to the suljects of the same full and plenary remedy, in all debates and suits whatsoever.
By the Statute of the 23 Hen. 8 th, ch. 1,0 when the kings of EnglaAt first assumed the title of King of Ireland, it is there raacted, That Ireland still is to be held as a crown annexed and united to the Crown of England.
So that by the sase reason, from this that the king's writs run not in Ireland, it mighṭ as well be held, that the Purliament cannot originally bold plea of things done within the countypalatine of Chesten and Durbam, nor wilhin the five ports and Wales; Ireland is a paty of the realmi of England, as appears by those statutes, as well as any of them.
This is made good by constant practice in all the Parliament Rolls, from, the first to the last; there are Receivers and Tryers of Petitions appointed for Ireland; for the Irish to come so far with thetr Petitions for justice, and the Parliament not to have cognizance, when from time to time they had in the beginning of the parlinment, appointed lieceivers and Tryers of them, is a thing noo to be presumed.

An Appeal 'in Ireland, brought by William lord Vesey, against Jokn Fitz-Thomas, fur treasouable Words there apoken, before any judgment given in case there, was removed into the Parliament in England, and there the defendand sequitted, as appears is the Parliament Pleas of the 22d Edw. 1.
The, Suirs fof lands, offices, and goods originally begun bere are many, and if question grew upon mattes in fact, a Jury usually orderal to try ity-andstoe Verdict returned into the Papliament; as in the Case $\mathbf{1 0}$ ane Ballyben in the Parlienent of the S5th Pdy. 1. If a doubt arose upon a matter tryable by decerd, a writ
went to the officers, in whose custody the Record remained, to certify the record, is was in the Case of Robert Bagott the same parliament of the 35th Ed. 1, where the Writ went to the Treasurer, and barons of the Exchequer:

Sometimes they gave Judgment here in Parliament, and commanded the Jisiges there in Ireland to do execution, as io the great Case of Partitisn, between the Copartuers of the Earl Marshal in the Purliament of the S3d Ed. 1, where the Writ was awarded to the Treasure: of Ireland.

My lords, The Laws of Ireland were introduced by the Parliament of Eugland, as appears by three acts of the parlianeut before cited. It is of higher jurisdiction dare leges, than to jurge by them. The parliaments of England do bind in Irelanof, if Ireland be particularly mentioned, as is resolved in the Book Case of the 1st Hen. 7. Cook's ?th Report Calvin's Case ; a and by the Jurges in the Trinity-term, in the 38d queeu Eliz. The statute of the 8 h Id. the 4 th , ch. \& 14 Ireland, recites, Thint it was doubted annongot Judges, whether afl the 'English statutes, though not, numing Ireland, were in force there? if named, no doubt.

From king Henry the 3d, his time downwards, to the 8th queeu Bliz., by which Statuee it is made Felony to carry sheep from Ireland beyoud seas, in almost all these king's reigns, there be statutes mande conceraing Ireland, The exercism; of the legislative power there, over their lives and cstates, is blgher then of the judicial in qupation: Until the 29th Edy. 3, erroneous judgonents given is Ireland, were determinalie no where but in England : no, not in the parliament of Ireland, As it appears in the close tolls in the Towter, in the 29ih Edw. 3, memb. 12, power to chamine and reverse errooeous Judgments in the parliaments of Ireland is granted from heace. Wits of Error lie in the jarliament here opon erroucous jodgments, alter that time, given in the parliaments of lrelpig, as appears in the Parlament flolls, of the 8th Hen. 6, no. 70, in the Case of the Prior of Leathan. It is tuue, the Case is not determined there, for it is the last thing that came into the parlianjent, and cquld not be deternined for want of time, but no exception at all is taken to the jurisdiction.
The acts of parliannent made in Ireland,', rave been confirmed in the parliaments of Lingand, as appears by the close Rolls in the Lowèr, in tho $4 . \mathrm{d}$ Ydw. 3, memb. 20 , dorso? apere the parliament in keland, for the preservation of the Country' from Irish, who had glmost destroyed it, made an act, Thy g!t tho land owners, that were English, shows reside uppn their lande, or else they were to be forfeited, this was here confinned.
In the Parliameht of the 4th Hen, 5, ch. h, acts of parlizanent in Ireland are confirmed; nd une privileges of the peers in the parlianents there are regulated.
Power, tof repeal lrish statates, power tig conmin them, cannot de by the parliament liere, if it hath not cognizance of wheir parliaments:
ualess it be said, that the parlimment may do it knows, not what.

Guernsey and Jitsey are under the king's subjection, but are not parcels of the crown of Eugland, byt of the duchy of Normiandy, they are not governed by the jaws of England, ns Ireland is, and yet parliancuta in England have usually held plea of und determined all Causes concerning Lands of Gouds. In the Parliment, in the 33 Edw. 1, there be 'Pla'cita de insula Jersey.' Apd sojin the Parliament, 14 Edw. 2, and-so for Normandy and Gascoigue, and always as long as any part of France was in subjectidn to the, crown of England, there were at the heginning of the perliaments, Heceivers and Tryers of Petitions, for those parts, appointed,- I Believe your lordships will have no case shewede of any Plea, to the jurisdiction of the parliaments of England, in any things. done in uny parts wheresoever in suljection to the crown of England.

The last thing I shell oftier to your lordhips, is, the Case of 19. Eliz in my lord Dyer, 300 , and judge 'Crompton's Book, of the jurisdiction of Cuyrts, fol. 93. The opinign of both these Books is, That an Irish peer is not tryaWle here, it is true, a Scotch or French nobleman is tryable here, as a common person; the law takes notice of their nobility, because those countries are not governed by the laws of England; but Ireland being governed by the same laws, the peers there are tryable according to the law of England obly, per pares.-By tho same reason, the earl of Strufford, not being a peur of Ireland, is not tryabletyy the peers of Irelaud; sq that if he be not tryable here, he is tryable no where. - My lords, In case there be a Treasap and a Trasor within the statute, and that le, be not tryable here for it, in the ordinary way of judicatare, if that jurisdiction fail, this by way of Bill doth not: Attainders of Treason in parliament, are as legal, as usual by act of parliameni, as by jodyment.

I have now done wifh the Statutes 25 Edw. S, and 18 IIcni. 6. My Brdl of Stradord hath olfended against *both the kiogdons, and is guilty of IIgh Treason by the laws of both.

- My Lords, In the Fifth place, I am come to the Treasons at the Common-Law, the endenvouring to subvert the fundamental Laws appt Sqverument of the kingdom, and to introduce 'an Arbitrary and Tyrannical government. In this I shall not at all labour to prove, That the endeavoaring by words, counsels, and actions, to subvert the laws, j9 Treason at the commonlam, if there be any common-lyw Tieason at all left; nothing is treason, if this be not, to grake a kingdörpho kingdoun; take the polity aud government away, England's but \& piece of earth, wherein so many men have their comsoorancy and nioode, without ranks or distinctuos of men, without property in, any'thing fyrther than possession; no law to punish the unurtheringgor - robbing one another.

That of 35 Hen . 8, of introducing the Imperial haw, sticks not with your lordsbips; it was in cuse of an Appeal to Rlompt there Ap-
peals in cases of Marriages, aid other causet coapted ecclesiastic 1 , had been frequent, had. in mox kigg's reing be been tolerated; 'sompe is times of Popery put a conscience upou them.; the Statutes had limuted the penalty to a Pras: munire only, theither was that a total subversion, only an appeal from the ecclesiastical court here in a single comase, to the cuart-of Itame. ; and if tregspa or not, that case proves not, a treason may be putishied as a felony; a felony - a treypuss, if bis majesty so please; thu greater includes the less in the case of I'riaymo: nire ; in the Irish Reparts, that, which is thera declared to be deason, was pruceeded upun only as a Pranjurire.

The thing most considerable in this is, , Whether the Trensons at commou-haw, nre ta-! Men awny by the statute of $25 \mathrm{Fd} . \mathrm{S}_{\text {, which is: }}$ is to spenk against both the dirtct words and scope of that Statute. In it there is this Clause,' 'That because many other like Cusef of Thesson might fallfout, which are upt there? declared ; therefore it is enacted, That if any such case come before the Judges, they shallnot proceed to judgment, till the Case be declared in parliament, whether it ought to he adjadged Tréuson or not.' These words, and the whole scope of that statute, shews, that it was not the meaning to take away any Treasons that were so before, but only to regulate the jurisdiction and manner of trial. Those that were single and certyin acts, as conspiring the king's death, leyging war, counterfeiting the money, or great seal, killing a judge; these are left to the ordiuary courts of justice : The:' others not depcuding upon single Acts, but upon constructions und necessary inferences, they thought it not fit to give the inferior courts so grent a latitude here, as too dangerous to the subject, those they restraned to the parlin-ment.-This Sturute was the great security of the Subjects, made with such wisdom, as all the sutceeding ages have approved it; it habls often passed through the furnace, but like gold, hath left little or nothing.

The Statate of 1 H .4 , c. 10 , is in these words, "Whereas in"the prurliament held the ' 21st year of R. \&, divers pains of Treason - were ordained, insomuch that no mon'did6 know how to behave himself, to do, say, or, s speak: It is accorded that in to time to 'come, any Trenson be adjudged otherwise, 'thari it was ordained by the statute of . 25 th "Fdw. S.' It hath been said, To what end is this Statute made, if it takes not away the. common-law Treasons reataising after ther, statute of $25 \mathrm{Ed} .9 . ?$

There be two main things which thip statute doth; 1. It takes away for the future all the Treasons, made by any statute since $95 \mathrm{Ed} .3_{y}$ : to $1 \mathrm{H}, 4$, evep to thatetime; fos in respecty that by another act in that parliament, thestar? tute of 21 R. \& , was repealed, it will not-bidenied, but that this statute repeuls alforesremsons than these of 21 R. \&. It repedarall tute-treasong but those in $95 \mathrm{Bdw}, 8 .-2$. It not ools takes gway the Statyldi-Treasons, anow
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likewise the deciared Treasons in parlisment, after 25 Edw . 3, as to the fihure, after declaration in piarliament, the infenor courts might judge these Treasons; for the decharation of a treason in parliament after it was mume, was sent to the inferior courts, that dities quoties the like case fell out, they might proceed therein, the sabject for the fature was secured against these ; so that this Stutute was of great use.

Bat by the very words of it, I shall refer all Treasons to the provision of $25 \mathrm{Ed}, \mathrm{s}$, it leaves that entire, and upon the old bottom. The Statute of 1 Mar. \&. 1, saith, ${ }^{\text {Th That no offences }}$ made Treason by any net of parliament, shall thenceforth be taken or adjudged to be treason, but only such as be declared and expressed to be'treason by the statute of 25 Edvr . 3, ' Con ' cerning Treason, or the Declaration of Trea'son,' and no others: And further provides, 'That no pains of death, penalties, or Yorfei' ture in any wise shall ensue, for comrnitting ' any Treason, other thinn such as be in the ${ }^{6}$ statute of 25 Edw .3 , ordained and provided, 6 any act of parliument, or any declaration, or ' matter to the contrary, in any wise notwith'standing.

By the first of this Statute, only offences made treason by act of parliament, are taken away, the cominen-law-treasons ure no ways touched, the nords 'and no others,' refer grill to offences made Treason by act of parliament; they restrain not to the treasons only, particularly mentioned in the statute in os Edw. S, but leave that Stutute entire to the Common-Lasv-Treason, as nppears by the words imme diately foregoing.

By the Second Part, for the pains and forfeitures of Treasons, if it intend only the punishment of Treason, or if it intend both Treason and Punishment, yet all is referred to the Provision and Ordinance of 25 Edw .3 , any act of partiament, or other declaratipn, or thing, notwithstanding.

It saith not, other than such Penalties or Treasons, as are expressed and declared in the Statate of 95 Edw. 3, thatt might perhaps have restrained it to those that are particularly mentioned, no, it refers all Treasons to the general ordination and provision of that statate, wherein the Common-L्टaw-Tretsons are expressly kept on foot.

If it be asked, What good this Statute doth, if it rake net awny the Common-Law-Treasoins ? -1 . It takes away all the Treasons made by act of parliament, not onily since 1 Hen; 4, which were many, but all before 1 Hen. 4, even unt:1 25 E. 3, by express words. 9. By express words, it cakes away all déelared Treasons, if any such had been in parliament; those for the future are likewisp taken awhy; so that wherrai. it might have been doubted, wheiher thie staturte of 1 II. 4, took away any Treasons ${ }_{5}^{5}$ but those of the 22nd and 23 rd years of R . $9 \mathrm{~S}^{\circ}$ This cleara it, both for Trtasons anade by parlianemet, or declared in parlismefit, even to the time of anaking the statate.

This is of great use, of great secority to the Subject; so that, as to what shatl be Treason, and what not, the Statute of ?s Ed. 5 , remains entire, and so by consequence the Treasons at the common-lav.

Only, my lords, it may be doubted, whether the manner of the parliamentary proceedings, be not altered by ${ }^{*}$ the Statute of 1 H. 4, c. 17 , and mbre fully in the Parliament-Roll, n. 144, that is, whether since that statute the parliamentery power of Decharation of Treasons, whereby the inferime courtsreceive jorisdiction, be not taken away and restrnined only to Bill, that so it might operate no further, than to that particular contained in the Bill, that so the Parliamentary Declaratiphts for nfter-times, should be kept within the parliinent itself, and be estended no fürther: Since i H. 4, we have not found any such declarations made, hut all Attainders of Treason have been hy Bill?
$v$ If this be 30 , yet the Commen-Law Treasons "still remaining, there is one and the same ground of reason asd equity since $1 \mathrm{H}, 4$, for passing a Bill of Trenson, as was before, for declaring of it without bill,-Herein the legislative power is not used agninst my lord of Straf ford in the Bill, it is only the jurisciction of the parliament.

But, my lords, because that either through my mistaking of the true grounds and reasons of the Comminons, or my not pressing them with apt arguments, and precedents of former times, or that perchanco your lefdships, from some other reasons and authorities, inore swaying with your lordships judging̣ts, than these from them, may possibly be of a contrary or dubious opinion, concerning these Treasons, either upon the statates of 25 E. 3 , and 18 H . 6, or at the common-law.
My lords, If all these five 'should fail, they have therefure given me further in command, to declare to your lordships some of their Reasons, why they concerive that in this case, the mere legislative power isay be exercised.
Their Reasons are taken from these three grounds; 1. Fimm the Nature nud Quality of the Offence. 2. From the Frame and Constitution of the Parliament, wherein this law is made. 3. From Practices and Usages of former times.
The horridness of the Offence, in endeavourc', ing the overthrowing the laws and present government, hath been fally opened to your lordshipa leretwiore.
The Parlisment is the Rapresentation of the whole kingdoms, wherein the King as heíd, your Jordships 'as the most noble, and the Commons the other members, are Buitt together into oue bady politic; this dissolves the fitteries and ligaments that hold the body together, the Jaws; he that takes away the laws, takes not awny the nifegiance of one subject thlone, but of the whole Kingdom.
It was hasde. Treason by the strutute of $13^{*}$ Blik, for her time, to affirm, that the Laws of the reain do bof bind the descent, of the crown ; holint, no descent at all.

No laws, no pterage; no ranks or degrees of dren; the same condition to all.
It is reason uftkil a Jadge upon the bench; this kills nut Judicem, sed Justicium; He that borrowed Apelles, and gave bond to retura again Apelfes the peinter, seat him honte, after he had cut off bis right tand; bis bood waa broken ; Apelles was sent, but not the painter. There are 18 men, but uo law ; thère is never a Judge amougst them.
It is Felony to emberzle pay ope of the Judicial Records of the kingdom; this at once aweeps them all away, and from will.
It is Treason to counterfeit a 20 s. piecg; here is a counterfeiting of the law; we can call neither the counterieit, nor true coin, our own.

It is Treason to'counterfieit the Great-Seal for an acre of land; no property hereby is left to any land at all; nothing Treason now, either against king or kingdom; no law to punish it.
My Lords, If the quegtion were asked at *Westininster-hall,' Whether this were a crime puaishable in Star Chamber or in the King's Bencli, by fine, or inprisomnent? they would say it weat higher: If mhether Felony? they would say, that is for an offence only against the life, of goods of some one or few persoas? It would, I believe, be answered by the Judges, as it was by the Chici Justice Thurning in 21 ll . 8, that thongh he could not judge the cuse 'Treason there bofore him, yet if he were a peer in parliament he would so adjudge it.-My Lords, If fit be too big for those Courts, we hope it is in the right way here.
2. The second consideration is from the Frame and Constitutipn of the Parliament; the Parlaqent is the great body politic, it coraprehends all, from che ling to the beggar; if so, my lords, as the natural, so this body, it hath power over itself, and every que of the members, for the preservation of thę whole; It's both the physician aod the patient; If the body be distempered, it Hath power to open a vèn, to let out the corrupt lood for caring itself; if one member be poisoned or gangrened, it hath power to cut it off for the preservation of the rest.

But, my lords, it hath often beea inculcated, that Law makers should imitate the Supreme - Law-giver, who commonly warns before he strikes. The law, was promulged before the judgraent of death for gatheringthe sticks. No law, no transgressign.

My lords, To this rule of lav is ' Frustra legis ' auxiliunniuvocat, qui in legen committit,' from the Les.atalionus; be that would not have bed others to have a law, Why should he have any himself? Why should not that be done to himh, that himself would have done to others?

- It is true, we give law to Hyres and Deens, because they be beasts of chreed; It was neyer, accounted either cruelty or foul pley, to krock foxes sud wolves on the head, mas they can be found, becaure these be begats of prey. The verreder acta trape for polecats ald othes ver-
min, for preservation of the warren. . Further, my lords, most dangerous diseaves, if not thenem. in time, they kill; Errors, in great things, ass way and marriage, they allow no time for ropentance; it would have been too late to make.a law, when there had been no law.

My lorde, for further Answer to this Objeetion, he hath offended against a law, a law within the endegvouring to sabvert the lews and polity of the state wherein tre liyed, which had so poug, nad with such faithfuluess protected hit ancestry, himself, und his whole tamily: It wap not malum quia prohibitum, it was málum in aty, agajast the dictates of the duliest conscience, against the light of nature, they not having a law, were a Ifw to themselves. Besides thit, be knew a law without, that the Parliament, in tases of this nature, had potetatem vite et hocis. Nay, he well know, that leg offended the promulged and oidinary rules of law. Crimes againtt law have been proved, have been confessed, so that the question is not de culpa, sed de penu, What degree of punishment those faults deserve? We mast differ from him in opinion, That 20 Felopies cpunot rake a Treason; if it be meant of equality in the use of the legiolative power'; fur be that deserves denth for one of these Felonies alone, desserves a death more painful, and more ignominious for all to gether.

Every Felony is puaished with loss of life, lands, and goods; a Felony may be aggravated with those circumstances, as that the parliament with gotd reason may add to the circumatances of punishment, as was done in the case of Jolan Hall,* in the parliament of the 1 H. 4, who, for a barbarous murder, conmitted opon the duke of Gloucester, stifling him between two feather beds at Calais, was adjuiged to be hanged, drawn, and quartered.

Batteries by lave are only.ponishable by fine, and single damages to the party wounded. In the Parliament Geld to 1 H .4 , cap. 6, one Ser vage comfnitted a battery upon one Chedder, servant to sir Jolin Brooke, a knight of the pacliament for Somersetshire. It is there enacted, that be shall pay dbuble damages, and stand convicted, if he render not himself by such a time. Tbe manner of proceedings,quickened, and the penalty doubled; the ciecumstances were considered, it concerned the commonwealth, it was a battury with breach ef privilefe of parliament.
This made a perpetoal act: - no wiarning to the first offender: and in the King's Beuch, as apo peazs by the Book Case of 9 H. 4, the firme loaf, double daunapes were recovered.
My lords, in this of the Bill, the offence is bigh and geoeral, againat the king and the commonwealth, aquiast all, and the best of all. If every Felony be lows of life, lands, and goadt: What is misuser of the legislative power, yy ach dition of ignouning, in the denth und disposal of the leads to the crown, the public, patrimony of the kingdom 1 . But it was hoped, that Your

- See vel. 1, p. 161.
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lordships had no more shill in the art of killing men, than your worthy andestors. My lords, this Appeal from you.selves to your'ancestors we do admit of, alihough we do not admit of that from your lordslips to the peers of Ireland.,

He hath appealed to them: yoffr lordships will be pleased to hear, what Judgment they have already given in the case, that is, the severnl Attuanders of Treasun in parliapent; after the Statute of 25 . E. '3, for Treasons not mentioned, nor within that Statute, and those apon the first offenders withort warning given.-By the Statute of 25 E. 3, it is Treason to levy war against the king; Gomings and Weqtou afterwards in parlisment, in the 1st 1. 2, n. 58 , 39, adjudged Traitors for surrendering two several casties in Frnuce, only out of fear, withouf any compliance with the enemy; this not witha in the statute of 25 E . S.
My Lords, in the 3rd of Rich. 2, John Imperigll that came into England upon Letters of Safe Condact, ns an ngent for the state of Genoa, sitting in the eveuitg before his door, in Bread-gitreet, (as the words of the lecords are) - Paulo añte ignitegiam;'John Kirkby, and another citizen, coming that why, cusually Kirkby trod upen his toc, it being twilight, this grew to a quarrel, and the ambaisarlor was slain; Kirkby was indicted of High Treason, the Indictment fiuds all this, and that it was only done se defendendo, and without nalice.
The Judges, it being out of the Statute of 25 E. 3, could not proceed $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{k}}$ the Parliament declared it Trearm, and Judginent aftertarils of High Treason: there is nothing can bring this within the statue of 25 E. 3, but it concerns the honour of the nation, that the public faith should be strictly kept: It might endanger the traffic of the kingdon; they made not a'law first, they made the first man an exnunple; this is in the Parliament Koll 312. 2, n. 18, aud IIilary Term, 3 R. 9, rot. 51, in the King's-Befich, where Jadgment is given uigainst tim.
In 11 R. 2, Tresilian, and some bthers, attainted of 'Treason for delivering opinious in the subversion of the law, and some others for plotting the like. My lords,'the Case hath upon another occasion been opened to your lordships; only this is observable, that in the parlisment of the 1st of Hen. 4, where all Treasons are agsin. reduced to the Statute of 25 E. 3, these Attainders were by a particular act coufirmed and made good, that thic memory thereof might be transmitted to succeeding ayes, they stand good unto this day; the offences there as here, were the endeavourfing the subversion of the laws.

My Lords, nfter the 1 H. 4, sir Jobn Mortimer being committed to the Tower, upon suspicion of Treason, brake prison, and made his escape; this no way within any gtatute, or any foraper judginent at common-law fir this, that is, for breaking the prison ouly, and no other cause; in ${ }^{4}$ the parliament held the 2 Hen. 6 , he wafnttainted of High-Treason by Bill." •

[^57]My lords, Poisoning is only'murder, yet one Richard Cooke having put poison into a pot of pottage in the kitchen of the bistoop of Rochester, whereof two persons died, be is attainted of Treason, arid it was enacted, that he should ba boiled to death by the statute of $9 d \mathrm{H} .8$, c. 9. By the Statute of the 95 I. 8, Elizabeth Burton the Holy Maid of 4 Kent, for pretending Revela tions from God, That God was highly displensed with the ling, for being divorced from the lady Catharine, and that in case he persisted in the separation, and shouldmarry another, that he would not continue king not above one mputh after, because this tended to the depriving of the lawful succession to the crown, she is attajnted of Treason.
My lords, all these Aitainders, for ought I know, are in forct at this day: The statutce of 1 Hen. 4, and 1 queen Mary, although they were willing to make the Statote of $25^{\circ}$ E. 3, the rule to the Inferior Courts, yet they left the Attaidders in Parliunent, precedent to themselves, untoucht, wherein the legislative power had been exercised. There is nothing in thefh whence it can be gathered, hut that they intendeal to leave it as free for the future.
My lords, In all these Attainders, there were Crimes and Offences ngaiust the law; they wought it not unjust (circumstances considered) to heighten and add to the degrees of punishment, and that upon the first offender. My lords, we receive, as just, the other laws and statutes made by these our ancestors, thoy are the rules we go by in other cases, Why should ne differ from-them in this sulune? These, fly lurds, are in part those things, Which have satisfied the Commons in passing the Bill, it is now left to the judguent andjestice of your lordn ships.

Upon the close of Mr. St. John's Speech the house adjourned, p pr was there one word spoken but by master St. Jopn, only the Lord Lieutenant used the last part of his rhetorick, and by a dumb ellaquence; "manibus ad sider a tensis,' often holding up bis hands towards Heaven, all along Mr. St. John's Speech, made his reples with a deep silence.

> April so.
"On Friday, the Earl petitioned the Lords to be heard aguin, alledging, That his lawyers bad, not fully spoken at their last meeting, bot this was denied hin, because the House of Commone wepe tontave the last Speech, nor were Ciey content to speak agnit.

The KING's SPEECEI to the Comaross.
Upon this information, or what else is not known, the King (it seems, fearing the inconstancy of the Lords) came to the bouse on Satorday, May 1, at teu of the clock, and having called for the House of Commons, spake much to this effect;
${ }^{4}$ That he had sincerely, without affection or ${ }^{*}$ 'partiality endeavoured to inform himself' con' cerning the Lienteaant's Charge ; and lad, at - length, soliouery pondered with himedlf, both

* conceraing the Matter of Pact, and the Mat-
- ter of,Law : and now it stood him in hand to
'clear their judguents, than to exonerite bis
' owin conscience. For them, be bad two
- things to declare:
' First, That there was vever such a project,
' nor had the lord Straffiord ever offered such
- Advice, for the transporting of thẹ Irish Arny
- into England; so that in pothing the Eieute-
- nant had been moro misunderstood than in
'that : which imputation did in ne sunat mea-
' sure reflect on hionelf (the King) as if be had
- intended to make war upon his own Sabjects;
t which thought (he-said) was far enough from
' his breast, ne- could any man in probability
- think so unworthity of him, who had perceived
© how graciously he had dealt with his subjects
- elsewhere, that had deserved a great deal

4 worye.

- Secondly, That the Lieutenant had never
' advised him to establish an Arbitrary Govern-
${ }^{4}$ ment; ; uor, if be had, should he have eicaped
tondign puaisliment: mor would any of his
Fgood subjects ever thmk otherwise, unless
' they concejved him either to be a. Fool or a
- Tyrant; that he either could noi, or would
- not discern such wickedvess. He was well
- content, he said, with that Authority and
- Power which God had put into his hauls; nor
- should he ever think it his Prerogative, to in-
- trude upon the propriety of the salject.
' For himself, and his own conscience, be ' snid, he was now to declare, That, in his
' own judgneat, there was yothyg in the-Pro-
"cess against the'Lieutenant thit deserved the
' censure of 'Treason. Oyersights and Misde-
${ }^{4}$ meandrs there were, in such a measure, that
- he confessed the lood Strafford was never wor-
c thy larcafter to bear any uffice in his king-
- doms, no, not so much as of a Constable;

4 but was to be answerable for all his errors,
6 when they were to be chaiged upon him; and
t to this none of them should concur with
'greater alaçity than,himself. That, he boped ' wone of them would deny to give hinn the pri' vilege of the first voice, which was, That he

- would never, in beart nor hand, coucur with
-thein to punish this nan as a Traitor; and
'desired therefore, that they would think of
- some other way liow the basiness mightibe * composed: nor should it ever be less dear to
' him (though with the loss of bis dearest blood) f to protect the infipocent, than to punish the ' guilty:'

[^58]Upon Saturday the Bill againit "the lorrd Strafford pased tae lords; there were 45. piescnt, of which 19 voiced for him, and 26 against him. Thy greatest part of his friends absented themselves, ppon pretence (whether true or supposititious) that they feare the mpltitude;
endeavoured to quicken thep, he bertspeded the king 'to go to the house of peer thind ac'conding to custom io send for chid tobse of 'commons, and then to' declare Whitidf That - he could not, with the wefely of a good con'science, ever give his congent'to the Bill that ' was there depending beforo \% bit eoncerning 'the earl of Straftiond, if it should he brought d to him, because he was not satidfied ia the. " point of Treason: but he was so folly satiefied 'that the Earl was unfit éver to sefve him ' more, in any condition of employmion, that 'he would join with them in any' act, to makd 'him utterly incapable of ever bearing office, ' or having any other employwent in any of his ' majesty's domiuions; which he haped would +satisfy them.'-This arvice, upon the ocmfidence of the giver, the King resolved to follow. But when his resolution was inparted to the Earl, he immedintely sent his brother to him, besceching his majesty 'by no means to také 'that way, for that be wns most assured it swould prove very pernicions to him; and t therefore desired, he Alight depend upon the 'honoyr and conscience of the peers, withou 'his majesty's interposition.' The king toll his brother, ' that he har taken tbat, Resolu; 'tion by the advice of his best friends; bul "since 'he liked it not, he would decline it. Thẹ next morning the lord Say came again to him, and finding his majesty altered in his intention, told him, ' If he thok that course ho ' adjised him, he was suro it nould pretrail; 'butif he declined it, be could not promise $\frac{1}{6}$ ( ' majesty what vould be the issue, and sholid 'Loild himself absolutely disengaged from' any ' undertaking.' The king observing his positive ness, and conceiving his intentions to be verf sincere, suffered bimself to be guided by bim and went immediately to the house, and sait? as the other had advised. Whether that lond did in truth believe the discovery' of his mes jesty's conscience in, that manner, would pros duce the effect he foretold; or whether he aut. vised it treacherously, to bring on those income veniences which afterwards happened, I kubti. not: but many who believed his, will $s$ so : be much worse thao his understanding, fied the uncharitableness to think, that he inteaded to betray his master, and to put the rain of the Earl out of question.-The evept proved very fatal ; for thetking no sooner retarned from tho house, than the Houpe of Commons, in greak passion and fury, declared this last act of hin ingiesty's, to be 'the most unparalleted breach ' of privilege, that had ever happened; that if 4 his majesty might take notice wht bills wers 'passing in either house, and declare his own ' opinion, it was to forejodge their coumala,
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## otherwina'his steffruges bed more than counterginind "ife-voters for his deatb.

May 9.
fonging gll the day-the king-wy resolute

 trange to him that the man could not dia, unfon fe, and he only, by giving Serteesee, the Eing's legalative Way, shonld condenin him,

Sind they allould pqt ty able to sapply the
${ }^{5}$ Commonweath pith wholectome laws, suitable
the the diseanet it taboured tunder; That tbi

- Wead the ginentes. obsuruction of Justicu, Lbat
copult be jiminined; That they and whotoever
- hed taken the late protestation, were bound

To maintaia the privileges of parlisment, which
'were now too grosaly invaded and violated:"
wityripany dharp discourses to that purpose.'
Ferd Clarendon.

- Lord Clarendon, in his History of the Re-
beltion, (rol. 1. p. \$57, Oxford 8 vo ed. 1717)
enys, "That when the Privy Council pressed
the King to pass the Bill of Attainder against
tha Earl of Strafford, saying, 'there was no
- other way to preserve himseff and lis posterity
t than by so doing, and tberefore that be ought
to be more tander of the asfety of the kingdum 'than of any oae person how innecent noever? tive majesty told them, 'That what had been (proposed to him to do, was directly contrary to his conscience, and that being so, he was I sure they would not persuade him to it, though
'themselves were never so well satisfied.' 'To that point they desired hing 'to confer with his - Bishops, who they doubted not would better 0 inform his conscience.' The Archbishop of York [Williams] was at hand; who, to his argument of couscience, told him, That 'there was a P Private and a Public conscience; that his puplic conscience as a king might not ônly - lispeuse with, put oblige hipp to do that which P yat aguiast his private conscience as a man ; * and that the question was not, Whether he " should save the Earl of Strafford, but whether Che should perish with him ; that the conI acience of a king to preserve his kingdom, the
acquacience of a hushand to preserve his wife,
- thie conscience of a father to preserve his chill
- dren, (all which were epw in danger) weighed
- doma sbundantly ofl tie considerations the
- conccienoe of a master of a friend could sys-
- geat to him for the preservatige of a friend or I servant.' Agd by such unprelatical, ignouninipys arguments, in plain terms advised him ${ }^{4}$ even
'Iot conscience sale to.pass that Act' 'Tbough this bighop acted bis part with more prodigious boldriene and impiety, others of the same fupesinn (for mhowe learaing and aiperity the king nod, the woild had greater roverence) did not chat might bey, been expected from their callige ary their trast, bus at least forbose men cortify and confirm a cogacience, upon the eonrager and piety of which the security of their geryons, and their otder, did abeolutely under Ogd depaed,"


## The EARL's LETTER to the Kimo.

Thiegsape day, the King received the following Latter from the Earl :
' Is bath been my greatest grief in all my 'troables, ta be taken as a person that should ' endeavour to present, and set things amiss ' between your minjesty and your people, and ' to have given counsel tending to the disquiet ' of ypar majesty aod your tbree kingdoms.

- Siont true, it is, that such an ntteunpt (my ' private condition coasidened) had been a great ' madness, seeing, through your gracious favour, ' I was so provided, as I could not expect in ' any kind to mead my fortune, or to plense my ' mind, more than by restingowhere your bounte' ous hand had placed me; nay; the business is ' most mightily nfistaken; for unto your ma' jesty it is well known, that my poor mid hum' ble advices concluded still in this, That your ' majesty should never be happy, fill there were ' a right understanding procured betwixt you ' and them: No othy means to effect and seftle this happiness, but by the counsel and aso sent of the parliarpent; and no, way to pre' vent the 'growing evils of this state, but by ' putting yourself entirely upon the loyalty and ' good affection of your sabjects.
' Yet (such is my misformane) the truth finds - little credit, the contrary (it seems) generally believed, and myself repated the cause of this great separation betwixt you and your people:
' Under a heavier censure than this, I am per-
' suaded no gentecman can suffer; and sow I understand, that the minds of men are the ' more incensed against me, hotwithstanding ' your majesty hath declared, That in your ' princely opinion I am not guilty of Treason, ' nor are you satisfied in conscieace to pass the ' Bill. This brings me into \& great streight. Here is before me the ruin of my children and - family, bitberto untouched in all the branches
- of it with any foul, crime. Here are before
' me the many evils which may befal your sa' cred person, and the whole kingdom, should ' yourself and the parliagaett be less satisfied the one with the other than in necessary for the king asd people. Here are before me the 'things most valued, most feared by mortal 'iticn, life and death: To say, Sir, there hath ' not been a conflict within me about thest 'things, were to make mayself less man than* - (God kpowa) my infirmitiegwill give me leave; ' and to call edestruction upon myself and my 'youpg children, where the intentions (at least) "of ray heant hage been innocent of this great ' offence, (may be believed) would find no easy - consent frop flesh and blood.
${ }^{4}$ But, out of spuch andgess, I am come to a Yenolution of that which I tuke to be best be' coming mie, that is, To look upon that which 'is priacipalts to be coasidered in itself, and I itmt is, doubbless, the prosperity of your sacred - Perion und the Commonwealth, infinitely $\varphi^{\circ}$ be prefernd hefore any man's private interest: -Aad thereforc, in few words, as I have put
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- Iny peent, and so clearly as I wish your nin-
"jesty bad been, pleased to have spared that
- Declaration of yours on Saturday last, and to

Ghave left me inurely to their lardabips; so
"now, (to wet your majesty's conscience at
Tliberty) I do most humbly beseech you, for
'the preventing of such mischiefs as many hap-
'pen by your Refasal to pasis the Bill, by this
'ineans to remove (praised be God I Eaunot
'say this aocursed, but I confess) uhis unfor-
' tunafe thing forth of, the way towards that

- blessed agreement? which God, I trust, shall
- for ever establish beţwixt you and your sub'jects. Sir, toy consent herein shafl acquit you 'more to God than all the world can do be'side. To n willing snan there is no injary 'done; and, aq by flod's graç, I forgive all the 'world, with a culmness ind meekness of infi${ }^{4}$ nite. contentment ts my dislodging soul, so, 'sir, I can give the life of this world with all ' chearfalness manginafile, in the just acknow${ }^{4}$ ledgments of your exceeding favours, and only fbeg, that in your goodnet you woold vouch-
'snfe to cast your gracious regard upon my poor
' son und hisahree sisters, lees or mare, and no
' otherwise, than their unfiotunate father shall
${ }^{6}$ appear inore or less guiley of thas denth. (iod
'preserve your majesty, Your majeuty's most
- humble, and fuithfid sulject and servant.

Tower, May 9, 16.11.
'straptord." ${ }^{\prime \prime}$

[^59] dace the hing to ancufice 'uafford. He tells us, that Striafford suid sof to his son, the mght before his, execution. But there are sone reasons why I adhcie to the common way of telling this story: 1 . The account of the forgery comes tbrough several hanils, and from men of characters not fully hnown to the pablic: a circumstance which wealoens, every evidence. It is a hearsay of a healray. 2. It seems impossible, but young lord Stafford must mform the hing, who would nut have fuled to trace the forgery, and expose hib enemies to their merited rafany. 3. It is not to be concesved butt Çlarendon and Whitlock, not to mention otheis, , must have heard of the matter. 4. Sir George Ratchife, in his Life of Strafford, tells the story the same way thata Clarendon and Whitlock do. Would he also, who wns Starfford's intimate friend, never have heard of the forgery? It 'ls remarkable, that tidis Liff is dedicated or addiessed to young Staaflorit, would not he lave putsur George right in so material and interesting a fact $i$ "-That this Letter was a foygery is also stated, and miferred from argiments, in Carte's History, but there no authoHity is given for the assertion than lord Scrafford told his son be had nut written such a letuer. When told by secretary Carleton, that the king had signed the commission for passitg the Bill of Attajinder, surafford rose frum his chair with marku of astonishment and hotror, and raising
 wrestied pirn bregthlers, wif least wifluy) manes; being wercombe with sadt inepownt itaportanities, he yjelded, And afohat tink the clock af nidek the Kints photinited to the both the Ehas the pext wiongais With wit
 for his Equcution:

## The Eheti' PETITTON to the Phang:

 majesty had pased the Bul, 保 hambiv. Webi thon the house of peers : viz.
'seeing it is the good with and plowinfy
 'pmy that duty which we all owe to bet hinif ${ }^{\circ}$ nature; he shall in all Christian p putitute " ind charity, conform and submit himitelf io 'your justice, in a eqnefortable masuranen of - the great hope laid up for-us,' th the merey ' and merits of out Ssvour blessed for' 'ever. - Ouly he hambly craves to return your lord'ships most humble thanks for your nohle 'compassion towards those inubcert childrets" 'whonu now with' lis last blessing, he mand 'conmit to ahe protection of Almonlty Got, ' lascecling your lordhups to firish bis pintris ' rutentuons towards them, and desiring that the 'reward thereof may be fulfilied in you, by * Hin that is able to give above all we are nble 'to ask or thank; Wherem I trust the bonoursalle Ilouse of Coplloons will afford their - Christan assictarte.-And so beseeching 'your lordships charitably to forgive all his 'omustons and infirnities, he doth very hear'trly aqd truly recommend your lordships to 'the meecies of our heavenly Father, and that - for his gooducts he many peifert you in every 'good work, Anew. Tho. Wfitwonta.'

## * TaE BILL oi ATTAINDER.

- Whereas the knights, citizens, and bor'gesses of the Itouse of Commons in this pre-- srat parhament nasembled, haye in the nante ' of themselves, and of all the conmons of Eng' lond, impeached Tlomas earl of Stratiord of - High-Treason, for candeavouring to subvert 'the antient and fundinmental laws and govern' ment of his majesty's realins of England and - Ireland, and to introduce an at bitrary and ty' rannical govervmentriganst law in the said ' kingdons, and for exercising a tyranuous and " exorbitant power ovet, and against the laws ' of the said kngdoms, and the liberties, es'tates, and lives of his majesty's suljects ; and - likenise having by his own authority coms${ }^{*}$ manded the laying and assessing of soldiers ' upon his majesty's sabjects in Ireland, against 'their consents, to compel them to obey his ${ }^{4}$ unlawful sammons and orders made upon pa-'per-petitions' in eavess between party "and - party, which accordingly was executed úpon - divers of his majesty's subjects in th warlike
his eyes to Heaven exclaimed, " Put not your trust in princes, nor in the sous of meo, fie in them there is no salration."
- pepmerry,' whehin the sand reqim of Ireland; and 4 inisa dorag, did levy wal aganst the king's 'majenty and his hege people in that hingdom;
- And'also for that he, upon the unbappy dis-

6oppotion of the last pailument, did slander.
the hoase of coumonos to his wajerty, aod did
( coupul) and advise his magesty, 'Thet he was

* loose and absolved frori the role of govena-
© meat, and that be had anf armyan Irelpan,
" by which be trieghit redoce this lingdom;'
- for which be deserves to undergo the panss
'and furfeitures of High-Treason.
- And the said Earl hath been an incendary - of the wars betveen the two hagdoms of
- England and Scotland; all which offences
- have beer sufficiently pioved against the said - Eari upon his impeachment.
' Be it cherefore enacted by the hing's most 'axcellent majesty, and by the lords and com-
- mons in this present parhament assembled,
fand by the authority of the sume, thalt the
: said Earl of Scrafford, for the bennous crmes
' and offences aforesad, stand, and be adjudg-
${ }^{4}$ ed and attanted of Higb-Treason, aud shall
${ }^{1}$ suffer such pain of death, and ancur the forfer-
' tures of has goods and chattels, lands, tene-
' ments and heicditaments of any eatate of Greebold, or inheritance in the sald kingdoms
* of England and Ireland, which the and Enil,
${ }^{-1}$ or any other to his use or in trust for him,
- linve or hid the day of the finst situng of this
- parhament, or it any\&ume sunce
${ }^{6}$ Provided ${ }^{\star}$, that'no julige or judges, jostice, © ot jastices whatsoever, sball adjudge or miter-
a pret any act on thing to be Tre soon, not hean
6ol determine suy tuc soun in any other man-
- ncr than lie or they should, or ought to have
- done before the in wing of this act, and as if
- this att had never heen had ot made; Saving
'alwayb muto all and singular peisons, bodies
- politic aurl corposate, their heirs and solces-
- sors, others than the sand Farl and his henc,
- and such as clam from, by, or under him all
sauch right tutle and intertst of, in, and to all
* "This Proviso," s72s Rushworth, " bath occabioned the couminon discourse and opinion that this jurigment agamst the Earl was enacted never wo be drame into precedent "Mm. Macaulay remurhs, "I bis Clause, which relates to Judges in inferior courts, is consistent with all the Aiguments uifged by the Commons for the legalaty of therr pioceedings agamst Strafligrd. The statute of 1 Hen, 4, c. 17, being, as they noserted, to take away the parlusmentary plower of declaration of treasons, wherely the inferior conrts recenve jurisdiction, and restran it to Bll, that it might operate no forther than to the particulars conpained io the Bill. This clause of the Commons in the Bill of Attainder agamat Stafford, shews a very laudable attention to the preserration of Public Liberty, yet it has been represented by monagchual, writers, that the Bill of Attainder was of so extiondinary s nature, that the legifiators thegnelves wore oblifed to unsert a Clause, that it sbould never be drawin into precedent."
f and singular such of the aad lands, tenementh ' and bereditaments, as be, they, or , any of ' them had belote the first day of this present ' parliament, any thing herem contained to the ' contrary notwithstanding.
- Prosided, That the passing of thus present 'Act, or his majesty's assent thereunto, slaill ' not be ang detertnuation of this present ses'slonse of parriament; But that this present ' sessions ot parlhnment, and all bills amd mat' ters whatsoeyer dependug in parlament, and ' not fully evacted oi determined, and all sta' tutes and acts of puliament, which have 'thear continuance until the eud of this pre' sent session of parhament, shall 1 emain, con' tunue, aud be an full force eas of this act had ' not been.'
The Kingo's LÉTTTPR on behaff of the Larl of Sthazozd.
The day following, the King wote tlas Lelter to the Lords on the behalf of the Larl gf Strafford, and sent irby the Prince*.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ My Lords; I dud yesterday satisfy the jos'tice of the hingdom, by passing the Bill ot At-- tander aganas the Earl of Strattond; but ' miercy being ab inberent and insepunble to a ' hing as justice, I devile at thus thime in some ' measure to thew thit lihewise, by suflenug 'that unfortunate man to fulh the natural 'couse of his life in a close impisonument; ' Yet so, if ever he' make the lease offer to cs' cape, or oatu duectly, or induectly, to meddle ' in any soit of-ptblic tusme a, especinly with ' me, etther by mess ige on lethe, nt shall cost 'Gum his life, withont finther piocest, This if ' it masy be done without the discontentime nt of ' my people, will be an unspeahable cpntent' meat to me; to whuch end, as $m$ the hist ' place, I by this Lettei do e unestly dcsire 'you approbatoy, and to endear th more, chave chosen hum to cairy it, thit of all you ' bouse ns.most dear to me; bo I desue that 'by a Conference; fou'will endeavour to give ' the House of Commons contentment, asvur' ing you that the exercise of meicy is no more ' plesaing to me, than to see both houses of ' pariament consent for my sahe, that I should 'Tspoderate the seventy of the law in so inport' int a case - 1 will not say, that youn comp, ' plying with me in this my intended mercy; - shall make me more willing, but certainly it ' mill make_me, more chearful, in granting your ' just grievances. Bot, if po less than b 5 hfe "can satisfy mypeople, I must say, Fiat Jus'titia. Thas agam, recommending the consi-
* Burnet says, "That he was told by HolIn himself, whose sister Strafford had inarried, that he drew up a Petition for Straford, and á Speech for the, King to make to both houses in his favour; that the Queen not only prevented tho King'egoing to the palliament, and chang-* ed the Speech unto a Message, but prevailed with hime to add the postseript ' If he mast die, 'sco.' ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ Blanets tisto of his Own Tinte.
－theration of my intention to yorr，I rest，your ＇unaitionale and affectunate friend，
＇Wbitehall，ith May，1uni．（＇razles $R$ ．＇
＇P．S．Ii he must die，it were charity to re－ ＇prieve hip tull Suturday．＇


## The Earl＇s EXECUIION．

On Wednesday，May 12th，the Eall having mounted the Scaffuld ${ }^{\text {² }}$ ，Te made him uber－ sances，and began to tahe hos last fatenell of his friends，who appeasted nach－mure esoncern－ od thau hinself：afd oburrumg is brother，sir Gerrge Wentworth，to werp exicsasvely， ＇Brother，＇sand he，with n－chearfial briskness， ＇What do you see is me to tiestrue these tears？Doth any indecent fear betray in me a guilt，or my ipnogent buldqees any atheism？ Thiuk now that y io areticcompanying me the third time to my mari ingebed：Never did I throw ofi my clothes nith gre．ter freedom aud content than in this preparation to my grave That stuck（pointing to the linck）must be my pallow ；here shall I resp from all my labours： No thoughts of envy，no dreams of treason， jealoavies os cares for the king，the state；or myself，shall interrupt this ensy slcep；there－ fore，brother，with mee，pity those who，besides their intention，have made me happy；rejuice in my happiness，rejnice in my inuocence．＇
Then kneeling down，he inade this Protesta－ tion ：‘ I hope，Genulemen，ymu do not think that either the fear ol loss，or hyye of repulation，will suffer me to bely Gud and my own conscience nf this time． 1 yun now in the very door gougg out，and ny negi step most be from time to eternity，teltier of pence or pain：To clear my－ self beifore you all，I do here soleunnly call Cod to witness，I am Not＇（iuhty，so far as I can un－ dersulatl，of the great Crime laid to my charge， nor have ever bat the leate，juclination or inten－ tion to damminy or prejudice the King，the

[^60]State，the Iams，or the Religion of this Ring－ dow；but wilh my hest endearours to serpe all，and io suppoft all；So may God be meer－ ciful 10 my soul？
Then rispgy up，he seid，he d، sired to aponk soneehing to the People，but was afrnid he sh ，uld tee beard by few，in re gard of the noist： but haring firt fited himself to the block，nad＇ rising，ngisin he thats addressed himself to the －pectators
－My Lard Primatg of Ireland，and＇my lords， ＇and ihe rest of these nolie gentemed：litis a ＇great comfort to me to have your lerd hips by －we this day，btcause I have been known to ＇you a long tyue，and $Y$ now dasirc to be benned ＇ a fow words．I come here，by the good will nond ＊pleasure of Alinighty God，to pay that dest ：dret I owe to oin，which is Death；and by ＇the blessing of that God，to rise ngain through ＇the merits of Jesus Cbrist to righteousnest， ＇and hife eterpal．＇［Here hie was a little inter－ rupted．
＇My lords，I arn come hither to submit to ＇that Judgment which hath passer eguinat me；； －I do it with a vefy quiet and contented mind： ＇I thank God I do freely forgive nll the world； －a forgiveness that is not topiken fiom the teetb © outward，（ns they say）bot froin the very ＇heart：I speak it in the presence of Almighty －God，befire whon I stand，that there is not a ＇diepleasing thought arising in me towards any 4 man living．I thayle God I can say it，and ：truly ${ }^{\text {a }}$ oo，ny conscience bearing me witness， ＇thet in all any employments，since 1 hind the ＇honuur to scrve lise majesty，I never had any thing jin the purpose of my hearr，but what ＇tended to the joint and individual prosperity rof，king and people，although it hath been my －ill fortune to be misconstrued．
＇I I am not the first that hath suffeved in this ＇$k$ ind ；it is the common portion of us all，while ＇weare in this life，to err；righteous judgment ＇we mustownit for in another place，for here ＇we are very subject to be mijijudged oue of －another．There is one thind that I desire to ＇free myself of，antal I ata very copident ＇（speaking it now wilh much chenriulneas）that －I shall obtain your Christian charity in the －belief of it．I was sortr from béng ogainst －Parliaments，that I did almays think the Par－ －liaments of England viere the moxt happy con－ ＇stitutions that any kingdom or natiob livod ＇under；nnd the best meass，under God，to ＇make the king and people heppy．
－For my dcarth I hero nequit all the world， －and bescreb the God of heaven heartily to ＇furrive them thant contrived it，though in the －intentions and purfoses of my heart f nm Nos ＇Guilty of what I die for：And，wy Lord Pri－ ＇mate，it is a great eomfort for me，that his －majesty condeives me not mentung so severe ＇nod heavy a punishment，as is the atemose ens－ ＇cution of this Seatence．I do inffinitely re－ （ joise in this mercy of his，and I beiech Ood tot retura it into his own bosom，that he may find mercy when he stands most in meed of li： ＇I wish thin kiogdong all the prouperity nat
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6 happiness in the world ; did it living, und s now dying, it is my wish. 1 do mgst humbly
Crecommend this to every bye who hears me,
${ }^{6}$ and desire they would hay their hands upon
${ }^{6}$ their hearts, and consider seriowly, whether
'the beginoing of the bappiness and reforma-
t tion of a kingdom should be written in letters
' of blood ; consider this when you are at your

- homes, and let me, be never so sthappy, ns

6 that the least drop of my blood should tise
' up in judgment agaiustany one of you! but

- I fear you are in a wrong way.
- My Lords, I have but oine woid more, and - with that I shall end. I profess that I die a
' true und obedient soin to the Ghurch of Eng-
- land, wherein I nas born, and in which 1 was
- bred. Peace and prosperity be ever to-it. '
- It hath been objected, if it were an objec-
'tion worth the answering, That I have been
${ }^{6}$ inclining to Popery; hut [ say truly frapu my
Theart, that from the time that I was 21 years
' of nge, to this present, going now upon 19, I
${ }^{4}$ never had in my heart in doubt of this Reli-
'giom of tle ('hurch of Fagland, nor ever tand
'any man the boldness to snggest any such
6 thang to me, to the best of my ramembraice.
'And so being reconciled by the merits of
- , lesus Cbrist my Saviour, ipto whone bosom I
- hope I shall shortly lie fathered, to thooe
' eternal happmeses which slall neser have
${ }^{6}$ end ; I desme heartily the forgiveness of ctr : 1
- mon for any rash or thaglvised words, or ning
'thing done amisa. Anth su, my lapiv mint
${ }^{-}$Gentlemen, farewell; farcwell ail thangs of
- this world.
- I desire that you wnuld be silent, gnd join
' with me in prayer, and Itrust in Giod we shall
* all meet and live etermally in Heaven, theye to
- receive the accomplishment of ull happiness;
- where every tear slall be wiped away from
' our eyes, and cotry sad thonght from our
'hearts: And so .(iod bless this hangdons, and
- Jesus have mercy on'my soff!'

Then turning Limbelf about, he saluted all the noblemen, and took a sole ma lease of all considerableqersons upon the scuffuld, giving them his hand.
After that, he said, 'Gentlemen, I wnuld ${ }^{4}$ say my 1 'Gayers, hill intreat yon all to pray ' with me, nad for mee;' then his Chaplain laid the Book of Conmon-Prayertupon the rhair before -hin as he knerled down, on which he prayed almbst a quarter of an hour, and then as long, or longer, without the Buok, and concluded, with the Iord'r-Prayer.
Standing ap, he spies bis brother, sir George Wentworth, and calls 'hive to him, saying,
${ }^{6}$ Brother, we inust part; remember me to my
' sister, and to inr wife, and carry my blessing
' to my san, and claarge him that he fear God,
' and continue an whedient son io the Church
4 of England, und ware ham that he bear no pri-
' vate gruffige, or reienge, toward uny man

- concening une; and hid tim beware that he
- meddle not .with clurcb-livingy, for that will
'praie a moth and canker to him in his estate;
s.nad. wish him to content binself to be a ser-
${ }^{t}$ vant to his country, not aiming at higher pre${ }^{1}$ ferments.
'Aliler.]. To his son, Mr. Wentworth, he © commends himself, and gives him charge to ' serve his God, to sulmut to his ling witis oll ' faith and allegiance in things teloporal, to the ${ }^{\text {a }}$ church in things ipiritual; chargeth lum again ' and againg, as he will nuswer it to lim in hea'ren, fiever to meldle with the patr.mony of ' the church; for it he did, it would be a canker 'to eat up the rest'of lus e-tate.'
' Carry my blessings uhs to my daughters, 'Anne and Arabella, change them to serse and ' tear God, und he will bless then; not forget'ting my little infont, Who yet knows' neither 'zood nor evil, and cannet speak for itself; Gind "spenk for it and,bless it.' . Now,' said he, ' I 'hare uigh dote; ठne stroke will make my ' wife husbarrlists, suy dear clahhea fatherless, - and my poor se ..mes masterlecs, and will se${ }^{\text {a }}$ parate me from my dac lirother, and all my ifriends; hut ket God be to gou and them yil ' in all.'

Afier this, going to take of his doublet, and to mathe ignself ready, be said, ${ }^{-1}$ thank (ind - 1 am not afraid of death, nor dnanted with ' any dircouragement rising from anv frams, hat 'dip as cheafselly pnt ofl my dublilet at this 'tame, as ever I did when I west to bed.' Then he put on' his douhtet, wound up his barr with has hauds, and put on an whte cap.
Then lie called, ' $\$$ liliere is the man that is to 'dlo thas last oflice'' (me:ang the Exerntouer) 'call hiun to me; When luegaine wasd asked Liin forgiveness, he told hom, dic, fortate him and all ihe worll. Theu kneeliag firnn by the bloch, be wetat to prayer agau hmacti, the Primate of treland knedug on the one side, and the Minister on the other: \% Yhe when Ninnister, after prayer, he tunsed binself, and spake some feiv words soffly, laving ha hands lified up, nad closed with the Mmistrer's hands.
Then bowing himereff tu lay his bead upon the block, he told, tre Executioner, 'That he ' would firse lay down hi heald to try the atness ' of the block, rud take it up ngain before he ' would lay it down for grod nud all;' and so he did : and before he laid it down ngain, he told the Fsecutioner, that he would give han warning when to strikr by stretching forth hus liandsy, and piesently layiug down his neck upin the block, and stretclung forth hys bauds, the Executioner struck off bis bleanf at whe blow; und taking it ap in his land, shewed it to all the prople, and saill' Gods save the kıng.'*
"And so fell this nolle Earl, who if his Master cuuld have saved hon, mitht hare been able to save his Mactur: This was indecd the bluw that by degrees reathed up to the kiog's own head. Tuke his character tiav given by the lord Clarefdon. "Thros fell the grenteot' 'sabject iy powir, and little inferior to any ine - fortune, glat was at thut time in uny of the - three dingdims; who could well remsmber ' the time when heلfed those peuple who then ' porsued him to bis grave. He was a man of

His body was afterwards embalmed, and appointed to be carried into Yorkshire, there to be burred amongst his ancestorg.

## An ACT for Reverativa the Earl of Strafiond's ATtainder.s

(Wherens Thumas lated EMrl of Strafiocd was ' impeached of Iligh Trrasof, upoq pretence of ' endeai ouring to subreat theqlandimentai / lan 5, ' agd calicil to a publec nad solemn Arraizn-- ment and T'rial before ghe perssin paritment,
' where he made a paticular Deffence to erery
${ }^{\prime}$ Article objected anaiust hinn; insomull that ' the turbulent party then seeing no hapesto 'effect their uujust Jesigns, by any wdinary ' way and method of proeegeding, did at last re-
' solve to attemipt the Destruction and Atuio-
' der of the said Earl, byemin Act of Parhament,
'to be therefore parposely made, to condrmin

- him upon Accumulative Treasnn, none of the - pretended Crinars being Ticeson apart, anat so. c could not be in the wfiole, if they had breft \& Proieli, as thry were mot: and also judred ? him gully of Constructive Treason, (that is, cof levgme war ugaiust the king) thuyeh it was ( ouly the conanaudasy an Orier of the Conical
' great parts, und extraurlinary endowments of ' nathre, not unadoriued with sume auddition of 'art aud leanines.' Kcmacts.
The fillowiog are the amialle and soutud refection of Mr. Por on thiig transaction: "The prosecution of lord Stratived, of ratier the nuaner in whech $j$ t was carried $q$, is less justisiable" (than tet regulatious piropused liy the Puliament yn regard to, the Allita). "I He was duribleces a great delinquent, nod nell deserved 'lie severest pumishenent; but nothing blort of a clearly proved ease of self-delence can justify, of 'even excuse, a depathure from the sacred rules of chiminisl justice, Yor itcau surely inaleed taypen, that the mischicf to bo apprecticmeded fron sulfering any criminal, however rualty, tasescapeescay be cqual to that resulting trom the violationi of those rules to whici the mutecent owe the security of all that ig dear to them. If such cases have caited, thiny must have been in instances where trial thas been wholly out of the question, as in that $8^{\prime}$ Cesar, aad other tyrants; hut when a mian ess once in a situstion to be treed, and his personn in the power of his accusers and lis judges, he can no longer bép formitlable in that degree which alone can justuly (tf say' :lithy can) the violation of the stabstantal rules of crimingal proceedings." Introdititury Chapter to the History of the early part of tie reign of Janes the 2 d .4 to ed. p .90.

Oue of the most candid repusesatations which have been extibited of the Character of lord Strafford, proceeds from the pen of Mrs. Hutchinson, who thus expresses herself in her Memoirs of the Life of Colonel Hutclinsh: "There were two above all the rete, who led the van of the King', Evil Counstllers, and shese w̦ere Laud, archbishop of Cpnotet bury, a fallow of mean estraction and arrogent pride,

- Board in Irelanct to be executld bys gSerjemant
' at A rus and turee or four soldiers, whicticrae
' the cunstapt prataice of the deputics ithere for
' a long time. To the which end, they having.
- first presented a Bill for this miteat to tho
- Itouse of 3 Comswons, and finding there naora
' opposition than thay expected, they caused a
' multitude of tumultuous personsto come down
' tolVestuminster, amhud yith swoids and stares,
' and to till both the Palice Yaris, and all the
' approaches to both jousus of pariament, with
'fary und clanuurr, nud to require ' Juatice, " speedy Jotuce" aguinst the Earl of Straffori); ' nud having bog tinse aud other undue practices ' obtained thigt Bill to pass the dlouse of Com* ' mons, they crused the Names of those reso$\checkmark$ lote gentemen, whig in a case of iunouent' blood had fieely discharged their consciences, 'bing 59 , to be poted ap in several placet 'about the cities of London and Wcstumaster, ' and stiled tiem " Stanfirrdians," aud Enernes ' to ther Country; poping thereby to dediver ' them up to the liary of the people, whom they ' had ondsaroured to incenee manse them. - $A$ nd then procured the sadd Bill to be sent up ' To the brage of peese, where it having some
and the earl of Sualford, who ay much oute strippel all the rest in fasour, as he did in ablitite, being a man of deepp policy, stura rexolution, and aulthtous zead to keip up the glory of hiv own greadiess. In the legioning of this fiag's reigu, eliis man had been a strong ascertor of the Laberties of the P'eople, annong whan he had gained limself an holuourable rem putatuin, and was dicalful to tie Court P'aty, who thereupun ste ewed snares in lus way, and whgn they linumd $n$ breach at his aubibion, lis soul was that way entered and captisated. IIe was adranced fins to be Lord President of theq(-vuncil in the North, to le a Baron, ufier an Gul, then Depruy of Ircianu, the nearese to 2 faroysite of oany man suice the drath of the duke of Buckirglian, who was ruised by his hist master, and hept up by the second, upna no вeveunt of persomal wuwd, or any deserring ablititis in hum, but only upon rijuent and prixate iuclnations of thee princes.. But the carl oid Stralfurd wemed ne.t thy acconplishment, that could be desired in the most seviceabic miu Itcr fetaté. Bessides, lie having made himself odions to the perple, by his revolf from their interest, to that wf the oppressive court, lie was new obliged to keep up his own interest with hispow party, by all the malicions practics that pride and revenge could inppire him with" P. 60,69 , Men. of "ol. Ilutclinsun, hy bis Wilaw.
How difierent this from the arrognat, undigested, and scaicely human scurility of Mrs: Macaulay, who, aller telling us $m$ the text of her diatribe agniinst Strafford, that' the batnens of his heart had corrupted lisis jurghenent's 'suggertajn a note, that it was rather the baducs of his judgment which had corropted hin heart! - See Luder's " observations on the Lan of High Treaso ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ " 90,100
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'Stime rested under greater deliberation; at last,
c in a time when a great part of the peers were
s abseat by reasor of the tumbliss, and many of
6 thope: who were present protested ngainst it,
The said Bill passed the house of peers: and
6 at lengith his majesty, the late king Charles 1,
6 of glorious memory, granted a Commission for
"giving his myal assent thereunto; which ne-
wertheless was done by his said mfjesty with
asceeding great sorrow then, nad ever remem-
${ }^{4}$ bered by him with unexpressible griei of
4 heart ; and aut of his majesty's great piety, he
' did publicly express it, when his own sacred
- life was taken away, by the' nfost detestable
${ }^{4}$ traitors that ever were.
- For all which Causes, be it declared and 'onected by the king's most exccllent mnjesty,
'by and with the advice and consent of the
- lords spiritual and temporal, and commous in

6 this present parliament assembled, That the
4.Act, intituled, 'An Act for the Attainder of
' 4 Thomas Earl of Strafford, of High Treason,'
${ }^{4}$ and all and every Glause and Article, and
${ }^{6}$ thing thertin contained, being obtained as
${ }^{4}$ aforesaid, is now hereby repealed, resoked,
${ }^{4}$ and reversed.
${ }^{*} \Delta$ ud to the end that right be done to the

* memory of the deceased Farl of Strafford ufore-
* ssid, be it further enacted, That all records
and proceedings of parliament, relating to the
- said Attainder, be wholly caucelled and taken

6 of the file, or otherwise defaced and obliter*
${ }^{4}$ ated; to the intent the salne may not be visi-

* ble in after-ages, or brought into example, to
${ }^{4}$ the prejudice of nny person whatsoever.
- Provided, That this Act shall not exsend to
${ }^{6}$ the future questioning of any person or per-
${ }^{4}$ sons, however concerned in this business > or
t who had any hand in the tumults, or disorderly
${ }^{6}$ procuring the act aforesaid; any thing herein
'contained to the contrary thereof notwich-
"standing,'
4 Copy of the PApir posted up at the corner of the wallof Sir Willing Brankard's house, in the Old Pulace-yard, in Westminster, Monday May 3, 1641.
*The Naipes of thetrraffordians posted.
* 1. Lord Digby ; 2. Loril Compton; S. Lord

Buckhurst; 4. Sir Robert Hation; 5. Sir Tho -
mas Fanshaw ; 6. Sir Edward Alford; 7. Sir
Nicolas Slatting; 8. Sir Thomas Danby; 9. Sir
Geurge Wentworth; 10. Sir PeterWentworth;
11: Sir Erederick Colnwallis; 19. Sir Willism
Capnuby; 13. Sir Richard Winn; 14. Sir Jarvis
Cliftns; 15. Sir Willian Withrington; 16. Sir William Penuyman; 17. Sir Patrick Curwent;
18. Sir Richard Lee; 19. Sir Henry Slinysby;
s0. Sir Willanm Portman; 21. Mr. Jurvis
Hollis; 22: Mr. 'Sydney Godolplin; 2s. Mr.
Cooke; 24. Mr. Cuventry; 25. Mr. Benjamin
Weston; 26. Mr. Wilhain Weaton; 27 Mr.
Selden; 26. Mr. Alforil: 29. Mr. Lligyde 30.
Mr. Heriert;'31. Captain Digby; 32. Nerjean!
Hyde; 33. Mr. Tuylor; 34. Mr. Gritith; 35.
Mr.Scawcn; 36. Mr, Bridgeman; 37, Mr. Fet-
tiplass; 38. Dr. Turner; 39. Captain Charles Price; 40. Dr. Party, Civilian; 41. Mrt Arundel ; 42. Mr. Newport; 4S. Mr. Holborne; 44. Mr. Noel; 45. Mr. Kirton; 46. Mr. Pollard; 47. Mr. Price; 48. Mr. Trewanian; 49. Mr. Jane; 50. Mr. Edgecombé; 51. Mr. Chicheley; 52. Mद̧ Mallery; 53. Mr. Porter; 54. Mr. White, Secret. E. D.; 55. Mr. Wara wick." ${ }^{\prime \prime}$

The following Spéeches, "said to be made by the Earl of Strafford, are taken from the Sommers' Collection of 'Tracts.
The SpRECCII of Thomas Wentwonth, late Earl of Stanfrogad, and Deputy of Ireland, in the Tower, to the Lords hefore he weut to ExeCution, Sommers' Tracts, 2 Coll, vol. 2, p. 4.
"Right honourable, and the rest, you are now pome $\omega$ convey me to my denth, 1 nim willing to die, which is a thing no more than all opr predecessors have dofue, and a debt that our posterity must in their due time discharge, which since it can be no way avoitled, it ought the less to be feared; for that which is common to all, ought not to be intolerable to any: it is the law of nuture, the tribute of the flesh, a rennedy from all worldiy cares and troubles; and to the truly penitent, a perfect path to blesseduess. And there is but one death, though several ways unto it : mine is not natural, but enforced by the law and justice : it hath been said that the loys vex only tha meaner sort of people, but the mighty are able to withstand them: k is not so with mp , for to the law I subnit myself, apd confess that I receise nothing but justice: for he that politicly. intendeth good to a common weal, finy be calied a just man, but he that practiseth either for his own profit, or any uther smister ends, may be well termed a delinquent person ; neither is delay in punishment any privilege for pardon. 'And morecher,' I ingermously confese with Cicero, That the death of the bad is the satety of the good that be alive.
" Let no man trast either in the favour of his prince, the friendship and consanguinity of hic. peers, much less in his own wisdoon and knhwledge, of which I ingenuously confess I have been too coulident; kings, as they are men'. before God, so they are Gods before men, and

- "There are two Speeches," suys'Mrs. Macxulay, " in Sommers's Collection of Tracts, pretended to heye been composed by Strafford, ofe spoken to some Jorrls who "attended him in the Tower immediately before his Execution, the other intended to be spoken at his Death. They are full of contrition for his past conduct, and the style so different from the agcopnt generaily given of his behaviour, from his profenjons, from his idvice to his family, ${ }^{\circ}$ and from fis claracter, and the strone prejudices he had iubibibed in the lattec partoof his life, that thene is ${ }^{\text {sigfeat }}$ reasou to suspest their authenticity."

I may say with a great man once in this kingdom, "Had I strived to obey my God as faith-- fully, as I sought to honour my king dligently, 'I had stood and not fillen.' Most happy and fortunate is that priace, who is as much for his justice feared, as for his goodness belowed: for the greater that princes Ire in power above other, the more they ought in viatue to excel other; and such is the royalsovereign Whom I late served.
"For my peers, the correspdidence" that I had with them durng my prosperity, was to me very delightful and pleasing, and here they have commiserated my ruin, I have plentifally found, who (for the most gentrous of them> I may boldly say, though they have detested the fact, yet they have piticd the persgn delinquent; the first in their loyalty, thelast in their charity : ingenuously confessing, th.t never any subject, or peer of my rank, had ever that help of counsel, that becefit of time, or a more frge and Igal trial than I have had: in the like wheré? If pe of my predecessors hath had so much favoar from his prince, so much sufferance froun the poople ; in which I comprehend the understanding communs, not the many-headed monster, multitude; but I have offended, ump sentenced, and mast now suffer.
"And for my too much confidence in my mupposed wisdom and knowledge, therein have been the most deceived: for he is wise to himself, that kyows by others faults to correct his own offences: to be truly wise is to be secretaries to ourselyes ; for it is mere folly to reveal our intimgte thoughts to strangers : wisdom is, the most precious gem with which the mind can be adorned, and learning the most funious thing for which a man ought to be esteeméd, andtrye wisdom teacheth us to do well, as to speak well? in the first I have failed, for *the wisdon of man is foolishness with Goul.
" For knowledge, it is a Aling judifferent both to good and evil, but the best knowledge is for a man to knew himstlf p he phat doth so shall esteen of hinself but little, for he considereth from whence he came, and whereto he must oo, he regardeth not the vain pleasures of this, life, he exalteth God, and strives to live in $V$. fear; but he that knoweth not himself, issyifful in his own whys, unprofitable in his life, uhfortunate in his death, and so am I. But the reason why I sought to attain unto it, was this: - I have read that he shat hpoweth not that which ihe ought to know, is a brute Eeaseamongst men; be that knoweth more than he ought to know, is a man amongst bosts: but he that knoweth all tpat may be known, en a Gob amongst mef. To this I much aspispd, in this I much fuiled; 'Vanity of wapities; all isobut ' vanity.'
"I have heard the people clarsour end cry out, saying, that through my decasion the fimes are bad, I wish that when I am defod they inay prove better : most true it is, thaf there is ot thistume a grent storm impending (God in his mercy avert it.) And sijne it istny particular lot, like Jounh, to be cast into the sea, I shall
think my life wely opent, to apperege Godh wrath, and satisfy the people's malice.
" 0 what is eloguence more than sing fasbioned with ah articulate and distinct younds. when it is a speciel virtue to speak little and well, and fence is oft the best oratory; for fools in their dumbness may be accounted wise.i. it hath power to make a good matter seem badr and a bad cranse appear good: bat mine was, fa me enprofitable and like the eypress trees, which are great and fily buf allogether withoüt fruit.
"What is honour? but the first step to dint quietness, and power is still waited on vy envy; ncither hath it ecty privilege against infung. It is held to be the chieft part of honoar; for a man to join t/ hisofice and calling, courtesy and sfiability, commiseration and pity: for thesely he drawech to him with a kind of compulsion, the heasts of the multitude.? But that, was the least part of my study, which now makes meg call to mind, that the greater the persons sye in guthorty, the sooper they are catcht is ant delinquency, and their smallest critnen: aro thought to be capital, the smallest spet meemil great in the finest linnen, and the lenst flaw is soonest foynd in the tichest diampand. But high and noble spirits finding themselvea wounded, grieve not so much at their own pair and perplexity, as at the derision and scofts of their enemy: but for mine own part, though I might have many in my life, I hope to find none oin my denth.
" Anongst othef things which polfute and contaminate the minds of great spirits, thére is. none more heinons than ambition, which in teldom ynaccompanied with avtrice $:$ such to possess their eads, care not to violate the lawi of religion, and reason, and to break the bonde of modesty and equity, with the nearest tyes of : consanguinity, and amity; of which as I haye beea guilty, so I crave at God's hands forgive': ness It is a maxim in philosophy, that pmbitious men can be never good counsellors to princes; the desire of having pore is common. to great lords, and a desire of rule a greak cause of their ruin.
"My lords, I am pow the hopeless precedent, any I be to you all-an happy example: for ambition devoareth gutu, and drịikettr blooid, and climbeth sohigh by other mens heads, that at tho length in we fall it breaketh its own neck : therefore it is better to live in humble content, than in high care eqd trouble: for more precious is want with honeaty, than weallh with infamy: for what are we but prere papours, which in a serene element ascend high, and upon an instant, like smoke, vanish into nothing : or like ships without pilots, tost up, and down upon the seas by tcontrary windi and tempests. Bat the good husbandman thifis better of those ears of corn, which hovidowp, and grow erooked, than those which are dites ighit and upright, because he is ussured to find mofes stoge of gein in the one than int the othet. This all mea know, yet of this, how few make use; the defect whereof must be now my paids: may my suffering prove to others profit.

"For. vhat hatk now the fas our of my - prince, the fauniliarity with iny perer, the volybility of a tongue, the streugth of mywnemory, by lofiniag, or knowledge, miy thonour, ur owicts, my power, had potency, ny riehes, and treasure, (all thrse the special gigs, hoth of nature, and fortine) what have all these profitted aie ? Blessinge 1 achnowledge, though by Ood besoowed upoin man, yet not yll of them together up,n many: - yèt by the divine, proridence, the inost of them met in me i of which hid I made happy use I aright still have foourished, who now an firced immaturely to fall,
"I now could wish (bet that utinam is ton lato) that God wilphisioutu ard goodness towards me' had to commixed his: ywaud grace, that I had chdsed the mediuin path, neither inclinflog to the right'hand, nor deviating to the left; but like fcasus wath my waxen wings, fearing by too low a flight to moirten them with the waves: I soarcd too high, and too near the senf, by which they-being melted, I aining at the đighest, am precipitated to the lowest : and ram made a wretched prey to the waters: hut I who before Builo my bruse upon the sand, have now settled my hopes upon the rock my Saviour: by whose only merits my sole tust is, that whatioever becomes of my body, yet in this buso n my soul may be sanctunned.
" Nimpod would have built a tower to reach op to Ileaven, and calld it Babcl; but God turned it to the confusion of languages, and dissipation of the propte. - Pharnoh kept the children of Isract in bondace, and after flaving freed them, in his great pride would hare mare them his prey; but God gave thim a diy and miraculous passage, and Pharanh and hits host a watry sepulchrc. Belshatzar feasted his princes and prostitates, who diunk bealths in the vessels taken from the Temple, but the hand of God writ upon the wall, Mene. Tetel, Phoras, and that night before morning was both his kingdom and life tuhen fromuluin: yhus tod lets men go on a great while in their own desices, but iu the end it proter thcir own rain alnd destruction, never suffiring them to effect their desired poliposes : therefore let none presume upon hi, power, glory in his greatness.pr be tod confident in his riches: the e things were written for our instruction, of which the living may nale use, the dyif; cannot; bat wit and untiruifful wisdom '3re the uext neighbours to foily.
"There can be no greater ranity in the world, than to esteem the world, which regardeth no man ; and ts make slight account of God, who ginely retpecteth all mind; and the re can be no gréater folly in man, then by much travail to increase his kuods, an! painper his body, and in the interim with vain delights nod pleasores, to lose his soul. It is a great folly in any uan to attempt a bad begunaing, in hope of good ending: and to uake that proper to one, which was hefore commou to all, is neere indiscretion, and the begfoniag of discord, which (' positiely wish may end in this niy: punishmeat.
" "O hiow smalla propertion of earth will con-
tain my body, when my ligh twind ceuld not to. confined within the spacious compass of two kingdump? Bat my hour draveih on, and I cunclude with the Psalmist, not aiming nt any one mat in particular, lut speaking tor all in general: 'Ilow lang will ye Judges be cor'rupted?' how longfwill you cense to give true 'judgment'? \&c. Bessed is the man that doth 'not inglk in the ? conucil of the wicked, nor 'stand in the way off sinners, nor sit in the seat ' of thescornful, therefore they shall not stapd ' in the Judgneại, nor nimnery in the assembly - of the righteous, Kc.'"

The SPEECHI of Thompas Earl of Strafford, intended to be spoken on tpe Senffold the day be was brheaded; (May 12, 1041) but being interrupted, hg ielivered it to his brotber, Sir George Wentworth, from whose original Copy, under the Eurl's oin hand, this is word for word transcribed. [Sommens' -Trasts, 1 Coll, vol. 4. p 449.]
"Prople of my native country (I nish nty own or your charity, had made me fit to call you friend9);
" It shoold appear by your concourse and gaxing aspects, that I am now the only prodigious metcor, towards which you diect your wondering cycs. Meteors ure the infalible antecedents of tragical events, and do commouly. level their malevolent operation npon sume remarkable person. At this prestne time, I am becone my own prodigy, and the crbssed influence will appear in my (too suldien) execution: And this fear is only left me, Koc consequence will produce a greater eflusion'thate nuinc. I would to Gnd, my blood woull cure yoter sad hearts of all their grievances (tuough every drop thereof were a soul on which a life depenged) I . should render it with as much alkecity nas some (nay, the most) of you' have come to triumph in my fatal extirpatione
" In regard I hare keen of you (my native country, whise uisdomand justice, in respect of the generality of it, is no way que,tionable.) voted to this untmely end, 1 have not one syllable to say in justification of myself; or those actions for: which 1 suffer; only, in excuse of Loth, give me leave to say, my too mach zeal to do my master servite, made me abuse liis regz' authurity ; and howsoerer I have been one most infor- tunate, yet, at all times, a faveurite in the prosecution, of my places, and offices; and yet (as. I fhall anşuer Kefore The dreadful tribunal, wiereunto your juit anger hath before uature doonjed ine) iny iptents ${ }^{\text {w were fairer than my }}$ actious : But God knows, the over-grewtness of niy spuris severity, my povermment, the witchcratt of authority, pnd flattery of multitudes to shatpen it, are but ill interpreters of my inten-ti-ns; which that you may believe, I have no argument, hut iepprotestation, which hath but* thig tirr-usustance to confirn it, That it proceeds froin an dyingig maa.
" If I sholatd take upon me to make a relation of all the partignjurs of my arraignmenthand attainder, it would but tou much prorogue your
loingung efpectation of my shameful death： Besules，it would be neerliess，in iespec I should but say over again what I said beloiethe par－ himsent，（and peihaps be as hitlie bchesed） th sugh the la ruis on ahis h I then answered，be far datiticint it in my at antation now（Chat being befuse iny condenalkwin，and Mitis after st）Besides the ie were wifritudes to cntch it， in Int is 1 utterd $u$ ，（ands oubtless you shall Inve it upon ciery stall book post）for I hake bein，and whiltt 1 bieathe anns，the pettilence which rigcs thoukth your made，your estates， aud tiades and you will be ready to read the bills of your own lomes，though the discase wivat bongit the dentructuna be remosed．a
＂Haviuy 1 othinig in ths woild but＂a little meath，which hithum few minutes is to be ex－ pued，$f$ should not ube ft to this purpose，but that cuitom upon these directious piescribes is wion wit foit sud futher，that I mught If sil chample to gie it pelsons，that they may houn，hip thour ot i giett bing is net equive－ dit to the bicith of nitions，and that it is a thous in I tumes bettol and more noble tor a Io：to plip with a clove，th in togr it nor is It mopi fon idone to sto with ease＇）wings， ：III the cither，beciure the necessity of the thenereypuics that I stould dit，orily for ex－ smple
－Ift hatgweconscience to yon ill，（that aie will＇t iscetpt it）miv royal mister，dud in his onn e magene ones deplate me pultuss of thec：ris，ton which thin de th is e me upon ye But Ife icgs ihat hithem ide your ge netal II nusitie giball of my distan，thought me mit wo the io empoy this lite I hast abused， und hom your whis，us hom the lips of ota－ fh，I hatt lecesetid my woful doom，wher in
 crise tho of the lent mpuctics I ut atill I tuench up in your patience，and linger－m the th ag jou win to lo oh tet，my denth
＂A hatle，I tuk mouc，oud I h we done．For testunim）of miy fatif und iche on，be ple ised po medotanis，fhit 1 hice photesed and do
 Nuy posists dent tung in my belheif form tic tund itiontil gi un fo oi the tiac Piotestantich－ gion，piotiocil，practhed，mantained，and aid－ thoniad by the chunch of I ig and．I x＇sutd say mure of this but that I dinie meprisate efaculations $m$ ig＇hc myl 1 st medit tuons，only， lecause I how inert it wy one of you，jt oddswith my soul on prison（thotigh on th iy
 ty und chantable me linatumpenll ailond men our
 Good people，pin for me，piay for me，even for my etcin＇I C wioh＇s siba，moto whone boyom I under m ）nutul and afflict de real socet Jesur，wi Relcemer，（the Redecincr even ot me，a notul and dejected samer）receneerioto thy arms my spuit＂

The Account givest hy May，（of whose work Warburton very jostly this writes to Hurd－ ＂It is an extiaordunary performance，litule
 and spint，has the qualtices of a regilar comy position，whach wexther Ludlow nor Whatlock hase．It is óntiea ty⿰亻⿻乚㇒十⿱口儿，much judgment，pe－ netration，maphoess，and saync，nud with an candous thit will greatly incsene npur eatecm， when you hnow hid wrote fyodid of hus mat－ ters the Parlatment ，＂Latiors＇June 30，1755， August $16 \mathrm{Nh}, 175^{5}$ f） 0 （ the Pruceedangs against Lorid Siraforl，ant iof sohes atcumatalions con－ netted，with those Proceediogs，are langhly in uresting，See Mays？＂History of they Parlite
 credmgs on tire Alfeged Miyt，for the Escape of the Eal of Straford，the Slyfuction of the Arny，and \％ngung in the Fiench，see the Histonans，and 6 Hushiw ato $8^{2}$ Culb．gatf． Hist The following Eudence is the chidef of what relates to Straftora＇s Blcape
The Uouse of Commons having been alarmed with the apprehensions of some Pluts or $\mathbf{P}$ signs on fout，and laving appoanted a Com－ nittce to inguire mito the same，thev on the 5th of May niade therr Report toulbe house， That this Plot conssicth of three Heads：the lirst war，the Derign upout the Towet．The second， 10 engnge the Army．The thurd，To bring in foreign foices Fon the Tower，ut ap－ peaicd to be thus captan Billhngyly benig ex－ ammid upon oath，confersed，Thit he was ac－ quainted wath sir Jolio buchlugg＇Ihur the sand oir John Intely offerechdim employment in one of the kang＇islipssdicn at Ponismouth；after－ waids empluyment tor Purtugal that thas De－ ponent hiving notuce to meet ut the privy lodg－ ings t Whate hall，did there rective orders to get 108 men to atrve in the foser under him ； ing at he did tail，he should answer it wuth his hie And dftenwards meetung with sir John Suchling，and accauantung him theiewith，be told hm be would furmsh lim with the sand nund et hir Willagn Be＇to e，Licutenant of the luwer，bengetasmined，sand，That he had c momand to recerve capt Billingely，with 100 men，moto the lone1，who shoud be under his cominand．Thut the 「at of peafford at that time，expossulatuy with ham abinut his Escape， told ham，He would attempt nothank io that hud whout his priuegt and th．t hepshould have the hing＇Wairant for his indemanty ； and that the argant thould be to commones han to 1 emove the Earl of Siraflord，from dive Tonca to mome otber Castle，and be wority then tike his oppoitunitv to escape．Thet the Litutenant of tile To＇gr not givigs any com－ plying Answer thescunto，the sald Ean sont ug un to intrear luyi wo come to tam，andrould liave pernuaded bish to let hitp nahe an escupes sayng，＂Wuhout your concarrence it cunnot －bedune；and af you yall cons nt thereunto， 8 ＇will mahi gou preserk pasmeat of 29000 ／s ${ }^{\text {a }}$ besudes，you shall have nagood marrigge for ＂your son．＂To which the Lieutendant of thit＇ Touger reping，＇He was so har fiomg oencurrms ＇therem，Chat he was $n$ t to be farther inorid 29 ＇such a thing．＇Thus much the Lieutegant．D／ the Tower delireed upos hus Onib．

1535]. STATE TR/ALS, 16 Chanles I. 1010.-Trial 点 (he Earl of Strciford. [1530
As for the Designs from beyond the Seas, the |mination or Mrs. Nutt, That the Eall of StrafCommitice did make Repurt to the huase, That it was cleared unto them, Thit the gyvcraox of Calais had exaunined sbine Vinglighmen, whether the cari of Strafford's head was cot off; and this was in point of twe, the 1st of May, according to the Einglish st.le; and sir Plulip Cartwright, gavernor of Cuernsey, wrote Letters also, which eqtoe in great haste. That he understoọd tide Freuch hed a désign upou that island, or some part of Pitiglant It also appeared to the Cotbinittee, by divers of the-1etters, which were opencd coming from beyond sea, that they expectyd the earl of Straftiond there, It'dusr appeared by the exa-

- " Mr. Taylor, burgess for Windeor, was hrolight upon his kaees in the House of Conimons, for speaking the following Words, in dib-
lord's ecape was propocted.? .
paragement of the whule Irouse, aboat the Earl of Stattord's death, we. 'They bat commeted "burder with the fourd of jocuce'; and that
 - lic on lis conscience, as dat un theirs for that 'sethepre:' whuch TVords be igg provel against lam by themayor of Wiadoo (to whom he apohe i) bubsonie pigers, le wat eapelied the bouse, and oted acapable of ever bemg a Palamentman; conumited to the Towa darime pleasayg ; to be rauried dom to to Wimiong, there to
 tura hatek to da the same -at he bair :and it was ordered that a wint shuddedpresently band
 Parl. Ilist.: t む.


[^0]:    －See vol．11，P 569.
    
    1

[^1]:     His opiofiot, "Thitt this interded Jodgment in The Howas Coryius, was a dranght made bypeperpips that decired to strite pis from aur
     paty the Jadgho did yotend in, re e it not here (haid ha) tee appimer the trist wi mee tent for, if we prepept pot theis, putter to his majesty. Ifet chat basimels po furiner negiched into, mind

[^2]:    - Cuhe was they a Jutge, aind in favour at court.
    r " Coke of , one mind, when $n$ Jyuge, and in favour; of nnother, whent out of coutt, and discontented." (Note to old Edition.)
    Of Coke's conduct in parlininent, Mlr. Bane cington, in \& Note to his Observaghens on Statate Westminter the Seconul, suys, "The late publication of the Journals of the Ilouse of Coumnons shews, that he didnory as a Mearber of Parlinment, prostifute has amazing knowledge of municipal lum, to poljitacal parpages : as he generully argucs in the mame manner, and from the swine gathorities, whach be citen ir bis lustitures."

[^3]:    * this Argument of Mr 1rticton is pintetiIn 'Youtom Posthumi' as it wade by oult Hobert fotton, bart But whovoener consults the listurinins of those timis s, wid find, thatal) iggs, Lattletoir, Sclden and Coke, sete the tay lawyers nppapnted by the llouse of (ommos to manage that memoruble Conterence.

[^4]:    * See the Writ De Nativo habendo.

[^5]:    - This was Robert earl of Warwich, afterwards idmiral for the Long-Parliament.

[^6]:    Welmeday, 98 Mey, the Larde and Camp

[^7]:    - su Edw. 1.

[^8]:    - 25 Elw. S, Rot. Parl.
    + 1 Edw. 3, 6. 11 I. 2, 9. 1 R. 3, 2.
    19 Hen. 3, 29 . 98 Edw. $3,3$.
    || 37 Edw. $3,18.38$ Edw.'s, 9.48 Edw .3$]^{\prime \prime}$ 3. 17 Ric. 2, 6.
    )

[^9]:    *Vide 2 Assisppl. 3. 3 Assis, pl. 12. 13 Assis. pl. 40, 26 Assis. pl. 47. 41 Assis. pl. 44. 91 Edw. 4, fol. 25, and $71^{\circ}$ Brook, tit. Mainprise 60 and 63. 2 Eliz. Dyer, fol. 179; a.

[^10]:    - Mr. Whitlocke, in his Memorials, p. 16, says, "In the year 1031, some of the imprisomed Parliament-men, upon thrir Petition, were removed from the prisons wherein they then were, to other prisons, to prevent the danger of the sickgess then increasing. Sir Miles Hobart put in sureties for his good hebaviour, and so was discharged from his imprisoninent. -Anoo 1631. Sir Jolan Wulter died, a grave and learned Judge; he fell into the king's displeasurc, charged by his majesty 'for dealing ' cautelously, and not plainly, with him, in the ' businces concerning the parliament-men :' ns if he had given lis opinion to the king privately one way, anid thereby brought him on the stage, and there left him, and theis was of another Jodgment. Fis opinion wes contrary to all the rest of the Judges, 'That a Parliament' nan for misdemeanor in the house, criminally, ' out of fis office and duty, might be only im'prisoned, and not farther proceeved against:' which seemed very strange to the other Judges, hecause it could not appear, whether the party had commjtted an offence, unless be miglit be admitted to his answer. The king discharged him of his service by Messace, set he kept his place of (jhief-Baron, and would not lenve it but by legal procerding; becuuse his patent of it ens, Quam diu se Bene gemerit, nud it mush be tried whether he did bene iee graere, or not: he never sat in court after the king forbad him, yet held his place till he died."

[^11]:    *The king at first intended io proceed against the atove gentlemeu io the Star-Chamber, to which end au Iuformetion was exhibited against them in that coprt, on the 7 th of May; bat that being dropped, they were proceeded against in the King's-bench, and the same matters in effect were set forth as in the Information in the Star-Chamber.
    to See the Information in the King's-bench, the Defendant's Plen, the Attornef-General's Domurrer, \&cc. at large, ict the end of the Case, upon occasion of the Reversion of the Jutlqment in B. B. by the House of Lords ou a Writ of Eiror, A. p. 1668.

[^12]:    *Sanderson, in his Life of Charles 1, says, ${ }^{n}$ That this Dr. Manwaring preached two bold Sermons, one before the king, rod the other at his parish church. In the first be neserted, That the king's royal command, imposing -Taxes and Luans, without consent of parlin' Mherth, did no far bind the conscilace of the - balbjects of this King om that they could not 'Tertse the pajumart without peril of damna'tion.' The other was on this topic, 'That - the authority of parliamettt was not necessity

[^13]:    * Doctor Manwaring's Sermons, intituled, " Religion and Allegiance," werc suppressed by proclamation, the kivg declaring, that though toe grounds thercof were rightly laid to persuade obedience from subjects to their sovereign, and that for conscience sake; yet in divers passager, inferences, and applications thereof, trenching upon the laws of this land, and proceedings of parliaments, whereof he was ignorant, he so far erred, that he bal drawn upou himself the just censure and sentence of the high court of parliament, by whose judgment also that Book stands condemined: Wherefore being desirous to remove occasions of scandal, he thought fit that thase Sermons, in regard of their influences and applications, be totally suppressed.

    Morcover bishop Montague, and doctor Manwaring, procured a royal Pardon of all errors heretofore committed by them, either in speaking, writing, or pristing, for which tbey might be herealjer questioned: And doctor Manwariag, censured hy the lords in parliament, and perpetually disabled from future ecclesiastical preferinénts in the church of Eugland, was inmediately presented to the rectory of Staspford Rivers in Essex, and had a dispensution to hold it, together with the rectory of St. Giles's in the Fields.

[^14]:    - A prisoner standigg mute in high-treason is ips fucto attainted: $\&$ Hale, $286,4 \mathrm{BI}$. Com. 348. And in felony and piracy such obstinacy amounts to a conviction, by 12 Geo. 3, c. 20. See 2 Llawk, P. C. ch. 30, 8vo. edit.

[^15]:    *See the Note to the countess of Shrewsbury's Case, vol. 2. p. 774, and the Articles thare cited.

[^16]:    - Laud was accused of lusing ugeravated the matter aguiust Chamicers, and of baving sald to the kung, "df your mujesty bad insuy such Chambers, you nould soon have no Cuyuber left to rest in." Hist. of the 'Tral and Troubles of Abp, Laud.

[^17]:    "i *'All'the abovesaid contents and Suhniassion, 2.

[^18]:    * On this matter Kennet thus expresses limself, " O y the same day [May 29, 1630 ] on which he just before mentions that the prince, afterwards king Charles 2. had been lomp - great cause was brought to hearing in the Star-Chamber concerning a Discoursc, intituled, - A Proposition for his Majesty's Service to bridle ' the Impertinency of Parlioments,' which had given so much-offence and jealousy about the fime of the last dissolution, that the king ordered his Attorney-General to prefer an information against the eirls of Bedford, Clare, and Sonserset, sir Robert Coiton, Mr. Selden, Mr. St. John, and others, for spreading the said lihel. The earl of Somerset by his counsel pleaded that this discourse was either thre same that was shewed him in the time of his attendance upon his late majesty king James, or had the same things in it, and finding no cause of concealing such a former project, and imngining it to be of no scandal to the present goernment, he had casually inparted it to the earls of Bedford and Clare, who ufter perusal thereof, delivered this opinion "of it at their 'next meeting, 'that it was a fantnstic 'project ${ }^{*}$ of some bruin-sick traveller, who had nfari- cul-
    ${ }^{4}$ lections of some princes in Italy, aptio other fo-
    - reign stitten no waysyitable to tho government ' of this kingasm.' And upon the depositions of sir David Fov:lis, it appeared hat the very

[^19]:    ＊Briton，c．9．says，that sodomites，sorce－ rers，and some other offenders，were to be burned．The Mirrour says they were to be buited ative ；in conformity with which Ld．Co． 3 Inst．c． 10 ，quotes Fileta b．1．c． 35 ，where I runnot find muy such matter：bowever，it scems lihely that afferwaris they liad cessed to be punisfled capteally；which occasioned the statate of 95 Ifen．8．c．6，passed in the year 1534，wheh whe abont six years after 11．8， thad beguan uppon tlic uppression of Monateteries，
     when the gencral suypreswon of thicm seems to have been resolied on．Almut a yeur atter－ waids（1．306）the lesser monasteries were sap－ preesecl．Ard in four years mure（1540）the work＂as fourpleted hy the final dissolution of all manauer of abties，priories，mounsteries， Acp and the transfer of their revenues and whatc，to the crown，by st． 31 II．8，c． 13 ．See 1 Coblecti＇s Parl．Hist． 526 ，5s7， 1 Rapio 782， 3017－－321．fol．cl．and Buraet＇s Ilist，of the Itefirumation．The st． 25 II．8，c． 6 ，was re－ pealed by the genernal st．I E． 6, c． 12 ，and by 2 E．u，c． 29 ，the criuse was made felony with－

[^20]:    Which do mot extend to those thas stand mute, 11 Co. Rep. 30. 6, Poulter's case; bul by the 9 et 4 of Wil. et Mur. c. 9, a\| wha would be excluded in case of conviction by verdict or contessionn, are excluded in case of atandiug mute.
    

[^21]:    - See in Coke's Fint, 352, a precedent of lis kjod in Stafford's Case, which in Co. 3 Iust. 59 , is 马uid to have been drawn by great advice.

[^22]:    - Sce the Trial of llea and llamsay by Cour-
    t, No. 140. bat, No. 140.

[^23]:    - Vide infre Laud's'Casp, eignth day of his bering.

[^24]:    - a But he shewed his opinion, when upon bio promotion to the 6eo of Cunterbury, he cuosed the saine kind of Picturts $\omega$ be set up in p Cunpels at Lumbeth and Croydon," \& Butbif?

[^25]:    - Dfferenant ba the Colosuianis, cap. 4, 8. p. ${ }^{3} 8 /$.

[^26]:    ' Having, upon my first arrival here in Lon${ }^{\text {t }}$ don, heard and seen in four severa! Plays (to ' which the pressing inportunity of some ill ac-

[^27]:    - 2 Rushw. Coll. p. 248.
    $\dagger$ "It was a softer answer" says Kennett; "to Mr. Prynn's Book againstPlays and Actings, that about the beginning of November, [1635], to congratulate the king's return, and divert hin. royal consort, the four lnus of Court, by some. of their principal members, offered a splendid Musque to be performed by their Societies jointly, us an expression of their love und doty to their majesties. The offer was very graciously arcepted; and upon contsult and order of the Benchers, was very nobly performed at Whitchall on Shrove-Tuesday Feb. 18th, and again at Merchant-Tayler's-Hall, where the king, queen, and court, were magnificently entertaned by the city."

[^28]:    * 1 Clar. Hist. p. 73. 153. 2 Rushwv, p. 380.
    $\dagger$ Kennet, after meationing the opinion uhich the judges latid given on the legality of Ship Money, proceeds: " It was a iescinullious opimion which the same Judges had felivered in the case of Burton and Jastwicke, who had Esen, so fierce in their Libels against the government, that it wus considered by thr, king's coungef how to draw thetn intre"an arraignment of Bigh-Treason. Fur which purpose there was a ineeting of the Judges at Serjeant's-Inn, hefore whim the Eing's counsol laboured to prove, thit divers passages in of Beoks of the

[^29]:    - ' ecrleyiastical or civil, and thereby to compass
    'the king's destruction, this was treasun. 8.
    - That such Indictment was to be framed upon
    ' the said stature of 25 Ed. 3.' This Resolation being delivered by the Lord Chief Justice to the king and council, had this regular effect, that the said offenders were nut iudicted of ILigh-Treason, but prosecuted in a sufter munper, though afterward thought sevcre aod arbitrary."

[^30]:    - It was put into the litany of IIenry 8, his time, as appears in his primes, with has Injunction before it.
    $\dagger$ And it is in both the Service-Books of Edw. 6, both that which was primted, 1549; and in thut which was after, an. 15j2.

[^31]:    - The archbislup of Ciunterhurs being informed by his spies what Mr. Prynn said, moved the lordm then sitting in the Stus-chnmlier, that he inight be sugged, and have sone further censure presently executed upou hinn; but that motion did not succeed.

[^32]:    * "This bishop of Lincoln, (once a great miniser of state) wrestled through these difficultics and close impribonments; was at last jet at liberty out of the Tower, and culled by the Sing's writ to sat in the house of peers, and after that was advanced by the king, snd restored to all his ecclesiastical dignities and functions,." Rushworth,

[^33]:    - Some curious particular, re-pecting this Case, are collected by Mrs. Macuulay, in the second volume of her History, p. 234 et seq.

[^34]:    - " Mr. Hampden was a man of much greater cauning, aud it may be, of the most discerning apirit, and of the greatest address and insinuation to bring any thing to pass which be desired, of any man of that tume, and who laid the design dicepest. He was a gentleman of a good extraction, and a fair fortune, who, from a life nf great pleasure and licence, had on a sudden retired to extraordinary sobriety and strictness, and yet retained his usual chearfulness and affablity; which, together with the opinion of his wisdom and justice, and the courage he had shewed in opposing the Ship-money, raised his reputation to a very greag height, nut ouly in Buckinghamshire, where he lived, but generally throughout the kingdom. He was not a man of many words, and rarely begua the discourse, ar made the first entrance upon any business that was assurf d ; but a very weighty spenker, and after he hild bearda full debate, and observed how thed house was like to be inclined, took op the argument, aud shortly, and clearly, and craftily, sol stated it, that be commonly conducted it to ihe conclusior he desired; and if he found he could yot do that, he was never without the dexter 1 y to divert the debate to avother tine, and tha prevent the deterniving any thing in the neguture, which might prove inconvenient in the future. He made so great a shew of civility, and mode $y$ y, and humility, and always of mistrusting his own judgment, and esteeming his with whom he conferred for the preseut, that be seemed to have no opinions or resolutions, but such as he contracted from the information and instruction he received upon the discoursesof others, whon he had a wonderful art of governing, and leading into his principles and inclinations, whilst they believed that he wholly depended upon their counsel and advice. No man had ever a greater power over himself, or was less the man that he seemed to be, which shortly after appeared to every body, when he cared less to keep on the masque." Lord Clarendon.

[^35]:    * See the particular Taxation in 2 Rusb. Cul. 453.

[^36]:    * A Latia Cepy of this Intrument will be farelalathe end of theve Preceediags.

[^37]:    1

[^38]:    The First Day's Anounest of Sir JOHN BANKS, knt. his Majesty's AttorneyGeneral, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ on behalf of His Majssir, before all the Judges, in the Exchequer-Chamber, in the Grept Case of Sarin Motir.

[^39]:    - Sluges bere'are sage meh; tot Jodycos; se was objected.
    4 c

[^40]:    - An nbridgment of these Judgments of Croke, int the liandwriting of Archbishop Sancroft, is among Tanuer's MSS. in the Bodleian Librery.

[^41]:    * Cr. Car, 525, 601.

[^42]:    - This rempips ander the hand of Anderson, the Lorid Chief Jadice, in bis Reports, vol. 1, p. 152-158.
    + Afterwards Etarl of Clarendon, and Lord Chancellor of England.

    4 N

[^43]:    *The pillory was placed between Westmin-ater-IIall Gate and the Star-Chamber.

[^44]:    - Where, (I very well remember,) sir Ąrthur Haslerig was one of my zealous gind forward Judges; and when Warden James Ingram came to the bar of the Court of Wards, and broughr-Mr. Herne the counsellor to pleed for the Lords, and in excuse of himself, who stiflly insisted in a high manner upon the orders and decrees of Star-Chamber, upon which I very well remember sir Arthur, with a great deal of indignation, said unto Herne, ${ }^{1} 1$ value not 'a Decree of the lords in Star-Chamber a ruab, ' if it be not expressly according to the tenar of 'their Commission, the limw : and I further tell - yoo, it is a ridiraloiss thing, Sir, to summon - Parliaments to meet together to make laws, * if the lords Decrees in Star-Chamber against 'Jaw should be binding. And therefore, al${ }^{6}$ though you have proved for your client Mr. - Ingram, that the Inrds in open court (the 'court sitting) commanded him on the pillory ${ }^{\prime} 16 \mathrm{gag}$ Mr. Lilburu, for speaking against them, ' yet I tell you by law that Order ought to - have been in writing accoriling to the gyastom ' of the court, which you confess it was nott, fand therefore Mr. Ingram must smart for his 'executing of orders on Mr. Libburh made 'illegally?

[^45]:    Lilburn did enter his name, but refusing to give them money they put out his name ngain.
    $\pm$ They never shewed the Interrogatories to Lilbarn, though be desired the sight of them, that so he might know what be did swear to.

[^46]:    - He wes Parsor of Creake in Northamp tegshire.

[^47]:    ${ }^{4}$ Middx' $n$.
    ' Alias, scilicat die Jovis pros' post octab' 'sancte Trin' isto eod' termino, coram domino
    'rege apud Westm', per secrament mi. jur'
    ' extitit preserrat', qued com cur' domini regis
    -de communi banco est, et a tempore cujus
    ' contrar' memoria homiaum non existit, fuit
    A antiqua cur' de recordo dieti domini regis
    'nunc, et progenitor' et antecessor' sanr' reg'
    ${ }^{6}$ et reginar' Anglie, pro administratione jus-
    ' titim subdit' hyjus regni Anghas, et alius in
    "commubibus pl'sis per tne' regnam Anglis
    "pred' motis et emergentibus. Comque est
    'chatra coron' et dignitat' regie majestatis,
    eneenon contra legem et conscotudinem hujos
    ${ }^{6}$ regni Anglies, pro alígan persona, vel aliqui-
    ' bus personis cur' pred', seur aliquot justiciarios
    ' ejuasd' cur', ead' 'cur' aperta exiswen', et jus-
    'ticiar' cur' illius in car' ill prasentibus, et
    ' judicialiter sedentibes, disturbare. Camq'

    - Ric' Hetton miles 'est, et'per diversos annos
    "jam ult' elapros fart हt 'ridive eat, unus jus-
    - ticiar' diet' domini regia nuine pred' car' suap:
    ${ }^{6}$ quidam tamen Thounas: Hairrison de.Crtek is
    "coom' Northampton eléricus, Deam pherecolfif
    *spias "mon habens, sed instipatione Wiabolice
    ''yove' et sedvet', secum malitiose imagivetiof ${ }^{4}$ ntulue irrinimio compassins, quituo modis pos"sit 'pped' Rienedum Hutton milit'; et wdtune
    'et wdruc 'uiu' 'jostic' domini regis mate de
    'Conmmuni bayce pred' exinten', 'multipliciter
    4/defamure et $\quad$ ouditothaste, machinansque et
    " 6 malitiose intentele, quantam 'in ipso fuit;
    

[^48]:    * He must anenn Judgé Croke. See hir Argument about Slitp-1tionéy ante, p. 11 Iq7.

[^49]:    * An erasure was made in the Lords Journals, by order of that house, after the Restoration of Charles 2, of all the Proccedings against the Earl of Strafford this time. This extraordrnary act was taken into considerntion in a succeeding reign, and another order was made by the lords relating to it. This order is entered irothe Journals just where the dirst erasure begins, and is in these worls :-" Die Martis JuIii 5 to. 1698 . "The carl of llochester reported from the lords committec, appointed to iuspect the Jourials of this boolse, in the year 1610 and 1641 , redating to vacatiag or oblicerating diters proceedings therein, pursuant to the act for reversing the enrl of thliafford's attainder, as follows, viz. Upon perasal of the Journal of this house, in relation to the proccedings upon the Impeachment from the houser of comusous, it appears plainly, thy, by the former orders made by this honse, relating to the cancetling and obliteratugg the proceediugs of the earl of Strafford, according to the act of parlament made for reversing of the said chrl's attainder, it cuuld not be-intended that any other proceedings should be obliterated than those reiating especally to the said act of attuinder; it is therefore ordered and doclared, by the lords gpiritual and temporal in' parhament pasembled; That whatsoever stands crossedtupon the Journals relating to the proceedings on the Inpeachment of the said carl, ought not, or shatl be looked on as obliterafed; and chat therseverna orders for obliterating and vakating any 'prob;

[^50]:    - See Luders's " Considerations on the law of High Treason in the case of levying wer," 83.

[^51]:    * Principal Baillie, writing in his Journal, of this hesifation, aays, "Some took this for a true defect in his memory; others, and for the most part, for a notable port of his rhetoric; sorne that true grief and gemefribrance had stopt his mouth: for they say that fiis first lady the earl of Clare's sister being, with child, and finding one of his whore's letters, brought it to him, and chiding him therefore, he struck her on the bresst, whereof shortly she died."
    t "Certainly never any man acted such a part, on such a theatre, with more wisdom, constancy and eloquence, with greater reason, judqments and femper, and with a better grace ingall bis wordi and geatures, than this great and excellent person did; and be moved the pearts of all hus adilitors, some few excepted,

[^52]:    * This Bill of Attainder was lrought in by ;ir Arthur Haslerig: Lord Clarendon gives. a very long' and particular accopnt of it in his Tiret volume, p. 178 to $\mu .183$, אol. pdit.

[^53]:    levying war against the king, to nasume royal authority by force, it cannot be restruined to any particular branch of the prerogative. It must be treason so to assume any one of them. Of these there is none wore clearly ascertained, than the adrainistration of justice. This proceeds frote the Crown as the Fountian of Justice, from whence all Couts are to derive their institution. Therefore, to erect sech tribunal, and td maintain it with force, (any force sufficient for the purpose, according to Foster) is levying waf againgt the king, by 4 doing that - which the kiog ought to do in governmert as 'king' Luders on the Law of Treason, in Levying, Wer, p. 77.

[^54]:    - See vol. 1. p. 869, $\quad$ Ibif. p. 861.
    vol. Itl.

[^55]:    - See vol. 1, p. $4 \mathbf{5 9}$.

[^56]:    - see rof 1, p. 1515. $\dagger$ Ibid. p. ssa

[^57]:    - See vol. 1. p. 867.

[^58]:    - "As soon as the earl of Bedford was dead, the lord,Say (hoping to recetve the remaind of the 'Treasurership) succeeded him in his undertaking, and faithfolly promised the king, 'that he should not be pressed in the matter ' of the earl of Strafford's lifer' and under that promise got credit enough, to persunde his majesty to whatsoever he said was mecessary ${ }^{\text {th}}$ ro that business. And thereupon, when the Bill was depending with the lords, and when there was Ytile suspicion that it would pass, thoogh the hoise of cqumons avery day bry Netseges

[^59]:    - Hune, in a Nota to, the 6th volume of his lustury, wiys: " Mr. C.ante, in las Lafe of the Duhe of Ormpud, has gisen us some Esidence to prove, that this Latter was entirely $n$ forgery of his popular leadors, in order to m -

[^60]:    ＊＂On Wedneoday，May 12th，＂says Ken－ nett，＂the Furl was＊hraught to the Scaffold upon Tower－hull；as lie passed near the lodg－ ings of the Archbishop of Canterbury（Whom he had desired by a message to be at the win－ dow and to bless him as he went to execution） he looked up，nud bowing said，＇ My ＇lyend， ＇your prayers and your blessing．＇The Arith－ ，hishop lift up his hands for the signo of bestow－ ing both，but was po overconse whh prief that he fell back in a swbon ；．the Earl．howed agein， and said，＇Farewel，my＇lord，God protect ＇xour innocency．＂Many of the spectators observed，that he walked mofe like a gecetral， at the head of aup ariay，than like a conevemned man．The Lieuteiant desired，him to take conch，for feer the people slisuld rash in upen bin and tonr him in pieces：＇ No ，＇sid he， －Maser Lieutenant，I dare look death in the Ctace，and I hopo the people too．Have you is a care that I do not escape，nond I core for Thow I die，whether by the hand of be esecu－ ＇t tiongr，of the madoesa nad fury of the people，
    ＇if that may give than betercoonteat；；it is all
    ＇one to me？＂
    voL，III．
    －

